

This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

# Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + Refrain from automated querying Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

# **About Google Book Search**

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at http://books.google.com/







# CURIOSITIES OF LITERATURE,

THE LITERARY CHARACTER ILLUSTRATED.

BY

I. C. D'ISRAELI, ESQ. D.C.L. F.S.A.

WITH

CURIOSITIES OF AMERICAN LITERATURE,

BX

RUFUS W. GRISWOLD.

COMPLETE IN ONE VOLUME.

NEW YORK: LEAVITT AND ALLEN, 879 BROADWAY.

1857.

# MARYARD COLLEGE LIBRARY SHELDON FUND JULY 10, 1940

# PREFACE.

This miscellany was first formed, many years ago, when two of my friends were occupied in those anecdotical labours, which have proved so entertaining to themselves, and their readers. I conceived that a collection of a different complexion, though much less amusing, might prove somewhat more instructive; and that literary history afforded an almost unexplored source of interesting facts. The work itself has been well enough received by the public to justify its design.

Every class of readers requires a book adapted to itself and that book which interests, and perhaps brings much new information to a multitude of readers, is not to be contemned, even by the learned. More might be alleged in favour of works like the present than can be urged against them. They are of a class which was well known to the ancients. The Greeks were not without them; the Romans loved them under the title of *Varia Eruditio*; and the Orientalists, more than either, were passionately fond of these agreeable collections. The fanciful titles, with which they decorated their variegated miscellanies, sufficiently express their delight.

The design of this work is to stimulate the literary curiosity of those, who, with a taste for its tranquil pursuits, are impeded in their acquirements. The characters, the events, and the singularities of modern literature, are not always familiar even to those who excel in classical studies. But a more numerous part of mankind, by their occupations, or their indolence, both unfavourable causes to literary improvement, require to obtain the materials for thinking, by the easiest and readiest means. This work has proved useful: it has been reprinted abroad, and it has been translated; and the honour which many writers at home have conferred on it, by referring to it, has exhilarated the zealous labour which seven editions have necessarily exacted.

<sup>\*</sup> The late William Seward, Esq., and James Pettit Andrews, Esq.

# CURIOSITIES OF LITERATURE

THE passion for forming vast collections of books has no comarily existed in all periods of human curiosity; but long it required royal munificence to found a national library. It is only since the art of multiplying the productions of the mind has been discovered, that men of letters have been enabled to rival this imperial and patriotic honour. The taste for books, so rare before the lifteenth century, has gradually become general only within these four hu dred years; in that small space of time the public mind of Europe has been created.

Europe has been created.

Of Libraheles, the following anecdotes seem most interesting, as they mark either the affection, or the veneration, which civilized men have ever felt for these perennial repositories of their minds. The first national library founded in Egypt seemed to have been placed under the protection of the divinities, for their statues magnificently adorned this temple, dedicated at once to religion and to hierature. It was still farther embolished by a well known inscription, for ever grateful to the votary of litera-ture; on the front was engraven, 'The nourishment of the soul;' or, according to Diodorus, 'The medicine of the mind.

The Egyptian Ptolemies founded the vast library of Alexandria, which was afterwards the emulative labour of rival monarchs; the founder infused a soul into the vast body he was creating, by his choice of the librarian De-metrius Phalereus, whose skilful industry amassed from all nations their choicest productions. Without such a all nations their choicest productions. Without such a librarian, a national library would be little more than a literary chaos. His well exercised memory and critical judgment are its best catalogue. One of the Prolemies re-lead supplying the famished Athenians with wheat, until they presented him with the original manuscripts of Æschylus, Sophocies, and Euripides; and in returning copies of these originals, he allowed them to retain the fifteen talents which he had pledged with them as a princely security.

Even when tyrants, or usurpers, possessed sense as well as courage, they have proved the most ardent patrons of inerature; they know it is their interest to turn aside the public mind from political speculations, and to afford their subjects the inexhaustible occupations of curiosity, and the consoling pleasures of the imagination. Thus Pisistratus is said to have been among the earliest of the Greeks, who projected an immense collection of the works of the learned, and is believed to have been the collector of the scat-

tered works, which passed under the name of Homer.
The Romans, after six centuries of gradual dominion, must have possessed the vast and diversified collections of the writings of the nations they conquered; among the most valued spoils of their victories, we know that manuscripts were considered as more precious than vases of gold. Paulus Emilius, after the defeat of Perseus, king of Macodon, brought to Rome a great number which he had assessed in Greece, and which he now distributed among his sons, or presented to the Roman people. Sylla followed his example. After the siege of Athens, he discovered an entire library in the temple of Apollo, which having carried to Rome he appears to have been the foundor of the first Roman public library. After the taking of Carthage, the Roman senate rewarded the family of Regulus with the books found in the city. A library was a national gift, and the most honourable they could bestow. From the intercourse of the Romans with the Greeks, the passion for forming libraries rapidly increased, and indiviuals began to pride themselves on their private collections.

Of many illustrious Romans, their magnificent taste in their libraries Pas been recorded. Asinius Pollio, Crassus

Cassar, and Cicero, have, among others, been celebrated for their literary splendour. Lucullus, whose incredible opulence exhausted itself on more than imperial luxuries, opuliesce extracted insert of limself by his vast collec-tions of books, and the happy use he made of them by the liberal access he allowed the learned. 'It was a library, liberal access ne anowed une reasons.

says Plutarch, 'whose walks, galleries, and cabinets, were open to all visiters; and the ingenious Greeks, when at leisure, resorted to this shode of the Muses to hold literary that the binned level to pinn? conversations, in which Lucullus himself loved to join. This library, enlarged by others, Julius Cases once pro-posed to open for the public, having chosen the erudite Varro for its librarian; but the daggers of Brutus and his party prevented the meditated projects of Casar. In this nuseum, Cicero frequently pursued his studies, during the time his friend Faustus had the charge of it, which he de-scribes to Atticus in his 4th Book, Epist. 9. Amidst his public occupations and his private studies, either of them sufficient to have immortalized one man, we are astonished at the minute attention Cicero paid to the formation of his libraries, and his cabinets of antiquities.

The emperors were ambitious at length to give their names to the libraries they founded; they did not consider the purple as their chief ornament. Augustus was himself an author, and in one of those sumptuous buildings called an author, and in one of those sumptuous buildings called Thermes, ornamented with porticoes, galleries, and statues, with shady walks, and refreshing baths, testified his love of literature by adding a magnificent library, one of these libraries he fondly called by the name of his sister Octavia; and the other, the temple of Apollo, became the haunt of the poets, as Horace, Juvenal, and Persius have commomorated. The successors of Augustus imitated his example, and even Tiberius had an imperial library chiefly consisting of works concerning the empire, and the acts of consisting of works concerning the empire and the acts of its sovereigns. These Trajan augmented by the Ulpian library, so denominated from the family name of this prince.

In a word we have accounts of the rich ornaments the ancients bestowed on their libraries; of their floors paved with marble, their walls covered with glass and ivory, and

their shelves and desks of ebony and cedar.

The first public library in Italy, says Tiraboschi, was founded by a person of no considerable fortune: his credit, his frugality, and fortitude, were indeed equal to a treasury. This extraordinary man was Nicholas Niccoli, the son of a merchant, and in his youth himself a merchant; but after the death of his father he relinquished the beaten roads of gain, and devoted his soul to study, and his for-tune to assist students. At his death he left his library to the public, but his debts being greater than his effects, the princely generosity of Cosmo de Medici realized the intention of its former possessor, and afterwards enriched it, by the addition of an apartment, in which he placed the Greek, Hebrew. Arabic, Chaldaic, and Indian was. The intre-pid resolution of Nicholas V, laid the foundations of the Vatican; the affection of Cardinal Bessarion for his country, first gave Venice the rudiments of a public library; and to Sir T. Bodley we owe the invaluable one of Oxford. Sir Robert Cotton, Sir H. Sloane, Dr Birch, Mr Cracherode, and others of this race of lovers of books, have all contributed to form these literary treasures, which our na-tion owe to the enthusiasm of individuals, who have found such pleasure in consecrating their fortunes and their days to this great public object; or, which in the result produces the same public good, the collections of such men have been frequently purchased on their deaths, by government, and thus have entered whole and entire into the great national collections.

Literature, like virtue, is its own reward, and the enthusiasm some experience in the permanent enjoyments of

Digitized by Google

a vast library, have far outweighed the neglect or the calumny of the world, which some of its votaries have received. From the time that Cicero poured forth his feelings in his oration for the poet Archies, innumerable are the testimonies of men of letters of the pleasurable delirium of their researches; that delicious beverage which they have their resourches; that denotes beverage when they have swallowed, so thirstly, from the magical cup of literature. Richard de Bury, Bishop of Durham, Chancellor and high treasurer of England so early as 1841, perhaps raised the first private library in our country. He purchased thirty or forty volumes of the abbot of St. Albans for fifty pounds weight of silver. He was so enamoured of his large collection. lection, that he expressly composed a treatise on his love of books, under the title of 'Philobiblion,' an honourable tribute paid to literature, in an age not literary.

To pass much of our time amid such vast resources, that man must indeed be not more animated than a leaden Mercury, who does not aspire to make some small addition to his library, were it only by a critical catalogue! He must be as indolent as that animal called the sloth, who perishes on the tree he climbs, after he has eaten all its

eaves.

Henry Rantzau, a Danish gentleman, the founder of the great library at Copenhagen, whose days were dissolved in the pleasures of reading, discovers his taste and ardour in the following elegant effusion:

> Salvete aureoli mei libelli, Mem delicim, mei lepores . Quam vos sæpe oculis juvat videre, Et tritos manibus tenere nostris! Tot vos eximii, tot eruditi, Prisci lumina soculi et recentis, Confecere viri, suasque vobis Ausi credere lucubrationes : Et sperare decus perenne scriptis ; Neque hæc irrka spes fefellit illos.

#### IMITATED.

Golden volumes! richest treasures Objects of delicious pleasures!
You my eyes rejoicing please,
You my hands in rapture seize! Brilliant wits and musing sages. Lights who beam'd through many ages! Left to your conscious leaves their story, And dared to trust you with their glory; And now their hope of fame achievid. Dear volumes !- you have not deceived !

This passion for the acquisition and enjoyment of books, sides with costly ornaments; a rage which ostentation may have abused; but when these volumes belong to the real man of letters, the most fanciful bindings are often the emblems of his taste and feelings. The great Thuanus was eager to purchase the finest copies for his library, and his volumes are still eagerly purchased, bearing his autograph on the last page. A celebrated amateur was Grollier, whose library was opulent in these luxuries; the Muses themselves could not more ingeniously have ornamented their favourite works. I have seen several in the libraries of our own curious collectors. He embellished their outside with taste and ingensity. They are gilded and stamped with pecu-liar neatness, the compartments on the binding are drawn, and painted, with different inventions of subjects, analogous to the works themselves; and they are farther adorned by that amiable inscription, Jo Grollierii et amicorum. purporting that these literary treasures were collected for himself and for his friends!

The family of the Fuggers had long felt an hereditary passion for the accumulation of literary treasures; and passion for the accumulation of literary treasures; and curious quarto volume of 127 portraits, excessively rare even in Germany, entitled 'Fuggerorum Pinacotheca,' Wolfius, who daily haunted their celebrated library, pours out his gratitude in some Greek verses, and describes this Bibliotheque as a literary heaven, furnished with as many books as there were stars in the firmament; or as a lite-rary garden, in which he passed entire days in gathering fruit and flowers, delighting and instructing himself by per-

petual occupation.

In 1364 the royal library of France did not exceed twenty volumes. Shortly after Charles V increased it to nine hundred, which by the fate of war, as much at lesst as that of money, the Duke of Bedford afterwards purchased and ransported to London, where libraries were smaller than

on the continent, about 1440. It is a circumstance worthy observation, that the French sovereign, Charles V, surnamed the Wise, ordered that thirty portable lights, with a silver lamp suspended from the centre, should be illumin-ated at night, that students might not find their pursuits interrupted at any hour. Many among us, at his moment, whose professional avocations admit not of morning studies, find that the resources of a public library are not accessible to them from the omission of the regulation of the zealous Charles V of France. An alarming objection to night-studies in public libraries is the danger of fire, and in our own British Museum not a light is permitted to be carried about on any pretence whatever. The history of the 'Bibliotheque du Roi' is a curious incident in literature and the progress of the human mind and public opinion might be traced by its gradual accessions, noting the changeable qualities of its literary stores chiefly from theology, law and medicine, to philosophy, and elegant literature. In 1789 Neckar reckoned the literary treasures to amount to 225,000 printed books, 70,000 manuscripts, and 15,000 collections of prints. By a curious little volume published by M. Le Prince in 1782, it appears that it was first under Louis XIV that the productions of the art of engraving were collected and arranged; the great minister Colbert purchased the extensive collections of the Abbé de Marolles, who may be ranked among the fathers of our print-collectors. Two hundred and aixty-four ample portfolios laid the foundations, and the catalogues of his collec-tions, printed by Marolles himself, are rare, curious, and high-priced. Our own national print-gallery is yet an infant establishment.

Mr Hallam has observed, that in 1440, England had made comparatively but little progress in learning—and Germany was probably still less advanced. However there was in Germany a celebrated collector of books in the person of Trithemius, the celebrated abbot of Spanheim, who died in 1516; he had amassed about two thousand manuscripts, a literary treasure which excited such general attention, that princes and eminent men of that day travelled to visit Trithemius and his library. About this time six or eight hundred volumes formed a royal col-lection, and their high value in price could only be furnish-ed by a prince. This was indeed a great advancement in libraries, when at the beginning of the fourteenth century the library of Louis IX contained only four classical au thors, and that of Oxford, in 1900, consisted of 'a few tracts kept in chest.'

The pleasures of study are classed by Burton among those exercises or recreations of the mind which pass within doors. Looking about this 'world of books' he ex-claims, 'I could even live and die with such meditations, and take more delight and true content of mind in them, than in all thy wealth and sport! there is a sweetness, which, as Circe's cup, bewitcheth a student, he cannot leave off, as well may witness those many laborious hours, days and nights, spent in their voluminous treatises. So sweet is the delight of study. The last day is prioris discipulus. 'Heinsius was mewed up in the library of Leyden all the year long, and that which to my thinking should have bred a loathing, caused in him a greater liking. I no sooner, saith he, come into the library, but I holt the door to me, excluding Lust, Ambition, Avarice, and al. such vices, whose nurse is Idleness, the mother of Ignorance and Melancholy. In the very lap of eternity amongst so many divine souls, I take my seat with so lofty a spirit, and sweet content, that I pity all our great ones and rich men, that know not this happiness.' Such is the incense of a votary who scatters it on the altar less for the ceremony than from the devotion.

There is, however, an intemperance in study, incompa-tible often with our social or more active duties. The illustrious Grotius exposed himself to the reproaches of some of his contemporaries for having too warmly pursued his studies, to the detriment of his public station. It was the boast of Cicero, that his philosophical studies had never interfered with the services he owed the republic, and that he had only dedicated to them the hours which others gave to their walks, their repasts, and their pleasures. Looking on his voluminous labours, we are surprised at this observation: how honourable is it to him, that his various philosophical works bear the titles of the different villas he possessed; which shows that they were composed in their respective retirements. Cicero must have been an early riser; and practised that magic art of employing his time, as to have multiplied his days,

Google

#### THE BIBLIOMARIA.

The preceding article is honourable to literature, yet impartial truth must show that even a passion for collecting books is not always a passion for literature.

ing books is not always a passion for iterature.

The 'Bibliomania,' or the collecting an enormous heap of books without intelligent curiosity, has, since libraries have existed, infected weak minds, who imagine that they themselves acquire knowledge when they keep it on their shelves. Their motley libraries have been called the mad houses of the human mind; and again, the tomb of books, when the possessor will not communicate them, and cofins them up in the cases of his library—and as it was factiously observed, these collections are not without a Lock on the human Understanding.\*

The Bibliomania has never raged more violently than in the present day. It is fortunate that literature is in no ways injured by the follies of collectors, since though they preserve the worthless, they necessarily defend the good. Some collectors place all their fame on the view of a

Some collectors piace all their fame on the view of a splendid library, where volumes arrayed in all the pomp of lettering, silk linings, triple gold bands and tinted leather, are locked up in wire cases, and secured from the vulgar hands of the mere reader, dazzling our eyes like eastern beauties peering through their jealousies!

Bruyere has touched on this mania with humour: 'Of such a collector,' says he, 'as soon as I enter his house, I am ready to faint on the staircase, from a strong smell of Morocco leather: in vain he shows me fine editions, gold leaves, Etruscan bindings, &c., naming them one after another, as if he were showing a gallery of pictures! a gallery by the by which he seldom traverses when alone, for he rarely reads, but me he offers to conduct through it! I thank him for his politeness, and, as little as himself, care to visit the tan-house, which he calls his library.'

Lucian has composed a biting invective against an ignorant possessor of a vast library. Like him, who in the present day, after turning over the pages of an old book, chiefly admires the date. Lucian compares him to a pilot, who was never taught the science of navigation; to a rider who cannot keep his seat on a spirited horse; to a man who not having the use of his feet, wishes to conceal the defect by wearing embroidered shoes; but, alas! he cannot stand in them! He ludicrously compares him to Thersites wearing the armour of Achilles, tottering at every step; leering with his little eyes under his enormous helmet, and his hunch-back raising the cuirass above his shoulders. Why do you buy so many books? he says:—you have no hair, and you purchase a comb; you are blind, and you will have a grand mirror; you are deaf, and you will have fine musical instruments! Your costly bindings are only a source of vexalion, and you are continually discharging your librarians for not preserving them from the silent invasion of the worms, and the nibbling triumphs of the rats!

Such collectors will contemptuously smile at the collection of the amiable Melancthon. He possessed in his fibrary only four authors, Plato, Pliny, Plutarch, and Ptolemy the geographer.

Ancilion was a great collector of curious books, and dexterously defended himself when accused of the Bibliosessis. He gave a good reason for buying the most elegant editions; which he did not consider merely as a literary bexury. He said the less the eyes are fatigued in reading a work, the more liberty the mind feels to judge of it: and as we perceive more clearly the excellencies and defects of a printed book than when in ms; so we see them more plainly in good paper and clear type than when the impression and paper are both bad. He always purchased first editions, and never waited for second ones; though it is the opinion of some that a first edition is generally the least valuable, and only to be considered as an imperfect essay, which the author proposes to finish after he has tried the sentiments of the literary world. Bayle approves of Ancillon's plan. Those who wait calmly for a book, says he, till it is reprinted, show plainly that they are resigned to their ignorance, and prefer the saving of a pistole to the acquisition of useful knowledge. With one of these persons, who waited for a second edi-

\* An allusion and pun which occasioned the French translator of the present work an unlucky blunder: puzzled no doubt by my facetiousness, he translates 'mettant comme on l'a tree-judicieusement fait observer, l'entendement humain sous la Clef.' The book, and the author alluded to, quite exerpsed him.

tion, which never appeared, a literary man argued, that it was much better to have two editions of a book than to deprive himself of the advantage which the reading of the first might procure him; and it was a bad economy to prefer a few crowns to that advantage. It has frequently happened, besides, that in second editions, the author omits, as well as adds, or makes alterations from prudential reasons; the displeasing truths which he corrects, as be might call them, are so many losses incurred by Truth itself. There is an advantage in comparing the first with subsequent editions; for among other things, we feel great satisfaction in tracing the variations of a work, when a man of genius has revised it. There are also other secrets, well known to the intelligent curious, who are versed in affairs relating to books. Many first editions are not to be purchased for the treble value of later ones. Let no lover of books be too hastily censured for his passion, which, if he indulges with judgment, is useful. The collector we have noticed frequently said, as is related of Virgil, 'I collect gold from Ennius's dung.' I find, added he, in some neglected authors, particular things, not elsewhere to be found. He read them, indeed, not with equal attention, but many, 'Sicut comis ad Nitum bibens et jugiens,' like a dog at the Nile, drinking and running.

Fortunate are those who only consider a book for the

Fortunate are those who only consider a book for the utility and pleasure they may derive from its possession. Those students, who, though they know much, still thirst to know more, may require this vast sea of books; yet in that sea they may suffer many shipwrecks. Great collections of books are subject to certain accidents besides the damp, the worms, and the rats; one not less common is that of the borrowers, not to say a word of the purioiners.

#### LITERARY JOURNALS.

When writers were not numerous, and readers rare, the unsuccessful author fell insensibly into oblivion; he dissolved away in his own weakness; if he committed the private folly of printing what no one would purchase, he was not arraigned at the public tribunal—and the awful terrors of his day of judgment consisted only in the retributions of his publisher's final accounts. At length, a taste for literature spread through the body of the people, vanity induced the inexperienced and the ignorant to aspire to literary honours. To oppose these forcible entries into the haunts of the Muses, periodical criticism brandished its formidable weapon; and the fall of many, taught some of our greatest geniuses to rise. Multifarious writings produced multifarious strictures, and public criticism reached to such perfection, that taste was generally diffused, enlightening those whose occupations had otherwise never permitted them to judge of literary compositions.

The invention of Reviews, in the form which they have at length gradually assumed, could not have existed but in the most polished ages of literature; for without a constant supply of authors, and a refined spirit of criticism, they could not excite a perpetual interest among the lovers of literature. These publications are the chronicles of taste and science, and present the existing state of the public mind, while they form a ready resource for those idle hours, which men of letters do not choose to pass idly.

Their multiplicity has undoubtedly produced much evil; puerile critics, and venal drudges, manufacture reviews, hence that shameful discordance of opinion, which is the scorn and scandal of criticism. Passions hostile to the peaceful truths of literature have likewise made tremendous inroads in the republic, and every literary virtue has been lost! In 'Calamities of Authors,' I have given the history of a literary conspiracy, conducted by a solitary critic Gilbert Stuart, against the historian Henry.

These works may disgust by vapid panegyric, or gross invective; weary by uniform dulness, or tantalize by superficial knowledge. Sometimes merely written to catch the public attention, a malignity is indulged against authors, to season the caustic leaves. A reviewer has admired those works in private, which he has condemned in his official capacity. But good sense, good temper, and good taste, will ever form an estimable journalist, who will inspire confidence, and give stability to his decisions.

To the lovers of literature these volumes when they have outlived their year, are not unimportant. They constitute a great portion of literary history, and are indeed the annals of the republic.

nais of the republic.

To our own reviews, we must add the old foreign journals, which are perhaps even more valuable to the man of letters. Of these the variety is considerable; and many

of their writers are now known. They delight our curiosity by opening new views, and light up in observing minds many projects of works, wanted in our own literature. Gibbon feasted on them; and while he turned them over with constant pleasure, derived accurate notions of works, which no student can himself have verified: of many works a notion is sufficient, but this notion is necessary.

The origin of so many literary journals was the happy project of Denis de Sallo, a counsellor in the parliament of Paris. In 1665 appeared his Journal des Squans. He published his essay in the name of the Sieur de Hedou-ville, his footman! Was this a mere stroke of humour, or designed to insinuate that the freedom of his criticism could only be allowed to his footman? The work, how-ever, met with so favourable a reception, that Sallo had the satisfaction of seeing it, the following year, imitated throughout Europe, and his journal, at the same time, translated into various languages. But as most authors ay themselves open to an acute critic, the animadversions of Sallo were given with such asperity of criticism, and such malignity of wit, that this new journal excited loud murmurs, and the most heart-moving complaints. The learned had their plagiarisms detected, and the wit had his claims disputed. Sarasin called the gazettes of this new Aristarchus, Hebdomadary Flams! Billevezees hebdomadaries! and Menage, having published a law-book, which Sallo had treated with severe raillery, he entered into a long argument to prove, according to Justinian, that a law-yer is not allowed to defame another lawyer, &c. Senatori maledicere non licet, remaledicere jus fasque est. Others loudly declaimed against this new species of imperial tyranny, and this attempt to regulate the public opinion by that of an individual. Sallo, after having published only his third volume, felt the irritated wasps of literature thronging so thick about him, that he very gladly abdicated the throne of criticism. The journal is said to have suffered a short interruption by a remonstrance from the nuncio of the pope, for the energy with which Sallo had defended the liberties of the Gallican church.

Intimidated by the fate of Sallo, his successor, Abbé Gallois, flourished in a milder reign. He contented himself with giving the titles of books, accompanied with extracts; and he was more useful than interesting. The public, who had been so much amused by the raillery and reverity of the founder of this dynasty of new critics, now murmured at the want of that salt and acidity by which they had relished the fugitive collation. They were not satisfied in having the most beautiful, or the most curious parts of a new work brought together; they wished for the unreasonable entertainment of railing and raillery. At length another objection was conjured up against the review; mathematicians complained they were neglected to make room for experiments in natural philosophy; the historian sickened over the works of natural history; the antiquaries would have nothing but discoveries of mas, or fragments of antiquity. Medical works were called for by one party and reprobated by another. In a word, each reader wished only to have accounts of books which were interesting to his profession or his taste. But a review is a work presented to the public at large, and written for more than one country. In spite of all these difficulties, this work was carried to a vast extent. An index to the Journal des Squvans has been arranged on a critical plan, occupying ten volumes in quarto, which may be considered as a most useful instrument to obtain the science and literature of the entire century.

The next celebrated reviewer is Bayle, who undertook, in 1684, his Nouvelles de la Republique des Lettres. He prosessed the art, acquired by habit, of reading a book by possessed the art, acquired by main, or accoming his fingers, as it has been happily expressed; and of companion of a book, with prising, in concise extracts, a just notion of a book, without the addition of irrelevant matter. He had for his day sufficient playfulness to wreathe the rod of criticism with roses; and, for the first time, the ladies and all the bes even Bayle, who declared himself a reporter and not a judge. Bayle the discreet sceptic, could not long satisfy his readers. His panegyric was thought somewhat prodigal; his fluency of style somewhat too familiar; and others affeated not to relish his gayety. In his latter volumes, to still the clamour, he assumed the cold sobriety of an historian: and has bequeathed no mean legacy to the literary world, in thirty-six small volumes of criticism, closed in 1687. These were continued by Bernard, with inferior skill : and

by Basnage more successfully in his Histoire des Ouvrage

The contemporary and the antagonist of Bayle was Le Clerc. His firm industry has produced three Bibliotheques Universelle et Historique — Cheinie — and Ancienne et Moderne, forming in all 82 volumes, which, complete, bear a very high price. Inferior to Bayle in the more pleasing talents, he is perhaps superior in erudition, and shows great skill in analysis: but his hand drops no flowers! Apostolo Zeno's Giornale de Litterati d'Italia, from 1710 to 1733, is valuable. Gibbon resorted to Le Clerc's volumes at his leisure, 'as an inexhaustible source of amusement and instruction.

Beausobre and L'Enfant, two learned Protestants, wrote a Bibliotheque Germanique, from 1720 to 1740, in 50 vols.; our own literature is interested by the Bibliotheque Britanique; written by some literary Frenchmen, noticed by La Crose in his 'Voyage Litteraire,' who designates the writers in this most tantalizing manner: 'Les auteurs sont gens de merite et que entendent tous parfaitement l'Anglois; Messrs S. B. le M. D. et le savant Mr D.' Posterity has been partially let into the secret; De Missy was one of the contributors, and Warburton communicated his project of an edition of Gelleius Paterculus. This useful account of only English books begins in 1733, and closes at 1747, Hague, 23 vols.; to this we must add the Journal Britannique, in 18 volumes, by Dr Maty, a foreign physician residing in London; this journal exhibits a view of the state of English literature from 1750 to 1755. Gibbon bestows a high character on the journalist, who sometimes aspires to the character of a poet and a philosopher; one of the last disciples of the school of Fontenelle.

Maty's son produced here a review known to the curions; his style and decisions often discover haste and heat, with some striking observations: alluding to his father, Maty, in his motto, applies Virgil's description of the young Ascanius, 'Sequitur patrem non passibus sequis.' He says he only holds a monthly conversation with the public; but criticism demands more maturity of reflection and more terseness of style. In his obstinate resolution of carrying on this review without an associate, he has shown its folly and its danger; for a fatal illness produced a cessation, at

once, of his periodical labours and his life.

Other reviews, are the Memoires de Trevous, written by the Jesuits. Their caustic censure and vivacity of style made them redoubtable in their day; they did not even spare their brothers. The Journal Litteraire, printed at the Hague, and chiefly composed by Prosper Marchand, Sallengre, Van Effen, who were then young writers. This list may be augmented by other journals, which sometimes merit preservation in the history of modern literature.

Our early English journals notice only a few publications, with but little acumen. Of these, the ' Memoirs of Literature,' and the 'Present State of the Republic of Letters,' are the best. The Monthly Review, the vene-

rable mother of our journals, commenced in 1749.

It is impossible to form a literary journal in a manner such as might be wished; it must be the work of many of different tempers and talents. An individual, however versatile and extensive his genius, would soon be exhausted Such a regular labour occasioned Bayle a dangerous illness, and Maty fell a victim to his review. A prospect always extending as we proceed, the frequent novelty of the matter, the pride of considering one's self as the arbiter of literature, animate a journalist at the commencement of his career; but the literary Hercules becomes fatigued; and to supply his craving pages he gives copious extracts, till the journal becomes tedious, or fails in variety. Abbé Gallois was frequently diverted from continuing his journal, and Fontenelle remarks, that this occupation was too re strictive for a mind so extensive as his; the Abbé could no, resist the charms of revelling in a new work, and gra-tifung any sudden curiosity which seized him; which interrupted perpetually that regularity the public expects from a journalist.

To describe the character of a perfect journalist, would be only an ideal portrait! There are however some acquirements which are indispensable. He must be tolerably arquainted with the subjects he treats on; no comme acquirement! He must possess the literary history of his own times! a science which Fontenelle observes, is almost distinct from any other. It is the result of an active curreosity, which leads us to take a lively interest in the tastes and pursuits of the age, while it saves the journalist from some ridiculous blunders. We often see the mind of a reviewer half a century remote from the work reviewed. A fine feeling, of the various manners of writers, with a style, adapted to fix the attention of the indolent, and to was the untractable; but candour is the brightest gem of criticism? He ought not to throw every thing into the crucible, nor should be suffer the whole to pass as if he trembled to touch it. Lampoons, and satires, in time will ose their effect, as well as panegyrics. He must learn to resist the seductions of his own pen; the pretensions of composing a treatise on the subject, rather than on the seek he criticises, proud of insinuating that he gives in a comen pages, what the author himself has not been able to perform in his volumes. Should he gain confidence by a popular delusion and by unworthy conduct, he may chance to be mortified by the pardon or the chastisement of insulted genius. The most noble criticism is that, in which the critic is not the antagonist so much as the rival of the author.

#### RECOVERY OF MANUSCRIPTS.

Our ancient classics had a very narrow escape from total annihilation. Many, we know, have perished: many we possess are but fragments; and chance, blind arbiter of the works of genius, has given us some, not of the highest value: which, however, have proved very useful, serving as a test to show the pedantry of those who adore antiquity not from true feeling but from traditional prejudice.

One reason, writes the learned compiler L'Esprit des Croissides, why we have lost a great number of ancient authors, was the conquest of Egypt by the Saracens, which Jeprived Europe of the use of the papyrus. The ignorance of that age could find no substitute; they knew no other expedient but writing on parchment, which became every day more scarce and costly. Ignorance and barbarism unfortunately seized on Roman manuscripts, and industriously defaced pages once imagined to have been immortal! The most elegant compositions of classic Rome were converted into the pealms of a breviary, or the prayers of a missal. Livy and Tacitus 'hide their diminished heads' to preserve the legend of a saint, and immortal truths were converted into clumsy fictions. It happened that the most voluminous authors were the greatest sufferers; these were preferred, because their volume being the greatest, it most profitably repaid their destroying industry, and furnished ampler scope for future transcription. A Livy or Diodorus was preferred to the smaller works of Cicero or Horace; and it is to this circumstance that Juvenal, Persius, and Martial have come down to us entire, rather probably than to these pious personages preferring their obscenties, as some have accused them. Not long ago at Rome, a part of a book of Livy was found, between the lines of a parchment but half effaced, on which they substituted a book of the Bible.

That, however, the monks had not in high veneration the professe authors, appears by a facetious anecdote. To read the classics was considered as a very idle recreation, and some held them in great horror. To distinguish them from other books, they invented a disgraceful sign: when a monk asked for a pagan author, after making the general sign they used in their manual and silent language when they wanted a book, he added a particular one which consisted in scratching under his ear, as a dog, which feels an itching, scratches himself in that place with his paw—because, said they, an unbeliever is compared to a dog! In this manner they exp sesed an itching for those dogs, Virgil or Horace!

There have been ages when for the possession of a manuscript, some would transfer an estate; or leave in pawn for its loan hundreds of golden crowns; and when even the sale or loan of a manuscript was considered of such importance as to have been solemily registered in public acts. Absolute as was Louis KI, he could not obtain the am of Rasis, an Arabian writer, to make a copy, from the library of the faculty of Paris, without pledging a hundred golden crowns; and the president of his treasury, charged with this commission, sold part of his plate to make the deposit. For the loan of a volume of Avicenna, a haron offered a pledge of ten marks of silver, which was sefused; because it was not considered equal to the risk securred in 1471. One cannot but smile at an anterior period, when a countess of Anjou bought a favourite book at homilies, for two hundred sheep, some skins of martins, as t busshels of wheat and rye.

In these times, manuscripts were important articles of commerce; they were excessively scarce, and preserved with the utmost care. Usurers themselves considered them as precious objects for pawn; a student of Pavis, who was reduced by his debaucheries, raised a new fortune by leaving in pawn a manuscript of a body of law; and a grammarian, who was ruined by a fire, rebuilt his house with two small volumes of Cicero.

At the restoration of letters, the researches of literary men were chiefly directed to this point; every part of Eu-rope and Greece was ransacked, and the glorious end con-sidered, there was something sublime in this humble industry, which often produced a lost author of antiquity, and gave one more classic to the world. This occupation was carried on with enthusiasm, and a kind of mania possessed many who exhausted their fortunes in distant voyages, and profuse prices. In reading the correspondence of the learned Italians of these times, much of which has descended to us, their adventures of manuscript-hunting are very amusing, and their raptures, their congratulations, or at times their condolence, and even their censures, are all immoderate and excessive. The acquisition of a province would not have given so much satisfaction as the discovery of an author little known, or not known at all. 'Oh, great gain! Oh, unexpected felicity! I intreat you my Poggio, send me the manuscript as soon as possible, that I may see it before I die! exclaims Arctino, in a letter overflow-ing with enthusiasm, on Poggio's discovery of a copy of Quintilian. Some of the half-witted, who joined in this great hunt, were often thrown out, and some paid high for manuscripts not authentic; the knave played on the bungling amateur of manuscripts, whose credulity was greater than his purse. But even among the learned, much ill blood was inflamed; he who had been most successful in acquiring manuscripts was envied by the less fortunate, and the glory of possessing a manuscript of Cicero, seemed to approximate to that of being its author. It is curious to observe that in these vast importations into Italy of manuscripts from Asia, John Aurispa, who brought many hun-dreds of Greek manuscripts, laments that he had chosen more profane than sacred writers; which circumstance he tells us was owing to the Greeks, who would not so easily part with theological works, but they did not highly value profane writers!

These manuscripts were discovered in the obscurest recesses of monasteries; they were not always imprisoned in libraries, but rotting in oblivion: in dark unfrequented corners with rubbish. It required no less ingenuity to find out places where to examine, than to understand the value of the acquisition, when obtained. An universal ignorance then prevailed in the knowledge of ancient writers. A scholar of those times gave the first rank among the Latin writers to one Valcrius, whether he meant Martial or Maximus is uncertain; he placed Plate and Tully among the poets, and imagined that Ennius and Statius were contemporaries. A library of six hundred volumes was then considered as an extraordinary collection.

then considered as an extraordinary collection.

Among those whose lives were devoted to this purpose, Poggio the Florentine stands distinguished; but he complains that his seal was not assisted by the great. He found under a heap of rubbish in a decayed coffer, in a tower belonging to the monastery of St Gallo, the work of Quintilian. He is indignant at its forlorn situation; at least, he cries, it should have been preserved in the library of the monks; but I found it in teterrimo quodam et obscurc carcers—and to his great joy drew it out of its grave! The monks have been complimented as the preservers of literature, but by facts like the present, their real affection may be doubted.

The most valuable copy of Tacitus, of whom so much is wanting, was likewise discovered in a monastery of Westphalia. It is a curious circumstance in literary history, that we should owe Tacitus to this single copy; for the Roman emperor of that name had copies of the works of his illustrious ancestor placed in all the libraries of the empire, and every year had ten copies transcribed; but the Roman libraries seem to have been all destroyed, and the imperial protection availed nothing against the testh of time.

The original manuscript of Justinian's code was discovered by the Pisans, accidentally, when they took a city in Calabria; that vast code of laws had been in a manner unknown from the time of that emperor. This curious book was brought to Pisa, and when Pisa was taken by the Florentines, was transferred to Florence, where it is still preserved.

It sometimes happened that manuscripts were discovered in the last agonies of existence. Papirius Masson found, in the house of a book-binder of Lyons, the works of Agobart; the mechanic was on the point of using the manuscripts to line the covers of his books. A page of the second decade of Livy it is said was found by a man of letters in the parchment of his battledore, while he was amusing himself in the country. He hastened to the maker of the battledore—but arrived too late! The man he dinished the last page of Livy—about a week before!

finished the last page of Livy—about a week before? Many works have undoubtedly perished in this manuscript state. By a petition of Dr Dee to Queen Mary, in the Cotton library, it appears that Cicero's treatise de Republica was once extant in this country. Huet observes that Petronius was probably entire in the days of John of Salisbury, who quotes fragments, not now to be found in the remains of the Roman bard. Raimond Soranzo, a lawrer in the papal court, possessed two books of Cicero on Glory, which he presented to Petrarch, who lent them to a poor aged man of letters, formerly his preceptor. Urged by extreme want, the old man pawned them, and returning home died suddenly without having revealed where he had left them. They have never been recovered. Petrarch speaks of them with ecetasy, and tells us that he had studied them perpetually. Two centuries afterwards this treatise on Glory by Cicero was mentioned in a catalogue of books bequeathed to a monastery of nuns, but when inquired after was missing; it was supposed that Petrus Alcyonius, physician to that household, purloined it, and after transcribing as much of it as he could into his own writings, had destroved the original. Alcyonius in his own writings, had destroved the original. Alcyonius in his book de Exilio, the critics observed, had many splendid passages which stood isolated in his work, and were quite above his genius. The beggar, or inthis case the thief, was detected by mending his rags with patches of purple and gold.

In this age of manuscript, there is reason to believe, that when a man of letters accidentally obtained an unknown work, he did not make the fairest use of it, and cautiously concealed it from his contemporaries. Leonard Aretino, a distinguished scholar at the dawn of modern literature, having found a Greek manuscript of Procopius de Bello Gothico, translated it into Latin, and published the work, but concealing the author's name, it passed as his own, till another manuscript of the same work being dug out of its grave, the fraud of Arctino was apparent. Barbosa, a bishop of Ugento, in 1649, has printed among his works a pisnop or Ogenio, in 1043, has printed among his works a treatise, which, it is said, he obtained by having perceived one of his domestics bringing in a fish rolled in a leaf of written paper, which his curiosity led him to examine. He was sufficiently interested to run out and search the fish market, till he found the manuscript out of which it had been torn. He published it under the title de Officio Episcopi. Machiavelli acted more adroitly in a similar case: a manuscript of the Apophthegms of the ancients by Plutarch having fallen into his hands, he selected those which pleased him the best, and put them into the mouth of his hero Castrucio Castricani.

In more recent times, we might collect many curious anecdotes concerning manuscripts. Sir Robert Cotton one day at his tailor's, discovered that the man was holding in his hand, ready to cut up for measures—an orignal Magna Charta, with all its appendages of seals and signatures. He bought the singular curiosity for a trifle, and recovered in this manner what had long been given over for lost! This anecdote is told by Colomiés, who long resided, and died in this country. An original Magna Charta is preserved in the Cottonian library; it exhibits marks of dilapidation, but whether from the invisible soythe of time, or the humble scissors of a tailor, I leave to archaiological inquire.

Cardinal Granvelle carefully preserved all his letters; he left behind him several chests filled with a prodigious quantity, written in different languages, commented, noted, and under-lined by his own hand. These curious manuscripts, after his death, were left in a garrot to the mercy of the rain and the rats. Five or six of these chests the steward sold to the grocers. It was then that a discovery was made of this treasure. Several learned men occupied themselves in collecting as many of these literary relics as they possibly could. What were saved formed eighty thick folios. Among these original letters, are found great numbers written by almost all the crowned heads in Europe, with instructions for ambassadors, and many other state-papers.

Recently a valuable secret history by Sir George Mackensie, the king's advocate in Scotland, has been rescued
from a mass of waste paper sold to a grocer, who had the
good sense to discriminate it, and communicate this curious memorial to Dr M'Crie; the original, in the handwriting of its author, has been deposited in the advocates'
library. There is an hiatus, which contained the history
of six years. This work excited inquiry after the rest of
the MSs, which were found to be nothing more than the
sweepings of an attorney's office.

Mostaigne's journal of his travels into Italy have been
but recently published. A prebendary of Perigord, travelling through this province to make researches relative to its
history, arrived at the appoint the province of Mostaignery.

Montaigne's journal of his travels into Italy have been but recently published. A prebendary of Perigord, traveling through this province to make researches relative to its history, arrived at the ancient chateous of Montaigne, m possession of a descendant of this great man. He inquired for the archives, if there had been any. He was shown an old worm-eaten coffer, which had long held papers untouched by the incurious generations of Montaigne. The prebendary, with philosophical intrepidity, stifled himself in clouds of dust, and at length drew out the original manuscript of the travels of Montaigne. Two thirds of the work are in the hand-writing of Montaigne, and the rest is written by a servant who served as his secretary, and who always speaks of his master in the third person. But he must have written what Montaigne dictated, as the expressions and the egotisms are all Montaigne's. The bad writing and orthography made it almost unintelligible. It proves also, says the editor, how true is Montaigne's observation, that he was very negligent in the corrrection of his works.

Our ancestors were great hiders of manuscripts; Dr Dee's singular mass were found in the secret drawer of a chest, which had passed through many hands undiscovered; and that vast collection of state-papers of Thurloe's the secretary of Cromwell, which formed about seventy volumes in the original manuscripts, accidentally fell out of the false ceiling of some chambers in Lincoln's-lnn.

of the faise ceiling of some cnameers in Lincoin s-ini.

A considerable portion of Lady Mary Wortley Montagu's letters I discovered in the hands of an attorner.

There are now many valuable manuscripts in the family papers of the descendants of celebrated persons; but posthumous publications of this kind are usually made from the most sordid motives: discorment, and taste, would only be detrimental to the views of bulky publishers.

#### SECTIONS OF CRITICISM.

It may perhaps be some satisfaction to show the young writer, that the most celebrated ancients have been as rudely subjected to the tyranny of criticism as the moderns. Detraction has ever poured the 'waters of bitterness'

It was given out, that Homer had stolen from anterior poets whatever was most remarkable in the Iliad and Odyssey. Naucrates even points out the source in the library at Memphis in a temple of Vulcan, which according to him the blind bard completely pillaged. Undoubtedly there were good poets before Homer; how absurd to conceive that a finished and elaborate poem could be the first! We have indeed accounts of anterior poets, and apparently of epics, before Homer; their names have come down to us. Aclian notices Syagrus, who composed a poem on the Siege of Troy; and Suidas the poem of Corinnus, from which it is said Homer greatly borrowed. Why did Plato so severely condemn the great bard, and imitate bim?

Sophocles was brought to trial by his children as a lunatic; and some, who censured the inequalities of this poet, have also condemned the vanity of Pindar; the rough verses of Æschylus; and Euripides, for the conduct of his plots.

Socrates, considered as the wisest and the most moral of men, Cicero treated as an usurer, and the pedant Athenesus as illiterate; the latter points out as a Socratic folly, our philosopher disserting on the nature of justice before his judges, who were so many thieves. The malignant buffoonery of Aristophanes, who, as Jortin says, was a great wit, but a great rascal, treats him much worse; but though some would revive this calumny, such modern witnesses may have their evidence impeached in the awful court of history.

Plate, who has been called, by Clement of Alexandria, the Moses of Athens; the philosopher of the Christians by Arnobius; and the god of philosophere, by Cicero Athensus accuses of envy; Theopompus, of Lying; Subdas of avarice; Aulus Gellius, of robbery; Porphyry, of | continence; and Aristophanes, of impiety.

Aristotle, whose industry composed more than four hundred volumes, has not been less spared by the critics; Diognes Lacrties, Cicero, and Plutarch, have forgotten nothing that can tend to show his ignorance, his ambition. and he vanity.

It has been said, that Plato was so envious of the colebrity of Democratus, that he proposed burning all his works but that Amydis and Clinias prevented it, by remonstrating that there were copies of them every where; and Arstotle was agitated by the same passion against all

Arrante was agrante by the same passion against all the philipsophers his predecessors!

Virgi is destitute of invention, if we are to give credit to Pliny. Carbilius, and Seneca. Caligula has absolutely denied him even mediocrity; Herennus has marked his faults; and Perilius Faustinus has furnished a thick vol. with his plagiarisms. Even the author of his apology has confessed that he has stolen from Homer his greatest beauties; from Apollonius Rhodius, many of his pathetic passages; from Nicander, hints from his Georgies; and this ioes not terminate the catalogue.

Horace consures the course humour of Plautus; and Ho-

race, in his turn, has been blamed for the free use he made

of the Greek minor poets.

The majority of the critics regard Pliny's Natural History only as a heap of fables; and seem to have quite as httle respect for Quintus Curtius, who indeed seems to have composed little more than an elegant romance,

Play cannot bear Diodorus and Vopiscus; and in one comprehensive criticism, treats all the historians as narra-

tors of fables.

Livy has been reproached for his aversion to the Gauls; Dion, for his hatred of the republic; Velleius Paterculus, for speaking too kindly of the vices of Tiherius; and Heredotus and Plutarch, for their excessive partiality to their own country; while the latter has written an entire treatise on the malignity of Herodotus. Xenophon and Quintus Curtius have been considered rather as novelists than historians; and Tacitus has been censured for his audacity in pretending to discover the political springs and secret causes of events. Dionysius of Halicarmann has made an elaborate attack on Thucydides for the unskilful choice of his subjects and his manner of treating it. Dioayrius would have nothing written but what tended to the glory of his country and the pleasure of the reader; as if history were a song! adds Hobbes: while he also shows that there was a personal motive in this attack. Dionysius severely criticises the style of Xenophon, who, he savs, whenever he attempts to elevate his style shows he is incapable of supporting it. Polybius has been blamed for his frequent introduction of moral reflections, which interrupt the thread of his narrative; and Sallust has been blamed by Cato for indulging his own private passions, and studiously concealing many of the glorious actions of Cicero. The Jewish historian Josephus is accused of not having designed his history for his own people so much as for the Greeks and Romans, whom he takes the utmost care never to offend. Josephus assumes a Roman name, Flavius; and considering his nation as entirely subjugated, he only varies his story to make them appear venerable and dignified to their conquerors, and for this purpose, alters what he himself calls the Holy books. It is well known how widely he differs from the scriptural accounts. Some have said of Cicero, that there is no connexion, and, to adopt their own figures, no blood and nerves, in what his admirers so warmly extol. Cold in his extemporaneous effusions, artificial in his exordiums, trifling in his strained raillery, and tiresome in his digressions. This is saying a good deal about Cicero!

Quintilian does not spare Seneca; and Demosthenes called by Cicero the prince of orators, has, according to Hermippus, more of art than of nature. To Demades, his crations appear too much laboured; others have thought him too dry; and, if we may trust Æschines, his language

is by no means pure.

The Attic Nights of Aulus Gellius and the Deipnosophists of Athenseus, while they have been extelled by one party, have been degraded by another. They have been considered as botchers of rage and remmants; their diligence has not been accompanied by judgment; and their taste inclined more to the frivolous than to the useful. Compilers, indeed, are liable to a hard fate, for little dis-tinction is made in their ranks; a disagreeable situation, in which hencet Burton seems to have been placed; for he says of his work, that some will cry out, ' This is a thinge of mere industrie: a collection without wit or invention; a very toy! So men are valued! their labours vilified by fellows of no worth themselves, as things of naught; who could not have done as much. Some understande too little, and some too much.

Should we proceed with the list to our own country, and to our own times, it might be currently augmented, and show the world what men the critics are! but, perhaps, enough has been said to sooth irritated genius, and to shame fastidious criticism. 'I would beg the critics to remember,' the Earl of Roscommon writes, in his preface to Horace's Art of Poetry, 'that Horace owed his favour and his fortune to the character given of him by Virgil and Varius; that Fundanius and Pollio, are still valued by what Horace says of them; and that in their golden age, there was a good understanding among the ingenious, and those who were the most enteemed were the best natured.

#### THE PERSECUTED LEARNED.

Those who have laboured most zealously to instruct mankind, have been those who have suffered most from ignorance; and the discoverers of new arts and sciences have hardly ever lived to see them accepted by the world. have hardly over lived to see them accepted by the world, With a noble perception of his own genius, Lord Bacon, in his prophetic will, thus expresses himself. 'For my name and memory, I leave it to men's charitable speeches, and to foreign nations, and the next ages.' Before the times of Galileo and Harvey, the world believed in the stagnation of the blood, and the disurnal immovability of the earth; and for denying these the one was persecuted and the other ridiculed.

The intelligence and the virtue of Socrates were punished with death. Anaxagoras, when he attempted to propagate a just notion of the Supreme Being, was dragged to prison. Aristotle, after a long series of persecution, swallowed poison. Heraclitus, tormented by his countrymen, broke off all intercourse with men. The great geometricians and chemists, as Gerbert, Roger Bacon, and others, were abhorred as magicians. Pope Gerbert, as Bishop
Otho gravely relates, obtained the pontificate by having
given himself up entirely to the devil: others suspected
him too of holding an intercourse with demons; but this
was indeed a devilish age.

Virgilius, Bishop of Saltzburg, having asserted that there existed antipodes, the archbishop of Mentz declared him a heretic, and consigned him to the flames: and the Abbot Trithemius, who was fond of improving steganography, or the art of secret writing, having published several curious works on this subject, they were condemned, as works full of diabolical mysteries; and Frederick II, Elector Pala-tine, ordered Trithemius's original work, which was in his

library, to be publicly burnt.

Galileo was condemned at Rome publicly to disavow sentiments, the truth of which must have been to him abundantly manifest. Are these then my judges T he exclaimed in retiring from the inquisitors, whose ignorance astonished him. He was imprisoned, and visited by Milton, who tells us he was then poor and old. The confessor of his widow, taking advantage of her piety, perused the mas of this great philosopher, and destroyed such as in his judgment, were not fit to be known to the world!

Gabriel Naude, in his apology for those great men who have been accused of magic, has recorded a melancholy number of the most eminent scholars, who have found, that to have been successful in their studies was a success which harassed them with continued persecution, a prison,

or a grave.

Cornelius Agrippa was compelled to fly his country, and the enjoyment of a large income, merely for having display-ed a few philosophical experiments, which now every school-boy can perform; but more particularly having at-tacked the then prevailing opinion, that St. Anne had three hushands, he was so violently persecuted, that he was obliged to fly from place to place. The people beheld him as an object of horror; and not unfrequently, when he walked, he found the streets empty at his approach. He died in an hospital.

In these times, it was a common opinion to suspect every great man of an intercourse with some familiar spirit. The favourite black dog of Agrippa was supposed to be a demon. When Urban Grandier, another victim to the age, was led to the stake, a large fly settled on his head: a monk, who had heard that Boelzabub signifies in Hebrew, the God of Flies, reported that he saw this spirit come to

take possession of him. Mr De Langear, a French minister, who employed many spies, was frequently accused of diabolical communication. Sixtus the Fifth, Marechal Faber, Roger Bacon, Casar Borgia, his son Alexander VI, and others, like Socrates, had their diabolical attend-

Cardan was believed to be a magician. The fact is, that he was for his time a very able naturalist; and he who happened to know something of the arcana of nature was immediately suspected of magic. Even the learned themselves, who had not applied to natural philosophy, seem to have acted with the same feelings as the most ignorant; for when Albert, usually called the Great, an epithet he owed to his name De Groot, constructed a curious piece of mechanism, which sent forth distinct vocal sounds, Thomas Aquinas was so much terrified at it, that he struck it with his staff, and to the mortification of Albert annihilated the

curious labour of thirty years!

Petrarch was less desirous of the laurel for the honour, than for the hope of being sheltered by it from the thunder of the priests, by whom both he and his brother poets were of the priests, by whom both he and his brother poets were continually threatened. They could not imagine a poet, without supposing him to hold an intercourse with some demon. This was, as Abbé Resnel observes, having a most exalted idea of poetry, though a very bad one of poets. An antipoetic Dominican was notorious for persecuting all verse makers; the power of which he attributed to the effects of heresy and magic. The lights of philosophy have dispersed all these accusations of magic, and have shown

a dreadful chain of perjuries and conspiracies.

Descartes was horribly persecuted in Holland, when he first published his opinions. Voctius, a bigot of great influence at Utrecht, accused him of atheism, and had even projected in his mind to have this philosopher burned at Utrecht in an extraordinary fire, which, kindled on an eminence, might be observed by the seven provinces. Mr Hallam has observed, that 'the ordeal of fire was the great purifier of books and men.' This persecution of science and genius lasted till the close of the seventeenth century.

If the metaphysician stood a chance of being burned as a heretic, the natural philosopher was not in less jeopardy as a magician, is an observation of the same writer which sums up the whole.

#### POVERTY OF THE LEARNED.

Fortune has rarely condescended to be the companion of genius: others find a hundred by roads to her palace; there is but one open, and that a very indifferent one, for Were we to erect an asylum for venerable men of letters. genius, as we do for the brave and the helpless part of our citizens, it might be inscribed a Hospital for Incurables! When even Fame will not protect the man of genius from famine, Charity ought. No. should such an act be considered as a debt incurred by the helpless member, but a just tribute we pay in his person to Genius itself. Even in these enlightened times such have lived in obscurity while their reputation was widely spread; and have perished in poverty, while their works were enriching the booksellers.

Of the heroes of modern literature the accounts are as

copious as they are melancholy.

Xylander sold his notes on Dion Cassius for a dinner.
He tells us, that at the age of eighteen he studied to ac-

He tells us, that at the age of eighteen he studied to acquire glory, but at twenty-five he studied to get bread.

Cervantes, the immortal genius of Spain, is supposed to have wanted bread: Camoens, the solitary pride of Portugal, deprived of the necessaries of life, perished in an hospital at Lisbon. This fact has been accidentally preserved in an entry in a copy of the first edition of the Lusiad, in the possession of Lord Holland. In a note written by a friend of the during except of first whospital the during except of the three during except of the during except of friar, who must have been a witness of the dying scene of the poet, and probably received the volume which not preserves the sad memorial, and which recalled it to his mind, from the hands of the unhappy poet. 'What a lamentable thing to see so great a genius so ill rewarded! I saw him die in an hospital in Lisbon, without having a sheet or shroud, una sousma, to cover him, after having triumphed in the East Indies, and sailed 5600 leagues! What good advice for those who weary themselves night and day in study without profit.' Camoens, when some hidalgo complained that he had not performed his promise in writing some verses for him, replied, When I wrote verses I was young, had sufficient food, was a lover, and beloved by many friends, and by the ladies; then I felt poetical ardour; now I have no spirits, no peace of mind. Bee there my Javanese who asks me for two pieces to erves the sad memorial, and which recalled it to his

purchase firing, and I have them not to give him.' The Portuguese, after his death, bestowed on the man of geniu they had starved the appellation of Great! Vosdel, the Dutch Shakspeare, after composing a number of popular tragedies, lived in great poverty, and died at minety years of age; then he had his coffin carried by fourteen poets, who without his genius probably partock of his wretchedness.

The great Tesso was reduced to such a dilemma, the he was obliged to borrow a crown from a friend to subai through the week. He alludes to his dress in a pretty sonnet, which he addresses to his cat, entreating her to assist him, during the night, with the lustre of her eyes-' Non avendo candele per iscrivere i suoi versi!' having mo candle to see to write his verses!

When the liberality of Alphonso enabled Ariosto to build a small house, it seems that it was but ill furnished. When told that such a building was not fit for one who had raised so many fine palaces in his writings, he answered, that the structure of words and that of stones was not the same thing. 'Che porvile pietre, e porvi le parele, nors e il medesimo?' At Ferrara this house is still shown. 'Parva sed apta he calls it, but exults that it was paid with his own money. This was in a moment of good-humour, which he did not always enjoy; for in his Satires he bitter-ly complains of the bondage of dependence and poverty. Little thought the poet the commune would order this small house to be purchased with their own funds, that it might be dedicated to his immortal memory!

The illustrious Cardinal Bentivoglio, the ornament of Italy and of literature, languished, in his old age, in the most distressful poverty; and having sold his palace to satisfy his creditors, left nothing behind him but his reputation. The learned Pomponius Lectus lived in such a state of poverty, that his friend Platina who wrote the lives of the popes, and also a book of cookery, introduces him into the cookery book by a facetious observation, that is Pomponius Lectus should be robbed of a couple of eggs, he would not have wherewithal to purchase two other eggs. The history of Aldrovandus is noble and pathetic; having expended a large fortune in forming his collections of natural history, and employing the first artists in Europe, he was suffered to die in the hospital of that city, to whose fame he had eminently contributed. Du Ryer, a celebrated French poet, was constrained to

labour with rapidity, and to live in the cottage of an ob-scure village. His booksellers bought his heroic verses for one hundred sols the hundred lines, and the smaller ones What an interesting picture has a contemfor fifty sols. porary given of his reception by a poor and ingenious author in a visit he paid to Du Ryer! On a fine summer day we went to him, at some distance from town. He received us with joy, talked to us of his numerous projects, and showed us several of his works. But what more interested us was, that though dreading to show us his poverty, he contrived to give us some refreshments. We seated ourselves

under a wide oak, the tablecloth was spread on the grass, his wife brought us some milk, with fresh water and brown bread, and he picked a basket of cherries. He welcomed us with gaiety, but we could not take leave of this amiable man, now grown old, without tears, to see him so ill treated by fortune, and to have nothing left but literary honour !

Vaugelas, the most polished writer of the French lan-guage, who devoted 30 years to his translation of Quintus Curius (a circumstance which modern translators can have no conception of,) died possessed of nothing valuable but his precious manuscripts. This ingenious scholar left his corpse to the surgeons for the benefit of his creditors!

Louis the Fourteenth honoured Racine and Boileau with a private monthly audience. One day the king asked, what there was new in the literary world? Racine an swered, that he had seen a melancholy spectacle in the house of Corneille, whom he found dying, deprived even of a little broth! The king preserved a profound silence:

and sent the dying poet a sum of money.

Dryden, for less than three hundred pounds, sold Tonson ten thousand verses, as may be seen by the agreement which has been published.

Purchas, who, in the reign of our First James, had spent his life in travels and study to form his Relation of the World, when he gave it to the public, for the reward of his labours was thrown into prison, at the suit of his printer. Yet this was the book which, he informs us in his dedication to Charles the First, his ather read every night with great profit and satisfaction.

The Marquis of Worcester, in a petition to parliament, no management or vorcestor, in a position to parliament, in the reign of Charles II, offered to publish the hundred processes and machines, enumerated in his very curious Genentary of Inventione, on condition that money should be granted to extricate him from the difficulties in which he had issuedued himself, by the procedules of uneful discoveries. And involved himself, by the prosecution of unful discoveries.

The petition does not appear to have been attended to!

Many of these admirable inventions were lost. The steam

Many of these admirable inventions were lost. The steams eagsize and the telegraph may be traced among them. It appears by the Harleian Mrs., 1524, that Rushworth, the author of 'Historical Collections,' passed the last years of his life in jail, where indeed he died. After the Restoration, when he presented to the king several of the privy council's books, which he had preserved from ruin, he received for his only reward, the thanks of his majesty. Rymer, the collector of the Fondera, must have been sadly reduced, by the following letter, I found addressed by Peter le Neve, Norroy, to the Earl of Oxford:

'I am desired by Mr Rymer, historiographer, to lay before your lordship the circumstances of his affairs. He

before your lordship the circumstances of his affairs. He was forced some years back to part with all his choice printed books to subsist himself; and now, he says, he must be forced, for subsistence, to sell all his are collections to the best bidder, without your lordship will be pleased to buy them for the queen's library. They are fifty vols. in folio, of public affairs, which he hath collected, but not printed. The price he asks is five hundred pounds.'

Simon Ockley, a learned student in Oriental literature,

addresses a letter to the same earl, in which he paints his distresses in glowing colours. After having devoted his life to Asiatic researches, then very uncommon, he had the mortification of dating his preface to his great work from Cambridge Castle, where he was confined for debt; and, with an air of triumph, feels a martyr's enthusiasm in the cause in which he perishes.

He published his first volume of the History of the Saracens, in 1708; and ardently pursuing his oriental studies, published his second volume ten years afterwards without any patrouage. Alluding to the encouragement necessary to bestow on youth, to remove the obstacles to such studies, he observes, that 'young men will hardly come in on the prospect of finding leisure, in a prison, to transcribe those papers for the press, which they have collected with inde-fatigable labour, and oftentimes at the expense of their rest, and all the other conveniences of life, for the service of the public. No, though I were to assure them from my own experience, that I have enjoyed more true liberty, more happy leisure, and more solid repose, in six months here, than in thrice the same number of years before. Evil is the condition of that historian who undertakes to write the lives of others, before he knows how to live himself! Not that I speak thus as if I thought I had any just cause to be angry with the world—I did always in my judgment give the possession of wisdom the preference to that of riches!

Spensers the child of Fancy, languished out his life in misery. 'Lord Burleigh,' says Granger, 'who it is said prevented the queen giving him a hundred pounds, seems to have thought the lowest clerk in his office a more deserving person.' Mr Malone attempts to show that Spenser had a small pension; but the poet's querulous verses

must not be forgotten-

Full little knowest thou, that hast not try'd 'What Hell it is, in suing long to bide.'

To lose good days—to waste long nights—and as he feelingly exclaims,

<sup>4</sup> To fawn, to crouch, to wait, to ride, to run, <sup>5</sup> To speed, to give, to want, to be undene!

How affecting is the death of Sydenham, who had devoted his life to a laborious version of Plato. He died in a spunging-house, and it was his death which appears to have given rise to the Literary Fund for the relief of sed authors.

Who shall pursue important labours when they read these anecdotes? Dr Edmund Castell spent a great part of his life in compiling his Lesicon Heptaglotton, on which he bestowed incredible pains, and expended on it no less than 12,0000, and broke his constitution, and exhausted than 12,0000. has fortune. At length it was printed, but the copies re-mained ensead on his hands. He exhibits a curious pic-ture of literary labour in his preface. 'As for myself, I have been unceasingly occupied for such a number of years in this mass," *Molendine* he calls them, 'that that day seemed, as it were, a holiday in which I have not laboured

so much as sixteen or eighteen hours in these enlarging lexicons and Polyglot Bibles.'

Le Sage resided in a little cottage while he supplied the

world with their most agreeable novels, and appears to have derived the sources of his existence in his old age from the filial exertions of an excellent son, who was an actor of some genus. I wish, however, that every man of letters could apply to himself the epitaph of this delightful writer :

Sous ce tombeau git Le Sage abattu, Par le ciseau de la Parque importune ; S'il ne fut pas ami de la fortune, Il fut toujours ami de la vertu.

years after this article had been written, J pub lished 'Calamities of Authors,' confining myself to the of our own country; the catalogue is very incomplete, but far too numerous.

# IMPRISONMENT OF THE LEARNED.

Imprisonment has not always disturbed the man or is ters in the progress of his studies, but often unquestionably

has greatly promoted them.

In prison Boethius composed his work on the Consolations of Philosophy; and Grotius wrote his Commentar on Saint Matthew, with other works: the detail of his allotment of time to different studies, during his confinement, is very instructive.

Buchanan in the dungeon of a monastery in Portugal, composed his excellent Paraphrases of the Psalms of Davi Cervantes composed the most agreeable book in the

Spanish language during his captivity in Barbary.
Fleta, a well known law production, was written by a person confined in the Fleet for debt; the name of the person connned in the Freet for dest; the hame of the place, though not that of the author, has thus been pre-served; and another work, 'Fleta Minor, or the Laws of Art and Nature in knowing the Bodies of Metals, &c., by Sir John Pettus, 1883;' who gave it this title from the circumstance of his having translated it from the German during his confinement in this prison.

Louis the Twelfth, when the Duke of Orleans, was long imprisoned in the Tower of Bourges, applying himself to his studies, which he had hitherto neglected; he became,

in consequence, an enlightened monarch.

Margaret, queen of Henry the Fourth, king of France, confined in the Louvre, pursued very warmly the studies of elegant literature, and composed a very skilful apology for the irregularities of her conduct,

Charles the First, during his cruel confinement at Holms-by, wrote the Eikon Basilike, 'the Royal Image,' addressed to his son; this work has, however, been attributed by his enemies to Dr Gauden, who was incapable of writing

the book, though not of disowning it.

Queen Elizabeth, while confined by her sister Mary,
wrote several poems, which we do not find she ever could equal after her enlargement; and it is said Mary Queen of Scots, during her long imprisonment by Elizabeth, pro-

duced many pleasing poetic compositions.

Sir Walter Rawleigh's unfinished History of the World, which leaves us to regret that later ages had not been celewhich leaves us to regret that hater ages had showed the brated by his sublime eloquence, was the fruits of eleven years of imprisonment. It was written for the use of Prince Henry, as he and Dallington, who also wrote 'Aphorisms' for the same prince, have told us; the prince looked over the manuscript. Of Rawleigh it is concerved, to employ the language of Hume, 'They were struck with the extensive genius of the man, who, being educated amidst naval and military enterprises, had surpassed, in the pursuits of literature, even those of the most recluse and sedentary lives; and they admired his unbroken magnanimity which at his age, and under his circumstances, could engage him to undertake and execute so great a work as his History of the World. He was, however, assisted in this great work by the learning of several eminent persons; a circumstance which has not been noticed.

The plan of the Henriade was sketched, and the greater part composed, by Voltaire, during his imprisonment in the Bastile; and the Pilgrim's Progress' of Bunyan was

produced in a similar situation.

Howel, the author of 'Familiar Letters,' wrote the chief part of them, and almost all his other works, during als long confinement in the Fleet-prison; he employed has fertile pen for subsistence; and in all his books we find much entertainment.

Lydiat, while confined in the King's Bench, for del wrote his Annotations on the Parian Chronicle, which we

first published by Prideaux. This was that learned scholar whom Johnson alludes to; an allusion not known to Boswell and others.

The learned Selden, committed to prison for his attacks on the divine right of tithes and the king's prerogative, pre-pared during his confinement, his history of Eadmer, en-

riched by his notes.

Cardinal Polignac formed the design of refuting the arguments of the sceptics which Bayle had been renewing n his dictionary; but his public occupations hindered him. Two exiles at length fortunately gave him the leisure; and the Anti-Lucretius is the fruit of the court disgraces of its author.

Freret, when imprisoned in the Bastile, was permitted only to have Bayle for his companion. His dictionary was always before him, and his principles were got by heart. To this circumstance we owe his works, animated by all the powers of scepticism.

Sir William Davenant finished his poem of Gondibert during his confinement by the rebels in Carisbroke Castle.

De Foe, when imprisoned in Newgate for a political amphlet, began his Review; a periodical paper, which has extended to nine thick volumes in quarto, and it has been supposed served as the model of the celebrated papers of Steele. There he also composed his Jure Divino.

Wicquefort's curious work on 'Ambassadors' is dated from his prison, where he had been confined for state af-He softened the rigour of those heavy hours by se-

veral historical works.

One of the most interesting facts of this kind is the fate of an Italian scholar, of the name of Maggi. Early ad-dicted to the study of the sciences, and particularly to the mathematics and military architecture, he defended Fa-magusta, besieged by the Turks, by inventing machines which destroyed their works. When that city was taken which destroyed their works. When that city was taken in 1871, they pillaged his library, and carried him away in chains. Now a slave, after his daily labours he amused a great part of his nights by literary compositions; 'De Tintinnabulis,' on Bells, a treatise still read by the curious, was actually composed by him when a slave in Turkey, without with the small part of the composed by him when a slave in Turkey, without any other resource than the erudition of his own memory, and the genius of which adversity could not de-prive him.

#### AMUSEMENTS OF THE LEARNED.

Among the Jesuits it was a standing rule of the order, that after an application to study for two hours, the mind of the student should be unbent by some relaxation however trifling. When Petavius was employed in his Dogmata Theologica, a work of the most profound and extensive erudition, the great recreation of the learned father was at the end of every second hour to twirl his chair for five minutes. After protracted studies Spinosa would mix with the family-party where he lodged, and join in the most tri-vial conversations, or unbend his mind by setting spiders to fight each other; he observed their combats with so much interest that he was often seized with immoderate fits of laughter. A continuity of labour deadens the soul, observes Soneca, in closing his treatise on 'The Tranquillity of the Soul,' and the mind must unbend itself by certain amusements. Socrates did not blush to play with children; Cato, over his bottle, found an alleviation from the fatigues of government; a circumstance, he says in his manner, which rather gives honour to this defect, than the defect dishonours Cato. Some men of letters portioned out their day between repose and labour. Asimus Politic would not suffer any business to occupy him beyond a stated hour; after that time he would not allow any letter to be opened during his bours of relaxation, that they might not be interrupted by enforeseen bours. In the senate, after the tenth hour, it was not allowed to make any new motion.

Tycho Brake diverted himself with polishing glasses for all kinds of spectacles, and making mathematical instrunents; an amusement too closely connected with his stu-

dies to be deemed as one.

D'Andilly, the translator of Josephus, after seven or eight hours of study every day, amused himself in cultivating trees; Barclay, the author of the Argenis, in his leisure hours was a florist; Balsac amused himself with a collection of crayon portraits; Peiresc found his amusement amongst his medals and antiquarian curiosities; the Abbé de Maroles with his prints; and Politian in singing airs to his lute. Descartes passed his afternoons in the conversation of a few friends, and in cultivating a little garden in the morning, occupied by the system of the world to

relaxed his profound speculations by rearing delicaflowers.

Conrad ab Uffenbach, a learned German, recreated his mind, after severe studies, with a collection of prints o' eminent persons, methodically arranged; he retained this ardour of the Grangerite to his last days.

Rohault wandered from shop to shop to observe the me chanics labour; Count Caylus passed his mornings in the studies of artists, and his evenings in writing his numerous works on art. This was the true life of an amateur.

Granville Sharp, smidst the severities of his studies, found a social relaxation in the amusement of a barge on the Thames, which was well known to the circle of his friends; there, was festive hospitality with musical delight. It was resorted to by men of the most eminent talents and rank. His little voyages to Putney, to Kew, and to Richmond, and the literary intercourse they produced, were singularly happy ones. 'The history of his amuse-ments cannot be told without adding to the dignity of his character,' observes Mr Prince Hoare, in the very curious life of this great philanthropist.

Some have found amusement in composing treatises on odd subjects. Seneca wrote a burlesque narrative of Claudian's death. Pierious Valerianus has written an eulogium on beards; and we have had a learned one recently, with due gravity and pleasantry, entitled 'Eloge

de Perruques,

Holstein has written an eulogium on the North Wind; Heinsius, on ! the Ass; Menage, 'the Transmigration of the Parasitical Pedant to a Parrot;' and also the 'Petition of the Dictionaries.

Erasmus composed, to amuse himself when travelling in a post-chaise, his panegyric on Moria, or Folly; which, authorized by the pun, he dedicated to Sir Thomas More. Sallengre, who would amuse himself like Erasmus,

wrote, in imitation of his work, a panegyric on Ebriety. He says, that he is willing to be thought as drunken a man as Erasmus was a foolish one. Synesius composed a

Greek panegyric on Baldness; these burlesques were brought into great vogue by Erasmus's Mora Encomism.

It seems, Johnson observes in his life of Sir Thomas Browne, to have been in all ages the pride of art to show how it could exalt the low and amplify the little. To this ambition perhaps we owe the frogs of Homer; the gnat and the bees of Virgil; the butterfly of Spenser; the shadows of Wowerus; and the quincunx of Browne.

Cardinal de Richelieu, amongst all his great occupations, found a recreation in violent exercises; and he was one round a recreation in violent exercises; and he was once discovered jumping with his servant, to try who could reach the highest side of a wall. De Grammont, observing the cardinal to be jealous of his powers, offered to jump with him; and in the true spirit of a courtier, having made some efforts which nearly reached the cardinal's, confessed the cardinal surpassed him. This was jumping like a politician; and by this means he is said to have ingratiated himself with the minister. himself with the minister.

The great Samuel Clarke was fond of robust exercise; and this profound logician has been found leaping over tables and chairs: once perceiving a pedantic fellow, he said, 'Now we must desist, for a fool is coming in.'

What ridiculous amusements passed between Dean Swift and his friends, in Ireland, some of his produgal editors have revealed to the public. He seems to have outlived the relish of fame, when he could level his mind to such perpetual trifles.

An eminent French lawyer, confined by his business to a Parisian life, amused himself with collecting from the classics all the passages which relate to a country life. The collection was published after his death.

Contemplative men seem to be fond of amusements which accord with their habits. The thoughtful game of chess, and the tranquil delight of angling, have been favourite recreations with the studious. Paley had himself painted with a rod and line in his hand; a strange character-istic for the author of 'Natural Theology.' Sir Henry Wotton called angling 'idle time not idle spent;' we may suppose that his meditations and his amusements were carried on at the same moment.'
The amusements of the great Daguesseau, chancellor

of France, consisted in an interchange of studies : his relaxations were all the varieties of literature. 'Le change-ment de l'étude est mon seul delassement,' said this gress. mun; and Thomas observes, 'that in the age of the passions, his only passion was study.'
Seneca has observed on amusements proper for literary

men, in regard to robust exercises, that these are a folly, and indecency to see a man of letters exult in the strength of his arm, or the breadth of his back! such amusements diminish the activity of the mind. Too much fatigue exhausts the animal spirits, as too much food blunts the finer faculties; but elsewhere he allows his philosopher an occasionating slight insbriation; an amusement which was very prevalent among our poets formerly, when they exclaimed,

Frich me Ben Jonson's skull, and fil't with sack, Rich as the same he drank, when the whole pack Of joily sisters piedged, and did agree It was no sin to be as drunk as he l

Seneca concludes admirably, 'whatever be the amusements you choose, return not slowly from those of the body to the raind; exercise the latter night and day. The mind is nosrished at a cheap rate; neither cold nor heat, nor age itself can interrupt this exercise; give therefore all your cares to a possession which amelierates even in its old age!

An ingenious writer has observed, that 'a garden just accommodates itself to the perambulations of a scholar, who would perhaps rather wish his walks abridged than extended.' There is a good characteristic account of the mode in which the literati take exercise in Pope's letters. 'I, like a poor squirrel, am continually in motion indeed, but it is about a cage of three foot; my little excursions are like those of a shopkeeper, who walks every day a mile or two before his own door, but minds his business all the while.' A turn or two in a garden will often very happily close a fine period, mature an unripened thought, and raise up fresh associations, when the mind like the body becomes rigid by preserving the same posture. Buffon often quitted the apartment he studied in, which was placed in the midst of his garden, for a walk in it; Evelyn loved 'books and a garden.'

#### PORTRAITS OF AUTHORS.

With the ancients, it was undoubtedly a custom to place the portraits of authors before their works. Martial's 186th epigram of his fourteenth book in a mere play on words, concerning a little volume containing the works of Virgil, and which had his portrait prefixed to it. The volume and the characters must have been very diminutive.

Quam brevis immensum cepit membrana Maronem!
 Ipsius Vukus prima tabella gerit.

Martial is not the only writer who takes notice of the ancients prefixing portraits to the works of authors. Seneca, in his ninth chapter on the Tranquillity of the Soul, complains of many of the luxurious great, who, like so many of our own collectors, possessed libraries as they did their estate and equipages. 'It is melancholy to observe how the portraits of men of genius, and the works of their divine intelligence, are used only as the luxury and the ornaments of walls.'

Piny has nearly the same observation, Lib. XXXV, cop.
2. He remarks, that the custem was rather modern in his time; and attributes to Asinius Pollio the honour of having introduced it into Rome. 'In consecrating a library with the portraits of our illustrious authors, he has formed, if I may so express myself, a republic of the intellectual powers of men.' To the richness of book-treasures, Assinius Pollio had associated a new source of pleasure, in placing the statues of their authors amidst them, inspiring the minds of the spectators aven by their eves.

placing the statues of their authors amidst them, inspiring the minds of the spectators even by their eyes.

A taste for collecting portraits, or busts, was warmly pursued in the happier periods of Rome; for the celebrated Atticus, in a work he published of illustrious Romans, made it more delightful, by ornamenting it with the portraits of those great men; and the learned Varro, in his biography of Seven Hundred celebrated Men, by giving the world their true features and their physiognomy, in some manner, alique medic imaginibus is Pliny's expression, showed that even their persons should not entirely be annihilated, they indeed, adds Pliny, form a spectacle which the gods themselves might contemplate; for if the gods sent those heroes to the earth, it is Varro who secured their immortality, and has so multiplied and distributed them in all places, that we may carry them about us, place them wherever we choose, and fix our eyes on them with perpetual admiration. A spectacle that every day becomes more varied and interesting, as new heroes appear, and as works of this kind are spread abroad.

But as printing was unknown to the ancients (though

stamping an impression was daily practised, and in fact, they possessed the art of printing without being aware of it) how were these portraits of Varro so easily propagated? If copied with a pen, their correctness was in some danger, and their diffusion must have been very confined and slow; perhaps they were cuttimes. This passage of Pliny's excites curiosity, which it may be difficult to satisfy.

Amongst the various advantages which attend a collec-

Amongst the various advantages which attend a collection of portraits of illustrious characters, Oldys observes, that they not only serve as matters of ontertainment and curiosity, and preserve the different modes or habits of the fashious of the time, but become of infinite importance, by settling our floating ideas upon the true features of famous persons: they fix the chronological particulars of their birth age, death, sometimes with short characters of them, besides the names of painter, designer, and engraver. It is thus a single print, by the hand of a skifful artist, may become a varied banquet. To this Granger adds, that in a collection of engraved portraits, the contents of many galleries are reduced into the narrow compass of a few volumes; and the portraits of eminent persons, who distinguished themselves for a long succession of ages, may be turned over in a few hours.

'Another advantage, 'Granger continues, 'attending such an assemblage is, that the methodical arrangement has a surprising effect upon the memory. We see the celebrated contemporaries of every age almost at one view; and the mind is insensibly led to the history of that period. I may add to these, an important circumstance, which is the power that such a collection will have in anothering genius. A skilful preceptor will presently perceive the true bent of the temper of his pupil, by his being struck with a Blake or a Boyle, a Hyde or a Mil-

A circumstance in the life of Cicero confirms this observation. Atticus had a gallery adorned with the images of portraits of the great men of Rome, under each of which Cornelius Nepos says, he had severally described their principal acts and honours in a few concise verses of his own composition. It was by the contemplation of two of these portraits (Old Brutus and a venerable relative in one picture) that Cicero seems to have incited Brutus by the example of these his great ancestors, to dissolve the tyranny of Cessar. Fairfax made a collection of engraved portraits of warriors. A story much in favour of portrait-collectors is that of the Athenian courtezan, who, in the midst of a riotous banquet with her lovers, accidentally casting her eye on the portrait of a philosopher that hung opposite to her seat, the happy character of temperance and virtue struck her with so lively an image of her own unworthiness, that she instantly quitted the room, and retired for ever from the scene of debauchery. The orientalists have felt the same charm in their pictured memorial; for 'the imperial Akber,' says Mr Forbes, in his Oriental Memoirs, employed artists to make portraits of all the principal omrahs and officers in his court; they were bound together in a thick volume, wherein, as the Ayeen Akbery or the Institutes of Akber express it, 'The Past are kept in lively ality.'

Leonard Aretin, when young and in prison, found a portrait of Petrarch, on which his eyes were perpetually fixed; and this sort of contemplation inflamed the desire of imitating this great man: Buffon hung the portrait of Newton before his writing-table.

On this subject, how sublimely Tacitus expresses himself at the close of his admired biography of Agricola. 'I do not mean to censure the custom of preserving in brass or marble, the shape and stature of eminent men; but busts and statures, like their originals, are frail and perishable. The soul is formed of finer elements, its inward form is not to be expressed by the hand of an artist with unconscious matter; our manners and our morals may in some degree trace the resemblance. All of Agricola that gained our love and raised our admiration still subsists, and ever will subsist, preserved in the minds of men, the register of ages and the records of fame.'

What is more agreeable to the curiosity of the mind and the eve than portraits of great characters? An old philosopher whom Marville invited to see a collection of landscapes by a colebrated artist, replied, 'landscapes I prefer seeing in the country itself, but I am fond of contemplating the pictures of illustrious men.' This opinion has some truth: Lord Orford preferring an interesting portrait, to sither landscape or historical painting. 'A landscape.

said he, 'however excellent in its distributions of wood, and water, and buildings, leaves not one tract in the mem ory; historical painting is perpetually false in a variety of ways, in the coatume, the grouping, the portraits, and is nothing more than fabulous painting; but the real portrait is truth itself; and calls up so many collateral ideas as to fill an intelligent mind more than any other species.

Marvelle justly reprehends the fastidious feelings of those ingenious men who have resisted the solicitations of the artist, to sit for their portraits. In them it is sometimes as much pride as it is vanity in those who are less difficult in this respect. Of Gray, Shenstene, Fielding and Akenside, we have no heads for which they sat; a circumstance re-

gretted by their admirers, and by physiognomists.

To an arranged collection of Portraits, we owe several interesting works. Granger's justly esteemed volumes originated in such a collection. Perrault' Eloges of 'the illustrious men of the seventeenth century,' were drawn up to accompany the engraved portraits of the most celebrated characters of the age, which a fervent lover of the fine arts and literature had had engraved as an elegant tribute to the fame of those great men. They are confined to his nation, as Granger's to ours. The parent of this race of books may perhaps be the Eulogiums of Paulus Jovius, which originated in a beautiful Cabinet, whose situation he has described with all its amenity.

Paulus Jovius had a country house, in an insular situa-tion of a most romantic aspect. It was built on the ruins of the villa of Pliny; and in his time the foundations were still to be traced. When the surrounding lake was calm, in its lucid bosom were still viewed sculptured marbles, the trunks of columns, and the fragments of those pyramids which had once adorned the residence of the friend of Trajan. Jovius was an enthusiast of literary leisure; an historian, with the imagination of a poet; a bishop nourished on the sweet fictions of pagan mythology. His pen colours like a pen-cil. He paints rapturously, his gardens bathed by the wa-ters of the lake, the shade and freshness of his woods, his green hills, his sparkling fountains, the deep silence, and the calm of solitude. He describes a statue raised in his gardens to Nature; in his hall an Apollo presided with his lyre, and the Muses with their attributes; his library his lyre, and the Muses will their authories; his horary was guarded by Mercury, and an apartment devoted to the three Graces was embellished by Doric columns, and paintings of the most pleasing kind. Such was the interior! Without, the pure and transparent lake spread its broad mirror, rolled its voluminous windings, while the banks were richly covered with olives and laurels, and in the distance, towns, promontories, hills rising in an amphi-theatre blushing with vines, and the elevations of the Alps covered with woods and pasturage, and sprinkled with herds and flocks.

In the centre of this enchanting habitation stood the Cabinet, where Paulus Jovius had collected, at great cost, the Portraits of the celebrated men of the fourteenth and two succeeding centuries. The daily view of them ani-mated his mind to compose their eulogiums. These are These are still curious; both for the facts they preserve, and the happy conciseness with which Jovius delineates a character. He had collected these portraits as others from a collection of natural history; and he pursued in their characters what others do in their experiments.

One caution in collecting portraits must not be forgotten: it respects their authenticity. We have too many suppo-sititious heads, and ideal personages. Conrade ab Uffenbach, who seems to have been the first collector who pro-jected a methodical arrangement, condemned those portraits which were not genuine, as fit only for the amuse-ments of children. The painter does not always give a correct likeness, or the engraver misses it in his copy. The faithful Vertue refused to engrave for Houbraken set, because they did not authenticate their originals; and some of these are spurious. Busts are not so liable to these accidents. It is to be regretted that men of genius have not been careful to transmit their own portraits to their admirers; it forms a part of their character; a false delicacy has interfered. Erasmus did not like to have his own diminutive person sent down to posterity, but Holbein was always affectionately painting his friends; Bayle and others have refused; but Motesquieu once sat to Dacier after repeating denials, won over by the ingenious argument of the artist; 'Do you not think,' said Dacier, 'that there is as much pride in refusing my offer as in accepting it?

#### DESTRUCTION OF BOOKS.

The literary treasures of antiquity have suffered from the malice of men, as well as that of time. It is remarkable that conquerors, in the moment of victory, or in the unsparing devastations of their rage, have not been satisfied with destroying men, but have even carried their vengeance to books.

Ancient history records how the Persians, from hatred of the religion of the Phoenicians and the Egyptians, destroyed their books, of which Eusebius notices they possessed a great number. A remarkable anecdote is recordod of the Grecian libraries; one at Gnidus was burnt by the sect of Hippocrates, because the Gnidians refused to follow the doctrines of their master. If the followers of Hippocrates formed the majority, was it not very unorthodox in the Gnidians to prefer taking physic their own way?

The anecdote may be suspicious, but faction has often annihilated books.

The Romans burnt the books of the Jews, of the Christians, and the philosophers; the Jews burnt the books of the Christians and the Pagans; and the Christians burnt the books of the Pagans and the Jews. The greater part of the books of Origen and other heretics were continually burnt by the orthodox party. Gibbon pathetically describes the empty library of Alexandria after the Christians had destroyed it. "The valuable library of Alexandria was pillaged or de-stroyed; and near twenty years afterwards the appearance of the empty shelves excited the regret and indignation of every spectator, whose mind was not totally darkened by religious prejudice. The compositions of ancient genius, so many of which have irretrievably perished, might surely have been excepted from the wreck of idolatry, for the amusement and instruction of succeeding ages and either the seal or avarice of the archbishop might have been satiated with the righest spoils which were the rewards of his

The curious narrative of Nicetas Choniates of the rava-The curious narrative of Nicetas Choniates of the rava-ges committed by the Christians of the thirteenth century in Constantinople, was fraudulently suppressed in the printed editions; it has been preserved by Dr Clarke. We can-not follow this painful history, step by step, of the pathetic Nicetas, without indignant feelings. Dr Clarke observes, that the Turks have committed fewer injuries to the works of art than the barbarous Christians of that age.

The reading of the Jewish Talmud has been forbidden by various edicts, of the Emperor Justinian, of many of the French and Spanish kings, and numbers of popes. All the copies were ordered to be burnt; the intrepid perseverance of the Jews themselves preserved that work from annihilation. In 1566 twelve thousand copies were thrown into the flames at Cremona. John Reuchlin interfered to stop this universal destruction of Talmuds; for which he became hated by the monks, and condemned by the Elector of Mentz, but appealing to Rome, the prosecution was stopped; and the traditions of the Jews were considered as not necessary to be destroyed.

Conquerors at first destroy with the rashest zeal the national records of the conquest people; hence it is that the Irish deplore the irreparable losses of their most ancient national memorials, which their invaders have been too suc-cessful in annihilating. The same event occurred in the conquest of Mexico; and the interesting history of the New World must ever remain imperfect in consequence of the unfortunate success of the first missionaries; who too late became sensible of their error. Clavigero, the most authentic historian of Mexico, continually laments this affecting loss. Every thing in that country had been painted, and painters abounded there, as scribes in Europe. The first missionaries, suspicious that superstition was mixed with all their paintings, attacked the chief school of these artists, and collecting, in the market-place, a little mountain of these precious records, they set fire to it; and buried in the ashes the memory of many most interesting events. Afterwards sensible of their error, they tried to collect information from the mouths of the Indians; but the Indians were indignantly silent; when they attempted to collect the remains of these painted histories, the patriotic Mexican usually buried in concealment the remaining records of his country.

The story of the Caliph Omar proclaiming throughout

the Kingdom, at the taking of Alexandria, that the Koran contained every thing which was useful to believe and to know, and he therefore, ordered all the books in the Alexandrian library to be distributed to the masters of the baths amounting to 4000, to be used in heating their stoves during a period of six months, modern paradox would attempt to deny. But the tale would not be singular even were it true: it perfectly suits the character of a bigot; a barbarian, and a blockhead. A similar event happened in Persua. When Abdoolah, who in the third century of the Mohammedan era governed Khorasan, was presented at Nishapoor with a ass, which was shown as a literary curiosity, he asked the title of it, and was told it was the tale of Wamick and Oozra; composed by the great poet, Noshirwan. On this Abdoolah observed, that those of his country and faith had nothing to do with any other book than the Koran; and that the composition of an idolator must be detectable! Not only he declined accepting it, but ordered it to be burnt in his presence; and further issued a proclamation commanding all Persian mas, which should he found within the circle of his government to be burned! Much of the most ancient poetry of the Persians perished by this fanatical edict.

Cardinal Ximenes seems to have retaliated a little on the Saracens; for at the taking of Granada he condenaned

to the flames five thousand Korans.

The following anecdote respecting a Spanish missal, called St Issdore's, is not incurious; hard fighting saved it from destruction. In the Moorish wars, all these missals had been destroyed excepting those in the city of Toledo. There in six churches the Christians were allowed the free exercise of their religion. When the Moors were expelled several centuries afterwards from Toledo, Alphonsus the VI ordered the Roman missal to be used in those churches; but the people of Toledo insisted on having their own pre-ferred, as being drawn up by the most ancient bishops, and revised by St Isidore. It had been used by a great number of saints, and having been preserved pure during Moorish umes, it seemed to them that Alphonsus was more tyranmical than the Turks. The contest between the Roman and the Toletan missals came to that height, that at length tt was determined to decide their fate by single combat; the champion of the Toletan missal felled by one blow the knight of the Roman missal. Alphonsus still considered this battle as merely the effect of the heavy arm of the doughty Toletan, and ordered a fast to be proclaimed, and a great fire to be prepared, into which, after his majesty and the people had joined in prayer for heavenly assistance in this ordeal, both the rivals (not the men, but the missals) were thrown into the flames-again St Isidore's missa triumphed, and this iron book was then allowed to be orthodox by Alphonsus, and the good people of Toledo were allowed to say their prayers as they had long been used to do. However, the copies of this missal at length became very scarce; for new when no one opposed the reading of St Isidore's missal, none cared to use it. Cardinal Ximenes found it so difficult to obtain a copy, that he printed a large impression, and built a chapel, consecrated to St. Isidore, that this service might be daily chanted as it had been by the ancient Christians.

The works of the ancients were frequently destroyed at the instigation of the monks. They appear sometimes to have mutilated them, for passages have not come down to us, which once evidently existed; and occasionally their interp sations and other forgeries formed a destruction in a new shape, by additions to the originals. They were indefatigable in crasing the best works of the most eminent Greek and Latin authors, in order to transcribe their ridiculous lives of saints on the obliterated vellum. One of the books of Livy is in the Vatican most painfully defaced by some pious father for the purpose of writing on it some missal or psalter, and there have been recently others discovered in the same state. Inflamed with the blindest zeal against every thing pagan, Pope Gregory VII ordered that the library of the Palantine Apollo, a treasury of literature formed by successive emperors, should be committed to the flames! He issued this order under the notion of confining the attention of the clergy to the holy scriptures! From that time all ancient learning which was not sanctioned by the authority of the church, has been emphatically distinguished as profane—in opposition to sucred.

This pope is said to have burnt the works of Varro, the learned Roman, that St Austin should escape from the charge of plagiarism, being deeply indebted to Varro for much of his great work the 'City of God.'

The josuits sent by the Emperor Ferdinand to proscribe Lutheranism from Bohennia, converted that flourishing singdom comparatisely into a desert, from which it never excessed constinced that an enlightened people could

never be long subservient to a tyrant, they struck one fatal blow at the national literature: every book they condemned was destroyed, even those of antiquity: the annals of the nation were forbidden to be read, and writers were not permitted even to compose on subjects of Bohemian literature. The mother tongue was held out as a mark of vulgar obscurity, and domiciliary visits were made for the purpose of inspecting books and the libraries of the Bohemians. With their books and their language they lost their national character and their independence.

The destruction of libraries in the reign of Henry VIII, at the dissolution of the monasteries is wept over by John Bale; those who purchased the religious houses took the libraries as part of the booty, with which they scoured: their furniture, or sold the books as waste paper, or sent them abroad in ship-loads to foreign bookbinders.

The fear of destruction induced many to hide manuscripts under ground, and in old walls. At the Reformation popular rage exhausted itself on illuminated books, or ass that had red letters in the title-page; any work which was decorated was sure to be thrown into the fiames as a superstitious one. Red letters and embellished figures were such marks of being papistical and diabolical. We still find such volumes mutilated of the gilt letters and elegant flourishes, but the greater number were annihilated. Many have been found under ground, being forgotten: what escaped the flames were obliterated by the damp: such is the deplorable fate of books during a persecution!

such is the deplorable fate of books during a persecution!

The puritans burnt every thing they found which bore the vestige of popish origin. We have on record many eurious accounts of their pious depredations, of their maining images and erasing pictures. The heroic expeditions of one Dowsing are journalised by himself; a fanatical Quixotte, to whose intrepid arm many of our noseless saints sculptured on our cathedrals owe their misfortunes.

The following are some letails from the diary of this redoubtable Goth, during his rage for reformation. His entries are expressed with a laconic conciseness, and it would seem with a little dry humour. 'At Sunbary, we brake down ten mighty great angels in glass. At Barham, brake down the twelve apostles in the chancel, and six supersutious pictures more there; and eight in the church, one a lamb with a cross (†) on the back; and digged down the steps and took up four superstitious inscriptions in brass,' &c. 'Lady Bruce's house, the chaple, a picture of God the Father, of the Trinity, of Christ, of the Holy Ghost, and the cloven tongues, which we gave orderz to take down, and the lady promised to do it.' At another place they 'brake six hundred superstitious pictures, eight Holy Ghosts, and three of the Son.' And in this manner he and his deputies scoured one hundred and fifty parishes! It has been humourously conjectured, that from this ruthless devastator originated the phrase to give a Dousing. Bishop Hall saved the windows of his chaple at Norwick from destruction, by taking out the heads of the figures, and this accounts for the many faces in church windows which we see supplied in white glass.

In the various civil wars in our country, numerous libraries have suffered both in zes and printed books. 'I dare maintain,' saye Fuller, 'that the wars betwitt York and Lancaster, which lasted sixty years, were not so destructive as our modern wars in six years.' He alluder the parliamentary feuds in the reign of Charles I. 'For during the former their differences agreed in the same religion, impressing them with reverence to all sacred muniments; whilst our civil wars, founded in factors and variety of pretended religions, exposed all naked church records a prey to armed violence; a sad vacuum, which will be sensible in our English historie.'

The scarcity of books concerning the catholics in this country is owing to two circumstances; the destruction of catholic books and documents by the pursuivants in the reign of Charles I, and the destruction of them by the catholics themselves, from the dread of the heavy penalies in which their mere possession involved their owners.

ties in which their mere possession involved their owners. When it was proposed to the Great Gustavus of Swoden to destroy the palace of the Dukes of Bavaria, there nobly refused, observing, 'Let us not copy the example of our unlettered ancestors, who by waging war against every production of genius, have rendered the name of Goth universally proverbial of the rudest state of barbarity.'

Even the civilization of the eighteenth century could not preserve from the savage and destructive fury of a disorderly mob, in the most polished city of Europe, the valuable

Digitized by GOOST

mes of the great Earl Mansfield, which were madly consigned to the flames during the riots of 1780.

In the year 1599, the hall of the stationers underwent as great a purgation as was carried on in Don Quixote's library. Warton gives a list of the best writers who wore ordered for immediate conflagration by the prelates Whitgill and Bancroft, urged by the puritanic and calvinistic factions. Like thieves and outlaws, they were ordered to be taken wheresoever they may be found.— It was also decreed that no satires or epigrams should be printed for the future. No plays were to be printed without the inspec-tion and permission of the archbishop of Canterbury and the bishop of London; nor any English historyes, I suppose novels and romances, without the sanction of the privy council. Any pieces of this nature, unlicensed, or now at large and wandering abroad, were to be diligently sought, recalled, and delivered over to the ecclesiastical arm at London-house.

At a later period, and by an opposite party, among other extravagant motions made in the parliament, one was to destroy all the records in the tower, and to settle the naattempted to be acted on in the French revolution by the true 'same-culottes.' With us Sir Matthew Hale showed the weakness of the proposal, and while he drew on his side 'all sober persons, stopped even the mouths of the frantic people themselves.'

To descend to the losses incurred by individuals, whose name ought to have served as an amulet to charm away the demons of literary destruction. One of the most in-teresting is the fate of Aristotle's library; he who by a Greek term was first saluted as a collector of books! works have come down to us accidentally, but not with-out irreparable injuries, and with no slight suspicion respecting their authenticity. The story is told by Strabo in his thirteenth book. The books of Aristotle came from his scholar Theophrastus to Neleus, whose posterity, an his scholar Theophrastus to Neieus, whose posterity, an bit storate race, kept them locked up without using them, buried in the earth! One Apellion, a curious collector, purchased them, but finding the mass injured by age and moisture, conjecturally supplied their deficiencies. It is impossible to know how far Apellion has corrupted and obscured the text. But the mischief did not end here; when Sylla at the taking of Athens brought them to Rome, he consigned them to the care of one Tyrannio, a grammarian, who employed scribes to copy them; he suffered them to pass through his hands without corrections, and took great freedoms with them; the words of Strabo are strong. 'Ibique, Tyrannionem grammaticum iis vsum atquæ (ut fama est) intercidiese, aut invertisse.' He gives it indeed as a report; but the fact seems confirmed by the state in which we find these works; Averroes declared that he read Aristotle forty times over before he succeeded in perfectly understanding him; he pretends he did at the one and fortieth time! And to prove this has published five folios of commentary.

We have lost much valuable literature by the illiterate We have lost much valuable interactive by the interactive or malignant descendants of learned and ingenious persons. Many of Lady Mary Wortley Montagu's letters have been destroyed, I am informed, by her mother, who did not approve that she should disgrace her family by adding to it. literary honours; and a few of her best letters, recently published, were found buried in an old family chest. It would have mortified her ladyship's mother, to have heard that her daughter was the Sevigné of Britain.

At the death of the learned Peiresc, a chamber in his house filled with letters from the most eminent scholars of the age was discovered: the learned in Europe had addressed Peiresc in their difficulties, who was honce called The Avocat general' of the republic of letters. Such was the disposition of his niece, that although repeatedly entreated to permit them to be published, she preferred to regale herself occasionally with burning these learned epis-tles to save the expense of fire-wood!

The Mss of Leonardo da Vinci have equally suffered from his relatives. When a curious collector discovered some, he generously brought them to a descendant of the great painter, who coldly observed, that 'he had a great deal more in the garret, which had lain there for many years, if the rais had not destroyed them?' Nothing which this great artist wrote but showed an inventive genius.

Menage observes on a friend having had his library destroyed by fire, in which several valuable mas had perished, that such a loss is one of the greatest misfortunes that can happen to a man of letters. This goatleman after\_

wards consoled himself with composing a little treatise De Bibliotheca incendio. I must have been sufficiently curious. Even in the present day, men of letters are sub-ject to similar misfortunes; for though the fire-offices will insure books, they will not allow authors to value their own manuscripts!

A fire in the Cottonian library shrivelled and destroyed many Anglo-Saxon ass, a loss now irreparable. The antiquary is doomed to spell hard and hardly at the baked

fragments that crumble in his band.

Menineky's famous Persian dictionary met with a sad fate. Its excessive rarity is owing to the siege of Vienna by the Turks; a bomb fell on the solitary author's house, and consumed the principal part of his indefatigable labours. There are few sets of this high-priced work which do not bear evident proofs of the bomb; while many parts are stained with the water sent to quench the flames.

The sufferings of an author for the loss of his manuscripts is nowhere more strongly described than in the case of Anthony Urceus, one of the most unfortunate scholars of the fifteenth century. The loss of his papers seems immediately to have been followed by madness. At Forh, he had an apartment in the palace, and had prepared an important work for publication. His room was dark, and he generally wrote by lamp-light. Having gone ost, he left the lamp burning; the papers soon kindled, and his library was reduced to ashes. As soon as he heard the news, he ran furiously to the palace, and knocking his head violently against the door, uttered this blasphemous language; 'Jesus Christ, what great crime have I done! who of those who believed in you have I ever treated so cruelly? Hear what I am naving for I am in comment. ly? Hear what I am saying, for I am in earnest, and am resolved: if by chance I should be so weak as to address resolved: if by chance I should us so what a many myself to you at the point of death, don't hear me, for I will not be with you, but prefer hell and its eternity of torwing the but he with by the by he gave little credit. Those ments.' To which, by the by, he gave little credit. Those who heard these ravings tried to console him, but they could not. He quitted the town, and lived franticly, wandering about the woods!

Ben Jonson's Exerction on Vulcan was composed on a like occasion; the fruits of twenty years' study were con-sumed in one short hour; our literature suffered, for among some works of imagination there were many philosophical collections, a commentary on the poetics, a complete criti-cal grammar, a life of Henry V, his journey into Scotland with all his adventures in that poetical pilgrimage, and a poem on the ladies of Great Britain. What a catalogue of losses!

Castelvetro, the Italian commentator on Aristotle, having heard that his house was on fire, ran through the streets exclaiming to the people, alla Poetica! Alla Poetica! To the Poetic! He was then writing his commentary on the Poetic of Aristotle.

Several men of letters have been known to have risen from their death-bed, to destroy their ass. So solicitous have they been not to venture their posthumous reputation in the hands of undiscerning friends. Marmontel relates a pleasing anecdote of Colardeau, the elegant versifier of

Pope's epistle of Kloisa to Abelard.

This writer had not yet destroyed what he had written of a translation of Tasso. At the approach of death, he recollected this unfinished labour; he knew that his friends reconscient this unmained incour; he knew that his friends would not have courage to annihilate one of his works; this was reserved for him. Dying, he raised himself, and as if animated by an honourable action, he dragged himself. along, and, with trembling hands, seized his papers, and consumed them in one sacrifice. I recollect another instance of a man of letters, of our own country, who acted the same part. He had passed his life in constant study, and it was observed that he had written several folio vols., which his modest fears would not permit him to expose to the eye even of his critical friends. He promised to leave his labours to posterity; and he seemed sometimes, with a glow on his countenance, to exult that they would not be unworthy of their acceptance. At his death his sensibility took the alarm; he had the folios brought to his bed; no one could open them, for they were closely locked. the sight of his favourite and mysterious labours, he paused; he seemed disturbed in his mind, while he felt at every moment his strength decaying; suddenly he raised his feeble hands by an effort of firm resolve, burnt his papers, The task exhausted his remaining strength, and he soon afterwards expired. The late Mrs Inchbald had written afterwards expired. The late Mrs Inchbald had written her life in several volumes; on her death-hed, from a motire perhaps of too much delicacy to admit of any argu-ment, she requested a friend to cut them into pieces before her eyes—not having sufficient strength herself to perform this funeral office. These are instances of what may be called the heroism of authors.

The republic of letters has suffered irreparable losses by shipwrecks. Guarino Veronese, one of those learned Italians who travelled through Greece for the recovery of many valuable works. On his return to Italy he was shipwrecked, and unfortunately for himself and the world, says Mr Roscoe, he lost bis treasures! So pungent was his grief on this occasion that, according to the relation of one of his countrymen, his hair became suddenly white.

About the year 1709, Hudde, an opulent burgomaster of Middleburgh, animated solely by literary curiosity, devoted himself and his fortune. He went to China to instruct himself in the language, and in whatever was remarkable in this singular people. He acquired the skill of a mandarine in that difficult language; nor did the form of his Dutch face undeceive the physiognomists of China. He succeeded to the dignity of a mandarine; he travelled through the provinces under this character, and returned to Europe with a collection of observations, the cherished labour of thirty years; and all these were sunk in the botess sea!

The great Pinellian library after the death of its illustrous possessor, filled three vessels to be conveyed to Naples. Pursued by corsairs, one of the vessels was taken; but the pirates finding nothing on board but books, they threw them all into the sea; such was the fate of a great portion of this famous library. National libraries have often perished at sea, from the circumstance of conquerors transporting them into their own kingdoms.

#### SOME NOTICES OF LOST WORKS.

Although it is the opinion of some critics that our literary losses do not amount to the extent which others imagine, they are knowever much greater than they allow. Our severest losses are felt in the historical province, and particularly in the earliest records, which might not have been

the least interesting to philosophical curiosity.

The history of Phonicia by Sanchoniathan, supposed to be a contemporary with Solomon is only known to us by a few valuable fragments preserved by Eusebius. same ill fortune attends Manetho's history of Egypt, and Berosus's history of Chaldea. The researches of the philosopher are therefore limited: and it cannot be doubted that the histories of these most ancient nations, however veiled in fables, or clouded by remoteness, would have presented to the philosopher singular objects of contemplation.

Of the history of Polybius, which once contained forty books, we have now only five; of the historical library of Diodorus Siculus, fifteen books only remain out of forty; and half the Roman antiquities of Dionysius Halicarnassessis has perished. Of the eighty books of the history of Dion Cassius, twenty-five only remain. The present opening books of Ammianus Marcellinus is entitled the fourteenth. Livy's history consisted of one hundred and forty books, and we only possess thirty-five of that pleas-ing historian. What a treasure has been lost in the thirty books of Tacitus; little more than four remain. Murphy elegantly observes, that 'the reign of Titus, the delight of human kind, is totally lost, and Domitian has escaped the vengeance of the historian's pen.' Yet Tacitus in fragments is still the colossal torso of history. It is curious to observe that Velleius Paterculus, of whom a fragment only has reached us, we owe to a single copy: no other having has reached us, we owe to a single copy: no ther naving ever been discovered, and which occasions the text of this historian to remain incurably corrupt. Taste and criticism have certainly incurred an irreparable loss in that Trectise on the causes of the Corruption of Eloquence, by Quintilian; which he has himself noticed with so much satisfaction in has 'Institutes.' Petrarch declares, that in his youth he has seen the works of Varro, and the second Decade of Livy; but all his endeavours to recover them were fruit-

These are only some of the most known losses which These are only some of the most gnown losses which have occurred in the republic of letters; but in reading contemporary writers we are perpetually discovering new and important ones. We have lost two precious works in ancient biography; Varro wrote the lives of seven hundred illustrious Romans, and Atticus, the friend of Cicero, composed another on the actions of the great men among the

Romans; these works were enriched with portraits. When we consider that these writers lived familiarly with the finest geniuses of their times, and were opulent, hospitable, and lovers of the fine arts, their biography and their portraits are felt as an irreparable loss to literature. I suspect likewise we have had great losses of which we are not always aware; for in that curious letter in which the younger Pliny describes in so interesting a manner the sublime industry, for it seems sublime by its greatness, of his uncle (Book III, Letter V, of Melmouth's translation) it appears that his Natural History, that vast register of it appears that his Natural Alistory, that wast register or the wisdom and folly of the ancients, was not his most entraordinary labour. Among his other works we find a discover also the works of writers, which by the accounts of them, appear to have equalled in genius those which have descended to us. I refer the curious reader to such a poet whom Pliny, in Book I, Letter XVI, has feelingly described. He tells us that 'his works are never out of the hands' and whether I sit down to write any bine my my hands; and whether I sit down to write any thing myself, or to revise what I have already wrote, or am in a disposition to amuse myself, I constantly take up this agree-able author; and as often as I do so, he is still new.' He had before compared this poet to Catullus; and in a critic of so fine a taste as Pliny, to have cherished so constant on the author as a series, to have a series an intercourse with the writings of this author, indicates high powers. Instances of this kind frequently occur.

The losses which the poetical world has sustained are

sufficiently known by those who are conversant with the few invaluable fragments of Menander, who would have interested us much more than Homer: for he was evidently the domestic poet, and the lyre he touched was formed of the strings of the human heart. He was the painter of manners, and the historian of the passions. The opinion of Quintilian is confirmed by the golden fragments pre-served for the English reader in the elegant versions of Cumberland. Even of Æschylus, Sophocles, and Euripides, who each wrote about one hundred dramas, seven only have been preserved, and nineteen of Euripides. Of the one hundred and thirty comedies of Plautus, we only

inherit twenty imperfect ones.

I believe that a philosopher would consent to lose any poet to regain an historian; nor is this unjust, for some future poet may rise to supply the vacant place of a lost poet, but it is not so with the historian. Fancy may be supplied; but Truth once lost, in the annals of mankind, leaves a chasm never to be filled!

#### QUODLIBETS, OR SCHOLASTIC DISQUISITIONS.

Menage observes that the scholastic questions were called Questiones Quodlibetica; and they were generally so ridiculous that we have retained the word Quodlibet in our vernacular language, to express any thing ridiculously subtile; something which comes at length to be distin-guished into nothingness,

# 'With all the rash dexterity of wit '

The history of the scholastic philosophy might furnish a philosophical writer with an instructive theme; it would enter into the history of the human mind, and fill a niche in our literary annals; the works of the scholastics, with the debates of these Quadlibetarians, would at once show the greatness and the littleness of the human intellect, for though they often degenerated into incredible absurdities, those who have examined the works of Thomas Aquinas and Duns Scotus have confessed their admiration of that Herculean texture of brain which they exhausted in demolishing their aerial fabrics.

The following is a slight sketch of the school divinity.

The Christian docrrines in the primitive ages of the gospel were adapted to the simple comprehension of the multitude; metaphysical subtilties were not even employed by the fathers, of whom several are eloquent. Even the Homilies explained by an obvious interpretation some scriptural point, or inferred by artless illustration some moral doctrine. When the Arabians became the only moral doctrine. learned people, and their empire extended over the great-est part of the known world, they impressed their own genius on those nations with whom they were allied as friends, or reverenced as masters. The Arabian genius was fond of abstruce studies, it was highly metaphysical and mathematical, for the fine arts their religion did not admit them to cultivate; and it appears that the first knowledge which modern Europe obtained of Euclid and Aristotle was through the medium of Latin translations

after Arabic versions. The Christians in the west received their first lessons from the Arabians in the east; and Aristotle, with his Arabic commentaries, was en-throned in the schools of Christendom.

Then burst into birth from the dark cave of metaphysics a numerous and ugly spawn of monstrous sects; unnatural children of the same foul mother, who never met but to destroy each other. Religion became what is called the study of divinity; and they all attempted to reduce the worship of God into a system! the creed into a thesis! and every point relating to religion was debated through an endless chain of infinite questions, incomprehensible distinctions, with differences mediate and immediate, the concrete and the abstract, a perpetual civil war was carried on against common sense in all the Aristotelian severity. There existed a raye for Aristotle; and Melancthon complains that in sacred assemblies the ethics of Aristotle were read to the sacred asserbites the ethics of Aristotle were read to the people instead of the gospel. Aristotle was placed ahead of St Paul; and St Thomas Aquinas in his works distinguishes him by the title of 'The Philosopher;' inferring doubtless that no other man could possibly be a philosopher who disagreed with Aristotle. Of the blind rites paid to Aristotle, the anecdotes of the Nominalists and Realists are noticed in the article 'Literary Controversy' in this work.

Had their subtile questions and perpetual wrangings only been addressed to the metaphysician in his closet, and had nothing but strokes of the pen occurred, the scholastic divinity would only have formed an episode in the calm narrative of literary history but it has claims to be registered in political annals, from the numerous persecutions and tragical events with which they too long puzzled their followers, and disturbed the repose of Europe. Thomists, and the Scotists, the Occamites, and many

others, soared into the regions of mysticism.

Peter Lombard had laboriously compiled after the celebrated Abelard's 'Introduction to Divinity,' his four books of 'Sentences,' from the writings of the Fathers; and for this he is called 'The Master of Sentences.' These seatences, on which we have so many commentaries are a collection of passages from the Fathers, the real or apparent contradictions of whom he endeavours to reconcile. But his successors were not satisfied to be mere commentators on these 'Sentences,' which they now only made use of as a row of pegs to hang on their fine-spun meta-physical cobwebs. They at length collected all these quodlibetica questions into enormous volumes, under the terrifying forms, for those who have seen them, of Summaries of Livinity. They contrived by their chimerical speculations says their modern adversary Grimaldi, to question the plainest truths, to wrest the simple meaning of the Holy Scriptures, and give some appearance of truth to the most ridiculous and monstrous opinions.

One of the subtile questions which agitated the world in the tenth century, relating to dialects, was concerning universals, (as for example, man, horse, dog, &c.) signifying not this or that in particular, but all in general. They distinguished universals, or what we call abstract terms, by the genera and species rerum; and they never could decive whether these were substances-or names! That is whether the abstract idea we form of a horse was not really a being as much as the horse we ride! All this and some congenial points respecting the origin of our ideas, and what ideas were, and whether we really had an idea of a thing before we discovered the thing itself—in a word, what they call universals, and the essence of universals; of all this nonsense on which they at length proceeded to accusations of heresy, and for which many learned men were excommunicated, stoned, and what not, the whole was de-rived from the reveries of Plato, Atistotle, and Zeno, about the nature of ideas; than which subject to the present day so discussion ever degenerated into such insanity. A modorn metaphysician infers that we have no ideas at all!

Of these scholastic divines, the most illustrious was Saint Thomas Aquinas, styled the Angelical Doctor. Seventeen folio volumes not only testify his industry, but even his genius. He was a great man, busied all his life with making the charades of metaphysics.

with making the characes of metaphysics.

My learned friend Sharon Turner, has favoured me with motion of his greatest work—his 'Sum of all Theology,' humans actives Theologica, Parin, 1615. It is a metaphysicagical treatise, or the most abstruse metaphysics of theological treatise, or the most abstruse metaphysics of theology. It occupies above 1250 folio pages, of very small less print in double columns. It may be worth noticing

that to this work are appended 19 folio pages of double columns of errata, and about 200 of additional index!

The whole is thrown into an Aristotelian form; the difficulties or questions are proposed first, and the answers are then appended. There are 168 articles on Love— 358 on Angels—200 on the Soul—85 on Demons—151 on the Intellect—134 on Law—3 on the Catamenia—237

Sins—17 on Virginity, and others on a variety of topics.

The scholastic tree is covered with prodigal foliage, and is barren of fruit; and when the scholastics employed themselves in solving the deepest mysteries, their philosophysical products and the scholastics of the philosophysical products and the scholastics of the philosophysical products are the scholastics. phy became nothing more than an instrument in the hands of the Roman Pontiff. Aquinas has composed 358 articles. on angels, of which a few of the heads have been culled for the reader.

He treats of angels, their substance, orders, offices, natures, habits, &c,—as if he himself had been an old experienced angel!

Angels were not before the world!

Angels might have been before the world!

Angels were created by God—They were created immediately by him—They were created in the Empyream sky—They were created in grace—They were created in imperfect beatitude. After a severe chain of reasoning he shows that angels are incorporeal compared to us, but corporeal compared to God.

An angel is composed of action and potentiality: the more superior he is, he has the less potentiality. have not matter properly. Every angel differs from another angel in species. An angel is of the same species as a soul. Angels have not naturally a body united to them. They may assume bodies; but they do not want to assume

bodies for themselves, but for us.

The bodies assumed by angels are of thick air. The bodies they assume have not the natural virtues which they show, nor the operations of life but those which are common to inanimate things.

An angel may be the same with a body.

In the same body there are, the soul formerly giving being, and operating natural operations; and the angel operating supernatural operations.

Angels administer and govern every corporeal creature. God, and angel, and the soul, are not contained in space,

but contain it. Many angels cannot be in the same space.

The motion of an angel in space is nothing else than different contacts of different successive places

The motion of an angel is a succession of his different operations.

His motion may be continuous and discontinuous as he

The continuous motion of an angel is necessary through every medium, but may be discontinuous without a medium.

The velocity of the motion of an angel is not according

to the quantity of his strength, but according to his will. The motion of the illumination of an angel is three-fold. or circular, straight and oblique.

In this account of the motion of an angel we are reminded of the beautiful description of Milton, who marks it by

continuous motion,

### ' Smooth-sliding without step.'

The reader desirous of being merry with Aquina's angels may find them in Martilus Scriblerus, in Ch. VII, who inquires if angels pass from one extreme to another with-out going through the middle? And if angels know things more clearly in a morning? How many angels can dance on the point of a very fine needle, without joetling one another?

All the questions are answered with a subtilty and nicety of distinction more difficult to comprehend and remembe than many problems in Euclid; and perhaps a few of the best might still be selected for youth as curious exercises of the understanding. However, a great part of these po-culiar productions are loaded with the most trifling, irreve-rend, and even scandalous discussions. Even Aquinas could gravely debate, Whether Christ was not an Herma-phrodite? Whether there are excrements in Paradise? Whether the pious at the resurrection will rise with their bowels? Others again debated.—Whether the angel Gabriel appeared to the Virgin Mary in the shape of a serpest, of a dove, of a man, or of a woman? Did he seem to be young or old? In what dress was he? Was his garment

Digitized by GOOGIC

white or of two colours? Was his linen clean or foul? Did he appear in the morning, noon, or evening? What was the colour of the Virgin Mary's hair? Was she acquainted with the mechanic and liberal arts? Had she a thorough knowledge of the Book of Sentences, and all it contains? that is, Peter Lombard's compilation from the works of the Fathers, written 1200 years after her death. But these are only triming matters; they also agitated, Whether when during her conception the Virgin was seated, Christ too was seated, and whether when she lay down, Christ also lay down? The following question was a favourite topic for discussion, and thousands of the acutest ogicism, through more than one century, never resolved it: 'When a hog is carried to market with a rope tied about its neck, which is held at the other end by a man, whether is the heg carried to market by the rope or the

In the tenth century (says Jortin in his Remarks on Ecclesiastical History, Vol. V, p. 17,) after long and ineffectual controversy about the real presence of Christ in the sacrament, they at length universally agreed to strike a peace! Yet it must not be imagined that this mutual moderation and forbearance should be ascribed to the prudence and virtue of those times. It was mere ignorance and incapacity of reasoning which kept the peace, and deterred them from entering into debates to which they were

unequar:

Lord Lyttleton in his Life of Henry II, laments the
unhappy effects of the scholastic philosophy on the progress of the human mind. The minds of men were turned
from classical studies to the subtilities of school divinity,
which Rome encouraged as more profitable for the mainteance of her doctrines. It was a great misfortune to
religion and to learning, that men of such acute understanding as Abelard and Lombard, who might have done
much to reform the errors of the church, and to restore
science in Europe, should have depraved both, by applying their admirable parts to weave those cobwebs of sophistry, and to confound the clear simplicity of evangelical
truths by a false philosophy and a captious logic

#### FAME CONTEMNED.

All men are fond of glory, and even those philosophers who write against that noble passion prefix their names to ther own works. It is worthy of observation that the authors of two religious books, universally received, have concaled their names from the world. The 'Imitation of Christ' is attributed, without any authority, to Thomas A'Kempis; and the author of the 'Whole Duty of Man' still remains undiscovered. Millions of their books have been dispersed in the christian world.

To have revealed their names, would have given them as much worldly fame as any moralist has obtained—but they contenued it! Their religion was the purest, and raised above all worldly passions! Some profame writers indeed have also concealed their names to great works, but their motives were of a very different cast.

#### THE SIX POLLIES OF SCIENCE.

Nothing is so capable of disordering the intellects as an micese application to any one of these six things: the Quadrature of the circle; the Multiplication of the Cube; the Perpetual Motion; the Philosophical Stone; Magic; and Judicial Astrology. In youth we may exercise our sanguation on these curious topics, merely to convince us a fleir impossibility; but it shown a great defect in judgment to be occupied on them in an advanced age. It is proper, however, Fontenelle remarks, 'to apply one's self to these inquiries: because we find, as we proceed, many valuable discoveries of which we were before ignorant.' The same thought Cowley has applied, in an address to his mistress, thus—

'Although I think thou never wilt be found,
Yet I'm resolved to search for thee;
The search itself rewards the pains,
So though the chymist his great secret miss,
(For neither it in art or nature is)
Yet things well worth his toils he gains;
And does his charge and labour pay
With good unsought experiments by the way.'

The same thought is in Donne. Perhaps Cowley did not suspect, that he was an imitator. Fontenelle could not have read either; he struck out the thought by his own reflection; it is very just. Glauber searched long and deeply for the philosopher's stone, which though he did not find, yet in his researches he discovered a very useful purging salt, which bears his name.

Maupertuis, in a little volume of letters written by him, observes on the Philosophical Stone, that we cannot prove the impossibility of obtaining it, but we can easily see the folly of those who employ their time and money in seeking for it. This price is too great to counterbalance the little probability of succeeding in it. However it is still a banting of modern chemistry, who has nedded very affectionately on it!—Of the Perpetual Mation, he shows the impossibility, at least in the sense in which it is generally received. On the Quadrature of the Curde, he says he cannot decide if this problem is resolvable or not; but he cannot decide if this problem is resolvable or not; but he cannot decide if this problem is resolvable or not; but he cannot decide if this problem is resolvable or not; but he cannot decide in the serve useless to search for it shy more since we have arrived by approximation to such a point of accuracy, that on a large circle, such as the orbit which he earth describes round the sun, the geometrican will not mistake by the thickness of a hair. The quadrature of the circle is still, however, a favourite game of some visionaries, and several are still imagining that they have discovered the perpetual motion; the Italians nick-name them matte perpetus; and Bekker tells. = of the fate of one Hartmann of Leipsic, who was in such esspair at having passed his life so vainly, in studying the perpetual motion, that at length he became himself one in the long letter of Erasmus, by means of the fatal triangle; that is, he hanged himself; for the long letter of Erasmus is the Greek plus, which is imagined to bear some resemblance to the suspension of an unlucky mortal.

#### IMITATORS.

Some writers, usually pedants, imagine they can supply by the labours of industry the deficiencies of nature. It is recorded of Paulus Manutius, that he frequently spent a month in writing a single letter. He affected to imitate Cicero. But although he has painfully attained to someoning of the elegance of his style, he is still destitute of the native graces of unaffected composition. He was one of those whom Erasmus bantered in his Ciceroniansa, so slavishly devoted to Cicero's style, that they ridiculously employed the utmost precautions when they were sensed by a Ciceronian fit. The Nosponus of Erasmus tells us of his devotion to Cicero; of his three indexes to all his words, and his never writing but in the dead of night; employing months upon a few lines, and his religious veneration for words, with his total indifference about the sense.

Le Brun, a Jesuit, was a single instance of such unhappy miniation. He was also a Latin poet, and his themes were religious. He formed the extravagant project of substituting a religious Virgil and Ouid merely by adapting his works to their tilles. His Christian Virgil consists, like the Pagan Virgil of Eclogues, Georgies, and of an Epic of twelve books, with this difference, that devotional subjects are substituted for fabulous ones. His epic is the Ignaciad, or the pilgrimage of Saint Ignatius. His Christian Ouid is in the same taste; every thing wears a new face. The Episiles are pious ones; the Fasti are the six days of the Creation; the Elegies are the Lamentations of Jeremish; a poem on the love of God is substituted for the Art of love; and the history of some Conversions supplies the place of the Metamorphoses? This is much in the style of those who have projected the substitution of a family Shakspears.

A poet of far different character, the elegant Sannazarius, has done much the same thing in his poem De parks Virginus. The same servile imitation of ancient taste appears. It professes to celebrate the birth of Christ, yet his name is not once mentioned in it. The Virgin herself is styled spes deorum! The hope of the Gods! The Incurnation is predicted by Proteus—Virgin, instead of consulting the sacred writings, reads the Sybilline oracles! Her attendants are Dryads, Nereids, &c. This monstrous mixture of polytheism, with the mysteries of Christianity appeared in every thing he had about him. In a chapel at one of his country seats he had two statues placed at his tomb, Apolle and Minesta e a constitution of the same kind, to inscribe the statue of Apollo with the name of Desired and to the of Minesta will not the formula case of Milkey.

and memoria; catnoic piety found no difficulty in the present case, as well as in innumerable others of the same kind, to inscribe the statue of Apollo with the name of Dossid, and that of Minerus with the female one of Judith.

Sengea, in his 114th Epistle, gives a curious literary aneodote of that sort of imitation by which an inferior mind becomes the monkey of an original writer. At Rome, when Sallust was the fashionable writer, short sentences, uncommon words, and an obscure brevity, were affected as so many elegancies. Arruntius, who wrote the history of the

Punic Wars, painfully laboured to imitate Sallust. Expressions which are rare in Sallust are frequent in Arruntius, and, of course, without the motive that induced Salust to adopt them. What rose naturally under the pen of ust to adopt them. What rose naturally under the pen of the great historian, the minor one must have run after with a ridiculous anxiety. Seneca adds several instances of the service affectation of Arruntius, which seems sauch like those we once had of Johnson, by the undiscerning herd of his monkeys.

One cannot but smile at these imitators; we have abounded with them. In the days of Churchill, every month pro-duced an effusion which tolerably imitated his rough and slovenly versification, his coarse invective, and his careless mediocrity—but the genius remained with the English Ju-venal. Sterne had his countless multitude, and in Fielding's time, Tom Jones produced more bastards in wit than the author could ever suspect. To such literary echoes, the reply of Philip of Macedon to one who prided himself on imitating the notes of the nightingale, may be applied; 'I prefer the nightingale herself!' Even the most successful of this imitating tribe must be doomed to share the fate of Silius Italicus in his cold imitation of Virgil, and Cawthorne in his empty harmony of Pope.

To all these imitators I must apply an Arabian anecdote. Ebn Saad, one of Mahomet's amanuenses, when writing what the prophet dictated, cried out by way of admiration

—Blessed be God the best creator! Mahomet approved of the expression, and desired him to write those words down also as part of the inspired passage. The consequence was that Ebn Saad began to think himself as great a prophet as the master, and took upon himself to imitate the Koran according to his fancy; but the imitator got himself into trouble, and only escaped with life by falling on his knees, and solemnly swearing he would never again imitate the Koran, for which he was sensible God had

never created him.

#### CICERO'S PUNS.

'I should,' says Menage, have received great pleasure to have conversed with Cicero, had I lived in his time. He must have been a man very agreeable in conversation, since even Cassar carefully collected his bon mots. Cicero has boasted of the great actions he has done for his country, because there is no vanity in exulting in the performance of our duties; but he has not boasted that he was the most eloquent orator of his age, though he certainly was; because nothing is more disgusting than to exult in our in-

tellectual powers.

Whatever were the bon mote of Cicero, of which few have come down to us, it is certain that Cicero was an inweierate punster; and he seems to have been more ready with them than with repartees. He said to a senator, who with them than with repartees. He said to a senator, who was the son of a tailor, 'Rem acu stigisti.' You have touched the thing with sharpness. To the son of a cook, 'Ego quoque tibi jure favebo.' The ancients pronounced coce and quoque like co-ke, which alludes to the Latin escus, cook, besides the ambiguity of jure, which applies to broth or law-jus. A Sicilian suspected of being a Jew, attempted to get the cause of Verres into his own hands; Ciccro, who knew that he was a creature of the great culprit, opposed him, observing, 'What has a Jew to do with swine's flesh?' The Romans called a boar pig serres. I regret to afford a respectable authority for forensic puns; but to have degraded his adversaries by such rensic puns; but to have degraded his adversaries by such petty personalities, only proves that Cicero's taste was not

crquisite.

There is something very original in Montague's censure
of this great man. Cotton, the Frenchman's translator, of this great man. Cotton, the Frenchman's translator, has not ill expressed the peculiarities of his author, though

he has blundered on a material expression.

Boldly to confess the truth, his way of writing and that of all other long-winded authors, appears to me very tedious; for his preface, definitions, divisions, and etymolo-gies, take up the greatest part of his work, whatever there is of life and marrow, is smothered and lost in the preparation. When I have spent an hour in reading him, which is a great deal for me, and recollect what I have thence extracted of juice and substance, for the most part I find nothing but wind; for he is not yet come to the arguments that serve to his purpose, and the reason that should properly help to loose the knot I would untie. For me, who only desired to become more wise, not more learned or eloquent, these logical or Aristotelian disquisitions of poets are of no use. I look for good and solid reasons at the first dash. I am for discourses that give the first charge

into the heart of the doubts; his languish about the sub-ject, and delay our expectations. Those are proper for the schools, for the bar, and for the pulpit, where we have lessure to nod, and may awake a quarter of an hour after, time enough to find again the thread of the discourse. is necessary to speak after this manner to judges, whom a man has a design, right or wrong, to incline to favour his cause; to chidren and common people, to whom a man must say all he can. I would not have an author make it his business to render me attentive; or that he should cry out fifty times O yes! as the clerks and heralds do.

'As to Cicero, I am of the common opinion that, learning excepted, he had no great natural parts. He was a good citizen, of an affable nature, as all fat heavy mea—(grast of gausseurs are the words in the original, meaning perhaps broad jokers, for Cicero was not fat)—such as share of vanity and ambition. Neither do I know how to excuse him for thinking his poetry fit to be published.

'Tis no great imperfection to write ill verses: but it is an imperfection not to be able to judge how unworthy bad verses were of the glory of his name. For what concerns his elequence, that is totally out of comparison, and I believe will never be equalled.

#### PREFACES.

A preface being the entrance to a book, should invite by its beauty. An elegant porch announces the splendour of the interior. I have observed, that ordinary readers skip over these little elaborate compositions. The ladies consider them as so many pages lost, which might better be employed in the addition of a picturesque scene, or a tender letter to their novels. For my part, I always gather amusement from a preface, be it awkwardly, or skilfully written; for dulness, or impertinence, may raise a laugh for a page or two. A preface is frequently a superior composition to the work itself; for long before the days of Johnson, it had been a custom with many authors to solicit for this department of their work the ornamental contribution of a man of genius. Cicero tells his friend Atticus, that he had a volume of prefaces or introductions always ready by him to be used as circumstances required. These must have been like our periodical essays. A good preface is as essential to put the reader into good humour, as a good prologue is to a play, or a fine symphony to an opera, containing something analogous to the work itself; so that we may feel its want as a desire not elsewhere to be gratified. The Italians call the preface *Le salas del li*bro, the sauce of the book, and if well seasoned it creates an appetite in the reader to devour the book itself. A preface badly composed prejudices the reader against the work. Authors are not equally fortunate in these little introductions; some can compose volumes more skilfully than prefaces, and others can finish a preface who could never be capable of finishing a book.

On a very elegant preface prefixed to an ill-written book, it was observed that they ought never to have come togeth er; a sarcastic wit remarked that he considered such mar-

riages were allowable, for they were not of kin.

In prefaces an affected haughtiness or an affected humility are like despicable. There is a deficient dignity in Robertson's; but the haughtiness is now to our purpose.
This is called by the French 'La Morgue litteraire,' the surly pomposity of literature. It is sometimes used by writers who have succeeded in their first work, while the failure of their subsequent productions appears to have given them a literary hypochondriasm. Dr Armstrong, after his classical poem, never shook hands cordially with the public for not relishing his barren labours. In the preface to his lively 'Sketches' he tells us, 'he could giva them much bolder strokes as well as more delicate touches, but that he dreads the danger of writing too well, and feels the value of his own labour too sensible to bestow it upor the mobility. This is pure milk compared to the gall is the preface to his poems. There he tells us, 'that at last the preface to his poems. Incre in class us, 'that at any the has taken the trouble to collect them! What he has destroyed would, probably enough, have been better received by the great majority of readers. But he has always most heartily despised their opinion.' These prefaces remind one of the protogi galeati, prefaces with a helmet! as St J-rome entitles the one to his Version of the Scriptures. These armed prefaces were formerly very common in the age of literary controversy; for half the business of an auther consisted then, either in replying or anticipating . reply to the attacks of his opponent.

Digitized by Google

Prefaces ought to be dated, as these become after a xies of editions leading and useful circumstances in lite-

Fuller with quaint humour observes on Indexes-Index is a necessary implement and no impediment of a book, except in the same sense, wherein the carriages of an army are termed Impedimenta. Without this, a large author is but a labyrinth without a clue to direct the reader thereis. I confess there is a lazy kind of learning which se enty Indical; when scholars (like adders which only bite the horse's beels) nibble but at the tables, which are calces Morarum, neglecting the body of the book. But though the adle deserve no crutches (let not a staff be used by them, but on them,) pity it is the weary should be denied the benefit thereof, and industrious scholars prohibited the accommoda-tion of an index, most used by those who most pretend to contemn it.

#### THE ANCIENTS AND MODERNS.

Frequent and violent disputes have arisen on the subject of the preference to be given to the ancients, or the mo-derns. The controversy of Perrault and Boileau make a considerable figure in French literature; the last of whom said that the ancients had been moderns, but that it was by no means clear the moderns would become ancients. The dispute extended to England; Sir William Temple raised even his gentle indolence against the bold attacks of the rough Wotton. The literary world was postered and trrough Wotton. The literary world was pestered and traed with this dispute, which at length got into the hands of
insolence and ignorance. Swift's 'Battle of the Books,'
by his irresistible vein of keen satire, seems to have laid
this 'perturbed spirit.' Yet, surely, it had been better if
these acrid and absurd controversies had never diagraced
the republic of letters. The advice of Sidonius Apolinaris
that we should send the meant is excellent; he says, that we should read the ancients with respect, and the moderns without excy.

#### SOME INGENIOUS THOUGHT

Apuleius calls these neck-kerchiefs so glassy fine, (may I so express myself?) which in veiling, discover the beautiful bosom of a woman, ventum textilem; which may be grandated woven air. It is an expression beautifully funciful.

A Greek poet wrote this inscription for a statue of Niobe-

The Gods, from living turned me to stone: Praxieles, from stone, restored me to life.

P. Commire, a pleasing writer of Latin verse, says of the flight of a butterfly,

Florem, putares nare per liquidum æthera. It FLIES, and swims a flower in liquid air!

Voiture, in addressing Cardinal Richelieu, says,-How much more affecting is it to hear one's praises from the mouth of the people, than from that of the poets.

Cervantes, with an elevation of sentiment, observes that one of the greatest advantages which princes possess above other men, is that of being attended by servants as great as themselves.

Lususque salesque, Sed lectos pelago, quo Venus orta, sales.

This is written by a modern Latin poet; but is in Plutarch, in the comparison of Aristophanes and Menander; In the comedies of Menander there is a natural and divine salt, as if it proceeded from that sea where Venus took her birth.' This beautiful thought, observes Monnoye, has been employed by seven or eight modern writers.

Seneca, amongst many strained sentiments, and trivial points, has frequently a happy thought. As this on anger:
I wish that the ferocity of this passion could be spent at its first appearance, so that it might injure but once: as in the case of the bee, whose sting is destroyed for ever at the first puncture it occasions.

Aristenetus says of a beauty, that she seemed most beautiful when dressed; yet not less beautiful when undressed; sed. Of two beauties he says, 'they yielded to the Graces only in number.'

Menage has these two terse and pointed lines on the portrait of a lady-

' Ce portrait resemble à la belle, Il est insensible comme elle!

In this portrait, my fair, thy recemblance I see; An insensible charmer it is—just like thee!

ous sympathy of two lovers. A princess is relating to her confidents the birth of her passion :

'Et comme un jeunc cœur est bientot enflamme, Il me vit, il m'aima, je le vis, l'aimai.'

Soon is the youthful heart by passion moved: He saw, and loved me—him I saw, and loved.

Calderon is more extravagant still; he says on a similar occasion .

'I saw and I loved her so nearly together, that I do not know if I saw her efore I loved her, or loved her before I saw her.'

An old French poet, Pichou, in his imitation of Bonarelli's Filli de Sciro, has this ingenious thought. A nymph is discovered by her lover, fainting under an unbrageous oak the conflict of beauty and borror is described by a pretty conceit-

' Si l'amour se mouroit, on diroit, le voici ! Et si la mort aimoit, on la peindroit ainsi. If Love were dying, we should think him here : If Death could love, he would be pictured thus!

The same lover consents at length that his mistress shah love his rival, and not inelegantly expresses his feelings in the perplexed situation.

<sup>5</sup> Je veux bien que ton ame un double amour s'assemble. Tu peux aimer sans crime Aminte et Nise ensemble; Et lors que le trepas finira mes douleurs Avoir pour l'un des feux, et pour l'autre des pleures.

Yes with a double love thy soul may burn;
Oh its no crime to love Aminte and Nise;
And when in my last hour my grief shall close,
Give one your fires, and give the other tears!

It was said of Petronius, that he was pure impurite purely impure : pura, because of his style; impuratas, because of his obscenities.

Quam multa! quam paudes! is a fine expression, which was employed to characterise a concise style pregnant

with meaning.

How tenderly does Tasso, in one verse, describe his Olindo! So much love and so much modesty!

<sup>c</sup> Brama assai, poco spera, nulla chiede.

An exquisite verse, which Hoole entirely passes over in his version, but which Fairfax's finer feelings preserves:

- He, full of beshfulness and truth. Loved much, hoped little, and desired naught.

If was said of an exquisite portrait, that to judge by the eye it did not want speech; for this only could be detected by the ear.

> Manca il parlar ; di vivo altro non chiedi : Ne manca questo ancor, S'agli occhi credit.

Perrault has very poetically informed us, that the ancients were ignorant of the circulation of the blood—

lgnoroit jusqu'aux route certaines Du meadre vivant qui coule dans les veines.

Unknown to them what devious course maintains The live meander flowing in their veins.

An Italian poet makes a lover who has survived his mistress thus sweetly express himself-

'Piango la sua morte, e la mia vita.'

Much I deplore her death, and much my life.

It has been usual for poets to say, that rivers flow to convey their tributary streams to the sea. This figure, being a mark of subjection proved offensive to the patriotic Tasse and he has ingeniously said of the river Po, because of its rapidity-

'Pare

Che porti guerra, e non tributo al mare. See rapid Po to Ocean's empire bring A war, and not a tribute, from his spring!

#### EARLY PRINTING.

There is some probability that this art originated in China, where it was practised long before it was known in Some European traveller might have imported That the Romans did not practise the art of printing cannot but excite our astonishment, since they really possessed the art, and may be said to have enjoyed it, unconscious of their rich possession. I have seen Roman stereotypes, or printing immoveable types with which they stamped their pottery. How in daily practising the art though confined to this object, it did not occur to so An insensible charmer it is—just like thee!

Ingenious a people to print their literary works, is not easily to be accounted for. Did the wise and grave senate dread to be accounted for.

those inconveniences which attended its indiscriminate use? Or perhaps they did not care to deprive so large a body as their scribes of their business. Not a hint of the art itself

appears in their writings.

When first the art of printing was discovered, they only made use of one side of a leaf; they had not yet found out the expedient of impressing the other. Specimens of these early printed books are in his Majesty's and Lord Spec-cer's libraries. Afterwards they thought of pasting the blank sides, which made them appear like one leaf. Their blocks were made of soft woods, and their letters were carved; but frequently breaking, the expense and trouble of carving and gluing new letters suggested our moveable types, which have produced an almost miraculous celerity in this art. Our modern stereotype consists of entire pages of solid blocks of metal, and not being liable to break like the soft wood at first used, is profitably employed for works which require to be perpetually reprinted. Printing on carved blocks of wood must have greatly retarded the progress of universal knowledge; for one set of types could only have produced one work, whereas it now serves for hundreds.

When their editions were intended to be curious, they omitted to print the first letter of a chapter, for which they left a blank space, that it might be painted or illuminated to the fancy of the purchaser. Several ancient volumes of these early times have been found where these letters are wanting, as they neglected to have them printed.

The initial carved letter, which is generally a fine wood-cut, among our printed books, is evidently a remains or imitation of these ornaments. Among the very earliest books printed, which were religious, the Poor Man's Bible has wooden cuts in a coarse style, without the least shadowing or crossing of strokes, and these they inclegantly daubed over with colours, which they termed illuminating and sold at a cheap rate to those who could not afford to purchase costly missals, elegantly written and painted on veillum. Specimens of these rude efforts of illuminated prints may be seen in Strutt's Dictionary of Engravers. The Bodleian library possesses the originals.

In the productions of early printing may be distinguished the various splendid editions they made of *Primers* or *Prayer-books*. They were embellished with cuts finished in a most elegant taste ; many of them were ludicrous, and several were obscene. In one of them an angel is represoveral were ooscene. In one of them an angel is repre-sented crowning the Virgin Mary, and God the Father himself assisting at the ceremony. Sometimes St Michael in overcoming Satan; and sometimes St Authony is attacked by various devils of the most clumsy forms-not of the

grotesque and limber family of Callot!

Printing was gradually practised throughout Europe from the year 1440 to 1500. Caxton and his successor Wynkyn de Worde, were our own earliest printers. Caxton was a wealthy merchant, who in 1464, being sent by Edward IV, to negotiate a commercial treaty with the Duke of Burgundy returned to his country with this invaluable art. Notwithstanding his mercantile habits he possessed a literary laste, and his first work was a translation from a

French historical miscellany.

The tradition of the devil and Dr Fauetus was derived from the odd circumstance in which the Bibles of the first printer, Fust, appeared to the world. When he had discovered this new art, and printed off a considerable number of copies of the bible, to imitate those which were commonly sold in mes, he undertook the sale of them at Paris. It was his interest to conceal this discovery, and to pass off his printed copies for Mss. But as he was enabled to sell his bibles at sixty crowns, while the other scribes demanded five hundred, this raised universal astonishment; and still more when he produced copies as fast as they were wanted, and even lowered his price. The uniformity of the copies increased Informations were given in to the magistrates against him as a magician; and in searching his lodgings a great number of copies were found. The red ink, and Pust's red ink is peculiarly brilliant; which embellished his copies was said to be his blood; and it was solemnly adjudged that he was in league with the devil. Fust was at length obliged to save himself from a bonfire, to reveal his art to the Parliament of Paris, who discharged him from all prosecution in consideration of this useful invention.

When the art of printing was established, it became the glory of the learned to be correctors of the press to eminent printers. Physicians, lawvers, and bishops themselves, occupied this department. The printers then added frequently to their name those of the correctors of the press; and

editions were then valued according to the abilities of the corrector.

The prices of books in these times were considered as an object worthy of the animadversions of the highest powers. This anxiety in favour of the studious, appears from a privi-lege of Pope Leo X, to Aldus Manutius for printing Varro, dated 1553, signed cardinal Bembo. Aldus is exhorted to put a moderate price on the work, lest the Pope should withdraw the privilege, and accord it to others.

Robert Stephons, one of the early printers surpassed in correctness those who exercised the same profession. It is said that to render his editions immaculate, he hung up the proofs in public places and generously recompensed those

rho were so fortunate as to detect an errata,

Plantin, though a learned man, is more famous as a printer. His printing-office claims our admiration: it was one of the wonders of Europe. This grand building was the chief ornament of the city of Antwerp. Magnificent in its structure, it presented to the spectator a countless number of presses, characters of all figures and all sizes, matrices to cast letters, and all other printing materials; which Baillet assures us amounted to immense sums.

In Italy, the three Manutii were more solicitous of corrections and illustrations than of the beauty of their printing. It was the character of the scholar, not of the printer, of

which they were ambitious.

It is much to be regretted that our publishers are not lite-Among the learned printers formerly a book rary men. was valued because it came from the presses of an Aldus or a Stephens and even in our time the names of Bowyer and Dodsley sanctioned a work. Pelisson in his history of the French academy tells us that Camusat was selected as their bookseller from his reputation for publishing only valuable works. He was a man of some literature and good sense, and rarely printed an indifferent work; when we were young I recollect that we always made it a rule to purchase his publications. His name was a test of the goodness of the work. A publisher of this character would be of the greatest utility to the literary world; at home he would induce a number of ingenious men to become authors, for it would be honourable to be inscribed in his catalogue; and it would be a direction for the continental reader.

So valuable a union of learning and printing did not unfortunately, last. The printers of the seventeenth century became less charmed with glory than with gain. Their correctors and their letters, evinced as little delicacy of

choice.

The invention of what is now called the Ralic letter in printing was made by Aldus Manutius, to whom learning wes much. He observed the many inconveniences result ing from the vast number of abbreviations which were these so frequent among the printers, that a book was difficult to understand: a treatise was actually written on the art of reading a printed book, and this addressed to the learned! reading a printed book, and this accurace to the contrived an expedient, by which these abbreviations might be entirely got rid of, and yet books suffer little increase in bulk. This he effected by introducing what is now called Italic letter, though it formerly was distinguished by the name of the inventor, hence called the Aldine.

#### ERRATA

Besides the ordinary errata, which happened in printing a work, others have been purposely committed that the errate may contain what is not permitted to appear in the body of the work. Wherever the Inquisition had any power, particularly at Rome, it was not allowed to employ the word fatum, or fata, in any book. An author, desirous of using the latter word adroitly invented this scheme: he had printed in his book facts, and, in the errate, he put for facts, read fate.

Scarron has done the same thing on another occasion. He had composed some verses, at the head of which he placed this dedication.—A Guillemette, Chienne de ma Some; but having a quarrol with his sister he maliciously put into the errata, instead of Chienne de ma Sour. rea

ma Chienne de Saner.

Luly at the close of a bad prologue said, the word finds prologue was an erratum, it should have been fi du pro-

In a book, there was printed le docte Morel. A wag put nto the errata, for le docte Morel, read le docteur Merel, This Morel was not the first doctor not docte. When a fanatic published a mystical work full of unm-

telligible raptures, and which he entitled Les Delices de Digitized by GOOGIC

CEsprit, it was proposed to print in his errata, for Delices, read Delices.

When the author of an idle and imperfect book ended with the usual phrase of cetera desiderantur, one altered it non desiderantur sed desant; the rest is numbing, but not

At the close of a silly book, the anthor as usual printed the word FINIS—A wit put this among the errata, with this pointed couplet;

> Finis! an error, or a lie, my friend! In writing foolish books—there is no End!

In the year 1561, was printed a work, entitled the Anatomy of the Mass. It is a thin octavo, of 172 pages, and it is accompanied by an Errota of 15 pages! The editor, a pious monk, informs us that a very serious reason induced him to undertake this task: for it is, says he, to forestall the artifaces of Satan. He supposes that the Devil, to rain the fruit of this work, employed two very malicious frauds: the first before it was printed, by drenching the mass in a kennel, and having reduced it to a most pitiable state, readered several parts illegible: the second, in obliging the printers to commit such numerous blunders, never yet equalled in so small a work. To combat this double nachmation of Satan he was obliged carefully to re-peruse the work, and to form this singular list of the blunders of printers under the influence of the Devil. All this he relates in an advertisement prefixed to the Errata.

A furious controversy raged between two famous scho-lars from a very laughable but accidental Erratum; and Playing wrote two letters criticising rather freely a poly-glor Bible edited by Abraham Ecchellensis. As this learned editor had sometimes consured the labours of a friend of Flavigny, this latter applied to him the third and fifth verses of the seventh chapter of St Matthew, which he printed in Ver. 3. Quid vides festicam in oculo fratris tui, trabem in oculo two non vides. Ver. 5. Ejice primum trabem de oculo two, et tune videbie ejicere festucam de oculo fratris tui. Ecchellensis opens his reply by accusing Flavigny of an enormous crime committed in this assage; attempting to correct the sacred text of the passage; attempting to correct the sacred text of the Evangelist, and daringly to reject a word, while he supplied its place by another as impious as obscere! This crime, exaggerated with all the virulence of an angry declaimer, closes with a dreadful accusation. Flavigny's morals are attacked, and his reputation overturned by a horrid imputation. Yet all this terrible reproach is only founded on an *Erratum!* The whole arose from the printer having negligently suffered the first letter of the word Oculo to have dropped from the form, when he hap-pened to touch a line with his finger which did not stand straight! He published another letter to do away the imputation of Ecchellensis; but thirty years afterwards his rage against the negligent printer was not extinguished: Certain wits were always reminding him of it.

One of the most egregious of all literary blunders is that of the edition of the Vulgate, by Sextus V. His holiness carefully superintended every sheet as it passed through the press; and, to the amazement of the world, the work remained without a rival—it swarmed with errata! A multitude of scraps were printed to paste over the erroneous passages, in order to give the true text. The book makes a whimsical appearance with these patches; and the heretice exulted in this demonstration of papal infallibility! the copies were called in, and violent attempts made to suppress it; a few still remain for the raptures of the biblical collectors; at a late sale the bible of Sextus V, fetched above sixty guineas—not too much for a mere book of blunders? The world was highly amused at the buil of the editorial Pope prefixed to the first volume, which excommunicates all printers who in re-printing the work should make any alteration in the text.

In a version of the Epistles of St Paul into the Ethiopic anguage, which proved to be full of errors, the editors alege a very good-humoured reason—'They who printed the work could not read, and we could not print; they helped us, and we helped them, as the blind helps the blind.'

A printer's widow in Germany, while a new edition of the Bible was printing at her house, one night took an opportunity of going into the office, to alter that sentence of subjection to her husband, pronounced upon Eve in Genesis, Chap. 3. v. 16. She took out the two first letace of the word Herm, and substituted NA in their place thus altering the sentence from 'and he shall be thy Long,' (Her) to 'and he shall be thy Fool,' (Ner.) It is said her life paid for this intentional erratum; and that some secreted copies of this edition have been bought up at enormous prices.

We have an edition of the Bible, known by the name of The vineger Bible; from the erratum in the title to the 20th Chap, of St Luke, in which, 'Parable of the Vinegerd,' in printed 'Parable of the Vineger.' It was printed in 1717, at the Clarendon press.

We have had another, where 'Thou shalt commit adultery' was printed, omitting the negation; which occasioned the archbishop to lay one of the heaviest penalties on the Company of Stationers that was ever recorded in the annals of literary history.

Herbert Croft used to complain of the incorrectness of our English Classics, as re-printed by the booksellers. It is evident some stupid printer often changed a whole text intentionally. The fine description by Akenside of the Pantheon, severex great, not being understood by the blockhead, was printed seresely great. Swift's own edition of the City Shower, has 'old Aches throb.' Aches is two syllables, but modern printers, who had lost the right pronunciation, have cokes as in one syllable; and then to complete the metre, have foisted in 'aches will throb.' Thus what the poet and the linguist wish to preserve is altered, and finally lost.

It appears by a calculation made by the printer of Steeven's edition of Shakspeare, that every octavo page of that work; text and notes, contains 2680 distinct pieces of metal; which in a sheet amount to 42,880—the misplacing of any one of which would inevitably cause a blunder!—With this curious fact before us, the accurate state of our printing, in general, is to be admired, and errata ought more freely to be pardoned than the fastidious minuteness of the insect eye of certain critics has allowed.

Whether such a miracle as an immaculate edition of a classical author does exist, I have never learnt; but an attempt has been made to obtain this glorious singularity—and was as nearly realized as is perhaps possible: the magnificent edition of As Luciades of Camoens, by Dom Joze Souza, in 1817. This amateur spared no prodigality of cost and labour, and flattered himself that by the assistance of Didot, not a single typographical error should be found in that splendid volume. But an error was afterwards discovered in some of the copies, occasioned by one of the letters in the word Lucitano having got misplaced during the working of one of the sheets. It must be confessed that this was an accident or misfortune—rather than an Erratum!

One of the most remarkable complaints on ERRATA is that of Edw. Leigh, appended to his curious treatise on Religion and learning. It consists of two folio pages, in a very minute character, and exhibits an incalculable number of printers' blunders. 'We have not, the says, 'Plantin nor Stephens amongst us; and it is no easy task to specify the chiefest errats; false interpunctions there are too many; here a letter wanting, there a letter too much; a syllable too much, one letter for another; words parted where they should be joined; words joined which should be severed; words misplaced; chronological mistakes, &c.' This unfortunate folio was printed in 1856. Are we to infer by such frequent complaints of the authors of that day, that either they did not receive proofs from the printers, or that the printers never attended to the corrected proofs? Each single erratum seems to have been felt as a stab to the literary feelings of the poor author!

#### PATRONS,

Authors have too frequently received ill treatment, even from those to whom they dedicated their works.

Some who felt hurt at the shameless treatment of such mock Miscenases have observed that no writer should dedicate his works but to his FRIERDS; as was practised by the ancients, who usually addressed theirs to those who had solicited their labours, or assmated their progress.

Theodosius Gaza had no other recomer progress.

Theodosius Gaza had no other recompense for having inscribed to Sextus IV, his translation of the book of Aristotle on the Nature of Animals, than the price of the banding, which this charitable father of the church munificently bestowed upon him.

Theocritus fills his Idylliums with loud complaints of the neglect of his patrons; and Tasso was as little successful in his dedications.

Ariosto, in presenting his Orlando Furioso to the Cardi-

nal d'Este, was gratified with the bitter sarcasm of-Dove diavolo avete pigliato tante coglionerie? the devil have you found all this stuff? Where

When the French historian Dupleix, whose pen was indeed fertile, presented his book to the Duke d'Epernon, this Meccans, turning to the Pope's Nuncio, who was prosent, very coarsely exclaimed—'Cadedis! ce Monsieur a un flux enragé, il chie un livre toutes les lunes!'

Thomson, the ardent author of the Seasons, having ex-

travagantly praised a person of rank, who afterwards appeared to be undeserving of eulogiums, properly employed his pen in a solemn recantation of his error. A very dif-A very different conduct from that of Dupleix, who always spoke highly of Queen Margaret of France for a little place he held in her household: but after her death, when the place became extinct, spoke of her with all the freedom of satire. Such is too often the character of some of the literati, who only dare to reveal the truth when they have no interest to conceal it.

Poor Mickle, to whom we are indebted for so beautiful a version of Camoens' Lusiad, having dedicated this work, the continued labour of five years, to the Duke of Buccleugh had the mortification to find, by the discovery of a friend, that he had kept it in his possession three weeks before he could collect sufficient intellectual desire to cut octore no count conect summers interesting users to open the first pages! and what is worse, the neglect he had experienced from this nobleman preyed on his mind, and reduced him to a state of despondency. This patron was a political economist, the pupil of Adam Smith! It is pleasing to add, in contrast with this frigid Scotch patron, that when Mickle went to Lisbon, where his translation had passed before him, he found the Prince of Portugal waiting on the quay to be the first to receive the translator of this great national poem; and during a residence of six months, Mickle was warmly regarded by every Portuguese nobleman.

'Every man believes,' writes Dr Johnson, in a letter to Baretti, 'that mistresses are unfaithful, and patrons are capricious. But he excepts his own mistress, and his own patron.

A patron is sometimes obtained in an odd way. Benerade attached himself to Cardinal Mazarine; but his serate attached nimself to Cardinal Mazarine; but his friendship produced nothing but civility. The poet every day indulged his easy and charming vein of amatory and panegyric poetry, while all the world read and admired his verses. One evening the cardinal, in conversation with the bing described his reads of life than at the last described his verses. with the king, described his mode of life when at the papal court. He loved the sciences; but his chief occupation was the belies lettres, composing little pieces of poetry; he said that he was then in the court of Rome what Benserade was now in that of France. Some hours afterwards the friends of the poet related to him the conversa-tion of the cardinal. He quitted them abruptly, and ran to the apartment of his eminence, knocking with all his force, that he might be certain of being heard. The cardinal had just gone to bed. In vain they informed him of this circumstance, while he persisted in demanding entrance; and as he continued this incessant disturbance, they were compelled to open the door. He ran to his eminence, fell upon his knees, almost pulled off the sheets of the bed in rapture, imploring a thousand pardons for thus disturbing him, but such was his joy in what he had just beard, which he repeated, that he could not refrain from immediately giving vent to his gratitude and his pride, to have been compared with his eminence for his poetical talents! Had the door not been immediately opened, he should have expired; he was not rich, it is true, but he should now die contented! The cardinal was pleased with his ardow, and probably never suspected his

Rattery; and the next week our new actor was pensioned.
On Cardinal Richelieu, another of his patrons, he gratefully made this epitaph,

> Cy gist, ouy gist par la mort bleu Le Cardinal de Richelieu, Et ce qui cause mon ennuy Ma pension avec lui.

Here lies, egad 'tis very true! The illustrious Cardinal Richelieu: My grief is genuine—void of whim!
Alas! my pension lies with him!

Le Brun, the great French artist, painted his own portrait, holding in his hand that of his earliest patron. this accompaniment Le Brun may be said to have pourtrayed the features of his soul, as his pencil had his physe ognomy. If genius has too often complained of its partrons, it has often too-overvalued their protection.

POETS, PHILOSOPHERS, AND ARTISTS, MADE BY ACCIDENT.

Accident has frequently occasioned the most emment geniuses to display their powers. It was at Rome, says Gibbon, on the 15th of October, 1764, as I sat musing amidst the ruins of the Capitol, while the bare-footed frame were singing vespers in the Temple of Jupiter, that the idea of writing the decline and fall of the City first started to my mind.

Father Malebranche having completed his studies in philosophy and theology without any other intention than photosophy and theology without any other intention than devoting himself to some religious, order, little expected the celebrity his works acquired for him. Loitering in an idle hour in the shop of a bookseller, and turning over a parecel of books, *L. Homme de Descartes* fell into his hands. that the palpitations of his heart compelled him to lay the volume down. It was this circumstance that produced those profound contemplations which made him the Plate

Cowley became a poet by accident. In his mother's apartment he found, when very young, Spenser's Fairy Queen; and, by a continual study of poetry, he became so enchanted of the Muse, that he grew irrecoverably a

Dr Johnson informs us, that Sir Joshua Reynolds had the first fondness of his art excited by the perusal of Richardson's Treatise.

Vaucanson displayed an uncommon genius for mechanics. His taste was first determined by an accident; when young, he frequently attended his mother to the resi dence of her confessor; and while she wept with repent-ance, he wept with weariness! In this state of disagreeable vacation, says Helvetius he was struck with the uni-form motion of the pendulum of the clock in the hall. His curiosity was roused; he approached the clock case, and studied its mechanism; what he could not discover, he guessed at. He then projected a similar machine; and gradually his genius produced a clock. Encouraged by this first success, he proceeded in his various attempts; and the genius which thus could form a clock, in time formed a fluting automaton.

'If Shakspeare's imprudence had not obliged him to quit his wool trade, and his town; if he had not engaged with a company of actors, and at length, disgusted with being an indifferent performer, he had not turned author, the prudent wool-seller had never been the celebrated

Accident determined the taste of Moliere for the stage. His grandfather loved the theatre, and frequently carried him there. The young man lived in dissipation; the father observing it, asked in anger, if his son was to be made an actor. "Would to God," replied the grandfather, "he was as good an actor as Montrose." The words struck young Moliere; he took a disgust to his tapestry trade; and it is to this circumstance that France owes her greatest comic writer.

Corneille loved; he made verses for his mistress, became a poet, composed Melite, and afterwards his other celebrated works. The discreet Corneille had remained

Thus it is, that the devotion of a mother, the death of Cromwell, deer-stealing, the exclamation of an old man, and the beauty of a woman, have given five illustrious characters to Europe.

We owe the great discovery of Newton to a very trivial accident. When a student at Cambridge, he had retired during the time of the plague into the country. As he was reading under an apple-tree, one of the fruit fell, and struck him a smart blow on the head. When he observed the smallness of the apple, he was surprised at the force of the stroke. This led him to consider the accelerating the stroke. This led him to consider the accelerating motion of falling bodies; from whence he deduced the principle of gravity, and laid the foundation of his philosophy.

Ignatius Lovola was a Spanish gentleman, who was dangerously wounded at the siege of Pampaluna. Having heated his imagination by reading the Lives of the Saints. which were brought to him in his illness, instead of romance, he conceived a strong ambition to be the founder

of a religious order; whence originated the celebrated so-

sety of the Josuits.

Rosseau found his eccentric powers first awakened by the advertisement of the singular annual subject which the academy of Dijon proposed for that year, in which he wrote his celebrated Declamation against the arts and sciences. A circumstance which determined his future literary efforts.

La Fontaine, at the age of twenty-two, had not taken any profession, or devoted himself to any pursuit. Having accidentally heard some verses of Malherbe, he felt a sud-

accelerately neares some verses of Mainerse, he left a sud-den impulse, which directed his future life. He immedi-ately hought a Malherbe, and was so exquisitely delight-ed with this post, that after passing the nights in treasur-ing his verses in his memory, he would run in the day-time to the woods, where, concealing himself, he would recite

his verses to the surrounding dryads.

Flamstead was an astronomer by accident. He was taken from school on account of his illness, when Sacrobosco's book de Sphæra having been lent to him, he was so pleased with it, that he immediately began a course of so picksed with it, that he immediately began a course of astronomic studies. Pennant's first propensity to natural history was the pleasure he received from an accidental perusal of Willoughby's work on birds: the same accident, of finding on the table of his professor, Reamur's History of Insects, of which he read more than he attended to the lecture, and having been refused the loan, gave such an instant turn to the mind of Bonnet, that he hastened to obtain a copy, but found many difficulties in procuring this costly work; its possession gave an unalterable direction to his future life; this naturalist indeed lost the use of his sight by his devotion to the microscope.

Dr Franklin attributes the cast of his genius to a similar accident. . 'I found a work of De Foe's, entitled an "Essay on Projects," from which perhaps I derived impressions that have since influenced some of the principal events of my life.

I shall add the accident which occasioned Roger As-chem to write his Schoolmaster, one of the most curious

and ureful treatises among our older writers.

At a dinner given by Sir William Cocil, during the plague in 1563, at his apartments at Windsor, where the queen nad taken rofuge, a number of ingenious men were invited. Secretary Cecil communicated the news of the morning, that several scholars at Eton had run away on account of their master's severity, which he condemned as a great error in the education of youth. Sir William Petre maintained the contrary; severe in his own temper he pleaded warmly in defence of hard flogging. Dr Wootton, in softer tones, sided with the Secretary. Sir John Mason, adopting no side, bantered both. Mr Haddon seconded the hard-hearted Sir William Petre, and adduced, as an evidence, that the best schoolmaster then in England was the hardest flogger. Then was it that Roger Ascham in-dignantly exclaimed, that if such a master had an able scholar it was owing to the boy's genius, and not the prewith Ascham's notions. Sir Richard Sackville was silent, but when Ascham after dinner went to the queen to read one of the orations of Demosthenes, he took him aside, and frankly told him that though he had taken no part in the debate, he would not have been absent from that conversation for a great deal; that he knew to his cost the truth Ascham had supported; for it was the perpetual from Aschain that supported, for a was the perpendic flogging of such a schoolmaster, that had given him an un-conquerable aversion to study. And as he wished to re-medy this defect in his own children, he earnestly exhorted Ascham to write his observations on so interesting a topic. Such was the circumstance which produced the admirable treatise of Roger Ascham.

#### INEQUALITIES OF GENIUS.

Singular inequalities are observable in the labours of genius; and particularly in those which admit great enthusizem, as in poetry, in painting, and in music. Faultless mediocrity industry can preserve in one continued degree; but excellence, the during and the happy, can only be attained, by human faculties, by starts.

Our poets who possess the greatest genius, with, per-haps, the least industry, have at the same time the most splendid and the worst passages of poetry. Shakspeare and Dryden are at once the greatest and the least of our With some, their great fault consists in having poets.

Carraccio sarcastically said of Tintoret .- Ho veduto il

Tintoretto-hora eguale a Titiano, hora minora del Tintoretto-I have seen Tintoret now equal to Titian, and now less than Tintoret.'

Trublet very justly observes.—The more there are been ties, and great beauties, in a work, I am the less surprised to find faults, and great faults. When you say of a work that it has many faults; that decides nothing, and I de not know by this, whether it is execrable, or excellent. You tell me of another—that it is without any faults; if your account be just, it is certain the work cannot be excellent.

#### CONCEPTION AND EXPRESSION.

There are men who have just thoughts on every subject; but it is not perceived, because their expressions are feeble. They conceived well, but they produce badly.

Erasmus acutely observed—alluding to what then much occupied his mind—that one might be apt to swear that they had been taught, in the confessional cell, all they had learnt; so scrupulous are they of disclosing what they know. Others, again, conceive ill, and produce well; for they express with elegance, frequently, what they do not

It was observed of one pleader, that he knew more than he said; and of another, that he said more than he knew.

The judicious Quintilian observes, that we ought at first to be more anxious in regard to our conceptions than our expressions-we may attend to the latter afterwards. While Horace thought that expressions will never fail with luminous conceptions. Yet they seem to be different things, for a nian may have the clearest conceptions, and at the same time be no pleasing writer; while conceptions of no eminent merit may be very agreeably set off by a warm and colouring diction.

Lucian happily describes the works of those who abound with the most luxuriant language, void of ideas. He calls their unmeaning verhosity anemony-words (anemonæ verborum;) for anemonies are flowers, which, however brilliant, can only please the eye, leaving no fragrance. Pratt, who was a writer of flowing, but nugatory verses, was compared to the daisy; a flower indeed, but without the

fragrance.

# GEOGRAPHICAL DICTION.

There are many sciences, says Menage, on which we cannot, indeed, compose in a florid or elegant dictionsuch as geography, music, algebra, geometry, &c. When Atticus requested Cicero to write on geography, the latter excused himself, observing, that its scenes were more adapted to please the eye than susceptible of the embellishments of style. However, in these kinds of sciences, we may lend an ornament to their dryness by introducing occasionally some elegant allusion, or noticing some incident

suggested by the object.

Thus when we notice some inconsiderable place, for instance, Woodstock, we may recall attention to the residence of Chaucer, the parent of our poetry; or as a late traveller, in 'an Autumn on the Rhine,' when at Ingelheim, at the view of an old palace built by Charlemagne, adds, with 'a hundred columns brought from Rome,' and was the scene of 'the romantic amours of that monarch's fair daughter, Ibertha, with Evinhard, his secretary;' and viewing the Gothic ruins on the bank of the Rhine, has noticed them as having been the haunts of those illustrious chevaliers volcurs, whose chivalry consisted in pillaging the merchants and towns, till in the thirteenth century, a citizen of Mayence persuaded the merchants of more than a hundred towns to form a league against these little princes and counts; the origin of the famous Hanseatic league, which contributed so much to the commerce of Europe, This kind of erudition gives an interest to all local histories and associates in our memory the illustrious personages who were their inhabitants.

The same principle of composition may be carried with the happiest effect into some dry investigations, though the profound antiquary may not approve of these sports of wit or fancy. Dr Arbuthnot, in his Tables of Ancient Coins, Weights, and Measures, a topic extremely barren of amusement, takes every opportunity of enlivening the dulness of his task; even in these mathematical calculations he betrave his wit; and observes, that the polite Augustus, the Emperor of the World, had neither any glass in his windows, nor a shirt to his back!' Those uses of glass and linen were, indeed, not known in his time. Our

physician is not less curious and facetious in the account of the fees which the Roman physicians received.

#### LEGENDS.

Those wild, ludicrous, but often stupid histories entitled Legends, are said to have originated in the following circumstance.

Before colleges were established in the monasteries where the schools were held, the professors in rhetoric frequently gave their pupils the life of some saint for a trial of their talent at amplification. The students, being constantly at a loss to furnish out their pages, invented most of these wonderful adventures, Jortin observes, that the Christians wondertur adventures, Jorian Joseff ver, and other pagan poets and bistorians, the miracles and portefits to be found there, and accommodated them to their own monks and saints. The good fathers of that age, whose simplicity was not inferior to their devotion, were so delighted with these flowers of rhetoric, that they were induced to make a collection of these miraculous compositions; not imagining that, at some distant period, they would become matters of faith. Yet, when James de Voragine, Peter Nadal, and Peter Ribadeneira, wrote the lives of the saints, they sought for their materials in the libraries of the monasteries; and, awakening from the dust these manuscripts of amplification, imagined they made an invaluable present to the world, by lay-ing before them these voluminous absurdities. The people ing before them these voluminates account in a simplicity, and as the book is adorned with a number of cuts, these miracles were nerfectly intelligible to their eyes. Tillemiracles were perfectly intelligible to their eyes. Tille-mont, Fleury, Baillet, Launoi and Bollandus, cleared away much of the rubbish; the enviable title of Golden Legend by which James do Voragine called his work, has been disputed; iron or lead might more aptly express the character of this folio.

When the world began to be more critical in their reading, the monks gave a graver turn to their nerratives; and became penurious of their absurdities. The faithful Catholic contends, that the line of tradition has been preserved unbroken; notwithstanding that the originals were lost in the general wreck of literature from the barbarians, or

came down in a most imperfect state.

Baronius has give the lives of many apocryphal saints; for instance, of a saint Xinoris whom he calls a marryr of Antioch; but it appears that Baronius having read in Chrysostom this word, which signifies a couple or pair, he mistook it for the name of a saint, and contrived to give the most authentic biography of a saint who never existed! The Catholics confess this sort of blunder is not uncommon, but then it is only fools who laugh! As a specimen of the happier inventions, one is given, embellished by the dictions of Gibbon—

Among the insipid legends of ecclesiastical history, I am tempted to distinguish the memorable fable of the Seven Sleepers; whose imaginary date corresponds with the reign of the younger Theodosius, and the conquest of Africa by the Vandais. When the Emperor Decius persecuted the Christians, seven notable youths of Ephesus concealed themselves in a spacious cavern on the side of an adjacent mountain; where they were doomed to perish by the tyrant, who gave orders that the entrance should be firmly secured with a pile of stones. They immediately fell into a deep slumber, which was miraculously prolonged without mjuring the powers of life, during a period of one hundred and eighty-seven years. At the end of that time the slaves of Adolius, to whom the inheritance of the mountain had descended, removed the stones to supply materials for some rustic edifice. The light of the sun darted into the cavern, and the Seven Sleepers were permitted to awake. After a slumber as they thought of a few hours, they were pressed by the calls of hunger; and resolved that Jamblichus, one of their number, should secretly return to the city to purchase bread for the use of his companions. The youth, if we may still employ that appellation, could no longer recognize the once familiar aspect of his native country; and his surprise was increased by the appearance of a large cross, triumphantly erected over the principal gate of Ephesus. His singular dress and obsolete language confounded the baker, to whom he offered an ancient modal of Decius as the current coin of the suspire; and Jamblichus, on the sussion of a secret treasure, was dragged before the judge. Their mutual inquiries produced the amazing discovery, that two conturies were almost elapsed since Jamblichus and his friends had escaped from the rage of a Pagan tyrant. The bishop of Ephesus, the clergy, the magistrates, the people, and, it is said, the Emperor Theodosius humself, hastened to visit the cavern of the Seven Sleepers who bestowed their benediction, related their story and at the same instant peaceably expired.

'This popular tale Mahomet learned when he drove has camels to the fairs of Syria; and he has introduced it, as a divine revelation, into the Koran.—The same story leas been adopted and adorned, by the nations from Bengal to Africa, who profess the Mahometan religion.

The too curious reader may perhaps require other specimens of the more unlucky inventions of this 'Golden Legend,' as characteristic of a certain class of minds, the philosopher will not contemn these systematic fedings.

philosopher will not contemn these grotesque fictions.

These monks imagined that holiness was often proportioned to a saint's filthiness. St Ignatius, say they, de-lighted to appear abroad with old dirty shoes; he never used a comb, but let his hair clot; and religiously abstained from paring his nails. One saint attained to such piety as to have near three hundred patches on his breeches; which, after his death, were hung up in public as an incentive to imitation. St Francis discovered by certain experience, that the devils were frightened away by such kind of breeches, but were animated by clean clothing to tempt and seduce the wearers; and one of their heroes declares that the purest souls are in the dirtiest bodies. On this they tell a story which may not be very agreeable to fastidious delicacy. Brother Juniper was a gentleman per-fectly pious on this principle; indeed so great was his merit in this species of mortification, that a brother decared he could always nose Brother Juniper when within a mile of the monastery, provided the wind was at the due point. Once, when the blessed Juniper, for he was no saint, was a guest, his host, proud of the honour of entertaining so pious a personage, the intimate friend of St.
Francis, provided an excellent bed, and the finest sheets.
Brother Juniper abhorred such luxury. And this too evidently appeared after his sudden departure in the morning unknown to his kind host. The great Juniper did this, says his biographer, having told us what he did, not so much from his habitual inclinations for which he was so justly celebrated, as from his excessive piety, and as much as he could to mortify worldly pride, and to show how a true saint despised clean sheets.

In the life of St Francis we find, among other grotesque miracles, that he preached a sermon in a desert, but he soon collected an immense audience. The birds shrill; warbled to every sentence, and stretched out their necks opened their beaks, and when he finished, dispersed with a holy rapture into four companies, to report his sermon te all the birds in the universe. A grasshopper remained a week with St Francis during the absence of the Virgin Marv, and pittered on his head. He grew so companionable with a nightingale, that when a nest of swallows began to babble, he hushed them by desiring them not to tittlo-attitle of their sister, the nightingale. Attacked by a wolf, with only the sign manual of the cross, he held a long dialogue with his rabid assailant, till the wolf, meck as a lapdog, stretched his paws in the hands of the saint, followed him through towns, and became half a Christian.

This same St Francis had such a detestation of the good things of this world, that he would never suffer his followers to touch money. A friar having placed in a window some money collected at the altar, he desired him to take it in his mouth, and throw it on the dung of an ass? St Philip Nerius was such a lover of poverty, that he frequently prayed that God would bring him to that state as to stand in need of a penny, and find nobody that would give him one?

But Saint Macaire was so shocked at having killed a louse, that he endured seven years of penitence among the thorns and briars of a forest. A circumstance which seems to have reached Moliere, who gives this stroke to the character of his Tartuffe:

Il s'impute a peché la moindre bagatelle ; Jusques-la qu'il se viat, l'autra jour s'accuser D'avoir prie une puce en faisant sa priere, Et de l'avoir tué, avec trop de colere !

I give a miraculous incident respecting two pions masdens. The night of the Nativity of Christ, after the first mass, they both retired into a solitary spot of their numer ry till the second mass was rung. One asked the other, Why do you want two cushions, when I have only one? The other replied, 'I would place it between us, for the child Jesus; as the Evangelist says, where there are two or three persons assembled I am in the midst of them.' This being done, they sat down, feeling a most lively pleasure at their fancy; and there they remained from the Nativity of Christ to that of John the Bapiist; but this great interval of time passed with these saintly maidens as two hours would appear to others. The abbess and her nuns were alarmed at their absence, for no one could give any account of them. In the eve of St John, a cowherd passing by them, beheld a beautiful child seated on a cushion between this pair of runaway nuns. He hastened to the abbess with news of these stray sheep, who saw this lovely child playfully seated between these nymphs, who, with blushing countenances, inquired if the second bell had already rung? Both parties were equally astonished to find our young devotees had been there from the Na.vivity of Jesus to that of St John. The abbess asked after the child who sat between them; they solemnly declared they away no child between them; they solemnly declared they

saw no child between them, and persisted in their story. Such is one of these miracles of 'the Golden Legend,' which a wicked wit might comment on, and see nothing extraordinary in the whole story. The two nuns might be missing between the Nativities, and be found at the last with a child seated between them. They might not choose to account either for their absence or their child—the only touch of miracle is, that they asseverated, they are no child—that I confess is a little child too much.

the only touch of miracle is, that they asseverated, they same no child—that I confess is a little (child) too much.

The lives of the saints by Alban Butler is a learned work, and the most sensible history of these legends; Ribadenaira's lives of the saints exhibit more of the legendary spirit, for wanting judgment and not faith, he is more voluminous in his details, and more ridiculous in his narratives.

#### THE PORT ROYAL SOCIETY.

Every lover of letters has heard of this learned society, which, says Gibbon, contributed so much to establish in France a taste for just reasoning, simplicity of style, and philosophical method. Their 'Logic, or the Art of Thinking,' for its lucid, accurate, and diversified matter, is still an admirable work; notwithstanding the writers at that time had to emancipate themselves from the barbarism of the scholastic logic with cautious boldness. It was the conjoint labour of Arnauld and Nicolle. Europe has benefited by the labours of these learned men: but not many have attended to the origin and dissolution of this literary society.

In the year 1637, Le Maitre, a celebrated advocate, resigned the bar, and the honour of being Counseiller & Etat, which his uncommon merit had obtained him, though then only twenty-eight years of age. His brother, De Sericourt, who had followed the military profession, quitted it at the same time. Consecrating themselves to the service of God, they retired into a small house near the Port-Royal of Paris, where they were joined by their brothers De Sacy, De St Elme, and De Valmont. Arnauld, one of their most illustrious associates, was induced to enter into the Jansenist controversy, and then it was they encountered the powerful persecution of the Jeauits. Constrained to remove from that spot, they fixed their residence at a few leagues from Paris, and called it Port-Royal des Champs.

With these illustrious recluses many distinguished persons now retired, who had given up their parks and houses to be appropriated to their schools; and this community was called the Society of Port-Royal.

Here were no rules, no vows, no constitution, and no cells formed. Prayer and study, and manual labour were their only occupations. They applied themselves to the education of youth, and raised up little academies in the neighbourhood, where the members of the Port-Royal, the most illustrious names of literary France, presided. None considered his birth entitled him to any exemption from their public offices, relieving the poor and attending on the sick, and employing themselves in their farms and gardens; they were carpenters, ploughmen, gardeners, and vinedressers, &c, as if they had practised nothing else; they studied physic, and surgery, and law; in truth, it seems that from religious motives, these learned men attempted to form a community of primitive Christianity.

The Luchess of Longueviñe, once a political chief, saerificed her ambriton on the altar of Port-Royal, enlarged the taonastic inclosure with spacious gardens and orchards, built a noble house, and often retreated to its seclusion. The learned D'Audilly, the translator of Josephus, after

his studious hours, resorted to the cultivation of fruit-trees; and the fruit of Port-Royal became celebrated for its size and flavour. Presents were sent to the Queen-Mother of i France, Anne of Austria, and Cardinal Mazarine, who used to call it 'Frutti beni.' It appears that 'families of rank, affluence, and piety, who did not wish entirely to give up their avocations in the world, built themselves country-houses in the valley of Port-Royal, in order to enjoy the society of its religious and literary inhabitants.'

In the solitude of Port-Royal Racine received his educa-

In the solitude of Port-Royal Racine received his education; and, on his death-bed desired to be buried in its cemetery, at the feet of his master, Hamon. Arnauld, persecuted, and dying in a foreign country, still cast his lingering looks on this beloved retreat, and left the society his heart, which was there inurned.

Anne de Bourbon, a princess of the blood royal, erected a house near the Port-Royal, and was, during her life, the powerful patroness of these solitary and religious men: but her death in 1679, was the fatal stroke which dispersed them for ever.

The envy and the fears of the Jesuits, and their rancour against Arnauld, who with such ability had exposed their designs, occasioned the destruction of the Port-Royal Society. Exinantic, estinantic usque ad fundamentum in as! Annihilate it, annihilate it, to its very foundations! Such are the terms in the Jesuitic decree. The Jesuits had long called the little schools of Port-Royal the hot-beds of heresy. Gregoire, in his interesting memoir of 'Ruins of Port-Royal,' has drawn an affecting picture of that virtuous suciety when the Jesuits obtained by their intrigues an order from government to break it up. They raxed the buildings, and ploughed up the very foundation: they exhausted their hatred even on the stones, and profaned even the sanctuary of the dead; the corpses were torn out of their graves, and dogs were suffered to contend for the rags of their shrouds. When the Port-Royal had no longer an existence, the memory of that asylum of innocence and learning was still kept alive by those who collected the engravings representing that place by Mademoisselle Hortemels. The police, under Jesuitic influence, at length sized on the plates in the cabinet of the fair ratist, How caustic was the retort courteous which Arnauld gave the

Jesuits—'I do not fear your pen, but its knife.'
These were men whom the love of retirement had united to cultivate literature, in the midst of solit:de, of peace, and of piety. They formed a society of learned men, of fine taste and sound philosophy. Alike occupied on saccred, as well as on profane writers, they edified, while they enlightened the world. Their writings fixed the French language. The example of these solitaries shows how retirement is favourable to penetrate into the sanctuary of the Muses: and that by meditating in silence on the oracles of taste, in imitating we may equal them.

An interesting anecdote is related of Arnauld on the occasion of the dissolution of this society. The dispersion of these great men, and their young scholars, was lamented by every one but their enemies. Many persons of the highest rank participated in their sorrows. The excellent Arnauld, in that moment, was as closely pursued as if he had been a felon.

It was then the Duchess of Longueville concealed Arnauld in an obscure lodging, who assumed the dress of a layman, wearing a sword and full-bottomed wig. Arnauld was attacked by a fever, and in the course of conversation with a physician, Arnauld inquired after news. 'They talk of a new book of the Port-Royal,' replied the doctor, 'attributed to Arnauld or to Sacy; but I do not believe it to come from Sacy; he does not write so well.' 'How, Sir!' exclaimed the philosopher, forgetting his sword and wig; 'believe me, my nephew writes better than I do.' The physician eyed his patient with amazement—he hastened to the Duchess, and told her, 'The malady of the gentleman you sent me to is not very serious, provided you do not suffer him to see any one, and insist on his holding his tongue.' The Duchess, alarmed, immediately had Arnauld conveyed to her palace. She gave him an apartment, concealed him in her chamber, and persisted to attend him herself. 'Ask,' she said, 'what you want o the servant, but it shall be myself who shall bring it to you.'

How honourable is it to the female character, that in all similar events their sensibility is not greater than their for-titude! But the Duchess of Longueville saw in Arnauld a model of human fortitude, which martyrs never excelled. His remarkable reply to Nicolle, when they were hunted

rom place to place, can never be forgotten: Arnauld wished Nicolle to assist him in a new work, when the latter observed, 'We are now old, is it not time to rest?' Rest!' returned Arnauld, 'have we not all eternity to rest in ? The whole of the Arnauld family were the most extraordinary instance of that hereditary character which s continued through certain families: here it was a subame, and, perhaps singular union of learning with religion.
The Arnaulds, Sacy, Pascal, Tillemont, with other illustious names, to whom literary Europe will owe perpetual ebligations, combined the life of the monastery with that of the library.

# THE PROGRESS OF OLD AGE IN NEW STUDIES.

Of the pleasures derivable from the cultivation of the arts, sciences, and literature, time will not abate the growing passion; for old men still cherish an affection and feel a youthful enthusiasm in those pursuits, when all others have ceased to interest. Dr Reid, to his last day, retained a most active curiosity in his various studies, and particularby in the revolutions of modern chemistry. In advanced life we may resume our former studies with a new pleasure and in old age we may enjoy them with the same relish with which more useful students commence. Professor Dugald Stewart tells us that Adam Smith observed to him that of all the amusements of old age, the most grateful and sonthing is a renewal of acquaintance with the favourte studies and favourite authors of youth—a remark, which in his own case seemed to be more particularly exemplified while he was reperusing, with the enthusiasm of a student, the tragic poets of ancient Greece. I heard him repeat the observation more than once while Sophocles and Euripides lay open on his table.'

Socrates learned to play on musical instruments in his old age; Cato, at eighty thought proper to learn Greek; and Plutarch, almost as late in life, Latin.

Theophrastus began his admirable work on the Characters of Men at the extreme age of ninety. He only terminated his literary has no state of the control of the state of the

minated his literary labours by his death,

Peter Rousard, one of the fathers of French poetry, applied himself late to study. His acute genius, and ardent application, rivalled those poetic models which he admired; and Boccaccio was thirty-five years of age when he commenced his studies in polite literature.

The great Arnauld retained the vigour of his genius,

and the command of his pen, to his last day; and at the

age of eighty-two was still the great Arnauld.

Sir Henry Spelman neglected the sciences in his youth, but cultivated them at fifty years of age, and produced good fruit. His early years were chiefly passed in farming, which greatly diverted him from his studies; but a remarkable disappointment respecting a contested estate, disgusted him with these rustic occupations; resolved to attach himself to regular studies, and literary society, he sold his farms, and became the most learned antiquary and

Colhert the famous French minister, almost at sixty re-

turned to his Latin and law studies.

Tellier, the chancellor of France, learned logic, merely for an amusement, to dispute with his grandchildren.

Dr Johnson applied himself to the Dutch language but a

few years before his death. The Marquis de Saint Aulaire, at the age of seventy, began to court the Muses, and they crowned him with their freshest flowers. The verses of this French Anacreon are full of fire, delicacy, and

Chaucer's Canterbury Tales were the composition of his latest years; they were begun in his fifty-fourth year, and

finished in his sixty-first.

Ludovico Monaldesco, at the extraordinary age of 115, wrote the memoirs of his times, a singular exertion, noticed by Voltaire, who himself is one of the most remarkable mstances of the progress of age in new studies.

The most delightful of auto-biographers for artists, is that of Benvenuto Cellini; a work of great originality, which, was not begun till the clock of his age had struck

fifty-eight,

Koornhert began at forty to learn the Latin and Greek anguages, of which he became a master; several students, who afterwards distinguished themselves, have commenced as late in life their literary pursuits. Ozilby, the translator of Homer and Virgil, knew little of Latin or Greek till he was past fifty; and Franklin's philosophical pursuits began when he had nearly reached his fiftieth year

Accorso, a great lawyer, neing asked why he began the

study of the law so late, answered, that indeed he began it late, but should therefore master it the sooner.

Dryden's complete works form the largest body of poetry from the pen of one writer in the English language; yet he gave no public testimony of poetical abilities till his twenty-seventh year. In his sixty-eighth year he proposed to translate the whole Iliad; and the most pleasing productions were written in his old age.

Michael Angelo preserved his creative genius even in extreme old age; there is a device said to be invented by him of an old man represented in a go-cart, with an hourglass upon it; the inscription Ancora impara !- YET I AM

LEARNING!

We have a literary curiosity in a favourite treatise with Erasmus and men of letters of that period, *De Ratione Studii*, by Joachim Sterck, otherwise Fortius de Rhingelberg. The enthusiasm of the writer often carries him to the verge of ridicule; but something must be granted to his peculiar situation and feelings; for Baillet tells us that his method of studying had been formed entirely from his own practical knowledge and hard experience; at a late period of life he commenced his studies, and at length he imagined that he had discovered a more perpendicular mode of ascending the hill of science than by its usual circuitous windings His work Mr Knox compares to the sound of a trumpet.

Menage, in his Anti-Baillet, has a very curious apology for his writing verses in his old age, by showing how many poets amused themselves notwithstanding their gray hairs,

and wrote sonnets or epigrams at ninety.

La Casa, in one of his letters, humorously said, lo credo ch'io faro Sonnetto venti cinque anni, o trenta, poi che io arro morto. I think I may make some sonnets twenty-five, or perhaps thirty years after I shall be dead! Peteau tells us that he wrote verses to solace the evils of old age-

Cantabat veteris quærens solatia morbi.

Malherbe declares the honours of genius were his, yet

Je les posseday jeune, et les possede soccra A la fin de mes jours .

Maynard moralises on this subject,

En cheveux blancs il me faut donc aller Comme un enfant tous les jours à l'ecole; Que je suis sou d'apprendre à bien parler Lorsque la mort vient m'oter la parole.

# SPANISH POETRY.

Pere Bouhours observes, that the Spanish poets display an extravagant imagination, which is by no means destitute of esprit-shall we say wit? but which evinces little taste or judgment.

Their verses are much in the style of our Cowley-trivia. points, monstrous metaphors, and quaint conceits. It is evident that the Spanish poets imported this taste from the time of Merino in Italy; but the warmth of the Spanish climate appears to have redoubled it, and to have blown the kindled sparks of chimerical fancy to the heat of a Vulcanian forge.

Lopes de Vega, in describing an afflicted shepherdess, in one of his pastorals, who is represented weeping near the sea-side, says 'That the sea joyfully advances to gather her tears; and that, having enclosed them in shells. it converts them into pearls.'

'Y el mar como imbidioso A tierra por las lagrimas salia, Y alegre de cogerlas

Las guarda en conchas, y convierte en perlas.

Villegas addresses a stream—' Thou who runnest over sands of gold, with feet of silver, more elegant than our Shakspeare's 'Thy silver skin laced with thy golden blood." Villegas monstrously exclaims, 'Touch my breast, if you doubt the power of Lydia's eyes—you will find it turned to ashes.' Again—'Thou art so great that thou canst only imitate thyself with thy own greatness; much like our None but himself can be his parallel.

Gongora, whom the Spaniards once greatly admired, and distinguished by the epithet of The Wonderful, is full of these points and conceits.

He imagines that a nightingale, who enchantingly varied her notes, and sang in different manners, had a hundred thousand other nightingales in her breast which alternately sang through her throat-

\* Con diferencia tal, con gracia tanta,
\* A quel r ysenon llora, que sospecho

Que teine otros cien mil dentro del pecho Que alterna su dolor por su garganta.

Of a young and beautiful lady he says, that she has but a few years of life, but many ages of beauty.

Muchos siglos de hermosura En pocos anos de edad.

Many ages of heauty is a false thought, for beauty becomes not more beautiful from its age; it would be only a superannuated beauty. A face of two or three ages old could have but few charms.

In one of his odes he addresses the River of Madrid by the title of the Duke of Streams and the Viscount of Ripers.

> ' Manganares, Manganares, Os que en todo el aguatismo, Estois Duque de Arroyos, Y Visconde de los Rios.

He did not venture to call it a Spanish grandee, for, in fact, it is but a shallow and dirty stream; and as Quevedo wittily informs us, 'Manganares is reduced, during the summer season, to the melancholy condition of the wicked rich man, who asks for water in the depths of hell.'

Concerning this river a pleasant witicism is recorded. Though so small, this stream in the time of a flood can Philip the Second built a bridge eleven hundred feet long! -A Spaniard passing it one day, when it was perfectly dry, observing this superb bridge, archly remarked, 'That it would be proper that the bridge should be sold to purchane water.'- Es menester, vender la puente por comprar

The following elegant translation of a Spanish madrigal of the kind here criticised I found in a newspaper, but it

is evidently by a master-hand.

On the green margin of the land, There Gaudalhorce winds his way, My lady lay:
With golden key Sleep's gentle hand
Had closed her eyes so bright—
Her eyes, two suns of light—
And bade his balmy dews Her rosy cheeks suffuse. The River God in slumber saw her laid, He raised his dripping head, With weeds o'erspread,
Clad in his wat'ry robes approach'd the maid,
And with cold kiss, like death,
Drank the rich perfume of the maiden's breath
The maiden felt that key kiss,
Her suns unclosed, their flame
Full and unclouded on the intruder came. Amazed th' intruder felt, His fro by body melt,

And hear! the radiance on his bosom hise; And, forced in blind confusion to retire, Leapt in the water to escape the fire.

#### SAINT EVREMOND

The portrait of St Evremond, delineated by his own hand, will not be unacceptable to many readers

This writer possessed delicacy and wit, and has written well, but with great inequality. His poetry is insipid, and his prose abounds with points; the antithesis was his favourite figure, and its prodigality fatigues. The comparisons he forms between some of the illustrious ancients will interest from their ingenuity.

In his day it was a literary fashion for writers to give their own portraits; a fashion that seems to have passed ever into our country, for Farquhar has drawn his own character in a lotter to a lady. Others of our writers have given these self-miniatures. Such painters are, no doubt, great flatterers, and it is rather their ingenuity, than their truth, which we admire in these cabinet pictures.

I am a philosopher, as far removed from superstition as from impiety, a voluptuary, who has not less abhorrence of debauchery than inclination for pleasure; a man, who has never known want or abundance. I occupy that station of life which is contemned by those who possess every thing: envied by those who have nothing, and only retamp; envious by those who have nothing, and only re-bashed by those who make their felicity consist in the exercise of their reason. Young, I hated dissipation; convinced that a man must possess wealth to provide for the comforts of a long life. Old, I disliked economy; as I believe that we need not greatly dread want, when we have but a short time to be miserable. I am satisfied with what nature has done for me, nor do I repine at fortune.

I do not seek in men what they have of evil, that I may censure; I only discover what they have ridiculous, that I may be amused. I feel a pleasure in detecting their follies; I should feel a greater in communicating my discoveries did not my prudence restrain me. Life is too short, according to my ideas, to read all kinds of books, and to load our memories with an endless number of things at the cost of our judgment. I do not attach myself to the observations of scientific men to acquire science; but to the most rational that I may strengthen my reason. Sometimes, I seek for more delicate minds, that my taste may imbibe their delicacy; sometimes for the gayer, that I may enrich my genius with their gayety; and, although I constantly read, I make it less my occupation than my pleasure. In religion, and in friendship, I have only to paint myself such as I am-in friendship more tender than a philosopher; and in religion as constant and sincere as a youth who has more simplicity than experience. My piety is composed more of justice and charity than of penitence. I rest my confidence on God, and hope every thing from his benevolence. In the bosom of providence I find my repose, and my felicity.'

#### MEN OF GENIUS DEFICIENT IN CONVERSATION.

The student who may, perhaps, shine a luminary of learning and of genius, in the pages of his volume, is found, not rarely, to lie obscured beneath a heavy cloud in colloquial discourse.

If you love the man of letters seek him in the privacies of his study. It is in the hour of confidence and tranquility his genius shall elicit a ray of intelligence, more fervid than

the labours of polished composition.

The great Peter Corneille, whose genius resembled that of our Shakspeare, and who has so forcibly expressed of our Sharspears, and who has so include in his ex-terior that indicated his genius; on the contrary, his con-versation was so insipid that it never failed of wearying. Nature who had lavished on him the gifts of genius, had forgotten to blend with them her more ordinary ones. He did not even speak correctly that language of which he was such a master

When his friends represented to him how much more he might please by not disdaining to correct these trivial errors, he would smile and say—'I am not the less Peter Corneille?' Descartes, whose habits were formed in solitude and meditation, was silent in mixed company; and Thomas described his mind by saying that he had received his intellectual wealth from nature in solid bars, but not in current coin; or as Addison expressed the same idea, by comparing himself to a banker who possessed the wealth of his friends at home, though he carried none of it in his of his friends at home, though he carried none of it in his pocket, or as that judicious moralist Nicolle, one of the Port-Royal Society, who said of a scintillant wir—'He conquers me in the drawing-room, but he surrenders to me at discretion on the staircase.' Such may say with Themistocles, when asked to play on a lute,—'I cannot fiddle, but I can make a little village a great city.'

The deficiencies of Addison in conversation are well

known. He preserved a rigid silence amongst strangers; but if he was silent, it was the silence of meditation. often at that moment, he laboured at some future Speciator!

Mediocrity can talk; but it is for genius to observe.
The cynical Mandeville compared Addison, after having passed an evening in his company, to 'a silent parson in a tie-wig.' It is no shame for an Addison to receive the censures of a Mandeville; he has only to blush when he calls down those of a Pope.

Virgil was heavy in conversation, and resembled more an ordinary man than an enchanting poet.

La Fontaine, says La Bruyere, appeared coarse, heavy, and stupid; he could not speak or describe what he had just seen; but when he wrote he was the model of poe-

It is very easy, said a humourous observer on La Fontaine, to be a man of wit or a fool; but to be both, and that too in the extreme degree, is indeed admirable, and only to be found in him. This observation applies to that fine natural genius Goldsmith. Chaucer was more facetious in his tales than in his conversation, and the Countess of Pembroke used to rally him by saving that his silence was more agreeable to her than his conversation.

Isocrates, celebrated for his beautiful oratorical compositions, was of so timid a disposition that he never ventured to speak in public. He compared himself to the whetstone which will not cut, but enables other things to do this; for his productions served as models to other orators. Vaucanson was said to be as much a machine as any he had made.

Dryden said of himself,- My conversation is slow and dull, my humour saturnine and reserved. In short, I am none of those who endeavour to break jests in company, or make repartees.

#### VIDA.

What a consolation for an aged parent to see his child, by the efforts of his own merits, attain from the humblest obscurity to distinguished eminence! What a transport for the mar of sensibility to return to the obscure dwelling of his parent, and to embrace him, adorned with public ho-nours. Poor Vida was deprived of this satisfaction; but he is placed higher in our esteem by the present anecdote than even by that classic composition, which rivals the Art

of Poetry of his great master.

Jerome Vida, after having long served two Popes, at length attained to the episcopacy. Arrayed in the robes of his new dignity he prepared to visit his aged parents, and felicited himself with the raptures which the old couple would feel in embracing their son as their bishop. When he arrived at their village, he learnt that it was but a few days since they were no more! His sensibilities were ex-quisitely pained. The muse, elegantly querulous, dictated ome elegiac verse; and in the sweetest pathos deplored the death and the disappointment of his parents.

#### THA SCHOTRIES.

Bien heureux Scudery, dont la fertile plume Peut tout les mois sans peine enfanter un volume.

Boileau has written this couplet on the Scuderies, the brother and sister, both famous in their day for composing romances, which they sometimes extended to ten or twelve volumes. It was the favourite literature of that period, as novels are now. Our nobility not unfrequently condescended to translate these voluminous compositions.

The diminutive size of our modern novels is undoubtedly an improvement; but in resembling the size of primers, it were to be wished that their contents had also resembled their inoffensive pages. Our great grandmothers were incommoded with overgrown folios: and, instead of finishing the eventful history of two lovers at one or two sittings, it was sometimes six months, including Sundays, before they could get quit of their Clelias, their Cyrus's, and Parthenissas.

Mademoiselle Scudery, Menage informs us, had com-posed ninety volumes! She had even finished another romance, which she would not give to the public, whose taste, she perceived, no more relished this kind of works. She was that unfortunate author who lives to more than ninety years of age; and consequently outlive their immor-

tality.

She had her panegyrists in her day: Menage observes,

She had her panegyrists in her day: Menage observes, What a pleasing description has Mademoiselle Scudery made in her Cyrus, of the little court at Rambonillet! thousand things in the romances of this learned lady render them inestimable. She has drawn from the ancients their happiest passages, and has even improved upon them; like the prince in the fable, whatever she touches becomes gold. We may read her works with great profit, if we possess a correct taste, and love instruction. Those who censure their length, only show the littleness of their judgment; as if Homer and Virgil were to be despised, because many of their books are filled with episodes and incidents that necessarily retard the conclusion. It does not require much penetration to observe that Cyrus and Clelia are a species of the epic poem. The epic must embrace a number of events to suspend the course of the narrative; which only taking in a part of the life of the hero, would terminate too soon to display the skill of the Without this artifice, the charm of uniting the greater part of the episodes to the principal subject of the romance would be lost. Mademoiselle de Scudery has so well treated them, and so aprly introduced a variety of beautiful passages, that nothing in this kind is comparable beating passages, that moving in this aim is comparant to be productions. Some expressions, and certain turns, have become somewhat obsolete, all the rest will last for ever, and outlive the criticisms they have undergone.

Menage has here certainly uttered a false prophecy.

The curious only look over her romances. They contain foundless many beautiful inventions the misfortune is,

that time and patience are rare requisites for the enjoyment of these Iliads in prose.

'The misfortune of her having written too abundantly has occasioned an unjust contempt,' says a French critic. 'We confess there are many heavy and tedious passages in her voluminous romances; but if we consider that in the Cleifs and the Attempts are to be found in missing the defining Clelia and the Artemene are to be found inimitable delicate touches, and many splendid parts which would do honour to some of our living writers, we must acknowledge that the great defects of all her works arise from her not writing in an age when taste had reached the acmé of cultivation. Such is her erudition that the French place her next to the celebrated Madame Dacier. Her works, containing many secret intrigues of the court and city, her readers must have keenly relished on their early publication.

Her Artamenes, or the Great Cyrus, and principally her Clelia, are representations of what then passed at the court of France. The Map of the Kingdom of Tenderness, in Clelia, appeared, at the time, as the happiest invention. This once celebrated map is an allegory which distinguishes the different kinds of tenderness, which are reduced to esteem, gratitude, and inclination. The map represents three rivers, which have these three names, and on which are situated three towns called Tenderness: Tenderness are situated three fowns cancer I endermoss: I endermoss on Inclination; Tendernoss on Esteem: and Tendernoss on Gratitude. Pleasing Attentions, or Petit Scina, is a village very beautifully situated. Mademoiselle de Scudery was extremely proud of this little allegorical map; and had a terrible controversy with another writer about its originality.

George Scudery, her brother and inferior in genius, had a striking singularity of character :-- he was one of the most complete votaries to the universal divinity of Vanity. With a heated imagination, entirely destitute of judgment, his military character was continually exhibiting itself by that peaceful instrument the pen, so that he exhibits a most amusing contrast of ardent feelings in a cool situation; not liberally endowed with genius, but abounding with its sem-blance in the fire of eccentric gasconade; no man has pourtrayed his own character with a bolder colouring than himself in his numerous prefaces and addresses; surrounded by a thousand self-illusions of the most sublime class, every thing that related to himself had an Homeric grandeur of conception.

In an epistle to the Duke of Montmorency, he says, '1 may more nobly be devoted to your service; and alluding to his pen, (plume,) declares, 'he comes from a family who never used one, but to stick in their hats.' When he solicits small favours from the great, he assures them 'that princes must not hink him importunate, and that his writings are merely inspired by his own individual interest;
no! he exclaims, I am studious only of your glory, while I
am careless of my own fortune.' And indeed, to do hum
but justice, he acted up to those romantic feelings. After
he had published his epic of Alaric, Christina of Sweden proposed to honour him with a chain of gold of the value of five hundred pounds, provided he would expunge from his epic the eulogiums he had bestowed on the Count of Gardie, whom she had disgraced. The epical soul of Scudery magnanimously scorned the bribe, and replied, that ' if the chain of gold should be as weighty as that chain mentioned in the history of the Incas, I will never destroy any alter on which I have sacrificed!

Proud of his boasted nobility and erratic life, he thus addresses the reader: 'You will lightly pass over any faults dresses the reader: 'You will lightly pass over any faults in my work, if you reflect that I have employed the greater part of my life in seeing the finest parts of Europe, and that I have passed more days in the camp than in the library. I have used more matches to light my musket than to light my candles; I know better how to arrange columns in the field than those on paper; and to square battalions better than to round periods.' In his first publication he haven his literary carreer perfectly in character. cation, he began his literary career perfectly in character, by a challenge to his critics!

He is the author of sixteen plays, chiefly heroic trage-dies; children who all bear the features of their father. He first introduced in his 'L'Amour Tyrannique' a strict observance of the Aristotelian unities of time and place; one-transce of the Aristoclean unities of time and piace; and the necessity and advantages of this regulation are urged, which only shows that Aristotle goes but little to the composition of a pathetic tragedy. In his last drama, 'Arisinius,' be extravagantly scatters his panegyries on its fifteen predecessors; but of the precent one he has the

most exalted notion: it is the quintessence of Scudery!
An ingenious critic calls it 'The downfall of mediocrity?' It is amusing to listen to this blazing preface- At length, Areader, nothing remains for me but to mention the great
Arminus which I now present to you, and by which I have
resolved to close my long and laborious course. It is indeed my master-piece! and the most finished work that
ever came from my pen; for whether we examme the fable, the manners, the sentiments, or the versification, it is cortain that I never performed any thing so just, so great, nor more beautiful; and if my labours could ever deserve a crown, I would claim it for this work!"

The actions of this singular personage were in unison with his writings: he gives a pompous description of a most unimportant government which he obtained near Marseilles, but all the grandeur existed only in our author's heated imagination. Bachaumount and De la Chamble the properties of these times in their bushil toward. pelle, two wits of those times, in their playful 'Voyage'

escribe it with humour:

Mais il faut vous parler du Fort Qui sans doute est une merveille ; C'est notre dame de la garde Gouvernement commode et beau, A qui suffit pour tout garde, Un Suisse avec sa halebarde Point sur la porte du chateau

A fort very commodiously guarded; only requiring one sentinel, and that sentinel a soldier painted on the door!

In a poem on his disgust with the world, he tells us how is timate he has been with princes: Europe has known him through all her provinces; he ventured every thing in e shousand combats:

L'on me vit obeir, l'on me vit commander, Et mon poil tout poudreux a blanchi sous les armes; Il est peu de beaux arts ou je ne sois instruit; En prose et en vers, mon nom fit quelque bruit; Et par plus d'un chemin je parvins à la gloire !

## IMITATED.

Princes were proud my friendship to proclaim, And Europe gazed where'er her Hero came! I grasp'd the laureis of herot strife, The thousand perils of a soldier's life! Obedient in the ranks each wilful day! Though heroes soon command, they first obey. Twas not for me, too long a time to yield! Born for a chieftain in the tented field! Around my plumed helm, my silvery hair Hung like an honour'd wreath of age and care;
The finet arts have charm'd my studious hours,
Vers'd in their mysteries, skilful in their powers;
In verse and prose my equal genius glow'd,
Pursuing glory, by no single road!

Such was the vain George Scudery! whose heart how-ever was warm: poverty could never degrade him; adver-sity never broke down his magnanimous spirit!

## DE LA ROCHEPOUCAULT.

The maxims of this noble author are in the hands of To those who choose to derive every motive every one. and every action from the solitary principle of self-love, they are inestimable. They form one continued satire on human nature; but they are not reconcilable to the feelings of the man of more generous dispositions, or who passes through life with the firm integrity of virtue. Even at court we find a Sully, a Malesherbes and a Clarendon, as well as a Rochefoucault and a Chesterfield,

The Duke de la Rochefoucault says Segrais, had not studied; but he was endowed with a wonderful degree of discernment, and knew the world perfectly well. This afforded him opportunities of making reflections, and re-ducing into maxims those discoveries which he had made in the heart of man, of which he displayed an admirable

knowledge.

knowledge.
It is perhaps worthy of observation that this celebrated French duke, according to Olivet in his History of the French Academy, could never summon resolution, at his election, to address the academy. Although chosen member, he never entered; for such was his timidity, that he could not face an audience and pronounce the usual ecomplisment on his introduction; he whose courage, whose birth, and whose genius, were alike distinguished. The fact is, that it appears by Mad. de Sevigne, that Roche-

foucault lived a close domestic life; and that there must be at least as much theoretical as practical knowledge in the opinions of such a retired philosopher.

Chesterfield, our English Rochefoucault, we are also informed, possessed an admirable knowledge of the heart of man; and he too has drawn a similar picture of human nature! These are two noble authors whose chief studies seem to have been made in courts. May it not be possible, allowing these authors not to have written a sentence of apocrypha, that the fault lies not so much in human nature as in the satellites of Power?

## PRIOR'S HAME CARVEL.

Were we to investigate the genealogy of our best mo-dern stories, we should often discover the illegitimacy of our favourites; we should indeed trace them frequently to the East. My well-read friend Mr Douce, has collected materials for such a work; but his modesty has too long prevented him from receiving the gratitude of the curious in literature.

The story of the ring of Hans Carvel is of very ancient standing, as are most of the tales of this kind.

Menage says that Poggius, who died in 1459, has the merit of its invention; but I suspect he only related a very

Rabelais, who has given it in his peculiar manner,

This tale is likewise in the eleventh of Les Cent Nowvelles. Nouvelles collected in 1461, for the argusement of Louis XI, when Dauphin, and living in solitude.

Ariosto has borrowed it, at the end of his fifth Satire, but, by his pleasant manner of relating it, it is fairly approprinted.

In a collection of novels at Lyons, in 1555, it is also employed in the eleventh novel.

Celio Malespini has it again in page 288 of the second art of his Two Hundred Novels, printed at Venice in 1609.

Fontaine has prettily set it off, and an anonymous writer has composed it in Latin Anacreontic verses; and at length our Prior has given it in his best manner, with equal gaiety and freedom. After Ariosto, La Fontaine, and Prior, let us hear of it no more; yet this has been done

Voltaire has a curious essay to show that most of our best modern stories and plots originally belonged to the eastern nations, a fact which has been made more evident by recent researches. The Amphitrion of Moliere was an imitation of Plautus, who borrowed it from the Greeks, and they took it from the Indians! It is given by Dow in his History of Hindostan. In Captain Scott's Tales and Anecdotes from Arabian writers, we are surprised at findmg so many of our favourites very ancient orientalists.— The Ephesian Matron, versified by La Fontaine, was borrowed from the Italians; it is to be found in Petronius, and Petronius had it from the Greeks. But where did the Greeks find it? In the Arabian Tales! And from whence did the Arabian fabulists borrow it ? From the Chinese! It is found in Du Halde, who collected it from the Versions of the Jesuits.

## THE STUDENT IN THE METROPOLIS.

A man of letters, who is more intent on the acquisitions of literature than on the plots of politics, or the speculations of commerce, will find a deeper solitude in a populous me-tropolis than if he had retreated to the seclusion of the country.

The student who is no flatterer of the little passions of men, will not he much incommoded by their presence, Gibbon paints his own situation in the heart of the fashionable world.—I had not been endowed by art or nature with those happy gifts of confidence and address which un-lock every door and every bosom. While coaches were rattling through Bond-street, I have passed many a solitary evening in my lodging with my books. I withdrew without reluctance from the noisy and extensive scene of crowds without company, and dissipation without pleasure. And even after he had published the first volume of his History, he observes that in London his confinement was solitary and sad; 'the many forgot my existence when they saw me no longer at Brookes's, and the few who sometimes had a thought on their friend, were detained by business or pleasure, and I was proud and happy if I could prevall on my bookseller Elmsiy to enlive the duliness of the evening.

Digitized by GOOGLO

A situation very elogantly described in the beautifully-polished verses of Mr Rogers, in his 'Epistle to a Friend;'

When from his classic dreams the student steals Amid the buzz of crowds, the whirl of wheels, To muse unnotked, while around him press The meteor-forms of equipage and dress; Alone in wonder lost, he seems to stand A very stranger in his native land.

He compares the student to one of the seven sleepers in the ancient legend.

Descartes residing in the commercial city of Amsterdam, writing to Balzac, illustrates these descriptions with great

force and vivacity.

You wish to retire; and your intention is to seek the solitude of the Chartreux, or, possibly, some of the most beautiful provinces of France and Italy. I would rather advise you, if you wish to observe mankind, and at the same time to lose yourself in the deepest solitude, to join me in Amsterdam. I prefer this situation to that even of your delicious villa, where I spent so great a part of the last year; for however agreeable a country-house may be, a thousand little conveniencies are wanted, which can only be found in a city. One is not alone so frequently in the country as one could wish: a number of impertinent visiters are continually beseiging you. Here, as all the world, except myself, is occupied in commerce, it depends merely on myself to live unknown to the world. I walk every day amongst immense ranks of people, with as much tranquil-lity as you do in your green valleys. The men I meet with make the same impression on my mind as would the trees of your forests, or the flocks of sheep grazing on your common. The busy hum too of these merchants does not disturb one more than the purling of your brooks. If sometimes I amuse myself in contemplating their anxious motions, I receive the same pleasure which you do in observing those men who cultivate your land; for I reflect that the end of all their labours is to embellish the city which I inhabit, and to anticipate all my wants. If you contemplate with delight the fruits of your orchards, with all the rich promises of abundance, do you think I feel less in observing so many fleets that convey to me the productions of either India? What spot on earth could you find, which like this, can so interest your vanity and gratify your taste?

## . THE TALMUD.

The Jews have their Talmud; the Catholics their Legends of Saints; and the Turks their Sonnah. The Protestant has nothing but his Bible. The former are three kindred works. Men have imagined that the more there is to be believed, the more are the merits of the believer. Hence all traditionists formed the orthodox and the strongest party. The word of Ged is lost amidst those heaps of human inventions, sanctioned by an order of men connected with religious duties; they ought now, however, to be regarded rather as Curiosities of Literature. I give a sufficiently ample account of the Talmud and the Legends but of the Sonnah I only know that it is a collection of the traditional opinions of the Turkish prophets, directing the observance of petty superstitions not mentioned in the Koran.

The TALMUD is a collection of Jewish traditions, which have been orally preserved. It comprises the MISHNA, which is the text, and the GEMARA, its commentary. The whole forms a complete system of the learning, ceremonies, civil and canon laws of the Jews; treating indeed on all subjects; even gardening, manual arts, &c. The rigid Jews persuaded themselves that these traditional explica-tions are of divine origin. The Pentateuch, say they, was written out by their legislator before his death in thirteen copies, distributed among the twelve tribes, and the remaining one deposited in the ark. The oral law Moses continually taught in the Sanhedrim, to the elders and the rest The law was repeated four times; but the of the people. interpretation was delivered only by word of mouth from generation to generation. In the fortieth year of the flight from Egypt, the memory of the people became treacherous, and Moses was constrained to repeat this oral law, which had been conveyed by successive traditionists. Such is the account of honest David Levi: it is the creed of every rabbin. David believed in every thing, but in Jesus.

This history of the Talmud some inclined to suppose apocryphal, even among a few of the Jews themselves.

When these traditions first appeared, the keenest controversy has never been able to determine. It cannot be denied that there existed traditions among the Jews in the time of Jesus Christ. About the second century they were industriously collected by Rabbi Juda the holy, the prince of the rabbins, who enjoyed the favour of Antoniaus Pius. He has the merit of giving some order to this very multifarious collection.

It appears that the Talmud was compiled by certain Jewish doctors, who were solicited for this purpose by their nation, that they might have something to oppose to their

Christian adversaries.

The learned W. Wotton, in his curious 'Discourses' on the traditions of the Scribes and Pharisees, supplies an analysis of this vast collection; he has translated entire two divisions of this code of traditional laws with the original text and the notes.

There are two Talmuds: the Jerusalem and the Babylonian. The last is the most esteemed, because it is the

most bulky.

R. Juda, the prince of the rabbins, committed to writing all these traditions, and arranged them under six general heads, called orders or classes. The subjects are indeed curious for philosophical inquirers, and multifarious as the events of civil life. Every order is formed of treatises every treatise is divided into chapters, every chapter into mishnas, which word means mixtures or miscellanies, in the form of aphorisms. In the first part is discussed what relates to seeds, fruits, and trees; in the second, feasts; in the third, summen, their duties, their disorders, marriages, diverces, contracts, and nupticals; in the fourth, are treated the damages or losses sustained by beasts or men; of things found; deposits; usunes; rents; farms; partnerships in commerce; inheritance; sales and purchases; ouths; uninesses; arrests; idolatry; and here are named those by whom the oral law was received and preserved. In the fifth part are noticed sacrifices and holy things; and the sixth treats of purifications; vessels; furniture; clothes; houses: lepromy; baths; and numerous other articles. All this forms the MISHNA.

The GEMARA that is, the complement, or perfection, contains the Disputes and the Opinions, of the RABBINS on the oral traditions. Their last decisions. It must be confessed that absurdities are sometimes elucidated by other absurdities; but there are many admirable things in this vast repository. The Jews have such veneration for this compilation, that they compare the holy writings to water, and the Talmud to wine; the text of Moses to peper, but the Talmud to aromatics. Of the twelve hours of which the day is composed, they tell us that God employs nine to study the Talmud, and only three to read the written law!

St Jerome appears evidently to allude to this work, and notices its 'Old Wives' Tales,' and the filthiness of some of its matters. The truth is, that the rabbins resembled the Jesuits and Casuists; and Sanchez's work on 'Matrimonio' is well known to agitate matters with such scrapulous niceties, as to become the most offensive thing possible. But as among the schoolmen and the casuists there have been great men, the same happened to these genaraists. Maimonides was a pillar of light among their darkness. The antiquity of this work is of itself sufficient to make it very curious.

A specimen of the topics may be shown from the table and contents of Mishnic Titles. In the order of seeds, we find the following heads, which presents no uninteresting picture of the pastoral and pious ceremonies of the an-

cient Jews

The Mishna, entitled the Carner, i. e. of the field. The laws of gleaning are commanded according to Leviticus; xix, 9, 10. Of the corner to be left in a corn-field. When the corner is due, and when not. Of the forgotten sheaf. Of ears of corn left in gathering. Of grapes left upon the vine. Of olives left upon the trees. When and where the poor may lawfully glean. What sheaf, or olives, or grapes, may be looked upon to be forgotten, and what not. Who are the proper witnesses concerning the poor's due, to exempt it from tithing, &c. They distinguish uncircumcised fruit:—it is unlawful to eat of the fruit of any tree till the fifth year of its growth: the first three years of its bearing, it is called uncircumcised; the fourth is offered to God; and the fifth may be eaten.

The Mishna, entitled Heterogeneous Mistures, contains several curious horticultural particulars. Of divisions bo-

Digitized by Google

een garden-beds and fields, that the produce of the severail sorts of grains or seeds may appear distinct. Of the distance between every species. Distances between vines planted in corn-fields from one another and from the corn; planted in corn-heids from one abouter and from espaliers, between vines planted against hedges, walls, or espaliers, and any thing sowed near them. Various causes relating to vineyards planted near any forbidden seeds.

In their seventh, or sabbatical year, in which the pro-duce of all estates was given up to the poor, one of their regulations is on the different work which must not be omitted in the sixth year, lest (because the seventh being devoted to the poor) the produce should be unfairly dimin-shed, and the public benefits arising from this law be frus-trated. Of whatever is not perennial, and produced that year by the earth, no money may be made; but what is perenmal may be sold.

On priest's tithes, we have a regulation concerning eating the fruits they are carrying to the place where they are to be separated.

The order of somen is very copious. A husband is before two witnesses. Of the waters of jealousy by which a suspected woman is to be tried by drinking, we find many ample particulars. The ceremonies of clothing the accused woman at her trial. Pregnant women, or who suckle, are not obliged to drink; for the rabbins seem to be well convinced of the effects of the imagination. Of their divorces many are the laws; and care is taken to particularize bills of divorces written by men in delirium or dangerously ill. One party of the rabbins will not allow of any divorce, unless something light was found in the woman's character,

while another (the Pharisees) allow divorces even when a woman has only been so unfortunate as to suffer her hus-band's soup to be burnt! In the order of damages, containing rules how to tax the damages done by man or beast, or other casualties, their distinctions are as nice as their cases are numerous. beams are innocent and what convict. By the one they mean creatures not naturally used to do mischief in any particular way; and by the other, those that naturally, or by a vicious habit, are mischievous that way. The tooth d a beast is convict when it is proved to eat its usual food, of a beast is convict when it is proved to eat its usual food, the property of another man; and full restitution must be made; but if a beast that is used to eat fruits and herbs, graws clothes or damages tools, which are not its usual food, the owner of the beast shall pay but half the damage when committed on the property of the injured person; but if the injury is committed on the property of the person who does the damage, he is free, because the beast grawed what was not its usual food. As thus; if the beast of A graws or tears the clothes of B, in B's house or grounds, A shall pay half the damages; but if B's clothes are injured in A's grounds by A's beast, A is free, for what had such subtile distinctions, as when an ox gores a man or such subtile distinctions, as when an ox gores a man or beast, the law inquired into the habits of the beast; whether it was an ox that used to gore, or an ox that was not used to gore. However these were niceties sometimes acute, they were often ridiculous. No beast could be convicted of being vicious till evidence was given that he had done mischief three successive days; but if he leaves off those vicious tricks for three days more, he is innocent again. An ax may be convict of goring an ox and not a man, or of goring a man and not an ox: nay, of goring on the sabbath, and not a working day. Their aim was to make the punishment depend on the proofs of the design of the beast that did the injury; but this attempt evidently led them to dis-tinctions much too subtile and obscure. Thus some rabbins say that the morning prayer of the Shemah must be read at the time they can distinguish blue from white; but another, more indulgent, insists it may be when we can distinguish blue from green; which latter colours are so hear akin as to require a stronger light. With the same sampus one from grees; which tatter conours are so hear akin as to require a stronger light. With the same remarkable acuteness in distinguishing things, is their law respecting not touching fire on the sabbath. Among those which are specified in this constitution, the rabbins allow the minimum of the sabbath. which are specified in this constitution, the rabbins allow the minister to look over young children by lamp-light, but he shall not read himself. The minister is forbidden to read by lamp-light, lest he should trim his lamp; but he may direct the children where they should read, because that is quickly done, and there would be no danger of his timming his lamp in their presence, or suffering any of them to do it in his. All these regulations, which some may conceive as minute and frivolous, show a great inti-macy with the human heart, and a spirit of profound obser-

vation which had been capable of achieving great purposes.

The owner of an innocent beast only pays half the costs for the mischief incurred. Man is always convict, and for all mischief he does he must pay full costs. However there are casual damages,—as when a man pours water accidentally on another man; or makes a thorn-hedge which annoys his neighbour; or falling down, and another by stumbling on him incurs harm; how such compensations are to be made. He that has a vessel of another's in keeping, and removes it, but in the removal breaks it, must swear to his own integrity: i. e. that he had no design to break it. All offensive or noisy trades were to be carried on at a certain distance from a town. Where there is an estate, the sons inherit and the daughters are maintained; but if there is not enough for all, the daughters are mais tained, and the sons must get their living as they can, or even beg. The contrary to this excellent ordination has

been observed in Europe.

These few titles may enable the reader to form a general notion of the several subjects on which the Mishne The Gemara or Commentary is often overloaded with ineptitudes and rediculous subtilties. For instance, in the article of 'Negative Oaths.' If a man swears he will eat no bread, and does eat all sorts of bread, in that case the perjury is but one; but if he swears that he will eat neither barley, nor wheaten, nor rye-bread, the perjury is multiplied as he multiplies his eating of the several sorts. Again, the Pharisees and the Sadducees had strong differences about touching the holy writings with their hands. The doctors ordained that whoever touched the book of the law must not eat of the trums (first fruits of the wrought produce of the ground,) till they had washed their hands. The reason they gave was this. In times of persecution they used to hide those sacred books in secret@places, and good men would lay them out of the way when they had done reading them. It was possible then that these rolls of the law might be gnawed by mice. The hands then that touched these books when they took them out of the places where they had laid them up, were supposed to be unclean, so far as to disable them from cating the truma till they were washed. On that account they made this a general rule, that if any part of the Bible (except Ecclesiastes, because that excellent book their sagacity accounted less holy than the rest) or their phylacteries, or the strings of their phylacteries, were touched by one who had a right to eat the truma, he might not eat it till he had washed his hands. An evidence of that superstitious trifling for which the Pharisees and the later Rabbins have been so justly reprobated.

They were absurdly minute in the literal observance of their vows, and as shamefully subtile in their artful evasion of them. The Pharisees could be easy enough to them. selves when convenient, and always as hard and unrelent-ing as possible to all others. They quibbled, and dissolved their vows with experienced casuistry. Jesus reproaches the Pharisees in Matthew xv, and Mark vii, for flagrantly violating the fifth commandment, by allowing the vow of a son, perhaps made in hasty anger, its full force, when he had sworn that his father should never be the better for him, or any thing he had, and by which an indigent father might be suffered to starve. There is an express case to this purpose in the Mishna, in the title of Voice. The reader may be amused by the story .- A man made a vow that his father should not profit by him. This man after-wards made a wedding-feast for his own son, and wishes his father should be present; but he cannot invite him because he is tied up by his vow. He invented this expedient:—he makes a gift of the court in which the feast was to be kept, and of the feast itself, to a third person in trust, that his father should be invited by that third person with the other company whom he at first designed. This third person then says,—If these things you thus give me are mine, I will dedicate them to God, and then none of you can be the better for them. The son replied,—I did not give them to you that you should consecrate them. These the third man said,—Yeurs was no donation, only you were willing to eat and drink with your father. Thus, says R. Juda, they dissolved each other's intentions; and when the case came before the rabbins, they decreed, that his father should be present; but he cannot invite him bea gift which may not be consecrated by the person to whom it is given is not a gift.

The following extract from the Talmud exhibits a subtile mode of reasoning, which the Jews adopted when the learned of Rome sought to persuade them to comform to their idolatry. It forms an entire Mishna, entitled Seder Novice hin, Avoda Zara, iv, 7, on idolatrous worship, translated

Wotton

by Wotton.

Some Roman senators examined the Jews in this mannor:—If God had no delight in the worship of idols, why did he not destroy them? The Jews made answer,—If men had worshipped only things of which the world had had no need, he would have destroyed the objects of their wor-ship; but they also worship the sun and moon, stars and planets; and then he must have destroyed his world for the why does not God destroy the things which the world does not want, and leave those things which the world does without? Because, replied the Jews, this would strengthen the hands of such as worship these necessary things, who would then say,—Ye allow now that these are gods, since they are not destroyed.

#### RABBINICAL STORIES.

The preceding article furnishes some of the more serious investigations to be found in the Talmud. Its levities may amuse. I leave untouched the gross obscenities and amoral decisions. The Talmud contains a vast collection of stories, apologies, and jests; many display a vein of pleasantry, and at times have a wildness of invention which sufficiently mark the features of an eastern parent. Many extravagantly puerile were designed merely to recreate their young students. When a rabbin was asked the reason of so much nonsense, he replied that the ancients When a rabbin was asked had a custom of introducing music in their lectures, which accompaniment made them more agreeable; but that not having musical instruments in the schools, the rabbins invented these strange stories to arouse attention. This was ingeniously said; but they make miserable work when they pretend to give mystical interpretations to pure non-

These rabbinical stories, and the LEGENDS of the Catholics, though they will be despised, and are too often despicable, yet as the great Lord Bacon said of some of these inventions, they would ' serve for winter talk by the fire-side; and a happy collection from these stories is much wanted.
In 1711, a German professor of the Oriental languages,

Dr Eisenmenger published in two large volumes quarto, his 'Judaism discovered,' a ponderous labour, of which the

scope was to ridicule the Jewish traditions.

I shall give a dangerous adventure into which King David was drawn by the devil. The king one day hunting, Satan appeared before him in the likeness of a roe. David disparged an arrow at him, but missed his aim. He pursued the feigned roe into the land of the Philistines. Ishbi, the brother of Goliath, instantly recognized the king as him, who had slain that giant. He bound him, and bended him neck and heels, and laid him under a wine-press in order to press him to death. A miracle saves David. The earth eneath him became soft, and Ishbi could not press wine out of him. That evening in the Jewish congregation a dove, whose wings were covered with silver, appeared in great perplexity; and evidently signified the King of Israel was in trouble. A bishai, one of the king's counsellors, inquiring for the king, and finding him absent, is at a loss to proceed, for according to the Mishna, no one may ride on the king's horse, nor sit upon his throne, nor use his scepere. The school of the rabbins however allowed thes things in time of danger. On this Abishai vaults on David's horse, and (with an Oriental metaphor) the land of the house, he beholds his mother Orpa spinning. Perceiving the Israelite, she snatched up her spinning-wheel and threw st at him, to kill him; but not hitting him, she desired him to bring the spinning-wheel to her. He did not do this exet at him, to kui nim; our section to bring the spinning-wheel to her. He did not do this exactly, but returned it to her in such a way that she never actly, but returned it are not not such a way that she never her spinning-wheel. When Ishbis saw asked any more for her spinning-wheel. When Ishbi saw this, and recollecting that David, though tied up neck and this, and recollecting that David, though tied up neck and heels, was still under the wine-press, he cried out, 'There are now two, who will destroy me?' So he threw David high up into the air, and stuck his spear into the ground, imagining that David would fall upon it and perish. But Abishai pronounced the magical name, which the Talmudssts frequently made use of, and it caused David to hover between earth and heaven, so that he fell not down! Both at length units against 1sbbi, and observing that two young sions should kill-me lien, find no difficulty in getting rid of the brother of Goliath.

Of Salemon, another favourite here of the Talmudists a

Of Solomon, another favourite hero of the Talmudists a ne Arabian story is told. This king was an adopt in ne-remancy, and a male and a female devil were always in

waiting for any omergency. It is observable, that the Arabians who have many stories concerning Solomon, always describe him as a magician. His adventures with Aschmedai, the prince of devils, are numerous; and they both (the king and the devil) served one another many a alippery trick. One of the most remarkable is when Aschmedal, who was prisoner to Solomon, the king having contrived to possess himself of the devil's seal-ring, and chained him, one day offered to answer an unholy question put to him by Solomon, provided he returned him his scal-ring and loosesed his chain. The impertinent curiosity of Solomon induced him to commit this folly. Instantly Aschmedai swallowed the monarch, and stretching out his wings up to the firmament of heaven, one of his feet remaining on the earth, he spit out Solomon four hundred leagues from him. was done so privately that no one knew any thing of the matter. Aschmedaithen assumed the likeness of Solomo and sat on his throne. From that hour did Solomon say, 'This then is the reward of all my labour,' according to Ecclesiasticus, i, 3; which this, means, one rabbin says, his walking staff; and another insists was his ragged coat. For Solomon went a begging from door to door; and wherever he came he uttered these words: 'I the preacher, was king over Israel in Jerusalem.' At length coming be fore the council, and still repeating these remarkable words without addition or variation, the rabbins said; 'This means something; for a fool is not constant in his tale!
They asked the chamberlain if the king frequently saw him? and he replied to them, No! then they sent to the queens, to ask if the king came into their apartments? and they answered, Yes! The rabbins then sent them a message to take notice of his feet; for the feet of devils are like the feet of cocks. The queens acquainted them that his majesty always came in slippers, but forced them to embraces at times forbidden by the law. He had attempted to lie with his mother Bathsheba, whom he had almost tora to pieces. At this the rabbins assembled in great haste, and taking the beggar with them, they gave him the ring and the chain in which the great magical name was engraven, and led him to the palace. Aschmedai was sitting on the throne as the real Solomon entered; but instantly he shricked and flew away. Yet to his last day was Solomon afraid of the prince of devils, and had his bed guarded by the valiant men of Israel, as is written in Cant. iii, 7, 8.

They frequently display much humour in their inven-tions, as in the following account of the manners and morals of an infamous town which derided all justice. There were in Sodom four judges, who were liars, and deriders of justice. When any one had struck his neighbour's wife and caused her to miscarry, these judges thus counselled the husband; 'Give her to the offender that he may get her with child for thee.' When any one had cut off an ear of his neighbour's ass, they said to the owner,— Let him have the ass till the ear is grown again, that it may be re-turned to thee as thou wishest. When any one had wounded his neighbour, they told the wounded man to give him a fee, for letting him blood. A toll was exacted in passing a certain bridge; but if any one chose to wade through the water, or walk round about to save it, he was condemned to a double toll. Eleasar, Abraham's servant, came thither, and they wounded him.-When before the judge he was ordered to pay his fee for having his blood judge he was ordered to pay his tee for having his blood let, Eleasar flung a stone at the judge and wounded him; on which the judge said to him,—What meaneth this f Eleasar replied,—Give him who wounded me the fee that is due to myself for wounding thee. The people of this town had a bedstead on which they laid travellers who asked to rest. If any one was too long for it, they cut off his legs; and if he was shorter than the bedstead, they strained him to its head and foot. When a beggar came to this legs; and if he was shorter than the bedstead, they strained him to its head and foot. When a beggar came to this town, every one gave him a penny, on which was inscribed the donor's name; but they would sell him no bread, nor let him escape. When the beggar died from hunger, then they came about him, and each man took babk his penny. These stories are curious inventions of keen mockery and malice, seasoned with humour. It is said some of the famous decisions of Sancho Panza are to be found in the Talanta. found in the Talmud.

Abraham is said to have been jealous of his wives, and built an enchanted city for them. He built an iron city and put them in.—The walls were so high and dark the sun could not be seen in it. He gave them a bowl full of pearls and jewels, which sent forth a light in this dark city equal to the sun. Noah, it seems, when in the art, had no other light than jewels and pearls. Abraham is travelling to Egypt brought with him a chest. At the cuscers exacted the duties. Abraham would tons-nouse the omeers exacted the duties. Arganam would have readily paid, but desired they would not open the chest. They first insisted on the duty for clothes, which Abraham consented to pay; but then they thought by his ready acquisecence that it might be gold.—Abraham consents to pay for gold. They now suspected it might be silk. Abraham was willing to pay for silk, or more costly pearls; and Abraham generously consented to pay as if the chest contained the most valuable of things. It was then they resolved to open and examine the chest. And behold as soon as the chest was opened, that great lustre of human beauty broke out which made such a noise in the land of Egypt; it was Sarah herself! The jealous Abraham, to conceal her beauty had locked her up in this chost. The whole creation in these rabbinical fancies is strange-

ly gigantic and vast. The works of eastern nations are of these descriptions; and Hesiod's Theogony, and Milton's battles of angels, are puny in comparison with these rabbinical heroes, or rabbinical things. Mountains are hurled with all their woods with great case, and creatures start into existence too terrible for our conceptions. The winged monster in the 'Arabian Nights,' called the Roe, is evidently one of the creatures of rabbinical fancy; it would sometimes, when very hungry, seize and fly away with an elephant. Captain Cook found a bird's nest in an with an elephant. island near New-Holland, built with sticks on the ground, six-and-twenty feet in circumference, and near three feet m height. But of the rabbinical birds, fish, and animals, it s not probable any circumnavigator will ever trace even

.e slightest vestige or resemblance.
One of their birds, when it spreads its wings, blots out the sun. An egg from another fell out of its nest, and the white thereof broke and glued about three hundred codar-trees, and overflowed a village. One of them stands up to the lower joint of the leg in a river, and some mariners imagining the water was not deep, were hasting to bathe, when a voice from heaven said,—'Step not in there, for seven years ago there a carpenter dropped his aze, and it hath

not yet reached the bottom.'

The following passage concerning fat geese is perfectly in the style of these rabbins. 'A rabbin once saw in a desert a flock of gress so fat that their feathers fell off, and the rivers flowed in fat. Then said I to them, shall we have part of you in the other world when the Messiah shall come? And one of them lifted up a wing, and another shall come? And one of them lifted up a wing, and shall come? And one of them lifted up a wing, and shall come? And one of these geese; but we Israelites shall be called to an account touching these fat geese, their sufferings are owing to us. It is our iniquities that have delayed the coming of the Messiah, and these geese suffer greatly by reason of their excessive fat, which daily and daily increases, and will increase till the Messiah comes!"

What the manna was which fell in the wilderness has often been disputed, and still is disputable: it was suffi-cient for the rabbins to have found in the Bible that the taste of it was 'as a wafer made with honey,' to have raised their fancy to its pitch. They declare it was 'like oil to children, honey to old men, and cakes to middle age. It had every kind of taste except that of cucumbers, melons, garlic, and onions, and leeks, for these were those Egyptian roots which the Israelites so much regretted to have This manna had, however, the quality to accomodate itself to the palate of those who did not murmur in the

wilderness: and to these it became fish, flesh, or fowl.

The rabbins never advance an absurdity without quoting a text in scripture; and to substantiate this fact they quote Deut. ii, 7, where it is said, 'through this great wilderness, these forty years the Lord thy God hath been with thee, and these hast lacked nothing?' St Austin repeats this explanation of the rabbins, that the faithful found in this manna the taste of their favourite food! However the Israelites could not have found all these benefits as the rabbins tell us, for in Numbers xi, 6, they exclaim, 'There is nothing at all, besides thi smanns before our eyes.' They had just said that they remembered the melons, cucumbers, had just used that they remembered the morean cuctamorry, and acc, which they had eaten of so freely in Egypt. One of the hyperboles of the rabbins is, that the manas fell in such mountains that the kings of the east and the west behold them; which they found in a passage in the 23d Praim: mem; which they found in a passage in the 23d Praim:
Thou preparest a table before me in the presence of
mine ensentes? These may serve as specimens of the
forced interpretations on which their grotesque falses are
founded.

Their detestation of Titus, their great conqueror, appears by the following wild invention.—After having as rated certain things too shameful to read, of a prince whom Josephus describes in far different colours, they tell us that on sea Trius tauntingly observed in a great storm that the God of the Jows was only powerful on the water, and that therefore he had succeeded in drowning Pharaoh and Sisra. 'Had he been strong he would have waged war with me in Jerusalem.' On uttering this blasphemy, a voice from heaven said, 'Wicked man! I have a little cresture in the world which shall wage war with thee!' When Titus landed, a goat entered his nostrils, and for seven years to-gether made holes in his brains. When his skull was opened the gnat was found as large as a pigeon : the mouth of the gnat was of copper and the claws of iron.

That however there are some beautiful inventions in the Talmud, I refer to the story of 'Solomon and Sheba,' in

the present collections.

## ON THE CUSTOM OF SALUTING AFTER SPEEZING.

It is probable that this custom, so universally prevalent, originated in some ancient superstition; it seems to have

excited inquiry among all nations.

Some Catholics, says Father Feyjoo, have attributed the origin of this custom to the ordinance of a pope, Saint Gregory—who is said to have instituted a short benediction to be used on such occasions, at a time when, during a pestilence, the crisis was attended by seezing, and in most cases followed by death.

But the Rabbins who have a story for every thing, say, that before Jacob, men never sneezed but once, and then immediately died: they assure us that that patriarch was the first who died by natural disease, before him all men died by sneezing; the memory of which was ordered to bepreserved in all nations by a command of every prince to his subjects to employ some salutary exclamation after the act of sneezing. But these are Talmudical dreams, and only serve to prove that so familiar a custom has always

created inquiry.

Even Aristotle has delivered some considerable no sense on this custom; he says it is an honourable acknow-ledgment of the seat of good sense and genius—the head to distinguish it from two other offensive eruptions of air, which are never accompanied by any benediction from the by-standers. The custom at all events existed long prior to Pope Gregory. The lover in Apulicus, Gyton in Petronius, and allusions to it in Pliny, prove its antiquity; and a memoir of the French academy notices the practice in the New World on the first discovery of America. Every where man is saluted for sneezing.

An amusing account of the ceremonies which attend the sneezing of a king of Menomotapa, shows what a na-tional concern may be the sneeze of despotism.—Those who are near his person, when this happens, salute him in so loud a tone that persons in the antichamber hear it and join in the acclamation; in the adjoining apartments they do the same, till the noise reaches the street, and become propagated throughout the city; so that at each sneeze of his majesty, results a most horrid cry from the salutations of many thousands of his vassals.

When the king of Sennaar speezes, his courtiers immediately turn their backs on him, and give a loud slap on

their right thigh.

With the antients sneezing was ominous; from the ight it was considered auspicious; and Plutarch, in his life of Themistocles, says, that before a naval battle it was a sign of conquest! Catullus, in his pleasing poem of Acme and Septimius, makes this action from the drity of Love from the left the source of his fiction. The passage has been elegantly versified by a poetical friend, who finds authority that the gods sneezing on the right in homes, is supposed to come to us on earth on the left.

> Cupid sneezing in his flight Once was heard upon the right, Boding we to lovers true; But now upon the left he flew, And with sportive meeze divine, Gave of joy the sacred sign. Acme bent her lovely face, Flush'd with rapture's rosy grace, And those eyes that swam in bies, Pres't with many a breathing kins Breathing, murmuring, soft, and low, Thus might life for ever flow! Love of my life, and life of leve! Cupid rules our fates above, Digitized by GOOGLE

Ever let us vow to join In homage at his happy shrine." Cupid heard the lovers true, Again upon the left he flew, And with sportive sneeze divine, Renew'd of joy the sacred sign.

#### . BONAVENTURE DE PERIERS.

A happy art in the relation of a story is, doubtless, very agreeable talent-it has obtained La Fontaine all the

applause his charming naiveté deserves.

Bonaventure de Periers, Valet de Chambre de la Royne
de Naverre,' of whom the French have three little volumes of tales in proce, shows that pleasantry and sportive vein in which the tales of that time frequently abound. The following short anecdote is not given as the best specimen of our author, but as it introduces a novel etymology of a

word in great use.

'A student at law, who studied at Poitiers, had tolera-bly improved himself in cases of equity; not that he was overburdened with learning, but his chief deficiency was a want of assurance and confidence to display his knowledge. His father passing by Poitiers, recommended him to read aloud, and to render his memory more, prompt by continued exercise. To obey the injunctions of his father he determined to read at the Ministery. In order to obtain a certain assurance, he went every day into a garden, which was a very secret spot, being at a distance from any house, and where there grew a great number of fine large cabbages. Thus for a long time he pursued his stu-dies, and repeated his lectures to these cabbages, addressing them by the title of gentlemen; and balancing his periods to them as if they had composed an audience of scholars. After a fortnight or three weeks preparation, he thought it was high time to take the chair; imagining that he should be able to lecture his scholars as well as he had before done his cabbages. He comes forward, he begins his oration-but before a dozen words his tongue freezes between his teeth! Confused and hardly knowing where he was, all he could bring out was—Domini, Ego bene wideo quod non estis caules; that is to say—for there are some who will have every thing in plain English—Gentlewen, I now clearly see you are not cabbages! In the garden he could conceive the cabbages to be scholars; but in the shair, he could not conceive the scholars to be cabbages.

On this story La Monnoye has a note, which gives a new origin to a familiar term.

The hall of the School of Equity at Poitiers, where the institutes were read, was called La Ministerie. On which bead, Florimond de Remond (book vii, ch. 11,) speaking of Albert Babinot, one of the first disciples of Calvin, after having said he was called 'The good man,' adds, that because he had been a studert of the institutes at this Ministeris of Poitiers, Calvin, and others, styled him Mr Minister; from whence, afterwards, Calvin took occasion to give the name of Ministerns to the pastors of his church.

The life of Grotius has been written by De Burigny; it shows the singular felicity of a man of letters and a states man; and in what manner a student can pass his hours in the closest imprisonment. The gate of the prison has sometimes been the porch of fame.

Grotius was born with the happiest dispositions; stu-Grouss was born with the happiest dispositions; studious from his infancy, ho had also received from Nature the qualities of genius; and was so fortunate as to find in his father a tutor who had formed his early taste and his moral feelings. The younger Grotius, in imitation of Horaco, has celebrated his gratitude in verse.

One of the most interesting circumstances in the life of thus great man, which strongly marks his genius and fortunde, is displayed in the manner in which he employed his turn during his imprisonment. Other man con-

his time during his imprisonment. Other men, con-demned to exile and captivity, if they survive, they de-spair: the man of letters counts those days as the sweetest of his life.

When a prisoner at the Hague, he laboured on a Latin essay on the means of terminating religious disputes, which eccasion so many infelicities in the state, in the church, and in families; when he was carried to Louvestein, he re-sumed his law studies, which other employments had interrupted. He gave a portion of his time to moral philoso-phy, which, engaged him to translate the maxims of the an-cient poets, collected by Stohens, and the fragments of Menander and Philemon. Every Sunday was devoted to

ead the scriptures, and to write his Commentaries on the New Testament. In the course of this work he fell ill, but as soon as he recovered his health he composed his treatise, in Dutch verse, on the Truth of the Christian Religion. Sacred and profane authors occupied him alternately. Sacred and protate atturors occupied mine and material.

only mode of refreshing his mind was to pass from one work to another. He sent to Vossius his Observations on the Tragedies of Seneca. He wrote several other works: particularly a little Catechism, in verse, for his daughter Cornelia: and collected materials to form his Apology. Add to these various labours and extensive correspon he held with the learned and his friends; and his letters were often so many treatises. There is a printed collection amounting to two thousand. Grotius had notes ready for every classical author of antiquity whenever they pre-pared a new edition; an account of his plans and his per-formances might furnish a volume of themselves; yet he never published in haste, and was fond of revising them; we must recollect, notwithstanding such interrupted literary avocations, his hours were frequently devoted to the public functions of an ambassador. I only reserve for my stu-dies the time which other ministers give to their pleasures, to conversations often useless, and to visits sometimes un necessary; such is the language of this great man! Al-though he thus produced abundantly, his confinement was not more than two years. We may well exclaim here, that the mind of Grotius had never been imprisoned.

Perhaps the most sincere eulogium, and the most grateful to this illustrious scholar, was that which he received at

the hour of his death.

When this great man was travelling, he was suddenly struck by the hand of death, at the village of Rostock. struck by the hand of death, at the vinings of measurements, The parish minister, who was called in his last moments, ignorant who the dying man was, began to go over the usual points; but Grotius, who saw there was no time to lose in exhortations, turned to him, and told him, that he needed them not; and concluded by saying, Sum Grotius—I am Grotius. Tu magnus ille Grotius!— What! are you the great Grotius T interrogated the minister.—What an eu-logium! This anecdote seems, however, apocryphal; for we have a narrative of his death by the clergyman himself. On the death of Grotius a variety of tales were spread concerning his manner of dying raised by different

In the approbation of the censeur to print this 'Vie de Grotius,' it is observed that while 'his history gives us a clear idea of the extent of the human mind, it will further inform us, that Grotius died without reaping any advantage from his great talents."

## NOBLEMEN TURNED CRITICS.

I offer to the contemplation of those unfortunate mortals who are necessitated to undergo the criticisms of lards, this pair of anecdotes-

Soderini, the Gonfaloniere of Florence, having had a statue made by the great Michael Angelo, when it was finished came to inspect it; and having for some time sagaciously considered it, poring now on the face, then on the arms, the knees, the form of the leg, and at length on the foot itself; the statue being of such perfect beauty, he found himself at a loss to display his powers of criticism, but by lavishing his praise. But only to praise, might appear as if there had been an obtuseness in the keenness of his criticism. He trembled to find a fault, but a fault must be At length he ventured to mutter something confound. At length he ventured to motter something con-cerning the nose; it might, he thought, be something more Grecian. Angelo differed from his grace, but he said he would attempt to gratify his taste. He took up his chisel, and concealed some marble dust in his hand; feigning to retouch the part, he advoitly let fall some of the dust he held concealed. The cardinal observing it as it fell, tranheld concealed. The cardinal observing it as it fell, tra sported at the idea of his critical acumen, exclaimed-

'Ah, Angelo! you have now given an mimitable grace.'
When Pope was first introduced to read his Iliad to Lord
Halifax, the noble critic did not venture to he dissatisfied with so perfect a composition; but, like the cardinal, this passage, and that word, this turn, and that expression, formed the broken cant of his criticisms. The honest poet was stung with vexation; for, in general, the parts at which his lordship hesitated were those of which he was most satisfied. As he returned home with Sir Samuel Garth he

Digitized by GOOGLO

vou have recollected his criticisms; and I'll warrant you of his approbation of them. This is what I have done a hundred times myself.' Pope made use of this stratagem; it took, like the marble dust of Angele; and my lord, like the cardinal, exclaimed—' Dear Pope, they are now inimitable!'

## LITERARY IMPOSTURES.

Some authors have practised singular impositions on the public. Varillas, the French historian, enjoyed for some time a great reputation in his own country for his historic compositions, but when they became more known, the scholars of other countries destroyed the reputation he had unjustly acquired. His continual professions of sincerity prejudiced many in his favour, and made him pass for a writer who had penetrated into the inmost recesses of the cabinet; but the public were at length undeceived, and were convinced that the historical anecdotes which Varillas put off for authentic facts had no foundation, being wholly his own inventing:—though he endeavoured to make them pass for realities by affected citations of titles, instructions, letters, memoirs, and relations, all of them imaginary? He had read almost every thing historical printed and manuscript; but he had a fertile political imagination, and gave his conjectures as facts, while he quoted at random his pretended authorities. Burnet's book against Varillas is a curious little volume.

Gemelli Carreri, a Neapolitan gentleman, for many years never quutted his chamber; confined by a tedious indisposition, he amused himself with writing a Voyage round the IVorla; giving characters of men, and descriptions of countries, as if he had really visited them; and his volumes are still very interesting. Du Halde, who has written so voluminous an account of China, compiled it from the Momoirs of the missionaries, and never travelled ten leagues from Paris in his life; though he appears, by his writings, to be very familiar with Chinese scenery.

Damberger's travels, more recently made a great sensation—and the public were duped; they proved to be the ideal voyages of a member of the German Grub-street, about his own garret! Too many of our 'Travels' have been manufactured to fill a certain size; and some which bear names of great authority, were not written by the professed authors.

This is an excellent observation of an anonymous author:— suriters who never visited foreign countries, and resulters who have run through immense regions with fleeting pace, have given us long accounts of various countries and people; evidently collected from the idle reports and absurd traditions of the ignorant vulgar, from whom only they could have received those relations which we see accumulated with such undiscerning credulity.

Some authors have practised the singular imposition of announcing a variety of titles of works as if preparing for the press, but of which nothing but the titles have been

Paschal, historiographer of France, had a reason for these ingenious inventions; he continually announced such titles, that his pension for writing on the history of France snight nut be stopped. When he died, his historical labours did not exceed six pages!

Gregorio Reti is an historian of much the same stamp as Varilas. He wrote with great facility, and hunger generally quickened his pen. He took every thing too lightly; yet his works are sometimes looked into for many anecdotes of English history not to be found elsewhere; and perhaps ought not to have been there if truth had been consulted. His great aim was always to make a book: he swells his volumes with digressions, attersperses many ridiculous stories, and applies all the repartees he collected from old provel-writers. to medern characters.

from old novel-writers, to modern characters.

Such forgeries abound; the numerous 'Testamens
Politiques' of Colbert, Mazarine, and other great ministers,
were forgeries usually from the Dutch press, as are many
pretended political 'Memoirs.'

Of our old translations from the Greek and Latin authors, many were taken from French versions.

The travels written in Hebrew, of Rahbi Benjamin of Talela, of which we have a curious translation, are, I believe, apocryphal. He describes a journey, which if ever he took, it must have been with his night-cap on; being a perfect dream! It is said that to inspirit and give importance to his nation, he pretended he had travelled to all the synagogues in the east; places he mentions he does not appear ever to have seen, and the different people he

describes no one has known. He calculates that he has found near eight hundred thousand Jews, of which about half are independent, and not subjects to any Christian or Gentile sovereign. These fictitious travels have been a source of much trouble to the learned; particularly to those whose zeal to authenticate them induced them to follow the aerial footsteps of the Hyppogriffe of Rabbi Benjamin. He affirms that the tomb of Ezekiel, with the library of the first and second temples, were to be seen in his time at a place on the banks of the river Euphrates; Wesselius of Groningen, and many other literati; travelled on purpose to Mesopotamia, to reach the tomb and examine the library, but the fairy treasures were never to be seen, nor even heard of!

The first on the list of impudeat impostures is Annius of Viterbo, a Dominican, and master of the sacred palace under Alexander VI. He pretended he had discovered the genuine works of Sanchoniatho, Manetho, Berosus, and other works, of which only fragments are remaining. He published seventeen books of antiquities! but not having any area to produce, though he declared he had found there buried in the earth, these literary fabrications occasioned great controversies; for the author died before he had made up his mind to a confession. At their first publication universal joy was diffused among the learned. Suspicion soon rose, and detection followed. However, as the forger never would acknowledge himself as such, it has been in-geniously conjectured that he himself was imposed on, rather than that he was the impostor; or, as in the case of Chatterton, possibly all may not be fictitious. It has been said that a great volume in mss anterior by two hundred years to the seventeen folios of Annius, exists in the Bibliotheque Colbertine, in which these pretended histories were to be read; but as Annius would never point out the sources of his seventeen folios, the whole is considered as a very wonderful imposture. I refer the reader to Tyrwhittt's Vindication of his Appendix to Rowley's or Chatterton's Poems, p. 140, for some curious observations, and some facts of literary imposture.

One of the most extraordinary literary impostures was that of one Joseph Vella, who, in 1794, was an adventurer in Sicily, and pretended that he possessed seventeen of the lost books of Livy in Arabic: he had received this literary treasure, he said, from a Frenchman who had purloined it from a shelf in St Sophia's church at Constantinople. As many of the Greek and Roman classics have been translated by the Arabians, and many were first known in Eu-rope in their Arabic dress, there was nothing improbable in rope in their Arabic dress, there was nothing improbable in one part of his story. He was urged to publish these long-desired books; and Lady Spencer, then in Italy, offered to defray the expenses. He had the effrontery, by way of specimen, to edit an Italian translation of the sixtieth book, but that book took up no more than one octavo page! professor of Oriental literature in Prussia introduced it in his work, never suspecting the fraud; it proved to be no-thing more than the epitome of Florus. He also gave out that he possessed a code which he had picked up in the abbey of St Martin, containing the ancient history of Sicily, in the Arabic period comprehending above two hundred years; and of which ages, their own historians were one tirely deficient in knowledge. Vella declared he had a genuine official correspondence between the Arabian governments. nors of Sicily and their superiors in Africa, from the first landing of the Arabians in that island. Vella was now loaded with honours and pensions! It is true he showed Arabic Mss, which, however, did not contain a syllable of what he said. He pretended he was in continual correspondence with friends at Morocco and elsewhere. The King of Naples furnished him with money to assist his re-searches. Four volumes in quarto were at length pub-lished! Vella had the adroitness to change the Arabic ass he possessed, which entirely related to Mahomet, to matters relative to Sicily; he bestowed several weeks labour to disfigure the whole, altering page for page, line for line, and word for word, but interspersed numberless dots, strokes, and flourishes, so that when he published a fac-simile, every one admired the learning of Vella, who could translate what no one else could read. He complained he had lost an eye in this minute labour; and every one thought his pension ought to have been increased. Every thing prospered about him, except his eye, which some thought was not so bad neither. It was at length discovered by his blunders, &c., that the whole was a forgery; though it had now been patronized, translated, and ex tracted throughout Europe. When this as was examined

by an Orientalist, it was discovered to be nothing but a history of Mahomet and his family. Vella was condemned

to imprisonment.

The Spanish antiquary, Medina Conde, in order to fayour the pretensions of the church in a great lawsuit, forged deeds and inscriptions, which he buried in the ground, where he knew they would shortly be dug up. Upon their being found, he published engravings of them and gave explanations of their unknown characters, making them out to be so many authentic proofs and evidences of the contested assumptions of the clergy.

The Morocco ambassador purchased of him a copper bracelet of Fatima, which Medina proved by the Arabic inscription and many certificates to be genuine, and found among the ruins of the Alhambra, with other treasures of its last king, who had hid them there in hope of better days. This famous bracelet turned out afterwards to be the work of Medina's own hands, and made out of an old brass

candlestick!

George Psalmanazer, to whose labours we owe much of the great Universal History, exceeded in powers of deception any of the great impostors of learning. His island of Formosa was an illusion eminently bold, and maintained with as much felicity as erudition; and great must have been that erudition which could form a pretended language and its grammar, and ertile the genius which could invent the history of an unknown people; it is said that the deception was only satisfactorily ascertained by his own peni-tential confession; he had defied and baffled the most learned. The literary impostor Lauder had much more audacity than ingenuity, and he died contemned by all the world. Ireland's Shakspeare served to show that commentators are not blessed, necessarily, with an interior and

neuron are not blessed, necessarily, with an interior and unerring fact. Genius and learning are ill directed in forming literary impositions, but at least they must be distinguished from the fabrications of ordinary impostors. A singular forgery was practised on Captain Wilford by a learned Hindoo, who, to ingratiate himself and his studies with the too zealous and pious European, contrived among other attempts to give the history of Noah and his three sons, in his 'Purana,' under the designation of Satyavrata. Captain Wilford having reed the passage transcribed it for Captain Wilford having read the passage, transcribed it for Sir William Jones, who translated it as a curious extract; the whole was an interpolation by the dextrous introduction of a forged sheet, discoloured and prepared for the purpose of deception, and which, having served his purpose for the moment, was afterwards withdrawn. As books in India are not pound, it is not difficult to introduce loose leaves. To confirm his various impositions this learned forgerer had the patience to write two voluminous sections, in which he connected all the legends together in the style of the Puranas, consisting of 12,000 lines. When Captain Wilford resolved to collate the manuscript with others, the learned Hindoo began to disfigure his own manuscript, the captain's, and those of the college, by crasing the name of the country and substituting that of Egypt. With as much ains, and with a more honourable direction, our Hindoo Lauder might have immortalized his inverted invention.

We have authors who sold their names to be prefixed to works they never read; or, on the contrary, have prefixed the names of others to their own writing. Sir John Hill owned to a friend once when he fell sick, that he had overfatigued himself with writing seven works at once! One of which was on architecture, and another on cookery! This here once contracted to translate Swammerdam's work on asects for fifty guineas. After the agreement with the bookseller, he perfectly recollected that he did not under-stand a single word of the Dutch language! nor did there exist a French translation. The work however was not the less done for this small obstacle. Sir John bargained with another translator for twenty-five guiness. The second translator was precisely in the same situation as the first; as ignorant, though not so well paid as the knight. He rebargained with a third, who perfectly understood his original, for twelve guineas! So that the translators who could not translate seasted on venison and turtle, while the modest drudge, whose name never appeared to the world, broke in patience his daily bread! The craft of authorship broke in patience his daily bread? The craft of authorship has many mysteries. The great patriarch and primeval dealer in English literature, is said to have been Robert Green, one of the most facetious, profligate, and indefinition of the scribleri family. He laid the foundation of a new dynasty of literary emperors. The first act by which he proved his claim to the throne of Grub-street has served

as a model to his numerous successors—it was an ambi-dextrous trick! Green sold his 'Orlando Furioso' to two different theatres, and is supposed to have been the first author in English literary history who wrote as a trader; or as crabbed Anthony Wood phrases it in the language of celibacy and cynicism, 'he wrote to maintain his soife, and that high and loose course of living which poets generally follow. With a drop still sweeter, old Anthony describes Cauton another worthy. 'he arms up to I poedoc to line Gayton, another worth; 'he came up to London to live in a shirking condition, and wrote trite things merely to get bread to sustain him, and his wife.' The Hermit Anthony seems to have had a mortal antipathy against the Eves d literary men.

#### CARDINAL RICHELIEU.

The present anecdote concerning Cardinal Richelieu may serve to teach the man of letters how he deals out criticism to the great, when they ask his opinion of manu-

scripts, be they in verse or prose.

The cardinal placed in a gallery of his palace the por-traits of several illustrious men, and he was desirous of composing the inscriptions to be placed round the portraits. That he intended for Montluc, the marechal of France, was conceived in these terms: Multa fect, plura scripsit, vir tamin magnus fuit. He showed it without mentioning the author to Bourbon, the royal professor in Greek, and asked his opinion concerning it; He reprobated it, and considered that the Latin was much in the style of the breviary; and, if it had concluded with an alleluyah, it would serve for an anthem to the magnificant. The cardinal agreed with the severity of his strictures; and even nal agreed with the severity of the professor; for, he said, 'it is really written by a priest.' But however he might approve of Bourbon's critical powers, he punished without mercy his ingenuity. The pension his majesty had bestowed on him was withheld the next year.

The cardinal was one of those ambitious men who foolishly attempt to rival every kind of genius; and seeing himself constantly disappointed, he envied, with all the venom of rancour, those talents which are so frequently the all that men of genius possess.

He was jealous of Balzac's splendid reputation; and offered the elder Heinsius ten thousand crowns to write a criticism which should ridicule his elaborate compositions. This Heinsius refused, because Salmasius threatened to revenge Balzac on his *Herodes infanticida*.

He attempted to rival the reputation of Corneille's 'Cid,' by opposing to it one of the most ridiculous dramatic pro ductions; it was the allegorical tragedy called 'Europe,' in which the minister had congregated the four quarters of the world! Much political matter was thrown together, divided into scenes and acts. There are appended to it keys of the Dramatis personse and of the allegories. In this tragedy, Francia represents France; Ibere, Spain; Parthenope, Naples, &c. and these have their attendants:—Lilian (alluding to the French lilies) is the servant of Francion, while Hispale is the confident of Ibere. But the key to the allegories is much more copious :—Albione signifies Eng-land; three knots of the hair of Austrasie, mean the towns of Clermont, Stenay, and Jamet, these places once belonging to Loraine. A box of diamonds of Austrasie, is the town of Nancy, belonging once to the dukes of Loraine. The key of Iberia's great porch is Perpignan, which France took from Spain; and in this manner is this sublime tragedy composed! When he first sent it anonymously to the French Academy it was reprobated. He then tore it in a rage, and scattered it about his study. Towards evening, like another Medea lamenting over the members of her own children, he and his secretary passed the night in uniting the scattered limbs. He then ventured to avow himself; and having pretended to correct this incorrigible tragedy, the submissive Academy retracted their censures, but the public pronounced its melancholy fate on its first represen-This lamentable tragedy was intended to thwart Corneille's 'Cid.' Enraged at its success, Richelieu even commanded the academy to publish a severe cribque of it well known in French literature. Boileau on this occasion has these two well-turned verses :-

En vain contre le Cid, un ministre se ligne ; Tout Paris, pour Chimene, a les yeux de Rodrigue.

To oppose the Cid, in vain the stateeman tries All Paris, for Chimene, has Roderick's eyes.

It is said that in consequence of the fall of this tragedy Digitized by GOOGIC

the French custom is derived of securing a number of friends to applaud their pieces at their first representations.

I find the following droll anecdote concerning this droll tragedy in Beauchamp's Recherches sur le Theatre.

The minister after the ill success of his tragedy retired

The minister after the ill success of his tragedy retired unaccompanied the same evening to his country house at Ruel. He then sent for his favourite Desmarets, who was at suppor with his friend Potit. Desmarets, conjecturing that the interview would be stormy, begged his friend to accomment him.

accompany him.

'Well' said the cardinal as soon as he saw them, 'the
French will never possess a taste for what is lofty: they
seem not to have relished my tragedy.'—'My lord answered Petit, 'it is not the fault of the piece, which is so admirable, but that of the players. Did not your eminence perceive that not only they knew not their parts, but that they
were all drustk?'—'Really,' replied the cardinal, something
pleased, 'I observed they acted it dreadfully ill.'

Desmarets and Petit returned to Paris, flew directly to the players to plan a new mode of performance, which was to secure a number of speciators; so that at the second representation bursts of applause were frequently heard!

Richelieu had another singular vanity of closely imitating Cardinal Ximenes. Pliny was not a more service imitator of Cicero. Marville tella us that, like Ximenes, he placed himself at the head of an army: like him he degraded princes and nobles; and like him rendered himself formidable to all Europe. And because Ximenes had established schools of theology, Richelieu undertook likewise to raise into notice the schools of the Sorbonne. And, to conclude, as Ximenes had written several theological treatises, our cardinal was also desirous of leaving posterity various polemical works. But his gallantries rendered him more ridiculous. Always in ill health, this miserable lover and grave cardinal would, in a freak of love, dress himself with a red feather in his cap and sword by his side. He was more hert by a filthy nickname given him by the queen of Louis XIII than even by the hiss of theatres and the critical condemnation of academies.

Cardinal Richelieu was assuredly a great political genius. Sir William Temple observes, that he instituted the French Academy to give employment to the wits, and to hinder them from inspecting too narrowly into his politics and his administration. It is believed that the Marshal de Grammont lost an important battle by the orders of the cardinal; that in this critical conjuncture of affairs his majesty, who was inclined to dismiss him, could not then absolutely, do without him.

Vanity in this cardinal levelled a great genius. He who would attempt to display universal excellence will be impelled to practise meannesses, and to act follies which, if he has the least sensibility, must occasion him many a pang and many a blush.

## ARISTOTLE AND PLATO.

No philosopher has been so much praised and censured as Aristotle: but he had this advantage, of which some of the most eminent scholars have been deprived, that he enjoyed during his life a splendid reputation. Philip of Macedon must have felt a strong conviction of his merit when he wrote to him on the birth of Alexander:—'I receive from the gods this day a son; but I thank them not so much for the favour of his birth, as his having come into the world at a time when you can have the care of his education; and that through you he will be rendered worthy of being

Diogenes Laertius describes the person of the stagyrite. His eyes were small, his voice hoarse, and his legs lank. He stammered, was fond of a magnificent dress, and wore costly rings. He had a mistress whom he loved passionately, and for whom he frequently acted inconsistently with the philosophic character; a thing as common with philosophers as with other men. Aristotle had nothing of the austerity of the philosopher, though his works are so austere: he was open, pleasant, and even charming in his conversation; fiery and volatile in his pleasures; magnificent in his dress. He is described as fierce, disdainful, and sereastic. He joined to a taste for profound erudition that of an elegant dissipation. His passion for luxury occasioned him such expenses when he was young that he consumed all his property. Laertius has preserved the will of Arishtle, which is curious. The chief part turns on the future welfare and marriage of his daughter. 'If, after my death the chooses to marry, the executors will be eareful she

marries no person of an inferior rank. If she resides at Chalcis, she shall occupy the apartment contiguous to the garden; if she chooses Stagira, she shall reside in the house of my father, and my executors shall furnish either of those places she fixes on.

Aristotle had studied under the divine Plate; but their describes in the master could not possibly agree in their doctrines: they were of opposite tastes and talents. Plate was the chief of the academic sect, and Aristotle of the peripatetic. Plate was simple, modest, frugal, and of austere manners; a good friend and a zealous citizen, but a theoretical politician: a lover indued of benevolence, and desirous of diffusing it amongst men, but knowing little of them as we find them; his 'republic' is as chimerical as Rousseau's ideas, or SirThomas More's Utopia.

Rapin, the critic, has sketched an ingenious parallel of

these two celebrated philosophers.

The genius of Plato is more polished, and that of Aristotle more vast and profound. Plato has a lively and teeming imagination; fertile in invention, in ideas, in expressions, and in figures; displaying a thousand different turns, a thousand new colours, all agreeable to their subject; but after all it is nothing more than imagination. Aristotle is hard and dry in all he says, but what he says is all reason, though it is expressed dryly: his diction, pure as it is, has something uncommonly austere; and his obscurities, natural or affected, diagust and fatigue his readers. Plato is equally delicate in his thoughts and in his expressions. Aristotic, though he may be more natural, has not any delicacy: his style is simple and equal, but close and nervous; that of Plato is grand and elevated, but close and diffuse. Plato always says more than he should say: Aristotle never says enough, and leaves the reader always to think more than he says. The one surprises the mind, and charms it by a flowery and sparkling character: the other illuminates and instructs it by a just and solid method. Plato communicates something of genius by the fecundity of his own; and Aristotle something of judgment and reason by that impression of good sense which appears in all he says. In a word, Plato frequently only thinks to express himself well; and Aristotle only thinks to think justly.

An interesting anecdote is related of these philosophers. Aristotle became the rival of Plato. Literary disputes long subsisted betwixt them. The disciple ridiculed his master, and the master treated contemptuously his disciple. To make this superiority manifest, Aristotle wished for a regular disputation before an audience where erudition and reason might prevail; but this satisfaction was denied.

Plato was always surrounded by his scholars, who took a lively interest in his glory. Three of these he taught to rival Aristotle, and it became their mutual interest to depreciate his merits. Unfortunately, one day Plato found himself in his school without these three favourite scholars. Aristotle flies to him—a crowd gathers and enters with him. The idol whose oracles they wished to overturn was presented to them. He was then a respectable old man, the weight of whose years had enfeebled his memory. The combat was not long. Some rapid sophisms embarrassed Plato. He saw himself surrounded by the inevitable traps of the subtlest logician. Vanquished, he reproached his ancient scholar by a beautiful figure:—'He has kicked against us as a colt against his mother.'

Soon after this humiliating adventure he ceased to give public lectures. Aristotle remained master in the field of battle. He raised a school, and devoted himself to render it the most famous in Greece. But the three favourite scholars of Plato, zealous to avenge the cause of their master, and to make amends for their imprudence in having quitted him, armed themselves against the usurper. Kenocrates, the most ardent of the three, attacked Aristotle, confounded the logician, and re-established Plato in all his rights. Since that time the academic and peripatetis sects, animated by the spirits of their several chiefs, avowed an eternal hostility. In what manner his works have descented to us has been told at page 15 of this volume. Aristotle having declaimed irreverently of the gods, and dreading the fate of Socrates, wished to retire from Athens. In a beautiful manner he pointed out his successor. There were two rivals in his schools: Menedemus the Rhodian, and Theophrastus the Lesbian. Alluding delicately to his own critical situation, he told his assembled scholars that the wing he was accustomed to drink was injurious to him,

and he degred them to bring the wines of Rhodes and Lesbos. He then tasted both, and declared they both did honour to their soil, each being excellent, though different in quality. The Rhodian wine is the strongest, but the Lesbian is the sweetest, and that he himself preferred it.
Thus his ingenuity pointed out his favourite Thoophrastus, the author of the 'Characters,' for his successor.

#### ABELARD AND ELOISA.

Abelard, so famous for his writings and his amours with Eloisa, ranks among the heretics for opinions concerning the Trinity! His superior genius probably made him appear so culpable in the eyes of his enemies. The cabal formed aginst him disturbed the earlier part of his life with a thousand persecutions, till at length they persuaded Bernard, his old friend, but who had now turned saint, that poor Abelard was what their malice described him to be. Bernard, inflamed against him, condemned unheard the un-fortunate scholar. But it is remarkable that the book which was burnt as unorthodox, and as the composition of Abelard, was in fact written by Peter Lombard, bishop of Paris; a work which has since been canonized in the Sorbonne, and on which the scholastic theology is founded. objectionable passage is an illustration of the Trinity by the nature of a syllogism!— As (says he) the three propositions of a syllogism form but one truth, so the Father and Son constitute but one essence. The major represents the Father, the minor the Son, and the conclusion the Holy Ghost? It is curious to add that Bernard himself has explained this mystical union precisely in the same manner, and equally clear. 'The understanding,' says this saint,
'Is the image of God. We find it consists of three parts: 'Is the image of God. We find it consists of three parts: memory, intelligence and will. To memory, we attribute all which we know, without cogitation; to intelligence, all truths we discover which have not been deposited by memory. By memory, we resemble the Futher; by intelligence the Son, and by will the Holy Ghost.' Bernard's Lib. de Anima. Cap. I, Num. 6, quoted in the 'Mem. Secretes de la Republique des Lettres.' We may add also, that heart we Abale of in the memory of benefit indirection had because Abelard, in the warmth of honest indignation, had reproved the monks of St Denis, in France, and St. Gildas De Ruys, in Bretagne, for the horrid incontinence of their De Ruys, in Bretagne, for the norm meantimence of the lives, they joined his enemies, and assisted to embitter the life of this ingenious scholar; who perhaps was guilty of no ether crime than that of feeling too sensibly an attachment to one who not only possessed the enchaning attractions of the softer sex, but what indeed is very unusual, a congeniality of disposition, and an enthusiasm of imagination.

## 'Is it, in heaven, a crime to love too well?"

It appears by a letter of Peter de Cluny to Eloisa, that she had solicited for Abelard's absolution. The abbot gave it to her. It runs thus: 'Ego Petrus Cluniacensis Abbas, qui Petrum Abselardum in monachum Cluniacensum recepi, et corpus ejus furtim delatum Heloissæ abattissæ et moniali Paracleti concessi, auctoritate omnipotentis Dei et omnium sanctorum abrolvo eum pro officio ob omnibus peccatin mis.

An ancient chronicle of Tours records that when they deposited the body of the Abbess Eloisa in the tomb of her deposited the body of the Address Ediosa in the tomo of her lover Poter Abelard, who had been there interred twenty years, this faithful husband raised his arms, stretched them, and closely embraced his beloved Eloisa. This poetic footion was invented to sancify, by a miracle, the frailties of their youthful days. This is not wonderful:—but it is strange that Du Chesne, the father of French history, not only relates this legendary tale of the ancient chroniclers, but gives it as an incident well authenticated, and maintains its possibility by various other examples. Such fanciful incidents once not only embellished poetry, but enlivened history.

Bayle tells us that billets down and amorous perses are

two powerful machines to employ in the assaults of love; particularly when the passionate songs the poetical lover composes are sung by himself. This secret was well known to the elegant Abelard. Abelard so touched the sensible heart of Eloisa, and infused such fire into her frame, by employing his fine pen and his fine voice, that the poor woman never recovered from the attack. She herself informs us that he displayed two qualities which are rarely found in philosophers, and by which he could instantly win the affections of the female :- he wrote and sung finely. He composed love-verses so beautiful, and songs so agreeably, as well for the words as the curs, that all the world got them by heart, and the name of his mis ress was

spread from province to province.

What a gratification to the enthusiastic, the amorous, the vain Eloisa! of whom Lord Lyttleton in his curiot life of Henry II, observes, that had she not been compelled to read the fathers and the legends in a numery, but had been suffered to improve her genius by a continual applica-tion to polite literature, from what appears in her letters, she would have excelled any man of that age.

Eloiss, I suspect, however, would have proved but a very indifferent polemic. She seems to have bad a case-tain delicacy in her manners which rather belongs to the fanc lady. We cannot but smile at an observation of hers fine lady. on the apoetles which we find in her letters. 'We read that the aposities, even in the company of their master, were so rustic and ill bred that, regardless of common decorum, as they passed through the corn fields they plucked the ears and ate them like children. Nor did they wash their hands before they sat down to table. To eat with unwashed hands, said our Saviour to those who were of-fended, doth not defile a man.'

It is on the misconception of the mild apologetical reply of Jesus, indeed, that religious fanatics have really considered that to be careless of their dress, and not to free themselves from filth and slovenliness, is an act of piety, just as the late political fanatics, who thought that republicanism consisted in the most offensive filthiness. On this can be consisted in the most offensive numers. On this principle, that it is saintlike to go dirty, ragged, and slovenly, says Bishop Lavington, 'enthusiasm of the Methodists and Papists,' how piously did Whitfield take care of the outward man, who in his journal writes, 'My apparel was mean—thought it unbecoming a penitent to have posedered hair—I were woolen gloves, a patched gown, and dirty shoes!

After an injury, not less cruel than humiliating, Abelard raises the school of the Paraclete; with what enthusiasm is the followed to that desert! His scholars in crowds lasten to their adored master. They cover their mud sheds with the branches of trees. They do not want to sleep under better roofs, provided they remain by the side of their unfortunate master. How lively must have been their unfortunate master. It we have you have your and the taste for study! It formed their solitary passion, and the love of glory was gratified even in that desert.

The two reprehensible lines in Pope's Eloisa, too cele-

brated among certain of its readers.

'Not Cœsar's empress would I deign to prove; 'No,—make me mistress to the man I love!'

are, however, found in her original letters. The author of that ancient work, 'The Romaunt of the Rose,' has given it thus naively: a specimen of the natural style in those. days.

Se le'empereur, qui est a Rome Soubz qui doyvent etre tout homme, Me daignoit prendre pour sa femme, Et me faire du monde dame ; Si vouldroye-je mieux, dist-elle Et Dieu en tesmoing en appelle Etre sa Putaine appellés Qu'etre emperiere couronnée.

## PHYSIOGNOMY.

A very extraordinary physiognomical anecdote has been given by De la Place in his 'Pieces interessantes et peus connues.' v. i,v p. 8.

A friend assured him that he had seen a voluminous and secret correspondence which had been carried on between Louis XIV, and his favourite physician De la Chambre on this science: the faith of the monarch seems to have been great, and the purpose to which the correspondence tended was extraordinary indeed, and perhaps scarcely cre-dible. Who will believe that Louis XIV was so convinced of that talent which De la Chambre attributed to himself, of deciding merely by the physiognomy of persons not only on the real bent of their character, but to what employment they were adapted, that the king entered into a secret serrespondence to obtain the critical notices of his physiognomus? That Louis XIV should have pursued this system, undetected by his own courtiers, is also singular; but it appears by this correspondence that this art positively swayed him in his choice of officers and favourites. On one of the backs of those letters De la Chambre had write ten, 'If I die before his majesty, he will incur great risk of making many an unfortunate choice!'

This collection of physiognomical correspondence, if it does really exist, would form a curious publication; we

Digitized by GOOGLE

have beard nothing of it. De la Chambre was an enthusastic physiognomist, as appears by his works; 'The Characters of the Passions,' four volumes in quarto; 'The Art of knowing Mankind; and 'The Knowledge of Animals.' Lavater quotes his 'Vote and Interest' in favour of his favourite Science. It is, however, curious to add, than I hilp, Earl of Pembroke, under James I, had formed a particular collection of Portraits, with a view to physiognossical studies. According to Evelyn on Medals, p. 302, such was his sagacity in discovering the characters and dispositions of men by their countenances, that James I made no little use of his extraordinary talent on the first arrivel of ambassadors at court.

The following physiological definition of Physiognomy is extracted from a publication by Dr Gwither, of the year 1604, which, dropping his history of the Animal Spirits,'

Soft wax cannot receive more various and numerous impressions than are imprinted on a man's face by objects moving his affections; and not only the objects themselves have this power, but also the very images or ideas; that is to say, any thing that puts the animal spirits into the same motion that the object present did, will have the same effect with the object. To prove the first, let one observe a man's face looking on a pitiful object, then a ridiculous, then a strange, then on a terrible or dangerous object, and so forth. For the second, that ideas have the same effect

with the object, dreams confirm too often. 'The manner I conceive to be thus: The animal spirits moved in the sensory by an object, continue their motion to the brain; whence the motion is propagated to this or that particular part of the body, as is most suitable to the design of its creation; having first made an alteration in the face by its nerves, especially by the pathetic and oculorum meavii acmaing its many muscles, as the dial-plate to that stupendous piece of clock-work which shows what is to be expected next from the striking part. Not that I think the motion of the spirits in the sensory continued by the impresson of the object all the way, as from a finger to the loot: I know it too weak, though the tenseness of the nerves favours it. But I conceive it done in the medulla of the brain, where is the common stock of spirits; as in an organ, whose pipes being uncovered, the air rushes into them; but the keys let go, is stopped again. Now, if by repeated acts or frequent entertaining of a favourite idea, of a passion or vice, which natural temperament has hurried one to, or custom dragged, the face is so often put into that posture which attends such acts, that the animal spirits and such latent passages into its nerves, that it is sometimes unalterably set : as the Indian religious are by long continuing in strange posture in their pageds. But most commonly such a habit is contracted, that it falls insensibly into that posture when some present object does not obliterate that more natural impression by a new, or discherate that more natural impression by a new, or discherate that more natural impression by a new, or discherate that more natural impression by a new, or discherate that more natural impression by a new, or discherate that more natural impression by a new, or discherate that more natural impression by a new, or discherate that more natural impression is not not necessarily the natural natura simulation hide it.

'Hence it is that we see great drinkers with eyes gene-rally set towards the nose, the adducent muscles being often employed to let them see their loved liquor in the glass at the time of drinking; which were therefore called hibimobilis petulantia, as Petronius calls it. From this also we may solve the Quaker's expecting face, waiting for the pre-tended spirit; and the melancholy face of the sectaries; the studious face of men of great application of mind; revengeful and bloody men, like executioners in the act: and though silence in a sort may a while pass for wisdom, yet, sooner or later, Saint Martin peeps through the disguise to undo all. A changeable face I have observed to show a changeabe mind. But I would by no means have what has been said understood as without exception: for I doubt not but

sometimes there are found men with great and virtuous souts under very unpromising outsides.

The great Prince of Conde was very expert in a sort of physiogeomy which showed the peculiar habits, motions, and nectures of familiar life and mechanical temployment. nd postures of familiar life and mechanical employments. He would sometimes lay wagers with his friends, that he would guess, upon the Point Neuf, what trade persons were

of that passed by, from their walk and air.

## CHARACTERS DESCRIBED BY MUSICAL NOTES.

The idea of describing characters under the names of Musical Instruments has been already displayed in two most pleasing papers which embellish the Tailer, written by Addison. He dwells on this idea with uncommon suctess. It has been applauded for its originality; and in the

general preface to that work, those papers are distinguished for their felicity of imagination. The following paper was published in the year 1700, in a volume of ' Philosophical Transactions and Collections, and the two numbers of Addison in the year 1710. It is probable that this inimitable writer borrowed the seminal hint from his work.

A conjecture at dispositions from the modulations of

<sup>4</sup> Sitting in some company, and having been but a little before musical, I chanced to take notice, that in ordinary discourse words were apoken in perfect notes; and that some of the company used eighths, some fifths, some thirds; and that his discourse which was most pleasing, his words, as to their tone, consisted most of concords, and were of discords of such as made up harmony. The same person was the most affable, pleasant, and best natured in the company. This suggests a reason why many discourses which one hears with much pleasure, when they come to be read scarcely seem the same things.

' From this difference of Music in Speech, we may conjecture that of TEMPERS. We know, the Doric mood sounds gravity and sobriety; the Lydian, buxomucas and freedom; the Æolic, sweet stillness and quiet composure; the Phrygian, joliity and youthful levity; the Ionic is a stiller of storms and disturbances arising from passion. And why may not we reasonably suppose that those whose speech naturally runs into the notes peculiar to any of these moods, are likewise in nature hereunto congenerous? C Fa at may show me to be of an ordinary capacity, though good disposition. G Sol re ut, to be peevish and effemi-nate. Flats, a manly or melancholic sadness. He who hath a voice which will in some measure agree with all clifs, to be of good parts, and fit for variety of employments, yet somewhat of an inconstant nature. Likewise from the Times; so semibriefs may speak a temper dull and phlegmatic; minume, grave and serious; crockets, account with constant and actions. prompt wit; quavers, vehemency of passion, and scolds use them. Semi-brief-rest, may denote one either stupid or fuller of thoughts than he can utter; minum-rest, one that deliberates; cretchet-rest, one in a passion. So that from the natural use of Mood, Note, and Time, we may collect DISPOSITIONS.

## MILTON.

It is painful to observe the acrimony which the most eminent scholars have infused frequently in their controversial writings. The politeness of the present times has in some degree softened the malignity of the man, in the dignity of the author, but this is by no means an irrevocable law.

It is said not to be honourable to literature to revive such controversies; and a work entitled 'Querelles Litteraires, when it first appeared, excited loud murmurs. But it has its moral; like showing the frunkard to a youth that he may turn saide disgusted with ebriety. Must we suppose that men of letters are exempt from the human passions? Their sensibility, on the contrary, is more irritable than that of others. To observe the ridiculous attitudes in which great men sppear, when they employ the style of the fish-market, may be one great means of restraining that ferocious pride often breaking out in the republic of letters. Johnson at least appears to have entertained the same opinion; for he thought proper to republish the low invective of *Dryden* against *Settle*: and since I have published my 'Quarrels of Authors,' it becomes me to say no more.

The celebrated controversy of Salmasius continued by Morus with Milton—the first the pleader of King Charles, the latter the advocate of the people—was of that magnitude, that all Europe took a part in the paper-war of these two great men. The answer of Milion, who perfectly massacred Salmasius, is now read but by the few. What ever is addressed to the times, however great may be its merit, is doomed to perish with the times; yet on these pages the philosopher will not contemplate in vain.

It will form no uninteresting article to gather a few of

the rhetorical words, for flowers we cannot well call them, with which they mutually presented each other. Their rancour was at least equal to their erudition, the two most

learned antagonists of a learned age!

Salmasius was a man of vast erudition, but no taste. His writings are learned; but sometimes ridiculous. He called his work Defensio Regia, Defence of Kings. The opening of this work provokes a laugh. ' Englishmen! who toss the heads of kings as so many tennis-balls; who play with crowns as if they were bowls; who look upon scrp-ters as so many crooks.'

That the deformity of the body is an idea we attach to

the deformity of the mind, the vulgar must acknowledge; but surely it is unpardonable in the enlightened philosopher thus to compare the crookedness of corporeal matter with the rectitude of the intellect: yet Mclbourne and Dennis, the last, a formidable critic, have frequently considered, that comparing Dryden and Pope to whatever the eye turned from with displeasure was very good argument to lower their literary abilities. Salmasius seems also to have entertained this idea, though his spies in England gave him wrong information; or, possibly, he only drew the figure of his own distempered imagination.

Salmasius sometimes reproaches Milton as being but a puny piece of man; an humunculus, a dwarf deprived of the human figure, a bloodless being composed of nothing the human ngure, a bloodless being composed of soming but skin and bone; a contemptible pedagogue, fit only to flog his boys; and sometimes elevating the ardour of his mind into a poetic frenzy, he applies to him the words of Virgil, 'Monstrum horrendum, informe, ingens, cui lumen ademptum.' Our great poet thought this senseless declamation merited a serious refutation; perhaps he did not wish to appear despicable in the eyes of the ladies; and he would not be silent on the subject, he says, lest any one should consider him as the credulous Spaniards are made to believe by their priests, that a heretic is a kind of rhinoceros or a dog-headed monster. Milton says, that he does not think any one ever considered him as unbeautiful; that his size rather approaches mediocrity than the diminutive; that he still felt the same courage and the same strength which he possessed when young, when, with his sword, he felt no difficulty to combat with men more robust than himself; that his face, far from being pale, emaciated, and wrinkled, was sufficiently creditable to him; for though he had passed his fortieth year, he was in all other respects ten years younger. And very pathetically he adds, that even his eyes, blind as they are, are unblemished in their appearance; in this instance alone, and much against my inclination. I am a deceiver!

Morus, in his Epistle dedicatory of his Regii Sanguinis Clamor, compares Milton to a hangman; his disordered vision to the blindness of his soul, and vomits forth his

When Salmasius found that his strictures on the person of Milton were false, and that on the contrary it was uncommonly beautiful, he then turned his battery against those graces with which Nature had so liberally adorned his adversary. And it is now that he seems to have laid no restriction on his pen; but raging with the irritation of Milton's success, he throws out the blackest calumnies, and the most infamous aspersions.

It must be observed, when Milton first proposed to answer Salmasius he had lost the use of one of his eyes; and his physicians declared, that if he applied himself to the controversy, the other would likewise close for ever! His patriotism was not to be baffled but with life itself. triotism was not to be battled but with life itself. Unhap-pily, the predictions of his physicians took place! Thus a learned man in the occupations of study falls blind; a circumstance even now not read without sympathy. Salmasins considers it as one from which he may draw caustic ridicule and satiric severity.

Salmasius glories that Milton lost his health and his eyes in answering his apology for King Charles! He does not now reproach him with natural deformities; but he malignantly sympathizes with him, that he now no more is in possession of that beauty which rendered him so amiable during his residence in *Italy*. He speaks more plainly in a following page; and in a word, would blacken the austere virtues of Milton with a crime too infamous to name.

Impartiality of criticism obliges us to confess that Milton was not destitute of rancour. When he was told that his adversary boasted he had occasioned the loss of his eyes, he answered, with the ferocity of the irritated puritan—
\* And I shall cost him his life! A prediction which was soon after verified: for Christina, Queen of Sweden, withdrew her patronage from Salmasius, and sided with Milton. The universal neglect the proud scholar felt, hastened his death in the course of a twelvemonth.

How the greatness of Milton's mind was degraded! He actually condescended to enter in a correspondence in Holland to obtain little scandalous anecdotes of his miserable adversary Morus, and deigned to adulate the unworthy Christina of Sweden, because she had expressed herself favourably on his ' Defence.' Of late years we have had

but too many instances of this worst of passions; the at pathies of politics! .

#### ORIGIN OF NEWSPAPERS.

We are indebted to the Italians for the idea of newspapers. The title of their gazzettas was perhaps derived from gazzera, a magpie or chatterer; or more probably from a farthing coin, peculiar to the city of Venice, called gazzetta. which was the common price of the newspapers. Anothe which was the common price of the newspapers. Another etymologist is for deriving it from the Luin gaze, which would colloquially lengthen into gazetts, and signify a bttle treasury of news. The Spanish derive it from the Latin gaze, and likewise their gazetero and our gazetter for a writer of the gazette, and what is peculiar to themselves, gazetista, for a lover of the gazette.

Newspapers then took their birth in that principal land Newspapers then took their offer in that principal series of modern politicians, Italy, and under the government off that aristocratical republic Venice. The first paper was a Venetian one, and only monthly; but it was merely the newspaper of the government. Other governments afterwards adopted the Venetian plan of a newspaper, with the Venetian name; from a solitary government gazotte, an insupation of newspapers has burst months.

an inundation of newspapers has burst upon us.

Mr George Chalmers, in his life of Ruddiman, gives a curious particular of these Venetian gazettes. 'A jealous government did not allow a printed newspaper: and the Venetian gazetts continued long after the invention of printing to the close of the sixteenth century, and even to our own days, to be distributed in sugmescript.' n the Magliabechinn library at Florence are thirty volumes of Venetian gazettas all in manuscript.

Those who first wrote newspapers, were called by the Italians menanti; because, says Vossius, they intended commonly by these loose papers to spread about defama-tory reflections, and were therefore prohibited in Italy by Gregory XIII, by a particular bull, under the name of menantes, from the Latin minantes, threatening. Menage, however, derives it from the Italian menare, which signifies to lead at large, or spread afar.

Mr Chalmers discovers in England the first newspaper. It may gratify national pride, says he, to be told that man-kind are indebted to the wisdom of Elizabeth and the prudence of Burleigh for the first newspaper. The epoch of the Spanish Armada is also the epoch of a genuine newspaper. In the British Museum are several newspapers which were printed while the Spanish fleet was in the English Channel during the year 1588. It was a wise policy to prevent, during a moment of general anxiety, the danger of false reports, by publishing real information. The earliest newspaper is entitled 'The English Mercurie, which by authority' was imprinted at London by ber high-nesses printer, 1588.' These were, however, but extra ordinary gazettes, not regularly published. In this obscured in the water shiftly disposed by the policy of these origin they were skilfully directed by the policy of that great statesman Burleigh, who to inflame the national feel-ing, gives an extract of a letter from Madrid which speaks of putting the queen to death, and the instruments of torture on board the Spanish fleet.

Mr Chalmers has exultingly taken down these patriar-chal newspapers, covered with the dust of two centuries.

The first newspaper in the collection of the British Mu-seum is marked No 50, and is in Roman, not in black letter. It contains the usual articles of news like the London Gazette of the present day. In that curious paper, there are news dated from Whitehall, on the 23d July, 1588. Under the date of July 26 there is the following notice: 'Yesterday the Scots ambassador being introduced to Sir Francis Walsingham, had a private audience of her ma-jesty, to whom he delivered a letter from the king his macter, containing the most cordial assurances of his resolu-tion to adhere to her majesty's interests, and to those of the protestant religion. And it may not here be improper to take notice of a wise and spiritual saying of this young prince (he was twenty-two) to the queen's minister at his court, viz. That all the favour he did expect from the Spaniards was the courtesy of Polypheme to Ulysses, to be the last devoured. Mr Chalmers defies the gazetteer of the present day to give a more decorous account of the introduction of a foreign minister. The aptness of King James' classical saying carried it from the newspaper into history. I must add, that in respect to his wift no man has been more injured than this monarch. More pointed sentences are recorded of James I than perhaps of any prince, and yet, such is the delusion of that medium by which the popular eye sees things in this world, that he is usually Digitized by

mdered as a mere royal pedant. I have entered more largely on this subject in an 'Inquiry of the literary and ical character of James First

From one of these ' Mercuries' Mr Chalmers has given e advertisements of books, which run much like those of the present times, and exhibit a picture of the literature of those days. All these publications were 'imprinted and sold' by the queen's printers, Field and Barker.

1st. An admonstion to the people of England, wherein are answered the slanderous untruths reproachfully uttered by Mar-prelate, and others of his brood, against the bishops and chief of the clergy.\* 2dly. The copy of a letter sent to Don Bernardin Men-

doza, ambassador in France, for the king of Spain; declaring the state of England, &c. The second edition.
Sily. An exact journal of all passages at the siege of Bergen-op-Zoom. By an eye-witness.

Bergen-op-Zoom. By an eye-witness.
4thly. Father Parson's coat well dusted; or short and ithy animadversions on that infamous fardle of abuse and faisities, entitled Leicester's Commonwealth.\*

5thly. Elizabethe Triumphans, an heroic poem by James Asker: with a declaration how her excellence was entertained at the royal course at Tilbury, and of the overthrow

of the Spanish fleet.

Periodical papers seem first to have been more generally used by the English, during the civil wars of the usurper Cromwell, to disseminate amongst the people the sentiments of royalty or rebellion, according as their authors were disposed. Peler Heylin in the preface to his Cosmography mentions, that the affairs of each town or war were better presented to the reader in the Weekly News-Hull, Truths from York, Warranted Tidings from Ireland, &c. We find also 'The Scot's Dove' opposed to 'The Parliament Kite,' or 'The Secret Owl.'—Keener animosities produced keener titles: 'Heraclitus ridens' found an antagonist in 'Democritus ridens,' and 'The weekly Disamiagonst in 'Democritus ridens,' and 'The weekly Dis-coverer' was shortly met by 'The discoverer stript naked.'
'Mercurius Britannicus' was grappled by Mercurius Mastix, faithfully lashing all Scouts, Mercurius, Posts, Spies, and others.' Under all these names papers had appeared, but a Mercury was the prevailing title of these 'News-Books,' and the principles of the writer were generally shown by the additional epithet. We find an alarming number of these Mercurius which were the starring number of these Mercuries, which, were the story not too long to tell, might excite some laughter; they present us with a very curious picture of those singular times.

Devoted to political purposes they soon became a public suirance by serving as receptacles of party malice, and echoing to farthest ends of the kingdom the insolent voice of all factions. They set the minds of men more at variance, inflamed their tempers to a greater fierceness, and gave a

keener edge to the sharpness of civil discord.

Such works will always find adventurers adapted to their scurrilous purposes, who neither want at times, either ta-lents, or boldness, or wit, or argument. A vast crowd issued from the press, and are now to be found in a few private collections. They form a race of authors unknown to most readers of these times; the names of some of their chiefs however have just reached us, and in the minor chronicle of domestic literature I rank these notable heroe Marchamont Needham, Sir John Birkenhead, and Sir

Roger L'Estrange.

Marchamont Needham, the great patriarch of newspa-or writers, was a man of versatile talents and more versatile politics; a bold adventurer, and most successful, bese the most profligate of his tribe. We find an ample account of him in Anthony Wood. From college he came to London: was an usher in Merchant Taylor's school; then an under clerk in Gray's Inn; at length studied physic, and practised chemistry; and finally he was a captain, and in the words of honest Anthony, ' siding with the rout and scum of the people, he made them weekly sport by railing at all that was noble, in his Intelligence, called Mercurius Britannicus, wherein his endeavours were to sacrifice the fame of some lord, or any person of quality, and of the king hisself, to the beast with many heads.' He soon be-came popular, and was known under the name of Captain

Neednam of Gray's Inn; and whatever he now wrote wa aremed oracular. But whether from a slight imprisonment for aspersing Charles I, or some pique with his own party; he requested an audience on his knoss with the king; reconciled himself to his majesty, and showed himself a violent royalist in his 'Mecurius Pragmaticus,' and galled the presbyterians with his wit and quips. Some tune after, when the popular party prevailed, he was still further enlightened, and was got over by President Brad-shaw, as easily as by Charles I. Our Mercurial writer became once more a virulent presbyterian, and lashed the royalists outrageously in his 'Mercurius Politicus;' at length on the return of Charles II, being now conscious, says our friend Anthony, that he might be in danger of the halter, once more he is said to have fled into Holland, waiting for an act of oblivion. For money given to a hungry courtier, Needham obtained his pardon under the great seal. He latterly practised as a physician among his party, but lived universally hated by the royalists, and now only committed harmless treasens with the College of Physicians, on whom he poured all that gall and vinegar which the government had suppressed from flowing through its natural channel.

The royalists were not without their Needham in the prompt activity of Sir John Birkenhead. In bustonery, keenness, and boldness, havingbeen frequently imprisoned, he was not inferior, nor was he at times less an adventurer. His Mercurius Aulicus was devoted to the court, then at Oxford. But he was the fertile parent of numerous politi-Oxiou. But no was the tertile parent of numerous point-cal pamphlets, which appears to ab und in banter, wit, and satire. He had a promptness to seize on every temporary circumstance, and a facility in execution. His 'Paul's Church Yard' is a bantering pamphlet, containing ficutious titles of books and acts of parliament, reflecting on the mad reformers of these times. One of his poems is entitled ' The Jolt,' being written on the Protector having fallen off his own coach-box: Cromwell had received a present from the German Count Oldenburgh, of six German horses, and attempted to drive them himself in Hyde Park, when this great political Phaeton met the accident, of which Sir John Birkenhead was not slow to comprehend the benefit, and hints how unfortunately for the country it turned out! Sir John was during the dominion of Cromwell an author by profession. After various imprisonments for his majesty's cause, says the venerable historian of English literature, already quoted, 'he lived by his wits, in helping young gentlemen out at dead lifts in making poems, songe, and epistles on and to their mistresses; as also in translating, and other petite employments.' He lived however after the Restoration to become one of the masters of requests, with a salary of 3000/ a year. But he showed the baseness of his spirit, (says Anthony,) by slighting those who had been his benefactors in his necessities.

Sir Roger L'Estrange among his rivals was esteemed as the most perfect model of political writing. The temper of the man was factious, and the compositions of the author seem to us coarse, yet I suspect they contain much idiomatic expression. His Æsop's Fables are a curious specimen of familiar style. Queen Mary showed a due contempt of him after the Revolution, by this anagram;

## Roger L'Estrange. Lie strange Roger!

Such were the three patriarchs of newspapers. De Saint Foix, in his curious Essais historiques sur Paris, gives the origin of newpapers to France. Renaudot, a physician at Paris, to amuse his patients was a great collector of news; and he found by these means that he was more sought after than his more learned brethren. But as the seasons were not always sickly, and he had many hours not occupied by his patients, he reflected, after several years of assiduity given up to this singular employment, that he might turn it to a better account, by giving every week to his patients, who in this case were the public at large, some fugitive sheets which should contain the news of various countries. He obtained a privilege for this purpose in 1632.

At the Restoration the proceedings of parliament were interdicted to be published, unless by authority; and the first daily paper after the Revolution took the popular title of 'The Orange Intelligencer.'

In the reign of Queen Anne, there was but one daily paper: the others were weekly. Some attempted to in-troduce literary subjects, and others topics of a more general speculation. Sir Richard Steels formed the plan of his

<sup>\*</sup>I have written the history of the Mar-prelate faction, in 'Quarrels of Authors,' which our historians appears not to have known. The materials were suppressed by government, and not preserved even in our national depositories.

† A curious secret history of the Earl of Leicester, by the Je-

Tatler. He designed it to embrace the three provinces, of manners and morals, of literature, and of politics. The public were to be conducted insensibly into so different a tract from that to which they had been hitherto accustomed. Hence politics were admitted into his paper. But it remained for the chaster genius of Addison to banish this painful topic from his elegant pages. The writer in polite letters felt himself degraded by sinking into the diurnal marrator of political events, which so frequently originate in rumours and party fiction. From this time, news-papers and periodical literature became distinct works—at present, there seems to be an attempt to revive this union; it is a retrograde step for the independent dignity of literature.

## TRIALS AND PROOFS OF GUILT IN SUPERSTITIOUS AGES.

The strange trials to which those suspected of guilt were put in the middle ages, conducted with many devout ceremonies, by the ministers of religion, were pronounced to be the judgments of God! The ordeal consisted of various kinds: walking blindfold amidst burning ploughshares passing through fires; holding in the hand a red hot bar; and plunging the arm into boiling water: the popular affirmation.—I will put my hand into the fire to confirm this; appears to be derived from this solemn custom of our rude ancestors. Challenging the accuser to single combat, when frequently the stoutest champion was allowed to supply their place; swallowing a morsel of consecrated bread; sinking or swimming in a river for witchcraft; or weighing a witch : stretching out the arms before the cross, till the champion soonest wearied dropped his arms, and lost his chate, which was decided by this very short chancery suit, called the judicium crucis. The bishop of Paris and the called the judicium crucis. abbot of St Denis disputed about the patronage of a mo-nastery: Pepin the short, not being able to decide on their confused claims, decreed one of these judgments of God, that of the cross. The bishop and abbot each chose a man, and both the men appeared in the chapel, where they stretched out their arms in the form of a cross. The spectators, more devout than the mob of the present day, but still the mob, were piously attentive, but betted however now for one man, now for the other, and critically watched the slightest motion of the arms. The bishop's man was first tired :-he let his arms fall, and ruined his patron's cause forever! Though sometimes these trials might be eluded by the artifice of the priest, numerous were the innocent victims who unquestionably suffered in these superstitious practices.

From the tenth to the twelfth century they were very common. Hildebert, bishop of Mans, being accused of high treason by our William Rufus, was preparing to undergo one of these trials; when Ives, bishop of Chartres, convinced him that they were against the canons of the constitutions of the church, and adds, that in this manner

Innocentiam defenders, est innocentiam perders.

An abbot of St Aubin of Angers in 1066, having refused to present a horse to the Viscount of Tours, which the viscount claimed in right of his lordship, whenever an abbot first took possession of that abbey: the ecclesiastic offered so justify himself by the trial of the ordeal, or by duel, for which he proposed to furnish a man. The viscount at first agreed to the duel; but, reflecting that these combats. though sauctioned by the church, depended wholly on the skill or vigour of the adversary, and could therefore afford no substantial proof of the equity of his claim, he proposed to compromise the matter in a manner which strongly characterizes the times; he waived his claim, on condition that the abbot should not forget to mention in his prayers, himself, his wife, and his brothers! As the orisons appeared to the abbot, in comparison with the horse, of little or no value, he accepted the proposal.

In the tenth century the right of representation was not fixed: it was a question, whether the sons of a son ought to be reckoned among the children of the family; and succeed equally with their uncles, if their fathers happened to die while their grandfathers survived. This point was de-cided by one of these combats. The champion in behalf of the right of children to represent their deceased father proved victorious. It was then established by a perpetual decree that they should henceforward share in the inheritance, together with their uncles. In the eleventh century the same mode was practised to decide respecting two rival Liturgies! A pair of knights, clad in complete armour, were the critics to decide which was the authentic and true Liturgy.

If two neighbours, say the capitularies of Dagobert, dis-

pute respecting the boundaries of their possessions, he piece of turf of the contested land he dug up by the just and brought by him into the court, and the two parties shall touch it with the noints of their touch it with the points of their swords, calling on God as a witness of their claims;—after this let them combat, and let victory decide on their rights!

In Germany, a solemn circumstance was practised in these judicial combats. In the midst of the lists, they placed a bier.—By its side stood the accuser and the accused; one at the head and the other at the foot of the bier, and leaned there for some time in profound silence,

before they began the combat.

Mr Ellis, in his elegant preface to Way's Fabliaux, Mr Ellis, in his clegant presace to ways Fabrians, shows how faithfully the manners of the age are painted in these ancient tales, by observing the judicial combat introduced by a writer of the fourteenth century, who in his poem represents Pilate as challenging Jesus Christ to single combat, and another who describes the person who pierced the side of Christ as a knight who jousted with

Judicial combat appears to have been practised by the Jews. Whenever the rabbins had to decide on a disperte about property between two parties, neither of which could produce evidence to substantiate his claim they terminated it by single combat. The rabbins were impressed by a notion that conciousness of right would give additional confidence and strength to the rightful possessor. This appears in the recent sermon of a rabbin. It may, however, be more philosophical to observe that such judicial combats were more frequently favourable to the criminal than to the innocent, because the bold wicked man is usually more ferocious and hardy than he whom he singles out as his victim, and who only wishes to preserve his own quiet enjoyments—in this case the assailant is the more terrible combatant.

In these times those who were accused of robbery were put to trial by a piece of barley-bread, on which the mass had been said; and if they could not swallow it they were declared guilty. This mode of trial was improved by adding to the bread a slice of cheese; and such were their crodulity and firm dependence on Heaven in these ridiculous trials, that they were very particular in this holy bread and cheese called the corened. The bread was to be of unleavened barley, and the cheese made of ewe's milk in the month of May.

Du Cange observes, that the expression—' May this piece of bread choke me?' comes from this custom. The anecdote of Earl Godwin's death by awallowing a piece of bread, in making this asseveration, is recorded in our his-

If it be true, it was a singular misfortune. Amongst the proofs of guilt in superstitious ages was Amongst the proofs of guilt in superstances agree was that of the bleeding of a corpse. If a person was murdered, it was believed that at the touch or approach of the murderer the blood gushed out of the body in various parts. By the side of the bier, if the slightest change was observable in the area the most of the corpse the ble in the eyes, the mouth, feet, or hands of the corpse, the murderer was conjectured to be present, and many immocent spectators must have suffered death; ' for when a body is full of blood, warmed by a sudden external heat and a putrefaction coming on, some of the blood-vessels will burst, as they will all in time.' This practice was once allowed in England, and is still looked on in some of the uncivilized parts of these kingdoms as a detection of the criminal. It forms a rich picture in the imagination of our old writers; and their histories and ballads are laboured into pathos by dwelling on this phenomenon.

Robertson observes that all these absurd institutions were cherished from the superstitions of the age believing the legendary historic of those saints, who crowd and disgrace the Roman calender. These fabulous miracles had been declared authorite by the hills. een declared authentic by the bills of the popes and the decrees of councils; they were greedily swallowed by the populace; and whoever believed that the Supreme Being had interposed miraculously on those trivial occasions mentioned in legends, could not but expect his intervention in matters of greater importance when solemnly referred to his decision. Besides this ingenious remark, the fact is, that these customs were a substitute for written laws which that barbarous period had not; and as no society can exist without laws, the ignorance of the people had recourse to these customs, which, bad and absurd as they were, served to close controversies which otherwise might have given birth to more destructive practices. Ordeals are in truth the rude laws of a barbarous people who have not yet obtained a written code, and not advanced enough in civiliza-

Digitized by GOOG

tion to enter into the refined inquiries, the subtile distinctions and elaborate investigations, which a court of law demands

May we suppose that these ordeals owe their origin to that one of Moses, called the 'Waters of Jealousy?' The Greeks likewise had ordeals, for in the Antigonus of Sophocles, the soldiers offer to prove their innocence by handling red-hot iron, and walking between fires. One cannot but smile at the whissical ordeals of the Siamesec. Among other practices to discover the justice of a cause, civil or criminal, they are particularly attached to using certain consecrated purgative pills, which they make the contending paries swallow. He who retains them longest gains his cause! The practice of giving Indians a consecrated grain of rice to swallow is know to discover the thief, in any company, by the contortions and dismay evident on the countenance of the real thief.

But to return to the middle ages. They were acquainted in those times with accrets to pass unbut these singular trials. Voltaire mentions one for undergoing the ordeal of boiling water. Our late travellers in the east have confirmed this statement. The Mevlebeh dervises can hold rod hot iron between their teeth. Such artifices have been often publicly exhibited at Paris and London. Mr Sharen Turner observes on the ordeals of the Anglo Saxons, that the band was not to be immediately inspected, and was left to be chance of a good constitution to be so far healed during three days (the time they required it to be bound up and sealed, before it was examined) as to discover those appearances when inaspected, which were allowed to be satisfactory. There was likewise much preparatory training suggested by the more experienced; besides, the accused had an opportunity of going alone into the church, and making terms with the priests. The few spectators were always distant; and cold iron, &c, might be substituted, and the fire diminished at the moment, &c.

Doubtless they possessed these secrets and medicaments, which they had at hand, to pass through these trials in perfect security. Camerarius, in his 'Horse Subseceives,' gives an anecdote of these times which may serve to show their readiness. A rivalship existed between the Austin friars and the Jesuits. The father general of the Austin friars was dining with the Jesuits; and when the table was removed, he entered into a formal discourse of the superiority of the monastic order, and charged the Jesuits in unqualified terms, with assuming the title of 'fratres,' while they held not the three vows, which other monks were obliged to consider as sacred and binding. The general of the Austin friars was very eloquent and very suthoritative;—and the superior of the Jesuits was very unlearned, but not half a fool.

He did not care to enter the list of controversy with the Austin friar, but arrested his triumph by asking him if he would see one of his friars, who pretended to be nothing more than a Jesuit, and one of the Austin friars who religously performed the aforesaid three vows, show instantly which of them would be the readier to obey his superiors? The Austin friar consented. The Jesuit then turning to one of his brothers, the holy friar Mark, who was waiting on them, said, 'Brother Mark, our companions are cold. I command you, in virtue of the holy obedience you have sworn to me, to bring here instantly out of the kitchen fire, and in your hands, some burning coals, that they may warm themselves over your bands.' Father Mark in-standy obeys, and to the astonishment of the Austin friars, brought in his hand a supply of red burning coals, and held them to whoever chose to warm himself; and at the command of his superior returned them to the kitchen hearth. The general of the Austin friars, with the rest of his brotherhood, stood amazed; he looked wistfully on one of he monks, as if he wished to command him to do the like.-But the Austin monk, who perfectly understood him, and saw this was not a time to hesitate, observed,—Reverend father, forbear, and do not command me to tempt God! I am ready to fetch you fire in a chafing dish, but not in my The triumph of the Jesuits was complete; and it is not necessary to add, that the miracle was noised about, and that the Austin friars could never account for it, notwithstanding their strict performance of the three

## INQUISITION.

Innocent the Third, a pope as enterprising as he was successful in his enterprises, having sent Dominic with some missionaries into Languedoc, these men so irritated

the heretics they were seat to convert, that most of them were assasinated at Toulouse in the year 1200. He called in the aid of temporal arms, and published aginst them a crusade, granting, as was usual with the popes on similar occasions, all kind of indulgences and pardous te those who should arm against the Mahometans, so he styled these unfortunate men. Once all were Turks when they were not catholics! Raymond, Count of Toulouse, was constrained to submit. The inhabitants were passed on the edge of the sword, without distinction of age or sex. It was then he established that scourge of Europe. The Inquisition: for having considered that though all might be compelled to submit by arms, numbers might remain who would profess particular dogmas, he established this sanguinary tribunal solely to inspect into all families, and inquire concerning all persons who they imagined were unfriendly to the interests of Rome. Dominic did so much by his persecuting inquiries, that he firmly established the inquiries at Toulouse.

inquisition at Toulouse.

Not before the year 1484 it became known in Spain.—
To another Dominican, John de Torquemada, the court of Rome owed this obligation. As he was the confessor of Queen Isabella, he had exturted from her a promise that if ever she ascended the throne, she would use every means to extirpate heresy and heretics. Fordinand had conquered Granada, and had expelled from the Spanish realm multitudes of unfortunate Moors. A few remained, whom, with the Jewa, he compelled to become Christians: they, at least assumed the name; but it was well known that both these nations naturally respected their ows faith, rather than that of the Christian. This race was afterwards distinguished as Christianos Novos: and in forming marriages, the blood of the Hidalgo was considered to lose its purity by mingling with such a suspicious source.

Torquemada pretended that this dissimulation would

Torquemada pretended that this dissimulation would greatly hurt the interests of the holy religion. The queen isstened with respectful diffidence to her confessor; and at length gained over the king to consent to the establishment of this unrelenting tribunal. Torquemada, indefatigable in his zeal for the holy seat, in the space of fourteen years that he exercised the office of chief inquisitor, is said to have prosecuted near eighty thousand persons, of whom six thousand were condemned to the flames!

Voltaire attributes the taciturnity of the Spaniards to the universal horror such proceedings spread. 'A general jealousy and suspicion took possession of all ranks of people: friendship and sociability were at an end! Brothers were afraid of brothers, fathers of their children.

The situations and the feelings of one imprisoned in the cells of the inquisition are forcibly painted by Orobio, a mild, and meek, and learned man, whose controversy with Limborch is well known. When he escaped from Spain he took refuge in Holland, was circumcised, and died philosophical Jew. He has left this admirable description of himself in the cell of the inquisition. 'Inclosed in this dungeon I could not even find space enough to turn myself about; I suffered so much that I felt my brain disordered. I frequently asked myself, am I really Don Bathazaar Orobio, who used to walk about Seville at my pleasure, who so much enjoyed myself with my wife and children? I often imagined that all my life had only been a dream, and that I really had been born in this dungeon! The only amusement I could invent was metaphysical disputations. I was at once opponent, respondent, and present?

In the cathedral at Sarsgossa is the tomb of a famous inquisitor; six pillars surrounded his tomb, to each is chained a Moor, as preparatory to his being burnt. Os this St Foix ingeniously observes, 'If ever the Jack Ketch of any country should be rich enough to have a splendid tomb, this might serve as an excellent model.'

The inquisition, as Bayle informs us, punished heretics by fers, to clude the maxim, Ecclesia non nowir sanguincm: for, burning a man, say they, does not shed his blood! Otho, the bishop at the Norman invasion, in the tapestry worked by Maxilda the queen of Wilham the Conqueror, is represented with a mace in his hand, for the purpose, that when he despatched his antagonist, he might not spill blood, but only break his bones! Religion has had her quibbles as well as law.

The establishment of this despotic order was resisted in France; but it may perhaps surprise the reader that a recorder of London in a speech urged the necessity of sitting up an inquisition in England! It was on the trial of Pens the quaker, in 1670, who was acquitted by the jury

which seems highly to have provoked the said recorder, Magna Charta, writes the prefacer to the trial, 'with the recorder of London, is nothing more than Magna F.—." It appears that the jury after being kept two days and two nights to change their verdict, were in the end both fined and imprisoned. Sir John Howell, the recorder, said, 'Till now I never understood the reason of the policy and prudence of the Spaniards in suffering the inquisition among them; and certainly it will not be well with us, till something like unto the Spaniar inquisition be in England.'—Thus it will ever be, while both parties struggling for the pre-eminence, rush to the sharp extremity of things, and annihilate the trembling balance of the constitution. But the adopted motto of Lord Erskine must ever be that of

So late as the year 1761, Gabriel Malagrida, an old man of seventy mas burnt by these evangelical executioners.—
His trial was printed at Amsterdam, 1762, from the Lisbon copy. And for what was this unhappy Jesuit condemned? Not, as some have imagined, for his having been concerned in a conspiracy against the king of Portugal. No other charge is laid to him in this trial, but that of having indulged certain heretical notions, which sny other tribunal but that of the inquisition would have looked upon as the delirious fancies of an old fanatic. Will posterity believe that in the eighteenth century an aged visionary was led to the stake for having said, amongst other extravagances, that 'The Holy Virgin having commanded him to write the life of Anti-Christ, told him that he, Malagrida, was a second John, but more clear than John the Evangelist: that there were to be three Anti-Christs, and that the last should be born at Milan, of a monk and a nun, in the year 1920; and that he would marry Proserpine, one of the infernal furies?

For such ravings as these the unhappy old man was burnt in recent times. Granger assures us that in his remombrance a horse that had been taught to tell the spots upon cards, the hour of the day, &c, by significant tokens, was, together with his owner, put into the inquisition for both of them dealing with the devil! A man of letters declared that, having fallen into their hands, nothing perplexed him so much as the ignorance of the inquisitor and his council; and it seemed very doubtful whether they had read even the scriptures.

One of the most interesting anecdotes relating to the terrible inquisition, exemplifying how the use of the diabolical engines of torture force men to confess crimes they have not been guilty of, is related by a Portuguese gentleman.

A nobleman in Lisbon having heard that his physician

A nobleman in Lisbon having heard that his physician and friend was imprisoned by the inquisition, under the stale pretext of Judaism, addressed a letter to one of them to request his freedom, assuring the inquisitor that his friend was as orthodox a christian as himself. The physician, notwithstanding this high recommendation, was put to the torture; and, as was usually the case, at the height of his sufferings confessed every thing they wished. This enraged the nobleman, and feigning a dangerous illness, he begged the inquisitor would come to give him his last spiritual aid.

As soon as the Dominican arrived, the lord, who had prepared his confidential servants, commanded the inquisitor in their presence to acknowledge himself a Jew, to write his confession, and to sign it. On the refusal of the inquisitor the nobleman ordered his people to put on the inquisitor's head a red hot helmet, which to his astonishment in drawing aside a screen, he beheld glowing in a small furnace. At the sight of this new instrument of torture, 'Luke's iron crown,' the monk wrote and subscribed the abhorred confession. The nobleman then observed, 'See new the enormity of your manner of proceeding with unhappy men! My poor physician, like you, has confessed Judaism; but with this difference, only torments have forced that from him, which fear alone has drawn from you!"

The inquisition has not failed of receiving its due praises. Macedo, a Portuguuse Jesuit, has discovered the 'Origin of the Inquisition' in the terrestrial Paradise, and presumes to allege, that God was the first who began the functions of an inquisitor over Cain and the workmen of Babel! Macedo, however is not so dreaming a personage as he appears; for he obtained a professor's chair at Panda for the arguments he delivered at Venice against the pope, which were published by the title of 'The literary Roarings of the Lion at St Mark;' besides he is the author of 109 different works; but it is curious to observe how far our in-

terest is apt to prevail over our conscience,—Maceds praised the Inquisition up to heaven, while he sank the pope to nothing!

Among the great revolutions of this age, and since the last edition of these volumes, the inquisition in Spain and Portugal is abolished—but its history enters into that of the human mind; and the history of the inquisition by Limborch, translated by Chandler, with a very curious Introduction, loses none of its value with the philosophical mind. This monstrous tribunal of human opinions aimed at the sovereignty of the intellectual world without intellect.

SINGULARITIES OBSERVED BY VARIOUS NATIONS IN

The philosophical compiler of L'Esprit des Usages et des Coutemes, has arranged the greater part of the present article.

The Maldivian islanders eat alone. They retire into the most hidden parts of their houses; and they draw down the cloths that serve as blinds to their windows, that they may eat unobserved. This custom probably arises from the savage, in the early periods of society, concealing himself to ear: he fears that another with as sharp an appetite, but more strong than himself, should come and ravish his meal from him. The ideas of witcheraft are also widely spread among barbarians; and they are not a little fearful that some incantation may be thrown among their victuals.

In noticing the solitary meal of the Maldivian islander, another reason may be alleged for this misanthropical repast. They never will eat with any one who is inferior to them in birth, in riches, or in dignity; and as it is a difficult matter to settle this equality, they are condemned to lead this unsociable life.

On the contrary, the islanders of the Philippines are remarkably sociable. Whenever one of them finds himselt without a companion to partake of his meal, he runs till he meets with one; and we are assured that, however keen his appetite may be, he ventures not to satisfy it without a guest.

Savages, (say Montaigne) when they eat, 'S'essuyent les doigts our cuisses, à la bourse des génitoires, et à la plante des pieds.' We cannot forbear exulting in the polanted convenience of napkins!

The tables of the rich Chinese shine with a beautiful varnish, and are covered with silk carpets very elegantly worked. They do not make use of plates, knives, and forks: every guest has two little ivory or ebony sticks, which he handles very adroitly.

The Otahestans, who are naturally sociable, and very gentle in their manners, feed separately from each other.—At the hour of repast, the members of each family divide; two brothers, two sisters, and even husband and wife, father and mother, have each their respective basket. They place themselves at the distance of two or three yards from each other; they turn their backs, and take their meal in profound silence.

The custom of drinking at different hours from those assigned for eating, is to be met with amongst many eavage nations. It was originally begun from necessit II became a habit, which rubaisted even when the fourtain was near to them. A people transplanted, observes our ingenious philosopher, preserve in another climate modes of living which relate to those from whence they originally came. It is thus the Indians of Brazil scrupulously abstain from eating when they drink, and from drinking when they

When neither decency nor politeness are known, the man who invites his friends to a repast is greatly emhartrated to testify his esteem for his guests, and to present them with some anusement; for the savage guest imposes on him this obligation. Amongst the greater part of the American Indians, the host is continually on the watch to solicit them to eat, but touches nothing himself. In New France he wearies himself with singing, to divert the company while they eat.

When civilization advances, men wish to show their confidence to their friends: they treat their guests as relations; and it is said that in China the master of the house to give a mark of his politeness, absents hisself while his guests regale themselves at his table with undisturbed revelry.

The demonstrations of friendship in a rude state have a savage and gross character, which it is not a little curious to observe. The Tartars pull a man by the par to press

Digitized by GOOSIC

him to drink, and they continue tormenting him till he epens his mouth, then they clap their hands and dance before him.

No customs seem more ridiculous than those practised by a Kamechatkan, when he wishes to make another his friend. He first invites him to eat. The host and his guest strip thomselves in a cabin which is heated to an uncommon degree. While the guest devours the food with which they serve him, the other continually stirs the fire. While the guest devours the food with The stranger must bear the excess of the heat as well as He vomits ten times before he will yield; but, at length obliged to acknowledge himself overcome, he begins to compound matters. He purchases a moment's spite by a present of clothes or dogs; for his host threat-s to heat the cabin, and to oblige him to eat till he dies. The stranger has the right of retaliation allowed to him: he treats in the same manner, and exacts the same pre-sents. Should his host not accept the invitation of him whom he had handsomely regaled, in that case the guest would take possession of his cabin, till he had the presents returned to him which the other had in so singular a man-

For this extravagant custom a curious reason has been alloged. It is meant to put the person to a trial, whose friendship is sought. The Kamschatdale, who is at the expense of the fires, and the repair, is desirous to know if the stranger has the strength to support pain with him, and if he is generous enough to share with him some part of his property. While the guest is employed on his meal, he communes heating the cabin to an insupportable degree; and for a last proof of the stranger's constancy and attachment be exacts more clothes and more dogs. The host passes through the same ceremonies in the cabin of the stranger; and he shows, in his turn, with what degree of fortitude he can defend his friend. The most singular customs would appear simple, if it were possible for the philosopher to understand them on the spot.

As a distinguishing mark of their esteem, the negroes of Ardra drink out of one cup at the same time. The king of Loungo cats in one house, and drinks in another. A Kamschatkan kneels before his guest; he cuts an enormous slice from a sea-calf; he crams it entire into the mouth of his friend, furiously crying out 'Tana!'—There! and cutting away what hangs about his lips, snatches and

swallows it with avidity.

A barbarous magnificence attended the feasts of the ancient monarchs of France. After their coronation or consecration, when they sat at table, the nobility served them on horseback.

## MONARCHS.

Saint Chrysostom has this very acute observation on kings: many monarchs are infected with the strange wish that their successors may turn out bad princes. kings, desire it, as they imagine, continues this pious politician, that their glory will appear the more splendid by the contrast: and the bad desire it, as they consider such kings will serve to countenance their own misdemeanors.

Princes, says Gracian, are willing to be sided, but not surpassed; which maxim is thus illustrated.

A Spanish lord having frequently played at chess with A Spanson total navage and property of the party of the party rose from play, that he was much ruffled with chagrin. The lord when he returned home, said to his family,— My children, we have nothing more to do at court; there we must expect no favour; for the king is offended at my having won of him every game of choss."—As choss entirely depends on the genius of the players, and not on fortune, King Philip the chess player conceived he ought to suffer

This appears still clearer by the anecdote told of the Earl of Sunderland, minister to George I, who was partial to the game of choss. He once played with the Laird of Clumy, and the learned Cunningham the editor of Horace.
Cunningham with too much skill and too much sincerity, riority and surfiness, that he dismissed him without any reward. Clumy allowed himself sometimes to be beaten; and by that means got his pardon, with something hand-sees besides.' at his lordship. 'The Earl was so fretted at his supe-

In the criticon of Gracian, there is a singular anecdote

A great Point monarch having quitted me companions when he was hunting, his courters found him, a few days after, in a market-place, disguised as a porter, and leading

out the use of his shoulders for a few pence. At this they were as much surprised, as they were doubtful at first whother the parter could be his sasject. At length they ventured to express their complaints, that so great a per-sonage should debase himself by so vite an employ. His majesty having heard, answered them,— Upon my honour, gentlemen, the load which I quitted is by far beavier than the one you see me carry here: the weighticst is but a straw, when compared to that world under which I laboured. I have slept more in four nights than I have during all my reign. I begin to live, and to be king of myself. Elect whom you choose. For me, who am so well, it were madness to return to court.' Another Polish king, who succeeded this philosophic meneral and porter, when they placed the sceptre in his hand, exclaimed,- 1 had rather manage an our ." The vacillating fortunes of the Polish monarchy present several of these anecdotes; their monarchs appear to have frequently been philosophers; and as the world is made, an excellent philosopher proves but an indifferent king.

Two observations on kings were made to a courtier with great nativeté by that experienced politician the Duke of Alva.— Kings who affect to be familiar with their companions make use of men as they do of oranges they take sucked they throw them away. Take care the king does not do the same to you; be careful that he does not read all would be the same to you; all your thoughts; otherwise he will throw you aside to the back of his cheet, as a book of which he has read enough. 'The squeezed orange,' the king of Prussia applied in his

dispute with Voltaire.

When it was suggested to Dr Johnson that kings must be unhappy because they are deprived of the greatest of all satisfactions, easy and unreserved society, he observed that 'this was an ill-founded notion. Being a king does not exclude a man from such society. Great kings have always been social. The king of Prussia, the only great king at present, (this was the great Frederic) is very so-cial. Charles the Second, the last king of England who was a man of parts, was social; our Henrys and Edwards were all social.

The Marquis of Halifax in his character of Charles II, has exhibited a trait in the Royal character of a goodnatured monarch; that trait, is sauntering. I transcribe this curious observation, which introduces us into a levee.

There was as much of laziness as of love in all those hours which he passed amongst his mistresses, who served only to fill up his seraglio, while a bewitching kind of pleasure, called Sauntering, was the sukana queen he delight-

'The thing called sauntering is a stronger temptation to princes than it is to others. The being galled with impor-tunities, pursued from one room to another with asking faces; the dismal sound of unreasonable compaints and ill-grounded pretences; the deformity of fraud ill-disguised :--all those would make any man run away from them, and I used to think it was the motive for making him walk so fast.

## OF THE TITLES OF ILLUSTRIOUS, HIGHNESS, AND EX-CELLENCE.

The title of illustrious was never given, till the reign of Constantine, but to those whose reputation was splendid in arms or in letters. Adulation had not yet adopted this noble word into her vocabulary. Sustanius composed a book to record those who had possessed this title; and, as it was then bestowed, a moderate volume was sufficient to contain their names.

In the time of Constantine, the title of illustrious was given more particularly to those princes who had distinguished themselves in war; but it was not continued to their descendants. At length, it became very common; and every son of a prince was illustrious. It is now a convenient épithet for the poet.

There is a very proper distinction to be made between the epithets of illustrious, and famous. Niceron has entitled his celebrated work, Memoirs pour servir a Phistoire des hommes illustres dens la Republique des Lettres. The epithet illustrious is always received in des Lettres. The epithet illustrious is always received in an honourable sense; yet in those Memoirs are inserted many authors who have only written with the design of combating religion and morality. Such writers as Vanish, Spisoca, Woolston, Toland, &c, had been better characterised under the more general epithet of famous; for it may be said, that the illustrious are famous but that

Digitized by GOOGIC

famous are not always illustrious. In the rage for titles the ancient lawyers in Italy were not satisfied by calling kings illustres; they went a step higher, and would have emperors to be super-illustres, a barbarous coinage of their

In Spain, they published a book of titles for their kings, as well as for the Portuguese; but Selden tells us, that their Cortesias and giving of titles grew at length, through the affectation of beaping great attributes on their princes, to such an insufferable forme, that a remedie was provided against it.' This remedy was an act published by Philip III, which ordained that all the Cortesias, as they termed these strange phrases, they had so servitely and ridiculously invented, should be reduced to a simple subscription, 'To the king our lord,' leaving out those fantastical attributes which every socretary had vied with his predocessors in increasing their number.

It would fill three columns of the present pages to transcribe the titles and attributes of the Grand Signior, which he assumes in a letter to Henry IV. Selden, in his Titles of Honour, first part, p. 140, has preserved it, This des of rodoute, first part, p. 120, has preserved it, l'ins 'emperor of victorious emperors,' as he styles himself, at length condescended to agree with the emperor of Ger-many, in 1606, that in all their letters and instruments they should be only styled futher and son: the emperor calling the sultan his son; and the sultan the emperor, in regard, of his years, his father.

Formerly, says Houssaie, the title of highness was only given to kings; but now it has become so common, that all the great houses assume it. All the great, says a mo-dorn, are desirous of being confounded with princes, and are ready to seize on the privileges of royal dignity. We have already come to highness. The pride of our descen-

dants, I suspect will usurp that of majesty.

Ferdinand, king of Arragon, and his queen Isabella, of Castile, were only treated with the title of highness, Charles was the first who took that of majesty: not in his quality of king of Spain, but as emperor. St Foix informs us, that kings were usually addressed by the titles of most ilthat kings were usuary accreased by the times of most elementors, or your grace; but that the custom of giving them that of majesty, was only established by Louis XI, a prince the least majestic in all his actions, his manners, and his exterior—a severe monarch, but no ordinary man, the Tiberius of France; whose manners were of the most sordid nature:—in public audiences he dressed like the meanest of the people, and affected to sit on an old broken chair, with a filthy dog on his knees. In an account found of his household, this majestic prince has a charge made him, for two new sleeves newed on one of his old doublets.

Formerly kings were apostrophized by the title of your sumed the title of highness; and at length majesty. It was Francis I, who saluted him with his last title, in their interview in the year 1520, though he called himsel; only the

first gentleman in his kingdom!

So distinct were once the titles of highness and excellence, that, when Don Juan, the brother of Philip II, was permitted to take up the latter title, and the city of Granada saluted him by the latter title, and the city of Granada. saluted him by the title of highness, it occasioned such se-rious jealousies at court, that had he persisted in it, he would have been condemned for treason.

The usual title of cardinals, about 1600, was seignoria Interest and cardinal in his old age, assumed the title of excellencia reverends sima. The church of Rome was in its glory, and to be called reverend was then accounted a higher honour than to be styled the illustrious. But by use illustrious grow familiar, and reverend vulgar, and at last the cardinals were distinguished by the title of eminent.

After all these historical notices respecting these titles, the reader will smile when he is acquainted with the reason of an honest curate, of Montserrat, who refused to bestow the title of highness on the duke of Mantua, because be found in his breviary these words, Tu solus Dominus, tu solus Allissimus; from all which he concluded, that none but the Lord was to be honoured with the title of highness. The 'Titles of Honour' of Selden is a very curious vol-ume, and as the learned Usher told Evelyn, the most valuable work of this great scholar. The best edition is a folio of about 1000 pages. Selden vindicates the right of a king of England to the title of emperor.

#### TITLES OF SOVEREIGNS.

In countries where despotism exists in all its force, and is gratified in all its caprices, either the intoxication of power has occasioned sovereigns to assume the most solemn and the most fantastic titles; or the royal duties and func-tions were considered of so high and extensive a nature, that the people expressed their notion of the pure monai chical state, by the most energetic descriptions of oriental

fancy.

The chiefs of the Natches are regarded by their people as the children of the sun, and they bear the name of the father.

The titles which some chiefs assume are not always he-nourable in themselves; it is sufficient if the people respect them. The king of Quiterva calls himself the great tien; and for this reason lions are there so much respected, that they are not allowed to kill them, but at certain royal huntings.

The king of Monomotapa is surrounded by musicians and poets, who adulate him by such refined flatteries as

lord of the sun and moon; great magicion; and great this f!

The Asiatics have bestowed what to us appear as ridiculous titles of honour on their princes. The king of Arracan assumes the following ones; 'Emperor of Arracan, possessor of the white elephant, and the two ear-rings, and brana; lord of the twelve provinces of Bengal, and the twelve kings who place their heads under his feet.

His majesty of Ava is called God; when he writes to a

foreign sovereign he calls himself the king of kings, whom all others should obey, as he is the cause of the preserva-tion of all animals; the regulator of the seasons, the abso-lute master of the ebb and flow of the sea, brother to the sun, and king of the four and twenty umbrellas! These umbrellas are always carried before him as a mark of his

dignity. The titles of the king of Achem are singular though vo-minous. The most striking ones are sovereign of the luminous. universe, whose body is as luminous as the sun : whom God created to be as accomplished as the moon at her plenitude; whose eye glitters like the northern star; a king as spiritual as a ball is round; who when he rises shades all his people; from under whose feet a sweet odour is

wasted, &c, &c. Dr Davy, in his recent history of Ceylon, has added to this collection the authentic title of the Kandryan sovereign. He too is called Deno (God.) In a dee of gift he proclaims his extraordinary attributes. 'The protector of religion, whose fame is infinite, and of surpassing excellence, exceeding the moon, the unexpanded jessamine-buds, the stars, &c; whose feet are as fragrant to the noses of other kings as flowers to bees; our most noble patron and god by custom, &c.'

After a long enumeration of the countries possessed by the king of Persia, they give him some poetical distinc-tions; the branch of honour; the mirror of virtue; and the

rose of delight.

## ROYAL DIVINITIES.

There is a curious dissertation in the 'Memoires de l'Academie des inscriptions et Belles Lettres, hy the Abbé Mongault, 'on the divine honours which were paid to the governors of provinces during the Roman republic;' during their life-time these originally began in gratitude, and at length degenerated into flattery. These facts curiously show how far the human mind can advance, when led on by customs that operate invisibly on it, and blind us in our absurdities. One of these ceremonies was exquisitely ridiculous. When they worked a status to a processing they placed it When they voted a statue to a proconsul, they placed it among the statues of the gods in the festival called Lection terming; from the ridiculous circumstances of this solema festival. On that day the gods were invited to a repast, which was however spread in various quarters of the city, to satiate mouths more mortal. The gods were however taken down from their pedestals, laid on beds ornamented in their temples; pillows were placed under their marble heads; and while they reposed in this easy posture they were served with a magnificent repast. When Casar had conquered Rome, the servile senate put him to dine with the gods! Fatigued by, and ashamed of these honours, he desired the senate to crase from his statue in the capitol,

the title they had given him of a demi-god!
We know that the first Roman emperors did not want flatterers, and that the adulations they sometimes lavished were extravagant. But perhaps few know that they were

And never yet was title did not move :
And never eke a mind, that this did not love.

em offensive than the flatterers of the third century under the Pagan, and of the fourth under the Christian emperors. Those who are acquainted with the character of the age of Augustules, have only to throw their eyes on the one, and the other code, to find an infinite number of passages which had not been bearable even in that age. For instance, here is a law of Arcadius and Honorius, published in 404:

'Let the officers of the palace be warned to abstain from fromenting turnultuous moetings; and that those who, ustigated by a secrilegisus tomerity, dare to oppose the authority of our divisionity, shall be deprived of their employments, and their estates confiscated.' The letters they write are lay. When the sons speak of their fathers, it is 'Their father of divine memory;' or 'Their divine father,' They call their own laws eracles, and celestial oracles. So also their subjects address them by the titles of 'Year persuity, your eternity.' And it appears by a law of Theodore the Great, that the emperors at length added this to their titles. It begins, 'If any magistrate after having concluded a public work, but his name rather than that of ear perpetuity, let him be judged guilty of high treason. All the remands one of 'the celestial empire' of the Chinese.

Whenever the great Rigory made an observation. Ren

Whenever the great Mogul made an observation, Bersier tells us that some of the first ournals lifted up their hands, crying, 'Wonder! wonder! wonder!" And a prover current in his dominions, was, 'If the king saith at neoeday it is night, you are to say, behold the moon and the start!" Such adulation, however, could not alter the general condition and fortune of this unhappy being, who became a sovereign without knowing what it is to be one. He was brought out of the seragito to be placed on the throse, and it was he rather than the spectators, who might have truly used the interjection of astonishment!

#### DETREORED MONARCHS.

Feature never appears in a more extravagant humour than when she reduces monarchs to become medicants. Half a century ago it was not imagined that our own times should have to record many such instances. After having contemplated hings raised into distinctes, we see them now depressed as beggars. Our own times, in two opposite seases, may emphatically be distinguished as the age of lings.

In Candide or the Optimist, there is an admirable stroke of Voltaire's. Eight travellers meet in an obscure inm, and some of them with not sufficient money to pay for a scurry dancer. In the course of conversation, they are discovered to be eight soncrets in Europe, who had been deprived of their crowns!

What added to this exquisite satire was, that there were eight living monarchs at that moment wanderers on the earth;—a circumstance which has since occurred.

Additide, the widow of Lothario king of Italy, one of the most beautiful women in her age, was besieged in Pavia by Bersager, who resolved to constrain her to marry his son after Pavia was taken; she escaped from her prison with her almoser. The archbishop of Reggio had offered her as asyluin: to reach it, she and her almoner travelled on foot through the country by night, concealing herself in the day time among the corn, while the almoner begged for alms and food through the villages.

The Emperor Heary IV, after having been deposed and impresented by his son, Henry V, escaped from prison; poor, vagrant, and without aid, he entreated the bishop of Spires to grant him a lay probead in his church. 'I have stadied,' said he, 'and have learned to sing, and may therefore be of some service to you.' The request was denied, and be find misorably and obscurely at Liege, after having warm the attention of Europe to his victories and his

Mary of Medicis, the widow of Henry the Great, mother of Louis KIII, mother-in-law of three sovereigns, and regent of France, frequently wanted the necessaries of life, and died at Cologue in the atmost misery. The intrigues Richelicu compelled her to exile herself, and live an unhappy fugitive. Her petition exists with this supplicatory spening: 'Supplie Marie, Reine de France et de Navarre, disant, que depuis le 23 Feurier, elle aurait été arretée prisonniere au chateau de Compiegne, sans être ni accusée ni souponnée, &c.' Lilly, the astrologer, in his Life and Death of King Charles the First, presents us with a melancholy picture of this unfortunate monarch. He has alse described the person of the old queen mother of Fance.

'In the month of Augus; 1641, I behold the old queen mother of France departing from London, in company of Thomas earl of Arundel. A sad spectacle of mortality it was, and produced tears from mine eyes and many other beholders, to see an aged, lean, decripit, poor queen ready for her grave, necessitated to depart hence, having no place of residence in this world left her, but where the courtesy of her hard fortune assigned it. She had been the only stately and magnificent woman of Eurepe: wife to the greatest king that ever lived in France; mother unto one king and unto two queens.'

In the year 1895, died at Paris, Antonio king of Portagal. His body is interred at the Cordeliers, and his heart deposited at the Ave-Maria. Nothing on earth could compel this prince to renounce his crows. He passed over to Ragland, and Riizabeth assisted him with troops, but at length he died in Prance in great poverty. This dethroned monarch was happy in one thing, which is indeed rure: in all his miseries he had a servant, who proved a tender and fauthful friend, and who only desired to participate in his misfortunes, and to soften his miseries; and for the recompense of his services he only wished to be beried at the fact of his dear master. This hero in loyalty, to whom the ancient Romans would have raised altars, was Don Diego Bothei, one of the greatest lords of the court of Portugal, and who drew his origin from the kings of Bohemia.

Hume supplies me with an aneodote of singular royal distress. He informs us that the queen of England, with her son Charles, had 'a moderate pension assigned her: but it was so ill paid, and her credit ran so low, that one morning when the Cardinal de Reiz waited on her, she informed him that her daughter, the princess Henrietta, was obliged to lie a-bed for want of a fire to warm her. To such a condition was reduced, in the midst of Paris, a queen of England, and daughter of Henry IV of France! We find another proof of her excessive poverty. Salmasius, after publishing his celebrated political book, in favour of Charles II, the Defensio Regia, was much blamed by a friend for not having sont a copy to the widowed queen of Charles, who, he writes, though poor, would yet have paid the bearer!

The daughter of James the First, who married the Elector Palatine, in her attempts to get her husband crowned, was reduced to the utmost beggary, and wandered frequently in diaguise as a mere vagrant.

A strange anecdote is related of Charies VII, of France. Our Henry V. had shrunk his kingdom into the town of Bourges. It is said that having told a shoemaker after he had just tried a pair of his boots, that he had no money to pay for them, Crispin had such callous feelings that he refused his majesty the boots! 'It is for this reason,' says Comines, 'I praise those princes who are on good terms with the lowest of their people; for they know not at what hour they may want them.'

Many monarchs of this day have probably experienced more than once the truth of the reflection of Comines.

We may add here, that in all conquered countries the descendants of royal families have been found among the dregs of the populace. An Irish prince has been discovered in the person of a miserable peasant; and in Mexico, its faithful historian Clavigero notices that he has known a locksmith who was a descendant of its ancient kings, and a tailor of one of its notlest families.

## PEUDAL CUSTOMS.

Barbarous as the feudal customs were, they were the first attempts at organizing European society. The sorthern nations, in their irruptions and settlements in Europe, were barbarians independent of each other, till a sense of public safety induced these hordes to confederate. But the private individual respect so hereoft from the public union 1, on the contrary, he seems to have lost his wild liberty in the subjugation; he in a short time was compelled to suffer from his chieftain; and the curiosity of the philosophae is excited by contemplating in the feudal customs a harbarous people carrying into their first social institutions their criginal ferecity. The institution of forming cities intecommunities at length gradually diminished this military and aristocratic tyranny; and the freedom of cities, originating in the pursuits of commerce, shook off the yoke of insolent lordships. A famous ecclesiastical writer of that day, who had imbibed the foudal prejudices, calls these communities, which were distinguished by the name of libertates (hence probably our municipal term the fiberties,)

Digitized by GOOGIG

as 'execrable inventions, by which, contrary to law and justice, slaves withdrew themselves from that obedience which they owed to their masters.' Such was the expiring voice of aristocratic tyranny! This subject has been ingeniously discussed by Robertson in his preliminary vol-ume to Charles; but the following facts constitute the picture which the historian leaves to be gleaned by the minuter inquirer.

The feudal government introduced a species of servitude which till that time was unknown, and which was called the servitude of the land. The bondmen or seris, and the villains or country servants, did not reside in the house of the lord; but they entirely depended on his caprice; and he sold them, as he did the animals, with the field where they lived, and which they cultivated.

It is difficult to conceive with what insolence the petty lords of those times tyrannized over their villains; they not only oppressed their slaves with unremitted labour, instigated by a vile cupidity; but their whim and caprice led them to inflict miseries without even any motive of in-

In Scotland they had a shameful institution of maiden rights; and Malcolm the Third only abolished it, by order-ing that they might be redeemed by a quitrent. The truth ing that they might be redeemed by a quitrent. of this circumstance Dalrymple has attempted, with excu-sable patriotism, to render doubtful. There seems however to be no doubt of the existence of this custom; since it also spread through Germany, and various parts of Europe; and the French barons extended their domestic tyranny to three nights of involuntary prostitution. Mon-resquieu is infinitely French, when he could turn this shameful species of tyranny into a bon mot; for he coldly observes on this, 'C'stoit bien ces trois muits la, qu'il falloit choisir; car peur les autres on n'auroit pas donné beaucoup d'argent.' The legislator in the wit forgot the feelings of his heart.

Others, to preserve this privilege when they could not enjoy it in all its extent, thrust their leg booted into the bed of the new-married couple. This was called the droit de cuisee. When the bride was in bod, the esquire or lord performed this ceremony, and stood there, his thigh in the bed, with a lance in his hand; in this ridiculous attitude he remained till he was tired; and the bridegroom was not suffered to enter the chamber, till his lordship had retired. Such indecent privileges must have originated in the worst of intentions; and when afterwards they advanced a step or intentions; and whom alterwards they accurated a version or the humane manners, the ceremonial was preserved from avaricious motives. Others have compelled their events of the consummate their marriage; to pass the bridal hours in a river; or to be bound naked to a cart, and to trace some furrows as they were dragged: or to leap with their feet tied over the horns of stags.

Sometimes their caprice commanded the bridegroom to appear in drawers at their castle, and plunge into a ditch of mud; and sometimes they were compelled to beat the waters of the pends to hinder the frogy from disturbing the

ford !

Wardship, or the privilege of guardianship enjoyed by some lord, was one of the barbarous inventions of the feudal ages; the guardian had both the care of the person, and dat ages; the guardian has both the care of the person, after his own use the revenue of the estates. This feudal custom was so far abused in England, that the king sold these lordships to strangers; and when the guardian had fixed on a marriage for the infant, if the youth or maiden did not agree to this, they forfeited the value of the marriage. The is the superson the guardian would have obtained riage; that is, the sum the guardian would have obtained by the other party had it taken place. This cruel custom was a source of domestic unhappiness, particularly in love-affairs, and has served as the ground-work of many a pa-thetic play by our elder dramatists.

was a time when the German lords reckoned amongst their privileges, that of robbing on the high ways of their territory; which ended in raising up the famous Hanscatic Union to pretect their commerce against rapine and avaricious exactions of toll.

and avaricious exactions of toli.

Geoffrey, lord of Coventry, compelled his wife to ride maked on a white pad through the exceets of the town; that by this mode he might restore to the inhabitants those privileges of which his wantonness had deprived them. This ansecdots some have suspected to be fictitious from its extreme barbarity; but the character of the middle-ages will admit of any kind of wanton barbarism.

admit of any kind of wanton barbarism.

When the abbot of Figeac makes his entry into that tewn, the lord of Monthrun, dressed in a barlequin's coat,

and one of his legs naked, is compelled by an ancient custes to conduct him to the door of his abbey leading his borse by

The feudal barons frequently combined to share among themselves those children of their villains who appeared to be the most healthy and serviceable, or who were remarkable for their talents; and not unfrequently sold them in their markets.

The feudal servitude is not, even in the present enlight-ened times, abolished in Poland, in Germany, and in Rus-sia. In those countries the bondmen are still entirely deendent on the caprice of their masters. The peasants of Hungary or Bohemia frequently revolt, and attempt to

shake off the pressure of feudal tyranny.

An anecdote of comparatively recent date displays their unfeeling caprice. A lord or prince of the northern countries passing through one of his villages, observed a small assembly of peasants and their families amusing themselves with dancing. He commands his domestics to part the men from the women, and confine them in the hou He orders the coats of the women to be drawn up above their heads, and tied with their garters. The men were then liberated, and those who did not recognize their wives

in that state received a severe castigation.

Absolute dominion hardens the human heart; and nobles accustomed to command their bondmen will treat their domestics as slaves, as the capricious or inhuman West Indians are known to do their domestic slaves. Those of Siberia punish theirs by a free use of the cudget or rod. The Abbé Chappe saw two Russian slaves undress a chambermaid, who had by some trifling negligeno given offence to her mistress; after having uncovered as far as her waist, one placed her head betwirt his knees; the other held her by the feet: while both armed with two sharp rods, violently lashed her back till it pleased the domestic tyrant to decree it was enough!

After a perusal of these anecdotes of feudal tyranny, we

may exclaim with Goldsmith-

'I fly from petty tyrants—to the throne.'

Mr Hallam's recent view of the State of Europe during the Middle-ages,' renders this short article superfluous in a philosophical view.

## JOAN OF ARC.

Of the Maid of Orleans I have somewhere read that a bundle of faggots was substituted for her, when she was supposed to have been burnt by the Duke of Bedford. None of our historians notice this anecdote: though some have mentioned that after her death an impostor arose, and was even married to a French gentleman, by whom she had several children. Whether she deserved to have been distinguished by the appellation of The Maid of Orleans we have great reason to suspect; and some in her days, from her fondness for man's apparel, even doubted her ass. We know little of one so celebrated as to have formed the heroine of epics. The following epitaph on her I find in Winstanley's 'Historical Rarities;' and which, possessing some humour, merits to be rescued from total

> 'Here lies Joan of Arc; the which Some count saint, and some count witch; Some count man, and some ching more; Some count maid, and some a whore. Her life 's in question, wrong or right; Her death 's in doubt, by laws or might. Oh, innocence! take heed of it, How thou too near to guilt doth sk. (Meantime, France a wonder saw—A woman rale, 'gainst salique law'.) But, reader, be content to stay
> Thy censure till the judgment day: 'Here lies Joan of Arc; the which Thy censure till the judgment day;
> Then shalt thou know, and not before,
> Whether saint, witch, man, maid, or where. GAMING.

Gaming appears to be an universal passion. Some hav attempted to deny its universality; they have imagined that it is chiefly prevalent in cold climates, where such a passion becomes most capable of agitating and gratifying the torpid minds of their inhabitants.

the torpid minos of their imassitants. The first propensity of gaming is to be discovered, as well amongst the inhabitants of the frigid and torrid nones, as amongst those of the milder climates. The savage and the civilized, the lifterate and the learned, are alike captivated by the hope of accumulating weakh without the labours of industry. Digitized by GOOGLE

Barbeyrac has written an elaborate treatise on gaming, and we have two quarto volumes by C. Moore, on suicid gaming, and duelling, which may be put on the shelf by the side of Barbeyrac. All these works are excellent sermost, but a sermon to a gambler, a duellist, or a suicide!

A dice-box, a sword and pistol, are the only things that seem to have any power over these unhappy men, for ever

but in a labyriath of their own construction

I an much pleased with the following thought. 'The ancients (says the author of Amusemens serioux et co-miques) assembled to see their gladiators kill one another; they claused this among their genes! What barbarity!
But are we less barbarous, we who call a gene an assembly who meet at the faro table where the actors themselves conf-ss they only meet to destroy one another? In both these cases the philosopher may perhaps discover their argumone cause, that of the listless perishing with summi requiring an immediate impulse of the passions; and very inconsiderate on the fatal means which procures the desired agreation.

The most ancient treatise by a modern on this subject, according to Barbeyrac, was that of a French physician, see Eckelon, who published it in 1569, entitled De Alea, see de curanda hadendi in pecuniam cupidilate, that is, 'of rames of chance, or the malady of playing for money. The treatise itself is only worth noticing from the circumstance of the author being himself one of the most invetemie gamblers; he wrote this work to convince himself of the folly. But in spite of all his solemn yows, the prayers of his friends, and his own book perpetually quoted before wins fremed, and his own book perpetually quoted before his face, he was a great gamester to his last hour! The same circumstance happened to Sir John Denham. They had not the good sense of old Montaigne, who gives us the reason why he gave over gaming. 'I used to like former-ly games of chance with cards and dice; but of that folly I have long been cured; merely because I found that whatever good countenance I put on when I lost I did not feel my vexation the less.' Goldsmith fell a victim to this madaess. To play any game well requires serious study, time, and experience. If a man of letters plays deeply, as will be duped even by shallow fellows, or by professed Combiers.

Dice, and that little pugnacious animal the cock, are the chief instruments employed by the numerous nations of the East, to agitate their minds and ruin their fortunes; to which the Chinese, who are desperate gamesters, add the uz of cards. When all other property is played away, the A vanc gambler scruples not to stake his zoje or his child, on the cast of a die, or courage and strength of a martial brd. If still unsuccessful, the last venture he stakes is

In the island of Ceylon, cock-fighting is carried to a great height. The Sumatrans are addicted to the use of dice. A strong spirit of play characterizes a Malayan. After having resigned every thing to the good fortune of the win-ner, he is reduced to a horrid state of desperation; he then losens a certain lock of hair, which indicates war and destruction to all the raving gameater meets. He intoxicates kinesif with opium; and working himself up into a fit of phrenzy, he bites and kills every one who comes in his way. Revenue and working himself up into a fit of phrenzy, he bites and kills every one who comes in his way. Revenue are accounted to this head in complement it is in landout. way. But as soon as this lock is seen flowing it is lawful to are at the person, and to destroy him as fast as possible. I think it is this which our sailors call 'To run a muck.' Thus Dryden writes-

'Frontless, and satire-proof, he scours the streets, And runs an Indian muck at all he meets.'

## Thus also Pope-

'Satire's my weapon, but I'm too discreet To run a muck, and tik at all I meet.'

Johnson could not discover the derivation of the word To 'run a much' is an old phrase for attacking mady and indiscriminately: and has since been ascertain-

ed to be a Malay word.

ed to be a Malay word.

To discharge their gambling debts, the Siamese sell their possessions, their families, and at length themselves. The Chinese play sight and day, till they have lost all they are worth; and them they usually go and hang themselves. Each is the propensity of the Japanese for high play, that they were compelled to make a law, that, 'Whoever ventures his money at play, shall be put to death.' In the newly-discovered islands of the Parisic Ocean, they venture was their batchets, which they hold as invaluable acquisition, arrunning-matches:—'We saw a man,' says Cook,

beating his breast and tearing his hair in the violence of rage, for having lost three hatchets at one of these races,

and which he had purchased with nearly half his property.

The ancient nations were not less addicted to gaming a
Persians, Grecians, and Romans; the Goths, the Germans, &c. To notice the modern ones were a melancholy task: there is hardly a family in Europe which cannot record, from their own domestic annals, the dreadful prevalence of

Gemester and cheater were synonymous terms in the time of Shakspeare and Joneon: they have hardly lost much of

their double signification in the present day.

The following is a curious picture of a gambling-house, from a contemporary account and appears to be an establish-

rrom a contemporary account and appears to be an establishment more systematic than the 'hells' of the present day.

'A list of the officers established in the most notorious gaming-houses,' from the Daily Journal, Jan. 9th, 1731.

1st. A Commissioner, always a proprietor, who looks in of a night; and the week's account is audited by him and two other proprietors.

2d. A Director, who superintends the room.

3d. An Operator, who deals the cards at a cheating same, called Farn.

game, called Faro.
4th. Two Crowpees, who watch the cards, and gather the money for the bank.

5th. Two Puffs, who have money given them to decoy

others to play.

6th. A Clerk, who is a check upon the Puffs, to see that

they sink none of the money given them to play with.

7th. A Squib is a puff of lower rank, who serves at halfpay salary while he is learning to deal.

8th. A Flasher, to awear how often the bank has beer

9th. A Dunner, who goes about to recover money los.

at play.
10th. A Waiter, to fill out wine, snuff candles, and attend the gaming-room.

11th. An Attorney, a Newgate solicitor.

12th. A Captain, who is to fight any gentleman who is peevish for losing his money.

13th. An Usher, who lights gentlemen up and down stairs, and gives the word to the porter.

14th. A Porter, who is generally a soldier of the Foot

Guards.

15th. An Orderly Man, who walks up and down the outside of the door, to give notice to the porter, and alarm the house at the approach of the constable.

16th. A Runner, who is to get intelligence of the justice's

17th. Link-boys, Coachmen, Chairmen, or others whe bring intelligence of the justices' meetings, or of the constables being out, at half a-guinea reward.

18th. Common-bail, Affidavit men, Ruffians, Bravocs,

Assassins, cum multis aliis.

The 'Memoirs of the most famous Gamesters from the reign of Charles II to Quoen Anne, by T. Lucas, Esq. 1714, appears to be a bookseller's job; but probably a few traditional stories are preserved.

## THE ARABIC CHRONICLE.

The Arabic Chronicle of Jerusalem is only valuable from the time of Mahomet. For such is the stupid superstition of the Arabs, that they pride themselves on being ignorant of whatever has passed before the mission of their Prophet. The most curious information it contains is concerning the crusades: according to Longerue, who said he had translated several portions of it, whoever would be versed in the history of the crusades should attend to this chronicle, which appears to have been written with impartiality. It renders justice to the christian heroes, and par-ticularly dwells on the gallant actions of the Count de Saint Gilles.

Our historians chiefly write concerning Godfrey de Bouillon; only the learned know that the Count de Saint Gilles acted there so important a character. The stories of the Sereces are just the reverse: they speak little concerning Godfrey, and eminently distinguish Saint

Tasse has given into the more vulgar accounts, by making the former so eminent, at the cost of the other heroes, ing its former to entinent, at the cost of the other heroes, in his Jerusalem Delivered. Thus Virgil transformed by his magical power the chaste Dido into a lover; and Homes the meretricious Penclopo into a meaning matron. It is not requisite for poets to be historians, but historians should not be so frequently poets. The same charge, I have been told, must be made to the Grecian historians. The Persians are viewed to great disadvantage in Grecian history. It would form a curious inquiry, and the result might be unexpected to some, were the Oriental student to comment on the Grecian-historians. The Grecians were not the demi-gods they paint themselves to have been, nor those they attacked the contemptible multitudes they describe. These boasted victories might be diminished. The same observation attaches to Cassar's account of his British expedition. He never records the defeats he frequently experienced. The national prejudices of the Roman historians have undoubtedly occasioned us to have a very erroneous conception of the Carthagenians, whose discoveries in navigation and commercial enterprises were the most considerable among the ancients. We must indeed think highly of that people, whose works on agriculture which they had raised into a science, the senate of Rome orderd to be translated into Latin. They must indeed have been a wise and grave people. Yet they are stigmatized by the Romans for faction, cruelty and cowardice; and their bad faith has come down to us in a proverb; but Luvy was a Roman! and there is a patriotic salignity!

#### METEMPSYCHOLIS.

If we except the belief of a future remuneration beyond this life for suffering virtue, and retribution for successful crimes, there is no system so simple, and so little repugnant to our understanding, as that of the metempsychosis. The pains and the pleasures of this life are by this system considered as the recompense or the punishment of our actions in an anterior state: so that, says St Foix we cease to wonder that among men and animals, some enjoy an easy and agreeable life, while others seem born only to suffer all kinds of miseries: preposterous as this system may appear, it has not wanted for advocates in the present age, which indeed has revived every kind of functiful theories. Mercier, in L'an deux mille quatre cents quarante, seriously maintains the present one.

maintains the present one.

If we seek for the origin of the opinion of the metempsychosis, or the transmigration of souls into other bodies, we must plunge into the remotest antiquity; and even then we shall find it impossible to fix the epoch of its first author. The notion was long extant in Greece before the time of Pythagoras. Herodotus assures us that the Egyptian priests taught it; but he does not inform us of the time it began to spread. It probably followed the opinion of the immortality of the soul. As soon as the first philosophers had established this dogma, they thought they could not maintain this immortality without a transmigration of souls. The opinion of the metempsychosis spread in almost every region of the earth; and it continues, even to the present time in all its force among those nations who have not yet embraced christianity. The people of Arracan, Port, Siam, Camboya, Tonquin, Cochin-China, Japan, Java, and Ceylon still entertain that fancy, which also forms the chief article of the Chinese religion. The Druids believed in transmigration. The bardic triads of the Welsh are full of this belief; and a Welsh antiquary insists that by an emigration which formerly took plage, it was conveyed to the Bramins of India from Wales! The Welsh bards tell us that the souls of men transmigrate into the bodies of those animals whose habits and characters they most resemble, till after a circuit of such chastising miseries, they are rendered more pure for the celestial presence; for man may be converted into a pig or a wolf, till at length he assumes the

inoffensingness of the dove.

My leaved friend Sharon Turner, the accurate and philosophical historian of our Saxon ancestors, has explained, an his 'Vindication of the ancient British Poems,' p. 231, the Welsh system of the metempsychosis. Their bards mention three circles of existence. The circle of the allieclosing circle, holds nothing alive or dead but Ged. The second circle, that of felicity, is that which men are to pervade after they have passed through their terrestrial changes. The circle of evil is that in which human nature passes through those varying stages of existence which it must undergo before it is qualified to inhabit the circle of felicity.

The progression of man through the circle of evil is marked by three infelicities: necessity, oblivion, and deaths. The deaths which follow our changes, are so many escapes from their power. Man is a free agent, and has the liberty of choosing; his sufferings and changes cannot be foreseen. By his misconduct he may happen to fall retragale into the lowest state from which he had emerged. If his conduct

in any one state, instead of improving his being, had made it worse, he fell back into a worse condition to commence again his purifying revolutions. Humanity was the limit of the degraded transmigrations. All the changes above humanity produced felicity. Humanity is the scene of the contest, and after man has traversed every state of animated existence, and can remember all that he has passed through, that consummation follows which he attains in the circle of felicity. It is on this system of transmigration that Talicesin the Welsh bard, who wrote in the eith century, gives a recital of his pretended transmigration. He tells how he had been a serpent, a wild ass, a buck, or a crane, &c.; and this kind of reminiscence of his former state, this recovery of memory, was a proof of the mortal's advances to the happier circle. For to forget what we have been, was one of the curses of the circle of evil. Talicesin therefore, adds Mr Turner, as profusely boasts of his recovered reminiscence as any modern sectary can do of his state of grace and election.

In all these wild reveries there seems to be a moral fable in the notion, that the clearer a mass recollects what a brate he has been, it is certain proof that he is in an improved

state!

According to the authentic Clavigero, in his history of Mexico, we find the Pythagorean transmigration carried on in the west, and not less fancifully than in the countries of the east. The people of Tlascala believe that the souls of persons of rank went after their death to inhabit the bodies of beautiful and sweet singing brids, and those of the subtry quadrupeds; while the souls of inferior persons were supposed to pass into weesels, bettles, and such other messner animals.

There is something not a little ludicrous in the description Plutarch gives at the close of his treatise on 'the delay of heavenly justice.' Thespeaius saw at length the souls of those who were condemned to return to life, and whom they violently forced to take the form of all kinds of animals. The labourers charged with this transformation, forge with their instruments certain parts; others, a new form; and made some totally disappear; that these souls might be readered proper for another kind of life and other habits. Among these he perceived the soul of Nero, which had already suffered long torments, and which stuck to the body punits red from the fire. The workmen seized on him to make a viper of, under which form he was now to live, after having devoured the breast that had carried him.—But in this Plutarch only copies the fine reveries of Plato.

## SPANISH ETIQUETTE.

The etiquette or the rules to be observed in the royal palaces is necessary, writes Baron Bielfield, for keeping order at court. In Spain it was carried to such lengths as to make martyrs of their kings. Here is an instance, at which, in spite of the fatal consequences it produced, esse cannot refrain from smiling.

Philip the Third was gravely seated by the fire-side; the fire-maker of the court had kindled so great a quantity of wood, that the monarch was nearly sufficiented with heat, and his grandeur would not suffer him to rise from the chair; the domestics could not presume to enter the apartment, because it was against the signette. At length the Marquis de Pota appeared, and the king ordered him to damp the fires: but he excused himself; alleging that he was forbidden by the etiquette to perform such a function, for which the duke d'Usseda ought to be called upon, as it was his business. The duke was gone out; the fire burst ferror; and the king endured it, rather than derogate from his dignity. But his blood was heated to such a degree, that an erysipelas of the head appeared the next day, which succeeded by a violent fiver, carried him off in 1621, in the twenty-fourth year of his age.

in the twenty-fourth year of his age.

The palace was once on fire; a soldier, who know the king's sister was in her apartment, and must inevitably have been consumed in a few moments by the fiames, at the risk of his life rushed in, and brought her highness safe out in his arms: but the Spanish efiquette was here wofully brozen into! The loval soldier was brought to trial, and as it was impossible to deny that he had entered her apartment, the judges condemned him to die! The Spanish Princes, however condescended in consideration of the circumstance, to pardon the soldier, and very benevolently saved his life!

When Isabella, mother of Philip II. was ready to be delivered of him, she commanded that all the lights should be extinguished. that if the violence of her pain should occasion her face to change colour, no one might perceive it.

And when the midwife said, 'Madam, cry out, that will
give you case,' she answered in good Spanish, 'How
dare you give me such advice?' I would rather die than cry out."

'Spein gives us pride—which Spain to all the earth May largely give, nor fear herself a dearth!'

Philip the Third was a weak bigot, who suffered himself Philip be Third was a weak bigot, who suffered hisself to be governed by his ministers. A patriot wished to epen his eyes, but he could not pierce through the crowds of his flatterers; besides, that the voice of patriotism heard a corrupt court would have become a crime never pardoned. He found, however, an ingenious manner of conveying to him his consure. He caused to be laid on his table one day, a letter sealed, which bore this address—'To the King of Spain, Philip the Third, at present in the service of the Duke of Lerman.'

In a nimitar manner. Don Carlos and to Philip the Sealers.

In a similar manner, Don Carlos, son to Philip the Secend, made a book with empty pages, to contain the voy-ages of his father, which bore this title—' The Great and Admirable Voyages of the King Mr Philip.' All these wyages consisted of going to the Escurial from Madrid, and returning to Madrid from the Escurial. Josts of this kind, at length, cost him his life.

#### THE GOTHS AND BUYS.

The terrific honours which these ferocious nations paid to their deceased monarchs are recorded in history, by the interment of Attila, king of the Huns; and Alaric, king

Attila died in 453, and was buried in the midst of a vast Altis died in 455, and was buried in the midst of a vast champaign in a coffin which was inclosed in one of gold, another of silver, and a third of iron. With the body were interred all the spoils of the enemy, harnesses embroidered with gold and studded with jewels; rich silks, and whatever they had taken most precious in the palaces of the kings they had pillaged; and that the place of his interment might. For ever remain concealed, the Huns desired of the line with herital. prived of life all who assisted at his burial!

The Goths had done nearly the same for Alaric in 410, at Kosenca, a town in Calabria. They turned aside the river Vasento; and having formed a grave in the midet of its bed where its course was most rapid, they interred this king with prodigious accumulation of riches. After having caused the river to reassume its usual course, they murdered without exception, all those who had been con-cerned in digging this singular grave.

## OF VICARS OF BRAY.

The vicar of Bray, in Berkshire was a papist under the reign of Henry the Eighth, and a protestant under Edward the Sixth; he was a papist again under Mary, and once more became a protestant in the reign of Elizabeth. When this scandal to the gown was reproached for his versatility of religious creeds, and taxed for being a turncoat and an unconstant changeling, as Fuller expresses it, he replied, 'Not so neither! for if I changed my religion, I am sure I kept true to my principle; which is, to live and die the vicar of Bray!

This vivacious and reverend here has given birth to a proverb peculiar to his county, 'The vicar of Bray will be vicar of Bray still.' But how has it happened that this tion should be so notorious, and one in much higher rank, acting the same part should have escaped notice? Dr Kitchen, hishop of Llandaff, from an idle abbot under Henry VIII, was made a busy hishop; protestant under Edward, he returned to his old master under Mary; and at last took the eath of supremacy under Elizabeth, and faished as a parliament protestant. A pun spread the edium of his name; for they said that he had always loved the kitchen better than the church?

It may be recorded as a species of Puritanic savageness and Gothic barbarism, that no later than in the year 1757, a man of genius was persocuted because he had written a tragedy which tended by no means to burt the morals; out on the contrary, by awakening the piety of domestic affections with the nobler passions, would rather elevate and purify the mind.

When Home, the author of the tragedy of Douglas, bad it performed at Edinburg, and because some of the dimes, his acquaintance, attended the representation,

the clergy, with the monastac spirit of the darkest ages, published the present paper, which I shall abridge for the contemplation of the reader, who may wonder to see such

a composition written in the eighteenth century.

On Wednesday, February the 2d, 1757, the Presbytery of Glasgow came to the following resolution. They having seen a printed paper, intituled, 'An admonition and exhortation of the reverend Presbytery of Edinburg; which, among other soils prevailing, observing the following melenchely but neterious facts: that one who is a minister of the church of Scotland, did kineself write and compose a singe-play, initialed, "The tragedy of Douglas," and got it to be acted at the theatre of Edinburg; and that he with several other ministers of the church were present; and some of them oftener than once, at the acting of the said play before a numerous audience. The presbytery being desply affected with this new and strange appearance, do publish these sentiments, &c. Sentiments with which I will not disgust the reader; but which they apshown in the case of Logan and other Scotchmen, who have committed the crying sin of composing dramas!

## CRITICAL HISTORY OF POVERTY.

Mr. Morin, in the memoirs of the French academy, has

formed a little history of Poverty, which I abridge.

The writers on the genealogies of the gods have not noticed this deity's though admitted as such in the pages. heavon, while she has had temples and altars on earth. neavon, while see has had temples and altars on earth.

The allegorical Plate has pleasingly narrated, that at the
feast which Jupiter gave on the birth of Venus, Poverty
modestly stood at the gate of the palace to gather the
fragments of the colestial banquet; when she observed the god of riches, inebriated with nectar, roll out of the heavenly residence, and passing into the Olympian gardens, threw himself on a vernal bank. She seized this opportunity to become familiar with the god. The frolicsome deity honoured her with his caresses; and from this amour sprung the god of love who resembles his father in joility and mirth, and his mother in his nudity. The allegory is ingenious. The union of poverty with riches, must inevi-

ingenious. The union of poverty with riches, must inevitably produce the must delightful of pleasures.

The golden age, however, had but the duration of a flower; when it finished, poverty began to appear. The ancestors of the human race, if they did not meet her face to face, knew her in a partial degree; the vagrant Caim encountered her. She was firmly established in the partiarchal age. We hear of merchants who publicity and the vagnation along the description of the description o practised the cumerce of vending slaves, which indicates the utmost degree of poverty. She is distinctly marked by Job: this holy man protests that he had nothing to reproach himself with respecting the poor, for he had assisted them in their necessities.

In the scriptures, legislators, paid great attention to their relief. Moses, by his wise precautions, endeavoured to soften the rigours of this unhappy state. The division of lands, by tribes and families: the septennial jubilees; the regulation to bestow at the harvest time a certain portion of all the fruits of the earth for those families who were in want; and the obligation of his moral law to love one's neighbour as one's self; were so many mounds erected against the mundations of poverty. The Jews under their Theocracy had few or no mendicants. Their kings were unjust; and rapaciously seizing on inheritances which were not their right, increased the numbers of the poor. From not their right, increased the numbers of the poor.

A the reign of David there were oppressive governors, who devoured the people as their bread. It was still werse under the foreign powers of Babylon, of Persit, and the Roman emperors. Such were the extortions of their public the property of the pro licans, and the avarice of their governors, that the number of mendicants dreadfully augmented; and it was probably for that reason that the opulent families consecrated a for that reason that the opplent families consecrated a tenth part of their property for their succour, as appears in the time of the evangelists. In the preceding ages no more was given, as their casuists assure us, than the fortieth or thirtieth part; a custom which this unfortunate nation still practise. If there are no poor of their nation where they reside, they send it to the most distant parts. The Jewish merchants make this charity a regular charge in their transactions with each other; and at the case of in their transactions with each other; and at the close of the year render an account to the poor of their nation

By the example of Moses, the ancient legislators were by the example of Process, the ancient registratory were taught to pay a similar attention to the poor. Like him they published laws respecting the division of lands; and many ordinances were made for the benefit of those whose ares, inuindations, wars, or bad harvests had reduced to want. Convinced that idleness more inevitably introduced poverty than any other cause, it was rigorously punished; the Egyptians made it criminal, and no vagabonds or mendicants were suffered under any pretence whatever. Those who were convicted of slothfulness, and still refused to labour for the public when labour was offered to them, were punished with death. The Egyptian taskmasters observed that the Israelites were an idle nation, and obliged them to furnish bricks for the erection of those famous pyramids, which are probably the works of men who otherwise had remained vagabonds and mendicants.

The same spirit inspired Greece. Lycurgus would not have in his republic either poor or rich: they lived and laboured in common. As in the present times, every family has its stores and cellars, so they had public ones, and distributed the provisions according to the ages and constitutions of the people. If the same regulation was not precisely observed by the Athenians, the Corinthians and the other people of Greece, the same maxim existed in full

force against idleness.

According to the laws of Draco, Solon, &c, a conviction of wilful poverty was punished with the loss of life. Plato, more gentle in his manners, would have them only banished. He calls them enemies of the state; and pronounces as a maxim, that where there are great numbers of mendicants, fatal revolutions will happen; for as these people have nothing to lose, they plan opportunities to disturb the

public repose.

The ancient Romans, whose universal object was the public prosperity, were not indebted to Greece on this bead. One of the principal occupations of their censors was to keep watch on the vagabonds. Those who were condemned as incorrigible singgards were sent to the maines, or made to labour on the public edifices. The Romans of those times, unlike the present race, did not consider the far sients as an occupation: they were consider the their liberalities were ill-placed in bestowing them on such men. The little republics of the bees and the sats were often held out as an example; and the last, particularly where Virgil says, that they have elected overseers who correct the sluggards.

# Pars agmina cogunt, Castigantque moras.

Viegil.

And if we may trust the narratives of our travellers, the beavers pursue this regulation more rigorously and exactly than even these industrious societies. But their rigour, although but animals, is not so barbarous as that of the ancient Germans; who Tacitus informs us, plunged the idlers and vagabonds in the thickest mire of their marshes, and left them to perish by a kind of death which resembled their inactive dispositions.

Yet, after all, it was not inhumanity that prompted the ancients thus severely to chastise ideness: they were induced to it by a strict equity; and it would be doing them injustice to suppose, that it was thus they treated those suffortunate poor, whose indigence was occasioned by infermities, by age or unforescen calamities. Every family constantly assisted its branches to save them from being reduced to beggary; which to them appeared worse than death. The magistrates protected those who were destitute of friends, or incapable of labour. When Ulvsses was disguised as a mendicant, and presented himself to Eurymachus, this prince observing him to be robust and healthy, offered to give him employment, or otherwise to leave him to his ill-fortune. When the Roman emperors, even in the reigns of Nero and Tiberius, bestowed their largesses, the distributors were ordered to except those from receiving a share whose bad conduct kept them in misery; for that it was better the lazy should die with hunger than be fed in idleness.

Whether the police of the ancients was more exact, or whether they were more attentive to practise the duties of humanity, or that slavery served as an efficacious corrective of idleness; it clearly appears how little was the misery, and how few the numbers of their poor. This they

did too, without having recourse to hospitals.

At the establishment of christianity, when the apostles commanded a community of wealth among their disciples, the miseries of the poor became alleviated in a greater degree. If they did not absolutely live together, as we have seen religious orders, yet the rich continually supplied their distressed brethren: but matters greatly changed under

Constantine. This prince published edicts in favour of those christians who had been condenmed in the preceding reigns to slavery, to the mines, the galleys, or prisons. The church felt an inundation of prodigious crowds of these miserable men, who brought with them urgent wants and corporeal infirmities. The christian families were then not numerous; they could not satisfy these claimants. The magistrates protected them; they built spacious hospitals, under different titles, for the sick, the aged, the invalids, the widowasand orphans. The emperors and the most eminent personages, were seen in these bospitals examining the patients; they assisted the helpless; they dressed the wounded. This did so much honour to the newgreligion that Julian the Apostate introduced this custom among the pagans. But the best things are seen continually perverted.

These retreats were found insufficient. Many slaves, proud of the liberty they had just recovered, looked on them as prisons; and under various pretexts, wandered about the country. They displayed with art the scars of their former wounds, and exposed the imprinted marks their chains. They found thus a lucrative profession in begging, which had been interdicted by the laws. The prefession did not finish with them : men of an untoward, turbulent, and licentious disposition, gladly embraced it. spread so wide that the succeeding emperors were obliged to institute new laws; and individuals were allowed seize on these mendicants for their slaves and perpetual vassals: a powerful preservative against this disorder. It is observed in almost every part of the world, but ours; and prevents that populace of beggary which disgraces Europe. China presents us with a nobler example. No beggars are seen loitering in that country. All the world are occupied, even to the blind and the lame; and only those occupied, even to the biling and the latter, that driv them who are incapable of labour, live at the public expense. What is done there may also be performed here. Instead of that hideous, importunate, idle, licentious poverty, as permicious to the police as to morality, we should see the permittions to the earlier ages, humble, modest, frugal, ro-bust, industrious, and laborious. Then, indeed, the fa-ble of Plato might be realised: Poverty may be embraced by the god of Riches; and if she did not produce the vo-luptuous offspring of Love, she would become the fertile mother of Agriculture, and the ingenious mother of the Arts and Manufactures.

## SOLOMON AND SHEBA.

A Rabbin once told me of an ingenious invention, which in the Talmud is attributed to Solomon: and this story shows that there are some pleasing tales in that immense compilation.

The power of the monarch had spread his wisdom to the remotest part of the known world. Queen Sheba, attracted by the splendour of his reputation, visited this poetsagacity of the monarch, Sheba presented herself at the foot of the throne; in each hand she held a wreath; the one was composed of natural, and the other of artificial flowers. Art, in the labour of the mimetic wreath, had exquisitely emulated the lively hues of nature; so that at the distance it was held by the queen for the inspection of the king, it was deemed impossible for him to decide, as the king, it was assured impossible for him to decide, which wreath was the production of nature, and which the work of art. The sagacious Solomon seemed perplexed; yet to be vanquished, though in a trifle, by a trifling woman, irritated his pride. The soa of David, he who had written treatises on the vegetable productions from the cedar to the hyssop, to acknowledge himself outwitted by a woman, with shreds of paper and glazed paintings! The honour of the monarch's reputaglazed paintings! tion for divine sagacity seemed diminished, and the whole Jewish court looked solemn and melancholy. At length, an expedient presented itself to the king; and it must be confessed worthy of the naturalist. Observing a cluster of bees hovering about a window, he commanded that it should be opened: it was opened; the bees rushed into the court, and alighted immediately on one of the wreaths, while not a single one fixed on the other. The buffled Sheba had one more reason to be astonished at the wisdom of Solomon.

This would make a pretty poetical tale. It would yield an elegant description, and a pleasing moral; that the bee only rests on the natural beauties, and never fiscs on the pointed flowers, however inimitably the colours may be

Digitized by GOOGLO

had es. Applied to the ladies, this would give it pungency. In the 'Practical Education' of the Edgeworths, the reader will find a very ingenious conversation of the children about this story.

#### -

Oldham, in his 'Satires upon the Jesuits,' a work which would admit of 'a curious commentary, alludes to their 'lying legends,' and the imnumerable impositions they practised on the credulous. I quote a few lines in which he has collected some of those legendary miracles, which I have noticed in the article on Legends, and the amours of the Virgin Mary, are detailed in Religious Newvelletts.

All these are allusions to the extravagant fictions in 'the Golden Legend.' Among other gross impositions to describe them, Oldham likewise attacks them for certain publications on topics not less singular. The tales he has recounted, Oldham says, are only baits for children, like toys at a fair; but they have their profounder and higher matters for the learned and inquisitive. He goes on:

One undertakes by scales of miles to tell The bounds, dimensions, and extent of Hell; How many German leagues that realm contains How many chaldrons Hell each year expends la coals for reasting Hugonots and friends. Another frights the rout with useful stories Of wild Chimeras, limbo's Purgatories; Where bloated souls, in smoky durance hung, Like a Westphalia gammon or neat's longue, To be redeemed with masses and a song.

Satyr IV.

The readers of Oldham, for Oldham must ever have readers among the curious in our poetry, have been greatly disappointed in the pompous edition of a Captain Thompson, which illustrates none of his allusions. In the above lines Oldham alludes to some singular works.

Treatises and topographical descriptions of Hell, Purguoy, and even Heaven, were once the favourite researches among certain zealous defenders of the Romish church, who exhausted their ink-horns in building up a Hell to their own taste, or for their particular purpose. We have a treatise of Cardinal Bellarmin, a jesuit, on Purgatory; be seems to have the science of a surveyor, among all the secret tracks and the formidable divisions of 'the bottom-

Bellarmin informs us that there are beneath the earth four different places, or a profound place divided into four parts. The deepest of these places is Hell; it contains all the souls of the demned, where will be also their bodies after the resurrection, and likewise all the demons. The place nearest Hell is Pargatory, where souls are purged, or rather where they appease the anger of God by their sufferings. He saws, that the same fires and the same tormards are alike in both these places, the only difference between Hell and Pargatory consisting in their duration. Next to Pargatory is the limbe of those infems who die without having received the sacrament; and the fourth place is the limbe of the fathers; that is to say, of those just sex who died before the death of Christ. But since the days of the Redeemer, this last division is empty, like as apartment to be let. A later catholic theologist, the famous Tilemont, condemns all the illustrious pagans to the sternal terments of Hell! because they lived before the

time of Jesus, and therefore could not be benefited by the redemption! Speaking of young Tiberius, who was compelled to fall on his own sword, Tilemont adds, 'Thus by his own hand he ended his miserable life, to begin enother, the misery of which will never end?' Yet history records nothing bad of this prince. Jortin observes that he added this reflection in this latter edition, so that the good man as he grew older grew more uncharitable in his religious notions. It is in this manner too that the Benedictine editor of Justin Martyr speaks of the illustrious pagans. This father, after highly applauding Socrates, and a few more who resembled him inclines to think that they are not fixed in Hell. But the Benedictine editor takes great pains to clear the good father from the shameful imputation of supposing that a wirksous pagans might be sensed as well as a Benedictine monk! For a curious specimen of this edism theologicum, see the censure of the Sorbonne on Marmontel's Belisarius.

The adverse party, who were either philosophers or reformers, received all such information with great suspicion. Anthony Cornellius, a lawyer in the 16th century, wrote a small tract, which was so effectually suppressed, as a monster of atheism, that a copy is now only to be found in the hands of the curious. This author radiculed the absurd and horrid doctrine of infant damnation, and was instantly decried as an atheist, and the printer proceduted to his ruin! Ceslius Secundus Curio, a noble Italian, published a treatise De Amplitudine beati regni Des, to prove that Heaven has more inhabitants than Hell, or his own phrase that the elect are more numerous than the reprobate. However we may incline to smile at these works, their design was benevolent. They were the first streaks of the morning light of the Reformation. Even such works assisted mankind to examine more closely, and hold in greater contempt, the extravagant and permicious doctrines of the domineering papistical church.

### THE ABSENT MAN.

With the character of Bruyere's Absent Man the reader is well acquainted. It is translated in the Spectator, and it has been exhibited on the theatre. The general opinion runs that it is a fictitious character, or at least one the author has too highly coloured. It was well known however to his contemporaries to be the Count De Brancas. The present anecdotes concerning the same person have been unknown to, or forgotten by, Bruyere; and are to the full as extraordinary as those which characterise Menaless, or the Absent Man.

The count was reading by the fire-side, (but Heaven-knows with what degree of attention,) when the nurse brought him his infant child. He throws down the book; he takes the child in his arms. He was playing with her, when an important visiter was announced. Having forgot he had quitted his book, and that it was his child he held in his hands, he hastily flung the squalling innocent on the table.

The Count was walking in the street, and the Duke de la Rochefoucault crossed the way to speak to him. 'God bless thee, poor man!' exclaimed the count. Rochefoucault smiled, and was beginning to address him:—'Is it not enough,' cried the count, interrupting him, and somewhat in a passion; 'it is not enough that I have said, at first, I have nothing for ou? such lazy beggars as you hinder a gentleman from walking the streets.' Rochefoucault burst into a loud laugh, and awakening the Absent Man from his lethergy, he was not a little surprised, himself, that he should have taken his friend for an importunate mendicant! La Fontaine is recorded to have been one of the most absent of men; and Fureirer relates a circumstance which, if true, is one of the most singular distractions possible. La Fontaine attended the burial of one of his friends, and sometime afterwards he called to visit him. At first he was shocked at the information of his death, but recovering from his surprise, he observed—'It is true enough! for now I recollect I went to his funeral.'

## WAY-WARY

We have heard of many curious deceptions occasioned by the imitative powers of wax-work. A series of anatomical sculptures in coloured wax projected by the Grand Duke of Tuscany, under the direction of Pontana. Twenty apartments have been filled with those curious imitations. They represent in every possible detail, and in each successive stage of denudation, the organs of sense and production; the muscular, the vascular, the nervous, and

the bony system. They imita e equally well the form, and more exactly the colouring of nature than injected preparations; and they have been employed to perpetuate many transient phenomena of disease, of which no other court sould have made so lively a record.

There is a species of wax-work, which, though it can bardly claim the honours of the fine arts, is adapted to af-

hardly claim the honours of the fine arts, is adapted to afford much pleasure. I mean figures of wax, which may be modelled with the great truth of character.

Menage has noticed a work of this kind. In the year 1675, the Duke de Matne received a gilt cabinet, about the size of a moderate table. On the door was inscribed, 'The sportment of Wit.' The inside exhibited an alcove and a long gallery. In an arm-chair was seated the figure of the duke himself composed of wax, the resemblance the most perfect imaginable. On one side stood the Duke de la Rochefoucault, to whom he presented a paper of verses for his examination. Mr de Marcillac and per of verses for his examination. Mr de Marcillac and Bossuet Bishop of Meaux, were standing near the armchair. In the alcove, Madame de Thianges and Madame de la Fayette sat retired reading a book. Boileau, the se in Fayerte sat retired reading a book. Dolleau, the satirist stood at the door of the gallery, hindering seven or eight bad poets from entering. Near Boileau stood Racine who seemed to beckon to La Fontaine to come forward. All these figures were formed of wax; and this philosophical baby-house, interesting for the personages it imitated, might induce a wish in some philosophers to play once more with one.

There was lately an old canon at Cologne who made a collection of small wax models of characteristic figures, such as, personifications of misery, in a haggard old man with a scanty crust and a brown jug before him: or of avawith a scality crust and a brown jug center that of the average rice in a keen looking Jew miser counting his gold, which were done with such a spirit and reality that a Flemish painter a Hogarth or Wilkie, could hardly have worked up the feeling of the figure more impressively. All these were done with a truth and expression which I could not have imagined the wax capable of exhibiting, says the lively writer of 'an Autumn on the Rhine.' There is somewhite the an Autumn of the Reserved it long in life, and only lament that it is very rarely gratified by such close copiers of nature as was this old canon of Cologne,

## PASQUIN AND MARFORIO.

All the world have heard of these statues: they have served as vehicles for the keenest satire in a land of the most uncontrolled despotism. The statue of Pasquin (from whence the word passainade) and that of Marforio are placed in Rome in two different quarters. Marforio's is an ancient status that lies at its whole length: either Pasarium Jovum; or the river Rhine. That of Passains is a marble status, greatly mutilated, which stands at the corner of the palace of the Ursinos supposed to be the figure of a gladiator. Whatever they may have been is now of little consequence; to one or other of these statues, during the concealment of the night are affixed those satires or lampoons which the authors wish should be dispersed about Rome without any danger to themselves. When Marforio is attacked, Pasquin comes to his succour and when Pasquin is the sufferer he finds in Marforio a; constant defender. Thus, by a thrust and a parry, the most serious matters are disclosed; and the most illustrious personages are attacked by their enemies, and defended y their friends.

Misson in his travels in Italy, gives the following account of the origin of the name of the statue of Pas-

quin :-

A satirical tailor, who lived at Rome, and whose name was Pasquin, amused himself with severe raillery, liberally bestowed on those who passed by his shop; which in time became the lounge of the news-mongers. The tailor time became the lounge of the news-mongers. The failor had precisely the talent to head a regiment of satirical wits, and had he had time to publish, he would have been the Peter Pindar of his day; but his genius seems to have been satisfied to rest cross-legged on his shop-board. When any lampoons or amusing bon-mots were current in Rome, they were usually called from his shop, paguinades. After his death this status of an ancient gladiator was found under the pavement of his shop. It was soon set up; and by universal consent was inscribed with his name; and they still attempt to raise him from the dead, and keep the caus-

tic tailor alive, in the marble gladiator of wit.

There is a very rare work, with this title:—'Pasquillerum, Tomi Duo.' The first containing the verse, and

the second the prose pasquinades published at Basle, 1544.

The rarity of this collection of satirical pieces is en-

tirely owing to the arts of suppression practised by the pa-pal government. Sallengre, in his Literary Memoirs, has given an account of this work; his own copy had formerly belonged to Daniel Heinaius, who, in two verses, written belonged to Daniel Heinsius, who, in two verses, written in his hand, describes its rarity and the price it cost ,

Roms mece fratres igni dedit, unica Phœnix Vivo, auriesque veneo centum Hensio.

Rome gave my brothers to the flames, but I survive a coll-tary Phonix. Heinsius bought me for a hundred goldes

This collection contains a great number of pieces com-posed at different times, against the popes, cardinals, &c. They are not indeed materials for the historian, and they must be taken with grains of allowance; but Mr Roscoe might have discovered in these epigrams and puns, that of his hero Leo X, and the more than infamous Lucretia of Alexander VI; even the corrupt Romans of the day were capable of expressing themselves with the utmost freedom.\* Of these three respectable personages we find several epitaphs. Of Alexander VI we have an apology for his conduct.

Vendit Alexander Claves, altaria, Christum, Emerat Ille prius, vendere jure potest. 'Alexander sells the keys, the altars, and Christ; As he bought them first, he had a right to sell them!' On Lucretia :

> Hoc tumulo dormit Lucretia nomine, sed re Thais; Alexandri filia, sponsa, nurus!

Beneath this stone sleeps Lucretia by name, but by na ture Thais; the daughter, the wife, the daughter-in-law of Alexander!

Leo X was a frequent butt for the arrows of Pasouin :—

Sacra sub extrema, si forte requiritis, hora Cur Leo non potuit sumere ; vendklerat.

<sup>c</sup> Do you ask why the Lion did not take the secrament on his death-bed ?—How could he? He had sold it !?

Many of these satirical touches depend on puns. Urban VII, one of the Barberini family, pillaged the pantheco of brass to make cannon, on which occasion Pasquin was made to say :-

Quod non secerunt Barbari Romm, secit Barberini. On Clement VII, whose death was said to be occasioned by the prescriptions of his Physician:

> Curtius occidit Clementem, Curtius auro Donandus, per quem publica parta salus.

'Dr Curtius has killed the pope by his remedies; he ought to be paid as a man who deserves well of the state.

Another calls Dr Curtius, 'The Lamb of God who annuls or takes away all worldly sins.'
The following, on Paul III, are singular conceptions:-

Papa Medusœum caput est, coma turba Nepotum : Perseus cæde caput, Cæsaries periit.

'The pope is the head of Medusa; the horrid tresses are his nephews; Persaus, cut off the head, and then we shall be rid of these serpent-locks.'

Another is sarcastic-

Ut canerent data multa olim sunt Vatibus æra : Ut taceam, quantum tu mihi, Paule, dabis?

'Heretofore money was given to poets that they might sing : how much will you give me, Paul, to be silent?'

The collection contains, among other classes, passages from the Scriptures which have been applied to the court of Rome; to different nations and persons; and one of 'Sortes Virgiliana per Posquillum collecta, --passages from Virgil frequently happilv applied and those who are curious in the history of those times, will find this portion. The work itself one suite so are a second of the person interesting. The work itself not quite so rare as Da-

\* It appears by a note in Mr. Roscoe's catalogue of his Library, that three of the sarcastic epigrams here cited, are given in the Life of Loo X. At this distance of time I cannot account for my own inadvertency. It has been, however, the occasion of calling down from Mr Roscoe an admirable reflection, which I am desirous of preserving, as a canon of criticism. It is milch safer, in general, to speak of the contents of books positively than negatively, as the latter requires that they should first be read. I regret that our elegant and nervous watter should have considered a casual inadvertence as worth has amention. his attention

Digitized by GOOGLE

niel Heinseins imagined; the price might now reach from

Marforio is a statue of Mærs, found in the Forusa;) which the people have corrupted into Mær forie. These statues are placed at opposite ends of the town, so that there is always sufficient time to make Marforio reply to the gibes and jeers of Pasquin, in walking from one to the other. I am obliged for the information to my friend Mr Duppa, the elegant biographer of Michael Angelo.

#### PEMALE BEAUTY AND ORNAMENTS.

The ladies in Japan gild their teeth, and those of the Inskes paint them red. The pearl of teeth must be dyed black to be beautiful in Guzurat. In Greenland the women colour their faces with blue and yellow. However fresh the complexion of a Muscovite may be, she would think herself very ugly if she was not plastered over with paint. The Chinese must have their feet as diminutive as those of the she goats; and to render them thus, their youth is passed in tortures. In ancient Persia, an aquaine nose was often thought worthy of the crown; and if there was any conception between two princes, the people generally went by this criterion of majesty. In some countries, the mothers break the noses of their children; and in other press the head between two boards, that it may become square. The modern Persians have a strong aversion to red hair; the Turks, on the contrary, are warm admirrors of it. The female Hottentot receives from the hand of her lover, not silk or wreaths of flowers, but warm guts and recking tripe, to dress herself with enviable ernaments.

In Chma small round eyes are liked; and the girls are continually plucking their eye-brows that they may be thin and long. The Turkish women dip a gold brush in the tincture of a black drug, which they pass over their eye-brows. It is too visible by day, but looks shining by night. They tinge their nails with a rose-colour: An African beauty must have small eyes, thick lips, a large flat nose, and a skin beautifully black. The Emperor of Monomotapa would not change his amiable negress for the most brilliant European beauty.

An ornament for the nose appears to us perfectly unnecessary. The Peruvians, however, think otherwise; and they hang on it a weighty ring, the thickness of which is proportioned by the rank of their husbands. The custom of boring it, as our ladies do their ears, is very common in several nations. Through the perforation are hung various materials; such as green crystal, gold stones, a single and sometimes a great number of gold rings. This is rather troublesome to them in blowing their noses; and the fact is, some have informed us, that the Indian ladies never perform this very useful operation.

The female head-dress is carried in some countries to singular extravagance. The Chiases fair carries on her head the figure of a certain bird. This bird is composed of copper, or of gold, according to the quality of the person: The wings spread out, fall over the front of the head-cress, and conceal the temples. The tail, long and open, forms a beautiful taft of feathers. The beak covers the top of the nose; the neck is fastened to the body of the artificial animal by a spring, that it may the more freely play, and tremble at the slightest motion.

The extravagance of the Myantses is far more ridiculous than the above. They carry on their heads a slight board, rather longer than a foot, and about six inches broad: with this they cover their hair, and seal it with wax. They cannot lie down, nor lean, without keeping the neck straight; and the country being very woody, it is not uncommon to find them with their head-dress entangled in the trees; whenever they comb their hair, they pass an hour by the fire in melting the wax; but this combing is only performed once or twice a very.

ed once or twice a year.

The inhabitants of the land of Natal wear caps, or bonnots, from six to ten inches high composed of the fat of
won. They then gradually anoint the head with a purer
grease, which mixing with the hair, fastens the bonnets
for their lives.

## MODERN PLATONISM.

Brasmus in his age of religious revolution expressed an alarm, which in some shape has been since realized. He strangely, yet acutely observes, that 'literature began to make a great and happy progress; but,' he adds,' I fear two things, that the study of Hebrew will promote Judaism, and the study of philology will revive Paganism.' He

apeaks to the same purpose in the Adages, c. 189 as Jorin observes, p. 90. Blackwell in his curious Life of Homer, after showing that the ancient oracles were the fountains of knowledge, and that the god of Delphi actually was believed by the votaries, from the oracle's perfect acquaintance with the country, parentage, and fortunes of the suppliant, and many predictions having been verified; that besides all this, the oracles that have reached us discover a wide knowledge of every thing relating to Greece;—he is at a loss to account for a knowledge to the thinks has something divine in it: it was a knowledge to be found nowhere in Greece but among the oracles. He would account for this phenomenon, by supposing there existed a succession of learned men devoted to this purpose. He says, 'Either we must dmit the knowledge of the priests, or turn converts to the ancients, and believe in the omniscience of Apollo, which in this age I know nobody in hazard of. Yet to the astonishment of this writer, were he now living, he would have witnessed this incredible fact! Even Erasmus himself might have wondered.

We discover the origin of modern platonism, as it may be distinguished among the Italians. About the middle of the fitteenth centery, some time before the Turks had become masters of Constantinople, a great number of philosophers flourished. Gemishus Plethe was once distinguished by his geniue, his crudition, and his fervent passion for platonism. Mr Roscoe notices Plethe; 'His discourses had so gowerful an effect upon Cosmo de Medici, who was his constant auditor, that he established an academy at Florence for the sole purpose of cultivating this new and more elevated species of philosophy.' The learned Massillo Ficino translated Pletinus, that great archimage of platonic mysticism. Such were Pletho's eminent abilities, that in his old age those whom his novel system had greatly breathed his last when they began to abuse Plato and our Pletho. The following account is written by George of Trebiscond.

Lately has arisen amongst us a second Mahomet: and this second, if we do not take care, will exceed in greatness the first, by the dreadful consequences of his wicked doc-trine, as the first has exceeded Plato. A disciple and rival of this philosopher in philosophy, in eloquence, and in science, he had fixed his residence in the Peloponnese. His common name was Gemisthus, but he assumed that of Plethe. Perhaps Gemisthus, to make us believe more easily that he was descended from heaven, and to engage us to receive more readily his doctrine and his new law, wished to change his name, according to the manner of the ancient patriarchs; of whom it is said, that at the time the name was changed they were called to the greatest things. He has written with no vulgar art, and with no common elegance. He has given new rules for the conduct of life, and for the regulation of human affairs; and at the same time has vomited furth a great number of blasphemies against the catholic religion. He was so zealous a platonist that he entertained no other sentiments than those of Plato, concerning the nature of the gods, souls, sacrifices, &c. I have heard him myself, when we were together at Florence, say, that in a few years all men on the face of the earth would embrace with one common consent, and with one mind, a single and simple religion, at the first instructions which should be given by a single preaching. And when I asked him if it would be the religion of Jesui Christ, or that of Mahomet? he aswered, "Neither one nor the other; but a third, which will not greatly differ from paganism." These words I heard with so much indignation, that since that time I have always bated him: I look upon him as a dangerous viper; and I cannot think of him without abhorrence.

The pious writer of this account is too violently agitated: he might perhaps, have bestowed a smile of pity or contempt; but the bigots and fanatics are not less insane

than the impious themselves.

It was when Pletho died full of years and honours, that the malice of his enemies collected all its venom. A circumstance that seems to prove that his abilities must have been great indeed to have kept such crowds silent: and it is not improbable, this scheme of impiety was less impious than some people imagined. Not a few catholic writers lament that his book was burnt, and greatly regret the loss of Pletho's work; which, they say, was not meant to subvert the christian religion, but only to unfold the system of Plato and to collect what he and other philosophers had written on religion and politics.

Of his religious scheme, the reader may judge by this summary account. The general title of the volume ran thus: 'This book treats of the laws of the best form of government, and what all men must observe in their public and private stations, to live together in the most perfect, the most innocent, and the most happy manner. The whole was divided into three books. The titles of the chapters where paganism was openly inculcated, are re-ported by Gennadius, who condemned it to the flames, but who has not thought proper to enter into the manner of his arguments, &c. The implicity and the extravagance of this new legislator appeared above all, in the articles which concerned religion. He acknowledges a plurality of gods; some superior, whom he placed above the heavens; and the others inferior, on this side the heavens. The first existing from the remotest antiquity; the others younger, and of different ages. He gave a king to all these gods; and he called him ZEYE, or Jupiter, as the pagans named this power formerly. According to him, the stars had a soul; the demons were not malignant spirits; and the world was eternal. He established polygamy, and was even in-clined to a community of women. All his work was filled with such reveries, and with not a few impieties, which my pious author will not venture to give.

What the intentions of Pletho were, it would be rash to determine. If the work was only an arrangement of paganism, or the platonic philosophy, it might have been an innocent, if not a curious volume. He was learned and humane, and had not passed his life entirely in the solitary

recesses of his study.

To strain human curiosity to the utmost limits of human eredibility, a modern Pletho has arisen in Mr Thomas Taylor, who, consonant to the platonic philosophy, at the pre-sent day religiously professes polythem! At the close of the eighteenth century, be it recorded, were published many volumes, in which the author affects to avow himself a zealous Platonist, and asserts he can prove that the christian religion is a 'bastardized and barbarized Platon-The divinities of Plato are the divinities to be adored, and we are to be taught to call God Jupiter; the Virgin, Venus; and Christ, Cupid! And the Iliad of Homer allogorized, is converted into a Greek bible of the arcana of nature! Extraordinary as this literary lunacy may appear, we must observe, that it stands not singular in the annals of the history of the human mind. The Florentine academy which Cosmo founded, had, no doubt, some classical enthusiasts; but who, perhaps according to the political character of their country, were prudent and The platonic furor, however, appears to have reached other countries. The following remarkable anecdote has been given by St. Foix, in his 'Essais histori-ques sur Paris.' In the reign of Louis XII, a scholar named Hemon de la Fosse, a native of Abheville, by continually reading and admiring the Greek and Latin writers, became mad enough to persuade himself that it was im-possible that the religion of such great geniuses as Homer, Cicero, and Virgil was a false one. On the 25th of August, 1503, being at church, he suddenly snatched the host from the hands of the priest, at the moment it was raised. exclaiming; 'what! always this folly!' He was immeitately seized and put in prison. In the hope that he would abjure his extravagant errors, they delayed his punishment; but no exhortation nor intreaties availed. He persisted in maintaining that Jupiter was the sovereign God of the universe, and that there was no other paradise than the Elsyian fields. He was burnt alive, after having first had his tongue pierced, and his hand cut off. Thus erished an ardent and learned youth, who ought only to have been condemned as a Bedlamite.

Dr More, the most rational of our modern Platonists, abouted, however, with the most extravagant reveries, and was inflated with egotism and enthusiasm, as much as any of his mystic predecessors. He conceived that he had an intercourse with the divinity itself! that he had been shot as a fiery dart into the world, and he hoped he had the heart of the mergind his absorption to the state. bit the mark. He carried his self-conceit to such extravagance, that he thought his urine smelt like violets, and his body in the spring season had a sweet odour; a per-fection peculiar to himself. These visionaries indulge the most fanciful vanity.

## AMECDOTES OF PASMION.

A volume on this subject might be made very curious and entertaining, for our ancestors were not less vacillat-

ing, and perhaps more capriciously grotosque, though with infinitely loss taste than the present generation. Were a philosopher and an artist, as well as an antiquary, to com-pose such a work, much diversified entertainment, and some curious investigation of the progress of the arts are taste, would doubtless be the result: the subject otherwise

appears of trifling value; the very farthing pieces of history.

The origin of many fashions was in the endeavour to conceal some deformity of the inventor; hence the cushions, ruffs, hoops, and other monstrous devises. If a reigning beauty chanced to have an unequal hip, those who had very handsome hips, would load them with that false rump which the other was compelled by the unkindness of mature to substitute. Patches were invented in England in the reign of Edward VI by a foreign lady, who in this manner ingeniously covered a wen on her neck. When the Spectator wrote, full-bottomed wigs were invented by a French barber, one Duviller, whose name they perpetuated, for the purpose of concealing an elevation in the shoulder of the Dauphin. Charles VII of France intreduced long coats to hide his ill-made legs. Shoes with very long points, full two feet in length, were invented by Henry Plantagenet Duke of Anjou, to conceal a large ex-crescence on one of his feet. When Francis I was obliged to wear his short hair, owing to a wound he received in his head, it became a prevailing fashion at court. Others on the contrary adapted fashions to set off their peculiar beauties, as Isabella of Bavaria, remarkable for her gallantry, and the fairness of her complexion, introduced the fashion of leaving the shoulders and part of the neck un-

Fashions have frequently originated from circumstances as silly as the following one. Isabella, daughter of Philip II; and wife of the Archduke Albert, vowed not to change her linen till Ostend was taken; this siege, unluckily for her comfort, lasted three years; and the supposed colour of the archduchess's linen gave rise to a fashionable colour, hence called L'Isbeau, or the Isabella; a kind of whitishyellow-dingy. Or sometimes they originate in some temporary event; as after the battle of Steenkirk, where the porary event; as after the nature of Steenkirk, where the allies wore large cravats, by which the French frequently seized hold of them, a circumstance perpetuated on the medals of Louis XIV, cravats were called Steenkirks; and after the battle of Ramilles, wigs received that denomination. The coart in all ages and in every country are the second ellers of fashions, so that all the ridicule, of which these reasons are an assemble.

are so susceptible, must fall on them, and not upon their servile imitators the citizens. This complaint is made even so far back as in 1586, by Jean des Caures, an old French moralist, who, in declaiming against the fashions of his day, notices one, of the ladies carrying mirrors fixed to their togists, which seemed to employ their eyes in perpetual activity. From this mode will result, according to honest Des Caures, their eternal damnation. 'Alas (he exclaims,) in what an age do we live; to see such depravity which we see, that induces them even to bring into church these scandulous mirrors hanging about their waist! Let all histories divine, human, and profane be consulted; never will it be found that these objects of vanity were ever thus brought into public by the most meretricious of the sex. It is true, at present none but the ladies of the court venture to wear them; but long it will not be before every citizen's daughter, and every female servant, will wear them! Such in all times has been the rise and decline o' fashion; and the absurd mimicry of the citizens, even of the lowest classe-, to their very ruin, in straining to rival the newest fashim, has mortified and galled the courtier.

On this subject old Camden, in his remains, relates a story of a trick played off on a citizen, which I give in the plainness of his own venerable style. 'Sir Philip Calthrop, purged John Drakes, the shoemaker of Norwick, in the time of King Henry VIII, of the proud humour which our people have to be of the gentleman's cut. This knight bought on a time as much fine French tawny cloth as should make him a gown, and sent it to the tailor's to be made. John Drakes, a shoomaker of that town, coming to this said tailor's, and seeing the knight's gown cloth laying there, liking it well, caused the tailor to buy him as much of the same cloth and price to the same extent, and further bade him to make it of the same fushion, that the knight would are his made of. Not long after, the knight coming to the tailor's to take the measure of his gown, perceiving the like cloth lying there, asked of the tailor whose it was? Quoth the tailor, it is John Drakes the shoemeles, who will have it made of the self-same fashion that yours is made

ef! "Well!" said the knight, "in good time be it! I will have mine made as full of cuts as the shears can make it." It shall be done!" said the tailor; whereupon, because the time drew near, he made baste to finish both their garments. John Drakes had no time to go to the taylor's till Christmas day, for serving his customers, when he heped to have worn his gown; perceiving the same to be full of cuts, began to swear at the tailor, for the making is gown after that sort. "I have done nothing," quoth he tuilor, "but that you hid me, for as Sir Philip Calthory's garment is, even soghave I made yours!" "By my latchet!" quoth John Drakes, "I will never wear gentlemen's fashions again."

Sometimes fashions are quite reversed in their use in one age from another. Bags, when first in fashion in France, were only worn en dishabile; in visits of ceremony, the hair was tied by a riband and floated over the shoulders, which is exactly reversed in the present fashion. In the year 1735 the men had no hats but a little chapeau de bras: in 1745 they were a very small hat; in 1755 they were an enormous one, as may be seen in Jeffrey's curi-bam, in his very rare work, 'The Arts of Poesie,' p. 239, on the present topic gives some curious information. Henry VIII caused his own head, and all his courtiers to be polled, and his beard to be cut short; before that time it was thought more decent, both for old men and young, to be all shapen, and wear long haire, either rounded or square. Now again at this time (Elizabeth's reign,) the young gentlemen of the court have taken up the long hairs trayling on their shoulders, and think this more decent; for what respect I would be glad to know.'

When the fair sex were accustomed to behold their lovers with beards, the sight of a shaved chin excited feelings of horror and aversion; as much indeed as, in this less beroic age, would a gallant whose luxurious beard should

'Stream like a meteor to the troubled air.'

When Louis VII, to obey the injunctions of his bishops, eropped his hair, and shaved his beard, Eleanor, his consort, found him, with this unusual appearance, very ridiculous, and soon very contemptible. She revenged herself as she thought proper, and the poor shaved king obtained a divorce. She then married the Count of Anjou, afterwards our Henry II. She had for her marriage dower the rich provinces of Poitou and Guyenne, and this was the origin of those wars which for three hundred years raviged France, and cost the French three millions of men. All which, probably, had never occurred, had Louis VII not been so rash as to crop his head and shave his beard, hy which he became so disgustful in the eyes of our Queen Eleanor.

We cannot perhaps sympathize with the feelings of her majesty, though at Constantinople she might not have been considered quite unreasonable. There must be something more powerful in beards and mustachois than we are quite aware of; for when these were in fashion, with what enthusiasm were they not contemplated! When mustachair were in general use, an author, in his Elements of Education, published in 1640, thinks that bairy Excrement,' as Armado in 'Love's Labour Lost' calls it, contributed to make men valorous. He says, 'I have a favourable opinion of that young gentleman who is curious in the surstachois. The time he employs in adjusting, dressing, and curling them, is no lost time; for the more he contemplates his mustachois, the more his mind will cherish, and be animated by masculine and courageous notions. The best reason that could be given for wearing the longest and largest board of any Englishman, was that of a worthy clergyman in Elizabeth's reign, 'that no act of his life might be unworthy of the gravity of his appear-

The grandfather of the Mrs Thomas, the Corinna of Crouwell, the literary friend of Pope, by her account, was very nice in the mode of that age, his valet being some hours every morning in starcking his beard, and carring his whisters; during which time he was always read to! Taylor, the water poet, humorously describes the great variety of beards in his time, which extract may be found in Grey's Hudibras, Vol. I, p. 300. The beard, and says Granger, dwindled gradually under the two Charles's, till it was reduced into schizkers, and became extinct in the reign of James II, as if its fatality had been connected with that of the house of Stuart.

The hair has in all ages been an endless topic of the

declamation of the moralist, and the favourite object of fashion. If the beau monde wore their hair lauriant, etheir wis enormous, the preachers, as in Charles the Second's reign, instantly were seen in the pulpit with their hair cut shorter, and their sermon longer, in consequence; respect was however paid by the world to the size of the seig, in spite of the hair-cutter in the pulpit. Our judges, and till lately our physicians, well knew its magical effect. In the reign of Charles II the hair-dress of the ladies was very elaborate; it was not only curled and frizzed with the nicest art, but set off with certain artificial curls, then too emphatically known by the pathetic term of heart-breakers and love-locks. So late as William and Mary, lads, and even children wore wigs; and if they had not wigs, they curled their hair to resemble this fashionable ornament. Women then were the hair-dressers.

It is observed by the lively Vigneul de Marville, that there are flagrant follies in fashion which must be endured while they reign, and which never appear ridiculous till they are out of fashion. In the reign of Henry III of France, they could not exist without an abundant use of comfits. All the world, the grave and the gay, carried in their pocket a comfit-box as we do snuff-boxes. They used them even on the most solemn occasions: when the Duke of Guise was shot at Blois, he was found with his comfit-box in his hand. Fashions indeed have been carried to so extravagant a length as to have become a public offence, and to have required the interference of government. Short and tight breeches were so much the rage in France, that Charles V was compelled to banish this disgusting mode by edicts which may be found in Mezeray. An Italian author of the fifteenth century supposes an Italian traveller of nice modesty would not pass through France, that he might not be offended by seeing men whose clothea rather exposed their nakedness than hid it. It is curious that the very same fashion was the complaint in the remoter period of our Chaucer, in his Parson's Tales.

In the reign of our Elizabeth the reverse of all this took place; then the mode of enormous breeches was pushed to a most laughable excess. The beaus of that day stuffed out their breeches with rags, feathers, and other light matters, till they brought them out to a most enormous They resembled wool-sacks, and in a public spectacle, they were obliged to raise scaffolds for the seats of those ponderous beaus. To accord with this fants taste the ladies invented large hoop farthingales. To accord with this fantastica. lovers aside could surely never have taken one another by the hand. In a preceding reign the fashion ran on squaretoes; insomuch that a proclamation was issued that ne person should wear shoes above six inches square at the toes! Then succeeded picked-pointed shoes! The nation was again, in the reign of Elizabeth, put under the royal authority. 'In that time,' says honest John Slowe,
'he was held the greatest gallant that had the deeper rufe and longest rapier: the offence to the eve of the one and hurt unto the life of the subject that come by the other this caused her Majestie to make proclamation against them both, and to place selected grave citizens at every gate to cut the ruffes, and break the rapier points of all passen-gers that exceeded a yeard in length of their rapiers, and a nayle of a yeard in depth of their ruffes.' These 'grave citizens,' at every gate cutting the ruffee and breaking the rapiers, must doubtless have encountered in their ludicrous employment some stubborn opposition; but this regulation was, in the spirit of that age, despotic and effectual. The late Emperor of Russia ordered the soldiers to stop every passenger who were pantaloons, and with their hangers to cut off, upon the leg, the offending part of these superfluous breeches; so that a man's legs depended greatly on the adroitness and humanity of a Russ or a Cossack; however this war against pantaloons was very successful, and obtained a complete triumph in favour of the breeches in the course of the week.

A shameful extravagance in dress has been a most venerable folly. In the reign of Richard II, their dress was sumptuous beyond belief. Sir John Arundel bad a change of no less than 52 new suits of cloth of gold tissue. The prelates indulged in all the estentatious luxury of dress. Chaucer says, they had 'chaunge of clothing everie daie. Brantome records of Elizabeth, Queen of Philip II, of Spain, that she never wore a gown twice; this was told him by her majesty's own tailless, who from a poor man soon became as rich as any one he knew. Our own Elizabeth left no less than three thousand different habits in her ward-

robe when she died. She was possessed of the dresses of all countries.

The catholic religion has ever considered the pomp of the clerical habit as not the slightest part of its religious ceremontes; their devotion is addressed to the eye of the people. In the reign of our catholic Queen Mary, the dress of a priest was costly indeed; and the sarcastic and good-humoured Fuller gives, in his Worthies, the will of a priest, to show the wardrobe of men of his order, and desires that the priest may not be jeered for the gallantry of his splendid apparel. He bequeaths to various parish churches and persons, 'My vestment of crimson satin—my vestment of crimson velvet—my stole and fanon set with pearl—my black gown faced with taffeta, &c.'

Chaucer has minutely detailed in ' The Persons's Tale,' the grotesque and the costly fashions of his day; and the simplicity of the venerable satirist will interest the anti-quary and the philosopher. Much, and curiously, have his caustic severity or lenient humour descanted on the moche superfluitee,' and 'wast of cloth in vanitee,' as well as 'the disordinate scantnesse.' In the spirit of the good old times he calculates ' the coste of the embrouding good on times no candinates the costs of the since owny; or embroidering; endenting or baring; ounding or wavy; paling or imitating pales; and winding or bending; the costsewe furring in the gounes; so much pounsouing of chesel to maken holes (that is punched with a bodkin;) so moche dagging of sheres (cutting into slips;) with the superfluitee in length of the gounes trailing in the dong and in the myre, on horse and eke on foot, as wel of man as of woman-that all thilke trailing,' he verily believes, which wastes, consumes, wears threadbare, and is rotten with dung, are all to the damage of 'the poor folk,' who might he clothed only out of the flounces and draggle-tails of these children of vanity. But then his Parson is not less bitter against 'the horrible disordinat scantnesse of clothing, and very copiously he describes, though perhaps in. terms, and with a humour too coarse for me to transcribe, the consequences of these very tight dresses. Of these persons, among other offensive matters, he sees 'the buttokkes behind as if they were the hinder part of a sheape in the ful of the mone.' He notices one of the most grotesque of all modes; that one they then had of wearing a parti-coloured dress; one stocking, part white and part red; so that they looked as if they had been flayed; or white and blue; or white and black: or black and red; that this variety of colours seems as if their members had been corrupted by St Anthony's fire, or by cancer, or other mischance!

The modes of dress during the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries were so various and ridiculous, that they afforded perpetual food for the eager satirist. Extravagant as some of our fashions are, they are regulated by a better taste.

The conquests of Edward III introduced the French fashions into England; said the Scotch adopted them by their alliances with the French court, and close intercourse with that nation.

Walsingham dates the introduction of French fashions among us, from the taking of Calais in 1847; but we appear to have possessed such a rage for imitation in dress, that an English beau was actually a fantastical compound of all the fashions of Europe, and even Asia, in the reign of Elizabeth. In Chaucer's time the prevalence of French fashions was a common topic with our satirist; and he notices the affectation of our female citizens in speaking the French language: a stroke of satire which, after more than four conturies, is not yet obsolete. A superior education, and a residence at the west end of the town, begin however, to give another character to the daughters of our citizens. In the prologue to the Prioresse, Chaucer has these humorous lines:—

Entewned in her voice full seemly, And French she spake full feteously; After the Scole of Stratford at Bowe, The French of Paris was to her unknowe.

A beau of the reign of Henry IV has been made out by the laborious Henry. I shall only observe, that they wore then long-pointed shoes to such an immoderate lengh, that they could not walk tilt they were fastened to their knees with chains. Luxury improving on this ridiculous mode, those chains the English beau of the fourteenth century had made of gold and silver; but the grotesque fashion did ast finish here; for the tops of their shoes were carved in the manner of a church window. The ladies of that period were not less fantastical.

The wild variety of dresses worn in the reign of Heary VIII, is alluded to in a print of a naked Engishman holding a piece of cloth hanging on his right arm, and a pair of shears in his left nand. It was invented by Andrew Borde, a facetious wit of those days. The print bears the following inscription:—

I am an Englishman, and naked I stand here, Musing in my mind, what rayment I shall were For now I will were this, and now I will were that, And now I will were, what I cannot tell what.

At a lower period, about the reign of Elizabeth, we are presented with a curious picture of a man of fashion. I make this extract from Puttenham's very scarce work on The Art of Poetry, p. 250. This author was a travelled courtier, and has interspersed his curious work with many lively anecdotes, and correct pictures of the times.—This is his fantastical beau in the reign of Elizabeth. 'May it not seeme enough for a courtier to know how to secure a feather and set his coppe affaunt; his chain en echarpe; a straight buskin, at Inglese; a losse a la Turquesque; the cape alla Spaniola; the breech a la François, and by twentie maner of new-fashioned garments, to disguise his body and his face with as many countenances, whereof it seems there be many that make a very arts and studie, who can show himselfe most fine, I will not say most foolish or ridiculous.' So that a beau of those times were in the same dress a grotesque mixture of all the fashions in the world. About the same period the sam ran in a different course in France. There, fashion consisted in an affected negligence of dress; for Montaigne honestly laments in Book i, Cap. 25—I have never yet been ant to mitate the negligent garb which is yet observable among the young men of our time; to wear my clock on one sheader, my beanet on one side, and one stocking in something more disorder than the other, meant to express a manly disdain of such exotic ornaments, and a contempt of art.'

The fashions of the Elizabethan age have been chronicled by honest John Stowe. Stowe was originally a said and when he laid down the shears and took up the pen. the taste and curiosity for dress was still retained. He is the grave chronicler of matters not grave. The chronoles gy of ruffs, and tuited taffetas; the revolution of steel po-king-sticks, instead of the bone or wood used by the laundresses; the invasion of shoe buckles, and the total rout of shoe roses; that grand adventure of a certain Flemish lady, who introduced the art of starching the ruffs with a yellow tinge into Britain; while Mrs Mountague emulated her in the royal favour, by presenting her highness the queen with a pair of black silk stockings, instead of her cloth hose, which her majesty now forever rejected; the beroic achievements of the Right Honourable Edward de Vere, Earl of Oxford, who first brought from Italy the whole mystery and craft of perfumery, and costly washes; and among other pleasant things besides, a perfumed in this control of the contr fumed jerkin, a pair of perfumed gloves trimmed with roses, in which the queen took such delight, that she was actually pictured with those gloves on her royal hands, and for many years after, the scent was called the Earl of Ox-ford's Perfume. These, and other occurrences as memorable, receive a pleasant kind of historical pomp in the important, and not incurious, narrative of the antiquary and the tailor. The toilet of Elizabeth was indeed an altar of devotion, of which she was the idel, and all her ministers were her votaries; it was the reign of coquetry, and the golden age of millinery! But of grace and elegance, they had not the slightest feeling! There is a print by Vertue, of Queen Elizabeth going in a procession to Lord Huns-don. This procession is led by Lady Hunsdon, who no doubt was the leader likewise of the fashions; but it is impossible, with our ideas of grace and comfort, not to commiserate this unfortunate lady, whose standing-up wire ruff, rising above her head; whose stays or boddice, so long waisted as to reach to her knees, and the circumfer-ence of her large hoop farthingale, which seems to enclose her in a capacious tub, mark her out as one of the most pitiable martyrs of ancient modes. The amorous Sir Walter Raleigh must have found some of her maids of honour the most impregnable fortification his gallant spirit ever assailed: a cosp de main was impossible.

I shall transcribe from old Stowe a few extracts, which

may amuse the reader:
'In the second yeers of Queen Elizabeth 1560, her

Digitized by Google

sile somes, Mistris Mountague, presented her majestio for a new yeere's gift, a poire of black silk knit stockings, the which, after a few days wearing, pleased her highness so well, that she sent for Mistris Mountague, and asked her where she had them, and if she could help her to any more, who answered, "I made them very carefully of purpose only for your majestie, and seeing these please you so well, I will presently set more in hand." "Do so, (quoth the queene.) for indeed I like silke stockings so well, because they are pleasant, fine, and delicate, that henceforth I will near so more cloth stockins"—and from that time unto her death the queene never wore any more cloth hose, but only sike stockins; for you shall understand that King Henry the Eight did weare onely cloth hose, or hose cut out of ell-broads taffaty, or that by great chance there came a pair of Spanish silke stockins from Spain. King came a pair of Spanish sake sections from Spanis. Along Edward the Sixte had a payer of long Spanish sike stock-ings sent him for a great present. Duke's daughters then were gowns of satten of Bridges (Bruges) upon solemn dayes. Cushens, and window pillows of welvet and da-maske, formerly only princely furniture, now be very plen-teous in most citizens' houses.

'Milloners or haberdashers had not then any gloves im-'Milloners or haberdashers had not then any glows imbroydered, or trimmed with gold, or silke; neither gold
aor embroydered girdles and hangers, neither could they
make any costly wash or perfume, until about the fifteenth
recere of the queene, the Right Honourable Edward de
Vere, Earl of Oxford, came from Italy, and brought with
him gloves, sweete bagges, a perfumed leather jerkin, and
other pleasant things; and that yeere the queene had a
pair of perfumed glowes trimmed onely with four tuffes, or
roses of coloured silk. The queene tooke such pleasare in
these dozes that the was neithered with those dozes that the those gloves, that she was pictured with those gloves upon her handes, and for many years after, it was called "The Earl of Oxford's perfume."

In such a chronology of fashions, an event not less im-ortant surely, was the origin of starching; and here we portant surely, was the original treated with the utmost historical dignity.

'In the year 1564, Mistris Dinghen Van den Plasse borne at Tænen in Flaunders, daughter to a worshipful knight of that province, with her husband came to London for their better safeties, and there professed herselfe a starcher, wherein she excelled, unto whom her owno nation presently repaired, and payed her very liberally for her Some very few of the best and most curious wives of that time, observing the neatness and delicacy of the Dutch for whiteness and fine wearing of linen, made them cambricke ruffes, and sent them to Mistris Dinghen to starche, and after awhile they made them ruffes of lawn, which was at that time a stuff most strange, and wonderfull, and thereupon arose a general scoffe or by-word, that shortly they would make ruffes of a spider's web; and then they began to send their daughters and nearest kinswomen to Mistris Dinghen to learne how to starche; her usuall price was at that time, foure or five pound, to teach them how to starche, and twenty shillings how to seeth starche.

Thus Italy, Holland, and France, supplied us with such fashions and refinements. But in those days they were, as I have shown from Puttenham, as extravagant dressers as any of their present supposed degenerate desceniarts. Stowe affords us another curious extract. Divers noble personages made them ruffes, a full quarter of a yearde deepe, and two lengthe in one ruffe. This fashion in London was called the French fashion : but when Englishmen came to Paris the French knew it not, and in dersion called it the English monstor. An exact parallel this of many of our own Parisian modes in the present day; and a circumstance which shows the same rivality in fashion in the reign of Elizabeth, as in that of George

the Fourth.

This was the golden period of cosmetics. The beaux of that day, it is evident, used the abominable art of paintof that day, it is evident, used the abominable art of painting their faces as well as the women. Our old comedies abound with perpetual allusions to oils, tinctures, quintessence, pomatuses, perfumes, paint, white and red, &c. One of their prime commetics was a frequent use of the bath, and the application of wine. Strutt quotes from an old as a recipe to make the face of a beautiful red colour. The nerme has to be in a bath bath the might carraine. old as a recipe to make the face of a beautiful red colour. The person was to be in a bath that he might perspire and afterwards wash his face with wine, and 'so should be both faire and roddy.' In Mr Lodge's 'Illustrations of British History,' I observe a letter from the Earl of Suventury, who had the keeping of the unfortunate Queen of Scote. The earl notices that the queen bathed in wine, and complains of the expense, and requires a fur-

ther allowance. A learned Scotch professor informed me, on my pointing out this passage, that white wine was used for these purposes. They also made a bath of milk. Elder beauties bathed in wine, to get rid of their wrinkles; and perhaps not without reason, wine being a great astriagent. Unwrinkled beauties bathed in milk, to preserve the softness and sleekness of the skin. Our venerable beauties of the Elizabethan age were initiated coquettes; and the mysteries of their toilette might be worth unveil-

The reign of Charles II was the dominion of French fashions. In some respects the taste was a little lighter, but the moral effect of dress, and which no doubt it has, was much worse. The dress of this French queen was very inflammatory; and the nuclity of the beauties of the portrait painter, Sir Peter Lely, has been observed. The queen of Charles II exposed her breast and shoulders without even the glass of the lightest gauze; and the tucker instead of standing up on her bosom, is with licentious boldness turned down, and lies upon her stays. This custom of baring the bosom was much exclaimed against by the authors of that age. That honest divine, Richard Baxter, wrote a preface to a book, entitled 'A acasonable reprehension of naked breasts and shoulders." acasonable reprehension or naked breasts and shoulders. In 1672 a book was published, entitled, 'New instructions unto youth for their behaviour, and also a discourse upon some innovations of habits and dressing; against powdering of hair, naked breasts, black spots, (or patches,) and other unseemly customs.' A whimsical fashion now prevailed among the ladies, of strangely ornamenting their faces with abundance of black patches cut into grotesque forms, such as a coach and horses, owls, rings, suas, moons, crowns, cross and crosslets. The author has pre-fixed two ladies' heads; the one representing Virtue, and the other Vice. Virtue is a lady modestly habited, with a black velvet hood, and plain white kerchief on her neck, Vice wears no handkerchief, her stave with a border. cut low, so that they display great part of the breasts; and a variety of fantastical patches on her face.

The innovation of fashions in the reign of Charles II, were watched with a jealous eye by the remains of those strict puritans, who now could only pour out their bile in such solemn admonitions. They affected all possible plainness and sanctity. When courtiers were monstrous wigs, they cut their hair short; when they adopted hats. with broad plumes, they clapped on round black caps, and screwed up their pale religious faces; and when choebuckles were revived, they wore strings to their shoes. The sublime Milton, perhaps, exulted in his intrepidity of still wearing latchets! The Tatler ridicules Sir William Whitlocke for his singularity in still affecting them, 'Thou dear Will Shoestring, how shall I draw thee? Thou dear outside, will you be combing your wig, playing with your box, or picking your teeth, &c. Wigs and snuff-boxes were then the rage. Steele's own wig, it is recorded made at one time a considerable part of his annual expenditure. His large black periwig cost him, even at that day, not less than forty guineas!—We wear nothing at present in this degree of extravagance. But such a wig was the idol of fashion, and they were performing perpetwas the tool of assistant they were performing perpen-ually their worship with infinite self-complacency; then combing their wigs in public was the very spirit of gal-lantry and rank. The hero of Richardson, youthful and elegant as he wished him to be, is represented waiting at an assignation and describing his sufferings in bad weather by lamenting that 'his wig and his linen were dripping with the hoar frost dissolving on them.' Even Betty, Clawith the noar road dissolving on them. Even Betty, Cla-risan's lady's maid, is described as 'tapping on her snuff-box,' and frequently taking snuff. At this time nothing was so monstrous as the head-dreases of the ladies in Queen Anne's reign: they formed a kind of edifice of three stories high; and a fashionable lady of that day much resembles the mythological figure of Cybele, the mother of the gods, with three towers on her head.

It is not worth noticing the changes in fashion, unless to ridicule them. However, there are some who find amuse-ment in these records of luxurious idleness; these thousand and one follies! Modern fashions, till very lately a purer taste has obtained among our females, were generally mere copies of obsolete ones, and rarely originally fantastical. The dress of some of our beaux will only be known in a few years hence by their caricatures. In 1781 the dress of a dandy is described in the Inspector. black velvet coat, a green and silver waistcoat, yellow velvet breaches, and blue stockings. This too was the era

of black silk breeches; an extraordinary novelty, against which 'some frowsy people attempted to raise up worsted in emulation.' A satirical writer has described a buck about forty years ago; one could hardly have suspected such a gentleman to have been one of our contemporaries. 'A coat of light green, with sleeves too small for the arms, and buttons too big for the sleeves; a pair of Manchester fine stuff breeches, without money in the pockets; clouded silk stockings, but no legs: a club of hair behind larger than the head that carries it; a hat of the size of sixpence on a block not worth a farthing.'

As this article may probably arrest the volatile eyes of my fair readers, let me be permitted to felicitate them on their improvement in elegance in the forms of their dress; and the taste and knowledge of art which they frequently exhibit. But let me remind them that there are certain principles independent of all fashions, which must be cherished at all times. Tacitus remarks of Poppea, the consort of Nero, that she concealed a part of her face; to the end that, the imagination having fuller play by irritating curiosity, they might think higher of her beauty, than if the whole of her face had been exposed. The sentiment is beautifully expressed by Tasso, and it will not be difficult to remember it :-

'Non copre sue bellezze, e non l'espose.'

I conclude by preserving a poem, written in my youth, not only because the great poet of this age has honoured it by placing it in 'The English Minstrelsy,' but as a me-morial of some fashions which have become extinct in my own days.

#### STANZAS,

Addressed to Laura, entreating her not to Paint, to Powders or to Game, but to retreat into the Country.

Ah, Laura! quit the noisy town, And Fashion's persecuting reign; Health wanders on the breezy down, And Science on the silent plain.

How long from Art's reflected hues Shalt thou a mimic charm receive? Believe, my fair! the faithful muse, They spoil the blush they cannot give.

Must ruthless art, with torturous steel, Thy artless locks of gold deface, In serpent folds their charms conceal, And spoil, at every touch, a grace.

Too sweet thy youth's enchanting bloom, To waste on midnight's sordid crews:

Let wrinkled age the night consume : For age has but its hoards to lose!

Sacred to love and sweet repose, Behold that trellis'd bower is nigh! That bower the lilac walls enclose, Safe from pursuing Scandal's eye.

There, as in every lock of gold Some flower of pleasing hue I weave, A goddess shall the muse behold And many a votive sigh shall heave.

So the rude Tartar's holy rite A feeble mortal once array'd Then trembled in that mortal's sight,

And own'd divine the power he made.\*

## A SENATE OF JESUITS.

In a book intituled 'Interéts et Maximes des Princes et des Etats Souverains, par M. Le Duc de Rohan; Co-logne, 1666, an anecdote is recorded concerning the jesuits; so much the more curious, as neither Puffendorf nor Vertot have noticed it in their histories, though its authority cannot be higher.

When Sigismond, king of Sweden, was elected king of Poland, he made a treaty with the states of Sweden, by which he obliged himself to pass every fifth year in that kingdom. By his wars with the Ottoman court, with Muscovy, and Tartary, obliged to remain in Poland to encounter such powerful enemies, he failed, during fifteen years, of accomplishing his promise. To remedy this in some shape, by the advice of the jesuits, who had gained the ascendant over him, he created a senate to reside at

\* The Lama, or God of the Tartars, is composed of such fail materials as mere mortality; contrived, however, by the sewer of priesteralt, to appear immortal; the succession of Lamas never failing!

Stockholm, composed of firty chosen jesuits, to decide on every affair of state. He published a declaration in their favour, presented them with letters-patemet, and invested them with the royal authority.

While this senate of jesuits was at Damtzic waiting for a fair wind to set sail for Stockholm, he published an edict, that they should receive them as his own royal person. A public council was immediately held. Charles, the uncle of Sigismond, the prelates, and the lords, resolved to prepare

for them a splendid and magnificent entry.

But in a private council, they came to very contrary resolutions: for the prince said, he could not bear that a senate of priests should command, in preference to all the honours and authority of so many princes and lords, natives of the country. All the others agreed with him in rejecting this holy senate. The archbishop rose, and said, 'Since Sigirmond has disdained to be our king, we also must not acknowledge him as such; and from this moment we should no longer consider ourselves as his subjects. His authority is in suspenso, because he has bestowed it on the jesuits who form this senate. The peo-ple have not yet acknowledged them. In this interval of resignation on the one side, and assumption of the other I absolve you all of the fidelity the king may claim from you as his Swedish subjects. When he had said this, th Prince of Bithynia addressing himself to Prince Charles uncle of the king, said, 'I own no other king than you, and I believe you are now obliged to receive us as your affectionate subjects, and to assist us to hunt these verming from the state.' All the others joined him, and acknow-

Having resolved to keep their declaration for some time secret, they deliberated in what manner they were to re-ceive and to precede this senate in their entry into the harbour, who were now on board a great galleon, which had anchored two leagues from Stockholm that they might enter more magnificently in the night, when the fireworks they had prepared would appear to the greatest advantage. About the time of their reception, Prince Charles, accompanied by twenty-five or thirty vessels, appeared before the senate. Wheeling about and forming a caracol of ships, they discharged a volley, and emptied all their cannon on the galleon of this servate, which had its sides pierced through with the balls. The galleon immediately filled with water and sunk, without one of the unfortunate jesuits being assisted; on the contrary, their assailants cried to them that this was the time to perform some miracle, such as they were accustomed to do in Isdia and Japan; and if they chose, they could walk on the waters!

The report of the cannon and the smoke which the powder occasioned, prevented either the cries or the sub-mersion of the holy lathers from being observed; and as if they were conducting the senate to the town, Charles estered triumphantly; went into the church, where they sung Te Deum; and to conclude the night, he partook of the entertainment which had been prepared for this illfated senate.

The jesuits of the city of Stockholm having come, about midnight, to pay their respects to the fathers of the so-nate, perceived their loss. They directly posted up pla-cards of excommunication against Charles and his adherents, who had caused the senate of jesuits to perish. They solicited the people to rebel: but they were soon expelled the city, and Charles made a public profession of

Sigismond, king of Poland, began a war with Charles in 1604, which lasted two years. Disturbed by the invasions of the Tartars, the Muscovites, and the Cossacks, a truce was concluded; but Sigismoud lost both his crowns, by his bigoted attachment to Roman Catholicism.

## THE LOVER'S MEART.

The following tale is recorded in the Historica. Mea ne nonowing case is recorded in the Historica. Memoirs of Champagne, by Bougier. It has been a favourite narrative with the old romance writers; and the principal incident, however objectionable, has been displayed in several modern poems. It is probable, that the true history will be acceptable for its tender and amorous incident to the file acceptable. dent, to the fair reader.

I find it in some shape related by Howel, in his 'Familier Letters,' in one addressed to Ben Josson. He recommends it to him as a subject ' which peradventure you may make use of in your way; and concludes by saying, 'in my opinion, which vails to yours, this is choice and rick stuff for you to put upon your loom and make a curious arb of

The Lord De Coucy, vassal to the Count De Cham pages, was one of the most accomplished youths of his time. He loved, with an excess of passion, the lady of the time. He tored, with an excess of passion, the sary of the Lord Du Fayel, who felt a reciprocal affection. With the most poignant grief this lady heard from her lover, that he had resolved to accompany the king and the Count De Champagne to the wars of the Holy Land; but she would not oppose his wishes, because she hoped that his absence might dissipate the jealousy of her husband. The time of departure having come, these two lovers parted with sorrows of the most lively tenderness. The lady, in quit-ting her lover, presented him with some rings, some dis-monds, and with a string that she had woven herself of his own hair, intermixed with silk and buttons of large pearls, to serve him, according to the fashion of those days, to tie a magnificent hood which covered his helmet. This he gratefully accepted.

In Palestine, at the siege of Acre, in 1191, in gloriously ascending the ramparts, he received a wound, which was declared mortal. He employed the few moments he had to live in writing to the Lady Du Fayel; and he poured torth the fervour of his soul. He ordered his squire to embalm his heart after his death, and to convey it to his beloved smistress, with the presents he had received from

ker hands in quitting her.

The squire, faithful to the dying injunction of his master, returned to France, to present the heart and the presents to the lady of Du Fayel. But when he approached the castle of this lady, he concealed himself in the neighbouring wood, till he could find some favourable moment to complete his promise. He had the misfortune to be observed by the husband of this lady, who recognized him, and who immediately suspected he came in search of his wife with some message from his master. He threatened to deprive him of his life, if he did not divulge the occasion of his return. The squire assured him that his master of his return. The squire assured him that his master was dead; but Du Fayel not believing it, drew his sword on him. This man, frightened at the peril in which he found aimself, confessed every thing; and put into his hands the heart and letter of his master. Du Fayel, prompted by the fellest revenge, ordered his cook to mince the heart; and having mixed it with meat, he caused a recent to be made which he hear pleaned the teste of his ragout to be made, which he knew pleased the taste of his The lady ate heartily of wife, and had it served to her. The lady ate heartily of the dish. After the repast, Du Fayel inquired of his wife if she had found the ragout according to her taste : she answered him that she had found it excellent.' 'It is for his reason, that I caused it to be served to you, for it is a kind of meat which you very much liked. You have, Madam,' the savage Du Favel continued, eaten the heart of the Lord De Goucy.' But this she would not believe, till he showed her the letter of her lover, with the string of his hair, and the diamonds she had given him. Then shud-dering in the anguish of her sensations, and urged by the darkest despair, she told him- It is true that I loved that heart, because it merited to be loved; for never could it find its superior; and since I have eaten of so noble a sat, and that my stomach is the tomb of so precious a heart, I will take care that nothing of inferior worth shall ever be maxed with it.' Grief and passion choaked her utterance. She retired to her chamber; she closed the door for ever; and refusing to accept of consolation or food, the amiable victim expired on the fourth day.

## THE RISTORY OF GLOVES.

The present learned and curious dissertation is compiled from the papers of an ingenious antiquary, from the Present State of the Republic of Letters, Vol. X, p.

The antiquity of this part of dress, will form our first iry; and we shall then show its various uses in the se-

ral ages of the world.

rerat ages of the world.

It has been imagined that gloves are noticed in the 108th
Panlm, where the royal prophet doclares, he will cast his
also over Edom; and still farther back, supposing them to
be used in the times of the Judges, Ruth iv, 7, where the
custom is noticed of a man taking off his shee and giving it
to his neighbour, as a pledge for redeeming or exchanging
any thing. The word in these two texts usually translatall also be the Chelden parabrant in the latter, is rederany thing. The word in these two texts usually translated shee by the Chaldee paraphrant in the latter, is rendersees by the Changes paraparant in the latter, is render-glove. Casuabon is of opinion that gloves were worn the Chaldeane, from the word here mentioned being ex-ined in the Talunud Lexicon, the elething of the hand.

But are not these more conjectures, and has not the Chaldean paraphrast taken a liberty in his version?

\*\*Xenophon gives a clear and distinct account of gloves, Speaking of the manners of the Persians, as a proof of their effeminacy, he observes, that not satisfied with covering their head and their feet, they also guarded their hands against the cold with thick gloves. Homer, describing Lacries at work in his garden, represents him with gloves on his hands, to secure them from the thorns. Varro, an ancient writer is an evidence in favour of their antiquity among the Romans. In lib. ii, cap. 55, de Re Rustica, he says, that olives gathered by the naked hand, are prefera-ble to those gathered with gloves. Atheneus speaks of a celebrated glutton who always came to table with gloves on his hands, that he might be able to handle and cat the meat while hot, and devour more than the rest of the com-

These authorities show, that the ancients were not strangers to the use of gloves, though their use was not common. In a hot climate to wear gloves implies a considerable degree of effeminacy. We can more clearly trace the early use of gloves in northern than in southern nations. When the ancient severity of manners declined, the use of gloves prevailed among the Romans; but not without some opposition from the philosophers. Masunius, a philosopher, who lived at the close of the first century of christianity, among other invectives against the corruption of the age, says It is shameful that persons in perfect health should clothe their hands and feet with soft and hairy coverrings. Their convenience, however, soon made the use general. Pliny the younger informs us, in his account of his uncle's journey to Vesuvius, that his secretary sat by him ready to write down whatever occurred remarkable; and that he had gloves on his hands, that the coldness of the weather might not impede his business.

In the beginning of the ninth century, the use of gloves was become so universal, that even the church thought a regulation in that part of dress necessary. In the reign of Lewis le Debonnaire, the council of Aix ordered that the monks should only wear gloves made of sheep-skin.

That time had made alterations in the form of this, as in all other apparel, appears from the old pictures and mo-

Gloves, besides their original design for a covering of the hand, have been employed on several great and solemn occasions; as in the ceremony of investitures, in bestowing lands, or in conferring dignities. Giving possession, by the delivery of a glove, prevailed in several parts of Christendom in later ages. In the year 1002, the bishops of Paderborn and Moncerco were put into possession of their sees by receiving a glove. It was thought so essentital a part of the episcopal habit, that some abbots in France presuming to wear gloves, the council of Poitiers interposed in the affair, and forbad them the use, on the same principle as the ring and sandals; these being peculiar to bishops, who frequently wore them richly adorned on their backs with jewels.

Favin observes, that the custom of blessing gloves at the curonation of the kings of France, which still subsists, is a remain of the eastern practice of investiture by a glove. A remarkable instance of this ceremony is re-corded. The unfortunate Convadia was deprived of his crown and his life by the usurper Mainfroy. When having ascended the scaffold, the injured prince lamenting his hard fate, asserted his right to the crown, and as a token of investiture, threw his glove among the crowd, entreating it might be conveyed to some of his relations, who would revenge his death. It was taken up by a knight, and brought to Peter King of Arragon, who in virtue of this glove was afterwards crowned at Palermo.

As the delivery of gloves was once a part of the ceremony used in giving possession, so the depriving a person of them was a mark of divesting him of his office, and of degradation. The Earl of Carliele, in the reign of Edward the Second, impeached of holding a correspondence with the Scots, was condemned to die as a traitor. singham, relating other circumstances of his degradation, says, 'His spurs were cut off with a hatchet; and his gloves and shoes were taken off, &c.'

Another use of gloves was in a duel; he who threw one down, was by this act understood to give defiance, and he who took it up, to accept the challenge.

The use of single comhat, at first designed only for a trial of innocence, like the ordeals of fire and water, was in succeeding ages practised for deciding rights and pre-

Challenging by the glove was continued down to perty. Spelman of Elizabeth, as appears by an account given by Spelman of a duel appointed to be fought in Tothill Fields in the year 1571. The dispute was concerning some lands in the county of Kent. The plaintiffs appeared in court, and demanded single combat. One of them threw down his glove, which the other immediately taking up, carried it off on the point of his sword, and the day of fighting was appointed; this affair was however adjusted by the queen's judicious interference.

The ceremony is still practised of challenging by a glove at the coronation of the kings of England, by his majesty's champion entering Westminister Hall completely armed

and mounted.

Challenging by the glove is still in use in some parts of the world. In Germany, on receiving an affront, to send a glove to the offending party, is a challenge to a duel. The last use of gloves was for carrying the hand, which is very ancient. In former times, prince: and other great

men took so much pleasure in carrying the hawk on their hand, that some of them have chosen to be represented in There is a monument of Philip the First of this attitude. France still remaining; on which he is represented at length, on his tomb, holding a glove in his hand.

Chambers says that, formerly, judges were forbid to wear gloves on the bench. No reason is assigned for this prohibition. Our judges lie under no such restraint; for both they and the rest of the court make no difficulty of receiving gloves from the sheriffs, whenever the session or assize concludes without any one receiving sentence of death, which is called a maiden assize; a custom of great

antiquity.

Our curious antiquary has preserved a singular anec-dote concerning gloves. Chambers informs us, that it is not safe at present to enter the stables of princes without pulling off our gloves. He does not tell us in what the danger consists; but it is an ancient established custom in Germany, that whoever enters the stables of a prince, or great man, with his gloves on his hands, is obliged to for-feit them, or redeem them by a fee to the servants. The same custom is observed in some places at the death of the stag; in which case if the gloves are not taken off they are redremed by money given to the huntsmen and keepers. The French king never failed of pulling off one of his gloves on that occasion. The reason of this ceremony seems to be lost.

We meet with the term glove-money in our old records; by which is meant, money given to servants to buy gloves. This probably is the origin of the phrase giving a pair of gluves, to signify making a present for some favour or ser-

Gough in his 'Sepulchral Monuments' informs us that gloves formed no part of the female dress till after the Re-formation; I have seen some so late as Anne's time richly worked and embroidered.

There must exist in the Denny family some of the oldest gloves extant, as appears by the following glove

anecdote.

At the sale of the Earl of Arran's goods, April 6th 1759, the gloves given by Henry VIII to Sir Anthony Denny were suld for 38l, 11s; those given by James I to his son Edward Denny for 22l, 4s; the mittens given by Queen Elizabeth to Sir Edward Denny's Lady. 25l, 4s; all which were bought for Sir Thomas Denny of Ireland who was descended in a direct line from the great Sir Anthony Denny, one of the executors of the will of Henry.

## RELICE OF SAIRTS.

When relics of saints were first introduced, the reliquemania was universal: they bought and they sold, and like other collectors, made no scraple to stead them. It is entertaining to observe the singular ardour and grasping avidity of some, to enrich themselves with those religious morsels, their little discerment, the curious impositions of the vender, and the good faith and sincerity of the pur-chaser. The prelate of the place sometimes ordained a fast to implore God that they might not be cheated with the relics of saints, which he sometimes purchased for the holy benefit of the village or town.

Guilbert de Nogen wrote a treatise on the relics of causers as required wrote a treatise on the felics of saints; acknowledging that there were many false ones as well as false legends, he reprobates the inventors of these lying miracles. He wrote his treatise on the occasion of a section of or section of a section of section

Medard de Soissons pretended to operate miracles. He asserts that this pretension is as chimerical as that of several persons, who believed they possessed the navel, and other parts less decent of—the body of Christ!

A monk of Bergsvinck has given a history of the tracelation of Saint Lewin, a virgin, and a martyr: her relices were brought from England to Bergs. He collected with religious care the facts from his brethren, especially from rengous care the lacus from an breathern, especially from the conductor of these relics from England. After the history of the translation, and a panegyric of the saint, he relates the miracles performed in Flanders since the arrival of her relics. The prevailing passions of the times to possess fragments of saints is well marked, when the author particularizes with a certain complacency all the knavish modes they used to carry off those in question. None then objected to this sort of robbery; because the gratification of the reigning passion had made it worth

graincation of the regiming passion and made it worth while to supply the demand.

A monk of Cluny has given a history of the translation of the body of St Indalece, one of the earliest Spanish-bishops; written by order of the abbot of St. Juan de la Penna. He protests he advances nothing but facts; having himself seen, or learnt from other witnesses, all he relates. It was not difficult for him to be well informed, since it was to the monastry of St Juan de la Penna that the holy relics were transported, and those who brought them were two monks of that house. He has authenticated his minute detail of circumstances by giving the names of persons and places. His account was written for the great Bons and places. The account was written for the ground festival immediately instituted in honour of this translation. He informs us of the miraculous manner by which they were so fortunate as to discover the body of this bishop and the different plans they concerted to carry it off.
He gives the itinerary of the two monks who accompanied
the holy remains. They were not a little cheered in their long journey by visions and miracles.

Another has written a history of what he calls the transla-

tion of the relics of Saint Magean to the monastry of Villemagne. Translation is in fact only a softened expression for the robbery of the relics of the saint committed by two monks, who carried them off secretly to enrich their monastery; and they did not hesitate at any artifice, or lie, to complete their design. They thought every thing was permitted to acquire these fragments of mortality, which had now become a branch of commerce. They even regarded their possessors with a hostile eye. Such was the religious opinion from the ninth to the twelfth century. Our Canute commissioned his agent at Rome to purchase Saint Augustine's arm for one hundred talents of silver and one of gold! a much larger sum, observes Granger than the finest statue of antiquity would have then sold for.

Another monk describes a strange act of devotion at-tested by several contemporary writers. When the saints did not readily comply with the prayers of their votaries, they flogged their relics with rods, in a spirit of impa-tience which they conceived was proper to make them

bend into compliance.

Theofroy, abbot of Epternac, to raise our admiration relates the daily miracles performed by the relics of saints, their ashes, their clothes, or other mortal spoils, and even by the instruments of their martyrdom. He inveighs against that luxury of ornaments which was indulged under a religious pretext; 'It is not to be supposed that the saints are desirous of such a profusion of gold and silver. They wish not that we should raise to them such magnificent churches, to exhibit that ingenious order of pillars which shine with gold nor those rich coilings, nor those altars sparkling with jewels. They desire not the purple parchment of price for their writings, the liquid gold to embellish the letters, nor the precious stones to decorate their covers; while you have such little care for the ministers of the altar. The pious writer has not forgotten himself in this partnership-account with the saints.

The Roman church not being able to deny, says Bayle that there have been false relics, which have operated u acles, they reply, that the good intentions of those be-lievers who have recourse to them obtained from God this reward for their good faith! In the same spirit, when it was shown that two or three bodies of the same saint are said to exist in different places, and, that therefore they all could not be authentic; it was answered, that they were all genuine! for God had multiplied and miraculously reproduced them for the comfort of the faithful! A curi ous specimen of the intelerance of good sense.

Digitized by GOOGIC

When the Reformation was spread in Lithuania, Prince Radzivil was so affected by it, that he went in person to pay the pope all possible honours. His holiness on this occasion presented him with a precious box of relics. The prince having returned home, some monks entreated permission to try the effect of these relics on the demoniac, who had hitherto resisted every kind of exorcism. They were brought into the church with solemn pomp, and dewere brought into the church with solean pomp, and de-posited on the altar, accompanied by an innumerable crowd. After the usual conjurations, which were unsuc-cessful, they applied the relics. The demoniac instantly recovered. The people called out a miracle! and the prince, fifting his hands and eyes to heaven, felt his faith confirmed. In this transport of pious joy, he observed that a young gentleman who was keeper of this treasure of relics, smiled, and by his motions ridiculed the miracle. The prince, indignantly, took our young keeper of the re-The prace, indignantly, took our young keeper of the rebest to task; who, on promise of pardon, gave the followmg acret intelligence concerning them. In travelling
from Rome he had lost the box of relics; and not daring
to mention it, he had procured a similar one, which he had
filled with the small bones of dogs and cats, and other trifler similar to what were lost. He hoped he might be forgiven for smiling, when he found that such a collection of
rubbish was idolized with such pomp, and had even the
writes of expelling demons. It was by the assistance of
this box that the prince discovered the gross impositions of this box that the prince discovered the gross impositions of the monks and the demoniacs, and Radzivil afterwards became a zealous Lutheran.

The Elector Frederic, surnamed the uses, was an inde-fatigable collector of relies. After his death, one of the maks employed by him, solicited payment for several parcels he had purchased for our tribe elector; but the limes had changed! He was advised to give over this bisiness; the relics for which he desired payment they were willing to returns: that the price had fallen considerably since the reformation of Luther; and that they would be more esteemed, and find a better market in Italy

than m Germany!

Stephens, in his Traité preparatif a l'Apologie pour Herodote, c. 39, says, 'A monk of St. Anthony having bren at Jerusalem, saw there several relics, among which were a bit of the finger of the Holy Ghost, as sound and entire as it had ever been; the snout of the scraphim that appeared to St. Francis; one of the nails of a cherubim; one of the ribs of the verbum care factum (the word made ficth:) some rays of the star which appeared to the three kings in the east; a vial of St Michael's aweat when he was fighting against the devil; a hem of Joseph's garment, which he wore when he cleaved wood, &c.' all of which things, observes our treasurer of relics, I have brought very devoutly with me home. Our Henry III, who was deeply tainted with the superstition of the age, summoned all the great in the kingdom to meet in London. summons excited the most general curiosity, and multi-ludes appeared. The king then acquainted them that the great master of the Knights Templars had sent him a phial containing a small parties of the pracious blood of Christ which he had shed upon the cross! and attested so be sensine by the seals of the patriarch of Jerusalem and others. He commanded a procession the following day, and the historian adds, that though the road between St. Paul's and Westminister abbey was very deep and miry, the hing kept his eyes constantly fired on the phial. Two monks received it, and deposited the phial in the abbey, which made all England shine with glory, dedicating it to God, and St. Edward.'

Lord Herbert, in his Life of Henry VIII, notices the great fall of the price of relice at the dissolution of the monasteries. 'The respect given to relice, and some pretended miracles, fell; insomuch, as I find by our records, that a piece of St. Andrew's Anger, (covered only with an onace of silver,) being laid to plodge by a monastery for forty pounds, was left unredeemed at the dissolution of the house; the kine's comprisence who propose were dethe house; the king's commissioners, who upon surrender of any foundation undertook to pay the debts, refusing to return the price again. That is, they did not choose to repay the furty pounds, to receive a piece of the finger of

St. Andres

No. 3.

About this time the property of relics suddenly sunk to a South-eea bubble; for shortly after the artifice of the Soun-sea babble; for snortly after the artifice of the Rood of Grace, at Boxley in Kent, was fully opened to the eyes of the populace; and a far-famed relic at Hales in Gloucestershire, of the blood of Christ, was at the same time exhibited. It was showed in a phial, and it was be-

lieved that none could see it who were in mortal sin; and after many trials usually repeated to the same person, deluded pilgrims at length went away fully satisfied. relic was the blood of a duck, renewed every week, and put in a phial; one side was opaque, and the other trans-parent; the monk turned either side to the pilgrim as he thought proper. The success of the pilgrim depended on the generous oblations he made; those who were acanty in their offerings were the longest to get a sight of the blood: when a man was in despair, he usually became more generous!

## PERPETUAL LAMPS OF THE ARCIENTS.

No. 379 of the Spectator, relates an aneodote of one having opened the sepulchre of the famous Rosicrucius. There he discovered a lamp burning, which a statue of clock-work struck into pieces. Hence the disciples of this visionary said, that he made use of this method to show that he had re-invented the ever burning lamps of the

Many writers have made mention of these wonderful lamps; Marville appears to give a satisfactory account of

the nature of these flames.

It has happened frequently, that inquisitive men, examining with a flambeau ancient sepulchres which had been just opened, the fat and gross vapours, engendered by the corruption of dead bodies, kindled as the flambeau ap-proached them, to the great astonishment of the spectators, who frequently cried out a miracle! This sudden inflammation, although very natural, has given room to believe that these flames proceeded from perpetual lamps, which some have thought were placed in the tombs of the ancients, and which, they said, were extinguished at the moment these tombs opened, and were penetrated by the exterior air.

The accounts of the perpetual lamps, which ancient writers give, has occasioned several ingenious men to search after their composition. Licetus, who possessed more erudition than love of truth, has given two receipts for making this eternal fire by a peparation of certain minerals. An opinion in vogue amongst those who are pleased with the wonderful, or who only examine things superficially. More credible writers maintain, that it is impossible to make lamps perpetually burning, and an oil at once inflammable and inconsumable; but Boyle, assisted by several experiments made on the air-pump, found that those lights, which have been viewed in opening tombs, proceeded from the collision of fresh air. This reasonable observation conciliates all, and does not compel us to deny the accounts.

The story of the lamp of Rosicrucius, even if it ever had the slightest foundation, only owes its origin to the spirit of party, which at the time would have persuaded the world, that Rosicrucius had at last discovered something; but there is nothing certain in this amusing inven-

The reason adduced by Marville is satisfactory for his day; and for the opening of sepulchres with flambeaux. But it was reserved for the modern discoveries made in natural philosophy, as well as those in chemistry, to prove that air was not only necessary for a medium to the exis-tence of the fiame, which indeed the air-pump had already shown; but also as a constituent part of the inflammation, and without which a body otherwise very inflammable in all its parts, cannot however burn but in its superfices, which alone is in contact with the ambient air.

# NATURAL PRODUCTIONS RESEMBLING ARTIFICIAL COM-

Some stones are preserved by the curious, for representing distinctly figures traced by nature alone, and without the aid of art.

Pliny mentions an agate, in which appeared, formed by the hand of nature, Apollo amidst the nine Muses la lding seen, in which is naturally formed the perfect figure of a man. At Pisa, in the church of St John, there is a similar natural production, which represents an old hermit in a des rt seated by the side of a stream, and who holds in his hands a small bell, as St Anthony is commonly painted. In the temple of St Sophia, at Constantinople, there was formerly on a white marble the image of St John the Baptist covered with the skin of a camel, with this only impersection, that nature had given but onle leg. At Ravenna in the Church of St Vital, a cordelier is seen on a charge stone. They found in Italy a marble, in which a crucifix was so elaborately finished, that there appeared the nails, the drops of blood, and the wounds, as perfectly as the most excellent painter could have performed. At Sneiberg, in Germany, they found in a mine a certain rough metal, on which was seen the figure of a man, who carried a child on his back. In Provence they found in a mine, a quantity of natural figures of birds, trees, rats, and serpents; and in some places of the western parts of Tartary, are seen on divers rocks, the figures of camels, horses, and sheep. Pancirollus, in his Lost Antiquities, attests, that in a church at Rome, a marble perfectly represented a priest celebrating mass, and raising the host. Paul III conceiving that art had been used, scraped the marble to discover whether any painting had been employed ed: but nothing of the kind was discovered. I have seen, writes a friend, many of these curiosities. They are always helped out by art. In my father's house was a gray marble chimney-piece, which abounded in portraits, landscapes, &c, the greatest part of which was made by myself. My learned friend, the Rev. Stephen Weston, possesses a very large collection, many certainly untouched by art. One stone appears like a perfect cameo of a Minerva's head; another shows an old man's head, beautiful as if the hand of Raphael had designed it. Both these stones are transparent. Some exhibit portraits.

these stones are transparent. Some exhibit portraits. There is preserted in the British Museum, a black stone, on which nature has sketched a resemblance of the portrait of Chaucer. Stones of this kind, possessing a sufficient degree of resemblance, are rare; but art appears not to have been used. Even in plants, we find this sort of resemblance. There is a species of the orchis found in the mountainous parts of Lincolnshire, Kent, &c. Nature has formed a bee, apparently feeding in the breast of the flower, with so much exactness, that it is impossible at a very small distance to distinguish the imposition. Hence the plant derives its name, and is called the Bescour. Langhorne elegantly notices its appearance:

'See on that flowret's velvet breast, How close the busy vagrant lies! His thin-wrought plume, his downy breast, Th' ambrosial gold that swells his thighs.

'Perhaps his fragrant load may bind His limbs — we'll set the captive free— I sought the living bee to find, And found the picture of a bee.'

The late Mr Jackson of Exeter wrote to me on this subject: 'This orchis is common near our seacoasts; but instead of being exactly like a BEE, it is not like it at all. It has a general resemblance to a fty, and by the help of imagination, may be supposed to be a fly pitched upon the flower. The mandrake very frequently has a forked root, which may be fancied to resemble thighs and legs. I have seen it helped out with nails on the toes.'

An ingenious botanist, a stranger to me, after reading this article, was so kind as to send me specimens of the dy orchis, ophrys muscifera, and of the bee orchis, ophrys swifera. Their resemblance to these insects when in full flower is the most perfect conceivable; they are distinct plants. The poetical eye of Langhorne was equally correct and fanciful; and that too of Jackson, who differed so positively. Many controversies have been carried on, from a want of a little more knowledge; like that of the EEE orches and the FLY orchis; both parties prove to be right.

Another curious specimen of the playful operations of nature is the mandrake; a plant indeed, when it is bare of leaves, perfectly recembling that of the human form. The ginseng tree is noticed for the same appearance. This object the same poot has noticed:

, Mark how that rooted mandrake wears His human feet, his human hands; Oft, as his shapely form he rears, Aghast the frighted ploughman stands.

He closes this beautiful fable with the following stanza, see unapposite to the curious subject of this article;

'Helvetia's rocks, Sabrina's waves, Still many a shining pebble bear: Where nature's studious hand engraves The perfect form, and leaves it there.'

THE POETICAL GARLAND OF JULIA.

name has given a charming description of a present

seldom equalled for its gallantry, ingenuity, and movelty. It was called the Garland of Julia. To understand the nature of this gift, it will be necessary to give the history of the parties.

The beautiful Julia d'Angennes was in the flower of her youth and fame, when the celebrated Gustavus, kung of Sweden, was making war in Germany with the most splendid success. Julia expressed her warm admiration of this hero. She had his portrait placed on her toilette, and took pleasure in declaring that she would have ne other lover than Gustavus. The Duke de Montausier was, however, her avowed and ardeat admirer. A short time after the death of Gustavus, he sent her, as a new-year's gift, the Poetical Garland, of which the following is a description.

The most beautiful flowers were painted in miniature by an eminent artist, one Robert, on pieces of veilium, all of an equal size. Under every flower a sufficient space was left open for a madrigal on the subject of that flower there painted. The duke solicited the wits of the time to assist in the composition of these little poems, reserving a considerable number for the effusions of his own amorous muse. Under every flower he had its madrigal written by a penman, N du Jarry, who was celebrated for beautiful writing. It is decorated by a frontispiece, which represents a splendid garland composed of these twenty-nine flowers; and on turning the page a Cupid is painted. These were magnificently bound, and inclosed in a bag of rich Spanish leather. This gift, when Julia awoke on new-year's day, she found lying on her toilette; it was one quite to her taste, and successful to the donor's hopes.

Of this Poetical Garland, thus formed by the hands of Wit and Love, Huet says, 'As I had long heard of it, I frequently expressed a wish to see it: at length the duchess of Uzez gratified me with the sight. She locked me in her cabinet one afternoon with this garland; she then wenk to the queen, and at the close of the evening liberated me. I never passed a more agreeable afternoon.

liberated me. I never passed a more agreeable afternoon.

One of the prettiest inscriptions of these flowers is the following, composed for

# THE VIOLET.

Modeste en ma couleur, modeste en mon sejour, Franche d'ambition, je me cache sous l'herbe; Mais, si sur votre front je puis me voir un jour, La plus humble des fleurs, sera la plus superbe.

Modest my colour, modest is my place, Pleased in the grass my lowly form to hide; But mid your tresses might I wind with grace, The humblest flower would feel the lottlest pride.

The following is some additional information respecting the Poetical Garland of Julia.'

At the sale of the library of the Duke de la Valliere, in 1784, among its numerous literary curiosities this garland appeared. It was actually sold for the extravagant sum of 14,510 livres! though in 1770 at Gaignat's sale, it only cost 780 livres. It is described, 'a manuscript on vellum, composed of twenty-nine flowers painted by one Robert, under which are inserted madrigals by various authors. But the Abbe Rive, the superintendant of the Valliere library, published in 1779 an inflammatory notice of this garland; and as he and the duke had the art of appreciating, and it has been said waking spurious literary curiosities, this notice was no doubt the occasion of the maniacal price.

In the revolution of France, this literary curiosity found its passage into this country. A bookseller offered it for asle at the enormous price of 500% sterling! No curious collector has been discovered to have purchased the unique; which is most remarkable for the extreme folly of the purchaser who gave the 14,510 livres for poetry and painting not always exquisite. The history of the garland of Julia is a child's lesson for certain rash and inexperienced collectors, who may here

'Learn to do well by other's harm.'

# TRAGIC ACTORS.

Montfleury, a French player, was one of the greatest actors of his time for characters highly tragic. He died of the violent efforts he made in representing Oresten the Andromache of Racine. The author of the Parnasse reformé' makes him thus express himself in the shades. There is something extremely droll in his lamentations, with a severe raillery on the inconveniences to which tragic actors are so liable, and by

'Ah! how sincorely do I wish that tragedies had never been invanted! I might then have been yet in a state capable of appearing on the stage; and if I should not capane or appearing on the stage; and if I should not have attained the glory of sustaining sublime characters, I should at least have trifled agreeably, and have worked off my spleen in laughing! I have wasted my lungs in the violent emotions of jealousy, love, and ambition. A thousand times have I been obliged to force myself to represent more passions than Le Brun ever painted or conceived. I new myself frequently obliged to dart terrible glances; to roll my eyes furiously in my head, like a man imane; to frighten others by extravagant grimaces; to imprint on my countenance the reduces of indignation and hatred; to make the paleness of fear and surprise succeed each other by turns; to express the transports of rage and despair; to cry out like a demoniac; and conseque ly to strain all the parts of my body to render them fitter to accompany these different impressions. The man then who would know of what I died, let him not ask if it were af the fever, the dropsy, or the gout; but let him know that it was of the Andromache?

The Jesuit Rapin informs us, that when Mondory acted Herod in the Myriamne of Tristan, the spectators quitted the theatre mouraful and thoughtful; so tenderly were they penetrated with the sorrows of the unfortunate herome. In this melancholy pleasure, he says, we have a node picture of the strong impressions which were made by the Grecian tragedians. Mondory indeed felt so powerfully the character he assumed, that it cost him his life.

Some readers will recollect the death of Bond, who felt so exquisitely the character of Lusignan in Zara, which he personated when an old man, that Zara, when she ad-dressed him, found him dead in his chair!

The assumption of a variety of characters, by a person of irritable and delicate nerves, has often a tragical effect on the mental faculties. We might draw up a list of actors, who have fallen martyrs to their tragic characters. Several have died on the stage, and, like Palmer, usually in the midst of some agitated appeal to the feelings. Baron, who was the French Garrick, had a most eleva-

ted notion of his profession; he used to say, that tragic actors should be nursed on the lap of Queens! Nor was his vanity inferior to his enthusiasm for his profession; for, according to him, the world might see once in a century a Caser, but that it required a thousand years to produce a Baron! A variety of anecdotes testify the admirable talents he displayed. Whenever he meant to compliment the talents or merit of distinguished characters, he always delivered in a pointed manner the striking passages of the play, fixing his eye on them. An observation of his re-specting actors is nor less applicable to poets and to paint-ers. 'Rules,' said this sublime actor, 'may teach us not to raise the arms above the head; but if passion carries

them, it will be well done; passion knows more than art.'

Betterton, although his countenance was ruddy and sanguine, when he performed Hamlet, at the appearance of the ghost, through the violent and sudden emotion of anazement and horror in the presence of his father's spectre, instantly turned as white as his neckloth, while his whole body beemed to be affected with a strong tremor: bad his father's apparition actually risen before him, he could not have been seized with more real agonies. This struck the spectators so forcibly, that they felt a shuddering in their vems, and participated in the astonishment and the borror so apparent in the actor. Davies in his Dramatic Miscellanies records this fact; and in the Ri-chardsoniana, we find that the first time Booth attempted the ghost when Betterton acted Hamlet, that actor's look at him struck him with such horror that he became disconcerted to that degree, he could not speak his part. Here seems no want of evidence of the force of the ideal presence in this marvellous acting: these facts might de-

herve a philosophical investigation.

Le Kain, the French actor, who retired from the Parian stage, covered with glory and gold, was one day con-gratulated by a company on the retirement which he was preparing to enjoy. 'As to glory,' modestly replied this actor, 'I do not flatter myself to have acquired much. This kind of reward is always disputed by many, and you youneives would not allow it, were I to assume it. As to the money, I have not so much reason to be satisfied; at the Italian theatre their share is far more considerable than mice; an actor there may get twenty to twenty-five thou-east livres, and my share amounts at the most to ten or

twelve thousand.' 'How! the devil!' exclaimed a rude chevalier of the order of St Louis, who was present,:
'How the devil! a vile stroller is not content with twelve thousand livres annually, and I, who am in the king's service, who sleep upon a cannon and lavish my blood for my country, I must consider myself as fortunate in having ob-tained a pension of one thousand livres.' 'And do you account as nothing, Sir, the liberty of addressing me thus?' replied Le Kain, with all the sublimity and conciseness of an urritated Orosmane.

The memoirs of Madlle Clairon display her exalted feeling of the character of a sublime actress; she was of opinion, that in common life the truly sublime actor should be a hero, or heroine off the stage. 'If I am only a vulgar and ordinary woman during twenty hours of the day, whatever effort I may make, I shall only be an ordinary and vulgar woman in Agrippina, or Semiramis, during the remaining four. In society she was nicknamed the Queen of Carthage, from her admirable personification of Dido in a tragedy of that name.

# JOCULAR PREACHERS.

These preachers, whose works are excessively rare, form a race unknown to the general reader. I shall sketch the characters of these pious buffoons, before I introduce them to his acquaintance. They, as it has been said of Sterne, seemed to have wished, every now and then to have thrown their wigs into the faces of their auditors.

These preachers flourished in the fourteenth, fifteenth,

and sixteenth centuries; we are therefore to attribute their extravagant mixture of grave admonition with facetious il-lustration, comic tales which have been occasionally adopted by the most licentious writers, and minute and lively descriptions, to the great simplicity of the times, when the grossest indecency was never concealed under a gentle periphrasis, but every thing was called by its name. All this was enforced by the most daring personalities, and seasoned by those temporary allusions which neither spared nor feared even the throne. These ancient sermons therefore are singularly precious, to those whose inquisitive pleasures are gratified by tracing the manners of former ages. When Henry Stephens, in his apology for Hero-dotus, describes the irregularities of the age, and the mi-nuties of national manners, he effects this chiefly by extracts from these sermons. Their wit is not always the brightest, nor their satire the most poignant; but there is always that prevailing naiveté of the age; running through their rude eloquence, which interests the reflecting mind. In a word, these aermons were addressed to the multitude; and therefore they show good sense and absurdity, fancy and puerility; satire and insipidity; extravagance and truth

Oliver Maillard, a famous cordelier, died in 1502. This preacher having pointed some keen traits in his sermons at Louis XI, the irritated monarch had our cordelier informed that he would throw him into the river. He re-plied undaunted, and not forgetting his satire: 'The king may do as he chooses; but tell him that I shall soner get to paradise by water, than he will arrive by all his post horses.' He alluded to travelling by post, which this monarch had lately introduced into France. This bold analysis and the state of the swer, it is said, intimidated Louis; it is certain that Maillard continued as courageous and satirical as ever in his pulpit.

The following extracts are descriptive of the manners of the time

In attacking rapine and robbery, under the first head he describes a kind of usury, which was practised in the days of Ben Jonson, and I am told in the present, as well as in the times of Maillard. 'This,' says he, 'is called a paliated usury. It is thus. When a person is in want of money, he goes to a treasurer (a kind of banker or merchant,) on whom he has an order for 1000 crowns; the treasurer tells him that he will pay him in a fortnight's time, when he is to receive the money. The poor man cannot wait. Our good treasurer tells him, I will give you half in money and half in goods. So he passes his goods that are worth 100 crowns for 200. He then touches on the bribes worth 100 crowns for 200. He then touches on the bribes which these treasurers and clerks in office took, excusing themselves by alleging 'the little pay they otherwise received. All these practices be sent to the devile!' cries Maillard, in thus addressing himself to the ladies. 'It is for you all this damnation ensues. Yes! yes! you must have rich satins, and girdles of gold out of this accursed money. When any one has any thing to receive from the husband, he must first make a present to the wife of some fine gown, or girdle, or ring. If you ladies and gentlemen who are battening on your pleasures, and wear scarlet clothes, I believe if you were closely put in a good press, we should see the blood of the poor gush out, with which your scarlet is dyed.'

Maillard notices the following curious particulars of the

mode of cheating in trade in his times.

He is violent against the apothecaries for their cheats. They mix ginger with cinnamon, which they sell for real spices; they put their bags of ginger, pepper, saffon, cin-namon, and other drugs in damp cellars, that they may weigh heavier; they mix oil with saffron to give it a colour, and to make it weightier. He does not forget those tradesmen who put water in their wool, and moisten their cloth that it may stretch; tavern-keepers, who sophisticate and mingle wines: to the very butchers who blow up their meat, and who mix hog's lard with the fat of their meat. He terribly declaims against those who buy with a great allowance of measure and weight, and then sell with a small measure and weight; and curses those who, when they weigh, press the scales down with their finger. But it is time to conclude with master Oliver! His catalogue is, however, by no means exhausted; and it may not be amiss to observe, that the present age have retained every one of the sins which are here alleged.

The following extracts are from Menot's sermons, which are written like Maillard's, in a barbarous Latin mixed

with old French.

Michael Menot died in 1518. I think he has more wit than Maillard, and occasionally displays a brilliant imagination; with the same singular mixture of grave declama-tion and farcical absurdities. He is called in the title-page the golden-tongued. It runs thus, Predicatoris qui lingua simales, ab ipso clim Turonis declamatis. Paris, 1525, 8vo.
When he compares the church with a vine, he says,

There were once some Britons and Englishmen who would have carried away all France into their country, because they found our wine better than their beer; but as they well knew that they could not always remain in France, nor carry away France into their country, they would at least carry with them several stocks of vines; they planted some in England; but these stocks soon degenerated, be-cause the soil was not adapted to them.' Notwithstanding what Menot said in 1500, and that we have tried so often, we are still flattering ourselves that if we plant vine-yards we may have English wine.

The following beautiful figure describes those who live

neglectial of their aged parents, who had cherished them into prosperity. See the frees flourish and recover their leaves; it is their root that has produced all; but when the branches are loaded with flowers and with fruits, they yield nothing to the root. This is an image of those children who prefer their own amusements, and to game away their fortunes, than to give to their old parents the cares

which they want.'

He acquaints us with the following circumstances of the immorality of that age. Who has not got a mistress besides his wife? The poor wife eats the fruit of bitterness, and even makes the bed for the mistress. Oaths were not unfashionable in his day. 'Since the world has been world, this crime was never greater. There were once pillories for these wwearers; but now this crime is so common, that the chi... If five years can swear; and even the old dotard of eighty, who has only two teeth remaining can fling out an oath!"

On the power of the fair sex of his day, he observes, 'A father says my son studies', he must have a bishoprick, or an abbey of 500 livres. Then he will have dogs, horses, and mistresses, like others. Another says, I will have my son placed at court, and have many honourable dignities. To succeed well, both employ the mediation of women; unhappily the church and the law are entirely at their disposal. We have artful Delilahs who shear us their disposal. We have artful Delilahs who shear us close. For twelve crowns and an ell of velvet given to a

woman, you gain the worst law-suit, and best living.'
In his last sermon, Menot recapitulates the various topics he had touched on during Lent. This extract will present a curious picture, and impress the mind with a just

notion of the versatile talents of these preachers.

I have told ecolesiastics how they should conduct themselves; not that they are ignorant of their duties; but I must ever repeat to girls, not to suffer themselves to be duped by them. I have told these ecclesiastics that they should imitate the lark; if she has a grain she does not remain idle, but feels her pleasure in singing, and in singing always is ascending towards heaven. So they should not a mass; but elevate the hearts of all to God; and not

not a mass; but elevate the hearts of all to God; and not do as the frogs who are crying out day and night, and think they have a fine throat, but always remain fixed in the mud. 'I have told the men of the law that they should have the qualities of the eagle. The first is, that this bird when it flies fixes its eye on the sun; so all judges, counsellors, and attorneys, in judging, writing, and signing, should always have God before their eyes. And secondly, this bird is never greedy; it willingly shares its prey with others; so all laways; who are not in growns after having res: so all lawyers, who are rich in crowns after having had their bills paid, should distribute some to the poor, particularly when they are conscious that their money arises from their prey.

"I have spoken of the marriage state, but all that I have said has been disregarded. See those wretches who break the hymeneal chains, and abandon their wives! they pass their holidays out of their parishes, because if they remained at home they must have joined their wives they remained at nome they must have joined their wives at church; they like their prostitutes better; and it will be so every day in the year! I would as well dime with a Jew or a heretic, as with them. What an infected place is this! Mistress Lubricity has taken possession of the whole city; look in every corner and you will be convinced. 'For you married women! If you have heard the nightingale's song, you must know that she sings during three months, and that she is silent when she has young coas. So there is a time in which you may sing and take

ones. So there is a time in which you may sing and take your pleasures in the marriage state, and another to watch your children. Don't damn yourselves for them; and remember it would be better to see them drowned than damned.

'As to widows, I observe, that the turtle withdraws and sighs in the woods, whenever she has lost her companion; so must they retire into the wood of the cross, and hav-ing lost their temporal husband, take no other but Jesus

Christ.

'And to close all, I have told girls that they must fly from the company of men, and not permit them to en brace, nor even touch them. Look on the rose, it has a delightful odour; it embalms the place in which it is placed; cengnuu coour; it emaaims the piace in which it is placed; but if you grasp it underneath, it will prick you till the blood issues. The beauty of the rose is the beauty of the girl. The beauty and perfume of the first invite to smell and to handle it, but when it is touched underneath it pricks sharply; the beauty of the girl likewise invites thand; but you, my young ladies! you must never suffer this, for I tell you that every man who does this, designs to make you harlots."

These ample extracts will, I hope, convey the same pleasure to the reader, which I have received by collecting them from their searce originals, little known even to the them from their searce originals, little known even to the curious. Menot, it cannot be denied, displays a poetic imagination, and a fertility of conception, which distinguishes him among his rivals. The same taste and popular manner came into our country, and were suited to the simplicity of the age. In 1527, our Bishop Latimer preached a sermon, in which he expresses himself thus;—'Now ye have heard what I meant by this first cord, and how ye ought to play.' I purpose again to deal unto you another card of the same suit; for they be c so nigh affinity, that one cannot be well played without the other. It is curious to observe about a century afterwards, as It is curious to observe about a century afterwards, as Fuller informs us, that when a country clergyman imitated those familiar allusions, the taste of the congregation had so changed, that he was interrupted by peaks of

Even in more modern times have Menot and Maillard found an imitator in little Father André, as well as others. found an imitator in little Father Andre, as well as others. His character has been variously drawn. He is by some represented as a kind of buffoon in the pulpit: but others more judiciously observe, that he only indulged his natural genius, and uttered humorous and lively things, as the good father observes himself, to keep the attention of his audience awake. He was not always laughing. 'He told many a bold truth, says the author of Guerre des Auteurs anciens et modernes, 'that sent bishops to their diocesses, and made many a coquette blush. He possessed the art of biting when he smiled; and more ably combates vice by his ingenious satire than by those vague apostro-phes, which no one takes to himself. While others were While others were straining their minds to catch at sublime thoughts, which no one understood, he lowered his talents to the most hum-

Digitized by GOOSIG

ble situations, and to the minutest things. From them he drew his examples and his comparisons; and the one and the other never failed of success.' Marville says, that this expressions were full of shrewd simplicity. He made very free use of the most popular proverbs. His compa-risons and figures were always borrowed from the most fa siliar and lowest things. To ridicule effectually the fa silier and lowest things.' retgning vices, he willingly employed quirks or puns ra-ther than sublime thoughts, and he was little solicitous of his choice of expression. Gasparo Gozzi, in Italy had the same power in drawing unexpected inferences from vulgar and familiar occurrences. It was by this art Whitfield obtained so many followers. In Piozzi's British Synogymies, Vol. II, p. 205, we have an instance of Goo-zi's manner. In the time of Charles II it became fashionable to introduce hurnour into sermons. Sterne seems to have revived it in his sermons: South's sparkle perpetually with wit and pun.

Far different, however, are the characters of the sublime preachers, of whom the French have preserved the follow-

me descriptions.

We have not any more, Bourdeloue, La Rue, and Massilon; but the idea which still exists of their manner of addressing their auditors, may serve instead of lessons. Each had his own peculiar mode, always adapted to place, ame, circumstance, to their auditors, their style, and their

Bourdaloue, with a collected air, had little action: with eyes generally half closed, he penetrated the hearts of the people by the sound of a voice uniform and solemn. The propte by the sound of a voice uniform and solemn. The tone with which a sacred orator pronounced the words, Twes ille vir, 'Thou art the man,' in suddenly addressing them to one of the kings of France, struck more forcibly than their application. Madame De Sevigné describes our preacher, by saying, 'Father Bourdaloue thunders at Notro Dame.'

La Rue apprared with the air of a prophet. ner was irresistible, full of fire, intelligence and force. He bad strokes perfectly original. Several old men, his contemporaries, still shuddered at the recollection of the expression which he employed in an apostrophe to the God of

vengeance, Evag orare gladium tuum.

The person of Massillon is still present to many. The person of Massision is still present to many. It seems, say his admirers, that he is yet in the pulpit with that art of simplicity, that modest demeanour, those eyes humbly declining, those unstudied gestures, that passionate tone, that mild countenance of a man penetrated with his ect, and conveying to the mind the most brilliant light, and to the heart the most tender emotions. Baron, the tragedian, coming out from one of his sermons, truth forced from his lips a confession humiliating to his profession;
'My friend,' said he to one of his companions, 'this is an erator! and we are only actors.'

# MASTERLY IMITATORS.

There have been found occasionally some artists who could so perfectly imitate the spirit, the taste, the character, and the peculiarities of great masters, that they have not unfrequently deceived the most skifful connoisseurs. Michael Angelo sculptured a sleeping Cupid, of which having broken off an arm, he burned the same in a place where he knew it would soon be found.

The critics were never tired of admiring it, as one of the most precious re-lies of antiquity. It was sold to the Cardinal of St George, to whom Michael Angelo discovered the whole mystery, by joining to the Cupid the arm which he had reserved.

An anecdote of Peter Mignard is more singular. This great artist painted a Magdalen on a convass fabricated at Rome. A broker, in concert with Mignard, went to the Chevalier de Clairville, and told him as a secret that he was to receive from Italy a Magdalen of Guido, and his master-piece. The chevalier caught the bait, begged the preference, and purchased the picture at a very high

He was informed he had been imposed upon, and that the Magdalen was painted by Mignard. Mignard himself caused the alarm to be given, but the amateur would not believe it; all the connoisseurs agreed it was a Guido, and the famous Le Brun corroborated this opinion.

The chevalier came to Mignard :— Some persons as-re me that my Magdalen is your work! — Mine! they to me great honour. I am sure Le Brun is not of this spission.'— Le Brun swears it can be no other than a Gui-. You shall dine with me, and meet several of the first cocurs.

On the day of meeting, the picture was again more closedly inspected. Mignard hinted his doubts whether the piece was the work of that great master; he insinuated that it was possible to be deceived; and added, that if it was Guido's, he did not think it in his beat manner.' 'It is a Guido, sir, and in his very best manner,' replied Le Brun with warmth; and all the critics were unanimous. Mignard then spoke in a firm tone of voice; 'And I, gen-tlemen, will wager three hundred louis that it is not a Guido. The dispute now became violent; Le Brun was desirous of accepting the wager. In a word, the affair became such that it could add nothing more to the glory of Mignard. 'No sir,' replied the latter, 'I am too house to bet when I am certain to win. Monsieur Le Chevalier, to bet when I am certain to win. Monsieur Le Chevalier, this piece cost you 2000 crowns; the money must be returned,—the painting is mine.' Le Brun would not believe it. 'The proof,' Mignard continued, 'is easy. On this canvass, which is a Roman one, was the portrait of a cardinal; I will show you his cap.'—The chevalier did not know which of the rival arists to credit. The proposition alarmed him. 'He who painted the pieture shall repair it,' said Mignard. He took a pencil dipped in oil, and rubbing the hair of the Magdalen discovered the cap of the cardinal.—The honour of the insenious nainter could the cardinal.—The honour of the ingenious painter could no longer be disputed; Lebrum vexed, sarcastically exclaimed, 'Always paint Guido, but never Mignard.'
There is a collection of engravings by that ingenious artist Bernard Picart, which has been published under the

title of The Innocent Impostors. Picart had long been vexed at the taste of his day, which ran wholly in favour ot antiquity, and no one would look at, much less admire, a modern master. He published a pretended collection or a set of prints, from the designs of the great painters, in which he imitated the etchings and engravings of the various masters, and much were these prints admired as the works of Guido, Rembrandt, and others. Having had his joke, they were published under the title of Impostures Inscess. The connoisseurs however are strangely divided in their opinion of the merit of this collection. Gilpin classes these 'Innocent Impostors' among the most entertaining of his works, and is delighted by the happiness with which he has outdone in their own excellencies the artists whom he copied: but Strutt, too grave to admit of jekes that twitch the connoisseurs, declares that they could never have deceived an experienced judge, and reprobates such kinds of ingenuity, played off at the cost of the ven-

erable brotherhood of the cognoscenti!

The same thing was however done by Goltzius, who being disgusted at the preference given to the works of Al-bert Direr, Lucas of Leyden, and others of that school, and having attempted to introduce a better taste, which was not immediately reliahed, he published what was aftervards called his master-pieces. These are six prints in wards called his master-pieces. Those are six prints in the style of these masters, merely to prove that Goltzius could imitate their works, if he thought proper. One of these, the Circumcision, he had painted on soiled paper, and to give it the brown tint of antiquity, had carefully smoked it, by which means it was sold as a curious performance, and deceived some of the most capital connoisseurs of the day, one of whom bought it as one of the finest engravings of Albert Durer. Even Strutt acknowledges

the merit of Goltzius's master-pieces.

To these instances of artists I will add others of cele-brated authors. Muretus rendered Joseph Scaliger, a great stickler for the ancients, highly ridiculous by an artifice which he gractised. He sent some verses which he prewhich he practised. He sent some verses which he pre-tended were copied from an old manuscript. The verses were excellent, and Scaliger was credulous. After having read them, he exclaimed they were admirable, and sfirmed that they were written by an old comic poet, Trabeus. He quoted them in his commentary on Varo de Re Rustica, as one of the most precious fragments of antiquity. was then, when he had fixed his foot firmly in the trap, that Muretus informed the world of the little dependence to be placed on the critical sagacity of one so prejudiced in fa-vour of the ancients, and who considered his judgment as

The Abbé Regneir Desmarais, having written an ode, or, as the Italians call it, Canzone, sent it to the Abbé Strozzi at Florence, who used it to impose on three or four academicians of Della Crusca. He gave out that Leo Allatius, librarian of the Vatican, in examining carefully the mss of Petrarch preserved there, had found two pages alightly glued, which having separated, he had discovered this ode. The fact was not at first easily credited that afterwards the similarity of style and manner rendered it highly probable. When Strozzi undeceived the public, it procured the Abbé Regnier a place in the academy, as an

bonourable testimony of his ingenuity.

Pere Commire, when Louis XIV resolved on the conquest of Holland, composed a Latin fable, entitled The Sun and the Frogs,' in which he assumed with such elicity the style and character of Pheodrus, that the samed German critic Wolfus was deceived, and innocently inserted it in his edition of that fabulat.

Faminius Strada would have deceived most of the critics of his age, if he had given as the remains of antiquity the different pieces of history and poetry which he com-posed on the model of the ancients, in his *Profusiones*Academica. To preserve probability he might have given out that he had drawn them from some old and neglected library; he had then only to have added a good comment-ary, tending to display the conformity of the style and the style and manner of these fragments with the works of those authors to whom he ascribed them.

to whom he ascribed them.

Signnus was a great master of the style of Cicero, and ventured to publish a treatise de consolatione, as a composition of Cicero recently discovered; many were deceived by the counterfeit, which was performed with great dexterity, and was long received as genuine; but he could not deceive Lipsuus, who, after reading only ten lines, threw it away, exclaiming, Vals! non est Ciceronis! The late Mr Burke succeeded more skillfully in high Valstieries of Natural Society, which for a long bis 'Vindication of Natural Society,' which for a long time passed as the composition of Lord Bolingbroke: so perfect is this ingenious imposture of the spirit, manner, and course of thunking, of the noble author. I believe it was written for a wager, and fairly won.

#### EDWARD THE FOURTH.

Our Edward the Fourth was a gay and voluptuous prince; and probably owed his crown to his handsomeness, his enormous debts, and passion for the fair sex. He had many Jane Shores. Honest Philip de Comines, his contemporary, says, 'That what greatly contributed to his entering London as soon as he appeared at its gates, was the great debts this Prince had contracted, which made his creditors gladly assist him; and the high favour in which he was held by the Bourgeoises, into whose good graces he had frequently glided, and who gained over to him their husbands, who, I suppose, for the tranquility of their lives, were glad to depose, for the transachs.—Many ladies and rich citizens' wives, of whom formerly he had great privacies and familiar acquaintance, gained over to him their husbands and relations.

This is the description of his voluptuous life; we must recollect, that the writer had been an eye witness, and was an honest man; while modern historians only view objects through the colouring medium of their imagination.

'He had been during the last twelve years more accusomed to his case and pleasure than any other prince who lived in his time. He had nothing in his thoughts but les dames, and of them more than was reasonable; and hunting-matches, good eating, and great care of his person.
When he went in their seasons to these hunting-matches, he always had carried with him great pavilions for less dames, and at the same time gave splendid entertainments; so that it is not surprising that his person was as jolly as any one I ever saw. He was then young, and as handsome as any man of his age; but he has since become

enormously fat."

Since I have got old Philip in my hand, the reader will not, perhaps, be dipleased, if he attends to a little more of his naivete, which will appear in the form of a conversazione of the times. He relates what passed between Edward

and the king of France:
'When the ceremony of the oath was concluded, our king, who was desirous of being friendly, began to say to the king of England, in a laughing way, that he must come to Paris, and be jovial amongst our ladies; and that he would give him the Cardinal de Bourbon for his confessor, who would very willingly absolve him of any sin which prrchance he might commut. The king of England seemed well pleased at the invitation, and laughed heartly: for he knew that the said cardinal was un fort bon compagnon. When the king was returning, he spoke on the road to me; and said, that he did not like to find the king of England so much inclined to come to Paris. "He is," said he, "a very handsome king: he likes the women too much. He may probably find one at Paris that may

make him like to come too often, or stay too long. Exis predecessors have already been too much at Paris and in Normandy?" and that "his company was not agreeable this side of the sea; but that, beyond the sea, he wished to be bon frere et amy."

I have called Philip de Comines honest. The old writers, from the simplicity of their siyle, usually receive this honourable epithot; but sometimes they deserve it as little nonourance epithot; but sometimes they deserve it as increase as most modern memoir-writers. No enemy is indeed so terrible as a man of genius. Comines' violent enmaty to the Duke of Burgundy, which appears in these Memours, has been traced by the minute researchers of anecodotes; and the cause is not honourable to the memoir-writer, whose resentment was implacable. De Comines was born a subject of the Duke of Burgundy, and for seven years had been a favorite; but one day returning from hunting with the Duke, then Count de Charolois, in familiar jocularity he sat himself down before the prince, ordering the prince to pull off his boots. The count laughed and did this, but in return for Comines's princely amusement, dashed the boot in his face, and gave Comines a bloudy nose. From that time he was mortified in the court of Burgundy by the nickname of the booted head. Comines long felt a rankling wound in his mind; and after this family quarrel, for it was nothing more, he went over to the king of France, and wrote off his bile against the Duke of Burgundy in those Memoirs, which give pos-terity a caricature likeness of that prince, whom he is ever censuring for presumption, obstinacy, pride, and cruelty. This Duke of Burgundy however, it is said, with many virtues, had but one great vice, the vice of sove-reigns, that of ambition!

The impertinence of Comines had not been chastised

with great severity; but the nickname was never for given : unfortunately for the duke, Comines was a man of genius. When we are versed in the history of the times, we shall often discover that memoir-writers have some secret posson in their hearts. Many, like Comines, have had the boot dashed on their nose. Personal rancour wonderfully boot dashed on their nose. Personal rancour wonderfully enlivens the style of Lord Oxford and Cardinal de Retz. Memoirs are often dictated by its fiercest spirit; and then histories are composed from memoirs. Where is truth?

Not always in histories and memoirs!

This great queen, says Marville, passionately admired handsome persons, and he was already far advanced in her avour who approached her with beauty and grace. She had so unconquerable an aversion for ugly and ill-made men, who had been treated unfortunately by nature, that she could not endure their presence.

When she issued from her palace, her guards were careful to disperse from before her eyes hideous and deformed people, the lame, the hunch-backed, &c, in a word, all those whose appearance might shock her fastidoesa

sensations.

There is this singular and admirable in the conduct of Elizabeth, that she made her pleasure subservient to her politics, and she maintained her affairs by what in general occasion the ruin of princes. So secret were her amours, that even to the present day their mysteries cannot be penetrated; but the utility she drew from them is public, and always operated for the good of her people. Her lovers were her ministers, and her ministers were her lovers. Love commanded, love was obeyed; and the reign of thus princess was happy, because it was a reign of Love, in which its chains and its slavery are liked?

The origin of Raleigh's advancement in the queen's graces, was by an act of gallantry. Raleigh spoiled a new plush cloak, while the queen stepping cautiously on it, shot forth a smile, in which he read promotion. Captain Raleigh soon became Sir Walter, and rapidly advanced

in the queen's favour,

Hume has furnished us with ample proofs of the parases which her courtiers feigned for her, and which, with others I shall give, confirm the opinion of Vigneul Marville, who did not know probably the reason why her amours were never discovered; which, indeed, never went further at the highest than boisterous or extreme gallantry. Hume has preserved in his notes a letter written by Raleigh. It is a perfect amorous composition. After having exerted his poetic talents to exalt her charms, and his affection, he concludes, by comparing her majesty, who was then sixty, to Venus and Diana. Sir Walter was not her only courtier who wrote in this style. Even in her old age, the affected

strange fondaers for music and dancing, and a kind of childish drollery, by which however her court seemed a court of love, and she the sovereign. A curious anecdote in a letter of the times has reached us. Secretary Cecil, the youngest son of Lord Burleigh, seems to have perfectly entered into her character. Lady Derby wore about her neck and in her bosom a portrait; the queen espyingit, inquired about it, but her ladyship was anxious to conceal it. The queen insisted on having it, and disto conceau. In a queen institut on naving it, and dis-covering it to be the portrait of young Cecil, she snatched it away, and tying it upon her shoe, walked long with it; afterwards she pinned it on her elbow, and wore it some time there. Secretary Cecil hearing of this composed some verses and got them set to music; this music the queen insisted on hearing. In his verses Cecil sung that he repined not, though her majesty was pleased to grace others; he contented himself with the favour she had given him, by wearing his portrait on her feet and her elbow! The writer of the letter adds, "All these things are very secret." In this manner she contrived to lay the fastest hold on her able servants, and her servants on her.

Those who are intimately acquainted with the private anecdotes of those times, know what encouragement this royal coquette gave to most who were near her person. Dodd, in his Church History, says, that the Earls of Aran and Arundel, and Sir William Pickering, 'were not out of hopes of gaining Queen Edizabeth's affections in

a matrimonial way.

She encouraged every person of eminence: she even went so far on the anniversary of her coronation, as pub-ledy to take a ring from her finger, and put it on the Duke of Alengon's hand. She also ranked among her suitors, Henry the Third of France, and Henry the Great

Great.

She never forgave Buzenval for ridiculing her bad pronunciation of the French language: and when Henry IV sent him over on an embassy, she would not receive him.

So nice was the irritable pride of this great queen, that an made her private injuries matters of state.

'This queen,' writes Du Maurier, in the Memoires pour servir a l'Histoire de Hollande, 'who displayed so many heroic accomplishments, had this foible, of wishing to be thought beautiful by all the world. I heard from my father, that baying been sent to her, at every audience he thought beautiful by all the world. I neard from my lather, that having been sent to her, at every audience he
had with her majesty, she pulled off her gloves more than
a hundred times to display her hands, which indeed were
very beautiful and very white.

Another anecdote, not less curious, relates to the affair
the Duke of Anjou and our Elizabeth, and one more
proof of her partiality for handsome men. The writer
was Lewis Guyon, a contemporary of the times he no-

Francis Duke of Anjou being desirous of marrying a crowned head, caused proposals of marriage to be made to Elizabeth queen of England. Letters passed betwirt them, and their portraits were exchanged. At length her majesy informed him, that she would never contract a marriage with any one who sought her, if she did not first see his person. If he would not come, nothing more should be said on the subject. This prince, over-pressed by his young friends, (who were as little able of judging as himself,) paid no attention to the counsels of men of maturer judgment. He passed over to England without a splendid train. The said lady contemplated his person; she found him ugly, disfigured by deep scars of the small-pos, and that he had also an ill-shaped nose, with swellings in the neck! All these were so many reasons with her, that he could never be admitted into her good graces.

Puttenham, in his very rare book of the 'Art of Poesie, p. 248, notices the grace and majesty of Elizabeth's demeasour, 'Her stately manner of walk, with a certaine grandito rather than gravitie, marching with leysure, which our sovereign ladye and mistresse is accustomed to dos generally, unless it be when she walketh apace for her pleasure, or to patch her a heate in the cold morning.

By the following extract from a letter from one of her regionan, we discover that her usual habits, though stu-dious, were not of the gentlest kind, and that the service she stacted from her attendants was not borne without coacealed murmurs. The writer groans in secrecy to his friend. Sir John Stanhope writes to Sir Robert Cecil in 1598, 'I was all the afternowne with her majestie, at my books, and then thinking to rest me, went in agayne with your letter. She was pleased with the Filosofer's stone, and hath been all this days reasonably quyett. Mr Grevell is absent, and I am tyed so as I cannot styrr, but shad

be at the mourse for yt, those two dayes?'
Puttenham, p. 249, has also recorded an honourable
anecdote of Elizabeth, and characteristic of that high mawhich was in her thoughts, as well as in her actions. When she came to the crown, a knight of the realm who had insolently behaved to her when Lady Elizabeth, fell upon his knees to her, and besought her pardon, suspecting to be sent to the Tower; she replied mildly, 'Do you not know that we are descended of the lien, whose nature is not to harme or prey upon the mouse, or any other such small vermin?

Queen Elizabeth was taught to write by the celebrated Roger Ascham. Her writing is extremely beautiful and correct, as may be seen by examining a little manuscript book of prayers, preserved in the British Museum. I have seen her first writing-book preserved at Oxford in the Bodleian Library; the gradual improvement of her majesty's hand-writing, is very honourable to her dilligence; but the most curious thing is the paper on which she tried her pens; this she usually did by writing the name of her beloved brother Edward; a proof the early and ardent attachment she formed to that amiable prince.

The education of Elizabeth had been severely classical; she thought, and she wrote in all the spirit of the great she thought, and she wrote in all the spirit of the great characters of antiquity; and her speeches and her letters are studded with apophthegms, and a terseness of ideas and language, that give an exalted idea of her mind. In her evasive answers to the commons, in reply to their petition to her majesty to marry, she has employed an energetic word: 'Were I to tell you that I do not mean to marry, I might say less than I intend; and were I to tell you that I do mean to marry. I might say more than it is proper for you to know; therefore I give you an unswer, answerless?'

## THE ORINESE LANGUAGE.

The Chinese language is like no other on the globe; it is said to contain not more than about 330 words, but it is by no means monotonous, for it has four accents, the even, the raised, the lessened, and the returning, which multiply every word into four; as difficult, says Mr Astle, for an European to understand, as it is for a Chinese to comprehend the six pronunciations of the French E. fact they can so diversify their monocyllabic words by the different tones which they give them, that the same character differently accented, signifies sometimes ten or more different things.

From the twenty-ninth volume of the Lettres Edificates of Curiouses I take the present critically humourous ac-

count of this language.

P. Bourgeois, one of the missionaries, attempted, after ten months, residence at Pekin, to preach in the Chinese language. These are the words of the good father. 'God knows how much this first Chinese sermon cost me! can assure you, this language resembles no other. The same word has never but one termination; and then adien. to all that in our declensions distinguishes the gender, and the number of things we would speak; adieu, in the verbs to all which might explain the active person, how and in what time it acts, if it acts alone or with others: ina word, with the Chinese the same word is the substantive, adjective, verb, singular, plural, masculine, feminine, &c. It is the person who hears who must arrange the circumstances, and guess them. Add to all this, that all the words of this language are reduced to three hundred and a few more; that they are pronounced in so many different ways, that they signify eighty thousand different things, which are expressed by as many different characters. This is not all: the arrangement of all these monosyllables appears to be under no general rule; so that to know the language after having learnt the words, we must

learn every particular phrase: the least inversion would make you unintelligible to three parts of the Chinese.

'I will give you an example of their words. They told me choss signifies a book: so that I thought whenever the word choss was pronounced, a book was the subject. Not at all! Chou, the next time I heard it, I found signified a Now I was to recollect, chou was a book or a tree. But this amounted to nothing: .chou, I found, expressed also great heats; chou is to relate: chou is the Aurora; chou means to be accustomed; chou expresses the loss of a wager, &c. I should not finish, were I to attempt to give you all its augnifications.

Digitized by Google

4 Notwithstanding these singular difficulties, could one but find a help in the perusal of their books, I should not complain. But this is impossible! Their language is quite different from that of simple conversation. What will over be an insurmountable difficulty to every European, is the pronounciation: every word may be pronounced in five different tones; yet every tone is not so distinct that an unpractised ear can easily distinguish it.

These monosyllables fly with amazing rapidity: then

they are continually disguised by elisions, which some-times hardly leave any thing of two monosyllables. From an aspirated tone, you must pass immediately to an even one; from a whistling note to an inward one; sometimes your voice must proceed from the palate; sometimes it must be guttural, and almost always nasal. I recited my sermon at least fifty times to my servant, before I spoke it in public; and yet I am told, though he continually corrected me, that, of the ten parts of the sermon, (as the Chinese express themselves,) they hardly understood three. Fortunately the Chinese are wonderfully patient; and they are astonished that any ignorant stranger should be able to learn two words of their lan-

guage."

It is not less curious to be informed, as Dr Hager tells us in his Elementary Characters of the Chinese, that 'Satires are often composed in China, which, if you attend to the characters, their import is pure and sublime; but if you regard the tons only, they contain a meaning ludicrous or obscene.' He adds, 'In the Chinese one word sometimes corresponds to three or four thousand characters, a meaning suite opposite to that of our language in ters; a property quite opposite to that of our language, in which myriads of different words are expressed by the same

## MEDICAL MUSIC.

In the Philosophical Magazine for May 1806, we find that several of the medical literati on the continent are at present engaged in making inquiries and experiments upon the influence of music in the cure of diseases. The learned Dusaux is said to lead the band of this new tribe of amateurs and cognoscenti.

The subject having excited my curiosity, though I since have found that it is no new discovery, the reader ought to receive indulgently the profit of my discoveries; all which I do not wish to pass on him for more than they are

There is a curious article in Dr Burney's History of Music, 'On the Medicinal Powers attributed to Music by the Ancients,' which he derived from the learned labours of a modern physician, M. Burette, who doubtless could play a tune to, as well as prescribe one to his patient. He conceives that music can relieve the pains of the sciatica, and that independent of the greater or less skill of the musician; by flattering the ear and diverting the attention, and occasioning certain vibrations of the nerves, it can remove those obstructions which occasion this disorder. M. Burette, and many modern physicians and philosophers, have believed that music has the power of panissophers, nave beneved that music has the power of affecting the mind, and the whole nervous system, so as to give a temporary relief in certain diseases, and even a radical cure. Dr Mairan, Bianchini, and other respectable names, have pursued the same career. But the animate means griends and contents and contents are set of the same career. cients record miracles!

Some years ago, the Rev. Dr Mitchell of Brighthelmstone wrote a dissertation. De Arte Medendi apud Pris-cos Musices ope atque Carminum, printed for J. Nichols, 1783. He writes under the assumed name of Michael Gaspar; but whether this learned dissertator be grave or jocular, more than one critic has not been able to resolve me. I suspect it to be a satire on the parade of learning of certain German eruditi, who prove any point by the weakest analogies and the most funciful conceits. The following summary will convey an idea of this dissertation.

Amongst barbarous or half-civilized nations, diseases have been generally attributed to the influence of evil spirits. The depression of mind which is generally attendant on sickness, and the delirium accompanying certain stages of disease seem to have been considered as especially de-noting the immediate influence of a demon. The effect of mount in mineciate innuence of a demon. The effect of music in raising the energies of the mind, or what we commonly call animal spirits, was obvious to early observation. Its power of attracting strong attention, may in some cases have appeared to effect even those who laboured under a considerable degree of mental disorder. The accompanying depression of mind was considered as

a part of the disease, perhaps rightly enough, and mouse was prescribed as a remedy to remove the symptoma-when experience had not ascertained the probable cause. Homer, whose heroes exhibit high passions, but not remusic to stay the raging of the plague. The Jewish ma-tion, in the time of King David, appear not to have been much further advanced in civilization; accordingly we find David amployed in his weath to remove the most find David employed in his youth to remove the mental derangement of Saul by his harp. The method of cure was suggested as a common one in those days, by Saul's servants; and the success is not mentioned as a miracle. Prindar, with poetic license, speaks of Esculapius healing acute disorders with soothing songs; but Esculapius, whether man or deity, or between both, is a physician of the days of barbarism and fable. Pliny scouts the idea that music should affect real bodily injury, but quotes Homer on the subject; mentions Theophrastus as suggesting a tune for the cure of the hip gout, and Cato, as entertaining a fancy that it had a good effect when limbs were out of joint, and, that Varro thought it good for the gout Aulus Gellius cites a work of Theophrastus, which recommends music as a specific for the bite of a wiper. Boyle and Shakspeare mention the effects of music supervesicam. Kircher's 'Musurgia,' and Swinburne's Tra-vels, relate the effects of music on those who are bitten by the tarantula. Sir W. Temple seems to have given credit to the stories of the power of music over diseases.

The ancients indeed record miracles; at least nome in

the golden legend appear to be more so than the tales they relate of the medicinal powers of music. A fever is removed by a song, and deafness is cured by a trumper, and the pestilence is chased away by the sweetness of an harmonious lyre. That deaf people can hear best in a great noise, is a fact alleged by some moderns, in favour of the ancient story of curing deafness by a trumpet. Dr Willis tells us, says Dr Burney, of a lady who could hear only while a drum was bearing, insomuch that her busband, the account says, hired a drummer as her servant, in order

the account says, fired a drummer as for servant, in order to enjoy the pleasure of her conversation.

Music and the sounds of instruments, says the lively Vigneul de Marville, contribute to the health of the body and the mind, they assist the circulation of the blood, they dissipate vapours, and open the vessels so that the action of perspiration is freer. He tells a story of a person of distinction, who assured him, that often being suddenly seized by violent illness, instead of a consultation of physeized by violent illness, matead of a consultation of physicians, he immediately called a band of musicians, and their violins played so well in his inside, that his bowels became perfectly in tune, and in a few hours were harmoniously becalmed. I once heard s story of Farinelli the famous singer, who was sent for to Madrid to try the effect of his magical voice on the King of Spain. His manifest make hundred the performance whether the professionals with the confidence well-supplyed withing jesty was buried in the profoundest melascholy, nothing could raise an emotion in him; he lived in a total oblivion of life; he sat in a darkened chamber, entirely given up to the most distressing kind of madness. The physicians ordered Farinelli at first to sing in an outer room; and for the first day or two this was done, without any effect on the royal patient. At length it was observed, the king, awaking from his stupor, seemed to listen; on the next day tears were seen starting in his eyes; the day after he ordered the door of his chamber to be left open—and at longth the perturbed spirit entirely left our modern Saul, and the medicinal voice of Farinelli effected what no other medicine could

I now prepare to give the reader some facts, which he may consider as a trial of credulity—their authorities are an area and birds, as well as 'knotted oaks,' as Congreve informs us, are sensible to the charms of music. This may serve as an instance:—An officer was confined in the Bastile. He begged the governor to permit him the use of his lute, to soften, by the harmonies of his instruuse of his lute, to soften, by the harmonies of his instrument, the rigours of his prison. At the end of a few days, this modern Orpheus, playing on his lute, was greatly astonished to see frisking out of their holes great numbers of mice; and descending from their woven habitations, crowds of spiders, who formed a circle about him, while he continued playing his soul-subduing instrument. His surprise was at first so great, that he was potrified with astonishment; when having ceased to play, the assembly, who did not come to see his person, but to hear his instrument, immediately broke up. As he had a great distinct to spiders, it was two days before he ventured again to touch his instrument. At length, having conquered, for the novelty of his company, his dislike of them, he recommended his concert, when the assembly was by far more numerous than at first; and in the course of farther time, he found himself surrounded by a hundred sussical assaleurs. Having thus succeeded in attracting this company, he treacherously contrived to get rid of them at his will. For this purpose he begged the keeper to give him a cat, which he put in a cage, and let loose at the very instant when the title hairy people were most entranced by the Orphean skill he displayed.

The Abbé Olivet has described an amusement of Pelise desired his confinement in the Bestile which or reinted.

The Abbé Olivet has described an amusement of Pelisson during his confinement in the Bastile, which consisted in feeding a spider, which he discovered forming its web in the corner of the small window. For some time he placed his fies at the edge, while his valet, who was with him, played on a bag-pipe: little by little, the spider used isrefit of distinguish the sound of the instrument, and issued from its hole to run and catch its prey. Thus calling it always by the same sound, and placing the flies at a till greater distance, he succeeded, after several months, to drill the spider by regular exercise, so that it at length never failed appearing at the first sound to seize on the fly provided for it, even on the knees of the prisoner.

Marville has given us the following curious anecdote on this subject. He says, that doubting the truth of those who say it is natural for us to love music, especially the sound of instruments, and that beasts themselves are touched with it, being one day in the country I inquired into the truth; and, while a man was playing on the trump marine, made my observations on a cat, a dog, a horse, an ass, a hind, cows, small birds, and a cock and hens, who were in a yard under a window on which I was leaning. I did not perceive that the cat was the least affected, and I even judged, by her air, that she would have given all the instruments in the world for a mouse, sleeping in the sun all the time; the horse stopped short from time to time before the window, raising his head up now and then, as he was feeding on the grass; the dog continued for above an hour seated on his hind legs, looking steadfastly at the player; the ass did not discover the least midcation of his being touched, eating his thistles peaceably; the hind lifted up her large wide ears, and seemed very attentive; the cows slept a little, and after gazing, as though they had been acquainted with us, went forward; some little birds that were in an aviary, and others on the trees and bushes, almost tore their little threat with singing; but the cock, who minded only his hears, who were solely employed in scraping a neighbouring dunghill, did not show m any manmer that they took the least pleasure is hearing the trump marine.

is hearing the trump marine.

A modern traveller assures us, that he has repeatedly observed in the island of Madeira, that the lizards are attracted by the notes of music, and that he has assembled a samber of them by the powers of his instrument. He tells us also, that when the negroes catch them, for food, they accompany the chase by whistling some tune, which has always the effect of drawing great numbers towards them. Stedman, in his expedition to Surinam, describes certain seprents, who will wreath about the arms, neck, and breast of the pretended sorceress, listening to her voice. The sacred writers speak of the charming of adders and serpests; and nothing, says he, is more notorious than that the easterr ndians will rid the houses of the most resonous snakes, by charming them with the sound of a flute, which calls them out of their holes. These anecdotes, which may startle some, seem to be fully confirmed by Sir William Jones, in his curious dissertation on the musical modes of the Hindoos.

After food, when the operations of digestion and absorption give so much employment to the vessels, that a temporary state of mental repose must be found, especially in het climates, essential to health, it seems reasonable to believe that a few agreeable airs, either heard or played without effort, must have all the good effects of sleep, and none of its disadvantages; patting the soul in fame, as Milton says, for any subsequent exertion; an experiment, often successfully made by myself. I have been assured by a credible eye-witness, that two wild antelopes used often to come from their woods to the place where a more savage beast, Sirajuddanlah, entertained himself with concerts, and that they listened to the strains with an appearance of pleasure, till the monster, in whose soul there

was no music, shot one of them to display his archery. A learned native told me, that he had frequently seen the most venomous and malignant snakes leave their holes upon hearing tunes on a flute, which, as he supposed, gave them peculiar delight. An intelligent Persian declared he had more than once been present, when a celebrated lutenist, surnamed Bulbul, (i. e. the nightingale,) was playing to a large company, in a grove near Schiraz, where he distinctly saw the mightingales try to vie with the musician, sometimes warbling on the trees, sometimes futtering from branch to branch, as if they wished to approach the instrument, and at length dropping on the ground in a kind of ecstary, from which they were soon raised, he assured me, by a change of the mode.

raised, he assured me, by a change of the mode. Jackson of Exeter, in reply to the question of Dryden, 'What passion cannot music raise or quell?' sarcastically returns, 'What passion can music raise or quell?' Would not a savage, who had never listened to a musical instrument, feel certain emotions at listening to one for the first time? But civilized man is, no doubt, particularly affected by association of ideas, as all pieces of national music evidently prove.

The Raise des Vaches, mentioned by Rousseau, in his

The Rans des Vaches, mentioned by Rousseau, in his Dictionary of Music, though without any thing striking in the composition, has such a powerful influence over the Swiss, and impresses them with so violent a desire to return to their own country, that it is forbidden to be played in the Swiss regiments, in the French service, on pain of death. There is also a Scotch tune, which has the same effect on some of our North Britons. In one of our battles in Calabria, a bag-piper of the 78th Highland regiment, when the light infantry charged the French, posted himself on their right, and remained in his solitary situation during the whole of the battle, encouraging the men with a famous Highland charging-tune; and actually upon the retreat of and victory over an enemy. His next-hand neighbour guarded him so well that he escaped unhurt. This was the spirit of the 'Last Minstrel,' who infused courage among his countrymen, by possessing it in so animated a degree and in so venerable a character.

# MINUTE WRITING.

The Iliad of Homer in a nutshell, which Pliny says that Cicero once saw, it is pretended might have been a fact, however to some it may appear impossible. Ælian notices an artist who wrote a distich in letters of gold, which he enclosed in the rind of a grain of corn.

Antiquity and modern times record many such penmen, whose glory consisted in writing in so small a hand that the writing could not be legible to the naked eye. One wrote a verse of Homer on a grain of millet, and another, more indefatigably trifling, transcribed the whole Iliad in so confined a space, that it could be enclosed in a nutshell. Menage mentions, he saw whole sentences which were not perceptible to the eye without the microscope; and pictures and portraits, which appeared at first to be lines and scratches thrown down at random; one of them formed the face of the Dauphiness, with the most pleasing delicacy and correct resemblance. He read an Italian poem in praise of this princess, containing some thousands ses, written by an officer in the space of a foot and a This species of curious idleness has not been lost of verses in our own country: where this minute writing has equalled any on record. Peter Bales, a celebrated calli-graphist in the reign of Elizabeth, astonished the eyes of beholders by showing them when the beholders by showing them what they could not see; for in the Harleian Mss, 530, we have a narrative of 'a rare in the Haristan MSS, 500, we have a narrative of 'a rate piece of work brought to pass by Peter Bales, an Enlishman, and a clerk of the chancery;' it seems by the description to have been the whole Bible 'in an English wall nut not bigger than a hen's egg. The nut holdeth the book: there are as many leaves in his hule book as the pook: there are as many leaves in his fittle book as in fittle leaves as a great leaf of the Bible. We are told that this wonderful unreadable copy of the Bible was seen by many thousands. There is a drawing of the head of Charles I, in the library of St John's College at Oxford, wholly composed of minute written characters, which at a small distance resemble the lines of an engraving. a small distance resemble the inter of an engraving. The lines of the head, and the ruff, are said to contain the book of Psalms, the Creed, and the Lord's prayer. In the British Museum we find a drawing representing the portrait of Queen Anne, not much above the size of the hand.

10 Digitized by Google

On this drawing appear a number of lines and scratches, which the librarian assures the marvelling spectator, insludes the entire contents of a thin folio, which on this occasion is carried in the hand.

On this subject it may be worth noticing, that the learned Huet asserts that he, like the rest of the world, for a long time considered as a fiction the story of that industrious writer who is said to have enclosed the Iliad in a nutshell. But having examined the matter more closely, he thought

it possible.

One day in company at the Dauphin's, this learned man trifled half an hour in proving it. A piece of vellum, about ten inches in length and eight in width, pliant and firm, can be folded up and enclosed in the shell of a large wainut. It can hold in its breadth one line which can contain 30 verses, and in its length 250 lines. With a crowquill the writing can be perfect. A page of this piece of vellum will then contain 7500 verses, and the reverse as much; the whole 15,000 verses of the liad. And this he proved in their presence, by using a piece of paper, and with a common pen. The thing is possible to be effected; and if on any occasion paper should be most excessively rare, it may be useful to know, that a volume of matter may be contained in a single leaf.

# NUMERAL' FIGURES.

The learned, after many contests, have at length agreed, that the numeral figures 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, usually called Arabic, are of Indian origin. The Arabians do not pretend to have been the inventors of them, but borrowed them from the Indian nations. The numeral characters of the Brahmins, the Persians, and the Arabians, and other eastern nations, are similar. appear afterwards to have been introduced into several European nations, by their respective travellers, who returned from the east. They were admitted into calendars and chronicles, but they were not introduced into charters, says Mr Astle, before the sixteenth century. The Spaniards, no doubt, derived their use from the Moors who invaded them. In 1240, the Alphonsean astronomical tables were made by the order of Alphonsus X, by a Jew, and an Arabian; they used these numerals, from whence the Spaniards contend that they were first introduced by them.

They were not generally used in Germany until the beginning of the fourteenth century; but in general the forms of the cyphers were not permanently fixed there till after the year 1531. The Russians were strangers to them, before Peter the Great had finished his travels in

the beginning of the present century.

The origin of these useful characters with the Indians and Arabians, is attributed to their great skill in the arts of astronomy and of arithmetic, which required more conveni-ent characters than alphabetic letters, for the expressing of

numbers.

Before the introduction into Europe of these Arabic numerals, they used alphabetical characters, or Roman numerals. The learned authors of the Nouveau Traité Diplomatique, the most valuable work on every thing con-cerning the arts and progress of writing, have given some curious notices on the origin of the Roman numerals. curious notices on the origir of the Roman numerals. They say, that originally men counted by their fingers; thus to mark the first four numbers they used an I, which naturally represents them. To mark the fifth, they chose a V, which is made out by bending inwards the three middle fingers, and stretching out only the thumb and the little finger; and for the tenth they used an X, which is a double V, one placed topsy-tury under the other. From this the progression of these numbers is always from one to five, and from five to ten. The hundred was signified by the capital letter of that word in Latin C- centum. other letter D for 500, and M for 1000, were afterwards added. They subsequently abreviated their characters, by placing one of these figures before another; and the figure of less value before a higher number, denotes that so much may be deducted from the greater number; for in-stance, IV signifies five less one, that is four; IX ten less one, that is nine; but these abbreviations are not found amongst the most ancient monuments. These numerical letters are still continued by us, in recording accounts in our exchequere.

That men counted originally by their fingers, is no im-probable supposition; it is still naturally practised by the vulgar of the most enlightened nations. In more uncivilised states, small stones have been used, and the etymologists derive the words calculate, and calculation which calculus, which is the Latin terms for a pebble-stone, and by which they denominated their counters used for arithmetical computations.

Professor Ward, in a learned dissertation on this subject in the Philosophical Transactions, concludes, that it is easier to falsify the Arabic cyphers than the Roman alphabetical numerals; when 1875 is dated in Arabic cyphers, if the 3 is only changed, three centuries are taken away; if the 3 is made into a 9 and take away the 1, four hundred years are added. Such accidents have assuredly produced much confusion among our ancient manuscripts, and still do in our printed books; which is the reason that Dr Robertson in his histories has always preferred wris-ing his dates in words, rather than confide them to the care of a negligent printer. Gibbon observes, that same re-markable mistakes have happened by the word sail in mss, which is an abbreviation for soldiers or thousands; and to this blunder he attributes the incredible numbers of martyrdoms, which cannot orherwise be accounted for by historical records.

#### ENGLISH ASTROLOGERS.

A belief in judicial astrology can only exist in the peo-ple, who may be said to have no belief at all; for mere traditional sentiments can hardly be said to amount to a be-But a faith in this ridiculous system in our country lief. is of late existence; it was a favourite superstition with the learned, and as the ingenious Tenhove observes, whenever an idea germinates in a learned head, it shoots with additional luxuriance.

When Charles the First was confined, Lilly the astrologer was consulted for the hour which would favour his

A story, which strongly proves how greatly Charles the Second was bigoted to judicial astrology, and whose mind certainly not unenlightened, is recorded in Burnet's His-

tory of his Own Times.

The most respectable characters of the age, Sir William Dugdale, Elias Ashmole, Dr Grew, and others, were members of an astrological club. Congreve's character of Foresight, in Love for Love, was then no uncommon person, though the humour now is scarcely intelligible.

Dryden cast the nativities of his sons; and what is re-

markable, his prediction relating to his son Charles took place. This incident is of so late a date, one might hope place. it would have been cleared up; but if it is a fact, we must allow it affords a rational exultation to its irrational

In 1670, the passion for horoscopes and expounding the stars prevailed in France among the first rank. The new-born child was usually presented naked to the astrologer, who read the first lineaments in its forehead, and the transverse lines in its hand, and thence wrote down its future destiny. Catherine de Medicis brought Henry IV then a child, to old Nostradamus, whom antiquaries esteem more for his chronicle of Provence, than his vaticinating powder. The sight of the reverend seer, with a beard which 'streamed like a meteor in the air,' terrified the future hero, who dreaded a whipping from so great a personage. Will it be credited that one of these magicians having assured Charles IX that he would live as many days as he should turn about on his heels in an hour, standing on one leg, that his majesty every morning performed that solemn ex-ercise for an hour. The principal officers of the court, the judges, the chancellors, and generals, likewise, in com-pliment, standing on one leg and turning round!

It has been reported of several famous for their astrological skill, that they have suffered a voluntary death metely to verify their own predictions; this has been said of Cardan, and Burton the author of the Anatomy of Mel-

ancholy.

It is curious to observe the shifts to which astrologers are put when their predictions are not verified. Great winds were predicted, by a famous adept, about the year soinds were predicted, by a famous adept, about the year 1586. No unusual storms however happened. Bodin, to save the reputation of the art, applied it as a figure to some resolutions in the state; and of which there were unstances enough at that moment. Among their lucky and unlucky days, they pretend to give those of various illustrious persons and of families. One is very striking.—Thursday was the unlucky day of our Henry VIII. He, his son Edward VI, Queen Mary, and Queen Elizabeth, all died on a Thursday! This fact had, no doubt, great )iaitiz

weight in the controversy of the astrologers with their ad-

The life of Lilly the astrologer, written by himself, is a curious work. He is the Sidrophel of Butler. It contains so much artless narrative, and at the same time so much palpable imposture, that it is difficult to know when he is speaking what he really believes to be the truth. In a sketch of the state of astrology in his day, those adepts, whose characters be has drawn, were the lowest mis-creams of the town. They all speak of each other as rogues and impostors. Such were Booker, George Wharrequestand impostors. Such were Blooker, the orige Whatton, Gadbarr, who gained a livelihood by practising on
the creduity of even men of learning so late as in 1650, to
the eight-eath century. In Ashmolee Life an account of
these artful impostures may be found. Most of them had
taken the air in the pillory, and others had conjured themseives up to the gallows. This seems a true statement of
facts. But Lilly informs us, that in his various conferences with angels, their voice resembled that of the Iriah!

The work is curious for the angeldies of the times!

The work is curious for the anecdotes of the times it contains. The amours of Lilly with his mistress are characteristic. He was a very artful man, by his own acracteristic. He was a very artful man, by his own accounts; and admirably managed matters which required

deception and invention.

Astrology greatly flourished in the time of the civil wars. sts and the rebels had their astrologers, as well as their soldiers! and the predictions of the former had a

great influence over the latter.

On this subject, it may gratify curiosity to notice three or four works, which bear an excessive price. The price cannot entirely be occasioned by their rarity, and I am induced to suppose that we have still adepts, whose faith

must be strong, or whose scepticism weak.

These Chaldean sages were nearly put to the rout by a quarto park of artillery, fired on them by Mr John Chamber in 1691. Apollo did not use Marsyas more inhumanber in 1891. A pollo did not use Marsyas more inhumanly than his scourging pen this mystical race, and his
personalities made them feel more sore. However, a
Norwich knight, the very Quixote of astrology, arrayed in
the eachanted armour of his occuit authors, encountered
this pagan in a most stately carousal. He came forth
with A Defence of Judiciall Astrologye, in answer to a
treatise lately published by Mr John Chamber. By Sir Christopher Heydon, Knight, printed at Cambridge 1608. This is a handsome quarto of about 500 pages. Sir Christopher is a learned and lively writer, and a knight worthy to defend a better cause. But his Dulcinea had wrought most wonderfully on his imagination. This defence of this fanciful science, if science it may be called, demonstrates nothing, while it defends every thing. It confutes, according to the knight's own ideas: it alleges a testines, according to the angul so with these in favour of astrological predictions, which may be picked up in that immensity of fabling which disgraces history. He strenuously denies, or ridicules, what the greatest writers have said against this fanciful art, while he lays great stress on some passages from observe which can what it were form where for scure authors, or what is worse, from authors of no authority. The most pleasant part is at the close, where he defends the art from the objections of Mr Chamber by recrimination. Chamber had enriched himself by medical practice, and when he charges the astrologers with merely aming to gain a few beggarly pence, Sir Christopher catches fire, and shows by his quotations, that if we are to despise an art, by its professors attempting to subsist on is, or for the objections which may be raised against its vital principles, we ought by this argument most heartily to despise the medical science and medical men! He gives here all he can collect against physic and physicians, and from the confessions of Hippocrates and Galen, Avitenna, and Agrippa, medicine appears to be a vainer science than even astrology! Sir Christopher is a shrewd and ingenious adversary; but when he says he means only to give Mr Chamber oil for his vinegar, he has totally mistaken its quality.

This defence was answered by Thomas Vicars in his

'Madnesse of Astrologers.'
But the great work is by Lilly; and entirely devoted to the adepta. He defends nothing; for this oracle delivers as dictum, and details every event as matters not questoctable. He sits on the tripod; and every page is em-bellished by a horoscope, which he explains with the utmost facility. This voluminous monument of the folly of the age, is a quarto valued at some guineas! It is en-taled, 'Christian Astrology, modestly treated of in three books, by William Lilly, student in Astrology, 2d edition, 1659. The most curious part of this work is 'a Catalogue of most astrological authors.' There is also a portrait of this arch rogue, and astrologer! an admirable

illustration for Lavater!

Lilly's opinions, and his pretended science, were such favourites with the age, that the learned Gataker wrote professedly against this popular delusion. Lilly, at the head of his star-expounding friends, not only formally replied to, but persecuted Gataker annually in his predictions, and even struck at his ghost, when beyond the grave. Gataker died in July, 1654, and Lilly having written in his almanae of that year for the month of August this barbarous Latin verse :-

# Hoc in tumbo, jacet presbyter et r.ebulo

Here in this tomb lies a presbyter and knave '

he had the impudence to assert that he had predicted Gataker's death! But the truth is, it was an epitaph like lodgings to let: it stood empty ready for the first passenger to inhabit. Had any other of that party of any emisence died in that month, it would have been as appositely applied to him. But Lilly was an exquisite rogue, and never at a fault. Having prophesied in his almanac for 1650, that the parliament stood upon a tottering foundation, when taken up by a messenger, during the night he contrived to cancel the page, printed off another, and showed his copies before the committee, assuring them that the others were none of his own, but forged by his enemies.

#### ALCHYMY.

I have seen an advertisement in a newspaper, from a pretender of the hermetic art. With the assistance of 'a hitle money,' he could 'positively' assure the lover of this science, that he would repay him 'a thousand-fold! Thus science, if it merits to be distinguished by the name, has doubtless been an imposition, which, striking on the feeblest part of the human mind, has so frequently been successful in carrying on its delusions.

Mrs Thomas, the Corinna of Dryden, in her life has recorded one of these delusions of alchymy. From the circumstances it is very probable the sage was not less

deceived than his patroness.

An infatuated lover of this delusive art met with one who pretended to have the power of transmuting lead to gold: that is, in their language, the imperfect metals to the perfect one. This hermetic philosopher required only the materials, and time, to perform his golden operations. He was taken to the country residence of his patroners. A long laboratory was built, and, that his labours might not be impeded by any disturbance, no one was permitted to enter into it. His door was contrived to turn on a pivot; so that, unseen, and unseeing, his meals were conveyed to him, without distracting the sublime contemplations of the sage.

During a residence of two years, he never condescended to speak but two or three times in the year to his infa-tuated patroness. When she was admitted into the laboratory, she saw, with pleasing astonishment, stills, im-mense cauldrons, long flues, and three or four Vulcanian fires blazing at different corners of this magical mine; nor did she behold with less reverence the venerable figure of the dusty philosopher. Pale and emaciated with daily operations and nightly vigils, he revealed to her, in unintelligible jargon, his progresses; and having sometimes condescended to explain the mysteries of the arcana, she beheld, or seemed to behold, streams of fluid, and heaps of solid ore, scattered around the laboratory. Sometimes he required a new still, and sometimes vast quantities of lead. Already this unfortunate lady had expended the half of her fortune in supplying the demands of the philosopher. She began now to lower her imagination to the standard of reason. Two years had now elapsed, vast quantities of lead had gone in, and nothing but lead had come out. She disclosed her sentiments to the philosopher. He candidly confessed he was himself surprised at his tardy processes; but that now he would exert himself to the utmost, and that he would venture to perform a la-borious operation, which hitherto he had hoped not to have been necessitated to employ. His patroness retired, and the golden visions of expectation resumed all their

One day as they sat at dinner, a terrible shrick, and one crack followed by another, loud as the report of cannon,

as alled their ears. They hastened to the laboratory; two of the greatest stills had burst, and one part of the laboratory and the house were in flames. We are told that after another adventure of this kind, this victim to alchymy, after ruining another patron, in despair swallow-

Even more recently we have a history of an alchymist in the life of Romney, the painter. This alchymist, after bestowing much time and money on preparations for the grand projection, and being near the decisive hour, was induced, by the too earnest request of his wife, to quit his furnace one evening, to attend some of her com-pany at the tea-table. While the projector was attending the ladies his furnace blew up! In consequence of this event, he conceived such an antipathy against his wife, that he could not endure the idea of living with her again.

Henry VI was so reduced by his extravagances, that Evelyn observes in his Numismata, he endeavoured to recruit his empty coffers by alchymy. The record of this singular proposition contains 'The most solemn and serious account of the feasibility and virtues of the philosopher's stone, encouraging the search after it, and dis-pensing with all statutes and prohibitions to the contrary.' This record was very probably communicated (says an in-genious antiquary) by Mr Selden, to his beloved friend Ben Jonson, when he was writing his comedy of the Al-

After this patent was published, many promised to answer the king's expectations so effectually (the same writer adds) that the next year he published another patent; wherein he tells his subjects, that the happy hour was drawing nigh, and by means of the stone, which he should soon be master of, he would pay all the debts of the nation, in real gold and silver. The persons picked out for his new operators were as remarkable as the patent itself, being a most 'miscellaneous rabble' of friars, grocers,

mercers, and fishmongers!

This patent was likewise granted authoritate parliamenti.

Prynne, who has given this patent in his Aurum Regi-nce, p. 135, concludes with this sarcastic observation:—'A project never so seasonable and necessary as now!' And this we repeat, and our successors will no doubt imitate us!

Alchymists were formerly called multipliers; as appears from a statute of Henry IV repealed in the preceding record. The statute being extremely short, I give it for the reader's satisfaction.

'None from henceforth shall use to multiply gold or silver, or use the craft of multiplication: and if any the same do, he shall incur the pain of felony.'

Every philosophical mind must be convinced that alchy-

my is not an art, which some have fancifully traced to the remotest times; it may be rather regarded, when opposed to such a distance of time, as a modern imposture. Casar commanded the treatises of alchymy to be burnt throughout the Roman dominions: Cæsar, who is not less to be

admired as a philosopher than as a monarch.

Mr Gibbon has this succinct passage relative to alchymy: 'The ancient books of alchymy, so liberally ascribed to Pythagoras, to Solomon, or to Hermes, were the pious frauds of more recent adepts. The Greeks were inattentive either to the use or the abuse of chemistry. In that immense register, where Piny has deposited the dis-coveries, the arts, and the errors of mankind, there is not the least mention of the transmutations of metals; and the persecution of Dioclesian is the first authentic event in the history of alchymy. The conquest of Egypt by the Arabs, diffused that vain science over the globe. Congenial to the avarice of the human heart, it was studied in China, as in Europe, with equal eagerness and equal success. The darkness of the middle ages ensured a favourable reception to every tale of wonder; and the revival of learning gave new vigour to hope, and suggested more specious arts to deception. Philosophy, with the aid of experience, has at length banished the study of alchymy; and the present age, however desirous of riches, is content to seek them by the humbler means of commerce and industry.

Elias Ashmole writes in his diary— May 13, 1753. My father Bachouse (an astrologer who had adopted him for his son—a common practice with these men) lying sick in Fleet-street, over against Saint Dunstan's church, and set knowing whether he should live or die, about eleven of

the clock, told me in syllables the true matter of the pk losopher's stone, which he bequeathed to me as a leguey. By this we learn that a miserable wretch knew the art of by this we lear that a miserance when he are an analysis and that Ashmole really imagined he was in possession of the syllables
of a secret! he has however built a curious monument of
the learned follies of the last age, in his 'Theatrum Chemicum Britannicum.' Though Ashmole is rather the
historian of this sain science, than an adept, it may amuse literary leisure to turn over this quarto volume, in which he has collected the works of several English alchymists, subjoining his commentary. It affords a curious specimen of Rosicrucian mysteries; and Ashmole relates stories, which vie for the miraculous, with the wildest fancies of Arabian invention. Of the philosopher's stone he save, he knows enough to hold his tongue, but not enough to This stone has not only the power of transmuting any imperfect earthy matter into its utmost degree of 1 erfection, and can convert the basest metals into gold, flints into stone, &c, but it has still more occult virtues, the arcana have been entered into, by the choice fathers of hermetic mysteries. The vegetable stone has power over the natures of man, beast, fowls, fishes, and all kinds of trees and plants, to make them flourish and bear fruit at any time. The magical stone discovers any person wherover he is concealed; while the angelical stone gives the apparitions of angels, and a power of conversing with them. These great mysteries are supported by occasional facts, and illustrated by prints of the most divine and, incomprehensible designs, which we would hope were in-telligible to the initiated. It may be worth showing, however, how liable even the latter were to blunder on these mysterious hieroglyphics. Ashmole, in one of his che-mical works, prefixed a frontispiece, which, in several compartments, exhibited Phoebus on a lion, and opposite to him a lady, who represented Diana, with the moon in one hand and an arrow in the other, sitting on a crab; Mercury on a tripod, with the scheme of the heavens in one hand, and his caduceus in the other. These were in-tended to express the materials of the stone, and the season for the process. Upon the altar is the bust of a man, his head covered by an astrological scheme dropped from the clouds; and on the altar are these words, Mercurio-philus Anglicus, i. e. the English lover of hermetic philo-There is a tree, and a little creature gnawing the root, a pillar adorned with musical and mathematical instruments, and another with military ensigns. strange composition created great injury among the chemical sages. Deep mysteries were conjectured to be veiled by it. Verses were written in the highest strain of the Rosicrucian language. Ashmole confessed he meant nothing more than a kind of pan on his own name, for the tree was the ash, and the creature was a mole. One pillar tells his love of music and free-masonry, and the other his military preferment, and astrological studies! He after-wards regretted that no one added a second volume to his work, from which he himself had been hindered, for the honour of the family of Hermes, and 'to show the work! what excellent men we had once of our nation, famous for this kind of philosophy, and masters of at transcendant a

Modern chemistry is not without a hope, not to say a certainty, of verifying the golden visions of the alchymist. certainty, of Verniying the goiden visions of the according to Dr Girianger, of Gottingen, has lately adventured the following prophecy; 'In the nineteenth century the transmutation of metals will be generally known and practised. Every chemist and every artist will make gold: kitchen atensils will be of silver, and even of gold, which will contribute more than anything else to prolong life, poisoned to present but the avides of compare lead, and irons which at present by the oxides of copper, lead, and iron, which we daily swallow with our food. Phil. Mag. Vol. VI, p. 883. This sublime chemist, though he does not venue to predict that universal elizer, which is to prolong life at pleasure, yet approximates to it. A chemical friend writes to me, that 'The metals seem to be composite bedies, which nature is perpetually preparing: and it may be reserved for the future researches of science to trace, and perhaps, to imitate, some of these curious opera-

# TITLES OF BOOKS.

If it were inquired of an ingenious writer what page of his work had occasioned him most perplexity, he would often point to the title page. That curiosity which we would excite, is most fastidious to gratify. Yet such is



the perversity of man, that a modest simplicity will fail to attract; we are only to be allured by paint and patches,

Among those who appear to have felt this irksome situation, are most of our periodical writers. The 'Tatler' and noa, are most of our personness writers. The latter and the 'Spectator' enjoying priority of conception, have adopted titles with characteristic felicity; but perhaps the invention of the authors begins to fail in the 'Reader,' the 'Lover,' and the 'Theatre!' Succeeding writers were \*Lover, and the 'I nearro: Successing writers were as unfortunate in their titles, as their works; such are the 'Universal Spectator,' and the 'Lay Monastery.' The copious mind of Johnson could not discover an appropriate tile, and indeed, in the first 'Idler,' acknowledged his despair. The 'Rambler' was so little understood, at the time of its appearance, that a French Journalist has translated it 'Le Chevelier Errest,' and when it was corrected to L'Errast, a foreigner drank Johnson's health one day, by innocently addressing him by the appellation of MV vagabond! The 'Adventurer' cannot be considered as Yagabona: I'm Auventurer cannot be consistent a fortunate title; it is not appropriate to those pleasing miscellanies, for any writer is an adventurer. The 'Lounger,' the 'Mirror,' and even the 'Connoisseur,' if examined accurately, present nothing in the titles descriptive of the works. As for the 'World,' it could only have been given by the fashionable egotism of its authors, who considered the world as merely a little circuit round Saint James's Street. When the celebrated father of all reviews, Les Journal des Squagas, was first published, the very title repulsed the public. The author was obliged in his succeeding volumes to soften it down, by explaining its general tendency. He there assures the curious, that not only men of learning and taste, but the humblest mechanic may find a profitable amusement. An English novel, published with the title of 'The Champion of Virtue,' could find no readers; it was quaint, formal, and sounded like 'The Pilgrim's Progress.' It afterwards passed through several editions under the happier invitation of 'The Old English Baron.' 'The Concubine,' a poem by Mickle, could never find purchasers, till it assumed the more delicate title of 'Sir Martyn.'

As a subject of literary curiosity, some amusement may be gathered from a glance at what has been doing in the

world, concerning this important portion of every book.

Bailet m his 'Decisions of the Learned,' has made very extensive researches, for the matter was important to

a student of Baillet's character.

The Jewish and many oriental authors were fond of allegorical titles, which always indicate the most puerile age of taste. The titles were usually adapted to their obscure works. It might exercise an able enigmatist to explain their allusions; for we must understand by 'The Heart of Aaron,' that it is a commentary on several of the prophets. 'The Bones of Joseph' is an introduction to the Talmud. 'The Garden of Nuts,' and 'The Golden Apples,' are theological questions, and 'The Pomegran-ate with its Flower,' is a treatise of ceremonies, not any Jortin gives a title, which he says of all more practised. the fantastical titles he can recollect, is one of the prettiest. A rabbin published a catalogue of rabbinical writers, and called it Labia Dormientium, from Cantic. vii, 9, Like the best wine of my beloved that goeth down sweetly, causing the lips of those that are asleep to speak.' It hath a double meaning, of which he was not aware, for most of his rabbinical brethren talk very much like men in

Almost all their works bear such titles as bread-gold silver-roses-eyes-&c, in a word, any thing that sig-

Affected title-pages were not peculiar to the oriental-ists: the Greeks and the Romans have shown a finer taste. They had their Cornucopias or horns of abundance.-Limones or meadows-Pinakidions or tablets-Plancapse or all sorts of fruit; titles not unhappily adapted for the miscellanists. The nine books of Herodotus, and the nine epistles of Æschines, were respectively honoured by the name of a Muse; and three orations of the latter, by those of the Graces.

The modern fanatics have had a most barbarous taste or title. We could produce numbers from abroad and at home. Some works have been called, 'Matches lighted by the divine Fire,'—and one 'The Gun of Peninger of the country tence; a collection of passages from the fathers, is called 'The Shop of the Spiritual Apothecary; we have 'The Bank of Faith,' and 'The Sixpennyworth of Divine Spirit: one of these works bears the following elaborate title; 'Some fine Baskets baked in the Oven of Charity, carefully conserved for the Chickens of the Church, th Sparrows of the Spirit, and the sweet Swallows of Sal-Sometimes their quaintness has some humour. One Sir Humphrey Lind, a zealous puritan, published a work which a Jesuit answered by another, entitled 'A pair of Spectacles for Sir Humphrey Lind.' The doughty knight retorted, by a 'Case for Sir Humphrey Lind's Spectacles.

Some of these obscure titles have an entertaining absurdity; as 'The three Daughters of Job,' which is a treatise on the three virtues of patience, fortitude, and pain. 'The Innocent Love, or the holy Knight,' is a description of the ardways of a saint for the Virgin. 'The scription of the ardours of a saint for the Virgin. 'The Sound of the Trumpet,' is a work on the day of judgment; and 'A Fan to drive away Flies,' is a theological treatise

on purgatory.
We must not write to the utter neglect of our title; and We must not write to the utter neglect of our title; and a fair author should have the literary piety of ever having 'the fear of his title-page before his eyes.' The following are improper titles.' Don Matthews, chief huntsman te Philip IV of Spain, entitled his book 'The Origin and Dignity of the Royal House,' but the eatire work relates only to hunting. De Chanterene composed several morat essays, which being at a loss how to entitle, he called 'The Education of a Prince.' He would persuade the reader in his preface, that though they were not composed with a view to this subject, they should not, however, be censured for the title. as they partly related to the educacensured for the title, as they partly related to the educa-tion of a prince. The world were too sagacious to be duped; and the author in his second edition acknowledges the absurdity, drops 'the magnificent title,' and calls his work 'Morel Essays.' Montaigne's immortal history of his own mind, for such are his 'Essays,' have assumed perhaps too modest a title, and not sufficiently discrimina-tive. Sorlin equivocally entitled a collection of essays, 'The Walks of Richelieu,' because they were composed at that place; 'the Attic Nights' of Adlus Gellius were so called, because they were written in Attica. Mr Tooke in his grammatical 'Diversions of Purley,' must have deceived many.

A rhodomontade title page was a great favourite in the last century. There was a time when the republic of let-ters was over-built with 'Palaces of Pleasure,' 'Palaces of Honour,' and 'Palaces of Eloquence;' with 'Temples of Memory,' and 'Theatres of Human Life,' and 'Amphi-theatres of Providence;' 'Pharoses, Gardens, Pictures, Treasures.' The epistles of Guevara dazzled the public

ressures. And episties of Chevara dazzieu ine punno eye with their splendid title, for they were called 'Golden Epistles;' and the 'Golden Legend' of Voraigne had been more appropriately entitled leaden.

They were once so fond of novelty, that every book recommended itself by such titles as 'A new Method; new Elements of Geometry; the new Letter Writer, and the new Art of Cookery. The title which George Gascoigne, who had great merit in his day, has given to his collection, may be considered as a specimen of the titles of his times. They were printed in 1576. He calls his 'A hundred sundrie flowres bounde vp in one small poesie; gathered partly by translation in the fyne and outlandish gardens of Euripides, Ovid, Petrarke, Ariosto, and others; and partly by invention out of our own fruitefull orcha, les in Englande; yielding sundric sweet savours of tragicall, comi-call, and morall discourses, both pleasaunt and profitable to the well-smelling noses of learned readers.'

To excite the curiosity of the pious, some writers employed artifices of a very ludicrous nature. Some made their titles rhyming echoes; as this one of a father who has given his works under the title of Scales Alas emimi; and Jesus esus novus Orbis, &c. Some have distributed them according to the measure of time, as one Father Nadasi, the greater part of whose works are years, months, weeks, days, and hours. Some have borrowed their titles from the parts of the human body; and others have used quaint expressions, such as, Think before you leap—We must all die—Compet them to enter, &c. Some of our pious authors appear not to have been aware that they were burlesquing religion. One Massieu having written a moral explanation of the solemn anthems sung in Advent, which begin with the letter O, published this work under the punning title of La douce Moelle, et la Sausse friande des no Savoureux de L'Avent.

The Marquis of Carraccioli, a religious writer, not long ago published a book with the ambiguous title of La Jouissance de soi meme. Seduced by the epicurean itte

page, the sale of the work was continual with the libertines, who, however, found nothing but very tedious essays on religion and morality. In the sixth edition the marquis greatly exults in his successful contrivance; by which means he had punished the vicious curiosity of certain persons, and perhaps had persuaded some, whom otherwise his book might never have reached.

It is not an injudicious observation of Baillet, that if a title be obscure, it raises a prejudice aginst the author; we are apt to suppose that an ambiguous title is the effect of an intricate or confused mind. He censures the following one: the Ocean Macro-micro-cosmick of one Sachs. understand this title, a grammarian would send an inquirer to a geographer, and he to a natural philosopher; neither would probably think of recurring to a physician, to inform one that this ambiguous title signifies the connexion which exists between the motion of the waters, with that of the blood. He also censures Leo Allatius for a title which appears to me not inelegantly conceived. This writer has entitled one of his books the *Urban Bees*; it is an account of those illustrious writers who flourished during the pon-

tificate of one of the Barberinis. To connect the illusion,

we must recollect that the bees were the arms of this family, and Urban VIII, the Pope designed.

The false idea which a title conveys is alike prejudicial to the author and the reader. Titles are generally too prodigal of their promises, and their authors are contemnations. ed; but the works of modest authors, though they present more than they promise, may fail of attracting notice by their extreme simplicity. In either case, a collector of books is prejudiced; he is induced to collect what merits no attention, or he passes over those valuable works whose titles may not happen to be interesting. It is related of Pinelli, the celebrated collector of books, that the booksel-lers permitted him to remain hours, and sometimes days, in their shops to examine books before he bought them. He was desirous of not injuring his precious collection by useless acquisitions; hut he confessed that he sometimes could not help suffering himself to be dazzled by magnificent titles, nor to be deceived by the simplicity of others, which the modesty of their authors had given to them. After all, it is not improbable, that many authors are really neither so vain, nor so honest, as they appear; and that magnificent, or simple titles, have been given from the difficulty of forming any others.

It is too often with the Titles of Books, as with those painted representations exhibited by the keepers of wild beasts; where, in general, the picture itself is more curious and interesting than the inclosed animal.

# LITERARY FOLLIES.

The Greeks composed lypogrammatic works; works in which one letter of the alphabet is ommitted. A lypogrammatist is a letter-dropper. In this manner Tryphiodorus wrote his Odyssey: he had not a in his first book, nor  $\beta$  in his second; and so on with the subsequent letters one after another. This Odyssey was an imitation of the lypogrammatic Iliad of Nestor. Among other works of this kind, Athenseus mentions an ode by Pindar, in which he had purposely omitted the letter S; so that this inept ingenuity appears to have been one of those literary fashions which are sometimes encouraged even by those who should first oppose such progresses into the realms of non-

There is in Latin a little prose work of Fulgentius, which the author divides into twenty-three chapters, according, to the order of the twenty-three letters of the Latin alphabet. From A to O are still remaining. The first chapter is without A; the second without B; the third without C: and so with the rest. Du Chat, in the Ducatiana, says, there are five novels in prose of Lopes de Vega; the first without A, the second without E, the third without I, &c. Who will attempt to examine them?

The Orientalists are not without this literary folly. Persian poet read to the celebrated Jami a gazel of his own composition, which Jami did not like; but the writer replied it was notwithstanding a very curious sonnet, for the letter Alif was not to be found in any one of the words! Jami sarcastically replied, 'You can do a better thing yet; take away all the letters from every word you have

To these works may be added the Ecloge de Calvis, by Hughald the Monk. All the words of this silly work ue-gin with a C. It is printed in Dornavius. Pugns Por-serum, all the words beginning with a P, in the Nugas

Venales. Canum cum cattis certamen; the words beginning with a C: a performance of the same kind in the same work. Gregorio Leti presented a discourse to the Academy of the Humorists at Rome, throughout which Academy of the runnorsis at recome, unroughout which he had purposely omitted the letter R, and he entitled it the exiled R. A friend having requested a copy, as a literary curiosity, for so he considered this idle performance, Lett, to show it was not so difficult a matter, replied by a copious answer of seven pages, in which he had observed the same severe ostracism against the letter R! Lord North, one of the finest gentlemen in the court of James I, has written a set of Sonnets, each of which begins with a successive letter of the alphabet. The Earl of Rivers in the reign of Edward IV, translated the Moral Proverbs of Christians of Pisa, a poem of about two hundred lines, the greatest part of which he contrived to conclude with the letter E; an instance of his lordship's hard application, and the bad taste of an age which, Lord Orford observes, had witticisms and whims to struggle with, as well as igporance.

It has been well observed of these minute triflers that extreme exactness is the sublime of fools, whose labours may be well called, in the language of Dryden,

'Pangs without birth, and fruitless industry.' And Martial says.

> Turpe est difficiles habere nugas, Et stultus labor est ineptiarum. 'Tis a folly to sweat o'er a difficult trifie, And for silly devices invention to rifle.

I shall not dwell on wits who composed verses in the forms of hearts, wings, alters, and true love-knots; or as Ben Jonson describes their grotesque shapes,

A pair of scissors and a comb in verse,'

Tom Nash, who loved to push the ludicrous to its extreme, in his amusing invective against the classical Gabriel Harvey, tells us that 'he had writ verses in all kinds; in form of a pair of gloves, a pair of spectacles, and a pair of pot-hooks, &c.' They are not less absurd, who expose to public ridicule the name of their mistress by employing it to form their acrostics. I have seen some of the latter, where both sides and cross-ways, the name of the mistress or the patron has been sent down to posterity with eternal torture. The great difficulty where one name is made out four times in the same acrostic, must have been to have found words by which the letters forming the name should be forced to stand in their particular places. It might be incredible that so great a genius as Boccaccio could havelent himself to these literary fashions; yet one of the most gigantic of acrostics may be seen in his works; it is a poem of fifty cantor; of which Guinguené has preserved a specimen in his Literary History of Italy, vol. iii, p. 54. Puttenham, in that very scarce book, 'The Art of Poesse,' p. 75, gives several odd specimens of poems in the forms of lozenges, rhomboids, pillars, &c. Some of them from Criental norms communicated the attraction. Oriental poems communicated by a traveller. Puttenham is a very lively writer, and has contrived to form a defence for describing and making such trifling devices. He has done more: he has erected two pillars himself to the honour of Queen Elizabeth; every pillar consists of a base of eight syllables, the shaft or middle, of four, and the capital is equal with the base. The only difference between the two pillars, consists in this; in the one 'ye must read upwards,' and in the other the reverse. These pillars, notwithstanding this fortunate device and variation, may be fixed as two columns in the porch of the vast temple of literary folly.

It was at this period when words or verses were tortured into such fantastic forms, that the trees in gardens were twisted and sheared into obelisks and giants, peacocks or flower-pots. In a copy of verses 'To a hair of my mis-tress's eye-lash,' the merit next to the choice of the subject, must have been the arrangement or the disarrangement of the whole poem into the form of a heart. a pair of wings many a sonnet fluttered, and a sared hymn was expressed by the mystical triangle. Acrostes are formed from the initial letters of every verse; but a different conceit regulated chronograms, which were used to describe dates—the numeral letters in whatever part of the word they stood were distinguished from other letters by being written in capitals. In the following chrenogram from Horace,

- forlam sidera vertica Q C

by a strange elevation of capitals the chronogrammatist compels even Horace to give the year of our Lord thus.

- feriaM siDera Vertice. MDVI.

The Acrostic and the Chronogram are both ingeniously described in the mock Epic of the Scribleriad. The initial letters of the acrostics are thus alluded to in the literary wars:

Firm and compact, in three fair columns wove O'er the smooth plain, the bold acrostics move; High o'er the rest, the Towering Leaders rise Wah limbs gigantic, and superior size.

But the losser character of the chronogram, and the disorder in which they are found, are ingeniously sung thus:

Not thus the looser chronograms prepare, Careless their troops, undisciplined to war; With rank irregular, confused they stand, The chieftains mingling with the vulgar band.

He afterwards adds others of the illegitimate races of wit:

To join these squadrons, o'er the champion came A numerous race of no ignoble name; Riddle, and Rebus, Riddle's dearest son, And false Conundrum and insidious Pun. Fustian, who scarcely deigns to tread the ground, And Rondeau, wheeling in repeated round, On their fair standards by the wind diplay'd, Eggs, altars, wings, pipes, axes were pourtray'd.

I find in the origin of Bouts-rimés, or 'Rhiming Ends,' in Goujet's Bib. fr. xvi, p. 181. One Dulot a foolish poet, when somets were in demand, had a singular custom of preparing the rhymeses these poems to be filled up at his essure. Having been robbed of his papers, he was regretting most the loss of three hundred sonnets: his friends were astonished that he had written so many which they had never heard. 'They were blank somets,' he replied; and explained the mystery by describing his Bouts-rimés. The idra appeared ridiculously amusing; and it soon became fashionable to collect the most difficult rhymes, and fill up the lines.

The Charade is of such recent birth, that it has not yet opened its mystical conceits; nor can I discover the origin of this species of logographes: it was not known in France so late as in 1771, in the last edition of the great Dictionnaire de Trevoux, where the term appears as the name of an Indian sect of a military character, and has no con-

nexion with our charades.

Anagrams were another whimsical invention; with the letters of any name they contrived to make out some entire word, descriptive of the character of the person who bore the name. These anagrams, therefore, were either injurious or complimentary. When in fashion, lovers made use of them continually: I have read of one, whose mistress's name was Magdalen, for whom he composed, not only an Epic under that, name, but as a proof of his passion, one day he sent her three dozen of anagrams only on her lovely name. Sciopius imagined himself fortunate that his adversary Scaliger was perfectly Sacrilege in all the oblique cases of the Latin language; on this principle Sir John Wist was made out, to his own satisfaction,—a wil. They were not always correct when a great compliment was required; the poet John Cleveland was strained hard to make Heliconium devs. This literary trife has, however, in our own times, been brought to singular perfection: and several, equally ingenio- and caustic, will readily occur to the reader.

Verses of grotesque shapes have sometimes been contrived to convey ingenious thoughts. Pannard, a modern French poet, has tortured his agreeable vein of poetry ints such forms. He has made some of his Bacchanalian mags take the figures of bettles and others of glasses. These objects are perfectly drawn by the various measures of the verses which form the songs. He has also introduced an eche in his verses, which he contrives so as not to injure their sense. This was practised by the old French bards in the age of Marot, and this poetical whim is ridiculed by Butler in his Hudibras, Part I, Canto 8, Verse 180. I give an example of these poetical echoes. The following ones are ingenious, lively, and satirical.

Pour nous plaire, un plumet

Met Tout en usage :
Mals on trouve souvent
Vent
Dans son language.
On y voit des Commis
Mis

Comme des Princes, Après être venus Nuds

De leurs Provinces

I must notice the poetical whim of Cretin, a great post in his day: he died in 1525. He brought into fashion punning or equivocal rhymes, such as the following which Marot addressed to him, and which, indulging the same rhyming folly as his own, are superior for a glimpse of sense, though very unworthy of their author:

L'homme sotart, et non sgavant Comme un Rotisseur, qui lave oye, La faute d'autrui, nonce avant Qu'il la cognoisse, ou qu'il la voye, &c.

In the following nonsensical lines of Du Bartas, this poet imagined that he imitated the harmonious notes of the lark;

La gentille alouette, avec son tirelire, Tirelire à lire, et tireliran tire, Vors la voute du ciel, puls son vol vers ce lieu, Vire et desire dire adieu Dieu, adieu Dieu.

The French have an ingenious kind of Nonsense Verses called Amphigourie. This word is composed of a Greek adverb signifying about, and of a substantive signifying a circle. The following is a specimen: it is elegant in the selection of words, and what the French called richly rhymed—in fact it is fine poetry, but it has no meaning whatever! Pope's Stanzas, said to be written by a person of quality, to ridicule the tuneful nonsense of certain Bards, and which Gilbert Wakefield mistook for a serious composition, and wrote two pages of Commentary to prove this som was disjointed, obscure, and abourd, is an excellent specimen of these Amphigouries.

## AMPHIGOURIE.

Qui'l est heureux de se defendre Quand le cœur ne s'est pac rendu! Mais qu'il est facheux de se rendre Quand le bonheur est suspendu? Par un discours sans suite et tendre, Egarez un cœur eperdu; Bouvent par un mal-entendre. L'amant adroit se fait, entendre.

# IMITATED.

How happy to defend our heart When love has never thrown a dart! But ah! unhappy when it bends, If pleasure her soft blies suspends! Sweet in a wild disordered strain, A lost and wandering heart to gain! Oft in mietaken language woood. The skilful lover's understood.

These verses have such a resemblance to meaning, that Fontenelle having listened to the song imagined he had a glimpse of sense, and requested to have it repeated. 'Don't you perceive,' said Madame Tencin ever without a retort, replied 'They are so much like the fine verses I have heard here, that it is not surprising I should be for once mistaken!

mistaken:

In the 'Scribleriad' we find a good account of the Cento. A cento primarily signifies a cloak made of patches. In poetry it denotes a work wholly composed of verses, or passages promiscuously taken from other authors, only disposed in a new form or order, so as to compose a new work and a new meaning. Ausonius has laid down the rules to be observed in composing Centos. The pieces may be taken either from the same poet, or from several; and the verses may be either taken entire or divided into two: one half to be connected with another half taken elsowhere; but two verses are never to be taken together. Agreeable to these rules he has made a pleasant nuptial Cento from Virgil.

The Empress Eudoxia wrote the life of Jesus Christ in centos taken from Homer; Proba Falconia from Virgil. Among these grave triflers may be mentioned Alexander Ross, who published 'Virgilius Evangelizans, sive historia Domini et Salvatoria nostri Jesu Christi Virgilianis verbis et versibus descripta. It was republished in 1780.

et versibus descripta.' It was republished in 1769.

A more difficult whim is that of 'Reciprocal Veres,' which give the same words whether read backwards or forwards. The following lines by Sidoneus Apollinaris were once infinitely admired:

4 Signa te signa temere me tangis et angia.\*
4 Roma tibi subito motibus ibit amor.\*

The reader has only to take the pains of reading the

lines backwards, and he will find himself just where he was after all his fatigue.

Capitaine Lasphrise, a French self-taught poet, whose work preceded Masherbe's, boasts of his inventions; among other singularities, one has at least the merit of la difficulté vaincue, and might by ingenious hands be turned to some account. He asserts that this novelty is entirely his own; it consists in the last word of every verse forming the first word of the following verse;

Falloit-il que le ciel me rendit amoureux, Amoureux, jouissant d'une beauté craintye, Craintye à recevoir la douceur excessive, Excessive au plaisir qui rend l'amant heureux? Heureux si nous avions quelques paisibles lieux Lieux ou plus surement l'ami fidelle arrive, Arrive sans soupcoh de quelque ami attentive, Attentive à vouloir nous surprender tous deux.—

Francis Colonna, an Italian Monk, is the author of a singular book entitled 'The Dream of Poliphilus,' in which he relates his amours with a lady of the name of Polia. It was considered improper to prefix his name to the work; but being desirous of marking it by some peculiarity, that he might claim it at any distant day, he contrived that the initial letters of every chapter should be formed of those of his name and of the subjects he treats. This odd invention was not discovered till many years afterwards: when the wits employed themselves in decyphering it, unfortunately it became a source of literary altercation, being susceptible of various readings. The most correct appears thus: Poliam Frater Franciscus Columna peramavit. Brother Francis Colonna passionately loved Polia.' This gallant monk, like another Petrarch, night the name of his mistress the subject of his amatorial meditation; and as the first called his Laura, his Laurel, this called his Polia, his Polita.

A few years afterwards Marcellus Palingenius Stellatus employed a similar artifice in his Zodiacus Vitze, The Zodiac of Life; the initial letters of the first twentynine verses of the first books of this poem forming his name, which curious particular is not noticed by Warton in his account of this work. The performance is divided into twelve books, but has no reference to astronomy, which we might naturally expect. He distinguished his twelve books by the twelve names of the celestial signs, and probably extended or confined them purposely to that number, to humour his fancy. Warton however observes, this strange pedantic title is not totally without a concest, as the author was born at Stellads or Stellats, a province of Ferrara, and from whence be called himself Marcellus Palingenius Stellatus.' The work itself is a curious satire on the Pope and the Church of Rome. It occasioned Payle to commit a remarkable literary blunder, which I shall record in its place. Of Italian conceit in those times, of which Petrarch was the father, with his perpetual play on words and on his Laurel, or his mistress Laura, he has himself afforded a remarkable example. Our poet lost his mother, who died in her thirty-eighth year: he has commemorated her death by a sonnet composed of thirtyeight lines. He seems to have conceived that the exactness of the number was equally natural and tender.

ness or the number was equally natural and tender.

Are we not to class among literary follies the strange researches, which writers, even at the present day, have made in Anteditsvian times? Forgeries of the grossest nature have been alluded to, or quoted as authorities. A book of Enoch once attracted considerable attention; this curious forgery has been recently translated: the Sabenas pretend they possess a work written by Adam! and this work has been recently appealed to in favour of a visionary theory! Astle gravely observes, that 'with respect to Writings attributed to the Antellisusians, it seems not only decent but rational to say that we know nothing concerning them.' Without alluding to living writers, Dr Parsons, in his crudite 'Remains of Japhel,' tracing the origin of the alphabetical character, supposes that letters were known to Adam! Some too have noticed astronomical libraries in the Ark of Noah! Such historical memorials are the deliriums of learning, or are founded on forgeries.

Hugh Broughton, a writer of controversy in the reign of James the First, shows us in a tedicus discussion on Scripture chronology, that Rahab was a harlot at ten years of age; and enters into many grave discussions concerning the colour of Aaron's Ephod, the language which Eve first spoke, and other classical erudition. The writer is rediculed in Bon Jonson's Comedies:—he is not without

rivals even in the present day. Covarruvias, after others of his school, discovers that when male children are bora they cry out with an A, being the first rowel of the word Adam, while the female infants prefer the letter E, in allusion to Eve; and we may add that, by the pinch of a negligent nurse, they may probably learn all their vowels. Of the pedantic triflings of commentators, a controversy among the Portuguese on the works of Camoens is not the least. Some of these profound critics who affected great delicacy in the laws of Epic poetry, pretended to be doubtful whether the poet had fixed on the right time for a king's dream; whether, said they, a king should have a propertious dream on his first going to bed or at the dawn of the following morning? No one seemed to be quite certain; they puzzled each other till the controversy closed in this felicitous manner, and satisfied both the night and the dawn critics. Barreto discovered that an access on one of the words alluded to in the controversy would answer the purpose, and by making king Manuel's dream to take place at the dawn would restore Camoens to their good opinion, and preserve the dignity of the nost.

opinion, and preserve the dignity of the poet.

Chevreau begins his History of the World in these words: 'Several learned men have examined in select session God created the world, though there could hardly be any season then, since there was no sun, no moon, nor stars. But as the world must have been created in one of the four seasons; this question has exercised the talents of the most curious, and opinions are various. Some say it was in the month of Nisan, that is, in the spring: others maintain that it was in the month of Nisan, that it was on the sixth day of this mouth, which answers to our September, that Adam and Eve were created, and that it was on a Friday, a little after four o'clock in the afternoon!' This is according to the Rabbinical notion of the eve of the Sabbath.

The Irish antiquaries mention public libraries that were before the flood; and Paul Christian Ilsker, with profounder erudition, has given an exact catalogue of Adam's. Messicurs O'Flaberty, O'Connor, and O'Halloran, have most gravely recorded as authentic narrations the wildest legendary traditions; and more recently, to make confusion doubly confounded, others have built up what they call theoretical histories on these nursery tales. By which species of black art they contrive to prove that an Irishman is an Indian, and a Peruvian may be a Welshman, from certain emigrations which took place many centuries before Christ, and some about two centuries after the flood! Keating, in his 'History of Ireland,' starts a favourite hero in the giant Partholanus, who was descended from Japhet, and landed on the coast of Munster, Idth May, in the year of the world 1978. This giant succeeded in his enterprise, but a domestic misfortune attended him among his Irish friends:—his wife exposed him to their laughter by her loose behaviour, and provoked him to such a degree that he killed two favourite greyhounds; and this the learned historian assures us was the first instance of female infidelity ever known in Ireland!

female infidelity ever known in Ireland!

The learned, not contented with Homer's poetical preeminence, make him the most authentic historian and most
accurate geographer of antiquity, besides endowing him
with all the arts and sciences to be found in our Encyclopadia. Even in surgery a treatise has been written to
show by the variety of the mounds of his heroes, that he
was a most scientific anatomist; and a military scholar has
lately told us that from him is derived all the science of
the modern adjutant and quarter-master-general; all the
knowledge of tactics which we now possess; and that
Xenophon, Epaminondas, Philip, and Alexander, owed
all their warlike reputation to Home!

To return to pleasanter foliose. Des Fontaines, the journalist, who had wit and malice, inserted the fragment of a letter which the poet Rousseau wrote to the younger Racine whilst he was at the Hague. These were the words: 'I enjoy the conversation within these few days of my associates in Parnassus. Mr Piron is an excellent antidote against melancholy; but—ct. Des Fontaines maliciously stopped at this but. In the letter of Rousseau it was, 'but unfortunately he departs soon.' Piron was very sensibly affected at this equivocal but, and resolved to revenge himself by composing one hundred epigrams against the malignant critic. He had written sixty before Des Fontaines died: but of those only two attracted any notice.

Towards the conclusion of the fifteenth century, Antonio Cornezano wrote a hundred different sonnets on one

subject; 'the eyes of his mistress!' to which possibly Shakspeare may allude, when Jaques describes a lover

## "Woful ballad. Made to his mistress' eyebrow.

Not inferior to this ingenious trifler is Nicholas Franco. well known in Italian literature, who employed himself in with a two hundred and eighteen satiric sonnets, chiefly on the famous Peter Arctin. This lampooner had the honour of being hanged at Rome for his defamatory publications. In the same class are to be placed two other registers. Below whether the placed two other projects. cations. In the same class are to be placed two other writers. Brehenf, who wrote one hundred and fifty epigrams against a painted lady. Another wit, desirous of emaking him, and for a literary bravado, continued the same subject, and pointed at this unfortunate fair three hundred more, without once repeating the thoughts of Brebed! There is a collection of poems called "La PUCE des grand jours de Poitiers." The FLEA of the carnival of Poitiers. These poems were all written by the learned Paquer upon a FLEA which he found one morning in the bosom of the famous Catherine des Roches!

Not long ago, a Mr and Mrs Bilderdik, in Flanders

Not long ago, a Mr and Mrs Bilderdik, in Flanders published poems under the singular title of 'White and Red.'—His own poems were called white, from the colour of his hair, and those of his lady red, in allusion to the colour of the rose. The idea must be Flemish!

Gildon, in his 'Laws of Poetry,' commenting on this line of the Duke of Buckingham's 'Essay on Poetry,'

Nature's chief master-piece is writing well : very profoundly informs his readers 'That what is here said has not the least regard to the permunetry, that is, to the fairness or badness of the hand-writing, &c., and proseeds throughout a whole page, with a panegyric on a fine hand-sering! Dull men seem to have at times great

claims to originality!

Littleton, the author of the Latin and English Dictionary, seems to have indulged his favourite propensity to punning so far as even to introduce a pun in the grave and saborate work of a Lexicon. A story has been raised to account for it, and it has been ascribed to the impatient interjection of the lexicographer to his scribe, who, taking no offence at the poevishness of his master, put it down in the Dictionary. The article alluded to is, Comcurano, to run with others; to run together; to come together; to fall foul on one another; to Concur, to Conclog.

Mr Todd, in his Dictionary, has laboured to show the inaccuracy of this pretended narrative. Yet a similar bander appears to have happened to Ash. Johnson, while composing his Dictionary, sent a note to the Gentleman's Magazine to inquire the etymology of the word curmud-gen. Having obtained the information, he records in his work the obligation to an anonymous letter-writer. ' Curwork to congation to an anonymous letter-writer. Cur-midgeon, a virious way of pronouncing cener mechant. An unknown correspondent. Ash copied the word into his Definary in this manner: 'Curmudgeon: from the French cener, unknown; and mechant, a correspondent.' This singular negligence ought to be placed in the class of our literary blunders; but these form a pair of lexicographical anecdotes.

Two singular literary follies have been practised on Milton. There is a proce version of his 'Paradise Lost,' which was innocently translated from the French version of has Epic! One Green published a specimen of a new ver-sen of the 'Paradise Lost' into blank verse! For this purpose he has utterly ruined the harmony of Milton's cadence, by what he conceived to be 'bringing that ame-ting work somewhat nearer the summit of perfection.'

A French author, when his book had been received by

the French Academy, bad the portrait of Cardinal Riche-lieu engraved on his title page, encircled by a crown of furly russ, in each of which was written the name of the celebrated forty academicians.

The salf emissions of coult-

The self-exultations of authors, frequently employed by indicions written place them in ridiculous attitudes. A injudicious writers, place them in ridiculous attitudes. A writer of a bad dictionary, which he intended for a Cyclowhere or a bad dictionary, which he metended for a cyclo-pedia, formed such an opinion of its extensive sale, that he put on the title-page the words 'first edition,' a hint to the gentle reader that it would not be the last. Desmarest was so delighted with his 'Clovis,' an Epic Poem, that he solemaly concludes his preface with a thanksgiving to God, to whom he attributes all his glory! This is like that conceited member of a French Parliament, who was overheard, after his tadious haranne, muttering most deoverheard, after his tedious harangue, muttering most de-resty to himself, ' Non noble Domine.'

Several works have been produced from some odd coincidence with the name of their authors. Thus De Saussay has written a folio volume, consisting of panegyrics of persons of eminence. whose christian reservices persons of eminence, whose christian names were Andrew; because Andrew was his own name. Two Jes-uits made a similar collection of illustrious men whose christian names were Theophikus and Philip, being their own. Anthony Sanderus has also composed a treatise of illustrious Anthonies! And we have one Buchanan, who has written the lives of those persons who were so fortunate as to have been his namesakes.

Several forgotten writers have frequently been intruded on the public eye, merely through such trifling coincidences as being members of some particular society, or natives of some particular country. Cordeliers have stood forward to revive the writings of Duns Scotus, because he had been a Cordelier; and a Jesuit compiled a folio on the antiquities of a country, merely from the circumstance that the founder of his order, Ignatius Loyola, had been born there. Several of the classics are violently extelled above others, merely from the accidental circumstance of their editors having collected a vast number of notes, which they resolved to discharge on the public. County histories have been frequently compiled, and provincial writers have received a temporary existence, from the accident of some obscure individual being an inhabitant of some obecure town

On such literary follies Malebranche has made this re-fined observation. The critics, standing in some way con-nected with the suther, their self-less inspires them, and abundantly furnishes eulogiums which the author never merited, that they may thus obliquely reflect some praise on themselves. This is made so adroitly, so delicately, and so concealed, that it is not perceived.

The following are strange inventions originating in the

The following are strange inventions, originating in the wishi bad taste of the authors. Otto Venius, the master of Rubens, is the designer of La Theatre moral de la Vis Hussains. In this emblematical history of human life, he has taken his subjects from Horace; but certainly his constitution and the Lucetian Life taken commissions. ceptions are not Horatian. He takes every image in a literal sonse. If Horace says, 'Misce stutitions consiliabrevem,' behold Venius takes brevis personally, and represents folly as a little short child! of not above three or four years old! In the emblem which answers Horace's ' Rare antecedentem scelestum deseruit pede pæna claudo,' 'May entecedentem scelestum descript pede pona claudo,'
we find Punishment with a wooden leg.—And for 'pulvis
et umbra sumus,' we have a dark burying vault, with dust
sprinkled about the floor, and a shadow walking upright
between two ranges of urns. For 'Virlus est vitium fugers
a sepientic prime sublitic cornisse,' most fluly he gives
seven or eight Vices pursuing Virtue, and Folly just at
the heels of 'Wisdom. I saw in an English Bible printed
Hellman in interest of the arms to the service of the in Holland, an instance of the same taste: the artist, to illustrate Thou seest the mote in thy neighbour's eye, but not the beam in thine own, has actually placed an immense beam which projects from the eye of the caviller to the ground!

As a contrast to the too obvious taste of Yenius, may be placed Cesare di Ripa, who is the author of an Italian work, translated into most European languages, the *Icono*legiz; the favourite book of the age, and the fertile parent of the most abourd offspring which Taste has known. Rips is as darkly subtile as Venius is obvious; and as far-fetched in his conceits as the other is literal. Ripa represents Beauty by a naked lady, with her head in a cloud, because the true idea of beauty is hard to be conceived! Plattery, by a lady with a flute in her hand, and a stag at her feet, because stags are said to love music so much, that they suffer themselves to be taken, if you play to them on a flute. Fraud, with two hearts in one hand, and a mask in the other:—his collection is too numerous to point out more instances. Ripa also describes how the allegorical figures are to be coloured; Hope is to have a sky-blue robe because the always looks towards heaven. sky-blue robe, because she always looks towards heaven, Enough of these Capriccies!

# LITERARY CONTROVERSY.

In the article Milton, in the preceding volume, I had occasion to give some strictures on the asperity of literary controversy: the specimens I brought forward were drawn from his own and Salmasius's writings. If to some the subject has appeared exceptionable, to me, I confess, it seems useful, and I shall therefore add some other particulars; for this topic has many branches. Of the following specimens, the grossness and malignity are extreme yet they were employed by the first scholars in Europe.

Martin Luther was not destitute of genius, of learning, or of eloquence; but his violence disfigured his works with invectives and singularities of abuse. The great reformer invoctives and singularities of abuse. The great reformer of superstition had himself all the vulgar ones of his day; he believed that flies were devils; and that he had had a buffeting with Satan when his left ear felt the prodigious divines: 'The papists are all asses, and will always remain asses. Put them in whatever sauce you choose.' boiled, roasted, baked, fried, skinned, beat, hashed, they are always the same asses.

Gentle and moderate, compared with a salute of his Holiness.—' The Pope was born out of the Devil's posteriors. He is full of devils, lies, blasphemies, and idolatries; he is anti-Christ; the robber of churches; the ravisher of virgins; the greatest of pimps; the governor of Sodom, &c. If the Turks lay hold of us, then we shall be in the hands of the Devil; but if we remain with the Pope, we shall be in hell.—What a pleasing sight would it be to see the Pope and the Cardinals hanging on one gallows, in exact order, like the seals which dangle from the bulls of the Pope! What an excellent council would they hold under

the gallows!

Sometimes desirous of catching the attention of the vulgar, Luther attempts to enliven his style by the grossest buffooneries: 'Take care, my little Popa! my little ass! go on slowly: the times are slippery: this year is dangerous: if thou fallest, they will exclaim, See! how our little Pope is spoilt.' It was fortunate for the cause of the Reformation that the violence of Luther was softened in a considerable degree at times by the meek Melanthon: he often poured honey on the sting inflicted by the angry bee. Luther was no respecter of kings; he was so fortunate, indeed, as to find among his antagonists a crowned head; a great good fortune for an obscure controversialist, and the very punctum saliens of controversy. Our Henry VIII wrote his book against the new doctrine: then warm from scholastic studies, Henry pre-sented Leo X with a work highly creditable to his abilities, and no inferior performance according to the genius of the age. Collier, in his Ecclesiastical History, has analysed the book, and does not ill describe its spirit : ' Henry sems superior to his adversary in the vigour and propriety of his style, in the force of his reasoning, and the learning of his citations. It is true he leans too much upon his character, argues in his garter-robes, and writes as it were with his sceptre.' But Luther in reply abandons his pen to all kinds of railing and abuse. He addresses Henry VIII in the following style: 'It is hard to say if fully can be more foolish, or stupidity more stupid, than is the head of Henry. He has not attacked me with the heart of a king, but with the impudence of a knave. rotten worm of the earth having blasphemed the majesty of my king, I have a just right to bespatter his English majesty with his own dirt and ordure. This Henry has fied.' Some of his original expressions to our Henry VIII are these: 'Stulta, ridicula, et verissime Henriciani, et Thomistics sunt heec-Regem Anglise Henricum istum lane mentiri, &c .-- Hoc agit inquietus Satan, ut nos a Scripturis avocet per scelerates Henricos, &c.'—He was repaid with capital and interest by an anonymous reply, said to have been written by Sir Thomas More, who concludes his arguments by leaving Luther in language not necessary to translate; 'cum suis furiis et furoribus, cum suis merdis et stercoribus cacantem cacatumque.' Such were the vigorous elegancies of a controversy on the Seven Sacraments! Long after, the court of Rome had not lost the taste of these 'bitter herbs',' for in the bull of the canonization of Ignatius Loyola in Augus 1623, Luther is called monstrum teterrimum, et detestabilis

Calvin was loss tolerable, for he had no Melancthon!
His adversaries are never others than knaves, lumatics, drunkards, and assassins! Sometimes they are characterized by the familiar appellatives of bulls, asses, cats and hogs! By him Catholic and Lutheran are alike hated. Yet, after having given vent to this virulent humour, he frequently boasts of his mildness. When he reads over his writings, he tells us, that he is astonished at his forbearance; but this, he adds, is the duty of every of this propertance; but this, he adds, is the duty of every
Ohristian! at the same time, he generally finishes a period
with—'Do you hear, you dog? Do you hear, madman?'
Beza, the disciple of Calvin, sometimes imitates the
fertiriant abuse of his master. When he writes against

Tilleman, a Lutheran minister, he bestews on him the following titles of honour: 'Polyphemus; an ape; a gree ass who is distinguished from other asses by wearing a hat; an ass on two feet; a monster composed of part of an ape and wild ass; a villain who merits hanging on the first tree we find. And Beza was, no doubt desirous of the office of executioner!

The Catholic party is by no means inferior in the felica-se of their style. The Jesuit Raynaud calls Erasmus ties of their style. the Batavian buffoon, and accuses him of nourishing the egg which Luther hatched. These men were alike supposed by their friends to be the inspired regulators of

Religion!

Bishop Bedell, a great and good man, respected even

Bishop Bedell, a great and good man, respected even by his adversaries, in an address to his clergy, observes,
Our calling is to deal with errors, not to disgrace the man
with scolding words. It is said of Alexander, I think, when he overheard one of his soldiers railing lustify against Darius his enemy, that he reproved him, and added, "Friend, I entertain thee to fight against Darius, not to revile him;" ' and my sentiments of treating the Catholics, concludes Bedell, ' are not conformable to the practice of

concludes Bedell, 'are not conformable to the practice of Luther and Cavin: but they were but mea, and perhaps we must confess they suffered themselves to yield to the violence of passion.'

The Fathers of the church were proficients in the art of abuse, and very ingeniously defended it. St Austin affirms that the keenest personality may produce a wonderful effect, in opening a man's eyes to his own follies. He illustrates his position with a story, given with great simplicity, of his mother Saint Monica with her maid. Saint Monica certainly would have been a confirmed drunkard, had not her maid timely and outrageously abused her. The story will amuse.—'My mother had by abused her. The story will amuse.— My mother had by little and little accustomed herself to relish wine. They used to send her to the cellar, as being one of the soberest in the family: she first sipped from the jug and tasted a few drope, for she abhorred wine, and did not care to drink. However, she gradually accustomed herself, and from sipping it on her lips she swallowed a draught. As people from the smallest faults insensibly increase, she at length liked wine, and drank bumpers. But one day being alone with her maid who usually attended her to the cellar, they quarrelled, and the maid bitterly reproached her with being a drankard! That single word struck her so poignantly that it opened her understanding; and reflecting on the deformity of the vice, she desisted for ever from its use.'

To jeer and play droll, or, in his own words, de bos-fonner, was a mode of controversy the great Arnauld de-fended as permitted by the writings of the holy fathers. It tended as permitted by the writings to the body interest. it is still more singular, when he not only brings forward as an example of this ribaldry, Elijah mecking at the false divinities, but God himself bentering the first man after his fall. He justifies the injurious epithets which he has so liberally bestowed on his adversaries by the example of Jesus Christ and the apostles! It was on these grounds also that the celebrated Pascal apologized for the invectives with which he has occasionally disfigured his Protives with which he has occasionally disfigured his Provincial Letters. A Jesuit, famous for twenty folios which contain his works, has collected 'An Alphabetical Catalogue of the Names of Bousts by which the Fathers characterized the Heretics.' It may be found in Evotemats de malis on bonis Librie, p. 93, 4to, 1653, of Father Raynaud. This list of brutes and insects, among which are a vast variety of serpents, is accompanied by the names of the heretics designated!

Ware in his Irish Writers, informs us of one Henry Fitzsermon, an Irish Jesuit, who was imprisoned for his papistical designs and seditious preaching. During his positical designs and seditious preaching. During his confinement he proved himself to be a great amateur of

confinement he proved himself to be a great amateur of controversy. He said 'he felt like a bear tied to a stake, and wanted somebod) to bait him.' A kind office, zeal-ously undertaken by the learned Usher then a young man. He engaged to dispute with him once a week on the subject of antiobist! They met several times. It appears that They met several times. It appears that our beer was out-worried, and declined any further dec-besting. This spread an universal joy through the Protestants in Dublin. Such was the spirit of those times, which appears to have been very different from our own. Dr Disney gives an anecdote of a modern bishop who was just advanced to a mitre; his bookseller begged to repub-lish a popular theological tract of his against another bishop, because he might now meet him on equal torms.

My lord answered Mr \* \* \* no more contraversy now \*

Our good bishop resembled Baldwin, who, from a simple monk, arrived to the honour of the see of Canterbury. The successive bonours successively changed his manners.
Urban the Second inscribed his brief to him in this concise description-Baldwino Monastice ferventissimo, Abbate estido. Episcapo tepido, Archiepiscopa remisso!

On the subject of literary controversies we cannot pass over the various sects of the scholastics; a volume might easily be compiled of their ferocious wars, which in more than one mutance were accompanied by stones and daggers. The most memorable, on account of the extent, the violence, and duration of their contests, are those of the

Nominalists and the Realists.

It was a most subtile question assuredly, and the world thought for a long while that their happiness depended on deciding, whether universals, that is genera, have a real essence, and exist independent of particulars, that is speper:—whether, for instance, we could form an idea of asses, prior to individual asses? Rosseline, in the eleventh century, adopted the opinion that universals have no real existences, either before or in individuals, but are mere sames and words by which the kind of individuals, is expressed. A tenet propagated by Abelard, which produced the sect of the Nominalists. But the Realists asserted that universals existed independent of individuals,-though they were somewhat divided between the various opinions of Plate and Aristotle. Of the Realists the most famous were Thomas Aquinas and Duns Scotus. The cause of The cause of the Nominalists was almost desperate, till Occam in the burteenth century revived the dying embers. Louis XI adopted the Nominalists, and the Nominalists flourished at large in France and Germany; but unfortunately Pope John XXIII patronized the Realists, and throughout Italy it was dangerous for a Nominalist to open his lips. The French king wavered, and the Pope triumphed; his ma-jesty published an edict in 1474, in which he silenced for ever the Nominalists, and ordered their books to be fastened up in their libraries with iron chains, that they might not be read by young students! The leaders of that sect fled into England and Germany, where they united their forces with Luther and the first Reformers.

Nothing could exceed the violence with which these disputes were conducted. Vives himself, who witnessed the contests, says that 'when the contending parties had exhausted their stock of verbal abuse, they often came to blows; and it was not uncommon in these quarrels about universals, to see the combatants engaging not only with their fists, but with clubs and swords, so that many have

been wounded and some killed.'

I add a curious extract from John of Salisbury, this war of words, which Mosheim has given in his Ec-clesiastical History. He observes on all this terrifying nonsense, 'that there had been more time consumed in it, than the Cassars had employed in making themselves masters of the world; that the riches of Crossus were inferior to the treasures that had been exhausted in this controversy; and that the contending parties, after having spent their whole lives on this single point, had neither been so happy as to determine it to their satisfaction, nor to make in the labyrinths of science where they had been groping, any discovery that was worth the pains they had taken.' It may be added that Ramus having attacked Aristotle, for 'teaching us chimeras,' all his scholars revolted; the parliament put a stop to his lectures, and at length having attacked the manufacture of the state of th brought the matter into a law-court, he was declared to be insolent and daring'—the king proscribed his works, he was ridiculed on the stage, and hissed at by his scholars. When at length, during the plague, he opened again his schools, he drew on himself a fresh storm by reforming the pronunciation of the letter Q, which they then pronunced like K-Kiskis for Quisquis, and Kamkam for Quamquam. This innovation was once more laid to his charge: a new rebellion! and a new ejection of the Anti-Aristotelian! The brother of that Gabriel Harvey who was the friend of Spensor, and with Gabriel had been the whetatone of the town-wits of his time, distinguished himself by his wrath against the Stagyrite. After having with Gabriel predicted an earthquake, and alarmed the kingdom, which never took place, (that is the earthquake, not the alarm) the wits buffeted him. Nash says of him that 'Tarlton at the theatre made jests of him, and Elderton consumed his ale-crammed nose to nothing, in bearsaiting him with whole bundles of ballads.' Marlow decared him to be 'an ass fit only to preach of the iron age.' avenged himself in a very cowardly manner—he attacked Aristotle himself! for he set Aristotle with his keets upwards on the school gates at Cambridge, and with as ears on his head!

But this controversy concerning Aristotle and the school divinity was even prolonged so late as in the last century. Father de Benedictis, a Jesuit, and professor in the college at Naples, published in 1668 four volumes of peripateite philosophy, to establish the principles of Aristotle. work was exploded, and he wrote an abusive treatise under the Nom de guerre of Benedetto Aletino. A man of letters, Constantino Grimaldi, replied. Aletino rejoined; he wrote letters, an apology for the letters, and would have written more for Aristotle than Aristotle himself perhaps would have done. However, Grimaldi was no ordinary antagonist, and not to be outwearied. He had not only the best of the argument but he was resolved to tell the world so, as long as the world would listen. Whether he killed off Father Benedictis is not affirmed; but the latter killed off Father Benedictis is not affirmed; but the latter died during the controversy. Grimaldi however afterwards pursued his ghost, and buffeted the father in his grave. This enraged the University of Naples; and the Jesuits, to a man, denounced Grimaldi to Pope Benedict XIII and Cardinal D'Althan, the Viceroy of Naples. On this the Pope issued a bull prohibiting the reading of Grimaldi's works, or keeping them, under pain of excommunication; and the cardinal, more active than the bull, caused all the copies which were found in the author's house to be thrown into the ses! The author with tears in his eyes beheld them expatriated, and hardly hoped their voyage would have been successful. However, all the little family of the Grimaldis were not drowned—for a storm family of the Grimaldis were not drowned-for a storm arose, and happily drove ashore many of the floating copies, and these falling into good and charitable hands the heretical opinions of poor Grimaldi against Aristotle and school divinity were still read by those who were not out-terrified by the Pope's bulls. The salted passages were still at hand, and quoted with a double zest against the Jesuits!

We now turn to writers whose controversy was kindles only by subjects of polite literature. The particulars

form a curious picture of the taste and character of the age.

'There is,' says Joseph Scaliger, that great critic and reviler, 'an art of abuse or slandering, of which those tha are ignorant may be said to defame others much less than they show a willingness to defame.

'Literary wars,' says Bayle, 'are sometimes as lasting as they are terrible.' A disputation between two great scholars was so interminably violent, that it lasted thirty years! He humourously compares its duration to the Ger-

man war which lasted as long.

Baillet, when he refuted the sentiments of a certain author, always did it without naming him; but when he found any observation which he deemed commendable, he quoted his name. Bayle observes, that 'this is an excess of politeness, prejudicial to that freedom which should ever exist in the republic of letters; that it should be allowed always to name those whom we refute; and that it is sufficient for this purpose that we banish asperity, malice, and indecency.

After these preliminary observations, I shall bring forward various examples where this excellent advice is by

no means regarded.

Erasmus produced a dialogue, in which he ridiculed those scholars who were servile imitators of Cicero; so servile that they would employ no expression but what was found in the works of that writer; every thing with them was Ciceronianized. This dislogue is written with great humour. Julius Ceasar Scaliger, the father, who was then unknown to the world, had been long looking for some occasion to distinguish himself : he now wrote a defence of Cicero, but which in fact was one continued invective against Erasmus: he there treats the latter as illiterate, a drunkard, an imposter, an apostate, a hangman, a demon hot from hell! The same Scaliger, acting on the same principle of distinguishing himself at the cost of others, attacked Cardan's best work De Subtilitate : his criticism did not appear till seven years after the first edition of the did not appear till seven years after the first edition of the work, and then he obstinately stuck to that edition, though Carden had corrected it in subsequent once; but this Scaliger chose, that he might have a wider field for his attack. After this, a rumour spread that Cardan had died of veracion from our Julius Cassarr's invincible pen; then Scaliger pretended to feel all the regret possible for a man he had killed, and whom he now praised t however, his reget had as little foundation as his triumph; for Cardan out-lived Scaliger many years, and valued his criticisms too cheaply to have suffered them to have disturbed his quiet. All this does not exceed the innectives of Poggius, who has thus entitled several literary libels composed against some of his adversaries, Laurentius Valla, Philelphus, &c, who returned the poisoned chalice to his own lips; declamations of scurriity, obscenity, and calumny, which are noticed in Mr. Shepherd's Life of Poggius.

Scioppius was a worthy successor of the Scaligers; his favourite expression was, that he had trodden down his

Scioppius was a critic, as skilful as Salmasius or Scaliger, but still more learned in the language of abuse. He was regarded as the Atilla of authors. He boasted that he had occasioned the deaths of Casaubon and Scaliger; and such was the impudence of this cynic, that he attacked with repeated satires our James the First, who, as Arthur Wilson informs us, condemned his writings e burnt in London. Detested and dreaded as the public scourge, Scioppius, at the close of his life, was fearful he should find no retreat in which he might be secure.

The great Casaubon employs the dialect of St. Giles's in his furious attacks on the learned Dalechamps, the Latin translator of Athenseus. To this great physician he stood more deeply indebted than he should confess; and to conceal the claims of this literary confess; are called out Vesamum! Insumum! Tiresium! &c. It was the fashion of that day with the redoubtable and ferocious heroes of the literary republic, to overwhelm each other with invective; and to consider their own grandeur to consist in the bulk of their books, and their triumphs in reducing their prother giants into puny dwarfs. In science, Linneus had a dread of controversy; conqueror or conquered we cannot escape without disgrace! Mathiolus would have been the escape without disgrace: Manifolds with such matters. Who is gratified by the mad Cornarus, or the flayed Fox T titles which Fuchsius and Cornarus, two eminent botanists, have bestowed on each other. Some who were too fond of controversy, as they grew wiser,

who were too tond of controversy, as they grew where, have refused to take up the gauntlet.

The heat and acrimony of verbal critics have exceeded description. Their stigmas and anathemas have been long known to bear no proportion against the offences to which they have been directed. 'God confound you,' cried one grammarian to another, 'for your theory of impersonal verbal?' There was a long and terrible controversy formula which a the Florentine dialect was to neveral over merly, whether the Florentine dialect was to prevail over the others. The academy was put to great trouble, and the Anticruscans were often on the point of annulling this supremacy; use stordace scritters was applied to one of these literary canons; and in a letter of those times the the state of those times the following paragraph appears: 'Pescetti is preparing to give a second answer to Beni, which will not please him; I now believe the prophocy of Cavalier Tedeschi will be verified, and that this controversy, begun with pens, will end with poniards!'

Fabretti, an Italian, wrote furiously against Gronovius, whom he calls Grunnovius: he compared him to all those animals whose voice was expressed by the word Grunnovie, to grant. Gronovius was so malevolent a critic, that he was distinguished by the title of the 'Grammatical Cur.'

When critics venture to attack the person as well as the performance of an author, I recommend the salutary proceedings of Huberus, the writer of an esteemed Universal History. He had been so roughly handled by Perizonius, that he obliged him to make the amende honourable in a

court of justice.

Certain authors may be distinguished by the title of Literary Bobadils, or fighting authors. It is said of one of our own celebrated writers, that he drew his sword on a reviewer; and another, when his farce was condemned, offered to fight any one of the audience who hissed. Seudery, brother of the celebrated Mademoiselle Scudery, was a true Parnassian bully. The first publication which brought him into notice was his edition of the works of his friend Theophile. He concludes the preface with these singular expressions; - I do not hesitate to declare, that amongst all the dead, and all the living, there is no person who has any thing to show that approaches the force of this vigorous genius, but if, amongst the latter, any one were so extravagant as to consider that I detract from his imaginary glory to show him, that I feet as little as I co-teem him, this is to aform him, that my name is

DE SCUDERY.'

A similar rhodomontade is that of Claude Trellon, a poetical Soldier, who begins his poems by challenging the critics; assuring them that if any one attempts to consure him, he will only condescend to answer sword in hand. Father Maccodo, a Portuguese Jesuit, having written against Cardinal Norrs, on the monkery of St Austin, it was deemed necessary to silence both parties. Maccodo, compelled to relinquish the pen, sent his adversary a challenge, and according to the laws of chivalry, appointed a place for meeting in the woods of Boulogne. Another edict to forbid the duel! Macedo then murmured at his hard fate, which would not suffer him, for the sake of St Austin, for whom he had a particular regard, to spill neither his ink nor his blood.

Anti, prefixed to the name of the person attacked, was once a favourite title to books of literary controversy. With a critical review of such books Baillot has filled a

quarto volume; yet, such was the abundant harvest, that he left considerable gleanings for posterior industry.

Anti-Gronovius was a book published against Gronovius, by Kuster. Perizonius, another pugilist of literature, entered into this dispute on the subject of the Æs grave of the ancients, to which Kuster had just adverted at the class of his redure. close of his volume. What was the consequence? Dreadful!—Answers and rejoinders from both, in which they bespattered each other with the foulest abuse. A journalist pleasantly blames this acrimonious controversy. He says, 'To read the pamphlets of a Perizonius, and a Kuster on the Æs grave of the ancients, who would not renounce all commerce with antiquity? It seems as if an renounce all commerce with antiquity? It seems as it and Agamemnon and an Achilles were railing at each other. Who can refrain from laughter, when one of these commentators even points his attacks at the very name of his adversary? According to Kuster, the name of Perizonius signifies a certain part of the human body. How is it possignifies a certain part of the human body. How is it pos-sible, that with such a name he could be right con-cerning the Æs grave? But does that of Kuster procorning the Ars grave. But does that or Ausses po-mise a better thing, since it signifies a beadle; a man who drives dogs out of churches?—What madness is this? Corneille, like our Dryden, fel: the acrimony of literary irritation. To the critical strictures of Daubignac it is

acknowledged he paid the greatest attention, for, after this critic's Pratique du Theatre appeared, his tragedies were more artfully conducted. But instead of mentioning the critic with due praise, he preserved an ungrateful sil DC6. This occasioned a quarrel between the poet and the critic, in which the former exhaled his bile in several abusive epigrams, which have, fortunately for his credit, not been preserved in his works.

The lively Voltaire could not resist the charm of abusing his adversaries. We may smile when he calls a blockid, a blockhead; a dotard, a dotard; but when he atneed, a but a life to tacks, for a difference of opinion, the morals of another man, our sensibility is alarmed. A higher tribunal than that of criticisms is to decide on the actions of men.

There is a certain disguised malice, which some writers have most unfairly employed in characterising a contemporary. Burnet called Prior, one Prior. In Bishop Parporary. Burnet called Prior, one Prior. In Estatop Far-ker's History of his own Times, an innocent reader may start at seeing the celebrated Marvell described as an outcast of society; an infamous libeller; and one whose talents were even more despicable than his person. To such lengths did the hatred of party, united with personal rancour, carry this bishop, who was himself the worst or time-ervers. He was, however, amply repaid by the keen wit of Marvell in 'The Rehearsal transposed,' which may still he read with delight, as an admirable effusion of banter, wit, and satire. Le Clerc, a cool poadefous Greek critic, quarrelled with Boileau about a passage in Longinus, and several years afterwards, in revising Moreri's Dictionary, gave a short sarcastic notice of the poet's brother; in which he calls him the elder brother of him hwho has written the book entitled 'Satires of Mir Boileau D'Espreaux ! -- the works of the modern Horace, which were then delighting Europe, he calls, with simple impudence, a book entitled Satires!

The works of Homer produced a controversy, both long and virulent, amongst the wits of France. This literary quarrel is of some note in the annals of literature, since it has produced two valuable books; La Motte's 'Reflexions sur la Critique,' and Madame Dacier's Des Causes de said that La Motte wrote with feminine delicacy, and Madame Dacier like an University podant. 'At length,' as the author of Querelles Litteraires informs us, 'by the efforts of Valincour, the friend of art, of artists, and of peace, the contest was terminated.' Both parties were formidable in number, and to each he made remonstrances, and appèed reproaches. La Motte and Madame Dacier, the opposite leaders, were convinced by his arguments, nade reciprocal concessions, and concluded a piece. The cetaty was formally ratified at a dinner, given on the ocsasion by addiadame De Stael, who represented 'Neutrality'. Libations were poured to the memory of old Komer, and the parties were reconciled.

#### TITERARY BLUEDERS.

When Dante published his 'Inferno,' the simplacity of the age accepted it as a true narrative of his descent into hell.

When the Utopia o! Sir Thomas More was first published, it occasioned to pleasant mistake. This political romance represents a p of fect, but visionary republic, in an sland supposed to have been newly-discovered in America. 'As this was the age of discovery, says Granger, 'the learned Bodesus, and others, took it for a genuine history; and considered it as highly expedient, that missionaries should be seet thither, in order to convert so wise a nation to Christianity.'

I was a long while after publication that many readers were anvinced that Gulliver's Travels were factious,

But the most singular blunder was produced by the ingenious 'Hermippus Redivirus' of Dr Campbell a curious banter on the hermetic philosophy and the universal medical care; but the grave irony is so closely kept up throughout this admirable treatise, that it deceived for a length of time the most learned of that day. His notion of the art of prolonging hie, by inhaling the breath of young women, was eagerly credited. A physician who himself had composed a treatise on health, was so influenced by it, that he actually took lodgings at a female boarding-school, that he might sever be without a constant supply of the breath of young ladies. The late fur Thicknesse seriously adopted the project. Dr Kippis acknowledges that after he read the work in his youth, the reasonings and the facts left hus several days in a kind of fairy land. I have a copy with manuscript notes by a learned physician, who seems to have had no doubts of its veracity. After all, the intention of the work was long doubtful; till Dr Campbell informed a friend it was a mere jeut d'esprit; that Bayle was considered as standing without a rival in the art of treating at large a difficult subject, without discovering to which side his own sentiments leanned; and Dr Campbell had likewise read more uncommon books than most men; he wished to rival Bayle, and at the same time to give to the world much unknown matter. He has admirably succeeded, and with this key the whole mystery it succeed.

Polarican, in his History of the Council of Trent, to confer an honour on M. Lansac, ambassador of Charles IX to that council, bestows on him a collar of the order of Saint Esprit; but which order was not instituted till several years afterwards, by Henry III. A similar voluntary binder is that of Surita, in his Annales de la Corona de Aragon. This writer represents, in the battles he describes, many persons who were not present; and this, mercy to confer honour on some particular families.

se ranges. This writer represents, in the natures he neserbes, many persons who were not present; and this,
merely to coafer honour on some particular families.

A book was written in praise of Ciampini by Ferdinand
Pahani, who, quoting a French narrative of travels in
Italy, look for the name of the author the following words,
found at the end of the title-page, Enrichi de deux Listes;
that Mr Enriched with two Lists; on this he observes,
that Mr Enriched with two lists has not failed to do that
justice to Ciampini which he merited. The abridgers of
diamer's Bib intheca ascribe the romance of Amadis to
we Accrete Olcide; Bemembrance, Oblivion. Not
knowing that these two words placed on the title-page of
the Freach version of that book, formed the translator's
Spanish mutte!

D'Aquin, the French king's physician, in his Memoir on the Preparation of Bark, takes Mandissa, which is the use of the Appendix to the History of Plants by Johnstone, for the name of an author, and who, he says, is so extremely rare, that he only knows him by name.

Lird Boileproke imagined, that in those famous verses, becoming with Excelent alii, &c., Virgil attributed to the Roman the glory of having surpassed the Greeks in historial composition: according to his idea, those Roman internals when Virgil preferred to the Greeinas, were

Sallust, Livy, and Tacitus. But Virgil died before Livy had written his history, or Tacitus was born.

An honest friar, who compiled a church history, has placed in the class of ecclesiastical writers, Guarini, the Italian poet; this arose from a most risible blunder: on the faith of the title of his celebrated amorous pastoral, R Pastor fide, 'The Faithful Shepherd,' our good father imagined that the character of a curate, vicar, or bishop, was represented in this work.

A blunder has been recorded of the monks in the dark ages, which was likely enough to happen when their ignorance was so dense. A rector of a parish going to law with his parishioners about paving the church, quoted this authority from St Peter—Paveant illi, non paveam ego which he construed, They are to pave the church, not I This was allowed to be good law by a judge, himself an ecclesiastic too!

One of the grossest literary blunders of modern times is that of the late Gilbert Wakefield, in his edition of Pope. He there takes the well known 'Song by a Person of Quality,' which is a piece of ridicule on the glittering tuneful nonsense of certain poets, as a serious composition. In a most copious commentary, he fatigues himself to prove that every line seems unconnected with its brothers, and that the whole reflects disgrace on its author, &c. A circumstance which too evidently shows how necessary the knowledge of modern literary history is to a modern commentator, and that those who are profound in verbal Greek are not the best critics on English writers.

Prosper Marchand has recorded a pleasant mistake of Abbé Bizot, the author of the medallic history of Holland. Having met with a medal, struck when Philip II set forth his invincible Armada, on which was represented the King of Spain, the Emperor, the Pope, Electors, Cardinals, &c., with their eyes covered with a bandage and bearing for inscription this fine verse of Lucreius:

# O cæcas hominum mentes! O pectora cæca!

prepossessed with the false prejudice, that a nation persecuted by the pope and his adherents could not represent them without some insult, he did not examine with sufficient care the ends of the bandages which covered the eyes and waved about the heads of the personages represented on this medal; he rashly took them for asses. ears, and as such they are engraved!

Mabilion has preserved a curious literary blunder of some pious Spaniards, who applied to the Pope for consecrating a day in honour of Saint Viar. His holiness, in the voluminous catalogue of his saints, was ignorant of this one. The only proof brought forwards for his existence was this inscription:

# S. VIAR.

An antiquary, however, hindered one more festival in the Catholic calendar, by convincing them that these letters were only the remains of an inscription erected for an ancient surveyor of the roads; and he read their saintship thus;

# PREFECTUS VIARUM.

Maffei, in his comparison between Medals and Inscriptions, detects a literary blunder in Spon, who, meeting with this inscription,

# Maxime VL Consule.

takes the letters VI for numerals, which occasions a strange anachronism. They are only contractions of Viro Illustri—VI.

As absurd a blunder was this of Dr Stukeley on the coins of Carausius; finding a battered one with a defaced inscription of

# he read it

# FORTYRA AVG.

And sagaciously interpreting this to be the wife of Carausius, makes a new personage start up in history: he contrives even to give some theoretical Memoirs of the August Oriuma!

In the Valeriana we find, that it was the opinion of Father Sirmond, that Si Ursula and her eleven thousand Virgins were all created out of a blunder. In some ancient as they found St Ursula et Undecimilla V. M meaning St Ursula and Undecimilla with the V. and M which followed was an abreviation for Undecem Millia Martyrum Virginum, made out of Two Virgins the whole Eleven Thousand!

Pope, in a note on Measure for Measure, informs us, that its story was taken from Cinthio's Novels, Dec. 8, Nov. 5. That is, Decade 8, Novel 5. The critical Warburton, in his edition of Shakspeare (as the author of Canons of citicism observes) puts the words in full length thus, December 8, November 5.

Voltaire has given in his Philosophical Dictionary, arti-cle Abus des Mots, a literary anecdote of a singular na-ture; a complete qui pro quo. When the fragments of Petronus made a great noise in the literary world, Mei-bomius, an erudit of Lubeck, read in a letter from another learned scholar of Bologna, 'We have here an entire Petronius; I saw it with mine own eyes, and with admirarecommends is saw it with mine own eyes, and with admira-tion.' Melbomius in post-haste travels to Italy, arrives at Bologna, and immediately inquires for the librarian Capponi. He asks him if it was true that they had at Bologna an entire Petronius. Capponi assures him that it was a thing which had long been public. Can I see this Petronius? Have the kindness to let me examine it. Certainly, replies Capponi. He leads our erudit of Lubeck to the church where reposes the body of Saint Petronius. Meibomius bites his lip, calls for his chaise, and takes his flight.

A French translator, when he came in sugge of Swift, in which it is said that the Duke a man orough broke an officer; not being acquainted with this Anglicism,

he translated it roue, broke on a wheel!

Cibber's play of Love's last Shift' was entitled La Derniere Chemise de l'Amour' A French writer of Congreve's life has taken his Mourning for a Morning Bride, and translated it L' Espouse du Matin.

Sir John Pringle mentions his having cured a soldier by the use of two quarts of Dog and Duck water daily; a French translator specifies it as an excellent broth made of a duck and a dog! In a recent catalogue compiled by a French writer of Works on Natural History, he has inserted the well-known 'Essay on Irish Bulls' by the Edgeworths. The proof, if it required any, that a French-man cannot understand the idiomatic style of Shakspeare appears in a French translator, who prided himself on giving a verbal translation of our great poet, not approving of Le Tourneur's paraphrastical version. He found in the celebrated speech of Northumberland in Henry IV.

Even such a man, so faint, so spiritless, So duli, so dead in look, so we-begone-

which he renders 'Ainsi, douleur! vo-t'en!'
A remarkable literary blunder has been recently committed by the Abbé Gregoire; who affords another striking proof of the errors to which foreigners are liable when they decide on the language and customs of another country. The abbe, in the excess of his philanthropy, to show to what dishonourable offices human nature is degraded, acquaints us that at London he observed a sign-board proclaiming the master as tuer des puncises de sa majesté!
Bug-destroyer to his majesty! This is no doubt the
honest Mr Tiffin, in the Strand; and the idea which
must have occurred to the good abbé was, that his majesty's bugs were hunted by the said destroyer, and taken by hand—and thus human nature was degraded!

A French writer translates the Latin title of a treatise of Philo-Judgeus, Omnis bonus liber est, Every good man is a free man, by Tout livre est bon. It was well for him, observes Jortin, that he did not live within the reach of the Inquisition, which might have taken this as a reflec-

tion on the Index Expurgatorius.

An English translator turned 'Dieu défend l' adultere,' into 'God defends adultery.' Guthrie, in his translation of Du Halde, has 'the twenty-sixth day of the new moon.' The whole age of the moon is but twenty-eight days. The blunder arose from his mistaking the word neuviene (nine) for nouvelle or neuve (new.)

The facetious Tom Browne committed a strange blunder in his translation of Gelli's Circs. When he came to the word Starne, not aware of its signification, he boldly rendered it stares, probably from the similitude of sound; the succeeding translator more correctly discovered Starne

to be red-legged partridges!

In Charles II's reign a new collect was drawn, in which a new epithet was added to the king's title, that gave, says Burnet, great offence, and occasioned great raillery. He was styled our most religious king. Whatever the signification of religious might be in the Lutin word as importing the sacredness of the king's person, yet in the English language it bore a signification that was no way

applicable to the king. And he was asked by his familiar courtiers, what must the nation think when they heard him prayed for as their most religious king?—Literary blunders of this nature are frequently discovered in the versions of good larging leading belong the world make the versions. of good classical scholars, who would make the English servilely bend to the Latin and Greek; however its genius will not bear the yoke their unskilful hands put on its neck. Milton has been justly consured for his free use of Laus-isms and Grecisms.

The blunders of modern antiquaries on sepulchral monu-ments are numerous. One mistakes a tion at a knight's feet for a water curled dog; another could not distinguish censers in the hands of angels from fishing-nets; two angels at a lady's feet were counted as her two cherub-like babes; and another has mistaken a leopard and a kedge-kog for a out and a rat! In some of these cases are the antiquaries

or the sculptors most to be blamed?

A literary blunder of Thomas Warton is a specimen of the manner in which a man of genius may continue to blunder with infinite ingenuity. In an old romance be finds these lines, describing the duel of Saladin with Richard Cour de Lion:

A Faucon brode in hande he bare, For he thought he wolde thare Have slayne Richard.

He imagines this Faucon brode means a falcon bird, or a hawk, and that Saladin is represented with this bird on his fist to express his contempt of his adversary. He supports his conjecture by noticing a Gothic picture, supposed to be the subject of this duel, and also some old tapestry of heroes on horseback with hawks on their fasts; be plunges into feudal times where no gentleman appeared on horseback without his hawk. After all this curious erudation, the rough but skilful Ritson inhumanly triumphed by dissolving the magical fancies of the more elegant Warton, by explaining, a Foucon brede to be nothing more than a broad foulchion, which was certainly more useful than a bird, in a duel.

Bayle supposes that Marcellus Palingenius, who wrote a poem entiled the Zediae; the twelve books bearing the ames of the signs; assumed, from this circumstance, the title of Paeta Stellatus. But it appears, that this writer was an Italian and a native of Stellads, a town in the Ferrarese. It is probable that his birth-place produced the conceit of the title of his poem: it is a curious instance how a critical conjecture may be led astray by its own in-

genuity, when ignorant of the real fact.

different character.

# A LITERARY WIFE.

Marriage is such a rabore rous,
That those that are out would fain get in;
And those that are in would fain get out.
Chaucer.

Having examined some literary blunders, we will now proceed to the subject of a literary wife, which may happen to prove one. A learned lady is to the taste of few. It is however matter of surprise, that several literary mean should have felt such a want of taste in respect to "theur soul's far dearer part,' as Hector calls his Andromache.
The wives of many men of letters have been dissolute, illhumoured, slatternly, and have run into all the frivolities of the age. The wife of the learned Budseus was of a

How delightful is it when the mind of the female is so happily disposed, and so richly cultivated, as to participate in the literary avocations of her husband! It is then truly that the intercourse of the sexes becomes the most refined pleasure. What delight, for instance, must the great Budmus have tasted, even in those works which must have been for others a most dreadful labour! His wife left him nothing to desire. The frequent companion of his studies, she brought him the books he required to his desk; she compared passages, and transcribed quotations; the same genius, the same inclinations, and the same ardour for lite-rature, eminently appeared in those two fortunate persons. Far from withdrawing her husband from his studies, she was sodulous to animate him when he languished. Res at his side and ever assiduous; ever with some useful book in her hand, she acknowledged herself to be a most happy woman. Yet she did not neglect the education of eleven children. She and Budsus shared in the they owed their progeny. Budges was not insensible of his singular felicity. In one of his letters, he represents himself as married to two ladies; one of whom gave him Joys and girls, the other was Philosophy, who produced books. He says, that in his twelve first years, Philosophy had been less fruitful than Marriage; he had produced less books than children; he had laboured more corporally than intellectually; but he hoped to make more books than children. 'The soul (says he) will be productive in its turn; it will rise on the ruins of the body; a prolific virtue is not given at the same time to the bodily organs and the

The lady of Evelyn designed herself the frontispiece to his translation of Lucretius. She felt the same passion in her own breast as animated her husband's, who has written with such various ingenuity. Of Baron Haller it is re-corded that he inspired his wife and family with a taste for his different pursuits. They were usually employed in assisting his literary occupations; they transcribed manuscripts, consulted authors, gathered plants and designed and coloured under his eye. What a delightful family picture has the younger Pliny given posterity in his letters.—See Melmoth's translation, Book iv, xix. Of letters'.—See Melmoth's translation, Book iv, xix. Of Calphurnia, his wife, he says, 'Her affection to me has given her a turn to books; and my compositions, which she takes a pleasure in reading, and even getting by heart, are continually in her hands. How full of tender solicitude is she when I am entering upon any cause! How kindly does she rejoice with me when it is over! While I am pleading, she places persons to inform her from time to time how I am heard, what applauses I receive, and what success attends the cause. When at any time I recite my works, she conceals herself behind some curtain, and with secret rapture enjoys my praises. She sings my verses to her lyre, with no other master but love, the best instructor, for her guide. Her passion will increase with our days, for it is not my youth nor my person, which time gradually impairs, but my reputation and my glory, of which she is enamoured."

On the subject of a literary wife, I must introduce to the acquaintance of the reader, Margaret duchess of Newcastle. She is known at least by her name, as a voluminous writer! for she extended her literary productions to

the number of twelve folio volumes. Her labours have been ridiculed by some wits; but had her studies been regulated she would have displayed no ordinary genius. The Conneisseur has quoted her poems, and the verses have been imitated by Milton.

The duke, her husband, was also an author; his book on horsemanship still preserves his name. He has like-wise written comedies, of which Langbaine, in his ac-count of our poets, speaks well; and his contemporaries have not been penurious in their eulogiums. It is true he was a duke. Shadwell says of him, 'That he was the greatest master of wit, the most exact observer of man-kind, and the most accurate judge of humour that ever he knew.' The life of the duke is written (to employ the language of Langbaine) 'by the hand of his incomparable duchess.' It was published in his lifetime. This curious duchess.' It was published in his listume. This curious piece of biography is a folio of 197 pages, and is entitled 'The Life of the Thrice Noble, High, and Puissant Prince, William Cavendish.' His titles then follow:— Written by the Thrice Noble, Illustrious, and excellent Princess, Margaret Duchess of Newcastle, his Wife. London 1667. This Life is dedicated to Charles the Second; and there is also prefixed a copious epistle to her husband the duke.

In this epistle the character of our Literary Wife is described, with all its peculiarities; and no apology will be required for extracting what relates to our noble au-thoress. The reader will be amused while he forms a more correct idea of a literary lady, with whose name he must be acquainted.

She writes: 'Certainly, my lord, you have had as many encomies and as many friends as ever any one particular person had; nor do I so much wonder at it, since I, a wom cannot be exempt from the malice and aspersions of spiteful tongues, which they cast upon my poor writings, some denying me to be the true authoress of them; for your grace remembers well, that these books I put out first to the judgment of this censorious age were accounted not to one written by a woman, but that somebody else had written and published them in my name; by which your lordship was moved to prefix an epistle before one of them in my vindication, wherein you assure the world, upon your honour, that what was written and printed in my name was my own, and I have also made known that your lordship was my only turor in declaring to me what you had found and

observed by your own experience; for I being young when your lordship married me could not have much knowledge of the world; but it pleased God to command his servant Nature to endue me with a poetical and philosophical genius, even from my birth; for I did write some books in that kind before I was twelve years of age, which, for want of good method and order I would never divulge. But though the world would not believe that those conceptions though the world would not believe that those conceptions and lancies which I writ were my own, but transc-inded my capacity, yet they found fault, that they were defective for want of learning; and on the other side, they said I had pluckt feathers out of the universities, which was a very preposterous judgment. Truly, my lord, I confess that for want of scholarship, I could not express myself so well as otherwise I might have done in those philosophical writings I published first; but after I was returned with your lordship into my native country, and led a retired country life, I applied myself to the reading of philosophical authors, on purpose to learn those names and words of art that are used in schools: which at first were so hard to me. are used in schools; which at first were so hard to me, that I could not understand them, but was fain to guess at the sense of them by the whole context, and so writ them down as I found them in those authors; at which my readers did wonder, and thought it impossible that a woman could have so much learning and understanding in terms of art and scholastical expressions; so that I and my books are like the old application expressions; so that I and my books of a father which applicates mentioned in New of a father are like the old apologue mentioned in Æsop, of a father and his son who rid on an ass.' Here follows a long narrative of this fable, which she applies to herself in these words-' The old man seeing he could not please mankind is any manner, and having received so many blemishes and aspersions for the sake of his ass, was at last resolved to drown him when he came to the next bridge. But I am not so passionate to burn my writings for the various hu-mours of mankind, and for their finding fault; since there is nothing in this world, be it the noblest and most com-mendable action whatsoever, that shall escape blameless. As for my being the true and only authoress of them your lordship knows best; and my attending servants are witness that I have had none but my own thoughts, fancies, and speculations, to assist me; and as soon as I set ther down I send them to those that are to transcribe them, and down I send them to the them to trained the things of them for the press; whereof, since there have been several, and amongst them such as only could write a good hand, but seither understood orthography, nor had any learning (I being them in banishment, with your lordship, and not able to maintain learned secretaries) which half been a great disadvantage to my poor works, and the cause that they have been printed so false and so full of errors; for besides that I want also skill in scholarship and true writing, I did many times not peruse the copies that were transcribed, lest they should disturb my following conceptions; by which neglect, as I said, many errors are slipt into my works, which yet I hope learned and impartial readers will soon rectify, and look more upon the sense than carp on words. I have been a student even from my childhood; and since I have been your lordship's wife I have lived for the most part a strict and retired life, as is best known to your lordship; and therefore my censurers cannot know much of me, since they have little or no acquaintance with me. 'Tis true I have been agraveller dualitance with me. It is true I have been agraves on both before and after I was married to your lordship, and sometimes show myself at your lordship's command in public places or assemblies, but yet I converse with few. Indeed, my lord, I matter not the cassures of this age, but am rather proud of them; for it shows that my actions are more than ordinary, and, according to the old proverb, It is better to be envied than pitied; for I know well that it is merely out of spite and malice, whereof this present age is so full that none can escape them, and they 'll make no doubt to stain even your lordship's loyal, noble, and heroic doubt to stain even your lordsing's loyal, none, and nerose actions, as well as they do mine; though yours have been of war and fighting, mine of contemplating and writing; yours were performed publicly in the field, mine privately in my closet; your's had many thousand eye-witnesses, mine none but my waiting maids. But the great God, that hitherto bless'd both your grace and me, will, I question not, research both our fames to affers see. tion not, preserve both our fames to after-ages. Your grace's honest wife,

and humble servant,
M. NEWCASTLE.

The last portion of this life, which consists of the observations and good things which she had gathered from the conversations of her husband, forms an excellent Ana; and shows that when Lord Orford, in his 'Catalogue of Noble Authors,' says, that ' this stately poetic couple was a picture of foolish nobility,' he writes, as he does too often, with extreme levity. But we must now attend to the reverse of our modal.

Many chagrins may corrode the nuptial state of literary men. Females who, prompted by vanity, but not by taste, unite themselves to scholars, must ever complain of neglect. The inexhaustible occupations of a library will only present to such a most dreary solitude. Such a lady declared of her learned husband, that she was more jealous of his books than his mistresses. It was probable while Glover was composing his 'Leonidas,' that his lady avenged herself for his Homeric inattention to her, and avenged herself for his Homeric mattention to her, and took her flight with a lover. It was peculiar to the learned Dacier to be united to a woman, his equal in erudition and his superior in taste. When she wrote in the album of a German traveller a verse from Sophocles as an apology for her unwillingness to place herself among his learned friends, that 'Silence is the female's ornament,' it was a remarkable trait of her modesty. The learned Paquier was coupled to a female of a different character, since he called in his Epicement hat to manner the verification of tells us in his Epigrams that to manage the vociferations of his lady, he was compelled himself to become a vociferator. versal peace! But to have peace I am obliged ever to be at war.

Sir Thomas More was united to a woman of the harshest temper and the most sordid manners. To soften the moroseness of her disposition, 'he persuaded her to play on the lute, viol, and other instruments, every day.' ther it was that she had no ear for music, she herself never became harmonious as the instrument she touched. All these ladies may be considered as rather too alert in these lactes may be considered as rather too alert methought, and too spirited in action; but a tame cuckoo bird who is always repeating the same tone, must be very fatiguing. The lady of Samuel Clarke, the great compiler of books in 1680, whose name was anagrammatised to "suck all cream," alluding to his indefatigable labours in sucking all the cream of every other author without having any cream himself, is described by her husband as hav-ing the most sublime conceptions of his illustrious compilations. This appears by her behaviour. He says, 'that she never rose from table without making him a courtesy, nor drank to him without bowing, and that his word was a law to her.

I was much surprised in looking over a correspondence of the times, that in 1590 the Bishop of Lichfield and Co-ventry writing to the earl of Shrewsbury on the subject of his living separate from his countess, uses as one of his arguments for their union the following curious one, which surely shows the gross and cynicial feeling which the fair sex excited even among the higher classes of society.

The language of this good bishop is neither that of truth,

we hope, nor certainly that of religion.

But some will say in your Lordship's behalfs that the Countesse is a sharp and bitter shrewe, and therefore lieke enough to shorten your lief, if shee should kepe yow company. Indeede, my good Lord, I have heard some say so; but if shrewdnesse or sharpnesse may be a juste cause of separation between a man and wiefe, I thinck fewe men in Englande would keepe their wives longe; for it is a common jeste, yet trewe in some sense, that there is but one shrewe in all the worlde, and everee man hath her; and so everee man must be ridd of his wiefe that wolde be ridd of a shrewe.' It is wonderful this good bishop did not use another argument as cogent, and which would in those times be allowed as something; the name of his lordship Shrewsbury, would have afforded a consolatory pun!

The entertaining Marville says that the generality of ladies married to literary men are so vain of the abilities and merit of their husbands, that they are frequently un-

The wife of Barclay, author of 'The Argenis,' considered herself as the wife of a demigod. This appeared glaringly after his death: for Cardinal Barberini having crected a monument to the memory of his tutor, next to the tomb of Barclay, Mrs. Barclay was so irritated at this that she demolished his monument, brought home his bust, and declared that the ashes of so great a genius as her husband should never be placed beside so villanous a

Salmasius's wife was a termagant; and Christina said she admired his patience more than his erudition, married to such a shrow. Mrs. Salmasius indeed considered herself as the queen of science, because her husband was

acknowledged as sovereign among the critics. She boast ed she had for her husband the most learned of all the zo bles, and the most noble of all the learned. lady always joined the learned conferences which he held in his study. She spoke loud, and decided with a tone of majesty. Salmasius was mild in conversation, but the remajesty. Salmasius was mild in conversation, but the reverse in his writings, for our proud Kantippe considered him as acting beneath himself if he did not majesterially call every one names!

vife of Rohault, when her husband gave lectures on the philosophy of Descartes, used to seat herself on these days at the door, and refused admittance to every one shabbily dressed, or who did not discover a gentee air. So convinced was she that, to be worthy of hearing the lectures of her husband, it was proper to appear fash-ionable. In vain our good lecturer exhausted himself m telling her that fortune does not always give fine clothes to

philosophers.

The ladies of Albert Durer and Berghem were both rows. The wife of Durer compelled that great genius to do the hourly drudgery of his profession, merely to gratify her own sordid passion: in despair, Albert ran away from his Tisiphone; she wheedled him back, and not long afterwards this great artist fell a vectim to her furious disposition. Berghem's wife would never allow that excellent artist to quit his occupations: and she contrived an odd expedient to detect his indolence. The artist worked in a room above her; ever and anon she roused him by thumping a long stick against the ceiling, while the obedient Berghem answered by stamping his foot, to satisfy

Mrs Berghem that he was not napping

Ælian had an aversion to the marriage state. Sigonius,
a learned and well known scholar, would never marry, and
alleged no inelegant reason; that 'Minerva and Venus

could not live together.'

Matrimony has been considered by some writers as a condition not so well suited to the circumstances of phi-losophers and men of learning. There is a little tract which professes to investigate the subject. It has for title, De Matrimonio Literati, an calibem esse, an vero nubere conveniat, i. e. of the Marriage of a Man of Letters, with an inquiry whether it is most proper for him to continue a

Bachelor, or to marry.

The author alleges the great merit of some women;

Montefeltro. particularly that of Gonzaga the consort of Montefeltro, duke of Urbino; a lady of such distinguished accomplishments, that Peter Bembus said, none but a stupid man would not prefer one of her conversations to all the formal

meetings and disputations of the philosophers.

'The ladies perhaps will be surprised to find that it is a question among the learned, Whether they ought to marry? and will think it an unaccountable property of learning that it should lay the professors of it under an obligation to disregard the sex. But whatever opinion these gentlemen may have of that amiable part of the species, it is very questionable whether, in return for this want of complaiance in them, the generality of ladies would not prefer the beau and the man of fashion to the man of sense and learning. However, if the latter be considered as valuable in the eyes of any of them, let there be Gonzagas, and I dare pronounce that this question will be soon determined in their favour, and they will find converts enough to their charms.

The sentiments of Sir Thomas Browne, on the consequences of marriage, are very curious, in the seond part or his Religio Medici, Sect. 9. When he wrote that work, he said 'I was never yet once, and commend their resolu-tions, who never marry twice.' He calls woman 'the rib, and crooked piece of man.' He adds, 'I could be content that we might procreate like trees, without conjunction, or that there were any way to procreate the world without this trivial and vulgar way.' He means the union of sexes, which he declares ' is the foolishest act a wise man commits in all his life, nor is there any thing that will more deject his cooled imagination, when he shall consider what an odd and unworthy piece of folly he hath committed. He afterwards declares he is not averse to that sweet sex, but naturally amorous of all that is beautiful; 'I could look a whole day with delight upon a handsome picture, though it be but of a horse.' He afterwards disserts very profoundly on the music there is in beauty, 'and the si-lent note which Cupid strikes is far sweeter than the sound of an instrument.' Such were his sentiments when vouthful, and residing at Leyden: Dutch philosophy had at first chilled his passion; it is probable that passion afterwards

nstamed his philosophy-for he married and had four laughters!

Dr Cocchi, a modern Italian writer, but apparently a cynic as old as Diogenes, has taken the pains of composing a treatise on the present subject—enough to terrify the boldest Backelor of Arts! he has conjured up every chimera against the marriage of a literary man. He seems however to have drawn his disgusting portrait from his own country; and the chaste beauty of Britain only looks the more lovely beside this Florentine wife.

I shall not retain the cynicism which has coloured such revolting features. When at length the doctor finds a women as all women ought to be, he opens a new spring of misfortunes which must attend her husband. He dreads one of the probable consequences of matrimony,—proge-ny, in which we must maintain the children we beget! He thinks the father gains nothing in his old age from the tender offices administered by his own children: he asseris these are much better performed by menials and strangers! The more children he has, the less he can afford to have servants! The maintenance of his children will greatly diminish his property! Another alarming object in marriage is that, by affinity, you become connected with the relations of the wife. The envious and ill-bred insinuations of the mother, the family quarrels, their poverty or their pride, all disturb the unhappy sage, who falls into the trap of connubial felicity! But if a sage has resolved to marry, he impresses on him the prudential principle of increasing his fortune by it, and to remember his 'additional expenses!' Dr Cocchi seems to have thought that a human being is only to live for himself; he had neither a heart to feel, a head to conceive, nor a pen that could have written one harmonious period, or one beautiful mage! Bayle, in his article Raphelengius, note B, gives a singular specimen of logical subtilty, in 'a reflection on the consequences of marriage.' This learned man was the consequences or marriage. Into tearned man was imagined to have died of grief for having lost his wife, and passed three years in protracted despair. What therefore must we think of an unhappy marriage, since a happy one is exposed to such evils? He then shows that an unhappy marriage is attended by beneficial consequences to the survivor. In this dilemma, in the one case, the husband lives afraid his wife will die, in the other that she will not! If you love her, you will always be afraid of losing her; if you do not leve her, you will always be afraid of not losing her. Our satirical Celebataire is gored by the horns of the dilemma he has conjured up.

James Petiver, a famous botanist, then a bachelor, the triend of Sir Hans Sloane, in an album which I have seen, signs his name, with this designation:

'From the Goat tavers in the Strand, London, Nov.

27. In the 34th year of my freedom. A. D. 1697.

# DEDICATIONS.

Some authors excelled in this species of literary arti-The Italian Doni dedicated each of his letters, in a hook called La Librairia, to persons whose names hegan with the first letter of the epistle; and dedicated the whole collection in another epistle; so that the book, which only consisted of forty-five pages, was dedicated to above rwenty persons. This is carrying literary mendicity pretty high. Politi, the editor of the Martyrologium Ropretty night. Folish the eather of 1761, has improved on the idea of Doni; for to the 365 days of the year of this Martyrology he has prefixed to each an epistle dedicatory. It is fortunate to have a large circle of acquaintance, though not worthy of being saints. Galland, the translator of the Arabian Nights, prefixed a dedication to each tale which he gave; had he finished the 'one thousand and one,' he would have surpassed even the Martyrologist.

Mademoiselle Scudery tells a remarkable expedient of an ingenious trader in this line—One Rangouze made a collection of Letters, which he printed without numbering them. By this means the book-binder put that letter which the author ordered him first; so that all the persons to whom he presented this book, seeing their names at the head, considered themselves under a particular obligation. There was likewise an Italian physician, who having wrote on Hippocrates' Aphorisms, dedicated each book of his Commentaries to one of his friends, and the index

to another!

More than one of our own authors have dedications in the same spirit. It was an expedient to procure dedicatory fee; for publishing books by subscription was an art then undiscovered. One prefixed a different dedica-

tion to a certain number of printed copies, and addressed them to every great man he knew, who he thought relished a morsel of flattery, and would pay handsomely for a coarse luxury. Sir Balthazar Gerbier, in this 'Counsel to Builders,' has made up half the work with forty-two Dedications, which he excuses by the example of Antonio Perez; yet in these dedications he scatters a heap of curious things, for he was a very universal genius. Percz, once secretary of state to Philip II of Spain, dedicates this 'Obras,' first to 'Nuestro sanctissimo Padre', and 'Al Sacro Collegio,' then follows one to 'Henry IV, and then one still more embracing, 'A Todos.' Fuller, in his 'Church History,' has with admirable contrivance introduced twelve itila-nage, besides the general contrivance introduced twelve title-pages, besides the general one, and as many particular dedications, and no less than fifty or sixty of those by inscriptions and which are addressed to his benefactors; a circumstance which Heylin in his severity did not overlook: for 'making his work bigger by forty sheets at the least; and he was so ambitious of the number of his patrons that having but four leaves at the end of his History, he discovers a particular benefactress to inscribe them to! This unlucky lady, the patroness of four leaves, Heylin compares to Roscius Regulus, who accepted the consular dignity for that part of the day on which Cecina by a decree of the senate was degraded from it, which occasioned Regulus to be ridiculed by the

people all his life after, as the consul of half a day.

The price for the dedication of a play was at length fixed, from five to ten guineas from the Revolution to the time of George I, when it rose to twenty, but sometimes a bargain was to be struck when the author and the play were alike indifferent. Sometimes the party haggled about the price, or the statue while stepping into his niche could turn round on the author to assist his invention. patron of Peter Motteux dissatisfied with Peter's colder temperament, actually composed the superlative dedication to himself, and completed the misery of the apparent author by subscribing it with his name. This circumstance was so notorious at the time, that it occasioned a satirica dialogue between Motteux and his patron Heveningham. The patron, in his zeal to omit no possible distinction that might attach to him, had given one circumstance which

no one but himself could have known.

# PATRON.

I must confess I was to blame That one particular to name; The rest could never have been known, I made the style so like thy own.

POET.

I beg your pardon sir for that PATRON.

e what would you be at?

I writ below myself you sot Avoiding figures, tropes, what not, For fear I should my fancy raise Above the level of thy plays!

Warton notices the common practice, about the reign of Elizabeth, of our authors dedicating a work at once to a number of the nobility. Chapman's Translation of Homer has sixteen sonnets addressed to lords and ladies. Henry Lock, in a collection of two hundred religious sonnets, mingles with such heavenly works the terrestrial composition of a number of sonnets to his noble patrons, and not to multiply more instances, our great poet Spenser, in compliance with this disgraceful custom, or rather in obedience to the established tyranny of patronage, has prefixed to the Fairy Queen fifteen of these adulatory pieces, which, in every respect, are the meanest of his compositions. At this period all men, as well as writers, looked up to peers, as on beings on whose smiles or frowns all sublunary good and evil depended. At a much later period, Elkanah Settle sent copies round to the chief party, for he wrote for both parties, accompanied by addresses, to extort pecuniary presents in return. He had latterly one standard *Elegy*, and one *Epithalamium*, printed off with blanks, which by ingenuously filling up with the printed names of any great person who died or was married, no one who was going out of life or was entering into it, could pass scot free.

One of the most singular anecdotes respecting Dedications in English bibliography, is that of the Polyglot bible of Dr Castell. Cromwell, much to his honour, patronised of Dr Casient. Ording in much to an allowed the paper to be imported free of all duties, both of excise and custom. It was publicated by

lished under the protectorate, but many copies had not been disposed of ere Charles II ascended the throne. Dr Castell had dedicated the work gratefully to Oliver, by mentioning him with peculiar respect in the preface, but he wavered with Richard Cromwell. At the restoration, he cancelled the 'wo last leaves, and supplied their places with three others, which softened down the republican strains, and blotted Oliver's name out of the book of life! The differences in what are now called the republican and the loyal copies have amused the curious collectors; and the former being very scarce are most sought after. I have seen the republican. In the loyal copies the patrons of the work are mentioned, but their titles are essentially changed; Screnissimus, Illustrissimus, and Honoraltissimus, were epithets that dared not show themselves under the levelling influence of the great fanatic republican.

It is a curious literary folly, not of an individual, but of the Spanish nation, who, when the laws of Castile were reduced into a code under the reign of Alfonso X, surnamed the Wise, divided the work into seven volumes; that they might be dedicated to the seven letters which formed

the name of his majesty!

Never was a gigantic baby of adulation so crammed with the soft pap of *Dedications* as Cardinal Richelieu. French flattery even exceeded itself.—Among the vast number of very extraordinary dedications to this man, in which the divinity itself is disrobed of its attributes to bestow them on this miserable creature of vanity, I suspect that even the following one is not the most blasphemous he received. 'Who has seen your face without being seized by those softened terrors which made the prophets shudder when God showed the beams of his glory? But as he whom they dared not to approach in the burning bush, and whom they dark not to approach in the noise of thunders, appeared to them sometimes in the freshness of the zephyrs, so the softness of your august countenance dissipates at the same time, and changes into dew, the small vapours which cover its majesty. One of these herd of dedicators, after the death of Richelieu, suppressed in a second edition his hyperbolical panegyric, and as a punishment he inflicted on himself, dedicated the work to Jesus Christ!

The same taste characterises our own dedications in the reigns of Charles II and James II. The great Dryden has carried it to an excessive height; and nothing is more usual than to compare the patron with the Div nity—and at times a fair inference may be drawn that the former was more in the author's mind than God himself! A Welsh bishop made an apology to James I, for preferring the Deity—to his Majesty! Burke has admirably observed on Dryden's extravagant dedications, that they were the vices of the time more than of the man; they were loaded with flattery, and no disgrace was annexed to such an exercise of men's talents; the contest being who should go farthest in the most graceful way, and with the best turns of expression.

An ingenious dedication was contrived by Sir Simon Degge, who dedicated 'the Parson's Counsellor' to Woods, Bishop of Lichfield, with this intention. Degge highly complimented the Bishop on having most nobly restored the church, which had been demolished in the civil wars, and was rebuilt but left unfinished by Bishop Hacket. At the time he wrote the dedication, Woods had not turned a single stone, and it is said, that much against his will he did something from having been so publicly reminded

of it by this ironical dedication.

# PRILOSOPHICAL DESCRIPTIVE POEMS.

The botanic garden once appeared to open a new route through the trodden groves of Parnassus. The poet, with a prodigality of imagination, united all the minute accuracy of Science. It is a highly repolished labour, and was in the mind and in the hand of its author for twenty years before its first publication. The excessive polish of the verse has appeared too high to be endured throughout a long composition; it is certain that, in poems of length, a versification, which is not too florid for lyrical composition, will weary by its brilliancy. Darwin, inasmuch as a rich philosophical fancy constitutes a poet, possesses the entire art of poetry; no one has carried the curious me-chanism of verse and the artificial magic of poetical diction to higher perfection. His volcanic head flamed with imagination, but his torpid heart slept unawakened by passion. His standard of poetry is by much too limited; he supposes that the essence of poetry is something of which

a painter can make a picture. A picturesque verse was with him a verse completely poetical. But the language of the passions has no connexion with this principle; truth, what he delineates as poetry itself, is but one of its provinces. Deceived by his illusive standard, he has comprovinces. Decived or in musive statutard, he has con-posed a poem which is perpetually fancy, and never pas-sion. Hence his processional splendour fatigues, and his descriptive ingenuity comes at length to be deficient in novelty, and all the miracles of art cannot supply us with one touch of nature.

Descriptive puetry should be relieved by a skilful intermixture of passages addressed to the heart as well as to the imagination: uniform description satiates; and has been considered as one of the inferior branches of poetry.

Of this both Thomson and Goldsmith were sensible. In their beautiful descriptive poems they knew the art of animating the pictures of Fancy with the glow of Sentiment.

Whatever may be thought of the originality of this poem, it has been preceded by others of a congenial dispoem, it has been preceded by others of a congenial dis-position. Brookes' poem on 'Universal Beauty,' published about 1735, presents us with the very model of Darwin's versification; and the Latin poem of De la Croix, in 1727, intitled 'Connubia Florum,' with his subject. There also exists a race of poems which have hitherto been confined to one object, which the poet selected from the works of nature, to embellish with all the splendour of poetic imagination. I have collected some titles.

Perhaps it is Homer, in his Battle of the Froguend Miss, Perhaps it is Homer, in his Battle of the Programs Afree, and Virgil in the poem on a Gnat, attributed to him, who have given birth to these lusury poems. The Jesuits, particularly when they composed in Latin verse, were partial to such subjects. There is a little poem on Gold, by P. Le Fevre, distinguished for its elegance; and Brussoy has given the Art of making Glass; in which he has described its various productions with equal felicity and knowledge. P. Valures has written on Piscons. Deknowledge. P. Vaniore has written on Pigeons, Du Cerceau on Butterfies. The success which attended these productions produced numerous imitations, of which several were favourably received. Vaniere composed three on the Grape, the Vintage, and the Kitchen Garden. Another poet selected Oranges for his theme; others have chosen for their subjects, Paper, Birds, and fresh-water Fish. Tarillon has inflamed his imagination with Gunpounder; a milder genius, delighted with the oaten pipe, sang of Sheep; one who was more pleased with another kind of pipe, has written on Tobacco; and a droll genius wrote a poem on Asses. Two writers have formed diductic poems on the Art of Enigmas, and on Ships.

Others have written on moral subjects. Brumoy has painted the Passions, with a variety of imagery and wava-

city of description; P. Meyer has disserted on Anger; Tarillon, like our Stillingfleet, on the Art of Conversation and a lively writer has discussed the subjects of Russe

Giannetazzi, an Italian Jesuit, celebrated for his Latin poetry, has composed two volumes of poems on Fishing and Navigation. Fracastor has written delicately on an indelicate subject, his Syphilis. Le Brun wrote a delectable poem on Succements; another writer on Mineral Waters, and a third on Printing. Vida pleases with his Silk-norms and his Chees; Buchanan is ingenious with his Sphere. Malapert has aspired to catch the Winds; the philosophic Huet amused himself with Salt, and again with Tea. The Gardens of Rapin is a finer poem than critics generally can write; Quillet's Callipedia, or Art of getting handsome Children, has been translated by Rowe; and Du Freemoy at length gratifies the composissem with him poem on Principle in the applicable phonous which with his poem on Painting, by the embellishments which his verses have received from the poetic diction of Mason, and the commentary of Reynolds.

This list might be augmented with a few of our own poets, and there still remain some virgin themes which only require to be touched by the hand of a true poet. In the 'Memoirs of Trevoux' they observe, in their review of the poem on Gold, 'That poems of this kind have the advantage of instructing us very agreeably. All that has been most remarkably said on the subject is united, compressed in a luminous order and dressed in all the agree able graces of poetry. Such writers have no little difficulties to encounter: the style and expression cost dear; and still more to give to an arid topic an agreeable form, and to elevate the subject without falling into another extreme.—In the other kinds of poetry the matter assists and prompts genius; here we must possess an abundance to display it."

Digitized by Google

## PAMPHLETS.

Myles Davies' 'Icon Libellorum, or a Critical History of Pamphlets,' affords some curious information; and as this is a pumphlet-reading age, I shall give a sketch of its contents.

The author is at once serious and humourous in his preface. He there observes: 'From Pamphlets may be learned the genius of the age, the debates of the learned, the follies of the ignorant, the because of government, and the missakes of the courtiers. Pamphlets furnish beaus with their airs, coquets with their charms. Pamphlets are as modish ornaments to gentlewomen's toilets as to gentlemen's pockets; they carry reputation of wit and learning to all that make them their companions; the poor find their account in stall-keeping and in hawking them; the rich find in them their shortest way to the secrets of church and state. There is scarce any class of people but may think themselves interested enough to be concerned with what is published in pamphlets, either as to their private instruction, curiosity, and reputation, or to the public advantage and credit; with all which both ancient and modern pamphlets are too often over familiar and free .- In short, with pamphlets the booksellers and stationers adorn the gaiety of shop-gazing. Hence accrues to grocers, apothecaries, and chandlers, good-furniture, and supplies to necessary retreats and natural occasions. In pamphlets lawyers will meet with their chicanery, physicians with their cant. divines with their Shiboleth. Pamphlets secome more and more daily amusements to the curious, die, and inquisitive; pastime to gallants and coquets; that to the talkative; catch-words to informers; fuel to the envious; poison to the unfortunate; balsam to the wounded; employment to the lazy; and fabulous materials to romancers and novelists.'

This author sketches the origin and rise of pamphlets. He deduces them from the short writings published by the Jewish Rabbins; various little pieces at the time of the first propagation of Christianity; and notices a certain amphlet which was pretended to have been the composition of Jesus Christ, thrown from heaven, and picked up sy the archangel Michael at the entrance of Jerusalem. It was copied by the priest Leora, and sent about from priest to priest, till Pope Zachary ventured to pronounce at a forgery! He notices several such extraordinary publications, many of which produced as extraordinary publications, many of which produced as extraordinary effects.

Scations, many of which produced as extraordinary effects. He proceeds in noticing the first Arian and Popish samphlets, or rather tibels, i. e. little books, as he distinguishes them. He relates a curious anecdote respecting the forgeries of the monks. Archbishop Usher detected as a manuscript of St Patrick's life, pretended to have seen found at Louvain, as an original of a very remote late, several passages taken, with little alteration, from his swm writings.

The following notice of our immortal Pope I cannot sass over: 'Another class of pamphlets writ by Roman Cathodes is that of Poems, written chiefly by a Pope himself, a gentleman of that name. He passed always amongst most of his acquaintance for what is commonly called a Whig; for it seems the Roman politics are divided as well as Popish missionaries. However one Estras, an apothecary, as he qualifies himself, has published a piping-hot pamphlet against Mr Pope's 'Rape of the Lock,' which en milles 'A Rey to the Lock,' wherewith he pretends to unlock nothing less than a Plot carried on by Mr. Pope in that poem against the last and this present ministry and experiment.

He observes on Sermons,—'Tis not much to be questioned, but of all modern pamphlets what or wheresoever, he English stitched Sermons he the most edifying, useful, and instructive, yet they could not escape the critical Mr Bayle's sarcasm.' He savs, 'Republique des Lettres,' March 1710, in his article London, 'We see here sermons swarms daily from the press. Our eyes only behold manaa: are you not desirous of knowing the reason? It is, that the ministers being allowed to read their sermons in the pulpit, buy all they meet with, and take no other trouble than to read them, and thus pass for very able scholars at a very cheap rate!'

He now begins more directly the history of pamphlets, which he branches out from four different etymologies. He says, 'however foreign the word Pamphlet may appear, it is a genuine English word, rarely known or adopted in any other language: its pedigree cannot well be traced higher than the latter end of Queen Elizabeth's

reign. In its first state wretched must have been its appearance, since the great linguist John Minshew, in his Guide into Tongues, printed in 1617, gives it the most miserable character of which any libel can be capable. Mr Minshew says (and his words were quoted by Lord Chief Justice Holt,) 'A pamphlet, that is Opusculum Stolidorum, the diminutive performance of fools; from was all, and exhydes. I fill, to wit, all places. According to the rulgar saying, all things are full of fools, or foolish things; for such multitudes of pamphlets, unworthy of the very name of libels, being more vile than common shores and the filth of beggars, and being flying papers daubed over and besmeared with the foam of drunkards, are tossed far and near into the mouths and hands of scoundrels; neither will the sham oracles of Apollo be esteemed so mercenary as a pamphlet."

Those who will have the word to be derived from Pam, the famous knave of Loo, do not differ much from Minshew; for the derivation of the word Pam is in all probability from xes, all; or the whole or the chief of the game.

Under this first etymological notion of Pamphlets, may be comprehended the vulgar stories of the Nine Worthies of the World, of the Seven Champions of Christendom, Tom Thumb, Valentine and Orson, &c, as also most of apocryphal lucubrations. The greatest collection of this first sort of Pamphlets are the Rabbinia tradition in the Tamud, consisting of fourteen volumes in folio, and the Pepish legends of the Lives of the Saints, which, though not finished, form fifty folio volumes, all which tracts were originally in pamphlet forms.

originally in pamphlet forms.

The second idea of the racks of the word Pamphlet is, that it takes it derivations from set, all, and \$\phi\lambda\_{\text{ev}}\$, I have, signifying a thing beloved by all; for a pamphlet being of a small portable bulk, and of no great price, is adapted to every one's understanding and reading. In this class may be placed all stitched books on serious subjects, the best of which fugitive pieces have been generally preserved, and even reprinted in collections of some tracts, miscellanies, sermons, poems, &c; and, on the contrary, bulky volumes have been reduced, for the convenience of the public, into the familiar shapes of stitched pamphlets. Both these methods have been thus censured by the majority of the lower house of convocation 1711. These abuses are thus represented: 'They have re-published, and collected into volumes, pieces written long ago on the side of infidelity. They have reprinted together in the root contracted manner, many loose and licentious pieces, in order to their being purchased more cheaply, and dispersed more early.'

The third original interpretation of the word Pamphlet may be that of the learned Dr Skinner, in his Etymologicon Lingue Anglicane, that it is derived from the Belvic word Pamphlet, signifying a little paper, or libel. To this third set of Pamphlets may be reduced all sorts of printed single sheets, or half sheets, or any other quantity of single paper prints, such as Declarations, Remonstrances, Proclamations, Edicts, Orders, Injunctions, Memorials, Addresses, News-papers, &c.

The fourth radical signification of the word Pamphlet is that homogeneal acceptation of it, viz as it imports any little book, or small volume whatever, whether stirched or bound, whether good or bad, whether serious or ludicrous. The only proper Latin term for a Pamphlet is Libellus, or little book. This word indeed signifies in English an abusive paper or little book, and is generally taken in the worst sense.

After all this display of curious literature, the reader may smile at the guesses of Etymologists; particularly when he is reminded that the derivation of Pamphlet is drawn from quite another meaning to any of the present, by Johnson, which I shall give for his immediate gratifica-

Pamphlet [par un fillet, Fr. Whence this word is written anciently, and by Caxton, paunflet] a small book; properly a book sold unbound, and only stitched.

The French have borrowed the word Pamphlet from us, and have the goodness of not disfiguring its orthography. Roast Beef is also in the same predicament. I conclude that Pamphlets and Roast Beef have therefore their origin in our country.

in our country.

I am favoured by Mr Pinkerton with the following curious notice concerning pamphlets:

Of the etymon of pamphlet I know nothing; but that the word is far more ancient than is commonly believed, take the following proof from the celebrated Philobiolom, ascribed to Richard de Buri, Bishop of Durham, but weitten

by Robert Holkot, at his desire, as Fabricius says, about the year 1344, (Fabr Bibl Medii zevi, Vol I;) it is in the eighth chapter.

Sed revera libros non libras maluimus; codicesque plus dileximus quam florenos: ac panfletos exiguos pha-

leratis prætulimus palescedis.'

But, indeed, we prefer books to pounds; and we love manuscripts better than florins; and we prefer small pamphlets to war-horses.'

This word is as old as Lydgate's time: among his works, quoted by Thomas Warton, is a poem ' translated from a pamflete in Frensche.'

#### LITTLE BOOKS.

Myles Davies has given an opinion of the advantages

of Little Books with some wit and humour.

'The smallness of the size of a book was always its own commendation; as, on the contrary, the largeness of a book is its own disadvantage, as well as terror of learning. In short, a big book is a scare-crow to the head and pocket of the author, student, buyer, and seller, as well as a harbour of ignorance; hence the inaccessible masteries of the inexpugnable ignorance and superstition of the ancient heathens, degenerate Jews, and of the popish scholasters and canonists entrenched under the frightful bulk of huge, vast, and innumerable volumes; such as the great nuge, vast, and innumerance volumes; such as the great folio that the Jewish rabbins fancied in a dream was given by the angel Raziel to his pupil Adam, containing all the colestial sciences. And the volumes writ by Zoroaster, entitled The Similitude, which is said to have taken up no more space than 1,260 hides of cattle: as also the 25,000, or as some say, 36,000 volumes, besides 525 lesser mas of his. The grossness and multitude of Aristotle and Var-ro's books were both a prejudice to the authors, and an hindrance to learning, and an occasion of the greatest part of them being lost. The largeness of Plutarch's treatises is a great cause of his being neglected, while Longinus and Epictetus, in their pamphlet Remains, are every one's companions. Origen's 6,000 volumes (as Epiphanius will have it) were not only the occasion of his venting more numerous errors, but also for the most part of their perdi-tion.—Were it not for Euclid's Elements, Hippocrates's Aphorisms, Justinian's Institutes, and Littleton's Tenures in small pamphlet volumes, young mathematicians, freshwater physicians, civilian novices, and les apprentices en ley d'Angleterre, would be at a loss and stand, and total disencouragement. One of the greatest advantages the Dispensory has over King Arthur is its pamphlet aize. So Bolleau's Lutrin, and his other pamphlet poems, in respect of Perrault's and Chapelain's St Paulin and la Pucelle. These seem to pay a deference to the reader's quick and great understanding; those to mistrust his capacity, and to confine his time as well as his intellect.'

Notwithstanding so much may be alleged in favour of books of a small size, yet the scholars of a former age regarded them with contempt. Scaliger, says Baillet, cavils with Drusius for the smallness of his books; and one of the great printers of the time, (Moret, the successor of Plantin) complaining to the learned Puteanus, who was considered as the rival of Lipsius, that his books were too small for sale, and that purchasers turned away frightened at their diminutive size; Puteanus referred him to Plusanus referred him tarch, whose works consist of small treatises; but the printer took fire at the comparison, and turned him out of his shop, for his vanity at pretending that he wrote in any manner like Plutarch! a specimen this of the politieness and reverence of the early printers for their learned authors! Jurieu reproaches Colomies that he is a great

author of little books!

At least, if a man is the author only of little books, he will escape the sarcastic observation of Cicero on a voluminous writer-that 'his body might be burned with his writings,'-of which we have had several, eminent for the worthlessness and magnitude of their labours.

It was the literary humour of a certain Mæcenas, who cheered the lustre of his patronage with the streams of a good dinner, to place his guests according to the size and thickness of the books they had printed. At the head of the table sat those who had published in folio foliussino: next the authors in quarto; then those in octavo. At that table Blackmore would have had the precedence of Gray. Addison, who found this anecdote in one of the Anas, has seized this idea, and applied it with his felicity of humour in No 529 of the Spectator.

Montaigne's works have been called by a Cardinal,

'The Breviary of Idlers.' It is therefore the book for many men. Francis Osborne has a ludicrous image in favour of such opuscula. 'Huge volumes, like the ox roasted whole at Bartholomew fair, many proclaim plenty of labour, but afford less of what is delicate, accounty, and well-concocted, than smaller pieces.

In the list of titles of minor works, which Aulus Gellius has preserved, the lightness and beauty of such compositions are charmingly expressed. Among these we find-a Basket of Flowers; an embroidered Mantle; and a Varie-

gated Meadow.

# A CATHOLIC'S REFUTATION.

In a religious book, published by a fellow of the socrety of Jesus, entitled, 'The Faith of a Catholic,' the author examines what concerns the incredulous Jews and other infidels. He would show that Jesus Christ, author of the religion which bears his name, did not impose on or deceive the Apostles whom he taught; that the Apostles who preached it did not deceive those who were converted; and that those who were converted did not deceive us. In improving these three not difficult propositions he says, he confounds ' the Atheist, who does not believe in God; the Pagan, who adores several; the Deist, who believes in one God, but who rejects a particular Providence; the Freethinker, who presumes to serve God according to his fancy, without being attached to any religion; the Philosopher, who takes reason and not revelation for the rule of his belief; the Gentile, who never having regarded the Jawish people as a chosen nation, does not believe God promised them a Messiah; and finally, the Jew, who re-fuses to adore the Messiah in the person of Christ.

I have given this sketch, as it serves for a singular Cata-

logue of Heretics.

It is rather singular that so late as in the year 1765, a work should have appeared in Paris, which bears the title I translate, 'The Christain Religion proved by a single fact; or a dissertation in which is shown that those Cathelics of whom Huneric, King of the Vandals, cut the tongues, spoke miraculous all the remainder of their days; from whence is deducted the consequences of this miracle against the Arians, the Socialaus, and the Deists, and particularly against the author of Emilius, by solving their difficulties. It bears this Epigraph; Ecce Ego admira-There needs no farther account of this book than the Litle.

The cause of religion is hurf by stupid advocates.

THE GOOD ADVICE OF AN OLD LITERARY SINNER.

Authors of moderate capacity have unceasingly harrased the public; and have at length been remembered only by the number of wretched volumes their unhappy industry has produced. Such as an author was the Abbé de Marolles, the subject of this article, otherwise a most estimable and ingenious man, and the father of print-collec-

This Abbé was a most egregious scribbler; and so tonmented with violent fits of printing, that he even printed lists and catalogues of his friends. I have even seen at the end of one of his works a list of names of those persons who had given him books. He printed his works at his own expense, as the booksellers had unanimously decreed this. Menage used to say of his works, 'The reason why I esteem the productions of the Abbé is, for the singular neatness of their bindings; he embellishes them so beautifully, that the eye finds pleasure in them.
On a book of his versions of the Enigrams of Martial, this Critic wrote, Epigrams against Martial. Latterly, for want of employment, our Abbé began a translation of the Bible; but having inserted the notes of the visionary Issue de la Peyrere, the work was burnt by order of the ecclesi-astical court. He was also an abundant writer in verne, and exultingly told a poet, that his verses cost him little: 'They cost you what they are worth,' replied the sarcastic critic. De Marolles in his Memoirs bitterly complains of the injustice done to him by his contemporaries; and says, that in spite of the little favours shown to him by the public, he has nevertheless published, by an accurate cal-culation, one hundred and thirty-three thousand one hun-dred and twenty-four verses! Yet this was not the heav-iest of his literary sins. He is a proof that a translate iest of his literary sins. He is a proof that a translator may perfectly understand the language of his original, and yet produce an execrable translation.

In the early part of his life this unlucky author had not been without ambition; it was only when disappointed in

G008 Digitized by

his political projects that he resolved to devote himself to literature. As he was incapable of attempting original composition, he became known by his detestable versions. He wrote above eighty volumes, which have never found favour in the eyes of the critics; yet his translations are not without their use, though they never retain by any chance a single passage of the spirit of their originals.

chance a single passage of the spirit of their originals. The most remarkable aneodote respecting these translations is that whenever this honest translator came to a difficult passage, he wrote in the margin 'I have not translated this passage, because it is very difficult, and in truth I could never understand it.' He persisted to the last in his uninterrupted amusement of printing books, and his readers having long ceased, he was compelled to present them to his friends, who, probably, were not his readers. After a literary existence of forty years, he gave the public a work not destitute of entertainment in his own Memoirs, which he dedicated to his relations and all his illustrious friends. The singular postscript to his Epistle Dedicatory contains excellent advice for authors.

'I have omitted to tell you, that I do not advise any one of my relatives or friends to apply himself as I have done to study, and particularly to the composition of books, if he thinks that will add to his fame or fortune. I am persuaded that of all persons in the kingdom, none are more neglected than those who devote themselves entirely to bisersture. The small number of successful persons in that class (at present I do not recollect more than two or three) should not impose on one's understanding, nor any consequence from them be drawn in favour of others. I know how it is by my own experience, and by that of several amongst you, as well as by many who are now no more, and with whom I was acquainted. Believe me, gentlemen! to pretend to the favours of fortune it is only necessary to render one's self useful, and to be supple and obsequious to those who are in possession of credit and authoristy; to be handsome in one's person; to adulate the powerful; to smile, while you suffer from them every kind of ridicule and contempt whenever they shall do you the honour to amuse themselves with you; never to be frightened at a thousand obstacles which may be opposed to one; have a face of brass and a heart of stone; insult worthy men who are persecuted; rarely venture to speak the truth; appear devout, with every nice scruple of religion, while at the same time every duty must be abandoned when it clashes with your interest. After these any other accomplishment is indeed superfluous.'

# MYSTERIES, MORALITIES, FARCES, AND SOTTIES.

The origin of the theatrical representations of the ancients has been traced back to a Grecian stroller in a cart singing to the bonour of Bacchus. Our European exhibitions, perhaps as rude in their commencement, were likewise for a long time devoted to pious purposes, under the titles of Mysteries and Moralities, &c. Of these primeval compositions of the drama of modern Europe, I have collected some anecdotes and some specimens.

It appears that pilgrims introduced these devout spectacles. Those who returned from the Holy Land or other consecrated places composed canticles of their travels, and amused their religious fancies by interweaving scenes of which Christ, the Apostles, and other objects of devotiop, served as the themes. Menestrier informs us that these pilgrims travelled in troops, and stood in the public streets, where they recited their poems, with their staff in hand: while their chaptets and cloaks, covered with shells and sinages of various colours, formed a picturesque exhibition which at length excited the piety of the citizens to erect occasionally a stage on an extensive spot of ground. These spectacles served as the amusement and instruction of the people. So attractive were these gross exhibitions in the dark ages, that they formed one of the principal ornaments of the reception which was given to princes when they entered towns.

When the Mysteries were performed at a more improved period, the actors were distinguished characters, and frequently consisted of the ecclesiastics of the neighbouring villages, who incorporated themselves under the title of Confrered la Passion. Their productions were divided, not into agts, but into different days of performance, and they were performed in the open plain. This was at least conformable to the critical precept of that mad kinght whose opinion is noticed by Pope. It appears by a ms in the Harleian library quoted by Warton, that they were thought to contribute so much to the information and in-

struction of the people, that one of the Popes granted a pardon of one thousand days to every person who resorted peaceably to the plays performed in the Whitsun-week at Chester, beginning with the 'Creation,' and ending with the 'General Judgment.' These were performed at the expense of the different corporations of that city, and the reader may smile at these ludicrous combinations. 'The Creation' was performed by the Drapers: the 'Deluge' by the Dyers; 'Abraham, Melchisedek, and Lot, by the Barbers: 'The Purification,' by the Blacksmiths: 'The Last Supper' by the Bakers: the 'Resurrection' by the Skinners; and the 'Ascension' by the Tailors. In these pieces the actors represented the person of the Almighty without being sensible of the gross impiety. So unskilful were they in this infancy of the theatrical art, that very serious consequences were produced by their ridiculous blunders and ill managed machinery. In the 'History of the French Theatre,' vol. ii, p. 285, the following singular aneodotes are preserved, concerning a Mystery which took up several days in the performance.

up several days in the performance.

'In the year 1437, when Conrad Bayer, bishop of Metz, caused the Mystery of "The Passion" to be represented on the plain of Veximel near that city, God was as old gentleman, named Mr Nicholas Neufchatel of Tomaine, curate of Saint Victory of Metz, and who was very near expiring on the cross had he not been timely assisted. He was so enfeebled that it was agreed another priest should be placed on the cross the next day, to finish the representation of the person crucified, and which was done; at the same time the said Mr Nicholas undertook to perform "The Resurrection," which being a less difficult task, he did it admirably well."—Another priest, whose name was Mr John de Nicey, curate of Metrange, personated Judas, and he had like to have been stifled while he hung on the tree, for his neck slipped; this being at length luckily perceived, he was quickly cut down and recovered.

John Bouchet, in his 'Annales d'Aquitaine,' a work which contains many curisus riccurestance of the times.

John Bouchet, in his 'Annales d'Aquitaine,' a work which contains many curious circumstances of the times, written with that agreeable simplicity which characterises the old writers, informs us, that in 1486 he saw played and exhibited in Mysteries by persons of Poitiers, 'The Nativy, Passion, and Resurrection of Christ,' in great triumph and splendour; there were assembled on this occasion most of the ladies and gentlemen of the neighbouring counties.

We will now examine the Mysteries themselves. I prefer for this purpose to give a specimen from the French, which are livelier than our own. It is necessary to promise to the reader, that my versions being in prose will probably lose much of that quaint expression and vulgar activeté which prevail through the originals, written in octosyllabic verses.

One of these Mysteries has for its subject the election of an Apoetle to supply the place of the traitor Judas. A dignity so awful is conferred in the meanest manner it is possible to conceive; it is done by drawing two straws, of which he who gets the longest becomes the Apostle. Louis Chocquet was a favorite composer of these religious performances; when he attempts the pathetic he has constantly recourse to devils; but, as these characters are sustained with little propriety, his pathos succeeds in raising a laugh. In the following dialogue Anne and Caisphas are introduced conversing about Saint Peter and Saint John:—

ANNE.

'I remember them once very honest people They have often brought their fish to my house to sell.

CALAPHAS.

' Is this true?

" ANNE.

'By God it is true; my servants remember them very well. To live more at their case they have left off business; or perhaps they were in want of customers. Since that time they have followed Jesus, that wicked heretic, who has taught them magic; the fellow understands necromancy, and is the great est magician alive, as far as Rome itself.'

Saint John attacked by the satellites of Domitian, amongst whom the author has placed Longinus and Patrochus, gives regular answers to their insulting interrogatories. Some of these I shall transcribe, but leave to the reader's conjectures the replies of the Saint, which are not difficult to anticipate.

' PARTHEMIA.

'You tell us strange things, to say there is but one God in three persons.

LONGINUS.

'Is it any where said that we must believe your old prophets (with whom your memory seems overburdened) to be more perfect than our Gods?

' PATROCLUS.

You must be very cunning to maintain impossibilities. Now listen to me: Is it possible that a virgin can bring forth a child without ceasing to be a virgin?

' DOMITIAN.

- ' Will you not change these foolish sentiments? Would you pervert us? Will you not convert yourself? Lords! you per-ceive now very clearly what an obstinate fellow this is! Therefore let him be stript and put into a great caldron of boiling oil. Let him die at the Latin Gate.
  - PESART.
- 'The great devil of hell fetch me if I don't Latinise him well. Never shall they hear at the Latin Gate any one sing so well as he shall sing.
  - ' TORNEAU.
  - 'I dare venture to say he won't complain of being frozen. PATROCLUS.
- ' Frita, run quick; bring wood and coals, and make the caldron ready.
  - FRITA.

'I promise him, if he has the gout or the itch, he will soon get rid of them.'

St John dies a perfect martyr, resigned to the boiling oil and gross jests of Patroclus and Longinus. One is astonished in the present times at the excessive absurdity and indeed blasphemy which the writers of these moralities permitted themselves, and, what is more extraordinary, were permitted by an audience consisting of a whole town. An extract from the 'Mystery of Saint Dennis' is in the Duke de la Valliere's 'Bibliotheque du Theatre François depuis son origine. Dresde 1768.'

The emperor Domitian, irritated against the Christians. persecutes them and thus addresses one of his courtiers :

' Seigneurs Romains, j'ai en- Roman lords, I understand tendu

Que d'un crucifix, d'un pendu, That of a crucified hanged man On fait un Dieu par notre em- They make a God in our kingpire dom, Sans ce qu'on le nous daigne Without even deigning to ask

dire. our permission.

He then orders an officer to seize on Denpis in France. When this officer arrives at Paris the inhabitants acquaint him of the rapid and grotesque progress of this future eaint :-

'Sire, il preche un Dieu a Paris Sir, he preaches a God at Paris Qui fait tous les mouls et les Who has made mountain and valley.

horses,

He goes a horseback without

He does and undoes at once.

Il va à cheval sans chevauls.

Il fait et defait tout ensemble. vit, il meurt, il sue, il trem- He lives, he dies, he sweats, he

ble trembles.

Il pieure, il vi., il veille, et He weeps, he la dor:... wakes and sleeps. he laughs, he est joune et vieux, foible et He is young and old, weak fort. and strong. fait d'un coq une poulette. He turns a cock into a hen.

fort. Il fait d'un coq une poulette. Il jeue des arts de roulette He knows how to conjure with

cup and ball,

Ou je ne ecais que ca peut Or I do not know who this can

Another of these admirers says, evidently alluding to the

right of baptism,-' Sire, oyez que fak co fol Sir, hear what this mad priest does:

prestre prend de l'yaue en une He takes water out of a ladie,

Et gete aux gens sur la cer- And, throwing it at people's

vele, heads,
Et dit que partant sont sau- He says that when they denart they are saved !

This piece then proceeds to entertain the spectators with the tortures of Saint Dennis, and at length, when more than dead, they mercifully behead him:—the Saint, after his decapitation, rises very quietly, takes his head under his arm, and walks off the stage in all the dignity of martyrdom.

It is justly observed by Bayle on these wretched repre sentations, that while they prohibited the people from meditating on the sacred history in the book which contains it mall its purity and truth, they permitted them to see it on

the theatre sullied with a thousand gross inventions, which were expressed in the most rulgar manner and in a farcical style. Warton, with his usual elegance, observes,— To those who are accustomed to contemplate the great picture of human follies which the unpolished ages of Europe hold up to our view, it will not appear surprising that the people who were forbidden to read the events of the sacred history in the Bible, in which they are faithfully and beautifully related, should at the same time be permitted to see them represented on the stage disgraced with the grossest improprieties, corrupted with inventions and additions of the most ridiculous kind, sullied with impurities, and expressed in the language and gesticulations of the lowest Elsewhere he philosophically observes, that, however, they had their use, 'not only in teaching the greatruths of scripture to men who could not read the Bible but in abolishing the barbarous attachment to military games and the bloody contentions of the tournament, which had so long prevailed as the sole species of popular amusement. Rude, and even ridiculous as they were, they softened the manners of the people by diverting the public attention to spectacles in which the mind was concerned, and by creating a regard for other arts than those of bodily strength and savage valour.'

Mysteries are to be distinguished from Moralities, and Farces, and Sotties. Moralities are dialogues where the interlocutors represented feigned or allegorical personages.
Farces were more exactly what their title indicates: obscene, gross, and dissolute representations, where both the actions and words are alike reprehensible.

The Sotties were more farcical than farce, and frequently had the licentiousness of pasquinades. I shall give an in-genious specimen of one of the moralities. This morality is entitled 'The Condemnation of Feasts, to the Praise of Diet and Sobriety for the Benefit of the Human Body.'

The perils of gorging form the present subject. Towards the close is a trial between Feasting and Supper. They are summoned before Experience, the Lord Chief Justice! Feasting and Supper are accused of having murdered four persons by force of gorging them. Esperience condemas Feating to the gallows; and his executioner is Diet. Feating asks for a father confessor, and makes a public confession of so many crimes, such numerous con-vulsions, apoplexies, head-aches, stomach-qualms, &c, which he-has occasioned, that his executioner Diet in a rage stops his mouth, puts the cord about his neck, and strangles him. Supper is only condemned to load his hands with a certain quantity of lead, to hinder him from putting too many dishes on table :-he is also bound over not to approach Dinner too near, and to be placed at the distance of six hours' walking under pain of death. Supper felicitates himself on his escape, and swears to observe with scrupulous exactness the mitigated sentence.

The Moralities were allegorical dramas, whose tediousness scems to have delighted a barbarous people not yet. accustomed to perceive that what was obvious might be omitted to great advantage : like children, every thing must be told in such an age : their own unexercised imagination

cannot supply any thing.

Of the farces the licentiousness is extreme, but their pleasantry and their humour are not contemptible. Village Lawyer,' which is never exhibited on our stage without producing the broadest mirth, originates amo these ancient drolleries. The humorous incident of the these ancient droileries. I no numerous increases or use shepherd, who, having stolen his master's sheep, is advised by his lawyer only to reply to his judge by mimiching the bleating of a sheep, and when the lawyer in return claims his fee pays him by no other coin, is discovered in these ancient farces. Brueys got up the ancient farce of the 'Pteirs' in 1702, and we borrowed it from him.

They had another species of drama still broader than Farce, and more strongly featured by the grossness, the severity, and personality of satire —these were cahed Sotties, of which the following one I find in the Duke de la Valliere's 'Bibliotheque du Theatre Francois.'

The actors come on the stage with their fools'-caps each wanting the right ear, and begin with stringing satirical proverbe, till after drinking freely, they discover that their fools'-caps want the right ear. They call on their old grandmother Sottie (or Folly,) who advises them to take up some trade. She introduces this progeny of her fools to be World, who takes them into his service. The World tries their skill, and is much displeased with their work. The Caller-foo' pinches his feet by making the shoes too small; the Taylor-fool hange is coats too loose or too tight about him; the Priest-fool says his masses either too short or too tedious. They all agree that the World does not know what he wants, and must be sick, and prevail on him to get some advice from a physi-cian. The World obligingly sends what is required to an Urine-doctor, who instantly pronounces that the World is as mad as a March hare? He comes to visit his pastate. The World replies, 'that what most troubles his head is the idea of a new deluge by fire, which must one day consume him to powder; on which the Physician gives this answer :-

Oh World! you do not trouble

yourself about Seeing those impudent rescals

nurses

\* Et te troubles-tu pour cela? And you really trouble your-self about this?

Monde, tu ne te troubles pas

Devoir ce larrons attrapars Vendre et acheter benefices; Selling and buying livings ; Les enfans en bras des Nour- Children in the arms of their

Estre Abbés, Eveques, Pri- Made Abbots, Bishope, and eurs. Chevaucher tres bien les deux Intriguing with girls,

sœurs, Tuer les gens pour surs plai- Killing people for their plea-sures.

Jouer le leur, l'autrul sai- Minding their own interests, and seizing on what belongs

to another,

Donner aux flameurs sudi- Lending their ears to flatterers,

ence, Faire la guerre à toute ou- Making war, exterminating trance

Pour un rien entre les chres- For a bubble among chris-

The World takes leave of his physician, but retains his advice: and to cure his fits of melancholy gives himself up entirely to the direction of his fools. In a word, the World dresses himself in the coat and cap of Folly, and he becomes as gay and as ridiculous as the rest of the fools.

This Some was represented in the year 1524.
Such was the rage for mysteries, that René D'Anjou,
King of Naples and Sicily, and Count of Provence, had them represented with all possible magnificence, and made them a very serious occupation. Being in Provence, and having received letters from his son the Prince of Calabria, who asked him for an immediate aid of men, he re-plied, that he had a very different matter in hand, for he was fully employed in settling the order of a mystery—in harmour of Grod.

Mr Strutt in his 'Manners and Customs of the En-

glish,' has given a description of the stage in England when mysteries were the only theatrical performances.

Vol. iii, p 1**3**0.

In the early dawn of literature, and when the sacred mysteries were the only theatrical performances, what is now called the stage did then consist of three several platforms, or stages raised one above another. On the platforms, or stages raised one above another. On the uppermost sat the Pater Cælestis, surrounded with his Angels; on the second appeared the Holy Saints, and glorified men; and the last and lowest was occupied by mere men who had not yet passed from this transitory life to the regions of eternity. On one side of this lowest platform was the resemblance of a dark pitchy cavern from whence issued appearance of fire and flames: and when it was necessary, the audience were treated with hideous yellings and noises as imitative of the howlings and cries of the wretched souls tormented by the relentless demons. From this yawning cave the devils themselves constantly ascended to delight and to instruct the spectators: on the street of to them with the utmost cruelty, warning thereby all men carefully to avoid the falling into the clutches of such har-dened and remorseless spirits. An anecdote relating to An anecdote relating to and removation spirits. An allocation relating to manners of our country, which then could admit of such a representation; the simplicity, if not the libertinism of the age was great. A play was acted in one of the principal cities of England, under the direction of the trading companies of that city, before a numerous assembly of both sexes, wherein Adam and Eve appeared on the stage entirely naked, performed their whole part in the representa-tion of Eden, to the serpent's temptation, to the eating of

the forbidden fruit, the perceiving of, and conversing about their nakedness, and to the supplying of fig-leaves to cover it.' Warton observes they had the authority of scripture for such a representation, and they gave matters just as they found them in the third chapter of Genesis. The following article will afford the reader a specimen of an Elegant Morality.

# LOVE AND FOLLY, IN ANCIENT MORALITY.

One of the most elegant Moralities was composed by Louise L'Abé; the Aspasia of Lyons in 1550, adored by her contemporaries. With no extraordinary beauty, she however displayed the fascination of classical learning, and a vein of vernacular poetry refined and fanciful.— To accomplishments so various she added the singular one of distinguishing herself by a military spirit, and was nick-named Captain Louise. She was a fine rider and a fine lutanist. She presided in the assemblies of persons of literature and distinction: married to a rope-manufacturer, she was called La belle Cordiere, and her name is still perpetuated by that of the street she lived in. Her and was Belle a Soy,—But she was belle also for others. Her anagram Morals in one point were not correct, but her taste was never gross: the ashes of her perishable graces may pre-serve themselves sacred from our severity; but the pro-

ductions of her genius may still delight.

Her Morality entitled 'Debat de Folie et d'Amour—
The contest of Love and Foldy,' is divided into five parts, and contains six mythological or allegorical personages.—
This division resembles our five acts, which soon after the publication of this Morality, became generally prac-

tised.

In the first part, Love and Folly arrive at the same mo-ment at the gate of Jupiter's palace, to a festival to which he had invited the Gods. Folly observing Love just going to step in at the hall of the festival, pushed him away and entered in first. Love is enraged, but Folly insists on her precedency. Love, perceiving there was no reasoning with Folly, bends his bow and shoots an arrow; but sho baffled his attempt by rendering herself invisible. She in her turn becomes furious, falls on the boy, tearing out his eyes, and then covers them with a bandage which could not be taken off.

In the second part, Love, in despair for having lost his sight, implores the assistance of his mother; she tries in vain to undo the magic fillet; the knots are never to be

united!

In the third part, Venus presents herself at the foot of the throne of Jupiter to complain of the outrage committed by Folly on her son. Supiter commands Folly to appear. She replies, that though she has reasons to justify herself, she will not venture to plead her cause, as she is apt to speak too much, or omit what was material. Folly asks speak too much, or omit what was material. Folly asks for a counsellor, and chooses Mercury; Apollo is selected by Venus. The fourth part consists of a long dissertation between Jupiter and Love, on the manner of loving. Love advises Jupiter, if he wishes to taste of truest happiness, to descend on earth, to lay down all his majesty and pomp; and, in the figure of a mere mortal, to seek to give pleasure to some beautiful maiden: 'Then wilt thou feel quite another contentment than that thou hast hitherto enjoyed: instead of a single pleasure it will be doubled': for there is as much pleasure to be loved as to love.' Jupiter agrees that this may be true, but he thinks that to attain to this requires too much time, too much trouble, too many attentions,—and that after all it is not worth them!

In the fifth part, Apollo, the advocate for Venus, in a

long pleading demands justice against Folly. The Gods, seduced by his eloquence, show by their indignation that they would condemn Folly without hearing her advocate Mercury. But Jupiter commands silence, and Mercury replies. His pleading is as long as the adverse party's, and his arguments in favour of Folly are so plausible, that when he concludes his address, the gods are divided in opinion; some espouse the cause of Love, and some that of Folly. Jupiter, after trying in vain to make them agree together, pronounces this award:—
On account of the difficulty and importance of your dis-

putes and the diversity of your opinions, we have suspended your contest from this day to three times seven times nine centuries. In the mean time we command you to live amicably together, without injuring one another. Folly shall lead Love, and take him whithersoever he

pleases; and when restored to his sight, after consulting the Fates, sentence shall be pronounced.

Many beautiful conceptions are scattered in this elegant morality. It has given birth to subsequent imitations; it was too original and playful an idea not to be appropriated by the poets. To this morality we perhaps owe the panegyric of Folly by Erasmus, and the Love and Folly of La Fontaine.

#### RELIGIOUS NOUVELLETTES.

I shall notice a class of very singular works, in which the spirit of romance has been called in to render religion

more attractive to certain heated imaginations.

In the fifteenth century was published a little book of prayer , accompanied by figures, both of a very uncommon nature for a religious publication. It offers too curious objects to pass over in silence. It is entitled Hortulus Animos cum Oratiunculis aliquibus superadditis quos in

prioribus Libris non habentur.

It is a small octavo en lettres Gothiques printed by John It is a small octave en lettres Crotaques printed by John Grunninger, 1500. 'A garden,' says the author, 'which abounds with flowers for the pleasure of the soul,' but Marchand tells us they are full of poison.

In spite of his fine promises, the chief part of these meditaments.

tions are as puerile as they are superstitious. This we might excuse, because the ignorance and superstition of the times allowed such things; but the figures which ac-company the work are to be condemned in all ages; one represents Saint Ursula and some of her eleven thousand virgins, with all the licentious inventions of an Aretine. What strikes the ear does not so much irritate the senses, observes the sage Horace, as what is presented in all its nudity to the eye. One of these designs is only ridiculous: David is represented as examining Bathsheba bathing, while Cupid hovering round him throws his dart, and ing, while Cupia novering round in his success: we have with a malicious smile triumphs in his success: we have had many orous and strange designs like this. There is had many gross and strange designs like this. There is a laughable picture in a village in Holland, in which Abraham appears ready to sacrifice his son Isaac by a loaded blunderbuss; but his pious intention is entirely frustrated by an angel urining in the pan. Something similar is the design of another painting, in which the Virgin receives the annunciation of the angel Gabriel with a huge chaplet of beads tied round her waist, reading her own offices, and kneeling before a crucifix; or, like another happy inven-tion to be seen on an altar-piece at Worms, in which the Virgin throws Jesus in the happer of a mill, while from the other side he issues, changed into little morsels of bread with which the priests feast the people. Matthison, a modern traveller, describes a picture in a church at Constance, called the Conception of the holy Virgin. An old man lies on a cloud, whence he darts out a vast beam, which passes through a dove hovering just below; at the end of a beam appears a large transparent egg, in which egg is seen a child in swaddling clothes with a glory round Mary sits leaning in an arm chair, and opens her mouth to receive the egg.

I must not pass unnoticed in this article a production as extravagant in its design, in which the author prided him-self on discussing three thousand questions concerning his

favourite lady Mary.

The publication now adverted to was not presented to the world in a barbarous age and in a barbarous country, but printed at Paris in 1668. It bears for title, Devote Salutation des Membres sacres du Corps de la Glorieuse Vierge, Mere de Dicu. That is, 'A Devout Salutation Vierge, Mere de Decs. That is, 'A Devout Salutation of the Holy Members of the Body of the Glorious Virgin of the Mother of God.' It was printed and published with an approbation and privilege! which is more strange than the work itself. Valois reprobates it in these just terms: What would Innocent XI have done, after having abolished the shameful Office of the Conception, Indulgences, &c, if he had seen a volume in which the impertinent devotion of that visionary monk caused to be printed, with permission of his superiors, Meditations on all the Parts of the Body of the Holy Virgin? Religion, decency, and good sense, are they not alike wounded by such an extravegance? In the Journal des Scavans, for December 1703, I find a specimen of these salutations. They have preserved the most decent ones, in which this fanatic salutes the hair and the ears of the holy Virgin.

# Salutation to the Hair

"I salute you, charming hair of Maria! Rays of the mustical sun! Lines of the centre and circumference of all created perfection! Veins of gold of the mine of love! Chains of the prison of Gold! Roots of the tree of life!

Rivulets of the fountain of Paradise! Strings of the boof charity! Nets that caught Jesus, and shall be used in the hunting-day of souls!'

# Sacutation to the Ears.

'I salute ye, intelligent ears of Maria! ye presidents of the princes of the poor! Tribunal for their petitions; salvation at the audience of the miserable! University of all divine wisdom! Receivers general of all wards! are pierced with the rings of our chains; ye are impearled with our necessities!

The images, prints, and miniatures, with which the catholic religion has occasion to decorate its splendid ceremonies, have frequently been consecrated to the purpose monies, have frequently been consecrated to the purposes of love: they have been so many votive offerings worthy to have been suspended in the temple of Idalia. Pope Alexander VI had the images of the Virgin made to represent some of his mistresses; the famous Vanozza, his favourite, was placed on the altar of Santa Maria del Popolo; and Julia Farnese furnished a subject for another Virgin. The same genius of pious gallantry also visited our country. The statuaries made the queen of Henry III a model for the face of the Virgin Mary. Hearne elsewhere affirms, that the Virgin Mary was generally made to bear a resemblance to the queens of the age, which, no doubt produced some real devotion in the courtiers. courtiers.

The prayer-books of certain pious libertines were decorated with the portraits of their favourite minions and ladies in the characters of saints, and even of the Virgin and Jesus. This scandalous practice was particularly preva-lent in that reign of debauchery in France, when Henry III held the reins of government with a loose hand. In a missal once appertaining to the queen of Lewis XII may be seen a mitred ape, giving its benediction to a man pro-strate before it; a keen reproach to the clergy of that day. Charles V, however pious that emperor affected to be, had a missal painted for his mistress by the great Albert Durer, the borders of which are crowded with extravagant purer, me borders of which are crowded with carravaguary grotesques, consisting of apes, who were sometimes elegantly sportive, giving clysters to one another, and in many much more offensive attitudes, not adapted to heighten the piety of the Royal Mistress. This missal has two French verses written by the Emperor himself, who does not seem to have been ashamed of his present. The Italians carried this taste to excess. The manners of our country were more rarely tainted with this deplorable licentiousness, although I have observed an innocent tendency towards it, by examining the illuminated manuscripts of our ancient metrical romances: while we admire the vivid colouring of these splendid manuscripts, the curious observer will perceive that almost every heroine is represented in a state which appears incompatible with her reputation for chastity. Most of these works are, I believe, of French origin.

A good supplement might be formed to religious inde-cencies from the Golden Legend, which abounds in them. cencies from the Golden Legend, which abounds in them, Henry Stephens's Apology for Herodotus might be incowise consulted with effect for the same purpose. There is a story of St Mary the Egyptian, who was perhaps a looser liver than Mary Magdalen; for not being able to pay for her passage to Jerusalem, whether she was going to adore the holy cross and sepulchre, in despair she thought of an expedient in lieu of payment to the ferryman, which required at least going twice, instead of once, to Jerusalem as a penitential pilgrimage. This anecdote presents the genuine character of certain densites, who presents the genuine character of certain deceases, who would have formed accomplished methodists.

Melchior Inchosser, a jesuit published a book to vindi-cate the miracle of a Letter which the Virgin Mary had addressed to the citizens of Messina: when Nacdé brought him positive proofs of its evident forgery, Inchoffer ingenuously confessed that he knew it was an imposture,

ingenuously confessed that he knew it was an imposture, but that he had done it by the orders of his superiors.

This same letter of the Virgin Mary was like a done-tion made to her by Louis the eleventh of the whole county of Boulogue, retaining, however, for his own was the races. This solemn act bears the date of the year 1478, and is entitled 'Conveyance of Louis the eleventh to the Virgin of Boulogue of the right and title of the fief and homage of the county of Boulogne, which is held by the Count of Saint Pol, to render a faithful account before the image of the said lady.'

Maria Agreda, a religious visionary, wrote the Ecfa of

Maria Agreda, a religious visionary, wrote the Life of the Virgin. She informa us that she resisted the com-

mands of God and the holy Mary till the year 1637, when she began to compose this curious rhapsody. When she had finished this original production, her confessor advised her to burn it; she obeyed. Her friends, however, who did not think her less inspired than she informed them she was, advised her to re-write the work. When printed it spread rapidly from country to country: new editions ap-peared at Lisbon, Madrid, Perpignan, and Antwerp. It was the rose of Sharon for those climates. There are so many pious absurdities in this book which were found to give such pleasure to the devout, that it was solemnly honoured with the coasure of the Sorbonne; and it spread the more!

The head of this lady was quite turned by her religion. In the first air chapters she relates the visions of the Virwhich induced her to write her own life. She begins gin, which induced her to write ner own me. San begins the history ab oco, as it may be expressed; for she has formed a narrative of what passed during the nine months in which the Virgin was confined in the womb of her mo-ther St Anne. After the birth of Mary she received an asgmentation of angelic guards: we have several conver-sations which God held with the Virgin during the first eighteen months after her birth. And it is in this manner the formed a circulating neural, which delighted the female

devotees of the seventeenth century.

The worship paid to the Virgin Mary in Spain and Italy exceeds that which is given to the Son or the Father. When they pray to Mary, their imagination pictures a beautiful woman, they really feel a possion; while Jesus is only regarded as a Bambino, or infant at the breast, and

the Father is hardly ever recollected; but the Madona, la Subera, la Maria Santa, while she inspires their re-bgious inclinations, is a mistress to those who have none. ngoss sacinations, is a matrices to those who have none.

Of similar works there exists an entire race, and the
libraries of the curious may yet preserve a shelf of these
religious souvellettes. The Josuits were the usual authors
of these rhapeodies. I find an account of a book which
pretends to describe what passes in Paradise. A Spanish Jesuit published at Salamanca a volume in folio, 1652,
satisfied Emercal for the Augale with regat complaints. eatitled Empyreologia. He dwells with great complai-sency upon the joys of the celestial abode; there always will be music in heaven with material instruments as our ears are already accustomed to; otherwise he thinks the celestial music would not be music for us!— But another Jesuit is more particular in his accounts.
He positively assures us that we shall experience a supreme pleasure is kissing and embracing the bodies of the blessed; they will bathe in the presence of each other and for this presence of each other and the presence of each othe other, and for this purpose there are most agreeable baths in which we shall swim like fish; that we shall all warble as sweetly as larks and nightingales; that the angels will dress themselves in female habits, their hair curled; wearing petticoats and fardingales, and with the finest linen; that men and women will amuse themselves

mented with ribbons and head-dresses as in this life! Such were the books once so devoutly studied, and which doutless were often literally understood. How very bold must the minds of the Jesuits have been, and how hamble those of their readers, that such extravagances should ever be published! And yet, som to the time in which I am now writing,—even at the day,—the same picturesque and impassioned pencil is employed by the modern Apostles of Mysticism—the Swedenburghians,—the Management of Mysticism—the Swedenburghians,—

in manufacture, feasts and balls.—Women will sing more agreeably than men to exalt these entertainments, and at the resurrection will have more tuxuriant tresses, orna-

the Moravians, the Methodists! I find an account of another book of this class, ridiculous a secount of another book of this class, ridiculous enough to be noticed. It has for title, 'The Spiritual Kalendar, composed of as many Madrigals or sonnets and Engrans as there are days in the year; written for the consolation of the pious and the curious. By father G. Cortade, Austin Preacher at Bayonne, 1665.' To give a notion of this singular collection take an Epigram addressed to a Jesuit, who young as he was, used to put spars ander his shirt to mortify the outer-man! The Kalendar-peat thes gives a noisy to these several. post thes gives a point to these spurs:

Il ne poura donc plus ni ruer ni hennir Sous le rude Eperon dont tu fals son supplice ; Qui vit jamais tel artifice, De piquer un cheval pour le mieux retenir !

HUMBLY IMITATED. Your body no more will neigh and will kick,
The point of the spur must eternally prick;
Wheever contrived a thing with such skill;
To keep spurring a horse to make him stand still?

One of the most extravagant works projected on the subject of the Virgin Mary appears to be the following one.
The prior of a convent in Paris had reiteratedly intreated Varillas the historian to examine a work composed by one of his monks; and of which-not being himself addicted to letters—he wished to be governed by his opinion. Va-rillas at length yielded to the entreaties of the prior: and to regale the critic, they laid on two tables for his inspec-tion seven enormous volumes in folio!

This rather disheartened our reviewer: but greater was The rather universelves our reviewer that yourse, he satonishment, when, having opened the first volume, he found its title to be Summs Dei-pers; and as Saint Thomas had made a Sum, or System of Theology, so our monk had formed a System of the Virgin! He immediately and the sum of the state of the sum of the state o diately comprehended the design of our good father, who had laboured on this work full thirty years, and who boasted he had treated the Three Thousand Questions con-cerning the Virgin; of which he flattered himself not a single one had ever yet been imagined by any one but him-

Perhaps a more extraordinary design was never known. Varillas, pressed to give his judgment on this work, advised the prior with great prudence and good nature to amuse the honest old monk with the hope of priming those seven folios, but always to start some new difficultues; for it would be inhuman to give so deep a chagrin to a man who had reached his 74th year, as to inform him of the nature of his favourite occupations; and that after his death, he should throw the seven folios into the fire.

'CRITICAL SAGACITY,' AND 'HAPPY CONJECTURE;' OR, BENTLEY'S MILTON.

— Bentley, long to wrangling schools confined, And but by booke acquainted with mankind —— To Mikon lending sense, to Horace wit, He makes them write, what never poet writ.

Dr Bentley's edition of our English Homer is sufficiently known by name. As it stands a terryfying beacon to conjectural criticism, I shall just notice some of those violations which the learned critic ventures to commit with all the arrogance of a Scaliger. This man so deeply ver-sed in ancient learning it will appear was destitute of tasts and genius in his native language.

It was an unfortunate ingenuity in our critic, when, to persuade the world of the necessity of his edition, he imagined a fictitious editor of Milton's Poems: for it was this ingenuity which produced all his absurdities. As it is certain that the blind bard employed an amanuensis, it was not improbable that many words of similar sound, but very different signification, might have disfigured the poem; but our Docter was bold enough to conjecture that this amanuessis interpolated whole verses of his own composition in the 'Paradise Lost!' Having laid down this fatal position, all the consequences of his folly naturally followed it. Yet if we must conjecture, the more probable towed it. It is we must conjecture, the more probable one will be, that Milton, who was never careless of his future fame, had his poem read to him after it had been published. The first edition appeared in 1667, and the second in 1675 in which all the faults of the former edition are continued. By these fault the Doctor means what he considers to be such: for we shall soon see that his 'Ca-

nons of Criticism' are apocryphal.

Bentley says that he will supply the want of manuscripts to collate (to use his own words) by his own 'Sagacity,'

and happy Conjecture.'
Milton, after the conclusion of Satan's speech to the fallen angels, proceeds thus:

1. He spake: and to confirm his words out flew

1. He spane: and to control his words out new the thighs
2. Millions of finding swords, drawn from the thighs
3. Of mighty cherubin: the sudden blaze
4. Far round illumin'd hell; highly they rag'd
5. Against the Highest; and florce with grasped arms
6. Clash'd on their sounding shields the din of war,
7. Hurling defiance tow'rd the vault of Heaven.

In this passage, which is as perfect as human wit can make, the Doctor alters three words. In the second line he puts blades instead of swords; in the fifth, he puts second sine he puts blades instead of swords; in the fifth, he puts second sines and in the last line he prefers walls to wall. All these changes are so many defendations of the poem. The word swords is far more poetical than blades, which may as well be understood of knives as swords. The word arms, the generic for the specific term, is still strong-er and nobler than seords; and the beautiful conception of nosts, which is always indefinite to the eye, while the solidity of nesses would but meanly describe the highest 18 Digitized by GOOS

Heaven, gives an idea of grandeur and majesty. Milton writes, book i, v. 63,

No light, but rather darkness visible Served only to discover sights of wo

Perhaps borrowed from Spenser:

A little glooming light, much like a shade. Facry Queen, B. i, C. i, St 14.

This fine expression of 'darkness visible' the Doctor's eritical sagacity has thus rendered clearer :-

' No light, but rather a transpicuous gloom.'

Again our learned critic distinguishes the 74th line of he first book-

As from the centre thrice to the utmost pole,

as 'a vicious verse,' and therefore with 'happy conject ture,' and no taste, thrusts in an entire verse of his own composition-

' Distance which to express all measure fails.'

Wilton writes.

Our torments also may in length of time Become our elements.

B. ii, ver 274.

Bentley CORRECTS,

Then, as was well observ'd, our torments may Become our elements.

. A curious instance how the insertion of a single prosaic expression turns a fine verse into something worse than the vilest prose.

To conclude with one more instance of critical emendation: Milton says, with an agreeable turn of expression-

So parted they; the angel up to heaven, From the thick shade; and Adam to his bower.

Bentley ' conjectures' these two verses to be inaccurate, and in lieu of the last writes

'Adam to ruminate on past discourse.'

And then our erudite critic reasons! as thus:

After the conversation between the angel and Adam in the bower, it may be well presumed that our first parent waited on his heavenly guest at his departure to some little distance from it, till he began to take his flight towards heaven; and therefore 'sagaciously' thinks that the poet could not with propriety say that the angel parted from the thick shade, that is, the bower, to go to heaven. But if Adam attended the angel no farther than the door or entrance of the bower, then he shrewdly asks ' How Adam could return to his bower if he was never out of it?

Our editor has made above a thousand similar corrections in this edition of Milton! Some have suspected that the same kind intention which prompted Dryden to persuade Creech to undertake a translation of Horace influenced those who encouraged our Doctor, in thus exercising his 'sagacity' and 'happy conjecture' on the epic of Mil-ton. He is one of those learned critics who have happily é elucidated their author into obscurity; and comes nearest to that 'true conjectural critic' whose practice a Portu-guese satirist so greatly admired; by which means if he conly followed up by future editors, we might have that immaculate edition, in which little or nothing should be found of the original!

I have collected these few instances as not uninteresting to men of taste; they may convince us that a scholar may be familiarised to Greek and Latin, though a stranger to his vernacular literature; and that a verbal critic may sometimes be successful in his attempts on a single word, though he may be incapable of tasting an entire sentence. Let it also remain as a gibbet on the high roads of litera-ture; that 'conjectural critics' as they pass may not for-

get the foolish fate of Bentley.

The following epigram appeared on this occasion :-

ON MILTON'S EXECUTIONER.

Did Milton's prose, O Charles! thy death defend? A furious foe, unconscious, proves a friend; On Milton's verse does Bentley comment? know, A weak officious friend becomes a foe. While he would seem his author's fame to further, The murderous critic has aveng'd thy murder.

It is acknowledged, that the classical learning of Dr.

tion of words is frequently found not to be allied to the sensibility of taste, and far removed from the ardour of genius.

## A JANSENIST DICTIONARY.

When L'Advocat published his concise Biographica. Dictionary, the Jansenists, the Methodists of France, considered it as having been written with a view to depreciate the merit of their friends. It must be acknowleged there was little foundation for this complaint; but the spirit of party is soon alarmed. The Abbe Barral undertook a dictionary devoted to their cause. In this labour be indulged, assisted by his good friends the Jansenists, all the importunity and accepting of a subentic adversary. The impetuosity and acerbity of a splenetic adversary. The abbé was, however, an able writer; his anecdotes are numerous and well chosen; and his style is rapid and glow-The work bears for title Dictionnaire Historique, Litteraire, et Critique des Hommes Ceiebres, 6 vols. 8 vo. 1759. It is no unuseful speculation to observe in what manner a faction represents those who have not been its favourites; for this purpose I select the characters of Fen-elon, Cranmer, and Luther.

In their article of Fenelon they write,—'He composed for the instruction of the Dukes of Burgundy, Anjou, and Berry, several works, amongst others the Telemachus. A singular book, which partakes at once of the character of a romance, and of a poem, and which substitutes a pro-saic cadence for versification. But several luscious pictures would not lead us to suspect that this book issued from the pen of a sacred minister for the education of a prince; and what we are told by a famous poet is not improbable, that Fenelon did not compose it at court, but that it is the fruits of his retreat in his diocese. And in-deed the amours of Calypso and Eucharis should not be the first lessons that a minister should give his scholars: and besides, the fine moral maxims which the author attributes to the Pagan divinities are not well placed in their mouth. Is not this rendering homage to the demons of the great truths which we receive from the Gospel, and to despoil J. C. to render respectable the annihilated gods of paganism?—This prelate was a wretched divine, more familiar with the light of profanc authors than with that of the fathers of the church. Phelipeaux has given us in his narrative of 'Quietism,' the portrait of the friend of Madame Guyon. This archbishop has a lively genius, artful, and supple, which can flatter and dissimulate if ever any Seduced by a woman, he was solicitous to spread his seduction. He joined to the politeness and elegance of conversation a modest air, which rendered him amiable. He spoke of spirituality with the expression and the ex-

thusiasm of a prophet; with such talents he flattered himself that every thing would yield to him.'

In this work the Protestants, particularly the first reformers, find no quarter; and thus virulently their rabid catholicism exults over the unhappy end of Thomas Crambus the first protestant archibits.

mer, the first protestant archbishop.
'Thomas Cranmer married the sister of Osiander. Henry VIII detested married priests, Cranmer kept this second marriage in profound secrecy. This action serves to show the character of this great reformer, who is the her of Burnet, whose history is so much esteemed in Endand What blinders to superaching a Athanasia had gland. What blindness to suppose him an Athanasius who was at once a Lutheran secretly married, a consecrated archbishop under the Roman pontiff, whose power he de-tested, saying the mass in which he did not believe, and granting a power to say it! The divine vengeance burst on this sycophantic courtier, who had always prostituted his conscience to his fortune.

Their character of Luther is quite Lutheran in one sense, for Luther was himself a stranger to moderate

strictures.

'The furious Luther, perceiving himself assisted by the credit of several princes, broke loose against the church with the most inveterate rage, and rung the most terrible alarm against the pope. According to him we should have set fire to every thing, and reduced to one heap of ashes the pope and the princes who supported him. Nothing equals the rage of this phrenetic man, who was not satisfied with exhaling his fury in horrid declamations, but who was for putting all in practice. He raised his excesses to the height by inveighing against the vow of chastity, and is marrying publicly Catherine de Bore, a nun, whom he enticed with eight others from their convents. He had prepared the minds of the people for this infamous proceeding by a treatise which he entitled Examples of the Papiss-

Digitized by GOOGLE

cal Doctrine and Theology, in which he condemns the praises which all the saints had given to continence. He died at length quietly enough, in 1546, at Isleben, his country-place:—God reserving the terrible effects of his

rengeance to another life.'

Oranmer, who perished at the stake, these fanatic religiousts proclaim as an example of 'divine vengeance;' but Luther, the true parent of the Reformation, 'died quietly enough at Isleben:' this must have puzzled their wode of reasoning; but they extricate themselves out of the dilemma by the usual way. Their curses are never what the lawyers call ' lapsed legacies.'

## MANUSCRIPTS AND BOOKS.

It would be no uninteresting literary speculation to de-scribe the difficulties which some of our most favourite works encountered in their manuscript state, and even after they had passed through the press. Sterne, when he had finished his first and second volumes of Tristram Shandy, offered them to a bookseller at York for fifty pounds; but was refused: he came to town with his mass; and be and Robert Dodsley agreed in a manner of which neither repented.

The Rosciade, with all its merit, lay for a considerable time in a dormant state, till Churchill and his publisher became impatient, and almost hopeless of success,—Burn's Justice was disposed of by its author, who was weary of soliciting booksellers to purchase the me for a trifle, and which now yields an annual income. Collins burnt his odes before the door of his publisher.—The publication of Dr Blair's Sermons was refused by Strahan, and the 'Essay on the Immutability of Truth,' by Dr Beattie, could find no publisher, and was printed by two

friends of the author, at their joint expense.

'The sermon in Tristam Sandy' (says Sterne, in his preface to his Sermons) 'was printed by itself some years ago, but could find neither purchasers nor readers.' When it was inserted in his eccentric work, it met with a most favourable reception, and occasioned the others to be col-

lected.

Joseph Warton writes, 'When Gray published his exquisite Ode on Eton College, his first publication, little police was taken of it.' The Polyeucte of Corneille, which is now accounted to be his master-piece, when he read it to the literary assombly held at the Hotel de Ram-bouillet, was not approved. Voiture came the next day and in gentle terms acquainted him with the unfavourable opinion of the critics. Such ill judges were then the most fashionable with of France.

It was with great difficulty that Mrs Centlivre could get her 'Busy Body' performed. Wilks threw down his part with an oath of detestation: our comic authoress fell on her knees and wept .- Her tears, and not her wit, prevailed.

A pamphlet published in the year 1738, entitled 'A letter to the Society of Booksellers, on the Method of forming a

true Judgment of the Manuscripts of Authors,' contains some curious literary intelligence, and is as follows:

'We have known books,' says our writer, 'that in the as have been damned, as well as others which seemed to be so, since, after their appearance in the world, they have often lain by neglected. Witness the "Paradise Lost" of otten lam by neglected. Witness the "Paradise Lost" of the famous Milton, and the Optics of Sir Isaac Newton, which last, 'tis said, had no character or credit here till noticed in France. "The Historical Connection of the Old and New Testament," by Shuckford, is also reported, to have been seldom inquired after for about a twelvemonth's time; however it made a shift, though not without some difficulty, to creep up to a second edition, and afterwards even to a third. And, which is another remarkable instance, the manuscript of Dr Prideaux's "Connection" is well known to have been bandied about from hand to hand, among several, at least five or six of the most eminent booksellers, during the space of at least two years, to no purpose, none of them undertaking to print that excellent work. It lay in obscurity, till Archdeacon Echard, the author's friend, strongly recommended it to Tosson. It was purchased, and the publication was very successful. Robinson Crusoe's manuscript also ran through the whole trade, nor would any one print it, though the writer, De Foe, was in good repute as an author. One bookseller at last not remarkable for his discernment, but for his speculative turn, engaged in this publication.
This bookseller got above a thousand guineas by it; and the booksellers are accumulating money every hour by editions of this work in all shapes. The undertaker of

the translation of Rapin, after a very considerable part of the work had been published, was not a little dubious of its success, and was strongly inclined to drop the design. It proved at last to be a most profitable literary adventure. It is, perhaps, useful to record, that while the fine com-positions of genius and the elaborate labours of erudition are doomed to encounter these obstacles to tame, and never are but slightly remunerated, works of another de-scription are rewarded in the most princely manner; at the recent sale of a bookseller, the copyright of 'Vyse's Spelling-book' was sold at the enormous price of 1.2,200; with an annuity of 50 guineas to the author!

## THE TURKISH SPY.

Whatever may be the defects of the ' Turkish Spy,' the author has shown one uncommon merit, by having opened a new species of composition, which has been pursued by other writers with inferior success, if we except the charm-Persian Letters' of Montesquieu. The 'Turkish Spy' is a book which has delighted us in our childhood, and which we can still recur with pleasure. But its in-

to which we can still recur with pleasure. But its ingenious author is unknown to three parts of his admirers. In Boswell's 'Life of Johnson' is this dialogue concerning the writer of the 'Turkish Spy.' 'B. Pray, Sir, is the "Turkish Spy" a genuine book 7 J. No, Sir. Mrs Manley in her "Life" says, that her father wrote the two first volumes; and in another book—'Dunton's Life and Errours," we find that the rest was written by one Sault at two guineas a sheet, under the direction of Dr Midgeley.' I do not know on what authority Mrs Manley advances that have father was the author; but this lady was never

that her father was the author; but this lady was never nice in detailing facts. Dunton, indeed, gives some information in a very loose manner. He tells us, p. 242, that it is probable, by reasons which he insinuates, that one Bradshan, a hackney author, was the writer of the Turkish Spy.' This man probably was engaged by Dr Midgeley to translate the volumes as they appeared at the rate of 40s per sheet. On the whole, all this proves, at least, how little the author was known while the volumes were publishing, and that he is as little known at present by the extract from Boswell.

The ingenious writer of the Turkish Spy is John Paul Marana, an Italian: so that the Turkish Spy is just as real a personage as Cid Hamet, from whom Cervantes says he had his 'History of Don Quixote.' Marana had been imprisoned for a political conspiracy; after his release he retired to Monaco, where he wrote the 'History of the Plot,' which is said to be valuable for many curious particulars. Marana was at once a man of letters and of particulars. Marana was at once a man or ietters and or the world. He had long wished to reside at Paris; in that assemblage of taste and luxury his talents procured him patrons. It was during his residence there that he produced his 'Turkish Spy.' By this ingenious contrivance he gave the history of the last age. He discovers a rich memory, and a lively imagination; but critics have said that he temphas away thing and repetrates nothing. His that he touches every thing, and penetrates nothing. His first three volumes greatly pleased: the rest are inferior. Plutarch, Seneca, and Pliny, were his favourite authors. He lived in a philosophical mediocrity; and in the last years of his life retired to his native country, where he died in 1693.

Charpentier gave the first particulars of this ingenious man. Even in his time the volumes were read as they came out, while its author remained unknown. Charpentier's proof of the author is indisputable; for he preserved the following curious certificate, written in Marana's own

hand-writing.

1, the under-written John Paul Marana, author of a manuscript Italian volume, inituled, 'L' Esploratore Turco, tomo terzo,' acknowledge that Mr Charpentier, appointed by the Lord Chancellor to revise the said manuscript, has not granted me his certificate for printing the said manuscript, but on condition to rescind four passages. The first beginning, &c. By this I promise to suppress from the said manuscript the places above marked, so that there shall remain to vestigo; since, without agreeing to this, the said certificate would not have been granted to me by the said Mr Charpentier; and for surety of the above, which I acknowledge to be true, and which I promise punctually to execute, I have signed the present writing. Paris, 28th September, 1686.

This paper serves as a curious instance in what manner the censors of books clipped the wings of genius when it was found on daring or excursive god by

JOHN PAUL MARANA.

These rescindings of the Censor appear to be marked Marana in the printed work. We find more than once, by Marana in the printed work. chasms with these words: 'the beginning of this letter is wanting in the Italian translation; the original paper being

No one has yet taken the pains to observe the dates of the first editions of the French and the English Turkish Spies, which would settle the disputed origin. It appears by the document before us, to have been originally written in Italian, but probably was first published in French. Does the English Turkish Spy differ from the French one?

# SPENSER, JONSON, AND SHAESPEARE.

The characters of these three great masters of English poetry are sketched by Fuller, in his Worthies of Eng-land. It is a literary morsel that must not be passed by. The criticisms of those who lived in or near the times when authors flourished merit our observation. They sometimes clicit a ray of intelligence, which later opinions do not al-

ways give.

He observes on Spensor—the many Chancerisms used (for I will not say affected by him) are thought by the ignorant to be themishes, known by the learned to be beauties, assembles and the control of the con

rant to be 'termistes, known by the learned to be besidies, to his book; which, notwithstanding, had been more saleeble, if more conformed to our modern language.'

On Jonson.—' His parts were not so ready to run of 
themselves, as able to answer the spur; so that it may be 
truly said of him, that he had an elaborate wit, wrought out 
by his own industry.—He would sit silent in learned company, and suck in (besides wine) their several humours 
into his observation. What was ore in others, he was able to refine himself.

"He was paramount in the dramatic part of poetry, and taught the stage an exact conformity to the laws of co-medians. His comedies were above the Volge (which are only tickled with downright obscenity), and took not so well at the Arst stroke as at the rebound, when beheld the second time; yea, they will endure reading so long as either ingenuity or learning are fashionable in our nation. If his latter be not so spriteful and vigorous as his first pieces, all that are old will, and all who desire to be old should excuse him therein.

On Shakspeare. - He was an eminent instance of the or snapspoare.— He was an entiment instance of the truth of that rule, poets non fit, sed nascitur; one is not made, but born a poet. Indeed his learning was but very little; so that as Cornish diamonds are not polished by any lapidary, but are pointed and smoothed, even as they are taken out of the earth, so Nature itself was all the art which was used true him. which was used upon him.

'Many were the wit-combate betwirt him and Ben Jonson, which two I behold like a Spanish great galleon, and an English man-of-war. Master Jonson (like the former) was built far higher in learning; solid, but slow in his per-formances. Shakspears, with an English man-of-war, lesser in bulk, but lighter in sailing, could turn with all tides, and take advantage of all winds, by the quickness of his wit and invention. wit and invention.

Had these 'Wit-combats,' between Shakspeare and Jonson, which Fuller notices, been chronicled by some faithful Boswell of the age, our literary history would have received an interesting accession. A letter has been publication. received an interesting accession. A fetter has been pul-ished by Dr Berkenhout relating to an evening's conver-sation between our great rival bards, and Alleyn the actor. Peele, a dramatic poet, writes to his friend Marlow, ano-ther poet. The Ductor unfortunately in giving this copy did not recollect his authority.

'Friend Marlow,
'I never longed for thy companye more than last night:
we were all very merrie at the Globe, where Ned Alleyn
did not scruple to affirms pleasantly to thy friend Will, that he had stolen his speeche about the qualityes of an actor's excellencye in Hamlet his Tragedye, from conversations manyfold which had passed between them, and opinyons given by Alleyn touchinge this subject. Shakspeare did not take this talk in good sorte; but Jonson put an end to the strife, by wittylie remerking:—this affaire needeth no contention: you stole it from Ned no doubt; do not marvel; have you not seen him act times out of

number 7 This letter is not genuine, but one of those ingenious forgeries which the late George Steevens practised on the literary antiquary; they were not always of this innocent cast. It has been frequently quoted as an original document. I have preserved it as an example of *Literary For-*

geries, and the danger which literary historians incur by such dangerous practices.

# BEN JONSON, FELTHAM, AND RANDOLPH.

Ben Jonson, like most celebrated wits, was very unfor-tunate in conciliating the affections of his brother writers. He certainly possessed a great share of arrogance, and was desirous of ruling the realms of Parnassus with a despotic sceptre. That he was not always successful in his theatrical compositions, is evident from his abusing, in their title-page, the actors and the public. In this he has been imitated by Fielding. I have collected the following three satiric odes, written when the reception of his 'Ness-Inn, or The Light Heart,' warmly exasperated the irritable dimension of our next. ble disposition of our poet.

pie disposition of our poet.

He printed the title in the following manner:

'Ness Inn, or The Light Heart, a Comedy never acted, but most negligently played by some, the King's servants; and more squeamishly beheld and censured by others, the King's subjects, 1629. Now at last set at liberty to the readers, his Majesty's servants and subjects, to be judged, 1631. 1631.

At the end of the play he published the following Ode, in which he threatens to quit the stage for ever; and turn at once a Horace, an Anacreon, and a Pindar.

'The just indignation the author took at the vulgar ceasure of his play, begat this following Ode to himself:

'Come, leave the loathed stage, And the more loathsome age;
Where pride and impudence (in fashion knit) Usurp the chair of wit! Inditing and arraigning every day
Something they call a play. Let their fastidious, vaine Commission of brains Run on, and rage, sweat, censure, and condemn; They were not made for thee,-less thou for them.

Say that thou pour'st them wheat, And they will accorns eat: 'Twere simply fury, still, thyself to waste On such as have no taste! To offer them a surfeit of pure bread, Whose appetites are dead No, give them graines their fill, Husks, draff, to drink and swill. If they love lees, and leave the lusty wine,

'No doubt some mouldy tale Like Pericles,\* and stale As the shrieve's crusts, and nasty as his fish-Scraps, out of every dish Thrown forth, and rak't into the common-tub, May keep up the play-club; There sweepings do as well As the best order'd meale. Foy who the relish of these guests will fit, Needs set them but the almes-basket of wit.

Envy them not their palate with the swine.

And much good do't you then, Brave plush and velvet men Can feed on oats, and safe in your stage clothes, Dare quit, upon your usthes,
The stagers, and the stage-wrights too (your peers)
Oflarding your large ears
With their foul comic socks, Wrought upon twenty blocks:
Which, if they're torn, and turn'd, and patch'd enough. The gamesters share your guilt, and you their stuff.

Leave things so prestitute, And take the Alczick lute, Or thyne own Horace, or Anacreou's lyre;
Warm thee by Pindar's fire;
And, tho' thy nerves be shrunk, and blood be cold Ere years have made thee old, Strike that disdainful heat Throughout, to their defeat;
As curious fools, and envious of thy strain, May, blushing, swear no palsy's in thy brain.

\* This play, Languaine says, is written by Shakepeare.
† He had the paley at that time
Digitized by

But when they hear thee sing
The glories of thy King,
His zeal to God, and his just awe o'er men;
They may blood-shaken then,
Feel such a fiest-quake to pessess their powers,
As they shall cry like ours,
Is sound of peace, or wars,
No harp ere hit the stars,
In tuning forth the acts of his sweet raign,
And raising Charles his chariot 'bove his wain.'

This Magisterial Ode, as Langbaine calls it, was answered by Onen Feltham, author of the admirable 'Resolves,' who has written with great satiric accretity the retort courteous. His character of this poet should be attended to:—

An Answer to the Ode, Come leave the louthed Stage, fre-

'Come leave this sawcy way
Of baiting those that pay
Dear for the sight of your declining wit:
'Tis known it is not fit
That a sale poet, just contempt once thrown,
Should cry up thus his own.
I wonder by what dower,
Or patent, you had power
From all to rape a judgment. Let 't suffice,
Had you been modest, y' ad been granted wise.

"Tis known you can do well,
And that you do excell
As a translator; but when things require
A genius, and fire,
At thindled heretofore by other pains,
As oft y'ave wanted brains
And art to strike the white,
As you have levell'd right:
Yet if men youch not things apocryphal,
You below, rave, and spatter round your gall.

Jug, Pierce, Peek, Fly,\* and all Your jests so nominal,
Are things so far beneath an able brain,
As they do throw a stain
Thro' all th' unlikely plot, and to displease
As deep as Pericles,
Where yet there is not laid
Before a chamber-maid
Discourse so weigh'd,† as might have serv'd of old
For schools, when they of love and valour told.

'Why rage, then? when the show Should judgment be, and know. 
Posset stages, yet can judge For stages, yet can judge Not only poets' looser lines, but wits,
And all their perquisits;
A gift as rich as high Is noble poesie;
Yet, tho' in sport it be for King's a play,
'Tis next mechanicks' when it works for pay.

'Alczes lute had none,
Nor loose Anacreon
E'er taught so bold assuming of the bays
When they deserv'd no praise.
To rail men into approbation
Is new to your's alone:
And prospers not: for know,
Fame is as coy, as you
Can be disdainful; and who dares to prove
A rape on her shall gather scorn,—not love.

Leave then, this humour vain,
And this more humourous strain,
Where self-conceit, and choler of the blood
Eclipse what else is good:
Then, if you please those raptures high to touch,
Whereof you beast so much:
And but for

The names of several of Jonson's Dramatis Persons.
 'New Inn,' Act iii, Sc.ne 2.—Act iv, Scene 4.
 This break was purposely designed by the poet, to expose that awkward one in Sen's third stanya.

No doubt, from all you may amazement draw, Since braver theme no Phosbus ever saw.

To console dejected Ben for this just reprimand, Randolph, one of the adopted poetical sons of Josson, addressed him with all that warmth of grateful affection which a man of genius should have felt on the occasion.

<sup>4</sup> An Anmoer to Mr Ben Jonson's Ode, to persuade him no to leave the stage.

1

'Ben, do not leave the stage
Cause 'tis a loathsome age;
For pride and impudence will grow too bold,
When they shall hear it told
They frighted thee; Stand high, as in thy cause;
More just were thy disdain,
Had they approved thy vein:
So thou for them, and they for thee were born,
They to incesse, and thou as much to scorn.

П.

Wilt thou engross thy store
Of wheat, and pour no more,
Because their bacon-brains had such a taste
As more delight in mast:
No! set them forth a board of dainties, full
As thy best muse can cull;
Whilst they the while do pine
And thirst, midst all their wine.
What greater plaque can hell itself devise,
Than to be willing thus to tantalize?

III.

Thou canst not find them stuff,
That will be bad enough
To please their palates: let 'em them refuse,
For some pye-corner muse;
She is too fair an hostess, 'twere a sin
For them to like thine Inn:
'Twas made to entertain
Guests of a nobler strain;
Yet, if they will have any of the store,
Give them some scraps, and send them from thy dore,

IV.

'And let those things in plush
Till they be taught to blush,
Like what they will, and more contented be
With what Broom\* swept from thee.
I know thy worth, and that thy lofty strains
Write not to cloaths, but brains:
But thy great spleen doth rise,
'Cause moles will have no eyes:
This only in my Ben I faulty find,
He's angry they'll not see him that are blind,

v.

'Why shou'd the scene be mute
'Cause thou canst touch the lute
And string thy Horace? Let each Muse of nine
Claim thee, and say, th'art mine.
'Twere fond, to let all other flames expire,
To sit by Pindar's fire:
For by so strange neglect
I should myself suspect
Thy palsy† were as well thy brain's disease,
If they could shake thy muse which way they please.

VI.

' And tho' thou well canst sing, The glories of thy King,

\* His man, Richard Broome, wrote with success severa comedies. He had been the amanuenels or attendant of Jonson. The epigram made against Pope for the assistance W. Broome gave him, appears to have been borrowed from this pun. Jonson has inserted it in 'Broome's Life.'

† He had the palsy at that time.

And on the wings of verse his chariot bear To heaven, and fix it there; Yet let thy muse as well some raptures raise To please him, as to praise. I would not have thee chuse Only a treble muse; Rut have this envious, ignorant age to know, Thou that canst sing so high, canst reach as low.'

ARIOSTO AND TASSO.

It surprises one to find among the literary Italians the merits of Ariosto most keenly disputed: slaves to classical authority they bend down to the majestic regularity of Tasso. Yet the father of Tasso, before his son had rivalled the romantic Ariosto, describes in a letter the effect of the 'Orlando' on the people:—'There is no man of learning, no mechanic, no lad, no girl, no old man, who are satisfied to read the "Orlando Furioso" once. This poem serves as the solace of the traveller, who fatigued on his journey deceives his lassitude by chaunting some octaves of this poem. You may hear them sing these stanzas in the streets and in the fields every day.' One would have expected that Ariosto would have been the favourite of the people, and Tasso of the critics. But in Venice the gondohers and others, sing passages which are generally tak-en from Tasso, and rarely from Ariosto. A different fate, I imagined, would have attended the poet who has been distinguished by the epithet of 'The Divine,' I have been told by an Italian man of letters, that this cir-cumstance arose from the relation which Tasso's poem bears to Turkish affairs; as many of the common people have passed into Turkey, either by chance or by war. Besides that the long antipathy existing between the Yenitians and the Turks, gave additional force to the patriotic poetry of Tasso. We cannot boast of any similar ic poetry of Tasso. We cannot boast of any similar poems. Thus it was that the people of Greece and Ionia sung the poems of Homer.

The Academia della Crusca gave a public preference to Ariosto. This irritated certain critics, and none more than Chapelain, who could taste the regularity of Tasso, but not feel the 'brave disorder' of Ariosto. He could

not approve of those writers,

' Who snatch a grace beyond the reach of art.'

'I thank you,' he writes, 'for the sonnet which your indignation dictated, at the Academy's preference of Ariosto to Tasso. This judgment is overthrown by the confessions of many of the Cruscanti, my associates. It would be tedious to enter into its discussion; but it was passion and not equity that prompted that decision. We confess, that as to what concerns invention and purity of language, Ariosto has eminently the advantage over Tasso; but majesty, pomp, numbers, and a style truly sublime, united to a regularity of design, raise the latter so much above the other that no comparison can fairly exist.

What Chapelain says is perhaps just; though I did not know that Ariosto's language was purer than Tasso's.

Dr Cocchi, the great Italian critic, compared 'Ariosto's

poem to the richer kind of Harlequin's habit, made up of poem to the retter kind of Tarrequin's habit, made up of pieces of the very best silke and of the liveliest colours. The parts of it are many of them more beautiful than in Tasso's poem, but the whole in Tasso is without comparison more of a piece and better made. The critic was reticated himself and better made. extricating himself as safely as he could out of this critical dilemma; for the disputes were then so violent, that I think one of the disputants took to his bed, and was said to have died of Ariosto and Tasso.

It is the conceit of an Italian to give the name of April to Ariosto, because it is the season of flowers; and that of Rentember to Tasso, which is that of fruits. Tiraboschi Replember to Tasso, which is that of fruits. Tiraboschi judiciously observes, that no comparison ought to be made between these great rivals. It is comparing 'Ovid's Metamorphoses' with 'Virgil's Æneid;' they are quite different thing. In his characters the contractions of the comparison of the comparison of the contraction of the con ferent things. In his characters of the two poets, he disferent things. In his custactions the property in the regular epic. Their designs required distinct perfections. lish reader is not enabled by the wretched versions of Hoole, to echo the verse of La Fontaine, 'Je cheris L'A-

moste et J'estime Le Tasse.

Boileau, some time before his death, was asked by a critic, if he had repented of his celebrated decision con-cerning the merits of Tasso, whom some Italians had compared with those of Virgil; this had awakened the vengeance of Boileau, who hurled his bolts at the violators of classical majesty. It is supposed that he was ignorant of

the Italian language, but by some expressions in his fol-lowing answer, we may be led to think that Boileau was not ignorant of Italian.

I have so little changed my opinion, that on a re-perusal lately of Tasso, I was sorry that I had not more amply explained myself on this subject in some of my reflections on "Longinus." I should have begun by acknowledging that Tasso had a sublime genius, of great compass, with happy dispositions for the higher poetry. But when I came to the use he made of his talents, I should have shown that judicious discernment rarely prevailed in his works That in the greater part of his narrations he attached himself to the agreeable oftener than to the just. That his deself to the agreeable oftener than to the just. That his descriptions are almost always overcharged with superfluous ornaments. That in painting the strongest passions, and in the midst of the aguiation they excite, frequently he degenerates into witticisms, which abruptly destroy the pathetic. That he abounds with images of too florid a kind; affected turns; conceits and frivolous thoughts; which, far from being adapted to his Jerusalera, could hardly be supportable in his 'Aminta." So that all this, opposed to the gravity, the sobriety, the majesty of Virgil, what is at but tineel compared with gold?"

It must be acknowledged that this passage, which is to

It must be acknowledged that this passage, which is to be found in the Histoire de l'Academie, t. n., p. 276, may serve as an excellent commentary on our poet's well-known censure. The merits of Tasso are exactly discriminated, and this particular criticism must be valuable to the low ers of poetry. The errors of Tasso, were, however, na-

tional.

An anonymous gentleman has greatly obliged me with an account of the recitation of these two poets by the gon-dollers of Venice, extracted from his travelling pocketbook.

#### VENICE.

In Venice the gondoliers know by heart long passages from Ariosto and Tasso, and often chant them with a peculiar melcdy. But this talent seems at present on the decline :- at least, after taking some pains, I could find no more than two persons who delivered to me in this way a passage from Tasso. Goldoni in his life, however, notices the gondolier returning with him to the city: 'he turned the prow of the gondola towards the city, singing all the way the twenty-sixth stanza of the sixteenth canto of the Jerusalem Delivered.' The late Mr Barry once chanted to me a passage of Tasso in the manner, as he assured me, of the Gondoliers. But Lord Byron has recently told us, that with the independence of Venice the song of the gondoliers has died away.

'In Venice Tasso's echoes are no more.'

Them are always two concerned, who alternately sing the strophes. We know the melody eventually by Rous seau, to whose songs it is printed; it has properly no me lodious movement, and is a sort of medium between the canto fermo and the canto figurato; it approaches to the former by recitativical declamation, and to the latter by passages and course, by which one syllable is detained and embellished.

I entered a gondola by moonlight: one singer placed himself forwards, and the other aft, and thus proceeded to St Georgio. One began the song: when he had ended him strophe the other took up the lay, and so continued the song alternately. Throughout the whole of it, the same notes invariably returned, but, according to the subjectmatter of the strophe, they laid a greater or a smaller stress, sometimes on one, and sometimes on another note, and indeed changed the enunciation of the whole strophe, as the object of the poem altered.

On the whole, however, their sounds were hoarse and screaming: they seemed, in the manner of all rude and uncivilized men, to make the excellency of their singing in the force of their voice: one seemed desirous of conquering the other by the strength of his lungs, and so far from receiving delight from this scene (shut up as I was in the box of the gondola,) I found myself in a very unpleasant

situation.

My companion, to whom I communicated this circumstance, being very desirous to keep up the credit of his stance, being very desirous to keep up the crount in this countrymen, assured me that this singing was very delightful when heard at a distance. Accordingly we got out upon the shore, leaving one of the singers in the gondola, while the other went to the distance of some hum-

Digitized by GOOG

dred paces. They now began to sing against one another, and I kept walking up and down between them both, so as always to leave him who has to begin his part. I frequently stood still and hearkened to the one and to the

Here the scene was properly introduced. The strong declamatory, and, as it were, shrieking sound, met the ear trom far, and called forth the attention; the quickly succeeding transitions, which necessarily required to be sung in a lower tone, seemed like plaintive strains succeeding he vociferations of emotion or of pain. The other, who listened attentively, immediately began where the former left off, answering him in milder or more vehement notes, according as the purport of the strophe required. The sleepy causis, the lofty buildings, the splendour of the mooe, the deep shadows of the few gondolas that moved like spirits hither and thither, increased the striking peculiarity of the scene, and amidst all these circumstances it was easy to confess the character of this wonderful har-

mony.

It must perfectly well with an idle solitary mariner, lying at length in his vessel at rest on one of these canals, waiting for his company, or for a fare: the tiresomeness of which situation is somewhat alleviated by the songs and poetical stories he has in memory. He often raises his voice as loud as he can, which extends itself to a vast distance over the tranquil mirror, and as all is still around, he is as it were in a solitude in the midst of a large and populous town. Here is no rattling of carriages, no noise of foot passengers: a silent gondola glides now and then by him, of which the splashing of the cars are scarcely to be heard.

At a distance he hears another, perhaps utterly unknown to him. Melody and verse immediately attach the two strangers: he becomes the responsive echo to the former, and exerts himself to be heard as he had heard the other. By a tacit convention they alternate verse for verse; though the song should last the whole night through, they entertain themselves without fatigue; the hearers, who

are passing between the two, take part in the amusement.

This vocal performance sounds best at a great distance, and is then inexpressibly charming, as it only fulfils its design in the sentiment of remoteness. It is plaintive, but not dismal in its sound, and at times it is scarcely possible to refrain from tears. My companion, who otherwise was not a very delicately organised person, said quite unex-pectedly: è singolare come quel canto intenerisce, e mol-to piu quando lo cantano megio.

I was told that the women of Libo, the long row of mands that divides the Adriatic from the Lagouns, parti-

cularly the women of the extreme districts of Malamocua and Palestrina, sing in like manner the works of Tasso to these and similar tumes.

They have the custom, when their husbands are fishing out at sea, to sit along the shore in the evenings and vociferate these songs, and continue to do so with great violence, till each of them can distinguish the responses ofher own husband at a great distance.

How much more delightful and more appropriate does this song show itself here, than the call of a solitary peraon uttered far and wide, till another equally disposed shall hear and answer him! It is the expression of a vehement and hearty longing, which is yet every moment near-er to the happiness of satisfaction.

#### BAYLE.

Few philosophers were more deserving of the title than Bayle. His last hour exhibits the Socratic intrepidity with which he encountered the formidable approach of death. I have seen the original letter of the bookseller Leers, where he describes the death of our philosopher. 'On the evening preceding his decease, having studied all day, he gave my corrector some copy of his 'Answer to Jacquelot," and told him that he was very bad. At nine in the morning his laundress entered his chamber; he asked her, with a dying voice, if his fire was kindled? and a few moments after he died. His disease was an hereditary consump-His disease was an hereditary consumption, and his decline must have been gradual; speaking had become with him a great pain; but he laboured with the same tranquillity of mind to his last hour; and, with Bayle, it was death alone which could interrupt the Dinter.

The irritability of genius is forcibly characterised by this circumstance in his literary life. When a close friendship had united him to Juricu, he lavished on him the most flat-

tering eulogiums. He is the hero of his 'Republic of Letters.' Enmity succeeded to friendship; Jurieu is them continually quoted in his 'Critical Dictionary,' whosever an occasion offers to give instances of gross blunders, palpable contradictions, and inconclusive arguments. These propositions are the same time. monosistent opinions may be sanctioned by the similar conduct of a Saint! St Jerome praised Rufinus as the most learned man of his age, while his friend; but when the same Rufinus joined his adversary, Origen, he called him one of the most ignorant!

As a logician Bayle had no superior : the best logician will, however, frequently deceive himself. Bayle made long and close arguments to show that La Motte le Vayer never could have been a preceptor to the king; but all his reasonings are overturned by the fact being given in the history of the Academy, by Pelisson.

Basnage said of Bayle, that he read much by his fingers.

He meant that he ran over a book more than he read it;

and that he had the art of always falling upon that which was most essential and curious in the book he examined.

There are heavy hours in which the mind of a man of letters is unhinged; when the intellectual faculties lose all their elasticity, and when nothing but the simplest actions are adapted to their enfeebled state. At such hours it is recorded of the Jewish Socrates, Moses Mendelshon, that he would stand at his window, and count the tiles of his neighbour's house. An anonymous writer has told of Bayle, that he would frequently wrap himself in his clock, and hasten to places where mountebanks resorted; and that this was one of his chief amusements. He is surprised that so great a philosopher should delight in so trifling an object. This observation is not injurious to the character of Bayle; it only proves that the writer himself

was no philosopher.

The Monthly Reviewer, in noticing this article, has continued the speculation, by giving two interesting anecdotes. 'The observation concerning "heavy hours," and the want of elasticity in the intellectual faculties of men of letters, when the mind is fatigued, and the attention blunted by incessant labour, reminds us of what is related by persons who were acquainted with the late sagacious magistrate Sir John Fielding; who, when fatigued with attending to complicated cases, and perplexed with attending to complicated cases, and perplexed with encoderate depositions, used to retire to a little closet in a remote and tranquil part of the house, to rest his mental powers, and sharpen perception. He told a great physician, now living who complained of the distance of places, as caused by the great extension of London, that "he (the physician) would not have been able to visit so many patients to any purpose, if they had resided nearer to each other; as he could have had no time either to think, or to rest his mind."

Our excellent logician was little accustomed to a mixed society; his life was passed in study. He had such an infantine simplicity in his nature, that he would speak on anatomical subjects before the ladies with as much freedom as before surgeons. When they inclined their eyes to the ground, and while some even blushed, he would then inquire if what he spoke was indecent? and, when told so, he smiled and stopped. His habits of life were, however, extremely pure; he probably lest himself little leisure 'to fall into temptation.'

Bayle knew nothing of geometry, and as Le Clerc in-forms us, acknowledged that he could never comprehend the demonstration of the first problem in Euclid. Le Clerc, however, was a rival to Bayle; with greater industry and more accurate learning, but with very inferior powers of reasoning and philosophy. Both of these great scholars, like our Locke, were destitute of fine taste, and poetical discernment.

When Fagon, an eminent physician, was consulted on the illness of our student, he only prescribed a particular regimen, without the use of medicine. He closed his consultation by a compliment remarkable for ts felicity. 'I ardently wish one could spare this great man all this constraint, and that it were possible to find a remedy as singular, as the merit of him for whom it is asked.

Voltaire has said that Bayle confessed he would not have made his Dictionary exceed a folio volume, had he written only for nimself and not for the booksellers. This Dictionary, with all its human faults, is a stupendous work, which must last with literature itself.

His other productions have claims on our attention : wit possible to read his 'Thoughts on Cometa,' and complain of lassitude? His 'Nouvelles de Republique des Les tres,' are a model of periodical criticism, lively, neat, and full of that attic salt which gives a piquancy to the disquisitions of criticism. The mind of Bayle is always acute; but, what is still more engaging, it communicates entertainment. His sceptre of criticism is embellished by followers.

#### CERVANTES.

I find in the Segraisman, this authentic anecdote concerning the inimitable Cervantes.

Mr du Boulay accompanied the French ambassador to Spain, when Cervantes was yet alive. He has told me, that the ambassador one day complimented Cervantes on the great reputation he had acquired by his Don Quixote: and that Cervantes whispered in his ear, 'Had it not been for the Inquisition, I should have made my book much more entertaining.'

Cervantes, at the battle of Lepanto, was wounded and enslaved. He has given his own history in Don Quixoto. He was known at the court of Spain, but he did not receive those favours which might have been expected; he was neglected. His first volume is the finest; and his design was to have finished there; but he could not resist the importunities of his friends, who engaged him to make a second, which has not the same force, although it has

a second, which has not the same force, although it has many splendid passages.

We have lost many good things of Cervantes and other writers, because of the tribunal of religion and dulness.—
One Aonius Palearius was sensible of this: and said, that the Inquisition was a poniard aimed at the throat of literature. The image is striking, and the observation just; but the ingenious observer was in consequence im-

mediately led to the stake.

#### MAGLIABECHI.

Anthony Magliabechi, who died at the age of eighty, was celebrated for his great knowledge of books. He has been called the Heliuo, or the Glutton of Literature, as Peter Comestor received this nick-name from his amazing voracity for food he could never digest; which appeared when having fallen sick of so much false learning, he throw it all up in his 'Sea of Histories,' which proved to be the history of all things, and a bad history of every thing. Magliabechi's character is singular; for though his life was wholly passed in libraries, being librarian to the duke of Tuscany, he never wrote himself. There is a medal which represents him sitting, with a book in one hand, and with a great number of books scattered on the ground. The candid inscription signifies, that 'it is not sufficient to become learned to have read much, if we read without reflection.' This is the only remains we have of his own composition that can be of service to posterity.—A simple truth, which may however be inscribed in the study of every man of letters.

His habits of life were uniform. Ever among his books, he troubled himself with no other concern whatever; and the only interest he appeared to take for any living thing was his spiders; for whom, while sitting among his literary piles, he affected great sympathy; and perhaps contemptuously, to those whose curiosity appeared impertinent, he frequently cried out, 'to take care not to hurt his spiders!' Although he lost no time in writing himself, he gave considerable assistance to authors who consulted him. He was himself an universal index to all authors. He had one book among many others, dedicated to him, and this dedication consisted of a collection of titles of works which he had had at different times dedicated to him, with all the eulogiums addressed to him in prose and verse.—When he died, he left his vast collection of books for the public use; they now compose the public library of Florence.

Heyman, a celebrated Dutch professor, visited this erudite librarian, who was considered as the ornament of Florence. He send him amongst his books, of which the number was prodigious. Two or three rooms in the first story were crowded with them, not only along their sides, but piled in heaps on the floor; so that it was difficult to sit, and more so to walk. A narrow space was contrived, indeed, so that by walking sideways, you might extricate yourself from one room to another. This was not all; the passage below stairs was full of books, and the staircase from the top to the bottom was lined with them. When you reached the second story, you saw with astonishment three rooms, similar to those below, equally

fall, so crowded, that two good beds in these chambers were also crammed with books.

This apparent confusion did not, however, hinder Magliabechi from immediately finding the books he wanted.
He knew them all so well, that even to the least of thesast
it was sufficient to see its outside, to say what it wass;
and indeed he read them day and night, and never lost sights,
of any. He eat on his books, he slept on his books, and
quitted them as rarely as possible. During his whole isfence he only went twice from Florence; once to see Ficsolia,
which is not above two leagues distant, and once bemiles further by order of the Grand Duke. Nothing could
be more simple than his mode of life; a few eggs, a littlebread, and some water, were his ordinary food. A drawer
of his desk being open, Mr Heyman saw there several
eggs, and some money which Magliabechi had placed
there for his daily use. But as this drawer was generally
open, it frequently happened that the servants of his friends,
or strangers who came to see him, pilfered some of these

of strangers who came to see man, placetos. A black doublet, which descended to his knees; large and long breeches; an old patched black cloak; an amorphous hat, very much worn, and the edges ragged; a large neckloth of coarse cloth, begrimed with snuff; a dirty shirt, which he always wore as long as it lasted, and which the broken elbows of his doublet did not conceal; and, to finish this inventory, a pair of ruffles which did not belong to the shirt. Such was the brilliant dress of our learned Florentine; and is such did he appear in the public streets, as well as in such did he appear in the public streets, as well as in sown house. Let me not forget another circumstance, warm his hands, he generally had a store with fire fastened to his arms, so that his clothes were generally singed and burnt, and his hands scorched. He had nothing otherwise remarkable about him. To literary men he was extremely affable, and a cynic only to the eye; anecdotes almost incredible are related of his memory. It is somewhat uncommon that as he was so fond of literary feed, he did not occasionally dress some dishes of his own mycation, or at least some sandwiches to his own relish. He indeed should have written Curnostrates of Literarature. He was a living Cyclopedia, though a dark lantern.

He was a living Cyclopedia, though a dark lantern.

Of such reading men, Hobbes entertained a very committed in the considerable, and he used to say, that if he had spent as much time in reading as other men of learning, he should have been as ignorant as they. He put little value on a large library, for he considered all books to be merely estracts and copies, for that most authors were like sheep, never deviating from the beaten path. History he reated lightly, and thought there were more lies than truths in it. But let us recollect after all this, that Hobbes was a mere metaphysician, idolising his own vain and empty hypotheses. It is true enough that weak heads carrying in them too much reading may be staggered. Le Clerc observes of two learned men, De Marcily and Barthius, that they would have composed more useful works had they read less numerous authors, and digested the better writers.

# ABRIDGERS,

The present article presents the history of Abridgers, a kind of literary men to whom the indolence of modera readers, and indeed the multiplicity of authors, gives ample

readers, and indeed the multiplicity of authors, gives ample employment.

It would be difficult, observe the learned Benedictines, the authors of the Literary History of France, to relate all the unhappy consequences which ignorance introduced, and the causes which produced that ignorance. But we

the unhappy consequences which ignorance introduced, and the causes which produced that ignorance. But we must not forget to place in this number the mode of reducing, by way of abridgment, what the ancients had written in bulky volumes. Examples of this practice may be observed in preceding centuries, but in the fifth century it began to be in general use. As the number of students and readers diminished, authors neglected literature, and were disgusted with composition; for to write is seldom done, but when the writer entertains the hope of finding readers. Instead of original authors, there suddenly arose numbers of Abridgers. These men, amidst the prevailing disgust for literature, imagined they should gratify the public by introducing a mode of reading works in a few hours, which otherwise could not be done in many months; and, observing that the bulky volumes of the ancients lay buried in dust, without any one condescending to examine them, necessity mepired them, with an invention that

Digitized by GOOGLE

ight bring those works and themselves into public notice, by the care they took of renovating them. This they imagined to effect by forming abridgments of these pon-

All these Abridgers, however, did not follow the same mode. Some contented themselves with making a mere abridgment of their authors, by employing their own ex-pressions, or by inconsiderable alterations. Others formed abridgments in drawing them from various authors, but from whose works they only took what appeared to them most worky of observation, and embellished them in their own style. Others again, having before them several authors who wrote on the same subject took passages from such wind them. each, united them, and thus formed a new work; they ex-ecuted their design by digesting in common-places, and under various titles, the most valuable parts they could collect, from the best authors they read. To these last ingenious scholars we owe the rescue of many valuable frag-ments of antiquity. They fortunately preserved the best maxims, characters, descriptions, and curious matters which they had found interesting in their studies.

Some learned men have consured these Abridgers as Some learned men have commune the came of our having lost so many excellent entire works of the ancients; for posterity becoming less studious was satisfied with these extracts, and neglected to preserve the originals, whose voluminous size was less attractive. Others, on the contrary, say that these Abridgers have not been so prejudicial to literature; and that had it not been for their care, which snatched many a perishable fragment from that shipwrock of letters which the barbarians occasound, we should, perhape, have had no works of the ancients remaining. Many voluminous works have been greatly improved by their Abridgers. The vant history of Trogus Pompeius was soon forgotten and finally perished, after the excellent epitome of it by Justin, who winnowed the abundant chaff from the grain.

Bayle gives very excellent advice to an Abridger, when he shows that Xiphilin, in his 'Abridgment of Dion,' takes no notice of a circumstance very material for enter-ing into the character of Domitian:—the recalling the empress Domitia after having turned her away for her intrigues with a player. By omitting this fact in the abridgment, and which is discovered through Sustonius, Xiphilin has evinced, he says, a deficient judgment; for Domitian's ill qualities are much better exposed, when it is known that he was mean-spirited enough to restore to the dignity

of empress the prostitute of a player.

Abridgers, Compilers, and Translators, are now alike regarded with contempt; yet to form their works with skill requires an exertion of judgment, and frequently of taste, of which their contempers appear to have no due conception. Such literary labours it is thought the learned will not be found to want; and the unlearned cannot discem the value. But to such Abridgers as Monsieur Le Grand, in his 'Tales of the Minstrels,' and Mr Ellis, in his English Metrical Romances, we owe much; and such writers must bring to their task a congeniality of gemus, and even more taste, than their originals possessed. I must compare such to fine etchers after great masters:—
very few give the feeling touches in the right place.

It is an uncommon circumstance to quote the Scriptures on subjects of modern literature; but on the present topic the elegant writer of the books of the Maccabees has deirered in a kind of preface to that history, very pleasing and useful instruction to an Abridger. I shall transcribe the passages, being concise, from Book ii, Chap ii, v. 23, that the reader may have it at hand.—

'All these things, I say, being declared by Jason, of Cyrene, in five books, we will assay to abridge in one volume. We will be careful that they that will read may have delight, and that they that are desirous to commit to memory might have case, and that all into whose hands it cames might have profit. How concise and Horatian! He then describes his literary labours with no insensibilities. ty :- To us that have taken upon us this painful labour of swidging, it was not easy, but a matter of sueat and besching.'—And the writer employs an elegant illustration:
Even as it is no ease unto him that prepareth a banquet, and seeked the benefit of others; yet for the pleasuring of many, we will undertake gladly this great pain; leaving to the author the exact handling of every particular, and labouring to follow the rules of an abridgment.' He now embellishes his critical account with a sublime metaphor to distinguish the original from the copier:— For as the mester builder of a new house must care for the whole

building; but he that undertaketh to set it out, and point it, must seek out fit things to the adorning thereof; even it, must seek out it trings to the acoraing thereof; even so I think it is with us. To stand upon every point, and go over things at large, and to be curious in particulars, belongeth to the first author of the story; but to use brevits, and avoid much labouring of the work, is to be granted to him that will make an Abridgment.

Quintilian has not a passage more elegantly composed,

nor more judiciously conceived.

#### PROFESSORS OF PLAGIARISM AND OBSCURITY.

Among the most singular characters in literature may be ranked those who do not blush to profess publicly its most disbonourable practices. The first vender of printed sermons imitating manuscript was, I think, Dr Trusler. He to whom the following anecdotes relate had superior ingenuity. Like the famous orator Henley, he formed a school of his own. The present lecturer openly taught not to *imitate* the best authors, but to *steal* from them.

Richesource, a miserable declaimer, called himself Moderator of the Academy of Philosophical Orators. He taught in what manner a person destitute of literary talents might become eminent for literature. He published the principles of his art under the title of 'The Mask of Orators; or the manner of disguising with ease all kind of composition; briefs, sermons, panegyrics, funeral orations, dedications, speeches, letters, passages, &c. I will give a action of the work.—

The author very truly observes, that all who apply themselves to polite literature do not always find from their own funds a sufficient supply to ensure success. For such he labours; and teaches to gather, in the gardene of others, those fruits of which their own sterile grounds are destitute; but so artfully to gather, that the public shall not perceive their depredations. He dignifies this fine art by the title of Plagianism of orators is the art, or an ingenious and easy mode, which some adroitly employ to change, or

disguise, all sorts of speeches of their own composition or of that of other authors, for their plessure, or their utility; in such a manner that it becomes impossible even for the author himself to recognise his own work, his own genius, and his own style, so skilfully shall the whole be disguised.?

Our professor proceeds to inform us in what manner we are to manage the whole economy of the piece which is to be copied or disguised: and which consists in giving a new order to the parts, changing the phrases, words &c. An orator, for instance, having said that a plenipotentiary An orator, for instance, having said that a plenipotentiary should possess three qualities,—probity, capacity and courage; the plagiarist, on the contrary, may employ courage, capacity, and probity. This is only for a general rule, for it is too simple to practise frequently. To render the part perfect we must make it more complex, by changing the whole of the expressions. The plagiarist in place of courage will put force, constancy, or vigour. For probity he may say religion, virtue or sincerity. Instead of capacity, he may substitute explicit a diffusor series. Or he may he may substitute erudition, ability or science. Or he may disguise the whole by saying, that the plenipotentiary should be firm, virtuous, and able.

The rest of this uncommon work is composed of pass ages, extracted from celebrated writers, which are turned into a new manner by the plagiarist; their beauties, how ever, are never improved by their dress. Several celebrated writers when young, particularly the famous Fle-chier, who addressed verses to him, frequented the lectures

of this professor!

Richesource became so zealous in the cause of literature, that he published a volume, entitled 'The Art of Writing and Speaking; or a method of composing all sorts vyring and operating; or a method of composing all sorts of letters, and holding a polite conversation.' He concludes his preface by advertising his readers, that authors who may be in want of essays, sermons, letters of all kinds, written pleadings and verses, may be accommodated on application to him. application to him.

Our professor was extremely fond of copious title-pages; which I suppose to be very attractive to certain readers, for it is a custom which the Richesources of the day fail not to employ. Are there persons who value books by the length of their titles; as formerly the ability of a physician was judged by the size of his wig?

To this article may be added an account of another

singular school, where the professor taught obscurity in literary composition!

I do not believe, says Charpentier, that those who are

unintelligible are very intelligent. Quintilian has justly observed that the obscurity of a writer is generally in proportion to his incapacity. However, as there is hardly a defect which does not find partisans, the same author informs us of a Rhetorician, who was so great an admirer of obscurity, that he always exhorted his scholars to preserve it; and made them correct, as blemishes, those passages of their works which appeared to him too intelligible. Quintilian adds, that the greatest panegyric they could give to a composition in that school was to declare, 'I understand nothing of this piece,' Lycophron possess, the content and the cont ed this taste, and he protested that he would hang himself if he found a person who should understand his poem, called the 'Prophesy of Cassandra.' He succeeded so well, that this piece has been the stumbling block of all the grammarians, scholiasts, and commentators; and remains inexplicable to the present day. Such works Charpentier admirably compares to those subterraneous places, where the air is so thick and suffocating that it extinguishes all torches. A most sophistical dilumma, on the subject of obscurity, was made by Thomas Anglus, or White, an English Catholic priest, the friend of Sir Kenelm Digby. This learned man frequently wandered in the mazes of metaphysical subtilities; and became perfectly unintelligible to his readers. When accused of this obscurity, he replied, 'Either the learned understand me or they do not. If they understand me, and find me in an error, it is easy for them to refute me; if they do not understandeme, it is very unveasonable for them to exclaim against my doctrines.'

This is saying all that the wit of man can suggest in

favour of obscurity! Many, however, will agree with an observation made by Gravina on the over-refinement of modern composition, 'that we do not think we have attained genius, till others must possess as much themselves to understand us.' Fontenelle, in France, followed by Marivaux, Thomas, and others, first introduced that subtilised manner of writing, which tastes more natural and simple reject; the source of such bitter complaints of obscurity.

#### LITERARY DUTCH.

Pere Bouhours seriously asks if a German can be a BEL ESPRIT! This concise query was answered by Kramer, in a ponderous volume, which bears for title, Vindiciae nominis Germanici. This mode of refutation does not prove that the question was then so ridiculous as it was considered. The Germans of the present day, although greatly superior to their ancestors, are still distant from that acme of taste which characterises the finished compositions of the French and the English authors. Nations display genius before they form taste; and in some of the productions of the modern Germans, it will be allowed that their imaginations are fertile and fervid; but perhaps the simple question of Bouhours still exists in its full force.

It was once the mode with English and French writers to dishonour them with the epithets of heavy, dull, and phlegmatic compilers, without taste, spirit, or genius; genuine descendants of the ancient Boetians,

# Crassoque suo aere nati.

Many ingenious performances have lately shown that this censure has now become unjust; and much more forcibly answer the sarcastic question of Bouhours than the thick quarto of Kramer.

Churchill finely says of genius, that it is independent of situation,

' And may hereafter even in Holland rise.'

Vondel, whom, as Marchand observes, the Dutch regard as their Æschylus, Sophocles, and Euripides, has a strange defective taste; the poet himself knew none of strange detective taste; the poet himsell knew none of these originals, but he wrote on some patriotic subject, the sure way to obtain popularity. The greater part of his tragedies is drawn from the Scriptures; all badly chosen and unhappily executed. In his Deliverance of the Children of Israel one of his principal characters is the Divinity! In his Jerusalem destroyed we are disgusted with a tedious oration by the Angel Gabriel, who proves theologically and his proofs extend though him eclosely ninted. gically, and his proofs extend through nine closely printed pages in quarto, that this destruction had been predicted by the prophets. And in the Lucifer of the same author, the subject is grossly scandalized by this haughty spirit be-coming stupidly in love with Eve, and it is for her he causes the rebellion of the evil angels, and the fall of our first parents. Poor Vondel kept a hosier's shop, which he left to the care of his wife, while he indulged his poetical genius. His stocking shop failed, and his poems produced him more

chagrin than glory; for in Holland even a patriotic posit a bankrupt, would, no doubt, be accounted by his fellow citizens as a madman. Vondel had no other master be his genius, which, with his uncongenial situation, occasioned all his errors.

Another Dutch poet is even less tolerable. Having written a long rhapsody concerning Pyramus and Thisbe, he concludes it by a ridiculous parallel between the death of these unfortunate victums of love, and the passion of Jesus Christ. He says,

> Om t'concluderem van onsen begrypt, Dees Historie moraliserende, Is in den verstande wel accorderende, By der Passie van Christus gebenedyt.

And upon this, after having turned Pyramus into the son of God, and Thisbe into the Christian soul, he proceeds with a number of comparisons; the latter always more impertinent than the former.

I believe it is well known that the actors on the Dutch theatre are generally tradesmen, who quit their aprons at the hour of public representation. This was the fact when I was in Holland forty years ago. Their comedies are offensive by the grossness of their buffooneries. One of their comic incidents was a miller appearing in distress for want of wind to turn his mill; he had recourse to the novel scheme of placing his back against it, and, by certain imi-tative sounds behind the scenes, the mill is soon set a-go-

ing. It is hard to rival such a depravity of taste.

I saw two of their most celebrated tragedies. The one
was Gysbert Van Amstel, by Vondel; that is Gysbrecht of Amsterdam, a warrior, who in the civil wars preserved this city by his heroism. It is a patriotic historical play, and never fails to crowd the theatre towards Christmas, when it is usually performed successively. One of the acts concludes with a scene of a convent; the sound of warlike instruments is heard; the abbey is stormed; the nuns and fathers are slaughtered; with the aid of blunderbuss and thunder,' every Dutchman appears sensible of the pathos of the poet. But it does not here conclude. After this terrible slaughter, the conquerors and the vanquished remain for ten minutes on the stage, silent and motionless, in the attitudes in which they happened to fall! and this pantomimic pathos is received with loud bursts of applause from the audience.
The other was the Ahasuerus of Schubart, or the Fall

of Haman. In the triumphal entry the Batavian Mordeof riaman. In the training the parameter are called a genuine Flander's mare, that, fortunately, quietly received her applause with a lumpish majesty resembling her rider. I have seen an English as once introduced on our stage which did not act with this decorum. Our late actors have frequently been beasts; a Duich taste!

Some few specimens of the best Dutch poetry which we have had yield no evidence in favour of the national poetical taste. The Dutch poet Katz has a poem on the 'Games of Children,' where all the games are moralized; I suspect the taste of the poet as well as his subject is puerile. When a nation has produced no works above me-diocrity, with them a certain mediocrity is excellence, and their master-pieces, with a people who have made a greater progress in refinement, are but the works of a pupil.

#### THE PRODUCTIONS OF THE MIND NOT SEIZABLE BY CREDITORS.

When Crebillon, the French tragic poet, published his Catilina, it was attended with an honour to literature, which, though it is probably forgotten (for it was only registered, I think, as the news of the day,) it becomes a collector zealous in the cause of literature to preserve. I shall give the circumstance, the petition and the decree.

At the time Catilina was given to the public, the creditors of the poet had the cruelty to attach the produce of this piece, as well at the bookseller's, who had printed the tragedy, as at the theatre where it was performed. The poet, much irritated at these proceedings, addressed a potition to the king, in which he showed that it was a thing yet unknown, that it should be allowed to class amongst seizable effects the productions of the human mind; that if such a practice was permitted, those who had consecrated their vigils to the studies of literature, and who have made the greatest offerts to render themselves, by this means, useful to their country, would see themselves in the cruel predicament of not during to publish works, often precious and interesting to the state; that the greater

Digitized by

part of those who devote themselves to literature require for the necessaries of bie those succours which they have a right to expect from their labours; and that it never has been suffered in France to seize the fees of lawyers, and

other persons of liberal professions.

In answer to this petition, a decree immediately issued from the King's council, commanding a replevy of the arrests and sezures, of which the petitioner complained. This honourable decree was dated 21st May, 1749, and bore the following title: 'Decree of the Council of his bore the following title: 'Decree of the Council of his Majesty, in favour of Mr Crebillon, author of the tragedy of Caulisa, which declares that the productions of the mind are not amongst seizable effects.'

Louis XV exhibits the noble example of bestowing a mark of consideration to the remains of a man of letters.

This king not only testified his esteem of Crebillon by having his works printed at the Louvre, but also by consecrat-

ing to his glory a tomb of marble.

#### CRITICS.

Writers who have been unsuccessful in original composition have their other productions immediately decried, whatever ment they might once have been allowed to possess. Yet this is very unjust; an author who has given a wrong direction to his literary powers may perceive at length where he can more securely point them. ence is as excellent a mistress in the school of literature, as in the school of human life. Blackmore's epics are insufferable; yet neither Addison zor Johnson erred when they considered his philosophical poem as a valuable comusey considered his philosophical poem as a valuable com-position. An indifferent poot may exert the art of criti-cism is a very high degree; and if he cannot himself pro-duce an original work, he may yet be of great service in regulating the happier genius of another. This observa-tion I shall illustrate by the characters of two French critics; the one is the Abbé d'Aubignac, and the other Chapelain,

Boileau opens his Art of Poetry by a precept which bough it be common is always important; this critical poet declares, that 'It is in vain a daring author thinks of attaining to the height of Parnassus if he does not feel the secret influence of heaven, and if his natal star has not formed him to be a poet.' This observation he founded formed him to be a poet.' This observation he founded on the character of our Abbé, who had excellently written on the economy of dramatic composition. His Pratique to the tectoriny of transact compositors. The results of the tectoring of over did not acutely feel its bad reception; he every where basted that he, of all the dramatists, had most scrupulously observed the rules of Aristotle. The Prince de Guemesé, famous for his repartes, sarcastically observed,
'I do not quarrel with the Abbé d'Aubignac for having so
closely followed the precepts of Aristotle; but I cannot
pardon the precepts of Aristotle, that occasioned the Abbé
d'Aubignac to write so wretched a tragedy.'

The Prainte du Theatre is not, however, to be despised, because the Tragedy of its author is despicable.

Chapelain's unfortunate epic has rendered him notorious. He had gained, and not undeservedly, great reputation for his critical powers. After a retention of above thirty years, his Pucelle appeared. He immediately became the butt of every unfledged wit, and his former works were eternally condemned! Insomuch that when Camusat published, after the death of our author, a little volume of extracts from his manuscript letters, it is curious to observe the awkward situation in which he finds himself. In his preface he seems afraid that the very name of Chapelain will be sufficient to repel the reader.

Camusat observes of Chapelain, that 'He found flatter-

ers who assured him his Pucelle ranked above the Æneid; and this Chapelain but feebly denied. However this may be, it would be difficult to make the bad taste which reigns throughout this poem agree with that sound and exact criticism with which he decided on the works of others. So true is it, that genius is very superior to a justness of mind which is sufficient to judge and to advise others. Chapelain was ordered to draw up a critical list of the third living authors and men of letters in France, for the king. It is extremely impartial, and performed with an analytical skill of their literary characters which could not have been surpassed by an Aristotle or a Boileau.

The talent of judging may exist separately from the Power of execution. An amateur may not be an artist, though an artist should be an amateur. And it is for this

reason that young authors are not to contemu the precepts of such critics as even the Abbé d'Aubignac, and Chape-lain. It is to Walsh, a miserable versifier, that Pope stands indebted for the hint of our poetry then being deficient in correctness and polish; and it is from this fortun-ate hint that Pope derived his poetical excellence. Dionysius Halicarnassensis has composed a lifeless history; yet, as Gibbon, observes, how admirably has he judged the masters, and defined the rules of historical composition; Gravina, with great taste and spirit, has written on poetry and poets, but he composed tragedies which gave him no title to be ranked among them.

#### AMECDOTES OF AUTHORS CENSURED.

It is an ingenious observation made by a journalist of Trevoux, on perusing a criticism not ill written, which pretended to detect several faults in the compositions of pretended to detect several faults in the compositions of Bruyere, that in ancient Rome the great men who tri-umphed amidst the applauses of those who celebrated their umphed amount he appeauses or those who cerebrated their virtues, were at the same time compelled to listen to those who reproached them with their vices. This custom is not less necessary to the republic of letters than it was formerly to the republic of Rome. Without this it is probable that authors would be intoxicated with success, and would then relax in their accustomed vigour; and the multitude who took them for models would, for want of judgment, imitate their defects.

Sterne and Churchill were continually abusing the Reviewers, because they honestly told the one that obscenity was not wit, and obscurity was not sense; and the other, that dissonance in poetry did not excel harmony, and that his rhymes were frequently prose lines of ten syllables cut into verse. They applauded their happier efforts. Not-withstanding all this, it is certain that so little discernment whitestands at the transfer of the common readers, that the obscenity and flippancy of Sterne, and the bald verse and prosaic poetry of Churchill, were precisely the portions which they selected for imitation: the blemishes of great men are not the less blemishes, but they are unfor-

tunately, the easiest parts for imitation.
Yet criticism may be too rigorous, and genius too sensible to its fairest attacks. Racine acknowledged that one of the severe criticisms he received had occasioned hir. more vexation than the greatest applauses had afforded him pleasure. Sir John Marsham, having published the first part of his 'Chronology,' suffered so much chagrin at the endless controversies which it raised (and some of his critics went so far as to affirm it was designed to be detrimental to Revelation,) that he burned the second part, which was ready for the press. Pope was observed to writhe with anguish in his chair, on hearing mentioned the writte will aligned in his char, on hearing hierarchical de-letter of Cibber, with other temporary stateaks; and it is said of Montesquieu, that he was so much affected by the criticisms, true and false, which he daily experienced, that they contributed to hasten his death. Ritson's extreme irritability closed in lunacy, while his ignorant reviewers, in the shapes of assassins, were haunting his death-bed. In the preface to his 'Metrical Romances' he says— 'brought to an end in ill health and low spirits-certain to be insulted by a base and prostitute gang of lurking assassins who stab in the dark, and whose poisoned daggers he has already experienced.' Scott, of Amwell, never recovered from a ludicrous criticism, which I discovered had been written by a physician who never pretended to poetical tasto.

Pelisson has recorded, in his History of the French Academy, a literary anecdote, which forcibly shows the dan-ger of caustic criticism. A young man from a remote province came to Paris with a play, which he considered as a master-piece. M. L'Etoille was more than just in his merciless criticism. He showed the youthful Lard a thousand glaring defects in his chief d'œuvre. The humbied country author burnt his tragedy, returned home, took to his chamber, and died of vexation and grief. all unfortunate men, one of the unhappiest is a middling author endowed with too lively a sensibility for criticism. Athenæus, in his tenth book, has given us a lively portrait of this melancholy being. Anaxandrides appeared one day on horseback in the public assembly at Athens, to recite a dithyrambic poem, of which he read a portion. He was a man of fine stature, and wore a purple robe edged with golden fringe. But his complexion was saturnine and melancholy, which was the cause that he never spared his own writings. Whenever he was vanquished by a rival, he immediately gave his compositions to the druggists to be cut into pieces, to wrap their articles in, without over caring to revise his writings. It is owing to this that he destroyed a number of pieasing compositions; age in-creased his sourness, and every day he became more and more dissatisfied at the awards of his auditors. Hence his 'Tereus,' because it failed to obtain the prize, has not reached us, which, with other of his productions, deserved preservation, though not to have been publicly crowned.

Batteux having been chosen by the French government for the compilation of elementary books for the Military School, is said to have felt their unfavourable reception so acutely, that he became a prey to excessive grief. It is believed that the lamentable death of Dr Hawkesworth was occasioned by a similar circumstance. Government had consigned to his care the compilation of the voyages that pass under his name:—how he succeeded is well known. He felt the public reception so sensibly, that he preferred the oblivion of death to the mortifying recol-

lections of life.

On this interesting subject Fontenelle, in his 'Eloge on Newton,' has made the following observation:—' Newton was more desirous of remaining unknown, than of having the calm of life disturbed by those literary storms which genius and science attract about those who rise to emi-In one of his letters we learn that his Treatise on Optics being ready for the press, several premature objections which appeared, made him abandon its publication.

I should repreach myself (he said) for my imprudence, if I were to lose a thing so real as my ease to run after a shadow.' But this shadow he did not miss: it did not southwest of the second of the ing, and not destitute of talents. He was intended for one of the preachers at court: but he had hardly made him. of the preachers at court; but he had hardly made him-self known in the pulpit, when he was struck by the light-ning of Boileau's muse. He felt so acutely the caustic verses, that they rendered him almost incapable of literary labour; in the prime of life he became melancholy, and shortly afterwards died insane. A modern painter, it is known, never recovered from the biting ridicule of a popular, but malignant wit. Cummyns, a colebrated quaker, confessed he died of an anonymous letter in a public paper, which, said he, 'fastened on my heart, and threw me into this slow fever.' Racine, who died of his extreme sensi-bility to a rebuke, confessed that the pain which one severe criticism inflicted outweighed all the applause he could receive. The feathered arrow of an epigram has sometimes been wet with the heart's blood of its victim. Fortune has been lost, reputation destroyed, and every charity of life extinguished, by the inhumanity of inconsiderate wit.

Literary history records the fate of several who may be said to have died of Criticism. But there is more sense and infinite humour in the mode which Phædrus adopted to answer the cavillers of his age. When he first published his fables, the taste for conciseness and simplicity was so much on the decline, that they were both objected to him as faults. He used his critics as they deserved. To those who objected against the conciseness of his style, he tells a long tedious story (Lib. iii, Fab. 10, ver. 59,) and treats those who condemn the simplicity of his style with a run of bombast verses, that have a great many noisy elevated words in them, without any sense at the bottom-this in Lib. iv, Fab. 6.

VIRGISITY.

The writings of the Fathers once formed the studies of e learned. These labours abound with that subtilty of argument which will repay the industry of the inquisitive, and the antiquary may turn them over for pictures of the manners of the age. A favourite subject with Saint Ambrose was that of Virginity, on which he has several works; and perhaps he wished to revive the order of the vesworks; and perhaps no mainted to tree to the form of the restitution of Nuns. His 'Treatise on Virgins' is in three volumes. We learn from this work of the fourth century, the lively impressions his exhortations had made on the mainds and hearts of girls, not less in the most distant pro-vinces, than in the neighbourhood of Milan where he re-sided. The virgins of Bologna, amounting only, it appears, to the number of twenty, performed all kinds of needle-work, not merely to gain their livelihood, but also to be enabled to perform acts of liberality, and exerted their in-

dustry to allure other girls to join the holy profession of Virginity. He exhorts daughters, in spite of their parents, and even their lovers, to consecrate themselves. 'I do not blame marriage,' he says; 'I only show the advantages

He composed this book in so florid a style, that he co

sidered it required some apology. A Religious of the Benedictines published a translation in 1689. So sensible was Saint Ambrose of the rarity of the prefession he would establish, that he thus combats his adver-saries: 'They complain that human nature will be ex-hausted; but I ask who has ever sought to marry without choose? What murder, or what war, has ever been oc-casioned for a virgin? It is one of the consequences of marriage to kill the adulterer, and to war with the ra-

He wrote another treatise On the perpetual Virginity of the Mother of God. He attacks Bonosius on this subject, and defends her virginity, which was indeed greatly suspected by Bonosius, who, however, got nothing by the bold suspicion, but the dreadful name of Heretic. A third treatise was entitled Exhortation to Virginity; a fourth, On the Fate of a Virgin, is more curious. He relates the misfortunes of one Susannah, who was by no means a companion for her namesake; for, having made a vow of virginity, and taken the veil, she afterwards endeavoured virginity, and taken the veil, she alterwards endeavoured to conceal her shame, but the precaution only tended to render her more culpable. Her behaviour, indeed, had long afforded ample food for the sarcasms of the Jews and the Pagans. Saint Ambrose compelled her to perform public penance, and after having declaimed on her double crime, gave her hopes of pardon, if, like 'Scour Jeanne,' this early nun would sincerely repent; to complete her chastisement, he ordered her every day to recite the afflicth

#### A GLANCE INTO THE FRENCH ACADEMY.

In the republic of Letters the establishment of an academy has been a favourite project; yet perhaps it is little more than an Utopian scheme. The united efforts of men of letters in Academies have produced little. It would seem that no man likes to bestow his great labours on a small community, for whose members he himself does not small community, for whose members he himself does not feel, probably, the most flattering partiality. The French Academy made a splendid appearance in Europe: yet when this society published their Dictionary, that of Furetiere's became a formidable rival; and Johnson did as much as the forty themselves. Voltaire confesses that the great characters of the literary republic were formed without the aid of academies.—' For what then,' he asks, fare they processes "L. To processes and possible the form 'are they necessary?—To preserve and nourish the fare which great geniuses have kindled. By observing the Justo at their meetings we may form some opinion of the indulent manner in which they trifled away their time. We are fortunately enabled to do this, by a letter in which Patru describes, in a very amusing manner the visit which Christina of Sweden took a sudden fancy to pay to the

The Queen of Sweden having resolved to visit the French Academy, gave so short a notice of her design, that it was impossible to inform the majority of the members of her intention. About four o'clock fifteen or six-teen academicians were assembled. Mr Gombaut, one of the members who did not know of the intended royal visit, and who had never forgiven her majesty because a did not relish his verses, thought proper to show his resent-

ment by quitting the assembly.

ment by quitting the assembly.

She was received in a spacious hall. In the middle was a table covered with rich blue velvet, ornamented with a broad border of gold and silver. At its head was placed an arm-chair of black velvet embroidered with gold, and The Chancellor had forgotten to hang in the hall the portrait of the queen, which she had presented to the Ac my, and which was considered as a great omission. About five; a footman belonging to the Queen inquired if the com-pany were assembled. Soon after, a servant of the king informed the chancellor that the queen was at the end of intormed the chancellor that the queen was at the end of the street; and immediately her carriage drew up in the court-yard. The thancellor, followed by the rest of the members, went to receive her as she stepped out of ber chariot; but the crowd was so great, that few of them could reach her majesty. Accompanied by the chant-ellor, she passed through the first hall, followed by one of her

Digitized by GOOGIC

hdies, the captain of her guards, and one or two of her

When she entered the Academy she approached the fire, and spoke in a low voice to the chancellor. She then asked why Mr Menage was not there? and when she was told that he did not belong to the Academy, she asked why be did not? She was answered, that however he might merit the honour, he had rendered himself unworthy of it by several disputes he had had with its members. She then inquired aside of the chancellor whether the academicians were to sit or stand before her? On this the chancelor consulted with a member, who observed that in the time of Ronsard, there was held an assembly of men the time of Ronsard, there was note an assembly of men of letters before Charles IX several times, and that they were always seated. The queen conversed with M. Bourdelet; and suddenly turning to Madame de Bregis, told her that she believed she must not be present at the assembly; but it was agreed that this lady deserved the honour. As the queen was talking with a member she abruptly quitted him, as was her custom, and in her quick way sat down in the arm-chair; and at the same time the members seated themselves. The queen observing that they did not, out of respect to her, approach the table, desired them to come near; and they accord-

include and state and state and state and state and entered the hall, and stood behind the academicians. The chancellor sat at the queen's left hand by the freede; and at the right was placed M. de la Chambre, the director; then Boisrobert, Patru, Pelisson, Cotin, the Abbé Tallemant, and others. M. de Mezeray sat at the bottom of the table facing the queen, with an inkstand, paper, and the portfolio of the company lying before him; be occupied the place of secretary. When they were all seated the director rose, and the academicians followed him, all but the chancellor, who remained in his seat. The director made his complimentary address in a low voice, his body was quite bent, and no person but the queen and the chancellor could hear him. She received his address

wah great satisfaction.

All compliments concluded, they returned to their seats. The director then told the queen that he had composed a treatise on Pain, to add to his character of the Passions, and if it was agreeable to her majesty, he would read the first chapter.—Very willingly, she answered.—Having read it, he said to her majesty, that he would read no more lest he should fatigue her. Not at all, she here used a treatise on Pain, to add to his character of the plied, for I suppose what follows resembles what I have heard.

Afterwards Mr Mezeray mentioned that Mr Cotin had some verses, which her majesty would doubtless find beautiful and if it was agreeable they should be read. Mr Coin read them: they were versions of two passages from Lucretius; the one in which he attacks a Providence, and the other, where he gives the origin of the world ac-cording to the Epicurean system: to these he added twenty lines of his own, in which he maintained the existence of a Providence. This done, an abbé rose, and without being desireder or dered, read two sonners, which by courtesy were allowed to be tolerable. It is remarkable that both the peets read their verses standing, while the rest read

their compositions seated.

After these readings, the director informed the queen that the ordinary exercises of the company was to labour on the dictionary; and that if her majesty should not find of the accountry; and that is nor magesty should not find it disagreeable, they would read a cohier or stitched as. Very willingly, she answered. Mr de Mezeray then read what related to the word Jeu; Game. Amongst other proverbial expressions was this: Game of Princes, other proverbal expressions was in s: crame of Frinces, which only please the players; to express a malicious violence committed by one in power. At this the queen laughed heartily; and they continued reading all that was fairly written. This lasted about an hour, when the queen observing that nothing more remained, arose, made a bow to the company, and returned in the manner she en-

Furefiere, who was himself an academician, has described the miserable manner in which time was consumed at their assemblies. I confess he was a satirist, and had quarrelled with the academy; there must have been, hotwishtanding, sufficient resemblance for the following peters, however it may be overcharged. He has been blamed for thus exposing the Eleusinian mysteries of literature to the unitated.

He who is most clamorous, is he whom they suppose

They all have the art of making long e. The second repeats like an echo has most reason. The orations upon a trifle. what the first said; but generally three or four speak to-gether. When there is a bench of five or six members one reads, another decides, two converse, one sleeps, and another amuses himself with reading some dictionary which happens to lie before him. When a second member is to deliver his opinion, they are obliged to read again the article, which at the first perusal be had been too much engaged to hear. This is a happy manner of finishing their They can hardly get over two lines without long digressions; without some one telling a pleasant story, or the news of the day; or talking of affairs of state and re-

forming the government."

That the French Academy were generally frivolously employed appears also from an epistle to Balzac, by Boisrobert, the amusing companion of Cardinal Richelieu.—
'Every one separately,' says he, 'promises great things, when they meet they do nothing. They have been six years employed on the letter F; and I should be happy if

years employed on the letter E., and a supplying G.'

I were certain of living till they got through G.'

The following anecdo's concerns the forty arm-chairs of the academicians. Those cardinals who were academicians of the academic of the supplying the cians for a long time had not attended the meetings of the academy, because they thought that arm-chairs were in-dispensible to their dignity, and the academy had then only ecommon chairs. These cardinals were desirous of only common chairs. These cardinals were desirous of being present at the election of Mr Monnoie, that they might give him a distinguished mark of their esteem.—
'The king,' says D'Alembert, 'to satisfy at once the delicacy of their friendship, and that of their cardinalship, and to preserve at the same time that academical equality, of which this enlightened monarch, (Louis XIV,) well know the advantage, sent to the academy forty arm-chairs for the forty academicians; the same chairs which we now occupy; and the motive to which we owe them is suffi-cient to render the memory of Louis XIV precious to the republic of letters, to whom it owes so many more important obligations!

# POETICAL AND GRAMMATICAL DEATHS.

It will appear by the following anecdotes, that some men may be said to have died poetically and even grammatically.

There may be some attraction existing in poetry which is not merely fictitious, for often have its genuine votaries

is not merely hetitious, for often have us genuine votaries felt all its power on the most trying occasions. They have displayed the energy of their mind by composing or repeating verses, even with death on their lips.

The Emperor Adrian, dying, made that celebrated address to his soul, which is so happily translated by Pope. Lucan, when he had his veins opened by order of Nero, expired reciting a passage from his Pharsalia, in which he had described the wound of a dying soldier. Petronius did the same thing on the same occasion.

did the same thing on the same occasion.

Patris, a poet of Caen, perceiving himself expiring, composed some verses which are justly admired. In this little poem he relates a dream, in which he appeared to be placed next to a beggar, when having addressed him in the haughty strain he would probably have employed on this side of the grave, he receives the following reprimand:

> Ici tous sont egaux : je ne te dois plus rien ; Je suis sur mon fumier comme toi sur le tien Here all are equal! now thy lot is mine! I on my dunghill, as thou art on thine.

Des Barreaux, it is said, wrote on his death-bed that well-known sonnet which is translated in the 'Spectator.' Margaret of Austria, when she was nearly perishing in

a storm at sea, composed her epitaph in verse. Had she perished, what would have become of the epitaph? And if she escaped, of what use was it? She should rather have said her prayers. The verses however have all the nesteet of the times. They are—

Cy gist Margot, la gente demoiselle, Qu'eut deux maris, et si mourut pucelle. Beneath this tomb is high-born Margaret laid, Who had two husbands, and yet died a maid.

She was betrothed to Charles VIII of France, who forsook her; and being next intended for the Spanish infant, in her voyage to Spain, she wrote these lines in a storm.

Mademoiselle de Serment was surnamed the philoso-

pher. She was celebrated for her knowledge and taste in polite literature. She died of a cancer in her breast, and suffered her misfortune with exemplary patience. She

expired in finishing these verses, which she addressed to

Nectare clausa suo. Dignum tantorum pretium tulit illa laborum

It was after Cervantes had received extreme unction that he wrote the dedication to his Persiles.

Roscommon, at the moment he expired, want of voice that expressed the most ferrent devotion, uttered of 'Dies Iree!' Waller, in his last moments, repeated some lines from Virgil; and Chaucer seems to have taken his farewell of all human vanities by a moral ode, entitled 'A Balade made by Geffrey Chaucyer upon his dethe-bedde lying in his grete

anguysse.'
Cornelius de Wit fell an innocent victim to popular prewho had bravely served his country in war, and who had been invested with the highest dignities, was delivered into the hands of the executioner, and torn in pieces by the most inhuman torments. Amidst the sovere agonies which he endured he frequently repeated an ode of Horace, which contained sentiments suited to his deplorable condition.' It was the third ode of the third book which this illustrious philosopher and statesmanthen repeated.

I add another instance in the death of that delightful poet Metastasio. After having received the sacrament, a very short time before his last moments, he broke out with all the enthusiasm of poetry and religion into the following stanzas :

> T'offro il tuo proprio figlio, Che già d'amore in pegno, Racchiuso in picciol segno Si volle a noi donar. A lui rivolgi il ciglio. Guardo chi t'offro, e poi Lasci, Signor, se vuoi, Lascia di perdonar.

\*I offer to thee, O Lord, thy own son, who already has given the pledge of love, inclosed in this thin emblem; turn on him thine eyes; ah! behold whom I offer to thee and then desist, O Lord! if thou caust desist from mercy.'

The muse that has attended my course (says the dying Gleim in a letter to Klopstock) still hovers round my steps to the very verge of the grave.' A collection of lyrical poems, entitled 'Last Hours,' composed by old Gleim on his death-bed, were intended to be published. The death of Klopstock was one of the most poetical: in this poet's of Klopstock was one of the most poetical: in this poets
'Messish,' he had made the death of Mary, the sister of
Martha and Lazarus, a picture of the death of the just;
and on his own death-bed he was heard repeating, with an expiring voice, his own verses on Mary; he was exhorting himself to die by the accents of his own harp, the sublimities of his own muse! The same song of Mary, says Madame de Stael, was read at the public funeral of Klop-

Chatellard, a French gentleman, beheaded in Scotland for having loved the queen, and even for having attempted her honour, Brantome says, would not have any other viaticum than a poem of Ronsard. When he ascended the scaffold he took the hymns of this poet, and for his consolation read that on death, which he says is well adapted to conquer its fear.

The Marquis of Montrose, when he was condemned by his judges to have his limbs nailed to the gates of four cities, the brave soddier said, that 'he was sorry he had not limbs sufficient to be nailed to all the gates of the cities in Europe, as monuments of his loyalty.' As he proceeded to his execution, he put this thought into beautiful

Philip Strozzi, when imprisoned by Cosmo the First, great Duke of Tuscany, was apprehensive of the danger to which he might expose his friends who had joined in his conspiracy against the duke, from the confessions which the rack might extort from him. Having attempted every exertion for the liberty of his country, he considered it as no crime therefore to die. He resolved on suicide. With the point of the sword, with which he killed himself, he cut out on the mantle-piece of the chimney this verse of Virgil:

> Exoriare aliquis nostris ex ossibus ultor. Rise, some avenger, from our blood!

I can never repeat without a strong emotion the following stanzas, begun by André Chenier, in the dreadful period of the French revolution. He was wasting for his turn to be dragged to the guillotine, when he commenc this poem:

Comme un dernier rayon, comme un dernier zeptayre Anime la fin d'un beau jour; Au pied de l'echafaud j'essaie encor ma lyre, Peut-etre est ce bientot mon tour;

Peut-etre avant que l'heure en cercle promenée
Ait posé sur l'email brillant Dans les soixante pas ou sa route est bornée Son pied sonore et vigilant.

Le sommeil du tombeau pressera ma paupiere

Here, at this pathetic line, was André Chenier summo ed to the guillotine! Never was a more beautiful effusion of grief interrupted by a more affecting incident!

everal men of science have died in a scientific m Haller, the poet, philosopher, and physician, beheld his end approach with the utmost composure. He kept feeling his pulse to the last moment, and when he found that life was almost gone, he turned to his brother physician, observing, 'My friend, the artery ceases to beat,'—and almost instantly expired. The same remarkable circulmstance had occurred to the great Harvey; he kept making observations on the state of his pulse, when life was drawing to its close; 'as if,' says Dr Wilson in the oration spoken a few days after the event, that he who had taught spoken a tew days after the event, 't nat he who had taught us the beginning of life might himself, at his departing from it, become acquainted with those of death.'

De Lagny, who was intended by his friends for the study of the law, having fallen on an Euclid, found it so congenial

to his dispositions, that he devoted himself to mathematics. to his dispositions, that he devoted himself to mathematics. In his last moments, when he retained no farther recollection of the friends who surrounded his bed, one of them, perhaps to make a philosophical experiment, thought proper to ask him the square of 12; our dying mathematician unstantly, and perhaps without knowing that he answered, replied, '144.'

The following anecdotes are of a different complexion, and may excite a smile.

and may excite a smile.

Pere Bouhours was a French grammarian, who had been justly accused of paying too scrupulous an attention to the minutise of letters. He was more solicitous of his soords than his thoughts. It is said, that when he was dying, he called out to his friends (a correct grammarian to the last,) ' Je was, ou je wats mourir ; l'un ou l'autre se dit!

When Malherbe was dying, he reprimanded his nurse for making use of a solecism in her language! And when his confessor represented to him the felicities of a future state in low and trite expressions, the dying critic interrupted him :— Hold your tongue, he said, your wretched
style only makes me out of conceit with them!

The favourite studies and amusements of the learned

La Mothe le Vayer consisted in accounts of the most distant countries. He gave a striking proof of the influence of this master-passion, when death hung upon his lips. Bernier, the celebrated traveller, entering and drawing the curtains of his bed to take his eternal farewell, the dying man turning to him, with a faint voice inquired, 'Well, my friend, what news from the Great Mogul?'

Scarron, as a buriesque puet (but no other comparison exists,) had his merit, but is now little read; for the uniformity of the buriesque style is as intolerable as the uniformity of the serious. From various sources we may collect some uncommon anecdotes, although he was a more author.

Few are born with more flattering hopes than was Scarron. His father, a counsellor, with an income of 25,000 livres, married a second wife, and the lively Scarron soon became the object of her hatred. He studied, and travel-led, and took the clerical tonsure; but discovered dispositions more suitable to the pleasures of his age than to the gravity of his profession. He formed an acquaintance with the wits of the times; and in the carnival of 1638 committed a youthful extravagance, for which his remaining days formed a continual punishment. He disguised himself as a savage; the singularity of a naked man attracted crowds. a savage; the singularity of a naked man attracted crowds.

After having been hunted by the mob, he was forced to escape from his pursuers, and concealed himself in a marsh. A freezing cold seized him, and threw him, at the age of 27 years, into a kind of palsy; a cruel disorder which tormented him all his life. 'It was thus,' he says. 'that pleasure deprived me suddenly of logs which had danced with elegance, and of hands which could manage

the pencil and the lute.

Goujet, in his Bibliotheque Françoise, vol. xvi, p. 307, without stating this anecdote describes his disorder as an acrid humour, distilling itself on his nerves, and baffling the skill of his physicians; the sciatica, rheumatism, in a word, a complication of maladies attacked him, sometimes successively, sometimes together, and made of our poor Abbé a sad spectacle. He thus describes himself in one of his letters; and who could be in better humour?

'I have lived to thirty: if I reach forty, I shall only add eight or nine years. My person was well made, though short; my disorder has shortened it still more by a foot. My head is a little broad for my shape; my face is full enough for my body to appear very meage? I have hair enough to render a wig unnecessary; I have got many white bairs, in spite of the proverb. My teeth, formerly square pearls, are now of the colour of wood, and will soon be of state. My legs and thighs first formed an obtuse angle, afterwards an equilateral angle, and, at length, an acute one. My thighs and my body form another: and my head, always dropping on my breast, makes me not ill represent a Z. I have got my arms shortened as well as legs, and my fingers as well as my arms. In a word, I am an abridgment of human miseries.'

It is said in the Segraisiana, p. 87, that he had the free use of nothing but his tongue and his hands; and that he

wrote on a portiolio, which was placed on his knees.

Balzac said of Scarron, that he had gone further in insensibility than the Stoics, who were satisfied in appearing meanible to pain; but Scarron was gay, and amused all the world with his sufferings.

He portrays himself thus humorously in his address to

the queen :

Je ne regarde plus qu'en bas, Je suis torticolis, j'ai la tete penchante : Ma mine devient si plaisante, Que quand on en rirote, je ne m'en plaindrois pas.

'I can only see under me; I am wry-necked; my head hangs down; my appearance is so droll, that if people laugh I shall not complain.'

He says elsewhere,

Parmi les torticolis Je passe pour des plus jolis.

'Among your wry-necked people I base for one of the hand-

After having suffered this distortion of shape, and these acute pains for four years, he quitted his usual residence, the quarter du Marais, for the baths of the Fauxbourg Saint Germain. He took leave of his friends, by addressing some verses to them, entitled, Adieux aux Marais; in mig one verses to thom, entured, process uses many celebrated persons.
When he was brought into the street in a chair, the pleasure of seeing himself there cace more overcame the pains which the motion occasioned, and he has celebrated the ransport by an ode, which has for title, 'The Way from le Marais to the Fauxbourg Saint Germain.'
These and other baths which he tried had no effect on

his miserable disorder. But a new affliction was added to

the catalogue of his griefs.

His father, who had hitherto contributed to his necessities, having joined a party against Cardinal Richelieu, was exiled. This affair was rendered still more unfortunate by his mother-in-law with her children at Paris, in the abcace of her husband, appropriating the money of the family to her own use.

Hitherto Scarron had had no connexion with Cardinal The behaviour of his father had even rendered his name disagreeable to the minister, who was by no means prone to forgiveness. Scarron, however, when he thought his passion softened, ventured to present a petition; and which is considered by the critics as one of his happiest productions. Richelieu permitted it to be read to him, and acknowledged that it afforded him much pleasure, and that it was pleasurely dated. This pleasure date is thus given by Scarron:

Fak a Paris dernier jour d'Octobre, Par moi, Scarron, qui malgré mois suis sobre, L'an que l'on prit le fameux Perpignan, Et, sans canon, la ville de Sedan.

At Paris done, the last day of October, By me, Scarron, who wanting wine, am sober, The year they took fam'd Perpignan, And, without canaon-ball, Sedan.

This was flattering the minister adroitly in two points very agreeably to him. The poet augured well of the dis-positions of the cardinal, and lost no time to return to the positions of the cardinal, and lost no time to return to take charge, by addressing an ode to him, to which he gave the title of Thanks, as if he had already received the favours which he hoped he should receive! But all was lost by the death of the cardinal. In this ode I think he has caught the leading idea from a hymn of Ronsard. Catherine of Medicis was prodigal of her promises, and for this reason Ronsard dedicated to her the hymn to Promise.

When Scarron's father died he brought his mother-inlaw into court; and, to complete his misfortunes, lost his suit. The cases which he drew up for the occasion were so extremely buriesque, that the world could not easily conceive how a man could amuse himself so pleasantly on

a subject on which his existence depended.

The successor of Richelieu, the Cardinal Mazarin, was insensible to his applications. He did nothing for him, although the poet dedicated to him his Typhon, a burlesque poem, in which the author describes the wars of the giants with the gods. Our bard was so irritated at this neglect, that he suppressed a sonnet he had written in his favour, and aimed at him several satirical bullets. Scarron, however, consoled himself for this kind of disgrace with those select friends who were not inconstant in their visits to him. The Bishop of Mans, also, solicited by a friend gave him a living in his diocese. When Scarron had taken possession of it, he began his Roman Comique, ill translated into English by Comical Romance. He made friends by his dedications. Such resources were indeed necessary, for he not only lived well, but had made his house an asylum for his two sisters, who there found refuge from an unfeel-

ing step-mother.

It was about this time that the beautiful and accomplished Mademoiselle D'Aubigné, afterwards so well known by the name of Madame de Maintenon, she who was to be one day the mistress, if not the queen of France, formed with Scarron the most romantic connexion. She united herself in marriage with one whom she well knew might be a lover, but could not be a husband. It was indeed amidst that literary society she formed her taste, and embellished with her presence his little residence, where the most polished courtiers and some of the finest geniuses of Paris, the party formed against Mazarin, called La Fronde, met. Such was the influence this marriage had over Scarron, that after this period his writings became more correct and more agreeable than those which he had previously composed. Scarron, on his side, gave a proof of his attachment to Madame de Maintenon; for by marrying her he lost his living of Mans. But though without wealth, we are told in the Segraisiana, that he was accustomed to say, that 'his wife and he would not live uncomfortably by the produce of his estate and the Mar-quisate of Quinet.' Thus he called the revenue which his compositions produced, and Quinet was his bookseller.
Scarron addressed one of his dedications to his dog, to

ridicule those writers who dedicate their works indiscriminately, though no author has been more liberal of dedications than himself; but, as he confessed, he made dedication a kind of business. When he was low in cash he always dedicated to some lord, whom he praised as warmly as his dog, but whom probably he did not esteem so much.

Segrals informs us, that when Scarron was visited, previous to general conversation his friends were taxed with a perusal of whatever he had written since he saw them before. One day Segrais and a friend calling on him, 'Take a chair,' said our author, 'and let mo try on you my Roman Comique.' He took his manuscript, read several pages, and when he observed that they laughed, 'Good, this goes well; my book can't fail of success, since it obliges such able persons as yourselves to laugh; and then remained silent to receive their compliments. He used to call this trying on his romance, as a tailor tries his cost. He was sgreeable and diverting in all things, even in his complaints and passions. Whatever he conceived he immediately too freely expressed; but his amiable lady corrected him of this in three months after marriage!

He potitioned the Queen, in his droll manner, to be permitted the honour of being her patient\* by right of office.

These verses form a part of his address to her majesty:—

\* A friend would translate, ' malade de la reine, the queen's sick man.' I think there is more humour in supposing her majesty to be his physician; in which light Scarron might consider her for a pension of 800 crowns Scarron, par la grace de Dieu,
Malade indigne de la reine,
Homme n'ayant ni feu, ni lieu,
Mais blen du mal et de la peine;
Hopital allant et venant,
Des jambes d'autrui cheminant,
Des siennes n'ayant plus l'usage,
Souffrant beaucoup, dormant blen peu,
Et pourtant faisant par courage
Bonne mine et fort mauvais jeu.

'Scarron, by the grace of God, an unworthy patient of the Queen; a man without a house, though a moving hospital of disorders; walking only with other people's legs, with great sufferings, but little sleep; and yet, in spite of all, very courageously showing a hearty countenance, though indeed he plays a losing game'

She smiled, granted the title, and, what was better, added a small pension, which losing, by lampooning the minister Mazarin, Fouquet generously granted him a more considerable one.

The termination of the miseries of this facetious genius was now approaching. To one of his friends, who was taking leave of him for some time, Scarron said, 'I shall soon die; the only regret I have in dying is not to be enabled to leave some property to my wife, who is possessed of infinite merit, and whom I have every reason imaginable to admire and to praise.'

One day he was seized with so violent a fit of the hiccough, that his friends now considered his prediction would soon be verified. When it was over, 'if ever I recover,' cried Scarron, 'I will write a bitter satire against the hiccough.' The satire, however, was never written, for he died soon after. A little before his death, when he observed his relatire and domestics weeping and groaning, he was not much affected, but humorously told them, 'My children, you will never weep for me so much as I have made you laugh.' A few moments before he died, he said, that 'he never thought it was so easy a matter to laugh at the approach of death.'

The burlesque compositions of Scarron are now neglected by the French. This species of writing was much in vogue till attacked by the critical Boileau, who annihilated such puny writers as D'Assoucy and Dulot, with their stupid admirers. It is said he spared Scarron because his merit, though it appeared but at intervals, was uncommon. Yet so much were burlesque verses the fashion after Scarron's works, that the booksellers would not publish poems, and with the word 'Burlesque' in the title page. In 1689 appeared a poem, which shocked the pious, entitled 'The Passion of our Lord, in burlesque verses.'

Passion of our Lord, in our leafus verses. Swift, in his dotage, appears to have been gratified by such puerilities as Scarron frequently wrote. An ode which Swift calls 'A Lilliputian Ode,' consisting of verses of three syllables, probably originated in a long epistle in verses of three syllables, which Scarron addressed to Sarrazin. It is pleasant, and the following lines will serve as a specimen.

# Epitre a Mr Sarrazin.

Sarrazin
Mon voisin,
Cher ami,
Qu'a demi,
Je ne voi,
Dent ma foi
J'ai depit
Un petit.
W'es-tu pas
Barrabas,
Busiris,
Phalaris,
Ganelon,

# He describes himself

Un pauvret, Tres maigret, Au col tors, Dont le corps Tout tortu, Tout bossu. Suranné, Decharné, Est reduit, Jour et nuit, A souffrir Sans guerir Dee tourmen?

me complains of Surruzur's not visiting him; threatens

to reduce him into powder if he comes not quickly concludes.

Mais pourtant
Repentant
Si tu viens
Et te tiens
Seulement
Un moment
Avec nous
Mon courroux
Finira,
Et Castern.

The Roman Comique of our author is well known, and abounds with pleasantry, with wit and character. Has 'Virgile Travestie' it is impossible to read long: this we likewise feel in 'Cotton's Virgil travestied,' which has not withstanding considerable merit. Buffoonery after a certain time exhausts our patience. It is the chaste actor only who can keep the attention awake for a length of time. It is said that Scarron intended to write a tragedy; this perhaps would not have been the least facetious of his burlesques.

#### PETER CORNEILLE.

Exact Racine and Cornellie's noble fire Show'd us that France had something to admire Pope

The great Corneille having finished his studies, devoted himself to the bar; but this was not the stage on which his abilities were to be displayed. He followed the occupation of a lawyer for some time, without taste and without success A trifling circumstance discovered to the world and to him self a different genius. A young man who was in love with a girl of the same town, having solicited him to be his comagirt due selections, and a series which he paid to the lady, it happened that the stranger pleased infinitely more than his introducer. The pleasure arising from this adventure excited in Corneille a talent which had hitherto been unknown to him, and he attempted, as if it were by inspiration, dramatic poetry. On this little subject, he wrote his comedy of Melite, in 1625. At that moment the French Drama was at a low ebb; the most favourable ideas were formed of our juvenile poet, and comedy, it was expected, would now reach its perfection. After the turnult of approbation had ceased, the critics thought that Melite was too simple and barren of incident. Angered by this criticism, our poet wrote his Clitandre, and in that piece has scattered incidents and adventures with such a licentious profusion, that the critics say, he wrote it rather to expose the public taste than to accommodate himself to In this piece the persons combat on the theatre; there are murders and assassinations; heroines fight; officers appear in search of murderers, and women are disguissed as men. There is matter sufficient for a romance of ten wolumes; 'And yet (says a French critic) nothing can be more cold and tiresome.' He afterwards indulged his na-tural genius in various other performances; but began to display more forcibly his tragic powers in Medea. A comedy which he afterwards wrote was a very indifferent composition. He regained his full lustre in the famous Cid, a tragedy, of which he preserved in his closet translations in all the European languages, except the Sclavonian and the Turkish. He pursued his poetical career with uncom-mon splendour in the Horaces, Cinna, and at length in Policuctes; which productions (the French cruics say) can never be surpassed.

At length the tragedy of 'Partharite' appeared, and proved unsuccessful. This so much disgusted our veteran bard, that, like Ben Jonson, he could not conceal his chagrin in the preface. There the poet tells us that he renounces the theatre for ever! and indeed this storming

lasted for several years.

Disgusted by the fate of his unfortunate tragedy, he directed his poetical pursuits to a different species of composition. He now finished his translation, in verse, of the Imitation of Jesus Christ, by Thomas a Kempia! This work, perhaps from the singularity of its dramatic author becoming a religious writer, was attended with astonishing success. Yet Fontenelle did not find in this translation the prevailing charm of the original, which consists in that simplicity and naivest, which are lost in the pomp of versification so natural to Corneille. 'This book! he continues, 'the finest that ever proceeded from the hand of man (since the gospel does not come from man) would not seize on direct to the heart, and would not seize on it with

Digitized by GOOST

such force, if it had not a natural and tender air, to which even that negligence which prevails in the style greatly contributes. Voltairs appears to confirm the opinion of sur critic, in respect to the translation: 'It is reported that Corneille's translation of the Imitation of Jesus Christ has been printed thirty-two times; it is as difficult to be-here this as it is to read the book ence?

Corneille seems not to have been ignorant of the truth of this criticism. In his dedication of it to the pope, he says, The translation which I have chosen, by the simplicity of its tyle, precludes all the rich ornaments of poetry, and far from increasing my reputation, must be considered rather as a merifice made to the glory of the Sovereign Author of all which I may have acquired by my poetical productions.' This is an excellent elucidation of the truth of that precept of Johnson which respects religious poetry; but of which the author of 'Calvary' seems not to have been sensible. The merit of religious compositions ap-pears, like this 'Imitation of Jesus Christ,' to consist in a implicity inimical to the higher poetical embellishments; e are too human!

When Racine, the son, published a long poem on 'Grace' taken in its holy sense, a nost unhappy subject at least for poetry, it was said that he had written on Grace

without gre

During the space of six years Corneille rigorously kept his promise of not writing for the theatre. At length, overpowered by the persuasions of his friends, and probably by his own inclinations, he once more directed his studies to the drama. He recommenced in 1669, and finished in 1675. During this time he wrote ten new pieces, and published a variety of little religious poems, which, although they do not attract the attention of posterity, were then read with delight, and probably preferred to the finest tragedies by the good catholics of the day.

In 1875 he terminated his career. In the last year of his life his mind became so enfeebled as to be incapable of

thinking; and he died in extreme poverty. It is true that his uncommon genius had been amply rewarded; but amongst his talents we cannot count that of preserving those favours of fortune which he had acquired

Fostenelle, his nephew, presents a minute and interest-ing description of this great man. I must first observe, what Marville says, that when he saw Corneille he had the appearance of a country tradesman, and that he could not conceive how a man of so rustic an appearance could put into the mouths of his Romans such heroic sentiments. Corneille was sufficiently large and full in his person; his air simple and vulgar; always negligent; and very little solicitous of pleasing by his exterior. His face had something agreeable, his nose large, his mouth not unhandsome, his eyes full of fire, his physiognomy lively, with strong futures, well adapted to be transmitted to posterity on a medal or bust. His pronunciation was not very distinct:

medal or bust. His pronunciation was not very distinct: and he read his verses with force, but without grace.

He was acquainted with polite literature, with history and politics; but he generally knew them best as they related to the stage. For other knowledge he had neither leisure, curiosity, nor much esteem. He spoke little, even on subjects which he perfectly understood. He did not embelish what he said, and to discover the great Corneille it became necessary to read him.

embellish what he said, and to discover the great Cornelish what he said, and to discover the great Cornelish became necessary to read him.

He was of a melancholy disposition, had something blunt in his manner, and sometimes be appeared rude; but in fact he was no disagreeable companion, and made a good father and husband. He was tender, and his soul was very succeptible of friendship. His constitution was very favourable to love, but never to debauchery, and rarely to violent attachments. His soul was force and independent: violent attachments. His soul was fierce and independent : it could never be managed, for it would never bend. This indeed rendered him very capable of pourtraying Roman virue, but incapable of improving his fortune. Nothing equalled his incapacity for bosiness but his aversion: the terror. He was never satiated with praise, although he was continually receiving it; but if he was sensible of fame,

he was far removed from vamity.

What Pontenelle observes of Corneille's love of fame is strongly proved by our great poet himself, in an epistle to a friend, in which we find the following remarkable description of himself; an instance that what the world calls va-

My, at least interests in a great genius.

Nous nous aimons un peu, c'est notre foible à tous ; Le prix que nous valons qui le spait mieux que nous ?

Et puis la mode en est, et la cour l'autorise, Tous parlons de nous même avec tout franch La fausse humilité ne met plus en credit. La faisse humilité ne met plus en credit.
Je sgais ce que je vaux, et crois ce qu'on m'en dit,
Pour me faire admirer je ne fais point de ligue;
Jai peu de voix pour moi, mais je les al sans brigue;
Et mon ambition, pour faire plus de bruit.
Ne les va point queter de redut en reduk.
Mon travall sans appul monte sur le theatre,
Chacun en liberté l'y blame ou l'idolatre;
Là, sans que amis prechent leur sendmens,
J'arrache quelquefois leurs applaudissemens ,
Là content du succes que le merite donne. Parrache quelqueius seure apparentances que la contra d'unice que le merite donne, Par d'illustres avis je n'eblouis personne; Je satisfais ensemble et peuple et courtisans; Et mes vers en tous lieux cont mes seuls partis Par leur seule beauté ma plume est estimée, Je ne dois qu'à moi seul toute ma renommée; Et pense toutefois n'avoir point de rival, A qui je fasse tort, en le traitant d'egal.

I give his sentiments in English verse with more faith-fulness than elegance. To write with his energetic ex-pression, one must feel eneself in a similar situation, which only one or two living writers can experience.

Self-love prevails too much in every state; Who, like ourselves, our secret worth can rate? Since its a fashion authorised at court, who, her ourselves, our secret worth can reas? Since its a fashion authorised at court, Frankly our merits we ourselves report. A proud humility will not deceive; I know my worth; what others say, believe. To be admired I form no petty league: Few are my friends, but gain'd without intrigue. My bold ambition, destitute of grace, Scorns still to beg their votes from place to place. On the fair stage my scenic toils I raise, While each is free to censure or to praises and there, unaided by inferior arts, I snatch the applause that rushes from their hearts. Coment by Merit still to win the crown, With no illustrious names I cheat the town. The galleries thunder, and the pit commends; My verses, every where, my only friends! "Tis from their charms alone my praise I claim; Tis to myself alone, I owe my fame; And know no rival whom I fear to meet, Or injure, when I grant an equal seat. Or injure, when I grant an equal seat.

Voltaire censures Corneille for making his heroes say continually they are great men. But in drawing the character of an hero he draws his own. All his heroes are only so many Corneilles in different situations.

Thomas Corneille attempted the same career as his bro

ther: perhaps his name was unfortunate, for it naturally excited a comparison which could not be favourable to him. Gagon, the Dennis of his day, wrote the following smart impromptu under his portrait :

Voyant le portrait de Corneille, Gardez vous de crier merveille! Et dans vos transports n'allez pas, Prendre ici Pierre pour Thomas.

POETS.

In all ages there has existed an anti-poetical party. This faction consists of those frigid intellects incapable of that glowing expansion so necessary to feel the charms of an art, which only addresses itself to the imagination: or of writters who having proved unsuccessful in their court to the muses, revenge themselves by reviling them; and also of those religious minds who consider the ardent effusions of poetry as dangerous to the morals and peace of so-

Plato, amongst the ancients, is the model of those mo-derns who profess themselves to be anti-poetical. This writer, in his ideal republic, characterises a man who cowriter, in his local reputic, characterises a man who oc-cupies himself with composing verses as a very dangerous member of society, from the inflammatory tendency of his writings. It is by arguing from its abuse, that he decries this enchanting talent. At the same time it is to be recol-lected, that no head was more finely organized for the vi-sions of the muse than Plato's: he was a true poet, and had addicted himself in his prime of life to the cultivation of the art, but perceiving that he could not surpass his in-imitable original. Homer, he amployed this insidius maninitable original, Homer, he employed this insidious manner of depreciating his works. In the Phesdrus he describes the feelings of a genuine Poet. To become such, he says, it will never be sufficient to be guided by the rules of art, unless we also feel the ecstasies of that furer, almost divine, which in this kind of composition is the most palpable and least ambiguous character of a true inspiration. Cold minds, ever tranquil and ever in possession of themselves, are incapable of producing exalted postry their verses must always be feeble, diffusive, and leave no impression; the verses of those who are endowed with a strong and lively imagination, and who, like Homer's per-sonification of Discord, have their heads impressantly in the skies, and their feet on the earth, will agitate you, burn in your heart, and drag you along with them ; breaking like an impotuous torrent, and swelling your breast with that onthusiasm, which they are themselves possessed.

Such is the character of a poet in a poetical age!—The tuneful race have many corporate bodies of mechanics; Pontipool manfacturers, inlayers, burnishers, gilders and

filers !

Men of taste are sometimes disgusted in turning over Men or taste are sometimes disgusted in turning over the works of the anti-poetical, by meeting with gross rail-leries and false judgments concerning poetry and poets.— Locke has expressed a marked contempt of poets; but we see what ideas he formed of poetry by his warm pan-egyric of one of Blackmore's epics! and besides he was himself a most unhappy poet! Selden, a scholar of profound erudition, has given us his opinion concerning poets. It is ridiculated for a lord to print wrage: he may make them is ridiculous for a lord to print verses; he may make them to please himself. If a man in a private chamber twirls his band-strings, or plays with a rush to please himself, it is well enough; but if he should go into Fleet-street, and sit upon a stall and twirl a band-string, or play with a rush, then all the boys in the street would laugh at him.'—As If the sublime and the beautiful are to be compared to the twirling of a band-string or playing with a rush!—A poet, related to an illustrious family, and who did not write unpoetically, entertained a far different notion concerning poets. So persuaded was he that to be a true poet re-quired an elevated mind, that it was a maxim with him, that no writer could be an excellent poet who was not de-scended from a noble family. This opinion is as absurd as that of Selden's:—but when one party will not grant enough, the other always assumes too much. The great Pascal, whose extraordinary genius was discovered in the sciences, knew little of the nature of poetical beauty. He said 'poetry has no settled object.' This was the decision said 'poetry has no settled object.' This was the decision of a geometrician, not of a poet. 'Why should he speak of what he did not understand?' asked the lively Voltaire. Poetry is not an object which comes under the cognizance of philosophy or wit.

Longuerue had profound erudition; but he decided on poetry in the same manner as those learned men. Nothing so strongly characterises such literary men as the following observations in the Longuerana, p. 170.

There are two books on Homer, which I prefer to Homer kinneds. The first is Atiquitates Homerica of Feithius, where he has extracted every thing relative to the neages and customs of the Greeks; the other is Homer Gnomo-logic per Duportum, printed at Cambridge. In these two books is found every thing valuable in Homer, without being obliged to get through his Contes a dormir debout!-Thus men of science decide on men of taste! There are who study Homer and Virgil as the blind travel through a fine country, merely to get to the end of their journey. It was observed at the death of Longuerue that in his immense library not a volume of poetry was to be found. He had formerly read poetry, for indeed he had read every thing. Racine tells us, that when young he paid him a visit; the conversation turned on poets; our eradit reviewed them all with the most ineffable contempt of the poetical talent, from which he said we learn nothing. He seemed a little charitable towards Ariosto.—' As for that Madman, (said he) he has amused me sometimes. Dacier, a poetical pedant after all, was asked who was the greater poet, Homer or Virgil? he honestly answered, 'Homer by a thousand years!

But it is mortifying to find among the anti-poetical even sts themselves! Malherbe, the first poet in France in his day, appears little to have esteemed the art. his day, appears little to have esteemed the art. He used to say, that 'a good poet was not more useful to the state than a skilful player of nine-pins! Malherbe wrote with costive labour. When a poem was shown to him which had been highly commended, he sarcastically asked if it would lower the price of bread? In these instances he maliciously confounded the warful with the agreeable arts. Be it remembered that Malherbe had a cyoical state of the safe was the transmission of the safe was the transmission. heart, cold and unfeeling; his character may be traced in his poetry; labour and correctness, without one ray of en-

Le Clore was a scholar not entirely unworthy to be ranked amongst the Lockes, the Seldens, and the Longue-race; and his opinious are as just concerning poets. In

the Parrhasiana he has written a treatise on poets m a very unpoetical manner. I shall sotice his coarse raileries relating to what he calls 'the personal defects of poets.' In vol. i, p. 33, he says, 'In the Scaligerana whave Joseph Scaliger's opinion concerning poets.—
"There never was a man who was a poet, or addicted to "There never was a man who was a poet, or addicted to the study of poetry, but his heart was puffed up with his greatness."—This is very true. The poetical enthusiasm persuades those gentlemen, that they have something in them superior to others, because they employ a language peculiar to themselves. When the poetic furor seizes them its traces frequently remain on their faces, which make connoisseurs say with Horace,

Aut insanit homo, aut versus facit. There goes a madman, or a bard !

Their thoughtful air and melancholy gait make them appear insane; for accustomed to versify while they walk, and to bite their nails in apparent agonies, their steps are measured and slow, and they look as if they were reflecting on something of consequence, although they are only thinking, as the phrase runs, of nothing? He proceeds in the same elegant strain to enumerate other defects. I have only transcribed the above description of our jocular scholar, with an intention of describing those exterior marks of that fine enthusiasm. of which the note is peculiarly susof that fine enthusiasm, of which the poet is peculiarly succeptible, and which have exposed many an elevated geniss

ceptine, and which have exposed many an elevated genus to the ridicule of the rulgar.

I find this admirably defended by Charpentier: 'Mea may ridicule as much as they please those gesticulations and contortions which poets are apt to make in the act of composing; it is certain however that they greatly assist in putting the imagination into motion. These kinds of agitation do not always show a mind which labours with the streight; they frequently preceded from a mind which its sterility; they frequently proceed from a mind which excites and animates itself. Quintilian has nobly compared them to those lashings of his tail which a lion gives himself when he is preparing to combat. Percius, when he would give us an idea of a cold and languishing oration, says that its author did not strike his deek nor bite his nails.

# Nec pluteum cadit, nec demorsos sapit ungues."

These exterior marks of enthusiasm may be illustrated by the following curious anecdote:-Domenichino, the painter, was accustomed to act the characters of all the figures he would represent on his canvass, and to speak agures ne would represent on his canvass, and to speak aloud whatever the passion he meant to describe could prompt. Painting the martyrdom of St Andrew. Carracci one day caught him in a violent passion, speaking in a terrible and menacing tone. He was at that moment employed on a suddier, who was threatening the saint— When this fit of enthusiastic abstraction had passed, Carracci ran and embraced him, acknowledging that Domenichino had been that day his master; and that he had learnt from him the true manner to succeed in catching the ex-Pression; that great pride of the painter's art.

Thus different are the sentiments of the intelligent and

the unintelligent on the same subject. A Carracci embraced a kindred genius for what a Le Clerc or a Seidea would have ridiculed.

Poets, I confess, frequently indulge reveries, which, though they offer no charms to their friends, are too delicious to forego. In the ideal world, peopled with all its fairy inhabitants, and ever open to their contemplation, they travel with an unwearied foot. Crebillon, the cele-brated tragic poet, was enamoured of solitude, that he might there indulgs, without interruption, in those fine romances with which his imagination teemed. One day when he was in a deep reverie, a friend entered hastily: 'Don't disturb me,' cried the poet, 'I am enjoying a mo-ment of happiness; I am going to hang a villain of a mis-ister and banish another who is an idiot.'

Amonger, the antipostical may be placed the 6 these

Amongst the anti-poetical may be placed the father the great monarch of Prussia. George the Second wi not more the avowed enemy of the muses. Frederic would not more the avowed enemy of the muses. Frederic would not suffer the prince to read verses; and when he was desirous of study, or of the conversation of literary mee, he was obliged to do it secretly. Every poet was udious to his majesty. One day, having observed some lines written on one of the doors of the palace, he asked a constiter their signification. They were explained to him; they were latin verses composed by Wachter, a man of letters, then resident at Berlin. The time immediately case for the then resident at Berlin. The king immediately seat for the

Digitized by GOOGIC

sard, who came warm with the hope of receiving a reward for his ingenuity. He was astonished however to hear the king, in a violent passion, accost him, 'I order you immediately to quit this city and my kingdom.' Wachter took refuge in Hanover. As little indeed was this anti-poetical monarch a friend to philosophers. Two or three such kings might perhaps removate the ancient barbarism of Europe. Barraier, the celebrated child, was presented to his mejety of Prossia as a progedy of crudition: the king, to mortify our ingenious youth, coldly asked him, 'if he knew the law?' The learned boy was constrained to acknowledge that he knew nothing of law?' 'Go,' was the reply of this Augustus, 'Go, and study it before you give your-self out as a scholar.' Poor Barratier remounces for this pursuit his other studies, and perserved with such ardour, that he became an excellent lawyer at the end of fifteen months; but his exertions cost him at the same time his life!

Every monarch, however, has not proved so destitute of poche sensibility as this Prassian. Francis I gave repoated marks of his attachment to the favourites of the muses, by composing several occasional somets, which are dedicated to their culogy. Andrelin, a French poet, enjoyed the happy fate of Oppian, to whom the emperor Caracalla counted as many pieces of gold as there were verses in one of his poems; and with great propriety they have been called 'golden verses.' Andrelia when he recited home the conquest of Naples before Charles VIII, received a sack of silver coin, which with difficulty he carried home. Charles IX, says Brantome, loved verses, and recompensed poets, not indeed immediately, but gradually, that they might always be stimulated to excel. He used to say that poets resembled race horses, that must be fed but not fattened, for then they were good for nothing. Marot was so much esteemed by kings, that he was called the poet of princes, and the prince of

In the early state of poetry what homours were paid to its votaries! Romaard, the French Chancer, was the first who carried away the prize at the Floral games. This meed of poetic honour, was an eglantine composed of silver. The reward did not appear equal to the merit of the work and the reputation of the poet; and on this occasion the city of Toulouse had a Minerva of solid silver struck, of considerable value. This image was sent to Romsard, accompanied by a decree, in which he was declared, by way of emisence, 'The French poet.'

city of Toulouse had a Minerva of solid silver struck, of considerable value. This image was sent to Ronsard, accompanied by a decree, in which he was declared, by way of emisence, 'The French poot.' It is a curious anecdete to add, that when, at a later period, a similar Minerva was adjudged to Maynard for his verses, the Capitonis of Toulouse, who were the executors of the Floral gifts, to their shame, out of cover courses, never obeyed the decision of the poetical judges. This circumstance is noticed by Maynard in an epigram, which bears this title: On a Minerva of silver, promised but not finers.

The anecdote of Margaret of Scotland (wife of the Danphin of France,) and Alain the poet, is, perhaps, generally known. Who is not charmed with that fine expression of her poetical sensibility? The person of Alain was repulsive, but his poetry had attracted her affections. Passing through one of the halls of the palace, she saw him deeping on a beach; she approached and kinsed him. Some of her attendants could not conceal their astonishment that she should press with her hips those of a man so frightfully ugly. The amiable princess answered, smiling, 'I did not hiss the man, but the mouth which has uttered so many fine things.'

The great Colbert paid a pretty compliment to Boileau and Racine. This minister, at his villa, was enjoying the conversation of our two poets, when the arrival of a prelate was announced: turning quickly to the servant, he said. Let him he shown every thing except myself?

said. Let him be shown every thing except myself?"
To such attentions from this great minister, Boileau allades in these verses:

—Plus d'un grand, m'aima jusques à la tendresse; Èt ma vue à Colbert inspiroit l'allegresse.

Several pious persons have considered it as highly meritation abstain from the reading of poetry! A good father, is his account of the last hours of Madame Racine, the lady of the celebrated tragic poet, pays high compliments to her religious disposition, which, he says, was so amstee, that she would not allow herself to read poetry, as the considered it to be a dangerous pleasure: and he labely commends her for mover having read the tragedies of her husband! Arnauld, though so intimately connected with Racine for many years, had not read his compositions. When, at length, he was persuaded to read Phasdra, he declared himself to be delighted, but complained that the poet had set a dangerous example, in making the manly Hypolitus dwindle to an effeminate lover. As a critic, Arnauld was right; but Racine had his nation to please. Such persons entertain notions of poetry similar to that of an ancient father, who calls poetry the wine of Satan; or to that of the religious and austers Nicole, who was so ably answered by Racine: he said, that dramatic noets were public posposers, not of bodies, but of souls.

poets were public poisoners, not of bodies, but of souls. Poets, it is acknowledged, have foibles peculiar to themselves. They sometimes act in the daily commerce of life, as if every one was concerned in the success of their productions. Poets are too frequently merely poets. Segrais has recorded that the following maxim of Rochefoucault was occasioned by reflecting on the characters of Boileau and Racine. 'It displays', he writes, 'a great poverty of mind to have only one kind of genius.' On this Segrais observes, and Segrais knew them intimately, that their conversation only turned on poetry; take them from that, and they knew nothing. It was thus with one Du Perrier, a good poet, but very poor. When he was introduced to Pelisson, who wished to be serviceable to him, the minister said, 'In what can he be employed?' He is only occupied by his verses.'

All these complaints are not unfounded; yet, porhaps, it is unjust to expect from an excelling artist all the petty accomplishments of frivolous persons, who have studied no art but that of practising on the weaknesses of their friends. The enthusiastic votary, who devotes his days and nights to meditations on his favourite art, will rarely be found that despicable thing, a mere man of the world. Du Bos has justly observed, that men of genius, born for a particular profession, appear inferior to others when they apply themselves to other occupations. That distraction which arises from their continued attention to their ideas renders them awkward in their manners. Such defects are a proof of the activity of renius.

are a proof of the activity of genius.

It is a common foible with poets to read their verses to friends. Segrais has ingeniously observed, to use his own words, 'When young I used to please myself in reciting my verses indifferently to all persons; but I perceived when Scarron, who was my intimate friend, used to take his portfolio and read his verses to me, although they were good, I frequently became weary. I then reflected, that those to whom I read mine, and who, for the greater part, had no taste for poetry, must experience the same disagreeable sensation. I resolved for the future to read my verses only to those who entreated me, and to read but a few at a time. We flatter ourselves too much; we conclude that what pleases us must please others. We will have persons indulgent to us, and frequently we will have no indulgence for those who are in want of it.' An excellent hint for young poets, and for those old ones who carry odes and elegies in their pockets, to inflict the pains of the torture on their friends.

The affection which a poet feels for his verses has been frequently extravagant. Bayle, ridiculing that parental tenderness which writers evince for their poetical compositions, tells us, that many have written epitaphs on friends whom they believed on report to have died, sould not determine to keep them in their closet, but suffered them to appear in the lifetime of those very friends whose death they celebrated. In another place he says, that such is their infantation for their productions, that they prefer giving to the public their panegyries of persons whom afterwards they satirised, rather than suppress the verses which contain those panegyries. We have many examples of this in the poems, and even in the epistolary correspondence of modern writers. It is customary with most authors, when they quarrel with a person after the first edition of their work, to cancel his eulogies in the next. But poets and letter-writers frequently do not do this; because they are so charmed with the happy turn of their expressions, and other elegancies of composition, that they prefer the praise which they may acquire for their style to the censure which may follow from their inconsistency.

After having given a hint to young poots, I shall offer one to veterane. It is a common defect with them that they do not know when to quit the muses in their advanced age. Bayle says, 'Poets and orators should be mindful to retire from their occupations, which so peculiarly require the fire of imagination; yet it is but too common to

see them in their career, even in the decline of life. It seems as if they would condemn the public to drink even the less of their nectar. After and Daurat were both poets who had acquired considerable reputation, but which they overturned when they persisted to write in their old age without vigour and without fancy.

What crowds of these impeniently bold, In sounds and jingling syllables grown old, They run on posts, in a raging vein, E'on to the treat and queezings of the brain: Strain out the last dull droppings of their sense, And rhyme with all the rage of impotence.

Pope.

It is probable he had Wycherley in his eye when he wrote this. The veteran bard latterly scribbled much indifferent verse; and Pope had freely given his opinion, by which he lost his friendship!

It is still worse when aged poets devote their exhausted talents to divine poems, as did Waller; and Milton in his second epic. Such poems, observes Voltaire, are frequently entitled 'sacred poems,' and sacred they are, for no one touches them. From a soil so arid what can be expected but insipid fruits? Corneille told Chevreau several years before his death, that he had taken leave of the theatre, for he had lost his postical powers with his

Poots have sometimes displayed an obliquity of taste in their female favourites. As if conscious of the power of ennobling others, some have selected them from the lowest classes, whom having elevated into divinities, they have addressed in the language of poetical devotion. The Chloe of Prior, after all his raptures, was a plump barmaid. Rossard addressed many of his verses to Miss cassandra, who followed the same occupation: in one of his sonaets to her, he fills it with a crowd of personages taken from the Iliad, which to the honest girl must have all been extremely mysterious. Collette, a French bard, married three of his servants. His last lady was called la belle Claudins. Ashamed of such menial alliances, he attempted to persuade the world that he had married the tenth muse; and for this purpose published verses in her name. When he died, the vein of Claudine became suddenly dry. She indeed published her 'Adieux to the Muses;' but it was soon discovered that all the verses of this lady, including her 'Adieux,' were the compositions of her husband.

Sometimes, indeed, the ostensible mistresses of poets have no existence; and a slight occasion is sufficient to give birth to one. Racan and Malherbe were one day conversing on their amours; that is, of selecting a lady who should be the object of their verses. Racan named one, and Malherbe another. It happening that both had the same name, Catharine, they passed the whole afternoon in forming it into an anagram. They found three: Arthenice, Eracinthe, and Charinté. The first was preferred; and many a fine ode was written in praise of the beautiful Arthenice!

Poets change their opinions of their own productions wonderfully at different periods of life. Baron Haller was in his youth warmly attached to poetic composition. His house was on fire, and to rescue his poems he rushed through the flames. He was so fortunate as to escape with his beloved manuscripts in his hand. Ten years afterwards he condemned to the flames those very poems which he had ventured his life to preserve.

Satirists, if they escape the scourges of the law, have reason to dreat the cane of the satirised. Of this kind we have many anecdotes on record; but none more poignant than the following. Benserade was caned for lampooning the Duke d'Epernon. Some days afterwards he appeared at court, but being still lame from the rough treatment he had recoived, he was forced to support himself by a cane. A wit, who knew what had passed, whispered the affair to the queen. She, dissembling, asked him if he had the gout? 'Yes, madam,' replied our lame satirist, 'and therefore I make use of a cane.' 'Not so,' interrupted the malignant Bautru, 'Benserade in this imitates those hely martyrs who are always represented with the instrument which occasioned their sufferings.'

#### ROMANCES.

Romance has been elegantly defined as the offspring of Fiction and Love. Men of learning have amused themselves with tracing the epocha of romances; but that crudition is desperate which would fix on the inventor of

the first romance: for what originates in nature, who shall hope to detect the shadowy outlines of its beginnings? The Theagenes and Chariclea of Heliodorus appeared in the fourth century; and this elegant prelate was the Grecian Fencion. It has been prettily said, that posterior romances seem to be the children of the marriage of Theagenes and Chariclea. The Romance of 'The Golden Ass,' by Apuleius, which contains the beautiful take of 'Cupid and Psyche,' remains unrivalled; while the 'Daphne and Chloe' of Longus, in the old version of Amiot, is inexpressibly delicate, simple, and inartificial, but sometimes offends us, for nature there 'plays her virgin fancies.'

Beautiful as these compositions are, when the imagination of the writer is sufficiently stored with accurate observations on human nature, in their birth, like many of the fine arts, the zealots of an ascetic religion opposed their progress. However Heliodorus may have delighted those who were not insensible to the felicities of a fine imagination, and to the enchanting elegancies of style, he raised himself, among his brother ecclesiastics, enemies, who at length so far prevailed that, in a synod, it was declared that his performance was dangerous to young persons, and that if the author did not suppress it, he must resign his hishoprick. We are told he preferred his remance to his bishoprick. We are told he preferred his remance to his bishoprick. Even so late as in Racine's time it was hold a crime to peruse these unhallowed pages. He informs us that the first effusions of his muse were in consequence of studying that ancient romance, which his tutor observing him to devour with the keenness of a familished man, snatched from his hands and flung it in the fire. A second copy experienced the same fate. What could devouring it secretly till he got it by heart; after which he offered it to the pedagogue with a smile, to burn like the others.

The decision of these ascetic bigots was founded in their opinion of the immorality of such works. They alleged that the writers paint too warmly to the imagination, and in general, by the freedom of their representations, hower on the borders of indecency. Let it be sufficient, however, to observe, that those who condemned the liberties which these writers take with the imagination, could indulge themselves with the Anacreontic voluptuousness of the wise Solomon, when sanctioned by the authority of the church.

The marvellous powers of romance over the human mind is exemplified in this curious anecdote of oriental literature.

literature. Mahomet found they had such an influence over the imaginations of his followers, that he has expressly forbidden them in his Koran; and the reason is given in the following anecdote. An Arabian merchant having long resided in Persia, returned to his own country while the prophet was publishing his Koran. The merchant, among his other riches, had a treasure of romances concerning the Persian heroes. These he related to his delighted countrymen, who considered them to be so excellent, that the legends of the Koran were neglected, and they plainly told the prophet that the 'Persian Tales' were superior to his. Alarmed, he immediately had a visitation from the angel Gabriel, declaring them impious and persicious, hateful to God and Mahomet. This checked their currency; and all true believers yielded up the exquisite desight of poetic fictions for the inspidity of religious onces. Yet these romances may be said to have outlived the Koran itself; for they have spread into regions which the Koran could never penetrate. Even to this day Coloned Capper, in his travels across the Desert, saw 'Arabians sitting round a fire, listening to their tales with such attendion and pleasure, as totally to forget the fatigue and hardship with which an instant before they were entirely overcome.' And Wood, in his journey to Pahnyra:—'Attength the Arabe sat in a circle drinking coffee, while one of the company diverted the rest by relating a piece of history on the subject of Love or War, or with an extenspore tale.'

Mr Ellis has given us 'Specimens of the Early English Metrical Romances,' and Ritson and Weber have printed two collections of them entire, valued by the poetical antiquary. Learned inquirers have traced the origin of romantic fiction to various sources.—From Scandinavia, issued forth the giants, dragons, witches, and enchanters. The curious reader will be gratified by 'Illustrations of Northern Antiquities,' a volume in quarto; where he will find extracts from 'the Book of Heroos' and 'the Nibelungen Lay,' with many other metrical tales from the old German, Danish, Swedish, and Icelandic languages. In the East, Arabian fancy bent her Iris of many-softened hues, over a delightful land of fiction; while the Welsh, in their emigration to Britany, are believed to have brought with them their national fables. That subsequent race of minstrels known by the name of Troubadours in the South of France, composed their evotic or sentimental poems; and these romancers called Troubeard, or finders in the North of France, culled and compiled their domestic tales or Fobiass, Dits, Conte, or Lai. Millot, Sainte Palaye, and Le Grand, have preserved, in their 'Histories of the Troubadours,' their literary compositions. They were a romante race of ambulatory poets; military and religious subjects their favourite themes; yet bold and satirical on princes, and even on priests: severe moralisers, though ibertimes in their verse; so refined and chaste in their manners, that few husbands were alarmed at the enthusiastic language they addressed to their wives. The most comantic medents are told of their loves. But love and its grosser passion were clearly distinguished from each other in their singular intercourse with their 'Dames.' The object of their mind was separated from the object of their senses; the virtuous lady to whom they vowed their hearts was in their language styled 'La dame de ses pensées,' a very distinct being from their other mistress! Such was the Platonic chimers that charmed in the age of chivalry; the Laura of Petrarch might have been no other than 'the lady of his thoughts.'

From such productions in their improved state poets of all nations have drawn their richest inventions. The agreeable widness of that fancy which characterised the Eastern nations was often caught by the crusaders. When they returned home, they mingled in their own the customs of each country. The Saracens, being of another religion, brave, desperate, and fighting for their father-land, were enlarged to their fears, unfer the tremendous form of Paysian Giants, while the reader of that day followed with trembling sympathy the Red-cross Knight. Thus fiction embelliashed religion, and religion invigorated fiction; and such incidents have enlivened the cantos of Ariosto, and adorned the epic of Tasso. Spenser is the child of their creation; and it is certain that we are incheted to them for some of the bold and strong touches of Milton. Our great poet marks his affection for 'these lofty Fables and Romances, among which his young feet wandered.' Collies was bewildered among their magical seductions; and Dr Johnson was enthusiastically delighted by the old Spanish folio romance of 'Feixmarte of Hirania,' and smillar works. The most ancient romances were originally composed in verse before they were converted into prose: no wonder that the lacerated members of the poet have been cherished by the sympathy of poetical souls. Don Quixote's was a very agreeable insanity.

The most voluminous of these ancient Romances is Le Roman de Percefurest. I have seen an edition in six small folio volumes, and its author has been called the Fraceh Homer by the writers of his age. In the class of romances of chivalry we have several translations in the black-letter. These books are very rare, and their price is as roluminous. It is extraordinary that these writers were so unconscious of their future fame, that not one of their names has travelled down to us. There were eager readers in their days, but not a solitary bibliographer! All these romances now require some indulgence for their proliaity, and their Platonic amours,—but they have not been surpassed in the wildness of their inventions, the ingenuity of their incidents, the simplicity of their style, and their carious manners. Many a Homer lies hid among them; but a celebrated Italian critic suggested to me that many of the fables of Homer are only disguised and degraded in the romances of chivalry. Those who vilify them as only barbarous instations of classical fancy, condemn them as some do Gothic architecture, as mere corruptions of a purer style: such critics form their decision by preconceived nonos; they are but indifferent philosophers, and to us seem to be deficient in magnitude.

As a specimen I select two romantic adventures:—
The title of the extensive romance of Perceforest is,
'The most elegant, delicious, mellifluous, and delightful
history of Perceforest, King of Great Britain, &c.' The
most ancient edition is that of 1528. The writers of
hese Gothic fables, lest they should be considered as mere

triflers pretended to an allegorical meaning concealed under the texture of their fable. From the following advenure we learn the power of beauty in making ten days appear as yesterday! Alexander the great, in search of Perceforest, parts with his knights in an enchanted wood, and each vows they will not remain longer than one night in one place. Alexander, accompanied by a page, arrives at Sebilla's castle, who is a sorceress. He is taken by her witcheries and beauty, and the page, by the lady's maid, falls into the same mistake as his master, who thinks he is there only one night. They enter the castle win deep wounds, and issue perfectly recovered. I transcribe the latter part as a specimen of the manner. When they were once out of the castle, the king said, 'Truly, Floridas, I know not how it has been with me; but certainly Sebilla is a very honourable lady, and very beautiful, and very charming in conversation. Sire, (said Floridas,) it is true; but one thing surprises me:—how is it that our wounds have healed in one night? I thought at least ten or fifteen days were necessary. Truly, said the king, that is astonishing! Now king Alexander met Gadiffer, king of Scotland, and the valiant knight Le Tors. Well, said the king, have ye news of the king of England? Ten days we have huated him, and cannot find him out. How, said Alexander, did we not separate yesterday from each other? In God's name, said Gadiffer, what means your majesty? It is ten days! Have a care what you say, cried the king. Sire, repired Gadiffer, the king of Scotland speaks truth. Then, said the king, some of us are enchanted. Floridas, didst thou not think we separated yesterday? Truly, truly, your majesty, I thought so! But when I saw our wounds healed in one night, I had some suspicion that we were enchanted.'

In the old romance of Melaysina, this lovely fairy, though

In the old romance of Melusina, this lovely fairy, though to the world unknown as such, enamoured of Count Raymond, marries him, but first extorts a solemn promise that he will never disturb her on Saturdays. On those days the inferior parts of her body is metamorphosed to that of a mermand, as a punishment for a former error. Agitated by the malicious insinuations of a friend, his curiosity and his jealousy one day conduct him to the spot she retired to at those times. It was a darkened passage in the dungeon of the fortress. His hand gropes its way till it feels an iron gate oppose it; nor can he discover a single chink, but at length perceives by his touch a loose nail; he places his sword in its head and screws it out. Through this hole he sees Melusina in the horrid form she is compelled to assume. That tender mistress, transformed into a monster bathing in a fount, flashing the spray of the water from a scaly tail! He repents of his fatal curiosity: she reproaches him, and their mutual happiness is for ever lost! The moral design of the tale evidently warns the lover to rever a Woman's Secret!

Such are the works which were the favourite amusements of our English court, and which doubtless had a due effect in refining the manners of the age, in diffusing that splendid military genius, and that tender devotion to the fair sex which dazzle us in the reign of Edward III, and through that enchanting labyrinth of History constructed by the gallant Froissart. In one of the revenue rolls of Henry III, there is an entry of 'Silver clasps and studs for his majesty's great book of Romances.' Dr Moore observes that the enthusiastic admiration of chiva'ry which Edward III manifested during the whole course of his

reign was probably in some measure owing to his having studied the clasped book in his great-grandfather's library. The Italian romances of the fourteenth century were spread abroad in great numbers. They formed the polite literature of the day. But if it is not permitted to authors freely to express their ideas, and give full play to the imagination, these works must never be placed in the study of the rigid moralist. They, indeed pushed their indelicacy to the verge of grossness, and seemed rather to seek than to avoid scenes, which a modern would blush to describe. They, to employ the expression of one of their authors, were not ashamed to name what God had created. Cinthio, Bandello, and others, but chiefly Boccaccio, rendered libertinism agreeable by the fascinating charms of a polished style and a luxuriant imagination.

This, however, must not be admitted as an apology for immoral works; for poison is not the less poison even when delicious. Such works were, and still continue to be, the favourites of a nation stigmatised for being prone to impure amours. They are still curious in their editions, and are

not parsimonious in their price for what they call an un-castrated copy.\* There are many Italians, not literary men, who are in possession of an ample library of these old novelists.

If we pass over the moral irregularities of these romances, we may discover a rich vein of invention, which only requires to be released from that rubbish which disfigures it, to become of an invaluable price. The Deca-morones, the Hecatommiti, and the Novellas of these wri-ters, translated into English, made no inconsiderable figure in the little library of our Shakspeare. Chaucer had been a notorious imitator and lover of them. His 'Knight's Tale' is little more than a paraphrase of 'Boccaccio's Teseoide.' Fontaine has caught all their charms with all and many of their contemporaries, frequently borrowed their plots; not uncommonly kindled at their flame the ardour of their genius; but bending too submissively to the taste of their age, in extracting the ore they have not puritaste of their age, in extracting the ore they have not puri-fied it of the alloy. The origin of these tales must be traced to the inventions of the Trouveurs, who doubtless often adopted them from various nations. Of these tales, Le Grand has printed a curious collection; and of the writers Mr Ellis observes, in his preface to 'Way's Fab-liaux,' that the authors of the 'Cento Novelle Antiche,' Boccacio, Bandello, Chaucer, Gower,—in short, the writers of all Europe, have probably made use of the inventions of the elder fablers. They have borrowed their general outlines, which they have filled up with colours of their own, and have exercised their ingenuity in varying the drapery, in combining the groups, and in forming them into more regular and animated pictures.

We now turn to the French romances of the last century, called heroic, from the circumstance of their authors adoptmg the name of some hero. The manners are the modern-antique; and the characters are of a sort of beings made out of the old epical, the Arcadian pastoral, and the Parisian sentimentality and affectation of the days of Voi-ture. The Astrea of D'Urfé greatly contributed to their perfection. As this work is founded on several curious circumstances, it shall be the subject of the following article; for it may be considered as a literary curiosity. The Astrea was followed by the illustrious Bassa, Artamene, or the Great Cyrus, Cleiis, &c, which, though not adapted the constant are consequently and adapted the constant are consequently as a consequently are consequently as a consequen or the Great Cyrus, once gave celebrity to their authors; and the Great Cyrus, in ten volumes, passed through five or six editions. Their style, as well as that of the Astrea, is diffuse and languid; yet Zaide, and the Princess of Cleves, are master-pieces of the kind. Such works formed the first studies of Rousseau, who, with his father, would sit up all night, till warned by the chirping of the swallows how foolishly they had spent it! Some incidents in his Nouvelle Heloise have been retraced to these sources; and they certainly entered greatly into the formation of his

characters.

Such romances at length were regarded as pernicious to good sense, taste, and literature. It was in this light they were considered by Boileau, after he had indulged in them

an his youth.

A celebrated Jesuit pronounced an oration against these The rhetorician exaggerates, and hurls his thunders on flowers. He entreats the magistrates not to suffer foreign romances to be scattered amongst the people, but and represents this prevailing taste as on prohibited goods; and represents this prevailing taste as being more pesti-lential than the plague itself. He has drawn a striking picture of a family devoted to romance reading; he there describes women occupied day and night with their perusal; children just escaped from the lap of their nurse grasping in their little hands the fairy tales; and a country squire seated in an old arm-chair, reading to his family the most wonderful passages of the ancient works of chivalry.

These romances went out of fashion with our squarecocked hats; they had exhausted the patience of the public, and from them sprung Novels. They attempted to allure attention by this inviting title, and reducing their works from ten to two volumes. The name of romance, including imaginary heroes and extravagant passions, disgusted; and they substituted scenes of domestic life, and touched our common feelings by pictures of real nature. Heroes

Common's Novels, in two very thick volumes 12mo, are commonly sold at the price of five or six guineas. Bandello is equally high; and even in Pope's time it appears by the correspondence of Lady Pemfret, that a copy seld at fifteen guineas.

were not now taken from the throne : they were sometime even sought after amongst the lowest ranks of the people. Scarron seems to allude sarcastically to this degradation of the heroes of Fiction: for in hinting at a new comis history he had projected, he tells us that he gave it up sud-denly, because he had 'heard that his hero had just been

hanged at Mans.'
Novels, as they were long manufactured, form a library Novels, as they were long manufactures, nor as they are of illiterate authors for illiterate readers; but as they are to the philosopher. They created by genius, are precious to the philosopher. They paint the character of an individual or the manners of the age more perfectly than any other species of composition: age more periectly man any other species in composition it is in acvels we observe as it were passing under our own eyes the refined frivolity of the French; the gloomy and disordered sensibility of the German; and the petty intrigues of the modern Italian in some Venetian Novels. We have shown the world that we possess writters of the first order in this delightful province of Fiction and of Truth; for every Fiction invented naturally must be true. After the abundant invective poured on this class of books, it is time to settle for ever the controversy, by asserting that these works of action are among the most instructive of every polished nation, and must contain all the useful truths of human life, if composed with genius. They are pictures of the passions, useful to our youth to contemplate. That acute philosopher, Adam Smith, has given an opinion most favourable to Novels, 'The poets and romance writers who best paint the refinements and delicacies of love and friendship, and of all other private and domestic affections, Racine and Voltaire, Richardson, Marivaux, and Riccoboni, are in this case much better instructors

than Zeno, Chrysippus, or Epictetus.'
The history of romances has been recently given by Mr Dunlop, with many pleasing details; but this work should be accompanied by the learned Lenglet du Fresnoy's Biliotheque des Romans, published under the name of M. let C. Gordon de Percel; which will be found useful for immediate reference for titles, dates, and a copious catalogue

of romances and novels to the year 1734,

#### THE ASTREA.

I bring the Astrea forwards to point out the ingenious manner by which a fine imagination can veil the common incidents of life, and turn whatever it touches into gold.

Honoré D'Urfé was the descendant of an illustrious family. His brother Anne married Diana of Chateaumorand, the wealthy heiress of another great house. After a marriage of no less duration than twenty-two years, this union was broken by the desire of Anne himself, for a cause which the delicacy of Diana had never revealed. Anne then became an ecclesiastic. Some time afterwards, Honoré, desirous of retaining the great wealth of Diana in the family, addressed this lady, and married her. This union, however, did not prove fortunate. Diana, like the goodless of that name, was a huntress, continually sur-rounded by her dogs.—They dined with her at table, and slept with her in bed.—This insupportable nuisance could not be patiently endured by the elegant Honoré. He was also disgusted with the barrenness of the huntress Diana, who was only delivered every year of abortions. He se-parated from her, and retired to Piedmont, where he passed his remaining days in peace, without feeling the thorns of marriage and ambition ranking in his heart. In this remarriage and ammuton ranking in his heart. In this re-treat he composed his Astrea; a pastoral romance, which was the admiration of Europe during half a century. It forms a striking picture of human life, for the incidents are facts beautifully concealed. They relate the amours and gallantries of the court of Henry IV. The personages in the Astrea display a rich invention; and the work might be still read, were it not for those wire-drawn and languishing conversations, or rather disputations, which they then introduced into romances. In a modern edition of this work, by the Abbé Souchai, he has curtailed these tiresome dialogues; the work still consists of ten duodecime volumes.

Patru, when a youth, visited Honoré in his retirement, and collected from him with some difficulty a few explanations of those circumstances which he had concealed under a veil of fiction.

In this romance, Celidée, to cure the unfortunate Celidon, and to deprive Thamire at the same time of every reason for jealousy, tears her face with a pointed diamond, and disfigures it in so cruel a manner, that she excites horror in the breast of Thamire; who so ardently admires this exertion of virtue, that he loves her, hideous as she is represented, still more than when she was most beautiful. Heaven, to be just, to those two lovers, restores the beauty of Celidóe; which is effected by a sympathetic powder. This remandic incident is thus explained: One of the French princes (Celidon,) when he returned from Italy, treated with coldness his admirable princess (Celidée); this was the effect of his violent passion, which had now become jeakessy. The cooliness subsisted till the prince was impressed, for state affairs, in the woods of Vincess. was impresented, for state affairs, in the woods of Vincen-mes. The princess, with the permission of the court, fol-lowed him into his confinement. This proof of her love on brught back the wandering heart and affections of e prince. The small-pox seized her; which is the instead diamond, and the dreadful disfigurement of her face. She was so fortunate as to escape being marked by this ducase; which is meant by the sympathetic powder. This trivial incident is bandle to the sympathetic powder. der. This trivial incident is happily turned into the marvelleus: that a wife should choose to be imprisoned with her husband is not singular; to escape being marked by the small-pox happens every day; but to romance, as he has done, on such common circumstances, is beautiful

If Urfé, when a boy, is said to have been effamoured of Diana; this indeed has been questioned. D'Urfé, however, was sent to the island of Malta to enter into that r of knighthood; and in his absence Diana was married to Anne. What an affliction for Honoré on his return, to see her married, and to his brother! His affection did not diminish, but he concealed it in respectful silence. He had some knowledge of his brother's unbappiness, and on this probably founded his hopes. After several years, during which the modest Diana had uttered no complaint, Anne declared himself; and shortly afterwards Honoré, as we have noticed, married Diana.

Our author has described the parties under this false

appearance of marriage. He assumes the names of Co-ladon and Sylvander, and gives Diana those of Astrea and Diana. He is Sylvander and she Astrea while she is Dishin. He is Sylvander and the Asia when the marriage is dissolved. Sylvander is represented always as a lover who sight secretly; nor does Diana declare her passion till overcome by the long sufferings of her faithful shepherd. For this reason Astrea and Diana. as well as Sylvander and Celadon, go together, prompted by the same despair, to the Fountain of the Truth of Love.

Sylvander is called an unknown shepherd, who has no other wealth than his flock : because our author was the youngest of his family, or rather a knight of Malta, who possessed nothing but honour.

Celadon in despair throws himself into a river; this refers to his voyage to Malta. Under the name of Alexis he displays the friendship of Astrea for him, and all those innecent freedoms which passed between them as rela-tives from this circumstance he has contrived a difficulty

Something of passion is to be discovered in these expressions of friendship. When Alexis assumes the name of Celadon, he calls that love which Astrea had mistaken for fraternal affection. This was the trying moment. For though she loved him, she is rigorous in her duty and hon-She says, 'what will they think of me if I unite myself to him, after permitting, for so many years, those familiarities which a brother may have taken with a sister, with me, who knew that in fact I remained unmarried? How she got over this nice scruple does not appear; it

was, however, for a long time a great obstacle to the feli-city of our author. There is an incident which shows the parity of this married virgin, who was fearful the liberties she allowed Celadon might be ill construed. Phillis tells the druid Adamas, that Astrea was seen sleeping by the the druid Adamas, that Astrea was seen sleeping by the Poentain of the Truth of Love, and that the unicorns which guarded those waters were observed to approach her and say their heads on her lap. According to fable, it is one of the properties of these animals never to approach any female but a maiden; at this strange difficulty our druid remains surprised; while Astrea has thus given an incontrovertible proof of her purity.

The history of Philander is that of the elder D'Urfé.

Nose but boys disguised as girls, and girls as boys, appear a the history. It was in this manner he concealed, withas to descript modesty, the defect of his brother. To mark the truth of this history, when Philander is disguised a swamm, while he converses with Astrea of his love, he

frequenty allades to his misfortune, although in another

Philander, ready to expire, will die with the glorious name of the husband of Astrea. He entreats her te grant him this favour; she accords it to him, and swears before the gods that she receives him in her heart for husband. The truth is, he enjoyed nothing but the name. Philander dies too, in combating with a hideous Moor, which is the personification of his conscience, and which at length compelled him to quit so beautiful an object, and one so worthy of hems exerasily beloved. one so worthy of being eternally beloved.

The gratitude of Sylvander, on the point of being sacrificed, represents the consent of Honore's parents to dissolve his vow of celibacy, and unite him to Diana; and the druid Adamas represents the ecclesiastical power. The Fountain of the Truth of Love is that of marriage; the unicorns are the symbols of that purity which should ever guard it; and the flaming eyes of the lions, which are also there, represent those inconveniences attending marriage, but over which a faithful passion easily triumphs.

In this manner has our author disguised his own private history; and blended in his works a number of little amours which passed at the court of Henry the Great. might proceed in explaining these allegories; but what I have noticed will be sufficient to give an idea of the inge-

nuity of the author.

Fontenelle, in his introduction to his Eclogues, has made a pretty comparison of this species of pastoral ro-mance with that of chivalry, which turned the brain of Don Quixote. When he reads the inimitable acts of Amadis, so many castles forced, giants hacked, magicians confounded, he does not regret that these are only fables; but he adds, when I read the Astrea, where in a softened repose love occupies the minds of amiable heroes, where repose to recupies the minds of amable heroes, where love decides on their fate, where wisdom itself preserves so little of its rigid air, that it becomes a zealous partisan of love, even to Adamas, the sovereign druid, I then grieve that it is only a romance!

#### POETS LAUREAT.

The present article is a sketch of the history of Poets Laurent, from a memoir of the French Academy, by the Abbé Resnel.

The custom of crowning poets is as ancient as poetry itself; it has indeed frequently varied; it existed, however, as late as the reign of Theodosius, when it was abolished

as a remain of paganism.

When the barbarians overspread Europe, few appeared to merit this honour, and fewer who could have read their works. It was about the time of Petrarch, that Poetry resumed its ancient lustre; he was publicly honoured with the Laurel Crown. It was in this century (the thirteenth) that the establishment of Bachelor and Doctor, was fixed in the universities. Those who were found worthy of the honour obtained the laurel of Bachelor, or the laurel of Doctor; Laurea Baccalaureatus; Laures Doctoratus. At their reception they not only assumed this title, but they also had a crown of laurel placed on their heads.

To this ceremony the ingenious writer attributes the revival of the custom. The poets were not slow in put-ting in their claims to what they had most right; and their patrons sought to encourage them by these honourable

distinctions.

The following formula is the exact style of those which are yet employed in the universities to confer the degree of Bachelor and Doctor, and serves to confirm the conjec

ture of Resnel.

'We, count and senator,' (Count d'Anguillara, who bestowed the laurel on Petrarch) for us and our College declare Francis Petrarch, great poet and historian, and for a special mark of his quality of poet, we have placed with our hands on his head a crown of lowerl, granting to him, by the tenor of these presents, and by the authority of King Robert, of the senate and the people of Rome, in the poetic, as well as in the historic art, and generally in whatever relates to the said arts, as well in this boly city as also where the free and entire power of reading dispute. as elsewhere, the free and entire power of reading, disput-ing, and interpreting all ancient books, to make new ones, and compose poems, which, God assisting, shall endure from age to age.'

In Italy these honours did not long flourish; although Tasso dignified the laurel crown by his acceptance of it.
Many got crowned who were unworthy of the distinction. The laurel was even bestowed on Querno, whose character is given in the Duncied: Digitized by GOOGIC

' Not with more glee, by hands pontific crown'd, With scarlet hats wide-waving circled round, Rome in her capitol saw Querno sit, Thron'd on seven hills, the Antichrist of wit.'

This man was made laureate, for the joke's sake; his poetry was inspired by his cups, a kind of poet who came in with the dessert; and he recited twenty thousand verses. He was rather the arch-buffoon than the arch-poet to Leo X, though honoured with the latter title. They invented for him a new kind of laureated honour, and in the intermixture of the foliage raised to Apollo, slily inserted the vine and the cabbage leaves, which he evidently deserved, from his extreme dexterity in clearing the pontiff's dishes

and emptying his goblets.

Urban VIII had a juster and more elevated idea of the children of Fancy. It appears that he possessed much poetic sensibility. Of him it is recorded, that he wrote a letter to Chiabrera to felicitate him on the success of his poetry: letters written by a pope were then an honour only paid to crowned heads. One is pleased also with another testimony of his elegant dispositions. Charmed with a poem which Bracciolini prescried to him, he gave him the surname of DELLE-APE, of the bees; which were the arms of this amiable pope. He, however, never crowned these favourite bards with the laurel, which, pro-

bably, he deemed unworthy of them.

In Germany the laureate honours flourished under the reign of Maximilian the First. He founded in 1504 a po-etical College at Vienna; reserving to himself and the regent the power of bestowing the laurel. But the insti-tution, notwithstanding this well-concerted scheme, fell into disrepute, owing to a crowd of claimants who were fired with the rage of versifying, and who, though destitute of poetic talents, had the laurel bestowed on them. Thus it became a prostituted honour; and satires were incessantly levelled against the usurpers of the crown of Apollo: it seems, notwithstanding, always to have bad charms in the eyes of the Germans, who did not reflect, as the Abbé elegantly expresses himself, that it faded when it passed over so many heads.

The Emperor of Germany retains the laureateship in all its splendour. The select bard is called *It Poeta Cesero*. Apostolo Zeno, as celebrated for his erudition as for his poetic powers, was succeeded by that most enchant-

ing poet, Mclastasio.

The Frence never had a Poet Loureate, though they had Regal Poets; for none were ever solemnly crowned. The Spanish nation, always desirous of titles of honour, seem to have known the Laureate; but little information concerning it can be gathered from their au-

Representing our own country little can be said but what is mentioned by Selden. John Kay, who dedicated a History of Rhodes to Edward IV, takes the title of his humble Poet Laureate. Gower and Chaucer were laureates; so was likewise the rhyming Skelton of Henry VIII. In the Acts of Rymer, there is a character of Henry VII

with the title of pro Poeta Laureato.

It does not appear that our poets were ever solemnly crowned as in other countries. Selden, after all his recondite researches, is satisfied with saying, that some trace of this distinction is to be found in our nation. It is, however, certain that our kings from time immemorial have placed a miserable dependant in their household appointment, who was sometimes called the King's poet, and the King's versificator. It is probable that at length the selected bard assumed the title of Poet Laureat, without receiving the honours of the ceremony; or at the most, the crown of laurel was a mere obscure custom practised at our universities, and not attended with great public distinction. It was oftener placed on the skull of a pedant than wreathed on the head of a man of genius.

#### ANGELO POLITIAN.

Angelo Politian, an Italian, was one of the most polsehed writers of the fifteenth century. Baillot has placed him amongst his celebrated children; for he was a writer at twelve years of age. The Muses indeed cherished him in his cradle, and the Graces hung round it their most beautiful wreaths. When he became professor of the Greek language, such were the charms of his lectures, that one Chalcondylas, a native of Greece, saw himself abandoned by his pupils, who resorted to the delightful

Critics of various disquisitions of the elegant Politian. nations have acknowledged that his poetical versions have frequently excelled the originals. This happy genius was lodged in a most unhappy form; nor were his morals untainted: it is only in his literary compositions that he ap-

pears perfect.

Monnoye, in his edition of the Menagiana, as a speci-men of his Epistles, gives a translation of the letter, which serves as prefatory and dedicatory; and has accompanied it by a commentary. The letter is replete with literature, though void of pedantry; I as barren subject is embellished by its happy turns. It is addressed to his patron Mossignor Pietro de Medicis; and was written about a month before the writer's death. Perhaps no author has so admirably defended himself from the incertitude of criticism and the fastidiousness of critics. His wit and his humour are delirated and for commentations are marintally and and the fastidiousness of critics. His wit and his humour are delicate; and few compositions are sprinkled with such Attic salt.

MY LORD!

You have frequently urged me to collect my letters, as revise and to publish them in a volume. I have now gathered them, that I might not omit any mark of that obedience which I owe to him, on whom I rest all my hopes, and all my prosperity. I have not, however, collected them all, because that would have been a more lab-vious task that the house them all the contractions of the task, tnan to have gathered the scattered leaves of the Sibyl. It was never, indeed, with an intention of forming my letters into one body that I wrote them, but merely as occasion prompted, and as the subjects presented them-selves without seeking for them. I never retained copies except of a few, which less fortunate, I think, than the others, were thus favoured for the sake of the verses they contained. To form, however a tolerable volume, I have also inserted some written by others, but only those with which several ingenious scholars favoured me, and which, perhaps, may put the reader in good humour with my

There is one thing for which some will be inclined to censure ine; the style of my letters is very unequal; and, to confess the truth, I did not find myself always in the same humour, and the same modes of expression were not adapted to every person and every topic. They not adapted to every person and every topic. They will not fail then to observe, when they read such a diversity of letters (I mean if they do read them) that I have composed not epistles, but (once more) miscellanies.

I hope, my Lord, notwithstanding this, that amongst such a variety of opinions, of those who write letters, and of those who give precepts how letters should be written, I shall find some apology. Some, probably, will deny that they are Ciceronian. I can answer such, and not without good authority, that in epistolary composition we must not regard Cicero as a model. Another perhaps wil say, that I mitate Cicero. And him I will answer by observing, that I wish nothing better, than to be capable or grasping something of this great man, were it but his shadow!

Another will wish that I had borrowed a httle from the manner of Pliny the orator, because his profound sense and accuracy were greatly esteemed. I shall oppose him by expressing my contempt of all the writers of the age of Pliny. If it should be observed, that I have imitated the manner of Pliny, I shall then screen myself by what Sidonius Apollinaris, an author who is by no means disreputable, says in commendation of his epistolary style.—
Do I resemble Symmachus? I shall not be sorry, for they distinguish his openness and conciseness. Am I considered in no wise resembling him? I shall confess that I am not pleased with his dry manner.

Will my letters be condemned for their length? Plato, Aristotle, Thucydides, and Cicero, have all written long ones. Will some of them be criticised for their brevity? lallege in my favour the examples of Dion, Brutus Appollonius Philostratus, Marcus Antonius, Alciphron, Julian, Symmachus, and also Lucian, who vulgarly, but

falsely, is believed to have been Phalaris.

I shall be censured for having treated of topics which are not generally considered as proper for epistolary com-position. I admit this censure, provided while I am con-demned, Seneca also shares in the condemnation. Another will not allow of a sententious manner in my letters; I whi still justify myself by Seneca. Another, on the contrary, desires abrupt sententious periods; Dyonysius shall asswer him for me, who maintains, that pointed sentences should not be admitted into letters.

Digitized by GOOGLE

Is my style too perspicuous? It is precisely that which hillostratus admires. Is it obscure? Such is that of Philostratus ad Cicero to Atticus. Negligent? An agrocable negligence in letters is more graceful than elaborate ornaments. Laboured? Nothing can be more proper, since we send epistles to our friends as a kind of presents. If they dislay too nice an arrangement, the Halicarnassian shall indicate me. If there is none; Artemon says there

Now as a good and pure Latinity has its peculiar taste, its manners, and (to express myself thus) its Atticisms; if in this sense a letter shall be found not sufficiently Attic, so much the better; for what was Herod the sophist censured? but that having been born an Athenian, he affected too much to appear one in his language. Should a etter seem too Attical; still better, since it was by discovng Theophrastus, who was no Athenian, that a good woman of Athens laid hold of a word, and shamed him.

sid woman of Athens laid hold of a word, and shamed him. Shall one letter be found not sufficiently serious? I love to just. Or is it too grave? I am pleased with gravity. Is another full of figures? Letters being the images of discourse, figures have the effect of graceful action in conversation. Are they deficient in figures? This is just what characterises a letter, this want of figures! Does it discover the genius of the writer? This frankness is recommended. Does it conceal it? The writer did not think the property to gain the limited? I said it is one requisite in a think proper to paint himself; and it is one requisite in a letter, that it should be void of estentation. You express yearself, some one will observe, in common terms on common terms on new terms on new topics. The style is thus adapted to the subject. No, no, he will answer; it is in common terms you express new ideas, and in new terms common ideas. Very well! It is because I have not forgotten an ancient Greek precept which expended an accommon the style of the precept which expressed a precept which expressed a precept such that the precept which expressed a precept such that the precept which expressed a precept such that the precept mends this. pressly recon

Tt in thus by attempting to be ambidexterous I try to ward off attacks. My critics will however criticise me as they please. It will be sufficient for me, my Lord, to be assured of having satisfied you, by my letters, if they are good; or by my obedience, if they are not so.

Florence, 1494.

# ORIGIPAL LETTER OF QUEEN ELIZABETH.

In the Cottoman Library, Vespasiun, F. III, is pre-served a letter written by Queen Elizabeth (then Princess) to her sister Queen Mary. It appears, by this epistle, that Mary had desired to have her picture; and in gratifying the wishes of her majesty, Elizabeth accompanies the present with the following elaborate letter. It bears no present with the following elaborate letter. It bears no date of the year in which it was written; but her place of residence is marked to be at Hatfield. There she had rewered to enjoy the silent pleasures of a studious life, and to be distant from the dangerous politics of the time. When Mary died Elizabeth was as Hatfield; the letter must have been written shortly before this circumstance took place. She was at the time of its composition in habitual natercourse with the most excellent writers of antiquity; er letter displays this in every part of it; it is polished ad repolished. It has also the merit of now being first

#### LETTER.

<sup>4</sup> Like as the riche man that dayly gathereth riches to riches, and to one bag of money layeth a greate sort til it come to infinit, so me thinkes, your Maiestie not beinge come to mining, so me timines, your trialeste not beings siffised with many benefits and gentilines shewed to me after this time, dothe now increase them in askinge and desiring wher you may bid and commaunde, requiring a thinge not worthy the desirings for it selfe, but made working the selfer to the selfer thy for your highness request. My pictur I mene, in which if the inward good mynde towards your grace might as wel be declared as the outwards face and might as wel be declared as the outwarde face and countenance shal be seen, I wold not hane taried the comandement but prevent it, nor haue bine the last to graunt but the first to offer it. For the face, I graunt, I mite wel blusche to offer, but the mynde I shal neur be ashamed to present. For thogth from the grace of the pictur, the coulers may fade by time, may give by wether, may be spotted by chance, yet the other nor time with her swift winges shall outrake, nor the mistic closes with their loweringes may darken, nor chance with her slipery fote may overthrow. Of this althogth yet as profe could not be greate because the occasions take bine but smal, notwithstandinge as a dog hathe a

day, so may I perchaunce haue time to declare it is dides wher now I do write them but in wordes. And further I shall most humbly beseche your Maiestie that whan you shal loke on my pictur you wil witsafe to thinke that as you have but the outwards shadow of the thinks that as you have but the outwards shadow of the body afore you, so my inward minde wischeth, that the body itselfe wer oftener in your presence; how beit bicause bothe my so beings I thinks coulde do your Maiestie litely pleasure though my selfe great good, and againe bicause I so as yet not the time agreing thereuto, I shal lerne to follow this sainge of Orace, Feras non culpes quod vitari non potest. And thus I wil (troblinge your Maiestie I fere) ende with my most humble thankes, beschinge God horse to presente you to his horour to your coffer to the longe to preserve you to his honour, to your cofort, to the realmes profit, and to my joy. From Hatfilde this I day of May.

Your Maiesties most humbly Sistar and Seruante.

ELIZABETH.

#### AWNE BULLEY.

That minute detail of circumstances frequently found in writers of the history of their own times is more inte-resting than the elegant and general narratives of later, and probably of more philosophical historians. It is in the artless recitals of memoir-writers, that the imagination is struck with a lively impression, and fastens on petty circumstances which must be passed over by the classical historian. The writings of Brantome, Comines, Froissart, and others, are dictated by their natural feelings: while the passions of modern writers are temperate with dispassionate philosophy, or inflamed by the virulence of faction. History instructs, but Memoirs delight. These prefatory observations may serve as an apology for Anecdotes, which are gathered from obscure corners, on which the dignity of the historian must not dwell.

In Houssaie's Memoires, Vol. I, p. 435, a little circumstance is recorded concerning the decapitation of the un-fortunate Anne Bullen, which illustrates an observation of Hume. Our historian notices that her executioner was a Frenchman of Calais, who was supposed to have uncommon skill; it is probable that the following incident might have been preserved by tradition in France, from the ac-count of the executioner himself.—Anne Bullen being on the scaffold, would not consent to have her eyes covered with a bandage, saying, that ahe had no fear of death. All that the divine who assisted at her execution could obtain from her was, that she would shut her eyes. But as she was opening them at every moment, the executioner could not bear their tender and mild glances; fearful of missing his aim, he was obliged to invent an expedient to behead the queen. He drew off his shors, and approached her silently: while he was at her left hand, another person advanced at her right, who made a great noise in walking, so that this circumstance drawing the attention of Anne, she turned her face from the executioner, who was enabled by this artifice to strike the fatal blow, without being disarm ed by that spirit of affecting resignation which shone in the eyes of the lovely Anne Bullen.

'The common Executioner,
Whose heart th' accustom'd sight of death makes hard,
Falls not the axe upon the humbled neck But first begs pardon. Shakspeare.

#### JAMES I.

It was usual, in the reign of James the First, when they compared it with the preceding glorious one, to distinguish him by the title of Queen James, and his illustrious predecessor by that of King Elizabeth! Sir Anthony Weldon informs us, that when James the First sent Sir Roger Aston as his messenger to Elizabeth, Sir Roger was afways placed in the lobby: the hangings being turned so that he might see the queen dancing to a little fiddle, which was to no other end than that he should tell his master, by was to no other end than that he should tell his master, by her youthful disposition, how likely he was to come to the crown he so much thirsted after;—and indeed, when at her death this same knight, whose origin was low, and whose language was suitable to that origin, appeared be the predict by English coupled not conceal his Scottish fore the English council, he could not conceal his Scottish rapture, for, asked how the king did 7 he replied, 'even, my lords, like a poore man wandering about forty years in a wildernesse and barren soyle, and now arrived at the Land of Promise.' A curious anecdote, respecting the economy of the court in these reigns, is noticed in some manuscript memoirs written in James's reign, preserved

16

an a family of distinction. The lady, who wrote these memoirs, tells us that a great change had taken place in cleanliness, since the last reign; for having rose from her chair, she found, on her departure, that she had the honour of carrying upon her some companions who must have been inhabitants of the palace. The court of Elizabeth was celebrated occasionally for its magnificence, and always for its nicety. James was singularly effeminate; he could not behold a drawn sword without shuddering; was could not behold a drawn sword without shuddering; was much too partial to handsome men; and appears to merit the bitter satire of Churchill. If wanting other proofs, we should only read the second volume of 'Royal Letters,' 6987, in the Harleian collections, which contains Stenie's correspondence with James. The grees familiarity of Buckingham's address is couched in such terms as these:

—he calls his majesty 'Dere dad and Gossope!' and consider his letters with 'rough humble alsues and dogge. cludes his letters with 'your humble slave and dogge, Stenie.' He was a most weak, but not quite a vicious man; yet his expertness is the art of dissimulation was very great indeed. He called this King-Craft. Sir Anthony Weldon gives a lively anecdote of this dissimulation in the king's behaviour to the Earl of Somerset at the very moment he had prepared to disgrace him. The earl accompanied the king to Royston, and, to his apprehension, never parted from him with more seeming affection, though the king well knew he should never see him more. 'The the king well knew he sipul never see him more. The earl when he kissed his hand, the king hung about his neck, slabbering his cheeks, saying—for God's sake, when shall I see thee again? On my soul I shall neither eat nor sleep until you come again. The earl told him on Monday (this being on the Friday.) For God's sake let me, said the king:—Shall I, shall I?—then lolled about his neck;—then for God's sake give thy lady this kisse for me in the same manner at the stayer's head at the midme, in the same manner at the stayre's head, at the mid-die of the stayres, and at the stayre's foot. The earl was not in his coach when the king used these very words (in the hearing of four servants, one of whom reported it instantly to the author of this history,) "I shall never see his face more."

nis face more.

He displayed great imbecility in his amusements, which
are characterised by the following one, related by Arthur
Wilson.—When James became melancholy in consequence of various disappointments in state matters, Buckingham and his mother used several means of diverting him. Amongst the most ludicrous was the present.-They had a young lady, who brought a pig in the dress of a new-born infant: the countess carried it to the king, wrapped in a rich mantle. One Turpin, on this occasion, was dressed like a bishop in all his pontifical ornaments. He began the rites of baptism with the common prayerbook in his hand; a silver ewer with water was held by another. The marquis stood as godfather. When James turned to look at the infant, the pig squeaked: an animal which he greatly abhorred. At this, highly displeased, he exclaimed,—'Out! Away for shame! What blasphemy is this!

This ridiculous joke did not accord with the feelings of James at that moment; he was not 'i' the vein.' James at that moment; he was not 'l' the vein.' Yet we may observe, that had not such artful politicians as Buckingham and his mother been strongly persuaded of the success of this puerile fancy, they would not have ventured on such 'blasphemies.' They certainly had witnessed amusements heretofore not less trivial, which had gratified I'm majesty. The account which Sir Anthony Weldon gries, in his Court of King James, exhibits a curious scene of James's amusements. 'After the king supposed he of James's amusements. 'After the king supped, he would come forth to see pastimes and fooleries; in which would come torn to see passines and tooleries; in which Sir Ed Zouch, Sir George Goring, and Sir John Finit, were the chiefe and master fools, and surely this fooling got them more than any others' wisdome; Zouch's part was to sing bawdy songs, and tell bawdy tales; Finit's to compose these songs; there was a set of fiddlers brought to court on purpose for this fooling, and Goring was master of the game for fooleries, sometimes presenting David Droman and Archee Armstrong, the kinge's foole, on the back of the other fools, to tilt one at another, till they fell together by the eares; sometimes they performed antick dances. But Sir John Millicent (who was never known before) was commended for notable fooling; and was indeed the best extemporary foole of them all. Weldon's Court of James' is a scandalous chronicle of the times.

His dispositions were, however, generally grave and studious. He seems to have possessed a real love of letters, but attended with that mediocrity of talent which in a private person had never raised him into notice. 'While there was a chance, writes the author of the Catalogue of Noble Authors, 'that the dyer's son, Vorstius, might be divinity-professor at Leyden, instead of being burnt, as his majesty hinted to the Christian prudence of the Dutch that he deserved to be, our ambassadors could not receive imstructions, and consequently could not treat, on any other business. The king, who did not resent the massacre at Amboyna, was on the point of breaking with the States for supporting a man who professed the heresies of Enjedius, Ostodorus, &c., points of extreme consequence to Great Britain! Sir Dudley Carleton was forced to threat-en the Dutch, not only with the hatred of King James, but also with his pen.

This royal pedant is forcibly characterised by the following observations of the same writer:

'Among his majesty's works is a small collection of po-etry. Like several of his subjects, our royal author has condescended to apologize for its imperfections, as having been written in his youth, and his maturer age being other-wise occupied. So that (to employ his own language) when his ingyne and age could, his affaires and fascherio would not permit him to correct them, scarslie but at stolen moments, he having the leisure to blenk upon any paper." When James sent a present of his harangues, turned into Latin, to the protestant princes in Europe, it is not unestertaining to observe in their answers of compliments and thanks, how each endeavoured of insinuate that he had read them, without positively asserting it! Buchanan, when asked how he came to make a pedant of his royal upil, answered, that it was the best he could make of him. pupil, answered, that it was the best story of his tutelage, which shows Buchanan's humour, and the veneration of

which shows Buchanan's humour, and the veneration of others for royalty. 'The young king being one day at play with his fellow pupil, the master of Erskine, Buchanan was reading, and desired them to make less noise. As they disregarded his admonition, he told his majesty, if he did not hold his tongue, he would certainly whip his breech. The king replied, he would be glad to see who would bell the cat, alluding to the fable. Buchanan lost his temper, and throwing his book from him, gave his majesty a sound flogging. The old Countess of Mar rushed into the room, and taking the king in her arms, asked how he desert room, and taking the king in her arms, asked how he dared to lay his hands on the lord's annointed ? Madam, replied the elegant and immortal historian, I have whipped his a—, you may kiss it if you please!

Many years after this was published, I discovered a curious anecdote :-- Even so late as when James I was seated on the throne of England, once the appearance of his frowning tutor in a dream greatly agitated the king, who in vain attempted to pacify his illustrious pedagogue in this portentous vision. Such was the terror which the remem-

brance of this inexorable republican tutor had left on the imagination of his royal pupil.\*

James I, was suddenly a zealous votary of literature; his wish was sincere, when at viewing the Bodleian Library at Oxford, he exclaimed, 'were I not a king I would be an university man; and if it were so that I must be a prisoner, if I might have my wish, I would have no other prison than this library, and be chained together with these seed author.' these good authors."

Hume has informed us, that 'his death was decent. The following are the minute particulars; I have drawn them from an imperfect manuscript collection, made by the celebrated Sir Thomas Browne:

'The lord keeper, on March 22, received a letter from the court, that it was frared his majesty's sickness was dangerous to death; which fear was more confirmed, for he, meeting Dr Harvey in the road, was told by him that the king used to have a beneficial evacuation of nature, a sweating in his left arm, as helpful to him as any fontainel could be, which of late failed.

'When the lord keeper presented himself before him, he moved to cheerful discourse, but it would not do. He staid by his bed-side until midnight. Upon the consultations of the physicians in the morning he was out of comfort, and by the prince's leave told him, kneeling by his pallet, that his days to come would be but few in this world,
—"I am satisfied," said the king; but pray you assist me to make me ready for the next world, to go away hence for Christ, whose mercies I call for and hope to find."

'From that time the keeper never left him, or put off his cloaths to go to bed. The king took the communion, and

\* See the manuscript letter whence I drew this curiou: 's formation in 'An Inquiry into the Literary and Political Character of James I. p. 61, gittzed by

professed he died in the bosom of the Church of England, whose doctrine he had defended with his pen, being per-suaded it was according to the mind of Christ, as he should shortly answer it before him.

'He staid in the chamber to take notice of every thing the king said, and to repulse those who crept much about her door, and into the chamber; they were for the nust addicted to the Church of Rome. Being rid of them, be continued in prayer, while the king lingered on, and at last shut his eyes with his own hands.'

Thus in the full powers of his faculties, a timorous prince encountered the horrors of dissolution. Religion

rendered cheerful the abrupt night of futurity; and what can philosophy do more, or rather can philosophy do as much?

I proposed to have examined with some care the works of James I,—but that uninviting task has been now post-poned till it is too late. As a writer his works may not be valuable, and are infected with the pedantry and the su-persition of the age; yet I suspect that James was not that degraded and feeble character in which he ranks by the contagious voice of criticism. He has had more critics than readers. After a great number of acute observations and witty allusions, made extempore, which we find continually recorded of him by contemporary writers, and some not friendly to him, I conclude that he possessed a great promptness of wit, and much solid judgment and acute ingenuity. It requires only a little labour to prove

That labour I have since zealously performed. This article, composed thirty years ago, displays the effects of first impressions, and popular clamours. About ten years I suspected that his character was grossly injured, lately I found how it has suffered from a variety of detery 1 100001 now a new source in the control of more than twenty years; and his talents were of a higher order than the calumnies of the party who degraded him have than the calumnes of the party who degraded him have allowed a common inquirer to discover. For the rest I must refer the reader to 'An Inquiry into the Literary and Political Character of James I; where, though I have there introduced a variety of irrelevant topics, the reader may find many correctives for this article.

### GENERAL MONE AND HIS WIFE.

From the same as collection of Sir Thomas Browne. I shall rescue another anecdote, which has a tendency to show that it is not advisable to permit ladies to remain at home, when political plots are to be secretly discussed. And while it displays the treachery of Monk's wife, it will also appear that, like other great revolutionists, it was ambition that first induced him to become the reformer he

pretended to be.

Monk gave fair promises to the Rump, but last agreed with the French Ambassador to take the government on himself; by whom he had a promise from Mazarin of assistance from France. This bargain was struck late at night: but not so secretly but that Monk's wife, who had sted herself conveniently behind the hangings, finding what was resolved upon, sent her brother Clarges away smmediately with notice of it to Sir A. A. She had promised to watch her husband, and inform Sir A. how matters went. Sir A. caused the Council of state, whereof he was a member, to be summoned, and charged Monk that he was playing false: The general insisted that he was true to his principles, and firm to what he had promised, and that he was ready to give them all satisfaction. Sir A. told him if he were sincere he might remove all scruples, and should instantly take awake their commissions from such and such men in his army, and appoint others, and that before he left the room. Monk consented; a great part of the commissions of his officers were changed, and Sir Edward Harley, a member of the council, and then present, was made governor of Dunkirk, in the room
of Sir William Lockhart; the army ceased to be at
Monk's devotion; the Ambassador was recalled, and broke his heart.'

Such were the effects of the infidelity of the wife of General Monk!

# PHILIP AND MARY.

Houseaie in his Memoires, vol. i, p. 261, has given the following curious particulars of this singular union:

'The second wife of Philip was Mary Queen of Eng-

land a virtuous princess (Houssale was a good catholic,) but who had neither youth nor beauty. This marriage

was as little happy for the one as for the other. The hus-band did not like his wife, although she doted on him; and the English hated Philip still more than he hated them, Silhon says, that the rigour which he exercised in England against heretics, partly hindered Prince Carlos from succeeding to that crown, and for which purpose Mary had invited him in case she died childless!—But no historian speaks of this pretended inclination, and is it probable that Mary ever thought proper to call to the succession of the English throne the son of the Spanish monarch? This marriage had made her nation detest her, and in the last years of her life she could be little satisfied with him from his marked indifference for her. She well knew that the Parliament would never consent to exclude her sister Elizabeth, whom the nobility loved for being more friendly to the new religion, and more hostile to the house of Austria.

In the Cottonian Library, Vespasian, F. III, is pre-served a note of instructions in the hand-writing of Queen Mary, of which the following is a copy. It was, probably, written when Philip was just seated on the English throne.

'Instructions for my lorde Previsel.
'Firste, to tell the Kinge the whole state of this realme, wt all thyngs appartaynyng to the same, as myche as ye knowe to be trewe.

' Seconde, to obey his commandment in all thyngs.' 'Thyrdly, m all things he shall aske your aduyse to declare your opinion as becometh a faythful conceyllour to do.
'Marye the Quene.'

Houssaie proceeds: 'After the death of Mary, Philip sought Elizabeth in marriage; and she, who was yet unfixed at the beginning of her reign, amused him with hopes. But as soon as abe unmasked herself to the Pope, she laughed at Philip, telling the Duke of Feria, his ambassador, that her conscience would not permit her to

marry the husband of her sister.'

This monarch, however, had no such scruples. Incest appears to have had in his eyes peculiar charms; for he offered himself three times to three different sisters-inlaw. He seems also to have known the secret of getting quit of his wives when they became inconvenient. In state matters he spared no one whom he feared; to them he sacrificed his only son, his brother, and a great number

of princes and ministers.

It is said of Philip, that before he died he advised his son to make peace with England, and war with the other powers. Pacem cum Anglo, bellum cum reliquis. Queen powers. Pacem cum Angio, bettum cum resquest. Successive Elizabeth, and the ruin of his invincible fleet, physicked his phrensy into health, and taught him to fear and respect that country which he thought he could have made a province of Spain!

On his death-bed he did every thing he could for sal-

vation. The following protestation, a curious morsel of bigotry, he sent to his confessor a few days before he died:

Father confessor! as you occupy the place of God, I protest to you that I will do every thing you shall say to be necessary for my being saved; so that what I omit doing will be a protest to you have the I will be a constituted by the same that the will be placed to your account, as I am ready to acquit myself of all that shall be ordered to me.'

Is there in the records of history a more glaring instance of the idea which a good catholic attaches to the power of a confessor than the present authentic example? The most licentious philosophy seems not more dangerous than a religion whose votary believes that the accumulation of crimes can be dissipated by the breath of a few orisons, and which, considering a venal priest to occupy the place of God, can traffic with the divine power at a very moderate price.

After his death a Spanish grandee wrote with a coal on the chimney-piece of his chamber the following epitaph, which ingeniously paints his character in four verses:

> Biendo moco luxurioso , Biendo hombre, fue cruel ; Biendo viejo, condicioso ; Que se puede esperar del ? In youth he was luxurious; In manhood he was cruel In old age he was avaricious; What could be hoped from him?

#### CHARLES THE FIRST.

Of his romantic excursion into Spain for the Infanta. many curious particulars are scattered amongst foreign writers, which display the superstitious prejudices which prevailed on this occasion, and, perhaps, develope the mysterious politics of the courts of Spain and Rome.

Cardinal Gastano, who had long been nuncio in Spain, observes, that the people, accustomed to revere the inquisition as the oracle of divinity, abhorred that proposal of marriage of the Infanta with an heretical prince; but that the king's council, and all wise politicians, were desirous of its accomplishment. Gregory XV held a consultation of cardinals, where it was agreed that the just apprehension which the English catholice entertained of being more cruelly persecuted, if this marriage failed, was a sufficient reason to justify the pope. The dispensation was therefore immediately granted, and sent to the nuncio of Spain, with orders to inform the Prince of Wales, in case of rupture, that no impediment of the marriage proceeded from the court of Rome, who, on the contrary, had expedited the dispensation.

The prince's excursion to Madrid was, however, universally blamed, as being inimical to state interests. Nani, author of a history of Venice, which, according to his disgressive manner, is the universal history of his times, has noticed this affair. 'The people talked, and the English murmured more than any other nation to see the only son of the king, and heir of his realms, venture on so long a ot the king, and heir of his realms, venture on so long a voyage, and present himself rather as a hostage than a husband to a foreign court, which so widely differed in government and religion, to obtain by force of prayer and supplications a woman whom Philip and his ministers made a point of honour and conscience to refuse.' Houssaie observes, 'The English council were against

it, but King James obstinately resolved on it; being overit, but King James obstinately resolved on it; being over-persuaded by Gondomar, the Spanish Ambassador, whose facetious humour and lively repartees greatly delighted him. Gondomar persuaded hims that the presence of the prince would not fail of accomplishing this union, and also the res-titution of the electorate to his son-in-law the palatine. Add to this the Earl of Bristol, the English ambassador extraordinary at the court of Madrid, finding it his interest, and a sensated to his majests, that the success was seenwrote repeatedly to his majesty that the success was certain if the prince came there, for that the Infanta would be charmed with his personal appearance and polished man-ners. It was thus that James, seduced by these two am-bassadors, and by his paternal affection for both his chil-dren, permitted the Prince of Wales to travel into Spain.' This account differs from Clarendon.

Wicquefort says, that James in all this was the dupe of Gondomar, who well knew the impossibility of this marriage, which was alike inimical to the interests of politics and the inquisition. For a long time he amused his ma-jesty with hopes, and even got money for the household expenses of the future queen. He acted his part so well, that the King of Spain recompensed the knave, on his re-turn, with a seat in the council of state.' There is pre-served in the British Museum a considerable series of letters which passed between James I, and the Duke of Buckingham and Charles, during their residence in Spain.

I shall glean some further particulars concerning this mysterious affair from two English contemporaries, Howel and Wilson who wrote from their own observations. Howel had been employed in this projected match, and resided during its negotiation at Madrid.

Howel describes the first interview of Prince Charles and the Infanta. He says, 'The Infanta wore a blue riband about her arm, that the prince might distinguish her, and as soon as she saw the prince her colour rose very high.' Wilson informs us that 'two days after their interview the prince was invited to run at the ring, where his fair mistress was a spectator, and to the glory of his fortune, and the great contentment both of himself and the lookers on, he took the ring the very first course.' Howel, writing from Madrid, says 'The people here do mightily writing from Friadric, says I me people here to migning magnify the gallantry of the journey, and cry out that he deserved to have the Infanta thrown into his arms the first night he ame. The people appear, however, some time after to doubt if the English had any religion at all. Again, 'I have seen the prince nave his eyes immovably fixed upon the Infanta half an hour together in a thoughtful speculative posture.' Olivares, who was no friend to this match, coarsely observed that the prince watched her as a cat does a mouse. Charles indeed acted every thing that a lever in one of the old romances could have done. He once teapt over the walls of her garden, and only retired by the entreaties of the old marquis who then guarded her, and who, falling on his knees, solemnly protested that if the prince spoke to her his head would answer for it.

He watched hours in the street to meet with her; and Wilson says he gave such liberal presents to the court, as well as Buckingham to the Spanish beauties, that the Lord Treasure Middlesex complained repeatedly of their waste-

ful prodigality.

Let us now observe by what mode this match was con sented to by the courts of Spain and Rome. Walson in-forms us that Charles agreed 'That any one should freely propose to him the arguments in favour of the catholic re injury of the arguments in tayout of the Califolds re-ligion, without giving any impediment; but that he weald never, directly or indirectly, permit any one to speak to the Infanta against the same. They probably had tampered with Charles concerning his religion. A letter of Gregory XV to him is preserved in Wilson's life. Olivares said AV to finite by preserved in vision's inc. Oracres man to Buckingham, you gave me some assurance and hope of the prince's turning cathelic. The duke roundly answered that it was false. The Spanish minister, confounded at the bluntness of our English duke, broke from him in a violent rage, and lamented that state matters would not suffer him to do himself justice. This insult was never forgiven: and some time afterwards he attempted to research himself on Ruckingham he endeavoring to prevenge himself on Buckingham, by endeavoring to per-suade James that he was at the head of a conspiracy against him.

We hasten to conclude these anecdotes not to be found in the pages of Hume and Smollett. Wilson says that both kingdoms rejoiced. Preparations were made in England to entertain the Infanta; a new church was built at St James's, the foundation-stone of which was laid by the Spanish ambassador, for the public exercise of her religion; her portrait was multiplied in every corner of the town; such as hoped to flourish under her eye suddenly began to be powerful. In Spain (as Wilson quaintly exbegan to be powerful. In Spain (as we as much courted as presses himself) the substance was as much courted as the shadow here. Indeed the Infanta, Howel tells us, was applying hard to the English language, and was already called the Princess of England. To conclude, Charles complained of the repeated delays; and he, and the Spanish court, parted with a thousand civilities. The the Spanish court, parted with a thousand civilities. The Infanta however observed, that had the prince loved her, he would not have quitted her.'

How shall we dispet those clouds of mystery with which politics have covered this strange transaction? It appears that James had in view the restoration of the Palatinate to his daughter, whom he could not effectually assist; that the court of Rome had speculations of the most dangerous tendency to the Protestant religion; that the marriage was broken off by that personal hatred which existed between Olivares and Buckingham; and that, if there was any si cerity existing between the parties concerned, it rested with the Prince and the Infanta, who were both youthful and romantic, and were but two beautiful ivory balls in the

hands of great players.

# DUER OF BUCKINGHAM.

The Duke of Buckingham, in his bold and familiar manner, appears to have been equally a favourite with James I, and Charles I. He behaved with singular indiscretion both at the courts of France and Spain.

Various anecdotes might be collected from the men writers of those countries, to convince us that our court was always little respected by its ill choice of this ambassador. His character is hit off by one master-stroke from the pen-cil of Hume; 'He had,' says this penetrating observer of men, 'English familiarity and French levity:' so that he was in full possession of two of the most offensive qualities an ambassador can possess.

Sir Henry Wotton has written an interesting life of our duke. At school his character fully discovered itself, even at that early period of life. He would not apply to any serious studies, but excelled in those lighter qualifications adapted to please in the world. He was a graceful horse-man, musician, and dancer. His mother withdrew him from school at the early age of thirteen, and he soon befrom school at the early age of thireces, and the soon oc-came a domestic favourite. Her fondness permitted him to indulge in every caprice, and to cultivate those egreea-ble talents which were natural to him. His person was beautiful, and his manners insinuating. In a word, he was adapted to become a courtier. The fortunate opportunity soon presented itself; for James saw him, and invited him to court, and showered on him, with a prodigal hand, the cornucopia of royal patronage.

Houssaie, in his political memoirs, has letailed an ane dote of this duke, only known to the English reader m the general observation of the historian. When he was sent to France, to conduct the Princess Henrietta to the arms of Charles I, he had the insolence to converse with the When he was sent Queen of France, not as an ambassador, but as a lover! The Marchioness of Senecey, her lady of honour, enraged at seeing this conversation continue, seated herself in the arm-chair of the Queen, who that day was confined to her bed; she did this to hinder the insolent duke from approaching the queen, and probably taking other liberties. As she observed that he still persisted in the lover, ' Sir, (she said, in a severe tone of voice,) you must learn to be silent; it m not thus we address the queen of France.

This audacity of the duke is further confirmed by Nani, in his sixth book of the History of Venice; an historian who is not apt to take things lightly. For when Bucking-ham was decrous of once more being ambassador at that court, in 1928, it was signified by the French ambassador, that for reasons used known to himself, his person would not be agreeable to his most Christian majesty. In a romantic threat, the duke exclaimed, he would go and see the queen in spite of the French court: and to this petty af-fair is to be ascribed the war between the two nations!

The Marshal de Bossompiere, in the journal of his em-bassy, affords another instance of his 'English familiarity.' He says, 'The king of England gave me a long audience, and a very disputatious one. He put himself in a passon, while I, without losing my respect, expressed inyself freely. The Duke of Buckingham, when he observed the

freely. The Duke of Buckingham, when he observed the hing and myself very warm, leapt suddenly betwint his ma-jesty and me, exclaiming, I am come to set all to rights betwint you, which I think is high time.' Cardinal Richelieu hated Buckingham as sincerely as did the Spaniards Olivares. This enmity was apparently owing to the cardinal writing to the duke without leaving any space open after the title of Monsieur; the duke, to show his exactly resturned his answer in the same. show his equality, returned his answer in the same ' paper-From such petty circumstances many wars have taken their source.

This ridiculous circumstance between Richelieu and Buckingham reminds me of a similar one, which happened to two Spanish lords:—One signed at the end of his letter, E. Marques (THE Marquis) as if the title had been peculiar to himself for its excellence. His national vanity received a dreadful reproof from his correspondent, who, jealous of his equality, signed OTRO Marques (AROTHER Morquis.)

An assecdote given by Sir Henry Wotton offers a characteristic trait of Charles and his favourite:

'They were now entered into the deep time of Lent, and could get no flesh into their inns; whereupon fell out a pleasant passage (if I may insert by the way among more serious:)—There was near Bayon a herd of goats with their young ones; on which sight Sir Richard Graham (master of the horse to the marquis) tells the marquis he could map one of the kids, and make some shift to carry him close to their lodgings; which the prince overhearing, "Why, Richard," says he, "do you think you may prac-tise here your old tricks again upon the borders?" Upon the here your old tricks again upon the borders I". Upon which word they first gave the goat-herd good contentment, and then while the marquis and his servants, being both on foot, were chasing the kid about the flock, the prince from horseback killed him in the head with a Scotish pistol. Let this serve for a journal parenthesis which yet may show how his highness, even in such light and sportful damage, had a noble sense of just dealing.

#### THE DEATH OF CHARLES IX.

Dr Cayet is an old French controversial writer, but is better known in French literature as an historian. His Trenelogie Novemaire is full of anecdotes unknown to other writers. He collected them from his own observations, for he was under preceptor to Henry IV. The dreadful sensuare of St Bartholomew took place in the reign of Charles IX; on which occasion the English court went into mourning. The singular death of Charles has been into mourning. The singular death of Control of Divine regarded by the Huguenots as an interposition of Divine his blood, which burst from his The horrors of this miserable prince on his dying are forcibly depicted by the anecdotes I am now col lecting. I shall promise, however, that Charles was a more instrument in the hands of his mother, the political and comel Catherine of Medicis.

Or Cayet, with honest naiveté, thus relates what he been to have passed a few hours before his death.

'King Charles, feeling himself near his end, after hav-

ing passed some time without pronouncing a word, said, as he turned himself on one side, and as if he seemed to awake, "Call my brother!" The queen mother was present, who immediately sent for the Duke of Anlengon (who was afterwards Henry III.) The king perceiving him, turned his back and again said, "Let my brother come!" The queen his mother replied, "Sir, I do not know whom you mean; here is your brother." The king was displeased, and said, "Let them bring my brother the King of Navarre; it is he who is my brother." The queen mother observing the dying monarch's resolute order, sent for him; but, for reasons known only to herself, she commanded the captain of the guards to conduct him under the vaults. They went to the King of Navarre, and desired him to come and speak to the king; at that moment, this prince has since repeatedly said, he felt a shuddering and apprehension of death so much that he would not go. But King Charles persisting on his coming, the queen mother assured him that he should receive no injury. In this promise,
however, he put little trust. He went, accompanied by
the Viscount D'Auchy, on whose word he chief relied. Having, however, observed under these vaults a great number of halberdiers and arquebusiers in ranks, he would have returned, when the viscount and the captain re-assured him that no harm should happen to him. sured him that no narm should happen to him. I he sou-diers bowed, and their behaviour was respectful. By a private staircase he entered the chamber of the king, who, immediately on perceiving him, turned towards him, and stretched out his arms. The King of Navarre was affectstretched out his arms. In a hing of Navarre was ansested; he sighed and wept, and fell on his knees at the side of the bed. Charles embraced, and having kissed him, said, "My brother, you loss a good master and a good friend. I know it is not you who occasions me so much trouble; had I believed what they said, you would not have been alive; but I have always loved you. It is to you alone I trust my wife and daughter; carnestly de I recommend them to your care. Do not trust the queen, but God protect you!"

'The queen mother here interrupted him, "Ah, sir! de not say that "—" Yes, madam, I must say it; it is the truth. Believe me, my brother; love me; assist my wife and daughter, and implore God for mercy on me. Adieu, my brother, adieu." The King of Navarre remained till it melieves assisted.

his majesty expired.

The following minute particulars are drawn from the journal of Pierre de L'Etoille. In the simplicity of his narration, so pleasing in the old writers, the narra and the monarch; the religious remorse of the one, and the artless consolation of the other, become interesting objects,

'King Charles, two days before his death, having called for Mazille, his chief physician, and complaining of the pains he suffered, asked him if it was not possible that he, and so many other celebrated physicians that were in his realms, could give some alleviation to his disorder; " for I am," said he, "cruelly and horridly tormented." To which Mazzille replied, that whatever had depended on them had been tried, but that in truth God only could be the sovereign physician in such complaints. "I believe," sovereign physician in such complaints. sovereign physician in such complaints. "I believe," said the king, that "what you say is true, and that you know nothing/else. Draw from me my custods (or large cap) that I may try to rest." Mazzille withdrew, and left orders that all should leave the king except three, viz. La Tour, St Pris, and his nurse, whom his majesty greatly loved, although she was a Huguenot. As she had just seated loved, although she was a Huguenet. As she had just seased herself on a coffer, and began to doze, she heard the king groan bitterly, weeping and sighing; she then approached the bed softly, and drawing away his custode, the king said to her, giving vent to a heavy sigh, and shedding tears plentifully, innounce that they interrupted his discourse—"Ah! my dear nurse! my beloved woman, what blood!"

Ah! my dear nurse! my beloved woman, what blood! what murders! Ah! I have followed wicked edvice! O my God! pardon me, and be merciful. I know not where If am, they have made me so perplexed and agitated. How will all this end?—What shall I de? I am lost forever! I know it."—Then the nurse thus addressed him:—"Sire, be the murders on those who forced you to order them; your majesty could not help it, and since you never con-sented, and now regret them, believe God will never impute them to you, and will cover them with the mantle of justice of his Son, to whom alone you should look for aid, Ah! for the honour of God, let your majesty cease from this weeping." Having said this, she rose for a handkerchief, for his was drenched with tears; Charles having taken it from her, made a sign that she should retire and leave him to repose. Digitized by GOOSIG

The dreadful narrative of the massacre of St Bartholo-mew is detailed in the history of De Thou; and the same scene is painted in glowing, though in faithful colours, by Voltaire in the Henriade.—Charles, whose last miserable Voltaire in the Henriade.—Charles, whose last miserable moments we come from contemplating, when he observed several fugitive Huguenots about his palace, in the norming after the massacre of 30,000 of their friends, took a fewling piece and repeatedly fired at them.

Such was the effect of religion operating, perhaps not an amalignant, but on a feeble mind!

#### BOYAL PROMOTIONS.

If the golden gate of preferment is not usually opened to men of real merit, persons of no worth have entered it in a most extraordinary manner.

Chevreau informs us that the Sultan Osman having observed a gardener planting a cabbage with some pecu-Ear dexterity, the manner so attracted his imperial eye that he raised him to an office near his person, and short-ly afterwards he rewarded the planter of cabbages by cre-

ating him beglerbeg or viceroy of the Isle of Cyprus!

Marc Antony gave the house of a Roman citizen to a Marc Antony gave the nouse of a Koman Cuizen to a cook, who had prepared for him a good supper. Many have been raised to extraordinary preferment by capricious monarchs for the sake of a jest. Lewis XI promoted a poor priest whom he found sleeping in the porch of a church, that the proverb might be verified, that to lucky men good fortunes will come even when they are asleep! Our Henry VII made a viceroy of Ireland if not for the sake of, at least with a clench. When the king was told that all Ireland could not rule the Earl of Kildare, he said, then shall this earl rule all Ireland.

It is recorded of Henry VIII that he raised a servant to a considerable dignity, because he had taken care to have a roasted boar prepared for him, when his majesty happened to be in the humour of feasting on one; and the of Sugar-loaf-court, in Leadenhall-street, was probably derived from another piece of magnificence of this mon-arch: the widow of a Mr Cornwallis was rewarded by the gift of a dissolved priory there situated, for some fine puddings with which she had presented his majesty!

When Cardinal de Monte was elected pope, before he left the conclave he bestowed a cardinal's hat upon a ser-

vant whose chief merit consisted in the daily attentions he

paid to his holiness's monkey!

Louis Barbier owed all his good fortune to the familiar knowledge he had of Rabelais. He knew his Rabelais by heart. This served to introduce him to the Duke of Ornears. A his served to introduce him to the Duke of Or-leans, who took great pleasure in reading that author. It was for this he gave him an abbey, and he was gradually promoted till he became a cardinal.

George Villiers was suddenly raised from a private station, and loaded with wealth and honours by James the first merely for his personal beauty. Almost all the favourites of James became so from their handsomeness.

M. De Chamillart, minister of France, owed his promo-tion merely to his being the only man who could beat Louis XIV at billiards. He retired with a pension after

ruining the finances of his country.

The Duke of Luines was originally a country lad, who insinuated himself into the favour of Louis XIII then young, by making bird-traps (pic grieches) to catch sparrows. It was little expected, (says Voltaire,) that these puerile amusements were to be terminated by a most sanguinary revolution. De Luines, after causing his patron the Marshal of Ancre to be assessinated, and the queen mother to be imprisoned, raised himself to a title and the

most tyrannical power.

Sir Walter Raleigh owed his promotion to an act of gallantry to Queen Elizabeth, and Sir Christopher Hatton owed his preferment to his dancing: Queen Elizabeth, observes Granger, with all her sagscity could not see the future lord chancellor in the fine dancer. The same writer says, ' Nothing could form a more curious collection of memoirs than anecdotes of preferment. Could the secret history of great men be traced, it would appear that merit is rarely the first step to advancement. It would much oftener be found to be owing to superficial qualifications, and even vices.

# MODILITY.

Francis the First was accustomed to say, that when the nobles of the kingdom came to court, they were re-served by the world as so many little kings; that the day after they were only beheld as so many princes; but on

the third day they were merely considered as so me gentlemen, and were confounded among the crowd courtiers.—It was supposed that this was done with a p litical view of humbling the proud nobility; and for this reason Henry IV frequently said aloud, in the presence of the princes of the blood, We are all gentlemen.

the princes of the blood, We are all gentlemen.

It is recorded of Philip the Third of Spain, that while
he exacted the most punctilious respect from the granders,
he saluted the peasants. He would never be addressed
but on the knees; for which he gave this artful excuse,
that as he was of low stature, every one would, have appeared too high for him. He showed himself rarely even
to his granders, that he might the better support his kanglitiness and repress their pride. He also affected to speak
to them he helf events; and commanded them if them did to them by half words; and reprimanded them if they did not guess at the rest. In a word, he omitted nothing that could mortify his nobility.

# MODES OF SALUTATION, AND AMICABLE CEREMONIES, OBSERVED IN VARIOUS NATIONS.

When men writes the philosophical compiler of L'Espra des Usages et des Coutumes,' salute each other in an am cable manner, it signifies little whether they move a par-ticular part of the body, or practise a particular ceremony. In these actions there must exist different customs. Every nation imagines it employs the most reasonable once ; be all are equally simple, and none are to be treated as ridi-

This infinite number of ceremonies may be reduced to two kinds; to reverences or salutations; and to the touch of some part of the human body. To bend and prostrate one's self to express sentiments of respect, appears to be a natural motion; for terrified persons throw themselves on the earth when they adore invisible beings: and the af-fectionate touch of the person they salute is an expression of tenderness.

As nations decline from their ancient simplicity, much farce and grimace are introduced. Superstition, the manners of a people, and their situation, influence the modes of salutation; as may be observed from the instances we collect.

Modes of salutation have sometimes very different characters, and it is no uninteresting speculation to examine acters, and it is no uninteresting speculation to examine their shades. Many display a refinement of delicacy, while others are remarkable for their slauplicity or for their sensibility. In general, however, they are frequently the same in the infancy of nations, and in more pointed societies. Respect, humility, fear, and esteem, are expressed much in a similar manner, for these are the natural consequences of the organization of the body.

These demonstrations become in time cally

These demonstrations become in time only empty civilities which signify nothing; we shall notice what they

were originally, without reflecting on what they are.

The first nations have no peculiar modes of salutation; they know no reverences or other compliments, or they despise and disdain them. The Greenlanders laugh when they see an European uncover his head, and bend his body before him whom he calls his superior.

The Islanders near the Philippines, take the hand or foot of him they salute, and with it they gently rub their face. or nim they saulte, and with it they gettly run thest race.

The Laplanders apply their nose strongly against that of
the person they salute. Dampier says, that at New
Guinea they are satisfied to put on their heads the leaves
of trees, which have ever passed for symbols of friendship
and peace. This is at least a picturesque salute.

Other salutations are very incommodious and painsful; it

requires great practice to enable a man to be polite in an island situated in the straits of the Sound. Houtman tells island situated in the straits of the Sound. Incuman tells us they saluted him in this grotosque manner: "They raised his left foot, which they passed gently over the right leg, and from thence over his face." The inhabitants of the Philippines use a most complex attitude; they bend their body very low place their hands on their cheeks, and raise at the same time one foot in the air with their

An Ethiopian takes the robe of another, and ties it about his own waist, so that he leaves his friend half naised. This custom of undressing on these occasions takes other forms; sometimes men place themselves maked before the person whom they salute; it is to show their humility, and that they are unworthy of appearing in his presence. This was practised before Sir Joseph Ranks, when he received the visit of two femals Otaheitans. Their innocent simplicity, no doubt, did not appear immedest in the eyes of the virtuess. An Ethiopian takes the robe of another, and ties it the eyes of the virtues by GOOGLE

Sometimes they only undress partially. The Japanese sair take off a slipper: the people of Arracan their sands in the street, and their stockings in the house.

In the progress of time it appears servile to uncover onesel. The grandees of Spain claim the right of appear-ing covered before the king, to show that they are not so much subjected-to him as the rest of the nation; and (this writer truly observes (we may remark that the English do not succeer their heads so much as the other nations of Europe. Mr Hobbouse observes, that uncovering the head, with the Turks, is a mark of indecent familiarity; in their mesques the Franks must keep their hats on. The Jewish custom of wearing their hats in their synagogues is, doubtien the same oriental custom.

In a word there is not a nation, observes the humorous Moraigne, even to the people who when they salute turn their lacks on their friends, but that can be justified in

their contones.

The agrees are lovers of ludicrous actions, and hence all their ceremonies seem farcical. The greater part pull the fagers till they crack. Snelgrave gives an odd representation of the embassy which the king of Dahomy sent to kin. The ceremonies of salutation consisted in the most ridiculous contortions. When two negro monarchs visit, they embrace in snapping three times the middle

Barbarous nations frequently imprint on their salutations the dispositions of their character. When the inhahitants of Carmena (says Athenesus) would show a pocuhar mark of estoem, they breathed a vein, and presented for the beverage of their friend the blood as it issued. The Franks tore the hair from their head, and presented it to the person they saluted. The slave cut his hair, and

offered it to his master.

The Chinese are singularly affected in their personal critics. They even calculate the number of their reverences. These are the most remarkable postures. The men move their hands in an affectionate manner, while they are joined together on the breast, and bow their head a little. If they respect a person, they raise their hands inded, and then lower them to the earth in bending the body. If two persons meet after a long separation, they both fall on their snees and bend the face to the earth, and this caremony they repeat two or three times. Surely we may differ here with the sentiment of Montaigne, and confess this ceremony to be ridiculous. It arises from their national affectation. They substitute artificial ceremonies for natural actions.

Their expressions mean as little as their ceremonies. If a Chinese is asked how he finds himself in health? He answers, Very well; thanks to your abundant felicity. If they would tell a man that he looks well, they say, Prosperity is painted on your face; or, Your air announces your

keppiness.
If you render them any service, they say, My thanks any warranter tuern any service, tuey say, my manuer shell be immerial. If you praise them, they answer, How shell I dow to persuade myself of what you say of me? If you do not with them, they tell you at parting, We have not breate you with sufficient distinction. The various titles they arent for each other it would be impossible to trans-

It is to be observed that all these answers are prescribed by the Chinese ritual, or Academy of Compliments.
There, are determined the number of bows; the expressions to be employed; the genuflexions, and the inclinations which are to be made to the right or left hand; the substations of the master before the chair where the stranger is to be seated, for he salutes it most profoundly, and whee the dust away with the skirts of his robe; all these and other things are noticed, even to the silent gestures by which you are entreated to enter the house. The y water you are entreated to enter the house. The hower can of people are equally nice in these punctilies; and ambassadors pass forty days in practising them before they are establed to appear at court. A tribunal of ceremonias has been erected; and every day very odd detrees are issued, to which the Chinese most religiously relative.

The marks of honour are frequently arbitrary; to be The marks of monour are irrequently architery; to be maked, with us is a mark of respect and familiarity; to stand up, that of respect. There are countries, however, is with princes will only be addressed by persons who are seated, and it is considered as a favour to be permitted to stand in their presence. This custom prevails in despite countries! a despot cannot suffer without diagust in devailed figure of his subjects; he is pleased to bond their bodies with their genius; his presence must lay those who behold him prostrate on the earth: he desires no eagerness, no attention, he would only inspire terror.

#### SINGULARITIES OF WAR.

War kindles enthusiasm, and therefore occasions strange laws and customs. We may observe in it whatever is most noble and heroic mixed with what is most strange and wild. We collect facts, and the reader must draw his own conclusions.

They frequently condemned at Carthage their generals to die after an unfortunate campaign, although they were accused of no other fault. We read in Du Halde that Captain Mancheou, a Chinese, was convicted of giving battle without obtaining a complete victory, and h punished.-With such a perspective at the conclusion of a battle generals will become intrepid, and exert themselves as much as possible, and this is all that is wanted.

When the savages of New France take flight, they pile the wounded in baskets, where they are bound and corded down as we do children in swaddling clothes.—If they should happen to fall into the hands of the conquerors, they would expire in the midst of torments. It is better therefore that the vanquished should carry them away in any manner, though frequently even at the risk of their

The Spartans were not allowed to combat often with the same enemy. They wished not to inure these to bat-tle; and if their enemies revolted frequently, they were

accustomed to exterminate them.

The governors of the Scythian provinces gave annually a feast to those who had valiantly, with their own hands, despatched their enemies. The skulls of the vanquished served for their cups; and the quantity of wine they were allowed to drink was proportioned to the number of skulls they possessed. The youth, who could not yet boast of such martial exploits, contemplated distantly the solemn feast, without being admitted to approach it. This institution formed courageous warriors.

War has corrupted the morals of the people, and has occasioned them to form horrible ideas of virtue. When the Portuguese attacked Madrid, in the reign of Philip V. the courtezans of that city were desirous of displaying their patriotic zeal: those who were most convinced of the envenomed state of their body perfumed themselves, and went by night to the camp of the enemy; the consequence was that in less than three weeks there were more than six thousand Portuguese disabled with venereal maladies.

and the greater part died.

Men have frequently fallen into unpardonable contradictions, in attempting to make principles and laws meet which could never agree with each other. The Jews suffered themselves to be attacked without defending themselves on the Sabbath-day, and the Romans profited by these pious scruples. The council of Trent ordered the body of the constable of Bourbon, who had fought against the Pope, to be dug up, as if the head of the church was not as much subjected to war as others, since he is a temporal prince.

Pope Nicholas, in his answer to the Bulgarians, forbids them to make war in Lent, unless, he prudently adds,

there be an urgent necessity.

### FIRE, AND THE ORIGIN OF FIRE-WORKS.

In the Memoirs of the French Academy, a little essay on this subject is sufficiently curious; the following contain the facts :-

Fire-works were not known to antiquity. It is certainly a modern invention. If ever the ancients employed fires

at their feativals, it was only for religious purposes.

Fire, in primeval ages, was a symbol of respect, or an instrument of terror. In both these ways God manifested instrument of terror. In both these ways God manifested himself to man. In the holy writings he compares himself sometimes to an ardent fire, to display his holiness and his purity; sometimes he renders himself visible under the form of a burning bush, to express himself to be as formidable as a devouring fire: again, he rains sulphur; and often, before he speaks, he attracts the attention of

and often, before no speaks, no answer and the multitude by flashes of lightning.

Figure was worshipped as a divinity by several idolaters: the Platonists confounded it with the heavens, and considered it as the divine intelligence. Sometimes it is a symbol of majesty.—God walked (if we may so express ourselves) with his people, preceded by a pillar of fire; and the monarchs of Assa, according to Herodetus, see manded that such ensigns of their majesty should be carried before them. These fires, according to Quintus Curtius, were considered as holy and eternal, and were carried at the head of their armies on little altars of silver, in the midst of the magi who accompanied them and sang

Fire was also a symbol of majesty amongst the Romans; and if it was used by them in their festivals, it was rather employed for the ceremonies of religion than for a peculiar mark of their rejoicings. Fire was always held to be most proper and holy for sacrifices; in this the Pagans imitated the Hebrews. The fire so carefully preserved by the Vestals was probably an imitation of that which fell from heaven on the victim offered by Aaron, and long afterwards religioshij kept up by the priests. Servius, one of the seven kings of Rome, commanded a great fire of straw to be kindled in the public place of every town in Italy to consecrate for repose a certain day in seed-time, or sowing.

The Greeks lighted lamps at a certain feast held in ho-nour of Minerva, who gave them oil; of Vulcan, who was the inventor of lamps; and of Prometheus, who had rendered them service by the fire which he had stolen from heaven. Another feast to Bacchus was celebrated by a grand nocturnal illumination, in which wine was poured forth profusely to all passess. forth profusely to all passengers. A feast in memory of Ceres, who sought so long in the darkness of bell for her

daughter, was kept by burning a number of torches.

Great illuminations were made in various other meetings; particularly in the Secular Gamles, which lasted three whole nights; and so carefully were they kept up, that these nights had no darkness.

In all their rejoicings the ancients indeed used fires, but they were intended merely to burn their sacrifices, and which, as the generality of them were performed at night, the illuminations served to give light to the ceremonies. Artificial fires were indeed frequently used by them, but

not in public rejoicings: like us, they employed them for military purposes; but we use them likewise successfully

for our decorations and amusement.

From the latest times of paganism to the early ages of Christianity, we can but rarely quote instances of fire lighted up for other purposes, in a public form, than for the ceremonies of religion; illuminations were made at the baptism of princes, as a symbol of that life of light in which they were going to enter by faith; or at the tombs of mar-tyrs, to light them during the watchings of the night. All these were abolished from the various abuses they introduced.

We only trace the rise of feus de joie, or fire works, given merely for amusing spectacles to delight the eye, to the epocha of the invention of powder and cannon, at the close of the thirteenth century. It was these two inven-tions, doubtless, whose effects furnished the idea of all those machines and artifices which form the charms of

To the Florentmes and the Siennese are we indebted not only for the preparation of powder with other ingredi-ents to amuse the eyes, but also for the invention of elevasure of the speciacle. They began their attempts at the feasts of Saint John the Baptist and the Assumption, on wooden edifices, which they adorned with painted statues, from whose mouth and eyes issued a beautiful fire. Callot has engraven numerous specimens of the pageants, to the surface industries of the page in t vomited forth the most amusing fire-work.

This use passed from Florence to Rome, where, at the creation of the popes, they displayed ill minations of handgrenadoes, thrown from the height of a castle. Pyrotechnics from that time have become an art, which, in the de-gree the inventors have displayed ability in combining the powers of architecture, sculpture, and painting, have pro-duced a number of beautiful effects, which even give plea-sure to those who read the descriptions without having be-

held them.

A pleasing account of decorated fire-works is given in the Secret Memoirs of France. In August, 1764, Torre, an Italian artist, obtained permission to exhibit a pyrotech nic operation.-The Parisians admired the variety of the colours, and the ingenious forms of his fire. But this first exhibition was disturbed by the populace, as well as by the apparent danger of the fire, although it was displayed on the Boulevards. In October it was repeated: and proper

precautions having been taken, they admired the beauty of the fire, without fearing it. These artificial fires are described as having been rapidly and splendidly execus The exhibition closed with a transparent trium ophal arch and a curtain illuminated by the same fire, admirably es zas were inscribed, supported by Cupids, with other fans ful embelishments. Among these little pieces of poetr appeared the following one, which ingeniously announce a more perfect exhibition;

Les vents, les frimats, les orages, Eteindront ces feux, pour un tems; Mais, ainsi que les fieurs, avec plus d'avantage, Ils renaitront dans le printems.

The lcy gale, the falling snow, Extinction to these fires shall bring; But, like the flowers, with brighter glow, They shall renew their charms in spring.

The exhibition was greatly improved, according to this romise of the artist. His subject was chosen with much folicity: it was a representation of the forges of Vulcan under Mount Etna. The interior of the mount creed Vulcan and his Cyclops. Venus was seen to descend, and demand of her consort armour for Æneas. opposite to this was seen the palace of Vulcan, which opposite to the same between the passes of virtual, water, presented a deep and brilliant perspective. The labours of the Cyclops produced numberless very happy combinations of artificial fires. The public with pleasing astonishment beheld the effects of the volcano, so admirably adapted to the nature of these fires. At another entertain ment he gratified the public with a representation of Or-pheus and Eurydice in hell; many striking circumstances occasioned a marvellous illusion. What subjects indeed could be more analogous to this kind of fire? And let me ask, what is the reason we do not see these artificial fires display more brilliant effects in London? What man of taste can be gratified with stars, wheels, and rockets?

### HE BIBLE PROHIBITED AND IMPROVED.

The following are the express words contained in the regulation of the popes to prohibit the use of the Bible.

As it is manifest by experience, that if the use of the holy writers is permitted in the vulgar tongue more evil than profit will arise, because of the temerity of man; it is for this reason all bibles are prohibited (prohibestur Biblia) with all their parts, whether they be printed or written in whatever vulgar language scover; as also are prohibited all summaries or abridgments of bibles, or any books of the holy writings, although they should only be historical, and that in whatever vulgar tongue they be written.

It is there also said, 'That the reading the bibles of cat It is there also said, 'I had the reading the number of carrielic editors may be permitted to those by whose persual or power the faith may be spread, and who will not criticise it. But this permission is not to be granted without an express order of the bishop, or the inquisiter, with the advice of the curate and confessor; and their permission must first be had in writing. And he who, without permission, presumes to read the holy writings, or to have them in his possession, shall not be absolved of his sine before he first shall have returned the high to his hishor. shall have returned the bible to his bishop.

A spanish author says, that if a person should come to his bishop to ask for leave to read the bible, with the best intention, the bishop should answer him from Matthew, ch. xx, ver. 20, ' You kneen not what you ask.' And indeed, he observes, the nature of this demand indicates an Asre-

tical disposition.

The reading of the bible was prohibited by Henry VIII, except by those who occupied high offices in the state; a noble lady or gentlewoman might read it in 'their garden or orchard,' or other retired places; but men and women in the lower ranks were positively forbidden to read it, or to have it read to them.

to have it read to thom.

Dr Franklin, in his own Life, has preserved a singular anecdote of the bible being prohibited in England in the time of our true Catholic Mary. His family had then early embraced the reformation; 'They had an English bible, and to conceal it the more securely, they conceived the project of fastening it open with pack-threads across the leaves, on the inside of the lid of a close-stop: When my grandfather wished to read to his family, he severaed the lid of the close-stool upon his knees, and passed the leaves from one side to the other, which were heid down on each by the packthread. One of the children was statimed at the door to give notice if he saw an officer of the limitual Court make his appearance; in that case the lid was restored to its place, with the hible concealed under it as before.

I shall have the reader to make his own reflections on this extraordinary account. He may meditate on what the populatid, and what they probably would have done, had not Luther happily been in a humour to abuse the pope, and begin a Reformation. It would be curious to sketch an account of the probable situation of Europe at the present moment, had the positiffs preserved the singular power of which they had possessed themselves.

It appears by an act dated in 1516, that in those days the bible was called Bibliotheca, that is per emphasim, the Lib-rary. The word library was limited in its signification then to the libbical writings; no other books, compared with the hely writings, appear to have been worthy to rank with them, or constitute what we call a library. We have had several remarkable attempts to re-compose

the bible; Dr Geddes's version is aridly literal, and often indercon by its volgarity; but the following attempts are of a very different kind. Sebastian Castillon, who afterwards changed his name to Castalion, with his accustomed affectation referring to Castalia, the fountain of the Muses -took a very extraordinary liberty with the sacred writings. He fancied he could give the world a more classical version of the hible, and for this purpose introduced phrases and entire sentences from profane writers into the text of holy wit. His whole style is finically quaint, overloaded with pretinesses, and all the ornaments of false tasts. Of the noble simplicity of the scriptures he seems not to have had the remotest conception.

But an attempt by Pere Burruyer is more extraordina-ry; in his *Histoire dis Peuple de Dien*, he has recomposed the Bible as he would have written a fashionable novel. With absurd refinement he conceives that the great legislator of the Hebrews is too barren in his descriptions, too oncise in the events he records, nor is careful to enrich concise in the events he records, nor is careful to enrica-his history by pleasing reflections and interesting conversa-tion-pieces, and hurries on the catastrophes, by which means he omits much entertaining matter: as for instance, in the loves of Joseph and the wife of Potiphar, Moses is very dry and concise, which, however, our Pere Berruyer is not. His histories of Joseph, and of King David, are rehishing morsels, and were devoured eagerly in all the boulders of Peris. Take a specimen of the style. 'Jo-seph combined with a regularity of features, and a brilliand boudoirs of Peris. Take a specimen of the style. 'Joseph combined with a regularity of features, and a brilliant complexion, an air of the noblest dignity; all which contributed to reader him one of the most amiable men in Egypt.' At length 'she declares her passion, and pressed him to answer her. It never entered her mind that the advances are most confidence in the could wave be rejected. Joseph of a woman of her rank could ever be rejected. Joseph at first only replied to all her wishes by his cold embaran-ments. She would not yet give him up. la vain he flies from her: she was too passionate to waste even the mo-ments of his astonishment.' This good father, however, does ample justice to the gallantry of the Patriarch Jacob. He offers to serve Laban seven years for Rachel. 'Nothing is too much,' cries the venerable novelist, 'when one really loves; and this admirable observation he confirms by the facility with which the obliging Rachel allows Leah for one night to her husband! In this manner the patrinor one sight to her husband: In this manner the patriarchs are made to speak in the tone of the tenderest lovers; Judith is a Parissian coquette, Holofernes is rude as a German baros; and their dialogues are tedious with all the reciprocal politesse of metaphysical French lovers! Moses in the desert, it was observed, is precisely as pedantic as Pere Berruyer addressing his class at the university. One cannot but smile at the following expressions: 'By the easy manner in which Gold performed missions: es: By the easy manner in which God performed miracles, one might easily perceive they cost no effort. When he has narrated an 'Adventure of the Patriarchs. when he has narrated an 'Adventure of the Fairnarcas, he proceeds, 'After such an extraordinary, or curious, or interesting adventure, &c.' This good father had caught the language of the beau monde, but with such perfect simplicity that, in employing it on sacred history he was not aware of the ludicrous he was writing.

A Gothic hishop translated the scriptures into the Gothic language, but omitted the Book of Hings! lest the score, of which so much is there recorded, should increase their inclination to fishthms. sheady too prevalent. Jurtin no.

licination to fighting, already too prevalent. Jortin no-fices this castrated copy of the bible in his Remarks on

citetastical History.

As the Bible, in many parts, consists merely of historical seasons, and as too many exhibit a detail of offensive

ones, it has often occurred to the fathers of families, as well as the popes, to prohibit its general reading. Arch-hishop Tillotson formed a design of purifying the historical parts. Since some have given us a family Shakspeare, it were desirable that the same spirit would present us with a Family Bible.

#### ORIGIN OF THE MATERIALS OF WRITING.

From the 'Literary History of France,' by the learned Benedictines, I have collected the chief materials of the present article. It is curious to observe the various substitutes for paper before its discovery.

When the desired wat discovery.

When men had not yet discovered the art of recording events by writing, they planted trees, erected rude altars, or heaps of stone, as remembrances of past events. Her-cules probably could not write when he fixed his famous

The most ancient mode of writing was on bricks, tiles, and oyster-shells, and on tables of stone; afterwards on plates of various materials, on ivery, on barks of trees, on leaner of trees.

Engraving memorable events on hard substances, it has been prettily observed, was giving, as it were speech to rocks and metals. In the book of Job mention is made of writing on stone, on rocks, and on sheets of lead. It was on tables of stone that Moses received the law written by the finger of God himself. Hesiod's works were written on leaden tables : lead was used for writing, and rolled up like a cylinder, as Pliny states. Montfaucon notices a ve ancient book of eight leaden leaves, which on the back had rings fastened by a small leaden rod to keep them to-gether. They afterwards engraved on bronze: the laws gether. They afterwards engraved on mround and of the Cretans were on brunze tables, the Romans etched their public records on brass. The speech of Claudius, engraved on plates of bronze, is yet preserved in the townhall of Lyons, in France. Several bronze tables, with Etruscan characters, have been dug up in Tuscany. The Treaties between the Romans, Spartans, and the Jews were written on brass; and estates, for better security, were made over on this enduring metal. In many cabinets may be found the discharges of soldiers, written on copperplates. This custom has been discovered in India; a hill of seofiment on copper has been dug up near Bengal, dated a century before the birth of Christ.

Among these early inventions many were singularly rude, and miserable substitutes for a better material. In rode, and miserable substitutes for a better material. In the shepherd state they wrote their soags, with thorns and awis on straps of leather, which they wound round their crooks. The Jeclanders appear te have scratched their riskes, a kind of hieroglyphics on walls; and Olof, according to one of the Sagas, built a large house, on the bulks and spars of which he had engraved the history of his own and more ancient times; while another northern here appeared to have had nothing heters than his own sain and pears to have had nothing better than his own chair and bed to perpetuate his own heroic acts on. At the townhall, in Hanover, are kept twelve wooden boards, overlaid with bees'-wax, on which are written the names of owners of houses, but not the names of streets. These wooden manuscripts must have existed before 1423, when Hanover was first divided into streets. Such manuscripts may be found in public collections. This exhibits a very curious, and the rudest state of society. The same even occurred among the ancient Arabs, who, according to the history of Mahomet, seem to have taken the shoulder-bones of sheep, on which they carved remarkable events with a knife, and after tying them with a string they hung these chronicles up in their cabinets.

The laws of the twelve tables which the Romans chiefly copied from the Grecian code were, after they had been approved by the people, engraven on brass; they were melted by lightning, which struck the capitol and con-

\* Specimens of most of these modes of writing may be seen in the British Museum. No. 3478, in the Bloanian library, is a Nabob's letter, on a piece of bark about two yarris long, and richly ornamented with gold. No. 3207, is a book of Merican bleroglyphics painted on bark. In the same collection are various species, many from the Malaber coast and the Essa. The latter writings are chiefly on leaves. There are several copies of Bibles written on palm leaves, still preserved in various collections in Europe. The ancients, doubtless, wroten any leaves they found adapted for the purpose. Hence the leaf of a book, alluding to that of a tree, seems to be derived at the British Museum we have recently received Babylonian tiles, or broken pots, which the people used, and made their contracts of business ea. A custom mentioned in the scriptures. Specimens of most of these modes of writing may be seen

sumed other laws; a loss highly regretted by Augustus. This manner of writing we still retain, for the inscriptions, epitaphs, and other memorials designed to reach

These early inventions led to the discovery of tables of secod; and as cedar has an anti-septic quality from its bitspeed; and as escar has an ann-sepac quanty from its six-terness, they chose this wood for cases or chests to pre-serve their most important writings. The well-known expression of the ancients, when they meant to give the highest eulogium of an excellent work, steedy digna locati, that it was worthy to be written on cedar, alludes to the ed of coder, with which, valuable area of parchment were anointed, to preserve them from corruption and moths. Persius illustrates this in the excellent version of Mr Gifford:

'Who would not leave posterity such rhymes, As cedar oil might keep to latest times!'

They stained materials for writing upon with purple, and rubbed them with exudations from the cedar. The laws of the emperors were published on wooden tables, painted with ceruse; to which custom Horace alludes, Lages inciders ligno. Such tables, now softened into tablets, are still dere ligno. Such tables, now softened into tablets, are sun used, but in general are made of other materials than wood. The same reason for which they preferred the ceder to other wood induced to write on war, which, from its nature, is incorruptible. Men generally used it to write their tors, is incorruptions. Area generally used it with characters on, the better to preserve them; thus Juvenal says, Ceras implere capaces. This thin paste of wax was also used on tablets of wood, that it might more easily admit of erasure.

They wrote with an iron bodkin, as they did on the other substances we have noticed. The stylus was made sharp at one end to write with, and blunt and broad at the other; to deface and correct easily: hence the phrase verters styism, to turn the stylus, was used to express blotting out. But the Romans forbad the use of this sharp instrument, from the circumstance of many persons having used them as daggers. A school-master was killed by the Pugillares or table-books, and the styles of his own scholars. They substituted a stylus made of the bone of a bird, or other animal; so that their writings resembled engravings. they wrote on softer materials, they employed reeds and cames split like our pens at the points, which the orientalists still use to lay their colour or ink neater on the

Naudé observes, that when he was in Italy, about 1642, he saw some of those waxen tablets, called Pugillares, so called because they were held in one hand; and others composed of the barks of trees, which the ancients employed in lice of paper.

On these tablets, or table-books, Mr Astle observes, that the Greeks and Romans continued the use of waxed table-books long after the use of the papyrus, leaves, and skins became common; because they were so convenient for correcting extemporaneous compositions; from these table-books they transcribed their performances correctly into parchment books, if for their own private use; but if for sale, or for the library, the *Librarii*, or scribes, had the effice. The writing on table-books is particularly recommended by Quintilian in the third chapter of the tenth book of his Institutions; because the wax is readily effaced for any corrections : he confesses weak eyes do not see so well on paper, and observes that the frequent necessity of dipping the pen in the inkstand retards the hand, and is but ill suited to the celerity of the mind. Some of these table-books are conjectured to have been large, and perhaps heavy, for in Plautus, a school-boy is represented breaking his master's head with his table-book. According to Cicero, it appears that the critics were accustomed reading their wax manuscripts to notice obscure or vicious phrases by joining a piece of red wax, as we should underscore such by red ink.

Table-books written upon with styles were not entirely laid aside in Chaucer's time, who describes them in his

Sompner's tale.

'His fellow had a staffe tipp'd with horne, A paire of tables all of iverie;

And a pointell polished fetouslie, And wrote alwaies the names, as he stood, Of all folke, that gave hem any good.

By the word per in the translation of the Bible, we must understand an iron style. Table-books of ivory are still used for memoranda, written with black-lead pencils. The Romans used ivory to write the edicts of the senate on,

with a black colour; and the expression of libris sleph tinis, which some authors imagine alludes to books themat for their size were called elephantine, were most probably composed of ivory, the tusk of the elephant; as Romans they were undoubtedly scarce and dear.

The pumics stone was a writing-material of the ancients they used it to smooth the roughness of the parchenest, or

to sharpen their reeds.

In the progress of time the art of writing consisted in painting with different kinds of ink. This novel mode of writing occasioned them to invent other materials proper to receive their writing; the this bark of certain free shaded the control of the control o plants, or linen; and at length, when this was found apt to become mouldy, they prepared the skins of enima Those of asses are still in use; and on those of serpence, &c, were once written the Iliad and Odyssey. The first place where they began to dress these skins was Perges in Asia; whence the Latin name is derived of Pergu or parchinent. These skins are, however, better is in Asia; whence the Laun name is derived of Fogusia-or parchanent. These skins are, however, better known amongst the authors of the purest Latin under the name of membrana; so called from the membranes of various animals of which they were composed. The ancients had parchanents of three different colours, white, yellow, and purple. At Rome white parchment was disliked, because ti was more subject to be soiled than the others, and dam-zled the eye. They generally wrote in letters of gold and silver on purple or violet parchment. This customer continued in the early ages of the church; and copies of the evangelists of this kind are preserved in the British Museum.

When the Egyptians employed for writing the bark of a plant or reed, called papyrus\* or paper-rush, it super-seded all former modes, from its convenience. Formerly seded all former modes, from its convenience. it grew in great quantities on the sides of the Nile. it grew in great quantities on the states of the Nies. I have plant has given its name to our poper, although the latter is now composed of linen or rags, and formerly had been of cotton-wool, which was but brittle and yellow; and improved by using cotton-rags, which they glazed. After the eighth century the papyrus was superseded by parchment. The Chinese make their paper with silk. The use of paper is of great antiquity. It is what the ancient Latinists call charts or chartes. Before the use of parchment and cover passed to the Romans, they used the this ment and paper passed to the Romans, they used the thin peel found between the wood and the bark of trees. This skinny substance they call liber, from whence the Latin word liber, a book, and library and libraries in the Euroword noer, a book, and normy an normina in the Euro-pean languages, and the French lives for book; but we of northern origin derive our book from the Danish beg, the beech-tree, because that being the most pleatiful in Den-mark was used to engrave on. Anciently, instead of fold-ing this bark, this parchment, or paper, as we fold ours, they rolled it according as they wrote on it; and the Latin name which they gave these rolls has passed into our languages as well as the others. We say a volume or volumes, although our books are composed of pages cut and bound together. The books of the ancients on the shelves of their libraries were rolled up on a pin, and placed erect, titled on the outside in red letters, or rubrics, and appeared like a number of small pillars on the shelves.

The ancients were as curious as ourselves in having their books richly conditioned. Propertius describes tablets with gold borders; and Ovid notices their red titles; but in later times, besides the tint of purple with which they tinged their vellum, and the liquid gold which they employ-ed for their ink, they enriched with precious stones the covers of their books. In the early ages of the church they painted on the outside commonly a dying Christ. In the curious library of Mr Douce is a Psalter, supposed once to have apportained to Charlemagne; the velum is purple, and the letters gold. The Eastern nations like-wise tinged their ass with different colours and decorations. Astle possessed Arabian Mss, of which some leaves were of a deep yellow, and others of a filac colour. Sir William Jones describes an oriental acs, in which the name of Mohammed was fancifully adorned with a garland of tulips and carnations, painted in the brightest colours. The favourite works of the Persians are written on fine silky paper, the ground of which is often powdered with gold or silver dust; the leaves are frequently illuminated, and the whole book is sometimes perfumed with essence of roses or sandal wood. The Romans had several sorts of paper to which they had given different names; one was the Charta Augusta, in compliment to the emperer, another Liniana, named after the empress. There was a

\* Of which we have fine specimens at the British Museum.

Charte blance, which obtained its title from its beautiful whiteness, and which we appear to have retained by applying it to a blank sheet of paper which is only signed; Charte blanche. They had also a Charte Nigra painted black, and the letters were in white or other colours.

black, and the letters were in white or other cotours.

Our present paper surpasses all other materials for ease
and convenience of writing. The first paper-mill in England was erected at Dartford, by a German, in 1888, who
was knighted by Elizabeth; but it was not before 1713,
that one Thomas Walkins, a stationer, brought the art of
paper-making to any perfection, and to the industry of
this ighiridated we owe the origin of our numerous papermill. Praces had highest supposing England and Holland. mills. France had hitherto supplied England and Holland. The manufacture of paper was not much encouraged at home, even so late as in 1662; and the following observations by Fuller are curious, respecting the paper of his times. 'Paper participates in some sort of the characters of the country which makes it; the Venetien, being neat, subtile, and court-like; the French, light, slight, and slemer: and the Dutch, thick, corpulent, and gross, sucking up the ink with the sponginess thereof.' He complains that the paper manufacturers were not then expended in our land for paper, out of Italy, France, and Germany, which is made in our nation. To such that the paper manufacturers were not then sufficiently who object that we can never equal the perfection of Vewho object that we can never equal the perfection of Venice-piper, I return, neither can we match the purity of Venice-glasses; and yet many green once are blown in Somers. Our house-span paper might be found heneficial. The present German printing-paper is made so disagreeable both to printers and readers from their paper-manufacturers making many more reams of paper from one owt of trees than Germania.

tries making many more reams or paper from one owe or rage than formerly. Rags are scarce, and German wri-ters, as well as the language, are voluminous. Mr Astle deeply complains of the inferiority of our inlet to those of antiquity; an inferiority productive of the most serious consequences, and which appears to originate merely in negligence. From the important benefits arising to society from the use of ink, and the injuries individuals may suffer from the frauds of designing men, he wishes the designature would frame some new regulations respecting it. The composition of ink is simple, but we possess none equal in beauty and colour to that used by the ancients; the Stron mass written in England exceed in colour any thing of the hind. thing of the kind. The rolls and records from the fifteenth ry to the end of the seventeenth, compared with those of the fifth to the twelfth centuries, show the excellence of the earlier ones, which are all in the finest preservation, while the others are so much defaced, that they are scarcely legible. It is a very serious consideration, in respect to the security of property, that the Records of Parhament, the decisions and adjudications of the courts of usice, coaveyances, wills, testaments, &c, should be written on ink of such durable quality as may best resist the destructive power of time and the elements.

The ink of the ancients had nothing in common with

ours, but the colour and gum. Gall-nuts, copperss, and gum make up the composition of our ink, whereas seet or may-black was the chief ingredient in that of the ancients.

Ink has been made of various colours; we find gold and siver ink, and red, green, yellow, and blue inks; but the black is considered as the best adapted to its purpose.

# AFECDOTES OF EUROPEAN MANNERS.

The following circumstances probably gave rise to the tyramy of the feudal power, and are the facts on which the fections of romance are raised. Castles were erected to repuise the vagrant attacks of the Normans, and in France, from the year 768 to 987, these places disturbed the public repose. The petty despots who raised these castes pillaged whowever negative pillaged who was a part of the petty despots.

the public repose. The petty despots who raised these castes pillaged whoever passed, and carried off the females who pleased them. Rapine, of every kind, were the printings of the foundal lords! Mezeray observes, that it is from these circumstances romancers have invented their takes of lengths errant, monsters, and gioner.

De Saint Poix, in his 'Historical Kasaya,' informs us that 'Women and girls were not in greater security when they passed by abboys. The monks sustained an assault rather than relinquish their pray: if they saw themselves losing ground, they brought to their walls the rolics of sease saint. Then it generally happened that the assailant, suited with awful veneration, retired, and dared not pusse their vengeance. This is the origin of the enchan-

ters, of the enchants: ents, and of the enchanted cestles described in romances.

To these may be added what the author of ' Northern Antiquities, Vol. I, p. 243, writes, that as the walls of the castles ran winding round them, they often called them by a name which signified expents or dragons; and in these were commonly secured the women and young maids of distinction, who were seldom safe at a time when so many bold warriors were rambling up and down in search of adventures. It was this custom which gave occasion to ancient romancers, who knew not bow to describe any thing simple, to invent so many fables concerning princesses of great beauty guarded by dragons.

A singular and barbarous custom prevailed during this

period; it consisted in punishments by mutilation. It became so general that the abbots, instead of bestowing ca-nonical penalties on their monks, obliged them to cut off

velly, is his History of France, has described two festivals, which gave a just idea of the manners and devotion of a later period, 1230, which like the ancient mysteries consisted of a mixture of farce and piety; religion in fact was their amusement! The following one existed even to the reformation.

In the church of Paris, and in several other cathedrals of the kingdom, was held the Frast of Fools or madmen. ' The priests and clerks assembled, elected a pope, an archishop, or a bishop, conducted them in great pomp to the church, which they entered dancing, masked, and dressed in the apparel of women, animals, and merry-andrews; suag infamous songs, and converted the altar into a beautiful the state in the state fet, where they are and drank during the celebration of the holy mysteries; played with dice; burned, instead of in-cense, the leather of their old sandals; ran about, and leaped from seat to seat, with all the indecent postures with which the merry-andrews know how to amuse the po-

The other does not yield in extravagance. 'This festival was called the Font of Assec, and was celebrated at Beauvais. They chose a young woman, the handsomest in the town; they made her ride on an ass richly harness. ed, and placed in her arms a pretty infant. In this state followed by the bishop and clergy, she marched in procession from the cathedral to the church of St Stephens's; entered into the sanctuary; placed herself near the altar, and the mass began; whatever the choir sung was terminated by this charming burthen, Hikan, hikan! Their prose, half Latin and half French, explained the fine qualities of the animal. Every strophe finished by this delightful invitation:

Hez, sire Ane, ça chantez Belle bouche rechignez, Vous aurés du foin assez Et de l'avoine à plantez.

They at length exorted him in making a devout genufication, to forget his ancient food, for the purpose of repeating without ceasing, Amen, Amen. The priest, instead of Its misse est, sung three times, Hihan, hihan, hihan! and people three times answered, Hihan, hihan, hihan! to imitate the braying of that grave animal.

What shall we think of this imbecile mixture of superstition and force! This case was perhaust trained of the

stition and farce? This are was perhaps typical of the are which Jesus rode? The children of Israel worshipped a golden ass, and Balaam made another speak. How unfortunate then was James Naylor, who desirous of enter-ing Bristol on an ass, Hume informs us—it is indeed but a piece of cold pleasantry—that all Bristol could not afford him one!

At the time when all these follies were practised, they would not suffer men to play at chess! Velly says, 'A statute of Eudes de Sully prohibits clergymen not enly statute of Eudes de Sully prohibits clergymen not enly from playing at chees, but even from having a chees-board in their house. Who could believe, that while half the ceremonies of religion consisted in the grossest bufforency, a prince preferred death rather than cure himself by a remedy which offended his chastity. Louis VIII being dangerously ill, the physicians consulted and agreed to place near the monarch while he slept, a young and beautiful lady, who when he awoke, should inform him of the motive which had conducted her to him. Louis answered, a No. my cit I prefer dwing crather than to save my life by No, my girl, I prefer dying rather than to save my life by a mortal sin! And, in fact, the good king died! He would not be prescribed for, out of the whole Pharmacopeia of

An account of our taste in female beauty is given by Mr.

Ellis, who observes, in his notes to Wray's Fabliaux, 'In the times of chivalry the minstrels dwell with great complaicency on the fair hair and delicate complexion of their damsels. This taste was continued for a long time, and damsels. This taste was continued for a long time, and to render the hair light was a great object of education. Even when wige first came into fashion they were all flax-en. Such was the colour of the Gauls and of their German conquerors. It required some centuries to reconcile their eyes to the swarthy beauties of their Spanish and their Italian neighbours.

The following is an amusing anecdote of the difficulty in which an honest Vicar of Bray found himself in those

contentious times.

When the court of Rome, under the pontificates of Gregory IX and Innocent IV set no bounds to their ambitious gory 1.x and innocent Iv set no bounds to their ambitious projects, they were opposed by the Emperor Frederic; who was of course anathematised. A curate of Paris, a humorous fellow, got up in his pulpit with the bull of Innocent in his hand. You know, my brethren, (said he) that I am ordered to proclaim an excommunication agains Frederic. I am ignorant of the motive. All that I know is, that there exists between this prince and the Roman Pontiff great differences, and an irreconcilable hatred.
God only knows which of the two is wrong. Therefore
with all my power I excommunicate him who injures the
other; and I absolve him who suffers, to the great scandal of all Christianity.

The following anecdotes relate to a period which is sufficiently remote to excite curiosity, yet not so distant as to weaken the interest we feel in those minutize of the

The present one may serve as a curious specimen of the despotism and simplicity of an age not literary, in dis-covering the author of a libel. It took place in the reign of Henry VIII. A great jealousy subsisted between the Londoners and those foreigners who traded here. The foreigners probably (observes Mr Lodge, in his Illustra-tions of English History) worked cheaper and were more industrious.

There was a libel affixed on St Paul's door, which reflected on Henry VIII and these foreigners, who were accused of buying up the wool with the king's money, to the undoing of Englishmen. This tended to inflame the minds of the people. The method adopted to discover the writer of the libel must excite a smile in the present day, while it shows the state in which knowledge must have been in this country. The plan adopted was this: In every ward one of the king's council, with an alderman of the same, was commanded to see every man write that could, and further took every man's book and scaled them, and brought them to Guildhall to confront them with the original. So that if of this number many wrote alike, the judges must have been much puzzled to fix on the criminal.

Our hours of refection are singularly changed in little more than two centuries. In the reign of Francis I, (observes the author of Recreations Historiques) they were

vot accustomed to say,

Lever a cinq, diner a neuf, Souper a cinq, coucher a neuf, Fait vivre d'ans nonante et neuf,

Historians observe of Louis XII, that one of the causes which contributed to hasten his death was the entire change which contributes to maries my death was the cautre change of his regimen. The good king, by the persuasion of his wife, says the history of Bayard, changed his manner of living; when he was accustomed to dine at eight o'clock, he agreed to dine at twelve; and when he was used to retire to bed at six o'clock in the evening, he frequently sat

up as late as midnight.

Houssaie gives the following authentic notice drawn from the registers of the court, which presents a curious account of domestic life in the fifteenth century. Of the dauphin Louis, son of Charles VI, who died at the age of dauphin Louis, son of Charles VI, who died at the age of twenty, we are told: 'That he knew the Latin and French languages; that he had many municians in his chapel; passed the night in vigils; dined at three in the afternoon, supped at madnight, went to bed at the break of day, and thus was accreting (that is threatened) with a short life.' Proissart mentions waiting upon the Duke of Lancaster at

Procesari mentions waturing upon the Dunk of Lancaster at five o'clock in the afternoon, when he had supped. The custom of dining at nine in the morning relaxed greatly under Francis I, his successor. However, persons of quality dined then the latest at ten; and supper was at five or six in the evening. We may observe this in the preface to the Hoptasmoron of the Queen of Navarre,

where this princess delineating the mode of life which the lords and ladies (whom she assembles at the castle of Madame Oysille, one of her characters) should follow to be agreeably occupied, and to banish languor, is expressed in these terms. 'As soon as the morning rose, they can be the absorber of Madame Ossille, when the found went to the chamber of Madame Oysille, whom they found already at her prayers; and when they had heard during a good hour her lecture, and then the mass, they went to dine at ten o'clock; and afterwards each retired to his room to de what was wanted, and did not fail at noom to meet in the meadow.' Speaking of the end of this first day which was in September) the same lady Oysille says, 'Say where is the sun? and hear the bell of the Abbey, which has for some time called us to vespers; and in saying this they all rose and went to the religiousits, who had usuited for them above an hour. Vespers heard, they went to supper, and after having played at a thousand sports in the meadow, they retired to bed. All this exactly corres-ponds with the lines above quoted. Charles V of France, however, who lived near two centuries taken Francis, dined at ten, suppod at seven, and all the court was in bed by nine o'clock. They sounded the curfew, which bell warned them to cover their fire, at six in the winter, and between eight and nine in the summer. A custom which exists in most religious societies: who did not then distinguish themselves from the ordinary practise. (This was written in 1767.) Under the reign of Heary IV the hom of dinner at court was eleven, or at noon the latest; a custom which prevailed even in the early part of the reign of Louis XIV. In the provinces distant from Paris, it is very common to dine at mine; they make a second repast about two o'clock, and sup at five; and their last meal is made just before they retire to bed. The labourer and peasants in France have preserved this custom, and make three meals; one at nine, another at three, and the last at the setting of the sun.

The Marquis of Mirabeau, in L'Ami des Hommes, Vol. I, p. 281, gives a striking representation of the singular industry of the French citizens of that age. He had learnt from several ancient citizens of Paris, that if in their youth a workman did not work two hours by candle-light, either in the morning or evening (he even adds in the longest days) he would have been noted as an idler, and would not have found persons to employ him. Mirabeau adds, that it was the 12th of May, 1588, when Henry III ordered ready opened. This must have been, taking it at the latest, about four in the morning. 'In 1750,' adds the ingenious writer, 'I walked on that day through Paris at full populous part of the city, and I only saw open some stalls of the venders of brandy!'

To the article, 'Anecdotes of Fashious,' in a form volume, we may add, that in England a taste for splet dress existed in the reign of Henry VII; as is observable by the following description of Nicholas Lord Vaux. 'In the 17th of that reign, at the marriage of Prince Arthur, the brave young Vaux appeared in a gown of purple velvet, adorned with pieces of gold so thick and massive, that exclusive of the silk and flux, it was valued at a thousand pounds. About his neck he wore a collar, of S. S. weighing eight hundred pounds is nobles. In those days it not only required great bodily strength to support the weight of their cumbersome armour; their very luxury of apparel for the drawing-room would oppress a system of s dern muscles.

In the following reign, according to the monarch's and Wolsey's magnificent taste, their dress was, perhaps, more generally sumptuous. We then find the following rich ornaments in vogue. Shirts and shifts were embroidered naments in vogue. Shirts and sinus were contravaeved with gold, and bordered with lace. Strutt notices also perfumed gloves lined with white velvet, and splendidly worked with embroidery and gold buttons. Not only gloves, but various other parts of their habits, were perfumed, shoes were made of Spanish perfumed shies.

Carriages were not then used, so that lords would carry princeases on a pillion behind them, and in wet weather the ladies covered their heads with hoods of elcloth. A custom that has been generally continued to the middle of the seventeenth century. The use of coaches was introduced into England by Fitzalan Earl of Arundel, in 1560, and at first wore only drawn by a past of horse

The favourite Buckingham, about 1619, began to have them draws by six horses, and Wilson, in his life of James I, lais us this 'was wondered at as a novelty, and imputed to his as a mastering pride.' The same arbiter degantiarum had as a mastering pride. The same erbiter eleganticrum introduced seedan chairs. In France, Catherine of Me-sics was the first who used a coach, which had leather doors, and curtains instead of glass windows. If the car-rage of Henry IV had had glass windows, this circum-stance might have saved his life. Carriages were so rare in the rugs of this monairch, that in a letter to his minister Sully, he socioes that having taken medicine that day, bully, as selects that the residual moderne that they have though he had intended to have called on him, he was prevented, because the queen had gone out with the carriage. Even as late as in the reign of Louis XIV, the courters rode a horse-back to their dinner parties, and were their light boots and spurs. Count Hamilton describes his boots of white Spanish leather with gold spurs.

Saint Four observes, that in 1658 there were only \$10 coaches in Paris, and in 1758 there were more than

Strett has judiciously observed, that though 'luxury and grandeur were so much affected, and appearances of state and splendour carried to such lengths, we may conclude that their household furniture and domestic necess case use user sousement surmains and uninesses severally attended to; on passing through their houses, we may expect to be surprised at the neatbox, elegance, and superb appearance of each room, and the suitableness of every ornament; but herein we may be deceived. The taste of elegance amongst our ancestors. was very different from the present, and however we may was very emergent from the present, and novever we may find them extravagant in their apparel, excessive in their busquets, and expensive in their trains of attendants; yet, fellow them home, and within their houses you shall find their furniture is plain and boundy; no great choice, but what was useful, rather than any for ornament or

Erasmus, as quoted by Jortin, confirms this account, and makes it worse : he gives a curious account of Eagish dirtiness; he ascribes the plague from which England was hardly ever free, and the sweating-sickness, parily to the incommodious form, and bad exposition of the houses, to the fithiness of the streets, and to the sluttishness within dors. The floors, says he, are commonly of clay, strewed with rushes; under which lies, unmolested, an ancient collection of beer, grease, fragments, bones, spittle, excrements of dogs and cats, and every thing that is masty. I shall give a sketch of the domestic life of a nobleman in the raism of Challent the Ninet floors the U firet of the

Duke of Newcastle, written by his Duchess, whom I have already noticed. It might have been importanent at the time of its publication; it will now please those who are curious of English manners.

Of his Habit.

He accourtes his person according to the fashion, if it be one that is not troublesome and uneasy for men of heroic energies and actions. He is neat and cleanly; which makes him to be somewhat long in dressing, though not so long as many effeminate persons are. He shifts ordinarily once a day, and every time when he uses exercise, or or his temper is more hot than ordinary.

'Of his Diet. In his diet he is so sparing and temperate, that he server cats nor drinks beyond his set proportion so as to satisfy only his natural appetite; he makes but one meal mainly only his natural appetite; he makes but one meal a day, at which he drinks two good glasses of small heer, one about the beginning, the other at the end thereof, and a little glass of sack in the middle of his dinner; which glass of sack in the morning for his breakfast, with a morsel of bread. His supper consists of an egg and a draught of small beer. And by this temperance he finds himself very healthful, and may yet live many years, he being now of the age of seventy-three.

'His Recreation and Exercise.

His prime nations and recreation bath always been the

His prime pastime and recreation hath always been the certain passing and recreation national always over the certain of mannage and weapons, which heroic arts he sed to practice every day; but I observing that when he had overheated himself he would be apt to take cold, pre-valled to far, that at last he left the frequent use of the mage, using nevertheless still the exercise of weapons; and though he doth not ride himself so frequently as he bath done, yet he taketh delight in seeing his horses of manage rid by his escuyers, whom he instructs in that at for his own pleasure. But in the art of weapons in the state of t which he has a method beyond all that ever was famous a is, found out by his own ingenuity and practise) he never taught any body but the now Duke of Buckingham whose guardian he hath been, and his own two sons. The rest of his time he spends in music, poetry, architecture, and the like,'

The value of money, and the increase of our opulence, might form, says Johnson, a curious subject of research. In the reign of Edward the Sixth, Latimer mentions it as a proof of her father's prosperity, that though but a yeo-man, he gave his daughters five pounds each for their por-tion. At the latter end of Elizabeth's reign, seven lium-dred pounds were such a temptation to courtship, as made all other motives suspected. Congreve makes twelve thousands more than a counterbalance to the affectation of Belinda. No poet will now fly his favourite character at less than fifty thousand. Clarissa Harlowe had but a moderate fortune.

In Sir John Vanbrugh's Confederacy, a woman of fash ion is presented with a bill of millinary as long as herself. Yet it only amounts to a poor fifty pounds! at present this sounds oddly on the stage. I have heard of a lady of quality and fashion, who had a bill of her fancy-dress maker, the the present the stage of one was to the time of the present the stage. for the expenditure of one year, to the tune or rather which closed in the deep diapason of, six thousand pounds!

#### THE EARLY DRAMA.

It is curious to trace the first rude attempts of the drama, in various nations; to observe at that moment, how crude is the imagination, and to trace the caprices it indu-ges; and that the resemblance in these attempts holds in the earliest essays of Greece, of France, of Spain, of England, and what appears extraordinary, even in China and Mexico.

The rude beginnings of the drama in Greece are suffi-ciently known, and the old systeries of Europe have been exhibited in the preceding pages of this work. The proexhibited in the preceding pages of this work.
gress of the French theatre has been this:—

Etienne Jodelle, in 1852, seems to have been the first who had a tragedy represented of his own invention, en-titled Cleopatra—it was a servile imitation of the form of the Grecian tragedy; but if this did not require the highest genius, it did the utmost intrepidity; for the people were, through long habit, intoxicated with the wild amuse-ment they amply received from their farces and moralities.

The following curious anecdote, which followed this first attempt at classical imitation, is very observable. Jodelle's success was such, that his rival poets, touched by delie's success was such, that his rival poets, touched by the spirit of the Grecian muse, showed a singular proof of their enthusiasm for this new poet, in a classical festivity which gave room for no little scandal in that day; yet as it was produced by a carnival, it was probably a kind of drunken bout. Fifty poets, during the carnival of 1562, went to Arcueil. Chance, says the writer of the life of the old French bard Ronsard, who was one of the present profess party, threw across their road a goad—which having caught, they ornamented the goat with chaplets of flowers, and carried it triumphantly to the hall of their festival, to appear to sacrifice to Bacchus, and to present it to Jodele; for the goat, among the ancients, was the prize of the tragic bards; the victim of Bauchus, who presided over tragedy.

Carmine, qui tragico, vilem certavit ob hircum

This goat thus adorned, and his beard painted, was hunted about the long table, at which the fifty poets were hunted about the long table, at which the fitty poets were seated; and after having served them for a subject of laughter for some time, he was hunted out of the room, and not sacrificed to Bacchus. Each of the guests made verses on the occasion, in imitation of the Bachanain of the ancients. Ronsard composed some dithyramhics to celebrate the festival of the goat of Etienne Jodelie; and another, entitled 'Our travels to Arcueil.' However, this Bacchanalian freak did not finish as it ought, where it had begun, among the poets. Several ecclesiastics sounded the alarm, and one Chandieu accused Ronsard with having performed an idolatrous sacrifice; and it was easy to accuse the moral habits of Afty poets assembled together, who were far, doubtless from being irreproachable. They repented for some time of their classical sacrifice of a goat to Tragedy.

Hardi, the French Lope de Vega, wrote 800 dramatic nieces from 1600 to 1637; his imagination was the most fortile possible; but so wild and unchecked, that though its extravagances are very amusing, they served as so many instructive lessons to his successors. One may form a motion of his violation of the unities by his piece, its force

'du Sang.' In the first act Leocadia is carried off and ravished. In the second she is sent back with an evident sign of pregnancy. In the third she lies in, and at the close of this act, her son is about ten years old. In the fourth the father of the child acknowledges him; and in the fifth, lamenting his son's unhappy fate, he marries Leocadia. Such are the pieces in the infancy of the drama!

Rotrou was the first who ventured to introduce several actions was the first who ventured to introduce several persons in the same scene; before his time they rarely exceeded two persons; if a third appeared, he was usually a mute actor, who never joined the other two. The state of the theatre was even then very rude; freedoms of the most lascivious embraces were publicly given and taken; and Rotrou even ventured to introduce a naked make in the scene, who in this singuisin holds a label and the scene. page in the scene, who in this situation holds a dialogue with one of his heroines. In another piece, 'Scedas, ou Phospitalité violeé,' Hardy makes two young Spartans carry off Scedase's two daughters, ravish them on the theatre, and violating them in the side scenes, the spectatators heard their cries and their complaints. Cardinal Richelieu made the theatre one of his favourite pursuits, and though not successful as a dramatic writer, he gave that encouragement to the drama, which gradually gave birth to genius. Scudery was the first who introduced the twenty-four hours from Aristotle; and Mairet studied the construction of the fable, and the rules of the drama. They yet groped in the dark, and their beauties were yet only occasional; Corneille, Racine, Moliere, Crebillon, and Voltaire, perfected the French drama.

In the infancy of the tragic art in our country, the bowl and dagger were considered as the great instruments of a sublime pathos; and the 'Die all' and 'Die nobly' of the exquisite and affecting tragedy of Fielding were frequently realised in our popular dramas. Thomas Goff, of the realised in our popular dramas. Thomas Goff, of the university of Oxford, in the reign of James I, was considered as no contemptible tragic poet; he concludes the first part of his courageous Turk, by promising a second,

of this first part, gentles! do like you weil, The second part shall greater murthers tell.

Specimens of extravagant bombast might be selected from his tragedies. The following speech of Amurath the Turk, who coming on the stage, and seeing an appear-ance of the heavens being on tire, comets and blazing stars, thus addresses the heavens, which seemed to have been in as mad a condition as the poet's own mind.

— How now ye heavens! grow you so proud, that you must needs put on curled locks, And clothe yourselves in perriwigs of fire!

In the raging Turk, or Bajazet the Second, he is introduced with this most raging speech :

Am I not emperor? he that breathes a no Damns in that negative syllable his soul; Durst any god gainsay it, he should feel The strength of fiercest giants in my armi Mine anger's at the highest, and I could shake The firm foundation of the earthly globe: Could I but grasp the poles in these two hands I'd pluck the world asunder.

He would scale heaven, and would then when he had got beyond the utmost sphere,
Besiege the concave of this universe.

And hunger-starve the gods till they confessed What furies did oppress his sleeping soul.

These plays went through two editions; the last printed in 1656.

The following passage from a similar bard is as pre-cious. The king in the play exclaims,

By all the ancient gods of Rome and Greece, I love my daughter!—better than my niece!
If any one should ask the reason why,
I'd tell them—Nature makes the stronger tie!

One of these rude French plays, about 1600, is entitled La Rebellion, ou mescontentement des Grenouilles contre Jupiter,' in five acts. The subject of this tragicomic piece is nothing more than the fable of the frogs who asked Jupiter for a king. In this ridiculous effusion of a wild fan-cy, it must have been pleasant enough to have seen the actors, croaking in their fens, and climbing up the steep ascent of Olympus; they were dressed so as to appear gigantic frogs; and in pleading their cause before Jupiter and his court, the dull humour was to croak sublimely,

whenever they did not agree with their judge.
Clavigero, in his curious history of Mexico, has given
Acostra's account of the Mexican theatre which appears

to resemble the first scene among the Greeks, and thes French frogs, but with more fancy and taste. Acces Acosta writes, 'The small theatre was curiously whitened, adorsed with boughs, and arches made of flowers and feathers, from which were suspended many birds, rabbits and other pleasing objects. The actors exhibited burlesque characters, feigned themselves deaf, sick with colds, lame, blad, crippled, and addressing an idol for the return of health. The deaf people answered at cross purposes; those who had colds by caughing; and the lame by halting; all recited their complaints and misfortunes, which produced infinite mirch among the audience. Others appeared weder the names of different little animals; some diagnosed as bestles, some like toads, some like izzards, and upon encountering each other, reciprocally explained their employments, which was highly satisfactory to the people, as they performed their parts with infinite ingenuity. Several little boys also belonging to the temple, appeared in the disguise of butterflies, and birds of various colours, and mounting upon the trees which were fixed there on purpose, little balls of earth were thrown at them with sings, occasioning many humourous incidents to the spectators.'
Something very wild and original appears in this singular exhibition; where at times, the auton

speciators, and the speciators were actors.

#### THE MARRIAGE OF THE ARTS.

As a literary curiosity can we deny a niche to that obliquity of distorted wit,' of Barton Holyday, who has com-posed a strange comedie, in five acts, performed at Christ Church, Oxford, 1630, not for the entertainment, as an an-

ecdote records, of James the First.

The title of the comedy of this unclassical classic, for Holyday is known as the translator of Juvenal with a very learned commentary, is TEXNOTAMIA, or the Marriage of the Arts, 1830, quarto extremely dull, excessively rare, and extraordinarily high-priced among collec-

It may be exhibited as one of the most extravagant inventions of a pedant. Who but a pedant could have conceived the dull fancy of forming a comedy, of five acts, on the subject of marrying the Arts! They are the dramatis persons of this piece, and the bachelor of arts prescribes their intrigues and characters. His actors are scribes their intrigues and characters. His acters are Polites, a magistrate;—Physica;—Astronomia, daughter to Physica;—Ethicus, an old man;—Geographus, a traveler and courtier, in love with Astronomia;—Arithmetica, in love with Geometry;—Logicus;—Grammaticus, a schoolmaster;—Poeta;—Historia, in love with Poetica;
—Rhetorica, in love with Logicus;—Melancholico, Poetica; ta's man ;-Phantastes, servant to Geographus ;-Choler, Grammaticus's man.

All these abstract and refined ladies and gentlemen have as hodily feelings, and employ as gross language, as if they had been every-day characters. A specimen of his grotesque dulness may entertain; -- fruits of dull heat, and sooterkins of wit.

Geographus opens the play with declaring his passion to Astronomia, and that very rudely indeed! See the pe-dant wreathing the roses of Love!

Geog. Come, now you shall, Astronomia. Ast. What shall I, Geographus?

Geog. Kisse! Ast. What in spite of my teeth!

Geog. No, not so I hope you do not use too kisse with your teeth.

Ast. Marry, and I hope I do not use to kisse without them.

Geog. Ay, but my fine wit-catcher, I mean you do not show your teeth when you kisse.

He then kisses her, as he says, in the different manners of a French, Spanish, and Dutch kiss. He wants to take off the zone of Astronomia. She begs he would not fos-dle her like an elephant as he is; and Geographus says again, 'Won't you then?

Ast. Won't I what?

Geog. Bee kinde? Ast. Bee kinde! how?

As. Bee kinde: how?

Fortunately Geographus is here interrupted by Astronomia's mother Physica. This dialogue is a specimen of the whole piece; very flat, and very gross. Yet the piece is still curious,—not only for its absurdity, but for that sort of ingenuity, which so whimsically contrived to bring together the different arts; this pedantic writer, however, owes more to the subject, than the subject derived from

Digitized by GOOGIC

him; without wit or humour, he has at times an extravagance of invention. As for instance,—Geographus, and his man Phantastes, describe to Poeta the lying wonders they pretend to have witnessed; and this is one:

'Phon. Sir, we me! with a traveller that could speak

six languages at the same instant.

Posts. How? at the same instant, that's impossible? Plans. Nay, sir, the actuality of the performance puts it beyond all contradiction. With his tongue he'd so vowel you out as smooth \*Italian\* as any man breathing; with his seee blow out most robustious \*Dutch\*; the creaking his his based has a most actuality as the creaking his headed has a most actuality and \*Dutch\*. ing of his high-heeled shoe would articulate exact Polonian; the knecking of his shin-bone feminine French; and his belly wold grumble most pure and scholar-like Hungary.
This, though extravagant without fancy, is not the worst
part of the absurd humour which runs through this pedan-

tic comedy.

The classical reader may perhaps be amused by the fol-lowing strange conceits. Poeta, who was in love with Historia capricionaly falls in love with Astronomia, and thus compares his mistress:

Her brow is like a brave heroic lin-That does a sacred majestic inshrine; Her nose, Phaleuciake-like, in comely sort Ends in a Trochie, or a long and short Her mouth is like a prenie Diameter; Her eie-brows like a little-longer Trimeter. Her chinne is an adonicke, and her tongue les an Hypermeter, somewhat too long Her eles I may compare them unto two Quick-turning Dactyles, for their nimble view Her ribs like statues of Sapphicks doe descend Thither, which but to name were to offend. Her arms like two lambics raised on hie, Doe with her brow bear equal majestie; Her legs like two straight spondees keep apace, Slow as two scazons, but with stately grace.

The piece concludes with a speech by Polites, who settles all the disputes, and loves, of the Arts. Poeta promises for the future to attach himself to Historia. Rhetorica, though she loves Logicus, yet as they do not mutually agree, she is united to grammaticus. Polites counsels Paleguatico, who is Logicus's man, to leave off smoking, and to learn better manners; and Choler, Grammaticus's man, to bridle himself;—that Ethicus and Chosoma would vouchsafe to give good advice to Poeta and Historia;—and Physica to her children Geographus and Astronomia: for Grammaticus and Rhetoric, he says, their tongues will always agree and will not fall out; and or Geometres and Arithmetica they will he very reconstruction. for Geometres and Arithmetica they will be very regular. Melancholico, who is Poeta's man, is left quite alone, and agrees to be married to Musica; and at length Phantastes, by the entreaty of Poeta, becomes the servant of Melan-cholico and Musica. Physiognomus and Cheiromantes, who are in the character of gypsies and fortune-tellers, are finally exiled from the island of Fortunata, where lies the whole scene of the action in the residence of the mar-

The pedant-comic-writer has even attended to the dresses of his characters, which are minutely given. Thus Melancholico wears a black suit, a black hat, a black cloak, and black worked bands, black gloves, and black shoes. Sanguis, the servant of Medicus, is in a red suit; on the breast is a man with his nose bleeding; on the back, one letting blood in his arm; with a red hat and band, red

stockings, and red pumps.

It is recorded of this play, that the Oxford scholars, resolving to give James I a relish of their genius, requested leave to act the notable piece. Honest Anthony Wood tells us, that it being too grave for the king, and too scholars, the stocking of the king, and too scholars the stocking of the king, and too scholars the stocking that the stocking the stocking the stocking that lastic for the auditory, or, as some have said, the actors had taken too much wine, his majesty offered several times, after two acts, to withdraw. He was prevailed to st it out, in mere charity to the Oxford scholars. The following humourous epigram was produced on the oc-Chainn :

At Christ church marriage done before the king, Least that those mates should want an offering, The king himself did offer,—What, I pray? He offered twice or thrice—to go away!

# A CONTRIVANCE IN DRAMATIC DIALOGUE.

Crown, in his 'City Politiques,' 1688, a comedy written to satirise the Whigs of those days, was accused of having copied his character too closely after life, and his enemies barned his comedy into a libel. He has defended himself

in his preface from this imputation. It was particularly laid to his charge that in the characters of Bartoline, and old corrupt lawyer and his wife, Lucinda, a wanton country girl, he intended to ridicule a certain serjeant Mand his young wife. It was even said that the comedian and na young ware. It was even sau that the comediaan mimicked the odd speech of the aforesaid serjeant, who having lost all his teeth, uttered his words in a very pecu-liar manner. On this, Crown tells us in his defence, that the comedian must not be blamed for this peculiarity, as it was an invention of the author himself, who had taught it to the player. He seems to have considered it as no prdinary invention, and was so pleased with it, that he has most painfully printed the speeches of the lawyer in this singular gibberish; and his reasons, as well as his dis-

singular gibberish; and his reasons, as well as his dis-covery, appear very remarkable.

He says, that 'Not any one old man more than another is mimicked, by Mr Lee's way of speaking, which all co-medians can witness, was my own invention, and Mr Lee was taught it by me. To prove this farther, I have printed Bartoline's part in that manner of spelling, by which I taught it Mr Lee. They who have no teeth cannot pronounce many letters plain, but perpetually lisp, and break their words; and some words they cannot bring out all. As for instance, th is pronounced by thrusting the tongue As for instance, the is pronounced by inrusting the company hard to the teeth, therefore that sound they cannot make, but something like it. For that reason you will often fin in Bartoline's part, instead of th, ay, as yat for that; yish, for this; yosh, for those; sometimes a t is left out, as housend, for thousand; hirty, for thirty. S they pronounce like sh, sher, for sir; musht for must; t they speak like ch; therefore you will find chrue, for true; chreason, for treason; cho, for to; choo, for two; chen, for ten; chake, for take.

And this ch is not to be pronounced like k, as 'tis in christian, but as in child, church, chest. I desire the reader to observe these things, because otherwise he will hardly un-derstand much of the lawyer's part, which is the opinion of all is the most divertising in the comedy; but when this ridiculous way of speaking is familiar with him, it will render the part more pleasant.

One hardly expects so curious a piece of orthorpy in the preface to a comedy. It may have required great observation and ingenuity to have discovered the cause of old, toothless, men mumbling their words. But as a piece o toothless, men mumbling their words. But as a piece of comic humour, on which the author appears to have prided himself, the effect is far from fortunate; humour arising from a personal defect, is but a miserable substitute for that of a more genuine kind. I shall give a specimen of this strange gibberish, as it is so laboriously printed. It may amuse the reader to see his mother's language transformed into so odd a shape that it is with difficulty he can recognized.

recognize it.

recognize it.

Old Bartoline thus speaks:—'I wrong'd my shelf, cho entcher incho bondsh of marriage, and could not perform coverantsh, I might well hinks you would chake the forfeiture of the bond; and I never found equichy in a bedg in my life; but i'll trounce you boh; I have paved jaylish wi' the bonesh of honester people yen you are, you never did me nor any man any wrong, but had law o' yeir shydsh and right o' yeir shydsh, but because yey had not me o' yeir shydsh, I ha' 'hrown 'em in jaylish, and got yeir shydsh for my clyentsh, you had no more chytle to 'em wen dorsh.' yen dogsh.

#### THE COMEDY OF A MADMAN.

Desmarets, the friend of Richelieu, mentioned in the article Richelieu, page 38, was a very extraordinary character, and produced many effusions of genius in early life, till he became a mystical fanatic. It was said of him, that 'he was the greatest madman among poets, and the best poet among madmen.' His comedy of 'The Vision-aries' is one of the most extraordinary of dramatic projects, and in respect to its genius and lunacy, may be considered as a literary curiosity.

In this singular comedy all Bedlam seems to be let loose on the stage, and every character has a high claim to an apartment in it. It is indeed suspected that the cardinal had a hand in this anomalous drama, and in spite of its extravagance it was favourably received by the public,

who certainly had never seen any thing like it.

Every character in this piece acts under some hallucination of the mind, or a fit of madness. Artabaze, is a cowardly hero, who believes he has conquered the world. A midor, is a wild poet, who imagines he ranks above Homer. Filidan, is a lover, who becomes infirmmable as gunpowder, for every mistress he reads of in romances. Pha-

Digitized by GOOGLO

ante, is a beggarly bankrupt, who thinks himself as rich as Crossus. Melisse, in reading the 'History of Alexander,' has become madly in love with this hero, and will have no other husband than 'him of Macedon.' Hesperie imagines her fatal charms occasion a hundred disappointments in the world, but prides herself on her perfect insensibility. Sestiane, who knows no other happiness than councilies, and whatever she sees or hears, immediately plans a scene for dramatic effect, renounces any other occupation; and finally, Alcidon, the father of these three mad girls, as imbecile as his daughters are wild. So much for the amiable characters!

The plot is in perfect harmony with the genius of the author, and the characters he has invented—perfectly unconnected, and fancifully wild. Alcidon resolves to marry his three daughters, who, however, have no such project of their own. He offers them to the first who comes. He accepts for his son-in-law the first who offers, and is clearly convinced that he is within a very short period of accomplishing his wishes. As the four ridiculous personages whom we have noticed frequently haunt his house, he becomes embarraseod in finding one lover too many, having only three daughters. The catastrophe relieves the old gentleman from his embarrassments. Melisse, faithful to her Macedonian hero, declares her resolution of dying, before she marries any meaner personage. Hesperie refuses to marry out of pity for mankind: for to make one man happy, she thinks she must plunge a hundred into despair. Sestiane, only passionate for comedy, cannot consent to any marriage, and tells her father, in very lively verses.

Je ne veux point mon pere, espouser un censeur; Pulsque vous me soufirés recevoir la douceur Des plaisirs innocens que le theatre apporte Prendrais-je le hazard de vivre d'autre sorte? Puls on a des enfans, qui vous sont sur les bras, Les mener au theatre, O Dieux? quel embarras? Tantot couche ou grossesse, ou quelque maiadie Pour jamais vous font dire, adieu la comedie!

#### IMITATED.

No. no, my father, I will have no critic, (Miscalled a husband) since you still permit The innocent sweet pleasures of the Stage; And shall I venture to exchange my iot? Then we have children folded in our arms To bring them to the play-house, heavens! what troubles! Then we lie in, are big, or sick, or vez'd: These make us bid farewell to Comedy!

. At length these imagined sons-in-law appear; Filidan declares that in these three girls he cannot find the misters he adores. Amidor confesses he only asked for one of his daughters out of pure gallantry, and that he is only a lover—in verse! When Phalante is questioned after the great fortunes he hinted at, the father discovers that he has not a stiver, and out of credit to borrow; while Artabaze declares that he only allowed Alcidon, out of mere benevolence, to flatter himself for a moment, with the hope on honour that even Jupiter would not dare to pretend to. Thus it is, that the four lovers disperse, and leave the old gentleman more embarrassed than ever, and his daughters perfectly enchanted to onjoy their whimsical reveries, and die old maids.

## SOLITUDE.

We possess, among our own native treasures, two treatises on this subject, composed with no ordinary talent, and not their least value consists in one being an apology for solitude, while the other combats that prevailing passion of the studious. Zimmerman's popular work is overloaded with common-place; the garrulity of eloquence, which has been found very agreeable to the great mass of readers. The two treatises now noticed may be compared to the highly-finished gems, whose figure may be more finely designed, and whose strokes may be more delicate in the smaller space they occupy, than the ponderous block of marble hewed out by the German chiseler.

derous block of marine newed out by the Greman chiselor.

Sir George Mackenzie, a polite writer and a most eloquent pleader, published in 1865 a moral essay preferring
solitude to public employment. The eloquence of his style
was well suited to the dignity of his subject; the advocates
for solitude have always prevailed over those for active life,
because there is something sublime in those feelings which
would retire from the circle of indolent triflers, or depraved
geniuses; who, like a certain species of insects, are born,
and can only live, in corruption. The tract of Macken-

zie was ingeniously answered by the elegant taste of John Evelyn, in 1667; of this last tract, the editor of "Censura Literaria," in his first volume, has given an analysis; but that ingenious and fervent compiler has not moticed the superior composition of the Scotch writer. Mackenzie, though he wrote in favour of solitude, passed a very active life, first as a pleader, and afterwards as a judge; that he was an eloquent writer, and an excellent critic, and a wit, we have the authority of Dryden, who says, that till he was acquainted with that noble wit of Scotland, Sir George Mackenzie, he had not known the beautiful turn of words and thoughts in poetry, which Sir George had explained and exemplified to him in conversation. As a judge, and king's advocate, will not the barbarous customs of the age defend his name? he is most hideously painted forth by the dark pencil of a poetical Spagnoletti—Mr Grahame, in his poem on 'The Birds of Scotland.' Sir George lived in the age of rebellion—and used torture: we must entirely put aside his political, to attend to his literary character. Blair has quoted his pleadings as a model of eloquence, and Mr Grahame is unjust to the fame of Mackenzie, when he alludes to his 'half-forgotten name.' In 1689, he rotired to Oxford, to induge the luxuries of study in the Bodleian Library, and to practice that solitude which so delighted him in theory; but three years afterwards he fixed himself in London. Evelyn, who wrote in favour of public employment being preferable to solitude, passed his days in the tranquillity of his studies, and wrote against the habits which he himself most loved. By this it may appear, that, that of which we have the least experience ourselves, will ever be what appears most delightful! Alas! every thing in life seems to have in it the nature of a bubble of air, and, when touched, we find nothing but emptiness in our hand. It is certain that the most eloquent writers in favour of solitude have left behind them too many memorials of their unhappy feelings,

The following extracts from Sir George Mackenzie's tract on Solitude are eloquent and impressive, and merit to be rescued from that oblivion which surrounds many writers, whose genius has not been effaced, but concealed, by the transient crowd of their posterity.

'I have admired to see persons of virtue and humour long much to be in the city, where, when they come, they found nor sought for no other divertisement than to visit one another; and there to do nothing else than to make legs, view others abit, talk of the weather, or some such pitful subject, and k may be, if they made a farther inroad upon any other affair, they did so pick one another, that it afforded them matter of eternal quarrel, for what was at first but an indifferent subject, is by interest adopted into the number of our quarrels.—What pleasure can be received by talking of new fashions, buying and selling of lands, advancement or ruin of favourites, victories or defeats of strange princes, which is the ordinary subject of ordinary conversation?—Most desire to frequent their superiors, and these men must either suffer their raillery, or must not be suffered to continue in their society; if we converse with them who speak with more address than ourselves, then we are weary to draw the yoke alone, and fret at our being in ill company; but if chance alimals than ourselves, then we are weary to draw the yoke alone, and fret at our being in ill company; but if chance blows us in amongst our equals, then we are so at guard to catch all advantages, and so interested in point d'honneur, that it rather cruciates than recreates us. How many make themselves cheap by these occasions, whom we had valued highly if they had frequented us less? And how many frequent per sons who laugh at that simplicity which the addresser admires in himself as wit, and yet both recreate themselves with double laughters'

In solitude (he addresses his friend) 'My dear Celador enter into your own breast, and there survey the several operations of your own soul, the progress of your passions, the strugglings of your appetite, the wanderings of your fancy, and ye will find, I assure you, more variety in that one piece, than there is to be learned in all the courts of Christendom. Represent to yourself the last age, all the actions and interests in it, how much this person was infatuate with zeal, that person with lust; how much one pursued honour, and another riches; and in the next thought draw that scene, and represent them all turned to dust and ashes!'

I cannot close this subject without the addition of some anecdotes, which may be useful. A man of letters finds solitude necessary, and for him solitude has its pleasures and its conveniences; but we shall find that it also has a hundred things to be dreaded.

Digitized by Google

Solitude is indispensible for literary pursuits. No consolution is managements for alcrary pursuits. No con-siderable work has yet been composed, but its author, like as ancient magician, retired first to the grove or the closet, to avocate his spirits. Every production of genius must be the production of enthusiasm. When the youth sighs and languishes, and feels himself among crowds in an irknome solutele,—that is the moment to fly into seclusion and some solitude, that is the moment to fly into seclusion and meditation. Where can he indulge but in solitude the fine nances of his soul? where but in solitude can he occupy himself in useful dreams by night, and, when the morning rices, fly without interruption to his unfinished labours? ent to the frivolous is a vast desert, to the man of

menument to the involous is a vast desert, to the man of gemiss it is the enchanted garden of Armida.

Cicero was uneasy amidst applauding Rome, and he has designated his numerous works by the titles of his various viles, where they were composed. Voltaire had talents, and a taste for society, yet he not only withdrew by intervals, but at one period of his life passed five years in the most secret sections and fervent studies. Monteein the most secret sections and fervent studies. Montesquieu quitted the brilliant circles of Paris for his books, his meditations, and for his immortal work, and was ridiculed by the gay triflers he relinquished. Harrington, to com-pase his Ocsana, severed himself from the society of his pose his Ucsana, severou minison it in the the was pitted as a limite. Descartes, inflamed by genius, abruptly

answare. Descartes, inflamed by genius, abruptly breaks all his friendly connexions, hires an obscure house in an unfrequented corner at Paris, and applies himself to study during two years unknown to his acquaintance. Adam Smith; after the publication of his first work, throws himself into a retirement that lasted ten years; even Hume rallied him for separating himself from the world; but the great political inquirer satisfied the world, and his friends, by his great work on the Wealth of Nations. But this solitude, at first a necessity, and them a pleasure, at length is not borne without repining. I will call for a witness a great genius, and he shall speak himself. Gibbon says, 'I feel, and shall continue to feel, that domestic solitude, however it may be alleviated by the world, by study and even by friendship; is a confortless state, which will grow more painful as I descend in the vale of years:' Memors, Vol. 1, p 216. And afterwards he writes to a friend, 'Your visit has only served to remind me that man, however amused and occupied in his closet, was not man, however amused and occupied in his closet, was not

made to live alone.'

I must therefore now sketch a different picture of lite-rary solitude than some sanguine and youthful minds con-

Even the sublimest of men, Milton, who is not apt to reat consumers on ment, Militon, who is not apt to went complaints, appears to have feit this irknome period of life. In the preface to Smectymnus, he says, 'It is but justice, not to defraud of due esteem the wearsome abours and studiests workships, wherein I have spent and tired out almost a whole youth.'

Solutide in a later period of life, or rather the neglect which awais the solitary man, is felt with acuter sensibility. bility. Cowley, that enthusiast for rural seclusion, in his retirement calls himself 'The melancholy Cowley.' Mason has truly transferred the same epithet to Gray. Read in his letters the history of solitude. We lament the loss of Cowley's correspondence through the mistaken notion of Sprat; he assuredly had painted the sorrows of his heart. But Shenstone has filled his pages with the cries of an aminable being whose soul bleeds in the dead oblivion of satisfact. of solitude. Listen to his melancholy expressions. 'Now I am come from a visit, every little uneasiness is sufficient a moome from a visit, every little uneasiness is sufficient introduce my whole train of melancholy considerations, and to make me urterly dissatisfied with the life I now lead, and the life I foresee I shall lead. I am angry, and envious, and dejected and frantic, and disregard all present things, as becomes a madman to do. I am infinitely pleased (though it is a gloomy joy) with the application of Dr Swift's complaint, that he is forced to die in a rage, like a prisoned ratin a hole. I are the layer of solitude muse on poisoned rat in a hole. Let the lover or solution in its picture throughout the year, in the following stanza by isoned rat in a hole.' Let the lover of solitude muse on the same poet :

Tedious again to curse the drizzling day,
Again to trace the wintry tracks of snow! Or, snothed by vernal airs, again survey
The self-same hawthorns bud! and cowslips blow!

Swift's letters paint in terrifying colours a picture of solitude, and at length his despair closed with idiotism. The amable Gresset could not sport with the brilliant wings of his butterfly-muse, without dropping some queru-sem expression on the solitude of genius. In his 'Epistle

to his Muse,' he exquisitely paints the situation of men of genius.

Je les vols, victimes du genle, Au foible prix d'un eclat panager, Vivre isoles, sans jouri de la vie!

And afterwards he adds,

<sup>6</sup> Vingt ans d'ennuis, pour quelque jours de gloire P

I conclude with one more anecdote on solitude, which may amuse. When Menage, attacked by some, and aban-doned by others, was seized by a fit of the spleen, he retreated into the country, and gave up his famous Mercuriales: those Wednesdays when the literati assembled at his house, to praise up or cry down one another, as is usual with the literary populace. Menage expected to find that tranquility in the country which he had frequently described in his verses: but as he was only a postical plagiarist, it is not strange our pastoral writer was greatly disappointed. Some country regues having killed his pigeons, they gave him more veration than his critics. He hastened his return to Paris. 'It is better,' he observed 'since we are born to suffer, to feel only reasonable sorrows.'

#### LITERARY PRIENDOMIPS

The memorable friendship of Beaumount and Flotcher The memorable friendship of Beaumount and Fletcher so closely united their labours, that we cannot discover the productions of either; and biographers cannot, without difficulty, compose the memoirs of the one, without running into the life of the other. They pourtrayed the same characters, while they mingled sentiment with sentiment, and their days were as closely interwoven as their verses. Metastasio and Farinelli were born about the same time, and early acquainted. They called one another Genello, or twin! Both the delight of Europe, both lived to an advanced age, and died nearly at the same time. Their forms they are too, a resmiplance; for they were hoth pensions. tune bore, too, a resemblance; for they were both pensioned, but lived and died separated in the distant courts of Vienna and Madrid. Montaigne and Charron were rivals, but always friends; such was Montaigne's affection for but always means; such was reconsigned an acceptance. Charron, that he permitted him by his will to bear the full arms of his family; and Charron evinced his gratitude to the manes of his departed friend, by leaving his fortune to the sister of Montaigne, who had married. Forty years the saster of Montaigne, who had married. Forty years of friendship, uninterrupted by rivalry or envy, crowned the lives of Poggius and Leonard Arotin, two of the illustrious revivers of letters. A singular custom formerly provailed among our own writers, which was an affectionate tribute to our literary veterans by young writers.—The former adopted the latter by the title of sons. Ben Jonson had twelve of these poetical sons. Walton, the angler, adopted Cotton, the translator of Montaigne.

Among the most faccinating effusions of genius are these

Among the most fascinating effusions of genius are those little pieces which it consecrates to the cause of friendship. In that poem of Cowley, composed on the death of his friend Harvey, the following stanza presents a pleasing picture of the employments of two young students:

Say, for you saw us, ye immortal lights, How oft unwearied have we spent the nights! Till the Ledgan stars, so famed for love, Wondred at us from above.

We spent them not in toys, in lust, or wine; But search of deep philosophy, Wit, eloquence, and poetry, Arts which I loved, for they, my friend, were thine.

Milton has not only given the exquisite Lycidas to the memory of a young friend, but in his Epitaphian Damenis, to that of Deodatus, has poured forth some interesting sentiments. It has been versified by Langhorne. Now, says the poet,

'To whom shall I my hopes and fears impart, Or trust the cares and follies of my heart?'

The elegy of Tickell, maliciously called by Steele prose in rhyme,' is alike inspired by affection and fancy; it has a melodious languor, and a melancholy grace. sonnet of Gray to the memory of West is a beautiful ef-fusion, and a model for English sonnets. Helvetius was the protector of men of genius, whom he assisted not only with his criticism, but his fortune. At his death, Sauria read in the French academy an epistle to the manes of his friend. Saurin, wrestling with obscurity and poverty, had been drawn into literary existence by the supporting hand Our poet thus addresses him in the warm of Helvetius. tones of gratitude:

18 Digitized by GOOGLE

' C'est toi qui me cherchant au sein de l'infatune Relevas mon sort abbattu. Et scus me rendre chere, une vie importune.

Qu' importent ces pleurs— O douleur impuissante! O regrets superflus! Je vis, helas! Je vie, et mon ami n'est plus!

#### IMITATED.

In Misery's haunts thy friend thy bountles selze, And give an urgent life some days of ease; Ah! ye vain griefs, superfluous tears I chide! I live, alas! I live—and thou hast died!

The literary friendship of a father with his son is one of the rarest alliances in the republic of letters. It was gratifying to the feelings of young Gibbon, in the fervour of iterary ambition, to dedicate his first fruits to his father. The too lively son of Crebillon, though his was a very different genius to the grandeur of his father's, yet dedi-cated his works, to him, and for a moment put aside his wit and raillery for the pathetic expressions of filial veneration. We have had a remarkable instance in the two Richardsons; and the father in his original manner, has, in the most glowing language, expressed his affectionate senti-ments. He says, My time of learning was employed in business; but, after all, I have the Greek and Latin tongues, because a part of me possesses them, to whom I can recur at pleasure, just as I have a hand when I would write or paint, feet to walk, and eyes to see. My son is my learning, as I am that to him which he has not. -We make one man, and such a compound man may probably produce what no single man can. And further, I always think it my peculiar happiness to be as it were enlarged, expanded, made another man by the acquisition of my son; and he thinks in the same manner concerning This is as curious as it is uncommy union with him.' mon; however the cynic may call it egotism!

Some for their friend have died penetrated with inconsolable grief; some have sacrificed their character to preserve his own; some have shared their limited fortune; and some have remained attached to their friend in the

cold season of adversity.

Juriou denounced Bayle as an impious writer, and drew his conclusions from the 'Avis aux Refugiés.' is written against the Calvinists, and therefore becomes impious in Holland. Bayle might have exculpated himself with facility, by declaring the work was composed by La Roque; but he preferred to be persecuted, rather than to ruin his friend; he therefore was silent, and was condemned. When the minister Fouquet was abandoned by all, it was the men of letters he had patronized who never forsook his prison; and many have dedicated their works to great men in their adversity, whom they scorned to notice at the time when they were noticed by all. The learned Goguet bequeathed his Mass and library to his friend Fugere, with whom he had united his affections and his stud-ies. His work on the 'Origin of the Annual his studhad been much indebted to his aid. Fugere, who knew this friend to be past recovery, preserved a mute despair, during the slow and painful disease, and on the death of Goguet, the victim of sensibility, perished amidst the manuscripts which his friend had, in vain, bequeathed to prepare for publication. The Abbé de Saint Pierre gave an interesting proof of literary friendship. When he was at college, he formed a union with Varignon, the geometrician. They were of congenial dispositions. When he went to Paris, he invited Varignon to accompany him; but Varignon had nothing, and the Abbé was far from the Varignon had nothing and the Abbé was far from he formed a union with Varignon, the geometri-A certain income was necessary for the tranquil pursuits of geometry. Our Abhé had an income of 1800 ivres; from this he deducted 800, which he gave to the geometrican, accompanied by a delicacy which few but a man of genius could concaive. 'I do not give it to you,' he said, 'as a salary, but an annuity, that you may be inhe said, 'as a salary, but an annuity, that you may be in-dependent, and quit me when you dislike me.' Something nearly similar embellishes our own literary history. When nearly similar empensions our own interary nationy. When Akenside was in great danger of experiencing famine as well as fame, Mr Dyson allowed him three hundred pounds a year. Of this gentleman, perhaps, nothing is known yet whatever his life may be, it merits the tribute of the biographer. To close with these honourable testimonies of literary friendship, we must not omit that of Churchill and Lloyd. It is known that when Lloyd heard of the death of our poet, he acted the part which Fugere did to Goguet. The page is crowded, but my facts are by no means exhausted.

The most illustrious of the ancients prefixed the nas of some friend to the head of their works. - We too often place that of some patron. They honourably inserted it in their works. When a man of genius, however, shows that he is not less mindful of his social affection than his They honourably inserted it fame, he is the more loved by his reader. Plato communicated a ray of his glory to his brothers; for in his republic he ascribes some parts to Adimentus and Glasschon; and Antiphon the youngest is made to deliver his sontiments in the Parmenides. To perpetuate the fundament of friendthe Parmenides. ship several authors have entitled their works by the name of some cherished associate. Cicere to his Treatuse on Orators gives the title of Brutus; to that of Friendship Leilius, and to that of Old Age, Cato. They have been imitated by the moderns. The poetical Tames, to his dislogue on Friendship gave the name of Manson, who was afterwards his affectionate biographer. Sepulvueda conafterwards his affectionate biographer. Supervised Gontitles his treatise on Glory by the name of his friend Gonsalves. Lociel to his Dialogues on the Lawyers of Paris Lociel to his Dialogues on the Lawyers of Paris prefixes the name of the learned Pasquier. distinguished his Dialogues by the names of certain persons; the one on Lying is entitled Hippius; on Rhetoric, Gorgias; and on Beauty, Phadrus.

Lutter has perhaps carried this feeling to an extrava-gant point. He was so delighted by his favourite 'Com-mentary on the Epistle to the Galatians,' that he distin-guished it by a title of doting fonders; he named it after his wife, and called it 'His Catharine.'

# ANECDOTES OF ABSTRACTION OF MIND.

Some have exercised this power of abstraction to a deree that appears marvellous to volatile spirits, and puny thinkers.

To this patient habit, Newton is indebted for many of his great discoveries; an apple falls upon him in his er-chard,—and the system of attraction succeeds in his mind! he observes boys blowing soap bubbles, and the properties of light display themselves! Of Socrates, it is said, that he would frequently remain an entire day and night in the same attitude, absorbed in meditation; and why shall we doubt this, when we know that La Fontaine and Thomson, Descartes and Newton, experienced the same abstraction? Mercator, the celebrated geographer, found such delight in the ceaseless progression of his studies, that he would never willingly quit his maps to take the secessary refreshments of hie. In Cicero's Treatise on Old Age, Cate applauds Gallus, who, when he sat down to write in the morning, was surprised by the evening: and when he took up his pen in the Buening, was surprised by the appearance of the morning. Buffon once described these delicious moments with his accustomed eloquence.— Invention depends on patience; contemplate your subject long; it will gradually unfold, till a sort of electric spark convulses for a moment the brain, and spreads down to the very heart a glow of irritation. Then come the luxures very heart a glow of irritation. Then come the luxuries of genius! the true hours for production and composition: hours so delightful that I have spent twelve and fourteen successively at my writing-desk, and still been in a state of pleasure.' It is probable that the anecdote related of Marini, the Italian poet, is true; that he was once so absorbed in revising his Adonis, that he suffered his leg to be burnt for some time, without any sensibility.

Abstraction of this sublime kind is the first step to that noble enthusiasm which accompanies Genius: it produces those raptures and that intense delight, which some cun-

ous facts will explain to us.

Poggius relates of Dante, that he indulged his meditations more strongly than any man he knew; whenever be read, he was only alive to what was passing in his mind. to all human concerns, he was, as if they had not been! Dante went one day to a great public procession; he es-tered the shop of a bookseller to be a spectator of the passing show. He found a book which greatly interested of thought.—On his return he declared that he had neither seen. Bor heard the slightest him; he devoured it in silence, and plunged into an ab seen, nor heard, the slightest occurrence of the public exhibition which passed before him. This enthusiasm renders every thing surrounding us as distant as if an immense interval separated us from the scene. A modern astronomer, one summer night, withdrew to his chamber; the brightness of the heaven showed a phenomenon. He passed the whole night in observing it, and when they came to him early in the morning, and found him in the same attitude, he said, like one who had been recollecting his thoughts for a few moments, "It must be thus; but I'l

G009

to bed before 'tis late!' He had gazed the entire night meditation, and did not know it.

This intense abstraction operates visibly: this perturbation of the faculties, as might be supposed, affects persons of genius physically. What a forcible description bation of the includes, as might be supported to the description the late Madam Roland, who certainly was a woman of the first genius, gives of herself on her first reading of Telemachus and Tasso. 'My respiration rose: I felt a supported to the supported to the support of th rapid fire colouring my face, and my voice changing, had betrayed my agitation; I was Kucharis for Telemachur, and Erminia for Tancred: however during this perfect transformation, I did not yet think that I myself was any thing, for any one. The whole had no connexion with myself, I sought for nothing around me; I was them, I aw ony the objects which existed for them; it was a dream, without being a wakened.'—Metastasio describes a similar simulton. 'When I apply with a little attention, the nerves of my sensorium are put into a violent tumult. I grow as red in the face as a drunkard, and am obliged to quit my work.' When Malebranche first took up Descartes on Man, the germ and origin of his philosophy, he was obliged frequently to interrupt his reading by a violent palpitation of the heart. When the first idea of the Essay on the Arts and Sciences rushed on the mind of Rousseau, it occasioned such a feverish agitation that it approached to a delirium.

This delicious inchriation of the imagination occasioned the ancients, who sometimes perceived the effects, to be-liere it was not short of divino inspiration. Fielding says, 'I do not doubt but that the most pathetic and affecting scenes have been writ with tears. He perhaps would have been pleased to have confirmed his observation by the The tremors of Dryden, after following circumstances. having written an Ode, a circumstance tradition has accidestally handed down, were not unusual with him; in the preface to his Tales he tells us, that, in translating Homer he found greater pleasure than in Virgil; but it was not a pleasure without pain; the continual agitation of the spirits must acces be a weakener to any constitution, especially in age, and many pauses are required for refreshment be-twin the heats. In writing the minth scene of the second act of the Olympiad, Metastasic found himself in tears; an effect which afterwards, says Dr Burney, proved very contagions. It was on this occasion that that tender poet commemorated the circumstance in the following interestmg sonnet :

SOWNET FROM METASTACIO.

Scrivendo l'Autore in Vienna l'anno 1733 la Sua Olimpiade si senti commosa fino alle lagrime nell' esprimere la divisione di due teneri amici ; e meravigliandosi che un falso, e da lui inventato disastro, potesso cagionargli una si vera passione, si fece a riflettere quanto poco ragion-evole e solido fondamento possano aver le altre che soglion frequentamente agitarci, nel corso di nostra vita.

Sogni, e favole lo fingo, e pure in carte Mentre favole, e sogni, orno e disegno, In lor, [folie ch'io Son !] prendo tal parte Che del mal che inventa piango, e mi selegno Ma forse allor che non m'inganna l'arte, Piu saggio io sono e l'agitato ingegno Forse allo piu tranquillo? O forse parto Da piu salda cagion l'amor, lo sdegno? Ah che non sol quelle, ch'io canto, o scrivo Tutt é menzogna, e delirando io vivo! Sogno della mia vita è il corso intero. Deh tu, Signor, quando a destarmi arrivo Fa, ch'io trovi riposo in Sen del VERO.

In 1733, the Author composing his Olympiad, felt himself suddenly moved, even to tears, in expressing the separation of two tender lovers. Surprised that a fictitious grief, invented too by himself, could raise so true sion, he reflected how little reasonable and solid a foundation the others had, which so frequently agitated us in this state of our existence.

# SONNET .- IMITATED.

Fables and dreams I feign; yet though but verse. The dreams and fables that adorn this screll, Fond fool, I rave, and grieve as I rehearse; While genuine nears, for fancied sorrows roll. Perhaps the dear delusion of my art is wisdom; and the agitated mind, As still responding to each plaintive part, With love and rage, a tranquil hour can find.

Ah! not alone the tender rhymes I give

Are fictions; but my fears and hopes I deem

Are fables all; deliriously I live, And life's whole course is one protracted dream. Eternal power! when shall I wake to rest. This wearied brain on Truth's immortal breast?

The censure which the Shakepeare of novelists has incurred for the tedious procrastination and the minute de-tails of his fable; his slow unfolding characters, and the slightest gestures of his perzonages, is extremely unjust; for is it not evident that we could not have his peculiar excellences without these attendant defects? When characters are very fully delineated, the narrative must be suspended. Whenever the narrative is rapid, which so much delights superficial readers, the characters cannot be much designs superfocus reasors, the clearactors cannot be very minutely featured; and the writer who aims to instruct (as Richardson avowedly did) by the glow and elequence of his feelings, must often sacrifice to this his local descriptions. Richardson himself has given us the principle that guided him in composing. He tells us, 'If I topic that guided him in composing. He tells us, 'If I give speeches and conversations, I ought to give them justly; for the humours and characters of persons cannot be known unless I repeat what they say, and their manner of saying.

Foreign critics have been more just to Richardson than many of his own countrymen. I shall notice the opinions of three celebrated writers, D'Alombert, Rosseau, and

Diderot.

D'Alembert was a great mathematician. Hig literary Richardson. The volumes, if he ever read them, must have fallen from his hands. The delicate and subtle turnings, those folds of the human heart, which require so nice a touch, was a problem which the mathematician could never solve. There is no other demonstration in the human heart, but an appeal to its feelings; and what are the calculating feelings of an arithmetician of lines and curves? He therefore declared of Richardson that 'La Nature

But thus it was not with the other two congenial geniuses! The fervent opinion of Rosseau must be familiar to the reader; but Diderot, in his eulogy on Richardson, exceeds even Rosseau in the enthusiasm of the familiar. his feelings. I extract some of the most interesting

passages.

Of Clarissa he says, 'I yet remember with delight the first time it came into my hands. I was in the country. How deliciously was I affected! At every moment I saw my happiness abridged by a page. I then experienced the same sensations those feel who have long lived with one they love, and are on the point of separation. close of the work I seemed to remain deserted.'

The impassioned Diderot then breaks forth; 'O Richardson! thou singular genius in my eyes! thou shalt form my reading in all times. If forced by sharp necessity, my friend falls into indigence: if the mediocrity of my fortune is not sufficient to bestow on my children the necessary cares for their education, I will sell my books,-but thou shalt remain, yes thou shalt rest in the same class with Moses, Homer, Euripides, and Sophocles, to be read

alternately.

Oh Richardson, I dare pronounce that the most veri-On Richardson, I dare pronounce that the most veritable history is full of fictions, and thy romances are full of
truths. History paints some individuals; thou paintest
the human species.—History attributes to some individuals
what they have neither said, nor done; all that thou attributest to man he has said and done. History embraces
but a portion of duration, a point on the surface of the
globe; thou hast embraced all places and all times. The
human heart, which has ever been and ever shall be the
same is the model thou conjust. If we were sequently to same, is the model thou copiest. If we were severely to criticise the best historian, would be maintain his ground as thou? In this point of view, I venture to say, that frequently history is a miserable romance; and romance, as thou hast composed it, is a good history. Painter of nature, thou never liest!

'I have never yet met with a person who shared my enthusiam, that I was not tempted to embrace, and to press

him in my arms!

Richardson is no more! His loss touches me, as if my brother was no more. I bore him in my heart without having seen him, and knowing him but by his works. He has not had all the reputation he merited. Richardson! if living, thy merit has been disputed; how great wilt those appear to our children's children, when they shall view thee at the distance we now view Homer. Then who will dare to steal a line from thy sublime works! Thou hast had more admirers amongst us than in thine own coun-

try, and at this I rejoice!

It is probable that to a Frenchman the style of Richardson is not so objectionable when translated, as to ourselves. I think myself, that it is very idiomatic and energetic; others have thought differently. The misfortune of Richardson was, that he was unskilful in the art of writing, and that he could never lay the pen down while his inkhorn supplied it.

He was delighted by his own works. No author enjoyed so much the blass of excessive fondness. I heard from the late Charlotte Lennox, the anecdete which so severely reprimanded his innocent vanity, which Boswell has recorded. This lady was a regular visiter at Richardson's house, and she could scarcely recollect one visit which was not taxed by our author reading one of his voluminous letters, or two or three, if his auditor was quiet and friendly.

The extreme delight which he felt on a review of his own works the works themselves witness. Each is an evidence of what some will deem a violent literary vanity. To Passe's is prefixed a letter from the editor (whom we know to be the author,) consisting of one of the most minutely laboured panegyrics of the work itself, that ever the blindest idolator of some ancient classic paid to the object of his phrenetic imagination. In several places there, he contrives to repeat the striking parts of the narrative, which display the fertility of his imagination to great advantage. To the author's own edition of his Clarisea is appended an alphabetical arrangement of the sentiments dispersed throughout the work; and such was the fondness that dictarougnout the work; and such was the fordiness that dic-tated this voluminous arrangement, that such trivial aphor-isms as, 'habits are not easily changed;' 'Men are known by their companions,' &c, seem alike to be the object of their author's admiration. This collection of sentiments, said indeed to have been sent to him anonymously, is curious and useful, and shows the value of the work, by the extensive grasp of that mind which could think so justly en such numerous topics. And in his third and final la-bour, to each volume of Sir Charles Grandison is not only prefixed a complete indes, with as much exactness, as if it were a History of England, but there is also appended a list of the similies and allusions in the volume; some of which do not exceed three or four in nearly as many hundred pages.

Literary history does not record a more singular example of that self-delight which an author has felt on a revision of his works. It was this intense pleasure which produced his voluminous labours. It must be confessed there are readers deficient in that sort of genius which makes the mind of Richardson so fertile and prodigal.

### TREOLOGICAL STYLE.

In the present volume some notice has been taken of the attempts to recompose the Bible, in a finical affected style; but the broad vulgar colloquial diction, which has been used by our theological writers, is less tolerable than the quaintness of Castalion and the floridity of Pere Berruyer. I omitted to preserve a specimen in its proper place.

The style now noticed was familiar to, and long disgraced the writings of, our divines; and we see it sometimes still employed by some of a certain stamp. Matthew Henry, whose Commentaries are well known, writes in this manner on Judges ix.—'We are here told by what acts Abimelech got into the saddle.—None would have dreamed of making such a fellow as he king.—See how he has wheedled them into the choice. He hired into his service the scam and scoundrels of the country. Jotham was really a fine gentleman.—The Sechemites that set Abimelech up, were the first to kick him off. The Sechemites said all the ill they could of him in their table-talk; they drank healths to his confusion.—Well, Gaal's interest in Sechem is soon at an end. Exit Gaal'?

Lancelot Addison, by the vulgar coarseness of his style, forms an admirable contrast with the amenity and grace of his son's Spectators. He tells us, in his voyage to Barbary, that 'A rabbin once told him, among other heinous stuff, that he did not expect the selicity of the next world on the account of any merits but his own; whoever kept the law would arrive at the bliss, by coming upon his own legs.'

It must be consessed that the rabbin, considering he

It must be confessed that the rabbin, considering be could not conscientiously have the same creed as Addison, did not deliver any very 'heinous stuff,' in believing that other people's merits have nothing to do with our own; and that 'we should stand on our own legs!' But this was not 'proper words in proper places!'

#### INFLUENCE OF NAMES.

What's in a Name? That which we call a rose, , By any other name would smell as sweet.

NAMES, by an involuntary suggestion, produce an entraordinary illusion. Favour or disappointment has been often conceded as the name of the claimant has affected us; and the accidental affinity or coincidence of a mans, connected with ridicule or hatred, with pleasure or disgust, has operated like magic. But the facts connected with this subject will show how this prejudice has branched out.

Sterne has touched on this unreasonable propensity of judging by names, in his humourous account of the elder Mr Shandy's system of christian names. And Walkes has expressed, in Boswell's Life of Johnson, all the influence of Baptismal names, even in matters of postry! He said, 'The last city poet was Elkanak Settle. There is something in names, which one cannot help feeling. Now Elkanah Settle sounds so queer, who can expect much from that name? We should have no hesitation to give it for John Dryden in preference to Elkanah Settle, from the names only, without knowing their different merits.

A lively critic noticing some American poets says, 'There is or was a Mr Dwight who wrote a poem in the shape of an epic; and his baptismal name was Timothy; and involuntarily we infer the sort of epic that a Timothy must write. Sterne humorously exhorts all god-fathers

not ' to Nicodemus a man into nothing!"

There is more truth in this observation than some may be inclined to allow; and that it affects mankind strongly, all ages and all climates may be called on to testify. Even in the barbarous age of Louis XI, they felt a delicacy respecting names, which produced an ordinance from his majesty. The king's barber was named Oliver le Dieble. At first the king allowed him to get rid of the offensive part by changing it to le Malin, but the improvement was not happy, and for a third time he was called Le Massess. Even this did not answer his purpose; and as he was a great racer he finally had his majesty's ordinance to be called Le Dains, under penalty of law if any one should call him Le Diable, Le Malin, or Le Massouis, According to Platina, Sergius the Second was the first pope whe changed his name in ascending the papel throne; because his proper name was Hog's mouth, very unsuitable with the pomp of the tiara. The ancients felt the same fastifications and among the Romans, those who were called to the equestrian order, having low and vulgar names, were new-named on the occasion, lest the former one should disgrace the dignity.

were new-named on the occasion, test the occasion should disprace the dignity.

When Barbier, a French wit, was chosen for the preceptor of Colbert's son, he felt his name was so uncoopenial to his new profession, that he assumed the more splendid one of D'Aucour, by which he is now known. Madame Gomez had married a person named Bonhow but she would never exchange her nobler Spanish name to prefix her married one to her romances, which indicated too much of meek humility. Guez (a beggar) is a French writer of great pomp of style, but he felt such extreme delicacy at so low a name, that to give some authority to the splendour of his diction, he assumed the name of his estate: and is well known as Balzac. A French poet of the name of Theophile Viaut, finding that his surname pronounced like veau (calf) exposed him to the infinite jests of the minor wite, silently dropped it, by retaining the more poeti-cal appellation of *Theophile*. The learned Baillet has collected various literary artifices employed by some who, still preserving a natural attachment to the names of their fathers, yet blushing at the same time for their meanness, have in their Latin works attempted to obviate the ridicule which they provoked. One Gaucher (left-handed) borrowed the name of Scevola, because Scevola, having borst his right arm, became consequently left-handed. also one De la Borgne (one-eved) called himself Strebe; De Charpentier took that of Fabricius; De Valet translated his Servilius; and an unlucky gentleman, who bore the name of De bout d'homme, boldly assumed that of Virulus, Dorat, a French poet, had for his real name Disnessed, which, in the dialect of the Limousins, signifies one who dines in the morning: that is, who has no other dinner than his breakfast. This dograding name he changed to

Digitized by Google

Dorst, or gilded, a nickname which one of his ancestors had borne for his fair tresses. But by changing his πασιε, as feelings were not cutirely quieted, for unfortunately his daughter cherished an invincible parsion for a learned man, who unluckily was named Goulu: that is, a shark, or gluttonous as a shark. Miss Disnemandi felt naturally a strong attraction for a goulu; and in spite of her father's rengastrances, she once more renewed his sorrows in this aliance!

There are unfortunate names, which are very injurious to the cause in which they are engaged; for instance, the long parliament in Cromwell's time, called by derision the Rump, was headed by one Barbones, a leatherseller. It was afterwards called by his unlucky name, which served to heighten the ridicule cast over it by the nation.

Formerly a custom prevailed with learned men to change their names. They showed at once their contempt for rulgar denominations and their ingenious crudina. They christened themselves with Latin and Greek. This disguising of names came, at length, to be considered to have a positical tendency, and so much alarmed Pope Paul the Second, that he imprisoned several porsons for their using certain affected names, and some, indeed, thich they could not give a reason why they assumed, which they could not give a reason why they assumed, Desideriss Eressus was a name formed out of his family name Gerard, which in Dutch signifies amiable; or GAM Of ALLIA matter. He first changed it to a Latin word of such the same signification. Desideriss, which afterwards he refined into the Greek Erassus, by which names he is now recome. The celebrated Reschin, which in German signifies smoke, considered it more dignified to smoke in Greek, by the name of Capaio. An Italian physician of the name of Sense Maliria prided himself as much on his translating it into the Greek Akakia, as on the works which be published under that name. One of the most shinks be published under that name. One of the most schools of the reformers was originally name Hertz Schoorts (black earth.) which he elegantly turned into the Greek name of Melanchen. The vulgar name of a great Italian poet was Trapasso, but when the learned Gravina resolved to devote the youth to the muses, he gave him a mellifluous name, which they have long known and cherished—Melastasio.

Harsh names will have, in spite of all our philosophy, a painful and ludicrous effect on our ears and our associations; it is veratious that the softness of delicious wowels, or the ruggedness of inexorable consonants, should at all be connected with a man's happiness, or even have an in-

fluence on his fortune,

The actor Macklin was softened down by taking in the first and last syllables of the name of Macklaughlin, as Mallock was polished to Mallet, and even our sublime Milton, in a moment of humour and hatred to the Scots, condescends to insinuate that their barbarous names are symbolical of their natures,—and from a man of the name of Mac Collection, he expects no mercy. Virgil, when young, formed a design of a national poem, but was soon discouraged from proceeding, merely by the rough-ness and asperity of the old Roman names, such as Decises Mus; Lucasse; Vobias Caudez. The same thing has happened to a friend who began an Epic on the subject of Druke's discoveries: the name of the hero often will produce a ludicrous effect, but one of the most unlucky of his chief beroes must be Thomas Doughty! One of Blackmore's chief heroes in his Alfred is named Gunter; a printer's erratum might have been fatal to all his herom; as it is, he makes a sorry appearance. Metastasio found himself in the same situation. In one of his letters he writes, 'The title of my new opera is R. Re Pastor.
The chief incident is the restitution of the kingdom of Siden to the lawful heir; a prince with such a hypocondriec name, that he would have disgraced the title page of any piece: who would have been able to bear an opera entitled L'Abdolonisto? I have contrived to name him as seldon as possible. So true is it, as the caustic Boileau exclaims of an spic poet of his days, who had shown some exterity in eacophony, when he choose his hero—

O le plaisant projet d'un Poete ignorant Qui de tant de heros va choisir Chiklebrand ; D'un seul nom quelquefois le son dur et bizarre Rend un poeme entier, ou brirlequie ou barbarre. Art Poetique, CIII, v. 241.

'In such a crowd the Poet were to blame
To choose King Chilperic for his hero's name.'
Sir W Soames,

This epic poet perceiving the town joined in the severe raillery of the poet, published a long defence of his hero's name; but the town was inexorable, and the epic poet afterwards changed Chiedebrand's name to Charles Martel, which probably was discovered to have something more humane. Corneille's Partharite was an unsuccessful tragedy, and Voltaire deduces its ill fortune partly from its barbarous names, such as Garibald and Edvige. Voltaire, in giving the names of the founders of Helvetic freedom, says the difficulty of pronouncing these respectable names is injurious to their celebrity; they are Melchted, Stauffacher and Valtherfurst.

We almost hesitate to credit what we know to be true, that the length or the shortness of a name can seriously influence the mind. But history records many facts of this nature. Some nations have long cherished a feeling that there is a certain elevation or abasement in proper names. Montaigne on this subject says, 'A gentleman, one of my neighbours, in overvaluing the excellencies of old times, never omitted noticing the pride and magnificence of the names of the nobility of those days! Don Grumedan, names of the nobility of those days! Don Grassedon, Quadragen, Argesilan, when fully sounded, were evidently men of another stamp than Peter, Giles, and Michel.' What could be hoped for from the names of Ebenezer, Malachi, and Methusalem? The Spaniards have long been known for cherishing a passion for dignified names, and are marvellously affected by long and voluminous ones; to enlarge them they often add the places of their residence. We ourselves seem affected by trinle names. We ourselves seem affected by triple names, residence. and the authors of certain periodical publications always assume for their non de guerre a triple name, which doubt-less raises them much higher in their readers' esteem than a mere christian and surname. Many Spaniards have given themselves names from some remarkable incident in their lives. One took the name of the Royal Transport for having conducted the Infanta in Italy. Ornadayes added de la Paz, for having signed the peace in 1725. Navarro, after a naval battle off Toulon, added la Vittoria, though he had remained in safety at Cadiz while the French Admiral Le Court had fought the battle, which was outrely in favour of the English. A favourite of the King of Spain, a great genius, and the friend of Farinelli, who had sprung from a very obscure origin, to express his contempt of these empty and haughty names, assumed, when called to the administration, that of the Marquis of La Ensenda (nothing in himself.)

But the influence of long names is of very ancient stand-

But the influence of long names as of very ancient standing. Lucian notices one Simon, who coming to a great fortune aggrandised his name to Simonides. Dioclesian had once been plain Diocles before he was Emperor. When Bruna became Queen of France, it was thought proper to convey some of the regal pomp in her name by

calling her Brunehault.

The Spaniards then must feel a most singular contempt for a very short name, and on this subject Fuller has recorded a pleasant fact. An opulent citizen of the name of John Cuts (what name can be more unluckily short?) was ordered by Elizabeth to receive the Spanish Ambassador; but the latter complained grievously, and thought he was disparaged by the shortness of his name. He imagined that a man bearing a monosyllabic name could never, in the great alphabet of civil life, have performed any thing great or honourable; but when he found that honest John Cuts displayed a hospitality which had nothing monosyllabic in it, he groaned only at the utterance of the name of his host.

There are names indeed, which in the social circle will in spite of all due gravity awaken a harmless smile, and Shenstone solemnly thanked God that his name was not liable to a pun. There are some names which excite horror, such as Mr Stab-back; others contempt, as Mr Twopenny: and others of vulgar or absurd signification, subject too often to the insolence of domestic withings, which occasions irritation even in the minds of worthy, but suf-

fering, men.

There is an association of pleasing ideas with certain sames; and in the literary world they produce a fine effect. Bloomfeld is a name apt and fortunate for that rustic bard; as Florian seems to describe his sweet and flowery style. Dr Parr derived his first acquaintance with the late Mr Homer from the aptness of his name, associating with his pursuits. Our writers of Romances and Novels are initiated into all the arcana of names, which costs them many painful inventions. It is recorded of one of the old Spanish writers of romance, that he was for

many days at a loss to coin a fit name for one of his giants; he wished to hammer out one equal in magnitude to the person he conceived in imagination; and in the haughty and lofty name of Traquetantos, he thought he had suc ceeded. Richardson, the great father of our novelists, appears to have considered the name of Sir Charles appears to have considered the name of Sir Charles
(Grandison, as perfect as his character, for his Hervine
writes, 'You know his noble name, my Lucy.' He felt
the same for his Clementins, for Miss Byron writes, 'Ah,
Lucy, what a pretty name is Clementins?' We experience a certain tenderness for names, and persons of refined imaginations are fond to give affectionate or lively epithets to things and persons they love. Petrarch would call one friend Lelius, and another Socrates, as descriptive of their character. In more ancient times, in our own country, the ladies appear to have been equally sensible to poetical or elegant names, such as Alicia, Celecia, Diana, Helena, &c., a curious point amply proved by Mr Chalmers, in his Apology for the Believers in the Shakspeare Papers, p. 178. Spenser, the poet, gave to his two sons two names of this kind; he called one Situation that would kill the state of th of this kind; he called one Silvanus, from the woody Kilcolman, his estate; and the other Peregrine, from his having been born in a strange place, and his mother then travelling. The fair Eloisa gave the whimsical name of Astrolabus to her boy; it bore some reference to the stars, as her own to the sun. Whether this name of Astrolabus had any scientific in-

Whether this name of Astrolabus had any scientific induces over the son, I know not; but I have no doubt that whimsical names may have a great influence over our characters. The practice of romantic names among persons even of the lowest orders of society, has become a very general evil, and doubtless many unfortunate beauties, of the names of Clarissa and Eloisa, might have escaped under the less dangerous appellatives of Elizabeth or Deborah. I know a person who has not passed his life without some inconvenience from his name, mean talents and violent passions not according with Antonius: and a certain writer of verses, seldom sober, might have been no versifier, and less a lover of the true Falernian, had it not been for his namesake of Horace. The Americans by assuming Roman names, produce some ludicrous associations. Romulus Riggs, is the name of a performer, and Junius Brutus Booth of a stroller! There was, however, more sense when the Foundling Hospital was first instituted, in baptising the most robust boys, designed for the sea-service by the names of Drake, Norris, or Blake, after our fa-

mous Admirals.

It is no trifling misfortune in life to bear an illustrious name; and in an author it is peculiarly severe. A History now by a Mr Hume, or a poem by a Mr Pope, would be examined by different eyes than had they borne any other name. The relative of a great author should endeavour not to be an author. Thomas Corneille had the unfortunate honour of being brother to a great poet, and his own merits have been considerably injured by the involuntary comparison. The son of Racine has written with an amenity not unworthy of his celebrated father; amiable and candid, he had his portrait painted, with the works of his father in his hand, and his eye fixed on this verse from Phactre.

'Et moi, fils inconnu! d'un si glorieux Pere!'

But even his modesty only served to whet the dart of Epigram. It was once bitterly said of the son of an eminent literary character:

'He tries to write because his father writ, And shows himself a bastard by his wit.'

Amongst some of the disagreeable consequences attending some names, is, when they are unfortunately adapted to an uncommon rhyme; but, indeed, how can any man defend himself from this malicious ingenuity of wit? Ferret, one of those unfortunate victims to Boileau's verse, is said not to have been deficient in the decorum of his maners, and he complained that he was represented as a drunkard, merely because his name rhymed to Calaret. Murphy, no doubt, studied hard, and felicitated himself in his literary quarrel with Dr Franklin, the poet and critical reviewer, by adopting the singular rhyme of 'Envy rankling' to his rival's and critic's name.

Superstition has interfered even in the choice of names, and this solemn folly has received the name of a science, called Onematic; of which the superstitious ancients discovered a hundred foolish mysteries. They cast up the numeral letters of names, and Achilles was therefore fated to vanquish Hoctor, from the numeral letters in his name

amounting to a higher number than his rival's. made many whimsical divisions and subdivisions of name to prove them lucky or unlucky. But these follos are not those that I am now treating on. Some names have been considered as more auspicious than others. Cicero informs us that when the Romans raised troops, they anxious that the name of the first soldier who exhistes should be one of good augury. When the censors numbered the citizens, they always begun by a fortunate name, such as Salvius Valerius. A person of the name of Regillianus was chosen emperor, merely from the royal sound for the name and Lating was elected the contract of the name and Lating was elected the contract of the name and Lating was elected the contract of the name and Lating was elected the contract of the name and Lating was elected the contract of the name and Lating was elected the contract of the name and Lating was elected the contract of the name and Lating was elected the contract of the name and Lating was elected the contract of the name and Lating was elected to the contract of the name of his name, and Jovian was elected because his name approached nearest to the beloved one of the philosophic Julian. This fanciful superstition was even carried so far that some were considered as auspicious, and others as unfortunate. The superstitious belief in auspicious names was so strong, that Cesar, in his African expedition, gave a command to an obscure and distant relative of the Scipios, to please the popular prejudice that the Scipios were invincible in Africa. Suctonius observes that all those of the family of Cessar who bore the surname of Caises perished by the sword. The Emperor Severus com himself for the licentious life of his Empress Julia, from the fatality attending those of her name. This strange prejadice of lucky and unlucky names prevailed in modera Europe; the successor of Adrian VI, (as Guicciardus tells us) wished to preserve his own name on the papal throne; but he gave up the wish when the conclave of cardinals used the powerful argument that all the popes who had preserved their own names had died in the first year of their pontificates. Cardinal Marcel Cervin, who preserved his name when elected pope, died on the twentieth day of his pontificate, and thus confirmed this superstitious opinion. La Motte le Vayer gravely asserts that all the Queens of Naples of the name of Joss, and the Kings of Scotland of the name of Josses, have been unfortunate, and we have formal treatises of the fatality of christian names.

It is a vulgar notion that every female of the name of Agnes is fated to become mad. Every nation has some names labouring with this popular prejudice. Herrera, the Spanish historian, records an anecdote in which the choice of a queen entirely arose from her name. When two French ambassadors negotiated a marriage between one of the Spanish princesses and Louis VIII, the Lames of the royal females Urracs and Blanche. The former was the elder and the more beautiful, and intended by the Spanish court for the French monarch; but they resolutely preferred Blanche, observing that the name of Urracs would never do! and for the sake of a more melifiduous sound, they carried off, exulting in their own discerning ears, the happier named, but less beautiful princess.

There are names indeed which are painful to the feet

There are names indeed which are painful to the feel ings, from the associations of our passions. I have seen the christian name of a gentleman, the victim to the caprice of his godfather, who is called Blast us Godfy,—which, were he designed for a bishop, must irritate religious feelings. I am not surprised that one of the Spanish meanrhs refused to employ a sound Catholic for his secretary, because his name (Martin Luttero) had an affinity to the name of the reformer. Mr Rose has recently informed us that an architect called Malacarns, who I believe, had nothing against him but his name, was lately deprived of his place as principal architect by the Austrian government. Let us hope not for his unlucky name! though that government, according to Mr Rose, acts on capricious principles! The fondness which some have felt us perpetuate their names, when their race has fallen extent; is well known; and a fortune has then been bestowed for a change of name; but the affection for names has gone even further. A similitude of names, Camdon observes, 'don't kindle sparks of love and liking among mere strangers.' I have observed the great pleasure of persons with uncommon names, meeting with another of the same name; an instant relationship appears to take place, and fraquently fortunes have been bequeathed for namesake. An erasemental manufacturer who bears a name which he supposes to be very uncommon, having executed as order of a gentleman of the same name, refused to send his bill, never having met with the like, preferring the honour of serving him for namesake.

Among the Greeks and the Romans, beautiful and significant names were studied. The sublime Plate himself has noticed the present topic,—his visionary car was sensible to the delicacy of a name, and his exalted fancy was de-

Digitized by GOOGIC

ignied with beautiful names, as well as every other spe-cies of beauty. In his Cratyllus he is solicitous, that persons should have happy, harmonious, and attractive sames. According to Aulus Gellus, the Athenians enacted by a public decree, that no slave should ever bear the consecrated names of their two youthful patriots, Harmo-dius and Aristogiton; names which had been devoted to the liberties of their country, they considered would be con-taminated by servitude. The ancient Romans, decreed taminated by servitude. that the surname of infamous patricians should not be borne by any other patrician of that family, that their very names might be degraded and expire with them. Eutroplus gives a pleasing proof of national friendships being comented by a mame; by a treaty of peace between the Romans and the Sabines they agree to melt the two nations into one mass, that they should bear their names conjointly; the Roman should add his to the Sabine, and the Sabase take a Roman name.

The ancients named both persons and things from some event, or other circumstance, connected with the object they were to name. Chance, fancy, superstition, fondness, and piety have invented names. It was a common and whimsical custom among the ancients (observes Larcher) to give as nicknames, the letters of the alphabet.—
Thus a lame girl was called Lambda, on account of the resemblance which her lameness made her bear to the letter \( \lambda \), or lambda! Æsop was called Theta by his master, from his superior acuteness. Another was called Bets, from his love of beet. It was thus Scarron, with minite good temper, alluded to his zig-zag body, by comparing himself to the letters s or z.

The learned Calmet also notices among the Hebrew, sich-same, and names of raillery taken from defects of body, or mind, &c. One is called Nabal or fool; another Hamor the Ass; Hagab the Grasshopper, &c. Women had frequently the names of animals; as Deborah the Bee; had frequently the names of animals; as Decorate index, Rachel the Sheep. Others from their nature or other qualifications; as Tamar the Palm-tree; Hadassa the Myrtle; Sarah the Princess; Hannah the Gracious.—The Indians of North America employ sublime and pictures. turesque names; such are the Great Eagle—the Partridge—Dawn of the Day!—Great swift arrow—Path-opener! -Sun-bright!

# THE JEWS OF YORK.

Among the most interesting passages of history are those is which we contemplate an oppressed, yet sublime spirit, agitated by the conflict of two terrific passions: impacable hatred attempting a resolute vengeance, while that vengeance, though impotent, with dignified and si-lent horror, sinks into the last expression of despair. In a degenerate nation, we may, on such rare occasions, dis-cover among them a spirit superior to its companious and

In the ancient and modern history of the Jews, we may find two kindred examples. I refer the reader for the more ancient narrative, to the second book of the Macca-bees, chap. xiv, v. 37. No feeble and unaffecting painting is presented in the simplicity of the original: I proceed to relate the narrative of the Jews of York.

When Richard I ascended the throne, the Jews, to conciliate the royal protection, brought their tributes. Many had bastened from remote parts of England, and appearing at Westminister, the court and the mob imagined that they had leagued to be witch his majesty. An edict was issued to forbid their presence at the coronation; but several, whose curiosity was greater than their prudence, conceived that they might pass unobserved among the crowd, and renture to insinuate themselves into the abbey. Probably their voice and their visage alike betrayed them for they were soon discovered; they flew diversely in great con-meration, while many were dragged out with little remains of life.

A russour spread rapidly through the city, that in honour of the feeting, the Jews were to be massacred. The populace, at once eager of royalty and riot, pillaged and burnt their houses, and murdered the devoted Jews. Benedict, a low of York, to save his life, received baptism; and returning to that city, to his friend Jocenus, the most opulent of the Jows, died of his wounds. Jocenus and his servants narrated the late tragic circumstances to their most open to the comment of the late tragic circumstances. Suppleous, but where they hoped to move sympathy, they seemed rage. The people at York soon gathered to imitate the people at London; and their first assault was on the house of the late Benedict, which having some strength and magnitude, contained his family and friends, who found their graves in its ruins. The alarmed Jews hastened to Jocenus, who conducted them to the governor of York Castle, and prevailed on him to afford them an asylum for their persons and effects. In the meanwhile their cept a few unresisting being, who unmanly in sustaining honour, were adapted to receive baptism.

The castle had sufficient strength for their defence; but a suspicion arising that the governor, who often went out, intended to betray thom, they on any refused him entrance. He complained to the sheriff of the county, and the chiefs of the violent party, who stood deeply indebted to the Jews, uniting with him, orders were issued to attack the casile. The cruel multitude united with the soldiery felt such a desire of slaughtering those they intended to despoil, that the sheriff, repenting of the order, revoked it, but in vain; fanaticism and robbery once set loose will satiate their appentency for blood and plunder. They solicited the aid of the superior citizens, who perhaps not owing quite so much money to the Jews, humanely refused it; but having addressed the clergy (the barbarous clergy of those days) were by them animated, conducted, and

The leader of this rabble was a canon regular, whose zeal was so fervent, that he stood by them in his surplice, which he considered as a coat of mail, and reiteratedly exclaimed, 'Destroy the enemies of Jesus,' This spiritual laconism invigorated the arm of men, who perhaps wanted no other stimulative than the hope of obtaining the im-mense property of the besieged. ' is related of this canon, mense property of the besieged. is related of this canon, that every morning before he went to assist in battering the walls, he swallowed a consecrated wafer. One day having approached too near, defended as he conceived by his surplice, this church militant was crushed by a heavy fragment of the wall, rolled from the battlement.

But the avidity of certain plunder prevailed over any reflection, which, on another occasion, the loss of so pious a leader might have raised. Their attacks continued; till leader might have raised. Their attacks continued; till at length the Jews perceived they could hold out no longer, and a council was called, to consider what remained to be done in the extremity of danger.

Among the Jews, their elder Rabbin was most respected. It has been customary with this people to invite for this place some foreigner, renowned among them for the depth of his learning, and the sanctity of his manners. At this time the Haham, or elder Rabbin, was a foreigner who had been sent over to instruct them in their laws, and was a person, as we shall observe of no ordinary qualifications. When the Jewish council was assembled, the Haham rose, and addressed them in this manner- Men of Israel! the God of our ancestors is omnicient, and there is no one who can say why doest thou this? This day he commands us to die for his law; for that law which we have cherishes to use for his law, if or that law which we have cherisated from the first hour it was given, which we have preserved pure throughout our captivity in all nations, and which for the many consolations it has given us, and the eternal hope it communicates, can we do less than die? Posterity shall behold this book of truth, sealed with our blood; and our death, while it displays our sincerity, shall impart confidence to the wanderer of Israel. Death is before our eyes; and we have only to choose an honoura-ble and easy one. If we fall into the hands of our enemies, which you know we cannot escape, our death will be ignominious and cruel; for these Christians, who picture the spirit of God in a dove, and confide in the meek Jesus, are athirst for our blood, and prowl around the castle like wolves. It is, therefore, my advice that we clude their tortures; that we ourselves should be our own executioners and that we voluntarily surrender our lives to our Creator. We trace the invisible Jehovah in his acts; God seems to call for us, but let us not be unworthy of that call. Suicide, on occasions like the present, is both rational and lawful; many examples are not wanting among our fore-fathers; as I advise men of Israel! they have acted on similar occasions.' Having said this, the old man sat down and wept.

The assembly was divided in their opinions. Men of fortitude applauded its wisdom, but the pussillanimous

murmured that it was a dreadful council.

Again the Rabbin rose, and spoke these few words in a firm and decisive tone. 'My children; since we are not unanimous in our opinions, let those who do not approve of my advice depart from this assembly!"—Some departed, but the greater number attached themselves to their vone

They now employed themselves in consuming their valuables by fire; and every man, fearful of trusting to the timid and irresolute hand of the women, first destroyed his wife and children, and then himself. Jocenus and the Rabbin alone remained. Their life was protracted to the last, that they might see every thing performed, according to their orders. Jocenus, being the chief Jew, according to their orders. Jocenus, being the chief Jew, was distinguished by the last mark of human respect, in receiving his death from the consecrated hand of the aged Rabbin, who immediately after performed the melancholy duty on himself. nty on himself.
All this was transacted in the depth of the night. In

All this was transacted in the depth of the might, and the morning the walls of the castle were seen wrapt in flames, and only a few miserable and pusilianimous beings, unworthy of the sword, were viewed on the battlements, pointing to their extinct brethren. When they opened the gates of the castle, these men verified the prediction of their late Rabbin; for the multitude, bursting through the statements found the mentioned defining houses. solitary courts, found themselves defrauded of their hopes, and in a moment avenged themselves on the feeble wretch-

es, who knew not to die with honour.

Such is the narrative of the Jews of York, of whom the historian can only cursorily observe, that five hundred destroyed themselves; but it is the philosopher who inquires into the causes, and the manner of these glorious suicides. These are histories which meet only the eye suicides. of few, yet they are of infinitely more advantage than those which are read by every one. We instruct ourselves in meditating on these scenes of heroic exer-tion; and if by such histories we make but a slow pro-gress in chronology, our heart is however expanded with

I admire not the stoicism of Cato more than the fortitude of the Rabbin; or rather we should applaud that of the Rabbin much more; for Cato was familiar with the animating visions of Plato, and was the associate of Cicero and of Cosar. The Rabbin had probably read only the and of Cosar. The Rabbin had probably read only the Pentateuch, and mingled with companions of mean occupations, and meaner minds. Cato was accustomed to the grandeur of the mistress of the universe, and the Rabbin to the littleness of a provincial town. Men, like pictures, may be placed in an obscure and unfavourable light; but the finest picture, in the unilluminated corner, still retains

the design and colouring of the master. My Rabbin is a companion for Cato. His history is a tale,

'Which Cato's self had not disdain'd to hear.'

# THE SOVEREIGHTY OF THE SEAR.

The sovereignty of the seas, which foreigners dispute with us, is as much a conquest as any one obtained on land; it is gained and preserved by our cannon, and the French, who, for ages past, exclaim against what they call our ty-ranny, are only hindered from becoming themselves uni-versal tyrants over land and sea, by that sovereignty of the seas without which Great Britain would cease to exist.

In the late memoir of the French Institute, I read a bitter philippic against this sovereignty, and a notice adapted to the writer's purpose of two great works: the one by Selden, and the other by Grotius, on this subject. The following is the historical anecdote useful to revive.

In 1634 a dispute arose between the English and Dutch concerning the herring-fishery upon the British coast.— The French and Dutch had always persevered in declaring that the seas were perfectly free; and grounded their reasons on a work of Hugo Grotius.

So early as in 1609 the great Grotius had published

So early as in 1909 the great Grouns has published his treatise of Mare Liberum, in favour of the freedom of the seas. And it is a curious fact, that in 1618, Selden had composed another treatise in defence of the king's dominion over the seas; but which from accidents which are known, was not published till this dispute revived the controversy. Selden, in 1636, gave the world his Mare Claus-son, in answer to the treatise of Grotius.

Both these great men felt a mutual respect for each

As a matter of curious discussion, and legal investiga-tion, the philosopher must incline to the arguments of Sciden, who has proved by records the first occupancy of the English; and the English dominion over the four seas, to the utter exclusion of the French and Dutch from fishing, without our license. He proves that our kings have always levied great sums, without even the concurrence of their parliaments, for the express purpose of defending this sovereignty at sea. A copy of Selden's work was placed in the council-chest of the Exchequer, and in the court of admiralty, as one of our most precious records.

The historical apocdote is finally closed by the Dutch

themselves, who now agreed to acknowledge the English sovereignty in the seas, and pay a tribute of thirty thousand pounds to the King of England, for liberty to fish me the seas, and consented to annual tributes.

That the Dutch yielded to Selden's arguments is triumph we cannot venture to boast. regum prevailed; and when we had destroyed their whole fishing fleet, the affair appeared much clearer than in the ingenious volumes of Grotius or Selden. Another Dutchman presented the States-General with a ponderous reply to Selden's Mare Clausum, but the wise Sommeledy advised the states to suppress the idle discussion; observing that this affair must be decided by the sword, and not by the pen.

It may be curious to add, that as no prevailing or fashion ble subject can be agitated, but some idler must interfere to make it extravagant and very new, so this grave sub-ject did not want for somothing of this nature. A learned Italian, I believe, agreed with our author Seiden in general, that the sea, as well as the earth, is subject to some states; but he maintained, that the dominion of the sea

belonged to the Genoese!

### ON THE CUSTOM OF RISSING MANDS.

Mr Morin, a French academician, has amused himself with collecting several historical notices of this custom. I give a summary, for the benefit of those who have had the honour of kissing his majesty's hand. It is not those who kiss the royal hand who could write best on the custom.

This custom is not only very ancient, and nearly universal, but has been alike participated by religion and

To begin with religion. From the remotest times mea saluted the sun, moon, and stars, by kissing the hand-Job assures us that he was never given to this superstition, xxxi, 26. The same honour was rendered to Baal, Kings,

i, 18. Other instances might be adduced.

We now pass to Greece. There all foreign superstitions were received. Lucian, after having mentioned various sorts of sacrifices which the rich offered the gods, adds, that the poor adored them by the simpler compliment of ous sorts of sacrifices which the rich offered the gods, a kissing their hands. That author gives an anecdote of number and the state of the sta did it, however, more securely to swallow the poison he had prepared for such an occasion. He mentions other instances.

From the Greeks it passed to the Romans. places it amongst those ancient customs of which they were ignorant of the origin or the reason. Persons were treated as atheists, who would not kiss their hands when they entered a temple. When Apuleius mentions Psyche, he says, she was so beautiful that they adored her as Venue, in kissing the right hand.

This ceremonial action rendered respectable the carliest institutions of Christianity. It was a custom with the primeval bishops to give their hands to be kissed by the ministers who served at the altar.

This custom however, as a religious rite, declined wafe

In society our ingenious academician considers the cus-tom of kissing hands as essential to its welfare. It is a mute form, which expresses reconciliation, which entreats favours, or which thanks for those received. It is a us

revolves, or which thanks for those received. It is a sup-versal language, intelligible without an interpreter; which doubtless preceded writing, and perhaps speech itself. Solomon says of the flatterers and suppliants of his time, that they ceased not to kiss the hands of their patrons, it they had obtained the favours which they solicited. In Homer we see Priam kiesing the hands and embracing the knees of Achilles, while he supplicates for the body of Heeter.

of Hector.

This custom prevailed in ancient Rome, but it varied. In the first ages of the republic, it seems to have been only practised by inferiors to their superiors:—equals gave their hands and embraced. In the progress of time even the soldiers refused to show this mark of respect to their generals; and their kissing the hand of Cato when he was obliged to quit them was regarded as an extraordinary

circumstance. at a period of such refinement. The great respect paid to the tribunes, consuls, and dictators, obliged admiduals to live with them in a more distant and resduals to live with them in a more distant and recutal manner; and instead of embracing them as they did formerly, they considered themselves as fortunate if allowed to kins their hands. Under the emperors, kissing hands became an essential duty, even for the great them solves; inferior courtiers were obliged to be content to adore the purple, by kneeling, tooching the robe of the emperor by the right hand, and carrying it to the mouth. Even this was thought too free; and at length they saluted the emperor at a distance, by kinsing their hands, in the same same as when they adored their gods. It is superfloous to trace this custom in every country.

sand lords saluted him, in touching the earth with their hands, which they afterwards carried to their mouths.

Thus, whether the custom of salutation is practised by hissing the hands of others from respect, or in bringing one s own to the mouth, it is of all other customs the most universal. Mr Moria concludes, that this practice is now become too gross a familiarity, and it is considered as a meanness to kiss the hand of those with whom we are in labits of intercourse: and he prettily observes that this custom would be entirely lost, if lovers were not solicitous to preserve it in all its full power.

Valois observes that the Pages scrupulously followed, is the early ages of the church, the custom of placing their names after that of the porson whom they addressed in their letters. This meark of their humility he proves by letters written by various Popes. Thus when the great projects of politics were yet unknown to them, did they ad-here to Christian meckness. There came at length the day when one of the Popes, whose name does not occur to any when one of the Popes, whose management in order to me, said that it was safer to quarrel with a prince than with a friar. Henry VI being at the feet of Pope Celestine, his boliness thought proper to kick the crown off his head; which ludicrous and disgraceful action, Baronius has highly praised. Jortin observes on this great cardinal, and advocate of the Roman see, that he breathes nothing but fire and brimstone; and accounts kings and emperors to be mere catch-poles and constables, bound to execute with implicit faith all the commands of insolent ecclesiastics. Bellarmin was made a cardinal for his efforts and devotion to the papal cause, and maintaining this mona-trous paradox,—that if the pope forbid the exercise of vir-tue, and command that of vice, the Roman church, under pan of a sin, was obliged to abandon virtue for vice, if it would not sin against conscience!

It was Nicholas I, a bold and enterprising Pope, who, in 850, forgetting the pious modesty of his predecessors, took advantage of the divisions in the royal families of France, and did not hesitate to place his name before that of the kings and emperors of the house of France, to whom he wrote. Since that time he has been imitated by all his ors, and this encroachment on the honours of monarchy has passed into a custom from having been tolerated in its commencement.

Concerning the acknowledged infallibility of the Popes a spears that Gregory VII, in council decreed that the church of Rome neither had erred and never should err. It was thus this prerogative of his boliness became received, nil 1813, when John XXII abrogated decrees made by three popes his predecessors, and declared that what was done smiss by one pope or council might be corrected by another; and Gregory XI, 1370, in his will deprecates, si quid in catholica fide errasset. The university of Vienna momental countries it will be predecible in the catholica fide errasset. protested against it, calling it a contempt of God, and an idelary, if any one in matters of faith should appeal from a conscit to the Pope: that is, from God who presides in conscit to men. But the infollobility was at length established by Leo X, especially after Luther's opposition, because they despaired of defending their indulgences, bulls, &c., by any other method.

Imagination cannot form a scene more terrific that when bees men were in the height of power, and to serve their political purposes hurled the thunders of their excommunime over a kingdom. It was a national distress not inrior to a plague or famine. Philip Augustus, desirous of divorcing Ingelburg, to

unite himself to Agnes de Merahie, the Pope put his king-dom under an interdict. The churches were shut during the space of eight months; they said neither mass nor vespors; they did not marry; and even the offspring of the married, born at this unhappy period, sere considered as illicit; and because the king would not sleep with his wife, it was not permitted to any of his subjects to sleep with theirs! In that year France was threatened with an extinction of the ordinary generation. A man under this curse of public penance was divested of all his functions, civil, military and matrimonial; he was not allowed to dress his hair, to shave, to bathe, nor even change his li-nen, so that, says Saint Fox, upon the whole this made a neth, so that, says Saint Fox, upon me whose time state a filthy penitent. The good king Robert incurred the censures of the church for having married his cousin. He was immediately abandoned. Two faithful domestics along remained with him, and these always passed through the fire whatever he touched. In a word, the horror which an excommunication occasioned was such that a woman of pleasure, with whom Peletier had passed some moments, having learnt soon afterwards that he had been above six months an excommunicated person, fell into a panic, and with great difficulty recovered from her convulsions.

#### LITERARY COMPOSITION.

To literary composition we may apply the saying of an ancient philosopher: 'a little thing gives perfection, although perfection is not a little thing.'

The great legislator of the Hebrews orders us to pull off the fruit of the first three years, and not to taste them.
Levit. xix, yer. 23. He was not ignorant how it weakens a young tree to bring to maturity its first fruits. literary compositions, our green essays ought to be picked away. The word Zamar, by a beautiful metaphor from pransing trees, means in Hebrew to compose verses. Blotting and correcting was so much Churchill's abhorrence, that I have heard from his publisher, he once energetically ex-pressed himself, that it was like outling away one's sum Assh. This strong figure sufficiently shows his repugnance to an author's duty. Churchill now lies neglected, for posterity only will respect those, who

# File off the mortal part Of glowing thought with attic art.

Young.

I have heard that this careless bard, after a successful work, usually precipitated the publication of another, relying on its crudeness being passed over on the public curiosity excited by its better brother. He called this getting double pay; for thus he secured the sale of a hurried work. But Churchill was a spendthrift of fame, and enjoyed all his revenue while he lived; posterity owes him

little, and pays him nothing!

Bayle, an experienced observer in literary matters, tells us, that correction is by no means practicable by some authors; as in the case of Ovid. In exile, his compositions were nothing more than spiritless repetitions of what he had formerly written. He confesses both negligence and which animated his first productions, failing when he revised his poems, he found correction too laborious, and he abandoned it. This, however, was only an excuse. 'It is certain, that some authors cannot correct. They comtheir force: they fly but with one wing when they review their works; the first fire does not return, there is in their imagination a certain calm which hinders their pen from making any progress. Their mind is like a boat, which only advances by the strength of oars.'

Dr More, the Platonist, had such an exuberance of

fancy, that correction was a much greater labour than com-position. He used to say, that in writing his works, he was forced to cut his way through a crowd of thoughts as through a wood, and that he threw off in his compositions as much as would make an ordinary philosopher. More was a great enthusiast, and, of course, an egotist, so that was a great entiusiast, and, of course, an egotist, so that criticism ruffled his temper, notwithstanding all his Platonism. When accused of obscurities and extravagances, he said that like the ostrich, he laid his eggs in the sands, which would prove vital and prolific in time; however, these ostrich eggs have proved to be addled.

A habit of conventors in the least and the sands.

A habit of correctness in the lesser parts of composition will assist the higher. It is worth recording that the great Milton was anxious for correct punctuation, and that Addison was solicitous after the minutise of the press. Savage, Armstrong, and others, felt tortures on similar objects. It is said of Julius Scaliger, that he had this peculiarity in his manner of composition; he wrote with such accuracy that his mes and the printed copy corresponded page for page,

and line for line.

Malherbe, the father of French poetry, tormented himself by a prodigious slowness; and was employed rather in perfecting, than in forming works. His muse is compared to a fine woman in the pangs of delivery. He exulted in this tardiness, and, after finishing a poem of one hundred verses, or a discourse of ten pages, he used to say he ought to repose for ten years. Balzac, the first writer in French proce who gave majesty and harmony to a period, it is said, did not grudge to bestow a week on a page, and was never satisfied with his first thoughts. Our 'costive' Gray entertained the same notion: and it is hard to say if it arose from the sterility of their genius, or their sensibility of

It is curious to observe, that the mas of Tasso, which are still preserved, are illegible from the vast number of their corrections. I have given a fac-simile, as correct as it is possible to conceive, of one page of Pope's as Homer, as a specimen of his continual corrections and critical rasures. The celebrated Madame Dacier never could satisfy herself in translating Homer: continually retouching the version, even in its happiest passages. There were several parts which she translated in six or seven manners; and she frequently noted in the margin—

\*Acce not yet done it.

When Paschal became warm in his celebrated controversy, he applied himself with incredible labour to the composition of his 'Provincial Letters.' He was frequently twenty days occupied on a single letter. He recommenced some above seven and eight times, and by this means obtained that perfection which has made his work, as Voltaire says, 'one of the best books ever published in France.

The Quintus Curtius Vaugelas occupied him 30 years; generally every period was translated in the margin five or six several ways. Chapelain and Courart, who took the pains to review this work critically, were many times per-plexed in their choice of passages; they generally liked best that which had been first composed. Hume was never done with corrections; every edition varies with the preceding once. But there are more fortunate and fluent minds than these. Voltaire tells us of Fenelon's Te-lemachus, that the amiable author composed it in his retirement in the short period of three months. Fenelog had, before this, formed his style, and his mind overflowed with all the spirit of the ancients. He opened a copious fountain, and there were not ten crasures in the original The same facility accompanied Gibbon after the experience of his first volume; and the same copious readiness attended Adam Smith, who dictated to his amanuscrist, while he walked about his study.

The ancients were as pertinacious in their corrections.

Isocrates, it is said, was employed for ten years on one of his works, and to appear natural studied with the most refined art. After a labour of eleven years, Virgil pro-nounced his Æneid imperfect. Dio Cassius devoted twelve years to the composition of his history, and Diodo-

rus Siculas, thirty.

There is a middle between velocity and torpidity; the Italians say, it is not necessary to be a stag, but we ought not to be a tortoise.

Many ingenious expedients are not to be contemned in literary labours. The critical advice

'To choose an author, as we would a friend,'

is very useful to young writers. The finest geniuses have vays affectionately attached themselves to some partiaways areculatery attached themselves to some parti-cular author of congenial disposition. Pope, in his version of Homer, kept a constant eye on his master Dryden; Corneille's favourite authors were the brilliant Tacitus, the heroic Livy, and the lofty Lucan: the influence of their characters may be traced in his best tragedies. The great Clarendon, when employed m writing his history, read ever very carefully Tacitus and Livy, to give dignity to his style, as he writes in a letter. Tacitus did not surpass him in his portraits, though Clarendon never equalled Livy in his narrative.

The mode of literary composition adopted by that ad-irable student Sir William Jones is well deserving our attention. After having fixed on his subjects, he always added the medel of the composition; and thus boldly wreatled with the great authors of antiquity. On heard the frigate which was carrying him to India, he projected the following works, and noted then in this manner:

1. Elements of the Laws of England.

ments of the Laws of England.

Medel—The Essay on Bailments.

The History of the American War.

Model-Thucidides and Polybius.

5. Britain Discovered, an Epic Poem. Hindoo Gods. Machi Medel-Hon

3. Speeches, Political and Forensic.

Model-Demosther

5. Dialogues, Philosophical and Historical

Model Pas And of favourite authors there are also favourite work which we love to be familiarized with. Bartholimus has a dissertation on reading books, in which he points out the superior performances of different writers. Of St Augustine, his city of God; of Hippocrates, Conce Presectiones, of Cicero, de Officias; of Aristotle, De Animalibus; of Catullus, Come Berenices; of Virgil, the sixth book of the Eneid, &c. Such judgments are indeed not to be our guides; but such a mode of reading is useful to contract our studies within due limits.

Evelyn, who has written treatises on several subjects, was occupied for years on them. His manner of arrang-ing his materials and his mode of composition appear excellent. Having chosen a subject, he analyzed it into its various parts, under certain heads, or titles, to be filled up at leisure. Under these heads he set down his own at issure. Under these heads he set down his own thoughts as they occurred, occasionally inserting whatever was useful from his reading. When his collections were thus formed, he digested his own thoughts regularly, and strengthened them by authorities from ancient and modern authors, or alleged his reasons for dissenting from them. His collections in time became voluminous, but he then exercised that judgment which the formers of such or licetions usually are deficient in. With Hascot he home that the usually are deficient in. With Hesiod he know that 'Haf is better than the whole, and it was his aim to express the quintessence of his reading; but not to give it in a crude state to the world: and when his treatises were sent to the press they were not half the size of his collections.

Thus also Winkelman, in his 'History of art,' an extensive work, was long lost in settling on a plan; like artist who make random sketches of their first conceptions, he threw on paper ideas, hints and observations which oc-curred in his readings—many of them, indeed, were not connected with his history, but were afterwards inserted m

some of his other works.

Even Gibbon tells us of his Roman History, 'at the cus-set all was dark and doubtful; even the title of the work, the true era of the decline and fall of the empire, the limits of the introduction, the division of the chapters, and the order of the narration; and I was often tempted to cast away the labour of seven years.' Akenside has exquisitely described the progress and the pains of genius in its de-lightful reveries, Pleasures of Imagination, B iii, v. 373. lightful reveries, Pleasures of Imagination, B iii, v. 373.

The pleasures of composition in an ardent genius wers never so finely described as by Buffon. Speaking of the hours of composition he said, 'These are the most luxurious and delightful moments of life: moments which have often enticed me to pass fourteen hours at my deak in a state of transport; this gratification more than glery is my reward!

The publication of Gibbon's Memoirs conveyed to the world a faithful picture of the most fervid indu istry ; it is in youth, the foundations of such a sublime edifice as his history must be laid. The world can now trace how this Colossus of erudition, day by day, and year by year, pre-

pared himself for some vast work.

Gibbon has furnished a new idea in the art of reads We ought, says he, not to attend to the order of our bee We ought, says he, not to attend to the order of our count, so much as of our thoughts. 'The perusal of a particular work gives birth perhaps to ideas unconnected with the subject it treats; I pursue these ideas and quit my proposed plan of reading.' Thus in the midst of Homeo he read Longinus; a chapter of Longinus, led to an epistle of Pliny; and having finished Longinus, he followed the train of his ideas of the sublime and beautiful in the inquiry of Purha and acadehidal with comparing the angles of the of Burke, and concluded with comparing the ancient with of Burke, and concluded with comparing the ancient with the modern Longinus. Of all our popular writers the meet experienced reader was Gibbon, and he offers an important advice to an author engaged on a particular subject. I suspended my perusal of any new book on the subject till I had reviewed all that I knew, or believed, or had thought on it, that I might be qualified to discorn how much the authors added to my original stock.

These are valuable hints to students, and such have een practised by others. "Ancillon was a very ingenious adest; he seldom read a book throughout without reading in his progress many others; his library table was always covered with a number of books for the most part open; this variety of authors bred no confusion; they all named to throw light on the same topic; he was not diswriters; their opinions were so many new strokes, which considered the ideas which he had conceived. The celebrated Father Paul studied in the same manner. He never passed over an interesting subject till he had confronted a variety of authors. In historical researches he never would advance, till be had fixed, once for all, the places, times, and opinions -a mode of study which appears very diatory, but in the end will make a great saving of time, and labour of mind; those who have not pursued this method are all their lives at a loss to settle their opinions and their belief from the want of having once brought them to such a test

I shall new offer a plan of Historical Study, and a cal-culation of the necessary time it will occupy without speci-fying the authors; as I only propose to animate a young student, who feels he has not to number the days of a strarch, that he should not be alarmed at the vast laby mith historical researches present to his eye. If we look into public fibraries, more than thirty thousand volumes of

hetory may be found.

Lengist du Fresnoy, one of the greatest readers, calcu-lated that he could not read, with satisfaction, more than section in the course soot read, with satisfaction, shows that the hours a day, and ten pages in folio an hour; which makes 100 pages every day. Supposing each volume to contain 500 pages, every month would amount to one volume and a half, which makes 18 volumes in folio in the year. In fifty years, a student could only read 900 volumes in folio. All this, too, supposing uninterrupted health, and an intelligence as rapid as the eyes of the laborious researcher. A man can hardly study to advantage till past trenty, and at fifty his eyes will be dimmed, and his head of the manch market and the state of t stuffed with much reading that should never be road. His fifty years for the 900 volumes are reduced to thirty years, and 500 volumes! And, after all, the universal historian most resolutely face 30,000 volumes!

But to cheer the historiographer, he shows, that a pubbe library is only necessary to be consulted; it is in our private closet where should be found those few writers, who direct us to their rivals, without jealousy, and mark, in posteriy. His calculation proceeds on this plan,—that are kerr a day, and the term of ten years, are sufficient to pass over, with utility, the immense field of history.

He calculates this alarming extent of historical ground.

| •   |           | •   |
|---|-----------|-----|
| For a knowledge of Secred History he gives                        | 3 months. |     |
| Ancient Egypt, Babylon, and Assyria,<br>motern Assyria or Persia, | ,         | do. |
| Greek History,  | i         | do. |
| Roman History by the moderna.                                     | 7         | do. |
| Roman History by the original writers,                            | 6         | do. |
| Ecclemental History, general and particular,                      | 30        | do. |
| Modern History.   | 24        | do. |
| To this may be added for recurrences and                          |           |     |
| ro-perusale,  | 48        | đo. |
|   |           |     |

The total will amount to 10 1-2 years.

Thus, in ten years and a half, a student in history has attained a universal knowledge, and this on a plan which termits as much leisure as every student would choose to

As a specimen of Du Freenoy's calculations take that of Secred History.

| For reading Pere Calmet's learned dissertations in<br>the order he points out,<br>For Pere Calmet's History, in 2 vols. 4to now in 4,<br>For Prideagy's History,<br>For Jessphon,<br>Jor Essange's History of the Jews, | 12 days.<br>12<br>10<br>12<br>20 |
|---|----------------------------------|
|---|----------------------------------|

în all 66 days. In allow, however, 90 days, for obtaining a sufficient hawledge of Sacred History.

Is reading this sketch, we are scarcely surprised at the water of a Gibbon; but having admired that erudition, we precive the necessity of such a plan, if we would not ear what we have afterwards to unlearn.

A sien like the present, even in a mind which should

feel itself incapable of the exertion, will not be regarded without that reverence we feel for genius animating such industry. This scheme of study, though it may never be rigidly pursued, will be found excellent. Ton years labour of happy diligence may render a student capable of consigning to posterity a history as universal in its topics, as that of the historian who led to this investigation.

> PORTICAL IMITATIONS AND SIMILARITIES. <sup>6</sup> Tantus amor florum, et generandi gloria mellis.<sup>5</sup> Georg. Lib. iv, v. 204.

' Such rage of honey in our bosom beats, And such a zeal we have for flowery sweets! Dryden.

This article was commenced by me many years ago in the early volumes of the Monthly Magazine, and continued by various correspondents, with various success. I have collected only those of my own contribution, because I do not feel authorised to make use of those of other per sons, however some may be desirable. One of the most elegant of literary recreations is that of tracing poetical or prose imitations and similarities; for assuredly, similar-ity is not always imitation. Bishop Hurd's pleasing essay on 'The Marks of Imitation' will assist the critic in deciding on what may only be an accidental similarity, rather than a studied imitation. Those critics have indulged an intemperate abuse in these entertaining researches, who from a single word derive the imitation of an entire passage. Wakefield, in his edition of Gray, is very liable to this

This kind of literary amusement is not despicable; there are few men of letters who have not been in the habit of marking parallel passages, or tracing imitation, in the thousand shapes it assumes; it forms, it cultivates, it delights taste to observe by what desterity and variation genus conceals, or modifies, an original thought or image, and to view the same sentiment, or expression, borrowed with art, or heightened by embellishment. The ingenious writer of 'A Criticism on Grey's Elegy, in continuation of Dr Johnson's,' has given some observations on this subject, which will please. 'It is often entertaining to trace imitation. To detect the adopted image; the copied design; the transferred sentiment; the appropriated phrase; and even the acquired manner and frame, under all the disguises that imitation, combination, and accommodation may have thrown around them, must require both parts and diligence; but it will bring with it no ordinary gratification.

A book professedly on the History and Progress of Imian adept in the art of discerning likenesses, even when minute, with examples properly selected, and gradations duly marked, would make an impartial accession to the store of human literature, and furnish rational curiosity with a high regale. Let me premise that these notices (the wrecks of a large collection of passages I had once formed merely as exercises to form my taste) are not given with the petty malignant delight of detecting the unacknowledged imitations of our best writers, but merely to and to exhibit that beautiful variety which the same image is capable of exhibiting when re-touched with all the art of genius.

Gray in his 'Ode to Spring' has

'The attic warbler pours her throat,' Wakefield in his 'Commentary' has a copious passage on this poetical diction. He conceives it to be 'an admirable improvement of the Greek and Roman classics;'

-neer ardny: Hes. Scut. Her. 396. - Suaves of ore loquelas Funde '

Lucret. 1, 40.

This learned editor was little conversant with modern literature, notwithstanding his memorable editions of Gray and Pope. The expression is evidently borrowed not from Hesiod, nor from Lucretius, but from a brother at home.

Is it for thee, the Linnet pours her throat?

Essay on Man, Ep. III, v. 32.

Gray in the 'Ode to Adversity' addresses the power thus,

'Thou Tamer of the human breas Whose iron scourge and torturing hour The bad affright, afflict the best.

Wakefield censures the expression 'terturing hour,' by discovering an impropriety and incongruity. He says, Digitized by GOOGIC consistency of figure rather required some material image, take iron scourge and adamantine chain.' It is curious to post probably would never have replied, or, in a moment of excessive urbanity, he might have condescended to point out to this minutest of critics the following passage m Milton,

When the scourge Inexorably, and the torturing hour Calls us to Penance.

Par. Lost, B. II, v. 90.

Gray in his 'Ode to Adversity' has,

Light they disperse, and with them go,

Fond of this image, he has it again in his 'Bard,'

' The awarm, that in thy noontide beam are born,

Perhaps the germ of this beautiful image may be found in Shakspeare,

for men, like butterflies Show not their mealy wings but to the summer.'

Troilus and Cressida, A. III, & 7. and two similar passages in Timon of Athens.

4 The swallow follows not summer more willingly than we

Your lordship.
Timon. Nor more willingly leaves winter; such summer birds are men.' Act III.

Again in the same,

one cloud of winter showers These flies are couch'd,'

Gray in his 'Progress of Poetry' has,

'In climes beyond the solar road.' Wakefield has traced this imitation to Dryden; Gray himself refers to Virgil and Petrarch. Wakefield gives the line from Dryden, thus,

'Beyond the year, and out of heaven's high-way;' which he calls extremely bold and poetical. I confess a critic might be allowed to be somewhat fastidious on this unpoetical diction on the highway, which I believe Dryden nover used. I think his line was thus,

'Beyond the year out of the solar walk.'

Pope has expressed the image more elegantly, though copied from Dryden,

'Far as the solar walk, or milky way.' Gray has in his 'Bard'

Dear as the light that visits these sad eyes, Dear as the ruddy drops that warm my heart.

Gray himself points out the imitation in Shakspeare, of the latter image; but it is curious to observe that Otway, in his 'Venice Preserved,' makes Priuli most pathetically exclaim to his daughter, that she is

Dear as the vital warmth that feeds my life, Dear as these eyes that weep in fondness o'er thee.

Gray tells us that the image of his 'Bard'
Loose his beard and heary hair,
Streamed like a meteor to the troubled air,'

was taken from a picture of the Supreme Being by Raphael. It is, however, remarkable, and somewhat ludicrous, that the beard of Hudibras is also compared to a sucter: and the accompanying observation in Butler almost induces one to think that Gray derived from it the whole plan of that sublime Ode—since his Bard precisely performs what the beard of Hudibras denousced. These are the verses :

'This hairy meteor did denounce The fall of sceptres and of crowns.'

Hud. C. L.

I nave been asked if I am serious in my conjecture that the meteor beard of Hudibras might have given birth to the Bard of Gray. I reply that the burlesque and the sublime are extremes, and extremes meet. How often does it morely depend on our state of mind, and on our own taste, to consider the sublime as buriesque. A very vulgar, but acute gessius, Thomas Paine, whom we may supose destitute of all delicacy and refinement, has conveyed to us a netion of the sublime, as it is probably experienced by ordinary and uncultivated minds, and even by acute and judicious ones, who are destitute of imagina-tion. He tells us that 'the sublime and the ridiculous are often so nearly related, that it is difficult to class them | Pope had said;

separately. One step above the sublime makes the ridiculous, and one step above the ridiculous makes the sublime again.' May I venture to illustrate this opinion? One step above the sublime makes the ratio Would it not appear the ridiculous or burlesque, to de-cribe the sublime revolution of the Earth on her axle, round the Sun, by comparing it with the action of a top flogged by a boy? And yet some of the most exquisite lines in Milton do this; the poet only alluding in his mind, to the top. The earth he describes, whether

- She from west her silent course advance With inoffensive pace that spinning sleeps On her soft axle, while she paces even'—

Be this as it may! it has never I believe been remarked (to return to Gray) that when he conceived the idea of the beard of his Bard, he had in his mind the language of Milton, who describes Azazel, sublimely unfurling

The 'imperial ensign, which full high advanced,
Shone like a meteor streaming to the wind.'
Par. Lost, B. I, v. 595.

very similar to Gray's

'Streamed like a meteor to the troubled air!" Gray has been severely consured an Johnson, for the espression.

> Give ample room and verge enough. The characters of hell to trace. The Bard

On the authority of the most unpoetical of critics we must still hear that the poet has no line so bad — 'ample resul' is feeble, but would have passed unobserved in any other poem but in the poetry of Gray, who has taught us to ad-mit nothing but what is exquisite. 'Verge enough' is poetical, since it conveys a material image to the image tion. No one appears to have detected the source from whence, probably, the whole line was derived. I am m-clined to think it was from the following passage in Dryden,

Let fortune empty her whole quiver on me,
I have a soul that, like an ample shield,
Can take in all, and verge enough for more!
Dryden's Don Sebastian.

Gray in his Elegy has

Even in our ashes live their wonted fires.

This line is so obscure that it is difficult to apply it to what procedes it. Mason in his edition in vain attempts to derive it from a thought of Petrarch, and still more vainly attempts to amend it; Wakefield expends an octavo page, to paraphrase this single verse! From the following innes of Chaucer, one would imagine Gray caught the recollected idea. The old Reve, in his prologue, says of his self, and of old men,

<sup>4</sup> For whan we may not don, than wol we speken; Yet in our ashen cold is fire yreken.<sup>5</sup> Tyrwhit's Chaucer, vol I, p. 153, v. 2873.

Gray has a very expressive word, highly poetical, but I think not common;

'For who to dumb forgetfulness a prey'--and Daniel has, as quoted in Cooper's Muses Library preface,

> 'And in himself with sorrow does complain The misery of dark forgetfulnes

A line of Pope's in his Dunciad, 'High-born Howard,' echoed in the ear of Gray, when he gave with all the artfice of illiteration,

High-born Hoel's Harp.

Johnson bitterly censures Gray for giving to adjectives the termination of participles, such as the cultured plais; the daisied bank; but he solemnly adds, I was sorry to see in the line of a scholar like Gray, 'the honied spring.' I contest it was not sorry; had Johnson received but the faintest tincture of the rich Italian school of English postry, he would never have formed so tasteless a criticism. Hensiel is employed by Milton in more places than one, but one is sufficient for my purpose.

t for my purpose.

4 Hide me from day's garish eye
While the bee with honied thigh—
Penseroso, v. 142.

The colobrated stanza in Gray's Elegy seems partly to be borrowed.

<sup>4</sup> Full many a gem of purest ray corene The dark unfathon'd caves of ocean bear; Full many a flower is born to blush unseen, And wasts its sweetness in the desert all

Digitized by Google

'There kept my charms conceal'd from mortal eye, Like roses that in deserts bloom and die. Rape of the Lock.

Young savs of nature;

'In distant wilds by human eye unseen She rears her flowers and spreads her velvet green; Pure gurgling rills the lonely desert trace, And waso their music on the savage race.

And Shenstone has-

astone nas--'And like the deserts' lily bloom to fade !'
Elegy IV.

Gray was so fond of this pleasing imagery, that he re-peats t in his Ode on the Installation; and Mason echoes it, in his Ode to Memory.

Milton thus paints the evening sun:

'If chance the evening sun with farewell sweet Extend his evening beam, the fields revive, The birds their notes renew, &c., Par, Lost, B. II, v. 482.

Can there be a doubt that he borrowed this beautiful formed from an obscure poet, quoted by Poole, in his 'Engish Parnassus,' 1657? The date of Milton's great work, I find since, admits the conjecture; the first edition being that of 1668. The homely lines in Poole are these,

'To Thetis' wat'ry bowers the sun doth hie, Bidding farewell unto the gloomy sky.'

Young, in his 'Love of Fame,' very adroitly improves on a wity conceit of Butler. It is curious to observe, that while Butler had made a remote allusion of a window to a pallery, a conceit is grafted on this conceit, with even more exquisite wit.

'Each window, like the pillory appears,
With heads thrust through; nailed by the ears!'
Hudibras, part II, C. 8, v. 391.

'An opera, like a pillory, may be said To nail our ears down, and expose our head.' Young's Sedree.

In the Duenna we find this thought differently illustrat-"It is no Doesna we first this throught districts in means imitative, though the satire is congenial. Dos Jerome, alluding to the serenaders, says, "These amorous orgies that steal the senses in the hearing; as they say Expiring embalmers serve muramies, extracting the brain through the corn." The with so riginal, but the subject is the same in the three passages; the whole turning on the allusion to the head and care.

When Pope composed the following lines on Fame,

How vain that second life in other's ureass,
The estate which wite inherit after death;
Ease, health, and life, for this they must resign
[Unsure the tenure, but how vast the fine!]
Temple of Fame.

He seems to have had present in his mind a single idea of Butler, by which he has very richly amplified the entire imagery. Butler says,

'Honour's a lease for lives to come, And cannot be extended from The legal tenant.

Hud. part I, C. 3, v. 1043.

The same thought may be found in Sir George Mackentic's 'Essay on preferring Solitude to Public Employment,' first published in 1685. Hudibras preceded it by two years. The thought is strongly expressed by the eloquent Mackenzie. He writes, 'Fame is a revenue payaquent Mackenzie. He writen, runte to a reversit present as only to our ghosts; and to deny ourselves all present satisfaction, or to expose ourselves to so much hazard for the control of this, were as great madness as to starve ourselves, or fight desperately for food, to be laid on our tombs after our

Dryden, in his ' Absalom and Achitophel,' says of the Earl of Shaftesbury,

David for him his tuneful harp had strung, And Heaven had wanted one immortal song.

This verse was ringing in the ear of Pope, when with equal modesty and felicity he adopted it, in addressing his friend Dr Arbathnot,

'Friend of my life! which did not you prolong, The world had wanted many an idle song!

Howell has prefixed to his Letters a tedious poem, writthat they are

'The heralds and sweet harbingers that move From East to West, on embassies of love; They can the tropic cut, and cross the line.

It is probable that Pope had noticed this thought, for the following lines seem a beautiful heightening of the idea;

'Heaven first taught letters, for some wretch's aid, Some banish'd lover, or some captive maid.'

Then he adds, they

'Speed the soft intercourse from soul to soul, And waft a sigh from Indus to the Pole.'

There is another passage in 'Howell's Letters,' which has a great affinity with a thought of Pope, who, in 'the Rape of the Lock,' says,

'Fair tresses man's imperial race ensuare, And beauty draws us with a single hair.'

Howell writes, p. 290, 'Tis a powerful sex: they were too strong for the first, the strongest and wisest man that was; they must needs be strong, when one hair of a seamon can draw more than an hundred pair of seen.'

Pope's description of the death of the lamb, in his 'Essay

on Man,' is finished with the nicest touches, and is one of the finest pictures our poetry exhibits. Even familiar as it is to our ear, we never examine it but with candiminished admiration.

"The lamb, thy riot dooms to bleed to-day, Had he thy reason, would he skip and play? Pleased to the last he crops the flowery food, And licks the hand just reised to shed his blood."

After pausing on the last two fine verses, will not the reader smile that I should conjecture the image might originally have been discovered in the following humble verses in a poem once considered not as contemptible :

<sup>4</sup>A gentle lamb has rhetoric to plead, And when she sees the butcher's knife decreed, Her voice intreats him not to make her bleed. Dr King's Mully of Mountown.

This natural and affecting image might certainly have been observed by Pope, without his having perceived it through the less polished lens of the telescope of Dr King. It is, however, a similarity, though it may not be an insitation; and is given as an example of that art in compo-sition, which can ornament the humblest conception, like the graceful vest thrown over naked and sordid beggary

I consider the following lines as strictly copied by

Thomas Warton:

The daring artist Explored the pangs that rend the royal breast,
Those wounds that lurk beneath the tissued vest.
T. Warton, on Shakspeare

Sir Philip Sidney, in his 'Defence of Poesie,' has the same image. He writes, Tragedy openeth the greatest mounds, and showeth forth the ulcers that are covered with tience ?

The same appropriation of thought will attach the following lines of Tickell:

While the charm'd reader with thy thought complies And views thy Rosamond with Henry's eyes.' Tickell to Addison.

Evidently from the French Horace:

En vain contre le cid, un ministre se ligue, Tout Paris, pour Chimene, a les yeux de Rodrigue.' Boileau.

Oldham, the satirist, says in his satires upon the Jesuits that had Cain been of this black fraternity, he had not been content with a quarter of mankind.

'Had he been Jesuit, had he but put on Their savage cruelty, the rost had gone!

Satvr II.

Doubtless at that moment echoed in his poetical ear the energetic and caustic epigram of Andrew Marvell, against Blood stealing the crown dressed in a parson's cassock, and sparing the life of the keeper:

With the Priest's vestment had he but put on The Prelate's cruelty,-the Crown had gone!

The following passages seem echoes to each other, and it seems a justice due to Oldham, the satirist, to acknowledge him as the parent of this antithesis:-

On Butler who can think without just rage, The glory and the scandal of the age?

Satire against Poetry. It seems evidently borrowed by Pope, when he applies the thought to Erasmus :-

'At length Erasmus, that great injured name,
The glory of the priesthood and the shame !

Young remembered the antithesis when he said,

'Of some for glory such the boundless rage, That they're the blackest scandal of the age.'

Voltaire, a great reader of Pope, seems to have borrowed part of the expression :-

Scandale d'Eglise, et des rois le modelle.

De Caux, an old French poet, in one of his moral poems a an hour-glass, inserted in modern collections, has many genious thoughts. That this poem was read and admired by Goldsmith, the following beautiful image seems to indicate. De Caux, comparing the world to his hourgaus, says beautifully.

-' C'est un verre qui luit Qu'un souffie peut detruire, et qu'un souffie a produit.' Goldsmith applies the thought very happily :-

'Princes and lords may flourish or may fade;
A breath can make them, as a breath has made.'

I do not know whether we might not read, for modern copies are sometimes incorrect,

'A breath unmakes them, as a breath has made.'

Thomson, in his pastoral story of Palemon and Lavinia, appears to have copied a passage from Otway. Palemon thus addresses Lavinia:-

Oh, let me now into a richer soil Transplant thee safe, where vernal suns and showers
Diffuse their warmest, largest influence;
And of my garden be the guide and joy!

Chamont employs the same image when speaking of Monimia: he says,-

> You took her up a little tender flower, Transplanted her into your own fair garden, Where the sun always shines.'

The origin of the following imagery is undoubtedly Grecian; but it is still embellished and modified by our best poets:

> While universal Pan Knit with the graces and the hours in dance Led on th' eternal spring.'

Paradise Lost.

Thompson probably caught this strain of imagery:

- Sudden to heaven Thence weary vision turns, where leading soft The silent hours of love, with purest ray Sweet Venus shines.

Summer, v. 1692.

Gray, in repeating this imagery, has borrowed a remarkable epithet from Milton:

Lo, where the rosy-bosom'd hours Fair Venus' train appear!

Ode to Spring.

Along the crisped shades and bowers Revels the spruce and jocund spring;
The graces and the rosy bosom'd hours
Thither all their bounties bring.

Comus. v. 284.

Collins, in his Ode to Fear, whom he associates with Danger, there grandly personified, was I think considerably indebted to the following stanza of Spenser:

Next him was fear, all armed from top to toe, Yet thought himself not safe enough thereby; But feared each sudden moving to and fr But leared each sudden moving to and 170;
And his own arms when glittering he did spy,
Or clashing heard, he fast away did fly,
As ashes pale of hue and wingy heel'd;
And evermore on Danger fixed his eye,
'Gainst whom he always bent a brazen shield,
Which his right hand unarmed fearfully did wield.'
Faery Queen, B. iii, c. 12, s. 12.

Warm from its perusal, he seems to have seized it as a hint to the Ode to Fear, and in his ' Passions' to have

'First Fear, his hand, its skill to try,
Amid the chords bewildered laid,
And back recoiled, he knew not why,
E'en at the sound himself had made.'
Ode to the Passions.

The stanza in Beattie's 'Minstrel,' first book, in which his 'visionary boy,' after 'the storm of summer rain,'
views 'the rambow brighten to the setting sun,' and runs to reach it :

Fond fool, that doem'st the streaming glory nigh, How vain the chase thine ardour has begun! 'The fied afar, ere half thy purposed race be run; Thus it fares with ago,' &c

The same train of thought and imagery applied to the same subject, though the image itself be some what different, may be found in the poems of the platonic John Norris; a writer who has great originality of thought, and a highly poetical spirit. His stanza runs thus,

<sup>4</sup> So to the unthinking boy the distant sky Seems on some mountain's surface to relie; He with ambitious haste climbe the ascent, Curious to touch the firmament But when with an unwearied pace, He is arrived at the long-wished for place, With sighs the sad defeat he does deplore; His heaven is still as distant as before;

The infidel, by John Norris.

In the modern tragedy of 'The Castle Spectre' is this fine description of the ghost of Evelina;—Suddenly a semale form glided along the vault. 'I flow towards her male form glided along the vault. My arms were aiready unclosed to clasp her, when suddealy her figure changed! Her face grew paie, a stream of blood gushed from her bosom. While speaking, her form withered away; the flesh fell from her bones; a steleton loathsome and meagre clasped me in her arms. Her infected breath was mingled with mine; ber rotting fingers pressed my hand, and my face was covered with her kisses. Oh! then how I trembled with disgust!"

There is undoubtedly singular merit in this description. I shall contrast it with one which the French Virgil has written in an age, whose faith was stronger in ghosts than ours, yet which perhaps had less skill in describing them. There are some circumstances which seem to indicate that the author of the 'Castle Spectre' lighted his terch at the altar of the French muse. Athalia thus narrates her dream, in which the spectre of Jezabel her mother appears:

C'étoit pendant l'horreur d'une profonde nuit, Ma mère Jezabel devant moi s'est montrée, Comme au jour de sa mort pompeusement parés. --En achevant les mots epouvantables, —En achevant les mois epouvantanies, Son ombre vers mon ilt a paru se beisser, Et moi, je lui tendois, les mains pour l'embrasser Mais je n'ai plus trouvé qu'un hortible melange D'os et de chair meurtris, et trainée dans la fange, Des lambeaux pleins de sang et des membres afireux.' Racine's Athalie, Act ii, 2. 5.

Goldsmith, when in his pedestrian tour, he sat axis the Alps, as he paints himself in his 'Traveller,' and felt himself the solitary neglected genius he was, desolate amids the surrounding scenery; probably at that moment the following beautiful image of Thompson he applied to himself. himself:

'As in the hollow breast of Apennine Beneath the centre of encircling hills, A myrtle rises, far from human eyes, And breathes its balmy fragrance o'er the wild. Autumo, v. 2

Goldsmith very pathetically applies a similar image:

'E'en now where Appue sounded.

I sit me down a pensive hour to spend,
Like you neglected shrub at random cast,
That shades the steep, and sighs at every blast.'

Traveller. E'en now where Alpine solitudes ascend,

Akenside illustrates the native impulse of genius by a simile of Memnon's marble statue, sounding its lyre a the touch of the sun:

'For as old Memnon's image, long renown'd By fabling Nilus, to the quivering touch Of Tian's ray, with each repulsive string Consenting, sounded through the warbling air Unbidden strains; even so did nature's hand,' &c.

It is remarkable that the same image, which does no appear obvious enough to have been the common inher appear obvious enough to have been the common inheritance of poets, is precisely used by old Regnier, the first French eatirist, in the dedication of his sairies to the French king. Louis XIV supplies the place of nature to the courtly satirist. These are his words:—'On lit qu'en Ethiopie il y avoit une statue qui rendeat un son harmonieux, toutes les fois que le soleil levant la regardoit. Ce meme miracle, Sire, avez vous fait en moy qui touché de l'astre de Votre Majesté ay recu la voix et la parele.' In that sublime passage in 'Pope's Kessy en Man,

Epist. I, v. 237, beginning,

'Vast chain of Being! which from God began,' and proceeds to

'Fram nature's chain whatever link you strike, Tenth, or ten thousandth, breaks the chain alike.'

Pope seems to have caught the idea and image from Waller, whose last verse is as fine as any in the 'Essay on Man :

'The chain that's fixed to the throne of Jove, On which the fabric of our world depends, One link dissolved, the whole creation ends Of the Danger his Majesty escaped, &c, v. 168.

It has been observed by Thyer, that Milton borrowed the expression Instrument, and Brown, which he applies to the evening shade, from the Italian. See Thyer's elegant note in B. IV, v. 246:

- And where the unpierced shade imbrowned the noon-tide bowers. And B. IX, v. 1086,

"Where highest woods impenetrable
To sun or star-light, spread their umbrage broad
And brown as evening."

Fa l'imbrane is an expression used by the Italians to denote the approach of the evening. Boiardo, Ariosto, and Tasso, have made a very picturesque use of this term, noticed by Thyer. I doubt if it be applicable to our colder change; but Thompson appears to have been struck by the fine effect it produces in poetical landscape; for he has

- With quickened step Brown night retires.

Summer, v. 51.

If the epithet be true, it cannot be more appropriately applied than in the season he describes, which most resembles the genial clime with the deep serenity of an Italian heaven. Milton in Italy had experienced the crown evening, but it may be suspected that Thompson only re-collected the language of the poet.

collected the language of the poet.

The same observation may be made on two other poetical epithets. I shall notice the epithet 'laughing,' applied to inanimate objects; and 'purple' to beautiful objects.

The natives of Italy and the softer climates receive emotions from the view of their waters in the spring not equalive experienced in the British roughness of our skies. The ly experienced in the British roughness of our skies. sency and softness of the water are thus described by Lucreima:

"Tibi suavels Dædala tellus Submittit flores; tibi rident æquora ponti."

Inelegantly rendered by Creech,

'The roughest sea puts on smooth looks, and smiles.' Dryden more happily,

<sup>1</sup>The ocean smiles, and smooths her wavy breast.<sup>2</sup> But Metastasio has copied Lucretius:

A te fioriscono Gli erbosi prati : E i flutti ridono Nel mar placati.

It merits observation, that the Northern Poets could not exalt their imagination higher than that the water smiled, while the modern Italian, having before his eyes a different spring, found no difficulty in agreeing with the ancients, that the waves laughed. Of late modern poetry has made a very free use of the animating epithet laugh-ing. Gray has the laughing flowers; and Langhorne in two beautiful lines exquisitely personifies Flora:—

'Where Tweed's soft banks in liberal beauty lie, And Flora laughs beneath an azure sky.'

Sir William Jones, with all the spirit of Oriental poetry, has 'the laughing air.' It is but justice, however, to Dryden, to acknowledge that he has employed this epithet very boldly in the following delightful lines, which are almost entirely borrowed from his original, Chaucer:

of entirely portroved it on the consumer, 'The morning lark, the messenger of day,
Saluted in her song the morning gray;
And soon the sun arcse, with beams so bright,
That all the horizon laughed to see the joyous sight,'
Palamon and Arcite, B. Il

It is extremely difficult to conceive what the ancients precisely meant by the word purpureus. They seem to have designed by it any thing bright and beautiful. A classical friend has furnished me with numerous significations of this word which are very contradictory. Albinovaus, in his elegy on Livia, mentions Nivem parpureum.

Catullus, Quercus remos purpureos. Horace purpures bibet nector, and somewhere mentions Olores purpuses.
Virgil has purpureum vomit ille animam; and Homer calls
the sea purple, and gives it in some other book the same epithet, when in a storm.

The general idea, however, has been fondly adopted by the finest writers in Europe. The FURFLE of the ancients is not known to us. What idea, therefore, have the mod-erus affixed to it? Addison in his vision of the Temple of Fame describes the country as 'being overed with a kind of PURPLE LIGHT.' Gray's beautiful line is well known:

'The bloom of young desire and purple light of love. And Tasso, in describing his hero Godfrey, says, Heaven

'Gli empie d'onor la faccia, e vi riduce Di Giovinezza, il bel purpureo lume.'

Both Gray and Tasso copied Virgil, where Venus gives to her son Æncas-

> · Lumenque Juventm Purpureum.

Dryden has omitted the purple light in his version, nor is it given by Pitt; but Dryden expresses the general idea by

------ With hands divine, Had formed his curling locks and made his temples shine, And given his rolling eyes a sparkling grace.

It is probable that Milton has given us his iea of what was meant by this purple light, when applied to the human countenance, in the felicitous expression of

' Celestial rosy-red.'

Gray appears to me to be indebted to Milton for a hint for the opening of his elegy: as in the first line he has Dante and Milton in his mind, he perhaps might also in the following passage have recollected a congenial one in Comus, which he altered. Milton, describing the even-ing, marks it out by

- What time the laboured ox In his loose traces from the furrow came, And the swinkt hedger at his supper sat.

Gray has,

The lowing herd wind slowly o'er the lea, The plowman homeward plods his weary way.

Warton has made an observation on this passage in Comus; and observes further that it is a classical circumstance, but not a natural one, in an English landscape, for our ploughmen quit their work at noon. I think therefore the imitation is still more evident; and as Warton observes, both Gray and Milton copied here from books, and not from life.

There are three great poets who have given us a similar incident.

Dryden introduces the highly finished picture of the have in his Annus Mirabilis:

Stanza 131.

'So have I seen some fearful hare maintain A course, till tired before the dog she lay ;
Who stretched behind her, pants upon the plain,
Past power to kill, as she to get away.

With his loll'd tongue he faintly licks his prey, His warm breath blows her flix up as she lies; She trembling creeps upon the ground away, And looks back to him with beseething eyes,'

Thompson paints the stag in a similar situation:

Fainting breathless toil
Sick seizes on his heart—he stands at bay The big round tears run down his dappled face, He groans in anguish.'

Autumn, v. 451

Shakspeare exhibits the same object: "The wretched animal heaved forth such groans, That their discharge did stretch his leathern coas Almost to bursting; and the big round tears Coursed one another down his innocent nose

In piteous chase.

Of these three pictures the beseaching eyes of Dryden perhaps is more pathetic than the big round tears, certainly borrowed by Thompson from Shakspears, because the former expression has more passion, and is therefore more postical. The sixth line in Dryden is perhaps exquisite for its imitative harmony, and with peculiar felicity paints the action itself. Thompson adroity drops the insocent nose, of which one word seems to have lost its original simplification and the school field none this formilients. signification, and the other offends now by its familia

The dappled face is a term more picturesque, more appropriate, and more poetically expressed.

### EXPLANATION OF THE PAC-SIMILE.\*

The manuscript of Pope's version of the Iliad and Odyssey are preserved in the British Museum in three volumes, the git of David Mallet. They are written chiefly on the backs of letters, amongst which are several from Addison, Steele, Jervaise, Rowe, Young, Caryl, Walsh, Sir Godfrey Kneeler, Centon, Craggs, Congreve, Hughes, his mother Ediths, and Lintot and Tonson the booksellers.

From these letters no information can be gathered, which merits public communication; they relate generally to the common civilities and common affairs of life. What little could be done has already been given in the additions to Pope's works.

It has been observed, that Pope taught himself to write by copying printed books: of this singularity we have in this collection a remarkable instance; several parts are written in Roman and Italic characters, which for some time I misteck for print; no imitation can be more correct. What appears on this Fac-Simile I have printed, to as-sist its desupharine; and I have also sublining the pears of

what appears on this recommend thave printed, to assist its decyphering; and I have also subjoined the passage as it was given to the public, for immediate reference. The manuscript from whence this page is taken consists of the first rude sketches; an intermediate copy having been employed for the press; so that the corrected verses

of this Fac-Simile occasionally vary from those published.

This passage has been selected, because the parting of
Hector and Adromache is perhaps the most pleasing opisode in the Iliad, while it is confessedly one of the most

The lover of poetry will not be a little gratified, when he contemplates the variety of epithets, the imperfect idea, the gradual embellishment, and the critical rasures which are here discovered.† The action of Hector, in lifting his infant in his arms, occasioned Pope much trouble; and at length the printed copy has a different reading.

I must not omit noticing, that the whole is on the back of a letter franked by Addison; which cover I have given

at one corner of the plate.

The parts distinguished by Italics were rejected. Thus having spoke, the music role.

Estends his eager arms to embrace his boy, lovely Thus having spoke, the illustrious chief of Troy

Stretched his fond arms to seize the beautious boy; babe

The boy clung crying to his nurse's breast, Scar'd at the dazzling helm and nodding crest. each kind

With silent pleasure the fond parent smil'd, And Hector hasten'd to relieve his child.

The glittering terrors unbound

His radiant helmet from his brows unbrac'd, unbound.

on the ground he And on the ground the glittering terror plac'd,

And plac'd the radiant helmet on the ground, Then seit'd the boy and raising him in air,

lifting
Then fondling in his arms his infant heir,

dancing Thus to the gods addrest a father's prayer.

glory fills
O thou, whose thunder shakes th'ethereal throne, deathless

And all ye other powers, protect my son!
Like mine, this war, blooming youth with every virtue bless!

The shield and glory of the Trojan race; Like mine his valour, and his just renown, Like mine his labours to defend the crown. Grant him, like me, to purchase just renown,

the Trojans To guard my country, to defend the crown: In arms like me, his country's war to wage, And rise the Hector of the future age! Against his country's foes the war to wage,

The fac-simile will be given at the end of Curlosities of

† Dr Johnson, in noticing the Mss of Milton, preserved at Cambridge, has made, with his usual torce of language, the following observation: 'Such relies show how excellence s acquired; what we hope ever to do with case we may learn int to do with dilligence And rise the Hector of the future age! succes

So when triumphant from the glorious toils Of hero's slain, the reeking spoils, Whole hosts may

All Troy shall hail him, with deserv'd acclaim, own the son

And cry, this chief transcends his father's fame. While pleas'd, amidst the general shouts of Troy, His mother's conscious heart o'erflows with joy. fondly on her

He said, and gazing o'er his consort's ch Restor'd his infant to her longing arms.

Soft in her fragrant breast the babe she laid, Prest to her heart, and with a smile surveyed;

to repose Hush'd kim to rest, and with a smile surveyed.

But soon the troubled pleasure mist with rising fours, dash'd with lear, The tender pleasure soon, chastised by fear, She mingled with the smile a tender tear.

The passage appears thus in the printed work. I have marked in Italics the variations.

Thus having spoke, the illustrious chief of Troy Stretch'd his fond arms to clasp the lovely boy. The babe clung crying to his nurse's breast, Scar'd at the dazzling helm and nodding cres With secret\* pleasure each fond parent smil'd, And Hector hasted to relieve his child.

The glittering terrors from his brows unbound, And placed the beaming helmet on the ground; Then hiss'd the child and lifting high in air, Thus to the gods preferr'd a father's prayer:

O thou, whose glory fills th'ethereal throne, And all ye deathless powers, protect my son! Grant him like me to purchase just ren To guard the Trojans, to defend the crown; Against his country's foes the war to wage, And rise the Hector of the future age So when, triumphant from successful toils Of heroes slain, he bears the reeking spoils, Of heroes stain, no nears the reexing spous, Whole hosts may hail him, with deserved acclaims. And say, this chief transcends his father's fame: While pleas'd amidst the general shout of Troy, His mother's conscious heart o'erflows with joy.

He spoke; and fondly gazing on her charms Restor'd the pleasing burden to her arms. Soft on her fragrant breast the babe she laid, Hush'd to repose, and with a smile survey'd. The troubled pleasure soon chastis'd by fear, She mingled with the smile a tender tear.

# LITERARY FASHIONS.

There is such a thing as Literary Fashion, and pro and verse have been regulated by the same caprice that cuts our coats, and cocks our hats. Dr Kippis, who had a taste for literary history, has observed that "Dodsley's Economy of human Life" long received the most extragant applause, from the supposition that it was written by a celebrated nobleman; an instance of the power of Literary Fushion: the history of which, as it hath appeared in various ages and countries, and as it hath operated with respect to the different objects of science, learning, art, and taste, would form a work that might be highly instructive and entertaining.'

tive and entertaining.

The favourable reception of 'Dodsley's Economy of Human life' produced a whole family of economies; it was soon followed by a second part, the gratuitous ingenuity of one of those officious imitators, whom an original of the commiss trade on the author never cares to thank. Other economies trod on the heels of each other.

For some memorandum towards a history of literary fashions, the following may be arranged:

At the restoration of letters in Europe, commentators and compilers were at the head of the literati; translators followed, who enriched themselves with their spoils on the commentators. When in the progress of modern literature, writers aimed to rival the great authors of antiquity,

\* Silent in the Ms. (observes a critical friend) is greatly se perior to secret, as it appears in the printed work.

the deferent styles, in their servile imitations clashed together; and parties were formed, who fought desperately
is the style they chose to adopt. The public were long
harmssed by a fantastic race, who called themselves Cicerosina, of whom are recorded many ridiculous practices,
to strain out the words of Cicero into their hollow verbosities. They were rosted by the facetious Erasmus. Then
followed the brillians era of epigrammatic points; and good
sense, and good taste were nothing without the spurious
sense, and good taste were nothing without the spurious
sense, and good taste were nothing without the spurious
sense, and good taste were nothing without the readers being aware that their patience way,
without their readers being aware that their patience way
exhausted. There was an age of epics, which probably
can sever return again; for after two or three, the rest can
be let repetions with a few variations.

In Italy, from 1530 to 1580, a vast multitude of books

Is inly, from 1530 to 1580, a vast multitude of books were writen on love; the fashion of writing on that subject (is certainly it was not always a passion with the indefaugable writer,) was an epidemical distemper. They wrote like pedants, and pagans; those who could not write the love a verse, diffused themselves in prose. When the Polphilus of Colonna appeared, which is given in form of a dream, this dream made a great many dreamer, as it happens in company (says the sarcastic Zeno) when one rawer makes many yawn. When Bishop Hall first, but his latter ones he distinguished as 'Biting Saires,' many good-natured men, who could only write good-natured verse, crowded in his footsteps, and the abundance of their labours only showed that even the "toothless' saires of Hall could bite more sharply than those of service instens. After Spenser's Faery Queen was published, the press overflowed with many mistaken imitations, in which larses were the chief actors,—this circumstance is humonaly animadverted on by Marston, in his saires, as quoted by Warton: Every scribe new falls asleep, and in his

dreams, straight tenne pound to one
Outsepa some fairy—
Awakes, straigt rube his eyes, and prints his tale.

The great personage who gave a fashion to this class of iterature was the courtly and romantic Elizabeth herself; her obsequious wits and courtiers would not fail to feed and fatter her taste. Whether they all fet the beauties, or languished over the tediousness of 'the Faerie Queen,' and the 'Arcadia' of Sidmey, at least her majesty gave a rogue to such sentimental and refined romance. The classical Elizabeth introduced another literary fashion; having translated the Hercules (Etacus, she made it fashiocable to translate Greek tragedies. There was a time, in the age of fanaticism, and the long parliament, that books were considered the more valuable for their length. The seventeenth century was the age of folios. One Caryl was a 'Commentary on Job' in two volumes folio, of above one thousand two hundred sheets! as it was intended to exclusite the virtue of patience, these volumes gave at once the terry and the practice. One is astonished at the multipude of the divines of this age; whose works now lie buried under the brick and mortar tombs of four or five folios, which on a moderate calculation, might now be 'wire wore into thirty or forty modern octaves.

In Charles I's time, love and honour were heightened by the wis into florid romance; but Lord Goring turned all into fidule; and he was followed by the Duke of Buckingham, whose happy vein of ridicule was favoured by Charles II, who gave it the vogue it obtained.

Sr William Temple justly observes, that changes in veins of wit are like those of habits, or other modes. On the return of Charles II, none were more out of fashion among the new courtiers than the old Earl of Norwich, who was esteemed the greatest wit, in his father's time, among the old.

Modern times have abounded with what may be called fashionable interature. Tragedies were some years ago as fashionable interature. Tragedies were some years ago as fashionable as comedies are at this day; Thomson, Malet, Francis, Hill, applied their genius to a department is which they lost it all. Declamation and rant, and over-mined language, were preferred to the fable, the manners, and to Nuture, and these now sleep on our shelves! Then to we had a family of paupers in the pariah of poetry, in (limitations of Spenser.' Not many years ago, Churchill was the occasion of deluging the town with political poems in earth.—These again were succeeded by narrative spens, in the ballad measure, from all sizes of poets.—The Castle of Unnto was the father of that marvellous, which overstocks the circulating library.—Lord Byron has

been the father of hundreds of graceless sons!—Travels and voyages have long been a class of literature so fashionable, that we begin to dread the arrival of certain persons from the Continent!

Different times, then, are regulated by different tastes. What makes a strong impression on the public at one time, ceases to interest it at another; an author who sacrifices to the prevailing humours of his day has but little chance of being esteemed by posterity; and every age of modern literature might, perhaps, admit of a new classification, by dividing it into its periods of fashionable literature.

## THE PASTOMINICAL CHARACTERS.

Il est des gens de qui l'esprit guindé
Sous un front ja mais deridé
Ne soufire, n'approuve, et n'estime,
Que le pompeux, et le subblime;
Pour moi j'ose poser en fait
Qu'en de certains momens l'esprit le plus parfait
Peut aimer sans rougt jusqu'aux Marionettes;
Et qu'il est des tems et des lieux,
Ou le grave, et le serieuv,
Ne valent pas d'agreables Sorrettes.

Teas d'Ans

People there are who never smile,
Their forcheads still unsmooth'd, the while
Some lambent flame of mirth will play,
That wins the easy heart away;
Such only choose in proce or rhyme
A bristling pomp,—they call sublime!
I blush not to like Harlequin
Would he but talk,—and all his km!
Yes, there are times, and there are places,
When flams and old wives' tales are worth the Graces.

CENVANTES, in the person of his hero, has confessed the delight he received from amusements which disturb the gravity of some, who are apt, however, to be more entertained by them than they choose to acknowledge. Don Quixote thus dismisses a troop of merry strollers, 'Andad con dies buena gente, y hazad vuestra fleeta, porque desde muchacho fui aficionado a la Carátula, y en sui mecedad se ne ivan los ojos tras la Farándula.' In a literal version the passage may run thus:—'Go, good people, God he with you, and keep your merry-making! for from childhood I was in love with the Caratula, and in my youth my eyes would lose themselves amidst the Farandula.' According to Pineda La Caratula is an actor masked, and La Farandula is a kind of farce.\*

Even the studious Bayle, wrapping himself in his cloak, and hurrying to the market-place to Punchinello, would laugh when the fellow had humour in him, as was usually the case; and I believe the pleasure some still find in pantomimes, to the annoyance of their gravity, is a very natural one, and only wants a little more understanding in the actors and the spectators.

The truth is, that here our Harlequin and all his lifeless family are condemned to perpetual silence. They came to us from the genial hilarity of the Italian theatre, and were all the grotesque children of wit, and whim, and satire. Why is this burlesque race here privileged to cost so much, to do so little, and to repeat that little so often? Our own pantomime may, indeed, boast of two inventions of its own growth: we have turned Harlequin into a magician, and this produces the aurprise of sudden changes of scenery, whose splendour and curious correctness have rarely been equalled; while in the metamorphosis of the scene, a certam sort of wit to the eye, 'mechanic wit,' as it has been termed, has originated, as when a surgeon's shop is turned into a laundry, with the inscription 'Mangling done here.' or counsellors at the bar changed into fish-women.

Every one of this grotesque family were the creatures of national genius, chosen by the people for themselves. Italy, both ancient and modern, exhibits a gesticulating people of comedians, and the name comic genius charac-

\* Motteux, whose translation Lord Woodhouselee distinguishes as the most curious, turns the passage thus: 'I wish you well, good people, drive on to act your play, for in my very childhood I loved shows, and have been a great admirer of dramatic representations.' Part II, c. xi. The other translators have nearly the same words. But in employing the generic term they lose the species, that is, the thing itself; but what is less tolerable, in the flatness of the style, they lose that delightfulness with which Cervantes conveys to us the recollected pleasures then busying the warm brain of his hero. An English reader, who often grows weary over his Quizote, appears not always sensible that one of the secret charms of Cervantes, like all great national authors, lies concealed in his idiom and style.

terised the nation through all its revolutions, as well as the individual through all his fortunes. The lower classes still betray their aptitude in that vivid humour, where the action is suited to the word-silent gestures sometimes extion is suited to the word—stient gestures sometimes ex-pressing whole sentences. They can tell a story, and even raise the passions, without opening their lips. No nation in modern Europe possesses so keen a relish for the burlesque, insomuch as to show a class of unrivalled poems, which are distinguished by the very title; and perhaps which are distinguished by the very title; and perhaps there never was an Italian in a foreign country, however deep in trouble, but would drop all remembrance of his sorrows, should one of his countrymen present himself with the paraphernalia of Punch at the corner of a street. I was acquainted with an Italian, a philosopher and a man of fortune, residing in this country, who found so lively a pleasure in performing Punchinello's little comedy, that, for this purpose, with considerable expense and curiosity, he had his wooden company, in all their costume, sent over from his native place. The shrill squeak of the timestands of the contraction of whiatle had the same comic effect on him as the notes of the Rans des Vaches have in awakening the tenderness of domestic emotions in the wandering Swiss—the national genius is dramatic. Lady Wortley Montagu, when she resided at a villa near Brescia, was applied to by the villagers for leave to erect a theatre in her saloon : they had lagers for leave to erect a theatre in her saloon: they had been accustomed to turn the stables into a playhouse every carnival. She complied, and as she tells us, was 'surprised at the beauty of their scenes, though painted by a country painter. The performance was yet more surprising, the actors being all peasants; but the Italians have so natural a genius for comedy, they acted as well as if they had been brought up to nothing else, particularly the Artequino, who far surpassed any of our English, though only the tailor of our village, and I am assured never saw a play in any other place. Italy is the mother, and the nurse, of the whole Harlequin race. a play in any other place. Italy is nurse, of the whole Harlequin race.

Hence it is that no scholars in Europe, but the most learned Italians, smit by the national genius, could have devoted their vigils to narrate the ecvolutions of pantomime, to compile the annals of Harlequin, to unroll the genealogy of Punch, and to discover even the most secret anecdotes of the obscurer branches of that grotesque faanecdotes of the opecurer oranges of that groundle lambly amids their changeful fortunes during a period of two thousand years! Nor is this all; princes have ranked them among the Rosciuses; and Harlequins and Scaramouches have been enhobled. Even Harlequins themselves have written elaborate treatises on the almost insurmountable difficulties of their art. I despair to convey the sympathy they have inspired me with to my reader; but every Transnatane genius must be informed, that of what he has never seen, he must rest content to

be told.

Of the ancient Italian troop we have retained three or four of the characters, while their origin has nearly escaped our recollection; but of the burlesque comedy, the extempore dialogue, the humourous fable, and its peculiar

species of comic acting, all has vanished.

Many of the popular pastimes of the Romans unques-tionably survived their dominion, for the people will amuse themselves, though their masters may be conquered; and tradition has never proved more faithful than in preserving popular sports. Many of the games of our children were played by Roman boys; the mountebanks, with the dancers and tumblers on their moveable stages, still in our fairs, are Roman; the disorders of the Bacchanalia Italy appears to imitate in her carnivals. Among these Roman diversions certain comic characters have been transmitted to us, along with some of their characteris-tics, and their dresses. The speaking pantomines and extempore comedies, which have delighted the Italians for many centuries, are from this ancient source.

Of the Mimi and the Pantomimi of the Romans, the

following notices enter into our present researches:

The Mimi were an impudent race of buffoons, who excelled in mimicry, and, like our domestic fools, admitted into convivial parties to entertain the guests; from them we derive the term mimetic art. Their powers enabled them to perform a more extraordinary office, for they appear to have been introduced into funerals, to mimic the person, and even the language of the deceased. Suetonius describes an Archimimus, accompanying the funeral of Vespasian. This Archimime performed his part ador vespessan. This Attendance person, but imitating, maintably, not only representing the person, but imitating, according to custom, ut est mos, manners and language of the living emperor. He contrived a happy stroke at the prevailing foible of Vespassan, when he inquired the coast of all this funeral poun? 'Ten millions of senterces!' On this he observed, that if they would give him but a hum-dred thousand, they might throw his body into the Tiber.

dred thousand, they might throw his body into the Taber.

The Pantomini were quite of a different class. They
were tragic actors, usually mute; they combined wish the
arts of gesture, music and dances of the most impressive
character. Their silent language often drew tears by the
pathetic emotions which they excited: 'Their very mod
speaks, their hands talk, and their fingers have a voice,'
says one of their admirers. Seneca, the father, grave as
was his profession, confessed his taste for pantomines had
become a passion; and by the decree of the senants, that
'the Roman kinglist should not attend the pantominesic
players in the streets,' it is evident that the performers
were greatly honored. Lucian has composed a causious
treatise on pantomines. We may have asses meation of were greatly honored. Lucian has composed a caucious treatise on pantomimes. We may have some motion of their deep conceptior of character, and their invention, by an anecdote records, by Macrobius, of two riwal pantomimes. When Hylas, dancing a hymn, which closed with the words, 'The great Agamemnon,' to express that idea took it in its literal meaning, and stood crect, as if measuring his size—Pylades, his rival, exclaimed, 'You make him tall, but not great?' The audience obliged Pylades to dance the same hymn; when he came to the words, be collected himself in a norture of deep meditation. This to cance the same nymn; when he came to the words, be collected himself in a posture of deep meditation. This silent pantomimic language we ourselves have witnessed carried to singular perfection, when the actor Palmer, after building a theatre, was prohibited the use of his voice by the magistrates. It was then he powerfully affected the audience by the eloquence of his action in the tragic passession of the tragic passession. tomime of Don Juan!

These pantomimi seem to have been held in great ho-nour; many were children of the Graces and the Virtues! The tragic and the comic masks were among the orna-ments of the sepulchral monuments of an Arch-minne and Montfaucon conjectures that they formed a Pantomime. Remains a select fraternity.† They had such an influence over the Roman people, that when two of them quarrelled, Augustus interfered to renew their friendship. Pylades was one of them, and he observed to the emperor, that nothing could be more useful to him than that the people should be perpetually occupied with the squabbles, between him and Bathyllus! The advice was accepted and the emperor

was silenced.

The party-coloured hero, with every part of his dress, has been drawn out of the great wardrobe of antiquity; he was a Roman Mime. Harlequin is described with his shaven head, rasis capitibus; his sooty face, fullgine facient obduct; his flat, unshed feet, planipedes; and his patched coat of many colours, Mimi centunculo. Rven

Tacitus, Annals, Lib. I, Soct. 77, in Murphy's translation.
 L'Antiq. Exp. V. 63.
 Louis Riccoboni, in his curious little treatise 'Du Theare'

t Louis Riccoboni, in his curious little treatise 'Du Theatre Italien,' illustrated by seventeen prints of the Italian penteuminic characters, has duly collected the authorities. I give them, in the order quoted above, for the satisfaction of more grave inquirers. Vossius Instit. Post. Lib. II, cap. 32, 64. The Mimi blackened their faces. Diomedes de Orat. Lib. III, Apuleius in Apolog. And further, the patched dress was used by the ancient peasents of Italy, as appears by a passage in Celsus de Re Rust. Lib. I, c. 8; and Juvenal employs the term centurculus as a diminutive of cento, for a coat maste up of patches. This was afterwards applied metaphorically to those well-known poems called centos, composed of shades and patches of poetry, collected from all quarters. Collected to those well-known poems called centos, composed of shases and patches of poetry, collected from all quarters. Goldoed considered Harlequin as a poor devil and dolt, whose cost is made up of rags patched together; his hat shows mendicity; and the hare's tall is still the dress of the peasantry of Bergamo. Quadrio, in his learned Storia d'ogni Poesia, hat diffused his erudition on the ancient Mimi and their success agons. Dr. Clarke has discovered the light label was defined. Dr Clarke has discovered the light lathe sword of Har sors. Dr Clarke has discovered the light lathe sword of Har lequin, which had hitherto baffled my most painful research ea, amidst the dark mysteries of the ancient mythology! We read with equal astonishment and novelty, that the prototypes of the modern Pantomime are in the Pagan mysteries; or his rod the caducous, to render himself invisible, and to transport himself from one end of the earth to the other; that covering on his head was his retains or winged can; that transport himself from one end of the earth to the other; that the covering on his head was his petasus, or winged cap; that Columbine is Psyche, or the Soul; the Old Man in our Pan tomines is Charon; the Clown is Momus, the buffoon of heaven, whose large gaping mouth is an imitation of the axcient masks. The subject of an ancient vass engraven in the volume represents Harlequin. Columbine, and the Clown, we see them on the English stage. The dreams of the learn ed are amusing when we are not put to sleep! Dr Clarke's Travels, vol. IV, p. 459. The Italian antiquaries never enter tained any doubt of the remote origin. See the South edition of this volume, Appendix. A letter from the Marquis Di Spiness.

Pullicinella, whom we familiarly call Punch, may receive like other personages of not greater importance, all his dignity from antiquity; one of his Roman ancestors having appeared to an antiquary's visionary eye in a bronze status: more than one erudite dissertation authenticates the family likeness; the nose long, prominent, and hooked; the staring goggle eyes; the hump at his back and at his breast; in a word, all the character which so strongly marks the Punch-race, as distinctly as whole dynasties have been featured by the Austrian lip and the Bourbon

The genealogy of the whole family is confirmed by the meral term, which includes them all; for our Zany, in alian Zanni, comes direct from Namio, a hulloon; and Atalian Zanai, consecuted the analysis of Zanay, and Atalian Zanai, consecuted the a passage in Cicero, de Oratore, paints Harlequin and his brother gesticulators after the life; the perpetual tremhas brother gesticulators after the inte; the perpetual trem-bling motion of their limbe, their ludicrous and flexible gestures, and all the mimicry of their faces. Quid enim potest tem ridiculum, quam Samnto esse? Qui ore, vultu, sunitandis metibles, wee, denique corpore ridetur ipso. Lib. II, Sect. 51. For what has more of the ludicrous than Samnto? who, with his mouth, his face, imitating every motion, with his voice, and indeed, with all his body, pro-vokes laughter.?

These are the two ancient heroes of Pantomime. The other characters are the laughing children of mere modern humour. Each of these chimerical personages, like so many County-Members, come from different provinces in the gesticulating land of Pantomime; in hitle principalities the rival inhabitants present a contrast in manners and characters which coars a mide fadd for ridgest, and and characters which opens a wider field for ridicule and satire, than in a kingdom where a uniformity of govern-ment will produce a uniformity of manners. An inventor appeared in Ruzzante, an author and actor who flourished about 1530. Till his time they had servilely copied the duped fathers, the wild sons, and the tricking valets, of Plautus and Teronee; and, perhaps, not being writers of suffi-sient skill, but of some invention, were satisfied to sketch the plots of dramas, but boldly trusted to extempore acting and dialogue. Ruzzante peopled the Italian stage with a fresh enlivening crowd of pantomimic characters; the insipid dotards of the ancient comedy were transformed into the Ventian Pantaloon and the Bologness Doc-tor: while the hair-brained fellow, the arch-knave, and the booby, were furnished from Milan, Bergamo, and Calabria. He gave his newly-created beings new language and a new dress. From Plautus he appears to have taken

and a new dress. From Plautus he appears to have taken

This status, which is imagined to have thrown so much
light on the geneslogy of Punch, was discovered in 1727, and
is engraved in Ficorini's amusing work on Le Maschere sceniche e le figure comiche d'antichi Romani, p. 48. It is that
of a Minne called Maccus by the Romans; the name indicates
a simpleton. But the origin of the more modern name has
occasioned a little difference, whether it be derived from the
nose or its squeak. The learned Quadrio would draw the name
Pulificenello from Pulliceno, which Spartanus uses for il
pulle gallinaces (I suppose this to be the turkey-cock,) because
Punch's hooked nose resembles its beak. But Baretti, in that
strange book the 'Tolondron,' gives a derivation admirably
descriptive of the peculiar squeaking nasal sound. He says,
Punchinello, or Funch, as you well know, speaks with a
squeaking voice that seems to come out at his nose, because
the fellow who in a puppet-show manages the puppet called
Punchinello, or Punch, as the English folks abbroviate it,
speaks with a tin whistle in his mouth, which makes him emit
that comical kind of voice. But the English word Punchinello
is in Italian Pulcinella, which means a hen-chicken. Chickens' voices are squeaking and nasal; and they are timid, and
powerless, and for this reason my whimsical countryment have powerless, and for this reason my whimsical countryment have given the name of Pulcinella, or hen-chicken, to that comic given the name of a distance of a man that speaks with a equesking voice through his nose, to express a timid and weak fellow, who is always threshed by the other actors, and always bosses of victory after they are gone. Tolondron, p.

† How the Latin Sannio became the Italian Zanni, was a whirt in the round-about of etymology which put Ricciboni very itl at his ease; for he, having discovered this classical erigin of his favourise character, was alarmed at Menage giving it up with obsequious tameness to a Cruscan correspondent. The learned Quadrio, however, gives his vote for the Greek Sannos, from whence the Latins borrowed their Sannio. Riccoboni's derivation, therefore, now stands secure from all vertal disturbers of human quiet.

santa is in Latin, as Ainsworth elaborately explains 'a mocking by grimaces, mows, a flout, a frump, a gibe, a scoff, a banter;' and Sennio is 'a fool in a play.' The Italians change the S into Z, for they say Zmyrna and Zambuco, for Smyrna and Sambuco; and thus they turned Sanlo into Zanao, and then into Zanni, and we caught the echo in our Zany.

the hint of introducing all the Italian dialects into one com edy, by making each character use his own; and even the modern Greek, which, it seems, afforded many an unexpected play on works for the Italian.\* This new kind This new kind of pleasure, like the language of Babel charmed the national ear; every province would have its dialect introduced on the scene, which often served the purpose both of recreation and a little innocent malice. Their masks and dresses were furnished by the grotesque masqueraders of the carnival, which doubtless, often contributed many scenes and humours to the quick and fanciful genius of Ruzzante. I possess a little book of Scaramouches, &c, by Callot. Their masks and their costume must have by Callot. been copied from these carnival scenes. We see their seem copied from these carmival scenes. We see their strongly-featured masks; their attitudes; pliant as those of a posture-master; the drollery of their figures; while the grotesque creatures seem to leap, and dance, and gesticu-late, and move about so fantastically under his sharp graver, that they form as individualized a race as our fas-ries and witches; mortals, yet like nothing mortal! The first Italian actors were masks—objections have been raised against their use. Signorelli shows the infe-

been raised against their use. Signorelli shows the infebeen raised against their use. Signorem sub-controlled the modern in deviating from the moveable or rather double masks of antiquity, by which the actor could vary the artificial face at pleasure. The mask has had vary the artificial face at pleasure. The mask has had its advocates, for some advantages it possesses over the naked face; a mask aggravates the features, and gives a more determined expression to the comic character; an

important effect among this fantastical group.†

The Harlequin in the Italian theatre has passed through all the vicissitudes of fortune. At first he was a true repre sentative of the ancient Mime, but afterwards degenerated into a booby and a gourmand, the perpetual butt for a sharp-witted fellow, his companion, called Brighella; the knife and the whetstone. Harlequin, under the reforming hand of Goldoni, became a child of nature, the delight of his country; and he has commemorated the historical character of the great Harlequin Sacchi. It may serve the reader to correct his nations of one, from the absurd pre-tender with us who has usurped the title. Sacchi possessed a lively and brilliant imagination, While other Harlequins merely repeated themselves, Sacchi, who always adhered to the essence of the play, contrived to give an air of freshness to the piece by his new sallies and unexpected repartees. His comic traits and his jests were neither taken from the language of the lower orders, nor that of the comedians. He levied contributions on comic authors, on poets, orators, and philosophers; and in his impromptus they often discovered the thoughts of spences, Cicero, or Montaigne. He possessed the art of appropriating the remains of these great men to himself, and allying them to the simplicity of the blockhead; so that the same proposition which was admired in a serious author, became highly ridiculous in the mouth of this excellent actor.' In France Harlequin was improved into a wit, and even converted into a moralist; he is the graceful hero of Florian's charming compositions, which please, even in the closet. 'This imaginary being, invented by the Italians and adopted by the French,' says the ingenious Goldoni, 'has the exclusive right of uniting naivete with finesse, and no one ever surpassed Florian in the delineation of this amphibious character. He has even contrived to impart sentiment, passion, and morality, to his pieces.'§ Harlequin must be modelled as a national character, the creature of manners; and thus the history of such a Har-lequin might be that of the age and of the people, whose genius he ought to represent.

The history of a people is often detected in their popu-The history of a people is often detected in their people ar amusements; one of these Italian pantomimic characters shows this. They had a Capitan, who probably originated in the Miles gloriosus of Plautus; a brother, at least, of our ancient Pistol and Bobadil. The ludicrous names of this military poltroon were, Spacento (Horrid fright), Spexzo-fer (Shiver-spear), and a tremendous recreant was Capitan Spacento de Val inferno. When Charles Vantered Italy a Spanish Capitan was intro-Charles V entered Italy, a Spanish Captain was intro-duced; a dreadful man he was too, if we are to be fright-ened by names: Sangre e fuego! and Matamoro! His business was to deal in Spanish rhodomontades, to kick out the native Italian Capitan, in compliment to the Span-

\* Riccoboni Histoire du Theatre Ralien, p. 53 ; Gimma Italia Letterata, 196.

† Signorelli Storia Critica de Teatri, tom. III, 268. Mem. of Goldoni, II, 281.

sards, and then to take a quiet caning from Harlequin, in compliment to themselves. When the Spaniards lost their influence in Italy, the Spanish Captain was turned into Bandonce in Italy, the Spanish Captum was turned may Bearamouch, who still wore the Spanish dress and was per-petually in a panic. The Italians could only avenge themselves on the Spaniards in Pantomime! On the same principle the gown of Pantaloon over his red waistcoat and breeches, commemorates a circumstance in Venitian history, expressive of the popular feeling; the dress is that of a Venitian citizen, and his speech the dialect; but when the Venitians lost Negropont, they changed their upper dress to black, which before had been red, as a na-

tional demonstration of their grief.

The characters of the Italian Pantomime became so numerous, that every dramatic subject was easily furnished with the necessary personages of comedy. That loqua-cious pedant the *Dettors* was taken from the Lawyers and the Physicians, habbling false Latin in the dialect of learned Bologna. Scapin was a livery servant who spoke the dialect of Bergamo, a province proverbially abounding with rank intriguing knaves, who, like the slaves in Plautus and Terence, were always on the watch to further any wickwhite Calabria furnished the booby Giangurgello with his grotesque nose. Moliere, it has been ascertained, discovered in the Italian theatre at Paris his 'Médecin malgré lui,' his 'Etourdi;' his 'L'Avare,' and his 'Scapin.' Milan offered a pimp in the Review.' Milan offered a pimp in the Brighella; Florence an ape of fashion in Gelsomino. These and other pantomimic characters, and some ludicrous ones, as the Tartaglia, a spectacled dotard, and a stammerer, and usually in a pas-son, had been gradually introduced by the inventive pow-ers of an actor of genius, to call forth his own peculiar

The Pantomimes, or, as they have been described, the continual Masquerades, of Ruzzante, with all these diversified personages, talking and acting, formed, in truth, a burlesque counedy. Some of the finest geniuses of Italy became the votaries of Harlequin; and the Italian Panto-mime may be said to form a school of its own. The invention of Ruzzante was one capable of perpetual novelty.

Many of these actors have been chronicled either for the invention of some comic character, or for their true imita-tion of nature in performing some favourite one. One, already immortalized by having lost his real name in that of Captain Matamores, by whose inimitable humours he became the most popular man in Italy, invented the Neapolitan Pullicinello; while another, by deeper study, added new graces to another burleaque rival.\* One Constantini invented the character of Mezetin, as the Narcissus of pantomine. He acted without a mask, to charm by the beautiful play of his countenance, and display the graces of his figure; the floating drapery of his fanciful dress could be arranged by the changeable humour of the wearer. Crowds followed him in the streets, and a King of Poland ennobled him. The Wit and Harlequin Dominic sometimes dined at the table of Louis XIV. Tiberio Fiurilli, who invented the character of Scaramouch, had been the amusing companion of the boyhood of Louis XIV; and from him Moliere learnt much, as appears by the verses under his portrait :

> Cet illustre Comedien De son art traca la carriere : Il fut le maître de Moliere, Et la Nature fut le sien.

The last lines of an epitaph on one of these pantomimic actors may be applied to many of them during their Sourishing period:

'Toute sa vie il a fait rire; Il a fait pleuré a sa mort.'

Several of these admirable actors were literary men, who have written on their art, and shown that it was one. The Harlequin Cechini composed the most ancient treatiae on this subject, and was ennobled by the Emperor Matthias; and Nicholas Barbieri, for his excellent acting called the Beltrame, a Milanese simpleton, in his treatise on Comedy, tells us that he was honoured by the conversation of Louis XIII, and rewarded with fortune

• I am here but the translator of a grave historian. The Italim writes with all the feeling of one aware of the important anyrative, and with a most curious accuracy in this genealogy of character: Silvio Fiorillo, che appellar si facea il Capitano bit tamonus, invento il Pulcinella Napoletano, e collo studio o grazia muko agguinee Andrea Calcese detto Cluccio por so-Drannome. Gimma Italia Letterata, p. 196

What was the nature of that perfection to which the Italian pantomime reached; and that prodigality of genus, which excited such enthusiasm, not only among the populace, but the studious, and the noble, and the men of ganus?

The Italian Pantomime had two poculiar features; a species of buffoonery technically termed Lassi, and one of a more extraordinary nature, the estempore dialogue et

its comedy.

These Lazzi were certain pleasantries of gesticular quite national, yet so closely allied to our notions of be foonery, that a Northern critic will not readily detect the separating shade; yet Riccoboni asserts that they formed a critical and not a trivial art. That these arts of gesticulation had something in them peculiar to Italian humour we infer from Gherardi, who could not explain the term but by describing it as 'Un Test: JEU ITALIES!' I was so peculiar to them, that he could only call it by their own name. It is difficult to describe that of which the whole magic consists in being seen: and what is more

evanescent than the humour which consists in gestures?

'Lazzi (says Riccoboni) is a term corrupted from the old Tuscan Lacci, which signifies a knot, or something which connects. These pleasantries called Lazzi are certain at the humour humbin the present hum which connects. These pleasantries called Lexis are certain actions by which the performer breaks into the accese, to paint to the eye his emotions of panic or jocularity; but as such gestures are foreign to the business going of the nicety of the art consists in not interrupting the second and connecting the Lazzi with it; thus to see the whole together.' Lazzi, then, seems a kind of missicry and together. Laxi, then, seems a annu or ministery am gesture, corresponding with the passing scene; and we may translate the term by one in our green-room dialect, side-play. Riccoboni has ventured to describe some Laxii. When Harlequin and Scapin represent two first passing the same transfer. mished servants of a poor young mistress, among the arts by which they express their state of starvation, Harlequin having nurmured, Scapin exhorts him to groun, a mus which brings out their young mistress. Scapin explan Harlequin's impatience, and begins a proposel to h which might extricate them all from their misery. Scapin is talking, Harlequin performs his Lazza ing he holds a hafuil of cherries, he seems eating them, and gaily flinging the stones at Scapin; or with a rueful countenance he is trying to catch a fly, and with his head in comical despair, would chop off the wings before he swallows the chamelion game. These, with nimitar Lexis, harmonize with the remonstrance of Scapin, and re-an-mate it; and thus these 'Lazzi, although they seem to mterrupt the progress of the action, yet in cutting it they slide back into it, and connect or tie the whole.' These slide back into it, and connect or use we want.

Lazzi are in great danger of degenerating into puerile
mimicry or gross buffoonery, unless fancifully conceived
and vividly gesticulated. But the Italians seem to possess the art of gesture before that of speech: and thus
national characteristic is also Roman. Such, indeed, was the powerful expression of their mimetic art, that when the select troop under Riccoboni, on their first introduction into France, only spoke in Italian, the audience, who did not understand the words, were made completely masters of the action by their pure and energetic imitations of nature. The Italian theatre, has, indeed, recorded some miracles of this sort. A celebrated Scaramouch, withou uttering a syllable, kept the audience for a cons time in a state of suspense by a scene of successive terrors; and exhibited a living picture of a panio-stricken man. Gherardi, in his 'Theatre Italien,' conveys some idea of the scene. Scaramouch, a character usually represented in a fright, is waiting for his master Harlequin presented in a lingit, is waiting for his master Haricquis in his spartment; having put every thing in order, according to his confused notions, he takes the guitar, seats himself in an arm-chair and plays. Pasquariel comes gently behind him and taps him on the shoulders—this throws Scaramouch into a panic. 'It was then that incomparable model of our most eminent actors,' says Gherardi, displayed the miracles of his art: that art which paints the passions in the face, throws them into every gesture, and through a whole scene of frights upon frights, conveys the most powerful expression of ludicrous terror. This man moved all hearts by the simplicity of nature, more than skilled orators can with all the charms of persuasive rhetoric.' On this memorable scene a great prince observed that 'Scaramuccia non parla, e dice gran cosa.'
'He spenks not, but he says many great things.'
In gesticulation and humour our Rich appears to have

been a complete Mime: his genius was entirely confined

to I manageme; and he had the glory of introducing Har-lequia a the English stage, which he played under the feigned mane of Lan. He could describe to the audience by has eigns and gestures as intelligibly as others could express by words. There is a large caricature print of the triumph which Rich had obtained over the severe of the triumph which area may covarine over the seven-Muses of Tragedy and Comedy, which lasted too long not to excite jealousy and e-position from the corps dramatique. Garrick, who once introduced a speaking Harlequin, has celebrated the silent but powerful language of Rich:

"When Lun appeard, with matchless art and whim He gave the power of speech to every limb,
Tho' mask'd and mute, convey's his quick intent,
and told in frolic gestures what he want:
But now the mother cost and sword of wood Require a tongue to make them understood!

The Italian Extempore Comedy is a Literary curiosity which claims our attention,

#### EXTEMPORE COMEDIES.

It is a curiosity in the history of national genius to discover a people with such a native fund of come. humour, combined with such passionate gesticulation, that they could deeply interest in acting a Comedy, carried on by dialogue, intrigue, and character, all' improvista, or iss dialogue, intrigue, and character, all' improusts, or se-prempts: the actors undergoing no rehearsal, and, in fact, composing while they were acting. The plot, called Scenario, consisting merely of the scenes enumerated, with the characters indicated, was first written out; it was then suspended at the back of the stage, and from has mere inspection, the actors came forward to perform,

the dialogue entirely depending on their own genius.\*

'These pieces must have been detestable, and the actors mere buffoces,' exclaim the Northern critics, whose imaginations have a coldness in them, like a frost in spring. But when the art of Extempore Comedy flourished among these children of fancy, the universal pleasure these representations afforded to a whole vivacious people, and the recorded celebrity of their great actors, open a new field for Lie speculation of gunius. It may seem more extraor-dinary that some of its votaries have maintained that it possessed some peculiar advantages over written compositions.
When Goldoni reformed the Italian theatre by regular Comedies, he found an invincible opposition from the enthussasts of their old Comedy; for two centuries it had been the amusement of Italy, and was a species of comic entertainment which it had created. Inventive minds were fond of sketching out these outlines of pieces, and other

men of genius of representing them.

The inspiration of national genius alone could produce this phenomenon; and these Extempore Comedies were, indeed, indigenous to the soil. Italy, a land of faprovisa-soi, kept up from the time of their old masters, the Ro-mans, the same fervid fancy. The ancient Atellana Fabule, or Atelian Farces, originated at Atelia, a town in the neighbourhood of ancient Naples; and these, too, were pore Interludes, or, as Livy terms them, Exodia. We find in that historian a little interesting narrative of the theatrical history of the Romans: when the dramatic performances at Rome were becoming too sentimental and declamatory, banishing the playfulness and the mirth of Comedy, the Roman youth left these graver performances to the professed actors, and revived, perhaps in imitation of the lie entions Satyra of the Greeks, the ancient custom of versifying pleasantries, and throwing out jests and raillery selves, for their own diversion. These Atellas Parces were probably not so low in humour as they have been represented if or at least the Roman youth, on their re-

· Some of the ancient Scenarie were printed in 1661, by Fla-Some of the ancient Scenarie were printed in 1801, by Flaminius Scala, one of their great actors. These, according to Riccobmi, emasts of nothing more than the skeletons of Comeies; the Canevas, as the French technically term a plot and its scenes. He says, 'they are not so short as those we now use to fix at the back of the scenes, nor so full as to furnish any all in the dislogue; they only explain what the actor did on the stage, and the action which forms the subject; nothing more.'

†The passage in Livy is 'Juventus, histrionibus tabellarum actu relirto, ipas inter se, more antiquo, ridicula intexta versi-bus jectitare corpit.' Lib. vii, cap. 2.

bas jectuare corpit.\* Lib. vii, cap. 2.
¿Ast sheez Azellanns Fabules were never written, they have
not descended to us in any shape. It has, indeed, been conjectured that Horace, in the fifth Satire of his first Book, v.
dt, has preserved a scene of this nature between two practised beficons in the 'Pugnam Sarmenti Scurres,' who challeages his brother Clearus; oqually ludicrous and scurribous.
But sorely these were rather the low humour of the Mimes,
then of the Atelian Faccers

vival, excercised a chaster taste, for they are noticed by Cicero in a letter to his literary friend Papyrus Patus, which may be read in Melmoth's version. 'But to turn from the serious to the jocose part of your letter-the strain of pleasantry you break into, immediately after having distinctly quoted the tragedy of Enomanus, puts me in mind of the medern method of introducing at the end of these graver dramatic pieces the buffon humour of our low manes, instead of the more delicate burlesque of the old Atellan Forces.'\* This very curious passage, distinctly marks out the two classes, which so many centuries after Cicero were revived in the Pantomime of Italy, and in its Estempore Comedy.

The critics on our side of the Alps reproached the Ita-lians for the Extempore Comedies; and Marmontel, in the Encyclopedie, rashly declared that the nation did not pos-sess a single Comedy which could endure a perusal. But he drew his notions from the low Farces of the Italiaa theatre at Paris, and he censured what he had never read. The Comedies of Bibiens, Del Lasca, Del Secchi, and others, are models of classical Comedy, but not the popular favourites of Italy. Signorelli distinguishes two species of Italian Comedy, those which he calls Commedie Antiche ed Eruditi, ancient and learned Comedies, and those of Commedie dell' Arte, or a Soggetto, Comedies suggested.—The first were moulded on classical models, ecited in their academies to a select audience, and performed by amateurs; but the Commedie a Soggetto, the Extempore Comedies, were invented by professional ac-tors of genius. More delightful to the fancy of the Italians, and more congenial to their talents, in spite of the graves critics, who even in their amusements cannot cast off the manacles of precedence, the Italians resolved to be pleased for themselves, with their own natural vein, and with one feeling preferred a freedom of original humour and invention incompatible with regular productions, but which inspired admirable actors, and secured full audiences.

Men of great genius had a passion for performing in these Extempore Comedies. Salvator Rosa was famous for his character of a Calabrian Clown, whose original he had probably often studied amidst that mountainous scenery in which his pencil delighted. Of their manner of acting I find an interesting anecdote in Passeri's life of this great

painter; he shall tell his own story.

One summer Salvator Rosa joined a company of young persons who were curiously addicted to the making of Commedic all improvise. In the midst of a vineyard they raised a rustic stage, under the direction of one Mussi, who enjoyed some literary reputation, particularly for his

sermons preached in Lent.

Their second Comedy was numerously attended, and I went among the rest; I sat on the same bench, by good fortune, with the Cavalier Bernini, Romanelli, and Guido, all well known persons. Salvator Rosa, who had al-ready made himself a favourite with the Roman people under the character of Formics, 5 opened with a prologue, in company with other actors. He proposed, for relieving themselves of the extreme heats and ennsi, that they should make a Comedy, and all agreed. Formica then spoke these exact words:

Non boglio gid. che facimmo Commedie come cierti, che tagliano li panni aduosso a chisto, o a chillo; perche ce lo tiempo se fa vedere, chiu veloce lo tagho de no rasuolo, che la penna de no pocta; e ne manco boglio, che facimmo venire nella scena porta citazioni, acquavitari, e erapari, e ste schi-

fenze che tengo spropositi da aseno.

One part of this humour lies in the dialect, which is Venetian but there was a concealed stroke of satire, a snake in the grass. The sense of the passage is, 'I will

Melmoth's Letters of Cicero, B. viii, lett. 20 · in Gravius's

edition, Lib. ix, ep. 16.

† This passage also shows that our own custom of annex ing a Farce, or petite piece, or Pantomime, to a tragic Lrama, existed among the Romans: the introduction of the practice here seems not to be ascertained; and it is conjectured not to have existed before the Restoration. Shakspeare and his con temporaries probably were spectators of only a single drama at one performance.

at one performance.

† Storia Critica de Tentri de Bignorelli, tom. iii, 258. Barest
mentions a collection of four thousana dramas, made by Aposcolo Zeno, of which the greater part were Comedies. He allows
that in tragedies his nation is inferior to the English and the
French; but no nation, he adds, can be compared with us
for pleasantry and humour in Comedy. Some of the greatest
names in Rallan Literature were writers of Comedy. Ral. Lib.

§ Altieri explains Formica as a crabbed fellow, who acts the butt in a Farce, Digitized by GOOGIC not, however, that we should make a Comedy like certain persons who cut clothes, and put them on this man's back, and on that man's back; for at last the time comes which shows how much faster went the cut of the shears than the pen of the poet; nor will we have entering on the scene, couriers, brandy-sellers and goat-herds, and their stare shy and blockish, which I think worthy the senseless invention of an ass.

Passeri now proceeds; 'At this time Bernini had made a Comedy in the Carnival, very pungent and biting; and that summer he had one of Castelli's performed in the suburbs, where, to represent the dawn of day, appeared on the stage, water carriers, couriers, and goat-herds, going about—all which is contrary to rule, which allows of no character who is not concerned in the dialogue to mix with the groups. At these words of the Formica, I, who well knew his meaning, instantly glanced my eye at Bernini, to observe his movements; but he, with an artificial carelessness, showed that this "cut of the shears" did not touch him; and he made no apparent show of being hurt. But Castelli, who was also near, tossing his head and smiling in bitterness, showed, clearly that he was hit."

This Italian story told with all the poignant relish of these vivacious natives, to whom such a stinging incident was an important event, also shows the personal freedoms taken on these occasions by a man of genius, entirely in the spirit of the ancient Roman Atellana, or the Grecian

Satyra.

Riccoboni has discussed the curious subject of Extempore Comedy with equal modesty and feeling; and Gherardi, with more exultation and egotism. 'This kind of spectacle,' says Riccoboni, is peculiar to Italy; one cannot deuv that it has graces perfectly its own, and which written Comedy can never exhibit. This impromptu mode of acting furnishes opportunities for a perpetual change in the performances, so that the same scenario repeated still appears a new one; thus one Comedy may become twenty Comedies. An actor of this description, always supposing an actor of genius, is more vividly affected than one who has coldly got his part by rote.' But Riccoboni could not deny that there were inconveniences in this singular art. One difficulty not easily surmounted was the preventing of all the actors speaking together; each one eager to reply before the other had finished. It was a nice point to know when to yield up the scene entirely to a predominant character, when agitated, by violent passion; nor did it require a less exercised tact to feel when to stop; the vanity of an actor often spoiled a fine scene.

It evidently required that some of the actors at least should be blessed with genius, and what is scarcely less difficult to find, with a certain equality of talents; for the performance of the happiest actor of this school greatly depends on the excitement he receives from his companion; an actor beneath mediocrity would ruin a piece. 'Buffigure, memory, voice, and even sensibility, are not sufficient for the actor all' improvista; he must be in the habit of cultivating the imagination, pouring forth the flow of expression, and prompt in those flashes which instantaneously vibrate in the plaudits of an andience.' And this accomplished extempore actor feelingly laments that those destined to his profession, who require the most careful education, are most likely to have received the most neglected one. Lucian, in his curious treatise on Tragic Pantomime, asserts, that the great actor should also be a man of letters.

The lively Gherardi pusheshis arguments with more boldness, and throws out some curious information respecting this singular art: 'Anv one may learn a part by rote, and do something bad, or indifferent, on another theatre. With us the affair is quite otherwise; and when an Italian actor dies, it is with infinite difficulty we can supply his place. An Italian actor learns nothing by head; he looks on the subject for a moment before he comes forward on the stage, and entirely depends on his imagination for the rest. The actor who is accustomed merely to recite what he has been taught is so completely occupied by his memory, that he appears to stand as it were unconnected either with the audience or his companion; he is so impatient to deliver himself of the burden he is carrying, that he trembles like a school-bov, or is as senseless as an Echo, and could never speak if others had not spoken before. Such a tutored actor among us would be like a paralytic arm to a body; an unserviceable member, only fatiguing the healthy action of the sound parts. Our performers, who became illustri-

ous by their art, charmed the spectators by the beauty of their voice, their spontaneous gestures, the flexibility of their passions, while a certain natural air never failed them in their motions and their dialogue.<sup>52</sup>

Here, then, is a species of the histrionic art unknown to us, and running counter to that critical canon which our great poet, but not powerful actor, has delivered to the actors themselves, 'to speak no more than is set down for them.' The present art consisted in happily performing

the reverse

Much of the merit of these actors unquestionably moust be attributed to the felicity of the national genue-Bat there were probably some secret aids in this singular art of Extempore Comedy, which the pride of the arrist has concealed. Some traits in the character, and some wit in the dialogue, might descend traditionally; and the most ex-perienced actor on that stage would make use of his momory more than he was willing to confess. Goldoni records an unlucky adventure of his 'Harlequin lost and found," was well received at Paris, but utterly failed at Fontainebleau, for some of the actors had thought proper to incorporate too many of the jokes of the 'Cocu imaginaire,' which displeased the court, and ruined the piece. When a new piece was to be performed, the chief actor summoned the troop in the morning, read the plot, and explain ed the story, to contrive scenes. It was like playing the whole performance before the actors. These himts of scenes were all the rehearsal. When the actor entered on the scene he did not know what was to come, nor had he any prompter to help him on; much, too, depended on the talents of his companions; yet sometimes a scene might be preconcerted. Invention, humour, bold concep-tion of character, and rapid strokes of genius, they habitually exercised—and the pantomimic arts of gesture, the passionate or humourous expression of their feelings, would assist an actor when his genius for a moment had deserted him. Such excellence was not long hereditary, and in the decline of this singular art its defects became more apparent. The race had degenerated; the inexperienced actor became loquacious; long monologues were contrived by a barren genius to hide his incapacity for spirited dialogue; and a wearisome repetition of trivial jests, coarse bumour, and vulgar buffuonery, damned the Comedia a soggetto, and sunk it to a Bartholemew-fair play. But the miracle which genius produced, it may repeat, whenever the same happy combination of circumstances and persons shall occur together.

I shall give one anecdote to record the possible excel-lence of the art. Louis Riccoboni known in the annals of this theatre by the adopted name of Lelio, his favourite amoroso character, was not only an accomplished actor, but a literary man; and with his wife Flaminia, afterwards the celebrated novelist, displayed a rare union of talents and of minds. It was suspected that they did not act all improvista, from the facility and the elegance of their dialogue; and a clamour was now raised in the literary circles, who had long been jealous of the fascination which attracted the public to the Italian theatre. It was said that the Riccobonis were imposing on the public crossing, and that their pretended Extempore Cornedies were preconcerted scenes. To terminate this civil war between the rival theatres, La Motte offered to sketch a plot in five the Riccobonis were imposing on the public credulity; and acts, and the Italians were challenged to perform it. This defiance was instantly accepted. On the morning of the representation Lelio detailed the story to his troop, hung up the Scenario in its usual place, and the whole company was ready at the drawing of the curtain. The plot gives in by La Motte was performed to admiration; and all Paris witnessed the triumph. La Motte afterwards composed this very comedy for the French theatre, L'Assate difficile, yet still the extempore one at the Italian theatre remained a more permanent favourite; and the public were delighted by seeing the same piece perpetually offering novelties and changing its character at the fancy of the actors. This fact conveys an idea of dramatic execution which does not enter into our experience. Riocoboni carried the Comedie dell' Arte to a new perfection, by the in-troduction of an elegant fable and serious characters; and

\* See Gherardi's preface to his collection of Le Thestre Italien. These six volumes consist of Farces writen by French authors, in imitation of the more ancient extempore ones. They are ludicrous, and the writers wantonly sport with uses absurdity.

Digitized by Google

he raused the dignity of the Italian stage when he inscribed

CASTIGAT RIDENDO MORES,\*

MAMINGER, MILTON, AND THE ITALIAN THEATRE.

The pantomimic characters and the extempore comedy of lialy may have had some influence even on our own dramatic poets; this source has indeed escaped all notice; vet I notice to think it explains a difficult point in Mas-singer, which has baffled even the keen spirit of Mr Gifford.

A passage in Massinger bears a striking resemblance with one in Molere's 'Malade Imaginaire.' It is in 'The Emperer of the East,' vol. 111, 317. The Quack or Empire's bemourous notion is so closely that of Mouere's that Mr Geford, agreeing with Mr Gilchrist, finds it difficult to believe the coincidence accidental; but the greater dificulty is, to conceive that 'Massinger ever fell into Mo-lier's hands.' At that period, in the infancy of our literaine, our native authors and our own language were as mentated as their country. It is more than probable that Massager and Moliore had drawn from the same source the Italian comedy. Massinger's 'Empiric,' as well as the acknowledged copy of Moliere's 'Medecin,' came from the 'Dottore,' of the Italian comedy. The humour of these old Italian pantomimes was often as traditionally preserved as proverbs. Massinger was a student of Italan authors; and some of the lucky hits of their theatre, which then consisted of nothing else but these burlesque comedies, might have circuitously reached the English context, many investments reaction to angular to a many and the traditional jests might have been gleaned by the Gallic one from the 'Dottore,' who was still repeating what he knew

was sure of pleasing.

Our theatres of the Elizabethan period seem to have had brethe extempore comedy after the manner of the Italians, we sarely possess one of these Scenarios, in the remarkable 'Paris,' which were accidentally discovered at Dawich College, bearing every feature of an Italian Scenarios. oris. Stevens calls them 'a mysterious fragment of ancient stage-direction,' and adds, that 'the paper describes a species of dramatic entertainment of which no memorial aspecies of dramatic envertainment or more aspect. The connectators on Shake speare appear not to have known the nature of these Scenarios. The 'Platt,' as it is called, is fairly written in a large hand, containing directions appointed to be stuck up near the prompter's station; and it has even an oblong hole in its centre to admit of being aspended on a wooden peg. Particular scenes are barely ordered, and the names, or rather nicknames, of several of the players, appear in the most familiar manner, as they were known to their companions in the rude green-room of that day; such as 'Pigg, White and Black Dick and Sam, Latte Will Barne, Jack Gregory, and the Red-faced Felow, &c. Some of these 'Platts' are on solemn subjects, the the tragic pantominae; and in some appear 'Panta-lon, and his man Peascod, with speciacies.' Steevens observes, that he met with no earlier example of the appearance of Pantaloon, as a specific character on our stage; and that this direction concerning 'the spectacles,' canot fail to remind the reader of a celebrated passage in As you like it !

> -The lean and slipper'd Pantaloon, With spectacles on nose-

erhans he adds, Shakspeare alludes to this personage ts raisted in his own time. Can we doubt that this Pan-alons had come from the Italian theatre, after what we have already said? Does not this confirm the conjecture, that there existed an intercourse between the Italian theahe and our own? Further, Tarleton the comedian, and then, celebrated for their 'extemporal wit,' was the writer or inventor of one of these 'Platts.' Stowe records

Three researches on the Pantomimic Characters, and the then pres Comedies, were made many years ago; and ex-za a sight sention of the former in Mr. Pinkerton's Length exa sight sention of the former in Mr. Pinkerion's Lenters of hersive, these subjects appeared untouched by our own wave. Aschient has lately thrown in my way 'An Histori ci and Critical Essay on the Revival of the Drama in Italy,' by the tis J. C. Walker, 1803. The reader will there find thesiers researches on these subjects; we could not fall examinally of drawing from the same fountains; but as my object was more particular, his labours have not anticipated by years. vers was note particular, and all views, and all views, first the reader to Secover's edition, 1792, vol. II, p. 495, for a sight of these literary curiosities.

of one of our actors that 'he had a quick, delicate, refined, extemporal wit.' And of another that 'he had a wondrous, plentiful, pleasant, extemporal wit. These actors then, who were in the habit of exercising their impromptus, resemble those who performed in the unwritten comedies of the Italians. Gabriel Harvey, the Aristarchus of the day, compliments Tarleton for having brought forward a new species of transatic exhibition. If this compliment paid to Tarleton merely altitudes to his dexterity at extemporaneous soil in the character of the closes, as my friend Mr Douce thinks, this would be sufficient to show that he was attempting to introduce on our stage the extempore comedy of the Italians; which Gabriel Harvey distinguishes as 'a new species.' As for these 'Platts,' which I shall now venture to call 'Scenarios,' they surprise by their bareness, conveying no notion of the piece itself, though quite sufficient for the actors. They consist of mere exits and entrances of the actors, and often the real names of the actors are familiarly mixed with those of the dramatis persona. Stevens has justly observed however on these skeletons, that although ' the drift of these dramatic pieces cannot be collected from the mere outlines before us, yet we must not charge them with abourdity. Even the scenes of Shakspeare would have worn as unpromising an painted scenarios of the Italian theatre were not more in-

Thus, I think, we have sufficient evidence of an inter-course subsisting between the English and Italian theatres, not hitherto suspected; and I find an allusion to these Italian pantomimes, by the great town wit Tom Nash. in his 'Pierce Pennilesse,' which shows that he was well acquainted with their nature. He indeed exults over them, observing that our plays are 'honourable and full of gallast resolution, not consisting, like theirs, of pantaloon, a zany, and a w-e, (alluding to the women actors of the Italian stage;)\* but of emperors, kings, and princes.'
But my conviction is still confirmed, when I find that Stephen Gosson wrote 'the connedie of captain Mario ;' it has not been printed, but 'Captain Mario' is one of the

Italian characters.

Even at a later period, the influence of these performances reached the greatest name in the English Parnassus. One of the great actors and authors of these pieces, who published eighteen of these irregular productions, was Andreini, whose name must have the honour of being associated with Milton's, for it was his comedy or opera which threw the first spark of the Paradise Lost into the soul of the epic poet—a circumstance which will hardly be questioned by those who have examined the different schemes and allegorical personages of the first projected drama of Paradise Lost: nor was Andreini, as well as many others of this race of Italian dramatists, inferior poets. The Adomo of Andreini was a personage sufficiently original and poetical to serve as the model of the Adam of Milton. The youthful English poet, at its representation, carried it away in his mind. Wit indeed is a great traveller: and thus also the ' Empiric of Massinger might have reached us, from the Belognese 'Dottore.'

The late Mr Hole, the ingenious writer on the Arabian Nights, observed to me that Moliere it must be presumed harding the list representation of the list repr

have here indicated.

SONGS OF TRADES, OR SONGS FOR THE PROPLE.

Men of genius have devoted some of their hours, and even governments have occasionally assisted, to render the people happier by song and dance. The Grecians had songs appropriated to the various trades. Songs of this nature would shorten the manufacturer's tedious taskwork, and solace the artisan at his solitary occupation. A work, and source the artisan at his sourcey occupation. As the source of gay fancy kindling his mind, a playful change of measures delighting his ear, even a moralising verse to cherish his better feelings—these ingeniously adapted to eace profession, and some to the display of patricuc characteristics. racters and national events, would contribute something to public happiness. Such themes are worthy of a patriotic bard, of the Southeys for their hearts, and the Moores for

Fletcher of Saltoun said, 'If a man were permitted to \* Women were first introduced on the Italian stage about 1660—it was therefore an extraordinary novelty in Nash's time

Digitized by GOOGLE

make all the ballads, he need not care who should make all the laws of a nation. The character of a people is long preserved in their national songs. 'God save the king and 'Rule Britannia' are, and I hope will long be,

our English national airs.

'The story of Amphion building Thebes with his lyre was not a fable,' says Dr. Clarke. 'At Thebes, in the harmonious adjustment of those masses which remain benarmonious adjustment or those masses which remain be-longing to the ancient walls, we saw enough to convince us that this story was no fable; for it was a very ancient custom to carry on immense labour by an accompaniment of music and singing. The custom still exists both in Egypt and Greece. It might, therefore, be said that the Walls of Thebes were built at the sound of the only musi-tal internance than in use because according to the cal instrument then in use; because, according to the custom of the country, the lyre was necessary for the ac-complishment of the work.94

Atheneus† has preserved the Greek names of different songs as sung by various trades, but unfortunately none of the songs themselves. There was a song for the corngrinders; another for the workers in wool; another for the weavers. The reapers had their carol; the herdsmen had a song which an ox-driver of Sicily had composed: the kneaders, and the bathers, and the galley-rowers, were not without their chant. We have ourselves a song of the weavers, which Ritson has preserved in his 'Ancient Songs;' and it may be found in the popular chapbook of 'The Life of Jack of Newbury;' and the songs of anglers, of old Isaac Walton, and Charles Cotton, still

retain their freshness.

Mr Heber has beautifully observed, in his Bampton Lectures, that among the Greeks the hymn which placed Harmodius in the green and flowery island of the Blessed was chanted by the potter to his wheel, and enlivened the

labours of the Pirean mariner.

Dr Johnson is the only writer I recollect who has noticed something of this nature which he observed in the Highlands. 'The strokes of the sickle were timed by the Highlands. 'The strokes of the sickle were timed by the modulation of the harvest song, in which all their voices were united. They accompany every action which can be done in equal time with an appropriate strain, which has, they say, not much meaning, but its effects are regularity and cheerfulness. There is an oar-song used by the Hebrideans.'

But if these chants 'have not much meaning,' they will not produce the desired effect of touching the heart, as well as giving vigor to the arm of the labourer. gondoliers of Venice while away their long midnight hours on the water with the stanzas of Tasso. Fragments of Homer are sung by the Greek sailors of the Archipelago; the severe labour of the trackers, in China, is accompanied with a song which encourages their exertions, and renders these simultaneous. Mr Ellis mentions, that the sight of the lofty pagoda of Tong-chow served as a great topic of incitement in the song of the trackers toiling against the stream, to their place of rest. The canceagainst the stream, to their place of rest. The canon-men, on the Gold Coast, in a very dangerous passage, on the back of a high-curling wave, paddling with all their might, singing or rather shouting their wild song, fol-low it up,' says M'Leod, who was a lively witness of this happy combination of song, of labour, and of peril, which he acknowledges was 'a very terrific process.' Our sai-lors at Newcastle, in heaving their anchors, have their 'Heave, and ho! rum-below!' but the Sicilian mariners must be more deeply affected by their beautiful hymn to the Virgin! A society instituted in Holland for general the Virgin! A society instituted in Holland for general good do not consider among their least useful projects that of having printed at a low price a collection of songs

It is extremely pleasing, as it is true, to notice the honest exultation of an excellent ballad-writer, C. Dibdin, who in his professional Life, p. 8, writes—I have learnt my songs have been considered as an object of national consequence; that they have been the solace of sailors and long voyagers, in storms, in battle; and that they have been quoted in mutinies, to the restoration of order and discipline.' It is recorded of the Portuguese soldiery in Ceylon, at the siege of Colombo, when pressed with misery and pangs of hunger, that they derived, during their marches, not only consolation, but also encouragement, by rehearing the stanzas of the Lusiad.

We ourselves have been a great ballad nation, and once

P Dr. Clarke's Travels, VIV, p. 56 † Delp. Lib. XIV, cap. III.

abounded with songs of the people; not, however, of this particular species, but rather of narrative posses. They are described by Puttenham, a critic in the reign of Einstein beth, as 'small and popular songs, sung by those Cana-banqui, upon benches and barrels' heads, where they have by them in the streets; or else by blind harpers, or seel like tavern-minstrels, that give a fit of mirth for a great. Such were these 'Relics of ancient English Peetry, which Selden collected, Pepys preserved, and Percy ph hished. Ritson, our great poetical antiquary in this set of things, says, that few are older than the reign of James I. The more ancient songs of the people perished by having been printed in single sheets, and their bundle purchasers having no other library to preserve them has the walls on which they pasted them. Those we have consist of a succeeding race of ballads, chiefly revived a written by Richard Johnson, the author of the well-known romance of the Seven Champions, and Delony, the write of Jack of Newburv's Life, and the Gentle Craft, we lived in the time of James and Charles. One Maria Paker was a most notorious bal'ad-scribbler in the rega d

Charles I, and the Protector.

These writers, in their old age, collected their sons into little penny books, called 'Garlands,' some of which have been re-published by Ritson; and a recent edite has well described them as 'humble and amusing village. strains, founded upon the squabbles of a wake, tales untrue love, superstitious rumours, or miraculous trat-tions of the hamlet.' They enter into the picture of our

manners, as well as folio chronicles.

These songs abounded in the good old times of Estabeth and James; for Hall in his Satires notices themas

'Sung to the wheel, and sung unto the payle;"

That is, sung by maidens spinning, or milking; and n-deed Shakspeare had described them as 'old and plan,' chanted by

'The spinsters and the knitters in the sun, And the free maids that weave their thread with bones.

They were the favourites of the Poet of Nature, who takes every opportunity to introduce them into the mouths of he clown, his fool, and his intimerant Autolycus. When the late Dr Burney, who had probably not the slightest coception of their nature, and perhaps as little tasts for her rude and wild simplicity, ventured to call the soags of Autolycus, 'two nonsensical songs,' the musician called does on himself one of the bitterest notes from Steeress that

Whatever these songs were, it is evident they formed a source of recreation to the solitary task-worker. But as the more masculine trades had their own songs where titles only appear to have reached us, such as 'The Caman's Whistle,' 'Watkin's Ale,' Chopping Knives, &c, man's Whistle, 'Walkin's Ale, 'Chopping Knives, etc. they were probably appropriated to the respective trade they indicate. The tune of the 'Carman's Whistle' was composed by Bird, and the favourite tune of 'Queen Esabeth,' may be found in the collection called 'Queen Elizabeth's Virginal Book.' One who has lately heard it. played says, that 'it has more nir than the other execrable compositions in her Majesty's book, something resembing a French quadrille.'

The feeling our present researches would excite we naturally be most strongly felt in small communities, where the interest of the governors is to contribute to the advaual happiness of the laborious classes. The Helveir receiver requested Lavater to compose the Schwizzefields. or Swiss Songs, which are now sung by the youth of many of the cantons; and various Swiss poets have success fully composed on national subjects, associated with there best feelings. In such paternal governments as was that of Florence under the Medici, we find that songs and de-ces for the people, engaged the muse of Lorenzo, who condescended to delight them with pleasant song compo-ed in a popular language; the example of such a chara-ter was followed by the men of genius of the age. There

\* Dr. Burneysubsequently observed, that 'this rogue has lycus is the true ancient Minstrel in the old Fabiliant: on which Stoevens remarks, 'Many will push the comparison a little further, and concur with s.e in thinking that our modern minstrels of the opera, like their predecessor Antolycus, us pickpockets as well as sincers of conserved balle is. Sesvens' Shakspeare, vol. VII, p. 167, his cws editur. 1733.

Digitized by GOOGLE

encion songs, often adapted to the different trades, opened a vein of invention in the new characters, and allusions, the humorous equivoques, and sometimes with the lice tionness of the popular fancy. They were collected in 1559, under the title of 'Cauti Carnascialeschi,' and there is a odern edition, in 1750, in two volumes quarto. Mr Rossee, and Mr Guinguené, have given a pleasing account of these songs. It is said they sing to this day a popular one by Lorenzo, beginning

\*Ben venga Maggio E'i gonfaion selvaggio,\* ‡

which has all the florid brilliancy of an Italian spring.

The nost delightful songs of this nature would naturally be fond among a people whose climate and whose labours able super a general hilarity, and the vineyards of France have produced a class of songs, of excessive gaiety and freedom, called Chansons de Vendange. A most interesting account of these songs may be found in Le Grand D'Assencia Change of the Change mg account of these songs may be seem in ... Grand DAssoney's Histoirs do la Vie privée des Francess. 'The men and women, each with a basket on their arm, assemble at the foot of the hill; there stopping, they arrange themselves in a circle. The chief of this band tunes up a joyous song, whose borden is chorused: then they asa joyous song, whose borden is chorused: then they as-cest, and disperse in the vineyard, they work without interropting their tasks, while new couplets often resound from some of the vine-dressers; sometimes intermixed with a sudden jest at a traveller. In the evening, their supper scarcely over, their joy seconsmences, they dance is a cir-cle, and sing some of those songs of free gaiety, which the moment excusse, known by the name of vineyard songs.— The gaiety becomes seneral: masters smarts friends The gaisty becomes general; masters, guests, friends, servants, all dance together; and in this manner a day of about terminates, which one might mistake for a day of diversion. It is what I have witnessed in Champagne,

na land of visse, far different from the country where the labours of the harvest form so painful a contrast.'§ The extraction of those songs which formerly kept alive the gaisty of the domestic circle, whose burdens were always ung in chorus, is lamented by the French antiqua-ry. 'Our fathers had a custom to amuse themselves at "y. 'Our fathers had a custom to amuse themselves at the dessert of a feast by a joyous song of this nature.—Each in his turn sung,—all chorused.' This ancient gaitly was sometimes gross and noisy; but he prefers it to the tame decency of our times—these smiling, not laughing days of Lord Chesterfield.

'On ne rit plus, on sourit aujourdhui; Et nos plaisirs sont voisins de l'ennul.' Few men of letters have not read the collections which rev men or letters have not read the collections which have been made of these charming Chansonnettes, to which French postry owes a great share of its fame among foreigners. These treasures of wit and gaiety, which for such a length of time have been in the mouths of all Frenchmen, now forgotten, are buried in the dust of libranes. These are the old French Vaudevilles, formerly sung at meals by the company. The celebrated Count de Grammont is mentioned by Hamilton as being

Agreable et vif en propos; Celèbre disour de bon mots Recueil vivant d'antiques Vandevilles.

These Vaudeulies were originally invented by a fuller of Vas de Vire, or the valley by the river Vire, and were sung by his men to arruse themselves as they spread their clothe on the banks of the river. They were songs comthese try playful effusions were called the songs of Vast de Vrz, fill they became known as Vasdevilles. Boileau has well described them:

La liberté Françoise en ses vers se deploie ; Cet esfant de plaisir veut naître dans la joie.

It is well known how the attempt ended, of James I and his unfortunate son, by the publication of their 'Book of Range ! as indounate son, by the publication of their 'Hook of Sports,' to preserve the national character from the gloom of fanatical Puritanism; among its unhappy effects, there was however one not a little ludicrous. The Puritane, effended by the gentlest forms of mirth, and every day becoming more sullen, were so shocked at the simple meriment of the people, that they contrived to parody 4 life, and the state of the people, that they contrived to parody

No. 6.

S.Life of Lorenzo de Medici, vol. I, 394.
| Hat Lin de Pinite, vol. III, 506.
| Mr. Roscoe has printed this very delightful song, in the Le Grand, vol. III, p. 62

these songs into spiritual ones; and Shakspeare speaks of the Puritan of his day, 'singing pealms to hornpipes.' As Puritans are the same in all times, the Methodists in our own repeated the foolery, and set their hymas to popular tunes and jigs, which one of them said were 'too good for the devil.' They have sing hymns to the air of 'The heds of sweet roses,' &c. And as there have been Puritans among other people as well as our own, the same occurrence took place both in Italy and France. In Italy, the Carnival songs were turned into poous hymns; the hymn Jess fammi movies, is sung to the music of Fagus bella e gentile—Crucifise a cape chine to that of Una demons d'assor fine, one of the most indecent pieces in the Cansoni a balle; and the hymn, beginning

4 Ecco'l Messis E la Madre Maria,

was sung to the gay tune of Lorenzo de Medici.

Ben venga Maggio, E'l Gonfalon selvaggio.'

Atheneus notices what we call slang or flash song He tells us, that there were poets who composed songs in the dialect of the mob; and who succeeded in this kind of poetry, adapted to their various characters. The French call such songs Chansons a la Vadé and have frequently composed them with a ludicrous effect, when the style of the Poissardes is applied to the gravest matters of state, and conveys the popular feelings in the language of the popu-lace. This sort of satirical song is happily defined in a playful didactic poem on La Vandeville,

'Il est l'esprit de ceux qui n'en ont pas.'

Atheneus has also preserved songs, sung by petitioners who went about on holidays to collect alms. A friend of mine, with taste and learning, has discovered in his re-searches, 'The Crow Song,' and 'The Swallow Song,' and has transfused their spirit in a happy version. I preerve a few striking ideas.

The Collectors for 'The Crow' song:

'My good worthy masters, a pittance bestow,
Some catmeal, or barley, or wheat for the Cross,
A loaf, or a penny, or e'en what you will,—
From the poor man, a grain of his salt may suffice,
For your Crow swallows all, and is not over-nice.
And the man who can now give his grain, and no more,
May another day give from a plentiful store.—
Come my lad to the door, Plutus nods to our wish;
And our waste little mistrance comes out with a disk. And our sweet little mistress comes out with a dish; She gives us her figs, and she gives us a smile Heaven send her a husband !-

Heaven sent ner a nussand —
And a boy to be danced on his grandfather's knee,
And a girl like herself all the joy of her mother,
Who may one day present her with just such another.
Thus we carry our Crow-song to door after door,
Alternately chanting, we ramble along,
And we treat all who give, or give not, with a song.

Swallow-singing, or Chelidonising, as the Greek term is, was another method of collecting elemosynary gifts, which took place in the month Bordromion, or August.

'The Swallow, the Swallow is here,
With his back so black, and his belly so white,
He brings on the pride of the year,
With the gay meaths of love, and the days of delight.
Come bring out your good humming stuff;
Of the nice tit-bits let the Swallow partake; And a slice of the right Boedromion cake. And a sate of the right potential date.

So give, and give quickly,—

Or we'll pull down the door from its hinges;

Or we'll steal young madam away! But see! we're a merry boy's party, And the Swallow, the Swallow, is here!

These songs resemble those of our own ancient a ers, who to this day in honour of Bishop Blaize, the Saint of Wool-combers, go about chanting on the eves of their holidays. A custom long existing in this country to Saint of Woot-conners, a carrier in Indiana. A custom long existing in this country to elect a Boy-Bishop in almost every parish; the Mostem at Eaton still prevails; and there is a closer connexion perhaps between the custom which produced the 'Song of the Crow and the Swallow,' and our Northern munnocries, than may be at first suspected. The Pagan Saturnalia, which the Swallow song by its pleasant menaces recembles, were afterwards diaguised in the forms adopted

Digitized by GOOGLE

by the early Christians; and such are the remains of the oman Catholic Religion, in which the people were long indulged in their old taste for mockery and mummery. must add in connection with our main inquiry, that our own ancient beggars had their songs, some of which are as old as the Elizabethan period, and many are fancifully charac-teristic of their habits and their feelings.

INTRODUCERS OF EXOTIC PLOWERS, FRUITS, ETC.

There has been a class of men whose patriotic affection, or whose general benevolence, have been usually deflauded of the gratitude their country owes them: these have been the introducers of new flowers, new plants, and new roots into Europe; the greater part which we now en-joy was drawn from the luxuriant climates of Asia, and the profusion which now covers our land originated in the most anxious nursing, and were the gifts of individuals. Monuments are reared, and medals struck, to commemorate events and names, which are less deserving our re-gard than those who have transplanted into the colder gardens of the North the rich fruits, the beautiful flowers, and the succulent pulse and roots of more favoured spots; and carrying into their own country, as it were, another Nature, they have, as old Gerard well expresses it, 'la-boured with the soil to make it fit for the plants, and with the plants to make them delight in the soil.

There is no part of the characters of Peiresc and Eve-lyn, accomplished as they are in so many, which seems more delightful to me, than their enthusiasm for the gar-

den, the orchard, and the forest.

Poiresc, whose literary occupations admitted of no in-terruption, and whose universal correspondence throughout the habitable globe was more than sufficient to absorb his studious life, yet was he the first man, as Gassendus re-lates in his interesting manner, whose incessant inquiries procured the great variety of jossamines; those from China whose leaves, always green, bear a clay-coloured flower, and a delicate perfume; the American, with a crimson-coloured, and the Persian, with a violet-coloured flower; and the Arabian, whose tendrils he delighted to train over 'the banqueting-house in his garden;' and of fruits, the orange trees with a red and parti-coloured flower; the mediar; the rough cherry without stone; the rare and luxurious vines of Smyrna and Damascus; and the fig-tree called Adam's, whose fruit by its size was sup-posed to be that with which the spice returned from the land of Canaan. Gassendus describes his transports land of Canaan. Gassendus describes his transports when Peirese beheld the Indian ginger growing green in his garden, and his delight in grafting the myrtle on the music vine, that the experiment might show us the myrtle wine of the ancients. But transplanters, like other inventors, are sometimes baffled in their delightful enterprise; and we are told of Peirese's deep regret when he found that the Indian score mut would only had, and then perish in we are told or Petresc's deep regret when he tolum has the Indian cocca nut would only bud, and then perish in the cold air of France, while the leaves of the Egyptian papyrus refused to yield him their vegetable paper. But it was his garden which propagated the exotic fruits and flowers, which he transplanted into the French king's, and into cardinal Barberinie's, and the curious in Europe; and these occasioned a work on the manuring of flowers by Ferrarius, a botanical Jesuit, who there described these novelties to Europe.

Had Evelyn only composed the great work of his 'Sylva or a discourse of Forest Trees,' &c, his name would have excited the gratitude of posterity. The voice of the patriot exults in the dedication to Charles II, prefixed the one of the latter distance of the latter distance. triot exults in the dedication to Charles II, preaxed the one of the latter editions. 'I need not acquaint your majesty, how many millions of timber-trees, besides infinite others, have been propagated and planted throughout your vast dominions, at the instigation and by the sole direction of this work, because your majesty has been pleased to own it publicly for my encouragement.' And surely while Britain rotains her awful situation among the nations of Europe, the 'Bylva' of Evelyn will endure with her triumphant cake. It was a retired philosopher who aroused the senius of the nation, and who casting a prophetic eye the genius of the nation, and who casting a prophetic eye towards the age in which we live, has contributed to secure our sovereignty of the seas. The present navy of Great Britain has been constructed with the oaks which the graius

of Evelyn planted!

Animated by a zeal truly patriotic, de Serres in France 1899, composed a work on the art of raising eilk-worms, and dedicated it to the municipal body of Paris, to excite the inhabitants to cultivate mulberry-trees. The work at first produced a strong sensation, and many planted mal-

berry-trees in the vicinity of Paris; but as they were mit yet used to raise and manage the silk-worm, they respet nothing but their trouble for their pains. They tore up the mulberry-trees they had planted, and, in spite of De Seres, asserted that the northern climate was not adapted for the rearing of that tender insect. The great Sully, from his hatred of all objects of luxury, countenanced the popelar clamour, and crushed the rising enterprise of De S The monarch was wiser than the misister. book had made sufficient noise to reach the ear of Henry IV; who desired the author to draw up a memoir on the subject, from which the king was induced to plant unberry-trees in all the royal gardens; and having imported the eggs of silk-worms from Spain, this patriotic meaning gave up his orangeries, which were but his private gratifications, for that leaf which, converted into silk, became a part of the national wealth. It is to De Serves who into part of the national wealth. It is to De Serres, who mus-duced the plantations of mulberry-trees, that the commence of France owes one of her staple commodities; and al-though the patriot encountered the hostility of the prime minister, and the hasty prejudices of the populace is is own day, yet his name at this moment is fresh in the sexus of his follow-citizens; for I have just received a metal, thre gift of a literary friend from Paris which bears his pertrait, with the reverse, 'Societé d'Agriculture du Depar-ment de la Seine.' It was struck in 1807. The same honour is the right of Evelyn from the British nation.

There was a period when the spirit of plantation was prevalent in this kingdom; it probably originated from the ravages of the soldiery during the civil wars. A man, whose retired modesty has perhaps obscured his class on our regard, the intimate friend of the great spirit of that age, by birth a Pole, but whose mother had probable been an English woman, Samuel Hartilb, to whom Mike addressed his tract on education, published every manageript he collected on the subjects of horticulture and agriculture. The making and the subjects of horticulture and agriculture. riculture. The public good he effected attracted the notes of Cromwell, who rewarded him with a pension, which after the restoration of Charles II was suffered to have and Hartlib died in utter neglect and poverty. One of in tracts is, 'A design for plenty by an universal planing of fruit-trees.' The project consisted in enclosing the waw lands and commons, and appointing officers, when he cale fruiterers, or wood-wards, to see the plantations were day attended to. The writer of this project observes on fust, that it is not of the second of th that it is a sort of provisions so natural to the taste, that the poor man and even the child will prefer it before better food, 'as the story goeth,' which he has preserved in these ancient and simple lines.

'The poor man's child invited was to dise, With flesh of oxen, sheep, and fatted swine, (Far better cheer than he at home could fad,) And yet this child to stay had little minde. You have, quoth he, no apple, froise, nor pie, You have, quoth he, no apple, froke, nor pic, Stew'd pears, with bread and milk, and walnus by

The enthusiasm of these transplanters inspired the labours. They have watched the tender infant of the planting, till the leaf and the flowers and the fruit expanded under their hand; often indeed they have even ame the quality, increased the size, and even created a sepecies. The apricot, drawn from America, was first large in Europe in the sixteenth century : an old French water last in Europe in the sixteenth century: an old French writer are marked, that it was originally not larger then a dament our gardeners, he says, have improved it to the perfects of its present size and richness. One of these enthuses is noticed by Evelyn, who for forty years had in van trethe by a graft to bequeath his name to a new fruit; but per sisting on wrong principles, this votary of Pomons is died without a name. We sympathise with Sir Wising Temple when he continuely accusating an with the six of Temple when he exultingly acquaints us with the six his orange-trees, and with the flavour of his peaches or range-trees, and with the navour of an peters grapes, confessed by Frenchmen to have equaled the of Fontainbleau and Gascony, while the Italians agond that his white figs were as good as any of that sort is instead of his 'having had the honour' to naturalise in the country four kinds of grapes, with his liberal distributes of cuttings from them, because 'he ever thought all they of this little the converse of the little the

of this kind the commonor they are the better.

The greater number of our exotic flowers and from the country by many our travelled nobility and gentry; some names have been travelled nobility and gentry; some names have our travelled nobility and gentry; some names have been casually preserved. The learned Linacre first brough, the return from Italy, the damesh-rose; and Thousald Cromwell, in the reign of Heary VIII, enriched or full gardens with three different plums. In the reign of En

Digitized by GOOGIG

sabeth, Edward Grindal, afterwards archbishop of Cartterbury, returning from exile, transported here the mediciaal plant of the tamerisk: the first oranges appear to have been brought into England by one of the Carew family; for a century after, they still flourished at the family seat at Beddington, in Surrey. The cherry orchards of Kent were first planted about Sittingbourne, by a gardner of Henry VIII: and the currant-bush was transplanted when our commerce with the island of Zante was inst opaced in the same reign. The elder Tradescant in 1620, estered himself on board of a privateer, armed against Mercesa. selely with a view of finding an opportunity of terbury, returning from exile, transported here the medi-Morocco, solely with a view of finding an opportunity of stealing sprices into Britain: and it appears that he suc-ceeded in his design. To Sir Walter Rawleigh we have stealing aprices into Britain: and it appears that he issoceeded in his design. To Sir Walter Rawleigh we have
not been inducted solely for the luxury of the tobacco-plant,
but for that infinitely useful root, which forms a part of our
daily meal, and eften the entire meal of the poor manthe potatoe, which deserved to have been called a Rawsir's. Sir Anthony Ashley first planted cabbages in this
county, and a cabbage at his feet appears on his monument. Sir Richard Weston first brought clover grass into
England from Flanders, in 1645; and the figs planted by
Cardinal Pole at Lambeth, so far back as the reign of
Henry VIII, are said to be still remaining there: nor is
this apprising, for Spilman, who set up the first paper-mill
in England, at Dartford, in 1580, is said to have brought
over in his portmanteau the two first limo trees, which he
planted here, and which are still growing. The Lombardy
opolar was introduced into England by the Earl of Rochford in 1586. The first mulberry trees in this country are
now standing at Sion-house.\* By an Harleian sei, it is
mentioned that the first general planting of mulberries
and making of silk in England was by William Stallenge,
comproder of the custom house, and Monsieur Verton, transplanted this novelty from his own country, where we have
seen De Serres's great attempt. Here the mulberries
have succeeded better than the silk-worms.

The very names of manny of our vegetable kingdom in
facile their locality: 'from the majestic ceder of Lebanon.

The very names of many of our vegetable kingdom indicate their locality: from the majestic cedar of Lebanon, to the small Cos-lettuce, which came from the isle of Cos; the chernes from Cerasuntis, a city of Pontus; the peach, or persons, or male Person, Personan apples, from Persona; the pistachio, or psittacia, is the Syrian word for that nut. The chestnut, or chattaigne, in French, and castagna in Italian, from Castagna, a town of Magnesia. Our plums coming chiefly from Syria and Damascus, the damson, or damascene plum, gives us a recollection of its distant

It is somewhat curious to observe on this subject, that there exists an unsuspected intercourse between nation in the propagation of exotic plants, &c. Lucullus, after the war with Mithridates, introduced cherries from Pontus into Italy; and the newly imported fruit was found so pleasing that it was rapidly propagated, and six and twenty fear afterwards, as Pliny testifies, the cherry tree passed over into Britain. Thus a victory obtained by a Roman consol over a king of Pontus, with which it would seem that Britain could have no concern, was the real occasion that in the could have no concern, was the real occasion of our countrymen possessing cherry orchards. Yet to our shame must it be told, that these cherries from the ling of Pontus's city of Cerasuntis are not the cherries we are now eating; for the whole race of cherry-trees was lost in the Saxon period, and was only restored by the gerdener of Henry VIII, who brought them from Flanders—without a word to enhance his own merits, concerning the bellow Methodological. lellem Mithridaticum!

A calculating political economist will little sympathize with the peaceful triumphs of those active and generous sprits, who have thus propagated the truest wealth, and the most innocest innocest innocest innocest innocest additional consumption of ardent spritts, or an additional consumption of ardent spritts, or an additional consumption of ardent popular at the form of a popular at the form a new lax, or an additional consumption of ardent spirits, or an act of parfament to put a convenient stop to population by forbidding the harms of some unhappy couple, would be more congenial to their researches; and they would leave without regret the names of those, whom we have held out to the grateful recollections of their country. The Romans, who with all their errors were at least pation, entertained very different notions of these introduces into their country. Sir

The reader may find more dates amassed respecting the involution of fruits, &c., in Gough's British Topography, vol. I, p. 182, Herl. MS. 6884. † Play, Set. Hist. Lib. xv. c. 25.

William Temple has elegantly noticed the fact. vy mam a compute has elegantly nousced the fact. "The great captains, and even consular men, who first brought them over, took pride in giving them their own names, by which they ran a great while in Rome, as in memory of some great service or pleasure they had done their country; so that not only laws and battles, but several sorts of applies and pages were applied. apples and pears were called Manlian and Claudian, Pompeyan and Tiberian, and by several other such noble names.' Pliny has paid his tribute of applause to Luculius, for bringing cherry and nut trees from Pontus inte lus, for bringing cherry and nut trees from Pontus intename of the transplanter, or rearer, has been preserved
in this sort of creation. Peter Collinson, the botanist, to
'whom the English gardens are indebted for many new
and curious species which he acquired by means of an
extensive correspondence in America,' was highly gratified
when Linnseus baptised a plant with his name; and with
great spirit asserts his honourable claim: 'Something, I
think, was due to me for the great number of plants and
seeds I have annually procured from abroad, and you have
been so good as to pay it, by giving me a species of everbeen so good as to pay it, by giving me a species of etenity, botanically speaking; that is, a name as long as mea and books endure.' Such is the true animating language of these patriotic enthusiasta!

Some lines at the close of Peacham's Emblems give an

idea of an English fruit garden in 1612. He mentio that cherries were not long known, and gives an origin to

the name of filbert.

'The Persian Peach, and frukful Quince; And there the forward Almond grew,
With cherries knowne no long time since; The Winter Warden, orchard's pride; The Philibert that loves the vale, And red queen-apple,; so envide Of school-boies, passing by the pale.

USURERS OF THE SEVENTEENTH CENTURY.

A person whose history will serve as a canvase to exhibit some scenes of the arts of the money-trader was one
AUDLEY, a lawyer, and a great practical philosopher,
who concentrated his vigorous faculties in the science of the relative value of Money. He flourished through the reigns of James I, Charles I, and held a lucrative office in the ' court of wards,' till that singular court was abolished at the time of the restoration. In his own times he was called 'The great Audley,'s an epithet so often abused, and here applied to the creation of enormous wealth. But there are minds of great capacity, concealed by the nature of their pursuits; and the wealth of Audley may be considered as the cloudy medium through which a bright genius shome, of which, had it been thrown into a nobler sphere of action, the 'greatness' would have been less ambiguous.

Audicy lived at a time when divines were proclaim-ing 'the detestable sin of Usury,' prohibited by God and man; but the Mosaic prohibition was the municipal law of an agricultural commonwealth, which being without trade, the general poverty of its members could afford no interest for loans; but it was not forbidden the Israelite to take usury from 'the stranger.' Or they were quoting from the fathers, who understood this point, as they had that of 'original sin,' and 'the immaculate conception?' while the scholastics amused themselves with a quaint and collegiate fancy which they had picked up in Aristotle,

\* The quince comes from Sydon, a town of Crete, we are told by Le Grand, in his Vie privée des Francois, vol. I, p. 148; where may be found a list of the origin of most of our

† Peacham has here given a note. 'The filbert, so named of Philibert, a king of France, who caused by art sundry kinds to be brought forth: as did a gardener of Otranto in Italie by clouc-gilliflowers, and carnations of such colours as we now

see them.'

1 The queen-apple was probably thus distinguished in compilment to Elizabeth. In Moffet's 'Heakh's Improvement,' I find an account of apples which are said to have been 'grafted upon a mulberry-stock, and then war thorough red as our queen apples, called by Ruellius, Rubelliana, and Claudiana by Fliny.' I am told the race is not extinct; an apple of the description is yet to be found.

1 find this Audley noticed in the curious obkuary of the great book-collector Richard Smith. '1662, Nov. 15, died Mr. Hugh Audley, sometime of the court of wards, infinitely rich.'

Peck's Desid. Cur. II, p. 542. And some memotris in a very rare quarto tract, entitled 'The way to be rich, according to the practice of the great Audley, who began with two hundred pounds in the year 1665, and died worth few hundred them. Digitized by GOOGLE

that interest for money had been forbidden by nature, because coin in itself was barren and unpropagating, unlike corn, of which every grain will produce many. But Aud-ley considered no doubt that money was not incapable of multiplying itself provided it was in hands who knew to make it grow and 'breed,' as Shylock affirmed. The lawyers then however did not agree with the divines, nor the college-philosophers; they were straining at a more liberal interpretation of this odious term 'Usury.' Lord Bacon declared, that the suppression of Usury is only fit for an Utopian government; and Audley must have agreed with the learned Cowell, who in his 'Interpreter' derives the term ab use ot ere, quasi use ere, which in our vermacular style was corrupted into Usary. Whatever the see might be in the eyes of some, it had become at least a see might be seen as the seen and the seen as the controversial sin, as Sir Symonds D'Ewes calls it, in his Audley, no doubt, considered that interest was nothing more than rent for mency; as rent was no better than Usery. for land. The legal interest was then ' ten in the hundred but the thirty, the fifty, and the hundred for the hundred, the gripe of Usury, and the shameless contrivances of the money-traders, these he would attribute to the follies of ethers, or to his own genius.

This sage on the wealth of nations, with his pithy wisdom, and quaint sagacity, began with two hundred pounds, and fived to view his mortgages, his statutes, and his judg-ments so numerous, that it was observed, his papers would ave made a good map of England. A contemporary dramatist, who copied from life, has opened the chamber of such an Usurer,—perhaps of our Audley.

- Here lay A manor bound fast in a skin of parchment,
The wax continuing hard, the acres melting;
Here a sure deed of gift for a market-town,
If not redeem'd this day, which is not in
The unthrift's power; there being scarce one shire
In Wales or England, where my monies are not
Lent out at usury, the certain hook
To draw in more. — Massinger's City Madam.

This genius of thirty per-cent first had proved the decid-ed vigour of his mind, by his enthusiastic devotion to his law studies; deprived of the leisure for study through his busy day, he stole the hours from his late nights and his early mornings; and without the means to procure a lawlibrary, he invented a method to possess one without the cost; as fast as he learned, he taught, and by publishing some useful tracts on temporary occasions, he was enabled book without its furnishing him some new practical design, and he probably studied too much for his own particular advantage. Such devoted studies was the way to become a lord chancellor; but the science of the law was here subordinate to that of a money-trader. When yet but a clerk to the Clerk in the Counter, fre-

quent opportunities occurred which Audley knew how to quent opportunities occurred which Addies knew how improve. He became a money-trader as he had become a law-writer, and the fears and follies of mankind were to furnish him with a trading capital. The fertility of his genius appeared in expedients and in quick contrivances. He was sure to be the friend of all men falling out. He took a deep concern in the affairs of his master's clients, and often much more than they were aware of. No man so ready at procuring bail or compounding debts. This was a considerable traffic then, as now. They hired themselves out for bail, swore what was required, and contrived to give false addresseds. It seems they dressed themselves out for the occasion: a great seal-ring flamed on the finger, which, however was pure copper gilt, and they often assumed the name of some person of good cred-Savings, and small presents for gratuitous opinions,

• D'Ewes's father lost a manor, which was recovered by the ridow of the person who had sold it to him. Old D'Ewes consiwarm of the person who had sold it to him. Old D'Ewes considered this loss as a punishment for the usurious loan of money; the fact is, that he had purchased that manor with the interests accumulating from the money lent on it. His son intreated him to give over 'the practice of that controversial sin.' This expression shows that even in that age there were rational pohim to give over 'the practice of that controversial sin.' This expression shows that even in that age there were rational political economists. Mr. Bentham, in his little treatise on Usury, has taken the just views, cleared from the indistinct and partial ones so long prevalent. Collier has an admirable Essay on Usury, vol. III. It is a curious notion of Lord Bacon's that he would have interest at a lower rate in the country than in trading towns, because the merchant is best able to afford she highest. the highest.

inv nigness.
† See a curious black-letter pamphiet, † The Discoverie of the Knights of the Post. By E. S. 1897.† The characters seem designated by the initials of their names.

often afterwards discovered to be very fallacious once, on abled him to purchase annuities of easy landholders, with their treble amount secured on their estates. The improve dent owners, or the careless heirs, were soon entangled usus owners, or the carriess nears, were soon estangled in the usurer's nets; and, after the receipt of a few years, the annuity, by some latest quibble, or some irregularity in the payments, usually ended in Audley's obtaining the treble forfeiture. He could at all times out-knave a knave. One of these incidents has been preserved. A draper, of no honest reputation, being arrested by a merchant for a debt of 2004, Audiey bought the debt at 402, for which the draper immediately offered him 501. But Audiey would not consent, unless the draper indulged a sudden whim his own this man formal southeast that the draper and the state of t his own : this was a formal contract, that the draper should pay within twenty years, upon twenty certain days, a penny doubled. A knave, in haste to sign, is no calculator; and, as the contemporary dramatist describes one of the arts of those citizens, one part of whose business was

4 To swear and break: they all grow rich by breaking ! the draper eagerly compounded. He afterwards 'grew rich.' Audley, silently watching his victim, within two years, claims his doubled pennies, every mosth during twenty months. The pennies had now grown up to pounds.
The knave perceived the trick, and preferred paying the forfeiture of his bond for 500l, rather than to receive the visitation of all the little generation of compound interest in the last descendant of 2000t, which would have closed with the draper's shop. The inventive genius of Audley might have illustrated that popular tract of his own times, Peacham's 'Worth of a Penny;' a gentleman who, having scarcely one left, consoled himself by detailing the numerous of the the num comforts of life it might procure in the days of Charles II.

Such potty enterprizes at length assumed a deeper case of interest. He formed temporary partnerships with the stewards of country gentlemen. They underlet estates which they had to manage; and, anticipating the owner's necessities, the estates in due time became cheap purchases notessaties, the estates in our time became carea particular for Audley and the stewards. He usually contrived to make the wood pay for the land, which he called 'making the feathers pay for the goose.' He had, however, such a tenderness of conscience for his victim, that, having plucked the live feathers before he sent the unfledged goose on the common, he would bestow a gratuitous lecture in his own science—teaching the art of making them grow again, by showing how to raise the remaining rents.
Addley thus made the tenant furnish at once the means to Addley thus made the tenant turnish at once the means to satisfy his own rapacity, and his employer's necessities. His avarice was not working by a blind, but on an enlightened principle; for he was only enabling the landlord to obtain what the tenant, with due industry, could afford to give. Adam Smith might have delivered himself in the language of old Audley, so just was his standard of the value of rents. 'Under an easy landlord,' said Audley, the tenant addent history, contenting himself to mean the the a tenant seldom thrives; contenting himself to make the just measure of his rents, and not labouring for any sur-plusage of estate. Under a hard one, the tenant revenges himself upon the land, and runs away with the rent. I would raise my rents to the present price of all commodi-tice; for if we should let our lands, as other men have done before us, now other wares daily go on in price, we should fall backward in our estates.' These axioms of politica economy were discoveries in his day.

economy were discoveries in his day.

Audley knew mankind practically, and struck into thei humours with the versatility of genius: oracularly deswith the grave, he only stung the lighter mind. When a lord borrowing money complained to Audley of his exactions, his lordship exclaimed, 'What, do you not intend to use a conscience?' 'Yes, I intend hereafter to use it. We monied people must balance accounts; if you do not pay me, you cheat me; but, if you do, then I cheat vous lordship.' Audley's monied conscience balanced the risk of his lordship's honour, against the probability of his own lordship.' Audley's monted conscisence managed the resolution of his fordship's honour, against the probability of his own rapacious profits. When he resided in the Temple among those 'pullets without feathers,' as an old writer describes the brood, the good man would pule out paternal bomilies on improvident youth, grieving that they, under pretence of 'learning the law, only learnt to be lawless;' and serve knew by their own studies the process of an execution, till at was served on themselves.' Nor could be fail in his Nor could be fail in his R was served on themserves. After could be use an arms prophecy; for at the moment that the stoic was enduring their ridicule, his agents were supplying them with the their rancine, in agents were supplying trees with the certain means of verifying it; for, as it is quaintly said, he had his decoying as well as his decaying gentlemen.

The arts practised by the money-traders of that time have been detailed by one of the town-satirists of the age.

Decker, in his 'English Villanies,' has told the story; we may observe how an old story contains many meidents which may be discovered in a modern one. The artiface of covering the usury by a pretended purchase and sale of certain wares, even now practised, was then at its height. In 'Measure for Measure' we find.

'Here's young Master Rash, he's in for a commodity of krown paper and old git.ger, nine score and seventeen pounds; of which he made five marks ready money;

The caper 'gull,' for his immediate wants, takes at an immense price any goods on credit, which he immediately rescile for less than half the cost; and when despatch presses, he wester and the purchaser have been the same person, and the 'brown paper and old giager' merely nominal.

The whole displays a complete system of dupery, and the agents were graduated. The Manner of undoing Genlemen by taking up of Commodities, is the title of a chapter in English Villanies.' The 'warren' is the cant term which describes the whole party; but this requires a word of explanation.

It is probable that rabbit-warrene were numerous about the metropolis, a circumstance which must have multiplied the poschers. Moffet, who wrote on diet in the reign of Elizabeth, notices their plentiful supply for the poor's maintenance.'—I cannot otherwise account for the appellatures given to sharpers, and the terms of cheatery being so familiarly drawn from a rabbit-warren; not that even us that day these cant terms travelled far out of their own circle; for Robert Greene mentions a trial in which the judges, good simple men, imagined that the coney-catcher it he bar was a warrener, or one who had the care of a warren.

The cant term of 'warren' included the young conies, or half ruined prodigals of that day, with the younger brothers who had accomplished their ruin ; these naturally herded together, as the pigeon and the black-leg of the present day. The coney-catchers were those who raised a trade on their necessities. To be 'conie-catched,' was to be cheated. The warren forms a combination altogether, to attract some novice, who in esse or in posse has his present means good, and those to come great; he is very glad to learn how money can be raised. The warren seek after a tembler; \*\* and the nature of a London tumbler was 'to hunt dry-foot,' in this manner :- 'The tumher is let loose, and runs anuffing up and down in the shops of mercers, goldsmiths, drapers, haberdashers, to meet with a ferret, that is a citizen who is ready to sell a com-The tumbler in his first course usually returned in despair, pretending to have out-wearied himself by hunting, and swears that the city ferrets are so coaped (that is, have their lips stitched up close) that he can't get them to open to so great a sum as 500/, which the warren want.

This herb being chewed down by the rabbit suckers, almost kills their hearts. It irritates their appetite, and they keesly bid the tumbler, if he can't fasten on plate or cists, or silks, to lay hold of brown paper, Bartholomew behin, hat strings, or hob nails. It hath been verily reported, says Decker, 'that one gentleman of great hopes look up 1001 in hobby horses, and sold them for 301; and le in je ints of mutton, and quarters of lamb, ready roasted and sold them for three pounds.' Such commudities were called parse-note.—The tumbler, on his second hunt, trots ap and down again; at last lights on a ferret that will deal: the names are given in to a scrivener, who inquires whether they are good men, and finds four out of the five are wind-shaken, but the fifth is an oak that can bear the hewing. Bonds are sealed, commodities delivered, and the tumbler fetches his second career; and their credit having obtained the purse-nets, the wares must now obtain money. The tumbler now hunts for the rabbit suckers, those who buy these purse nets: but the rabbit suckers, seem greater devils than the ferrets, for they always hid under; and after many exclamations the scarren is glad that the seller should repurchase his own commodities for tendy money, at thirty or fifty per cent under the cost. The ttory does not finish till we come to the manner 'How the warren is spoiled.' I shall transcribe this part of the nar-Three is the lively style of this town-writer. While there is any grass to nibble upon, the rabbits are there; but on the cold day of repayment, they retire into their tares; so that when the forest makes account of for in

\* ' A tumbler was a sort of a hunting dog.' Karsey's New World of Words.

chase, four disappear. Then he grows fierce, and tears open his own jaws to suck blood from him that is left. Sergeants, marshalmen, and balliffs, are sent forth, whe lie scenting at every corner, and with terrible paws haunt every walk. The bird is seized upon by these hawks, his estate looked into, his wings broken, his lands made over to a stranger. He pays 500k, who never had but-60k, or te prison; or he seals any bond, mortgages any lordship, does any thing, yields any thing. A little way in, he cares not how far he wades; the greater his possessions are, the apter he is to take up and to be trusted,—thus gentlemen are ferretted and undone! It is evident that the whole system turns on the single novice; those who join him in his bonds are stalking horses; the whole was to begin and to end with the single individual, the great coney of the warren. Such was the nature of those 'commodities,' to which Massinger and Shakspeare allude, and which the modern dramatist may exhibit in his comedy, and be still sketching after life.

Another scene, closely connected with the present, will complete the picture. The 'Ordinaries' of those days were the lounging places of the men of the town, and the 'fantastic galianis,' who herded together. Ordinaries were the 'exchange for news,' the echoing places for all sorts of town talk: there they might hear of the last new play and poem, and the last fresh widow, who was sighing for some knight to make her a lady; these resorts were attended also 'to save charges of house keeping.' The reign of James I is characterised by all the wantonness of prodigality among one class, and all the penuriousness and rapacity in another, which met in the dissolute indolence of a peace of twenty years. But a more striking feature in these 'Ordinaries' showed itself as soon as 'the voyder had cleared the table.' Then began 'the shuffling and cutting on one side, and the bones rattling on the other.'

The 'Ordinarie,' in fact, was a gambing house, like those now expressively termed 'Hells,' and I doubt if the present 'Infernos' exceeded the whole diablerie of our anasystem.

In the former scene of sharping they derived their cast terms from a rabbit-warren, but in the present, their allusions partly relate to an avary, and truly the proverb suited them, of 'birds of a feather.' Those who first propose to sit down to play are called the leaders; the ruined gamesters are the forlors-hope; the great winner is the eagle; a stander-by, who encourages, but little ventures himself, the freshly-imported gallant, who is called the gull, is the woodpecker; and a monstrous bird of prey, who is always hovering round the table, is the gull groper, who, at a pinch, is the benevolent Audley of the Ordinary.

There was, besides, one other character of an original cast, apparently the friend of none of the party, and yet, in fact, 'the Atlas which supported the Ordinarie on his shoulders; he was sometimes significantly called the impostor.

impoeter.

The gull is a young man whose father, a citizen or a squire, just dead, leaves him 'ten or twelve thousand pounds in ready money, besides some hundreds a year,' Scouts are sent out, and lie in ambush for him; they discover what 'apothe caries' shop he resorts to every morning, or in what tobacco shop in Fleet street he takes a pipe of smoke in the afternoon.'\* Some sharp wit of the Ordinarie, a pleasant fellow, whom Robert Greene calls 'the taker up,' one of universal conversation, lures the heir of seven hundred a year to 'The Ordinarie.' A gull sets the whole aviary in spirits; and Decker well describes the flutter of joy and expectation: 'The leaders maintained themselves brave; the forlors hepe, that drooped before, doth now gallantly come on; the eagle feathers his nest; the woodpecker picks up the crums; the gull-groper grows fat with good feeding; and the gull himself, at whom every one has a pull, hath in the end scarce feathers to keep his back warm.'

During the gull's progress through Primero and Gleek, he wants for no admirable advice and solemn warnings from two excellent friends; the gull groper, and at length, the impostor. The gull groper, who knows 'to half an acre,' all his means, takes the gull, when out of luck, to a side-window, and in a whisper talks of 'dice being made of women's bones, which would cozen any man;' but he pours his gold on the board; and a bond is rapturously

\* The usual resorts of the loungers of that day. Wine was then sold at the apothecaries; and tobacco smoked in the shops.

signed for the next quarter-day. But the gull-groper, by a variety of expedients, avoids having the hond duly discharged; he contrives to get a judgment, and a serjeant with his mace procures the forfeiture of the bond; the treble value. But the 'impostor' has none of the milkiness of the 'gull-groper,'—he looks for no favour under heaven from any man; he is bluff with all the Ordinary; he spits at random: gingles his spurs into any man's clusk; and his 'humour is, to be a devil of a dare-all. All fear him as the tyrant they must obey. The tender gull trembles, and admires his valour. At length the devil he feared becomes his champion; and the poor gull, proud of his intimacy, hides himself under this engle's wings.

The impostor sits close by his elbow, takes a partnership in his game, furnishes the stakes when out of luck, and in truth, does not care how fast the gull loses; for a twirl of his mustachio, a tip of his nose, or a wink of his eye, drives all the losses of the gull into the profits of the grand confederacy at the Ordinary. And when the impostor has fought the gull's quarrels many a time, at last he kicks up the table; and the gull sinks himself into the class of the forlorn-hope, he lives at the mercy of his late friends the gull-groper and the impostor, who send him out to lure some

ender bird in feather.

Such were the hells of our ancestors, from which our worthies might take a lesson; and the 'warren' in which

the Audleys were the conie-catchers.

But to return to our Audley; this philosophical usurer never pressed hard for his debts; like the fowler, he never abook his nots lest he might startle, satisfied to have them, without appearing to hold them. With great fondness he compared his 'bonds to infants, which battle best by sleeping.' To battle is to be nourished, a term still retained at the University of Oxford. His familiar companions were all subordinate actors in the great piece he was performing; he too had his part in the scene. When not taken by surprise, on his table usually lay open a great Bible, with Bishop Andrews's folio Sermons, which often gave him an opportunity of railing at the covetousness of the clergy! declaring their religion was 'a mere preach,' and that 'the trime would never be well till we had Queen Elizabeth's Protestants again in fashion.' He was aware of all the evils arising out of a population beyond the means of subsistence, and dreaded an inundation of men, spreading like the spawn of a cod. Hence he considered marriage, with a modern political economist, as very dangerous; bitterly censuring the clergy, whose children, he said, never thrived, and whose widows were left destitute. An apostolical drink, to be had for fifly pounds a year! Celibacy, voluntary poverty, and all the mortifications of a primitive Christian, were the virtues practised by this puritan among his money bage.

Yet Audley's was that worldly wisdom which derives all its strength from the weaknesses of mankind. Every thing was to be obtained by stratagem, and it was his maxim, that to grasp our object the faster, we must go a little round about it. His life is said to have been one of intricacies and mysteries, using indirect means in all things; but if he walked in a labyrinth, it was to bewilder others; for the clue was still in his own hand: all he sought was that his designs should not he discovered by his actions. His word, we are told, was his bond; his abour was punctual; and his opinions were compressed and weighty: but if he was true to his bond-word, it was only a part of the system to give facility to the carrying on of his trade, for he was not strict to his honour; the pride of victory, as well as the passion for acquisition, combined in the character of Audley, as in more tremendous conquesurs. His partners dreaded the effects of his law-library, and usually relinquished a claim rather than stand a suit against a latent quibble. When one menaced him by showing some money-bags, which he had resolved to empty in law against him, Audley, then in office in the court of wards, with a sarcastic grin, asked 'Whether the bags had any bottom?' 'Ay 'replied the exulting possessor, stricing them. 'In that case I care not,' retorted the cystical officer of the court of wards; 'for in this court I have a constant spring; and I cannot spend in other courts more than I gain in this.' He had at once the meansess which would evade the law, and the spirit which could resist it.

The genius of Audley had crept out of the purlieus of Guidhall, and entered the temple; and having often sauntered at 'Powles' down the great promesade which was

reserved for 'Duke Humphrey and his guests,' he wombet turn into that part called 'The Usurer's Alley,' to malk with 'Thirry in the hundred,' and at length was enabled to purchase his effice at that remarkable institution, the court of wards. The entire fortunes of those whom we now call wards in chancery were in the hands, and often submitted to the arts or the tyranny of the officers of than court.

When Audley was asked the value of this new effices he replied, that 'It might be worth some thousands concluded to him who after his death would instantly go to heaven; twice as much to him who would go to purgatory, and nobody knows what to him who would adventure to go to hell.' Such was the pious casuistry of a witty Uscarer. Whether he undertook this last adventure, for his four burnered thousand pounds, how can a sceptical biographer decide? Audley seems ever to have been weak, when temp-

tation was strong.

Some saving qualities, however, were mixed with the vicious ones he liked the best. Another passion divided dominion with the sovereign one: Audley's strongest impressions of character were cast in the old law-shrary of his youth, and the pride of legal reputation was not inferior in strength to the rage for money. If in the 'count of wards' he pounced on incumbrances which lay on estates, and prowled about to discover the craving wants of them owners, it appears that he also received liberal fees from the relatives of young heirs, to protect them from the rapacity of some great persons, but who could not certainly exceed Audley in subtilty. He was an admirable lawyer, for he was not satisfied with hearing but assembing his clients; which he called 'pinching the cause where he perceived it was foundered.' He made two observations on clients and lawyers, which have not lost their poignancy. 'Many clients, in telling their case, rather plead than relate it, so that the advocate heareth not the true state of it, till opened by the adverse party. Some lawyers seem to keep an assurance-office in their charbers, and will warrant any cause brought unto them, knowing that if they fail, they lose nothing but what was lost long since, their credit.'

The career of Audley's ambition closed with the extinction of the 'court of wards,' by which he incurred the loss of above 1.100,000. On that occasion he observed that 'His ordinary losses were as the shaving of his beard, which only grew the faster by them; but the loss of this place was like the cutting off of a member, which was irrecoverable.' The hoary Usurer pined at the decline of his genius, discoursed on the vanity of the world, and hinted at retreat. A facetious friend told him a story of an old rat, who having acquainted the young rats that he would at length retire to his hole, desiring none to come near him, their curiosity, after some days, led them to venture to look into the hole; and there they discovered the old rat sitting in the midst of a rich parmessan cheese. It is probable that the loss of the last 1.100,000 disturbed his digestion, for he did not long survive his court of wards.

Such was this man, converting wisdom into cunning, invention into trickery, and wit into cynicism. Engaged in no honourable cause, he however shewed a mind resolved, making plain the crooked and involved path he trod. Sustine et obstine, to bear and to forbear, was the great principle of Epictetus, and our moneyed Stoic bore all the contempt and hatred of the living smilingly, while he forbore all the consolations of our common nature to obtain his end. He died in umblest celibacy.—And thus he received the curses of the living for his rapine, while the stranger who grasped the million he had raked together owed him no

gratitude at his death.

# CHIDIOCK TITCHBOURNE.

In this volume I have drawn a picture of a Jewish hastory in our country; the present is a companion-piece, exhibiting a Roman Catholic one.

The domestic history of our country awakens our feelings far more than the public. In the one, we recognized ourselves as men; in the other, we are nothing but politicians. The domestic history is, indeed, entirely involved in the fate of the public; and our opinions are regulated according to the different countries, and by the different ages we live in: yet systems of politics, and modes of faith are for the individual, but the chance occurrences of human life, usually found in the cradle, and laid in the grave: it is only the herd of mankind, or their designing leaders, who fight and curse one another with so much sincersy. Assistant

these miestine struggles or, perhaps, when they have ceased, and our hearts are calm, we perceive the eternal force of mature acting on humanity: then the heroic virtues and private sufferings of persons engaged in an opposite cause, and acting on different principles than our own, appeal to our sympathy, and even excite our admiration. A philosopher, born a Catholic, assuredly could commemorate many a pathetic history of some heroic Huguenot; while we, with the same feeling in our heart, discover a roman-tic and chivalrous band of Catholics.

CHIDMOCK TITCHROURNE is a name which appears in the comparacy of Anthony Babington against Elizabeth; and the history of this accomplished young man may onter into the remance of real life. Having discovered two in-teresting domestic documents relative to him, I am desir-

ous of preserving a name and a character, which have such claims on our sympathy.

There is an interesting historical novel, entitled 'The There is an interesting historical novel, entitled 'There is an interesting historical novel, entitled 'The able for being the production of a lady, without, if I recollect rightly, a single adventure of love. Of the fourteen characters implicated in this conspiracy, few were of the stamp of men ordinarily engaged in dark assassinations. Humae has told the story with his usual grace; the fuller narrative may be found in Camden; but the tale may yet receive, from the character of CHIDIOCK TITCHBOURNE, a more interesting close.

a more interesting close.

Some youths, worthy of ranking with the heroes, rather than with the traitors of England, had been practised on by the subtilty of Ballard, a disguised Jesuit of great interpolity and talents, whom Camden calls 'a silken priest in a soldier's habit: for this versatile intriguer changed into all shapes, and took up all names; yet with all the arts of a political Jesuit he found himself entrapped in the nests of that more crafty one, the great Walsingham. Ballard had opened himself to Babington, a catholic; a youth of large fortune, the graces of whose person were only in-ferior to his mind. In his travels, his generous temper had been touched by some confidential friends of the Scottish Mary; and the youth, susceptible of ambition, had been recommended to that queen; and an intercourse of letters took place, which seemed as deeply tinctured with love as with loyalty. The intimates of Babington were youthe of congenial tempers and studies; and in their exalted imaginates. nations, they could only view in the imprisoned Mary of Scotland a sovereign, a saint, and a woman. But friend-ship, the most tender, if not the most sublime ever record-ed, prevailed among this band of self-devoted victims; and the Damon and Pythias of antiquity were here out-numbered.

But these conspirators were surely more adapted for lovers than for politicians. The most romantic incidents are interwoven in this dark conspiracy. Some of the letters to Mary were conveyed by a secret messenger, one in the pay of Walsingham; others were lodged in a concealed place covered by a loosened stone, in the wall of the queen's prison. All were transcribed by Walsingham ham before they reached Mary. Even the spies of that singular statesman were the companions, or the servants, singular statesman were the companions, or the servants, of the archeosspirator Ballard; for the minister seems cally to have humoured his taste in assisting him through this extravagant plot. Yet, as if a plot of so loose a texture was not quite perilous, the extraordinary incident of a picture representing the secret conspirators in person, was probably considered as the highest stroke of political istriguo! The accomplished Babington had pourtrayed the conspirators, himself standing in the midst of them, that the imprisoned queen might thus have some kind of personal acquaintance with them. There was, at least, personal acquaintains with ment. There was, at least much of chivalry as of Machiavelism in this conspiracy. This very picture, before it was delivered to Mary, the subtile Walsingham had copied, to exhibit to Elizabeth the faces of her secret enemies. Houbraken in his portrait of Walsingham has introduced in the vignette the inent of this picture being shown to Elizabeth; a circumensent of this picture sengers shown as a genius of this crafty and vigilant statesman. Camden tells us that Babington had first inscribed beneath the picture of this verse:

"Hi mild sunt comites, quos ipea pericular ducunt."
These are my companions, whom the same dangers lead.
But as this verse was considered by some of less heated

es as much too open and intelligible, they put one more

ambiguous:

'Quorsum hac allo properantibus?'

What are these things to men hastening to another purpose?

This extraordinary collection of personages must have occasioned many alarms to Elizabeth, whenever any stranger approached her; till the conspiracy was suffered to be silently manured sufficiently to be ended. Once she to be silently matured sufficiently to be ended. Once she perceived in her walks a conspirator, and on that occasion erected her 'tion port,' reprimanding her captain of the guards, loud enough to meet the conspirator's ear, that 'he had not a man in his company who were a sword;—am not I fairly guarded;' exclaimed Elizabeth.

It is in the progress of the trial that the history and the feelings of these wondrous youths appear. In those times, when the government of the country yet felt steelf unsettled, and mercy did not sit in the judgment-seat, even one of

when the government of the country yet felt itself unsettled, and mercy did not sit in the judgment-seat, even one of the judges could not refrain from being affected at the presence of so gallant a band as the prisoners at the bar: 'Oh Ballard, Ballard!' the judge exclaimed, 'what bas: thou done? a sert' of brare youths, otherwise endued with good gifts, by thy inducement hast thou brought to their utter destruction and confusion.' The Jesuit himself. self commands our respect, although we refuse him our commence our respect, attacount we return and our respect, attacount we return at the tragical executions which were to follow, and 'wished all the blame might rest on him, could the shedding of his blood be the saving of Babington's life!"

When this remantic band of friends were called on for

their defence, the most pathetic instances of domestic affectheir detence, the most pathetic instances of domestic affec-tion appeared: one had engaged in this plot solely to try to save his friend, for he had no hopes of it, nor any wish for its success; he had observed to his friend that 'the haughty and ambitious mind of Anthony Babington would be the destruction of himself and his friends; nevertheless he was willing to die with them! Another, to withdraw, if possible, one of these noble youths from the cospiracy, although he had broken off housekeeping, said, to spiracy, attnough he had broken on housekeeping, said, to employ his own language, 'I called back my servants again together, and began to keep house again more freshly than ever I did, only because I was weary to see reamy than ever I aid, only because I was weary to see Tom Salisbury straggling, and willing to keep him about home. Having attempted to secrete his friend, this gen-tleman observed, 'I am condemned, because I suffered Salisbury to escape, when I knew he was one of the conspirators. My case is hard and lamentable; either to betray my friend whom I love as myself, and to discove Tom Salisbury, the best man in my country, of whom I only made choice; or else to break my allegiance to my sovereign, and to undo myself and my posterity for ever. Whatever the political casuist may determine on this case the social being carries his own manual in the heart. The principle of the greatest of republics was to suffer nothing to exist in competition with its own ambition; but the Roman history is a history without fathers and brothers!—Another of the conspirators replied, 'For flying away with my friend, I fulfilled the part of a friend.' When the judge observed that, to perform his friendship, he had broken he allegiance to his sovereign; he bowed his head and confessed, 'Therein I have offended.'-Another, asked why he had fled into the woods, where he was discovered among some of the conspirators, proudly, or tenderly, replied,-' For company!'

When the sentence of condemnation had passed, then broke forth among this noble band that spirit of honour, which surely had never been witnessed at the bar among so many criminals. Their great minds seemed to have reconciled them to the most barbarous of deaths; but as their estates as traitors might be forfeited to the queen, their sole anxiety was now for their family and their creditors. One in the most pathetic terms recommends to her majesty's protection a beloved wife; another a destitute sister; but not among the least urgent of their supplications, was one that their creditors might not be injured by their untimely end. The statement of their affairs is curr-ous and simple. 'If mercy he not to be had,' exclaimed one, 'I beseech you, my good lords, this; I owe some sums of money, but not very much, and I have more owing sums of money, but not very much, and I have more owing to me; I beseech that my debts may be paid with that which is owing to me.' Another prayed for a pardon; the judge complimented him, that 'he was one who might have done good service to his country; but declares he cannot obtain it.—'Then,' said the prisoner, 'I beseech that six angels, which such an one hath of mine, may be delivered to my brother to pay my debts. - How much

\* This word has been explained by Mr. Offord in his Josson vol. i, p. 33, as meaning a company, and the sense here confirms it. Digitized by GOOGLE

are thy debts?' demanded the judge. He answered, The same six angels will discharge it.'

That nothing might be wanting to complete the catastrophe of their sad story, our sympathy must accompany them to their tragical end, and to their last words. These heroic yet affectionate youths had a trial there, intolerable herous yet affectionate youths had a trial there, intolerable to their social feelings. The tarrific process of executing traitors was the remains of feudal barbarism, and has only been abolished very recently. I must not refrain from painting this scene of blood; the duty of an historian must be severer than his taste, and I record in the note a scene of this nature.\* The present one was full of horrors. Ballard was first executed and snatched alive from the analysis of the emburglist. Exhipston locked on with an exit and the state of the semburglist. gallows to be embowelled: Babington looked on with an undaunted countenance, steadily gazing on that variety of tertures which he himself was in a moment to pass through; the others averted their faces, forvently praying. When the executioner began his tremendous office on Babington, the spirit of this haughty and heroic man cried out amidst be agony, Perce mile, Domine Jesu! Spare me Lord Jesus! There were two days of execution; it was on the first that the noblest of these youths suffered; and the pity which such criminals had excited among the spectators evidently weakened the sense of their political crime; the solemnity, not the barbarity of the punishment affects the populace with right feelings. Elizabeth, an enlightened politician, commanded that on the second day the odious art of the sentence against traitors should not commence ill after their death.

One of these generosi adolescentuli, youths of generous blood, was Chidican Titchbourne, of Southampton, the more intimate friend of Babington. He had refused to connect himself with the assassination of Elizabeth, but his reluctant consent was inferred from his silence. His address to the populace breathes all the carelessness of life, in one who knew all its value. Proud of his ansient descent from a family which had existed before the Conquest, till now without a stain, he paints the thought-less happiness of his days with his beloved friend, when any object rather than matters of state engaged their purany coject rather than matters of state engaged their pur-suits; the hours of misery were only first known the day as entered into the conspiracy. How feelingly he passes into the domestic scene, amidst his wife, his child, and his sisters! and even his servants! Well might he cry, more in tenderness than in reproach, 'Friendship hath brought me to this!'

Countrymen, and my dear friends, you expect I should speak something; I am a bad orator, and my text is worse: It were in vain to enter into the discourse of the whole matter for which I am brought hither, for that it hath been revealed heretofore; let me be a warning to all young gentlemen, especially generosis adolescentulis. I had a friend, and a dear friend, of wnom I made no small account, whose friendship hath brought me to this; he told

\* Let not the delicate female start from the revolting scene, \* Let not the delicate temate start from the revolting scene, nor censure the writer, since that writer is a woman—suppressing her own agony, as she supported on her lapthe head of the miserable sufferer. This account was drawn up by Mrs. Elizabeth Willoughby a Catholic lady, who, amidst the hororid execution, could still her own feelings in the attempt to soften those of the victim: she was a heroine, with a tender

The subject was one of the executed Jesuits, Hugh Green, who often went by the name of Ferdinand Brooks, according to the custom of these people, who disguised themselves by double names; he suffered in 1642: and this narrative is taken from the curious and scarce folios of Dodd, a Catholic Church

from the curious and scarce folios of Dodd, a Catholic Church History of England.

'The hangman, either through unaklifulness, or for want of a sufficient presence of mind, had so ill-performed his first duty of hanging him, that when he was cut down he was perfectly sensible, and able to sit upright upon the ground, viewing the crowd that stood about him. The person who undertook to quarter him was one Barefoo, a barler, who, being very timorous when he found he was to strack a living man, it was near half an hour before the sufferer was rendered entirely insensible of pain. The mob pulled at the rope, and threw tho was near half an hour before the sufferer was rendered entirely insensible of pain. The mob pulled at the rope, and threw tho Jesuit on his back. Then the barber immediately fell to work, ripped up his beily, and laid the flaps of skin on both sides; the poor gentlemen being so present to himself as to make the sign of the cross with one hand. During this operation, Mrs. Elizabeth Willoughby (the writer of this) kneeled at the Jesuit's head, and held it fast beneath her hands. His face was covered with a thick sweat; the blood issued from his mouth, ears, and eyes, and his forehead burnt with so much heat, that she assures us she could scarce endure her hand upon it. The barber was still under a great consternation. —But I stop my pea amidst these circumstantial horrors.

me the whole matter, I cannot deny, as they had laid it down to be done; but I always thought it impions, am denied to be a dealer in it; but the regard of my frient caused me to be a man in whom the old proverb wa verified; I was silent, and so consented. Before thai thing chanced, we lived together in most flourishing e tate: Of whom went report in the Strand, Fleet strand and elsewhere, about London, but of Babington at Titchbourne? No threshold was of force to brave or entry. Thus we lived, and wanted nothing we could win for; and God knows what less in my head than mette of state. Now give me leave to declare the miseries sustained after I was acquainted with the action, where I may justly compare my estate to that of Adam's, who could not abstain one thing forbidden, to enjoy all other things the world could afford: the terror of consciences awaited me. After I considered the dangers whereinto I was fallen, I went to Sir John Pelers in Essex, and appointed my horses should meet me at London, intendi to go down into the country. I came to London, am then heard that all was bewrayed; whereupon, like Adam, we fied into the woods to hide ourselves. My dear countrymen, my sorrows may be your joy, yet max your smiles with tears, and pity my case; I am descended from a house, from two hundred years before the Conquest, never stained till this my milfortune. I have a wife and one stained till this my mujoraine. I have a wije eas once child; my wije Agnes, my dear wije, and there's my grief—and six sisters left in my hand—my poor servants, I known, their master being taken, were dispersed; for all which I described nothing less, that the remainder of my years might in some sort have recompensed my former guit; which is some sort have recompensed my former guit; which is a transmit have missed let me now readingte on the line I. seeing I have missed, let me now meditate on the joys I hope to enjoy.

Titchbourne had addressed a letter to his 'dear wife Agnes, the night before he suffered, which I discovere among the Harleian MSS,\* It overflows with the mes natural feeling, and contains some touches of expres all sweetness and tenderness, which mark the Shaks-pearean serea. The same MS. has also preserved a more precious gem, in a small poem, composed at the same time, which indicates his genius, fertile in imagery same time, which indicates his genus, sertile in imagery and fraught with the melancholy philosophy of a fine and wounded spirit. The unhappy close of the life of such a noble youth, with all the prodigality of his feelings and the cultivation of his intellect, may still excite that sympathy in the generous adolescentules, which Chidiock Titchbourns would have felt for them!

A letter written by CHIDIOCK TITCHBOURES the night before he suffered death vnto his wife, dated of anno 1586.

'To the most loving wife alive, I commend me vnto her, and desire God to blesse her with all happiness, pray for her dead husband, and be of good comforte, for I hope in Jesus Christ this morning to see the face of my maker and redeemer in the most joyful throne of his glorious king-dome. Commend me to all my friends, and desire them to pray for me, and in all charitie to pardon me if I have offended them. Commend me to my six sisters poore desolate soules, aduise them to serue God, for without him no goodness is to be expected: were it possible, my little sister Babb: the darlinge of my race might be bred by her, God would rewarde her; but I do her wronge, I confesse, that hath by my desolate negligence too little for her-selfe, to add a further charge vate her. Deere wife forgive me, that have by these means so much impoverished her fortunes; patience and pardon good wife I craue make of these our necessities a vertue, and lay no further burthen on my neck than hath alreadie been. There be certain debts that I owe, and because I know not the order of the lawe, piteous it hath taken from me all, forfeited by my course of offence to her majestie, I cannot aduse thee to benefit me herein, but if there fall out wherewithall, let them be discharged for God's sake. I will not that you trouble yourselfe with the performance of these matters, my own heart, but make it known to my uncles, and desire them, for the honour of God and the ease of their soule, to take care of them as they may, and especially care of my sisters bringing up the burthen is now laide on them. Now, sweet-cheek, what is left to bestow on thee, a small joynture, a small recompense for thy deservinge, these legacies followinge to be thine owne. God of his infinite goodness give thee grace alwaies to remain his true and

\* Harl. MS8, 36, 40 OS C

faithful servant, that through the merits of his bitter and blessed passion thou maint become in good time of his kingdom with the blessed women in heaven. May the Hely Ghost comfort thee with all necessaries for the wealth of thy soul in the world to come, where until it shall please Almighty God I meets thee, farewell lovinge wife, farewell the dearest to me on all the earth, farewell!

'By the hand from the heart of thy most faithful louingo whend.

CHIDIOGE TITCEROUREE.

#### VERSES

Made by CRIDIOGK TITCHBOURNE of himself in the Tower, the night before he suffered death, who was executed in Lincoln's Inn Fields for treason. 1586.

My prime of youth is but a frost of cares,
My feast of joy is but a dish of pain,
My crop of corn is but a field of tares,
And all my goodes is but vain hope of gain;
The day is fied, and yet I saw no sun,
And now I live, and now my life is done!

My spring is past, and yet it hath not sprung,
The fruit is dead, and yet the leaves are green,
My youth is past, and yet I are but young,
I saw the world, and yet I was not seen;
My thread is cut, and yet it is not spun,
And now I live, and now my life is done!

I sought for death, and found it in the wombe, I lookt for life, and yet it was a shade, I trade the grounde, and knew it was my tombe, And now I dye, and now I am but made.

The glass is full, and yet my glass is run; And now I live, and now my life is done!"

# ELIZABETH AND HER PARLIAMENT.

The year 1566 was a remarkable period in the domestic anals of our great Elizabeth; then, for a moment broke forth a noble struggle between the freedom of the subject and the dignity of the sovereign.

One of the popular grievances of her glorious reign was the maiden state in which the queen persisted to live, not-withstanding such frequent remonstrances and exhortations. The nation in a moment might be thrown into the danger of a disputed succession; and it became necessary to allay that ferment which existed among all parties, while each was fixing on its own favourite, hereafter to ascend the throne. The birth of James I this year animated the partisans of Mary of Scotland; and men of the most opposite parties of England unanimously joined in the popular cry for the marriage of Elizabeth, or a settlement of the succession. This was a subject most painful to the thoughts of Elizabeth; she started from it with horror, and she was practising every imaginable grifting to evade it

and she was practising every imaginable artifice to evade it.

The real cause of this repugnance has been passed over by our historians.

Camden, however, hints at it, when he places among other popular rumours of the day, that 'men cursed Huic, the Queen's physician, for dissanding her from marriage, for I know not what female mifraity.'

The queen's physician thus incurred the odium of the nation for the integrity of his conduct: he well knew how precious was her life.'

This fact, once known, throws a new light over her conduct: the ambiguous expressions which she constantly employs, when she alludes to her marriage in her speeches, and in private conversations, are no longer mysterious.—

By the was always declaring, that she knew her subjects did not love her so little, as to wish to bury her before her time; even in the letter I shall now give, we find this

<sup>2</sup> This pathetic poem has been printed in one of the old editions of Sir Walter Raleigh's Poems, but could never have been written by him. In those times the collectors of the works of a celebrated writter would insert any fugitive pieces of merit, and pass them under a name which was certain of securing the teader's favour. The entire poem in every line echoes the fellings of Chidlock Titchbourge, who perished with all the blossoms of life and genius about him in the May-time of his existence.

† Foreign authors who had an intercourse with the English court seem to have been better informed, or at least found themselves underliess restraint than our own home writers. In Bayle, note x, the reader will find this mysterious affair cleared up; at length in one of our own writers, Whitaker, in his Mary Queen of Scots windicated, Vol. II, p. 502. Elizabeth's Asswer to the first Address of the Commons, on her marriage, a Hume, Vol. V, p. 13, is now more intelligible; he has preserved her fanciful style.

remarkable expression; urging her to marriage, she said, was 'asking nothing less than wishing her to dig her grave before she was dead.' Conscious of the danger of her life by marriage she had early declared when she ascended the throne, that 'she would live and die a maiden queen:' but she afterwards discovered the political evil resulting from her unfortunate situation. Her conduct was admirable; her great genius turned even her weakeness into strength, and proved how well she deserved the character which she had already obtained from an enlightened enemy—the great Sixtus V, who observed of her, Chiera am gran cervelle di Principesse! She had a princely head-piece! Elizabeth allowed her ministers to pledge her royal word to the commons, as often as they found necessary, for her resolution to marry; she kept all Europe at her feet, with the hopes and fears of her choice; she gave ready encouragements, perhaps allowed her agents to promote even invitations, to the offers of marriage she received from crowned heads; and all the coquetries, and the cajolings, so often and so fully recorded with which she freely honoured individuals, made her empire as empire of love, where love, however, could never appear. All these were merely political artifices, to conceal her se-rest resolution, which was, not to marry.

At the birth of James I, as Camden says, 'the sharp

At the hirth of James I, as Camden says, 'the sharp and hot spirits broke out, accusing the queen that she was neglecting her country and posterity.' All 'these humours,' observes Hume, 'broke out with great vehemence, is a new session of parliament, held after six prorogations.' The peers united with the commoners. The queen had an empty exchequer, and was at their mercy. It was a moment of high ferment. Some of the boldest, and some of the mailce or wisdom of opposition, combined the supply with the succession; one was not to be had without the other.

This was a moment of great hope and anxiety with the French court; they were flattering themselves that her reign was touching a crisis; and La Mothe Fenelon, then the French ambassador at the court of Elizabeth, appears to have been busied in collecting hourly information of the warm debates in the commons, and what passed in their interviews with the queen. We may rather be astonished where he procured so much secret intelligence: he somewhere he procured so much secret intelligence is he somewhere he procured and her son Charles IX wished.—
There must have been Englishmen at our court, who were serving as French spies. In a private collection, which consists of two or three hundred original letters of Charles IX. Catherine de Medecis, Henry III, and Mary of Scotiand, &c., I find two despatches of this French ambassador, entirely relating to the present occurrence.—
What renders them more curious is, that the debates on the question of the succession are imperfectly given in Sir Symonds D'Ewes's journals; the only resource open to other somewhat is symonds complains of the negligence of the clerk of the commons, who indeed seems to have exerted his negligence, whenever it was found most agreeable to the court party.

Previous to the warm debates in the commons, of which the present despatch furnishes a lively picture, on Saturday, 12 Oct. 1586, at a meeting of the lords of the council, held in the queen's apartment, the Duke of Norfolk, in the name of the whole nobility, addressed Elizabeth, urging her to settle the suspended points of the succession, and of her marriage, which had been promised in the last parliament. The queen was greatly angried on the occasion; she could not suffer to be urged on those points; she spole with great animation. 'Hitherto you have had no epportunity to complain of me; I have well governed the country in peace, and if a late war of little consequence has broken out, which might have occasioned my subjects to complain of me, with me it has not originated, but with yourselves, as truly I beheve. Lay your hands on your hearts, and blame yourselves. In respect to the choice of the succession, not one of ye shall have it; that choice of the succession, not one of ye shall have it; that choice of the succession, steril and it will not be buried while I am living, as my sister was. Do I not well know, how during the life of my sister every one hastened to me at Hatfield; I am at present inclined to see no such travellers, nor desire on this your advice in an way.† In regard

<sup>\*</sup> In the possession of my friend and publisher, Mr. Murray.
† A curious trait of the neglect Queen Mary experienced
whose life being considered very uncertain, sent all the in
triguers of a court to Elizabeth, the next heir, although their
in a kind of state-imprisonment at Hatfield.

to my marriage, you may see enough, that I am not distant from it, and in what respects the welfare of the kingdom: go each of you, and do your own duty.

27 October, 1566.

By my last despatch of the 21st instant,\* among other matters, I informed your majesty of what was said on Saturday the 19th as well in parliament, as in the chamber of the queen, respecting the circumstance of the succession to this crown: since which I have learnt other particulars, which occurred a little before, and which I will not now omit to relate, before I mention what afterwards

happened.
On Wednesday the 18th of the present morth, the comptroller of the queen's household moved in the lower bounder of parliament, where the deputies of towns and counties meet, to obtain a subsidy # taking into consideration, among other things, that the queen had emptied the exchequer, as well in the late wars, as in the maintenance of her ships at sea, for the protection of her kingdom, and her subjects; and which expenditure has been so excessive, that it could no further be supported without the aid of her good subjects, whose duty it was to offer money to her majesty, even before she required it, in consideration that, hitherto, she had been to them a benignant and courteous

mistress.

'The comptroller having finished, one of the deputies, a country gentleman, rose in reply. He said, that he saw no occasion, nor any pressing necessity, which ought to move her majesty to ask for money of her subjects. And, in regard to the wars, which it was said had exhausted her treasury, she had undertaken them from herself, as she had thought proper; not for the defence of her kingdom, nor for the advantage of her subjects; but there was one thing which seemed to him more urgent, and far more necessary to examine concerning this campaign; which was, how the money raised by the late subsidy had been spent; and that every one who had had the handling of it should produce their accounts, that it might be known if the monies had been well or ill spent.

'On this, rises one named Mr Basche, purveyor of the marine, and also a member of the said parliament; who shows, that it was most necessary that the commons should wote the said subsidies to her majesty, who had not only been at vast charges, and was so daily to maintain a great number of ships, but also in building new ones; repeating what the comptroller of the household had said, that they ought not to wait till the queen asked for supplies, but should make a voluntary offer of their services.

Another country gentleman rises and replies, that the said Basche had certainly his reasons to speak for the queen in the present case, since a great deal of her majes-ty's monies for the providing of ships passed through his hands; and the more he consumed, the greater was his profit. According to his notion, there were but too many purveyors in this kingdom, whose noses had grown so long that they stretched from London to the west. It was certainly proper to know if all they levied by their commission for the present campaign was entirely employed to the queen's profit .- Nothing further was debated on that day.

'The Friday following, when the subject of the subsidies was renewed, one of the gentlemen-deputies showed,

\* This despatch is a meagre account, written before the am bessalor obtained all the information the present letter displays. The chief particulars I have preserved above. † By Sir Symonds D'Ewes's Journals it appears, that the French ambassador had mistaken the day, Wednesday the 16th, for Thursday the 17th of October. The ambassador is afterwards right in the other dates. The person who moved the house, whom he calls 'Le Scindique de la Ruyne,' was Sir Edward Rogers, comproller of her majesty's household. The motion was seconded by Sir William Cecil, who entered areas largely into the narticulars of the queen's charges, in-The motion was seconded by Sit William Cecil, who entertually more largely into the particulars of the queen's charges, incurred in the defence of New-Haven, in France, the repairs of her navy, and the Irish war with O'Neil. In the present narrative we tilly discover the spirit of the independent members; and, at its close, that part of the secret history of Elizabeth

and, at its close, that part of the secret distory of Edizabeth which so powerfully developes her majestic character.

The original says, 'ung subside de quatre sols pour litre.'
This gentleman's name does not appear in Sir Symonds
D'Ewest Journals. Mons. La Mothe Fenelon has, however, the uncommon merit contrary to the custom of his nation, of are uncommon merit contrary to the custom of his nation, of writing an English name somewhat recognizable; for Edward Bacthe was one of the general surveyors of the victualing of the quent's ships, 1578, as I find in the Lansdowne MSS, vol. XVI, art. 60.

, v., art. co. Il In the original, ' Ils aucient le nez si long qu'il s'estendoit mpuis Londres jusques au pays d'West.'

that the queen having prayed\* for the last subsidy, heapromised, and pledged her face to her subjects, that as ter that one, she never more would raise a ai on them : and promised even to free them from the wi duty, of which promise they ought to press for the perfere; adding that it was far more necessary f kingdom to speak concerning an heir or success crown, and of her marriage, than of a subsidy.

'The next day, which was Saturday the 19th, they all began, with the exception of a single voice, a loud outery for the succession. Amidst these confused voices and cries, one of the council prayed them to have a little patience, and with time they should be satisfied; but that, at this moment, other matters pressed,—it was no ry to satisfy the queen about a subsidy. "No! No." cried the deputies, "we are expressly charged not to grant any thing, until the queen resolvedly answers that which we now ask: and we require you to inform her anajesty of our intention, which is such as we are commanded to, by all the towns, and subjects of this kingdom, whose de puties we are. We further require an act, or acknowledgement, of our having delivered this remonstrance, the we may satisfy our respective towns and counties that we have performed our charge." They alleged for an we have performed our charge." They alleged for an excuse, that if they had omitted any part of this, the hands would answer for it. We shall see what will come

Tuesday the 22d, the principal lords, and the biss of London, York, Winchester, and Durham, went together, after dinner, from the parliament to the queen whom who were present had retired, and they remained alone with her, the great treasurer, having the precedence in age, spoke first in the name of all. He opened, by saying, that the commons had required them to unite in one sentence. ment and agreement, to solicit her majesty to give her answer as she had promised, to appoint a successor to the crown; declaring it was necessity that compelled them to urge his point, that they might provide against the dangers which might happen to the kingdom, if they continued without the security they asked. This had been the caswithout the security they asked. I has had seen the common of hor royal predecessors, to provide long beforehand for the succession, to preserve the peace of the kingdom; that the commons were all of one opinion, and so resolved to settle the succession before they would speak about a subsidy, or any other matter whatever, that hitherto, nething but the most trivial discussions had passed in parkament, and so great an assembly was only wasting their They, bot time, and saw themselves entirely useless. ever, supplicated her majesty, that she would be pleased to declare her will on this point, or at once to put an end to the parliament, so that every one might retire to he

'The Duke of Norfolk then spoke, and, after him, every one of the other lords, according to his rank bolding the same language in strict conformity with that of the great

'The queen returned no softer answer than she had on the preceding Saturday, to another party of the same company; saying that, "The commons were very rebelcompany; saying that, "The commons were very rebel-lious, and that they had not dared to have attempted such things during the life of her father: that it was not for them to impede her affairs, and that it did not become a subject to compel the sovereign. What they asked, was nothin less than wishing her to dig her grave before she was dead. Addressing herself to the lords, she said, " My lords, de what you will; as for myself, I shall do nothing but according to my pleasure. All the resolutions which you may make can have no force without my consent and authority: besides, what you desire is an affair of much too great is portance to be declared to a knot of hare-brains. I will take council with men who understand justice and the laws, as I am deliberating to do: I will choose half a dozen of the most able I can find in my kingdom for consultation, and, after having heard their advice, I will then discover

\*This term is remarkable. In the original, 'La Reyne ayant impetré,' which in Cotgrave's Dictionary, a consequerary work, is explained by.... To got by preier, obtain by suits, compass by entreaty, procure by request. This significant expression convoys the real notion of this generable Whigh before Whiggiam had received a denomination, and for

† The French ambassacior, no doubt, flattered himself and his master, that all this 'parlance' could only close in insur-rection and civil war. ‡ In the original, 'A ung tas de cerveaulz si legieres.'

Digitized by GOOGIG

to you my will." On this she dismissed them in great

By this, sire, your majorty may perceive that this queen is every day trying new inventions to escape from this passage, (that is, on fixing her marriage, or the succession.) She thinks that the Duke of Norfolk is principally the cause of this meisting, which one person and the other stand to; and is so angried against him, that, if she can find any decent pretext to arrest him, I think she will not fail to do #; and he himself, as I understand, has already very little doubt of this.† The Duke iold the Earl of Nurhamberland, that the queen remained stedfast to her own opinion, and would take no other advice than her ewa, and would do every thing herself."

The storms in our parliament do not necessarily end in political shipwrecks, when the head of the government is of Northum

an Elizabeth. She, indeed, sent down a prohibition to the house from all debate on the subjects. But when she discovered a spirit in the commons, and language as bold as her own royal style, she knew how to revoke the exas-perating prohibition. She even charmed them by the manner; for the commons returned her 'prayers and thanks,' and accompanied them with a subsidy. Her sasjesty found, by experience, that the present, like other passions, was more easily calmed and quieted by following than resisting, observes Sir Symonds D'Ewes.

The wisdom of Elizabeth however did not weaken her

intrepedity. The struggle was glorious for both parties; but how she escaped through the storm which her mysterious conduct had at once raised and quelled, the sweetness and the sharpness, the commendation and the reprimand of her mable speech in closing the parliament, is told by Hume with the usual felicity of his narrative.1

AWECDOTES OF PRINCE HENRY, THE SON OF JAMES I, WHEN A CHILD.

Prince Henry, the son of James I, whose premature death was lamented by the people, as well as by poets and historians, unquestionably would have proved an horoic and military character. Had he ascended the throne, the whole face of our history might have been changed; the days of Agincourt and Cressv had been revived, and Henry IX had rivalled Henry V. It is remarkable that Prince Henry resembled that monarch in his features, as Bern Jonson has truly recorded, though in a complimentary verse, and as we may see by his picture, among the accient English ones at Dulwich college. Merlin, in a masque by Jonson, addresses Prince Henry,

<sup>4</sup> Yet rests that other thenderbolt of war, Harry the Fifth; to whom in face you are So like, as fate would have you so in worth.

A youth who perished in his eighteenth year has furnished the subject of a volume, which even the deficient animation of its writer has not deprived of attraction. § If the juvenile age of Prince Henry has proved such a theme for our admiration, we may be curious to learn what this extraordinary youth was, even at an earlier period. Authentic anecdotes of children are rare; a child has seldom a biographer by his side. We have indeed been recently treated with 'Anecdotes of Children,' in the 'Practical Education' of the literary family of the Edgeworths; but we may presume, that as Mr Edgeworth delighted in pieces of curious machinery in his house, these automatic infants, poets, and metaphysicians, of whom afterwards we have heard no more, seem to have resembled other automata, moving without any native impulse.

Prince Henry, at a very early age, not exceeding five years, evinced a thoughtfulness of character, singular in a child: something in the formation of this early character may be attributed to the Countess of Mar. This lady

• The word in the original is, insistance; an expressive word as used by the French ambassador; but which Boyer, in his Dictionary, doubts whether it be French, although he gives a modern authority; the present is much more an-

† The Duke of Norfolk was, 'without comparison, the first subject in England; and the qualities of his mind corresponded with his high station,' says Hume. He closed his career, at length, the victim of love and ambition, in his attempt to marry the Scottish Mary. So great and honourable a man could only be a criminal by halves; and, to such, the scaffold, and not the throne, is reserved, when they engage in enterprises, which, by their secreey, in the eyes of a jealous sovereign, assume the form and guilt of a conspiracy . Hume, vol. V, ch. 39; at the close of 1586, & Dr. Birch's Life of this Prince.

had been the nurse of James I, and to her care the king entrusted the prince. She is described in a manuscript of th times, as an 'ancient, virtuous, and severe lady, who was the prince's governess from his cradle.' At the age of five years the prince was consigned to his tutor, Mr (afterwards Sir) Adam Newton, a man of learning and capacity, whom the prince at length chose for his secretary. The severity of the old counters, and the strict discipline of his tuton were not received without affection and reverence; although not at times without a shrewd excuse, or a turn of pleasantry, which latter faculty the princely boy seems to have possessed in a very high degree.

The prince early attracted the attention, and excited

are prince early attracted the attention, and excited the hopes of those who were about his person. A manuscript narrative has been preserved, which was written by one who tells us, that he was 'an attendant upon the prince's person, since he was under the age of three years, having always diligently observed his disposition, behaviour, and speeches. \*\* It was at the earnest desire of the prince that the miles of these princes are the same of the haviour, and speeches."

It was at the earnest desire of Lord and Lady Lumley, that the writer of these anecdotes drew up this relation. The manuscript is without date, but as Lord Lumley died in April, 1809, and leaving no heir, his library was then purchased for the prince, Henry could not have reached his fifteenth year; this manuscript was evidently composed earlier; so that the latest ancounted not have accurated havend his thirteenth or dotes could not have accurred beyond his thirteenth or fourteenth year—a time of life, when few children can furnish a curious miscellany about themselves.

The writer set down every little circumstance he considered worth noticing, as it occurred. I shall attempt a sort of arrangement of the most interesting, to show, by an unity of the facts, the characteristic touches of the mind

and dispositions of the princely boy.

Prince Henry is his childhood rarely wept, and endured pain without a groan. When a boy wrestled with him is earnest, and threw him, he was not 'seen to whine or when at the hurt.' His sense of justice was early; for when his playmate the little Earl of Mar, ill treated one of his pages, Henry reproved his puerile friend: 'I love you because you are my lord's son and my cousin: but, if you be not better conditioned, I will love such an one better,' naming the child that had complained of him.

The first time he went to the town of Stirling to most the king, observing without the gate of the town a stack of corn, it fancifully struck him with the shape of the top he used to play with; and the child exclaimed, 'That's a good top.' 'Why do you not then play with it?' he answered; 'Set you it up for me, and I will play with it.' This is just the fancy which we might expect in a lively child, with a shrewdness in the retort, above its years.

His martial character was perpetually discovering itself. When asked what instrument he liked best? he answered, 'a trumpet.' We are told that none could dance with more grace, but that he never delighted in dancing; while he performed his heroical exercises with pride and delight more particularly when before the king, the constable of Castile, and other ambassadors. He was instructed by his master to handle and toes the pike, to march and hold himself in an affected style of stateliness, according to the martinets of those days; but he soon rejected such petty and artificial fashions; yet to show that his dislike arose from no want of skill in a trifling accomplishment, he would sometimes resume it only to laugh at it, and instantly return to his own natural demeanor. On one of these ocnever be good soldiers unless they always kept true order and measure in marching, 'What then must they do,' cried Henry, 'when they wade through a swift running water? In all things freedom of action from his own na tive impulse he preferred to the settled rules of his teachers; and when his physician told him that he rode too fast, he replied, 'Must I ride by rules of physic?' When he was eating a cold capon in cold weather, the physician told him that that was not meat for the weather. 'You may see, doctor, said Henry, that my cook is no astronomer. And when the same physician observing him eat cold and hot meat together, protested against it, 'I cannot mind that now,' said the royal boy facetiously, 'though they should have run at tilt together in my belly.'

His national affections were strong. When one reported to Henry that the King of France had said that his bastard, as well as the bastard of Normandy, might conquer England,—the princely boy exclaimed, 'I'll to cuffs with him, if he go about any such means.'—There was a dish

• Harlelan MS. 6301, GOOGLE

of jelly before the prince in the form of a crown, with three lillies: and a kind of buffoon, whom the prince used to banter, said to the prince that that dish was worth a crown. Aye! exclaimed the future English hero, 'I would I had that crown! — It would be a great dish,' rejoined the buf-foon. 'How can that be,' replied the prince, 'since you value it but a crown? — When James I asked him whether he loved Englishmen or Frenchmen better, he replied, Englishmen, because he was of kindred to more goble persons of England than of France; and when the king inquired whether he leved the English or Germans better? he replied, the English; on which the king observing that his mother was a German, the prince replied, 'Sir, you have the wit thereof.' A southern speech, adds the writer, which is as much as to say—you are the cause thereof.

Born in Scotland, and heir to the crown of England, at

a time when the mutual jealousies of the two nations were a time when the mutual jealousies of the two nations were running so high, the boy often had occasion to express the unity of affection, which was really in his heart. Being questioned by a nobleman, whether, after his father, he had rather be a king of England or Scotland? he asked, 'which of them was best?' being answered, that it was England, 'Then,' said the Scottish born prince, 'would I have both!' And once in reading this verse in Virgil,

Tros Tyriusve mihi nullo discrimine agetur, the boy said he would make use of that verse for himself, with a slight alteration, thus—

'Anglus Scotusne mihi nullo discrimine agetur.'

He was careful to keep alive the same feeling for another part of the British dominions, and the young prince appears to have been regarded with great affection by the Welsh; for when once the prince asked a gentleman at what mark he should shoot? the courtier pointed with levaty at a Welshman who was present. 'Will you see then,' said the princely boy, 'how I will shoot at Welshman' and the princely boy, 'how I will shoot at Welshman' in the princely boy, 'how I will shoot at Welshman' in the princely boy, 'how I will shoot at Welshman' in the princely boy, 'how I will shoot at Welshman' in the princely boy, 'how I will shoot at Welshman' in the princely boy, 'how I will shoot got the princely boy. rouse, in the fulness of his heart and his head, eaid in the presence of the king, that the prince should have 40,000 Welshmen to wait upon him against any king in Christendom: the king, not a little jealous, hastily inquired, 'To do what?' the little prince turned away the momentary alarm by his facetiousness, 'To cut off the heads of 40,000 leeks.'

His bold and martial character was discoverable in mi-

sonce a dish of milk, the king asked him why he ate so much child's meat? 'Sir, it is also man's meat,' Henry replied ;-and immediately after, having fed heartily on a partridge, the king observed, that that meat would make him a coward, according to the prevalent notions of the age respecting diet; to which the young prince replied,

Though it be but a cowardly fowl, it shall not make me a
coward.'—Once taking strawberries with two spoons, when one might have sufficed, our infant Mars gaily exclaimed, 'The one I use as a rapier, and the other as a dagger.'

Adam Newton appears to have filled his office as pre-ceptor with no servility to the capricious fancies of the princely buy. Desirous, however, of cherishing the generous spirit and playful humour of Henry, his tutor encouraged a freedom of jesting with him, which appears to have been carried at times to a degree of momentary irritability on the side of the tutor, by the keen humour of the boy. While the royal pupil held his master in equal reverence we nite the royal pupil field his master in equal revertible, and affection, the gayety of his temper sometimes twitched the equability or the gravity of the preceptor. When Newton, wishing to set an example to the prince in heroic exercises, one day practised the pike, and tossing it with such little skill as to have failed in the attempt, the young

prince telling him of his failure, Newton obviously lost his temper, observing, that 'to find fault was an evil humonr.'
Master, I take the humour of you.' It becomes not a prince,' observed Newton. 'Then,' retorted the young prince, 'doth it worse become a prince's master!'—Some of these harmless bickerings are amusing. When his tu-tor, playing at shuffle board with the prince, blamed him for changing so often, and taking up a piece, threw it on the board, and missed his aim, the prince smilingly exclaimed, Well thrown, master;' on which the tutor, a little vexed said 'he would not strive with a prince at shuffle board.' Henry observed, 'Yet you gownsmen should be best at such exercises, which are not meet for men who are more stirring. The tutor, a little irritated, said. 'I am meet for whipping of boys.' 'You vaunt then,' retorted the prince, 'that which a ploughman or cart driver can do bet-

ter than you.' 'I can do more,' said the tutor, ' for I e govern foolish children.' On which the prince, who, in h respect for his tutor, did not care to carry the jest furth rose from table, and in a low voice to those near him sain 'He had need be a wise man that could do that.'—Newto was sometimes severe in his chastisements; for when the prince was playing at goff, and having warned his tute who was standing by in conversation, that he was going strike the ball, and having lifted up the goff-club, some on strike the ball, and having lifted up the goff-club, some one observing, 'Boware, Sir, that you hit not Mr Newton?' the prince drew back the club, but smillingly observed, 'Had I done so, I had but paid my debts.'—At another time, when be was amusing himself with the sports of a child, his tutor wishing to draw him to more manly exactises, amongst other things, said to him in good humour, 'God send you a wise wife!' 'That she may govern your and me!' said the prince. The tutor observed, that 'he had one of his own;' the prince replied, 'But mine, if I have one, would govern your wife, and by that means would govern both you and me.'—Henry, at this early age, excelled in a quickness of reply, combined with reflection, which marks the precocity of his intellect. His tutor having laid a wager with the prince that he coold not efficient ing laid a wager with the prince that he could not getrain ing laid a wager with the prince that he could not gefrain from standing with his back to the fire, and seeing hem forget himself once or twice, standing in that posture, the tutor said, 'Sir, the wager is won; you have failed twice;' Master,' replied Henry,' Saint Peter's cock crew thrice.' A musician having played a voluntary in his presence, was requested to play the same again. 'I could not for the kingdom of Spain,' said the musician, 'for this were harder than for a preacher to repeat word by word a sesmon that he had not learned by rote.' A clergyman standing by, observed that he thought a preacher might do that; 'Perhaps,' rejoined the young prince,' for a bishoprick!'

The natural facetiousness of his temper appears frequently in the good humour with which the little prince was accustomed to treat his domestics. He had two of opposite characters, who were frequently set by the ears

opposite characters, who were frequently set by the cars for the sake of the sport; the one, Murray, mck-named the tailor, loved his liquor; and the other was a steat trencherman.' The king desired the prince to put an end to these brawls, and to make the men agree and that the agreement should be written and subscribed by both. 'Then,' said the prince, 'must the drunken tailor subscribe it with chalk, for he cannot write his name, and then I will make them agree upon this condition—that the trencherman shall go into the cellar and drink with Will Murray, and Will Murray shall make a great wallet for the trencherman to carry his victuals in.'—One of his servants having cut the prince's finger, and sucked out the blood with his mouth, that it might heal the more easily, the young prince, who expressed no displeasure at the acci-dent, said to him pleasantly, 'If, which God forbid! my father, myself, and the rest of his kindred should fail, you might claim the crown, for you have now in you the blood royal.'—Our little prince once resolved on a hearty game of play, and for this purpose only admitted his young gentle-men, and excluded the men; it happened that an old servant, not aware of the injunction, entered the apartment, on which the prince told him he might play too; and when the prince was asked why he admitted this old man rather than the other men, he rejoined, 'Because he had a right to be of their number, for Senex bis puer.

Nor was Henry susceptible of gross flattery, for when once he wore white shoes, and one said he longed to kiss his foot, the prince said to the fawning courtier, 'Sir I am not the pope;' the other replied that he would not kiss the pope's foot, except it were to bite off his great toe. rince gravely rejoined; 'At Rome you would be glad to iss his foot, and forget the rest.

It was then the mode, when the king or the prince travelled, to sleep with their suite at the house, of the nobility; and the loyalty and zeal of the host were usually displayed and the toyatty and zear of the nost were usually displayed in the recoption given to the royal guests. It happened that in one of these excursions the prince's servants complained that they had been obliged to go to bed supperless, through the pinching parsimony of the house, which the little prince at the time of hearing secmed to take no great notice of. The next morning the lady of the house coming to nay her respects to him she found him the interior. coming to pay her respects to him she found him turning over a volume that had many pictures in it; one of which was a painting of a company sitting at a banquet: this he showed her. 'I invite you madam, to a feast.' 'To what feast?' she asked. 'To this feast,' said the boy. 'What, would your highness give me but a painted

feast ? Fixing his eye on her, he said, 'No better, ma-dam, is found in this house.' There was a delicacy and reatness of spirit in this ingenious reprimand, far excelling e wit of a child.

According to this anecdote-writer, it appears that James I probably did not delight in the martial dispositions of his s, whose habits and opinions were, in all respects, forming themselves opposite to his own tranquil and literary character. The writer says that, 'his majesty, with the tokens of love to him, would sometimes interface sharp speeches, and other demonstrations of fatherly severity.'
Elenry, who however lived, though he died early, to become a patron of ingenious men and a lover of genius, was himself at least as much enamogred of the pike as of the pen. The king, to rouse him to study, told him, that if he did not apply more diligently to his book, his brother dute Charles, who seemed already attached to study, would prove more able for government and for the calmer; and that himself would be only fit for field exercises and military affairs. To his father, the little prince made no reply: but when his tutor one day reminded him of what his father had said, to stimulate our young prince to literary diligence, Henry asked, whether he thought his brother would prove so good a scholar? His tutor replied, that he was likely to prove so. 'Then,' rejoined our lit-

that he was likely to prove so. Then, reponen our meter prince will I make Charles archbishop of Canterbury. Our Henry was devoutly pious and rigid in never permitting before him any licentious language or manners. It is well known that James I had a habit of swearing,—in—in—in—in the control of the contr access expletives in conversation, which, in truth, only expressed the warmth of his feelings: but in that age, when Puritanum had already possessed half the nation, an oath was considered as nothing short of blasphemy. Henry once made a keen allusion to this verbal frailty of his father's; for when he was told that some hawks were to be sent to him, but it was thought that the king would intercept some of them, be replied 'He may do as he pleases, fer be shall not be put to the cath for the matter.' The king once asking him what were the best verses he had learned in the first book of Virgil, Henry answered,

These :

Rax erat Æneas nobis quo justior alter Nec pietate fuit, nec bello major et armis.

Such are a few of the puerile anecdotes of a prince who died in early youth, gleaned from a contemporary manu-script, by an eye and ear witness. They are trifles, but trifles consecrated by his name. They are genuine! and the philosopher knows how to value the indications of a great and heroic character. There are among them some, which may occasion an inattentive reader to forget that they are all the speeches and the actions of a child!

THE DIARY OF A MASTER OF THE CEREMONIES.

Of court-etiquette, few are acquainted with its mysteries, and still fewer have lost themselves in its labyrinth of forms. Whence its origin? Perhaps from those grave and courtly Italians, who, in their petty pompous courts, made the whole business of their effeminate days consist in paractifies; and, wanting realities to keep themselves alive, affected the mere shadows of life and action, in a world of these mockeries of state. It suited well the gemus of a people who boasted of elementary works, to teach how affronts were to be given, and how to be taken; and who had some reason to pride themselves in producing the Cortegiano of Castiglione, and the Galatso of Della Casa. They carried this refining temper into the most trivial cirtances, when a court was to be the theatre and monarchs and their representatives the actors. Precedence, and other honorary discriminations, establish the useful distinctions of rafuks, and of individuals; but their minuter court forms, subtilised by Italian conceits, with an erudition of precedents, and a logic of nice distinctions, imparted a manufacturing of successions. mock dignity of science to the solemn fopperies of a master of the ceremonies, who exhausted all the faculties of his soul on the equiponderance of the first place of inferior degree wish the last of a superior; who turned into a po-Etical contest the placing of a chair and a stool; made a reception at the stairs'-head, or at the door, raise a clash between two rival nations; a visit out of time require a pagetiation of three months; or an awkward invitation pronagonation of three months; or an awtward invitation pro-duce a sudden fit of sickness; while many a rising anta-guist, in the formidable shapes of ambassadors, were ready to despatch a courier to their courts, for the omission or neglect, of a single psinctillio. The pride of nations, in pacific times, has only these means to maintain their jeal-cesty of power; yet should not the people be grateful to

the sovereign who confines his campaigns to his drawingnoom; whose field-marshal is a tripping master of the cere-monies; whose stratagems are only to save the inviolability of court-etiquette; and whose battles of peace are on-

ly for precedence?
When the Earls of Holland and Carlisle, our ambasses dors extraordinary to the court of France in 1624, were a:
Paris, to treat of the marriage of Charles with Henrietta,
and to join in a league against Spain, before they showed
their propositions, they were desirous of ascertaining in
what manner Cardinal Richelieu would receive them. The Marquis of Ville-aux-Clers was employed in this negotiation, which appeared at least as impropriant as the mar-riage and the league. He brought for answer, that the cardinal would receive them as he did the ambassadors of the Emperor and the King of Spain; that he could not give them the right hand in his own house, because he give them the right hand in his own house, secured in never honoured in this way those ambassadors; but that, in reconducing them out of his room, he would go farther than he was accustomed to do, provided that they would permit him to cover this unusual proceeding with a pretext, that the others might not draw any consequences from it m their favour. Our ambassadors did not disapprove of this ex-pedient, but they begged time to receive the instructions of his majesty. As this would create a considerable delay, his majesty. As this would create a considerante usually, they proposed another, which would set at rest, for the mement, the punctillie. They observed, that if the cardinal would feigh himself sick, they would go to see him: on which the cardinal immediately went to bed, and an interpretation of the back nations took place and articles. view, so important to both nations, took place, and articles of great difficulty were discussed, by the cardinal's bed-side! When the Nuncio Spada would have made the cardinal jealous of the pretensions of the English ambas-sadors, and reproached him with yielding his precedence to them, the cardinal denied this. 'I never go before them, it is true, but likewise I never accompany them: I wait for them only in the chamber of audience, either seated in the most honourable place, or standing, till the table is ready: I am always the first to speak, and the first to be seated; and besides I have never chosen to return their visit, which has made the Earl of Carlisle so outrageous."

Such was the ludicrous gravity of those court-enquettes, or punctillios, combined with political consequences, of

which I am now to exhibit a picture.

When James I ascended the throne of his united kingdoms, and promised himself and the world long halcyon days of peace, foreign princes, and a long train of ambansadors from every European power, resorted to the English court. The pacific monarch, in emulation of an office which already existed in the courts of Europe, created what of Master of the Ceremonies, after the mode of France, observes Roger Coke. This was now found pecessary to preserve the state, and allay the perpetual jealousies of the representatives of their sovereigns. The first officer was Sir Lewis Lewknor, with an assistant, Sir John Finett, who, at length, succeeded him under Charles I, and seems to have been more amply blest with the genius of the place; his soul doated on the honour of the office; and in that age of peace and of ceremony, we may be astonished at the subtlity of his inventive shifts and contrivances, in quieting that school of angry and rigid boys whom he had under his care—the ambassadors of Europe!

Sir John Finett, like a man of genius, in office, and living too in an age of diaries, has not resisted the pleasant labour of perpetuating his own marrative. He has told severy circumstance with a chronological exactitude, which passed in his province as master of the ceremonies; and when we consider that he was a busy actor amidst the

• La Vie da Card. Richelleu, anonymous, but written by J Le Clerc, 1696, vol. I. p. 116—125. † A Detection of the Court and State of England, vol

I, 13.

I, 13.

Stowe's Annals, p. 824.

Stowe's Annals, p. 824.

Stowe's Annals, p. 824.

Some choice observations of Sir John Finett, Knight, and mass ter of the ceremonies to the two last kings; touching the reception and precedence, the treatment and andience, the punctilios and contests of foreign ambassadors in England. Legas ligant Mundum, 1666. This very curious diary was published after the author's death, by his friend James Howell, the well-known writer; and Oldys. Whose literary curiosity scarcely any thing in our domestic ligrature has escaped, has analysed the volume with his accustomed care. He menions that there any thing in our domestic literature has escaped, may sinaly see the volume with his accustomed care. He mentions that there was a manuscript in being, more full than the one published: of which I have not been able to learn further.

British Librarian, p. 165.

whole diplomatic corps, we shall not be surprised by discovering, in this small volume of great curiosity, a vein of secret and authentic history; it throws a new light on many important events, in which the historians of the times are deficient, who had not the knowledge of this assiduous observer. But my present purpose is not to treat Sir John with all the ceremonious punctillies, of which he was himself the arbiter; nor to quote him on grave subjects, which future historians may well do.

This volume contains the ruptures of a morning, and the peace-makings of an evening; sometimes it tells of a cush between the Savoy and Florence ambassadors for precedence; -now of 'questions betwirt the Imperial Venetian ambassadors, concerning titles and vis how they were to address one another, and who was to pay the first visit !—then 'the Frenchman takes exceptions about placing.' This historian of the levee now records, about placing.' that the French ambassador gets ground of the Spanish but soon after, so eventful were these drawing room politics, that a day of festival has passed away in suspense, while a privy council has been hastily summoned, to inquire tony the French ambassador had 'a defluction of rheum in his teeth, besides a fit of the ague,' although he hoped to be present at the same festival next year! or being invited to a mask, declared 'his stomach would not agree with cold meats:' 'thereby pointing' (shrewdly observes Sir John) 'at the invitation and presence of the Spanish ambassador, who, at the mask the Christmas before, had appeared in the first place.'

Sometimes we discover our master of the ceremonies disentangling himself, and the lord chamberlain, from the most provoking perplexities, by a clever and civil lie. Thus th happened, when the Muscovite ambassador would not yield precedence to the French nor Spaniard. On this occasion, Sir John, at his wits end, contrived an obscure situation, in which the Russ imagined he was highly ho-noured, as there he enjoyed a full sight of the king's face, though be could see nothing of the entertainment itself; while the other ambassadors were so kind as 'not to take exception,' not caring about the Russian, from the remoteness of his country, and the little interest that court then had in Europe! But Sir John displayed even a bolder invention when the Muscovite, at his reception at Whitehall, complained that only one lord was in waiting at the stairs-head, while no one had met him in the court-yard. Sir John assured him that in England it was considered a greater honour to be received by one lord

Sir John discovered all his acumen in the solemn investigation of 'Which was the upper end of the table?'
Arguments and inferences were deduced from precedents

accordants sometimes look contrary ways, Arguments and interseces were deduced from precedents quoted; but as precedents sometimes look contrary ways, this affair might still have remained sub judice, had not Sir John oracularly pronounced that 'in spite of the chimneys in England, where the best man sits is that end of the table.' Sir John, indeed, would often take the most enlarged view of things; as when the Spanish ambassador, after hunting with the king at Theobalds, dined with his majesty in the prive chamber, his con Do Anwith his majesty in the privy chamber, his son Don Antonio dined in the council chamber with some of the king's attendants. Don Antonio seated himself on a stool at the end of the table. 'One of the gentlemen users took exception at this, being, he said, irregular and unusual, that place being ever wont to be reserved empty for state?' In a word, no person in the world was ever to sit on that stool; but Sir John, holding a conference before he chose to disturb the Spanish grandee, finally determined that this was the superstition of a gentleman-usher, and it was therefore neglected. Thus Sir John could, at a critical

moment, exert a more liberal spirit, and risk an empty stool against a little case and quiet; which were no com-mon occurrences with that martyr of state, a master of ceremonies!

But Sir John, to me he is so entertaining a personage hat I do not care to get rid of him, had to overcome dif-ficulties which stretched his fine genius on tenter hooks. Once, rarely did the like unlucky accident happen to the wary master of the ceremonies, did Sir John exceed the civility of his instructions, or rather his half-instructions. Being iny of his instructions, or rather his hall-instructions. Being sent to invite the Dutch ambaseador, and the States' commissioners, then a young and new government, to the erromonies of St George's day, they inquired whether they should have the name respect paid to them as other ambassadors? The bland Sir Joha, out of the milkiness of his blood, said he doubted it not. As soon, however,

as he returned to the lord chamberlain, he discovered, fai as he returned to the lord chamberian, he discoveres, and he had been sought for up and down, to stop the invitation. The lord chamberlain said, Sir John had exceeded his commission, if he had invited the Dutchmen 'to stand in the closet of the queen's side; because the Spanish anhansandor would never endure them so near him, when there was but a thin variancet board between, and a window which might be opened? Sir John said gently, he had done no otherwise than he had been desired; which, however the lord chamberlain, in part, desired, (convictions and ever, the lord chamberlain, in part, denied, (cautious and civil!) and I was not so unmannerly as to contend agains, (supple, but uneasy!) This affair ended miserably for the poor Dutchmen. Those new republicans were then toe poor Dutchmen. I nose new repasticins were the regarded with the most jealous contempt by all the amba-sadors, and were just venturing on their first dancing steps, to move among crowned heads. The Dutch new resolved not to be present; declaring they had just received an argent invitation, from the Earl of Exeter, to dise at Wimbledon. A piece of supercherie to save appearances; probably the happy contrivance of the combined geniuss of the lord chamberlain and the master of the coresnosies!

I will now exhibit some curious details from these archives of fantastical state, and paint a courtly world, where politics and civility seem to have been at perpetual

When the Palatine arrived in England to marry Efizaboth, the only daughter of James the First, 'the feasing and jolity' of the court were interrupted by the discourest of the archduke's ambassador, of which these were the

material points:

Sir John waited on him, to honour with his presence
the solemnity on the second or third days, either to dinner

or supper, or both.

The archduke's ambassador paused: with a troubled countenance inquiring whether the Spanish ambassador was invited? 'I answered, answerable to my instructions in case of such demand, that he was sick, and could not have the statement of the sta be there. He was yesterday, quoth he, so well, as that the offer might have very well been made him, and perhaps accepted.'
To this Sir John replied, that the French and Venetian

ambassadors holding between them one course of correspondence, and the Spanish and the archduke's another.

their invitations had been usually joint.

This the archduke's ambassador denied; and affirmed, This the archduke's ambassador denied; and affirmed, that they had been separately invited to Masks, &c, but he had never;—that France had always yielded precedence to the archduke's predecessors, when they were but Dukes of Burgundy, of which he was ready to produce 'ancient proofs;' and that Venice was a mean republic, a sort of burghers, and a handful of territory, compared to his monarchical sovereign :-- and to all ti he added, that the Venetian bragged of the frequent fa-

Sir John returns in great distress to the lord chamberlain and his majesty. A solemn declaration is drawn up, in which James I most gravely laments that the archdule's ambassador has taken this offence; but his majesty offers these most cogent arguments in his own favour: that the Venetian had announced to his majesty, that his republishad ordered his men new liveries on the occasion, a ambassador, not finding himself well for the first day (because, by the way, he did not care to dispute precedence cause, by the way, he did not care to dispute precedence with the Frenchman,) his majesty conceiving that the solemnity of the marriage being one continued act through divers days, it admitted neither prises nor pesterine: and then James proves too much, by boldly asserting, that the last day should be taken for the greatest day! As in other cases, for instance in that of Christmas, where Twelfthday, the last day, is held as the greatest!

But the French and Venetian ambassadors, so cavied he the Snanish and the archduke's, were themselves as

by the Spanish and the archduke's, were themselves not less chary, and crustily fastidious. The insolest Franch by the Spanish and the archduke's, were themselves not less chary, und crustily fastidious. The issolent Preschman first attempted to take precedence of the Prince of Wales; and the Venetian stood upon this point, that they should sit on chairs, though the prince had but a steel; and, particularly, that the carver should not stand before him: 'But,' adds Sir John, 'neither of them prevailed in their reasonless pretences.'

Nor was it passeable even at the suprial disner, which along with the following extrates he or immense, which

Nor was it peaceable even at the maprim emmer, when closed with the following catastrophe of etiquette:
Sir John having unbered among the counterson the lady of the French ambassador, he left her to the ranging of the lord chamberlain, who erdered she should be placed at the

Digitized by GOOS

table next beneath the countesses and above the baronesses. But lo! 'The viscountess of Effingham standing to her senses's right, and possessed already of her proper place (as she called it.) would not remove lower, so held the hand of the ambassatrice, till after dinner, when the French ambassator, informed of the difference and opposition, called out for his wife's coach!" With great trouble, the French lady was persuaded to stay, the Countess of Kildere, and the Viscountess of Haddington, making no scruple of yielding their places. Sir John, unbending his gravity, facetiously adds, 'The Lady of Effingham, in the interia, forbearing (with rather too much than too little stomach) both her supper and her company.' This spoilt child of quality, tugging at the French ambassadress to keep ber down, mortified to be seated at the side of the French woman that day, frowning and frowned on, and going supperless to bed, passed the wedding-day of the Palatice and Princess Elizabeth, like a cross girl on a form.

One of the most subtle of these men of punctillio, and the most troublesome, was the Venetian ambassador; for it was his particular aptitude to find fault, and pick out

jealousies among all the others of his body.

On the marriage of the Earl of Somerset, the Venetian was invited to the mask, but not the dinner, as last year the reverse had occurred. The Frenchman, who drew always with the Venetian, at this moment chose to act by himself on the watch of precedence, jealous of the Saniard newly arrived. When invited, he imquired if the Sanish ambessador was to be there? and humbly beseeched his majesty to be excused from indisposition. We shall now see Sir John put into the most lively action, by the subtle Venetian.

by the suble Venetian.

'I was scarcely back at court with the French ambassador's answer, when I was told, that a gentleman from the Venetian ambassador had been to seek me; who, having at last found me, said that his lord desired me, that if ever I would do him favour, I would take the pains to come to him instantly. I, winding the cause to be some new buzz gotten into his brain, from some intelligence he had from the French of that morning's proceeding, excused my present coming, that I might take further instructions from the lord chamberlain; wherewith as soon as I was sufficiently armed, I went to the Venetian.'

But the Venerian would not confer with Sir John, though he sent for him in such a hurry, except in presence of his own secretary. Then the Venetian desired Sir John to repeat the mords of his invitation, and those also of his own asses? which poor Sir John actually did! For be adds, 'I yielded, but not without discovering my insatifaction to be so peremptorily pressed on, as if he had

meant to trip me."

The Venetian having thus compelled Sir John to conver both invitation and answer, gravely complimented him on his correctness to a title! Yet still was the Venetian not in less trouble: and now he confessed that the hing had given a formal invitation to the French ambassa-

dor,—and not to him!

This was a new stage in this important negotiation: it tried all the diplomatic sugacity of Sir John, to extract a discovery, and which was, that the Frenchman had, indeed, coaveyed the intelligence secretly to the Venetian.

Sir John now acknowledged that he had suspected as such when he received the message, and not to be taken by surprise, he had come prepared with a long apology, ending for peace sake, with the same formal invitation for the Venetian. Now the Venetian insisted again that Sir John should deliver the invitation in the same precise words as it had been given to the Frenchman. Sir John, with his accerdating courtly docility, performed it to a syllable. Whether both parties during all these proceedings could avoid moving a risible muscle at one another, our grave authority records not.

The Venetian's final answer seemed now perfectly satisfactory, declaring he would not excuse his absence as the Freachman had, on the most frivolous pretence; and further, he expressed his high satisfaction with last year's abstantial testimony of the royal favour, in the public beaust conferred on him, and regretted that the quiet of he majesty should be so frequently disturbed by these passilies, about invitations, which so often over-througed he guests at the feast.

for John now imagined that all was happily concluded, and was retiring with the sweetness of a dove, and the delenses of a messe, to fly to the lord chamberlain,...

when behold the Vonetian would not relinquish his hold, but turned on him' with the reading of another scruple, thinc illes lackrime! asking whether the archduke's ambassador was also invited? Poor Sir John, to keep himself clear 'from categorical asseverations,' declared 'he could not resolve him.' Then the Venetian observed, 'Sir John was dissembling! and he hoped and imagined that Sir John had in his instructions, that he was first to have gone to him (the Venetian.) and on his return to the archduke's ambassador.' Matters now threatened to be as irreconcilable as ever, for it seems the Venetian was standing on the point of precedency with the archduke's ambassador. The political Sir John, wishing to gratify the Venetian at no expense, adds, 'he thought it ill manners to mar a belief of an ambassador's making,'—and so allowed him to think that he had been invited before the archduke's ambassador!

This Venetian proved himself to be, to the great terment of Sir John, a stupendous genius in his own way; ever on the watch to be treated al paro di teste corende-equal with crowned heads; and, when at a tilt, refused being placed among the ambassadors of Savoy and the States-general, &c., while the Spanish and French ambassadors were seated alone on the opposite side. The Venetian declared that this would be a diminution of his quality; the first place of an inferior degree being view held worse than the last of a superior. This refined observation delighted Sir John, who dignifies it as an axiom, yet afterwards cause to doubt it with a sed de hoc quarre—query this! If it be true in politics, it is not so in common sense according to the proverbs of both nations; for the honest English declares, that 'Better be the head of the yeomanry than the tail of the gentry;' while the subtile Italian has it, 'E meglio esser testa di Luccio, che coda di Storione;' better be the head of a pike than the tail of a sturgeon.' But before we quit Sir John, let us hear him in his own words, reasoning with that fine critical tact, which he undoubtedly possessed, on right and left hands, but reasoning with infinite modesty as well as genius. Hear this sage of punctilios, this philosopher of courtesies.

'The Axiom before delivered by the Venetian ambassador was judged, upon discourse I had with some of understanding, to be of value in a distinct company, but might be otherwise in a joint assembly? And then Sir John, like a philosophical historian, explores some great public event —'As at the conclusion of the peace at Vervins (the only part of the peace he cared about,) the French and Spanish meeting, contended for precedence—who should sit at the the part of the pope's legate; an expedient was found, of sending into France for the pope's nuncio residing there, who, seated at the right hand of the said legate (the legate himself stiting at the table's end,) the French ambassador being offered the choice of the next place, he took that at the legate's left hand, leaving the second at the right hand to the Spanish, who, taking it, persuaded himself to have the better of it; sed de hoc quere.' How

modestly, yet how shrewdly insinuated!
So much, if not too much, of the Diary of a Master of the Ceremonies; where the important personages strangely contrast with the frivolity and foppery of their actions.

By this work it appears that all foreign ambassadors were entirely entertained, for their diet, lodgings, coaches, with all their train, at the cost of the English monarch, and on their departure received customary presents of considerable value; from 1000 to 5000 ounces of gilt plate; and in more cases than one, the meanest complaints were made by the ambassadors, about short allowances. That the foreign ambassadors in return made presents to the masters of the ceremonies, from thirty to fifty 'pieces,' or in plate or jewel; and some son grudgingly, that Sir John Finett often vents his indignation, and commemorates the indignity. As thus,—on one of the Spanish ambassadors extraordinary waiting at Deal for three days, Sir John, 'expecting the wind with the patience of an hungry entertainment from a close handed ombassador, as his present is me at his parting from Dover being but an old gilt livery pot, that had lost his fellow not worth above 12 pounds, accompanied with two pair of Spanish gloves to make it almost 13, to my shame and his.' When he left this scurry ambassador-extraordinary to his fate aboard the ship, he avalus that 'the cross-winds held him in the Downs almost a seven-night before they would blow him over.'

exults that 'the cross-winds held him in the Downs almost a seven-night before they would blow him over.'

From this mode of receiving ambassadors, two inconveniences resulted; their perpetual jars of punctillies, and their singular intrigues to obtain precedence, which so

completely narrassed the patience of the most pacific sovereign, that James was compelled to make great alterations in his domestic comforts, and was perpetually embroiled in the most ridiculous contests. At length Charles I perceived the great charge of these embassies, ordinary and extraordinary, often on frivolous pretences; and with an empty treasury, and an uncomplying parliament, he grew less anxious for such ruinous honours.\* He gave notice to foreign ambassadors, that he should not any more 'de-fray their diet, nor provide coaches for them, &c.' 'This frugal purpose' cost Sir John many altercutions, who seems to view it, as the glory of the British monarch being on the wane. The unsettled state of Charles was appearing in 1636, by the querulous narrative of the master of the coremonies; the etiquettes of the court were disturbed by the erratic course of its great star; and the master of the cere-monies was reduced to keep blank letters to superscribe, and address to any nobleman who was to be found, from the absence of the great officers of state. On this occasion the ambassador of the Duke of Mantova, who had long desired his parting audience, when the king objected to the unfitness of the place he was then in, replied, that 'if it is the best of the place he was then in, replied, that 'if it Yet although we smile at this science of ctiquette and

these rigid forms of ceremony, when they were altogether discarded, a great statesman lamented them, and found the inconvenience and mischief in the political consequences which followed their neglect. Charles II, who was no admirer of these regulated formalities of court-etiquette, seems to have broken up the pomp and pride of the former master of the ceremonies; and the grave and the great chancellor of human nature, as Warburton calls Clarendon, consured and felt all the inconveniences of this open intercourse of an ambassador with the king. Thus he observed in the case of the Spanish ambassador, who, he writes, took the advantage of the license of the court, where no rules of formalities were yet established (and to which the king himself was not enough enclined) but all doors open to all persons; which the ambassador finding, he made himself a domestic, came to the king at all hours, and spake to him when, and as long as he would without any spake to him when, and as long as he would without any ceremony, or desiring an audience according to the old custom; but came into the bed-chamber while the king was dressing himself, and mingled in all discourses with the same freedom he would use in his own. And from this never heard-of license, introduced by the French and the Spaniard at this time without any dislike in the king, though not permitted in any court in Christendom, many inconveniences and ministiff backs in which could never after veniences and mischiefs broke in, which could never after be shut out."\*

## · DIARIES-MORAL, HISTORICAL, AND CRITICAL.

We converse with the absent by letters, and with ourselves by diaries; but vanity is more gratified by dedica-ting its time to the little labours which have a chance of immediate notice and may circulate from hand to hand, than by the honester pages of a volume reserved only for solitary contemplation; or to be a future relic of ourselves, when we shall no more hear of ourselves.

Marcus Antoninus's celebrated work entitled Two sec Eva-Marcus Antoninus's celebrated work entitled Top sig twe-rer Of the things which concern himself, would be a good definition of the use and purpose of a diary. Shaftes-bury calls a diary, 'A Faultbook,' intended for self-cor-rection; and a Colonel Hardwood in the reign of Charles Lkept adiary, which, in the spirit of the times, he entitled 'Slipe, Infirmities, and Passages of providence.' Such a diary is a moral instrument, should the writer exercise

\* Charles I, had, however adopted them, and long preserved tne stateliness of his court with foreign powers, as appears by these extracts from manuscript letters of the time : Mr. Mead writes to Sir M. Stuteville, July 25, 1629. 4 His

najesty was wont to answer the French ambassador in his own language; now he speaks in English, and by an interpreter.
And so doth Sir Thomas Edmondes to the French king, con-trary to the sucient custom: so that although late we have not equalled them in arms, yet now we shall equal them in cere-

### Oct. 31, 1628.

it on himself and on all around him. Men then wrote folios concerning themselves; and it sometimes happerned, as proved by many that I have examined in meanuscript, that often writing in retirement they would write when they had nothing to write.

Diaries must be out of date in a lounging age; although I have myself known several who have continued the practise with pleasure and utility. One of our old writers quaintly observes, that 'the ancients used to take their stomach-pill of self-examination every night. Some exsed ittle books, or tablets, which they tied at their girdles, in which they kept a memorial of what they did, against their night-reckoning. We know that Titus, the delight of mankind as he has been called, kept a diary of all his actions, and when at night he found upon examination that he had performed nothing memorable, he would exclaim, 
\*Amic.! diem perdidimus! Friends! we have lost a day!

Among our own countrymen, in times more favourable for a concentrated mind than in this age of scattered thoughts and of the fragments of genius, the custom long prevaised; and we their posterity are still reaping the benefit of their and we their putertry are sun reasons to access to access to the lonely hours, and diurnal records. It is always pleasing to recollect the name of Alfred, and we have deeply to regret the loss of a manuel which this monarch, so stract a manager of his time, yet found leisure to pursne; it would have interested us more even than his translations, which have come down to us. Alfred carried in his bosom me-morandum leaves, in which he made collections from his studies, and took so much pleasure in the frequent exam nation of this journal, that he called it his hand-book, h cause, says Spelman, day and night he ever had it in hand with him. This manual, as my learned friend Mr Turner, in his elaborate and philosophical Life of Alfred, has shown by some curious extracts from Malmsbury, was the repository of his own occasional literary reflections. An association of ideas connects two other of our illustrious princes with Alfred.

Prince Henry, the son of James I, our English Marcelus, who was wept by all the Muses, and mourned by all the brave in Britain, devoted a great portion of his time to literary intercourse; and the finest geniuses of the age addressed their works to him, and wrote several at the prince's suggestion: Dallington, in the preface of his curious Aphorisms, Civil and Militare, has described Prince Henry's domestic life: 'Myself,' says he, 'the unablest of many in that academy, for so was his family, had this especial employment for his proper use, which he pleased favourably to entertain, and often to read over.

The diary of Edward VI, written with his own hand,

conveys a notion of that precocity of intellect, in that early educated prince, which would not suffer his infirm health to relax in his royal duties. This prince was solemnly struck with the feeling that he was not seated on a throne to be a frifer or a sensualist; and this simplicity of mind is very remarkable in the entries of his diary; where on one occasion, to remind himself of the causes of his secret proffer of friendship to aid the Emperor of Germany with mon against the Turk, and to keep it at present secret from the French court, the young monarch inserts, 'this was done on intent to get some friends. The reasonings be in my desk.' So zealous was he to have before him a state of public affairs, that often in the middle of the month he recalls to mind passages which he had omitted in the beginning: what was done every day of moment, he retired into his study to set down. Even James II wrote with his own hand the daily occurrences of his times, no reflections and conjectures; and bequeathed us been materials for history than 'perhaps any sovereign prince has left behind him.' Adversity had schooled him into reflection, and softened into humanity a spirit of bigotry; and it is something in his favour, that after his abdication he collected his thoughts, and mortified after his addition in conceiled in moughtly, and mortined himself by the penance of a diary. Could a Cirve or a Cromwell have composed one? Neither of these mea could suffer solitude and darkness; they started at their casual recollections!—what would they have done, had memory marshalled their crimes, and arranged them in the terrors of chronology?

When the national character retained more originality and individuality than our menotonous habits now admit, our later ancestors displayed a love of application, wh was a source of happiness, quite lost to is. Till the and-dle of the last century, they were an great economists of their time, as of their estates; and life with them was not one hurried, yet tedious festival. Living more within Digitized by

<sup>&#</sup>x27;This day fortnight the States' ambassador going to visit my lord treasurer about some business, whereas his lerdship was wont always to bring them but to the stair's head, be then, af-ter a great deal cf courteous resistance on the ambassador's part, attended him through the hall and court-yard, even to the very boot of his coach.' Sloane MSS. 4178 Clarendon's Life, vol. II, p. 160.

themselves, more separated, they were therefore more orimeasures, more separated, they were therefore more origual in their prejudices, their principles, and in the consitution of their minds. They resided more on their estates, and the metropolis was usually resigned to the men
of trade in their royal Exchange, and the preferment
hunter among the back-stairs at Whitehall. Lord Clarenden tells us in his 'Life' that his grand-father in James
the First's time had never been in Loudon after the death
of Elizabeth, though he lived thirty wears afterwards; and of Elizabeth, though he lived thirty years afterwards; and his wife, to whom he had been married forty years, had never once visited the metropolis. On this fact he makes a curious observation; 'The wisdom and frugality of that a curous osservation; 'I no wanters has suggesty to the time being such, that few gentlemen made journeys to London, or any other expensive journey, but upon important business, and their wives never; by which providence they enjoyed and improved their estates in the country, and have the being the property of their and kept good hospitality in their house, brought up their children well, and were beloved by their neighbours. This will appear a very coarse homospum happiness, and these must seem very gross virtues to our artificial feelings; yet this assuredly created a national character; made a patriot of every country gentleman; and, finally, produced in the civil wars some of the most sublime and original characters that ever acted a great part on the theatre of

This was the age of Diaries! The head of almost every maily formed one. Ridiculous people may have written ridculous diaries, as Elias Ashmole's; but many of our greatest characters in public life have left such monuments of their diurnal labours.

These diaries were a substitute to every thinking man for our newspapers, magazines, and annual registers; but these who imagine that these are a substitute for the scenical and dramatic life of the diary of a man of genius, like Swift who wrote one, or even of a sensible observer, who ived amidst the scenes he describes, only show that they are better acquainted with the mere ephemeral and equirocal labours.

There is a curious passage in a letter of Sir Thomas Bodley, recommending to Sir Francis Bacon, then a young man on his travels, the mode by which he should make his life 'profitable to his country and his friends.' His expressions are remarkable. Let all these riches be treasured up, not only in your memory, where time may lessen your stock, but rather in good writings and books of account, which will keep them safe for your use hereafter. By these good writings and books of account, he describes the duries of a student and an observer; these 'good writings' will preserve what wear out in the memory, and these 'books of account' render to a man an account of himself to himself.

to himsel.
It was this solitary reflection and industry which assurably contributed so largely to form the gigantic minds of the Seldons, the Camdens, the Cokes, and others of that recrous age of genius. When Coke fell into disgrace, and retired into private life, the discarded statesman did not pule himself into a lethargy, but on the contrary seems at almost to rejoice that an opportunity was at length afforded him of indulging in studies more congenial to his fertings. Then he found leisure not only to retires his feelings. Then he found leisure not only to revise his former writings, which were thirty volumes written with his own band, but what most pleased him, he was enabled to write a manual, which he called *Vade Mesum*, and which contained a retrespective view of his life, since he noted in that volume the most remarkable occurrences which had happened to him. It is not probable that such a ms. could have been destroyed but by accident; and it might, per-

have been destroyed use by measurements of Camdon's The interest of the public was the business of Camdon's life,' observes Bishop Gibson; and, indeed, this was the character of the men of that age. Camdon kept a diary of all occurrences in the region of James I; not that at his fallow health, he could ever advanced age, and with his infirm health, he could ever imagine that he should make use of these materials: but he did this, imspired by the love of truth, and of that labour which delights in preparing its materials for posterity. Behop Gibson has made an important observation on the nature of such a diary, which cannot be too often repeated to those who have the opportunities of forming one; and for them I transcribe it. Were this practised by persons of learning and curiosity, who have the opportunities of seeing into the public affairs of a kingdom, the short hints d strictures of this kind would often set things in a truer fight than regular histories.'
A student of this class was Sir Symonds D'Ewes, an

independent country gentleman, to whose zeal we owe the valuable journals of parliament in Elizabeth's reign, and who has left in manuscript a voluminous diary, from which may be drawn some curious matters. In the preface which goay be drawn some cursous matters. In the pretace to his journals, he has presented a noble picture of his literary reveries, and the intended productions of his pen. They will animate the youthful student, and show the active genius of the gentlemen of that day; the present diarist observes, 'Having now finished these volumes, I have already entered upon other and greater labours, conceiving myself not to be born for myself alone,'

Qui vivat sibi solus, homo nequit esse beatus, Malo mori, nam sic vivere nolo mihi."

He then gives a list of his intended historical works, and adds 'These I have proposed to myself to labour in, be-sides diverse others, smaller works : like him that shoots at suces civerse others, smaller works: like him that shoots at the sun, not in hopes to reach it, but to shoot as high as possibly his strength, art, or skill, will permit. So though I know it impossible to finish all these during my short and uncertain life, having already entered into the thirtieth year of my age, and having many unavoidable cares of an estate and family, yet if I can finish a little in each kind, it may hereafter stir up some able judges to add an end to the whole:

' Sic mihi contingat vivere, sicque mori.'

Richard Baxter, whose facility and diligence, it is said, produced one hundred and forty-five distinct works, wrote, he himself says, 'in the crowd of all my other employments.' Assuredly the one which may excite astonishment is his voluminous auto-biography, forming a folio of more than seven hundred closely-printed pages; a history which takes a considerable compass, from 1615 to 1648; whose writer pries into the very seed of events, and whose personal knowledge of the leading actors of his times throws a perpetual interest over his lengthened pages. Yet this was not written with a view of publication by himself; he still continued this work, till time and strength wore out the hand that could no longer hold the pen, and left it to the judgment of others, whether it should be given to the world.
These were private persons. It may excite our sur-

prise to discover that our statesmen, and others engaged in active public life, occupied themselves with the same habitual attention to what was passing around them in the form of diaries, or their own memoirs, or in forming col-lections for future times, with no possible view but for pos-thumous utility. They seem to have been inspired by the most genuine passion of patriotism, and an awful love of posterity. What motive less powerful could induce many noblemen and gentlemen to transcribe volumes; to trans mit to posterity authentic narratives, which would not even admit of contemporary notice; either because the facts were then well known to all, or of so secret a nature as to render them dangerous to be communicated to their own render them tangerous be communicated to their own times. They sought neither fame nor interest; for many collections of this nature have come down to us without even the names of the scribes, which have been usually discovered by accidental circumstances. It may be said, that this toil was the pleasure of idle men:—the idlers then were of a distinct race from our own. There is scarcely a person of reputation among them, who has not left such laborious records of himself. I intend drawing up a list of such diaries and memoirs; which derive their impor-tance from the diarists themselves. Even the women of this time partook of the same thoughtful dispositions. It appears that the Duchess of York, wife of James II, and the daughter of Clarendon drew up a narrative of his life; the celebrated Duchess of Newcastle has formed a dignified biography of her husband: Lady Fanshaw's Memoirs are partially known by some curious extracts; and recently Mrs Hutchinson's Memoirs of her Colonel delighted

every curious reader.

Whitelocke's 'Memorials' is a diary full of important public matters; and the noble editor, the Earl of Anglosea, observes, that 'our author not only served the state, is several stations, both at home and inforeign countries, but likewise conversed with books, and made himself a large provision from his studies and contemplation, like that noble Roman Portius Cato, as described by Nepos. He was all along so much in business, one would not imagine he ever had leisure for books; yet, who considers his studies might believe he had been always shut up with his friend Selden, and the dust of action never fallen on his gown.' When Whitelocke was sent on an embassy to five-

den, he journalized it; it amounts to two bulky quartos, examely curious. He has even left us a history of England. Yet all is not told of Whitelocke; and we have deeply Tet an is not told or windelock; and we have deeply to regret the loss, or at least the concealment, of a work addressed to his family, which apparently would be still more interesting, as exhibiting his domestic habits and feelings; and affording a model for those in public life, who had the spirit to imitate such greatness of mind, of which we have not many examples. Whitelocke had which we have not many examples. Whitelocke had drawn up a great work, which he entitled 'Remembrances of the Labours of Whitelocke in the Annales of his Life, for the Instruction of his Children.' To Dr Morton, the editor of Whitelocke's 'Journal of the Swedish Embassy,' we owe the notice of this work, and I shall transcribe his dignified feelings in regretting the want of these Mss. 6 Such a work, and by such a father, is become the inherisourn a wors, and by such a fainer, is become the inheritance of every child, whose abilities and station in life may at any time hereafter call upon him to deliberate for his country—and for his family and person, as parts of the great whole; and I confess myself to be one of those who lament the suppression of that branch of the Annales which sales to the control of the Annales. which relates to the author himself in his private capacity; they would have afforded great pleasure, as well as in struction, to the world in their entire form. The firs volume, containing the first twenty years of his life, may one day see the light; but the greatest part has hitherto escaped my inquiries.' This is all we know of a work of equal moral and philosophical curiosity. The preface, however, to these 'Remembrances' has been fortunately The preface, preserved, and it is an extraordinary production. In this it appears that Whitelocke himself owed the first idea of his own work to one left by his father, which existed in the family, and to which he repeatedly refers his children. He says, 'The memory and worth of your deceased grandsays, The memory and worth of your deceased grand-father deserves all honour and imitation, both from you and me; his LIBER FAMELICUS, his own story, written by himself, will be left to you, and was an encouragement and precedent to this larger work. Here is a family picture quite new to us; the heads of the house are its historians, and these records of the heart were animated by examples and precepts, drawn from their own bosoms; and as Whitelocke feelingly expresses it, 'all is recommended to the perusal, and intended for the instruction of my own house, and almost in every page you will find a dedication to you, my dear children.

The habit of laborious studies, and a zcalous attention

The habit of laborious studies, and a zealous attention to the history of his own times, produced the Register and Chronicle of Bishop Kennett, 'containing matters of fact, delivered in the words of the most authentic papers and records, all daily entered and commented on z'it includes an account of all pamphlets as they appeared. This history, more valuable to us than to his own contemporaries, occupied two large folios; of which only one has been printed, a zealous labour, which could only have been carried on from a motive of pure patriotism. It is, however, but a small part of the diligence of the bishop, since his own manuscripts form a small library of themselves.

The malignant vengeance of Prynne in exposing the diary of Laud to the public eye lost all its purpose, for nothing appeared more favourable to Laud than this exposition of his private diary. We forget the harshness in the personal manners of Laud himself, and sympathize even with his errors, when we turn over the simple leaves of this diary, which obviously was not intended for any purpose but for his own private eye and collected meditations. There his whole heart is laid open; his errors are not concelled, and the purity of his intentions is catablished. Laud, who had too haughtily blended the prime minister with the archbishop, still, from conscientious motives, in the hurry of public duties, and in the pomp of public honours, could steal aside into solitude, to account to God and himself for every day, and the evil thereof.

The diary of Henry Earl of Clarendon, who inherited the industry of his father, has partly escaped destruction; it presents us with a picture of the manners of the age; from whence, says Bishop Douglas, we may learn that at the close of the last century, a man of the first quality made it his constant practice to pass his time without shaking his arms at a gaming table, associating with jockies at Newsserket, or murdering time by a constant round of giddy dissipation, if not of criminal indulgence. Diaries were not uncommon in the last age: Lord Anglesey, who usade so great a figure in the reign of Charles II, left one cehind him; and one said to have been written by the Rushe of Shrewsbury still exists.

But the most admirable example is Lord Clarendom's History of his own 'Life,' or rather of the court, and every event and person passing before him. In this moving scene he copies nature with freedom, and has exquisitely touched the individual character. There that great states-man opens the most concealed transactions, and traces the views of the most opposite dispositions; and though engaged, when in exile, in furthering the royal intercourse with the loyalists, and when, on the restoration, conducting the difficult affairs of a great nation, a careless monarch, and a dissipated court, yet besides his immortal history of the civil wars, 'the chancellor of human nature' passed his life in habitual reflection, and his pea in daily omployment. Such was the admirable industry of our later ancestors; their diaries and their memoirs are its monuments!

James II is an illustrious instance of the admirable industry of our ancestors. With his own hand this prince wrote down the chief occurrences of his times, and offer his instant reflections and conjectures. Perhaps no sowering prince, said Macpherson, has been known to have left behind him better materials for history. We at length possess a considerable portion of his diary, which is that of a man of business and of honest intentions, containing many remarkable facts which had otherwise escaped from our historians.

The literary man has formed diaries purely of his studies, and the practice may be called journalizing the smind, in a summary of studies, and a register of loose hints and sbozzos, that containes happily occur; and like Ringelbergius, that enthusiast for study, whose animated exhortations to young students have been aptly compared to the sound of a trumpet in the field of battle, marked down every night, before going to sleep, what had been done during the studious day. Of this class of diaries, Gibbon has given us an illustrious model; and there is an umpublished quarto of the late Barré Roberts, a young student of genius, devoted to curious researches, which deserves to meet the public eye. I should like to see a little book published with this title, 'Otium delitionum in quo objects well in actione, vel in electione, vel in visione and singulos dies Anni 1629 observata representantur.' This writer was a German, who boldly published for the course of one year, whatever he read or had seen every day in that year. As an experiment, if honestly performed, this might be curious to the philosophical observer; but to write down every thing, may end in something like nothing.

A great poetical contemporary of our own country does not think that even DREAMS should pass away unnoted; and he calls this register, his Noctornals. His dreams are assuredly poetical; as Laud's, who journalized his, seem to have been made up of the affairs of state and religion; the personages are his patrons, his enemies, and others; his dreams are scenical and dramatic. Works of this nature are not designed for the public eye; they are domestic annals, to be guarded in the little archives of a family; they are offerings cast before our Lares.

Pleasing, when youth is long expired, to trace The forms our pencil or our pen design'd; Such was our youthful air, and shape and face, Such the soft image of our youthful mind.

## LICENSERS OF THE PRESS.

In the history of literature, and perhaps in that of the human mind, the institution of the Licensers of the Press, and Censors of Books, was a bold invention, designed to counceract that of the Press itself; and even to convert this newly discovered instrument of human freedom into one which might serve to perpetuate that system of passive obedience, which had so long enabled modern Rome to dictate her laws to the universe. It was thought possible in the subtilty of Italian Actusis and Spanish monachism, to place a sentinel on the very thoughts, as well as on the percons of authors; and in extreme cases, that books might be condemned to the flames, as well as heretics.

Of this institution, the beginnings are obscure, for it originated in caution and fear; but as the work betrays, the workman, and the national physiognomy the native, it is evident that so inquisitorial an act could only have originated in the inquisition itself.\* Feeble or partial attempts

\* Dr. C. Symmons has denounced Sixtus IV, as 'the first who placed the press under the control of a state-inquisitor.' Life of Mikon, p. 214. I am not acquainted with his authority but as Sixtus IV, died as early as 1484, I suspect this writer meant Sixtus V, who was been enough with this office. Mil-

ght previously have existed, for we learn that the monks had a part of their libraries called the inferno, which was had a part of their invaries cance use sugarno, which was not the part which they least visited, for it contained, or bid, all the prohibited books which they could smuggle sate it. But this inquisitorial power assumed its most formstable shape in the council of Treat, when some gloomy spirits from Rome and Madrid, where they are still governing, foresaw the revolution of this new age of books. The triple-crowned pontiff had in vain rolled the thankers of the Vactican, to strike out of the hands of all en the volumes of Wickliffe, of Huss, and of Luther, and even menaced their eager readers with death. At thus council Pius IV was presented with a catalogue of this Council Fine 2 v was presented what a causingue or books of which they denounced that the perusal ought to be isrheides: his buil not only confirmed this list of the condemned, but added rules how books should be judged.\* Subsequent popes enlarged these catalogues, and added to the rules, as the monstrous novelties started up. Inquisiters of books were appointed; at Rome they consisted of certain cardinals and the master of the holy palace; and interary inquisitors were elected at Madrid, at Lisbon, at Naptes, and for the Low Countries; they were watch-mg the ubiquity of the human mind. These catalogues prohibited books were cailed Indexes; and at Rome a body of these literary despots are still called 'the Congregation of the Index.' The simple Index is a list of condemned books never to be opened; but the Expersions emmed books never to be opened; but the Expurgatory lades indicates those only prohibited till they have undergone a purfication. No book was to be allowed on any subject, or in any language, which contained a single position, an ambiguous sentence, even a word, which in the most distant sense, could be construed opposite to the doctrines of the supreme authority of this council of Trent; where it seems to have been enacted, that all men, literate and illiterate, prince and peasant, the Italian, the Spa-mard, and the Netherlander should take the mint-stamp of their thoughts from the council of Trent, and millions af souls be struck off at one blow, out of the same used

The sages who compiled these Indexes, indeed, long and reason to imagine that passive obedience was attached to the human character; and therefore they considered, that the publications of their adversaries required no other notice, than a convenient insertion in their Indexes. But the horetics diligently reprinted them with ample prefaces and useful annotations; Dr James, of Oxford, republished an Intex with due animadversions. The parties made an opposite use of them; while the catholic crossed himself at every tile, the heretic would purchase no book which had so been indexed. One of their portions exposed a list of those authors whose heads were condemned as well as their books; it was a catalogue of men of genius.

The results of these Indexes were somewhat curious. As they were formed in different countries, the opinions were often diametrically opposite to each other. The learned Arias Montanus, who was a chief inquisitor in the Notherlands, and concerned in the Antwerp Index, ived to see his own works placed in the Roman Index; while the inquisitor of Naples was so displeased with the Spanish Index, that he persisted to assert, that it had never been printed at Madrid! Men who began by insisting that all the world should not differ from their opinions, ended by not agreeing with themselves. A civil war raged among the Index-makers: and if one criminated, the other retalinted. If one discovered ten places necessary to be expurgated, another found thirty, and a third inclined to place the whole work in the condemned list. The inquisions at length became so doubtful of their own opinions, that they sometimes expressed in their license for printing,

lot, in his history of France, mentions that Philip II, had a catalogue printed of books prohibited by the Spanish inquision; and Paul IV, the following year, 1559, ordered the holy effice at Rome to publish a similar catalouge. Such was the crigin of what was called the index. However, we have an helex printed at Venice in 1549, Peignot's Livres condamnés, 1, 256. The most sucient at the British Museum is one of Antwerp, 1678. The learned Dr James, the first chief librarian of the Bodleian, derives this institution from the council of Treat, held in 1542. See 'The Mystery of the Indices Expurparit', p. 572. These Indexes appear to have been very hard to be obtained, for Dr James says, that the Index of Antwerp was discovered accidentally by Junius, who reprinted it; its Spanish and Portuguese was never known till we took Cati; and the Roman Index was procured with great troub's.

\* This bull is deted March 24, 1864.

that they 'tolerated the reading, after the book had been corrected by themselves, till such time as the work should be considered worthy of some further correction.' The expurgatory Indexes excited louder complaints than these which simply condemned books; because the purgers and castrators, as they were termed, or, as Milton calls them, 'the executioners of books,' by omitting, or interpolating passages, made an author say, or unsay, what the inquisators chose: and their editions, after the death of the authors, were compared to the erasures or forgeries in records; for the books which an author leaves behind him, with his last corrections, are like his last will and testament, and the public are the legitimate heirs of an author's opinions.

The whole process of these expurgatory Indexes, that 'rakes through the entrails of many an old good author, with a violation worse than any could be offered to his tomb,' as Milton says, must inevitably draw off the lifeblood, and leave an author a mere spectre! A book in Spain and Portugal passes through six or seven courts before it can be published, and is supposed to recommend itself by the information, that it is published with all the necessary privileges. They would sometimes keep works from publication till they had 'properly qualified them, interem se calificam,' which in one case is said to have occupied them during forty years. Authors of genius have taken fright at the gripe of 'the master of the holy palace,' or the lacerating scratches of the 'corrector general por su magestad.' At Madrid and Lisbon, and even at Rome, this licensing of books has confined most of their authors to the body of the good fathers themselves.

The Commentaries on the Luciad, by Faria de Souza, had occupied his zealous labours for twenty-five years, and were favourably received by the learned. But the commentator was brought before this tribunal of criticism and religion, as suspected of heretical opinions; when the accuser did not succeed before the inquisitors of Madrid, he carried the charge to that of Lisbon; an injunction was immediately issued to forbid the sale of the Commentaries, and it cost the commentator an elaborate defence, to demonstrate the catholicism of the poet and himself. The Commentators finally were released from perpetual imprisonment.

This system has prospered to admiration, in keeping them all down to a certain meanness of spirit, and happily preserved stationary and childish stupidity through the nation, on which so much depended.

Nan's History of Venice is allowed to be printed, because it contained nothing against princes. Princes then were either immaculate, or historians false. The History of Guicciardini is still scarred with the merciless wound of the papistic censor; and a curious account of the origin and increase of papal power was long wanting in the third and fourth book of his history. Velly's History of France would have been an admirable work, had it not been printed at Paris!

When the insertions in the Index were found of no other use than to bring the peccant volumes under the eyes of the curious, they employed the secular arg in burning them in public places. The history of these literary conflagrations has often been traced by writers of opposite parties; for the truth is, that both used them; zealots seem all formed of one material, whatever be their party. They had yet to learn, that burning was not confuting, and that these public fires were an advertisement by proclamation. The publisher of Erasmus's Colloquies intrigued to procure the burning of his book, which raised the sale to twenty-four thousand!

A curious literary anecdote has reached us of the times of Henry VIII. Tonstall, Bishop of London, whose extreme moderation, of which he was accused at the time, preferred burning books to that of authors, which was then getting into practice; to testify his abhorrence of Tindal's principales, who had printed a translation of the New Testament, a sealed book for the multitude, thought of purchasing all the copies of Tindal's translation, and annihilating them in the common flame. This occurred to him when passing through Antyerp in 1629, then a place of refuge for the Tindalists. He employed an English merchant there for this business, who happened to be a secret follower of Tindal, and acquainted him with the bishop's intention. Tindal was extremely glad to hear of the project, for he was desirous of printing a more correct edition of his version; but the first impression still hung on his hands, and he was too poor to make a new one; he furnished the English merchant with all his unsold copies,

Digitized by Google

which the bishop as eagerly bought, and had them all publicly burnt in Cheapside: which the people not only declared was 'a burning of the word of God,' but it so inflamed the desire of reading that volume, that the second edition was sought after at any price; and when one of the Tindalists, who was sent here to sell them, was promised by the lord chancellor in a private examination, that he should not suffer if he would reveal who encouraged and supported his party at Antwerp, the Tindalist immediately accepted the offer, and assured the lord chancellor that the greatest encouragement was from Tonstall, the Bishop of London, who had bought up half the impression, and enabled them to produce a second!

In the reign of Henry VIII, we seem to have burnt books on both sides; it was an age of unsettled opinions; in Edward's, the Catholic works were burnt; and Mary had her Pyramids of Protestant volumes; in Elizabeth's, political pamphlets fed the flames; and libels in the reign

of James I, and his sons.

Such was this black dwarf of literature, generated by
Italian craft and Spanish monkery, which, however, was fondly adopted as it crept in among all the nations of France cannot exactly fix on the era of her Cenurs de Livres;\* and we ourselves, who gave it its deathblow, found the custom prevail without any authority from our statutes. The practice of licensing books was unquestionably derived from the inquisition, and was applied here first to books of religion. Britain long grouned under the headen stamp of an *Imprimatur*, and long witnessed men of genius either suffering the vigorous limbs of their productions to be shamefully mutilated in public, or volun-tarily committing a literary suicide in their own manu-scripts. Camden declared that he was not suffered to print all his Elizabeth, and sent those passages over to De Thou, the French historian, who printed his history faithfully two years after Camden's first edition, 1615.— The same happened to Lord Herbert's History of Henry VIII, which has never been given according to the original. In the Poems of Lord Brooke, we find a lacuna of the first twenty pages: it was a poem on religion, cancelled by the order of Archbishop Laud. The Great Sir Matthew Hale ordered that none of his works should be printed after his death; as he apprehended, that, in the licensing of them, some things might be struck out or altered, which he had observed, not without some indignation, had been done to those of a learned friend; and he preferred bequeathing his uncorrupted mass to the Society of Lincoln's Inn, as their only guardians; hoping that they were a treasure worth keeping. T Contemporary authors have frequent allusions to such books, imperfect and mutilated at the caprice or the violence of a licenser.

price or the violence of a licenser.

The law of England have never violated the freedom and the dignity of its press. 'There is no law to prevent the printing of any book in England, only a decree in the star-chamber,' said the learned Sciden.§ Proclamations were occasionally issued against authors and books; and freign works were, at times, prohibited. The freedom of the press was rather circumvented, than openly attacked. rveigu works were, at times, prohibited. The freedom of the press was rather circumvented, than openly attacked, in the reign of Elizabeth; who dreaded those Roman Ca-tholics who were at once disputing her right to the throne, and the religion of the state. Foreign publications, or books from any parts beyond the seas, were therefore prohibited. The press, however, was not free under the reign of a sovereign, whose high-toned feelings, and the exigencies of the times, rendered as despotic in *deeds*, as the pacific James was in words. Although the press had then no restrictions, an author was always at the mercy of the government. Elizabeth too had a keen scent after what she called treason, which she allowed to take in a large compass. She condemned one author (with his publisher) to have the hand cut off which wrote his book, and she hanged another.\* It was Sir Francis Bacca, or and she hanged another. It was sir France Bacca, whis father, who once pleasantly turned aside the keen edge of her regal vindictiveness; for when Elizabeth was inquiring, whether an author, whose book she had given bin to examine, was not guilty of treason? he replied, 'Not of treason, madam; but of robbery, if you please; for he has taken all that is worth noticing in him from Tacima and Sallust.' With the fear of Elizabeth before his eyes, Ballimbed constructed the rollume of his Flattor. When linshed castrated the volumes of his History. Giles Fletcher, after his Russian embassy, congratulate himself with having escaped with his head, and on his re-turn, wrote a book called 'The Russian Commonwealth' describing its tyranny, Elizabeth forbad the publishing of the work. Our Russian merchants were frightened for they petitioned the queen to suppress the work; the original petition with the offensive passages exists among the Lansdowne manuscripts. It is curious to contrast the fact with another better known, under the reign of William III, then the press had obtained in sufficient with the property of the contrast of the contrast the fact with another better known, under the reign of William III. fact with another better known, under the reign of William III; then the press had obtained its perfect freedom, and even the shadow of the sovereign could not pass between an author and his work. When the Danish ambassador complained to the king of the freedom which Lod Molesworth had exercised on his master's government, in his account of Denmark; and hinted that, if a Dane had done the same with the King of England, he wook, on complaint, have taken the author's head off;—'That I canot do,' replied the sovereign of a free people, 'but, if you please, I will tell him what you say, and he shall put it also the next edition of his book.' What an immense interval between the feelings of Elizabeth and William! with between the feelings of Elizabeth and William! with hardly a century betwixt them!

James I proclaimed Buchanan's history, and a polical tract of his, at 'the Morcat Cross;' and every one was to bring his copy 'to be perusit and purgit of the offensive and extraordinare materis, under a heavy penalty. Knox, whom Milton calls the Reformer of a Kingdom, was also curtailed; and 'the sense of that great man shall, to all posterity, be lost for the fearfulness, or the presumptions

rashness of a perfunctory licenser.'

The regular establishment of licencers of the press ap peared under Charles I. It must be placed among the projects of Laud, and the king, I suspect, inclined to it for, by a passage in a manuscript letter of the times, I fad that when Charles printed his speech on the dissolution of the parliament, which excited such general discontent, some one printed Queen Elizabeth's last speech, as a companion-piece. This was presented to the king by his own printer John Bill, not from a political motive, but merely by way of complaint that another had printed without leave was his own copy-right. Charles does not appear to have been pleased with the gift, and observed, 'You printer print anything.' Three gentlemen of the bed-changer continues the writer, standing by, commended Mr Bill very much, and prayed him to come oftener with such resistant to the king because they might do seemed and any standing by.

ory much, any prayed min to come otherser was services to the king, because they might do some good. One of the consequences of this persecution of the pressure was the raising up of a new class of publishers, under the government of Charles I, those who became noted for, what was then called, 'unlawful and unlicensed books.' Sparks,

was then called, 'unlawful and unlicensed books.' Sparker,

'The author, with his publisher, who had their right hands
cut off, was John Stubbs of Lincoln's Inn, a hot-headed Putinn, whose sister was married to Thomas Cartwright, the
head of that faction. This execution took place upon a saffold, in the market-place at Westminster. After Subbs had
his right hand cut off, with his left he pulled off his hat, and
cried, with a loud voice, 'God save the queen?' the multiauds
standing deeply silent, either out of horror at this new and
wonted kind of punishment, or else out of commiseration of
the man, whose character was unblemished. Camelen viswas a wincess to this transaction, has related it. The author,
and the printer, and the publisher, were condemned to the
barbarous punishment, on an act of Philip and Mary, against
the authors and publishers of seditious writings. Some lawyers were honest enough to assert that the sentence was erroneous, for that act was only a temporary one, and died with
Queen Mary; but, of these honest lawyers, one was sen's a
the Tower, and another was so sharply reprimanded, that he
resigned his place as a judge in the common pleas. Other
lawyers, as the lord chief justice, who fawned on the prerogtive far more then than in the Stuart-reigns, asserted, the
Queen Mary was a king; and that an act made by any kng,
unless repealed, must always exist, because the King of Essone MSS, 4178.

Sone MSS, 4178.

† A letter from J. Mead to Sir M. Stuteville, July 19, 1638

Sloane M88. 4178.

 Peignot's Dict. des Livres condamnés, vol. I, p. 206.
 † Oxford and Cambridge still grasp at this shadow of departed literary syranny; they have their Licensers and their Imprimature.

primatura.

† Burnet's Life of Sir Matthew Hale.

† Burnet's Life of Sir Matthew Hale.

† Sir Thomas Crew's Collection of the Proceedings of the Parliament, 1828, p. 71.

† The consequence of this prohibition was, that our own men of learning were at a loss to know what arms the ensises of England, and of her religion, were fabricating against us. This was absolutely necessary, which appears by a curflous fact in Burpo's Life of Whitgift, there we find a license for the importation of foreign books, granted to an italian merchant, who was to collect abread this sort of libels; but he was to denote them with the archibishop and the privy counwas to deposit them with the archbishop and the privy coun-eil, &c. A few, no doubt, were obtained by the curious, Catholic or Frotestant. Strype's Life of Whitgift, p. 268.

Digitized by GOOGLE

the publisher of Prynne's 'Histriomastix,' was of this class The Presbyterian party in Parliament, who thus found the press closed on them, vehemently cried out for its freedom; and it was imagined, that when they had ascended into awar, the odious office of a licenser of the press would be the press when the press would be the press when the press would be ave been abolished; but these pretended friends of freedom, on the contrary, discovered themselves as tenderly alive to the office as the old government, and maintained a with the extrement rigour. Such is the political history

The interary fate of Milton was remarkable; his genius was castrated alike by the monarchical and the republican government. The royal icenser expunged several passages from Mitton's history, in which Mitton had painted the su-perstition, the pride, and the cunning of the Saxon Monks, which the sagacious licenser applied to Charles II and the bushops; but Milton had before suffered as merciless a behops; but Mitton had before subcred as merciless a merciless a metaliation from his old friends the republicans; who suppressed a bold picture, taken from hife, which he had involved into his History of the Long Parliament and Assembly of Divines. Mitton gave the unlicensed passages to the Earl of Anglesca, a literary nobleman, the editor of Whitelocke's Memorials; and the castrated passages, which could not be licensed in 1670, was received with measurements interest who appared to subject the property of the property peculiar interest when separately published in 1681.† 'If there be found in an author's book one sentence of a ventrous edge, uttered in the height of zeal, and who knows whether it might not be the dictate of a divine spirit, yet not suiting every low decreptd humour of their own, they will not pardon him their dash.'

This office seems to have lain dormant a short time un-

der Cromwell, from the scruples of a conscientious licenser, who desired the council of state in 1649 for reasons en, to be discharged from that employment. given, to be discharged from that employment. Ans Mahot, the licenser, was evidently deeply touched by Milton's address for 'The Liberty of Unicensed Printing,' The office was, however, revived on the restoration of Charles II; and through the reign of James II the abuses of licensers were unquestionably not discouraged; their or neemees were unquestionany not discouraged; their castrations of books reprinted appear to have been very artful; for in reprinting Gago's Survey of the West Indies, which originally consisted of twenty-two chapters, in 1848 and 1857, with a dedication to Sir Thomas Fairfax,-in 1677, after expunging the passages in honour of Fairfax, the dedication is dexterously turned into a preface; and the twenty-second chapter being obnoxious for containing particulars of the artifices of 'the papalins,'! is converting the author, was entirely chopped away by the licenser's hatchet. The castrated chapter, as usual, was preserved afterwards separately. Literary despotism at least is short-sighted in its views, for the expedients it ploys are certain of overturning themselves.

On this subject we must not omit noticing one of the noblest and most eloquent prose compositions of Milton; the Arcopagitica: a Speech for the Liberty of Unlicens-It is a work of love and inspiration, breathing the most enlarged spirit of literature; separating, at an awful distance from the multitude, that character ' who was born to study and to love learning for itself, not for lucre, or any other end, but, perhaps, for that lasting fame and perpetuity of praise, which God and good men have consente' shall be the reward of those whose FUBLISHED LABOUR. I vance the good of mankind.

One part of this unparallelled effusion turns on the quality which ought to be in every licenser.' It will suit our new licensers of public opinion, a laborious corps well known, who constitute themselves without an act of starchamber. I shall pick out but a few sentences, that I may add some little facts, casually preserved, of the ineptitude of such an officer.

'He who is made judge to sit upon the birth or death of books, whether they may be wasted into this world or not,

had need to be a man above the common measure, both See 'Calamities of Authors,' vol. II, p. 116.
 It is a quarto tract, entitled 'Mr. John Milton's Character

f It is a quarto tract, entitled 'Mr. John Milton's Character of the Long Parliament and Assembly of Divines in 1611; omitted in his other works, and never before printed, and very seasonable for these times. 1681. It is inserted in the uncastrated edition of Milton's prose works in 1738. It is a retort on the Presbyterian Clement Walker's History of the Independents; and Warburton in his admirable characters of the historians of this period, alluding to Clement Walker, says, 'Milton was even with him in the fine and sovere character he draws of the Presbyterian administration.' draws of the Presbyter an administration.'
i So Mikon calls the Papists.

studious, learned and judicious; there may be else no mean mistakes in his censure. If he be of such worth as behoves him, there cannot be a more tedious and unpleasing journey-work, a greater loss of time levied upon his head, than to be made the perpetual reader of unchoses books and pamphlets. There is no book acceptable, unless at certain seasons; but to be enjoined the reading of that at all times, whereof three pages would not down at any time, is an imposition which I cannot believe how he that values time and his own studies, or is but of a sensi-ble nostril, should be able to endure.—What advantages is it to be a man over it is to be a boy at school, if we have It to be a man over it is to be a boy at school, if we have only scaped the ferula to come under the fescue of an Imprimentor?—if serious and elaborate writings, as if they were no more than the theme of a grammar lad under his pedagogue, must not be uttered without the cursory eyes of a temporising licenser? When a man writes to the world, he summons up all his reason and deliberation to assist him; he searches, meditates, is industrious, and likely consults and confers with his judicious friends, as well as any that writ before him; if in this, the most consummate act of his fidelity and ripeness, no years, no industry, no former proof of his abilities, can bring him to that state of maturity, as not to be still mistrusted and suspected, unless he carry all his considerate diligence, all his midnight watchings, and expense of Palladian oil, to the hasty view of an unleasured licenser, perhaps much his younger, perhaps far his inferior in judgment, perhaps one who never knew the labour of book-writing; and he be not repulsed or slighted, must appear in print like a Punie with his guardian, and his censor's hand on the back of his title to be his bail and surety that he is no idjot or seducer; it cannot be but a dishonour and derogation to the author, to the book, to the privilege and dignity of learning.

The reader may now follow the stream in the great original; I must, however, preserve one image of exquisite

' Debiors and delinquents walk about without a keeper; but inoffensive books must not stir forth without a visible jailor in their title; nor is it to the common people less than a reproach: for if we dare not trust them with an English pamphlet, what do we but censure them for a giddy, vitious, and ungrounded people, in such a sick and weak state of faith and discretion, as to be able to

take nothing but through the glister-pipe of a licenser!'

The ignorance and stupidity of these censors were often, indeed, as remarkable as their exterminating spirit. The noble simile of Milton, of Satan with the rising-sun, in the first book of the Paradise Lost, had nearly occasioned the suppression of our national epic: it was supposed to contain a treasonable allusion. The tragedy of Arminius, by one Paterson, who was an amanuensis of the poet Thomson, was intended for representation, but the dramatic censor refused a license; as Edward and Eleanora was not permitted to be performed, being considered a party work, our sagacious state-critic imagined that Peterson's own play was in the same predicament by being in the same hand-writing! The French have retained many curious facts of the singular ineptitude of these censors. Malebranche said, that he could never obtain an approbation for his research after truth, because it was unintelligible to his censors; and, at length Mezeray, the historian, approved of it as a book of geometry. Latterly in France, it is said, that the greatest geniuses were obliged to sub-mit their works to the critical understanding of persons who had formerly been low dependents on some man of quality, and who appear to have brought the same servility of mind to the examination of works of genius. There is something, which, on the principle of incongruity and contrast, becomes exquisitely ludicrous, in observing the works of men of genius allowed to be printed, and even commended by certain persons who have never printed their names but to their licenses. One of these gentlemen suppressed a work, because it contained principles of government, which appeared to him not conformable to the laws of Moses. Another said to a geometrician, 'I cannot permit the publication of your book: you dare to say, that between to given points, the shortest line is the straight line. Do you think me such an idiot as not to perceive your allusion? If your work appeared, I should make enemies of all those who find, by crooked ways, an easier admittance into court, than by a straight line. Consider their number! At this moment the censors in Austria appear singularly inept; for, not long ago, they condemned as heretical, two books; of which one, entitled 'Principes de la Trigonometrie,' the censor would not allow to be printed, because the Trinity, which he imagined to be included in trigonometry, was not permitted to be dis-cussed: and the other, on the 'Destruction of Insects,' he insisted had a covert allusion to the Jesuits, who, he con-served, were thus malignantly designated.\*

A curious literary anecdote has been recorded of the learned Richard Tusion, who was a contributor. Compelled to insert in one of his works the qualifying opinious of the censor of the Sorbonne, he inserted them within crotchets. But a strange misfortune attended this contrivance. The printer, who was not let into the secret, printed the work without these essential marks; by which neans the enraged author saw his own peculiar opinions

everturned in the very work written to maintain them.

These appear trifling minutise; and yet, like a hair in a watch, which utterly destroys its progress, these little ineptim obliged writers to have recourse to foreign presses; compelled a Montesquieu to write with concealed ambiguity, and many to sign a recantation of principles which they could never change. The recantation of Selden, extorted from his hand on his suppressed 'Historie of Tithes,' humiliated a great mind; but it could not remove a parti-ole from the masses of his learning, nor darken the luminous conviction of his reasonings; nor did it diminish the number of those who assented to his principles. tations usually prove the force of authority, rather than the change of opinion. When a Dr Pocklington was conchange of opinion. demned to make a recantation, he hit the etymology of the word, while he caught at the spirit—he began thus: "If conto be to sing, recente is to sing again." So that he rechanted his offending opinions, by repeating them in his

At the revolution in England, licenses for the press At the revolution in England, licenses for the press caused; but its liberty did not commence till 1694, when every restraint was taken off by the firm and decisive tone of the commons. It was granted, says our philosophic Hume, 'to the great displeasure of the king and his ministers, who, seeing nowhere, in any government during present or past ages, any example of such unlimited frection, doubted much of its salutary effects; and probably, nought that no books or writings would ever so much improve the general understanding of men, as to render it improve the general understanding of men, as to render it safe to entrust them with indulgence so easily abused."

And the present moment verifies the prescient conjecture of the philosopher. Such is the licentiousness of our press, that some, not perhaps the most hostile to the cause of freedom, would not be averse to manacle authors once more with an Imprimatur. It will not be denied that Erasmus was a friend to the freedom of the press; yet he was so shocked at the licentiousness of Luther's pen, that there was a time when he considered it as necessary to restrain its liberty. It was then as now. Erasmus had, indeed, been miserably calumniated, and expected future libels. I am glad, however, to observe, that he afterwards, on a more impartial investigation, confessed that such a remedy was much more dangerous than the disease. To restrain the liberty of the press can only be the interest of the individual, never that of the public; one must be a patriot here: we must stand in the field with an unshielded breast, since the safety of the people is the supreme law. There were, in Milton's days, some who said of this institution, that, although the inventors were bad, the thing, for all that, might be good. 'This may be so,' replies the vehement advocate for 'unlicensed printing.' But as the commonwealths have existed through all ages, and have forborne to use it, he sees no necessity for the invention; and held it as a dangerous and suspicious fruit from the tree which bore it. The ages of the wivest commonwealths, Milton seems not to have recollected, were not diseased with the popular infection of publications issuing at all hours, and propagated with a celerity on which the ancients could not calculate. The learned Dr James, who has denounced the invention of the Indexes, confesses, however, that it was not unuseful when it restrained the publications of atheistic and immoral works. But it is our lot to bear with all the consequent evils, that we may preserve the good invio-late; since as the profound Hune has declared, 'The Liberty of Britain is gone for ever, when such attempts shall succeed.

A constitutional sovereign will consider the freedom of the press as the sole organ of the feelings of the people. Caammiators he will leave to the fate of calumny; a fate

\* Peignot's Dict. les Livres condamnés, vol. I, 256.

similar to those, who, having over-charged their arms with the fellost intentions, find that the death which they intended for others, in bursting, only annihilates themselves.

OF ANAGRAMS AND ECHO VERSES.

The 'true' modern critics on our elder writers are apt to thunder their anathemas on innecent heads : little versed in the cras of our literature, and the fashions of our wit, popular criticism must submit to be guided by the lat-

erary historian. Kippis condemns Sir Symonds D'Ewes for his admira ration of two anagrams, expressive of the feelings of the times. It required the valour of Falstafi to attack extinct anagrams; and our pretended English Bayle thought husanagams; and our precessed Engine Bayle transget asset secure, in pronouncing all anagamatists to be wanting in judgment and table; yet, if this mechanical critic dis not know something of the state and nature of anagrams in Sir Symonds's day, he was more deficient in that cursosity of literature, which his work required, than plam honest Sir Symonds in the taste and judgment of which he is so contemptuously deprived. The author who thus decides on the taste of another age by those of his own day, and whose knowledge of the national literature does not extend beyond his own century, is neither historian nor critic. The truth is, that Anagrams were then the fashionable amusements of the wittiest and the most learned.

Kippis says, and others have repeated, 'That Sir Symonds D'Ewes's judgment and taste, with regard to wit, were as contemptible as can well be imagined, will be evident from the following passage taken from his account of Can East of Sources and his wife. 'This discontent of Carr Earl of Somerset and his wife: 'This discontent or Carr Eart of Somerset and his wise. And discoulded gave many satirical wits occasion to vent themselves into stingle [stinging] libels, in which they spared neither the persons nor families of that unfortunate pair. There came also two anagrams to my hands, not unworthy to be counted by the rurist units of this age. These were, one very descriptive of the lady; and the other, of an incident in which this infamous woman was so deeply criminated.

. THOMAS OVERBURIE, FRANCES HOWARD, O! O! base Murther! Car finds a Whore,

This sort of wit is not falser at least than the criticism which infers that D'Ewes's 'judgment and taste were as contemptible as can well be;' for he might have admired these anagrams, which, however, are not of the nicest construction, and yet not have been so destitute of those quali-ties of which he is so authoritatively divested.

Camden has a chapter in his 'Remains' on Anagrams, which he defines to be a dissolution of a (person's) name into its letters, as its elements; and a new connexion into words is formed by their transposition, if possible without addition, subtraction, or change of the letters: and the words must make a sentence applicable to the person nam-The Anagram is complimentary or satirical; it may contain some allusion to an event, or describe some personal characteristic.

Such difficult trifles it may be convenient at all times to discard; but, if ingenious minds can convert an Anagram into a means of exercising their ingenuity, the things themselves will necessarily become ingenious. No ingenuity can make an Acrostic ingenious; for this is nothing but a mechanical arrangement of the letters of a name, and yet

As for Anagrams, if antiquity can consecrate some folies, they are of very ancient date. They were classed They were classed among the Hebrews, among the cabalistic sciences; they pretended to discover occult qualities in proper names; a was an oriental practice; and was caught by the Greeks. Plate had strange notions of the influence of Anagrams when drawn out of persons' names; and the later Platenists are full of the mysteries of the anagrammatic virtues of names. The chimerical associations of the character and qualities of a man with his name anagrammatised may often have instigated to the choice of a vocation, or otherwise affected his imagination.

Lycophon has left some on record : two on Ptolomeus Philadelphus, King of Egypt, and his Queen Arsinos. The king's name was thus anagrammatised:

ΠΤΟΛΕΜΑΙΟΣ Are peatros, MADE OF HORBY

and the queen's' APEINOII.

Hear tor. JUNO'S VIOLET.

Learning, which revived under Francis the First m

France, did not diedain to cultivate this small flower of wit. Dawcat had such a felicity in making these trifles, that pawent san suce a lettery or manage are to him to be anagrammatised. Le Laboureur, the historian, was externally missand with the anagram made on the mistress of anagrammatised. Le Laboureur, the historian, tremely pleased with the anagram made on the milkers LX of France. Her name was

Marie Touchet, Je charme tont

which is historically just. In the assassin of Henry III,

Frere Jacques Clement,

they discovered

C'est l'enfer qui m'a cree.

I preserve a few specimens of some of our own an s. The mildness of the government of Elizabeth, contrasted with her intrepidity against the Iberians, is thus picked out of her title; she is made the English ewe-lamb, and the honess of Spain.

Elizabetha Regina Anglis, Anglis Agna, Hiberis Lea. The unhappy history of Mary Queen of Scots, the de-privation of her kingdom, and her violent death, were expressed in this Latin anagram:

Maria Steuarda Scotorum Regina. Trusa vi Regnia, morte amara cado.

and in

Maria Stevarta. Veritas Armata,

Another fanciful one on our James I, whose rightful claim to the British monarchy, as the descendant of the visionary Arthur, could only have satisfied genealogists of romance reading:

Charles James Steuart, Claims Arthur's seat.

Sylvester, the translator of Du Bartas, considered himself forumate when to found in the name of his sovereign, the strongest bond of affection to his service. In the de-dication he rings loyal changes on the name of his liege, James Stuart; in which he finds a just master!

The anagram on Monk, afterwards Duke of Albemarle, on the restoration of Charles II, included an important

date in our history:

Georgius Monke, Dux de Aumarie. Ego Regem reduxi Ano. Sa MDCLVV.

A slight reversing of the letters in a name produced a happy compliment: as in Vernon was found Renoun: and the celebrated Sir Thomas Wist bore his own designation in his name, a Wist. Of the poet Waller the anagrammatist said,

'His brows need not with Lawrel to be bound. Since in his name with Lawrel he is crown'd.

Randle Holmes, who has written a very extraordinary volume on heraldry, was complimented by an expressive

### Lo, Men's Herald!

These anagrams were often devoted to the personal attachments of love or friendship. A friend delighted to twine his name with the name of his friend. Crashave, the poet, had a literary intimate of the name of Cer, who was his posthumous editor; and, in prefixing some elegiac lines, discovers that his late friend Crashawe was Car; for so the anagram of Crashawe runs: He was Car. On this quaint discovery, he has indulged all the tendersiess of his recollections:

"Was Car then Crashawe, or was Crashawe Car? Since both within one name combined are. Yes, Car's Crashawe. he Car; 'lis Love alone Which melis two hearts, of both composing one, So Crashawe's still the same, &c.'

A bappy anagram on a person's name might have a toral effect on the feelings: as there is reason to believe, that certain celebrated names have had some influence on the personal character. When one Martha Nicolson was found out to be Soon calm in heart, the anagram, in becoming familiar to her, might afford an opportune admonition. But, perhaps, the happiest of anagrams was that prosuced on a singular person and occasion. Lady Eleanor Davies, the wife of the celebrated Sir John Davies, the post, was a very extraordinary character. She was the Cassandra of her age; and several of her predictions warranted her to conceive she was a prophetose. As her suchecies in the troubled times of Charles I were usu-

ally against the government, she was, at length, brought by them into the court of High Commission. The pro-phetess was not a little mad, and fancied the spirit of Daniel was in her, from an anagram who had formed of her

# Eleanor Davies. Reveal O Daniel!

The anagram had too much by an L, and too little by an s; yet Daniel and reveal was in it, and that was sufficient to satisfy her inspirations. The court attempted to dispossess the spirit from the lady, while the bishops were in vain reasoning the point with her out of the scriptures, to vain reasoning the point with her out of the scriptures, to no purpose, she poising text against text:—one of the deans of the arches, says Heylin, shot her thorough and thorough with an arrow borrowed from her own quiver: be took a pen, and at last hit upon this excellent anagram :

# Dame Eleanor Davies. Never so mad a Ladie!

The happy fancy put the solemn court into laughter, and Cassandra into the utmost dejection of spirit. Foiled by her own weapons, her spirit suddenly forsock her; and either she never afterwards ventured on prophesying, or the anagram perpetually reminded her hearers of her state

—and we hear no more of this prophetess!

Thus much have I written in favour of Sir Symonds
D'Ewes's keen relish of 'a stingie anagram;' and on the error of those literary historians, who do not enter into

the spirit of the age they are writing on.
We find in the Scribleriad, the Anagrams appearing in

the land of false wit:

But with still more disorder'd march advance, (Nor march it seem'd, but wild fantastic dance, The uncouth Anagrams, distorted train, Shifting, in double mazes, o'er the plain.

The fine humour of Addison was never more playful than in his account of that anagrammatist, who, after shutting himself up for half a year, and having taken certain liberties with the name of his mistress, discovered, on presenting his anagram, that he had mis-spelt her surname; by which he was so thunderstruck with his misfortune, that in a little time after he lost his senses, which, indeed, had been very much impaired by that continual application

he had given to his anagram.

One Frenzelius, a German, prided himself on perpetuating the name of every person of eminence who died by an anagram; but by the description of the bodily pain be suffered on these occasions, when he shut himself up for sunered on these occasions, when he shut himself up to those rash attempts, he seems to have shared in the dying, pangs of the mortals whom he so painfully celebrated Others appear to have practiced this art with more facility. A French poet, deeply in love, in one day sent his mistress, whose name was Magdelaine, three dozen of anagrams on her single name!

Even old Camden, who lived in the golden age of ana-rams, notices the difficilia quas pulchra, the charming diffaculty, 'as a whetstone of patience to them that shall practise it. For some have been seen to bite their pen, scratch their heads, bend their brows, bite their lips, beat the board, tear their paper, when the names were fair for somewhat, and caught nothing therein.' Such was the troubled bappiness of an anagrammatist: yet, adds our venerable author, notwithstanding 'the sour sort of critics, good anagrams yield a delightful comfert, and pleasant motion in honest minds.'

When the mania of making Anagrams prevailed, the little persons at court flattered the great ones by inventing anagrams for them; and when the wit of the maker proved to be as barren as the letters of the name, they dropped or changed them, raving with the alphabet and racking their wits. Among the manuscripts of the grave Sir Julius Casar, one cannot but smile at a bundle emphatically endorsed 'Trash.' It is a collection of these court anagrams; a remarkable evidence of that ineptitude to which nere fashionable wit can carry the frivolous.

In consigning this intellectual exercise to oblivion, we must not confound the miserable and the happy together. A man of genius would not consume an hour in extracting even a fortunate anagram from a name, although on a extraordinary person or occasion its appositeness might be worth an epigram. Much of its merit will arise from the association of ideas; a trifler can only produce what is trifling, but an elegant mind many delight by some elegant allusion, and a satirical one by its causticity. We have

A similar contrivance, that of Echo Verses, may here be noticed. I have given a specimen of these in a modern French writer, whose sportive pen has thrown out so much sit and humour in his Echoes.\* Nothing ought to be sontemned which, in the hands of a man of genius, is converted into a medium of his talents. No verses have Seen considered more contemptible than these, which, with all their kindred, have been anathematized by Butler, in ais exquisite character of 'a small poet,' in his 'Remains,' whom he describes as 'tumbling through the hoop of an anagram' and 'all those gambols of wit.' The philosophianagram an interest against with the philotophic cal critic will be more tolerant than was the orthodox church of wit in that day, which was, indeed, alarmed at the fantastical heresics which were then prevailing. I say not a word in favour of unmeaning Acrostics; but Anagrams and Echo Verses may be shown capable of reflecting the ingenuity of their makers. I preserve a copy of Echo Verses, which exhibit a curious picture of the state of our religious fanaties, the Roundheads of Charles I, as an evidence, that in the hands of a wit, even such things can be converted into the instruments of

At the end of a comedy presented at the entertainment of the prince, by the scholars of Trinity College, Cambridge, in March 1641, printed for James Calvin, 1642, the author, Francis Cole, holds in a print a paper in one hand, and a round hat in another. At the end of all is this hustorous little poem.

THE ECCHO!

Now Eccho, on what's religion grounded? Round-head! Whose its professor most considerable? How do these prove themselves to be the godly?
Oddly! Rabble! But they in life are known to be the holy.

O lie! Who are these preachers, men or women-common? Common ! Come they from any universitie? Citie! Do they not learning from their doctrine sever? Yet they pretend that they do edifie; O fie! What do you call it then, to fructify? What Church have they, and what pulpits? Pius! But now in chambers the Conventicle; The godly sisters shrewdly are belied .. Bellied! The godly number then will soon transcend. As for the temples they with zeal embrace them Rase them! What do they make of bishop's hierarchy? Are crosses, images, ornaments their scandall? Nor will they leave us many ceremonies,

Monies! Must even religion down for satisfaction. How stand they affected to the government civil? Evil! But to the king they say they are most loyal. Lye all. Then God keep King and State from these same men. Amon!

## ORTHOGRAPHY OF PROPER NAMES.

We are often perplexed to decide how the names of some of our eminent men ought to be written; and we find that they are even now written diversely. The truth is that our orthography was so long unsettled among us, that it appears by various documents of the times which I have seen, that persons were at a loss how to write their own ames, and most certainly have written them variously. I have sometimes suspected that estates may have been

\* See p. 79.
† An allusion probably to Archibald Armstrong, the fool or privileged jester of Charles I, usually called Archy, who had a quarrel with Archbishop Laud, and of whom many arch things are on record; there is a little jest-book very high-priced and of little worth which bears the title of Archee's

lost, and descents confounded, by such uncertain and diss greeing signatures of the same person. In a late small respecting the Duchess of Norfolk's estate, one of the ancestors has his name printed Higden, while in the general-ogy it appears Hickden. I think I have seen Ben Jenson's name written by himself with an h; and Dryden made uses of an i. I have seen an injunction to printers with the sign manual of Charles II, not to print Samuel Boteler ex-quire's book or poem called Hudibras without his consent: but I do not know whether Butler thus wrote his name. but I do not know whether Butler thus wrote has name.
As late as in 1660 a Dr Crome was at such a loss to have
his name pronounced rightly, that he tried six different
ways of writing it, as appears by printed books; Crom,
Croon, Crown, Crone, Crone, and Crowne; all which appear under his own hand, as he wrote it differently at disterent periods of his life. In the subscription book of the
Royal Society he writes W. Crome, but in his will at the
Crommont having W. Crome, But the naturalist informa-Commons be signs W. Crowne, Ray the naturalist informs us in his letters, p. 72, that he first wrote his name Wrss, but afterwards omitted the W. Dr Whitby, in books, published by himself, writes his name sometimes Whiteby. And among the Harleian Manuscripts there is a large collection of letters, to which I have often referred; written between 1620 and 1630 by Joseph Mesd: and yet in all his printed letters, and his works, even within that period, it is spelt Meds: by which signature we recognize the name of a learned man better known to us: it was long before I discovered the letter writer to have been this scholar. Oldys, in some curious manuscript memoirs of his family, has traced the family name through a great variety of changes, and sometimes it is at such variance, that the person indicated will not always appear to have belonged to the family. We saw recently an advertise-ment in the newspapers offering five thousand pounds to prove a marriage in the family of the Knevetts, which occurred about 1635. What most disconcerts the inquirers is their discovery that the family name was written in six or seven different ways; a circumstance which I have no doubt will be found in most family names in England. Fuller mentions that the name of Villers was spelt fourteen different ways in the deeds of that family.

I shall illustrate this subject by the history of the names of two of our most illustrious countrymen, Shakspeare and

Rawleigh.

We all remember the day, when a violent literary con-troversy was opened, nor is it yet closed, respecting the spelling of our poet's name. One great editor persisted in his triumphant discovery, by printing Shakspere, while another would only partially yield, Shakspere; but all parties seemed willing to drop the usual and natural derivation of his name, in which we are surely warranted from a passage in a contemporary writer, who alludes by the name to a conceit of his own, of the martial spirit of the poet. The truth seems to be, then, that personal names were written by the ear, since the persons themselves did not attend to the accurate writing of their own names, which they changed sometimes capriciously and sometimes with anxious nicety. Our great poet's name ap-pears Shakspere in the register of Stratford church; it is Shackspears in the body of his will, but that very instrument is indorsed Mr Shackspers's will. He himself has written his name in two different ways, Shakspears and Shakspere. Mr Colmon says, the poet's name in his own county is pronounced with the first a short, which accounts for this mode of writing the name, and proves that the or-thoepy rather than the orthography of a person's name was most attended to; a very questionable and uncertain

Another remarkable instance of this sort is the name of Sir Walter Rauley, which I am myself uncertain how to write; although I have discovered a fact which proves how it should be pronounced.

Rawley's name was spelt by himself and by his con-temporaries in all sorts of ways. We find it Raiseth, Ra-leigh, Rawleigh, Rawley, and Rawly; the last of which at least preserves it pronunciation. This great man, whes young, appears to have subscribed his name 'Walter Raweley of the Middle Temple' to a copy of verses, printed among others prefixed to a satire called the Steel-glass, in George Gascoigne's Works, 1576. Sir Walter was then a young student, and these verses both by their spirit and signature cannot fail to be his; however this matter is doubtful, for the critics have not met elsewhere with his name thus written. The orthopy of the name of this great man I can establish by the following fact. When

Digitized by GOO

Sir Walter was first introduced to James I on the king's arrival in England, with whom, being united with an opposition party, he was no favourite; the Scottish monarch time this broad reception: 'Rawly! Rawly! true soough, for I think of thee very Rawly, mon!' There is also an enigma contained in a distich written by a lady of the times, which preserves the real pronunciation of same of this extraordinary man.

What's had for the stome:h, and the word of dishonour, is the name of the man, whom the king will not honour,

Thus our ancient personal names were written down by the ear, at a period when we had no settled orthography; and even at a later period, not distant from our own times, some persons, it might be shown, have been equally puzzled how to write their names; witness the Thomsons, Thompsons; the Wartons, the Whartons, &c.

#### NAMES OF OUR STREETS.

Lord Orford has, in one of his letters, projected a curione work to be written in a walk through the streets of the metropolis, similar to a French work entitled 'Anecdotes des Rues de Paris.' I know of no such work, and suspect the vivacious writer alluded in his mind to Saint Foix's Essais historiques sur Paris, a very entertaining work, of which the plan is that projected by his lordship. We have had Pennant's London, a work of this description; but, on the whole, this is a superficial performance, as it regards manners, characters, and events. That antiquary skimmed every thing, and grasped scarcely any thing: he wanted the patience of research, and the keen spirit which revivines the past. Should Lord Orford's project be carried into execution, or rather, should Pennant be hereafter improved, it would be first necessary to obtain the original names, or their meanings, of our streets, free from the disguise in which time has concealed them. We shall otherwise lose many characters of persons, and many remarka-ble events, of which their original denominations would remind the historian of our streets.

I have noted down a few of these modern misnomers, that this future historian may be excited to discover more. Mincing-lane was Mincheon-lane; from tenements per-

taining to the Mincheons, or the nuns of St Helen's in Bushopagate-street.

Gutter-lane, corrupted from Guthurun's-lane; from its first owner, a citizen of great trade.

Blockwell-hall was Bakewell's-hall, from one Thomas Bakewell; and originally called Basing's-hough, from a considerable family of that name, whose arms were once seen on the ancient building, and whose name is still per-

petuated in Basing's-lane, from a whole family of this

Thread-needle-street, was originally Thrid-needle-street, as Samuel Clarke dates it from his study there.

Billiter-lane is a corruption of Belzetter's lane; from the first builder or owner.

Crutched-friers was Cronoched or Crossed-friers.

Lethbury was so named from the noise of founders at the work, and, as Howel pretends, this place was called Lather, of disdainedly.'

Gartick-hill was Garlick-hithe, or hive, where garlick

was sold.

Fetter-lane has been erroneously supposed to have some connexion with the fetters of criminals. It was in Charles the First's time written Fewtor-lane, and is so in Howel's Londinopolis, who explains it as Fewtors (or idle people) lying there as in a way leading to gardens. It was the haunt of these Paitors, or 'mighty beggars.' The Paitour, that is, a defaytor, or defaulter, became Feutor, and in the rapid pronounciation, or conception, of names, Fewtor has ended in Fetter-lane.

Grace-street, sometimes called Gracious-street, was originally Grass-street, from a herb-market there.

Few harch-street, from a fenny or moorish ground by a

Galley-key has preserved its name, but its origin may have been lost. Howel, in his 'Londinopolis,' says, 'here dwelt strangers called Galley-men, who brought wine, &c, m Galleys.

Greek-street, says Penant, I am sorry to degrade into or to the merry character of its tenants, he does not re-

Bridewell was St Bridget's well, from one dedicates to Saint Bride or Bridget.

Merybone was St Mary-on-the-Bourne, corrupted to Mary-bone; as Holborn was Old Bourne, or the Old River; Bourne being the ancient English for river; hence the Scottish Burn.

Newington was New-to

Maiden-lane was so called from an image of the virgin, which, in catholic days, had stood there, as Bagford write to Hearne; and he says, that the frequent sign of the Maiden-head was derived from 'our Lady's-head.

Lad-lane was originally Lady's-lane, from the same per-

Rood-lane was so denominated from a Rood, or Jesus on the cross, there placed, which was held in great re-

Piccadilly was named after a hall called Piccadille-hall, a place of sale for Piccadillies or Turn-overs; a part of the fashionable dress which appeared about 1614. It has preserved its name uncorrupted: for Barnabe Rich, in his 'Honestie of the Age,' has this passage on the body-makers that do swarm through all parts, both of London and about London. The body is still pampered up in the very dropsy of excess. He that some fortie years sithens should have asked after a Pickadilly, I wonder who would have understood him; or could have told

what a Pickadilly had been, either fish or flesh.'

Strype notices that in the liberties of Saint Catharine is a place called Hangman's-gains; the traders of Hammes and Guynes, in France, anciently resorted there;

thence the strange corruption.

Smithfield is a corruption of Smoothfield: smith signifees smooth, from the Saxon smeth. An antiquarian friend had seen it described in a deed as compus planus, which confirms the original meaning. It is described in Fitz Stephen's account of London, written before the twelfth century, as a plain field, both in reality and name, where every Friday there is a celebrated rendezvous of fine horses, brought hither to be sold. Thither come to look or buy, a great number of earls, barons, knights, and a swarm of citizens. It is a pleasing sight to behold the ambling nags and generous colts, proudly prancing. This ancient writer continues a minute description, and perhaps gives the earliest one of a horse-race in this country. It is remarkable that Smithfield should have continued as a market for cattle for more than six centuries with only the loss of its vowels.

This is sufficient to show how the names of our streets require either to be corrected or explained, by their histo-The French, among the numerous projects for the rian. The French, among the numerous projects for the moral improvement of civilized man, had one, which, had it not been polluted by a horrid faction, might have been directed to a noble end. It was to name streets after eminent men. This would at least preserve them from the corruption of the people, and exhibit a perpetual monument of moral feeling, and of glory, to the rising genius of every age. With what excitement and delight may the young contemplatist, who first studies at Gray's Inn, be reminded of Verulam-buildings!

The names of streets will often be found connected with some singular event, or the character of some person. Not long ago, a Hebrew, who had a quarrel with his com-munity, built a neighbourhood at Bethnal-green, and retained the subject of his anger in the name which the houses bear, of Parim-place. This may startle some theological antiquary at a remote period, who may idly lose himself in abstruse conjectures on the sanctity of a name, derived from a well known Hebrew festival: and, perhaps, colonize the spot with an ancient horde of Israel-

## SECRET HISTORY OF EDWARD VERE, EARL OF OXFORD.

It is an odd circumstance in literary research, that I am enabled to correct a story which was written about 1680. The Aubrey papers, recently published with singular faithfulness, retaining all their peculiarities, even to the grossest errors, were memoranda for the use of Anthony Wood's great work. But besides these, the Oxford antiquary had a very extensive literary correspondence, and the fortitude to call in two friends to destroy a vast multitude of papers: about two bushels full were ordered for the fire, lighted for the occasion: and, 'as he was expiring he expressed both his knowledge and approbation of what was done, by throwing out his hands,' These two bushels full were not, however, all his papers; his more private ones he had ordered not to be opened for seven years.

I suspect also, that a great number of letters were not burnt on this occasion; for I have discovered a manuscript written about 1720 to 1730, and which, the writer tolls us, written about 120 to 1100, and waren, and writer tons us, consists of 'Excerpts out of Anthony Wood's papers.' It is closely written, and contains many curious facts not to be found elsewhere, as far as I have hitherto discovered. These papers of Anthony Wood probably still exist in the Ashmolean Museum: should they have perished, in that case this solitary manuscript will be the sole record of many interesting particulars not known to the public.

By these I correct a little story, which may be found in the Aubrey papers, Vol. III, 395. It is an account of one Nicholas Hill, a man of great learning, and in the high confidence of a remarkable and munificent Earl of Oxford, travelling with him abroad. I transcribe the printed Au-

brey account.

'In his travels with his lord (I forget whether Italy or Germany, but I think the former,) a poor man begged him to give him a penny. "A penny!" said Mr Hill. "What do'st say to ten pounds?" "Ah! ten pounds." said the beggar: "that would make a man happy." N. Hill gave him immediately ten pounds, and putt it downe upon account. Item, to a beggar ten pounde to make him happy! —The point of this story has been marred in the telling: it was drawn up from the following one, which the telling: it was drawn up from the following one, which must have been the original. This extract was made from a letter by Aubrey to A. Wood, dated July 15, 1689. "A poor man asked Mr Hill, his lordship's steward, once to give him sixpence, or a shilling, for an alms. "What dout say if I give thee ten pounds? "Ten pounds! that would make a man of me!" Hill gave it him, and put down in his account, "Item, 10! for making a man," which his lordship inquiring about for the oddness of the which his lordship inquiring about for the oddness of the expression, not only allowed, but was pleased with it.'

This philosophical humourist was the steward of Ed-

ward Vere, Earl of Oxford, in the reign of Elizabeth. The peer was a person of elegant accomplishments; and Lord Orford, in his 'Noble Authors,' has given a higher character of him than perhaps he may deserve. He was of the highest rank, in great favour with the queen, and, to employ the style of the day, when all our fashions and our poetry were moulding themselves on the Italian model, he was the 'Mirrour of Tuscanismo;' and, in a word, this coxcombical peer, after a seven years' residence in Flo-tence, returned highly 'Italianated.' The ludicrous mo-tive of this peregrination is given in the present manu-script account. Haughty of his descent and his alliance, irritable with effeminate delicacy and personal vanity, a little circumstance, almost too minute to be recorded, inflicted such an injury on his pride, that in his mind it re-quired years of absence from the court of England, ere it could be forgotten. Once making a low obeisance to the queen before the whole court, this stately and inflated peer suffered a mischance which has happened, it is said, on a like occasion—it was 'light as air !' But this accident so sensibly hurt his mawkish delicacy, and so humbled his aristocratic dignity, that he could not raise his eyes on his royal mistress. He resolved from that day to be a banished man, and resided for seven years in Italy, living in more grandeur at Florence than the Grand Duke of Tuscany. He spent in those years forty thousand pounds. On his rezurn he presented the queen with embroidered glover and perfumes, then for the first time introduced into England, as Stowe has noticed. Part of the new presents seem to have some reference to the earl's former mischance. The queen received them graciously, and was even painted wearing those gloves; but my authority states, that the masculine sense of Elizabeth could not abstain from congratulating the noble coxcomb; perceiving, she said, that at length my lord forgot the mentioning the little mischance of seven years ago!

The peer's munificence abroad was indeed the talk of Europe; but the secret motive of this was as wicked as hat of his travels had been ridiculous. This earl of Oxford had married the daughter of Lord Burleigh, and, when this great statesman would not consent to save the life of the Duke of Norfolk, the friend of this earl, he swore to revenge himself on the counters, out of hatred to his fatherin-law. He not only forsook her, but studied every means to waste that great inheritance which had descended to him from his ancestors. Secret history often startles us with unexpected discoveries: the personal affectations of this earl induce him to quit a court, where he stood in the highest favour, to domesticate himself abroad; and a family pique was the motive of that splendid prodigality which, at Florence, could throw into shade the court of Tuncing

#### ANCIENT COOKERY AND COOKS.

The memorable grand dinner given by the classical detor in Peregrine Pickle has indisposed our tastes for the cookery of the ancients; but, since it is often the cook
who spoil the broth, we cannot be sure but that even the
black Lacedsemonian, stirred by the spear of a Sparaa,
might have had a poignancy for him, which did not happen on that occasion.

Their cookery must have been superior to our h art, since they could find dainties in the tough membrane ous parts of the matrices of a sow, and the flesh of young hawks, and a young ass. The elder Pliny tells, that one man had studied the art of fattening snails with pasts as successfully, that the shells of some of his snails would contain many quarts.\* The same meastrous taste fed up those prodigious goose livers; a taste still prevailing in feature Novine were fattered with when and far Italy. Swine were fattened with whey and figs, and eres fish in their ponds were increased by such artificial mean. Our prize oxen might astonish a Roman, as much as one of their crammed peacocks would ourselves. Glummy of their crammed peacocks would ourselves. Unusual produces monsters, and turns away from nature to feel on unwholesome meats. The flesh of young fours abut autumn, when they fed on grapes, is praised by Gales; and Hippocrates equals the flesh of puppies to that of kith. The humorous Dr King, who has touched on this subject, suspects that many of the Greek dishes appear characteristic from their mellifluous terminations, resounding with a first and tone. and toios. †

The numerous descriptions of ancient cookery which Athenesus has preserved indicate an unrivalled cetterly and refinement: and the ancients, indeed, appear to have raised the culinary art into a science, and dignified cost into professors. They had writers who exhausted the erudition and ingenuity in verse and prose; while some were proud to immortalise their names by the invention of a poignant sauce, or a popular gateau. Apicus, a same immortalised, and now synonymous with a gorgor, was the inventor of cakes called Apicians; and one Aristozees, after many unsuccessful combinations, at length hit on a peculiar manner of seasoning hams, thence called Aristoxenians. The name of a late nobleman among ourselves is thus invoked every day.

Of these Erudita gala, Archestratus, a culinary pails sopher, composed an epic or didactic poem on good earns. His 'Gastrology' became the creed of the epicores, and its pathos appears to have made what is so express called 'their mouths water.' The idea has been rec successfully imitated by a French poet. Archestralia thus opens his subject:

'I write these precepts for immortal Greece, That round a table delicately spread, Or, three, or four, may sit in choice repast, Or five at most. Who otherwise shall dise, Are like a troop marauding for their prey.'

The elegant Romans declared, that a repast should not consist of less in number than the Graces, nor of mon than the Muses. They had, however, a quaint prover which Alexander ab Alexandro has preserved, not favorable even to so large a dinner-party as nine; it turns on t play of words:

## 'Septem convivium, Novem convicium facera.';

An elegant Roman, meeting a friend, regretted be could not invite him to dinner, 'because my sussies' it complete.'

When Archestratus acknowledges that some things are for the winter, and some for the summer, he consoles i self, that though we cannot have them at the same ti yet, at least, we may talk about them at all times.

This great genius seems to have travelled over land and and improve, with new discoveries, the table-luxuries. He indicates the places for peculiar edibles, and expansive petables; and promulgates his precepts with the seal of a

\* Nat. Hist. Lib. IX, 60.
† See his works, collected by Mr Nichols, vol. I, 130. That no doubt, that Dr King's description of the Virtuoso Bendree-lib, with his 'bill of fare out of Atheneus,' suggested to Suclet his celebrated scene.
‡ Genial. Dierum, II, 282, Lug 1672. The writer has celected in this chapter a variety of curious particulars on this chapter.

sublime legislator, who is dictating a code designed to ameliorate the imperfect state of society.

A philosopher worthy to bear the title of cook, or a cook worthy to be a philosopher, according to the numerous curious passages scattered in Athenseus, was an extraordinary genius, endowed not merely with a natural aptitude, but with all acquired accomplishments. The philosophy, or the metaphysics, of cookery appears in the following passage :

\* Know then, the Cook, a dinner that's bespoke Aspiring to prepare, with prescient zeal Should know the tastes and humours of the guests; For if he drudges through the common work, Thoughtless of manner, careless what the place And seasons claim; and what the favouring hour And seasons claim; and what the lavouring Auspicious to his genius may present, Why, standing midst the multitude of men, Call we this plodding fricasseer a Cook? On differing far! and one is not the other? We call indeed the general of an army Him who is charged to lead it to the war; But the true general is the man whose mind, Mastering erront, anticipates, combines: Mastering events, anticipates, combines; Else is he but a leader to his men! Else is he but a leader to his men!
With our profession thus: the first who comes
May with a humble toil, or slice, or chop,
Prepare the ingredients, and around the fire
Obsequious, him I call a fricasseer!
But ah! the cook a brighter glory crowns!
Well akill'd is he to know the place, the hour,
Him who invites, and him who is invited,
What fish in season makes the market rich,
A choice delicious raity! I know Him who invites, and him who is invited,
What fish in season makes the market rich,
A choice delicious rarity! I know
That all, we always find; but always all,
Charms not the palate, critically fine.
Archestratus, in culinary lore
Deep for his time, in this mere learned age,
Is wanting: and full oft he surely talks
Of what he never sic. Suspect his page,
Nor load thy genius with a barren precept.
Look not in books for what some idle sege
So idly raved; for cookery is an art
Cosporting ill with rhetoric; via an art
Still changing, and of momentary triumph!
Know on thyself thy genius must depend.
All books of cookery, all helps of art,
All critic learning, all commenting notes,
Are vain, if void of genius, thou wouldst cook!
The culinary sage thus spoke; his friend
Demands 'Where is the ideal cook thou paint'st?'
Lo, I the man!' the savouring sage replied.
'Now be thine eyes the witness of my art!
This tunny drest, so odorous shall steam,
The spicy sweetness so shall steal thy sense,
That thou in a delicious reverie
Shak slumber heavenly o'er the attic dish!' Shak slumber heavenly o'er the attic dish !

In another passage a Master-Cook conceives himself to be a pupil of Epicurus, whose favourite but ambiguous axiom, that 'Voluptuousness is the sovereign good,' was interpreted by the bon-citoms of antiquity in the plain sense.

MASTER COOK.

Behold in me a pupil of the school Of the sage Epicurus.

FRIEND.

Thou a sage !

MASTER COOK.

Ay! Epicurus too was sure a cook ay: Epicures too was sure a cook,
And knew the sovereign good. Nature his study,
While practice perfected his theory.
Divine philosophy alone can teach
The difference which the fish Glociscus\* shows
In winter and in summer; how to learn
Which fish to choose, when set the Pleiades,
And at the solstice. "Tie change of seasons

And at the solstice. This change of seasons

\* The commentators have not been able always to assign known names to the great variety of fish, perticularly sea-fish, the ancients used, many of which we should revolt at. One of their disintles was a shell-fish, prickly like a hedge-hog, called Echinus. They ate the dog-fish, the star-fish, porpoises or sea-hogs, and even seals. "In Dr Moffet's regimen of dist, an exceeding curious writer of the reign of Elizabeth, republished by Oklays, may be found an ample account of the 'sea-fish' used by the ancients. Whatever the Glociscus was, it seems to have been of great size, and a shell-fish, as we may hair from the following curious passage in Athenasus. A father, informed that his son is leading a dissolute life, enraged, remonstrates with his podagogue — "Knave! thou art the fault! has thou ever known a philosopher yield himself so entirely to the pleasures thou tellest me of?" The podagrer replies by a Yes! and that the sages of the portico are great drunkards, and none know better than they how to attack a Glociscus.

Which threats mankind, and shakes their changed

frame.
This dost thou comprehend? Know, what we use
In season, is most seasonably good!

FRIEND.

Most learned cook, who can observe these canons?

MASTER COOK.

And therefore phlegm and colics make a man A most indecent guest. The aliment Dress'd in my kitchen is true aliment; The chyle soft-blending from the juicy feed Repairs the solids.

FRIEND.

Ah! the chyle! the solide!

Thou new Democritus! thou sage of medicine? Versed in the mysteries of the latric art:

MASTER COOK.

Now mark the blunders of our vulgar cooks see them prepare a dish of various fish, Showering profuse the pounded Indian grain, An overpowering vapour, gallimaufy!

A multitude confused of pothering odours!
But, know, the genjus of the art consists
To make the noatrils feel each scent distinct; and not in washing vilates to free from smoke. And not in washing plates to free from smoke. I never enter in my kitchen, I!
But sit apart, and in the cool direct;
Observant of what passes, scullions toil.

FRIEND.

What dost thou there?

MASTER COOK.

I guide the mighty whole;
Explore the causes, prophesy the dish.
Tla thus I speak: 'Leave, leave that ponderous hem.
Keep up the fire, and lively play the flame
Beneath those lobster-patties; patient here, Fix'd as a statue, skim, incessant skim. Steep well this small Glociscus in its sauce, And boil that sea-dog in a cullender; This eel requires more salt and majoram; Roast well that piece of kid on either side Equal; that sweethread boil not over much.'
'Tis thus, my friend, I make the concert play

FRIEND.

O man of science! 'tis thy babble kills! MASTER COOK.

And then no useless dish my table crowds Harmonious ranged, and consonantly just! FRIEND.

Ha! what means this?

MASTER COOK.

Divinest music all; As in a concert instruments resound, My ordered dishes in their courses chime. my orusted distance in their courses chame. So Epicurus dictated the art
Of sweet voluptuousness, and ate in order,
Musing delighted o'er the sovereign good!
Let raving stoics in a labyrinth
Run after virtue; they shall find no end.
Thou, what is foreign to mankind, abjure!

FRIEND. Right honest Cook! thou wak'st me from their dreams! Another Cook informs us that he adapts his repasts to his personages.

I like to see the faces of my guests,
To feed them as their age and station claim.
My kitchen changes, as my guests inspire
The various speciacle; for lovers now, The various specture; in twest now, Philosophers, and now for financiers. If my young royster be a mettled spark, Who melts an acre in a savoury dish To charm his mistress, scuttle-fish and crabs, And all the shelly-race, with mixture due Of cordials filtered, exquisitely rich. Of cortains interest, stationary rich.
For such a host, my friend! expends much more
In oil than cotton; solely studying love!
To a philosopher, that animal
Voracious, solid ham and bulky feet; But to the financier, with costly nicer sut to the inancer, with coaty niceness, Glociscus rare, or rarky more rare.
Insensible the palate of old age,
More difficult than the soft lips of youth
To move, I put much mustard in their dish;
With quickening sauces make their stupor I
And lash the lazy blood that creeps within.

Another genius, in tracing the art of Cookery, derived

from it nothing less than the origin of society; and I think that some philosopher has defined Man to be 'a cooking

#### Coox.

The art of cookery drew us gently forth From that ferocious light when void of faith The Anthropophaginian ate his brother! To cookery we owe well-ordered states, Assembling men in deer society.
Wild was the earth, man feasting upon man,
When ene of nobler sense and milder heart
First sacrificed an animal; the flesh Was sweet; and man then ceased to feed on man!
And something of the rudeness of those times
The priest commemorates; for to this day, He roasts the victim's entrails without sal He roasts the victim's entraits without sait. In those dark times, beneath the earth lay hid The precious sait, that gold of cookery! But when its particles the palate thrill'd, The source of seasonings, charm of cookery! came. They served a paunch with rich ingredients stored; And tender kid, within two covering plates, Warm melied in the mouth. So art improved! At length a miracle not yet perform'd,
They minced the meat which roll'd in herbage soft
Mor meat nor herbage seem'd, but to the eye
And to the taste, the counterfeized dish Mimick'd some curious fish; invention rare! Then every dish was season'd more and more, Inen every disa was season'd more and more, Salted, or sour, or sweet, and mingled off. Oatmeal and honey. To enjoy the meal Men congregated in the populous towns, And cities flourish'd, which we cooks adorn'd, With all the pleasures of domestic life.

An arch-cook insinuates, that there remain only two pillars of the state, besides himself, of the school of Sinon, one of the great masters of the condimenting art. Sinon, we are told, applied the elements of all the arts and we are tong appuise one elements of an one arts and sciences to this favourite one. Natural philosophy could produce a secret seasoning for a dish; and architecture the art of conducting the smoke out of a chimney; which, says he, if ungovernable, makes a great difference in the dressing. From the military science he derived a sublime idea of order; drilling the under-cooks, marshalling the kitchen, hastening one, and making another a sentinel.

We find however, that a portion of this divine art, one of the professors acknowledges to be vapouring and bragging !- a seasoning in this art, as well as in others. A cook ought never to come unaccompanied by all the pomp and parade of the kitchen: with a scurvy appearance, he will be turned away at sight; for all have eyes, but a few only understanding.

Another occult part of this profound mystery, besides vapouring, consisted, it seems, in filching. Such is the counsel of a patriarch to an apprentice! a precept which

contains a truth for all ages of cookery.

Carion! time well thy ambidextrous part Nor always filch. It was but yesterday, Blundering, they nearly caught thee in the fact; None of thy balls had livers, and the guests, In horror, pierced their airy emptiness.
Not even the brains were there, thou brainless hound! If thou art hired among the middling class, Who pay thee freely, be thou honourable! But for this day, where now we go to cook E'en cut the master's throat for all I care; "A word to th' wise," and show thyself my scholar! There thou mayst filch and revel, all may yield Some secret profit to thy sharking hand. Tis an old miser gives a sordid dinner, And weeps o'er every sparing dish at table; Then if I do not find thou dost devour All thou canst touch, e'en to the very coals, I will disown thee! Lo! Old skin-flint comes; In his dry eyes what parsimony stares!

These cooks of the ancients, who appears to have been kired for a grand dinner, carried their art to the most whimsical profession. They were so dexterous as to be able to serve up a whole pig boiled on one side, and roasted on the The cook who performed this feat defice his guests to detect the place where the knife had separated the animal, or how it was contrived to stuff the belly with an olio, composed of thrushes and other birds, slices of the matrices of a sow, the yolk of eggs, the sellies of hens with their soft eggs, flavoured with a rich juice, and minced meats highly spiced. When this cook is entreated to exstain this secret art, he solemnly swears by the manes of

those who braved all the dangers of the Plain of Marshon and combated at sea at Salamis, that he will not reveal the secret that year. But of an incident, so triumphast is the secret that year. But of an incident, so trampast a me annals of the gastric art, our philosopher would so depre posterity of the knowledge. The animal had been held death by a wound under the shoulder, whence, after a copious effusion, the master-cook extracted the estale, washed them with wine, and hanging the animal by the in-the crammed down the throat the stuffings already present. Then covering the half of the pig with a paste of being thickened with wine and oil, he put it in a small ore, or on a heated table of brass, where it was gently restel with all due care : when the skin was browned, he hold the other side; and then taking away the barley past, to pig was served up, at once boiled and roasted. These cooks with a vegetable could counterfeit the shape, so the taste of fish and flesh. The king of Bithyna, a see expedition against the Scythians, in the winter and si a great distance from the sea, had a violent longing for a small fish called apty—a pilchard, a berring, or as aschor, His cook cut a turnip to the perfect insistance of its star; then fried in oil, salted, and well powdered with the grant of the perfect insistance of the start of the st of a dozen black poppies, his majesty's taste was mesquisitely deceived, that he praised the root to his guest as an excellent fish. This transmutation of vegetables me meat or fish is a province of the culinary art which we me pear to have lost; yet these are cibi innecenta, com with the things themselves. No people are such green of mere animal food as our own; the art of preparing re-tables, pulse, and roots, is scarcely known in this count, This cheaper and healthful food should be introduced among the common people, who neglect them from as knowing how to dress them. The peasant, for was a this skill, treads underfoot the best meat in the world; and sometimes the best way of dressing it is least costly.

The gastric art must have reached to its last perfection when we find that it had its history; and that they have how to ascertain the era of a dish with a sort of chronds gical exactness. The philosophers of Atheness dissert on every dish, and tell us of one called me eus at table there was a treatise composed on it; that it was first sire duced at Athens, at the epocha of the Macedonian every but that it was undoubtedly a Thessalian invention; to most sumptuous people of all the Greeks. The mast was a term at length applied to any dainty, of excessive del-

cacy, always served the last,

But, as no art has ever attained perfection without numerous admirers, and as it is the public which only call make such exquisite cooks, our curiosity may be excited it inquire, whether the patrons of the gastric art were as good enthusiasts as its professors?

We see they had writers who exhausted their genius these professional topics; and books of cookery were much read: for a comic poet, quoted by Atheneus, exhibits a character exulting in having procured The aew Kache of Philoxenus, which, says he, I keep for myself to red in my solitude. That these devotees to the culmary at undertook journeys to remote parts of the world, in quest of these discoveries, sufficient facts authenticate. Englast had the honour to furnish them with oysters, which they Juvenal\* records, that fetched from about Sandwich. Montanus was so well skilled in the science of good earing. that he could tell by the first bite, whether they were beglish or not. The-well known Apicius poured into be stomach an immense fortune. He usually resided at Mnturna, a town in Campania, where he ate shrimps at a had price: they were so large, that those of Smyrna, and the prawns of Alexandria, could not be compared with the shrimps of Minturna. However, this luckless epicure was informed, that the shrimps in Africa were more monstross; and he embarks without losing a day. He encounters a great storm, and through imminent danger arrives at the ahores of Africa. The fishermen bring him the larged for size their nets could furnish. Apicius shakes his head:
'Have you never any larger?' he inquires. The answer was not favourable to his hopes. Apicius rejects thes, and fondly remembers the shrimps of his own Mintered. He orders his pilot to return to Italy, and leaves Africa with a look of contempt.

A fraternal genius was Philoxenus: he whose higher wish was to possess a crane's neck, that he might be the longer in savouring his dainties; and who appears to have invented some expedients which might answer, in some dages, the purpose. This impudent epicure was so little attentive to the feelings of his brother-guests, that in the hot bath, he avowedly habituated himself to keep his hands is the scalding water; and even used to gargle his throat with it, that he might have less impediment in swallowing the hottest dishes. He bribed the cooks to serve up the repast smoking bot, that he might gloriously devour what he chose before any one else could venture to touch the dish. It seemed as if he had used his fingers to handle fire. 'He is an oven, not a man!' exclaimed a grumbling ire. The six over, not a man. excaused a grimbling fellow-guest. Once having embarked for Ephesus, for the purpose of eating fish, his favourite food, he arrived at the market, and found all the stalls empty. There was a wedding in the town, and all the fish had been hespokes. es to embrace the new married couple, and singing an epithelamium, the dithyrambic epicure enchanted ing an epinamium, the cultyramute epictre enchanted the company. The bridegroom was delighted by the boson of the presence of such a post, and earnestly requested he would come on the morrow. I will come, quested be would come on the morrow, 'I will come, young friend, if there is no fish at the market?"—It was the Philozenes who, at the table of Dionysius, the tyrant of Sicily, having near him a small barbel, and observing a large one near the prince, took the little one, and held it to his ear. Dionysius inquired the reason. 'At present,' replied the ingenious spicture, 'I am so occupied by my clastes' (a poem in honour of the mistress of the tyrant,' that I wished to inquire of this little fish, whether he could be the mistress of the tyrant, that I wished to inquire of this little fish, whether he could be the property of the property of the tyrant of the tyrant. give me some information about Nereus; but he is silent, and I imagine that they have taken him up too young: I have no doubt that old one, opposite to you, would perfectly satisfy me.? Dionysius rewarded the pleasant conceit with the large barbel.

#### ANCIENT AND MODERN SATURNALIA.

The Stagirite discovered that our nature delights m imstation, and perhaps in nothing more than in representing personages, different from ourselves, in mockery of them; in fact, there is a passion for manquerade in human nature. is lict, there is a passion for manquerance in numar matter. Children discover this propensity; and the populace, who are the children of society, through all ages have been humoured by their governors with festivals and recreations, which are made up of this malicious transformation. of persons and things; and the humble orders of society have been privileged by the higher, to please themselves by burlesquing and ridiculing the great, at short seasons, as some consolation for the rest of the year.

The Saturnalia of the Romans is a remarkable instance of this characteristic of mankind. Macrobius could not trace the origin of this institution, and seems to derive it from the Grecians; so that it might have arisen in some rude period of antiquity, and among another people. The conjecture seems supported by a passage in Gibbon's Miscellanes,\* who discovers traces of this institution among the more ancient nations; and Huet imagined that he saw in the jubiles of the Hebrews some similar usages. It is to be regretted that Gibbon does not afford us any new ight on the cause in which originated the institution itself. The jubilee of the Hebrews was the solemn festival of an agricultural people but bears none of the ludicrous cha-nece sties of the Roman Saturnalia.

It would have been satisfactory to have discovered the occasion of the inconceivable licentiousness which was the sanctioned by the legislator,—this overturning of the principles of society, and this public ridicule of its laws, a customs, and its feelings. We are told, these festivals, at customs, and its feelings. We are told, these iesuvais, dedicated to Saturn, were designed to represent the natural equality which prevailed in his golden age; and for this purpose the staves were allowed to change places with the masters. This was, however, giving the people a false notion of the equality of men: for, while the slave was converted into the master, the pretended equality was as much violated as in the usual situation of the parties.

The noticed minutes expectation of this term of natural equa-The political misconception of this term of natural equalty seems, however, to have been carried on through all ages; and the political Saturnalia had lately nearly thrown Europe into a state of that worse than slavery, where taves are masters.

The Roman Saturnalia were latterly prolonged to a work's debauchery and folly; and a diary of that week's words and deeds would have furnished a copious chronicle d Abotis Some notions we acquire from the laws of the Saturnalia of Lucian, an Epistle of Seneca's,† and

" Miscellansous Works, vol. V, 504.

from Horace, who, from his love of quiet, retired from the

ity during this noisy season.

It was towards the close of December, that all the towa was in an unusual motion, and the children every where invoking Saturn; nothing now to be seen but tables spread out for feasting, and nothing heard but shouts of merriment; all business was dismissed, and none at work but cooks and confectioners; no account of expenses was to he kept, and it appears that one-tenth part of a man's income was to be appropriated to this jolity. All exerincome was to be appropriated to this jointy. An ease-tion of mind and body was forbidden, except for the pur-pose of recreation; nothing to be read or recited which did not provoke mirth, adapted to the season and the place. The slaves were allowed the utmost freedom of raillery, and truth, with their masters: sitting with them at table, dressed in their clothes, playing all sorts of tricks, telling them of their faults to their faces, while they smutted them The slaves were imaginary kings, as indeed a lottery determined their rank; and as their masters attended them, whenever it happened that these performed their office clumsily, doubtless with some recollections of their own similar misdemeanors, the slave made the master leap into the water head-foremost. No one was allowed to be angry, and he who was played on, if he loved his own comfort, would be the first to laugh. Glasses of all since were to be ready, and all were to drink when and what they chose; none hut the most skilful musicians and tumblers were allowed to perform, for those people are worth nothing unless exquisite, as the Saturnalian laws decreed, Dancing, singing, and shouting, and carrying a female musician thrice around on their shoulders, accompanied by every grotesque humour they imagined, were indulged in that short week, which was to repay the many in which the masters had their revenge for the reign of this pretended equality. Another custom prevailed at this season: the priests performed their sacrifices to Saturn bare-headed, which Pitiscus explains in the spirit of this extraordinary institution, as designed to show that time discovers, or as in the present case of the bare-headed priests, uncovers,

Such was the Roman Saturnalia, the favourite popular recreation of Paganism; and as the sports and games of the people outlast the date of their empires, and are carried with them, however they may change their name and their place on the globe, the grosser pleasures of the Saturna-lia were too well adapted to their tastes to be forgotten. The Saturnalia, therefore, long generated the most extra-ordinary institutions among the nations of modern Europe; and, what seems more extraordinary than the un-known origin of the parent absurdity itself, the Saturnalia crept into the services and offices of the christian church Strange it is to observe at the altar, the rites of religion burlesqued, and all its offices performed with the utsrest buffconery. It is only by tracing them to the Roman Saturnalia, that we can at all account for these grotesque sports—that extraordinary mixture of libertinism and profaneness, so long continued under christianity.

Such were the feasts of the ass, the feast of fools or madmen, fetes des fous-the feast of the bull-of the innocent-and that of the seudiacres, which perhaps, in its original term, meant only sub-deacons, but their conduct was expressed by the conversion of a pun into soudiecres or diacres sacula, drunken deacons. Institutions of this mature, even more numerous than the historian has usually recorded, and varied in their mode, seem to surpass each

other in their utter extravagance.†

These profane festivals were universally practised in the middle ages, and, as I shall show, comparatively even in modern times. The ignorant and the careless clergy then imagined it was the securest means to retain the populace, who were always inclined to these pagan revelries

who were always inclined to incee pagan reveires.

\* Horaco. in his dialogue with his slave Davus, exhibits a lively picture of this circumstance. Lib. II, Sat. 7.

i A large volume might be composed on these grotesque, profane, and licentious feasts. Du Cange notices several un der different terms in his Glossary—Festum Asinorum, Kalen dæ, Cervula. A curious collection has been made by the Abb Attigny, in the fourth and seventh volumes of his Memoires d'Histoire, &c. Du Radler, in his Recreations Historiques, vol. I. n. 102, has noticed several writers on the stylice and d'Histoire, &c. Du Radier, in his Recreations Historiques, vol I, p. 109, has noticed several writers on the subject, and preserves one on the hunting of a man, called Adam, from Ash-Wednesday to Holy-Thuraday, and treating him with a good supper at night, peculiar to a town in Saxony. See Ancillon's Melangr Critique, &c. I, 28, where the passage from Raphael de Volterra is found at length. In my learned friend, Mr Turner's second volume of his History of England, p. 387, will be found a copious and a curious note on this subject. These grotesque festivals have sometimes amused the pens of foreign and domestic antiquaries; for our own country has participated as keenly in these irreligious Scoleries. In the feast of asses, an ass covered with sacor-dotal robes was gravely conducted to the choir, where service was performed before the ass, and a hymn chanted in as discordant a manner as they could contrive; the effice was a medley of all that had been sung in the course of the year; pails of water were flung at the head of the chanters; the ass was supplied with drink and provender at every division of the service; and the asinines were drinking, dancing, and braying for two days. The hymn to the ass has been preserved; each stanza ends with the burden 'Hez! Sire Ane, hez!' 'Huzza! Seignior Ass, Huzza!' On other occasions, they put burnt old shoes to fume in the censers; ran about the church leaping, singing, and dancing obscenely; scattering ordure among the audience; playing at dice upon the altar! while a boy-bishop, or a pope of fools, burlesqued the divine service. Sometimes they diaguised themselves in the skins of animals, and pretending to be transformed into the animal they represented, it became dangerous, or worse, to meet these abandoned it became dangerous, or worse, to meet these abandoned fools. There was a precentor of fools, who was shaved in public, during which he entertained the populace with all the balderdash his genius could invent. We had in Leicester, in 1415, what was called a glutton mass; during the five days of the festival of the Virgin Mary. The people rose early to mass, during which they practised eating and drinking with the most zealous velocity, and, as in France, drew from the corners of the altar the rich puddings placed drew from the corners of the altar the rich puddings placed drew from the corners of the altar the rich puddings placed there

So late as in 1645, a pupil of Gassendi, writing to his master what he himself witnessed at Aix on the feast of the Innocence, says, ' I have seen, in some monasteries in this province, extravagances solemnized, which the bagans would not have practised. Neither the clergy, nor the guardians, indeed, go to the choir on this day, but all is given up to the lay-brethren, the cabbage-cutters, the errand-boys, the cooks and sculiions, the gardeners; in a word, all the menials fill their places in the church, and insist that they perform the offices proper for the day.-They dress themselves with all the sacerdotal ornaments, but torn to rags, or wear them inside out; they hold in their hands the books reversed or sideways, which they pretend to read with large spectacles without glasses, and to which they fix the shells of scooped oranges, which ren-der them so hideous, that one must have seen these madmen to form a notion of their appearance; particularly while dangling the censers, they keep shaking them in derision, and letting the ashes fly about their heads and faces, one against the other. In this equipage they neither sing hymns, nor pealms, nor meases; but mumble a certain gibberish as shrill and squeaking as a herd of pigs whipped on to market. The nonsense-verses they chant are singularly barbarous:

'Hæc est clara dies, clararum clara dierum, Hæc est festa dies, festarum festa dierum.'\*

There are scenes which equal any which the humour of the Italian burlesque poets have invented, and which might have entered with effect into the 'Malmantile racquistato' of Lippi; but that they should have been endured amidst the solemn offices of religion, and have been performed in cathedrals, while it excites our astunishment, can only be Saturnalia of the Romans. Mr Turner observes, without perhaps having a precise notion that they were copied from the Saturnalia, that 'It could be only by rivalling the pagan revelvies, that the christian ceremonies could gain the ascendancy. Our historian further observes, that these licentious festivities were called the December liberties, and seem to have begun at one of the most solemn seasons of the christian year, and to have lasted through the chief part of January. This very term as well as the time, agrees with that of the ancient Saturnalia:

Age, libertate Decembri, Quando ka majores voluerunt, utere : narra.' Hor. Lib. II, Sat.

The Roman Saturnalia, thus transplanted into christian churches, had for its singular principle, that of inferiors, whinsically and in mockery, personifying their superiors with a licensed licentiousness. This forms a distinct characteristic from those other popular customs and pas-

\* Thiers, Trake des Jouz, p. 449.

times, which the learned have also traced to the Ros and even more ancient nations

Our present inquiry is, to illustrate that prone man, of delighting to reverse the order of society, and rid

culing its decencies.

Here we had our bey-bishop, a legitimate descendant is family of foolery. On St. Nicholas's day, a saint was was the patron of children, the boy-bishop with his miss parous and a long crossor, attended by his school-mates apparous and a long crossor, attended by his school-mate at the state of the school was the state of the school was the state of the school was the his diminutive prebendaries, assumed the title and state a bishop. The child-hishop preached a sermon, and afsawards, accompanied by his attendants, went about sieges and collecting his pence: to such theatrical processions and collecting his pence: to such theatrical processions collegiate bodies, Warton attributes the custom, still esisting at Eton, of going ad mentem. But this was a tan mummery, compared with the grossmess elsewhere allowed in burlesquing religious ceremonies. The English, men particularly after the Reformation, seem not to have poli-ted the churches with such abuses. The relish for the Seturnalia, was not, however, less lively here than on the Continent; but it took a more innocent direction, and we allowed to turn itself into civil life : and mince the people would be gratified by mock dignities, and claimed the privilege of ridiculing their masters, it was allowed them by our kings and nobles; and a troop of grotesque character, frolicsome great men, delighting in merry mischief, ass recorded in our domestic annals.

The most learned Selden, with parsimonious phrase and copious sense, has thus compressed the result of as historical dissertation: he derives our ancient Christmas sports at bace from the true, though remote, source .-'Christmas succeeds the Saturnalia; the same time, the same number of holy-days; then the master waited upon the servant like the lord of misrule.' Such is the title of and sorvant like the sora of massrate."

Such is the third of a facetion potentiate, who, in this notice of Selden's, is not further indicated, for this personage was familiar in his day, but of whom the accounts are so scattered, that his offices and his glory are now equally obscure. The race of this nobility of drollery, and this legitimate king of all boaxing and quixx, like mightier dynastics, has

ceased to exist.

In England our festivities at Christmas appear to have been more entertaining than in other countries. We were once famed for merry Christmas and their pies: witness the Italian proverb, 'Ha piu di fare che i forni di Natale in Inghil-terra:' 'He has more business than English ovens at Christmas.' Wherever the king resided, prince, usually called 'the Lord of Mismule:' and whom the Scotch once knew under the significant title of 'the Abbot of Unreason.' His office, according to Stowe, was to make the rarest pastimes to delight the beholder. Every nobleman, and every great family surrendered their houses, during this season, to the Christmas prince, who found rivals or usurpers in almost every parish; and more particularly, as we shall see, among the grave students in the contract of the season. our inne of court.

The Italian Polydore Vergil, who, residing here, had clearer notions of this facetious personage, considered the Christmas Prince as peculiar to our country. Without venturing to ascend in his genealogy, we must admit his relationship to that ancient family of foolery we have noticed, whether he be legitimate or not. If this whimsical uccu, wretner ne ne legitimate or not. If this wimmiter personage, at his creation, was designed to regulate 'misrule,' his lordship, invested with plenary power, came himself, at length, to delight too much in his 'merry disports.' Stubbes, a morose puritan in the reign of Eizsbeth, denominates him a grand captaine of mischiefe,' and has preserved a minute description of all his wild doings in the country; but as Strutt has anticipated me in this the country; but as Strutt has anticipated me in this amusing extract, I must refer to his 'Sports and Pastimes of the People of England, p. 254. I prepare another scene of unparalleled Saturnalia, among the grave judges and serjeants of the law, where the Lord of Misrate is prepare surjects his following the control of the law, where the Lord of Misrate is prepared surjects his following the control of the law, where the Lord of Misrate is prepared surjects his following the law of the law. viewed amidst his frolicome courtiers, with the humon of hunting the fox and the cat with ten couple of hounds round their great hall, among the other merry disports of those joyous days when sages could play like boys.

For those who can throw themselves back amidst the

rotesque humours and clumsy pastimes of our ascessi who, without what we think to be taste, had wh merriment—there has been fortunately preserved a curious history of the manuer in which 'A grand Christmes' was kept at our Juns of Court, by the grave and learned Deg-

\* Selden's Table talk gle igitized by Table talk Digitized by

dale, in his 'Origines Juridiciales:' it is a complete festival of foolery, acted by the students and law officers. They held for that season every thing in mockery; they had a mock parliament, a Prince of Bophie, or Wisdom, an honourable order of Pegasus, a high constable, marshal, a master of the game, a ranger of the forest, lieutenant of the tower, which was a temporary prison for Christmas deinquents, all the paraphernalia of a court burlesqued by these youthful sages before the boyish judges.

The characters personated were in the costume of their assumed offices. On Christmas day, the constable marshal, accounted with a complete gilded 'harness,' showed that every thing was to be chivalrously ordered; while the lieutement of the Tower, in 'a fair white armour,' attended with his troop of halberdiers; and the Tower was then alread hamasth the fire. A fee this prosping followed. thes placed beneath the fire. After this opening followed

the costly feasting; and then morning reconstruction a pack of hounds in their hall!

The master of the game dressed in green velvet, and the ranger of the forest in green satin, bearing a green bow and arrows, each with a hunting hora about their necks, blowing together three blasts of venery (or hunting), they have a shout the fire three times. The master of the highpace round about the fire three times. The master of the game kneels to be admitted into the service of the high-A huntsman comes into the hall, with nine or ten couple of hounds, bearing on the end of his staff a purse-net, which holds, a fox and a cat; these were let loose and husted by the hounds, and killed beneath the fire

These extraordinary amusements took place after their repast; for these grotosque Saturnalia appeared after that grever part of their grand Christmas. Suppor ended, the constable marshal presented himself with drums playing, mounted on a stage borne by four men, and carried round; at length he cries out 'a lord! a lord!' &c, and then calls

his mock court every one by name. Sir Francis Flatterer, of Fowlshurt.

Sir Randall Rackabite, of Rascal hall, in the county of Raka-hell

Sir Morgan Mumchance, of Much Monkery, in the

county of Mad Mopery.
Sir Bartholowme Bald-breech of Buttock-bury, in the county of Break-neck.\*

They had also their mock arraignments. The king's serjeant, after dinner or supper, 'oratour-like,' complained that the constable-marshal had suffered great disorders to prevail; the complaint was answered by the common-sercant, who was to show his talent at defending the cause.
The king's-scripant replies; they\_rejoin, &c.: till one at leasth is committed to the Tower, for being found most deficient. If any offender contrives to escape from the heulenant of the Tower into the buttery, and brought into the ball a manchet (or small loaf) upon the point of a the min a manchet (or sman rous) upons the point of a min, he was pardoned; for the buttery in this jovial sea-son was considered as a sanctuary. Then began the reveils. Blomt derives this term from the French reveiller, to awake from sleep. These were sports of dancing, mask-ing according to the common wave spilled scalemy reveils. ing, comedies, &c. (for some were called solemn revels,) med in great houses, and were so denominated because they were performed by night; and these various pastimes were regulated by a master of the revels.

Anidst 'the grand Christmass,' a personage of no mail importance was 'the Lord of Misrule.' His lordship was abroad early in the morning, and if he lacked any of his officers, he entered their chamber, to drag forth the laterer; but after breakfast his lordship's power caded, and it was in suspense till night, when his personal presence was paramount, or as Dugdale expresses it, 'and

then his power is most potent."

Such once were the pastimes of the whole learned bench d when once it happened that the under-barristers did act dance on Candlemas-day, according to the ancient or-der of the society, when the judges were present, the whole

\*A rare quarto tract seems to give an authentic narrative of the of these grand Christmas-keepings, exhibiting all their whimicalky and burlesque humour: k is entitled 'Gesta royum; or the History of the high and mighty Prince Berry, Prince of Purpoole, Archduke of Stapulia and Berrardis (Staple's and Bernard's Inns.) Duke of High and Nether-Holbom, Marquees of St. Glies and Tottenham, Count hat Holbom, Marquees of St. Glies and Tottenham, Count hat Holbom, Marquees of St. Glies and Tottenham, Count haisine of Bloomebury and Clerkenwell, Great Lord of the Castons of Islington, Kentish Town, &c., Knight and Sovenitia of the most heroical order of the Helmet, who reigned and died A. D. 1894.' It is full of burlesque speeches and addresses. As it was printed in 1688, I suppose k was from some manuscript of the times; the preface gives no information.

bar was offended, and at Lincoln's-Inn were by decimins tion put out of commons, for example sake; and if the same omission were repeated, they were to be fined or disbarred; for these dancings were thought necessary, 'as much conducing to the making of gentlemen more fit for their books at other times.' I cannot furnish a detailed notice of these pastimes; for Dugdalo, whenever he indistributed to the conduction the support of the conduction the conduction the support of the conduction the conduction the support of the conduction the conduction that the conduction that is not conducted to the conduction that the conductio cates them, spares his gravity from recording the evanescent frolics, by a provoking \$\frac{\phi}{\epsilon}\epsilon\_{\epsilon}\

Donne in his Satires, Prior in his Alma, and Pope in his Dunciad. 'The judge to dance, his brother serjeants

calls.'+

' The Lord of Misrule,' in the inns of court, latterly did not conduct himself with any recollection of ' Medio tutiesimus ibis, being unreasonable; but the 'sparks of the Temple,' as a contemporary calls them, had gradually, in the early part of Charles I's reign, yielded themselves up to excessive disorders. Sir Symonds D'Ewes, in his Ms. diary in 1620, has noticed their choice of a lieutenant, mischief he invented; and the festival days, when 'a standing table was kept,' were accompanied by dicing, and much gaming, oaths, execrations, and quarrels: being of a mischief he invented; and the festival days, when 'a standing table was kept,' were accompanied by dicing, and much gaming, oaths, execrations, and quarrels: being of a standard the secretary this for he adds the serious turn of mind, he regrets this, for he adds, sport, of itself, I conceive to be lawful.

I suspect that the last memorable act of a Lord of Misrule of the inns of court occured in 1627, when the Christmas game became serious. The Lord of Misrule then issued an edict to his officers to go out at Twelfth-night to collect his rents, in the neighbourhood of the Temple, at the rate of five shillings a house; and on those who were in their bods, or would not pay, he levied a distress. An unexpected resistance at length occurred in a memorable unexpected resistance at length occurred in a memorable battle with the Lord Mayor in person:—and how the Lord of Misrule for some time stood victor, with his gunner, and his trumpeter, and his martial array: and how heavily and fearfully stood my Lord Mayor amidst his 'watch and ward;' and how their lordships agreed to meet half way, each to preserve his independent dignity, till one knocked down the other: and how the long halberds clashed with the short swords: how the Lord Mayor valorously took the Lord Misrule pricears with his own civic hand; and the Lord Misrule prisoner with his own civic hand: and how the Christmas prince was immured in the Counter: and how the learned Templars insisted on their privilege, and the unlearned of Ram's-alley and Fleet-street asserted their right of saving their crown-pieces : and finally how this combat of mockery and earnestness was settled, not without the introduction of 'a God,' as Horace allows on great occasions, in the interposition of the king and the attorney-general—altogether the tale had been well told in some comic epic; but the wits of that day let it pass out of their hands.

I find this event, which seems to record the last despe-rate effort of a 'Lord of Misrule,' in a manuscript letter of the learned Mede to Sir Martin Stuteville; and some particulars are collected from Hammond L'Estrange's Life of

Charles I.

'Jan. 12, 1627-8.

'On Saturday the Templars chose one Mr Palmer their Lord of Misrule, who on Twelfth-eve, late in the night, sent out to gather up his rents at five shillings a house, in Ram-alley and Fleet-street. At every deor they came they winded the Temple horn, and if at the second blast or summons they within opened not the door, then the Lord of Misrule cried out, 'Give fire, gunner!' His gunner was as a robustious Vulcan, and the gun or petard itself was a huge overgrown smith's hammer. This being compleined of to my Lord Mayor, he said he would be with them about eleven o'clock on Sunday night last; willing that all about eleven o'clock on Sunday night last; willing that all that ward should attend him with their halberds, and that himself, besides those that came out of his house should bring the Watches along with him. His lordship, thus attended, advanced as high as Ram-alley in martial equi-page; when forth came the Lord of Misrule, attended by his gallants out of the Temple-gate, with their swords, all armed in cuerpo. A hailerdier had the Lord of Misrule come to my Lord Mayor. He answered, No! let the Lord Mayor come to me! At length they agreed to meet halfway; and, as the interview of rival princes is never without danger of some ill accident, so it happened in this t for first, Mr Palmer being quarrelled with, for not pulling

The last Revels held. See Gent. Mag. 1776.p. 373.

off his hat to my Lord Mayor, and giving cross answers, the halberds began to fly about his ears, and he and his company to brandish their swords. At last being beaten to the ground, and the Lord of Misrule sore wounded, they were fain to yield to the longer and more numerous weapon. My Lord Mayor taking Mr Falmer by the shoulder, led him to the Competr, and thrust him in at the prison-gate with a kind of indignation; and so notwithstanding his hurts, he was forced to lie among the common prisoners for two nights. On Tuesday the king's attorney became a suitor to my Lord Mayor for their liberty; which his lordship granted upon condition they should repay the gathered rents, and do reparations upon broken doors. Thus the game ended. Mr Attorney-General, being of the same house, fetched them in his own coach, and carried them to the court, where the King himself reconciled my Lord Mayor and them together with joining all hands; the gentlemen of the Temple being this Shrove-tide to present a Mask, to their Majesties, over and besides the King's own great Mask, to be performed at the Banqueting-house by an hundred actors.'

Thus it appears, that although the grave citizens did well and rightly protect themselves, yet, by the attorney-general taking the Lord of Misrule in his coach, and the

Thus it appears, that although the grave citizens did well and rightly protect themselves, yet, by the attorney-general taking the Lord of Misrule in his coach, and the king giving his royal interference between the parties, that they considered that this Lord of Foolery had certain ancient privileges; and it was, perhaps, a doubt with them, whether this interference of the Lord Mayor might not be considered as severe and unseasonable. It is probable, however, that the arm of the civil power brought all future Lords of Misrule to their senses. Perhaps this dynasty in the empire of foolery closed with this Christmas prince, who fell a victim to the arbitrary taxation he levied. I find after this, orders made for the Inner Temple, for 'perventing of that general scandal and obloquie, which the House hath heretofore incurred in time of Christmas:' and that 'there be not any going abroad out of the gates of this House, by any lord or others, to break open any house, or take any thing in the name of rent or

a distress.

These 'Lords of Misrule,' and their mock court and royalty, appear to have been only extinguished with the English sourceignty itself, at the time of our republican government. Edmund Gayton tells a story, to show the strange impressions of strong fancies: as his work is of great rarity, I shall transcribe the story in his own words, both to give a conclusion to this inquiry, and a specimen of his style of narrating this sort of little things. 'A gentleman importuned, at a fire-night in the public hall, to accept the high and mighty place of a mock-emperor, which was duly conferred upon him by seven mock-electors. At the same time, with much wit and ceromoup, the emperor accepted his chair of state, which was placed in the highest table in the hall; and at his instalment all pomp, reverence, and signs of homage were used by the whole company; insomuch that our emperor, having a spice of self-conceit before, was soundly peppered now, for he was lesstantly metamorphosed into the stateliest, gravest, and commanding soul, that ever eye beheld. Taylor acting Arbaces, or Swanston D'Amboise, were shadows to him: his pace, his look, his voice, and all his garb, was altered. Alexander upon his elephant, nay, upon the castle upon that elephant, was not so high; and so close did this imaginary honour stick to his fancy, that for many years he could not shake off this one night's assumed deportments, until the times came that drove all monarchical imaginations out, not only of his head, but every ones.' This mock 'emperor' was unquestionably one of these 'Lords of Misrule,' or 'a Christmas Prince.' The 'public hall' was that of the Temple, or Lincoln's Inn, or Gray's Inn. And it was matural enough, when the levelling equality of our theatrical and practical commonwealths were come into vogue, that even the shadowy regality of mockery startled them, by rev'ring the recollections of Charles II.

The Saturnalian spirit has not been extinct even in our

The Saturnalian spirit has not been extinct even in our days. The Mayor of Garrat, with the mock addresses and burlesque election, was an image of such satirical exhibitions of their superiors, so delightful to the people. France, at the dose of Louis XIV's reign, first saw her imaginary! Regiment de la Calotte,' which was the terror of the sinners

of the day, and the blockheads of all times. This 'regment of the scull-cape' originated in an officer and a wig, who, suffering from violent head aches, was recommended the use of a scull cap of lead: and his companions, as great wits, formed themselves into a regiment, to be composed only of persons distinguished by their extravagances in words or in deeds. They elected a general, they had their arms blazoned, and struck medals, and insued 'brevets,' and 'lettres patentes,' and granted pensions to certain individuals, stating their claims to be enrolled in the regiment for some egregious extravagance. The wins versified these army commissions; and the idlers, like pioneers, were busied in clearing their way, by picking up the omissions and commissions of the most noted characters. Those who were favoured with its 'brevete' surigued against the regiment; but at length they found it easier to wear their 'calotte,' and say nothing. This society began in raillery and playfulness, seasoned by appice of malice. It produced a great number of ingenious and satirical little things. That the privileges of the 'calotte' were afterwards abused, and calumny too often took the place of poignant satire, is the history of human mature, as well as of 'the calotins.'\*

Another society in the same spirit has been discovered in one of the lordships of Poland. It was called 'The Republic of Baboonery.' The society was a burleague model of their own government: a king, chancellor, counsellors, archbishops, judges, &c. If a member would engrous the conversation, he was immediately appointed orator of the republic. If he spoke with impropriety, the absurdity of his conversation usually led to some suitable office created to perpetuate his folly. A man talking too much of dogs, would be made a master of the buck hounds: or vaunting his courage, perhaps a field marshal; and if bigoted on disputable matters and speculative opinions in religion, he was considered to be nothing less than an inquisitor. This was a pleasant and useful project to reform the manners of the Polish youth; and one of the Polish kings good-humouredly observed, that he considered himself as much King of Baboonery, as King of Poland.' We have had in our own country some attempts at similar Saturnalia; but their success has been so equivecal that they hardly afford materials for our domestic history.

#### RELIQUIR GETHINIANE.

In the south aisle of Westminister Abbey stands a monument erected to the memory of Lady Grace Gethin. A statue of her ladyship represents her kneeling, holding a book in her right hand. This accomplished lady was considered as a prodigy in her day, and appears to have crated a feeling of enthusiasm for her character. She died early, having scarcely attained to womanhood, although a wife; for 'all this goodness and all this excellence was bounded within the compass of twenty years.'

But it is her book commemorated in marble, and not her character, which may have merited the marble that chronicles it, which has excited my curiosity and my suspicion. After her death a number of loose papers were found in her hand-writing, which could not fail to attract, and, penhaus, astonish their readers, with the maturity of thought and the vast capacity which had composed them. These relicks of genius were collected together, methodized under heads, and appeared with the title of 'Reliques Gethinians; or some remains of Grace Lady Gethin, lately doceased: being a collection of choice discourses, pleasant apothegms, and witty sentences; written by her for the most part by way of Essay and at spare hours; published by her nearest relations to preserve her memory. Second Edition, 1700.'

Of this book, considering that comparatively it is moders, and the copy before me is called a second edition, it is somewhat extraordinary that it seems always to have been a very scarce one. Even Ballard, in his Memoirs of Learned Ladies, 1750, mentions that these remains are 'very difficult to be procured;' and Sir William Musgrave in a manuscript note observed, that 'this book was very scarce.' It bears now a high price. A hint is given in the preface that the work was chiefly printed for the use of her friends; yet, by a second edition, we must infer that the public at large were so. There is a poem prefated

\*Their 'bravets,' &c, are collected in a litte volume, 'Re cueil des pièces du Regiment de la Calotte; a Paris chei Jaques Colombat, imprimeur privilegis du Regiment. L'an de l'Erre Calottine 1738.' From the date we later, that the we calotine is as old as the creation.

<sup>\*</sup>Pleasant notes upon Don Quixotte, by Edmund Gayton, Esq. folio, 1654, p. 24.

with the signature W. C. which no one will hesitate to pronounce, s by Congreve; he wrote indeed another poem to celebrate this astonishing book, for, considered as the production of a young lady, it is a miraculous, rather than a human production. The last lines in this poem we might expect from Congreve in his happier vein, who contrives to preserve his panegyric amidst that caustic wit, with which he keenly touched the age.

A PORM IN PRAISE OF THE AUTHOR.

I that hate books, such as come daily out By public licence to the reading rout, A due religion yet observe to this; And here assert, if any thing's am It can be only the compiler's fault, Who has ill-drest the charming author's thought-That was all right: her beauteous looks were join'd nos was as right: her neauteous tooks wer To a ne less admired excelling mind. But oh! this glory of frail Nature's dead, As I shall be that write, and you that read.\* Once, to be out of fashion, I'll conclude With something that may tend to public good:

I wish that piety, from which in heaven
The fair is placed—to the lawn steeves were given; Her justice—to the knot of men whose care From the raised millions is to take their share. w. c.

The book claimed all the praise the finest genius could snow on it. But let us hear the editor.—He tells us, that I it is a vast disadvantage to authors to publish their private undigested thoughts, and first notions hastily set down, and designed only as materials for a future structure. And he adds, 'That the work may not come short of that great and just expectation which the world had of her great and just expectation which the word had to her while she was alive, and still has of every thing that is the genuine product of her pen, they must be told that this sous written for the most part in haste, were her first conceptions and overflowings of her luxuriant fancy, noted with her pencil at spare hours, or as she was dressing, as her Hapepyov only; and set down just as they came into her mind.'

All this will serve as a memorable example of the cant

and mendacity of an editor! and that total absence of critical judgment that could assert such matured reflection, in so exquisite a style, could ever have been 'first con ceptions, just as they came into the mind of Lady Gethin,

as she was dressing.'
The truth is, that Lady Gethin may have had little concern in all these 'Reliquise Gethinianse.' might well have delighted their readers; but those who had read Lord Bacon's Essays, and other writers, such as Owen Feitham, and Osborne, from whom these relies are chiefly extracted, might have wondered that Bacon should have been so little known to the families of the Nortons and the Gethins, to whom her ladyship was allied; to Congrete and to the editor; and still more particularly to subsequent compilers, as Ballard in his Memoirs, and lately the Rev. Mark Noblé in his Continuation of Granger, who both, with all the innocence of criticism, give specimens of these 'Relicks,' without a suspicion that they were transcribing literally from Lord Bacon's Essays! Unquestionably Lady Gethin herself intended no impos-ture: her mind had all the delicacy of her sex; she noted much from the book she seems most to have delighted in; and nothing less than the most undiscerning friends could have imagined that every thing written by the hand of this young lady was her 'first conceptions;' and apologise for some of the finest thoughts, in the most vigorous style which the English language can produce. It seems, how-ever, to prove that Lord Bacon's essays were not much read at the time this volume appeared.

The marble book in Westminster Abbey must, there-

fore, lose most of its leaves; but it was necessary to disove, to see most of its leaves; but it was necessary to dis-cover the origin of this miraculous production of a young 'ady. What is Lady Gethin's, or what is not hers, in the miscellany of plagairisms, it is not material to examine. Those passages in which her ladyship speaks in her own person probably are of original growth: of this kind many evince great vivacity of thought, drawn from actual observation on what was passing around her; but even among these are intermixed the splendid passages of Bacon and other writers.

I shall not crowd my pages with specimens of a very

 Was this thought, that strikes with a sudden effect, in the sind of Hawkesworth, when he so pathetically concluded his No. 7.

suspicious author. One of her subjects has attracted my attention; for it shows the corrupt manners of persons of fashion who lived between 1680 and 1700. To find a mind so pure and elevated as Lady Gethin's unquestionably was discussing whether it were most advisable to have for a husband a general lover, or one attached to a mi tress, and deciding by the force of reasoning in favour of the dissipated man (for a woman, it seems, had only the alternative,) evinces a public deprivation of morals. These manners were the wretched remains of the Court of Charles II, when Wycherley, Dryden, and Congreve seem to have written with much less invention, in their indecess plots and language, than is imagined.

'I know not which is worse, to be wife to a man that is continually changing his loves, or to an husband that sath but one mistress whom he loves with a constant passion. And if you keep some measure of civility to her, he will at least esteem you; but he of the riving humour plays an hundred frolics that divert the town and perplex his wife. She often meets with her husband's mistress, and is at a loss how to carry herself towards her. 'Tis true the constant man is ready to sacrifice, every moment, his whole family to his love; he hates any place where she is not, is prodigal in what concerns his love, covetous in other respects; expects you should be blind to all he doth, and though you can't but see, yet must not dare to complain. And tho' both he who lends his heart to whosever pleases it, and he that gives it entirely to one, do both of them require the exactest devoir from their wives, yet I know not if it be not better to be wife to an unconstant husband (provided he be something discreet) than to a constant fellow who is always perplexing her with his inconstant humour. For the unconstant lovers are commonly the best humoured; but let them be what they will, women ought not to be unfaithful for Virtue's sake and their own, nor to offend by example. It is one of the best bonds of charity and obedience in the wife if she think her husband

wise, which she will never do if she find him jealous.
'Wives are young men's mistresses, companions for middle age, and old men's nurses.'

The last degrading sentence is found in some writer, whose name I cannot recollect. Lady Gethin, with an intellect so superior to that of the women of that day, had no conception of the dignity of the female character, the claims of virtue, and the duties of honour. A wife was only to know obedience and silence: however, she hints that such a husband should not be jealous! There was a sweetness in revenge reserved for some of these married

#### BORINSON CRUSOR.

Robinson Crusoe, the favourite of the learned and the unlearned, of the youth and the adult; the book that was to constitute the library of Rousseau's Emelius, owes its secret charm to its being a new representation of human nature, yet drawn from an existing state; this picture of self-education, self-inquiry, self-happiness, is scarcely a fiction, although it includes all the magic of romance; and is not a mere narrative of truth, since it displays all the forcible genius of one of the most original minds our literature can boast. The history of the work is therefore interesting. It was treated in the author's time as a more idle romance, for the philosophy was not discovered in the story; after his death it was considered to have been pil-laged from the papers of Alexander Selkirk, confided to the author, and the honour, as well as the genius, of De Foe were alike questioned.

The entire history of this work of genius may now be traced, from the first hints to the mature state, to which

only the genius of De Foe could have wrought it.
The adventures of Selkirk are well known: he was found on the desert island of Juan Fernandez, where he had formerly been left, by Woodes Rogers and Edward Cooke, who in 1712 published their voyages, and told the extraordinary history of Crusoe's prototype, with all those curious and minute particulars which Selkirk had freely communicated to them. This narrative of itself is ex-tremely interesting; and has been given entire by Cap-tain Burney; it may also be found in the Biographia Britannia.

In this artless narrative we may discover more than the embryo of Robinson Crusco. The first appearance of Selkirk, 'a man clothed in goats skins, who looked more wild than the first owners of them.' The two buts he had

25 Digitized by GOOGLO

uilt, the one to dress his victuals, the other to sleep in ; his contrivance to get fire, by rubbing two pieces of pimento wood together; his distress for the want of bread and salt, will be came to relish his meat without either; his wearing out his shoes, till he grew so accustomed to be without them, that he could not for a long time afterwards, on his return home, use them without inconvenience; his bedstead of his own contriving, and his bed of goat skins; when his gunpowder failed, his teaching himself by continual exercise to run as swiftly as the goats; his falling from a precipice in catching hold of a goat, stunned and bruised, till coming to his senses he found the goat dead under him; his taming kids to divert himself by dancing with them and his cats; his converting a nail into a needle; his sewing his goat skins with little thongs of the same; and when his knife was worn to the back, contriving to make blades out of some iron hoops. His solacing him-self in this solitude by singing pealms, and preserving a social feeling in his fervent prayers. And the habitation which Selkirk had raised, to reach which, they followed him 'with difficulty, climbing up and creeping down many rocks, till they came at last to a pleasant spot of ground his numerous tame goats showed his solitary retreat,' and his numerous tame goats showed his solitary retreat,' and finally, his indifference to return to a world, from which his feelings had been so perfectly weaned. Such were the first rude materials of a new situation in human nature: an European in a primeval state, with the habits or mind of a savago.

The year after this account was published, Selkirk and

his adventures attracted the notice of Steele; who was and advantures attracted the mote of Steele; who was not likely to pass unobserved a man and a story so strange and so new. In his paper of 'The Englishman,' Dec. 1713, he communicates further particulars of Selkirk. Steele became acquainted with him; he says, that 'he could discern that he had been much separated from company from his aspect and gesture. There was a strong but choerful seriousness in his looks, and a certain disregard to the ordinary things about him as if he had been gard to the ordinary things about him, as if he had been sunk in thought. The man frequently bewailed his return to the world, which could not, he said, with all its enjoy-ments, restore him to the tranquility of his solitude.' Steele adds another very curious change in this wild man, which occurred some time after he had seen him. ' Though sense, he met me in the street, and though he spoke to me, I could not recollect that I had seen him. Familiar converse in this town had taken off the loneliness of his aspect, and quite altered the air of his face.' De Foe could not fail of being struck by these interesting particulars of the character of Selkirk; but probably it was another observation of Steele which threw the germ of Robinson Crusoe into the mind of De Foe. 'It was matter of great curiosity to hear him, as he was a man of sense, give an account of the different revolutions in his own mind in that

account of the algerest resolutions in his sum suited is that long solitude.

The work of De Foe, however, was no sudden ebullition; long engaged in political warfare, condemned to suffer imprisonment, and at length struck by a fit of apoplexy, this unhappy and unprosperous man of genius on his recovery was seduce: to a comparative state of solitude. To his injured feelings and lonely contemplations, Selkirk in his desert Isle, and Steele's vivilying hint, often occurred; and to all these we perhaps owe the instructive and de-lightful tale, which shows man what he can do for himself, and what the fortitude of piety does for man. Even the personage of Friday is not a mere coinage of his brain; a Mosquito Indian, described by Dampier, was the protoppe. Robinson Crusoe was not given to the world till 1718; seven years after the publication of Selkirk's adventures. Selkirk could have no claims on De Foe; for he had only supplied the man of genius with that which lies open to all; and which no one had, or perhaps could have converted into the wonderful story we possess but De Foe himself. Had De Foe not written Robinson Crusoe, the same and story of Selkirk had been passed over like others of the same sort; yet Selkirk has the merit of havng detailed his own history, in a manner so interesting, as to have attracted the notice of Steele, and to have inspired nins of De Foe.

ne genius of De Foe.

After this, the originality of Robinson Crusce will no longer be suspected; and the idle tale which Dr Beattie tan repeated of Selkirk having supplied the materials of his story to De Foe, from which our author borrowed his work, and published for his own profit, will be finally put to rest.

This is due to the injured honour and the gennes of Le Foe.

CATHOLIC AND PROTESTANT DRAMAS.

Literature, and the arts connected with it, in this free country, have been involved with its political state, and have sometimes flourished or declined with the fortunes. have sometimes flourished or declined with the fortunes, or been made instrumental to the purposes of the parties which had espoused them. Thus in our dramatic lastory, in the early period of the Reformation, the Catholics were secretly working on the stage; and long afterwards the royalist party, under Charles I, possessed it till they provoked their own ruin. The Catholics, in their experies cause, took refuge in the theatre, and disguised the inveccause, took reluge is the theatre, and disgusses the investives they would have vented in sermons, under the more popular ferms of the drama, where they freely ridiculed the chiefs of the new religion, as they termed the Reformation, and 'the new Gospellers,' or those who quoted their Testament as an authority for their proceedings. Follow notices this circumstance. 'The popular pricests, though unseen, stood behind the hangings, or lurked in the tyring bouse. \*\* These found supporters among the elder part of their auditors, who were tenacious of their old habits and doctrines: and opposers in the younger, who eagerly adopted the term reformation in its full sense.

This conduct of the Catholics called down a prock tion from Edward VI, when we find that the government was most anxious, that these pieces should not be performed in 'the English tongue;' so that we may infer that the government was not alarmed at treason in Latin. This proc mation states, ' that a great number of those that be comme players of interludes or plays, as well within the city of London as elsewhere, who for the most part play such m-terludes as contain matter tending to sedition, &c., &c. whereupon are grown, and daily are like to grow, much division, tumult, and uproars in this realm. The kmg charges his subjects that they should not openly or secretly play in the English tongue, any kind of Interbude, Play, Dialogue, or other matter set forth in form of Play, on pan

of imprisonment, &c.'

This was, however, but a temporary prohibition; it cleared the stage for a time of these Catholic dramatists; but reformed Interludes, as they were tormed, were afterwards permitted.

These Catholic dramas would afford some speculations to historical inquirers: we know they made very free strictures on the first heads of the Reformation, on Cromwell, tures on the first heads of the Reformation, on Cromwell, Cranmer, and their party; but they were probably overcome in their struggles with their prevailing rivals. Some may yet possibly lurk in their manuscript state. We have, printed, one of these Moralities, or moral plays, or alk-gorical dramatic pieces, which succeeded the Mysteries in the reign of Henry VIII, entitled 'Every Man.' in the character of that hero, the writer not unaptly designates Human Nature herself.' This comes from the Catholic school, to recall the auditors back to the forsaken ceremonies of that church; but it levels no strokes of personal satire on the Reformers. Percy observed that personal satire on the Reformers. Percy observed that from the solemnity of the subjects, the summoning of man out of the world by death, and by the gravity of its conduct, not without some attempts, however rude, to excise terror and pity, this morality may not improperly be re-ferred to the class of tragedy. Such ancient simplicity is not worthless to the poetical antiquary: although the mere modern reader would soon feel weary at such inartificial productions, yet the invention which may be discovered in

ings of a Gray or a Collins. On the side of the reformed we have no deficiency of attacks on the superstitions and idolatries of the Bossish church; and Satan, and his old son Hypocrisy, are very busy at their intrigues with another hero called 'Lasty Juventus, and the seductive mistress they introduce him to, 'Abominable Living:' this was printed in the reign of Edward VI. It is odd enough to see quoted in a dramatic performance chapter and verse, as formally as if a sermon were to be performed. There we find such ruse

these rude pieces would be sublime, warm with the colour-

learing as this >

'Read the V, to the Galatians, and there you shall see That the ficah rebelleth against the spirit' or in homely rhymes like these

I will show you what St Paul doth declare In his epistle to the Hebrews, and the X chapter.

\* Eccl. Hist. Book VII, 800.
† It has been preserved by Hawkins in his 'Origin of the
Eaglish Drams,' Vol. I. Digitized by GOOGIC

In point of historical information respecting the pending struggle between the Catholics and the 'new Gospellers; srugge between the Catholics and the 'new Gospellers' we do not glean much secret history from these pieces: vet they curiously exemplify that regular progress in the instery of man, which has shown itself in the more recent revolutions of Europe: the old people still clinging, from habit and affection, to what is obsolete, and the younger ardent in establishing what is new; while the balance of human happiness trembles between both.

Thus if since Juveniter's command to main this made sim-

Thus Lusty Juventus' conveys to us in his rude simplicity the feeling of that day. Satan, in lamenting the downful of superstition, declares that

'The old people would believe still in my laws, But the younger sort lead them the contrary way— They will live as the Scripture teacheth them.'

Hypocrisy when informed by his old master, the Devil, of the change that 'Lusty Juventus' has undergone, expresses his surprise; attaching that usual odium of meanness on the early reformers, in the spirit that the Hollanders were nick-named at their first revolution by their lords the Spaniards, 'Les Gueux,' or the Beggars.

What, is Juventus become so tame To be a new Gospeller ?

But m his address to the young reformer, who asserts that he is not bound to obey his parents but 'in all things bosest and lawful,' Hypocrisy thus vents his feeling;

Lawful, quoth ha? Ah! fool! foo! Wilt thou set men to school When they be old?
I may say to you secretly,
The world was never men Since children were so bold : Now every boy will be a teacher, The father a fool, the child a preacher,

This is pretty gear!
The foul presumption of youth
Will shortly turn to great ruth,
I fear, I fear, I fear!

In these rude and simple lines there is something like the artifice of composition: the repetition of words in the first and the last lines, was doubtless intended as a grace an the poetry. That the ear of the poet was not unmusical, saidst the inartificial construction of his verse, will appear in this curious catalogue of holy things, which Hyporisy has drawn up, not without humour, in asserting the services be had performed for the Devil.

' And I brought up such superstition Under the name of holiness and religion, That deceived almost all. As—holy cardinals, holy pop Holy vestments, holy copes, Holy hermits, and friars, Holy priests, holy bishops, Holy monks, holy abbots, Yes, and all obstinate liars. -holy cardinals, holy popes Holy pardons, holy beads Holy saints, holy images, With holy holy blood. Holy stocks, holy stones Holy clouts. holy bones, Yea, and holy holy wood. Holy skins, holy bulls, Holy rochets, and cowle, Holy crutches and staves. Holy hoods, holy caps, Holy mixres, holy hats, And good holy holy knaves. Holy days, holy fastings, Holy twitching, holy tastings, Holy visions and sights, Holy wax, holy lead, Holy water, holy bread, To drive away the spirits. Holy fire holy palme, Holy oil, holy cream, And holy ashes also; Holy broaches, holy rings, Holy kneeling, holy censings, And a hundred trim-trams mo. Roly crosses, holy bells, Holy reliques, holy Jouels, Of mine own invention; Roly candles, holy tapers, Holy parchasents, holy papers;— Had not you a holy son?

se of these Catholic dramss were long atterwards by performed among Catholic families. In an un-bed letter of the times, I find a cause m the star-

chamber respecting a play being acted at Christmas 1614, at the house of Sir John Yorke; the consequences of which were heavy fines and imprisonment. The letter at the house of Sir John Torke; the consequences of which were heavy fines and imprisonment. The letter writer describes it, as containing many feel passages to the vilifying of our religion and exacting of popery, for which he and his lady, as principal procurers, were fined one thousand pounds apiece, and imprisoned in the Tower for a year; two or three of his brothers at five hundred pounds apiece, and others in other sums.

THE MISTORY OF THE THEATRE DURING ITS SUP-PRESSION.

A period in our dramatic annals has been passed over during the progress of the civil wars, which indeed was one of silence, but not of repose in the theatre. It lasted beyond the death of Charles I, when the fine arts seemed also to have suffered with the monarch. In a unearro, not the first time in any nation, was abolished by a public ordinance, and the actors, and consequently all that family of genius who by their labours or their tastes are connected with the drama, were reduced to silence. The actors also to have suffered with the monarch. The theatre, for with the drama, were reduced to silence. The actors were forcibly dispersed and became even some of the most pesecuted objects of the new government.

It may excite our curiosity to trace the hidden footsteps of this numerous fraternity of genius. Hypocristy and Fanaticism had, at length, triumphed over Wat and Satire. A single blow could not, however, annihilate those never dying powers; nor is suppression always extinction.—Reduced to a state which did not allow of uniting in a body, still their habits and their affections could not desert them. them: actors would attempt to resume their functions, and the genius of the authors, and the taste of the people would occasionally break out, though scattered and concealed.

cealed.

Mr Gifford has noticed, in his introduction to Massinger, the noble contrast between our actors at that time, with those of revolutionary France, when, to use his own emphatic expression, 'One wretched actor only deagered his sovereign; while of the vast multitude fostered by the nobility and the royal family of France, not one individual adhered to their cause: all rushed madly forward to plunder and assessinate their honefactors? der and assassinate their benefactors.

The contrast is striking, but the result must be traced to a different principle; for the cases are not parallel as they appear. The French actors did not occupy the same ground as ours. Here the fanatics shut up the theatre, and extirpated the art and the artists; there, the fanatics enthusiastically converted the theatre into an instrument of their own revolution, and the French actors therefore found an increased national patronage. It was natural enough that actors would not desert a flourishing profession. The plunder and assassinations, indeed, were quite peculiar to themselves as Frenchmon, not as actors.

The destruction of the theatre here was the result of an ancient quarrel between the puritanic party and the whole corps dramatique. In this little history of plays and players, corps dramatique. In this intic instory of plays and players, like more important history, we perceive how all human events form but a series of consequences, linked together; and we must go back to the reign of Elizabeth to comprehend an event which occurred in that of Charles the First. It has been perhaps poculiar to this land of contending opinions, and of happy and unhappy liberty, that a gloomy sect was early formed, who, drawing, as they fancied, the principles of their conduct from the literal precepts of the Gospel, formed those views of human nature which were more practicable in a desert than a city, and, which were rather suited to a monastic order than a polished people. These were our Puritans, who at first, perhaps from utter simplicity, among other extravagant reforms, imagined that of the extinction of the theatre.— Numerous works from that time fatigued their own pens and their readers' heads, founded on literal interpretations of the Scriptures, which were applied to our drama, though written ere our drama existed; voluminous quotations from the Fathers, who had only witnessed farcical interludes the Fathers, who had only witnessed farcical interludes and licentious pantomimes: they even quoted classical authority to prove that 'a stage player' was considered infamous among the Romane; among whom, however, Roseius, the admiration of Rome, received the princely remomeration of a thousand denarii per diem; the tragedian Esopus bequeathed about 150,000° to his son 'e' remunerations, which show the high regard in which the great actors were held among the Roman people.

A series of writers might be collected of these antidra-

\* Macrobius, Satura, lib. III, L. '4.

matists. The licentiousness of our comedies had too often indeed presented a fair occasion for their attacks; and they at length succeeded in purifying the stage: we owe them this good, but we owe little gratitude to that blind zeal which was desirous of extinguishing the theatre, which wanted the taste also to feel that the theatre was a popular school of morality; that the stage is a suppliment to the pulpit; where virtue, according to Plato's sublime idea, moves our love and affections when made visible to the eye. Of this class among the earliest writers, was Stephen Gosson, who in 1579 published 'the school of abuse, or a pleasant Invective against Poets, Players, Jesters, and such like Catterpillars.' Yet this Gosson dedicated his work to Sir Philip Sidney, a great lover of plays, and one who has vindicated their morality in his 'Defence of Poesy.' The same puritanic spirit soon reached our universities; for when a Dr Gager had a play performed at Christ's Church, Dr. Reynolds of Queen's College, terrified at the Satanic novelty, published 'The Ouerthrow of Stage plays, 1695; a tedious invective, foaming at the mouth of its text with quotations and authorities; for that was the age when authority was stronger than opinion, and the slightest could awe the readers. Reynolds takes great pains to prove that a stage play is infamous, by the opinions of antiquity; that a theatre corrupts morale, by those of the Fatherr; but the most reasonable point of affack is 'the sin of boys wearing the dress and affecting the airs of women.' This was too long a flagrant evil in the theatrical economy. To us there appears something so repulsive in the exhibition of boys, or men, personating female characters, that one cannot conceive how they could ever have been tolerated as a substitute for the spontaneous grace, the melting voice, and the soothing looks of a female. It was quite impossible to give the tenderness of a woman to any perfection of feeling, in a personating male; and to this cause may we not attribute that th

Our women are defective, and so sized,
You'd think they were some of the guard disguised,
For to speak truth, men act, that are between
Forty and fifty, wenches of fifteen;
With brows so large, and nerve so uncompliant,
When you call Desdemono—enter Giant.'

Yet at the time the absurd custom prevailed, Tom Nash, in his Pierce Pennilesse, commends our stage for not having, as they had abroad, women actors, or 'courtezans,' as he calls them: and even so late as in 1650, when women were first introduced on our stage, endless are the apologies for the indecrum of this novel usage! Such are the difficulties which occur even in forcing bad customs to return to nature; and so long does it take to infuse into the multitude a little common sense! It is even probable that this happy revolution originated from mere necessity, rather than from choice; for the boys who had been trained to act female characters before the Rebellion, during the present suspension of the theatre, had grown too masculine to resume their tender office at the Restoration; and, as the same poot observes,

'Doubting we should never play agen, We have play'd all our women into men;'

so that the introduction of women was the mere result of mecessity:—hence all these apologies for the most natural

ernament of the stage.

This volume of Reynolds seems to have been the shadow and precursor of one of the most substantial of literary monsters, in the tremendous 'Histriomastix, or the Player's Scourge,' of Pryane, in 1833. In that volume, of more than a thousand closely printed quarto pages, all that was ever written against plays and players, perhaps, may be found: what followed, could only have been transcripts from a genius who could raise at once the Mountain and the Mouse. Yet Collier, so late as in 1698, renewed the attack still more vigorously, and with final success; although he left room for Arthur Bedford a few years, afterwards, in his 'Evil and Danger of Stage plays:' in which extraordinary work he produced 'seven thousand mestances, taken out of plays of the present century;' and a catalogue of 'fourteen hundred texts of scripture, ridi-

culed by the age. This religious anti-dramatist mest have been more deeply read in the drama than swa is most fervent lovers. His piety pursued to deeply the study of such impious productions; and such labour were probably, not without more assumement than he ought to have found in them.

This stage persecution, which began in the reign of Elizabeth, had been necessarily resented by the theatroal people, and the fanatics were really objects to tempus for the traders in wit and satire to pass by. They had made themselves very marketable; and the permas, changing their character with the times, from Einzbet to Charles I, were often the Tartingsee of the stage. But when they became the government itself, in 1662, all the theatres were suppressed, because "stage plaies do not suit with seasons of humiliation; but fasting and praying have been found very effectual." This was but a mid can, and the suppression, at first, was only to be temporary. But as they gained strength, the hypocrite, who had at first only struck a gentle blow at the Theatre, with redocked vengeance buried it in its own ruins. Alexander Brome, a his verses on Richard Brome's comedies, disclose the secret motive.

Bishops and players, both suffer'd in one vote:
And reason good, for skey had cause to fear them;
One did suppress their schisms, and t' other JEER THEEL
Bishops were guiltiest, for they swell'd with riches;
T' other had nought but versee, songs and specches,
And by their ruin, the state did no more
But rob the spittle, and unrag the poor.'

They poured forth the long suppressed bitterness of the souls six years afterwards, in their ordinance of 1684, for the suppression of all stage plaies, and for the taking donall their boxes, stages, and seats whatsoever, that is them might be no more plays acted." 'Those proud parotise players' are described as 'a sort of superbious refisar; players' are described as 'a sort of superbious refisar; and, because sometimes the asses are clothed in host skins, the dolts imagine themselves somebody, and wals in as great state as Cosear.' This ordinance against been, stages, and seats,' was without a metaphor, a war of tremination. They passed their ploughshare over the isof the drama, and sowed it with their salt; and the spri which raged in the governing powers appeared in the deed of one of their followers. When an actor had be nourably surrendered himself in battle to this spanse 'saint,' he exclaimed, 'Cursed be he who doth the worf of the Lord negligently,' and shot his prisoner because is was an actor.

We find some necount of the dispersed actors in that curious morsel of 'Historia Histrionica,' preserved in the twelfth volume of Dodsley's Old Plays; full of the traditionary history of the Theatre, which the writer appears to have gleaned from the reminiscences of the old carainr, his father.

The actors were 'Malignants' to a man, if we except that 'wretched actor,' as Mr Gifford distinguishes had, who was, however, only such for his politics: and he pleaded hard for his treason, that he really was a presenterian, although an actor. Of these men, who had bred men sunshine of a court, and amidst taste and critican, many perished in the field, from their affection for ther royal master. Some sought humble occupations; and a few, who, by habits long indulged, and their own turn of mind, had hands too delicate to put to work, attempts often to entertain secret audiences, and were often dragged

to prison.

These disturbed audiences were too unpleasant to afort much employment to the actors. Prancis Kirknes, the author and bookseller, tells us they were often seized as by the soldiers, and stripped and fined at their pleasure. A curious circumstance occurred in the economy of these strolling theatricals: these seizures often deprived then it time, may be found among the stage directions of the time, may be found among the suits and the entrance, these; Enter the red cost—Exit has and check, which seve no doubt, considered not as the least precious parts of the whole living company: they were at length obliged to several the suits of the dramatical check of the

these; Enter the red cost—Esit hat end cleek, which we no doubt, considered not as the least prucious parts of the whole living company: they were at length obliged or substitute painted cloth for the splendid habits of the dram. At this epoch a great comic geniue, Robert Car, invested a poculiar sort of dramatic exhibition, suited to the necessities of the time, short pieces which he mixed who other amusements, that these might diaguiss the stiff. It was under the presence of sope deading, that he filed

the Red Bull playhouse, which was a large one, with such a confluence that as many went back for want of room as mered. The dramatic contrivance consisted of a combination of the richest comic scenes into one piece, from nation of the richest comic scenes into one piece, from Shakspeare, Marston, Shirley, &c. concealed under some taking title; and these pieces of plays were called 'Humouri' or 'Drolleries.' These have been collected by Manss, and reprinted by Krirkman, as put together by Cox, for the use of theatrical booths at the fairs.\* The argument prefixed to each piece serves as its plot; and drawn as most are from some of our dramas, these 'Drollerses' may still be said with great annuscement, and offer. lener may still be read with great amusement, and offer, sees altogether, an extraordinary specimen of our natural humour. The price this collection obtains among bookcollectors as excessive. In 'The bouncing Knight or the Robbers robbed' we recognize our old friend Falstaff, and his celebrated adventure: 'The Equal Match' is made out of Rule a Wife and have a Wife; and thus most. There are, however, some original pieces by Cox himself, which were the most popular favourites; being characters created by himself, for himself, from ancient farces: such were, 'The Humours of John Swabber, Simpleton the were, 'The Humours of John Swabber, Simpleton the Smith,' &c. These remind us of the extempore comedy and the pantominical characters of Italy, invented by actors of genius. This Cox was the delight of the city, the country, and the universities: assisted by the greatest actors of the time and the description. of the time, expelled from the theatre, it was he who still preserved alive, as if it were by stealth, the suppressed spirit of the drama. That he merited the distinctive epithe districtive epister of the districtive epister of the uncomparable Robert Cox, as Kirkman calls him, we can only judge by the memorial of our mimetic genius which will be best given in Kirkman's words. 'As meanly you may now think of these Drolls, they were then acted by the best comedians; and I may say, by some that they wreaded all may have the Expended all the statements. But income the Robert was th then exceeded all now living; the incomparable Robert Cox, who was not only the principal actor, but also the contriver and author of most of these farces. How have I heard him cried up for his John Swabber, and Simpleton the Smit; in which he being to appear with a large piece of bread and butter, I have frequently known several of the female speciators and auditors to long for it; and once that well-known natural Jack Adams of Clerkenwell, seeing him with bread and butter on the stage, and knowing him, cried out 'Cuz! Cuz! give me some!' to the great pleasure of the audience. And so naturally did he act the Smith's part, that being at a fair in a country town, and that farce being presented, the only master-smith of the town came to him, saying, 'Well, although your father speaks so ill of you, yet when the fair is done, if you will come and work with me I will some you treally a name a week more than I d you, yet when the tair is come, it you will come and work with me, I will give you twelve pence a week more than I give any other journyman.' Thus was he taken for a smith bred, that was, indeed, as much of any trade.'

To this low state the gloomy and exasperated fanatics, who had so often smarted under the satirical whips of the

To this low state the gloomy and exasperated fanatics, who had so often smarted under the satirical whips of the dramatists, had reduced the drama itself; without, however, extinguishing the talents of the players, or the finer ones of those who once derived their fame from that noble arena of genius, the English stage. At the first suspension of the thearte by the Long Parliament in 1642, they gave rent to their feelings in an admirable satire. About this time, 'petitions' to the parliament from various classes were put into vogue; multitudes were presented to the House from all parts of the country and from the city of London; and some of these were extraordinary. The parters, said to have been 15,000 in number, declaimed with great eloquence on the blood-sucking malignants for insulting the priviliges of parliament, and threatened to come to extremities, and make good the saying 'necessity has no law,' there was one from the beggars, who declared, that by means of the bishops and popish lords they

The title of this collection is 'The Wiss, or Sport upon Sport, in select pieces of Drollery, digested into scenes by way of Dialorue. Together with variety of Humours of several axions, fixed for the pleasure and content of all persons, either in Coun, City, Country, or Camp. The like never before published, printed for H. Marsh, 1662,' again printed for F. Kirkman's edition is prefixed a curious print representing the inside of a Bartholomew-fair theatre. Several characters are introduced. In the middle of the stage, a clown with a footly cap peeps out of the curtain with a lable from his south, 'Tu quoque,' which perhaps was a cant expression seed by clowns or foots. Then a changeling, a simpleton, a French dancing moster, Clause the beggar, Sir John Falstaff and hosses. Our notion of Falstaff by this print seems very different from that of our ancestors; their Falstaff is no extra-caganza of obesity, and he seems not to have required, to be Falstaff, so much 'stuffing' as ours does

knew not where to get bread; and we are told of a third from the tradesmen's toices, in London, headed by a brewer's wife: all these were encouraged by their party, and were alike 'most thankfully accented.'

alike 'most thankfully accepted.'
The satirists soon turned this new political trick of 'petitions,' into an instrument for their own purpose: we have 'Petitions of the Poets,'—of the House of Commons to the King,—Remonstrances to the Porters' Petition, &c. spirited political satires. One of these, the 'Players Petition to the Parliament,' after being so long silenced, that they might play again, is replete with sarcastic allusions. It may be found in that rare collection entitled 'Rump Songs, 1662,' but with the usual incorrectness of the press in that day. The following extract I have corrected by a manuscript copy:

'Now while you reign, our low petition craves
That we, the king's true subjects and your slaves,
May in our comic mirth and tragic rage
Set up the theatre, and show the stage;
This shop of truth and fancy, where we wow
Not to act any thing you disallow:
We will not dare at your strange votes to jeer,
Or personate King Prue\* with his state-fleer;
Aspiring Cataline shall be forgot,
Bloody Sejanus, or whoe'er could plot
Confusion 'gainst a state; the war betwirt
The parliament and just Harry the Sixth
Shall have no thought or mention, 'cause their power
Not only placed, but lost him in the Tower;
Nor will we parallel, with least suspicion,
Your synod with the Spanish inquisition.
All these, and such like maxims as may mar

All these, and such like maxims as may mar Your soaring plots, or show you what you are, We shall omit, lest our inventions shake them: Why should the men be wiser than you make them?

We think there should not such a difference be 'Twixt our profession and your quality; You meet, plot, act, talk high with minds immense; The like with us, but only we speak sense Inferior unto yours; we can tell how To depose kings, there we know more than you, Although not more than what we would; then we Likewise in our vast privilege agree; But that yours is the larger; and controls Not only lives and fortunes, but men's souls, Declaring by an enigmatic sense A privilege on each man's conscience, As if the trinity could not consent To save a soul but by the parliament. We make the people laugh at some strange show, And as they laugh at us, they do at you; Only i' the contrary we disagree, For you can make them cry faster than we. Your tragedies more real are express'd, You murder men in earnest, we in jest; There we come short! but if you follow thus, Some wise men fear you will come short of us. As humbly as we did begin, we pray,

As humbly as we did begin, we pray,
Dear schoolmasters, you'll give us leave to play
Quickly before the king comes; for we would
Be glad to say you've done a little good
Since ye have sat; your play is almost done
As well as ours—would it had ne'er begun!
But we shall find, ere the last act be spent,
Enter the King, exeant the Parliament.
And Heigh then up we go! who by the frown
Of guity members have been voted down,
Until a legal trial show us how
You used the king, and Heigh then up go you!
So pray your humble slaves with all their powers,
That when they have their due, you may have yours.

Such was the petition of the suppressed players in 1642; but, in 1653, their secret exultation appears although the stage was nor yet restored to them in some verses prefixed to RICHARD BROME'S Plays, by ALEXANDER BROME, which may close our little history. Alluding to the theatrical people, he moralizes on the fate of players;

' See the strange twirl of times! when such poor things Outlive the dates of parliaments or kings! This revolution makes exploded wit

\* Pym was then at the head of the common, and was usual ly deputed to address personally the motley petitioners. We have a curious speech he made to the tradesmen's wives is Echard's History of England, vol. II, 290 d by

Now see the fall of those that ruin'd it; And the condemned Stage hath now obtain'd To see her executioners arraign'd. There's nothing permanent: those high great men That rose from dust, to dust may fall again; And fate so orders things, that the same hour Sees the same man both in contempt and power; For the multitude, in whom the power doth lie, Do in one breath cry Hail! and Crucify.

At this period, though deprived of a Theatre, the taste At this period, though deprived of a Theatre, the taste for the drama was, perhaps, the more lively among its lovers; for, besides the performances already noticed, sometimes contrived at, and sometimes protected by bribery, in Oliver's time they stole into a practice of privately acting at noblemen's houses, particularly at Holiand house, at Kensington; and 'Alexander Goffe, the someon-actor, was the jackall, to give notice of time and place to the lovers of the drama,' according to the writer of 'Historia Histrionica.' The players, urged by their necessities, published several excellent manuscript plays, which they had hoarded in their dramatic exchequers as which they had boarded in their dramatic exchequers, as the sole property of their respective companies. In one year appeared fifty of these new plays. Of these dramas many have, no doubt, perished; for numerous titles are recorded, but the plays are not known: yet some may still remain in their manuscript state, in hands not capable of valueing them. All our old plays were the property of the actors, who bought them for their own companies. immortal works of Shakspeare had not descended to us, nad not Heminge and Condell felt no sympathy for the fame of their friend. They had been scattered and lost, and, perhaps, had not been discriminated among the numerous manuscript plays of that age. One more effort, during this suspension of the drama, was made in 1655, to recall the public attention to its productions. This was a very curious collection by John Cotgrave, entitled 'The English Treasury of Wit and Language, collected out of the most, and best, of our English Dramatic Poems.' It appears by Cotgrave's Preface, that 'The Dramatic Poems,' It Poems,' as he calls our tragedies and comedies, 'had been of late too much slighted.' He tells us how some, not of late too much slighted.' He tells us how some, not wanting in wit themselves, but 'through a stiff and obstinate prejudice, have, in this neglect, lost the benefit of many rich and useful observations; not duly considering, or be-lieving, that the framers of them were the most fluent and redundant wits that this age, or I think any other, ever knew.' He enters further into this just panegyric of our old dramatic writers, whose acquired knowledge in ancient and modern languages, and whose luxuriant fancies, which they derived from no other sources but their own native growth, are viewed to great advantage in Cotgrave's common places; and, perhaps, still more in Haywerd's 'Bri-tish Muse,' which collection was made under the supervisal, and by the valuable aid of Oldys, an experienced onterer of these relishing morsels.

#### DRINKING CUSTOMS IN ENGLAND.

The ancient Bacchus, as represented in gems and sta-tues, was a youthful and graceful divinity; he is so de-scribed by Ovid, and was so painted by Barry. He has the epithet of Psilas, or Wings, to express the light spirits which give wings to the soul. His voluptuousness was joyous and tender: and he was never viewed reeling with intoxication. According to Virgil:

> Et quocunque deus circum caput egit honestu Georg. II, 392.

which Dryden, contemplating on the red faced boorish boy astride on a barrel on our sign posts, tastelessly sinks into gross vulgarity:

'On whate'er side he turns his honest face. This latinism of honestum, even the literal inelegance of Davidson had spirit enough to translate, 'Where'er the god hath moved around his graceful head.' The hideous figure of chriety, in its most disgusting stage, the ancients exposed in the bestial Silenus and his crew; and with these rather than with the Ovidian and Virgilian deity, our own convivial customs have assimilated.

We shall, probably, outlive that custom of hard drinking, which was so long one of our national vices. The Frenchman, the Italian, and the Spaniard, only taste the luxury of the grape, but seem never to have indulged in set convivial parties, or drinking matches, as some of the northern people. Of this folly of ours, which was, how-ever, a borrowed one, and which lasted for two centuries, the history is curious: the variety of its modes and car toms; its freaks and extravagances; the technical language introduced to raise it into an art; and the inventions con-trived to animate the progress of the thursty souls of in volaries.

Nations, like individuals, in their intercourse are great imitators; and we have the authority of Camdea, who lived at the time, for asserting that 'the Engish in ther long wars in the Netherlands first learnt to drown thesselves with immoderate drinking, and by drinking other, healths to impair their own. Of all the northern nation, they had been before this most commended for their se-And the historian adds, ' that the vice had m diffused itself over the nation, that in our days it was first diffused tisen over the manage, and restrained by severe laws.'\*

Here we have the authority of a grave and judicious

historian for ascertaining the first period and even organ of this custom; and that the nation had not, bereish disgraced itself by such prevalent ebriety is also confirmed by one of those curious contemporary pamphlets of a popular writer, so invaluable to the philosophical antiquary. Tom Nash, a town wit of the reign of Elizabeth, long

before Camden wrote her history, in his 'Pierce Pens-lesse,' had detected the same origin.—'Superfusy a drink,' says this spirited writer, 'is a sin that ever sace we have mixed ourselves with the Low Countrie, is counted honourable; but before we knew their lingering wars, was held in that highest degree of hatred that might be. Then if we had seen a man go wallowing in he streets, or lain sleeping under the board, we should have spet at him, and warned all our friends out of his com-

Such was the fit source of this vile custom, which is further confirmed by the barbarous dialect it introduced into our language; all the terms of drinking which once abounded with us, are, without exception, of a base nothern origin.† But the best account I can find of all the refinements of this new science of potation, when it seems to have reached its height, is in our Tom Nash, who being himself one of these deep experimental philosophers, s likely to disclose all the mysteries of the craft.

\* Camden's History of Queen Elizabeth, Book III. Masy statutes against drunkenness, by way of prevention, passed in the reign of James I. Our law looks on this vice as an agrathe reign of James I. Our law looks on this vice as an agravation of any offence committed, not as an accuse for trainable and misbehaviour. See Blackstone, Book IV, C. 2, Sect. Ill. Mr. Gifford's Massinger, vol. Il, 438, is a note, to show that when we were young scholars, we soon equalled, if we do not surpass, our mesters. Mr Gilchrist there furnishes an extract from Bir Richard Baker's Chronicle, which traces the origin of this exotic custom to the source mentioned; but the whole passage from Baker is literally transcribed from Canadan. Camder

† Nash's Pierce Penilesse, 1595, Sig. F 2.
† These barbarous phrases are Dutch, Danish, or German.
The term skinker, a filler of wine, a butler or cup-bearer, at the complex of the compl cording to Phillips; and in taverns, as appears by our drama-tic poets, a drawer is Dutch; or according to Dr Nou, purey

Danish, from skenker.

Danish, from skenker.

Half-seas over, or nearly drunk, is likely to have bena proverbial phrase from the Dutch, applied to that sate of ebriety by an idea familiar with those water-rats. Thus, open, Dutch, means literally over-sea. Mr Gifford has receily told us in his Jonson, that it was a name given to a superlying beer introduced into England from the low-countries here op-zee or over-sea; and freezen in German, signifies to reallow greedily: from this vite alliance they compounded a harm term, often used in our old plays. Thus Jonson:

'I do not like the dulness of your eye,
It hath a heavy cast, 'ds upsee Dutch.'
Alchemiss, A. 4, 8, 2

And Fletcher has 'upsee-freeze;' which Dr Nott explains a his edition of Decker's Guil's Hornbook, as 'a tipsy drags, or swallowing liquor till drunk.' Mr Gifford says it was te name of Friesland beer; the meaning, however, was 'to drak

name of Friestand beer; the meaning, nowever, we winishly like a Duchman.'

We are indebted to the Danes for many of our terms of julky; such as a rouse and a carouse. Bir Gifford has given of only a new, but a very distinct explanation of these classical terms in his Massinger. 'A rouse was a large glass, in which a health was given, the drinking of which by the rest of the company formed a carouse. Barnaby Rich notices the crouse as an invention for which the first founder meried happing. It is necessary to add, that there could be no rouse, st rouse as an invention for which the first founder merice targing. It is necessary to add, that there could be no rouse, if carouse, unless the glasses were emptied. Although we have lost the terms, we have not lost the practice, as those whave the honour of dining in public parties are still grassed by the animating cry of 'gentlemen, charge your glasses.'

According to Blount's Glossographia, carouse is a corruption of two old German words, gar signifying all, and assis,

He says, 'Now, he is nobody that cannot drink super-nagulum; carouse the hunter's hoope; qualf upes freze trues; with healths, gloves, mumpes, frolickes, and a thou-sand such domineering inventions.'\*

Drinking super-nagulum, that is on the nail, is a device,

which Nash says is new come out of France; but it had probably a northern origin, for far northward it still exists. This new device consisted in this, that after a man, says Nash, hath turned up the bottom of the cup to drop it on his nail, and make a pearl with what is left, which if it shed, and cannot make it stand on, by reason there is too much, he must drink again for his penance.

mach, he must drink again for mis penance.

The ensum is also alluded to by Bishop Hall, in his sairical rossance of 'Mundus alter et idem,' 'A Discovery of a New World;' a work which probably Swift read, and did not forget. The Duko of Tenterbelly in his oration, when he drinks off his large goblet of twelve quarts on his election, exclaims, should be be false to their hws. Let never this goodly-formed goblet of wine go jovially through me; and then he set it to his mouth, stole it off every drop, save a little remainder, which he was by cus-tom to set upon his thumb's noil, and lick it off as he did.'

The phrase is in Fletcher:

## I am thine ad unguem

that is, he would drink with his friend to the last. manuscript letter of the times I find an account of Columbo the Spanish ambassador being at Oxford, and drinking healths to the Infanta. The writer adds, 'I shall not tell you how our doctors pledged healths to the Infanta and the archduchess; and if any left too big a snuff, Columbo

would cry, supernaculum! supernaculum?

This Bacchic freak seems stills preserved; for a recent traveller, Sir George Mackenzie, has noticed the custom in his travels through Iceland. 'His host having filled a subserver of the hand and with the head of the head of the custom in the state of the head of th in his travels through Iceland. 'His host having filled a silver cup to the brim, and put on the cover, then held it towards the person who sat next to him, and desired him to take off the cover, and look into the cup; a ceremony intended to secure fair play in filling it. He drank our health, desiring to be excused from emptying the cup, on account of the indifferent state of his health; but we were informed at the same time that if any one of us should needed any part of the coremony, or full to invert the cut. glect any part of the ceremony, or full to meet the cup, placing the edge on one of the thumbe as a proof that we had swallowed every drop, the defaulter would be obliged by the laws of drinking to fill the cup again, and drink it off a second time. In spite of their utmost exertions, the penalty of a second draught was incurred by two of the company; we were dreading the consequences of having swallowed so much wine, and in terror lest the cup should be sent round again.

Carouse the hunter's hoop—' Carouse' has been already explained: the hunter's hoop alludes to the custom of hoops expianed: the hanter's hoop almoes to the custom of noops being marked on a drinking-pot, by which every man was to measure his draught. Shakespeare makes the jacobin Jack Cade, among his furious reformations, promise his friends that 'there shall be in England seven half-penny loaves sold for a penny; the three hooped-pot shall have ten heeps, and I will make it felony to drink small beer.' I have elsewhere observed that our modern Bacchanalians, whose feats are recorded by the bottle, and who insist on whose feats are recorded by the bottle, and who insist on an equality in their rival combats, may discover some ingenuity in that invention among our ancestors of their peg-tankards, of which a few may yet occasionally be found in Derbyshire;† the invention of an age less refined than out: so that to drink garauz is to drink all out: hence ca-

rouse.

\*\* Pierce Pennilesse, Sig. F 2, 1503.

\*\* These inventions for keeping every thirsty soul within bounds are alluded to by Tom Nash: I do not know that his with the pierce that the property of the pierce between the bounds are alleded to by Tom Nash: I do not know that his authority will be great as an antiquary, but the things them-selves he describes he had seen. He tells us that 'King Edgar because his subjects should not offend in swilling and bibbing as they did, caused certain Iron cups to be chained to every suntain and well-side; and at every vintner's door with Iron plus in them, to sint every man how much he should drink, and he who went beyond one of those pins forfeited a penny for every draught.'

and he who went beyond one of those pins forfeited a penny for every draught.'

Pegge, in his Anonymiana, has minutely described these peg-tankards, which confirms this secount of Nash, and nearly the antiquity of the custom. 'They have in the inside a row of exhi pins one above another, from top to bottom: the tankards hold two quarts, so that there is a gill of ale, i. e. half a pint of Winchester measure, between each pin. The first person that drank was to empty the tankard to the first peg or pin; the second was to empty to the next pin, &c, by which means the pins were so many measures to the compostators, making them all drink alike, or the same quantity; and as

the present, when we have heard of globular glasses and bottles, which by their shape cannot stand, but roll about the table; thus compelling the unfortunate Bacchanalian to drain the last drop, or expose his recreant sobriety.

We must have recourse again to our old friend Tom Nash, who acquaints us with some of 'the general rules and inventions for drinking, as good as printed precepts a statutes by act of parliament, that so from drunches of statutes by act of parliament, that go from drunkard to drunkard; as, still to keep your first man; not to leave any flocks in the bettom of the cup; to kneck the glass on your threath when you have done; to have some shoeing-horn thumb when you have done; to have some shoeingto pull on your wine, as a rasher on the coals or a red herring.

Sheeing-horns, sometimes called gloves, are also describ-ed by Bishop Hall in his 'Mundus alter et idem.' 'Then, sir, comes me up a service of sheeing-horns of all sorts; salt cakes, red herrings, anchovies, and gammon of bacon, and abundance of such pullers on. That famous surfeit of Rhenish and pickled herrings, which banquet proved so fatal to Robert Green, a congenial wit and associate of our Nash, was occasioned by these sheeing-horns.

Mussinger has given a curious list of 'a service of shoe-

ing-horns:

– I usher Such an unexpected dainty bit for breakfast As yet I never cook'd; 'tis not Botargo, Fried frogs, potatoes marrow'd, cavear, Carps' tongues, the pith of an English chine of beef, For our Italian delicate oil'd mushrooms. And yet a drawer-on too;\* and if you show not An appetite, and a strong one, I'll not say To eat it, but devour it, without grace too,
(For it will not stay a preface) I am ashamed,
And all my past provocatives will be jeer'd at.

Massinger, the Guardian A. 3, S. 3.

To knock the glass on the thumb, was to show they had performed their duty. Barnaby Rich describes this custom; after having drank, the president 'turned the bottom of the cup upwards, and in ostentation of his dexterity, gave it a fillip, to make it cry ting.'

They had among these 'domineering inventions' some

which we may imagine never took place, till they were told by 'the hollow cask,'

## 'How the waning night grew old.'

Such were flap-dragons, which were small combustible bodies fired at one end and floated in a glass of liquor, which an experienced toper swallowed unharmed, while yet blazing. Such is Dr Johnson's accurate description, who seems to have witnessed what he so well describes.

who seems to have winessed what he so wen describes."

the distance of the pins was such as to contain a large draught of liquor, the company would be very liable by this method to get drunk, especially when, if they drank short of the pin or beyond it, they were chiged to drink again. In archbishop Anselm's Canons, made in the council at London in 1103, priests are enjoined not to go to drinking-bouts, nor to drink to pegs. The words are 'Ut Presbyteri non eant ad potationes, nee ad Phanss bibant.' (Wilkins, vol. I, p. 882.) This shows the antiquity of this invention, which at least was as old as the

And yet a drawer-on too; [i. e. an incitement to appetite:
the phrase is yet in use. This drawer-on was also technically
termed a puller-on, and a shoeing-horn in drink.
On 'the Italian delicate oil'd mushrooms,' still a fevourite

On the Italian delicate on a mushrooms, sail a invocate dish with the Italians. I have to communicate some curious knowledge. In an original manuscript letter dated Hereford, 15 Nov. 1639, the name of the writer wanting, but evidently the composition of a physician who had travelled, I find that the dressing of Mushrooms was then a novelty. The learned the dressing of Mushrooms was then a novelty. The learned writer laments his error that he 'disdained to learn the cookery that occurred in my travels, by a sullen principle of mistaken devotion, and thus declined the great helps I had to enlarge and improve human diet. This was an age of medicine, when and improve human diet.' This was an age of medicine, when it was imagined that the health of mankind essentially depended on diet; and Mosset had written his curious book on this principle. Our writer, in noticine the passion of the Romans for mushrooms, which was called 'an imperial dish,' says, 'he had eaten it often at Sir Henry Wotton's table (our resident ambaesador at Venice,) always dressed by the inspection of his Dutch-Venetian Johana, or of Nic. Oudart, and truly it did deserve the old applause as I found it at his table; it was far beyond our English food. Neither did any of us find it of hard digestion, for we did not eat like Adamites, but as modest men would eat of musk-melons. If it were now law-ful to hold any kind of intelligence with Nic. Oudart, I would only sak him Sir Henry Wotton's art of dressing mushroome, and I hope that is not high treason.' Sloane MSS, 4292, † See Mr Douce's curious 'Illustrations- of Shakspeare,' Vol. I, 457: a gentleman more intimately conversant with our

When Falstaff says of Poins's acts of dexterity to ingratiate himself with the prince, that 'he drinks off candle-ends for flap-dragons, it seems that this was likewise one of these 'frolics,' for Nash notices that the liquor was 'to be stirred about with a candle's end to make it taste better, stirred about with a condie's end to make a taste vetter, and not to hold your peace while the pot is stirring,' no doubt to mark the intrepidity of the miserable 'skinker.' The most illustrious feat of all is one, however, described by Bishop Hall. If the drinker 'could put his finger into the flame of the candle without playing hit-liniss-I! he is held a sober man, however otherwise drunk he might be.'
This was considered as a trial of victory among these

canary birds, or bibbers of canary wine.\*

We have a very common expression to describe a man a state of chricty, that 'he is as drunk as a beast,' or that 'he is beastly drunk.' This is a libel on the brutes, for the vice of chricty is perfectly human. I think the phrase is peculiar to ourselves; and I imagine I have discovered its origin. When christy became first prevalent m our nation, during the reign of Elizabeth, it was a favourite notion among the writers of the time, and on which they have exhausted their fancy, that a man in the dif-ferent stages of ebricty showed the most vicious quality of different animals; or that a company of drunkards exhibited a collection of brutes, with their different charac-

'All dronkardes are beasts,' says George Gascoigne ma a curious treatise on them,\* and he proceeds in illustrating his proposition; but the satirist Nash has classified eight kinds of 'drunkards;' a fanciful sketch from the hand of a master in humour, and which could only have been composed by a close spectator of thier manners and habits.

The first is apo-drunk, and he leaps and sings and hollows and danceth for the heavens; the second is lyondriest, and he fings the pots about the house, calls the hostess w—e, breaks the glass-windows with his dagger, and is apt to quarrel with any man that speaks so him, the third is some-driest, heavy, lumpish, and sleeply, and cries for a little more drink and a few more clothes; the fourth is sheepe-drunk, wise in his own conceit when he cannot bring forth a right word; the fifth is maudlen-drunk, when a fellow will weep for kindness in the midst of his drink, and kiss you, saying, 'By God! captain, I love thee, go thy ways, thou does not think so often of me, as I do of thee: I would (if it pleased God) I could not love thee so well as I do,' and then he puts his finger in his eye and cries. The sixth is martin-drank, when a man is drunk, and drink himself. and drinks himself sober ere he stir; the seventh is goatdrunk, when in his drunkenness he hath no mind but on lechery. The eight is fox-drunk, when he is crafty-drunk, as many of the Dutchmen be, which will never bargain but when they are drunk. All these species, and more, I have seen practised in one company at one sitting : when I have been permitted to remain soher amongst them only to note their several humours. These beast-drunkards are characterised in a frontispiece to a curious tract on Drunkenness where the men are represented with heads of apes, swine, &c, & >.

A new era in this history of our drinking-parties oc-curred about the time of the Restoration, when politics heated their wine, and drunkenness and loyalty became more closely connected. As the puritanic coldness wore off, the people were perpetually, in 1650, warmed in drinking the king's health on their knees; and among various kinds of 'ranting cavalierism,' the cavaliers during Cromwell's usurpation usually put a crumb of bread into their glass, and before they drauk it off, with cautious ambiguity exclaimed, ' God send this crum well down!' which by the way preserves the orthoepy of that extraordinary man's name, and may be added to the instances adduced in the present volume 'On the orthography of proper names. We have a curious account of a drunken bout by some royalists, told by Whitelocke in his Memorials. It bore some resemblance to the drinking-party of Catiline: they

ancient domestic marners than, perhaps, any single individual

in the country.

This term is used in Bancroft's two books of Epigrams and Epitapha, 1639. I take it to have been an accepted one

† A delicate diet for daintie mouthde dronkardes, wherein the fowle abuse of common carowsing and quaffing with hartie draughtes is honestle admonished. By George Gascoigee,

mingled their own blood with their wine.\* After the Restoration, Burnet complains of the excess of convival loyal ty. Drinking the king's health was set up by too many as a distinguished mark of loyalty, and drew many insec great excess after his majesty's restoration.

#### LITERARY ANECDOTES.

A writer of penetration sees connexions in literary anece dotes which are not immediately perceived by others; in his hands anecdotes, even should they be familiar to us, are susceptible of deductions and inferences, which become novel and important truths. Facts of themselves are barren; it is when these facts pass through our reflections, and beit is when these facts pass through our reflections, and be-come interwoven with our feelings, or our reasonings, that they are the finest illustrations; that they assume the dig-nity of 'philosophy teaching by example,' that, in the moral world, they are what the wise system of Becon in-culcated in the natural knowledge deduced from exper-ments; the study of Nature in her operations. 'When examples are pointed out to us,' says Lord Bolingbroke, 'There is a kind of appeal, with which we are flattered, made to our senses, as well as to our understandings. The instruction comes then from our authority; we yield to fact, when we resist speculation.'

For this reason, writers and artists should, among their recreations, be forming a constant acquaintance with the history of their departed kindred. In literary biography a man of genius always finds something which relates to himself. The studies of artists because which relates to The studies of artists have a great uniformity, habits of life are monotonous. They have all and their habits of life are monotonous. They have all the same difficulties to encounter, although they do not all the same difficulties to encounter, amongst uses use and meet with the same glory. How many secrets may the man of genius learn from literary anecdotes! important secrets, which his friends will not convey to him. He traces the effects of similar studies; warned sometimes by traces the effects of similar studies; warned sometimes by sailures, and often animated by watching the incipient and shadowy attempts which closed in a great work. From one he learns in what manner he planned and corrected; from another he may overcome those obstacles which, perhaps, at that very moment make him rise in despair from his own unfinished labour. What perhaps he had in vain desired to know for half his life is revealed to him by a literary ancedes: and thus the amusements of incident rary anecdote; and thus the amusements of indolent hours may impart the vigour of study; as we find sometimes m the fruit we have taken for pleasure the medicine which restores our health. How superficial is that cry of some impertinent pretended geniuses of these times, who affect to exclaim, 'Give me no anecdotes of an author, but give to exclaim, 'Give me no anecdotes of an author, but give me his works!' I have often found the anecdotes more interesting than the works.

Dr Johnson devoted one of his periodical papers to a defence of anecdotes, and expresses himself thus on certain collectors of anecdotes: 'They are not always so happy as to select the most important. I know not well what advantage posterity can receive from the only circumstance by which Tickell has distinguished Addison from the rest of mankind,— the irregularity of his pulse; nor can I think mvself overpaid for the time spent in reading the life of Malherbe, by being enabled to relate, after the learned biographer, that Malherbe had two predominant opinions; one, that the looseness of a single woman might destroy all her boast of ancient descent; the other, that the French beggars made use, very improperly and barbarously, of the phrase noble gentlemen, because either word included the sense of both.

These just observations may, perhaps, be further illustrated by the following notices. Dr J. Warton has informed the world, that many of our poets have been handsome. This, certainly, neither concerns the world, not the concerns the world, in the concerns the world, in the concerns the world. the class of poets. It is trifling to tell us that Dr Johnson was accustomed 'to cut his nails to the quick.' I am not much gratified by being informed, that Menage wore a greater number of stockings than any other person, except-

\* I shall preserve the story in the words of Whitelocke : i'

"I shall preserve the story in the words of whitelocke; r was something ludicrous, as well as terrific.

From Berkshire (in May 1650) that five drunkards agreed to drink the king's health in their blood, and that each of them should cut off a piece of his buttock, and fry it upon the griditon, which was done by four of them, of whom one did bleed the state of the state was a fair to sand for a chiracter. so exceedingly, that they were fain to send for a chirurgeon, and so were discovered. The wife of one them hearing that and so were discovered. A new wife of one them, have her husband was amongst them, came to the room, and taking up a pair of tones laid about her, and so saved the cutting of her husband's flesh.' Whitelecke's Memorials, p. 453, so cod

† Burnet's Life of Sir Matthew Hale-

Digitized by GOOGLE

mg one, whose name I have really forgotten. The biogra-pher of Cujas, a celebrated lawyer, says, that two things were remerkable of this scholer. The first, that he studied on the floor, lying prostrate on a carpet, with his books that have an account to the control of the c about him; and secondly, that his perspiration exhaled an agreeable smell, which he used to inform his friends he had in common with Alexander the Great! This admirable biographer should have told us whether he frequently turned from his very uneasy attitude. Somebody informs se, that Guy Patin resembled Cicero, whose statue is preserved at Rome; on which he enters into a comparison of Patin with Cicero; but a man may resemble a statue of Cicro, and yet not Cicero. Baillet loads his life of Descartes with a thousand minutise, which less diagrace the philosopher than the biographer. Was it worth informing the public, that Descartes was very particular about his wigs; that he had them manufactured at Paris; and that he always kept four? That he wore green taffety in France: but that in Holland he quitted taffety for cloth; and that he was fond of omelets of eggs?

It is an odd observation of Clarendon in his own life, that 'Mr Chillingworth was of a stature little superior to rable biographer should have told us whether he frequently

that 'Mr Chillingworth was of a stature little superior to Mr Hales; and it was an age in which there were many great and wonderful men of THAT SIZE.' Lord Falkland, formerly Sir Lucius Carey, was of low stature and smaller than most men; and of Sidney Godolphin, 'There was never so great a mind and spirit contained in so little room; so that Lord Falkland used to say merrily, that he thought it was a great ingredient in his friendship for Mr Godolphin, that he was pleased to be found in his company where he was the proporer man.' This irrelevant obser-vation of Lord Clarendon is an instance where a great mind will sometimes draw inferences from accidental comoidences, and establish them into a general principle; as if the small size of the men had even the remotest connexion with their genius and their virtues. Perhaps, too, there was in this a tincture of the superstitions of the times: whatever it was, the fact ought not to have degra-ed the truth and dignity of historical narrative. We have waters who cannot discover the particulars which charac-

which who cannot discover the particulars which characters the Man,—their souls, like damp gun-powder, cannot ignite with the spark when it falls on them.

Yet of anecdotes which appear trifling, something may be alleged in their defence. It is certainly safer for some be alleged in their defence. It is certainly safer for some writers, to give us all they know, than to try their discernment for rejection. Let us sometimes recollect, that the page over which we toil will probably furnish materials for authors of happier talents. I would rather have a Brank with the page over which we toil will probably furnish materials for authors of happier talents. I would rather have a given many. or a Hawkins, appear heavy, cold, and prolix, than any thing material which concerns a Tillotson or a Johnson should be lost. It must also be confessed, that an anecdote, or a circumstance, which may appear inconsequen-tial to a reader, may bear some remote or latent connexion; a biographer who has long contemplated the character he records, sees many connections which escape an ordinary teader. Kippis, in closing the life of the diligent Dr Birch, las, from his own experience no doubt, formed an apology for that minute research, which some have thought this writer carried to excess. 'It may be alleged in our author's favour, that a man who has a deep and extensive acquaintance with a subject, often sees a connection and my rance in some smaller circumstances, which may not mmediately be discerned by others; and, on that account, may have reasons for inserting them, that will escape the notice of superficial minds.'

## CONDEMNED POETS.

I fatter myself that those readers who have taken any interest in my works have not conceived me to have been deficient in my works have not conceived me to nave been the deficient in the elevated feeling which, from early life, I have preserved for the great Literary character: if time weakens our enthusiasm, it is the coldness of age which creeps on us, but the principle is unalterable which inspired the sympathy. Who will not venerate those Master-spirite 'whose published labours advance the good of markind, and those books which are 'the precious life-markind, and those books which are 'the precious lifemankind, and those books which are 'the precious lifebood of a Master-spirit, imbalmed and treasured up on purpose to a life beyond life? But it has happened that I have more than once incurred the censure of the inconsiderate and the tasteless, for attempting to separate those writers who exist in a state of perpetual illusion; who live on querolously, which is an evil for themselves, and to no purpose of life, which is an evil to others. I have been stamed for exemplifying ' the illusions of writers in verse,'\*

\* Calamities of Authors, Vol. II, p. 312.

by the remarkable case of Percival Stockdale,\* who, after a condemned silence of nearly half a century, like a vivacious spectre throwing aside his shroud in galety, came forward a venerable man in his eightieth year, to assure us of the immortality of one of the worst poets of his age; and for this, wrote his own memoirs, which only proved, that when authors are troubled with a literary hallucination, and possess the unhappy talents of reasoning in their mad-ness, a little raillery, if it cannot cure, may serve at least

as a salutary regimen.

I shall illustrate the case of condemned authors who will I shall illustrate the case of condemned authors who will still be pleading after their trials, by a foreign dramatic writer. Among those incorrigible murmurers at public justice, not the least extraordinary was a Mr Peyraud de Beaussol, who, in 1775, had a tragedy, 'Lea Arsacides,' in six acts, printed, not as it was acted, as Fielding says, on the title-page of one of his comedies, but as it was

In a preface, this 'Sir Fretful,' more inimitable than that original, with all the gravity of a historical narrative, details the public conspiracy; and with all the pathetic touches of a shipwrecked mariner—the agones of his lite-

rary egotism.

He declares, that it is absurd for the town to condemn a piece which they can only know by the title, for heard it had never been! And yet he observes, with infinite naivete, 'My piece is as generally condemned as if the world had it all by heart.'

One of the great objections against this tragedy was its monstrous plan of six acts; this innovation did not lean

towards improvement in the minds of those who had endured the long sufferings of tragedies of the accepted size. But the author offers some solemn reasons to induce us to believe that six acts were so far from being too many, that the piece had been more perfect with a seventh! Mr de Beaussol had perhaps, been happy to have known, that other dramatists have considered, that the usual restrictions are detrimental to a grand genius. Nat. Loe, when too often drunk, and sometimes in Bedlam, wrote a play in twenty-five acts.

Our philosophical dramatist, from the constituent principles of the human mind, and the physical powers of man, and the French nation more particularly, deduces the origin of the Sublime, and the faculty of attention. The plan of his tragedy is agreeable to these principles: Monarchs, Queens, and Rivals, and every class of men;—it is therefore grand! and the acts can be listened to, and therefore it is not too long! It was the high opinion that he had formed of human nature and the French people, which at once terrified and excited him to finish a tragedy, which, he modestly adds, 'may not have the merit of any single one; but which one day will be discovered to include the labour bestowed on fifty!'

No great work was ever produced without a grand plan.

' Some critics,' says our author, ' have ventured to exsert that my six ac \_\_\_\_\_a casily be reduced '\_\_\_\_\_al five, without injury to the conduct : \_\_\_\_\_ five, o. To reply to this required a complete analysis of the tragedy, which, this required a complete analysis of the tragedy, remen, having been found more voluminous than the tragedy itself, he considerately 'published separately.' It would be curious to ascertain whether a single copy of the analysis of a condemned tragedy was ever sold. And yet this critical analysis was such an admirable and demonstrative criticism, that the author assures us that it proved the absolute impossibility, 'and the most absolute too,' that his piece could not suffer the slightest curtailment. It demonstrated more-that ' the gradation and the development of interest required necessarily seven Acts! but, from dread of carrying this innovation too far, the author omitted one Act which passed behind the scenes! † but which ought to have come in between the fifth and sixth! Another point is proved, that the attention of an audience, the physical powers of man, can be kept up with interest much longer than has been calculated : that his piece only takes up two hours and three quarters, or three hours at most, if some of the most impassioned parts were but declaimed rapidly.1

\* It first appeared in a Review of his 'Memoirs.'
† The words are 'Un derriere la scene.' I am not sure of the

† The words are 'Undertiere la scene.' I am not sure of the meaning, but an act behind the scenes would be perfectly in character with this dramatic bard.

† The exact reasoning of Sir Fretful, in the Critic, when Mrs Dangle thought his piece 'rather too long,' while he proves his play was 'a remarkably short play.'—'The first evening you can spare me three hours and a half, fill undertake to read.

26 Digitized by

Now we come to the history of all the disasters which happened at the acting of this tragedy. 'How can people complain that my piece is tedious, when, after the first act, they would never listen ten minutes to it? they attend to the first scenes, and even applaud one? Let me not be told, because these were sublime, and commanded the respect of the cabal raised against it; because there are other scenes far more sublime in the piece, which they perpetually interrupted. Will it be believed, that they pitched upon the scene of the sacrifice of Volgesie, as one of the most tedious?—the scene of Volgesie which as one of the most reduces :—the scene of vogeste which is the finest in my piece; not a verse, not a word in it, can be omitted!\* Every thing tends towards the catastrophe; and it reads in the closet as well as it would affect us on the stage. I was not, however, astonished at this: what men hear, and do not understand, is always tedious; and it was recited in so shocking a tone by the actress, was flurried by the turnult of the audience. She declaimwas nurried by the day of the paintering of the second not hear, among these fatiguing discordances (he means their own hissing.) nor separate the thoughts and words from the full chant which accompanied Madame, between two female rivals, as too comic; one of the pit, when an actress said Madame, cried out, 'Say Princesse! This disconcerted the actress. They also objected to the words a propos and mal apropos. Yet, after all, how are there too many Madames in the piece, since they do not amount to forty-six in the course of forty-four scenes? Of these, however, I have erased half.'
This historian of his own wrongheadedness proceeds,

with all the simplicity of this narrative, to describe the

Thus it was impossible to connect what they were hearing with what they had heard. In the short intervals of silence, the actors, who during the tumult, forgot their characters, tried with difficulty to recover their conception. The conspirators were prepared to a man; not only in their head, but some with written notes had their watch words to set their party agoing. They seemed to act with words to set their parry agoing.
the most extraordinary concert; they seemed to know the
exact moment when they were to give the word, and
drown, in their hurly-burly, the voice of the actor, who
had a passionate part to declaim, and thus break the conmection between the speakers. All this produced so commection between the speakers. plete an effect that it seemed as if the actors themselves had been of the conspiracy, so wilful and so active was the execution of the plot. It was particularly during the fifth and sixth acts that the cabal was most outrageous; they knew these were the most beautiful, and deserved particular attention. Such a humming arose, that the actors seemed to have had their heads turned; some lost their voice, some declaimed at random, the prompter in vain cried out, nothing was heard and every thing was said; the actor who could not hear the catch-word, remained disconcerted and silent; the whole was broken, wrong and right; it was all Hebrew. Nor was this all; the actors behind the scene were terrified, and they either come forwards trembling, and only watching the signs of their brother actors, or would not venture to show themselves. The machinist only, with his seens shifters, who felt so deep an interest in the fate of my piece, was tranquil and attentive to his duty, to produce a fine effect. After the hurly-burly was over, he left the actors mute with their arms crossed. He opened the scenery! and not an actor could enter on it! The pit, more clamorous than ever, would not suffer the denouement! Such was the conduct, and such the intrepidity, of the army employed to besiege the Arsacides! Such the cause of

employed to besiege the Arsaches: Such the cause of that accusation of tediousness made against a drama, which has most evidently the contrary defect! Such is the history of a damned dramatist, written by himself, with a truth and simplicity worthy of a happier fate. It is admirable to see a man, who was himself so deeply involved in the event, preserve the observing calmwhich could discover the minutest occurrence; and,

you the whole, from beginning to end, with the prologue and epilogue, and allow time for the music between the acts. The wasch here, you know, is the critic.'

\* Again Sir Freful; when Dangle 'ventures to suggest that the interest rather falls off in the fifth act;'—'Rises, I believe you mean, sir;'—'No, I don't, upon my word.'—'Yes, year 60, upon my soul; it certainly don't fall off; no, no, it ten't fall off.'

allowing for his particular conception of the cause, detailsing them with the most rigid veracity. This author was unquestionably a man of the most honourable probity, and not destitute of intellectual ability; but he must serve as a useful example of that wroncheaded nature in account a useful example of that wrongheaded nature is so men, which has produced so many 'Abbots of Unreaso men, which has produced as many accounts by a seciprocation of arguments; who, assuming false principles, acc rightly according to themselves; a sort of rational lonacy, which, when it discovers itself in politics and religion, and in the more common affairs of life, has produced the most unhappy effects; but this fanaticism, when confined to poetry, only amuses us with the ludicrous; and, in the persons of Monsieur De Beaussol, and of Percival Stockdale, may offer some very fortunate self-recollection that calamity of authors, which I have called 'The Illusions of Writers in Verse.'

#### ACAJOU AND MIRPHILE.

As a literary curiosity, and as a supplemental anecdote to the article of Parraces, \* I cannot pass over the sup-pressed preface to the "Acajou et Zirphile," of Du Clos, which of itself is almost a singular instance of hardy in genuity, in an address to the public.

This single volume is one of the most whimsical of fairy tales, and an amusing satire, originating in an odd circumstance. Count Tesain, the Swedish Ambassador at the Court of France, had a number of grotesque designs made by Boucher, the king's painter, and engraved by the first artists. The last plate had just been finished when the count was recalled, and appointed Prime Minister and Governor to the Crown Prince, a place he filled with great honour; and in emulation of Fenelon, composed letters on the Education of a Prince, which have been translated. He left behind him in France all the plates in the hands of Boucher, who having shown them to Du Clos for their singular invention, regretted that he had bestowed so much fancy on a fairy tale, which was not to be had; Du Clos. to relieve his regrets, offered to invent a tale to correspond with these grotesque subjects. This seemed not a little difficult. In the first plate, the author appears in his mora-ing gown, writing in his study, surrounded by apes, rats, butterflies, and smoke. In another, a Prince is drest in French costume of 1740, strolling full of thought in 'the shady walks of ideas.' In a third plate, the Prince is shady walks of ideas.' conversing with a fairy who rises out of a gooseberry which he had plucked: two dwarfs discovered in an other he had plucked: two dwarfs discovered in an other gooseherry, give a sharp fillip to the Prince, who seems much embarrassed by their tiny maliciousness. In an-other walk he eats an apricot, which opens with the most beautiful of faces, a little melanchoy, and leaning on one side. In another print, he finds the body of this lovely face and the hands, and he adroitly joins them together. Such was the set of these incomprehensible and capricious inventions, which the lighter fancy and ingenuity of Du Clos converted into a fairy story, full of pleasantry and

Among the novelties of this small volume, not the least remarkable is the dedication of this fairy romance to the public, which excited great attention, and charmed and provoked our author's fickle patron. Du Clos here openly ridicules, and dares his protector and his judge. hazardous attack was successful, and the author soon acquired the reputation which he afterwards maintained, of being a writer who little respected the common prejudices of the world. Freron replied by a long criticism, entitled 'Reponse du Public à l'Auteur d'Acajou; but its severity was not discovered in its length; so that the Public, who had been so keenly ridiculed, and so hardily braved in the light and sparkling page of the haughty Du Clos, preferred the caustic truths and the pleasant insult.

In this 'Epistle to the Public,' the author informs us

that, 'excited by example, and encouraged by the success he had often witnessed, he designed to write a piece of nonsense. He was only embarrassed by the choice of subpotterse. The was only entertained by the country ject. Politics, Morals, and Literature, were equally the same to me; but I found, strange to say, all these matters pre-occupied by persons who seem to have laboured with the same view. I found silly things in all kinds, and I saw myself under the necessity of adopting the reasonable

• Vol. I, p. 101.
† The plates of the original edition are in the quarto form
they have been poorly reduced in the common editions in
twelves. Digitized by GOOGLE

ones to become singular; so that I do not yet despair that we may one day discover truth, when we shall have anhausted all our errors.

'I first proposed to write down all erudition, to show the freedom and independence of genius, whose fertility is such as not to require borrowing any thing from foreign sources; but I observed that this had sunk into a mere common place, trite and trival, invented by indolence, sdopted by ignorance, and which adds nothing to genius.

'Mathematics, which has succeeded to erudition, be-

gins to be unfashionable; we know at present indeed that one may be as great a diszard in resolving a problem as in resoring a reading. Every thing is compatible with ge-ales, but nothing can give it!

' For the bel caprit, so much envied, so much sought after, it is almost as ridiculous to pretend to it, as it is dif-ficult to attain. Thus the scholar is contemmed, the mathematician tires, the man of wit and genius is hissed. What is to be done 1

Having told the whimsical origin of this tale, Du Clos continues; 'I do not know, my dear Public, if you will approve of my design; however, it appears to me ridiouloss cough to deserve your favour; for, to speak to you like a friend, you appear to unite all the stages of human life, only to experience all their cross accidents. You are a child to run after trifles; a youth when driven by your passions; and in mature age, you conclude you are wise, because your follies are of a more solemn nature, for you grow old only to dote; to talk at random, to act withesign, and to believe you judge, because you pronounce sentence.

'I respect you greatly; I esteem you but little; you are not worthy of being loved. These are my sentiments respecting you; if you insist on others from me in that

'I am,
'Your most humble and obedient servant.'

The caustic pleasantry of this ' Epistle dedicatory' was considered by some mawkish critics so offensive, that when the editor of the 'Cabinet de Fées,' a vast collection of fairy tales, republished this little playful satire and whimsical fancy piece, he thought proper to cancel the 'Epistle; concluding that it was entirely wanting in that respect with which the public ought to be addressed! This editor, of course was a Frenchman: we view him in the ridiculous attude of making his profound bow, and expressing all this 'high consideration' for this same 'Public,' while, with his opera hat in his hand, he is sweeping away the most poignant and delectable page of Acajou and Zirphile.

## TOM O' BEDLAMS.

The history of a race of singular mendicants, known by the name of Tom o' Bedlams, connects itself with that of our poetry. Not only will they live with our language, sace Shakspeare, has perpetuated their existence, but they themselves appear to have been the occasion of cre-ating a species of wild fantastic poetry, peculiar to our

Bethlem Hospital formed, in its original institution, a contracted and penurious charity; its governors soon discovered that the metropolis furnished them with more lunatics than they had calculated on; they also required from the friends of the patients a weekly stipend, besides clothing. It is a melancholy fact to record in the history of human nature, that when one of their original regulatons prescribed that persons who put in patients should provide their clothes, it was soon observed that the poor lucatics were frequently perishing by the omission of this slight duty from those former friends; so soon forgotten were they whom none found an interest to recollect .-They were obliged to open contributions to provide a

In consequence of the limited resources of the Hospital, they relieved the establishment by frequently discharging aueuts whose cure might be very equivocal. Harmless unatics thrown thus into the world, often without a single friend, wandered about the country, chanting wild ditties, and wearing a fantastical dress to attract the notice of the charitable, on whose aims they lived. They had a kind of comme, which I find described by Randle Holme in a cutions and extraordinary work.†

Slowe's Survey of London, Book I.
 The Academy of Armory, Book II, c. 8, p. 161. This is a singular work, where the writer has contrived to turn the barren subjects of Heraldy into an entertaining Encyclopedia,

\* The Bedlam has a long staff, and a cow or ox horn by his side; his clothing fantastic and ridiculous; for being madman, he is madly decked and dressed all over with rubins (ribands,) feathers, cuttings of cloth, and what not, to make him seem a madman, or one distracted, when be is no other than a wandering and dissembling knave.' This writer here points out one of the grievances resulting from licensing even harmless lunatics to roam about the country; for a set of pretended madmen, called 'Abram men, a cant term for certain sturdy rogues, concealed themselves in their costume, covered the country, and pleaded the privileged denomination when detected in their depredations.'\*

Sir Walter Scott first obligingly suggested to me that these roving lunatics were out door pensioners of Bedlam, rent about to live as well as they could with the pittance granted by the hospital.

The fullest account that I have obtained of these singular persons is drawn from the manuscript note transcrib from some of Aubrey's papers, which I have not seen

' Till the breaking out of the civil wars, Tom o' Bedlams did travel about the country; they had been poor distracted men, that had been put into Bedlam, where, recovering some soherness, they were licentiated to go a begging; a. they had on their left arm an armilla, an iron ring for the arm, about four inches long as printed in some works,? They could not get it off; they were about their necks a great horn of an ox in a string or bawdry, which, when they came to a house, they did wind, and they put the drink given to them into this horn, whereto they put a stop-ple. Since the wars I do not remember to have seen any

containing much curious knowledge on almost every subject but this follow more particularly exhibits the most copious vo cabulary of old English terms. It has been said that there are not more than twelve copies extant of this very rare work,

which is probably not true.

In that curious source of our domestic history, the 'English Villanies of Decker, we find a lively description of the 'Abram' Cove,' or Abram man, the impostor who personated a Tom o Bedlam. He was terribly disgussed with his grotesque rags, his staff, his knotted hair, and with the more disgusting contrivances to excite pity, still practised among a class of our mendicants, who, in their cant language, are still said to sham Abraham.' This impostor was, therefore, as suited his purpose and the place, capable of working on the sympathy, by uttering a silly maunding, or demanding of charity, or terrifying the easy fears of women, children, and domestics as he wandered up and down the country: they refused nothing to a being who was as torrific to them as "Robin Good-fellow," or 'Raw-head and bloody-bones.' Thue, as Edgar expresses it, 'sometimes with lunatic bans, sometimes with prayers, 'the gestures of this impostor were 'a counterfeit puppet-play: they came with a hollow noise, whooping, leaping, gambolling, wildy dancing, with a flerce or distracted look.' These sturdy memblicants were called 'Tom of Bellam's band of mad-caps,' Villanies' of Decker, we find a lively description of the 'Abrast wildly dancing, with a fierce or distracted ROME. A nesse stately meakicants were called 'Tom of Bedlam's band of mad-caps,' Decker has preserved mendicants were cancu. A thin or bediann a bank or induscape, or 'Poor Tom's flock of wild geese.' Decker has preserved their 'Maund,' or begging—'Good worship master, bestow

their 'Maund,' or begging—'Good worship master, bestow your reward on a poor man that hath been in Bedlam without Bishopgate, three years, four months, and nine days, and bestow one piece of small silver towards his fees, which he is Indebted there, of 34, 13e, 71.2d,' (or to such effect.)

Or, 'Now dame, well and wisely, what will you give poor Tom? One pound of your sheep's feathers to make poor Tom a blanket? or one cutting of your sow's side, no bigger than my arm; or one piece of your salt meat to make poor Tom a sharing horn: or one cross of your small silver, towards a pair my arm; or one piece of your sain meat to make poor Tom a sharing horn; or one cross of your small silver, towards a pair of shoes; well and wisely, give poor Tom an old sheet to keep him from the cold; or an old doublet and jerkin of my masher's; well and wisely, God save the king and his council. Such is a history drawn from the very archives of mendicity and imposture; and written perhaps as far back as the reign of James I; but which prevailed in that of Elizabeth, as Shakor sames 1; but which prevailed in that of Elizabeth, as Shakspeare has so finely shown in his Edgar. This maund, and
these assumed manners and costume, I should not have preserved from their utter penury, but such was the rude material
which Shakepeare has worked up into that most fanciful and
richest vein of native poetry, which pervades the character of
the wandering Edgar, tormented by 'the foul fiend,' when

-bethought

To take the basest and most poorest shape That ever penury, in contempt of man, Brought near to beast.

And the post proceeds with a minute picture of 'Bedlam beg gars.' See Lear, A. II, S. 3.

† Aubrey's information is perfectly correct; for those impostors who assumed the character of Tom o' Bedlams for their own nefarious purposes used to have a mark burnt in their arms, which they showed as the mark of Bedlam. 'The English Villanies of Decker,' C. 17, 1648.

Digitized by GOOGLE

case of them.' The civil wars, probably, cleared the country of all sorts of vagabonds; but among the royalists or the parliamentarians, we did not know that in their rask and file they had so many Tom o' Bedlams.

I have now to explain something in the character of Edgar in Lear, on which the commentators seem to have increasingly blundered from an important handled of the

ingeniously blundered, from an imperfect knowledge of the

character which Edgar personates.

Edgar, in wandering about the country for a safe dis-uise, assumes the character of these Tom o' Bedlams; e thus closes one of his distracted speeches, 'Poor Tom,
Thy horn is dry!' On this Johnson is content to inform Thy horn is dry! us, that men that begged under pretence of lunacy used us, that men that begged under pretence of lunacy used formerly to carry a horn and blow it through the streets.\(^2\) This is no explanation of Edgar's allusion to the dryness of his horn. Steevens adds a fanciful note, that Edgar alludes to a proverbial expression Thy horn is dry, designed to express that a man had said all he could say; and further Steevens supposes that Edgar speaks these words uside; as if he had been quite weary of Tom o' Bedlam's part, and could not keep it up any longer. The reasons of all this conjectural criticism are a curious illustration of of all this conjectural criticism are a curious illustration of perverse ingenuity. Aubrey's manuscript note has shown us that the Bedlam's horn was also a drinking horn, and Edgar closes his speech in the perfection of the assumed character, and not as one who has grown weary of it, by making the mendicant lunatic desirous of departing from a beath, to march, as he cries 'to wakes, and fairs and market towns—Poor Tom! thy horn is dry!' as more likely places to solicit alms; and he is thinking of his drisk money, when he cries that 'his horn is dry!'.

An interest humans the chariter which distinct functions

An itinerant lunatic, chanting wild ditties, fancifully attired, gay with the simplicity of childhood, yet often moaning with the sorrows of a troubled man, a mixture of character at once grotesque and plaintive, became an interesting object to nestical minds. It is probable that the teresting object to poetical minds. It is probable that the character of Edgar, in the Lear of Shakspeare, first intro-It is probable that the duced the hazardous conception into the poetical world. Poems composed in the character of a Tom o Bedlam appear to have formed a fashionable class of poetry among the wits; they seem to have held together poetical cor tests, and some of these writers became celebrated for their successful efforts, for old Isaac Walton mentions a 'Mr. William Basse as one who has made the choice songs of the "Hunter in his career," and of "Tom o'Bedlam," and many others of note.' Bishop Percy, in his Reliques of ancient English Poetry, has preserved six of what he calls 'Mad Songs,' expressing his surprise that the English should have more songs and ballads on the subject of madness than any of their neighbours,' for such are not found in the collections of songs of the French, Italian, &c, and nearly insinuates, for their cause, that we are perhaps more liable to the calamity of madness than other nations. This superfluous criticism had been spared had that elegant collector been aware of the circumstance which had produced this class of poems, and recollected the more ancient original in the Egdar of Shakspeare. Some of the Mad Songs, the Bishop has preserved, are of too modern a date to suit the title of his work; being written by Tom D'Urfey, for his comedies of Don Quixote. I shall preserve one of more ancient date, fraught with all the wild spirit of this peculiar charactor.\*

This poem must not be read without a perpetual referenc to the personated character. Delirious and fantastic, strokes of sublime imagination are mixed with familiar comic humour, and even degraded by the cant language; for the gipsy habits of life of these 'Tom o' Bedlams' had confounded them with 'the progging Abram men.' These luckless beings are described by Decker as sometimes extuckiess beings are described by Decker as sometimes ex-ceeding merry, and could do nothing but sing songs fash-ioned out of their own brain; now they danced, now they would do nothing but laugh and weep, or were dogged and sullen both in look and speech. All they did, all they sung, was alike unconnected; indicative of the desultory

and rambling wits of the chanter.

## A TOM-A-BEDLAM SONG.

From the hag and hungry goblin That into rags would rend ye, All the spirits that stand By the naked man, In the book of moons defend ye!

\*I discovered the present in a very scarce collection, enti-ted 'Wit and Drollery,' 1861; an edition, however, which is not the earliest of this once fashionable miscellany.

That of your five sound se You never be forsaken ; Nor travel from Yourselves with Tom Abroad, to beg your bacon. CHORUS.

Nor never sing any food and feeling, Money, drink, or cloathing; Come dame or maid, Be not afraid, For Tom will injure nothing.

Of thirty bare years have I Twice twenty been enraged; And of forty been Three times fifteen

In durance soundly caged. In the lovely lofts of Bedlam, In stubble soft and dainty, Brave bracelets strong, Sweet whips ding, dong, And a wholesome hunger plenty.

With a thought I took for Maudlin, And a cruise of cockle pottage, And a thing thus—tall,

Sky bless you all, I fell into this dotage. I slept not till the Conquest; Till then I never waked; Till the roguish boy

Of love where I lay, Me found, and stript me naked. When short I have shorn my sow's face, And swigg'd my horned barrel; In an oaken Inn

Do I pawn my skin, As a suit of gilt apparel: The morn's my constant mistres And the lovely owl my morrow;

The flaming drake, And the night-crow, make Me music, to my sorrow.

The palsie plague these pounces When I prig your pigs or pullen;

Your culvers take Or mateless make Your chanticlear and sullen ;

When I want provant with Humphrey I see, And when benighted, To repose in Paul's With waking souls I never am affrighted.

I know more than Apollo, For, oft when he lies sleeping, I behold the stars

At mortal wars, And the rounded welkin weeping; The moon embraces her shepherd And the Queen of Love her warrior; While the first does horn The stars of the morn,

And the next the heavenly farrier. With a heart of furlous fancies, Whereof I am commander:

With a burning spear, And a horse of air, To the wilderness I wander; With a knight of ghosts and shadows, I summoned am to Tourney: Ten leagues beyond

The wide world's end; Methinks it is no journey! The last stanza of this Bedlam song contains the seed of exquisite romance; a stanza worth many an admired

poem. INTRODUCTION OF TEA, COFFEE, AND CHOCOLATI.

It is said that the frozen Norwegians, on the first sight of roses dared not touch what they conceived were tree budding with fire : and the natives of Virginia, the first time they seized on a quantity of gunpowder, which belonged to the English colony, sowed it for grain, expecting to reap a plentiful crop of combustion by the next harves, to blow away the whole colony. In our own recollection, strange imaginations impeded the first period of Vaccination; when some families, terri-sed by the warning of a physician, conceived their race would end in a species of Minotaurs:

Semibovemque virum, semivirumque bovem,

We smile at the simplicity of the men of nature, for or mistaken notions at the first introduction among them ther miniates sources at the first introduction among them of exotic novelties; and yet, even in civilized Europe, how long a time those whose profession, or whose reputation, regulate public opinion, are influenced by vulgar prejudices, often diaguned under the imposing form of science! and when their indicrous absurdities and obstinate prejudices. dices enter into the matters of history, it is then we discover that they were only insponing on themselves and on

It is hardly credible that on the first introduction of the ese leaf, which now affords our daily refreshment; or the American leaf, whose sedative fumes made it so long a miveral favoratie; or the Arabian berry, whose aroma chiarates its European votaries; that the use of these barnless novelties should have spread consternation in the harniess novelties should have spread consternation in the nations of Europe, and have been anathematized by the terrors and the fictions of some of the learned. Yet this seems to have happened. Patin, who wrote so furiously against the introduction of antimony, spread the same alarm at the use of tea, which he calls 'l'impertinente assuranté du siecle.' In Germany, Hanneman consider-ed tea-dealers as immoral members of society, lying in mit to mer? nutrage and lives and le Phynean in his was for men's purses and lives; and Dr Duncan, in his treatise on hot liquors, suspected that the virtues attributed a were merely to encourage the importation.

Many virulent pamphlets were published against the use of this shrub, from various motives. In 1670 a Dutch writer says it was richculed in Holland under the name of hap-water. 'The progress of this famous plant,' says as ingenious writer, 'has been something like the progress of truth; suspected at first, though very palatable to those who had courage to taste it; resisted as it encroached; abused as its popularity seemed to spread; and es-tablishing its triumph at last, in cheering the whole land from the palace to the cottage, only by the slow and re-astless efforts of time and its own virtues."\*

The history of the Tea-shrub, written by Dr Lettsom, weally reterred to on this subject; I consider it little more than a plagiarism on Dr Short's learned and curious dissertation on Tea, 1730, 4to. Lettsom has superadded the solemn trifling of his moral and medical advice.

These now common beverages are all of recent origin in Europe; neither the ancients nor those of the middle ares tasted of this hazury. The first accounts we find of ages tasted of this haxury. The first accounts we find of the use of this shrub are the casual notices of travellers, who seem to have tasted it, and sometimes not to have hted it: a Russian Ambassador, in 1639, who resided at the Court of the Mogul, declined accepting a large present of tea for the Czar, 'as it would only incumber him with a commodity for which he had no use. The appearance of a black water and an acrid taste seems not to have recommended it to the German Olearlus in 1633. Dr Short has recorded an anecdote of a stratagem of the Dutch in their second voyage to China, by which they at first obther second voyage to China, by which they at his ob-tained their tea without disbursing money; they carried from home great store of dried sage, and bartered it with the Chinese for tea; and received three or four pounds of ta sir one of sage: but at length the Dutch could not ex-port sufficient quantity of sage to supply their demand. This fact, however, proves how deeply the imagination is concerned with our palate, for the Chinese, affected by the twic novelty, considered our sage to be more precious that their tea. then their tea.

The first introduction of tea into Europe is not ascerhined; according to the common accounts, it came into Eagland from Holland, in 1666, when Lord Arlington and d Ossory brought over a small quantity; the custom of draking tea became fashionable, and a pound weight ald then for sixty shillings. This account, however, is by so means satisfactory. I have heard of Oliver Cromwell's teapet in the possession of a collector, and this will demand the chronology of those writers who are perpetually copying the researches of others, without confirming or

Rast-India Companies, the honour of introducing its use ma Europe may be claimed by both. Dr Short conjec-

Axidst the rival contests of the Dutch and the English

tures that tea might have been known in England as far back as the reign of James I, for the first fleet set out in 1800; but, had the use of this shrub been known, the novelty had been chronicled among our dramatic writers, whose works are the annals of our prevalent tastes and humours. It is rather extraordinary that our East-India Company should not have discovered the use of this shrub in their early adventures; yet it certainly was not known in England so late as in 1641, for in a scarce 'Treatise of Warm Boer,' where the title indicates the author's design to recommend hot in preference to cold drinks, he refers to tea only by quoting the Jesuit Maffei's account, that 'they of China do for the most part drink the strained liquor of an herb called Chan, hot.' The word Chis is the quor of an herb called Chao, not." The word Chas is the Portuguese term for tea retained to this day, which they borrowed from the Japanese; while our intercourse with the Chimese made us no doubt adopt their term Thek, now prevalent throughout Europe, with the exception of the Portuguese. The Chimese origin is still preserved in the term Behea, tea which comes from the country of Vould; and that of Hyson was the name of the most considerable Chinese then concerned in the trade.

The best account of the early use, and the prices of tea in England, appears in the hand-bill of one who may be called our first Transaker. This curious hand-bill bears no date, but as Hanway ascertained that the price was sixty shillings in 1660, this bill must have been dispersed

about that period.

Thomas Garway in Exchange-alley, tobacconist and coffee-man, was the first who sold and retailed tea, recommending it for the cure of all disorders. The following shop-bill is more curious than any historical account we have.

Tea in England hath been sold in the leaf for six pounds, and sometimes for ten potteds the pound weight, and in respect of its former scarceness and dearness i hath been only used as a regalia in high treatments and nain been only used as a regain in high treatments and entertainments, and presents made thereof to princes and grandees till the year 1667. The said Garway did purchase a quantity thereof, and first publicly sold the said tea in leaf or drink, made according to the directions of the most knowing merchants into those Eastern countries. On the knowledge of the said Garway's continued care and industry in obtaining the best tea, and making drink thereof, very many nublemen, physicians, merchants, &c, have ever since sent to him for the said leaf, and daily resort to his house to drink the drink thereof. He sells tea from 16s to 50s a pound.

Probably, tea was not in general use domestically so late as in 1887; for in the diary of Henry, Earl of Claren-don, he registers that 'Pere Couplet suppod with me, and after supper we had tea, which he said was really as good as any he had drank in China. Had his lordship been in the general habit of drinking tea, he had not, probably,

made it a subject for his diary.

While the honour of introducing tea may be disputed between the English and the Dutch, that of coffee remains between the English and the French. Yet an Italian intended to have occupied the place of honour; that admirable traveller Pietro della Valle, writing from Constantinople, 1815, to a Roman, his fellow-countryman, informing him, that he should teach Europe in what manner the Turks took what he calls 'Cakus,' or as the word is writed to be constant to the calls of the call of the ca ten in an Arabic and English pamphlet, printed at Oxford 1569, on 'the nature of the drink Kashi or Coffee.' As this celebrated traveller lived in 1652, it may excite surprise that the first cup of coffee was not drank at Rome: this remains for the discovery of some member of the 'Arcadian Society.' Our own Purchas, at the time that Valle wrote, was also 'a Pilgrim,' and well knew what was 'Coffa,' which 'they drank as bot as they can endure the in the health and the statement and the statement. it; it is as black as soot, and tastes not much unlike it, good they say for digestion and mirth.

good ney say for digestion and mirror.

It appears by Le Grand's 'Vie privée des Francois,'
that the celebrated Thevenot, in 1658, gave coffee after
dinner; but it was considered as the whim of a traveller; miner; but it was considered as the while of a traveler; neither the thing itself, nor its appearance, was inviting: it was probably attributed by the gay to the humour of a vain philosophical traveller. But ten years afterwards a Turkish ambassador at Paris made the beverage highly fashionable. The elegance of the equipage recommended it to the eye and charmed the women: the brilliant porcelain cups, in which it was poured; the naphins fringed with gold, and the Turkish slaves on their knees presenting it to the la-dies, seated on the ground on cushions turned the heads of

\* Edinburch Review, 1816, p. 117

the Parisian dames. This elegant introduction made the exotic beverage a subject of conversation, and in 1672, an Armenian at Paris at the fair-time opened a coffee-house. But the custom still prevailed to sell beer and wine, and to noke and mix with indifferent company in their first imperfect coffee houses. A Florentine, one Procope, celebratin his day as the arbiter of taste in this department, instructed by the error of the Armenian, invented a superior establishment, and introduced ices: he embellished his apartment, and those who had avoided the offensive coffee-houses, repaired to Procope's; where literary men, artists, and wits resorted, to inhale the fresh and fragrant steam. Le Grand says, that this establishment holds a distinguished place in the literary history of the times. It at the coffee-house of Du Laurent that Saurin, La Motte, Danchet, Boindin, Rousseau, &c, met; but the mild steams of the aromatic berry could not mollify the acerbity of so many rivals, and the witty malignity of Rousseau gave birth to those famous couplets on all the coffee-drinkers, which occasioned his misfortune and his benishment.

Such is the history of the first use of coffee and its houses at Paris. We, however, had the use before even the time of Thevenot; for an English Turkish merchant brought a Greek servant in 1652, who, knowing how to roast and make it, opened a house to sell it publicly. I have also discovered his hand-bill, in which he sets forth,

'The vertue of the coffee-drink, first publiquely made and sold in England, by Pasqua Rosee, in St Michael's Alley,

Cornhill, at the sign of his own head.'

For about twenty years after the introduction of coffee in this kingdom, we find a continued series of invectives against its adoption, both in medicinal and domestic views. The use of coffee, indeed seems to have excited more notice, and to have had a greater influence on the manners of the people, than that of tea. It seems at first to have been more universally used, as it still is on the Continent; and its use is connected with a resort for the idle and the curious: the history of coffee-houses is often that of the manners, the morals, and the politics, of a people. Even m its native country, the government discovered that ex-traordinary fact, and the use of the Arabian berry was more than once forbidden where it grows; for Ellis, in his 'History of Coffee,' 1774, refers to an Arabian Ms. in the King of France's library, which shows that coffee-houses in Asia were sometimes suppressed. The same fate happened on its introduction into England.

Among a number of poetical satires against the use of coffee, I find a curious exhibition, according to the exaggerated notions of that day, in 'A cup of Coffee, or Coffee in its colours,' 1663. The writer, like others of his contemporaries, wonders at the odd taste which could make

Coffee a substitute for Canary.

For men and Christians to turn Turks, and think To excuse the crime, because 'tis in their drink! Pure English apes! ye may, for ought I know, Would it but mode—learn to eat spiders too.\* Should any of your grandsires' ghosts appear In your wax-candle circles, and but hear The name of coffee so much called upon ; Then see it drank like scalding Phlegethon Would they not startle, think ye, all agreed 'Twas conjuration both in word and deed; Or Cataline's conspirators, as they stood Sealing their oaths in draughts of blackest blood? The merriest ghost of all your sires would say, Your wine's much worse since his last yesterday. He'd wonder how the club had given a hop O'er tavorn-bars into a farrier's shop,
Where he'd suppose, both by the smoke and stench, Bach man a horse, and each horse at his drench.

Sure you're no poets, nor their friends, for now, Should Jonson's strenuous spirit, or the rare Beaumont and Fletcher's in your rounds appear, They would not find the air perfumed with one Castilian drop, nor dew of Helicon; When they but men would speak as the Gods do.

• This witty poet was not without a degree of prescience; the luxury of eating spitlers has never indeed become 'modish,' but Mons. Lalande, the French astronomer, and one two humble imitators of the modern philosopher, have shown this triumph over vulgar projudices, and were Epicures. of this stamp.

They drank pure nectar as the Gods drink tee, Süblim'd with rich Canary—say shall then These less than coffee's self, these coffee-men These sons of nothing, that can hardly make Their broth, for laughing how the jest does take; Yet grin, and give ye for the vine's pure blood A loathsome potion, not yet understood, Syrup of soot, or essence of old shoes, Dasht with diurnals and the books of news.

Other complaints arose from the mixture of the cou in the first coffee-houses. In 'A broad-side against Coffe or the marriage of the Turk,' 1672, the writer indicates a

growth of the fashion:

'Confusion huddles all into one scene, Like Noah's ark, the clean and the unclean; For now, alas! the drench has credit got, And he's no gentleman who drinks it not.

That such a dwarf should rise to such a stature! But custom is but a remove from nature.'

In 'The Women's petition against Coffee,' 1664, they complained that 'it made men as unfruitful as the deserts whence that unhappy berry is said to be brought: that the offspring of our mighty ancestors would dwindle into a succession of apes and pigmies; and on a domestic message, a husband would stop by the way to drink a couple of cups of coffee.' It was now sold in convenient penny-worths; for in another poem in praise of a coffee-house, for the variety of information obtained there, it is called 'a penny university!

Amidst these contests of popular prejudices, between the lovers of forsaken Canary, and the terrors of our fe-males at the barrenness of an Arabian desert, which lasted for twenty years, at length the custom was universally established; nor were there wanting some reflecting minds desirous of introducing the use of this liquid among the labouring classes of society, to wean them from strong liquors. Howel, in noticing that curious philosophical traveller, Sir Henry Blount's 'Organon Salutia,' 1659, observed that 'this coffa-drink hath caused a great sobriety observed that this coffa-drink hath caused a great among all nations: formerly apprentices, clerks, &c., used to take their morning draughts in ale, beer, or wine, the morning draughts in ale, beer, or wine, play the good-fellows in this wakeful and civil drink. worthy gentleman Sir James Muddiford, who introduced the practice hereof first in London, deserves much respect of the whole nation. Here it appears, what is most proba-ble, that the use of this berry was introduced by other Turk-ish merchants, besides Edwards and his servant Pasqua. But the custom of drinking coffee among the labouring classee does not appear to have lasted; and when it was recessily even the cheapest beverage, the popular prejudices prevailed against it, and run in favour of tea. The contrary practice prevails on the continent, where beggars are viewed making their coffee in the street. I remember seeing the large body of shipwrights at Helvoetsluys summoned by a bell, to take their regular refreshment of cosfee; and the fleets of Holland were not then built by arms less robust than the fleets of Britain.

The frequenting of coffee houses is a custom which has declined within our recollection, since institutions of a higher character, and society itself, has so much improved within late years. These were, however, the common assemblies of all classes of society. The mercantile man, the man of letters, and the man of fashion, had their appropriate coffee houses. The Tatler dates from either to convey a character of his subject. In the reign of Charles II, 1675, a proclamation for some time shut them all up, having become the rendezvous of the politicians of that day. Roger North has given, in his Examen, a full account of this bold stroke; it was not done without some apparent respect to the British Constitution, the court affecting not to act against law, for the judges were sum-moned to a consultation, when, it seems, the five who met did not agree in opinion. But a decision was contrived that the retailing of coffee and tea might be an innocest trade; but as it was said to nourish sedition, spread lies, and scandalize great men, it might also be a common sus-sance. A general discontent, in consequence, as North acknowledges, took place, and emboldened the merchants and retailers of coffee and tea to petition; and permission was soon granted to open the houses to a certain period, under a severe admonition, that the masters should prevent all scandalous papers, books, and libels from being read in them; and hinder every person from spreading scanshow reports against the government. It must be con-fessed, all this must have frequently puzzled the coffee house master to decide what was scandalous, what book was it to be licensed to be read, and what political intelligence might be allowed to be communicated. The object of the government was, probably, to intimidate,

raths than to persecute, at that moment.

Chocolate the Spaniards brought from Mexico where, it was desominated Checcelletti; it was a coarse mixture of ground cacao and Indian corn with rocou; but the Spa-niards, liking its nourishment, improved it into a richer compound, with sugar, vanilla, and other aromatics. The immoderate use of chocolate, in the seventeenth century, was considered as so violent an inflamer of the passions, that Joan Fran. Rauch published a treatise against it, and enforced the necessity of forbidding the manks to drink it; and adds, that if such an interdiction had existed, the scandal with which that holy order had been branded might have proved more groundless. This Disputatio metic-dictation de acre et exculentis, nec-non de poté, Vi-casa, 1624, is a ners sois among collectors. This attack on the monks, as well as on chocolate, is said to be the cause of its scarcity; for we are told that they were so diagent in suppressing this treatise, that it is supposed not a dozen copies exist. We had chucolate houses in London long after coffee houses; they seemed to have associaled something more elegant and refined in their new term when the other had become common. Roger North thus inveighs against them: 'The use of coffee houses seems improved by a new invention, called chocolate houses, for the benefit of rooks and culties of quality, where gaming is added to all the rest, and the summons of W seldon fails; as if the devil had erected a new University, and those were the colleges of its professors, as well as his school of discipline.' Roger North, a high tory, and attorney general to James II, observed however, that these rendezvous were often not entirely composed of those 'fac-hous gentry he so much dreaded ;' for he says, ' This way ing time might have been stopped at first before people had possessed themselves of some convenience from them of meeting for short despatches, and passing evenings with small expenses. And old Aubroy, the small Boswell of his day, attributes his general acquaint-ance to 'the modern advantage of coffee houses in this great city, before which men knew not how to be acquainted but with their own relations, and societies: a curious statement, which proves the moral connexion with society of all sedentary recreations which induce the herding

## CHARLES THE FIRST'S LOVE OF THE FINE ARTS.

Herbert, the faithful attendant of Charles I, during the two last years of the king's life, mentions, 'a diamond seal with the king's arms engraved on it. The history of this 'diamond seal' is remarkable; and seems to have been recovered by the conjectural sagacity of Warburton, who here exercised his favourite talent with greater felicity. The curious passage I transcribe may be found in a manu-

script letter to Dr Birch.

'If you have read Herbert's account of the last days of Charles the First's life, you must remember he tells a story of a diamond seal, with the arms of England cut into it. of a damond seal, with the arms of England cut into it. This King Charles ordered to be given, I think, to the prince. I suppose you don't know what became of this seal, but would be surprised to find it afterwards in the Court of Persia. Yet there Tavernier certainly carried is, and offered it to sale, as I certainly collect from these words of vol. I, p. 541. "Me souvenant de ce qui etoit arrivé an Chevalier de Reville," &c. He tells us he told the Prime Minister what was engraved on the diamond the Prime Minister what was engraved on the diamond was the arms of a Prince of Europe, but, says he, I would not be more particular, remembering the case of Reville. Reville's case was this: he came to seek employment ader the Sophy, who asked him "where he had served ?"
He said, "in England under Charles I, and that he was
a captain in his guards."—"Why did you leave his sera captain in his guards."—" Why did you leave his service?" "He was murdered by crue! rebels."—" And how had you the impudence," says the Sophy, "to survive him?" And so diagraced him. Now Tavornier was afraid if he had said the arms of England had been on the seal, that they would have occasioned the inquiry into the old story. You will ask how Tavornier got this seal? I suppose, that the prince, in his necessities, sold it to Tavernier, who was at Paris when the English court was three. What made me recollect Herbert's account on

reading this, was the singularity of an impress cut on the diamond, which Tavernier represents as a most extraordinary rarity. Charles I was a great virtuoso, and delighted particularly in sculpture and painting.

This is an instance of conjectural evidence where a historical fact seems established on no other authority than the ingenuity of a student, exercised in his library on a private and secret event a century after it had occurred.

The diamond seal of Charles I, may, probably, be yet discovered in the treasures of the Persian Sovereign.

Warburton, who had ranged with keen delight through the age of Charles I, the noblest and the most humiliants in our own history, and in that of the world perpetually instructive, has justly observed the king's passion for the fine arts. It was indeed such that had the reign of Charles I, proved prosperous, that sovereign about 1640 would have anticipated those tastes, and even that enthusiasm,

which are still almost foreign to the nation.

The mind of Charles I was moulded by the Graces. His favourite Buckingham was probably a greater favourite, for those congenial tastes, and the frequent exhibition of those splended masks and entertainments, which combined all the picture of ballet dances, with the voice of music; the charms of the verse of Josson, the scenie machinery of Inigo Jones, and the variety of fanciful de-vices of Gerbier, the duke's architect, the bosons friend of Rubens. There was a costly magnificence in the felce at York House, the residence of Buckingham, of which few but curious researchers are aware: they eclipsed the splendour of the French Court; for Bassompiere, in one of his despatches, declares he had never witnessed a similar magnificence. He describes the vaulted apartments, the ballets at supper, which were proceeding between the services, with various representations, theatrical changes, and those of the tables, and the music; the duke's own contrivance, to prevent the inconvenience of pressure, by having a turning door made like that of the monasteries, which admitted only one person at a time. The following extract from a manuscript letter of the times conveys a lively account of one of these fetes.

Last Sunday at night, the duke's grace entertained their majesties and the French ambassader at York House, with great feasting and show, where all things came down in clouds; amongst which, one rare device was a representation of the French king and the two queens with their chiefest attendants, and so to the life, that the queens majesty could name them. It was four o'clock in the morning before they parted, and then the king and queen, together with the French ambassador, lodged there. Some estimate this entertainment at five or six thousand pounds.\* estimate this ontertainment at two or six thousand pounds. At another time, 'The king and queen were entertained at supper, at Gerbier, the duke's painter's house, which could not stand him in less than a thousand pounds.' Sir Symonds D'Ewes mentions banquets at 800%. The fullest account I have found of one of these entertainments, which at once show the curiosity of the scenical machinery, and the fancy of the poet, the richness of the crimson habits of the gentlemen, and the white dresses with white habits of the gentlemen, and the winte creases with winter heron's plumes and jewelled head bresses, and ropes of pearls of the ladies, was in a man acript letter of the times, with which I supplied the editor of Jonson, who has preserved the narrative in his memoirs of that poet. Such were the magnificent entertainments, says Mr. Gifford, in his introduction to Massinger, 'which, though modern refinement may affect to despise them, modern splendour never reached, even in thought.' That the exspiendour never reaction, even in thought. A nat the ex-penditure was costly, proves that the greater encourage-ment was offered to artists; nor should Buckingham be censured, as some will incline to, for this lavish expense; it was not unusual with the great nobility then; for the literary Duchess of Newcastle mentions that an entertainment of this sort, which the duke gave to Charles I, cost her lord between four and five thousand pounds. The ascetic puritan would indeed abhor these scenes; but their magnificence was also designed to infuse into the national character gentler feelings and more elegant tastos. They charmed even those fiercer republican spirits in their tender youth: MILTON owes his Arcades and his their tender youth: MILTON owes his Arcades and his delightful Commus to a mask at Ludlow Castle; and WHITELOCKE, who was himself an actor and manager, in 'a splendid royal mask of the four Inns of courts joining together' to go to court about the time that Pryme published his Histriomastix, 'to manifest the differ-

<sup>\*</sup> Sloans M88, 5176, letter \$67. † Mr Gifford's Memoire of Jonson, p. 68.

ence of their opinions from Mr. Prynne's new learning, —seems, even at a later day, when drawing up his 'Mo-morials of the English Affairs,' and occupied by graver concerns, to have dwelt with all the fondness of reminiscence on the stately shows and masks of his more innocent age; and has devoted in a chronicle which contracts many an important event in a single paragraph, six folio columns to a minute and very curious description of 'these dreams past, and these vanished pomps.'

Charles the First, indeed, not only possessed a critical

tact, but extensive knowledge in the fine arts and the relics of antiquity. In his flight in 1642, the king stopped at the abode of the religious family of the Farrars at Gidding, who had there raised a singular monastic institution among themselves. One of their favourite amusements had been to form an illustrated Bible, the wonder and the talk of the country. In turning it over, the king would tell his companion the Palsgrave, whose curiosity in prints exceeded his knowledge, the various masters, and the character of their inventions. When Panzani, a socret agent of the Pope, was sent over to England to promote the Catholic cause, the subtile and elegant Cardinal Barberini, called the protector of the English at Rome, introduced Panzani to the king's favour by making him appear an agent rather for procuring him fine pictures, statues, and curiosities; and the earnest inquiries and orders given by Charles I prove his perfect knowledge of the most beautiful existing remains of ancient art. 'The statues go on propperously,' says Cardinal Barberini in a letter to Mazarine, 'nor shall I hesitate to rob Rome of her most valuable ornaments, if in exchange we might be so happy as to have the King of England's name among those Princes who submit to the Apostolic Sec. Charles I was particularly urgent to procure a statue of Adonis in the Villa Ludovisia; every effort was made by the queen's confessor, Father Philips, and the vigilant Cardinal at Rome; but the mexorable Duchess of Fiano would not suffer it to be separated from her rich collection of statues and paintings, even for the chance conversion of a whole kingdom of heretics.\*

This monarch, who possessed ' four and twenty palaces, all of them elegantly and completely furnished, and formed very considerable collections. The value of pictures had doubled in Europe, by the emulation between our Charles and Philip IV of Spain, who was touched with the same elegant passion. When the rulers of fanaticism began their reign, 'all the king's furniture was put to sale; his pictures, disposed of at very low prices, enriched all the collections is Europe at the collections in the collections is the collections in the collections in the collections in the collections is the collections in the collection in the collections in the collection in the colle the collections in Europe: the cartoons when complete were only appraised at \$000, though the whole collection of the king's curiosities were sold at above 50,000t. † Hume adds, ' the very library and medals at St James's were intended by the generals to be brought to auction, in order to pay the arrears of some regiments of cavalry; but Selden, apprehensive of this loss, engaged his friend Whitelocke, then lord-keeper of the commonwealth, to apply for the office of librarian. This contrivance saved that valu-This account is only partly correct : the able collection. love of books, which formed the passion of the two learned scholars whom Hume notices, fortunately intervened to save the royal collection from the intended scattering; but the pictures and medals were, perhaps, objects too slight in the eyes of the book-learned; they were resigned to the singular fate of appraisement. After the Restora-tion very many books were missing, but scarcely a third part of the medals remained: of the strange manner in which these precious romains of ancient art and history were valued and disposed of, the following account may not be read without interest

In March 1648, the parliament ordered commissioners to be appointed to inventory the goods and personal estate of the late king, queen, and prince, and appraise them for the use of the public. And in April 1648, an act, adde Whitelocke, was committed, for inventorying the late king's goods, &c.1

This very inventory I have examined. It forms a mag.

\* See Gregorio Panzani's Memoirs of his agency in England. This work long lay in manuscript, and was only known to us in the Catholic Dodd's Church History, by partial extracts. It was at length translated from the Italian MS., and published by the Rev. Joseph Berington; a curious piece of

our own secret history,
† Hune's History of England, VII, 342. His authority is
the Parl. Hist. XIX, 83.

† Whitelocke's Memorials

nificent folio, of near a thousand pages, of an extraordinar dimension, bound in crimson velvet, and richly gilt, writ ten in a fair large hand, but with little knowledge of th ten in a tair large hand, but with little knowledge of the objects which the inventory writer describes. It is entitled 'An Inventory of the Goods, Jawels, Plate, &c, belonging to King Charles, I, sold by order of the Council of State, from the year 1649 to 1652.' So that from the decapitation of the king, a year was allowed to draw up the inventory; and the sale proceeded during three years.

From this manuscript catalogue? to give long extracts were useless: it has afforded however were researchable.

were useless; it has afforded, however some reobservations. Every article was appraised, nothing was sold under the affixed price, but a slight competition sometimes seemed to have raised the sum; and when the council of seemed to have raised the sum spraised, the gold and silver was sent to the Mint; and assuredly many fine works of art was evalued by the ounce. The names of the purchasers appear: they are usually English, but probably many were the agents of foreign courts. The come or medals were thrown promiscuously into drawers: one drawer, having twenty-four medals, was valued at 2l, 10s; another of twenty at 11; another of twenty-four at 11; and one drawer, containing forty-six silver coins with the box, was sold or 5t. On the whole, medals seem not to have been val-ued at much more than a shilling a piece. The appraises

was certainly no antiquary.

The king's curiosities in the Tower Jewel-house gen rally fetched above the price fixed; the toys of art could please the unlettered minds that had no conception of its works.

The temple of Jerusalem, made of ebony and amber, fetched 25/

A fountain of silver, for perfumed waters, artificially made to play of itself, sold for SOL

A chess board, said to be Queen Elizabeth's, inlaid with gold, silver, and pearls, 23%.

A conjuring drum from Lapland, with an almanac cut on a piece of wood.

Several sections in silver of a Turkish gallery, a Venetian gondola, an Indian cance, and a first rate man of

A Saxon king's mace used in war, with a ball full o spikes, and the handle covered with gold plates, and enamelled, sold for 371, 8s.

A gorget of massy gold, chased with the manner of a battle, weighing thirty-one ounces, at 31, 10s, per ounce, was sent to the Mint.

A Roman shield of buff leather, covered with a plate of gold, finely chased with a Gorgon's head, set round the rim with rubies, emeralds, turquouse stones, in number 137, 1324, 12s.

The pictures, taken from Whitehall, Windsor, Wimbledon, Greenwich, Hampton Court, &c, exhibit, in number, an unparalleled collection. By what standard they were valued, it would, perhaps, be difficult to conjecture; from 500 to 1000, seems to have been the limits of the appraiser's taste and imagination. Some whose price is whimsically low may have been thus rated, from a political feeling respecting the portrait of the person; there are, however, in this singular appraised catalogue, two pictures, which were rated at, and sold for, the remarkable sums of one and of two thousand pounds. The one was a sleeping Venus by Corregio, and the other a Madonna by Rap There was also a picture by Julio Romano, called 'The great piece of the Nativity,' at 500t. 'The little Madonna and Christ,' by Raphael, at 800t. 'The site Madonna and Parde,' by Titian, at 600t. These seem to have been the only pictures, in this immesse collection, which reached a picture's price. The inventory writer had, probably, been instructed by the public voice of their value; which, however, would in the present day, he considered much under a fourth. Rubens' Woman takes in Adultery, described as a large picture, sold for 20t; and his 'Peace and Plenty, with many figures hig as the life, for 1007. Titian's pictures seem generally valued at 1007.— Venus dressed by the Graces, by Guido, reached to 2007. The Cartoons of Raphael, here called 'The Acts of the

Apostles,' notwithstanding their subject was so congenial to the popular feelings, and only appraised at 300, could

ind no purchaser!

The following full lengths of celebrated personages were rated at these whimsical prices:

Queen Elizabeth, in her parliament robes, valued Il.

\* Harl MS. 4004. Digitized by GOOGLE The Queen mother in mourning habit, valued St. Bechanen's picture, valued St, 10s.

The King, when a youth in coats, valued 2l.
The picture of the Queen, when she was with child, sold for five shillings.

King Charles on horseback, by Sir Anthony Vandyke,

was purchased by Sir Balthazar Gerbier, at the appraised ce of 200/.

The greatest sums were produced by the tapestry and arms hangings, which were chiefly purchased for the service of the Protector. Their amount exceeds 30,000t. I bala a Go.

At Hampton Court, ten pieces of arras hangings of Abraham, containing 888 yards, at 10' a yard, 8260'. Ten piecle of Julius Cossar, 717, ells, at 71, 5019'.

One of the cloth of estates is thus described:

'Ose rich cloth of estate of purple velvet, embroidered with gold, having the arms of England within a garter, with all the furniture suitable thereunto. The state containing these stones following: two cameos or agates, twelve repoints, twelve ballases or garnets, one sapphire seated in chases of gold, one long pearl pendant, and many large and small pearls, valued at 500t, sold for 602t, 10s, to Mr

and small pearle, valued at 5004, sold for 6021, 10s, to Mr Oliver, 4 February, 1649. Was plain Mr Oliver, in 1649, who we see was one of the earlier purchasers, shortly after the Lord Protector? All the cloth of estate and arras hangings were afterwards purchased for the service of the Protector: and one may vonume to conjecture that when Mr Oliver purchased this 'rich cloth of estate,' it was not without a latent mo-tire of its service to the new owner.\*

There is one circumstance remarkable in the feeling of There is one circumstance remarkable in the feeling of Charles I for the fine arts: it was a passion without cetention or egotism; for although this monarch was inclined himself to participate in the pleasures of a creating artist, the king having handled the pencil and composed a poem; to heaver suffered his private dispositions to prevail over his more majestic duties. We do not discover in history that Charles I was a painter and a poet. Accident and secret history only reveal this softening feature in his grave and king-like character. Charles sought no glory from, but only indulged his love for art and the artists. There are three manuscripts on his art, by Leonardo de There are three manuscripts on his art, by Leonardo de Vmci, in the Ambrosian library, which bear an inscription that a King of England, in 1639, offered one thousand guness of gold for each. Charles, too, suggested to the two great painters of his age, the subjects he considered worthy of their pencile, and had for his 'closet-companions,' those native puets, for which he was consured in 'evil times,' and even by Milton!

Charles I, therefore, if ever he practised the arts he hard it was the constant of the constant of

lored, it may be conjectured, was impelled by the force of his feelings; his works or his touches, however unskilled, were at least their effusions, expressing the full langage of his soul. In his imprisonment at Carisbrook Castle, the author of the 'Kikon Basilike,' solaced his Usite, the author of the "Kitton Massiake, soiaced ms roval wees by composing a poem, entitled in the very style of this memorable volume, 'Majesty in Misery, or an imporation to the King of Kings;' and, like that volume, it contains stanzas franght with the most tender and solemn feting; such a subject, in the hands of such an author, was sere to produce poetry, although in the unpractised poet we may want the versifier. A few stanzas will illustrate this researching of part of his characters.

train this conception of part of his character:

The fercest feries that do daily tread Upon my grief, my gray discrowned head, Are those that owe my bounty for their bread.

'With my own power my majesty they wound; in the king's name, the king's himself uncrown'd; So doth the dust destroy the diamond.'

After a pathetic description of his queen, 'forced in pil-mage to seek a tomb,' and 'Great Britam's heir forced No France, where,

Poor child he weeps out his inheritance! Charles continues :

They promise to erect my royal stem;
To make me great, to advance my diadem
If I wil first fall down, and wership them!

But for refusal they devour my thrones,

Distress my children, and destroy my bones; I fear they'll force me to make bread of stones.

And implores, with a martyr's piety, the Saviour's forgives ness for those who were more misled than criminal:

'Such as thou know'st do not know what they do,'\*

As a poet and a painter, Charles is not popularly known, but this article was due, to preserve the memory of the royal votary's ardour and pure feelings for the love of the Fine Arts.

THE SECRET HISTORY OF CHARLES I, AND HIS QUEEN HEFRISTIA.

The secret history of Charles I, and his queen Henrietta of France, opens a different scene from the one exhibited

of France, opens a different scene from the one exhibited in the passionate drama of our history.

The king is accused of the most spiritless uxoriousness; and the chaste fondness of a husband is placed among his political errors. Even Hume conceives that his queen precipitated him into hasty and impru ent counsels, and Bishop Kennet had alluded to 'the influence of a stately queen over an affectionate husband.' The uxoriousness of Chaste in machad he all the mitter of a carteria material. of Charles is re-echoed by all the writers of a certain party.

This is an odium which the king's enemies first threw out
to make him contemptible; while his apologists imagined to make him contemprine; while he specially that, in perpetuating this accusation, they had discovered, in a weakness which has at least something amiable, some nalliation for his own political misconduct. The factious, palliation for his own political misconduct. The factious, too, by this aspersion, promoted the alarm they spread in the nation, of the king's inclination to popory; yet, on the contrary, Charles was then making a determined stand, and at length triumphed over a Catholic faction, which was ruling his queen; and this at the risk and menace of a war with France. Yet this firmness too has been denied him, even by his apologist Hume; that historian on his preconceived system imagined, that every action of Charles I originated in the Duke of Buckingham; and that the duke pursued his personal quarvel with Richellien. the duke pursued his personal quarrel with Richelieu, and taking advantage of these domestic quarrels, had per suaded Charles to dismiss the French attendants of the queen.‡

There are, fortunately, two letters from Charles I to Buckingham, preserved in the state-papers of Lord Hard-wicke, which set this point to rest: these decisively prove, that the whole matter originated with the king himself, and that the whole matter originated with the king himself, and that Buckingham had tried every effort to persuade him to the contrary; for the king complains, that he had been too long overcome by his persuasions, but that he was now 'resolved it must be done, and that shortly !'§

It is remarkable, that the character of a queen, who me imagined to have performed so active a part in our history, scarcely ever appears in it; when abroad, and when she returned to England, in the midst of a winter-storm, bringing all the aid she could to her unfortunate consort, those who witnessed this appearance of energy imagined that her character was equally powerful in the cabinet. Yet Henricita, after all, was nothing more than a volatile woman; one who had never studied, never reflected, and whom nature had formed to be charming and haughty, but whose vivacity could not retain even a state-scoret for an hour, and whose talents were quite opposite to those of deep political intrigue.

Henrietta viewed even the characters of great men with all the sensations of a woman. Describing the Earl of Strafford to a confidential friend, and having observed that he was a great man, she dwelt with far more interest on his person: 'Though not handsome,' said she, 'he was agreeable enough, and he had the finest hands of any man

agreeable enough, and he had the finest hands of any man

\* This poem is ornited in the great edition of the king's
works, published after the Restoration; and was given by
Burnet from a manuscript in his 'Memoirs of the Dukes of
Hamilton;' but it had been published in Perrenchief's 'Life
of Charles L'
† This article was composed without any recollection that a
part of the subject had been anticipated by Lord Orbird. In
he' Anacotose of Painting in England,' many curious particulars are noticed: the story of the king's diamond seal had
reached his lordeblp, and Vertue had a mutilated transcript of
the inventory of the king's pictures, &c., discovered in Moorfields; for, among others, more than thirty pages at the begianing, relating to the plate and jewels, were missing. The
manuscript in the Harlelan collection is perfect. Lord Orford
has also given an interesting anecdote to show the king's disconfirms the little anecdote I have related from the Farrars.

† Hume, vol. VI, p. 224.

† Lord Hardwicke's state-papers, II, 2, 2.

SIDOOL

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Some may be curious to learn the price of gold and silver but 1656. It appears by this manuscript inventory that the two sold at 4e, 11d, per oz : and gold at 3d, 10e; so that the what of these metals has little varied during the last contary at a half.

as the world. Landing at Burlington bay in Yorkshire, she lodged on the quay; the parliament's admiral barbaricularly pointed his cannon at the house; and several shot reaching it, her favourite, Jermyn requested her to fly; she safely reached a cavern in the fields, but, recollecting that she had left a lap dog asleep in its bed, she flow back, and, amidst the cannon-shot, returned with this other favourite. The queem related this incident of the lap dog to her friend Madame Motteville; these ladies considered it as a complete woman's victory. It is in these memoirs we find, that when Charles went down to the house, to seize on the five leading members of the opposition, the queen could not retain her lively temper, and impatiently habbled the plot; so that one of the ladies in attendance despatched a hasty note to the parties, who, as the king entered the house, had just time to leave it. Some have dated the ruin of his cause to the failure of that impolitic step, which alarmed every one zealous for that spirit of political freedom which had now grown up in the commons. Incidents like these mark the feminine dispositions of Henrietta. But when at sea, in danger of being taken by a parliamentarian, the queen commanded the captain not to strike, but to prepare at the axtremity to blow up the ship, resisting the shrieks of her females and domestics; we perceive how, on every trying occasion, Henrietta never forgot that she was the daughter of Henry IV; that glorious affinity was inherited by her with all the sexual pride; and hence, at times, that energy in her actions which was so far above her intellectual capacity.

And, indeed, when the awful events she had witnessed

And, indeed, when the awful events she had witnessed were one by one registered in her melancholy mind, the sensibility of the woman subdued the natural haughtiness of her character; but, true woman! the feeling creature of circumstances, at the Restoration she resumed it, and when the new court of Charles II would not endure her obsolete haughtiness, the dowager-queen left it in all the full bitterness of her spirit. An habitual gloom, and the meagerness of grief, during the commonwealth had changed a countenance once the most lively, and her eyes, whose dark and dazzling lustre was even celebrated, then only shone in tears. When she told her physician, Sir Theodore Mayerne, that she found her understanding was failing her, and seemed terrified lest it was approaching to madness, the court physician, hardly courtly to fallen masety, replied, 'Madam, fear not that; for you are already mad.' Henrietta had lived to contemplate the awful changes of her reign, without comprehending them.

waller, in the profusion of poetical decoration, makes Henrietta so beautiful, that her beauty would affect every lover 'more than his private loves.' She was 'the whole world's mistress.' A portrait in crayons of Henrietta at Hampton-court sadly reduces all his poetry, for the miraculous was only in the fancy of the court poet. But there may be some truth in what he says of the eyes

Such eyes as yours, on Jove himself, had thrown As bright and fierce a lightning as his own.

And in another poom there is one characteristic line

Such radiant eyes, Such lovely motion, and such sharp replies.

In a Ms. letter of the times, the writer describes the queen as 'nimble and quick, black-eyed, brown-haired, and a brave lady.'\* In the Ms. journal of Sir Symoods D'Ewes, who saw the queen on her first arrival in London, cold and puritanic as was that antiquary, he notices with some warmth 'the features of her face, which were much enlivened by her radiant and sparkling black eye.' She appears to have possessed French vivacity both in her manners and her conversation: In the history of a queen, an accurate conception of her paragrents for something

an accurate conception of her person enters for something. Her talents were not of that order which could influence the revolutions of a people. Her natural dispositions might have allowed her to become a politician of the toilette, and she might have practised those slighter artifices, which may be considered as so many political coquetries. But Machiavelian principles, and involved intrigues, of which she has been so freely accused, could never have entered into her character. At first she tried all the fortile inventions of a woman to permude the king that she was his humblest creature, and the good people of Kagland, that she was quite in love with them. Now that we know that no famala was ever more deeply tainted with Catholic bigotry;

\* Sloane NFS, 4176. \* Marl. MSS, 646 and that, haughty as she was, this princess suffered the most insulting superstitions, inflicted as ponances by her priests, for this very marriage with a Protestant prince, the following new facts relating to her first arrival in Eagland, curiously contrast with the mortified feelings she must have endured by the violent suppression of her real once.

Once,
We must bring forward a remarkable and unnoticed document in the Embassies of Marshal Bassompier. 
It is nothing less than a most solemn obligation contracted with the Pope and her brother, the King of France, to educate her children as Catholics, and only to choose Catholics to attend them. Had this been known either to Charles, or to the English nation, Henrietta could never have been permitted to ascend the English throne. The fate of both har sons shows how faithfully she performed this treasonable contract. This piece of secret history opens the concealed cause of those deep impressions of that faith, which both monarchs sucked in with their milk; that trumph of the cradle over the grave which most men experience: Charles II died a Catholic, James II lived as one.

When Henrietta was on her way to England, a legals from Rome arrested her at Amiens, requiring the princes to undergo a penance, which was to last surteen days, for marrying Charles without the papal dispensation. The queen stopped her journey, and wrote to inform the larg of the occasion. Charles, who was then waiting for her at Canterbury, replied, that if Henrietta did not instandly preceed, he would return alone to London. Henrietta dosubles sighed for the Pope and the penance, but she set off the day she received the king's letter. The king, either by his wisdom or his impatience, detected the aim of the Roman pontiff, who, had he been permitted to arrest the progress of a Queen of England for sixteen days in the face of all Europe, would thus have obtained a tacit sepremacy over a British Monarch.

When the king arrived at Canterbury, although not at the moment prepared to receive hims, Henrietta flew in meet him, and with all her spontaneous grace and native vivacity, kneeling at his feet, she kissed his hand, while the king, bending over her, wrapt her in his arms, and the king, bending over her, wrapt her in his arms, and the king, bending over her, wrapt her in his arms, and the sisted her with many kisses. This royal and youthful pair, unusual with those of their rank, met with the eagerness of lovers, and the first words of Henrietta were them of devotion: Sire. Je suis venue en ce peix de verre Ms jeste, pour être usée et commandée de vous.\* It had been rumoured that she was of a very short stature, but, resching to the king's shoulder, his eyes were cast down to be feet, seemingly observing whether she used art to increase her height. Anticipating his thoughts, and playfully showing her feet, she declared, that 'she stood upon her own feet, for thus high I am, and neither higher or lower.' After an hour's conversation in privacy, Henrietta took her dinner surrounded by the court; and the king, who had already dined, performing the office of her carver, cut a pheasant and some venison. By the side of the queen stood her ghostly confessor, solemnly reminding her that this was the eve of John the Baptist, and was to be fasted, exhorting her to be cautious that she set no scandalous example on her first arrival. But Charles and his court were now to be gained over, as well as John the Baptist. She affected to eat very heartily of the forbidden ment, which gave great comfort, it seems, to several of her new heretical subjects then present; but we may conceive the pangs of so confirmed a devotee! She carried her dismulation so far, that being asked about this time whether she could abide a Hugonot? she replied, 'Why not?—Was not my father one?' Her ready smiles, the graceful many of the English to believe that Henrietta might even appears by his manuscript diary, was struck by 'her deportment to her wom

\* Ambassades du Marechal de Bassompiere, Yol. III, 48. † A letter from Dr Meddus to Mr Mead, 17 Jan. 1636. 417, Bloane MSS.

† Sir S. D'Ewe's Journal of his life. Harl. MS 605. We have seen our puriante antiquary describing the person of the queen with some warmth; but it he could not sheath from deep fatched sights, to consider that she wanted the knowledge of true religion, a circumstance that Henrician would have so sealously regretted for Sir Symends himself.

hal, writing to a friend, observes, that 'the queen, however fittle of stature, yet is of a pleasing countenance, if she he pleased, otherwise full of spirit and vigour, and seems of more than ordinary resolution; and he adds an scidest of one of her 'frowns.' The room in which the queen was at disser being somewhat overheated with the fire and company, 'she drove us all out of the chamber. I suppose mone but a queen could have cast such a scort.' We may already detect the fair waxen mask melting away on the features it covered, even in one short mosth!

By the marriage contract, Henrietta was to be allowed a household establishment, composed of her own people; sed the had been contrived to be not less than a small the this mad been contrived to be not less than a summifrench colony, exceeding three hundred persons. It composed, in fact, a French faction, and looks like a covert project of Richelieu's to further his intrigues here, by opening a perpetual correspondence with the discontented Cathelicu's England. In the instructions of Bassompiero, one of eirs of Eagland. In the instructions of Bassompiere, one of the alleged objects of the marriage is the general good of the Catholic religion, by affording some relief to those Eaglish who professed it. If however, that great states— and price of the Roman priests here completely overturned it: for in their blind zeal they dared to extend their domes-it tyransy over majesty itself.

The French party had not long resided here, ere the natural jealousies between the two nations broke out. All

matril jealouses between the two nations broke out. All the English who were not Catholics were soon dismissed four their attendance on the queen, by herself; while Charles was compelled, by the popular cry, to forbid any Engish Catholics to serve the queen, or to be present at the celebration of her mass. The king was even obliged to employ poursuivants or king's mossengers, to stand at the door of her chapel to seize on any of the English who entered there, while on these occasions the French would traw their swords to defend these concealed Catholics.
'The queen and here' became an odious distinction in the Such were the indecent scenes exhibited in pubhe; they were not less reserved in private. The followme anecdote of saying a grace before the king, at his own table, m a most indecorous race run between the catholic priest and the king's chaplain, is given in a manuscript let-

'The King and queen dining together in the presence't Mr Hacket (chaplain to the Lord Keeper Williams) being then to say grace, the confessor would have prevented his ness to say grace, the contensor would have prevented him, but that Hacket shoved him away; whereupon the confessor went to the queen's side, and was about to say grace again, but that the king pulling the dishes unto him, and the carvers falling to their business, hindered. When dishes were done the confessor thought; standing by the deser was done, the confessor thought, standing by the seen, to have been before Mr Hacket, but Mr Hacket again got the start. The confessor, nevertheless, begins in grace as loud as Mr Hacket, with such a confusion, that the king in great passion instantly rose from the table, and, taking the queen by the hand, retired into the bed-chamber. It is with difficulty we conceive how such a scene of priestly indiscretion should have been suffered at the table of an English sovereign.

Such are the domestic accounts I have gleaned from ass. stem of the times; but particulars of a deeper nature may be discovered in the answer of the king's council to Marshal Rassompiere, preserved in the history of his em-less; this marshal had been hastily despatched as an ex-traordinary ambassador when the French party were dismordany ambassador when the French party were cus-missed. This state document, rather a remonstrance than a reply, states that the French household had formed a little republic within themselves, combining with the French resident ambassador, and inciting the opposition sembers in parliament; a practice usual with that intrigu-ing court, even from the days of Elizabeth, as the original letters of the French ambassador of the time, which will be

\*A letter to Mr Mead, July 1, 1625, Sloane MSS, 4178, in Hampton Court there is a curious picture of Charles and Hamiton Court there is a curious picture of Charles and Hamiton adming in the presence. This regal homour, after is instruption during the Civil Wars, was revived in 1637 by Charles II, as appears by Evelyn's Diary. \*Now did his minary again dine in the presence, in ancient style, with musica and all the court ceremonies.\*

1 The suther of the 1160 of this Archbishop and Lord Keep-This the part shridged in the presence of the Life of the Archbishop and Lord Keep-This the part shridged in the presence of the Life of the Archbishop and Lord Keep-This the part shridged in the Life of Curious matters. Ambross Philip the part shridged in the Life of Curious Matter in the Mead to Sir Martin Stuteville, October, Matter 177, Sloane MSS.

found in the present volume, amply show; and those of La Bodene in James the First's time, who raised a French party about prince Henry; and the correspondence of Barillon in Charles the second's reign is fully exposed in his entire correspondence published by Fox. The French domestics of the queen were engaged in lower intrigues; they lent their names to hire houses in the suburbs of London, where, under their protection, the English Catholics found a secure retreat to hold their illegal assemblies, and where the youth of both sezes were educated and prepared to be sent abroad to Catholic seminaries. But the queen's priests, by those well known means which the Catholic religion sanctions, were drawing from the queen the minutest circumstances which passed in privacy be-tween her and the king; indisposed her mind towards her royal consort, impressed on her a contempt of the English royal consort, and a disgust of our customs, and particularly, as has been usual with the French, made her neglect the English language, as if the quees of England held no common interest with the nation. They had made her residence a place of exceptive for the paragraph and part of sidence a place of security for the persons and rapers of the discontented. Yet all this was hardly more of ensive than the humiliating state to which they had reduced an English queen by their monastic obedience; inflicting the alluded to in our history. This was a barefoot pigrimage to Tybura, where, one morning, under the gallows on which so many Josuits had been executed as traitors to Elizabeth and James I, she knelt and prayed to them as martyrs and saints who had shed their blood in defence of the catholic cause.\* A manuscript letter of the times mentions that 'the priests had also made her dabble in the dirt in a foul morning from Somerset house to St James's, her Luciferian confessor riding along by her in his coach! They have made her to go barefoot, to spin, and to eat her meat out of dishes, to wait at the table of servants, with many other ridiculous and absurd penances. servants, with many other reactions and answer penances.

And if they dare thus insult (adds the writer) over the
daughter, sister, and wife of so great kings, what slavery
would they not make us, the people, to undergo ! †

One of the articles in the contract of marriage was, that

the queen should have a chapel at St James's to be built and consecrated by her French bishop; the priests became very importunate, declaring that without a chapel mass could not be performed with the state it ought, before the queen. The king's answer is not that of a man inclined queen. The Ring's answer is not that or a man incined to popery. 'If the queen's closet, where they now say mass, is not large enough, let them have it in the great chamber; and, if the great chamber is not wide enough, they might use the garden and, if the garden would not serve their turn, then was the park the fittest place.

The French priests and the whole party feeling themselves glighted, and cometimes worse treated were breach.

selves slighted, and sometimes worse treated, were breeding continual quarrels among themselves, grew weary of England, and wished themselves away; but many having purchased their places with all their fortune, would have been ruined by the breaking up of the establishment.— Bassompiere alludes to the broils and clamours of these French strangers, which exposed them to the laughter of the English court; and one cannot but smile in observing in one of the despatches of this great mediator between two kings and a queen, addressed to the minister, that one of the greatest obstacles which he had found in this difficult negotiation arose from the bedchamber women! The French king being desirous of having two additional wo-men to attend the English queen, his sister, the ambassa-dor declares, that 'it would be more expedient rather to diminish than to increase the number; for they all live so ill together, with such rancorous jealousies and enmittes, that I have more trouble to make them agree than I shall find to accommodate the differences between the two kings. Their continual bickerings, and often their vituperative language, occasion the English to entertain the most contemptible and ridiculous spinions of our nation. I shall not, therefore, insist on this point, unless it shall please his

majesty to renew it.'
The French hishop was under the age of thirty, and his authority was imagined to have been but irreverently treated by two beautiful virages in that civil war of words which

<sup>\*</sup> There is a very rare print which has commomorated this

Iterumsance.

If Mr Pory to Mr Mead, July, 1836. Harl. MSS, No. 228

the answer of the king's council to the complaints of Bassessiers is both copious and detailed in Vol. III, p. 168, of the Ambassades' of this Marshal. Digitized by GOOGIC

was raging; one of whom, Madame St George, was in high favour, and most intolerably hated by the English.— Yet such was English gallantry, that the king presented this lady on her dismission with several thousand pounds this lady on her dismission with several thousand polarisations and jewels. There was something inconceivably ludicrous in the notions of the English, of a bishop hardly of age, and the gravity of whose character was probably tarnished by French gesture and vivacity. This French establishment was daily growing in expense and number; a manuscript letter of the times states that it cost the king 2401 a day, and had increased from three score persons to four hundred and forty, besides children!

It was one evening that the king suddenly appeared, and, summoning the French household, commanded them and, summoning the French nouseous, commanded the to take their instant departure—the carriages were prepared for their removal. In doing this, Charles had to resist the warmest intreaties, and oven the vehement anger of the queen, who is said in her rage to have broken several panes of the window of the apartment, to which the king dragged her, and confined her from them.'\*

The scene which took place among the French people, at the sudden announcement of the king's determination, was remarkably indecorous. They instantly flow to take possession of all the queen's wardrobe and jewels; they did not leave her, it appears, a change of linen, since it was with difficulty she procured one as a favour, according to some manuscript letters of the times. One of their extra-ordinary expedients was that of inventing bills, for which they pretended they had engaged themselves on account of the queen, to the amount of 10,000f, which the queen at first owned to, but afterwards acknowledged the debts were fictitious ones. Among these items was one of 400t for necessaries for her majesty; an apothecary's bill for drugs of 800t; and another of 150t for 'the bishop's unholy water,' as the writer expresses it. The young French bishop attempted by all sorts of delays to avoid this igno-Among these items was one of 400l for bishop attempted by all sorts of delays to avoid this igno-minious expulsion; till the king was forced to send his yeomen of the guards to turn them out from Somerset house, where the juvenile French bishop at once protest-ing against it, and mounting the steps of the coach, took his departure 'head and shoulders.' It appears that to pay the debts and pensions, besides sending the French troops free home, cost 50,000¢.

In a long procession of nearly forty coaches, after four days tedious travelling they reached Dover; but the spec-tacle of these impatient foreigners so reluctantly quitting England, gesticulating their sorrows or their quarrels, ex-posed them to the derision and stirred up the prejudices of the common people. As Madame George, whose vivacity is always described extravagantly French, was stepping into the boat, one of the mob could not resist the satisfaction of flinging a stone at her French cap; an English courtier, who was conducting her, instantly quitted his charge, ran the fellow through the body, and quietly returned to the boat. The man died on the spot; but no further notice appears to have been taken of the inconsiderate gallantry of this English courtier.

But Obades did not about his kingly firmness only on

But Charles did not show his kingly firmness only on this occasion: it did not forsake him when the French Marshal Bassompiere was instantly sent over to awe the king; Charles sternly offered the alternative of war, rather than permit a French faction to trouble an English rather than permit a French faction to trouble an English court. Baseompiers makes a curious observation in a letter to the French Bishop of Mende, who had been just sont away from England; and which serves as the most positive evidence of the firm refusal of Charles I.—
The French marshal, after stating the total failure of his mission, exclaims, 'See, sir, to what we are reduced! and imagine my grief, that the Queen of Great Britain has the pain of viewing my departure without being of any service to her; but if you consider that I was sent here to make a contract of marriage abstrant and to maintain the Cubaltic Calmidit. to her; but if you consider that I was sent here to make a centract of marriage observed, and to maintein the Catholic Religion in a country from which they formerly banished it to break a contract of marriage, you will assist in excusing me of this failure. The French marshal has also preserved the same distinctive feature of the nation, as well as of the monarch, who, surely to his honour as King of England, felt and acted on this occasion as a true Briton. I have found, and acted on this occasion Spaniarke circlity and contract the Gaul (humility amone Spaniarke circlity and contracts). says the Gaul, humility among Spaniards, civility and cour-teey among the Swiss, in the embassics I had the bonour to perform for the king; but the English would not in the least abate of their natural pride and arrogance. The king is so resolute not to re-establish any French about

A letter from Mr Pery to Mr Mead contains a full account of this transaction. Harl. MSS, 380.

the queen, his consort, and was so stern (rude) in speaking to me, that it is impossible to have been more se. In a word, the French marshal, with all his vasues and his threats, discovered that Charles I was the true repre-sentative of his subjects, and that the king had the same feelings with the people: this indeed was not always the case! this transaction took place in 1625, and when, for yours afterwards, it was attempted again to introduce coyears another than the state of can be queen's, under the sanction of the queen mather.

This little circumstance appears in a manuscript letter
from Lord Dorchester to Mr De Vic, one of the king's
agents at Paris. After an account of the arrival of this
French physician, his lordship proceeds to notice the former determination of the king; 'yet this man,' he add plaine terms to speak to the king to admit him as domer-tique. His majesty expressed his dislike at this proceed-ing, but contented himself to let the ambassador know that this doctor may return as bee is come, with intimatica that he should do it speedily; the French ambassader, willing to help the matter, spake to the king that the said doctor might be admitted to kins the queen's hand, and to carrie the news into France of her safe delivery; which the king excused by a civil answer, and has since com-manded me to let the ambassador understand, that he had heard him as Monsieur de Fontenay in this particular, bet, if he should persist and press him as ambassador, he should be forced to say that which would displease him.'—
Lord Dorchester adds, that he informs Mr De Vic of these particulars, that he should not want for the information should the master he assumed he the Banach should the matter be revived by the French court, otherwise he need not notice it. \*

By this narrative of secret history Charles I does not appear so weak a slave to his queen, as our writers eche from each other; and those who make Henrietta so important a personage in the cabinet, appear to have been imperfectly acquainted with their real talents. Charles, indeed, was deeply enamoured of the queen, for he was inclined to strong personal attachments; and 'the temperance of his youth, by which he had lived so free from personal attachments; and 'the temperance of his youth, by which he had lived so free from personal size. ance or ms young by when no man were a considered as May the parliamentary historian expresses it, even the gay levity of Buckingham seems never, in approaching the king, to have violated. Charles admired in Henrietta all those personal graces which he hisself wasted; her vivacity in conversation enlivened his even service. tod; her vivacity in conversation enlivened his own ser-ousness, and her gay volubility, the defective atterance of his own; while the versatility of her manners relieved his own formal habits. Doubtless the queen enercised his own power over this monarch which vivacious fessales are privileged by nature to possess over their hosbands; ahe was often listened to, and her suggestions were sus-times approved; but the fixed and systematic principles of the character and the government of this monarch sust not be imputed to the intrigues of a more lively and veli-lia women; we must trees than to a history sucres. tile woman; we must trace them to a higher source; to his own inherited conceptions of the regal rights, if we would seek for truth, and read the history of human matter

in the history of Charles I.

THE MIRISTER—THE CARDINAL DUKE OF RICHELIES. Richelieu was the greatest of statesmen, if he whe maintains himself by the greatest power is necessarily the greatest minister. He was called 'the King of the King,' After having long tormented himself and France, he left a great name and a great empire—both alike the victims of splendid ambition! Neither this great miniter, nor this great nation, tasted of happiness under is mighty administration. He had, indeed, a heartlessness is his conduct which obstructed by no releasings them remoracless decisions which made him terrible. But, removacies decisions which made has terrieus. De-while he trode down the princes of the blood and the solies, and drove his patroness the queen mother, into a miserable exile, and contrived that the king should fear and hate his brother, and all the cardinal-duke chose, Richebou was grinding the face of the poor by exorbitant taxasies, and converted every town in France lists a garrison; it was said of him, that he never liked to be in any place where he was not the strongest. 'The commissioners of the exchequer and the commanders of the army believe them.

+ A letter from the Earl of Derchester, 27 May, 1698. Red. MSS. 7000 (160) Digitized by GOOGIC

solves called to a golden barvest; and in the interum the castinal is charged with the sine of all the world, and is even afraid of his life. Thus Grotius speaks, in one of his letters, of the miserable situation of this great minister, in his account of the court of France in 1635, when he resided there as Swedish ambassador. Yet such is the delusion of these great politicians, who consider what they term state interests as paramount to all other duties, human or divine, that while their whole life is a series of oppression, of troubles, of deceit, and of cruelty, their state conscience finds nothing to reproach itself with. Of any other conscience, it seems absolutely necessary that they should be directed. Richelies, on his death bed, made a solem protestation, appealing to the last judge of man, who was about to pronounce his sentence, that he never proposed any thing but for the good of religion and the state; that is, the Catholic religion and his own adminiswhen Louis KIII, who visited him in his last moments, took from the hand of an attendant a plate with two yolts of eggs, that the King of France might himself serve his expiring minister, Richelieu died in all the self-éclusion of a great minister.

The sinister means he practised, and the political deceptions he contrived, do not yield in subtility to the dark grandeur of his ministerial character. It appears that, at a critical moment, when he felt the king's favour was wayenng, he secretly ordered a battle to be lost by the French, to determine the king at once not to give up a minister who, he know, was the only man who could extricate his out of this new difficulty. In our great civil war, this minister pretended to Charles I that he was attemptaging the most secret projects against Charles. When a French ambassador addressed the parliament as an indopendent power, after the king had broken with it, Charles, sensibly affected, remonstrated with the French court; the mainter disavowed the whole proceeding, and instantly re-called the ambassador, while at the very moment his secret agents were to their best embroiling the affairs of both parties.\* The object of Richeliou was to weaken the Eaguss monarchy, so as to busy insolar notate, and prevent in fleets and its armies thwarting his projects on the continent, lest England, jealous of the greatness of Prance, should declare itself for Spain the moment it had recovered its own tranquillity. This is a stratagem too erdinary with great ministers, those plagues on the earth, who, with their state reasons, are for cutting as many

A fragment of the secret history of this great minister may be gathered from that of some of his confidential agents. One exposes an invention of this minister's to shorten his cabinet labours, and to have at hand a screen, when that useful contrivance was requisite; the other, the terrific effects of an agent setting up to be a politician on his own account, against that of his master's.

Richelieu's confessor was one Father Joseph; but this man was designed to be employed rather in state affairs, than in those which concerned his conscience. This minister, who was never a penitent, could have none. Fa-ther Joseph had a turn for political negociation, otherwise he had not been the cardinal's confessor; but this turn was of that sort, said the Nuncio Spada, which was adapted to

Clarendon details the political coquetries of Monsieur La Fené; his 'notable famillarity with those who governed most in the two houses;' II, 93.

† Hume seems to have discovered in Estrades' Memoirs, the I nume seems to have discovered in Estrades' Memoirs, the real orcasion of Richelieu's conduct. In 1639, the French and Datch proposed dividing the low-country provinces; England was to stand neuter. Charles replied to D'Estrades, that his army and fact should instantly sail to prevent there projected conquests. From that moment the intolerant ambition of Richelea swelled the venors of his heart, and he eagerly seized on the first constraints of a name of the consequence of the first constraints of a name of the first constraints the first opportunity of supplying the Covenanters in Scotland with arms and money. Hume observes, that Charles here with arms and money. Hume observes, that chairs with arms and money. Aume observes, that chairs but it proves expressed his maind with an imprudent candour; but it proves expressed his maind with an imprudent candour; but it proves \*Spreased his maind with an imprudent candour; but it proves he had acquired a just idea of national interest. VI. 337. See on this a very curious passage in the Catholic Dodd's Church fint of the same line of policy was pursued here in England' by Charles I himself, who sent fleets and armies to assist the Hu-Riots, of French rebels, as he calls them; and that this was the constant practice of Queen Elizabeth's ministry, to foment differences in several neighbouring kingdoms, and support deir rebellions whieces. as the forces she employed for that their rebellious subjects, as the forces she employed for that purpose both in France, Flanders, and Scotland, are an undemable proof? The recriminations of politicians are the con besions of great singers.

follow up to the utmost the views and notions of the minis ter, rather than to draw the cardinal to his, or to induce ter, rether than to draw the cardinal to may or to mission him to change a tittle of his designs. The truth is, that Father Joseph preferred going about in his chariot on ministerial missions, rather than walking solitary to his convent, after listening to the unmeaning confessions of Cardinal Publishing the production of the convention of the conventi dinal Richelieu. He made himself so intimately acquainted with the plans and will of this great minister, that he could venture, at a pinch, to act without orders; an count venture, at a pinch, to act window orders; ame foreign affairs were particularly consigned to his management. Grotius, when Swedish ambassador, knew them both. Father Joseph, he tells us, was employed by Cardinal Richelieu to open negociations, and put them in a way to succeed to his mind, and then the cardinal would step in, and undertake the finishing himself. Joseph took business in hand when they were green, and, after ripening them, he handed them over to the cardinal. In a confer ence which Grotius, held with the parties, Joseph began the treaty, and bore the bruat of the first contest. After a warm debate the cardinal interposed as arbitrator: 'A

warm debate the Cardinal interposed as arbitrators. And as you and Joseph can never agree, I now make you irrends. That this was Richelieu's practice, appears from another similar personage mentioned by Grotius, but one more careless and less cunning. When the French ambassa-dor, Leon Brulart, assisted by Joseph, concluded at Ratisbon a treaty with the Emperor's ambassador, on its arrival the cardinal unexpectedly disapproved of it, declaring that the ambassador had exceeded his instructions. But Brulart, who was an old statesman, and Juseph, to whom the cardinal confided his most secret views, it was not supposed could have committed such a gross error; and it was rather believed that the cardinal changed his opinions with the state of affairs, wishing for peace or war as they suited the French interests, or as he conceived they tended to render his administration necessary to the crown.† When Brulart, on his return from his embassy, found this outery raised against him, and not a murmur against Joseph, he explained the mystery; the cardinal had raised this cla-mour against him merely to cover the instructions which he had himself given, and which Brulart was convinced he had received, through his organ Father Joseph: a man, said he, who has nothing of the Capuchin but the frock, and nothing of the Christian but the name: a mind so practised in artifices, that he could do nothing without deception; and during the whole of the Ratisbon negotiation, Brulart discovered that Joseph would never communicate to him any business till the whole was finally arranged: the sole object of his pursuits was to find means to gratify the cardinal. Such free sentiments nearly cost Brulart his head; for once in quitting the cardinal in warmth, the minister, following him to the door, and passing his hand over the other's neck, observed that, 'Brulart was a fine man, and it would be a pity to divide the head from the body.'

One more anecdote of this good Father Joseph, the favourite instrument of the most important and covert devourie instrument of the most important and covert designs of this minister, has been preserved in the Memorie Recondite of Vittorio Siri,; an Italian Abbé, the Procedus of France, but afterwards pensioned by Mazarine, Richelieu had in vain tried to gain over Colonel Ornano, a man of talents, the governor of Monsieur, the only brother of Louis XIII; not accustomed to have his offers refused, he resolved to ruin him. Joseph was now employed to contract a particular friendship with Ornano, and ployed to contract a particular friendship with Ornano, and to suggest to him, that it was full time that his pupil should be admitted into the council, to acquire some political knowledge. The advancement of Ornano's royal pupil was his own; and as the king had no children, the crown might descend to Monsieur. Ornano therefore took the first opportunity to open himself to the king, on the propriety of initiating his brother into affairs, either in council, or by a command of the army. This the king, as usual, immediately communicated to the Cardinal, who was well prepared to give the request the most odious turn, and to alarm his majesty with the character of Ornano, who, he said was inspiring the young prince with ambitious thoughts, that the next step would be an attempt to share the crown

\* Grotil Epistolæ, 875 and 380. fo. Ams. 1687. A volume

\*\* Grotti Epistois, 875 and 880. fo. Ams. 1087. A volume which contains 2500 letters of this great man.

† La Vie du Cardinal Duc de Richelieu, anonymous, but written by Jean le Clerc, vol. 1, 507. An imparial but heavy life of a great minister, of whom, between the panegyrica of his flatterers, and the satires of his enemies, it was difficult to the manufacture. discover a just medium
i Mera. Rec. vol. VL 121. Digitized by GOOGIC

itself with his majesty. The cardinal foresaw how much Monsieur would be offended by the refusal, and would not The cardinal foresaw how much fail to betray his impatience, and inflame the jealousy of the king. Yet Richelieu bore still an open face and friend-ly voice for Ornano, whom he was every day undermining in the king's favour, till all terminated in a pretended conspiracy, and Ornano perished in the Bastile, of a fever, at least caught there. So much for the friendship of Father Joseph! And by such men and such means, the astute minister secretly threw a seed of perpetual hatred between the royal brothers, producing conspiracies, often closing in blood, which only his own haughty tyranny had pro-

Father Joseph died regretted by Richelieu; he was an ingenious sort of a creature, and kept his carriage to his last day, but his name is only preserved in secret histories. The fate of Father Caussin, the author of the 'Cours Sainte,' a popular book among the Catholics for its curious religious stories, and whose name is better known than Father Joseph's, shows how this minister could rid himself of father-confessors who persisted, according to their own notions, to be honest men in spite of the minister. piece of secret history is drawn from a manuscript narra-tive which Caussin left addressed to the general of the

Jesuits.\*

Richelieu chose Father Caussin for the king's confessor and he had scarcely entered his office, when the cardinal informed him of the king's romantic friendship for Made-moiselle La Fayette, of whom the cardinal was extremely jealous. Desirous of getting rid altogether of this sort of tender connexion, he hinted to the new confessor that, tender connexion, he ninted to the new consistor that, however innocent it might be, it was attended with perpetual danger, which the lady herself acknowledged, and, 'warm with all the motions of grace,' had declared her intention to turn 'Aeligiouse', and that Caussin ought to dispose the king's mind to see the wisdom of the resolution. It happened, however, that Causain considered that this lady, whose zeal for the happiness of the people was well known, might prove more serviceable at court than in a cloister, so that the good father was very inactive in the business, and the minister begantto suspect that he had in hand an instrument not at all fitted to it as Father Jo-

seph.

'The motions of grace' were however, more active than
to a monastery. the confessor, and mademoiselle retired to a monastery. Richelieu learned that the king had paid her a visit of three hours, and he accused Caussin of encouraging these secret interviews. This was not denied, but it was adroitly insinuated, that it was prudent not abruptly to oppose the violence of the king's passion, which seemed reasonable to the minister. The king continued these visits, and the lady, in concert with Caussin, impressed on the king the most unfavourable sentiments of the minister, the tyranny exercised over the exiled queen mother, and the princes of the blood;† the grinding taxes he levied on the people, his projects of alliance with the Turk against the Christian projects of affiance with the rurk against the Officerations sovereigns, &c. His mejesty sighed; he asked Caussin if he could name any one capable of occupying the minister's place? Our simple politician had not taken such a consideration in his mind. The king asked Caussin the consideration are Richalian force to force? The Leguit whether he would meet Richelieu face to face? The Jesuit was again embarrassed, but summoned up the resolution with equal courage and simplicity.

Causein went for the purpose: he found the king closet-

ed with the minister; the conference was long, from which Caussin argued ill. He himself tells us, that weary of waiting in the ante-chamber, he contrived to be admitted into the presence of the king, when he performed his promise. But the case was altered! Caussin had lost his cause before he pleaded it, and Richelieu had completely justified himself to the king. The good father was told that the king would not perform his devotions that day, and that he might return to Paris. The next morning the

• It is quoted in the 'Remarques Critiques sur le Dictionnaire de Bayle,' Paris 1748. This anonymous folic volume was written by Le Sleur Joly, a canon of Dijon, and is full of curious researches, and many authentic discoveries. The writer is no philosopher, but he currects and adds to the know-

writer is no pinisopher, out ne corrects and agos to the know-ledge of Bayle. Here I found some original anecdotes of Hobby, from MS. sources, during that philosopher's residence at Paris, which I have given in 'Quarrels of Authors.' † Montresor, attached to the Duke of Orleans, has left us some very curious memoirs, in two small volumes; the second preserving many historical documents of that active period. 'This spirited writer has not hesitated to detail his projects for the assassination of the tyrannical minister.

whole affair was cleared up. An order from court process-bited this voluble Jesuit either from speaking or writing any person; and farther drove him away in an incleme winter, sick in body and at heart, till he found himself exile on the barren rocks of Quimper in Britany, where among the savage inhabitants, he was continually, mesaced by a prison or a gallows, which the terrific minister lost opportunity to place before his imagination; and occasions ally despatched a Paris Gazette, which distilled the venous of Richelieu's heart, and which, like the eagle of Promotion theus, could graw at the heart of the insulated politicians chained to his rock.\*

Such were the contrasted fates of Father Joseph ared Father Causain! the one the ingenious creature, the other the simple oppositionist, of this great minister.

THE MINISTER—DUKE OF BUCKINGHAM, LORD ADME-RAL, LORD GENERAL, &c. &c. &c.

'Had the Duke of Buckingham been blessed with faithful friend, qualified with wisdom and integrity, the duke would have committed as few faults, and done as transcendant worthy actions, as any man in that age in Europe. Such was the opinion of Lord Clarendon in the prime of life, when yet untouched by party feeling had no cause to plead, and no quarrel with truth.

The portrait of Buckingham by Hume seems to me a character dove-tailed into a system, adjusted to his plan of lightening the errors of Charles I, by participating them among others. This character conceals the more favourable parts of no ordinary man: the spirit which was fitted to lead others by its own invincibility, and some qualities he possessed of a better nature. All the fascination of his character is lost in the general shade cast over it by the niggardly commendation, that 'he possessed some accom-plishments of a courtier.' Some, indeed, and the most pleasing; but not all truly, for dissimulation and by pocrisy were arts unpractised by this courtier. 'His sweet and were arts unpractised by this courter. This sweet and attractive manner, so favoured by the graces, has been described by Sir Henry Wotton, who knew him well; while Clarendon, another living witness, tells us, that 'He was the most rarely accomplished the court had ever beheld; while some that found inconvenience in his nearness, intending by some affront to discountenance him, perceived he had masked under this gentleness a terrible courage, as could safely protect all his sweetnesses.'

The very errors and infirmities of Buckingham seem to

have started from qualities of a generous nature; too devoted a friend, and too undisguised an enemy, carrying his loves and his hatreds on his open forehead ; too careless of calumny, and too fearless of danger; he was, in a

In the first volume of this work, page 193, is a different view of the character of this extraordinary man: those anec-done are of a lighter and satirical nature; they touch on 'the

officies of the wise.

† In 'The Disparity' to accompany 'The Parallel,' of Sir Henry Wouton; two exquisite cabinet-pictures, preserved in the Reliquie Wottoniane; and at least equal to the finest 'Parallels' of Pluarch.

t The singular openness of his character was not statesman-like. He was one of those whose ungovernable sincerity 'can-not put all their passions in their pockets.' He told the Countnot put all their pessions in their pockets. He told the Couns-Duke Olivarez, on quitting Spain, that 'he would always co-ment the firendship between the two nations, but with regard to you, sir, in particular, you must not consider me as your friend, but must ever expect from me all possible ennity and opposition. The cardinal was willing enough, says Hume, 'to accept what was proffered, and on these terms the favour-ties parted. Buckingham, destrous of accommodating the parties in the nation, once tried at the favour of the puritanis party, whose head was Dr Preston, master of Emanuel Col-lege. The duke was his generous patron, and Dr Preston, his most servile adulator. The most zealous puritans were offended at this intimacy; and Dr Preston, in a letter to some his most servile adulator. The most zealous puritans were offended at this intimacy; and Dr Preston, in a letter to some of his perty, observed, that it was true that the duke was a vile and profligate fellow, but that there was no other way to come at him but by the lowest flattery; that it was necessary for the glory of God that such instruments should be made use of; and more in this strain. Some officious hand conveyed this letter to the duke, who, when Dr Preston ceme one morning, as usual, asked him whether he had ever disobliged him, that he should describe him to his party in such black characters. The doctor, amazed, denied the fact; on which the duke instantly produced the letter, then turned from him, never se see him more. It is said that from this moment he abandoned the puritan party, and attached himself to Laud. This story the puritan party, and attached himself to Laud. This story was told by Thomas Baker to W. Wotton, as coming from one well versed in the accret history of that time. Lansdowns MSS, 872, fo. 88.

A well-known tract against the Duke of Buckingham, by

word, a man of sensation, acting from impulse; scorning, indeed, prudential views, but capable at all times of em-bracing grand and original ones; compared by the jealousy of faction to the Spenser of Edward II and even the Sejamus of Tiberius; he was no enemy to the people; often serious in the best designs, but volatile in the midst; his great error sprung from a sanguine spirit. 'He was ever great error spring from a sangume spirit. The was every says Wotton, 'greedy of honour and hot upon the public ends, but too confident in the prosperity of beginnings.' If Buckingham was a hero, and yet neither general nor admiral; a minister, and yet no statesman; if often the creature of popular admiration, he was at length lated by the people; if long envied by his equals, and betrayed by his own creatures, and continuous of the press and afficence of dependents and suttors, who are always burrs and sometimes the briars of favourites, as Wotton well describes them; if one of his great crimes in the eyes of the people was, that his enterprises succeeded not according to their impossible expectation; and that it was a still greater, that Buckingham had been the permanent favourite of two monarchs, who had spoilt their child of fortune a then may the future inquirer find something of his character which remains to be opened; to instruct alike the sovereign and the people, and 'be worthy to be regis-

tered among the great examples of time and fortune.'

Contrast the fate of Buckingham with that of his great rival, Richelieu. The one winning popularity and losing it; once in the Commons saluted as 'their redeemer,' till, at length, they resolved that 'Buckingham was the cause of all the evils and dangers to the king and kingdom.' Magnificent, open, and merciful; so forbearing, even in his acts of gentle oppression, that they were easily evaded; and riots and libels were infecting the country, till, in the popular clamour, Buckingham was made a political monster, and the dagger was planted in the heart of the incau-tious minister. The other statesman, unrelenting in his tious minister. The other statesman, unrelenting in his power, and grinding in his oppression, unblest with one brother-feeling, had his dungeons filled and his scaffolds raised; and died in safety and glory—a cautious tyrant!

There exists a manuscript memoir of Sir Balthazar Gerbier, who was one of those ingenious men whom

Buckingham delighted to assemble about him; for this was one of his characteristics, that although the duke himself was not learned, yet he never wanted for knowledge; too early in life a practical man, he had not the leisure to become a contemplative one; he supplied this deficiency by perpetually 'sifting and questioning well' the most emi-

Dr George Egilsham, physician to James I, entitled 'The Forerunner of Revenge,' may be found in many of our collections. Gerbier in his manuscript memoirs, gives a curious account of this political libeller, the model of that class of descriptions. account of this Bolistcal libeller, the model of that class of desperate scribblers. 'The falseness of his libels,' says Gerbler, 'be hath since acknowledged, though too late. During my residency at Bruxellos, this Eglisham desired Sir William Chaloner, who then was at Liege, to bear a letter to me, which is still extant: he proposed, if the king would pardon and receive him into favour again, with some competent subsistence, that he would recant all that be had said or written, to the disadvantage of any in the court of England, confessing that he had been urged thereunto by some combustious spirits, that for their malicious designs had set him on work.' Buckingham would never notice these and similar libels. Eglisham flew the Halland after he had deposited his moirical wonom in his na-The falseness of his libels,' says Gerbier, knowledged, though too late. During my ham would never notice these and similar libels. Eglisham flew to Holland after he had deposited his political venom in his native country, and found a fate which every villanous factionist who offers to recant for 'a competent subsistence' does not always; he was found dead, assassinated in his walks by a companion. Yet this political libel, with many like it, are still authorities. 'George, Duke of Buckingham,' says Oldys, 'will not speedily outstrip Dr Eglisham's Fore-runner of Revenes.'

\* The misery of prime ministers and favourites is a portion of their fate, which has not always been noticed by their bioof their face, which has not always been noticed by their blo-graphers; one must be conversant with secret history, to dis-cover the thorn in their pillow. Who could have imagined that Buckingham, possessing the entire affections of his sove-reign, during his absence had reason to fear being supplanted? When his confidential secretary, Dr Mason, siept in the same chamber with the duke, he would give way at night to those suppressed passions which his unaltered countenance con-cealed by day. In the absence of all other ears and eyes, he would break out into the most querelous and impassioned lan-guage, declaring, that 'never his despatches to divers princes, nor the great business of a fleet, of an army, of a siege, of a freaty, of war and pasce both on foot together, and all of them in his head at a time, did not so much break his repose, as the idea that some at home under his majesty, of whom he had well-decerved, were now content to lorget him, 'So short-byed is the gratitude observed to an absent favourite, who is most likely to fall by the creatures his own hands have made.

nent for their experience and knowledge; and Lord Bacor and the Lord Keeper Williams, as well as such as Gerbier, were admitted into this sort of intimacy. a curious letter by Lord Bacon, of advice to our minister, written at his own request; and I have seen a large cor-respondence with that subtile politician, the Lord Keeper Williams, who afterwards attempted to supplant him, to the same purpose. Gerbier was the painter and architect, and at the same time one of the confidential agents of Buckingham; the friend of Reubens the painter, with whom he was concerned in this country to open a Spanish negoto the was concerned in the secondary to open a spannin nego-tiation, and became at length the master of the ceremonies to Charles II, in his exile. He was an actor in many scenes. Gerbier says of himself, that 'he was a minister who had the honour of public employment, and may therefore incur censure for declaring some passages of state more overtly than becomes such an one, but secrets are secrets but for a time; others may be wiser for them selves, but it is their silence which makes me write.'\*

A mystery has always hung over that piece of knighterrantry, the romantic journey to Madrid, where the p minister and the heir-apparent, in disguise, confided their safety in the hands of our national enemies; which excited such popular clamour, and indeed anxiety for the prince and the protestant cause. A new light is cast over this extraordinary transaction, by a secret which the duke imparted to Gerbier. The project was Buckingham's; a bright original view, but taken far out of the line of precedence. dence. It was one of those bold inventions which no common mind could have conceived, and none but the spirit of Buckingham could have carried on with a splendour and mastery over the persons and events, which turned out.

however, as unfavourable as possible.

The restoration of the imprudent Palatine, the son-inlaw of James I, to the Palatinate which that prince had lost by his own indiscretion, when he accepted the crown of Bohemia, although warned of his own incompetency, as well as of the incapacity of those princes of the empire, who might have assisted him against the power of Austria and Spain, seemed however to a great part of our nation necessary to the stability of the protestant interests.— James I, was most bitterly run down at home for his civil pacific measures, but the truth is, by Gerbier's account, that James could not depend on one single ally, who had all taken fright, although some of the Germans were willing enough to be subsidized at 30,000 a month from Enging enough to be subsidized at 30,000 a month from England; which James had not to give, and which he had been a fool had he given; for though this war for the protestant interests was popular in England, it was by no means general among the German princes: the Prince Elector of Treves, and another prince, treated Gerbier coolly; and observed, that God in these days did not send prophets more to the protestants than to others, to fight against nations, and to second pretences which public incendiaries propose to princes, to engage them into un-necessary wars with their neighbours.' France would necessary wars with their neighbours. France would not go to war, and much less the Danes, the Swedes, and the Hollandess. the Hollanders. James was calumniated for his timidity and cowardice; yet, says Gerbier, King James merited much of his people, though ill requited, choosing rather to suffer an eclipse of his personal reputation, than to bring into such hazard the reputation and force of his kingdoms in a war of no hopes.

As a father and a king, from private and from public motives, the restoration of the Palatinate had a double tie on James, and it was always the earnest object of his neon James, and it was always the earness object of his ingotiations. But Spain sent him an amusing and literary
ambassador, who kept him in play year after year, with
merry tales and bon mots.† Those negociations had languished through all the tedium of diplomacy; the amusing promises of the courtly Gondomar were sure, on return of the courier, to bring sudden difficulties from the subtile Olivarez. Buckingham meditated by a single blow to

\* Sloane MSS, 4181.

† Gerbier gives a curious specimen of Gondomar's pleasant sort of impudence. When James expressed himself with great warmth on the Spaniards under Spinola, taking the first town warmth on the Spaniards under Spinola, taking the first lown in the Palatinete, under the eyes of our ambassador, Gondomar, with Corventic humour, attempted to give a new turn to the discussion; for he wished that Spinola had taken the whole Palatinate at once, for 'then the generosity of my master would be shown in all its lustre, by restoring it all again to the English ambassador, who had witnessed the whole operations.' James, however, at this moment was no longer pleased with the inexhaustible humour of his "ld friend, and set about string whet could be done." trying what could be done

strike at the true secret, whether the Spanish court could be induced to hasten this important object, gained over by the proffered aliance with the English crown, from the lips of the prince himself. The whole scene dazzled with collides, chivalry, and magnificence; it was caught by the high spirit of the youthful prince, whom Clarendon tells us 'loved adventures,' and it was indeed an incident which has adorned more than one Spanish romance. The anic which seized the English, fearful of the personal panic which seized the English, learnil of the personal safety of the prince, did not prevail with the duke, who told Gerbier that the prince run no hazard from the Spanish mard, who well knew that while his sister, the fugitive Queen of Bohemia, with a numerous issue, was residing in Holland, the protestant succession to our crown was perfectly secured; and it was with this conviction, says Gerbier, that when the Count Duke Olivares had been persuaded that the Prince of Wales was meditating a flight from Spain, that Buckingham with his accustomed spirit told him, that 'if love had made the prince steal out of his own country, yet fear would never make him run out of Spain, and that he should depart with an equipage as fitted a Prince of Wales.' This was no empty vaunt. An English fleet was then waiting in a Spanish port, and the Spanish court inviting our prince to the grand Escuri-al, attended the departure of Charles, as Hume expresses

it with 'elaborate pomp.'
This attempt of Buckingham, of which the origin has been so often inquired into, and so oppositely viewed, en-tirely failed with the Spaniard. The catholic league outweighed the protestant. At first the Spanish court had been as much taken by surprise as the rest of the world; all parties seemed at their first interview highly gratified. "We may rule the world together," said the Spanish to the English minister. They were, however, not made by nature, or state interests, to agree at a second interview. The Lord Keeper Williams, a wily courtier and subtile The Lord Keeper Williams, a wily courtier and subtile politician, who, in the absence of his patron, Buckingham, evidently supplanted him in the favour of his royal master, when asked by James, 'Whether he thought this knighterrant pilgrimage would be likely to win the Spanish lady; answered with much political foresight, and saw the difficulty: 'If my lord marquis will give honour to the Count Duke Olivarez, and remember he is the favourite of Spain; er, if Olivarez will show honourable civility to my lord marquis, remembering he is the favourite of England, the marquis, remembering he is the lavourite of England, the wooing may be prosperous; but if my lord marquis should forget where he is, and not stoop to Olivarez; or if Olivarez, forgetting what guest he hath received with the prince, bear himself like a Castilian grandee to my lord marquis, the provocation may cross your majesty's good intentions. \*\*

What Olivarez once let out, 'though somewhat in hot blood, that in the councils of the king the English match had never been taken into consideration, but from the time of the Prince of Wales's arrival at Madrid, might have been true enough. The seven years which had passed in apparent negotiation resembled the scene of a fata morgana; an earth painted in the air-raised by the delusive arts of Gondomar and Olivarez. As they never designed to realise it, it would of course never have been brought into the councils of his Spanish majesty. Buckingham discovered, as he told Gerbier, that the Infanta by the will of her father, Philip III, was designed for the emperor's son; the catholic for the catholic, to cement the venerable system. When Buckingham and Charles had now ascertained that the Spanish cabinet could not adopt English and protestant interests, and Olivarez had convinced himself that Charles would never be a catholic, all was broken up; and thus a treaty of marriage, which had been slowly reared, during a period of seven years, when the flower seemed to take, only contained within itself the seeds of war.t

Olivarez and Richelieu were thorough-paced statesmen, in every respect the opposites of the elegant, the spirited, and the open Buckingbam. The English favourite checked

and the open Buckingbam. The English tayourte checked

\* Hacket's life of Lord Keeper Williams, p. 113, pt. 1, 6,

† The narrative furnished by Buckingham, and vouched by
the prince to the parliament, agrees in the main with what the
duke told Gerbler. It is curious to observe how the narrative
seems to have perplexed Hume, who, from some preconceived
system, condemns Buckingham, 'for the 'falsity of this long
narrative, as calculated entirely to mislead the parliament.'
He has, however, in the note [T] of this very volume, sufficiently marked the difficulties which hung about the opinion
he has given in the text. The curious may find the narrative
in Frankland's Annals, p. 89, and in Rushworth's Hist. Coil.

119. \*\*B bas many entertaining variculars.

the haughty Castilian, the favourite of Spain, and the more than king-like cardinal, the favourite of France, with the rival spirit of his Island, proud of her equality with the con-

There is a story that the war between England and France was occasioned by the personal disrespect shown by the Cardinal Duke Richelieu to the English duke, in the affronting mode of addressing his letters. Gerhier says the world are in a ridiculous mistake about this circus The fact of the letters is true, since Gerbier was himself the secretary on this occasion. It terminated, however differently than is known. Richelieu, at least as haughty as Buckingham, addressed a letter, in a moment of caprice, in which the word Monsieur was level with th first line, avoiding the usual space of honour, to mark his disrespect. Buckingham instantly turned on the cardinal his own invention. Gerbier, who had written the letter, was also its bearer. The cardinal started at the first light, nover having been addressed with such familiarity, and was silent. On the following day, however, silent. On the following day, however, the cardinal re-cieved Gerbier civilly, and, with many rhetorical expres-sions respecting the duke, 'I know,' said he, 'the power and greatness of a high admiral of England; the comment and greatness of a high admiral of Engineer, in co-of his great ships make way, and prescribe law more forci-bly than the casens of the church, of which I am a mem-ber. I acknowledge the power of the favourites of great kings, and I am content to be a minister of state, and the duke's humble servant. This was an apology made with all the politesee of a Gaul, and by a great statesman who had recovered his senses.

If ever minister of state was threatened by the protics of a fatal termination to his life, it was Buckingh but his own fearlessness disdained to interpret them. following circumstances, collected from manuscript letters of ionowing circumstances, collected from manuscript letters of the times, are of this nature. After the sudden and un-happy dissolution of the parliament, popular terror showed itself in all shapes; and those who did not join in the popu-lar terror showed itself in all shapes; and those who did not join in the popular cry were branded with the edicus nickname of the ducklins.

A short time before the assassination of Buckinghan when the king, after an obstinate resistance, had conceded his assent to the 'Petition of Right,' the houses testified their satisfaction, perhaps their triumph, by their shouts of acclamation. They were propagated by the hearers on the outside, from one to the other till they reached the city: some confused account arrived before the occasion of these rejoicings was generally known: suddenly the bells began to ring, bonfires were kindled, and in an instant all was a scene of public rejoicing. But ominous indeed were these rejoicings, for the greater part was occarioned by a false rumour that the duke was to be sent to the Tower; no one inquired about a news which every one wished to hear; and so sudden was the joy, that a Ms. letter says, 'the old scaffold on tower-hill was pulled down and burned by certain unhappy boys, who said they would have a new one built for the duke.' This mistake so rapidly prevailed as to reach even the country, which blazed with bonfires to announce the fall of Buckingham.\* The shouts on the acquittal of the seven bishops, in 1688, did not speak in plainer language to the son's ear, when after the verdict was given, such prodigious acclamations of joy, seemed to set the king's authority at defiance: it spread itself not only into the city, but even to Hounslowheath, where the soldiers upon the news of it gave up a great shout, though the king was then actually at dinner in the camp. To the speculators of human nature, who find its history written in their libraries, how many plain lessons seem to have been lost on the mere politician, who is only such in the heat of action.

About a month before the duke was assassinated, occurred the murder by the populace of the man who was called 'The duke's devil.' This was a Dr Lambe, a man of infamous character; a dealer in magical arts, who lived by showing apparitions or selling the favours of the devil, and whose chambers were a convenient rendezvous for the curious of both sexes. This wretched man, who openly ex-ulted in the infamous traffic by which he lived, when he was sober, prophesied that he should fall one day by the hands from which he received his death; and it was said, he was as positive about his patron's. At the age of

Digitized by Google

<sup>\*</sup> Letter from J. Mead to Sir M. Stuteville June 5, 1638 Harl. MSS, 7000. † Memoirs of James II, vol. II. p. 163.

eighty, he was torm to pieces in the city, and the city was impredently heavily fined 6000f. for not delivering up those who, in murdering this hoary culprit, were heard to say that they would handle his master worse, and would have minced his flesh, and have had every one a bit of him. This is one more instance of the political cannibalism of the mob. The fate of Dr Lambe served for a ballad, and the printer and singer were laid in Newgate.\* Buckingam, it seems, for a moment contemplated his own fate in his wretched creature's, more particularly as another omen obtroded itself on his attention; for on the very day of Dr Lambe's murder, his own portrait in the council-chamber was seen to have fallen out of its frame; a circumstance as awful in that ago of omens, as the portrait that walked from its frame in the 'Castle of Otranto,' but perhaps more easily accounted for. On the eventful day of Dr Lambe's being torn to pieces by the mob, a circumstance eccured to Buckingham, somewhat remarkable to show the spirit of the times. The king and the duke were in the Spring-gardens looking on the bowlers; the duke put whis het. on his bat. One Wilson a Scotchman, first kissing the duke's hands, snatched it off, saying, 'Off with your hat oute's hands, snatched it off, saying, 'OH with your hat before the king.' Buckingham, not apt to restrain his suck feeinge, kicked the Scotchman, but the king inter-kring, said 'Let him alone, George; he is either mad or a fool.' 'No, Sir,' replied the Scotchman, 'I am a sober man, and if your majesty would give me leave, I will tell you that of this man which many know, and none dare speak.' This was as a prognostie, an anticipation of the deserge of Petines' eager of Feiton!

About this time a libel was taken down from a post in Comman-street by a constable and carried to the lord-mayor, who ordered it to be delivered to none but his masiv. Of this libel the manuscript letter contains the fol-

lowing particulars:

and on the assessination of the duke, I find two lines in a MS. letter :

The shepherd's struck, the sheep are fied! For want of Lamb the wolf is dead!

There is a scarce tract of 'A brief description of the notorious life of John Lambe, otherwise called Doctor Lambe,' &c, with a curious wood print of the mob pelting him in the

'Who rules the kingdom? The king Who rules the king? The duke. Who rules the duke? The devil.

Let the duke look to it; for they intend shortly to use him worse than they did the doctor; and if things be not shortly re-braned, they will work a reformation themselves.

The only advice the offended king suggested was to set t double watch every night! A watch at a post to pre-ent a libel being affixed to it was no prevention of libels wing written, and the fact is, libels were now bundled and ent to fairs, to be read by those who would venture to rad, to those who would venture to listen; both parties rere often sent to prison. It was about this time, after be sudden dissolution of the parliament, that popular ter-w showed itself in various shapes, and the spirit which hen broke out in libels by night was assuredly the same, thich, if these political prognostics had been rightly contrued by Charles, might have saved the eventual scene blood. But meither the king nor his favourite had yet een taught to respect popular feelings. Buckingham, fler all, was guilty of no heavy political crimes; but it was is misfortune to have been a prime minister, as Clarenconservation to have been a prime minister, as Chronical are, in 'a busy, querulous, froward time, when the copie were uneasy under pretences of reformation, with one petulant discourses of liberty, which their great imsters scattered among them like glasses to multiply their ars. It was an age, which was preparing for a great out-st, where both parties committed great faults. The vourite did not appear odious in the eyes of the king, ho knew his better dispositions more intimately than the soular party, who were crying him down. And Charles tributed to individuals, and the great impostors, the amours which had been raised.

But the plurality of offices showered on Buckingham ndered him still more odious to the people: had he not on created lord high admiral and general, he had never sked his character amidst the opposing elements, or be-

\* Rushworth has preserved a burden of one of these

Let Charles and George do what they can, The duke shall die like Doctor Lamb.

fore impregnable forts. But something more than his own towering spirit, or the temerity of vanity, must be alleged for his assumption of those opposite military changes of the companion of the racters.\*

A peace of twenty years appears to have rusted the arms of our soldiers, and their commanders were destitute of military skill. The war with Spain was clamoured for; and an expedition to Cadiz, in which the duke was reand an expectation to course, in which the command, as proached by the people for not taking the command, as they supposed from deficient spirit, only ended in our un-disciplined soldiers under bad commanders getting drunk ousciplined soldiers under bad commanders getting drunk in the Spanish cellars, insomuch that not all had the power to run away. On this expedition, some verses were handed about, which probably are now first printed, from a manuscript letter of the times; a political pasquinade which shows the utter silliness of this, 'Ridiculus Mus.'

### VERSES OF THE EXPEDITION TO CADIZ.

There was a crow sat on a stone, He flew away—and there was none ! There was a man that run a race, There was a man that run a race, When he ran fast—he ran apace! There was a maid that eat an apple When she cat two-she cat a couple There was an ape sat on a tree, When he fell down—then down fell he There was a fleet that went to Spain, When it returned—it came again!

Another expedition to Rochelle, under the Earl of Denbigh, was ladeed of a more sober nature, for the earl declined to attack the enemy. The national honour, among declined to attack the enemy. The national honour, among the other grievances of the people, had been long degraded; not indeed by Buckingham himself, who personally had ever maintained, by his high spirit, an equality, if not a superiority, with France and Spain. It was to win back the public favour by a resolved and public effort, that Buckingham a second time was willing to pledge his fortune, his honour, and his life, into one daring cast, and enter dy the of Rochelle to leave his body, or to vindicate his aspersed name. The garruleus Gerbier shall tell his own story, which I transcribe from his own hand-writing, of the mighty preparations, and the dyke's perfect devotion the mighty preparations, and the duke's perfect devotion to the cause, for among other rumours, he was calumniated as ever having been faithful to his engagements with the Protestants of Rochelle.

The duke caused me to make certain works, according to the same model as those wherewith the Prince of Parma blew up, before Antwerp, the main dyke and estacado; they were so mighty strong, and of that quantity of powder, and so closely masoned in barks, that they might have blown up the half of a town. I employed therein of pow-der, stone-quarries, bombs, fire-balls, chains and iron balls, a double proportion to that used by the Duke of Parma,

according to the description left thereof.'†

'The duke's intention to succour the Rochellers was manifest, as was his care to assure them of it. He commanded me to write and convey to them the secret advertisement thereof. The last advice I gave them from him contained these words, ' Hold out but three weeks, and God willing I will be with you, either to overcome or to die there.' The bearer of this received from my hands a hundred Jacobuses to carry it with speed and safety. The duke had disbursed three-score thousand pounds of his money upon the fleet; and lost his life ere he could get aboard. Nothing but death had hindered him or frustrated him of which I have been supported by the support of the ed his design, of which I am confident by another very remarkable passage. 'The duke, a little before his departure from York-house, being alone with me in his garden, and giving me his last commands for my journey towards Italy and Spain, one Mr. Wigmore, a gentleman of his, coming to us, presented to his lordship a paper, said to come from the prophesying Lady Davers, foretelling,

\*At the British Institution, some time back, was seen a pic ture of Buckingham, mounted on a charger by the sea-shore, crowded with tritons, &tc. As it reflected none of the graces or beauty of the original, and seemed the work of some wretched apprentice of Rubens (perhaps Gerbler himself,) these con-tradictory accompaniments increased the suspicion that the picture could not be the duke's; it was not recollected generally that the favourite was both admiral and general; and that the duke was at once Neptune and Mars, ruling both sea and land.

† This machine seems noticed in Le Mercure François 1627, р. 863.

† Gerbier, a foreigner, scarcely ever writes an English name correctly, while his orthography is not always intelliging ble. He means here Lady Davies, an extraordinary character

that he should end his life that month; besides he had received a letter from a very considerable hand, persuading him to let some other person be sent on that expedition to command in his place; on which occasion the duke made this expression to me: "Gerbier, If God please I will go, and be the first man who shall set his foot upon the dyke before Rochel to die, or do the work, whereby the world shall see the reality of our intentions for the relief of that place." He had before told me the same in his closet, after he had signed certain despatches of my letters of credence to the Duke of Lorraine and Savoy, to whom I was sent to know what diversion they could make in favour of the king, in case the peace with Spain should not take. His majesty spoke to me, on my going towards my residency at Bruxelles, "Gerbier, I do command thee to have a continual care, to press the Infanta and the Spanish ministers there, for the restitution of the Palatinate; for I am obliged in conscience, in honour, and in maxim of fail to try to the uttermost to compass this business."

In the week of that expedition, the king took 'George' with him in his coach to view the ships at Deptford on their departure for Rochelle, when he said to the duke 'George, there are some that wish that both these and thou mightest perish together; but care not for them; we will both

perish together, if thou doest !

A few days before the duke went on his last expedition, he gave a farewell mask and supper at Yorkhouse, to their majesties. In the mask the duke appeared followed by Envy with many open mouthed dogs, which were to represent the barkings of the people, while next came Fame and Truth; and the court allegory expressed the king's sentiment and the duke's sanguine hope.

Thus resolutely engaged in the very cause the people had so much at heart, the blood Buckingham would have sealed it with was shed by one of the people themselves; the enterprise, designed to retrieve the national honour, long tarnished, was prevented; and the Protestant cause suffered, by one who imagined himself to be, and was blest by nearly the whole nation, as a patriot! Such are the effects of the exaggerations of popular delusion.

I find the following epitaph on Buckingham, in a manu-

I find the following epitaph on Buckingham, in a manuscript letter of the times. Its condensed bitterness of spirit gives the popular idea of his unfortunate attempts.

THE DUKE'S EPITAPH.

If idle trav'llers ask who lieth here, Let the duke's tomb this for inscription bear; Paint Cales and Rhé, make French and Spanish laugh; Mix England's shame—and there's his epitaph!

Before his last fatal expedition, among the many libels which abounded, I have discovered a manuscript satire, entitled 'Rhodomonados.' The thoughtless minister is made to exult in his power over the giddy-headed multitude. Buckingham speaks in his own person; and we have here preserved those false rumours, and those aggravated feelings, then floating among the people: a curious instance of those heaped up calumnies, which are often so heavily laid on the head of a prime minister, no favourite with the people.

i 'Tis not your threats shall take me from the king! Nor questioning my counsels and commands, How with the honour of the state it stands; That I lost Rhé, and with such loss of men, As scarcely time can e'er repeir again; Shall aught affright me; or else care to see The narrow seas from Dunkirk clear and free, Or that you can enforce the king to believe, I from the pirates a third share receive; Or that I correspond with foreign states (Whether the king's foes or confederates) To plot the ruin of the king and state, As erst you thought of the Palsinate; Or that five hundred thousand pound doth lie in the Venice bank to help Spain's majesty; Or that three hundred thousand more doth rest in Dunkirk, for the arch-duchess to contest With England, whene'er occasion offers; Or that by rapine I will fill my coffers; Wor that an office in church, state, and court, Is freely given, but they must pay me for. Nor shall you ever prove I had a hand In polsoning of the monarch of this land: Or the like hand by poisoning to intox Southampton, Oxford, Hamilton, Lennox.

and a supposed prophetess. This Cassandra hit the time in her dark predictions, and was more persuaded than ever that the was a prophetes. Nor shall you ever prove by magic charma, I wrought the king's affection or his harms. Nor fear I if the Vitrys now were here, Since I have thrice ten Ravilliace as near. My power shall be unbounded in each thing,

My power shall be unbounded in each thing, If once I use those words, 'I and my king.' Seem wise, and case then to perturb the resim Or strive with him that sits and guides the helm. I know your reading will inform you soon, What creatures they were that barkt against the method of the stripe of

After Buckingham's death, Charles I cherished his memory warmly as his life, advanced his friends, and designed to raise a magnificent monument to his memory; and if any one accused the duke, the king always impured the fault to himself. The king said, 'Let not the duke's enemies seek to catch at any of his offices, for they will find themselves deceived.' Charles called Buckingham 'his martyr!' and often said the world was much mistaken in the duke's character; for it was commonly thought the duke ruled his majesty; but it was much the contrary, having been his most faithful and obedient servant in all things, as the king said he would make sensibly appear to the world. Indeed after the death of Buckingham, Charles showed himself extremely active in business. Lord Dirchester wrote—'The death of Buckingham causes no changes; the king holds in his own hands the total direction, leaving the executory part to every man within the compass of his charge.\* This is one proof, among many, that Charles I was not the puppet-king of Buckingham, as modern historians have imagined.

### FELTON THE POLITICAL ASSAULT.

Felton, the assassin of the Duke of Buckingham, by the growing republican party, was hailed as a Brutus, raing, in the style of a patriotic bard,

### 'Refulgent from the stroke.' ARRESDE

Gibbon has thrown a shade of suspicion even over Bratua's 'God-like stroke,' as Pope has exaited it. Is Febon, a man acting from mixed and confused motives, the political martyr is entirely lost in the contrite pesitent; he was, however, considered in his own day as a being awas, however, considered in his own day as a being awas, however, considered in his own day as a being awas, however, considered in his own day as a being awas, however, considered in his own day as a being a lunatic,' because the duke had not been assessment on the right principle. His motives appeared inconcretable to the right principle. His motives appeared inconcretable to the control of the Duke of Buckingham observes, that 'what may have been the immediate or greatest orbits of that felonious conception (the duke's assessment of its even yet in the clouds.' After ascertaining that it was Ib Egglesheim's furious 'libel,' and the 'remonstrance' of the parliament, which, having made the duke 'one of the foolest monsters upon earth,' worked on the dark imagination of Felton.'

From Felton's memorable example, and some small ones, one observation occurs worth the notice of even minister of state who dares the popular odinan be raised. Such a minister will always be in present dang of a violent termination to his career; for however he may be convinced that there is not political virtue enough in whole people to afford 'the God-like stroke,' he will a ways have to dread the arm of some melanchely eath sinst, whose mind, secretly agitated by the public indigention, directs itself solely on him. It was sometime all having written this reflection, that I discovered the foliesting notice of the Duke of Buckingham in the unpublished life of Sir Symonds D'Ewes. 'Some of his friends he advised him how generally he was hated in England, as how needful it would be for his greater safety to wee some coat of mail, or some other secret defensive armore

which the duke shighting sand, "It needs not: there are no Roman spirits left," \*\*\*

An account of the contemporary feelings which symps thised with Felton, and almost sanctioned the assassin's lized with Felicon, and amost sanctioned the assessmir sleed, I gather from the ass. letters of the times. The public mind, through a long state of discontent, had see prepared for, and not without an obscure expoctation of the mortal end of Buckingham. It is certain the duke received many warnings which he despised. The assessmants kindled a turnuit of joy throughout the nation, and attachiled many within in strong characters in the focus a state-libel was written in strong characters in the faces of the people. The passage of Felton to London, after the assassanation, seemed a triumph. Now pitied, and sow bissed, mothers held up their children to behold the sow obsect, mouners here up their crimeres to bestone use swoor of the country; and an old woman exclaimed, as Felton passed ber, with a scriptural allusion to his short saure, and the mightiness of Buckingham, 'God bless hee little David?' Fenton was nearly sainted before he reached the metropolis. His health was the reigning toast mong the republicans. A character somewhat remarkathe reproduction of the constitution of the co naired of Charles, was committed by the star-chamber, seavily fixed, and sentenced to lose his ears, on three harges, one of which arose from drinking a health to Fel-on. At Trinity College, Gill said that the king was fitter a stand in a Cheapside shop, with an apron before him, ind say, What lack ye? than to govern a kimdom; that he duke was gone down to hell to see king James; and braking a health to Felton, added he was sorry Felton ad deprived him of the honour of doing that brave act. is the taste of that day they contrived a political anagram
f his name, to express the immoveable self-devotion he
howed after the assassination, never attempting to esape; and John Felton, for the nonce, was made to
sad,

### Noh! flie not!

But while Felton's name was echoing through the kingon, our new Brutus was at that moment exhibiting a iteous spectacle of remorse; so different often is the cal person himself from the ideal personage of the public. The assassination with him was a sort of theoretical one, epending, as we shall show, on four propositions; so lat when the king's attorney, as the attorney-general as then called, had furnished the unhappy criminal with a unexpected argument, which appeared to him to have seturned his, he declared that he had been in a mistake; ad lamenting that he had not been aware of it before, rom that instant his conscientious spirit sunk into despair. a the open court he stretched out his arm, offering it as he offending instrument to be first cut off; he requested be king's leave to wear sackcloth about his loins, to sprinis asies on his head, to carry a halter about his neck, in sumony of repentance; and that he might sink to the mest point of contrition, he insisted on asking pardon ot only of the duchess, the duke's mother, but even of te duke's scullion-boy; and a man naturally brave was een always shedding tears, so that no one could have imculars were given by one of the divines who attended him, the writer of the ms. letter.'I

The character of Felton must not, however, be conceivfrom this agonizing scene of contrition. Of melanloly and retired habits, and one of those thousand officers, no had incurred disappointments, both in promotion and arrears of pay, from the careless duke, he felt, perhaps, though he denied it, a degree of personal animosity toards him. A solitary man who conceives himself injured roots over his revenge. Felton once cut off a piece of sown inger, inclosing it in a challenge, to convince the stron whom he addressed, that he valued not endanger-\* Harl. MSS, 646.

The MS. letter giving this account observes, that the words
coming his majesty were not read in open court, but only
is relaing to the duke and Felton.
[Carendon notices that Felton was of a gentleman's family

Lind that during

[Chrendon notices that Felton was of a genteeman's tamuly suffer of good fortune and reputation." I find that during sendement, the Earl and Countees of Arundel, and Lord straves their son, 'he being of their blood,' says the letter-fler, continually visited him, gave many proofs of their ladship, and brought his 'winding-sheet;' for to the last they support to save him from being hung in chains: they did

ing his whole body, provided it afforded him an opportunity of vengeance.\* Yet with all this, such was his love of truth and rigid honour, that Felton obtained the nick-name of 'honest Jack,' one which, after the assassination, became extremely popular through the nation. The religious enthusiasm of the times had also deeply possessed his mind, and that enthusiasm, as is well known, was of a nature that might easily occasion its votary to be mistaken for a republican.

Clarendon mentions that in his hat he had sewed a paper, in which were written a few lines of that remo rance of the commons, which appeared to him to sanetion the act. I have seen a letter from Lord Carlton to the queen, detailing the particulars; his lordship was one of those who saved Felton from the swords of the military around him, who in their vexation for the loss of their gene ral the duke, which they considered to be the end of the war, and their ruin, would have avenged themselves. But though Felton, in conversation with Lord Carlton, confessed that by reading the remonstrance of the parliament it came into his head, that in committing the act of killing It came into his near, that as committing the act of mining the duke, he should do his country a great good service, yet the paper sewed in his hat, thinking he might have fallen a victim in the attempt, was different from that described by Clarendon, and is thus preserved in this letter to the queen by Lord Carlton. "If I be slain, let no man to the queen by Lord Carton. It be stain, let no man condemn me, but rather condemn himself. Our hearts are hardened, and become senseless, or else he had not gone so long unpunished. He is unworthy the name of a gentleman or soldier, in my opinion, that is afraid to sacra-fice his life for the honour of God, his king, and country. John Felton.'†

Felton's mind had however previously passed through a more evangelical process; four theological propositions struck the knife into the heart of the minister. The conscientious assassin, however accompanied the fatal blow with a prayer to Heaven, to have mercy on the soul of the victim; and never was a man murdered with more gospe. than the duke. The following curious document I have

discovered in the Ms. letter.

'Propositions found in Felton's trunk, at the time he slow the duke.

1. There is no alliance nearer to any one than his country.

Except his God and his own soul, said the divines.

2. The safety of the people is the chiefest law. Next to the law of God, said these divines.

3. No law is more sacred than the safety and welfare of the commonwealth.

Only God's law is more sacred, said the divines.

4. God himself hath enacted this law, that all things that are for the good profit and benefit of the commonwealth should be lawful.

The divines said. We must not do evil that good may come thereon.'

The gradual rise in these extraordinary propositions, with the last sweeping one, which includes every thing lawless as lawful for the common weal, was at least but feebly parried by the temperate divines, who, while they were so reasonably referring every thing to God, wanted the vulgar curiosity to inquire, or the philosophical dis-cernment to discover, that Felton's imagination was driving every thing at the duke. Could they magine that these were but subtile cobwebs, spun by a closet-speculator on human affairs? In those troubled times did they not give a thought to the real object of these inquiries? they not care what befell a minion of the state?

There is one bright passage in the history of this unhap-y man, who, when better down in spirits, firmly asserted the rights of a Briton; and even the name of John Felton may fill a date in the annals of our constitutional freedom.

Felton was menaced with torture. Rushworth has noticed the fact, and given some imperfect notes of his speech, when threatened to be racked; but the following is not only more ample, but more important in its essential particulars. When Lord Dorset told him (says the ass. letter,) Mr Felton, it is the king's pleasure that you should be put to the torture, to make you confess your complices, and therefore prepare yourself for the rack: Felton an-swered, 'My lord, I do not believe that it is the king's pleasure, for he is a just and a gracious prince, and will not have his subject tortured against loss. I do affirm upon

Rushworth, vol. I, 638.
† Lansdowne M88 209. Auctioneer's Catalogue.

my salvation that my purpose was not known to any man living; but if it be his majesty's pleasure, I am ready to suffer whatever his majesty will have inflicted upon me. Yet this I must tell you by the way, that if I be put upon the rack, I will accuse you, my Lord of Dorset, and none but yourself.'\* This firm and sensible speech silenced them. A council was held, the judges were consulted; and on this occasion, they came to a very unexpected decision, that 'Felton ought not to be tortured by the rack, cision, that 'Felton ought not to be tortured by the rack, for no such punishment is known or allowed by our law.'
Thus the judges condemned what the government had constantly practised. Blackstone yields a fraternal eulo-gium to the honour of the judges on this occasion; but Hume more philosophically discovers the cause of this sudden tenderness. 'So much more exact reasoners with regard to law, had they become from the jealous scruples of the House of Commons. An argument which may be strengthened from cases which are unknown to the writers of our history. Not two years before the present one, a Captain Brodeman, one who had distinguished himself among the bold speakers' concerning the king and the duke, had been sent to the Tower, and was reported to have expired on the rack; the death seems doubtful, but the fact of his having been racked is repeated in the as. etters of the times. The rack has been more frequentetters of the times. The rack has been more frequently used as a state-engine than has reached the knowledge of our historians; secret have been the deadly emaraces of the Duke of Exeter's daughter.† It was only by an original journal of the transactions in the Tower that Burnet discovered the racking of Ann Askew, a narrative of horror! James the First incidentally mentions in his account of the powder-plot that this rack was shown to Guy Fawkes during his examination; and yet under this prince, mild as his temper was, it had been used in a terrific manner.1 Elizabeth but too frequently employed this engine of arbitrary power; once she had all the servants of the Duke of Norfolk tortured. I have seen m a ms. of the times heads of charges made against some member of the House of Commons in Elizabeth's reign, among which is one for having written against torturing! Yot Coke, the most eminent of our lawyers, extols the mer-cy of Elizabeth in the trials of Essex and Southampton, because she had not used torture against their accomplices or witnesses. Was it for the head of law itself, as Coke or witnesses. Was it for the head of law itself, as Coke was, to extol the mercy of the sovereign for not violating the laws, for not punishing the subject by an illegal act? The truth is, lawyers are rarely philosophers; the history followed and law cases presents of the heart, read only in statutes and law cases, presents the worst side of human nature : they are apt to consider men as wild beasts; and they have never spoken with any great abhorrence of what they so erroneously consi-dered a means of obtaining confession. Long after these times, Sir George Mackenzie, a great lawyer in the reign of James II, used torture in Scotland. We have seen how the manly spirit of Felton, and the scruples of the Commons, wrenched the hidden law from judges who had hitherto been too silent; and produced that unexpected avowal, which condemned all their former practices. But it was reserved for better times, when philosophy combining with law, enabled the genius of Blackstone to quote with admiration the exquisite ridicule of torture, by Beccama.

On a rumour that Felton was condemned to suffer torture, an effusion of poetry, the ardent breathings of a pure and youthful spirit, was addressed to the supposed political martyr, by Zouch Townley, of the ancient family of the Townleys in Lancashire, to whose last descendant the na-tion owes the first public collection of ancient art. §

\* Harl. MSS, 7000. J. Mead to R Matt. Stuteville, Sept.

27, 1628. † The rack, or brake, now in the Tower was introduced by the Duke of Exetor in the reign of Henry VI, as an auxilia-ry to his project of establishing the civil law in this country; and in derision it was called his daughter. Cowel's Interp.

† This remarkable document is preserved by Dalrymple; it is an indorsement in the hand-writing of secretary Winwood, respecting the examination of Peacham, a record whose grarespecting the examination of Peacham, a record whose graduated horrors might have charmed the speculative cruelty of a Domitian or a Nero. 'Upon these interrogatories, Peacham this day was examined before torture, in torture, between torture, and after torture; notwithstanding, nothing could be drawn from him, he persisting still in his obstinate and insensible denials and former answer.' Dalrymple's Mem. and Letters of James I, p. 68.

§ Z. Townley in 1624 made the Latin oration in memory of

The poem I transcribe from a ms. copy of the times; # appears only to have circulated in that secret form, for the writer being summoned to the star chamber, and not will ling to have any such poem addressed to himself, escaped to the Hague.

'To his confined friend, Mr Jo. FRLTON.

Enjoy thy bondage, make thy prison know Thou hast a liberty, thou can'st not owe To those base punishments; keep entire, dis Nothing but guilt shackles the conscience. Nothing but guilt shackles the conscience. I dare not tempt thy valiant blood to afray, Infeebling it with pity; nor dare I pray. Thine act may mercy finde, least thy greatery Lose somewhat of its miracle and glory. I wish thy merits, laboured crueity; Stout vengeance best befriends thy memory. For I would have posterity to hear, He that can bravely do can bravely bear. Tortures may seem great in a coward's ave: Tortures may seem great in a coward's eye; It is no great thing to suffer, less to die. Should all the clouds fall down, and in that strik Lightning and thunder serve to take my life, I would applaud the wisdom of my fate, Which knew to value me of such a rate, As to my fall to trouble all the sky, Emptying upon me Jove's full armoury Emptying upon me Joves Itali armoury.
Serve in your sharpest mischies; use your rack,
Enlarge each joint, and make each sinew crack,
Thy soul before was straitened; thank thy does,
To show her virtue, she hath larger room.
Yet sure if every artery were broke,
Thou would'st find strength for such another state Thou would'st find strength for such another stand now I leave thee unto Death and Fame, Which lives to shake Ambition with thy Lame; And if it were not sin, the court by it Should hourly awear before the favouria. Farewell! for thy brave sake we shall not such thenceforth commanders, enemies to defend; Nor will it our just monarche henceforth please, To keep an admiral, to lose the seas. Farewell! undaunted stand, and joy to be Of public service the entireme. Of public service the epitome. Let the duke's name solace and crown thy thm!!; All we for him did suffer, thou for all !
And I dere holdly write, as thou dar'st die,
Stout Felton, England's ransom, here doth he?

This it is to be a great poet. Polton, who was celebrated in such elevated strains, was, at that moment, not the patriot but the penitent. In political history it frequently occurs that the man who accidentally has effectuated to purpose of a party is immediately invested by them will all their favourite virtues; but in reality, having acted from motives originally insignificant and obscure, his character may be quite the reverse they have made him; and sak was that of our 'honest Jack.' Had Townley bad a more intimate acquaintance with his Brutus, we might have lost a noble poem on a noble subject.

### JOHNSON'S HINTS FOR THE LIFE OF POPE.

I shall preserve a literary curiosity, which perhaps s the only one of its kind. It is an original memorandum of Dr Johnson's, of hints for the life of Pope written down as they were suggested to his mind in the course of his re-searches. The lines in italics, Johnson had scratched with red ink, probably after having made use of them. These notes should be compared with the life itself. The yostful student will find some use, and the curious be granted in discovering the gradual labours of research and observawhich afterwards are developed by meditation, and illustrawhich alterware are developed by medication; as a must ted by Genius. I once thought of accompanying the kints by the amplified and finished passages derived from them; but this is an amusement which the reader ca-contrive for himself. I have extracted the most maural

This fragment is a companion piece to the engrand fac-simile of a page of Pope's Homer in the present winever was a more minutely perfect copy of a manuscript.

That fac-simile was not given to show the autograph of Pope—a practice which has since so generally preview but to exhibit to the eye of the student the ferrour and the diligence required in every work of genius; this could a be done by showing the state of the manuscript itself, with all its crasures, and even its half formed lines; nor could

Comder, reprinted by Dr Thomas Smith at the end of Carden's Life. Wood's Fasti. I find his name also amore the verses addressed to hen Jonson, prefixed to his work.

his effect be produced by giving only some of the correc-ions, which Johnson had already in printed characters.— My notion has been approved of, because it was compre-tended by writers of genius; yet this fac-simile has been considered as nothing more than an autograph by those israry blockheads, who, without tasts and imagination, studies into the propuration of literature find the presence of array securesces, who, without case and magnature, as arrays are wiward as a once popular divine, in his 'Christian ide,' assures us would certain sinners in paradise—like pigs in a drawing room,"

### POPE.

Nothing occasional. No haste. No rivals. No compule

Pacinsed only one form of verse. Facility from use. Emelated former pieces. Cooper's-hill. Dryden's ode. Affected to diedain flattery. Not happy in his selection of Patros. Cobham, Bollingbroke.\*
Cibber's dues will be better to him than a dose of hartshorn. Pecns long delayed.

Saure and praise late, alluding to something past. He had always some poetical plan in his head. Echo to the sense.

Would not constrain himself too much. Pelicities of language. Watts.1

fencius is uniquings.
Limity of language.
Metives to study—event of health, want of money—helpe
to study—evene small patrimony.
Prudent and frugal—pint of wine,

Aniable disposition—but he gives his own character. Elaborate. Think what to say say what one thinks.
Letter on sickness to Steele. On solitude. Ostentatious benevolence. Professions of

sincerity. Neglect of fame. Indifference about every thing.

Senctines got and airy, sometimes sober and grave.
The proud of living among the great. Probably forward to make acquaintance. No literary man ever talked so

• He has added in the Life, the name of Burlington. in the Life Johnson gives Swift's complaint that Pope was saver at leasure for conversation, because he had always some postical scheme in his head.

1 Johnson in the Life has given Watte' opinion of Pope's

much of his fortune. Grotto. Importance. Post-affee, letters open.
Cant of despising the world. Affectation of despising poetry.
His easiness about the critics. Something of foppery. His letters to the ladies-Abuse of Scripture—not all early.

Thoughts in his letters that are elsewhere.

BUSAY OT MAN. Ramony missed the fall of man. Others the immortality of the soul. Address to our Se-

Excluded by Berkley.
Bollingbroke's notions not understood. Scale of Being turn it in proce.
Part and not the whole always said. Conversation with Bol. R. 220.\* Bol. meant ill, Pope well. Crouzas. Resnel. Warb Warburten

Good sense. Luxurious—felicities of language. Loved labour—always poetry in his head. Extreme sensibility. Ill-health, head-aches. He never laughed.

No conversation. No writings against Swift. Parasitical epithets. Six lines of Iliad.†

He used to set down what occurred of thoughts-a line-a

The humorous lines and sinner. Prunello.1 First line made for the sound, or v. versa. Foul lines in Jervas. More notice of books early than late.

DUNCIAD.

The line on Phillips borrowed from another poem. Pope did not increase the difficulties of writing. Posta pulorum.

\* Ruff head's Life of Pope.
† In the Life Johnson says, 'Expletives he very early rejected from his verses; but now and then admits an spikhet rather commodious than important. Each of the six first lines of the liiad might lose two syllables with very little dimunition of the meaning; and sometimes after all his art and labour, one verse seems to be made for the sake of another.'

† He has a few double rhymes; but always, I think, unsuccessfully; except one in the Rape of the Lock. Life of Pope

RED OF THE PIRST SERVER

## CONTENTS OF THE FIRST SERIES.

| •• •  |             |         |          | P  | W.       |   |            |                    |                | Page                                 |
|---|-------------|---------|----------|----|----------|---|------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| Libraries,<br>The Bibliomania,                      | •           | •       | •        | •  | 3        | Metempsychosis,                                     | •          | •                  | •              |                                      |
| i itana i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i         | •           | •       | •        | •  | 5        | Spanish stiquette,                                  | •          | •                  | •              | . #1<br>. #3                         |
| Literary journals,<br>Recovery of manuscript        | •           | •       | •        | •  | 5        | The Goths and Huns,                                 | •          | •                  | •              | . 53                                 |
| Sketches of criticism.                              | ,           | •       | •        | •  | 8        | Of vicars of Bray,                                  | •          | •                  | •              | · 53                                 |
| The persecuted learned,                             |             | •       | •        | •  | 9        | Douglas,<br>Critical history of pover               | •          | •                  | •              | . 53                                 |
| Pererty of the learned,                             |             | •       | :        | •  | 10 l     | Solomon and Sheba,                                  | ٠,٢٠       | •                  | •              | . 53<br>. 54<br>. 55<br>. 55<br>. 55 |
| Imprecomment of the least                           | med.        | :       | :        | :  | ii       | Hell.   | •          | •                  | •              | . 04                                 |
| Amusements of the lear                              | ned,        | •       |          |    | 12       | The absent man, .                                   | •          | •                  | • .            | · 66                                 |
| Portraits of authors,                               | •           | •       | •        |    | 13       | Wax-work, .   |            | :                  | :              | . 65                                 |
| Destruction of books,                               | _           | •       | •        | •  | 14       | Pasquin and Marforio,                               | •          | •                  |                | . 56                                 |
| Some notices of lost wor                            | rks,        | •       | •        | •  | 17       | Female beauty and orm                               | ıments,    | •                  | •              | . 57                                 |
| Quodinets, or scholastic                            | c diaquini  | tions,  | •        | •  | 17       | Modern Platonism,                                   | • •        | •                  | •              | . 57                                 |
| Fame contemmed,<br>The six follies of science       | •           | •       | •        | •  | 19       | Anecdotes of fashion,                               | •          | •                  | •              | . 58                                 |
| Instators,  | в,          | •       | •        | •  | 19       | A senate of Jesuits,                                | •          | •                  | •              | . 62                                 |
| Cicero's puns,                                      | •           | •       | •        | •  | 19       | The lover's heart,<br>The history of gloves,        | •          | •                  | •              | . 62                                 |
| Prefaces,   | •           | •       | •        | •  | 20       | Relics of saints,                                   | , •        | •                  | •              | . 63                                 |
| The ancients and mode                               | rne.        | •       | •        | •  | 21       | Perpetual lamps of the a                            | maiamta    | •                  | •              | . 64                                 |
| Some ingenious thought                              | s.          | :       | :        | :  | ži       | Natural productions res                             | embline    | - difficient       | •              | . 65                                 |
| Early printing,                                     | •           |         | :        |    | 21       | uons, ,   | _          | -                  | conspon        | . 66                                 |
| Errala,   | •           | •       | •        |    | 22       | The poetical garland of                             | Julia.     | :                  | •              | . 66                                 |
| Patrons,  | •           | •       | •        |    | 23       | The violet,   | •          | :                  | •              | . 66                                 |
| Poets, philosophers, and<br>inequalities of genius, | i artists n | sade by | accident | Ŀ, | 24       | Tragic actors,                                      | •          |                    | •              | 67                                   |
| inconsistes of genius,                              |             | •       | •        | •  | 25       | Jocular preachers,                                  | •          | •                  | •              | . 67                                 |
| Annahrion men extraces                              | 100,        | •       | •        | •  | 25       | Masterly imitators,                                 | •          | •                  | •              | . 69                                 |
| Geographical diction                                | •           | •       | •        | •  | 25       | Edward the Fourth,                                  | •          | •                  | •              | . 69<br>. 70<br>. 70                 |
| The port-royal society,                             | •           | •       | •        | •  | 26       | Elizabeth,  | •          | •                  | •              | . 70                                 |
| I DO DEDGEROUS OF OLD SAME                          | in new at   | ndian   | •        | •  | 27       | The chinese language,<br>Medical music,             | •          | •                  | •              | · 71                                 |
| Connist most rv.                                    |             |         | • .      | •  | 27       | Minute writing,                                     | •          | •                  | •              | . 72                                 |
| St Evremond,  |             | :       | •        | •  | 28       | Numeral figures,                                    | •          | •                  | •              | . 73                                 |
| Mes of genius deficient                             | in conver   | ration. | •        | :  | 29       | English astrologers,                                | •          | •                  | •              | . 74                                 |
| Vida .  | •           | •       |          | :  | 30       | Alchymy.  | •          | •                  | •              | . 75                                 |
| The Scuderies,                                      | •           | •       | •        | •  | 30       | Alchymy,<br>Titles of books,                        |            | :                  | •              | . 76                                 |
| De La Rochefoucault                                 | •           | •       | •        | •  | 31       | Literary follies, .                                 |            |                    | :              | . 78                                 |
| Prior's Hans Carvel,                                | ٠           | •       | ė        | •  | 31       | THEIRLA CODITOVERSA.                                | •          | •                  | •              | . 81                                 |
| The student in the metr                             | opolis,     | •       | •        | •  | 31       | Literary blunders,                                  | •          | •                  | •              | . 65                                 |
| The Talamid,<br>Rabbanical stories,                 | •           | •       | •        | •  | 32       | A Literary wife,                                    | •          | •                  | •              | . 86                                 |
| On the custom of salutin                            | ·           | •       | ••       | •  | 23<br>34 | Dedications,  | •          | •                  | •              | . 86<br>. 89                         |
| Bonaventure de Periers                              | ik enset m  | -       |          | •  | 36       | Philosophical descriptive Pamphiets,                | s poems,   | )                  | •              | . 90                                 |
| Grotine,  | ,           | •       | •        | •  | 86       | Little books,                                       | •          | •                  | •              | . 91                                 |
| Noblemen turned critics                             |             | :       | :        | •  | 34       | A Catholic's refutation,                            | •          | •                  | •              | . 77                                 |
| Literary Impostures,                                | <b>'.</b>   | •       |          | :  | 36<br>37 | The good advice of an o                             | ald liters | ri sinaer          | •              | . 92<br>. 92<br>. 92                 |
| Cardinal Richelian.                                 | •           | •       | •        |    | 38       | Mysteries, moralities, fi                           | ATCOR. ST  | d entire           | <b>9</b><br> - | . 97                                 |
| Aristotle and Plato,                                | •           | •       | •        | •  | 39       | Mysteries, moralities, fi<br>Love and Folly, an and | ient mor   | ality.             | •              | . 95                                 |
| Abelard and Eloisa,                                 | •           | •       | •        | •  | 40       |   |            |                    |                | . 96                                 |
| Physiognomy,<br>Characters described by<br>Milton.  | •           | •       | •        | •  | 40       | "Unitical sagacity," an                             | d happy    | conject            | ure: o         | r.                                   |
| Wilson  | musical     | notes,  | •        | •  | 41       | DEMINST'S INTITION.                                 | •          | •                  | • •            | . 97                                 |
| Milton,<br>Origin of newspapers,                    | •           | •       | •        | •  | 41       | A Jansenist dictionary,                             | •          | •                  | •              | . 98                                 |
| Their and necode of anil                            | •           | •       | •        | •  | 42       | Manuscripts and books,                              | , •        | •                  | •              | . 99                                 |
| Inquisition,  | ı m saber   | suuous  | rtos     | •  | #        | The Turkish Spy,                                    | . :        | •                  | •              | . 99                                 |
| Singularities observed b                            | v verions   | Dations | in chair | •  | 45       | Spenser, Jonson, and Si                             | bakspear   | 10,                | •              | . 100                                |
| Popeste,  | ,           |         | -        | _  | 46       | Ben Jonson, Feltham, a<br>Ariosto and Tasso,        | and Ken    | aoipa,             | •              | . 100                                |
| Monarcha  | •           | :       | :        | •  | 47       | Venice,   | •          | •                  | •              | . 102                                |
| Titles of illustrious, His                          | hness, az   | d Kreel | lence.   | :  | 47       | Bayle,  | •          | •                  | •              | · 102                                |
| l'iles of sovereigne,<br>Royal Divimities,          | •           | •       | •        |    | 48       | Cervantes.  | •          | •                  | •              | . 104                                |
| Loyal Divinaties,                                   | •           | •       | •        |    | 48       | Magliabechi.  |            | •                  | •              | 104                                  |
| Dethrened monarchs,                                 | •           | •       | •        |    | 49       | Abridgers.  | •          | •                  | •              | . 104                                |
| Pendal customs,                                     | •           | •       | •        | •  | 49       | Protessors of plagiarism                            | and obs    | curity.            | •              | · 104                                |
| Jose of Arc,  | •           | e'      | •        | •  | 80       | LHOPSTV I MICH                                      |            |                    | •              | 100                                  |
| Gaming,<br>The Arabic chronicle,                    | •           | •       | • .      | •  | 50       | The productions of the ditors,                      | mind no    | t seizably         | by an          | h T                                  |
| ·- Arme andere                                      | •           | •       | • `      | •  | 51       | ditors, .   | • Dinit    | i <b>ž</b> ed by 🕻 | (TO)           | <b>3 (106</b>                        |
|   |             |         |          |    |          |   | Digit      |                    |                | 0                                    |

### CONTENTS OF THE FIRST SERIES.

|   | Page. | •  | Per       |
|---|-------|--|-----------|
| Critics   | . 107 | Popes,   | . 74      |
| Anecdotes of authors censured,                  | . 107 | Literary composition,                              | . 14      |
| Virginity,                                      | . 108 | Poetical imitations and similarities.              | . 14      |
| A glance into the French Academy,               | . 108 | Explanation of the fac-simile,                     | . 14      |
| Poetical and grammatical deaths,                | . 109 | Literary fashions,                                 | . 15      |
| Scarron,  | . 110 | The pantomimical characters.                       | . 15      |
| Peter Corneille,                                | . 112 | Extempore comedies,                                | . 15      |
| Poets,  | . 113 | Massinger, Milton, and the Italian theatre,        | . 15      |
| Romances,                                       | . 116 | Songs of trades, or songs for the people,          | . 150     |
| The Astrea,                                     | . 118 | Introducers of exotic flowers, fruits, etc.,       | . 10      |
| Poets laureate,                                 | . 119 | Usurers of the seventeenth century,                | . 16      |
| Angelo Politian,                                | 120   | Chidiock Titchbourne,                              | . 16      |
| Original letter of Queen Elizabeth.             | . 121 | Elizabeth and her parliament, .                    | . 15      |
| Anne Bullen,                                    | . 121 | Anecdotes of Prince Henry, the son of James 1      |           |
| James I,  | . 121 | when a child,                                      | . 17      |
| General Monk and his wife,                      | . 123 | The diary of a master of the ceremonies,           | . 17      |
| Philip and Mary,                                | . 123 | Diaries-moral, historical, and critical,           | . 17      |
| Charles the First,                              | . 123 | Licensers of the press,                            | . 17      |
| Duke of Buckingham,                             | . 194 | Of anagrams and echo verses,                       | . 18      |
| The death of Charles IX.                        | . 125 | Orthography of proper names,                       | . 18      |
| Royal promotions,                               | . 126 | Names of our streets,                              | . 189     |
| Nobility,                                       | . 126 | Secret history of Edward Vere, Earl of Oxford,     | . 18      |
| Modes of salutation, and amicable ceremonies, o | b-    | Ancient cookery and cooks,                         | . 18      |
| served in various nations,                      | . 126 | Ancient and modern Saturnalia.                     | . 18      |
| Singularities of war,                           | . 127 | Relique Gethiniane,                                | . 191     |
| Fire, and the origin of fire works.             | . 127 | Robinson Crusos,                                   | . 195     |
| The Bible prohibited and improved,              | . 128 | Catholic and protestant dramas,                    | . 194     |
| Origin of the materials of writing,             | . 129 | The history of the theatre during its suppression, | 195       |
| Anecdotes of European manners,                  | . 131 | Drinking-customs in England,                       | . 196     |
| The early drama,                                | . 133 | Literary anecdotes,                                | . 200     |
| The marriage of the arts,                       | . 134 | Condemned poets,                                   | . 201     |
| A contrivance in dramatic dialogue,             | . 135 | Acajou and Zirphile,                               | . 901     |
| The comedy of a madman,                         | . 135 | Tom O'Bedlams,                                     | . 905     |
| Solitude,                                       | . 136 | Introduction of tea, coffee, and chocolate,        | . 201     |
| Literary friendships,                           | . 137 | Charles the First's love of the fine arts,         | . 207     |
| Anecdotes of abstraction of mind,               | . 138 | The secret history of Charles I, and his quee      | <b>19</b> |
| Richardson,                                     | . 139 | Henrietta,   | . 900     |
| Theological style,                              | . 140 | The minister—the cardinal duke of Richelieu, .     | . 212     |
| Influence of names,                             | . 140 | The minister—duke of Buckingham, lord admira       | ١,        |
| The Jews of York,                               | . 143 | lord general, &c, &c, &c,                          | . 214     |
| The sovereignty of the seas,                    | . 144 | Felton, the political assassin,                    | . 218     |
| On the custom of kissing hands,                 | . 144 | Johnson's hints for the life of Pope               | , 23)     |
| <del>-</del> -                                  |       |  |           |

# SECOND SERIES

### PREFACE.

IT may be useful to state the design of the present volume, which differs in its character from the preceding Series.

The form of essay-writing, were it now moulded even by the hand of the Raphael of Essayists, would fail in the attraction of novelty; Morality would now in vain repeat its counsels in a fugitive page, and Manners now offer but little variety to supply one. The progress of the human mind has been marked by the enlargement of our knowledge; and essay-writing seems to have closed with the century which it charmed and enlightened.

I have often thought that an occasional recurrence to speculations on human affairs, as they appear in private and in public history, and to other curious inquiries in literature and philosophy, would form some substitute for this mode of writing. These Researches, therefore, offer authentic knowledge for evanescent topics; they attempt to demonstrate some general principle, by induction from a variety of particulars—to develop those imperfect truths which float obscurely in the mind—and to suggest subjects, which, by their singularity, are new to inquiry, and which may lead to new trains of ideas. Such Researches will often form supplements to our previous knowledge.

In accustoming ourselves to discoveries of this nature, every research seems to yield the agreeable feeling of invention—it is a pleasure peculiar to itself—something which we ourselves have found out—and which, whenever it imparts novelty or interest to another, communicates to him the delight of the first discoverer.

# CURIOSITIES OF LITERATURE.

MODERN LITERATURE, BAYLE'S CRITICAL DICTIONARY.

A new edition of Bayle in France is now in a progressive state of publication; an event in literary history which could not have been easily predicted. Every work which creates an epoch in literature is one of the great monuments of the human mind; and Bayle may be considered as the father of literary curiosity, and of Modern Literature. Much has been alleged against our author; yet let us be careful to preserve what is precious. Bayle is the invention of a work which dignified a collection of facts constituting his text, by the argumentative powers and the copious illustrations which charm us in his diversified commentary. Conducting the humble pursuits of an Aulus Gellius and a Atheneus, with a higher spirit he showed us the philosophy of Books, and communicated to such limited researches a value which they had otherwise not consessed.

Conducting the humble pursuits of an Aulus Gellius and an Atheens, with a higher spirit he showed us the philosophy of Books, and communicated to such limited researches a value which they had otherwise not possessed.

This was introducing a study perfectly distinct from what is pre-eminently distinguished as 'classical learning,' and the subjects which had usually entered into philogogial pursuits. Ancient literature, from ceatury had constituted the sole labours of the learned, and 'Varise lectiones' were long their pride and their reward. Latin was the literary language of Europe. The vernacular idiom in Italy was held in such contempt, that their youths were not suffered to read Italian books; their native productions; Varchi tells a curious anecdote of his father sending him to prison, where he was kept on bread and water, as a penance for his inveterate passion for reading Italian books! Dante was reproached by the reading Italians for composing in his mother tongue, still supressed by the degrading designation of il volgars, which he 'resolute' John Florio renders' to make common; and to transite was contemptuously called usgariszore; while Petrarch rested his fame on his Latin poetry, and called his Italian magallas vulgares! With us, Roger Ascham was the first who boldly avowed 'To speak as the common resple, to think as wise men;' yet, so late as the time of Bacon, this great man did not consider his 'Moral Essays as likely to last in the moveable sands of a modern language, for he as anxiously had them sculptured in the marble of ancient Rome. Yet what had the great ancient hemselves done, but trusted to their own volgare? The Greeks, the finest and most original writers of the ancients, beserves Adam Ferguson, 'were unacquainted with every language but their own; and if they became learned, it was only by studying what they themselves had produced.'

During borteen centuries, whatever lay out of the pale of classical learning was condemned as barbarism; in the mean while, however, amidst this barbarism; another literature was insensibly creating itself in Europe. Every people, is the gradual accessions of their vernacular genius, accorered a new sort of knowledge, one which more deeply interested their feelings and the times, reflecting the image, not of the Greeks and the Latins, but of themselves! A spirit of inquiry, originating in events which had never reached the ancient world, and the same refined taste in the arts of composition caught from the models of antiquity, at length raised up rivals, who compoted with the great access themselves; and Modern Literature now occupies a space which looks to be immensity, compared with the sarrow and the imperfect limits of the ancient. A susplete collection of classical works, all the bees of andquiry, may be hived in a glass case; but those we should find only the milk and honey of our youth; to ob-

tain the substantial nourishment of European knowledge, a library of ten thousand volumes will not satisfy our in-

quiries, nor supply our reasearches even, on a single topic? Let not, however, the votaries of ancient hierature dread its neglect, nor be over jealous of their younger and Gothic sister. The existence of their favourite study is secured, as well by its own imperishable claims, as by the stationary institutions of Europe. But one of those silent revolutions in the intellectual history of mankind, which are not so obvious as those in their political state, seems now fully accomplished. The very term 'classical,' so long limited to the ancient authors, is now equally applicable to the most elegant writers of every literary people; and although Latin and Greek were long characterized as 'the learned languages,' yet we cannot in truth any longer concede that those are the most learned who are 'inter Græcos Græcissimi, inter Latinos Latinissimi,' any more than we can reject from the class of 'the learned,' those great writers, whose scholarship in the ancient classics may be very indifferent. The modern languages now have also become learned ones, when he who writes in them is inhead with their respective learning. He is a 'learned' writer who has embraced most knowledge on the particular subject of his investigation, as he is a 'classical' one who composes with the greatest elegance. Sir David Dalrymple dedicates his 'Memorials relating to the History of Britain' to the Earl of Hardwicke, whom he styles with equal happiness and propriety, 'Learned in British History,' 'Scholarship' has hitherto been a term reserved for the adept in ancient literature, whatever may be the mediccrity of his intellect; but the honourable distinction must be extended to all great writers in modern literature, if we would not confound the natural sense and propriety of things.

of things.

Modern literature may, perhaps, still be discriminated from the ancient, by a term it began to be called by at the Reformation, that of 'the New Learning.' Without supplanting the ancient, the modern must grow up with it; the further we advance in society, it will more deeply occupy our interests; and it has already proved what Bacon, casting his philosophical views retrospectively and prospectively, has observed, 'that Time was the greatest of innovators.'

When Bayle projected his 'Critical Dictionary,' he probably had no idea that he was about effecting a revolution in our libraries, and founding a new province in the dominion of human knowledge; creative genius often is itself the creature of its own age: it is but that reaction of public opinion, which is generally the fore-tumer of some critical change, or which calls forth some wants which sooner or later will be supplied. The predisposition for the various, but neglected literature, and the curious, but the scattered knowledge, of the moderns, which had long been increasing, with the speculative turn of inquiry, prevailed in Europe, when Bayle took his pen to give the thing itself a name and an existence. But the great authors of modern Europe were not yet consecrated beings, like the ancients, and their volumes were not read from the chairs of universities; yet the new interests which had arisen in society, the new modes of human life, the new spread of knowledge, the curiosity after even the little things which concern us, the revelations of secret history, and the state papers which have sometimes escaped from autional archives, the philosophical spirit which was hastening its steps and raising up new systems of thinking;

Digitized by Google

all alike required research and criticism, inquiry and discussion. Bayle had first studied his own age, before he

gave the public his great work.

'If Bayle,' says Gibbon, 'wrote his dictionary to empty
the various collections he had made, without any particular design, he could not have chosen a better plan. mitted him every thing, and obliged him to nothing. By the double freedom of a dictionary and of notes, he could pitch on what articles he pleased, and say what he pleas-

ed in those articles."

'Jacks est eles!" exclaimed Bayle, on the publication of his dictionary, as yet dubious of the extraordinary enter-prise: perhaps while going on with the work, he knew not at times, whither he was directing his course; but we must think, that in his own mind he counted on something, which might have been difficult even for Bayle himself to have developed. The author of the 'Critical Dictionary' had produced a voluminous labour, which, to all appearance, could only rank him among compilers and reviewers, for his work is formed of such materials as they might use. He had never studied any science; he confessed that he could never demonstrate the first problem in Euclid, and to his last day ridiculed that sort of evidence called mathematical demonstration. He had but little taste for classical learning, for he quotes the Latin writers curiously, not elegantly; and there is reason to suspect that he had entirely neglected the Greek. Even the erudition of antiquity usually reached him by the ready medium of some German Commentator. His multifarious reading was chiefly confined to the writers of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. With such deficiencies in his literary character, Bayle could not reasonably expect to obtain pre-eminence in any single pursuit. Hitherto his writings had not extricated him from the secondary ranks of literature, where he found a rival at every step; and without his great work, the name of Bayle at this moment had been buried among his controversialists, the rabid Jurieu, the cloudy Jacquelot, and the envious Le Clerc; to these, indeed, he sacrificed too many of his valuable days, and was still answering them, at the hour of his death. Such was the cloudy horizon of that bright fame which was to rise over Europe! Bayle, intent on escaping from all beaten tracks, while the very materials he used promised no novelty, for all his knowledge was drawn from old books, opened an eccentric route, where at least he could encounter no parallel; Bayle felt that if he could not stand alone, he would only have been an equal by the side of another. Experience had more than once taught this mortifying lesson; but he was blest with the genius which could stamp an inimitable

This originality on a folio.

This originality seems to have been obtained in this manner. The exhausted topics of classical literature he resigned as a province not adapted to an ambitious genius; sciences he rarely touched on, and hardly ever without betraying superficial knowledge, and involving himself in absurdity: but in the history of men, in penetral-ing the motives of their conduct, in clearing up obscure circumstances, in detecting the strong and the weak parts of him who he was trying, and in the cross-examination of the numerous witnesses he summoned, he assumed at once the judge and the advocate! Books for him were pictures of men's inventions, and the histories of their Books for him were thoughts; for any book, whatever be its quality, must be idered as an experiment of the human mind.

In controversies, in which he was so ambi-dexterous in the progress of the human mind, in which he was so philosophical—furnished, too, by his hoarding curiosity with an immense accumulation of details,—skilful in the art of detecting falsehoods amidst truths, and weighing probability against uncertainty—holding together the chain of argument from its first principles, to its remotest consequence-Bayle stands among those masters of the human intellect who taught us to think, and also to unthink! All, indeed, is a collection of researches and reasonings: he had the art of melting down his curious quotations with his own subtile ideas. He collects every thing: if truths, they enter into history; if fictions, into discussions: he places the secret by the side of the public story: opinion is balanced against opinion: if his arguments grow tedious, a lucky anecdote or an enlivening tale relieve the folio page; and, knowing the infirmity of our nature, he picks up trivial things to amuse us, while he is grasping the most abstract and ponderous. Human nature in her shifting scenery, and the human mind in its eccentric directions, open on his view; so that an unknown person or a

worthless book, are equally objects for his speculation with the most eminent—they alike curiously instruct. Such were the materials, and such the genius of the man, whose follios, which seemed destined for the retired few, he open on parlour tables. The men of genius of his age studied them for instruction, the men of the world for their amusement. Amidst the mass of facts which he has collected, and the enlarged views of human nature which his philosophical spirit has combined with his researches, Bayle may be called the Shakspeare of dictionary makers; sort of chimerical being, whose existence was not imagised to be possible before the time of Bayle. But his errors are voluminous as his gessius! and what do apologies avail? They only account for the evil which

they cannot alter!

Bayle is reproached for carrying his speculations too far into the wilds of scepticism—he wrote in a distempered time; he was witnessing the dragonades and the resocctions of the Romish church; and he lived amidst the Reformed, or the French prophets, as we called them when they came over us, and in whom Sir Isaac Newton more than half believed; these testified that they heard angels singing in the air, while our philosopher was convinced that he was living among men for whom no angel would sing! Bayle had left persecutors to fly to fanatics, both equally appealing to the Gospel, but alike untouched by its blessedness! His impurities were a taste inherited from his favourite old writers, whose assisted seemed to sport with the grossness which it touched, and neither in France, nor at home had the are then attained to surpressed deliners. at home, had the age then attained to our moral delicacy: Bayle himself was a man without passions! His trivial matters were an author's compliance with the bookseller's taste, which is always that of the public. His scepticism is said to have thrown every thing into disorder. Is it more positive evil to doubt, than to dogmatise? Even Aristotle often pauses with a qualifying perhaps, and the egotist Cicoro with a modest it seems to me. His scepticism has been useful in history and has often shown how facts universally believed, are doubtful and sometimes must have the Paris in its continuous must be the paris in its be false. Bayle, it is said, is perpetually contradicting himself; but a sceptic must doubt his doubts; he places the antidote close to the poison, and lays the sheath by the sword. Bayle has himself described one of these self-tormenting and many headed sceptics by a very noble figure, 'He was a Hydra who was perpetually tearing himself.'

The time has now come when Bayle may instruct with out danger. We have passed the ordeals he had to go through; we must now consider him as the historian of our thoughts as well as of our actions; he dispenses the literary stores of the moderns, in that vast repository of their wisdom and their follies, which, by its originality of design, has made him an author common to all Europe. Nowhere shall we find a rival for Bayle! and hardly even an imitator! He compared himself, for his power of raising up, or dispelling objections and doubts, to 'the cloud compelling Jove,' The great Leibnitz, who was himself a lover of his varia cruditio, applied a line of Virgil to Bayle, characterising his luminous and elevated genius

' Sub pedibusque videt nubes et sidera Daphnis.' Beneath his set he views the clouds and stars.

### CHARACTERISTICS OF BAYLE.

To know Bayle as a man, we must not study him in the folio Life of Des Maiseaux; whose laborious pencil, without colour, and without expression, loses in its indistinctness the individualising strokes of the portrait. Look for Bayle in his Letters, those true chronicles of a literary

man, when they solely record his own pursuits.

The personal character of Bayle was unblemished even by calumny—his executor, Basnage, never could mention him without tears! With simplicity which approached to an infantine nature, but with the fortitude of a Stoic, our literary philosopher, from his earliest days, dedicated his-self to literature; the great sacrifice consisted of those two main objects of human pursuits—fortune and a fam ly. Many an ascetic, who has headed an order, has not so religiously abstained from all worldly interests; yet let us not imagine that there was a sullenness in his stoicism; an icy misanthropy which shuts up the heart from its coo and flow. His domestic affections through life were fervid. When his mother desired to receive his portrait, he sent her a picture of his heart! Early in life the mind of Bayle was strengthening itself by a philosophical resigna-tion to all human events!

Digitized by GOOGIC

'I am indeed of a disposition neither to fear bad fortune, nor to have very ardent desires for good. Yet I lose this steadiness and indifference when I reflect, that your love statings and indifference when I react, that your love to me makes you feel for every thing that happens to me. It is, therefore, from the consideration that my misfortunes would be a torment to you, that I wish to be happy, and when I think that my happiness would be all your joy, I should lament that my bad fortune should continue to persecure me; though, as to my own particular interest, I dare promise to myself that I shall never be very much affected by k."

An instance occurred of those social affections in which a stoic is sometimes supposed to be deficient, which might have afforded a beautiful illustration to one of our most elegant poets. The remembrance of the happy moments which Bayle spent when young on the borders of the river which payes spent whom young on the breefs of the freef Auriege, a short distance from his native town of Carlat, where he had been sent to recover from a fever, occasion-ed by an excessive indulgence in reading, induced him many years afterwards to devote an article to it in his 'Critical Dictionary,' for the sake of quoting the poet who had celebrated this obscure river; it was a Pleasure of Memory? a tender association of domestic feeling!

The first step which Bayle took in life is remarkable.— He changed his religion and became a Catholic; a year afterwards be returned to the creed of his fathers. Postenty might not have known the story had it not been re-corded in his Diary. The circumstance is thus curiously

BAYLE'S DIARY.

Years of the of my Christian

Era. 69. Tuesday, March 19. age.
22 I changed my religion next day I resumed the study of logic.

MAG. Angust 20 23 I returned to the reform ed religion, and made a private abjuration of the Romish religion in the hands of four ministers!

His brother was one of these ministers; while a Cathelic, Bayle had attempted to convert him by a letter, long enough to evince his sincerity; but without his subscription, we should not have ascribed it to Bayle.

For this vacillation in his religion has Bayle endured bitter censure. Gibbon, who kirnself changed his, about the same 'year of his age,' and for as short a period, sar-castically observes of the first entry, that Bayle should have faished his logic before he changed his religion.' It may be retorted, that when he had learn to reason, he Payle had only studied a few months at college, some books of controversial divinity by the Catholics, offered many a specious argument against the reformed doctrines; a young student was easily entangled in the nets of the results. But their passive obedience, and their transub-stantiation, and other stuff woven in their looms, soon mabled such a man as Bayle to recover his senses. The Promises and the caressos of the wily Jesuits were rejected, and the gush of tears of the brothers, on his return to the religion of his fathers, is one of the most pathetic incidents of domestic life.

Bayle was willing to become an expatriated man; to study from the love of study, in poverty and honour! It happens sometimes that great men are criminated for their

biest deeds by both parties.

When his great work appeared, the adversaries of Bayle reproached him with haste, while the author expressed his astonishment at his slowness. At first 'the Critical Dictionary,' consisting only of two folios, was finally that the contract but in the life of ished in little more than four years; but in the life of Bayle this was equivalent to a treble amount with men of refusary application. Bayle even calculated the time of his head-aches; 'My megrims would have left me had it lees in my power to have lived without study; by them I bee many days in every month'—the fact is, that Bayle the many days in every month—the inci in that Dayro had entirely given up every sort of recreation except that delicious inschristion of his faculties, as we may term it for those who know what it is, which he drew from his hools: we have his avowal. 'Public animomenents games, country james, morning visits, and other recreations necessary to many students, as they tell us, were none of my business. I wasted no time on them, nor in any do-

mestic cares; never soliciting for preferment, nor busied in any other way. I have been happily delivered from many occupations which were not suitable to my humour; and I have enjoyed the greatest and the most charming leisure that a man of letters could desire. By such means an author makes a great progress in a few years.

Bayle, at Rotterdam, was appointed to a professorship of philosophy and history; the salary was a competence to his frugal life, and enabled him to publish his celebrated Review, which he dedicates to the glory of the city, for illa nebis hac stia fecit.

After this grateful acknowledgment he was unexpect-edly deprived of the professorship. The secret history is curious. After a tedious war, some one amused the world by a chimerical 'Project of Peace,' which was much against the wishes and the designs of our William III .against the wisnes and the designs of, our vv mean 111.—
Jurieu, the head of the Reformed party in Holland, a man of heated fancies, persuaded William's party that this book was a part of a secret cabal in Europe, raised by Louis XIV against William III; and accused Bayle as the author and promoter of this political confederacy. The magistrates, who were the creatures of William, dismissed Bayle without alleging any reason. To an ordinary ed Bayle without alleging any reason. To an ordinary philosopher it would have seemed hard to lose his salary because his antagonist was one

### 'Whose sword is sharper than his pen.'

Bayle only rejoiced at this emancipation, and quietly returned to his Dictionary. His feelings on this occasion he has himself perpetuated.

'The sweetness and repose I find in the studies in which I have engaged myself, and which are my delight, will induce me to remain in this city, if I am allowed to continue in it, at least till the printing of my Dictionary is finished; for my presence is absolutely necessary to the place where it is printed. I am no lover of money, nor of honours, and would not accept of any invitation, should it be made to me; nor am I fond of the disputes and cabals, and professorial snarlings, which reign in all our academics: Canam mihi et Music.' He was indeed so charmed by quiet and independence, that he was continually re-fusing the most magnificent offers of patronage: from Count Guiscard, the French ambassador; but particularly from our English nobility. The Earls of Shaftesbury, of Albermarie, and of Huntingdon, tried every solicitation to win him over to reside with them as their friend; and too nice a sense of honour induced Bayle to refuse the Duke of Shrewsbury's gift of two hundred guineas for the dedi-cation of his dictionary, 'I have so often ridiculed dedications that I must not risk any, was the reply of our philosopher.

The only complaint which escaped from Bayle was the

want of books; an evil particularly felt during his writing the 'Critical Dictionary;' a work which should have been composed not distant from the shelves of a public library. Men of classical attainments, who are studying about twenty authors, and chiefly for their style, can form no conception of the state of famine to which an 'helluo lib-rorum' is too often reduced in the new sort of study which Bayle founded. Taste when once obtained may be said to be no acquiring faculty, and must remain stationary; but Knowledge is of perpetual growth, and has infinite demands. Taste, like an artificial canel, winds through a mands. Taste, like an artificial canes, wisses inrough a beautiful country; but its borders are confined, and its term is limited; Knowledge navigates the ocean, and is perpetually on voyages of discovery. Bayle often grieves over the scarcity, or the want of books, by which he was annualled to leave many things uncertain, or to take them compelled to leave many things uncertain, or to take them at second hand; but he lived to discover that trusting to the reports of others, was too often suffering the blind to lead the blind. It was this circumstance which induced Bayle to declare, that some works cannot be written in the country, and that the metropolis only can supply the wants of the literary man. Plutarch has made a similar confes-sion; and the elder Pliny who had not so many volumes to turn over as a modern, was sensible to the wants of books, for he acknowledges that there was no book so bad by

which we might not profit.

Bayle's peculiar vein of research and skill in discussion first appeared in his Pensées sur la Comete.' In December, 1880, a comet had appeared, and the public yet trembled at a portentous meteor, which they still imagined was connected with some forthcoming and terrible event! Persons as curious as they were terrified teased Bayle by their inquiries, but resisted all his arguments.

Digitized by GOOGLO

They found many things more than arguments in his amusing volumes: 'I am not one of the authors by profession,' says Bayle, in giving an account of the method he meant to pursue, 'who follow a series of views; who first project eir subject, then divide it into books and chapters, and who only choose to work on the ideas they have planned. I, for my part, give up all claims to authorship, and shall chain myself to no such servitude. I cannot meditate with much regularity on one subject; I am too fond of change. I often wander from the subject, and jump into places of which it might be difficult to guess the way out; so that I shall make a learned doctor who looks for method quite impatient with me.' The work is indeed full of curiosities

At first it found an easy entrance into France, as a simple account of comets; but when it was discovered that Bayle's comet had a number of fiery tails concerning the French and the Austrians, it soon became as terrific as the comet itself, and was prohibited!

Bayle's 'Critique generale de l'histoire du Calvinisme par le Pere Maimbourg,' had more pleasantry than bitter-ness, except to the palate of the vindictive Father, who was of too hot a constitution to relish the delicacy of our author's wit. Maimbourg stirred up all the intrigues he could rouse to get the Critique burnt by the hangman at Paris. The lieutenant of the police, De la Reynie, who was among the many who did not dislike to see the Father corrected by Bayle, delayed this execution from time to time, till there came a final order. This lieutenant of the police was a shrewd follow, and wishing to put an odium on the bigoted Maimbourg, allowed the irrascible Father to write the proclamation himself with all the violence of an enraged author. It is a curious specimen of one who evidently wished to burn his brother with his book. In this curious proclamation, which has been preserved as a litera-ry curiosity, Bayle's 'Critique' is declared to be defamatory and calumnious, abounding with seditious forgeries, pernacious to all good subjects, and therefore is condemned to be torn to all good subjects, and interester is contenned to be torn to pieces, and burnt at the Place de Greve. All printers and booksellers are forbidden to print, or to sell, or disperse the said abominable book, under pain of death; and all other persons, of what quality or condition soever, are to undergo the penalty of exemplary punishment. De le Reynie must have smiled on submissively receiving this effusion from our enraged author; and to punish Maimbourg in the only way he could contrive, and to do at the same time the greatest kindness to Bayle, whom he admired, he dispersed three thousand copies of this proclamation to be posted up through Paris: the alarm and the curiosity were simultaneous; but the latter prevailed. Every book collector hastened to procure a copy so terrifically denounced, and at the same time so amusing. The author of the 'Livres condamné au feu' might have inserted this anecdote in his collection. It may be worth adding, that Mainbourg always affected to say that he had never read Bayle's work; but he afterwards confessed to Menage, that he could not help valuing a book of such curiosity. Jurieu was so jealous of its success, that Beauval attributes his personal hatred of Bayle to our young philosopher overshadowing that veteran.

The taste for literary history we owe to Bayle; and the reat interest he communicated to these researches spread in the national tastes of Europe. France has been always the richest in these stores, but our acquisitions have been rapid; and Johnson, who delighted in them, elevated their means and their end, by the ethical philosophy and the spirit of criticism which he awoke. With Bayle, indeed, his minor works were the seed-plots; but his great Dic-

tionary opened the forest.

It is curious, however, to detect the difficulties of early attempts, and the indifferent success which sometimes attends them in their first state. Bayle, to lighten the fa-tigue of correcting the second edition of his Dictionary, wrote the first volume of 'Résponses aux Questions d'un Provincial, a supposititious correspondence with a country gentleman. It was a work of mere literary curiosity, and of a better description of miscellaneous writing than that of the prevalent fashion of giving thoughts and maxims, and fanciful characters, and idle stories, which had satiated the public taste: however the book was not well received. He attributes the public caprice to his prodigality of litera-ry anecdotes, and other minutes literarie, and his frequent quotations! but he defends himself with skill. 'It is against the nature of things to pretend that in a work to prove and clear we fact an author should call make the need his second clear up facts, an author should only make use of his own

thoughts, or that he ought to quote very seldom. who say, that the work does not sufficiently interest th public, are doubtless in the right; but an author cans interest the public except he discusses moral or political subjects. All others with which men of letters fill their subjects. All others with which men of letters hill their books are useless to the public and we out it to consider them as only a kind of frothy nourishment in them selves; but which, however, gratify the curiosity of many readers, according to the diversities of their tastes. What is there for example, less interesting to the public than the Bibliothéque Choise of Colomiés (a small bibliographi cal work;) yet is that work looked on as excelless in its kind. I could mention other works which are read, though containing nuthing which interests the public. Two years after, when he resumed those letters, he changed his plan; he became more argumentative, and more sparing of literary and historical articles. We have now certainly obtained more decided notions of the nature of this species tained more decided notions of the nature of this species of composition, and treat such investigations with more skill; still they are caviare to the multitude. An accumulation of dry facts, without any exertion of taste or dis-cussion, forms but the barren and obscure diligence of title-hunters. All things which come to the reader with-out having first passed through the mind, as well as the pen of the writer, will be still open to the fatal c c ection of insane industry raging with a depraved appetite be trash and cinders; and this is the line of demarcation which will for ever separate a Bayle from a Prosper Marchand, and a Warton from a Ritson: the one must be satisfied to be useful, but the other will not fail to delight. Yet some-thing must be alleged in favour of those who may sometimes indulge researches too minutely; perhaps there is a point beyond which nothing remains but useless curiosity; yet this too may be relative. The pleasure of these pursuits is only tasted by those who are accustomed to them, and whose employments are thus converted into amu ments. A man of fine genius, Addison relates, trained up in all the polite studies of antiquity, upon being obliged to search into several rolls and records, at first found this a very dry and irksome employment; yet he assured me, that at last he took an incredible pleasure in it, and pre-

ferred it even to the reading of Virgil and Cicero.

As for our Bayle, he exhibits a perfect model of the real literary character. He, with the secret alchymy of human happiness, extracted his tranquillity out of the baser metals, at the cost of his ambition and his fortune. Throughout - vocummous work, he experienced the enjoyment of per-petual acquisition and delight; he obtained glory, and he endured persecution. He died as he had lived, in the same uninterrupted habits of composition; for with his dying hand, and nearly speechless, he sent a fresh proof to the printer!

### CICERO VIEWED AS A COLLECTOR.

Mr Fuseli, in the introduction to the second part of his ectures, has touched on the character of Cicero, respecting his knowledge and feeling of Art, in a manner which excites our curiosity. 'Though,' says that eloquent lecturer, 'Cicero seems to have had as little native tests for painting and sculpture, and even less than he had taste for poetry, he had a conception of Nature, and with his usual acumen frequently scattered useful hints and pertinent observations. For many of these he might probably be indebted to Hortensius, with whom, though his rival in eloquence, he lived on terms of familiarity, and who was a man of declared taste, and one of the first collectors of the time. The inquiry may amuse, to trace the progress of Cicero's taste for the works of art; which was probably a late, but an ardent pursuit with this celebrated man; and their actual enjoyment seems with him rather to have been connected with some future plan of life.

Cicero, when about forty-three years of age, seems to have projected the formation of a library and a collection of antiquities, with the remote intention of secession, and one day stealing away from the noisy honours of the republic. Although that great man remained too long s victim to his political ambition, yet at all times his natural dispositions would break out, and amidst his public avora-tions he often anticipated a time when life would be unvalued without uninterrupted repose: but repose, destrute of the ample furniture, and even of the luxuries of a mind occupying steelf in literature and art, would only for him have opened the repose of a desert! It was rather his provident wisdom than their actual enjoyment, which is duced him, at a busied period of his life, to accumuse

Digitized by GOOGIC

from all parts, books, and statues, and curiosities, without er; m a word, to become, according to the term, too

masser; m a word, to become, according to the term, too elsa misspoised and misconceived among us, for it is not always understood in an honourable some, a collector!

Lake other later collecters, Cicero often appears ardent to pessess what he was not able to command; sometimes he entreats, or circuitously negociates, or is planning the feature means to soccure the acquisitions which he thirsted ster. He is repeatedly soliciting his literary friend Atticus to keep his books for him, and not to dispose of his collections on any terms, however exceedly the bidders may cowd; and, to keep his patience in good hope (for Atti-cus imagined his collection would exceed the price which Cicero could afford,) he desires Atticus not to despair of his being able®o make them his, for that he was saving all his rests to purchase these books for the relief of his

all age.

This projected library, and collection of antiquities, it was the intention of Cicero to have placed in his favourite of Rome, whose name, consecrated by time, now proverbially describes the retirement of a man of elegant tastes. To adorn his villa at Tuscuhas formed the day-dreams of this man of genius; and his passion broke out in all the enthusiasm and impatience which so frequently characterize the modern collector. Not only Atticus, on whose fine taste he could depend, but every one likely to increase his acquisitions, was Cicero persecuting with entreaties, on entreaties, with the seducton of large prices, and with the expectation, that if the ersor and consul would submit to accept any bribe, it would hardly be refused in the shape of a manuscript or a status. 'In the name of our friendship,' says Cicero, addresing Atticus, 'suffer nothing to escape you of whatever you find curious or rare,' When Atticus informed him a fine statue, in which the heads of Mercury and Minerva were united together, Cicero, with the enthusiasm of a maniacal lover of the present day, finds every object which is uncommon the very bein cay, mass every object which is uncommon the very thing for which he has a proper place. 'Your discovery is admirable, and the statue you mention seems to have been made purposely for my cabinet.' Then follows an application of the mystery of this allegorical statue, which expressed the happy union of exercise and study. 'Con-tinut,' he adds, 'to collect for me, as you have promised, is as great a quantity as possible, morsels of this kind.' Cicero, like other collectors, may be suspected not to have been very difficult im his choice, and for him the curious was not less valued than the beautiful. The mind and temper of Cicero were of a robust and philosophical cast, not too subject to the tortures of those whose morbid imapnatica and delicacy of taste touch on infirmity. It is, lowever, amusing to observe this great man, actuated by all the foreour and joy of collecting. 'I have paid your agust—as you ordered, for the Megaric statues—send me as meny of them as you can, and as soon as possible, with any others which you think proper for the place, and to my taste, and good enough to please yours. You cannot magine how greatly my passion increases for this sort of thing; it is such that it may appear ridiculous in the eyes of many; but you are my friend, and will only think of statisfying my wishes.' Again—'Purchase for me, without thinking further, all that you discover of rarity. My friend, do not spare my purse.' And, indeed, in another place he loves Atticus both for his promptitude and cheap purchases: To maditum amamus, gued as abs to diffigenter, instica and delicacy of taste touch on infirmity. It is, purchases: Te multum amamus, quod ea abe te diligenter, servoque curata sunt.

Our collectors may not be displeased to discover at their best or venezoers may not be displeased to discover at their head so venezable a personage as Cicero; nor to sanction their own feverish thirst and panting impatience with all the raptures on the day of possession, and the 'saving of retui' to afford commanding prices—by the authority of the greatest philosopher of antiquity.

A fact is noticed in this article which requires cluids—

I the life of a true collector the salling of his books.

A fact is noticed in this article which requires elucida-tan. In the life of a true collector, the selling of his books is a singular incident. The truth is, that the elegant friend of Cioro, residing in the literary city of Athens, appears to have enjoyed but a moderate income, and may be said to have traded not only in books, but in gladiators, whom he let cet, and also charged interest for the use of his mo-ley; circumstances which Cornelius Nepos, who gives ar account of his landed property, has omitted, as, perhaps, tut well adapted to helghten the interesting picture which he gives of Atticus, but which the Abbé Monganit has de-tected in his certicus notes on Cicero's letters to Atticus, it is certain that he employed his slaves, who, ' to the foot-

boy,' as Middleton expresses himself, were all literary and skilful scribes, in copying the works of the best authors for his own use; but the duplicates were sold, to the common profit of the master and the slave. The state of literature among the ancients may be paralleled with that of the age of our first restorers of learning, when printing was not yet established; then Boccaccio, and Petrarch, and such men, were collectors, and zealously occupied in the manual labour of transcription; immeasurable manual labour of transcription; bour of transcription; immeasurable was the delight of that avariciousness of manuscript, by which, in a certain given time, the possessor, with an unwearied pen, could enrich himself by his copy; and this copy an estate would not always purchase! Besides that a manuscript selected by Atticus, or copied by the hand of Boccaccio and Petrarch, must have risen in value, associating it with the known taste and judgment of the collector.

#### THE MISTORY OF THE CARACCIS.

The congenial histories of literature and of art are accompanied by the same periodical revolutions; and none is more interesting than that one which occurs in the decline and corruption of arts, when a single mind returning to right principles, amidst the degenerated race who had for saken them, seems to create a new spoch, and teaches a servile race once more how to invent! These spochs are few, but are easily distinguished. The human mind is never stationary; it advances or it retrogrades; having reached its meridian point, when the hour of perfection has gone by, it must verge to its decline. In all Art, perfection lapses into that weakened state too often dignified as classical imitation; but it sinks into mannerism, and wantons into affectation, till it shoots out into fantastic novelties. When all languishes in a state of mediocrity, or is deformed by false tastes, then is reserved for a fortunate genius the glory of restoring another golden age of invention. The history of the Caracci family serves as an admirable illustration of such an epoch, while the personal characters of the three Caraccis throw an additional interest over this curious incident in the history of the

The establishment of the famous accademie, or school of painting, at Bologna, which restored the art in the last stage of degeneracy, originated in the profound meditations of Lodovico. There was a happy boldness in the idea; but its great singularity was that of discovering those men of genius, who alone could realize his ideal conception, a midst his own family circle; and yet these were men whose opposite dispositions and acquirements could hardly have given any hope of mutual assistance; and much less of melting together their minds and their work in such unity of conception and execution, that even to our days they leave the critics undetermined which of the Caraccis to prefer; each excelling the other in some pictorial quality.

Often combining together in the same picture, the mingled labour of three painters seemed to proceed from one pallet, as their works exhibit which adorn the churches of Bologna. They still disputed about a picture, to ascertain which of the Caraccis painted it; and still one prefers Lodovico for his grandiccite, another Agostino for his invention, and others Annibale for his vigour or his grace.

What has been told of others, happened to Lodovico Ca-racci in his youth; he struggled with a mind tardy in its conceptions, so that he gave no indications of talent; and was apparently so inept as to have been advised by two masters to be ratisfied to grind the colours he ought not other-wise to meddle with. Tintoretto, from friendship, exhorted him to change his trade. 'This sluggishness of intel-lect did not proceed,' observes the sagacious Lanzi, 'from any deficiency, but from the depth of his penetrating mind: early in life he dreaded the ideal as a rock on which so many of his contemporaries had been shipwrecked.' His hand was not blest with precocious facility, because his mind was unsettled about truth itself; he was still seeking for nature, which he could not discover in those wretched mannerists, who boasting of their freedom and expedition mannerists, who boasting of their freedom and experiment in their bewildering tastes, which they called the ideal, relied on the diplomas and honours obtained by intrigue or purchase, which sanctioned their follies in the eyes of the multitude. 'Lodovico,' says Lanzi, 'would first satisfy his own mind on every line; he would not paint till painting well became a habit, and till habit produced facility.'

Lodovice then sought in other cities for what he could not find at Bologna. He travelled to inswert the works of

not find at Bologna. He travelled to inspect the works of the elder masters; he meditated on all their details; he

\* Lanzi, Storia Pittorica, V. Muitized by GOOGIC

penetrated to the very thoughts of the great artists, and grew intimate with their modes of conception and execution. The true principles of art were collected together in his own mind,—the rich fruits of his own studies,—and these first prompted him to invent a new school of paint-

Returning to Belogna, he found his degraded brothers in art still quarrelling about the merits of the old and the new school, and still exulting in their vague conceptions and expeditious methods. Lodovico, who had observed all, had summed up his principles in one grand maxim,—that of combining a close observation of nature with the imitation of the great masters, modifying both, however, by the disposition of the artist himself. Such was the simple idea and the happy project of Lodovico! Every perfection seemed to have been obtained: the Raffueleschi excelled in the ideal; the Michelengioleschi in the anatomical: the Venetian and the Lombard schools in brilliant vivacity or philosophic gravity. All seemed pre-occupied; but the secret of breaking the bonds of service imitation was a new art: of mingling into one school the charms of every school, adapting them with freedom; and having been taught by all, to remain a model for all; or, as Lanzi expresses it; dope avere appresse da te tutte insigne a tutte. To restore dope avere appresse da te tutte insigno a tutte. To restore Art in its decline, Lodovico pressed all the sweets from all the flowers; or, melting together all his rich materials, formed one Corinthian brass. This school is described by Du Fresnoy in the character of Annibale,

Quos sedulus Hannibal omnes In propriam mentem atque morem mira arte coegit. Paraphrased by Mason,

From all their charms combined, with happy toil, Did Annibal compose his wondrous style; O'er the fair fraud so close a veil is thrown, That every borrow'd grace becomes his own.

Lodovico perceived that he could not stand alone in the breach, and single-handed encounter an impetuous multi-tude. He thought of raising up a party among those youthful aspirants who had not yet been habitually de-praved. He had a brother whose talent could never rise beyond a poor copyist's, and him he had the judgment, unswayed by undue partiality, to account as a cipher; but he found two of his cousins, men capable of becoming as

extraordinary as himself.

These brothers, Agostino and Annibale, first by nature, and then by their manners and habits, were of the most opposite dispositions. Born amidst humble occupations, their father was a tailor, and Annibale was still working on the paternal board, while Agostino was occupied by the elegant works of the goldsmith, whence be acquired the fine art of engraving, in which he became the Marc Antonio of his time. Their manners, perhaps, resulted from their trades. Agostino was a man of science and literature: a philosopher and poet, of the most polished elegance, the most enchanting conversation, far removed from the vulgar, he became the companion of the learned and the noble. Annihale could scarcely write and read; an imbora ruggedness made him sullen, tacitum, or if he spoke, sarcastic ; scorn and ridicule were his bitter delight. Nature had strangely made these brothers little less than enemies. Anaibale despised his brother for having en-

D'Argenville, Vies des Peintres, II. 68.

tered into the higher circles; he ridiculed his refined me tered into the higher circles; he rincised his reason mere, and even the neat elegance of his dress. To more tify Agostino, one day, he sent him a portrait of their father threading a needle, and their mother cutting on the cloth, to remind him, as he once whispered in Agestino's ear, when he met him walking with a noblemen, 'not us forget that they were some of a poor tailor! The same contrast existed in the habits of their mind. Agestino was allow to needles difficult to exist himself; he was fare. slow to resolve, difficult to satisfy himself; he was for p-lishing and maturing every thing: Annihale was to rapid to suffer any delay, and often evading the difficulties of the art, loved to do much in a short time. Ledovice sea perceived their equal and natural aptitude for art; as placing Agostino under a master, who was ca-berated for his facility of execution, he fixed Annibale in this own study, where his cousin might be taught by observation the Fistina lent; how the best works are formed by a leismely haste. Lodovico seems to have adopted the strifes of Isocrates in his management of two pupils, of whom he said, that the one was to be pricked on by the spur, and the other kept in by the rein.

But a new difficulty arose in the attempt to combine to-gether such incongruous natures; the thoughtful Lodevice intent on the great project of the reformation of the art, by his prudence long balanced their unequal tempers, and with that penetration which are attempt to the contents by by his prudence song parameter their unsquar company, with that penetration which so strongly characterize his genius, directed their distinct talents to his one great penese. From the literary Agostino he obtained the phicosophy of critical lectures and scientific principles; investion and designing solely occupied Annibale; while the losophy of cruical sectures and scientific pracases; avection and designing solely occupied Annibale; while its softness of contours, lightness and grace, were his out acquisition.\* But though Annibale presumptuously extenmed the rare and elevated talents of Agostao, as scarcely submitted the works of Lodovico, whom he preferred to rival, yet, according to a traditional rumous which Lanzi records; twas Annibale's decision of character with an analysis of the property of the manibale of the property of the enabled him, as it were, unperceived, to become the meter over his cousin and his brother; Lodovico and Aretino long hesitated to oppose the prodominant style, in ther first Essays; Annibale hardly decided to persever a opening their new career by opposing 'works to voices,' and to the enervate labours of their wretched rivals, ther own works, warm in vigor and freshness, conducted on the principles of nature and art.

The Caraccis not only resolved to paint justly, but is persevere in the art itself, by perpetuating the periecuss of the true style among their successors. In their on house they opened an Accademia, calling it degli house minuti, 'the opening a new way,' or 'the beginners.' The academy was furnished with casts, drawings, print, a academy was iurnished with casus, drawings, press, as chool for anatomy, and for the living figure; receiving all comers with kindness; teaching gratuitously, and, as it said, without jealousy; but too many facts are recorded to assent to the banishment of this infectious passion from the academy of the Caraccis, who, like other congreted artists, could not live together, and escape their own e-demial fever.

demial fever.

It was here, however, that Agostino found his enisece as the director of their studies; delivering lectures as the director of their studies; delivering lectures as whitecture and perspective, and pointing out from his stee, of history and fable subjects for the designs of their position, who, on certain days, exhibited their works to the not skilful judges, adjusting the morits by their decisions. 'To the crowned sufficient is the prize of glory,' says Last; and while the poots tehanted their praises, the lyre of Agostino himself gratefully celebrated the progress of his pills. A curious sonnet has been transmitted to us when Agostino,' like the ancient legislators, compresses his relaws into a few verses, easily to be remembered. The Agosumo, into use ancient regulators, compresses in re-laws into a few verses, easily to be remembered. The sonnet is now well known, since Mr. Fusch and Bury have preserved it in their lectures. This singular protec-tion has, however, had the hard fate of being uporly depreciated: Lanzi calls it pitterace versuseme pit of postice; Mr Fusch sarcastically compares it to 'a mode cal prescription.' It delighted Barry, who calls it beautiful poem.' Considered as a didactive and description beautiful poem.\* Considered as a diactive and secre-tive poem, no lover of art, who has ever read it, wil cust to repeat it till he has got it by heart. In this assisty every one was free to include his own taste, provided le did not violate the essential principles of art; for, head the critics have usually described the character of his new school to have been an imitation of the preceding cases it must their first maintaint to be middle to the ones, it was their first principle to be guided by mure

\* D'Argenville, Vies des Peintres, IL 47-4

<sup>†</sup> The curious reader of taste may refer to Mr Fuseli's Se-cond Lecture for a distribe against what he calls 'the Eclectic cond Lecture for a distribe against what he calls 'the Eclectic School; which, by selecting the beauties, correcting the faults, supplying the defects, and avoiding the extremes of the different styles, attempted to form a perfect system.' He acknowledges the greatness of the Caraccis; yet he laughs at the mere copying the manners of various painters into one picture. But perhaps, I say it with all possible deference, our animated exist forces for a mount that it was no reaches led. But perhaps, I say it with all possible deference, our animated critic forget for a moment that it was no mechanical imitation the Caraccis inculcated; nature and art were to be equally studied, and secondo il natio talento e la propria sua disposizione. Barry distinguishes with praise and warmth. 'Whether,' says he, 'we may content ourselves with adopting the manly plan of art pursued by the Caraccis and their school at Bologna, in uniting the perfections of all the other schools; or whether, which I rather hope, we look further in the style of dealing upon our own studies after nature; whichever of these plans the nation might fix on,' &c. II. 518. Thus three great names, Du Fresnoy, Fusel, and Barry, restricted their notions plans the nation might nx on, ac. ii. 318. Thus three great names, Du Fresnoy, Fuseli, and Barry, restricted their notions of the Caracci plan to a mere imitation of the great masters; but Lanzi, in unfolding Lodovico's project, lays down as his first principie the observation of nature, and, secondly, the imitation of the great masters; and all modified by the natural disposition of the artist

and their own dispositions; and if their painter was defi-cient in originality, it was not the fault of this academy, so much as of the academician. In difficult doubts they had recourse to Lodovico, whom Lanzi describes in his school like Homer among the Greeks, fone ingeniorum profound m every painting. Even the recreations of the pupils were contrived to keep their mind and hand in exercise; in their walks sketching landscapes from nature, or amusing them-selves with what the Italians call Correcture, a term of large signification; for it includes many sorts of grotesque aventions, whimsical incongruities, such as those arabesques found at Herculaneum, where Anchises, Æneas, and Ascanius, are burlesqued by heads of apes and pigs, and Accumus, are purresqued by needs or apes and pigs, or Arion, with a grotesque metion, is straddling a great tront; or like that ledicrous parody which came from the hand of Trian, in a playful hour, when he sketched the Laccous whose three figures consist of apes. Annibale had a peculiar facility in these incongruous inventions, and even the severe Leonardo da Vinci considered them as meful exercises.

Such was the academy founded by the Caracci; and Lodovico lived to realize his project in the reformation of at, and witnessed the school of Bologna flourishing afresh when all the others had fallen. The great masters of this last epoch of Italian painting were their pupils. Such were Domenichino, who according to the expression of were Domenichmo, who according to the expression of Bellori, delines gli animsi, colorisce la vita; he drew the soul and coloured life.\* Albano, whose grace distinguishes him as the Anacreon of painting; Guido, whose touch was all beauty and delicacy, and, as Passeri desightfully expresses it, 'whose faces came from Paradise; '† a scholar of whom his mester became jealous, while Annibale, to depress Guido, patronized Demenichino; and even the wise Lodorico could not dissimulate the fear of a new competitor is a rapid, and to mortify Guido, preferred Guercipetitor is a pupil, and to mortify Guido, preferred Guercias, who tred is another path. Laufranco closes this glorious ist, whose freedom and grandeur for their full display

required the ample field of some vast history.

The secret history of this Accademia forms an illustra-I no secret history of this Accademia forms an injustra-tion for that chapter on 'Listerary Jealeusy' which I have written in 'The Literary Character.' We have seen even the gentle Lodovico infected by it; but it raged in the breast of Annibale. Careless of fortune as they were breast of Annibale. Careless of fortune as they were through life, and freed from the bonds of matrimony, that they might wholly devote themselves to all the enthusiasm of their art, they lived together in the perpetual intercourse of their thoughts; and even at their meals laid on their table their crayons and their papers, so that any motion or gesture which occurred, as worthy of picturing, was in-stantly stetched. Annibale caught something of the criti-cal taste of Agostino, learned to work more slowly, and to finish with hash with more perfection, while his inventions were onnched by the elevated thoughts and erudition of Agostino. Yet a circumstance which happened in the academy hetrayed the mordacity and envy of Annibale at the superior scompinaments of his more learned brother. While Agostino was describing with great eloquence the beauties of the Laccoon, Annibale approached the wall, and satching up his crayons, drew the marvellous figure with march actions. such perfection, that the spectators gazed on it in aston-ithment. Alluding to his brother's lecture, the proud artist administry observed, 'Poets paint with words, but painters only with their pencils.'\*

The brothers could neither live together nor endure ab-

Many years their life was one continual struggle and mortification; and Agostino often sacrificed his ge us to pacify the jealousy, of Annibale, by relinquishing his pallet to resume those exquisite engravings, in which he corrected the features. to pacify the jealousy, of Annibale, by relinquishin corrected the faulty outlines of the masters whom he copithe faulty outlines of the masters whom he coperate, so that his engravings are more perfect than their originals. To this unhappy circumstance, observes Lanzi, we must attribute the loss of so many noble compositions which otherwise Agostino, equal in genius to the other Caraccis, had left us. The jealousy of Annibale, at length for ever tore them assunder. Lodovico happened not he wish them than the master and in maintain togeth. to be with them when they were engaged in painting togethe the Famesian gallery at Rome. A rumour spread that in their present combined labour the engraver had excelled the panter. This Annibate could not forgive; he raved at the bite of the serpent: words could not mollify, nor hadness any longer appearse that purturbed spirit; neither he hamiliating forbearance of Agostino, the counsels of

\* Bellori, Le Vite de Pittori, &c., † Passeri, Vite de Pittori. † B° Argenville, II. 36.

the wise, nor the mediation of the great. They separated for ever! a separation in which they both languished, till Agostino, broken hearted, sunk into an early grave, and Annibale, now brotherless, lost half his genius; his great invention no longer accompanied him—for Agestine was not by his side!\* After suffering many vexations, and preyed on by his evil temper, Annibale was deprived of

### AN REGLISH ACADEMY OF LITERATURE,

We have Royal Societies for Philosophers, for Antiquaries, and for Artists—none for Men of lovers of philological studies have regretted the want of an asylum since the days of Anne, when the establishment of an English Academy of Literature was designed: but political changes occurred which threw out a literary adpointest changes occurred which threw out a hierary accuministration. France and Italy have gloried in great national academies, and even in provincial ones. With us the curious history and the fate of the societies at Spalding, Stamford, and Peterborough, whom their zealous founder lived to see sink into country clubs, is that of most of our rural attempts at literary academies! The Man-chester Society has but an ambiguous existence, and that of Exeter expired in its birth. Yet that a great purpose may be obtained by an inconsiderable number, the history of the Society for the Encouragement of Arts, Manufac-tures, &c, may prove; for that originally consisted only of twelve persons brought together with great difficulty, and neither distinguished for their ability nor their rank. The opponents to the establishment of an academy in

this country may urge, and find Bruyere on their side, that no corporate body generates a single man of genius; no Milton, no Hume, no Adam Smith will spring out of an academical community, bowever they may partake of one common labour. Of the fame, too, shared among the many, the individual feels his portion too contracted, besides that he will often suffer by comparison. Literature, with us, exists independent of patronage or association. We have done well without an academy; our dictionary and our style have been polished by individuals, and not by a society.

The advocates for such a literary institution may reply, that in what has been advanced against it, we may perhaps find more glory than profit. Had an academy been established in this country, we should have possessed all our present advantages with the peculiar ones of such an institution. A series of volumes composed by the learned of England, had rivalled the precious 'Memoirs of the French Academy; probably more philosophical, and more congenial to our modes of thinking! The congregating spirit creates by its sympathy; an intercourse exists between its members, which had not otherwise occurred; in this attrition of minds the torpid awakens, the timid is embolated in the control of th dened, and the secluded is called forth; to contradict, and to be contradicted, is the privilege and the source of know-ledge. Those original ideas, hints and suggestions which some literary men sometimes throw out, once or twice during their whole lives, might here be preserved; and if endowed with sufficient funds, there are important labours, which surpass the means and industry of the individual, which would be more advantageously formed by such literary unions.

An academy of literature can only succeed by the same means in which originated all such academies—among individuals themselves! It will not be by the favour of the MANY, but by the wisdom and energy of the FEW."
It is not even in the power of Rovalty to create at a word

It is not even in the power of Royalty to create at a word what can only be formed by the co-operation of the workmen themselves, and of the great taskmaster, Time! Such institutions have sprung from the same principle, and have followed the same march. It was from a private meeting that 'The French Academy' derived its origin; and the true beginners of that celebrated institution assuredly had no foresight of the object to which their conferences tended. Several literary friends of Paris, finding the extent of the city occasioned much loss of

\* Mr Fusell describes the gallery of the Farness palace as a work of uniform vigour of execution, which nothing can equal but its imbecility and incongruiny of conception. This deficiency in Annibale was always readily supplied by the taste and learning of Agostino; the vigour of Annibale was deficient both in sensibility and correct invention.

† Long after this article was composed, a Royal Academy of Literature has been projected; with the state of its existence, I am unacquainted. It has occasioned no alteration in these re-

Digitized by GOOGLE

time in their visits, agreed to meet on a fixed day every week, and chose Conrai's residence as centrical. They met for the purposes of general conversation, or to walk together, or, what was not least social, to partake in some refreshing collation. All being literary men, those who were authors submitted their new works to this friendly society, who, without jealousy or malice, freely communicated their strictures; the works were improved, the authors were delighted, and the critics were honest! Such was the happy life of the members of this private society during three or four years. Pelisson, the earliest historian of the French Academy, has delightfully described it: 'It was such that now, when they speak of these first days of the academy, they call it the golden age, during which, with all the insucence and freedom of that fortunate period, without pomp and noise, and without any other laws than those of friendship they enjoyed together all which a society of minds, and a rational life, can yield of whatever softens and charms.'

They were happy, and they resolved to be silent; nor was this bond and compact of friendship violated, till one of them, Malleville, secretary of Marshal Bassompiero, being anxious that his friend Faret, who had just printed his L'Honnete Homme, which he had drawn from the famous 'Il Cortigiano' of Castiglione, should profit by all their opinions, procured his admission to one of their conferences; Faret presented them with his book, heard a great deal concerning the nature of his work, was charmed by their literary communications, and returned home ready to burst with the secret. Could the society hope that others would be more faithful than they had been to themselves? Faret happened to be one of those lighthearted men who are communicative in the degree in which they are grateful, and he whispered the secret to Des Marets and to Boisrobert. The first, as soon as he heard of such a literary senate, used every effort to appear before them and read the first volume of his 'Ariane ?' Boisrobert, a man of distinction, and a common friend to them all, could not be refused an admission; he admired the frankness of their mutual criticisms. The society besides, was a new object; and his daily business was to furnish an amusing story to his patron Richelieu. The cardinal minister was very literary, and apt to be so hipped in his hours of retirement, that the physician declared, that 'all his drugs were of no avail, unless his patient mixed with them a drachm of Boisrobert.' In one of those fortunate moments, when the cardinal was 'in the vein,' Boisrobert ments, when the cardinal was 'in the vein,' Boisrobert painted, with the warmest hues, this region of literary feicity, of a small, happy society formed of critics and authors! The minister, who was ever considering things in that particular aspect which might tend to his own glory, instantly asked Boisrobert, whether this private meeting would not like to be constituted a public body, and establish itself by letters natent. offering them his protection blish itself by letters patent, offering them his protection. The flatterer of the minister was overjoyed, and executed the important mission; but not one of the members shared in the rapture, while some regretted an honour which would only disturb the sweetness and familiarity of their intercourse. Malleville, whose master was a prisoner in the Bastile, and Serissy, the intendant of the Duke of Roche-foucault, who was in disgrace at court, loudly protested, in the style of an opposition party, against the protection of the minister; but Chapelain, who was known to have no party-interests, argued so clearly, that he left them to infer that Richelieu's ger was a command; that the cardinal was a minister who willed not things by halves; and was one of those very great men who avenge any contempt shown to them, even on such little men as themselves! In a word, the dogs bowed their necks to the golden collar. However, the appearance, if not the reality, of freedom was left to them; and the minister allowed them to frame their own constitution, and elect their own magistrates and their own constitution, and elect their own magistrates and citizens in this infant and illustrious republic of literature. The history of the further establishment of the French academy is elegantly narrated by Pelisson. The usual difficulty occurred of fixing on a title; and they appear to have changed it so often, that the academy was at first addressed by more than one title; Academie des beaux Esprits; Academie de l'Eloquence; Academie Eminente, in allusion to the quality of the cardinal, its protector.—
Desirous of avoiding the extravagant and mystifying titles of the Italian academies,\* they fixed on the most unaffected, 'L'Academie Française; but though the national geni-

\* See an article ' On the ridiculous titles assumed by the Ra-

us may disguise itself for a moment, it cannot be entirely got rid of, and they assumed a vaunting device of a larre, wreath, including their epigraph 'a l'Immortalite.' The academy of Petersburgh has chosen a more enlightened inscription Paulatim ('little by little,') so expressive of the great labours of man—even of the inventions of genins!

Such was the origin of L'Academie Française; it was

Such was the origin to Lacetame rangame; it would be a public instintion. Yet, like the Royal Society, its origin has been attributed to political motives, with a view to divert the attention from popular discontents; but when we look into the real origin of the French Academy, and our Royal Society, it must be granted, that if the government either in France or England ever entertained this project, it came to them so accidentally that at least we cannot allow them the morit of profound invention. Statesmen are often considered by speculative men in their closets to be mightier wonder. workers than they often prove to be.

Were the origin of the Royal Society inquired into, it

might be justly dated a century before its existence: the real founder was Lord Bacon, who planned the ideal institution in his philosophical romance of the New Atlantical This notion is not fanciful, and it was that of its first founders, as not only appears by the expression of old Abbrey, when alluding to the commencement of the society, he adds, secundum mentem Domini Bacoms; but by a rare print designed by Evelyn, probably for a frontispiece to Bishop Sprat's history, although we seldom find the rint in the volume. The design is precious to a Grangerite, exhibiting three fine portraits. On one side is represented a library, and on the table lie the statutes, the journals, and the mace of the Royal Society; on its opposite side are suspended numerous philosophical instruments; in the centre of the print is a column, on which is placed a bus of Charles II, the patron; on each side whole lengths of Lord Brouncker, the first president, and Lord Bacon, as the founder, inscribed Artism Instaurator. The graver of the patron's presidents and the appraisable of the patron's contraction of the patron's presidents.

Hollar has preserved this happy intention of Evern's, which exemplifies what may be called the continuity and genealogy of genius, as its spirit is perpetuated by its suc-

cessors.

When the fury of the civil wars had exhausted all par-ties, and a breathing time from the passions and madness of the age allowed ingenious men to return once more to society appears to have occupied their reverses. It charmed the fancy of Cowley and Milton; but the politics and religion of the times were still possessed by the same frent; and divinity and politics were unanimously agreed to be utterly proscribed from their inquiries. On the subject of the time of the foundation of the society, but at a much later period, when under the direction of Newton himself. Even Bishop Sprat, their first historian, observed, that they have freely admitted men of different religious, countries, and professions of life; not to lay the foundation of an English, Scotch, Irish, popish, or protestant philosophy, but a PHILOSOPHY OF MANKIND.' A curious protest of the most illustrious of philosophers may be found: when the Society for promoting Christian Knowledge' were desirous of holding that magnitudes at the house of the Doral desirous of holding their meetings at the house of the Royal Society, Newton drew up a number of arguments again their admission. One of them is, that 'It is a fundamental rule of the society not to meddle with religion; and the reason is, that we may give no occasion to religious bodies to meddle with us.' Newton would not even comply with their wishes, lest by this compliance the Royal Society might 'dissatisfy those of other religions.' The wisdom of the protest by Newton is as admirable as it is remarksble,-the preservation of the Royal Society from the pas-

sions of the age.

It was in the lodgings of Dr Wilkins in Wadham College, that a small philosophical club met together, which proved to be, as Aubrey expresses it, the incanabula of the Royal Society. When the members were dispersed about London, they renewed their meetings first at a tavern, then at a private house; and when the society became too great to be called a club, they assembled in the parlowr of Gresham College, which itself had been raised by the munificence of a citizen who endowed it liberally, and presented a noble example to the individuals now assembled under its roof. The society afterwards derived its title from a sort of accident. The warm loyalty of Kvelyn in the first honeful days of the Restoration, in his dedicatory epistle of Naude's treatise on libraries, called

Digitized by GOOGLE

hat philosophical meeting the Royal Society. These caned men immediately voted their thanks to Evelyn for he happy designation, which was so grateful to Charles I, who was himself a virtuoso of the day, that the charter I who was unused a various of the day, that it cleaver ras soon granted: the king, declaring himself their found-x, sent them a mace of silver gilt, of the same fashion and kingess as those carried before his majesty, to be borne where the president on meeting days. To the zeal of Srelyn the Royal Society owe no inferior acquisition to is title and its mace; the noble Arundelian library, the are inerary accumulation of the noble Howards; the last possessor of which had so little inclination for books, that he treasures which his ancestors had collected lay open at he mercy of any purioiner. This degenerate heir to the iterature and the name of Howard seemed perfectly reseved when Evelyn sont his marbles which were perish-ng is his gardens, to Oxford, and his books which were

musbing daily, to the Royal Society!
The Society of Antiquaries might create a deeper inerest, could we penetrate to its secret history: it was in-errapted, and suffered to expire, by some obscure cause x political jealousy. It long ceased to exist, and was only renstated almost in our own days. The revival of learning under Edward VI, suffered a severe check from the apsucal government of Mary; but under Elizabeth a sappier era opened to our literary pursuits. At this period several students of the inns of court, many of whose names are illustrous for their rank or their genius, formed a weekly society, which they called 'the Antiquaries' Col-his studies from the collections of Rawleigh. Their mode of proceeding has even been preserved. At every meetmg they proposed a question or two respecting the history or the antiquities of the English nation, on which each member was expected, at the subsequent meeting, to de-her a dissertation or an opinion. They also 'supped to-gether' From the days of Atheneus to those of Dr John-son, the pleasures of the table have enlivened those of lite-A copy of each question and a summons for the place of conference were sent to the absent members. The opinions were carefully registered by the secretary, and the dissertations deposited in their archives. One of these summones to Stowe, the antiquary, with his memoranda on the back, exists in the Ashmolean Museum. I shall preserve it with all its verbal eruge :

Society of Antiquaries.

To Mr Stowe.

The place appointed for a conference upon the quesin followinge ye att Mr Garter's house, on Fridaye the 11th of this November, 1598, being Al Soules daye, at 11 of the clocke in the afternoone, where your oppinious in

wryinge or otherwise is expected.

'The question is,
'Of the antiquitie, etimologie, and priviledges of parishes in Englande.

'Ye ye desyred that you give not notice hereof to any, but such as have the like somons."

Such is the summone; the memoranda in the handwriting of Stowe are these:

150. Honorius Romanus, Archbyshope of Canterbury, derided his province into parishes; he ordeyned clerks and prechars, communding them that they should instruct the

prechars, consumding them that they should instruct the people, as well by good lyfe, as by doctryne.

780. Cuthbert, Archbyshope of Canterbury, procured of the Pope that in cities and townes there should be appropried church yards for buriall of the dead, whose bodies were used to be buried abrode, & cet.]

Their nectings had hitherto been private; but to give stability to them, they petitioned for a charter of incorporation, under the title of the Academy for the Study of Antipuly and History founded by Queen Elizabeth. And to preserve all the memorials of history which the dissolution of the memorials of history which the dissolution of the memorials of history the kingdom. bon of the monasteries had scattered about the kingdom, they proposed to erect a library, to be called 'The Library of Queen Efizabeth.' The death of the queen overturned this honourable project. The society was somewhat inbrrupted by the usual casualties of human life; the members were dispersed, or died, and it ceased for twenty years. Spelman, Camden, and others, desirous of rency-raing the society, mer for this purpose at the Herald's flice; they settled their regulations, among which, one was for avoiding effence, they should neither meddle with

matters of state nor religion. 'But before our next meeting, says Spolman, 'we had notice that his majesty took a little mislike of our society, not being informed that we distille missing of our society, not using mitorined that we had resolved to decline all matters of state. Yet hercupon we forebore to meet again, and so all our labour's lost? Unquestionably much was lost, for much could have been produced; and Speiman's work on law terms, where I find this information, was one of the first projected. James I has incurred the censure of those who have written more but whether James was misinformed by 'taking a little mislike,' or whether the antiquaries failed in exerting themas Gough and others designate this monarch, may yet be doubtful; assuredly James was not a man to contemn their erndition!

The king at this time was busied by furthering a similar project, which was to found 'King James's College at Chelproject, which was to tound 'Aing James's College at Chelsea; 'a project originating with Dean Sutcliff, and zealously approved by Prince Henry, to raise a nursery for young polemics in scholastical divinity, for the purpose of defending the protestant cause from he attacks of catholics and sectaries; a college which was afterwards called by Laud 'Controversy College.' In this society were appointed historians and antiquaries, for Camden and Haymond filled these offices.

Haywood filled these offices.

The society of Antiquaries, however, though suppressed. was perhaps never extinct: it survived in some shape un-der Charles II, for Ashmole in his Diary notices 'the Antiquaries' Feast,' as well as 'the Astrologers',' and another of the 'Freemasons.' The present society was only incorporated in 1751. There are two sets of their Memoirs; for besides the modern Archeologis, we have two volumes of 'Curious Discourses,' written by the Fa-thers of the Antiquarian Society in the age of Elizabeth, collected from their dispersed manuscripts, which Camden preserved with a parental hand.

The philosophical spirit of the age, it might have been expected, would have reached our modern antiquaries; but neither profound views, nor eloquent disquisitions, have imparted that value to their confined researches and languid efforts, which the character of the times, and the excellence of our French rivals in their Academie, so pe-remptorily required. It is, however, hopeful to hear Mr Hallam declare, 'I think our last volumes improve a little, and but a little! A comparison with the Academy of Inscriptions in its better days must still inspire us with

sname.

Among the statues of the Society of Antiquaries, there is one which expels any member 'who shall by speaking, writing, or printing, publicly defame the society. Some things may be too antique and obsolete even for the Society of Antiquaries! and such is this vile restriction! Should there be a stray wit among them, or a critical observer, are they to compromise the freedom of the republic of letters, by the monopolizing spirit of excellence this statute necessarily attributes to their works-and their ' gestes ?

QUOTATION. . It is generally supposed that where there is no quotation, there will be found most originality; and as people like to lay out their money according to their notions, our writers usually furnish their pages rapidly with the productions of their own soil: they run up a quickset hedge, or plant a poplar, and get trees and hedges of this fashion much faster than the former landlords procured from their timber. The great part of our writers, in consequence, have become so original, that no one cares to imitate them; and those who never quote, in return are never quoted!

This is one of the results of that adventurous spirit which is now stalking forth and raging for its own innovations. We have not only rejected authority, but have also cast away experience; and often the unburdened vessel is driving to all points of the compass, and the passengers no longer know whither they are going. The wisdom of the wise, and the experience of ages, may be preserved by

quotation.

quotation.

It seems, however, agreed, that no one would quote if he could think; and it is not imagined that the well-read may quote from the delicacy of their taste, and the fulness of their knowledge. Whatever is felicitously expressed risks being worse expressed: it is a wretched taste to be gratified with mediocrity when the excellent lies before us. We quote, to save proving what has been demonstrated, referring to where the proofs may be found. We

quote to screen ourselves from the odium of doubtful opin-ions, which the world would not willingly accept from ourselves; and we may quote from the curiosity which only a quotation itself can give, when in our own words it would be divested of that tint of ancient phrase, that detail of narrative, and that naiveté which we have for ever and which we like to recollect once had an existence.

The ancients, who in these matters were not perhaps such blockheads as some may conceive, considered poetical quotation as one of the requisite ornaments of oratory. Cicero, even in his philosophical works, is as little sparing of quotations as Plutarch. Old Montaigne is so stuffed with them, that he owns if they were taken out of him, little of himself would remain; and yet this never injured that original turn which the old Gascon has given to his thoughts. I suspect that Addison hardly ever composed a Spectator which was not founded on some quotation, noted in those three folio manuscript volumes which he had previously collected; and Addison lasts, while Steele, who always wrote from first impressions and to the times, with perhaps no very inferior genius, has passed away, inso-much that Dr. Beattie once considered that he was obliging the world by collecting Addison's papers, and carefully omitting Steele's.

Quotation, like much better things, has its abusea. One may quote till one compiles. The ancient lawyers used The ancient lawyers used to quote at the bar till they had stagnated their own cause. Retournons a nos moutons,' was the cry of the client. But these vagrant prowlers must be consigned to the bea-dles of criticism. Such do not always understand the authors whose names adorn their barren pages, and which are taken, too, from the third or the thirtieth hand. Those who trust to such false quoters will often learn how contrary this transmission is to the sense and application of the original. Every transplantation has altered the fruit of the tree; every new channel, the quality of the stream in its remove from the spring-head. Bayle, when writ-ing on 'Comets,' discovered this; for, having collected many things applicable to his work, as they stood quoted in some modern writers, when he came to compare them with their originals, he was surprised to find that they were nothing for his purpose! the originals conveyed a quite contrary sense to that of the pretended quoters, who often, from innocent blundering, and sometimes from pur-posed deception, had faisified their quotations. This is a useful story for second-hand authorities!

Selden had formed some notions on this subject of quo-tations in his 'Table-talk,' art. ' Books and authors;' but, as Le Clerc justly observes proud of his immense reading, he has too often violated his own precept. 'In quoting of books,' says Selden, 'quote such authors as are usually read; others read for your own satisfaction, but not name them.' Now it happens that no writer names more authors, except Prynne, than the learned Selden. La Mothe le Vayer's curious works consists of fifteen volumes; he is among the greatest quoters. Whoever turns them over will perceive that he is an original thinker, and a great wit; his style, indeed, is meagre, which, as much as his quota-tions, may have proved latal to him. But in both these cases it is evident, that even quoters who have abused the privilege of quotation, are not necessarily writers of a mean genius.

The Quoters who deserve the title, and it ought to be an honorary one, are those who trust to no one but them. selves. In borrowing a passage, they carefully observe its connexion; they collect authorities, to reconcile any disparity in them before they furnish the one which they adopt; they advance no fact without a witness, and they are not loose and general in their references, as I have been told is our historian Henry so frequently, that it is suspected he deals much in second-hand ware. Bayle lets us into a mystery of author-craft 'Suppose an able man is to prove that an ancient author entertained certain particular opinions, which are only insinuated here and there through his works, I am sure it will take him up more days to collect the passages which he will have occasion for, than to argue at random on those pas-sages. Having once found out his authorities and his quotations, which perhaps will not fill six pages, and may have cost him a month's labour, he may finish in two mornings' work, twenty pages of arguments, objections, and answers to objections; and consequently, what proceeds from our own genius sometimes costs much less time than what is requisite for collecting. Cornelle would have re-quired more time to defend a tragedy by a collection of

authorities, than to write it; and I am supposing the san number of pages in the tragedy and in the defence. Her sius perhaps bestowed more time in defending his Herse infanticida against Balzac, than a Spanish (or a Scotch) metaphysician bestows on a large volume of controversy where he takes all from his own stock.' I am somewhat concerned in the truth of this principle. There are articles in the present work occupying but a few pages, which could never have been produced had not more time been allotted to the researches which they contain than some would allow to a small volume, which might excel in ge-nius, and yet be likely not to be long remembered! All this is labour which never meets the eye. It is quicker work, with special pleading and poignant periods, to fill sheets with generalising principles: those bird's eye views of philosophy for the nence seem as if things were seem clearer when at a distance and en mosse, and require little knowledge of the individual parts. Such an art of scribing may resemble the famous Lullian method, by which the dodor Risminatus enabled any one to invent arguments by a machine! Two tables, one of attributes, and the other of subjects, worked about circularly in a frame, and places correlatively to one another, produced certain combine-tions; the number of questions multiplied as they were work ed! So that here was a mechanical invention, by which they might dispute without end, and write on without en,

particular knowledge of their subject!

But the pains-taking gentry, when heaven sends these enius enough, are the more instructive sort, and they are those to whom we shall appeal while time and truth ca meet together. A well-read writer, with good taste, is on who has the command of the wit of other men; he searches where knowledge is to be found; and though he may not himself excel in invention, his ingenuity may compose one of those agreeable books, the delice of literature, that will out-last the fading meteors of his day. Epicurus is said to have borrowed from no writer in his three bundred inspired volumes, while Plutarch, Seneca, and the elder Pliny, made such free use of their libraries; and it has happene that Epicurus, with his unsubstantial nothingness, has 'melted into thin air,' while the solid treasures have buoyed

themselves up amidst the wrecks of nations.

On this subject of Quota on, literary politics, for the commonwealth has its policy and its cabinet-secrets, are more concerned than the reader suspects. Authorities in matters of fact are often called for; in matters of opinion, indeed, which, perhaps, are of more importance, no one requires any authority. But too open and generous a revelation of the chapter and the page of the original quoted, has often proved detrimental to the legitimate honours of the quoter. They are unfairly appropriated by the next comer; the quoter is never quoted, but the au-thority he has afforded is produced by his successor with the air of an eriginal research. I have seen MSS thus confidently referred to, which could never have met the eye of the writer. A learned historian declared to me of a contemporary, that the latter had appropriated his re-searchet; he might, indeed, and he had a right to refer to the same originals; but if his predecessor had opened the sources for him, gratitude is not a silent virtue. Gilbert Suart thus lived on Robertson: and as Professor Dugald Stewart observes, 'his curiosity has seldom led him into any path where the genius and industry of his predecessor had not previously cleared the way.' It is for this reason some authors, who do not care to trust to the equity and gratitude of their successors, will not furnish the means of supplanting themselves; for, by not yielding up their authorities, they themselves become one. Some authors, who are pleased at seeing their names occur in the margins of other books than their own, have practised this political management; such as Alexander ab Alexandro, and other compilers of that stamp, to whose labours of small value, we are often obliged to refer, from the circumstance that they themselves have not pointed out their

One word more on this long chapter of quotation. To make a happy one is a thing not easily to be done. Cardinal du Perron used to say, that the happy application of a verse from Virgil was worth a talent; and Bayle, perhaps too much prepossessed in their favour, has in-sinuated, that there is not less invention in a just and happy application of a thought found in a book, than in being the first author of that thought. The art of quotation requires more delicacy in the practice than those conceive who can see nothing more in a quotation than an extract,

benever the mind of a writer is saturated with the full spiration of a great author, a quotation gives complete-se to the whole; it seals his feelings with undisputed thority. Whenever we would prepare the mind by a cible appeal, an opening quotation is a symphony profing on the chords whose tones we are about to harand the clears where to be a real succession of the man. Perhaps no writers of our times have discovered we of this delicacy of quotation than the author of the hranits of Literature; and Mr Southey, in some of beautiful periodical investigations, where we have often knowledged the solema and striking effect of a quotation on our elder writers.

#### THE ORIGIN OF DANTE'S INTERNO.

Nearly six conturies have elapsed since the appearance the great work of Dante, and the literary historians of ily are even now disputing respecting the origin of this em, singular in its nature and in its excellence. In asrtaming a point so long inquired after, and so keenly dis-ted, it will rather increase our admiration than detract m the genius of this great poet; and it will illustrate the eful principle, that every great genius is influenced by cobjects and the feelings which occupy his own times, cojects and the feelings which occupy his own times, it differing from the race of his brothers by the magical re of his developments; the light he sends forth over the rid he often catches from the faint and unobserved ark which would die away, and turn to nothing, in anoer hand.

The Divine Commedia of Dante is a visionary journey rough the three realms of the after-life existence; and ough in the classical ardour of our political pilgrim, he al-ws his conductor to be a Pagan, the scenes are those of oaksh imagination. The invention of a vision was the usu-vehicle for religious instruction in his age; it was adapted the genius of the sleeping Homer of a monastery, and to e comprehension, and even to the faith, of the populace, bose minds were then awake to these awful themes.

The mode of writing visions has been imperfectly de-cted by several modern inquiries. It got into the Fabliaux the longleurs, or Provencel bards, before the days of late; they had these visions or pilgrimages to Hell; the treatures were no doubt solemn to them—but it seemed beard to attribute the origin of a sublime poem to such in-rior, and to us even ludicrous inventions. Every one, erefore, found out some other origin of Dante's Inferno ace they were resolved to have one-in other works ore congenial to its nature; the description of a second e, the melancholy or the glorified scenes of punishment ore, had been opened to the Italian bard by his favourite "igh, and might have been suggested, according to Warm, by the Soundard Scipionis of Cicero.

But the entire work of Dante is Gothic; it is a picture

his times, of his own ideas, of the people about him; whing of classical antiquity resembles it; and although te name of Virgil is introduced into a Christian Hades, is assuredy not the Roman, for Dante's Virgil speaks state Latin poet could never have done. It is so of the absurdities of Dante, who, like our Shakspeare, like Gothic architecture itself, has many things which lead to nothing' amidst their massive greatness.

Had the Italian and the French commentators, who have roubled themselves on this occasion, known the art which e hare happily practised in this country, of illustrating great sahoual bard, by endeavouring to recover the onemorary writings and circumstances which were coaccied with his studies and his times, they had long ere

Within the last twenty years it had been rumoured that lane had borrowed, or stolen his Inferno from 'The Visan of Alorico,' which was written two centuries before us time. The literary antiquary Bottari had discovered manuscript of this Vision of Alberico, and, in haste, hade extracts of a startling nature. They were well hapted to inflame the curiosity of those who are eager ther any thing new about something old; it throws an air f ending new about strateming only the wind of ending over the small talker, who otherwise would are little about the original! This was not the first time but the whole edifice of genius had been threatened by the brion of a remote earthquake; but in these cases it happens that those early discoverers who can judge is a little part, are in total blindness when they would deis a whole. A poisonous middew seemed to have midden the laurels of Dante; nor were we relieved from second inquiries till il Sigr. Abate Cancillieri at

Rome, published, in 1814, this much talked of manuscript, and has now enabled us to see and to decide, and even to add the present little article as a useful supplement.

True it is, that Dante must have read with equal atten-tion and delight, this authentic vision of Alberico; for it is given, so we are assured by the whole monastery, as it happened to their ancient brother, when a boy; many a striking and many a positive resemblance in the 'Divina Commedia' has been pointed out; and Mr Cary, in his English version of Dante, so English that he makes Dante speak in blank verse very much like Dante in stanzas, has observed, that 'The reader will, in these marked zas, has observed, that 'The reader will, in these marked resemblances, see enough to convince him that Dante had read this singular work.' The truth is, that the 'Vision of Alberico' must not be considered as a singular work—but on the contrary, as the prevalent mode of composition in the monastic ages. It has been ascertained that Alberico was written in the twelfth contury, judging of the age of a manuscript by the writing. I shall now preserve a vision which a French antiquary had long now preserve a vision which a French antiquary had long ago given, merely with the design to show how the monks abused the simplicity of our Gothe ancestors, and with an utter want of taste for such inventions, he deems the present one to be 'monstrous.' He has not told us the age in which it was written. This vision, however, exhibits such complete scenes of the Inferne of the great poet, that the writer must have read Dante, or Dante must have read this writer. this writer. The manuscript, with another of the same kind, is in the King's library at Paris, and some future gind, is in the King's library at Paris, and some future researcher may ascertain the age of these Gothic compositions; doubtless they will be found to belong to the age of Alberico, for they are alike stamped by the same dark and awful imagination, the same depth of feeling, the solitary genius of the monastery!

It may, however, be necessary to observe, that these 'Visions' were merely a vehicle for popular instruction; the same of their composition by the

nor must we depend on the age of their composition by the names of the suppositious visionaries affixed to them: they were the satires of the times. The following elaborate views of some scenes in the *Inferne* were composed by an honest monk who was dissatisfied with the bishops, and took this covert means of pointing out how the neglect of their episcopal duties was punished in the after life; he had an equal quarrel with the feudal nobility for their op-pressions: and he even boldly ascended to the thrune.

'The Vision of Charles the Bald, of the places of pun-

ishment, and the happiness of the just.\*

'I, Charles, by the gratuitous gift of God, king of the Germans, Roman patrician, and likewise emperor of the

'On the holy night of Sunday, having performed the di-vine offices of matins, returning to my bed to sleep, a voice most terrible came to my ear; "Charles! thy spirit shall now issue from thy body; thou shalt go and behold the judgments of God; they shall serve thee only as presages, and thy spirit shall again return shortly afterwards." Instantly was my spirit rapt, and he who bore me away was a being of the most splendid whiteness. He put into my hand a ball of thread, which shed about a blaze of light, such as the comet darts when it is apparent. He divided it, and said to me, "Take thou this thread, and bind it strongly on the thumb of thy right hand, and by this I will lead thee through the infernal labyrinth of punishments."

' Then going before with velocity, but always unwinding this luminous thread, he conducted me into deep valleys filled with fires, and wells inflamed, blazing with all sorts of unctuous matter. There I observed the prelates who had of unctious matter. There I observed the prelates who had served my father and my ancestors. Although I trembled, I still, however, inquired of them to learn the cause of their torments. They answered "We are the bishops of your father and your ancestors; instead of uniting them and their people in peace and concord, we sowed among them discord, and were the kindlers of evil; for this are we burning in these Tartarean punishments; we, and other men-slavers and devourers of rapine. Here al-so shall come your bishops, and that crowd of satellites who surround you, and who imitate the evil we have done."

And whilst I listened to them tremblingly, I beheld the blackest demons flying with hooks of burning iron, who would have caught that ball of thread which I held in my hand, and have drawn it towards them, but it darted such a reverberating light, that they could not lay hold of the

\* In MSS, Bib. Reg. Inter lat. No. 2447, p. 134. 009

thread. These demons, when at my back, hustled to precipitate me into those sulphureous pits; but my conductor, who carried the ball, wound about my shoulder a doubled thread, drawing me to him with such force, that we ascended high mountains of firme, from whence issued lakes and burning streams, melting all kinds of metals. There I found the souls of lords who had served my father and my prouners; some plunged in up to the hair of their heads, others to their chias, others with half their bodies immersed. These yelling, cried to me, "It is for inflaming discontents with your father, and your brothers, and yourself, to make war and spread murder and rapine, eager for earthly spoils, that we now suffer these torments in these rivers of boiling metal." While I was timidly bending over their suffering, I heard at my back the clamour of widers, intended to the suffering of the companies of the clamour of th and my brothers; some plunged in up to the hair of their voices, potentes potenter tormenta patienter! "The powerful suffer torments powerfully;" and I looked up, and beheld on the shores boiling streams and ardent furnaces, blazing with pitch and sulphur, full of great dragons, large scorpions, and serpents of a strange species; where also I saw some of my ancestors, princes, and my brothers al-so, who said to me, "Alas, Charles! behold our heavy punishment for evil, and for proud malignant counsels, which in our realms and in thine we yielded to from the lust of dominion." As I was grieving with their groans, dragons hurried on, who sought to devour me with throats opened, belching flame and sulphur. But my leader trebbled the thread over me, at whose resplendent light these were overcome. Leading me then securely, we descended into a great valley, which on one side was dark, except where lighted by ardent furnaces, while the amenity of the other was so pleasant and splendid that I cannot describe it. I turned however, to the obscure and flaming side; I beheld some kings of my race agenized in great and strange punishments, and I thought how in an instant the huge black giants who in turmoil were working to set the buge black giants who in turmoif were working to set this whole valley into flames, would have hurled me into these gulfs; I still trembled, when the luminous thread cheered my eyes, and on the other side of the valley a light for a little while whitened, gradually breaking: I ob-served two fountains; one, whose waters had extreme heat, the other more temperate and clear; and two large vessels filled with these waters. The luminous thread rested on one of the fervid waters, where I saw may father Louis covered to his thighs, and though labouring in the anguish of bodily pain, he spoke to me, "My son Charles, fear nothing! I know that thy spirit shall return unto thy body; and God has permitted thee to come here that thou mayst witness, because of the sins I have committed the mayst witness, because of the sins I have committed, the punishments I endure. One day I am placed in the boiling bath of this large vessel, and on another changed into that of more temperate waters: this I owe to the prayers of Saint Peter, Saint Denis, Saint Remy, who are the patrons of our royal house; but if by prayers and masses, offerings and alms, psalmody and vigils, my faithful bishops and abbots, and even all the ecclesiastical order, assist me, it will not be long before I am delivered from these beiling waters. Look on your left!" I looked, and beheld two tues of boiling waters. "These are prepared for thee," he said, "if thou wilt not be thine own corrector, and do penance for thy crimes!" Then I began to sink with horpenance for thy crimes!" Then I began to sink with hor-ror; but my guide perceiving the panic of my spirit, said to me, "Follow me to the right of the valley bright in the glorious light of Paradise." I had not long proceeded, when, amidst the most illustrious kings, I beheld my uncle Lotharius seated on a topaz, of marvellous magnitude, crowned with a most precious diadem; and beside him was his son Louis, like him crowned, and seeing me, he spake with a blandishment of air, and a sweetness of voice, "Charles, my successor, now the third in the Roman Empire, approach! I know that thou hast come to view Empire, approach: I know that thou mast come to vise these places of punishment, where thy father and my brother groans to his destined hour; but still to end by the intercession of the three saints, the patrons of the kings and the people of France. Know that it will not be long ere thou shalt be dethroned, and shortly after thou shalt die!" Then Louis turning towards me: "Thy Roman empire shall pass into the hands of Louis, the son of my daughter; give him the sovereign authority, and trust to his hands that bell of thread thou holdest." Directly I loosened it from the fanger of my right hand to give the empire to his son. This invested him with empire, and he became brilliant with all light; and at the same instant, admirable to see, my spirit, greatly wearied and broken, sturned and glided into my body. Hence let all know

whatever happen, that Louis the young possesses the Roman empire destined by God. And so the Leri whe reignest over the living and the dead, and whose landom endurests for ever and for aye, will perform when he shall call me away to another life."

The French literary antiquaries judged of these Visions, with the mere nationality of their tasts. Everything Gothic with them is barbarous, and they see nothing in the redeeming spirit of genius, nor the secret purpose of these curious documents of the age.

The Vision of Charles the Bald may be found in the ancient chronicles of St Denis, which were written under the eye of Abbé Suger, the learned and able master of Louis the Young, and which were certainly compact be-fore the thirteenth century. The learned writer of the fourth volume of the Melanges tirds d'une grande Biblethéque, who had as little taste for these mysterious vaces as the other French critic, apologizes for the venerable Abbé Suger's admission of such visions: 'Assuredly,' be says, 'the Abbe Suger was too wise and too enlightened to believe in similar visions; but if he saffered its mert.on, or if he inserted it himself in the chronick of St Deau, if is because he felt that such a fable offered an excelest lesson to kings, to ministers and bishops, and it had been well if they had not had worse tales told them.' The hun part is as philosophical as the former is the reverse.

In these extraordinary productions of a Gothic age we may assuredly discover Dante; but what are they mare than the frame work of his unimitated picture! It is only the mechanical part of his sublime conceptions that we can pretend to have discovered; other poets might have adopted these 'Visions,' but we should have had no 'De vina Commedia.' Mr Carey has finely observed of these pretended origins of Dante's genius, although Mr Carey knew only The Vision of Alberico, 'It is the scale of magnificence on which this conception was framed, and the wonderful development of it in all its parts, that my justly entitle our poet to rank among the few made whom the power of a great creative faculty can be sended. Milton might originally have sought the sense. Let of his great work from a sort of Italian mystery. Is to words of Dante himself,

' Poca favilla gran fiamma seconda.' Il Paradiso, Cas. L From a small spark Great flame bath risen.

After all, Dante has said in a letter, 'I found the original my hell in the world which we inhabit;' and he said a greater truth than some literary antiquaries can always comprehend!\*

OF A HISTORY OF EVENTS WHICH HAVE NOT BAD PERED.

Such a title might serve for a work of not incurous set unphilosophical speculation, which might enlarge our to eral views of human affairs, and assist our comprehen of these events which are enrolled on the registers of tory. The scheme of Providence is carrying on submitty events, by means inscrutable to us,

A mighty maze, but not without a plan! Some mortals have recently written history, and 'Lecture on History, who presume to explain the great stress human affairs, affecting the same familiarity with the signs of Providence, as with the events which they com-Every party decores pile from human authorities.

a In the recent edition of Dante, by Remanis, in for a lumes, quarto, the last preserves the Vision of Aberica and strange correspondence on its publication; the rescaled in numerous passages are poissed out. It is curious soler that the good Catholic Abbate Cancelliert, at first manages the authenticity of the Vision by alleging that similar retain have not been unusual !—the Cavellere Oberard Leastacked the whole as the crude legend of a boy whe set of made the instrument of the monks, and was either a first. attacked the whole as the crude legend of a boy whe seed made the instrument of the monks, and was saher a lar. Jurious Parrot: We may express our astonishment that at the preday, a subject of mere literary inquiry should have been volved with 'the faith of the Roman Church.' Carribacomes as length submissive to the lively stacks of low and the editor gravely adds hat 'conclusion' which had it ye concluded nothing! He discovers pictures, scalares a mystery acted, as well as Visions in the twelfth sof interest the centure, from which he imagines the Inferna. It is gatorio, and the Paradiso, owe their first conception of granting of Dante, however, is maintained on a right produce. originality of Danie, however, is maintained on a right pri ple; that the poet only employed the ideas and the merit which he found in his own country and his own times.

the events which at first were adverse to their own cause, but finally terminate in their favour, that Providence had used a peculiar and particular interference: this is a source of human error, and intolerant prejudics. The Jesuit Mariana, exulting over the destruction of the kingdom and naive of the Goths in Spain, observes, that 'It was by a particular providence, that out of their ashes might rise a new and hely Spain, to be the bulboark of the Catholic reason hely Spain, to be the bulboark of the Catholic reason of this 'holy Spain,' the establishment of the inquisition, and the dark idolatrous higotry of that hoodwinked people. But a protestant will not sympathize with the feelings of the Jesuit; yet the protestants too, will discover particular providences, and magnify human events into supernatural ones. This custom has long prevailed among fanalics: we have had books published by individuals of 'particular providences,' which, as they imagined, had fallen to their lot; they are called passages of providence; and one I recollect by a cracked brained puritan, whose experience never went beyond his own neighbourhood, but who, laving a very had temper, and many whom he considered his enemies, wrote down all the misfortunes which happened to them as acts of particular providences,' and valued his blessedness on the efficacy of his curses!

present order of human affairs, and the great scheme of latality or of accident, it may be sufficiently evident to us, that often on a sizgle event revolve the fortunes of men and of nations.

An eminent writer has speculated on the defeat of Charles I, at Worcester, as one of these events which most strikingly exemplify how much better events are disposed of by Providence, than they would be if the direction were left to the choice even of the best and the wisest

Without venturing to penetrate into the mysteries of the

most strikingly exemphify how much better events are disposed of by Providence, than they would be if the direction were left to the choice even of the best and the wissest have been succeeded by other severe struggles, and by different parties. A civil war would have contained within itself another civil war. One of the blessings of his defeat at Worcester was, that it left the commonwealth's men masters of the three kingdoms, and afforded them full leisure to complete and perfect their own structure of government. The experiment was fairly tried; there was aching from without to disturb the process; it went on duly from change to change. The close of this history is well known. Had the royalists obtained the victory of Worcester, the commonwealth party might have obstinately persisted, that had their republic not been overthrown, their free and liberal government' would have diffused its universal happiness through the three kingdoms. This idea is ingenious; and might have been pursued in my proposed History of Events which have not happened, under the title of 'The Battle of Worcester won by Charles II.' The chapter, however, would have had a brighter close, if the sovereign and the royalists had proved themselves better mon than the knaves and fanatics of the commonwealth. It is not for us to scrutinize into 'the waye' of Providence; but if Providence conducted Charles II to the throne, it appears to have deserted him when

Historians, for a particular purpose, have sometimes amused themselves with a detail of an event which did not happen. A history of this kind we find in the ninth book of Livy; and it forms a digression, where, with his delighted consources, he reasons on the probable consequences would have ensued had Alexander the Great invaded Italy. Some Greek writers, to raise the Parthians to an equality with the Romans, had insinuated that the great name of this military monarch, who is said never to have lost a battle, would have intimidated the Romans, and would have checked their passion for universal dominion. The patriotic Livy, disdaining that the glory of his nation, which had never ceased from war for nearly eight hundred years, should be put in competition with the career of a young conqueror, which had scarcely lasted ten, enters into a parallel of 'man with man, general with ten, enters into a parallel of 'man with man, general with feneral, and victory with victory.' In the full charm of his imagination he brings Alexander down into Italy, he breats him with all his vittees, and 'dusks their lustre' with all his different and the Management of the with all his contract. with all his defects. He arranges the Macedonian army, while he exultingly shows five Roman armies at that mo ment pursuing their conquests; and he cautiously counts the numerous allies who would have combined their forces; te even descends to compare the weapons and the modes of warfare of the Macedonians with those of the Romans.

Lary, as if he had caught a momentary panic at the first

success which had probably attended Alexander in his descent into Italy, brings forward the great commanders he would have had to encounter; he compares Alexander with each, and at length terminates his fears, and claims his triumph, by discovering that the Macedonians had but one Alexander, while the Romans had several. This beautiful digression in Livy is a model for the narrative of an event which never happened.

beautitul digression in Livy is a mouri for the marrative or an event which never happened.

The Saracens from Asia had spread into Africa, and at length possessed themselves of Spain. Ende, a discontented Duke of Guienne, in France, had been variouished by Charles Martel, who derived that humble but glerious surname from the event we are now to record. Charles had left Eude the enjoyment of his dukedom, provided that he held it as a fief of the crown; but blind with ambition and avarice. Eude adouted a scheme which threw ambition and avarice, Eude adopted a scheme which threw Christianity itself, as well as Europe, into a crisis of peril which has never since occurred. By marrying a daughter with a Mahometan emir, he rashly began an intercourse with the Ishmaelites, one of whose favourite projects was, to plant a formidable colony of their faith in France. An army of four hundred thousand combatants, as the chroniclers of the time affirm, were seen descending into Guienne, possessing themselves in one day of air domains; and Eude soon discovered what sort of workmen he bad called, to do that of which he himself was so incapable. Charles, with equal courage and prudence, beheld this heavy tem-pest bursting over the whole country; and to remove the first cause of this national evil, he reconciled the discon-tented Eude, and detached the duke from his fatal alliance. tented Eude, and detached the duke from its stat almance.
But the Saracens were fast advancing through Toursine,
and had reached Tours by the river Loire: Abderam, the
chief of the Saracens, anticipated a triumph in the multitude of his infantry, his cavalry, and his camels, exhibiing a military warfare unknown in France; he spread out his mighty army to surround the French, and to take them, his might; army to surround the French, and to take the say it were, in a not. The appearance terrified, and the magnificence astonished. Charles, collecting his far inferior forces, assured them that they had no other Franch than the spot they covered. He had ordered that the city of Tours should be closed on every Frenchman, unless he entered it victorious; and he took care that every fugitive should be treated as an enemy by bodies of gens d'armes, whom he placed to watch at the wings of his army. The combat was furious. The astonished Mahometan beheld his battalions defeated as he urged them on singly to the French, who on that day had resolved to offer their lives as an immolation to their mother country. Ende on that day, ardent to clear himself from the odium which he had day, ardent to dear minister incurred, with desperate valour, taking a wide compass, attacked his new allies in the rear. The camp of the attacked his new allies in the rear. The camp of the Mahometan was forced: the shrieks of his women and children reached him from amidst the massacre; terrified, he saw his multitude shaken. Charles, who beheld the light breaking through this dark cloud of men, exclaimed to his countrymen, 'My friends, God has raised his banner, and the unbelievers perish!' The mass of the Saraner, and the uncertainty period: I he mass of the Sara-cens, though broken, could not fly; their own multitude pressed themselves together, and the Christian sword mowed down the Mahometans. Abderam was found dead in a vast heap, unwounded, stifled by his own muktitude. Historians record that three hundred and sixty thousand Saracens perished on la journee de Tours; but Thus Charles saved his own country, and at that moment, all the rest of Europe, from this deluge of people which had poured down from Asia and Africa. Every Christian people returned a solemn thankgiving, and saluted their deliverer as 'the Hammer' of France. But the Saracens were not conquered; Charles did not even venture on their pursuit; and a second invasion proved almost as on their pursuit; and a second avanon proved aimost as terrifying; army still poured down on army, and it was long, and after many dubious results, that the Saracens were rooted out of France. Such is the history of one of the most important events which has passed; but that of an event which did not happon, would be the result of this famous conflict, had the Mahometan power triumphed? The Mahometan dominion had predominated through Ruyone? The imagination is startled when it discovers. The imagination is startled when it discovers Europe! how much depended on this invasion, at a time when there existed no political state in Europe, no balance of ower in one common tie of confederation! A si power in one common tie of confederation! A single battle, and a single treason had before made the Mahodactans sovereigns of Spain. We see that the sac events had nearly been repeated in France; and had the creecest towered above the cross, as every appearance promised to the Saracenic hosts, the least of our evils had now been that we should have worn turbans, combed our beards instead of shaving them, have beheld a more magnificent architecture than the Grecian, while the public mind had been bounded by the arts and literature of the Moorish university of Cordova.

One of the great revolutions of modern Europe, perhaps, had not occurred, had the personal feelings of Luther been respected, and had his personal interest been consulted. Gui cciardini, whose veracity we cannot suspect, has preserved a fact which proves how very nearly some important events which have taken place, might not have hap-pened! I transcribe the passage from his thirteenth book. Czesar (the Emperor Charles V.) after he had given a hearing in the Diet of Worms to Martin Luther, and caused his opinions to be examined by a number of divines, who reported that his doctrine was erroneous and pernicious to the Christian religion, had, to gratify the pontiff, put him under the ban of the empire, which so terrified Martin, that, if the injurious and threatening words which were given him by Cardinal San Sisto, the apostolical legate, had not thrown him into the utmost despair, it is believed it would have been easy, by giving him some preferment, or providing for him some honourable way of living, to make him renounce his errors. By this we may infer, that one of the true authors of the Reformation was this very apostolical legate; they had succeeded in terrifying Luther, but they were not satisfied till they had insulted him; and with such a temper as Luther's, the sense of personal insult would remove even that of terror; it would unquestionably survive it. A similar proceeding with Franklin, from our ministers, is said to have produced the same effect with that political sage. What Guicciardini same enect with that political sage. What Guicciardini has told of Luther preserves the sentiment of the times. Charles V was so fully persuaded that he could have put down the Reformation, had he rid himself at once of the chief, that having granted Luther a safe-guard to appear at the Council at. Worms, in his last moments he repend as of a sin that having had I withen in his hard. ed, as of a sin, that having had Luther in his hands, he suffered him to escape; for to have violated his faith with a heretic he held to be no crime!

In the history of religion, human instruments have been permitted to be the great movers of its chief revolutions; and the most important events concerning national reli and the most important events concerning national regions appear to have depended on the passions of individuals, and the circumstances of the time. Impure means have often produced the most glorious results; and this, perhaps, may be among the dispensations of Providence.

A similar transaction occurred in Europe and in Asia.

The motives and conduct of Constantine the Great, in the alliance of the Christian faith with his government, are far more obvious than any one of those qualities with which the panegyric of Eusebius so vainly cloaks over the crimes and unchristian life of this polytheistical Christian. In adopting the new faith as a cosp d'état, and by invest-ing the church with temporal power, at which Dante so indignantly exclaims, he founded the religion of Jesus, but corrupted its guardians. The same occurrence took place in France under Clovis. The fabulous religion of Paganism was fast on its decline; Clovis had resolved to unite the four different principalities, which divided Gaul into one empire. In the midst of an important battle, as fortune hung doubtful between the parties, the Pagan mo-march invoked the god of his fair Christian queen, and obtained the victory! St Remi found no difficulty in persuading Clovis, after the fortunate event, to adopt the Chris-tian creed. Political reasons for some time suspended the king's open conversion, at length the Franks followed their sovereign to the baptismal fonts. According to Pas-quier, Naudé, and other political writers, these recorded miracles,\* like those of Constantine, were but inventions to authorize the change of religion. Clovis used the new ereed as a lever by whose machinery he would be enabled to crush the petty princes his neighbors; and like Con-

\* The miracles of Clovis consisted of a shield, which was picked up after having fallen from the skies; the anointing oil, conveyed from Heaven by a white dove in a phial, which, till conveyed from reaven by a wante dove in a plain, which, an the reign of Louis XVI, consecrated the kings of France; and the oriflamme, or standard with golden flames, long suspended over the tomb of St Donis, which the French kings only raised over the tomb when their crown was in imminent peril. No future king of France can be anointed with the sainte ampoule, or oil brought down to earth by a white dove; in 1794 it was broken by some profane hand, and antiquaries have since agreed that it was only an ancient lachrymatory!

stantine, Clovis, sullied by crimes of as dark a de, estained the title of 'the Great.' Had not the most capacious 'Defender of the Faith' been influenced by the nest violent of passions, the Reformation, so feebly and so a egun and continued, had possibly never feel erfectly b England from the papal thraidom

' For gospel-light first beam'd from Bullen's eyes.' The catholic Ward, in his singular Hudibrasis poss of England's Reformation, in some odd rhymes, he caracterised it by a naiveté, which we are much to delous to repeat. The catholic writers consure Philip for realing the Duke of Alva from the Netherlands. to these humane politicians, the unsparing sword, as the penal fires of this resolute captain had certainly acceptained the fate of the heretics; for angry loss, however numerous, would find their numerical force dismissed by cibbits and pitchells. gibbits, and pit-holes. We have lately been informed by curious writer that Protestantism once existed in Spain, as was actually extirpated at the moment by the cruskag are of the inquisition.\* According to these catholic pencians, a great event in catholic history did not occur-the spirit of catholicism, predominant in a land of processar. from the Spanish monarch failing to support Alva a finishing what he had begun! Had the armeds of Span safely landed, with the benedictions of Rome, in Engine! —at a moment when our own fleet was short of super-der, and at a time when the English catholics formed a powerful party in the nation—we might now be gong to Mass !

After his immense conquests, had Gustavas Adap not perished in the battle of Lutzen, where his genus tained a glorious victory, unquestionably a worden't change had operated on the affairs of Europe; the putetant cause had balanced, if not preponderated, over the catholic interest; and Austria, which appeared a set of universal monarchy, had seen her eagle's wing cippel. But 'the Anti-Christ,' as Gustavus was called by the But 'the Anti-Christ,' as Gustavus was called by the priests of Spain and Italy, the saviour of protestatus, it is as called by Engand and Sweden, whose death occasioned so many bonefires among the catholics, that the Spanish court interferred lest fivel should become to scar at the approaching winter—Gustavus fell—the fit here't one of those great events which have never happened. On the first publication of the 'Icon Basilike' of Christ the First, the instantaneous effect produced on the atom was such, fifty editions it is said, annearine in one reli-

was such, fifty editions it is said, appearing in on year, that Mr Malcolm Laing observes, that had this look, a sacred volume to those who considered that soveres as martyr, appeared a need seemer, it might have pr , and possibly, have produced a reaction of paying! The chivalrous Dundee made an offer James II, which, had it been acted on, Mr Laing scine ledges might have produced another change! What he had become of our 'glorious Revolution,' which from II earliest step, throughout the reign of William, was rel vacillating amidst the unstable opinions and contended

interests of so many of its first movers?

The great political error of Cromwell is acknowledged by all parties to have been the adoption of the Fresh terest in preference to the Spanish; a strict allument. Spain had preserved the balance of Europe, earlied to commercial industry of England, and above all, and commercial industry of England, and above all, as checked the overgrowing power of the French government. Before Cromwell had contributed to the predominant d the French power, the French Huguenots were of con-quence enough to secure an indulgent treatment. To parliament, as Elizabeth herself had formerly doc. co-sidered so powerful a party in France as useful allies; and anytons to extend the prescriptor of the December of the anxious to extend the principles of the Reforming and to further the suppression of popery, the parliament and once listened to, and had even commenced a treaty with deputies from Bourdeaux, the purport of which was the ab sistance of the French Huguenots in their scheme of foring themselves into a republic, or independent state; let sign, but is believed to have betrayed it to Mazzrie. What a change in the affairs of Europe had Created adopted the Spanish interests, and assisted the Fresh Huguenots in becoming an independent state! The re-cation of the edict of Nantes and the increase of the French dominion, which so long afterwards disturbed the peace of Europe, were the consequence of this intil end of Cromwell's. The independent state of the Fred

This fact was probably quite unknown to us, till is well given in the Quarterly Roylew. Vol. XXIX

Higgenots, and the reduction of ambitious France, per-laps, to a secondary European power, had saved Europe from the scourge of the French revolution!

The elegant pen of Mr Roscoe has lately afforded me nother curious sketch of a history of events which have not

appeared.

M. De Sismondi imagines, against the opinion of every historian, that the death of Lorenzo de'Medici was a matter of mifference to the prosperity of Italy; as 'he could not have prevented the different projects which had been matured in the French cabinet, for the invasion and conquest of Italy; and therefore he concludes that all histonans are mistaken who bestow on Lorenzo the honour of having preserved the peace of Italy, because the great invasion that everthrew it did not take place till two years after his death.' Mr. Roscoe has philosophically vindicated the honour which his hero has justly received, by employing the principle which in this article has been de-reloped. 'Though Lorenzo de'Medici could not perhaps have prevented the important events that took place in other nations of Europe, it by no means follows that the life or death of Lorenzo were equally indifferent to the affairs of Italy, or that circumstances would have been the same in case he had lived, as in the event of his death. Mr. Roscos then proceeds to show how Lorenzo's 'pru-dent measures, and proper representations,' might proba-bly have prevented the French expedition, which Charles with may prevented the French expension, which Charles
VIII was frequently on the point of abandoning. Lorenwould not certainly have taken the precipitate measures
of his son Piero, in surrendering the Florentine fortresses.
His family would not in consequence have been expelled
the city; a powerful mind might have influenced the discellul relition of the Training cordant politics of the Italian princes in one common de-fence; a slight opposition to the fugitive army of France, at the pass of Faro, might have given the French sovereigns a wholesome lesson, and prevented those bloody contests that were soon afterwards renewed in Italy. As contests that were soon afterwards renewed in Italy. As a single remove at Chess varies the whole game, so the death of an individual of such importance in the affairs of Europe as Lorenzo de' Médici, could not fail of producing a change in its political relations, as must have varied them in an incalculable degree. Pignotti also describes the state of Italy at this time. Had Lorenzo lived to have seen his son elevated to the paper, this historian, adopting our present principle, exclaims, 'A happy era for Italy and Tuscany HAD THEN OCCURRED! On this head we can, indeed, be only allowed to conjecture; but the fance, guided by reason, may expatiate at will in this the lancy, guided by reason, may expatiate at will in this imaginery state, and contemplate Italy reunited by a stronger bond, flourishing under its own institution and arts, and delivered from all those lamented struggles which

occurred within so short a period of time.'
Whitaker in his 'Vindication of Mary Queen of Scots,'
has a speculation in the true spirit of this article. When such dependance was made upon Elizabeth's dying without isue, the Countess of Shrewsbury had her son purposeign residing in London, with two good and able horses continu-ally ready to give the earliest intelligence of the sick Elizaany ready to give the earliest intelligence of the sick Elizabeth's death to the imprisoned Mary. On his the historian observes, 'And had this not improbable event actually taken place, what a different complexion would our history were assumed from what wears at present! Mary would have been carried from a prison to a throne. Her wise conduct in prison would have been applauded by all.— From Tutbury, from Sheffield, and from Chatsworth, she would have been said to have touched with a gentle and manterly hand the springs that actuated all the nation, against the death of her tyrannical cousin, &c. So ductile is history in the hands of man! and so peculiarty our it hend to the force of success, and warp with the warmin

or prosperity!
Thus important events have been nearly occurring, which however, did not take place; and others have hapter of an individual. We shall enlarge our conception of the nature of human events, and gather some useful in-struction in our historical reading, by pausing at intervals; contemplating, for a moment, on certain events which have not happened!

### OF PALSE POLITICAL REPORTS.

'A false report, if believed during three days, may be a great service to a government.' This political maxim has been ascribed to Catherine of Medici, an adept in supe d'etet, the ercene imperit ' Between solid lying and 8.

disguised truth there is a difference known to writers skillobsiding the art of governing mankind by deceiving them?
as politics, ill understood, have been defined, and as are
all party politics, these forgers prefer to use the truth disguised, to the gross fiction. When the real truth can no
longer be concealed, then they confidently refer to it; for they can still explain and obscure, while they secure on their side the party whose cause they have advocated. A curious reader of history may discover the temporary A curious reader of history may discover the temporary and sometimes the lasting advantages of spreading rumours designed to disguise, or to counteract the real state of things. Such reports, set a going, serve to break down the sharp and fatal point of a panic, which might instantly occur; in this way the public is saved from the horrors of consternation, and the stupefaction of despair. These rumours give a breathing time to prepare for the disaster, which is doled out cautiously; and, as might be shown, in some cases these first reports have left an event in so ambiguous a state, that a doubt may still grise. in so ambiguous a state, that a doubt may still arise whether these reports were really so destitute of truth! Such reports, once printed, enter into history, and saddy perplex the honest historian. Of a battle fought in a redispute the victory after the event, and the pea may pro-long what the sword had long decided. This has been no unusual circumstance: of several of the most important battles on which the fate of Europe has hung, were we to rely on some reports of the time, we might still doubt of the manner of the transaction. A skirmish has been often raised into an arranged battle, and a defeat concealed, in an account of the killed and wounded, while victory has been claimed by both parties! Villeroy, in all his encounters with Marlborough, always sent hon in all his encounters with Marlborough, always sent homie despatches by which no one could suspect that he was discomfited. Pompey, after his fatal battle with Ciesar, sent letters to all the provinces and cities of the Romans, describing with greater courage than he had fought, so that a report generally prevailed that Cæsar had lost the battle! Plutarch informs us, that three hundred writers had described the battle of Marathon. Many dobbless had copied their predecessors: but it would perhaps have surprised us to have observed how materially some differed in their narratives. in their narratives.

In looking over a collection of manuscript letters of the times of James the First, I was struck by the contradic-tory reports of the result of the famous battle of Lutzen, so glorious and so fatal to Gustavus Adolphus; the victoso giorous and so ialal to Gustavus Adolphus; the victory was sometimes reported to have been obtained by the Swedes; but a general uncertainty, a sort of mystery, agitated the majority of the nation, who were stanch to the protestant cause. This state of anxious suspense lasted a considerable time. The fatal truth gradually came out in reports changing in their progress; if the victory was allowed, the death of the Protestant Hero closed all hope! The historian of Gustavus Adolphus observes on this occasion that Team control the control of the protestant here control that the protestant had been sent that the province mean better received. on this occasion, that ' Few couriers were better received than those who conveyed the accounts of the King's death to declared enemies or concealed ill wishers; nor did the report greatly displease the court of Whitehall, where the ministry, as it usually happens in cases of timidity, had its degree of apprehensions for fear the event should not be true; and, as I have learned from good authority, im-posed silence on the news writers, and intimated the same to the pulpit in case any funeral encomium might proceed from that quarter.' Although the motive assigned by the writer, that of the secret indisposition of the cabinet of James the First towards the fortunes of Gustavus, is to me by no means certain; unquestionably the knowledge of this disastrous event was long kept back by 'a timid ministry,' and the fluctuating reports probably regulated by their designs.

The same circumstance occurred on another important event in modern history, where we may observe the artifice of party writers in disguising or suppressing the real fact. This was the famous battle of the Boyne. The French catholic party long reported that Count Lausun had won the battle, and that William III was killed. Bussy Rabutin in some memoirs, in which he appears to have registered public events without scrutinizing their truth, says, 'I chronicled this account according as the first research. ports gave out, when at length the real fact reached them, the party did not like to lose their pretended victory.' Pere Londel, who published a register of the times, which is favourably noticed in the 'Nouvelles de la Republique des Lettres,' for 1699, has recorded the event in this decep-

tive manner: 'The battle of the Boyne in Ireland; Schomberg is killed there at the head of the English.' This is an equivocator!' The writer resolved to conceal the defeat of James's party, and cautiously suppresses any mention of a victory, but very carefully gives a real fact, by which his readers would hardly doubt of the defeat of the English! We are so accustomed to this traffic of false reports, that we are scarcely aware that many important events recorded in history were in their day strangely disguised by such mystifying accounts. This we can only discover by reading private letters written at the moment. Bayle has collected several remarkable absurdities of this kind, which were spread abroad to answer a temporary purpose, but which had never been known to us had these contemporary letters not been published. A report was prevalent in Holland in 1890, that the kings of France and Spain and the Duke of Alva were dead; a folicity which for a time sustained the exhausted spirits of the revolutionists. At the invasion of the Spanish Armada, Burleigh spread reports of the thumb screws, and other instruments of torture, which the Spaniards had brought with them, and thus inflamed the hatred of the nation. The horrid story of the bloody Colonel Kirke is considered as one of those political forgeries to serve the purpose of blackening a zealous partisan.

False reports are sometimes stratagems of war. When the chiefs of the league had lost the battle at Ivry, with an army broken and discomfited, they still kept possession of Paris merely by imposing on the inhabitants all sorts of false reports, such as the death of the king of Navarre, at the fortunate moment when victory, undetermined on which side to incline, turned for the leaguers; and they gave out false reports of a number of victories they had elsewhere obtained. Such tales, distributed in pamphlets and ballads among a people agitated by doubts, and fears, are gladly helieved; flattering their wishes, or soothing their alarms, they contribute to their ease, and are too agreeable

to allow of time for reflection.

The history of a report creating a panic may be traced in the Irish insurrection, in the curious memoirs of James II. A forged proclamation of the Prince of Orange was set forth by one Speke, and a rumour spread that the Irish troops were killing and burning in all parts of the kingdom! A panic like magic instantly run through the people, so that in one quarter of the town of Drogheds they imagined that the other was filled with blood and ruins. During this panic pregnant women miscarried, aged persons died with terror, while the truth was, that the Irish themselves were disarmed and dispersed, in utter want of a meal or a lodg-

In the unhappy times of our civil wars under Charles the First, the newspapers and the private letters afford specimens of this political contrivance of false reports of every species. No extravagance of invention to spread a terror against a party was too gross, and the city of London was one day alarmed that the rovalists were occupied by a plan of blowing up the river Thames, by an immense examity of powder ware-housed at the river side; and that there existed an organized though invisible brother-hood of many thousands with consecrated knives; and those who hesitated to give credit to such rumours were branded as malignants, who took not the danger of the parliament to heart. Forged conspiracios and reports of great but distant victories were inventions to keep up the spirit of a party, but oftener prognosticated some intended change in the government. When they were desirous of augmenting the army, or introducing new garrisons, or using an extreme measure with the city, or the royalists, there was always a new conspiracy set affoat; or when any great affair was to be carried in parliament, letters of great victories were published to dishearten the opposition, and influse additional boldness in their own party. If the report lasted only a few days, it obtained its purpose, and verificated the observation of Catharine of Medicis. Those pothicians who raise such false reports obtain their end: like the architect, who, in building an arch, supports it with circular props and pieces of timber, or any temporaty rubbish, till he closes the arch; and when it can support itself, be throws away the props! There is no class of political lying which can want for illustration if we consult the records of our civil wars; there we may trace the whole art in all the nice management of its shades, its qualities, and its more complicate parts, from invective to confi, and from innuesdo to prevarication! we may adminished to the confinence of the party of the party and confinence of the party of the party and confinence of

by another which they are telling! and triple lying to overreach their opponents; royalists and parliamentaans were alike; for to tell one great truth, 'the fatherd lice' is of no party!

As 'nothing is now under the sun,' so this art of acceiving the public was unquestionably practised among the ancients. Syphax sent Scipio word that he could ast unite with the Romans, but, on the contrary, had seeined for the Carthaginians. The Roman army were the anxiously waiting for his expected succors: Scoon was careful to show the utmost civility to those ambassion, and ostentatiously treated them with presents, that have and obtained by treated them with present in a state the army of Syphax to join the Romans. Livy censures the Roman consul, who, after the defeat at Canne, told the deputies of the allies the whole loss they had sustance: 'This consul,' says Livy, 'by giving too faithful and one an account of his defoat, made both himself and he army appear still more contemptible.' The result of the superity of the consul was, that the allies, despairing but the Romans would ever recover their losses, deemed it praint to make terms with Hannibal. Plutarch tells an anong story, in his way, of the natural progress of a report, which was contrary to the wishes of the government; the univerpy reporter suffered punishment as long as the rumow prevailed, though at last it proved true. A stranger lasting from Sicily, at a barber's shop delivered all the paricular of the defeat of the Athenians; of which, however, the people were yet uninformed. The barber leaves a med the reporter's beard, and flies away to vent the serin the city, where he told the Archons what he had beard The whole city was thrown in a ferment. The Archest called an assembly of the people, and produced the lackless barber, who in his confusion could not give any satisface; barber, who in his continuous count may give an account of the first reporter. He was condensed at a spreader of false news, and a disturber of the public quet; for the Athenians could not imagine but that they were se vincible! The barber was dragged to the wheel and totured, till the disaster was more than confirmed. Beye, referring to this story observes, that had the barber reported a victory, though it had proved to be false, he would not have been punished; a shrewd observation, which occurred to him from his recollection of the fate of Stratocles. The person persuaded the Athenians to perform a public same ince and thanksgiving for a victory obtained at sea, though he well knew at the time that the Athenian fleet had been totally, defeated. When the calamity could so longer be concealed, the people charged him with being an impo-tor; but Stratocles saved his life and mollified ther sager by the pleasant turn he gave to the whole affair. 'Hare I done you any injury?' said he. 'Is it not owing to me that you have spent three days in the pleasures of victory? I think that this spreader of good, but fictitious news, shad have occupied the wheel of the luckless barber, who has spread bad but true news; for the barber had so meaning the state of the state of the spread bad but true news; for the barber had so meaning the state of deception, but Stratocles had; and the question here w be tried, was not the truth or the falsity of the reports, but whether the reporters intended to deceive their fellow-chzens? The 'Chronicle' and the 'Post' must be chalenged on such a jury, and all the race of news-scribe, when Patin characterises an homizum genus audadissismum endacissimum avidissimum. Latin superlatives are to ret to suffer a translation. But what Pafin mys in his letter of the page of 556 may be applied: 'These writers insert in then paper things they do not know, and ought not to write. It is the same trick that is playing which was formerly played it is the very same farce, only it is exhibited by new actors. The worst circumstance, I think, in this, is, that his not will continue playing a long course of years, and that the public suffer a great deal too much by it.'

### OF SUPPRESSORS AND DELAPSDATORS OF MANUSCRIPTLE

MANUSCRIPTS are suppressed or destroyed from notices which require to be noticed. Plagiarists, at least, have the merit of proservation: they may blush at their artifica, and deserve the pillory, but their practices do not income capital crime of felony. Serassi, the writer of the crime to apital crime of felony. Serassi, the writer of the crime is his zeal for the poet's memory. The story remains to told, for it is little known.

Galileo, in early life, was a Tecturer at the university of Pisa: delighting in poetical studies, he was then peared; critic than a philosopher, and had Arioto by hear. I great man caught the literary mania which broke out alout his time, when the Cruscane so absurdly began their 'Con-

Digitized by Google

trorenie Tassesche,' and raised up two poetical factions, which infected the Italians with a national fever. Tasse and Ariosto were perpetually weighed and outweighed against each other; Galileo wrote annotations on Tasso, stanza after stanza, and without reserve, treating the majestic bard with a severity which must have thrown the Tassoists into an agony. Our critic lent his manuscript to Jacopo Mazzoni, who, probably being a diaguised Tassois, by some unaccountable means contrived that the manuscript should be absolutely lost!—to the deep regret of the author and all the Ariostoists. The philosopher descended to his grave—not without occasional groans—nor without exulting reminiscences of the blows he had in his youth inflicted on the great rival of Ariasto—and the rumour of such a work long floated on tradition! Two centuries had nearly elapsed, when Serassi, enaployed on his elaborate life of Tasso, among his uninterrupted researches in the public libraries of Rome, discovered a miscellaneous volume, in which, on a cursory examination, he found de-posited the lost manuscript of Galileo! It was a shock from which, perhaps, the zealous biographer of Tasso sever fairly recovered; the awful name of Galileo sanctioned the asperity of critical decision, and more particu-larly the severe remarks on the language; a subject on which the Italians are so morbidly delicate, and so trivially grave. Serassi's conduct on this occasion was at once political, timerous and cunning. Gladly would be have samphlated the original, but this was impossible! It was some consolation that the manuscript was totally unknown -for having got mixed with others, it had accidentally been passed over, and not entered into the catalogue; his own disent eye only had detected his existence. 'Nessame fa ora sa, fuori di me, se vi sia, ne dove sia, e così non potra den alla luce, kc. But in the true spirit of a collector, avancious of all things connected with his pursuits, Serassi cautiously but completely, transcribed the precious manuscript, with an intention, according to his memorandum, to urarel all its sophistry. However, although the Abbate acrer wanted leisure, he persevered in his silence; yet he often trembled lest some future explorer of manuscripts might be found as sharpsighted as himself. He was so cautions as not even to venture to note down the library where the manuscript was to be found, and to this day no age appears to have fallen on the volume! On the death of Berasu, his papers came to the hands of the Duke of Ceri, a lover of literature; the transcript of the yet undiscovered original was then revealed! and this secret history of the manuscript was drawn from a note on the title-page writthe literati, these annotations on Tasso by Galileo were published in 1793. Here is a work, which, from its earliest stage, much pains had been taken to suppress; but Serasa's collecting passion inducing him to preserve what he himself so much wished should never appear, finally occasioned its publication! It adds one evidence to the many, which prove that such simister practices have been frequently used by the historians of a party, poetic or politic.

Unquestionably this entire suppression of manuscripts has been too frequently practised. It is suspected that our historical antiquary Speed owed many obligations to the earned Hugh Broughton, for he possessed a vast number of his MSS, which he burnt. Why did he burn? If persons place themselves in suspicious situations, they must not complain if they be suspected. We have had historians who, whenever they met with information which has not saided their historical system, or their inveterate prejudices, have employed interpolations, castrations, and forgeties, and in some cases have annihilated the entire document. Leland's invaluable manuscripts were left at his death in the confused state in which the mind of the writer had sunk, overcome by his incessant labours, when this royal anti-uary was employed by Henry VIII to write our actional antiquities. His scattered manuscripts were long a common prey to many who never acknowledged their fountain head; among these suppressors and
dispidators pre-eminently stands the crafty Italian Polydere Vergil, who not only drew largely from this source,
but, to cover the robberry, did not omit to depreciate the
fither of our automities are not of account with the chafither of our automities are not of account to the chasher of our antiquities—an act of a piece with the character of the man, who is said to have collected and burnt a greater number of historical MSS than would have baied a wagen, to prevent the detection of the numerous shrications in his history of England, which was composed to gratify Mary and the catholic cause. The Harbian manuscript, 7379, is a collection of state-

a manuscript, 7379, is a collection of state-

letters. This MS. has four leaves entirely torn out, and is accompanied by this extraordinary memorandum, sign-

ed by the principal librarian.

Upon examination of this book, Nov. 12, 1764, these four last leaves were torn out.

C. Morton.

Mem. Nov. 12, sent down to Mrs Macaulay. As no memorandum of the name of any student to who a manuscript is delivered for his researches was ever made before or since, or in the nature of things will ever be, this memorandum must involve our female historian in the obloquy of this dilapidation. Such dishonest practices of party feeling, indeed are not peculiar to any party. In Mr Roscoe's interesting 'Illustrations' of his life of Lorenzo de'Medici, we discover that Fabroni, whose character scarcely admits of suspicion, appears to have known of the existence of an unpublished letter of Sixtus IV, which involves that pontiff deeply in the assassination projected by the Pazzi; but he carefully suppressed its no-tice: yet, is his conscience, he could not avoid alluding to such documents, which he concealed by his silence. Mr Roscoe has ably defended Fabroai, who may have overlooked this decisive evidence of the guilt of the hypocritical pontiff in the mass of manuscripts; a circumstance not likely to have occurred, however to this laborious historical inquirer. All party feeling is the same active spirit with an opposite direction. We have a remarkable case, where a most interesting historical production has been silently annihilated by the consent of both parties. There once existed an important diary of a very extraordinary character, Sir George Saville, afterwards Marquis of Halifax. This master-spirit, for such I am inclined to consider the author of the little book of 'Maxims and Reflections,' with a philosophical indifference, appears to have held in equal contempt all the factions of his times, and, consequently, has often incurred their severe censures. Among other things, the Marquis of Halifax had noted down the conversations he had had with Charles the Second, and versations in a law wan Charles the Second, and the great and busy characters of the age. Of this curious secret history there existed two copies, and the noble wri-ter imagined that by this means he had carefully secured their existence; yet both copies were destroyed from op-posite motives; the one at the instigation of Pope, who was alarmed at finding some of the catholic intrigues of the court developed; and the other at the suggestion of a noble friend, who was equally shocked at discovering that his party, the Revolutionists, had sometimes practised mean and dishonourable deceptions. It is in these legacies of honourable men, of whatever party they may be, that we expect to find truth and sincerity; but thus it happens that the last hope of posterity is frustrated by the artifices, or the malignity, of these party-passions. Pulteney, asterwards the Earl of Bath, had also prepared memoirs of his times, which he proposed to confide to Dr Douglas, bithan of Shighers. shop of Salishury, to be composed by the bishops; but his lordship's heir, the general, insisted on destroying these authentic documents, of the value of which we have a notion by one of those conversations which the earl was in the habit of indulging with Hooke, whom he at that time appears to have intended for his historian.

The same hostility to manuscripts, as may be easily imagined, has occurred, perhaps more frequently, on the continent. I shall furnish one considerable fact. A French canon, Claude Joly, a bold and learned writer, had finished an ample life of Erasmus, which included a history of the restoration of literature, at the close of the fifteenth and the beginning of the sixteenth century. Colomies tells us, that the author had read over the works of Erasmus seven times; we have positive evidence that the

\* It is now about twenty-seven years ago since I first published this anecdote; at the same time I received information that our female historian and dilapidator had acted in this manthat our female historian and dilapidator had acted in this manner more than once. At that distance of time this rumour so notorious at the British Museum it was impossible to suthenticate. The Rev. William Graham, the surviving Luzhand et Mrs Macaulay, intemperately called on Dr Morton, in a very advanced period of life, to deciare that 'k appeared to him that the note does not contain any evidence that the leaves were torn out by Mrs Macaulay.' R was more apparent to the unprejudiced, that the doctor must have singularly lost the use of his memory, when he could not explain his own official note, which, perhaps, at the time he was compelled to insert. Dr Morton was not unfriendly to Mrs Macauley's political party, he was the Editor of Whiclocke's Diary of his Embassy to the Queen of Sweden, and has, I believe, largely castrated the work. The original less at the British Museum. Ms. was finished for the press; the Cardinal De Noailles would examine the work itself; this important history was not only suppressed, but the hope entertained of finding it among the cardinal's papers was never realized.

These are instances of the annihilation of history; but there is a partial suppression, or castration of passages, there is a partial suppression, or castration of passages, equally fatal to the cause of truth; a practice too prevalent among the first editors of memoirs. By such deprivations of the text we have lost important truths, while in some cases, by interpolations, we have been loaded with the factions of a party. Original memoirs, when published, should now be deposited at that great institution consecuted to our pational history—sthe British Museum, to be enould now be deposited at that great institution conse-crated to our national history—the British Museum, to be verified at all times. In Lord Herbert's history of Henry the Eighth, I find, by a manuscript note, that several things were not permitted to be printed, and that the original ass. was supposed to be in Mr Sheldon's custody, in 1687. Camden told Sir Robert Filmore that he was not suffered to print all his annals of Elizabeth; but he providently sent these expurgated passages to De Thou, who printed them faithfully; and it is remarkable that De Thou himself used the same precaution in the continuation of his own history. We like distant truths, but truths too near unever fail to alarm ourselves, our connexions, and our party. Milton, in composing his history of England, introduced, in the third book, a very remarkable digression, on duced, in the third book, a very remarkable digression, on the constant of the Long Parliament; a most animated We like distant truths, but truths too near us description of a class of political adventurers, with whom modern history has presented many parallels. From ten-derness to a party then imagined to be subdued, it was struck out by command, nor do I find it restituted in Kennett's Collection of Engish histories. This admirable and exquisite delineation has been preserved in a pamphlet printed in 1681, which has fortunately exhibited one of the warmest pictures in design and colouring by a master's hand. One of our most important volumes of secret history, 'Whitelocke's Memorials,' was published by Arthur, Earl of Anglesea, in 1682, who took considerable liberties with the manuscript; another edition appeared in 1732, which restored the many important passages through which the earl appears to have struck his castrating pen. The restitution of the castrated passages has not much increased the magnitude of this folio volume; for the omissions usually the magnitude of this folio volume; for the omissions usually consisted of a characteristic stroke, or a short critical opinion, which did not harmonize with the private feelings of the Earl of Anglesea. In consequence of the volume not being much enlarged to the eye, and being unaccompanied by a single line of preface to inform us of the value of this more complete edition, the booksellers imagine that there can be no material difference between the two editions, and wonder at the bibliopolical mystery that they can afford to sail the edition of 1889 at ten shillings. can afford to sell the edition of 1692 at ten shillings, and have five guineas for the edition of 1732! Hume, who, I have been told, wrote his history usually on a sofa, with the epicurean indolence of his fine genius, always refers to the old truncated and faithless edition of Whitelocke—so little in his day did the critical history of books enter into the studies of our authors, or such was the carelesness of our historian. There is more philosophy in editions, than some philosophers are aware of. Perhaps most 'Memoirs' have been unfaithfully published, 'Curtailed of their fair proportions;' and not a few might be noticed which subsequent editors have restored to their original state, by ting their dislocated limbs. Unquestionably, passion has sometimes annihilated manuscripts, and tamely revenged itself on the papers of hated writers! Louis XIV with his own hands, after the death of Fenelon, burnt all the manuscripts which the Duke of Burgundy had preserv-

As an example of the suppressors and dilapidators of manuscripts, I shall give an extraordinary fact concerning Louis XIV more in his favour. His character appears, like some other historical personages, equally disguised by adulation and calumny. That monarch was not the Nero which his revocation of the edict of Nantes made him seem to the French protestants. He was far from approving of the violent measures of his catholic clergy. This opinion of that sovereign was, however, carefully suppressed when his 'Instructions to the Dauphin' were first published. It is now ascertained that Louis XIV was for many years equally zealous and industrious; and, among other useful attempts, composed an elaborate 'Discours' for the Dauphin for his future conduct. The king gave his manuscript to Pelisson to revise: but after the revision,

our royal writer frequently inserted additional paragraphs. The work first appeared in an anonymous 'Recuei d'Opescule. Uniteraries, Amsterdam, 1767,' which Barber, in his 'Anonymes,' tells us, was rédigé par Pelisson; le tout publié par l'Abbé Olivet.' When at length the prated work was collated with the manuscript original, evera suppressions of the royal sentiments appeared, and the editors, 400 catholic, had, with more particular castia, thrown aside what clearly showed Louis XIV was far from approving of the violences used against the protestants. The following passage was entirely omited. 'I seems to me, my son, that those who employ extreme and violent remedies do not know the nature of the evi, eccasioned in part, by heated minds, which, left to themselve, would insensibly be extinguished, rather than reludis them afresh by the force of contradiction; above all, when the corruption is not confined to a small number, but défused through all parts of the state; besides, the Réorsers said many true things! The best method to have rere duced little by little the Huguenots of my kingdom, was set to have pursued them by any direct severity pointed at them.'

Lady Mary Wortley Montagu is a remarkable instance of an author nearly lost to the nation: she is only hose to posterity by a chance publication, for such were be famous Turkish letters; the manuscript of which be family once purchased with an intention to suppress he they were frustrated by a transcript. The more recent letters were refuctantly extracted out of the family trads and surrendered in exchange for certain family documents which had fallen into the hands of a bookseller. Had a depended on her relatives, the name of Lady Mary had only reached us in the satires of Pope. The greater part of her epistolary correspondence was destroyed by her mother; and what that good and Gothic lady spared, wis suppressed by the hereditary austerity of rank, of what her family was too susceptible. The entire correspondence of this admirable writer, and studious woman-fe once, in perusing some unpublished letters of Lady Mary. I discovered that 'she had been in the habit of ready seven hours a day for many years'—would undoubted, have exhibited a fine statue, instead of the torse we too might have lived with her ladyshp, it we do with Madame de Sevigné. This I have mentage elsewhere; but I have since discovered that a consernable correspondence of Lady Mary's, for more than istury years, with the widow of Col. Forrester, who had reince to Rome, has been stiffed in the birth. These letters, with other MSS of Lady Mary's, were given by Min Forreter to Philip Thicknesse, with a discretionary power to publish. They were held as a great acquisition by Thicknesse and his bookseller; but when they had prated the first thousand sheets, there were parts which they considered might give pain to some of the family. These mess says, 'Lady Mary had in many places been uscommonly severe upon her husband, for all her letters were loaded with a scrap or two of poetry at his 'A septiation took place with an agent of Lord Bute-all the MSS—and the whole terminated, as Thicknesse tells us, a brotaning a pension, and Lord Bute-all the MSS.

The late Duke of Bridgewater, I am informed, burn many of the numerous family papers, and bricked up a quantity, which, when opened after his death, were four to have perished. It is said he declared that he fid of choose that his ancestors should be traced back to a proson of a mean trade, which it seems might possibly have been the case. The loss now cannot be appreciated; by unquestionably, stores of history, and, perhaps, of history, were sacrificed. Milton's manuscript of Cosmic was published from the Bridgewater collection, for it had escaped the bricking up!

Manuscripts of great interest are frequently suppressed from the shameful indifference of the possessors.

Mr Mahias, in his Essay on Gray, tells us, that 'is addition to the valuable manuscripts of Mr Gray, then a reason to think that there were some other papers, fas Sibylles, in the possession of Mr Mason; but though a very diligent and anxious inquiry has been made after thom, they cannot be discovered since his death.' There was, however, one fragment, by Mr Mason's com decreption of it, of very great value, namely, 'The plan of all

4 There was one passage he recollected—'Just is my ist a lifeless trunk, and scarce a dreaming head?"

Digitized by GOOGIC

mtended speech in Latin on his appointment as professor Mercard speech in Leatin of Modern History in the University of Cambridge. Mr Mason says, Immediately on his appointment Mr Gray stetched out an admirable plan for his inauguration specia; in which after enumerating the preparatory and aunilary studies requisite, such as ancient history, geo-graphy, chroadegy, &c, he descended to the authentic sources of the science, such as public treaties, state-re-cords, private correspondence of ambassadors, &c. He also wrote the exordium of this thesis, not, indeed, so correct as to be given by way of fragment, but so spirited in rect as to be given by way to tragine to the point of sentiment, as leaves it much to be regretted that he did not proceed to its conclusion. This fragment cannot now be found; and after so very interesting a description of its value, and of its importance, it is difficult to concoive how Mr Mason could prevail upon himself to withhold it. If there be a subject on which more, perhaps, than on any other, it would have been peculiarly to know, and to follow the train of the ideas of Gray, it is that of modern history, in which no man was more inti-mately, more accurately, or more extensively conversant than our poet. A sketch or plan from his hand, on the subjects of history, and on those which belonged to it, might have taught succeeding ages how to conduct these important researches with national advantage, and, like some wand of divination, it might have

'Pointed to beds where sovereign gold doth grow.'\*

I suspect that I could point out the place in which these precions 'folia Sibyllss' of Gray's lie interred; it would no suit be found among other Sibylline leaves of Mason, of which there are two large boxes, which he left to the care of his executors. These gentlemen, as I am informed, are so extremely careful of them, as to have intrepidly resisted the importunity of some lovers of literature, whose curiosity has been aroused by the secreted treasures. It is a misfortune which has frequently attended this stort of bequests of literary men, that they have left their manuscripts, like their household furniture; and in several cases we find that many legatees conceive that all manuscripts are either to be burnt, like obsolete receipts, or to be nailed down in a box, that they may not stir a

In a manuscript note of the times, I find that Sir Richard Baker, the author of a chronicle, formerly the most popular one, died in the Fleet; and that his son-in-law, who had all his papers, burnt them for waste paper; and he said, that 'he thought Sir Richard's life was among them?' An auto-biography of those days which

we should now highly prize.

Among these mutilators of manuscripts we cannot too strongly remonstrate with those who have the care of the works of others, and convert them into a vehicle for their works of orders, and convert them into a venicie for them swin particular purposes, even when they run directly counter to the knowledge and opinions of the original writer. Hard was the fate of honest Anthony Wood, when Dr Fell undertook to have his history of Oxford translated into Latin; the translator, a sullen dogged fellow, when he observed that Wood was enraged at seeing the perpetual alterations of his copy made to please Dr Fell, delighted to alter it the more; while the greater executioner supervising the orinted sheets, by 'con-recting, altering, or dashing out who he pleased,' compelled the writer publicly to disavow his own work! Such I have heard was the case of Bryan Edwards, who composed the first accounts of Mungo Park. Bryan Edwards, whose personal interests were opposed to the abolimment of the slave trade, would not suffer any passage to stand in which the African traveller had expressed his conviction of its inhumanity. Park, among confidential friends, frequently complained that his work did not only act contain his opinions, but was even interpolated with many which he utterly disclaimed!

Suppressed books become as rare as manuscripts. When I was employed in some researches respecting the history of the Mar-prelate faction, that ardent conspiracy against the established Hierarchy, and of which the very same is but imperfectly to be traced in our history, I dis-overed that the books and manuscripts of the Mar-pre-

\* I have seen a transcript, by the favour of a gentleman who set it to me, of Gray's directions for reading History. It had a ments at a time when our best histories had not been pub-bhed, but it is entirely superseded by the admirable 'Methods' of Leigher du Fresnoy.

lates have been too cautiously suppressed, or too completely destroyed; while those on the other side have been as carefully preserved. In our national collection, the British Museum, we find a great deal against Mar-prelate, but not Mar-prelate himself.

I have written the history of this conspiracy in the third volume of 'Quarrels of Authors.'

A lady of bas bles celebrity (the term is getting edious, particularly to our sequentes) had two friends, whom she equally admired—an elegant poet and his parodist. She had contrived to prevent their meeting as long as her stra-tagems lasted, till at length she apologized to the serious hard for inviting him when his mock usubra was to be present. present. Astonished, she perceived that both men of genius felt a mutual esteem for each other's opposite talent; the ridiculed had perceived no malignity in the playfulness of the parody, and even seemed to consider it as a compliment, aware that parodists do not waste their talent on obscure productions; while the ridiculer himself was very sensible that he was the inferior poet. The lady-critic had imagined that a parody must necessarily be malicious; and in some cases it is said those on whom the parody has been performed, have been of the same opinion.

Parody strongly resembles mimicry, a principle in human nature not so artificial as it appears: Man may be well defined a mimic animal. The African boy, who amused the whole kafe he journeyed with, by mimicing the gestures and the voice of the auctioneer who had sold him at the slave market a few days before, could have had no sense of scorn, of superiority, or of malignity; the boy experienced merely the pleasure of repeating attitudes and intonation which had so forcibly excited his interest. The numerous parodies of Hamlet's soliloquy were never made in derision of that solemn monologue, any more than the travesties of Virgil by Scarron and Cotton; their authors travesties of Vigil by Scarron and Cotton; ther authors were never so gaily mad as that. We have parodies on the Psalms by Luther; Dodsley parodied the book of Chronicles, and the scripture style was parodied by Franklin in his beautiful story of Abraham; a story he found in Jeremy Taylor, and which Taylor borrowed from the East, for it is preserved in the Persian Sadi. Not one of these writers, however, proposed to ridicule their originals; some ingenuity in the application was all that they intended. The lady critic alluded to had suffered by a panic, in imagining that a parody was necessarily a cor-rosive satire. Had she indeed proceeded one step further, and asserted that parodies might be classed among the most malicious inventions of literature, when they are such as Colman and Lloyd made on Gray, in their odes to 'Oblivion and Obscurity,' her reading possibly might have supplied the materials of the present research.

Parodies were frequently practised by the ancients, and

with them, like ourselves, consisted of a work grafted on another work, but which turned on a different subject by a slight change of the expressions. It might be a sport of fancy, the innocent child of mirth; or a satirical arrow drawn from the quiver of caustic criticism; or it was that malignant art which only studies to make the original of the parody, however beautiful, contemptible and ridiculous. Human nature thus enters into the composition of parodies, and their variable character originates in the purpose

of their application.

There is in 'the million' a natural taste for farce after tragedy, and they gladly relieve themselves by mitigating the solemn seriousness of the tragic drama; for they find, that it is but a step from the sublime to the ridiculous.

The taste for parody, will, I fear, always prevail; for whatever tends to ridicule a work of genius, is usually very agreeable to a great number of contemporaries. In the history of parodies, some of the learned have noticed a supposititious circumstance, which however may have happened, for it is a very natural one. When the rhapsodists, who strolled from town to town to chant different fragments of the poems of Homer, had recited, they were immediately followed by another set of strollers-buffoons, who made the same audience merry by the burlesque turn which they gave to the solemn strains which had just se deeply engaged their attention. It is supposed that we have one of these travesties of the Iliad in one Sotades, who succeeded by only changing the measure of the verses without altering the words, which entirely disguised the Homeric character; fragments of which, scattered in.

Dionysius Halicarnassensis, I leave to the curosity of the carned Grecian.\* Homer's battle of the frogs and mice, a barned critic, the elder Heinsius, asserts, was not written It is evidently by the poet, but is a parody on the poem. by the poet, but was parcoy on the poem. It is evidently as good humoured an one as any in the 'Rejected Addresses.' And it was because Homer was the most popular poet, that he was most susceptible of the playful honours of the parodist; unless the prototype is familiar to ours or the parcoist; unless the prototype is raminar to us, a parody is nothing! Of these parodists of Homer we may regret the loss of one, Timon of Philius, whose paro-dies were termed Silli, from Silenus being their chief personage; he levelled them at the sophistical philosophers personage; he levelled them at the sophistical philosophists of his age; his invocation is grafted on the opening of the fliad, to recount the evil doings of those babblers, whom he compares to the bags in which Æolus deposited all his winds; balloons inflated with empty ideas! We should winds; balloons inflated with empty ideas! We should like to have appropriated some of these silli, or parodies of Timoc the Sillograph, which, however, seem to have been at times calumnious.† Shenstone's 'School Mistress,' and some few other ludicrous poems, derive much of their merit from parody.

This taste for parodies was very prevalent with the Grecians, and is a species of humour which perhaps has been too rarely practised by the moderns : Cervantes has some passages of this nature in his parodies of the old chivalric romances; Fielding in some parts of his Tom Jones and Joseph Andrews, in his buriesque poetical de-scriptions; and Swift in his 'Battle of Books,' and 'Tale of a Tub;' but few writers have equalled the delicacy and felicity of Pope's parodies in the 'Rape of the Lock.' Such

parodies give refinement to burlesque.

The ancients made a liberal use of it in their satirical comedy, and sometimes carried it on through an entire work, as in the Menippean satire, Seneca's mock Eloge of Claudius, and Lucian in his Dialogues. There are parodies even in Plato; and an anecdotical one recorded of this philosopher shows them in their most simple state. Dissatisfied with his own poetical essays, he threw them into the flames; that is, the sage resolved to sacrifice his into the names; that is, increase resolved to sectince his verses to the god of fire; and in repeating that line in Homer where Thetis addresses Vulcan to implore his aid, the application became a parody, although it required no other change than the insertion of the philosopher's name instead of the goddess's :

'Vulcan, arise! 'tis Plato claims thy aid!' Boileau affords a happy instance of this simple parody.-Corneille, in his Cid, makes one of his personages remark.

Pour grands que soient les rois ils sont ce que nous sommes, is peuvent se tromper comme les autres hommes.

A slight alteration became a fine parody in Boileau's Chapelain decoiffé,

· Pour grands que soient les rois ils sont ce que nous sommes, lis se trompent en vers comme les autres hommes.

We find in the Atheneus the name of the inventor of a species of parody which more immeditately engages our notice-DRAMATIC PARODIES. It appears this inventor was a satirist, so that the lady critic, whose opinion we had the honour of noticing, would be warranted by appealing to its origin to determine the nature of the thing. A dramatic parody, which produced the greatest effect, was 'the Gigantomachia,' as appears by the only circumstance known of it. Never laughed the Athenians so heartily as at its representation, for the fatal news of the deplorable state to which the affairs of the republic were reduced in Sicily arrived at its first representation-and the Athenians continued laughing to the end! as the mo-dern Athenians, the volatile Parisians, might in their national concern of an opera comique. It was the busi-ness of the dramatic parody to turn the solemn tragedy,

\* Henry Stephens appears first to have started this subject of parody; his researches have been borrowed by the Abbé Sallier, to whom, in my turn, I am occasionally indebted. His little dissertation is in the French Academy's Memoires, Tome

## 1898.

# See a specimen in Aulus Gellius, where this parodist reproaches Plato for having given a high price for a book, whence he drew his noble dialogue of the Timmus. Lib.

he savons pas precisement en quel tems il a été cemposé ; no more truly than the Iliad itself!

which the audience had just seen exhibited, into a farcica. comedy; the same actors who had appeared in magnificent dresses, now returned on the stage in grotesque babiloresees, now returned on the stage at grocesque manners, with odd postures and gestures, while the story, though the same, was incongruous and ludicrous. The Cyclops of Euripides is probably the only remaining specimen; for this may be considered as a parody of the minth book of the Odyssey—the adventures of Ulysses in the cave of Polyphemus, where Silenus and a chorus of satyrs are farcically introduced, to contrast with the grave narrative of Homer, of the shifts and escape of the cunning man 'from the one eyed ogre.' The jokes are too coarse for the French taste of Brumoy, who, in his translation, goes on with a critical growl and foolish apology for Euripides having written a farce; Brunsoy, like Pistol, is forced to eat his onion, but with a worse grace, swallowing and execrating to the end.

In dramatic composition, Aristophanes is perpetually hooking in parodies of Euripides, whom of all pnets he hated, as well as of Esschylus, Sophoeles, and other tragic bards. Since that Grecian wit, at length, has found a translator saturated with his genius, and an interpreter as philosophical, the subject of Grecian parody will probably be re-flected in a clearer light from his researches.

Dramatic parodies in modern literature were introduced by our vivacious neighbours, and may be said to constitute a class of literary satires peculiar to the French nation. What had occurred in Greece a similar gaiety of nationa. genius inconsciously reproduced. The dramatic paro-dies in our own literature, as in 'The Rehearsal,' 'Tom Thumb,' and 'the Critic,' however exquisite, are confined to particular passages, and are not grafted on a whole original; we have neither naturalized the dramatic poetry into a species, nor dedicated it to the honours of a separate

This peculiar dramatic satire, a burlesque of an entire tragedy, the volatile genius of the Parisians accomplished. Whenever a new tragedy, which still continues the favour-ite species of drama with the French, attracted the notice of the town, shortly after uprose its parody at the Italian theatre, so that both pieces may have been performed in immediate succession in the same evening. A French tragedy is most susceptible of this sort of ridicule, by applying its declamatory style, its exaggerated semiiments, and its romantic out-of-the-way nature to the commonplace incidents and persons of domestic life; out of the stuff of which they made their emperors, their heroes, and their princesses, they cut out a pompeus country justice, a hectoring tailor, or an impudent manua-maker; but it was not merely this travesty of great personages, nor the lofty effusions of one in a lowly station, which terminated the object of parody; it was designed for a higher object, that of more obviously exposing the original for any absurdity in its scenes, or in its catastrophe, and dissecting its faulty characters; in a word, weighing in the critical scales, the nonsense of the poet. It sometimes became a refined nonsense of the public, whose discernment is often blinded by party or prejudice: But it was, too, a severe touch-stone for genius: Racine, some say, smiled, others say he did not, when he witnessed Harlequin, in the language of Titus to Berenice, declaiming on some ludicrous affair to Columbine; La Motte was very sore, and Voltaire, and others, shrunk away with a cry-from a parody! Voltairs was angry when he witnessed his Marianne parodied by La mauvais Menage; or Bad Housekeeping, the aged, jealous Herod was turned into an old cross country justice; Varus, bewitched by Mariamne, strutted a dragoon; and the whole establishment showed it was under very bad management. Fuzelier collected some of these parodics.\* and not unskilfully defends their nature and their object against the protest of La Motte, whose tragedies had severely suffered from these burlesques. His celebrated domestic tragedy of Inez de Castro, the fable of which turns on a concealed and clandestine marriage, produced one of the happiest parodies in *Agnes de Chaillot*. In the parody, the cause of the mysterious obstinacy of Pierrot the son, in persisting to refuse the hand of the daughter of his mother in-law Madame le Besilve, is thus discovered by her to Monsieur le Baillif:

'Mon mari, pour le coup j'si decouvert l'affaire, Ne vous étonnes plus qu'a nos desirs contraire.

<sup>\*</sup> Les Parodies du Nouveau Theatre Italien 4 vol. 1788. Ob prvations sur la Comedie et sur le Genie de Moliere, par Leui Riccoboni. Liv. iv. Digitized by GOOGIC

Pour ma Ale, Pierrot, ne montre que mepris:
Violà l'uni que objet dont son cœur est epris.

[Pointing to Agnes de Chaillet.

The Bailiff exclaims,

### 'Ma servante ?

This single word was the most lively and fatal criticism of the tragic action of Inez de Castro, which, according to the conventional decorum and fastidious code of French criticism, grossly violated the majesty of Melpomene, by criticism, grossiy stotaled use majorsy of accupances of giving a motive and an object so totally undignified to the tragic tale. In the parody there was something ludicrous when the secret came out which explained poor Pierrot's long-concealed perplexities, in the maid-servant bringing forwards a whole legitimate family of her own! La Motte was also galled by a projected parody of his 'Machabeas'
—where the hasty marriage of the young Machabeus, and
the sudden conversion of the amorous Antigone, who, for her first penitential act, persuades a youth to marry her, without first deigning to consult her respectable mother, would have produced an excellent scene for the parody. But La Motte prefixed an angry preface to his lnez de Castre; he inveighs against all parodies, which he asserts to be merely a Fronch fashion, (we have seen, however, that it was a factorial) that it is not considered. that it was once Grecian) the offspring of a dangerous spirit of ridicule, and the malicious amusements of super-scal minds—"Were this true," retorts Fuzelier, "we ought to detest parodies; but we maintain, that far from converting virtue into a paradox, and degrading truth by ridicule, PARODY will only strike at what is chimerical and faise; it is not a piece of buffoonery so much as a critical exposition. What do we parody but the absurdities of dramatic writers, who frequently make their heroes act against nature, common sense and truth? After all, he ingeniously adds, 'it is the public, not we, who are the authors of these PARODIES; for they are usually but the among or these PARODIAE, for they are design, but the chose of the pit, and we parodists, have only to give a dramatic form to the opinions and observations we hear. Many tragedies, Fuzelier, with admirable truth, observed, the contract and a party of th 'disguise vices into virtues, and PARODIES unmask them.'
We have ad tragedies recently which very much required parodies to expose them, and to shame our inconsiderate audiences, who patronized these monsters of false passions. The rants and bombast of some of these might have produced, with little or no alteration of the inflated originals, 'A Modern Rehearsal,' or a new 'Tragedy for Warm Weather.'

Of parodies, we may safely approve of their legitimate use, and even indulge their agreeable maliciousness; while we must still dread that extraordinary facility to which the public, or rather human nature, are so prone, as sometimes to laugh at what at another time they would

Tragedy is rendered comic or burlesque by altering the station and manners of the persons; and the reverse may occur, of raising what is comic and burlesque into tragedy. On so little depends the sublime or the ridiculous! Beatile says, In most human characters there are blemishes, moral, intellectual, or corporeal; by exaggerating which, to a certain degree, you may form a comic character; as by raising the virtues, abilities, or external advantages of individuals, you form epic or tragic characters?\* a subject humorously touched on by Lloyd, in the prologue to 'tho Jeslous Wife.

'Quarreis, upbraidings, jealousies, and spleen, Crow too familiar in the comic scene; Tinge but the language with heroic chime, 'Tis passion, pathos, character sublime. What big round words had swell'd the pompous scene, A king the husband, and the wife a queen.'

### ANECDOTES OF THE FAIRFAX FAMILY.

Will a mind of great capacity be reduced to mediocrity by the ill-choice of a profession?

Parents are interested in the metaphysical discussion, whether there really exists an inherent quality in the human intellect which imparts to the individual an aptitude for one pursuit more than for another. What Lord Shaftesbury calls not innate, but connatural qualities of the human character, were, during the latter part of the last century, entirely rejected; but of late there appears a tendency torsturn to the notion which is consecrated by antiquity. The em 'pre-disposition' may be objectionable, as are all terms

\* Beestie on Poetry and Music, p. 1.

which pretend to describe the occult operations of Nature—and at present we have no other!

Our children pass through the same public education, while they are receiving little or none for their individual dispositions, should they have sufficient strength of character to indicate any. The great secret of education is to develop the faculties of the individual; for it may happen that his real talents may lie hidden and buried under his education. A profession is usually adventitious, made by chance views, or by family arrangements. Should a choice be submitted to the youth himself, he will often mistake slight and transient tastes for permanent dispositions. A decided character, however, we may often observe, is repugnant, to a particular pursuit, delighting in another; talents, languid and vacillating in one profession, we might find vigorous and settled in another; an indifferent lawyer might be an admirable architect! At present all lawyer might be an admirable architect! At present all our human bullion is sent to be melted down in an university, to come out, as if thrown into a burning mould, a bright physician, a bright lawyer, a bright divine—in other words, to adapt themselves for a profession, preconcerted by their parents. By this means we may secure a titular profession for our son, but the true genius of the avocation in the bent of the mind, as a man'rof great original powers called it, is too often absent! Instead of finding fit offices for fit men, we are perpetually discovering, on the stage of society, actors out of character! Our most popular writer has happily described this error.

has happily described this error.

A laughing philosopher, the Democritus of our day, once compared human life to a table pierced with a number of holes, each of which has a pin made exactly to fit it, but which pins being stuck in hastily, and without selection, chance leads inevitably to the most awkward mistakes. For how often do we see, the orator pathetically concluded,—how often, I say, do we see the round man stuck into the three-cornered hole!

In looking over a manuscript life of Tobie Matthews, archbishop of York in James the First's reign, I found a curious anecdote of his grace's disappointment in the dispositions of his sons. The cause, indeed, is not uncommon, as was confirmed by another great man, to whom the archbishop confessed it. The old Lord Thowhom the archbishop contessed it. Ine oid Loru Ino-mas Fairfax one day found the archbishop, very me-lancholy, and inquired the reason of his grace's pensive-ness: 'My lord,' said the archbishop, 'I have great reason of sorrow with respect of my sons; one of whom has wit and no grace, another grace but no wit, and the third neither grace nor wit. 'Your case,' replied Lord Fairfax, is not singular. I am also sadly disappointed in my sons: one I sent into the Netherlands to train him up a soldier, and he makes a tolerable country justice, but a mere coward at fighting; my next I sent to Cambridge, and he proves a good lawyer, but a mere dunce at divinity; and my youngest I sent to the inns of court, and he is good at divinity, but nebody at the law. The relat-er of this anecdote adds, This I have often heard from the descendant of that honourable family, who yet seems to mince the matter because so immediately related.' The eldest son was the Lord Ferdinando Fairfax—and the edeest son was the Lord Ferdinando Fairiax—and gunsmith to Thomas Lord Fairiax the son of this Lord Ferdinando, heard the old Lord Thomas call aloud to his grandson, 'Tom! Tom! mind thou the battle! Thy father's a good man, but mere coward! all the good I expect is from thee!' It is evident that the old Lord Thomas Fairiax was a military character, and in his carnest desire of continuing a line of heroes, had preconcerted to make his eldest son a military man, who we discover turned out to be admirably fitted for a worshipful justice of the quorum.
This is a lesson for the parent who consults his own inclinations and not those of natural disposition. In the present case the same lord, though disappointed, appears still to have persisted in the same wish of having a great military character in his family: having missed one in his elder son, and settled his other sons in different avocations, the grandfather persevered, and fixed his hopes, and be-stowed his encouragements, on his grandson Sir Thomas Fairfax, who makes so distinguished a figure in the civil

The difficulty of discerning the aptitude of a youth for any particular destination in life will, perhaps, even for the most skilful parent, be always hazardous. Many will be inclined, in despair of any thing better, to throw dice with fortune; or adopt the determination of the father who settled his sons by a whimsical analogy which he appears to have formed of their dispositions or apteess, for different

The boys were standing under a hedge in the rain, and a neighbour reported to the father the conversation he had overheard. John wished it would rain books, for he wished to be a preacher; Bezaleel, wool, to be a clothier, like his father; Samuel, money, to be a merchant; and Edmund, plums, to be a grocer. The father took these wishes as a hint, and we are told in the life of John Angier the elder son, a puritan minister, that he chose for them these different callings, in which it appears that they settled successfully. 'Whatever a young man at first applies himself to is commonly his delight afterwards.' This is an important principle discovered by Hartley, but it will not supply the parent with any determined regulation how not supply the parent with any determined regulation to distinguish a transient from a permanent disposition; or how to get at what we may call the connatural qualities of the mind. A particular opportunity afforded me some close observation on the characters and habits of two youths, brothers in blood and affection, and partners in all things, who even to their very dress shared alike; who were never separated from each other; who were taught by the same masters, lived under the same roof, and were accustomed to the same uninterrupted habits; yet had nature created them totally distinct in the qualities of their minds; and similar as their lives had been, their abilities were adaptas the set of the set ed by labour; the other impatient of whatever did not relate to his own pursuit: the one logical, historical, and critical; the other having acquired nothing, decided on all things by his own sensations. We would confidently consult in the one a great legal character, and in the other an ariist of genius. If nature had not secretly placed a bias in their distinct minds, how could two similar beings have been so dissimilar?

A story recorded of Cecco d'Ascoli and of Dante, on the subject of natural and acquired genius, may illustrate the present topic. Gecco maintained that nature was more potent than art, while Dante asserted the contrary. To prove his principle, the great Italian bard referred to his cat, which, by repeated practice, he had taught to hold a candle in its paw while he supped or read. Cecco desired to witness the experiment, and came not unprepared for his purpose; when Dante's cat was performing its part, Cecco, lifting up the lid of a pot which he had filled with mice, the greature of art instantly showed the weakness of a talent merely acquired, and dropping the candle, flew on the mice with all its instinctive propensity. Dante was himself disconcerted; and it was adjudged that the advocate for the occult principle of native faculties had gained his cause!

To tell stories, however, is not to lay down principles, yet principles may sometimes be concealed in stories.\*

### MEDICINE AND MORALS.

A stroke of personal ridicule is levelled at Dryden when Bayes informs us of his preparations for a course of study by a course of medicine! 'When I have a grand design,' says he, 'I ever take physic and let blood; for when you would have pure swiftness of thought, and fiery flights of fancy, you must have a care of the pensive part; in fine, you must purge the belly!' Such was really the practice of the poet, as La Motte, who was a physician, informs us, and in his medical character did not perceive that ridicule in the subject which the wits and most readers unquestionably have enjoyed. The wits here were as cruel against truth as against Dryden; for we must still consider this practice, to use their own words, as 'an excellent recipe for writing.' Among other philosophers, one of the most famous disputants of antiquity, Carneades, was accustomed to take copious doses of white hellebure, a great aperient, as a preparation to refute the dogmas of the stoics. Dryden's practice was neither whimsical nor peculiar to the poet; he was of a full habit, and, no doubt, had often found by experience the beneficial effects without being aware of the cause, which is nothing less than the reciprocal influence of mind and body.

This simple fact is, indeed, connected with one of the

\* I have arranged many facts, connected with the present subject, in the fifth chapter of what I have written on 'The Sherary Character' in the third edition, 1822.

most important inquiries in the history of man: the law which regulate the invisible union of the soul with the body; in a word, the inscrutable mystery of our being!—a seret, but an undoubted intercourse, which probably must ere clude our perceptions. The combination of metaphysis with physics has only been productive of the wides large tales among philosophers: with one party the soul seres to pass away in its last puff of air, while man seems to perish in 'dust to dust;' the other as successfully gets not our bodies altogether, by denying the existence of matter are detinct existences, since the one may be only a modificates of the other; however this great mystery be imagined, we shall find with Dr Gregory, in his lectures 'on the duties and qualifications of a physician,' that it form an equally necessary inquiry in the sciences of more and modificate.

Whether we consider the vulgar distinction of mad and body as an union, or as a modified existence, no philospher denies that a reciprocal action takes place between our moral and physical condition. Of these sympathes, like many other mysteries of nature, the cause resuas occult, while the effects are obvious. This close yet a-scrutable association, this concealed correspondence of parts seemingly unconnected, in a word, this recurocal influence of the mind and the body, has long fixed the se tention of medical and metaphysical inquirers; the one having the care of our exterior organization, the other that of the interior. Can we conceive the mysterious master tant as forming a part of its own habitation? and the house are so inseparable, that in striking at any part of the building, you inevitably reach the dweltr. If the mind is disordered, we may often look for its seat a some corporeal derangement. Often are our thoughts deturbed by a strange irritability, which we do not even pro-tend to account for. This state of the body, called the fidgets, is a disorder to which the ladies are particularly liable. A physician of my acquaintance was carnesty liable. A physician or my acquaminance was centreated by a female patient to give a name to be use known complaints; this he found no difficulty add, as he is a steady asserter of the materiality of our nature; he declared that her disorder was atmospherical. It was the disorder of her frame under damp weather, which was reacting on her mind; and physical means, by operating on her body, might be applied to restore her to her had lost senses. Our imagination is highest when our stomach is not overloaded; in spring than in winter; in solution than amidst company; and in an obscured light than a the blaze and heat of the noon. In all these cases the body is evidently acted on, and re-acts on the mind. Some times our dreams present us with images of our resistences, till we recollect that the seat of our brain may perhaps lie in our stomach, rather than on the pineal gland of Dre cartes; and that the most artificial logic to make us some what reasonable, may be swallowed with 'the blue pub. Our domestic happiness often depends on the state of us biliary and digestive organs, and the little disturbances of conjugal life may be more efficaciously cured by the Pr sician than by the moralist; for a sermon misapplied wil never act so directly as a sharp medicine. The learned Gaubius, an eminent professor of medicine at Leyden, who called himself 'professor of the passions,' gives the case of a lady of too inflammable a constitution, whom be busband, unknown to herself, had gradually reduced to a model of decorum by phiebotomy. Her complexion, to deed, lost the roses, which some, perhaps, had too wants. ly admired for the repose of her conjugal physician.

The art of curing moral disorders by coporeal mean

The art of curing moral disorders by coporeal sease has not yet been brought into general practice, although it is probable that some quiet sages of medicine nave nade use of it on some occasions. The Leyden professor we have just alluded to, delivered at the university a discourse on the management and cure of the disorders of the mind by application to the body. Descartes conjectered, that as the mind seems so dependent on the disposition of the bodily organs, if any means can be found to review men wiser and more ingenious than they have been subsetting, such a method might be sought from the assistance of medicine. The science of morals and of medicine will therefore be found to have a more intimate converse than has been suspected. Plate thought that a man mothave natural dispositions towards virtue to become introdus; that it cannot be educated—you cannot make a led man a good man; which he ascribes to the evil dispositions of the body, as well as to a bad-educationa.

Digitized by GOOGLE

There are unquestionably, constitutional moral disorders; some good tempered but passionate persons have acknowledged, that they cannot avoid those temporary fits to which they are liable, and which, they say, they always suffered 'from a child.' If they arise from too great a fulness of blood, is it not cruel to upbraid rather than to cure them, which might easily be done by taking area their redundant humours, and thus quieting the away their redundant humours, and thus quieting the most passionate man alive? A moral patient, who allows his brain to be disordered by the fumes of liquor, instead of being suffered to be a ridiculous being, might have spates prescribed; for in laying him asleep as soon as possible, you remove the cause of his sudden madness. There are crimes for which men are hanged, but of which they might easily have been cured by physical means.
Persons out of their senses with love, by throwing themselves into a river, and being drayged out nearly lifeless, have recovered their senses, and lost their bewildering passion. Submersion was discovered to be a cure for some mental disorders, by altering the state of the body, as Van Helmont notices, 'was happily practised in England.' Helmont notices," was happily practised in England. With the circumstance this sages of chemistry alludes to

am unacquainted; but this extraordinary practice was certainly known to the Italians; for in one of the tales of Pozgo we find a mad doctor of Milan, who was celebrated for curing lunatics and demoniacs in a certain time. His practice consisted in placing them in a great high walled court yard, in the midst of which there was a deep well full of water, cold as ice. When a demoniac was brought na of water, coad as ice. If you have a common was brought to this physician, he had the patient bound to a pillar in to the well, till the water ascended to the knees, or higher, and even to the neck, as he deemed their malady required. In their bodily pain they appear to have forgot their melancholy; thus by the terrors of the repetition of cold water, a man appears to have been frightened into his senses! A physician has informed me of a remarkable case: a lady with a disordered mind, resolved on death, case: a lady with a disordered mind, resolved on death, and wallowed much more than half a pint of laudanum; she closed her curtains in the evening, took a farewell of her attendants, and flattered herself she should never awaken from her sleep. In the morning, however, notwithstanding this incredible dose, she awoke in the agonies of death. By the usual means she was enabled to get nd of the poison she had so largely taken, and not only recovered her life, but what is more extraordinary, her perfect senses! The physician conjectures that it was the influence of her disordered mind over her body which prevented this rast quantity of laudanum from its usual action by terminating in death.

Moral vices or infirmities, which originate in the state of the body, may be cured by topical applications. Pre-cepts and ethics in such cases, if they seem to produce a momentary cure, have only mowed the weeds, whose that we can eradicate these evils. The senses are five porches for the physician to enter into the mind, to keep it in repair. By altering the state of the body, we are changing that of the mind, whenever the defects of the mind depend on those of the organization. The mind, or soul, however distinct its being from the body, is disturbed or excited, independent of its volition, by the mechanical impulses of the body. A man becomes stupified when the circulation of the blood is impeded in the viscers; he acts more from instinct than reflection; the nervous fibres are too relaxed or too tense, and he finds a difficulty in moving them; if you heighten his sensations, you awaken new ideas in this stupid being; and as we cure the stupid sew scens in this supple being; and as we can me supple by increasing his sensibility, we may believe that a more tracious facey may be promised to those who possess one, when the mind and the body play together in one harmonious accord. Prescribe the bath, frictions, and fomentations, and though it seems a round about way, you get at the brains by his feet. A literary man, from long sedentary habits, could not ovorcome his fits of melanchely, till his physician doubled his daily quantity of wine; and the learned Henry Stophens, after a severe ague, had such a disgust of books, the most beloved objects of his whole life, that the very thought of them excited terror for a considerable time. It is evident that the state of the body often indicates that of the mind. Insanity itself often results from some disorder in the human machine.
What is this mind, of which men appear so vain? exclaims Plechier. 'If considered according to its nature, tis a fire which sickness and an accident most sensibly puts out; it is a delicate temperament, which soon grows

disordered; a happy conformation of organs, which wear out; a combination and a certain motion of the spirits which exhaust themselves; it is the most lively and the most subtile part of the soul, which seems to grow old with the body.

It is not wonderful that some have attributed such virtues to their system of die, if it has been found productive of certain effects on the human body. Cornaro perhaps imagined more than he experienced; but Apollonius Ty-aneus, when he had the credit of holding an intercourse with the devil, by his presumed gift of prophecy, defended himself from the accusation of attributing his clear and prescient views of things to the light aliments he lived on, never indulging in a variety of food. 'This mode of life has produced such a perspicuity in my ideas, that I see as in a glass things past and future. We may, therefore, agree with Bayes, that 'for a sonnet to Amanda, and the like, stewed prunes only' might be sufficient; but for 'a grand design,' nothing less than a more formal and formida-ble dose.

Camus, a French physician, who combined literature with science, the author of 'Abdeker, or the Art of Cosmetics which he discovered in exercise and temperance, produced another fanciful work, written in 1753, 'La Mode-cine de l'Esprit.' Hisconjectural cases are at least as numorops as his more positive facts; for he is not wanting in imagination. He assures us, that having reflected on the physical causes, which, by differently modifying the body, varied also, the dispositions of the mind, he was convinced that by employing these different causes, or by imitating their powemploying these cultered causes, or of mintaing inter powers by art, we might by means purely mechanical affect the human mind, and correct the infirmities of the understanding and the will. He considered this principle only as the aurora of a brighter day. The great difficulty to overcome was to find out a method to root out the defects, or the diseases of the soul, in the same manner as phyacians cure a fluxion from the lungs, a dysentery, a dropsy and all other infirmities, which seem only to attack the body. This indeed, he says, is enlarging the domain of medicine, by showing how the functions of the intellect and the springs of volition are mechanical. The movements and passions of the soul, formerly restricted to abstract reasonings, are by this system reduced to simple ideas. Insisting that material causes force the soul and body to act together, the defects of the intellectual operations depend on those of the organization, which may be altered or destroyed by physical causes; and he properly adds, that we are to consider that the soul is material, while exis the theory of 'La Medecine de l'Esprit,' which, though physicians will never quote, may perhaps contain some facts worth their attention.

Camus's two little volumes seem to have been preceded by a medical discourse delivered in the academy of Dijon in 1748, where the moralist compares the infirmities and vices of the mind to parallel diseases of the body. We may safely consider some infirmities and passions of the mind as diseases, and could they be treated as we do the bodily ones, to which they bear an affinity, this would be the great triumph of 'morals and medicine.' The passion of avarice resembles the thirst of dropsical patients; that of envy is a slow-wasting fever; love is often frenzy, and capricious and sudden restlessness, epileptic fits. are moral disorders which at times spread like epiderzical maladies through towns and countries, and even nations. There are hereditary vices and infirmities transmitted from the parent's mind as there are unquestionably such diseases of the body: the son of a father of a hot and irritable temperament inherits the same quickness and warmth; a daughter is often a counterpart of her mother. Morality, could it be treated medicinally, would require its prescriptions, as all diseases have their specific remedies; the great secret is perhaps discovered by Camus—that of eperating

on the mind by means of the hady.

A recent writer seems to have been struck by these curious analogies. Mr. Haslam, in his work on 'Sound Mind,' says, p. 90, 'There seems to be a considerable similarity between the morbid state of the instruments of voluntary motion (that is the body,) and certain affections of the mental powers, that is, the mind. Thus, paralysis has its counterpart in the defects of recollection, where the utmost endeavour to remember is ineffectually exerted. Tremor may be compared with incapability of fixing the attention, and this involuntary state of macles ordinarily subjected to the will, also finds a parallel where the mind 32 loses its influence in the train of thought, and becomes subject to spontaneous intrusions; as may be exemplified in reveries, dreaming, and some species of madness.

fied in reveries, dreaming, and some species of madness.

Thus one philosopher discovers the analogies of the mind with the body, and another of the body with the mind. Can we now hesitate to believe that such analogies exist and advancing one step farther, trace in this reciprocal influence that a part of the soul is the body, as the body becomes a part of the soul? The most important truth remains undivulged, and ever will in this mental pharmacy; but none is more clear than that which led to the view of this subject, that in this mutual intercourse of body and mind the superior is often governed by the inferior; others think the mind is more wilfully outrageous than the body. Plutarch, in his essays, has a familiar illustration, which he borrows from some philosopher more ancient than him-self: 'Should the Body sue the Mind before a court of judicature for damages, it would be found that the Mind would prove to have been a ruinous tenant to its landlord." The sage of Cheronsea did not foresee the hint of Descartes and the discovery of Camus, that by medicine we may alleviate or remove the diseases of the mind; a practice which indeed has not yet been pursued by physicians, though the moralists have been often struck by the close analogies of the Mind with the Body! A work by the carned Dom Pernetty, La connoissance de l'homme moral par celle de l'homme physique, we are told is more fortunate in its title than its execution; probably it is one of the many attempts to develop this imperfect and obscured truth, which hereafter may become more obvious and be univer-sally comprehended.

#### FSALM-SINGING.

The history of Psalm singing is a portion of the history of the reformation; of that great religious revolution which separated for ever, into two unequal divisions, the great establishment of Christianity. It has not, perhaps, been remarked, that Psalm singing, or metrical Psalms, degenerated into those scandalous compositions which, under the abused title of hymns, are now used by some sects.\* These are evidently the last disorders of that system of Psalm singing which made some religious persons early oppose its practice. Even Sternhold and Hopkins, our first Psalm enditors, says honest Fuller, found their work afterwards met with some frowns in the faces of great clergymen.' To this day these opinions are not adjusted. Archishop Secker observes, 'that though the first christians (from this passage in James v. 13, "Is any merry? let him sing Psalms!") made singing a constant part of their worship, and the whole congregation joined in it; yet afterwards the singers by profession, who had been prudently appointed to lead and direct them by degrees usurped the whole performance. But at the Reformation the people were restored to their rights, that a mixed assembly roaring out confused tunes, nasal, guttural, and sibilant, was a more orderly government of Psalmody than when the executive power was consigned to the voices of those whom the archbishop had justly described as having been first prudently appointed to lead and direct them; and who by their subsequent proceedings, evidently discovered, what they might have safely conjectured, that such an universal suffrage, where every man was to have a voice, must necessarily end in clatter and choos!

Thomas Warton, however, regards the metrical Psalms of Sternhold as a puritanic invention, and asserts, that notwithstanding it is said in their title page that, they are 'set forth and allowed to be sung in all churches,' they were never admitted by lawful authority. They were first introduced by the Puritans, and afterwards continued by connivance. As a true poetical antiquary, Thomas Warton condemns any modernization of the venerable text of the old Sternhold and Hopkins, which, by changing obsolete for familiar words, destroys the texture of the original

\* It would be polluting these pages with ribaldry, obscenity, and blasphemy, were I to give specimens of some hymns of the Moravians and the Methodists, and some of the still lower

† Mr Hamper, of Birmingham, has obligingly supplied me with a rare tract, entitled 'Singing of Paslmes, vindicated from the charge of Novelty, in answer to Dr Russell, Mr. Marlow, &c. 1898. It furnishes numerous authorities to show that it was gractised by the primitive Christians on almost every occasion. shall shortly quote a remarkable passage.

style; and many stanzas, already too maked and weak like a plain old Gothic edifice stripped of its few signatures of antiquity, have lost that little and almost only strength and support which they derived from ancient phrases. 'Sach alterations, even if executed with prudence and judgment, only corrupt what they endeavour to explain; and ethibit, a motly performance, belonging to no character of writag, and which contain more improprieties than those which it professes to remove. This forcible criticism is worthy of our poetical antiquary; the same feeling was experienced by Pasquier, when Marot; in his Refacciments of the Roman de la Rose, left some of the obsolete phrases, while he got rid of others; exte bigarrare de language view et moderne, was with him writing no language at all. The same circumstance occurred abroad when they resolved to the Psalms, which we are about to notice. It produced the same controversy and the same dissatisfaction. The church of Geneva adopted an improved version, but the charm of the old one was wanting.

To trace the history of modern metrical Psalmody, we must have recourse to Bayle, who, as a mere literary historian, has accidentally preserved it. The inventor was a celebrated French poet; and the invention, though perhaps in its very origin inclining towards the abuse to which it was afterwards carried, was unexpectedly adopted by the austere Calvin, and introduced into the Genera discipline. It is indeed strange, that while he was stripping religion not merely of its pageantry, but even of its decert ceremonies, that this levelling reformer should have introduced this tasts for singing Psalms in opposition to reading Psalms. 'On a parallel principle,' says Thomas Warton, 'and if any artificial aids to devotion were to be allowed, he might at least have retained the use of pictures in the church.' But it was decreed that statues should be mutilated of 'their fair proportions,'and painted glass be dashed into pieces while the congregation were to sing! Calvin sought for proselytes among 'the rabble of a republic, who can have no relish for the more elegant externals.' But to have made men sing in concert, in the streets, or at ther work, and merry or sad, on all occasions to tickle the ear with rhymes and touch the heart with emotion, was betraying no deficient knowledge of human nature.

It seems, however that this project was adopted accidentally, and was certainly promoted by the fine natural genius of Clement Marot, the favoured bard of France:

It seems, however that this project was adopted accidentally, and was certainly promoted by the fine natural genius of Clement Marot, the favoured bard of Francis the First, that 'Prince of Poets, and that Poet of Princes, as he was quaintly but expressively dignified by his contemporaries. Marot is still an inimitable and true poet, for he has written in a manner of his own with such marked felicity, that he has left his name to a style of poerry called Maritogue. The original La Fontaine is his imitator. Marot delighted in the very forms of poetry, as well as its subjects and its manner. His life, indeed, took more shapes, and indulged in more poetical licenses, than erea his poetry: licentious in morals; often in prison, or at court, or in the army, or a fugitive, he has left in his nomerous little poems many a curious record of his variegated existence. He was indeed very far from being devoit, when his friend the learned Vatable, the Hebrew professor, probably to reclaim a perpetual sinner from profine rhymes, as Marot was suspected of heresy, confession and meagre days being his abhorence! suggested the new project of translating the Psalms into French news, and no doubt assisted the bard; for they are said to, 'traduitz es rithme Français selon la verité Hebraique.' The famous Theodore Beza was also his friend and prompter, and afterwards his continuator. Marot published fifty-two Psalms, written in a variety of measures, with the sams style he had done his ballads and rondeaux. He dedicated to the king of France, comparing him with the royal Hebrew, and with a French compliment!

Dieu le donne aux peuples Hebraiques Dieu te devoit, ce pense-je, aux Galliques.

He insinuates that in his version he had received assis-

Qui ont sous toy Hebrieu langage apris, Nous sont jeuës les Pseaumes en lumiere Clairs, et au sons de la forme premiere.

This royal dedication is more solemn than usual; yet Marot, who was never grave but in prison, soon recovered from this dedication to the king for on turning the less we

Digitized by Google

find another, 'Aux Dames de France!' Warton says of Marot, that 'He seems anxious to deprecate the raillery which the new tone of his versification was likely to incur, and is embarrassed to find an apology for turning saint.' His embarrassments however, terminate in a highly poetical fancy. When will the golden age be restored, exclaims this lady's Psalmists,

'Quand n'aurons plus de cours ne lieu Les chansons de ce patit Dieu . A qui les peintres font des alsies ? O vous dames et demoiselles Que Dieu fait pour estre son temple Et fairea, sous mauvais exemple Retendir et chambres et sales, De chansons mondaines ou sales,' &c.

Knowing, continues the poet, that songe that are silent about love can never please you, here are some composed by low itself; all here is love, but more than mortal! Sing these at all times,

Et les convertir et muer Faisant vos levres remuer, Et vos doigts sur les espinettes Pour dire saintes chansonettes.

Maret then breaks forth with that enthusiasm, which pertage at first conveyed to the suiten fancy of the austero Calvin the project he so successfully adopted, and, whose influence we are still witnessing.

O bien heureux qui voir pourra
Fieuri le temps, que l'on orra
Le laboureur à sa charne
Le charreiter parmy la rue,
Et l'artisan-en sa boutique
Avecques un Pscaume ou cantique,
En son labeur se soulager;
Heureux qui orra le berger
Et la bergere en bois estans
Faire que rochers et estangs
Apres eux chantent la hauteur
Du saint nom de leurs Createur
Commencez, dames, commences
Le siecle doré! avancez!
En chantant d'un cueur debonnaire.
Dedans ce saint cancionnaire.

Thrice happy they, who may behold, And listen, in that age of gold! As by the plough the labourer strays, And carman mid the public ways, And tradesmen in his shop shall swell Their voice in Faalm or Canticle, Singing to solace toil; again, Frum woods shall come a sweeter strain! Shepherd and shepherdess shall vie in many a tender Fashmody; And the Creator's name prolong As rock and stream return their song! Begin then, ladies fair! begin The age renew'd that knows no sin! And with light heart, that wants no wing, Sing! from this holy song-book, sing!\*

This 'holy song-book' for the harpsichord or the voice was a gay novelty, and no book was ever more eagerly received by all classes than Marot's 'Psalms.' In the fervoir of that day, they sold faster than the printers could take them off their presses; but as they were understood to be songs, and yet were not accompanied by music, every one set them to favourise times, commonly those of popular ballads. Each of the royal family, and every nobleman, chose a psalm or a song, which expressed his own personal feciage, adapted to his own tune. The Dauphin, afterwards Henry II, a great hunter, when he went to the chase was singing Airusi qu'on vit le cerf brayre. 'Like as the hard desireth the water-brooks.' There is a curious portrait of the mistress of Henry, the famous Diane de Poictiers, recently published, on which is inscribed this save of the Poalm. On a portrait which exhibits Diane in an attitude rather unsuitable to so solemn an application, so reason could be found to account for this discordance: brhaps the painter, or the lady herself, chose to adopt the lavourite Psalm of her royal lover, proudly to designate

\* In the curious tract already referred to, the following quohtion is remarkable; the scene the fancy of Marct pictured to him had anciently occurred. St Jerome in his seventeenth Epistle to Marcelius thus describes it: 'In christian villages hate else is to be heard but Paslims; for which way seever you han yourself, either you have the Ploughman at his plough stape Halielujahs, the weary Brewer refreshing himself with a pailm, or the Vine-dresser chanting forth somewhat of Davie. the object of her love, besides its double allusion to her name. Diane, however, in the first stage of their mutual attachment, took Da fond de ma pensée, or 'From the depth of my heart.' The Queen's favourite was,

Ne vueilles pas, o sire, Me reprendre en ton ire

that is, 'Rebuke me not in thy indignation,' which she sung to a fashionable jig. Antony, king of Navarre, sung Revenge may press to querelle, or, 'Stand up, O Lord, to revenge my quarrel,' to the air of a dance of Poitou.\*—We may conceive the ardour with which this novelty was received, for Francis sent to Charles the Fifth Marot's collection, who both by promises and presents encouraged the French bard to proceed with his version, and entreating Marot to send him as soon as possible Confitential Domino quonism bonus, because it was his favourite Psalm. And the Spanish as well as French composers hastened to set the Psalms of Marot to music. The fashion lasted, for thenry the Second set one to an air of his own composing. Catharine de Medicis had her Psalm, and it seems that every one at court adopted some particular Psalm for themselves, which they often played on lutes and guitars, &c. Singing Psalms in verse was then one of the chief ingredients in the happiness of social life.

The universal reception of Marot's Psalms induced Theodore Beza to conclude the collection, and ten thousand copies were immediately dispersed. But these had the advantage of being set to music, for we are told, they were 'admirably fitted to the violin and other musical instruments. And who was the man who had thus advoitly taken hold of the public deeling to give it this strong direction? It was the solitary Thaumaturgus, the ascetic Calvin, who, from the depth of his closet at Geneva, had engaged the finest musical composers, who were no doubt warmed by the sale of moneration his closet at the terms of the sale of the warmed by the zeal of propagating his faith, to form these simple and beautiful airs to assist the Psalm singers. first this was not discovered, and Catholics as well as Hugenots, were solacing themselves on all occasions with this new music. But when Calvin appointed these Psalms, as set to music, to be sung at his meetings, and Marot's formed an appendix to the Catechism of Geneva, this put an end to all Psalm singing for the poor Catholics! Marot ot himself was forced to fly to Geneva from the gulminations of the Sorbonne, and Psalm singing became an open declaration of what the French called 'Lutheranism,' when it became with the reformed a regular part of their religious discipline. The Cardinal of Lorraine succeeded in per-suading the lovely patroness of the 'holy song book,' Diana de Poictiers, who at first was a Psalm singer and an heretical reader of the Bible, to discountenance this new fashion. He began by finding fault with the Psalms of David, and revived the amatory elegancies of Horace : at that moment even the reading of the Bible was symptomatic of Lutheranism; Diana, who had given way to these novelties, would have a French Bible, because the queen, Catharine de Medicis, had one, and the Cardinal finding a bible on her table, immediately crossed himself, beat his breast, and otherwise so well acted his part, that, 'having thrown the Bible down and condemned it, he remonstrated with the fair penitent, that it was a kind of reading not adapted for her sex, containing dangerous matters; if she was uneasy in her mind she should hear two masses instead of one, and rest content with her Paternosters and her Primer, which were not only devotional but ornamented with a variety of elegant forms from the most exquisite pencils of France.' Such is the story drawn from a cu-rious letter, written by a Hugenot, and a former friend of Catharine de Medicis, and by which we may infer that the reformed religion was making considerable progress in the French court, -had the Cardinal of Lorraine not interfered by persuading the mistress, and she the king, and the king his queen, at once to give up Psalm singing and reading the Bible!

'This infectious frenzy of Psalm-singing,' as Warton describes it, under the Calvinistic preachers had rapidly propagated itself through Germany as well as France. It was admirably calculated to kindle the flame of Fanstticism, and frequently served as the trumpet to rebellion. These energetic hymns of Geneva excited and supported

\* As Warton has partly drawn from the same source, I have adopted his own words whenever I could. It is not easy to write after Thomas Warton whenever he is pleased with his subject.

a variety of popular instructions in the most flourishing cities of the Low Countries, and what our poetical antiquary could never forgive," fomented the fury which defaced many of the most beautiful and venerable churches of Flanders."

At length it reached our island at that critical moment when it had first embraced the Reformation; and here its domestic history was parallel with its foreign, except, perhaps, in the splendour of its success. Sternhold, an enthusiast for the reformation, was much offended, says Warton, at the lascivious ballads which prevailed among the courtiers, and with a laudable design to check these indecencies, he undertook to be our Marot-without his genius; 'thinking thereby,' says our cynical literary his-torian, Antony Wood, 'that the courtiers would sing them instead of their somets but did not, only some few excepted. They were practised by the puritans in the reign of Elizabeth; for Shakespeare notices the puritan of his day 'singing Psalms to hornpipes,'\* and more particularly during the protectorate of Cromwell, on the same plan of accommodating them to popular tunes and jigs, which one of them said were too good for the devil. Psalms were now sung at Lord Mayors' dinners and city feasts; sol-diers sang them on their march and at parade; a few houses which had windows fronting the streets, but had their evening psalms; for a story has come down to us, to record that the hypocritical brotherhood did not always care to sing unless they were heard!

## ON THE RIDICULOUS TITLES ASSUMED BY THE ITALIAN ACADEMIES.

The Italians are a fanciful people, who have often mixed a grain or two of pleasantry and even folly with their wisdom. This fanciful character betrays itself in their architecture, in their poetry, in their extemporary comedy, and their Improvicatori; but an instance not yet accounted for of this national levity, appears in those denominations of exquisite absurdity given by themselves to their Academies! I have in vain inquired for any assignable reason why the most ingenious men, and grave and illustrious personages, cardinals and princes, as well as poets, scholars, and artists, in every literary city, should voluntarily choose to burlesque themselves and their serious occupations, by affecting mysterious or ludicrous tilles, as if it were carnival time, and they had to support masquerade characters, and accepting such titles as we find in the cant style of our own sulgar clubs, the Society of 'Odd Fellows,' and of 'Eccentrics!' A principle so whimsical but systematic, must surely have originated in some circumstance not hitherto detected.

A literary friend, recently in an Italian city, exhausted by the sirocco, entered a house whose open door and circular seats appeared to offer to passengers a refreshing sorbetto; he discovered, however, that he had got into 'the Academy of the Cameleons,' where they met to delight their brothers, and any 'spirito gentil' they could nait to a recitation. An invitation to join the academicians alarmed him, for with some impatient prejudices against these little creatures, vocal with prose e rime, and usually with odes and sonnets begged for, or purloined for the occasion, he waived all further curiosity and courtesy, and has returned howe without any information how these 'Cameleons' iooked, when changing their colours in an 'accademia.

Such literary institutions, prevalent in Italy, are the spurious remains of those numerous academies which simultaneously started up in that country about the sixteenth century. They assumed the most ridiculous denominations, and agreat number is registered by Buadrio and Tirabouchi. Whatever was their design, one cannot fairly reproach them, as Mencken, in his 'Charlatanaria Eruditorum,' seems to have thought, for pompous quackery; neither can we attribute to their modesty their choice of senseless titles, for to have degraded their own exalted pursuits was but folly! Literary history affords no paralle, to this national absurdity of the refined Italians.

\* My friend. Mr Douce, imagines, that this alludes to a common practice at that time among the Puritans of burlesquing the plain chant of the Papiets, by adapting vulgar and hiderous music to realms and plous compositions. Hust, of Shakepeare, I 255. Mr Douce does not recollect his authority. My idea differs. May we not conjecture that the intention was the same which induced Sternhold to versify the Psalms, to be sung instead of lastivious hallads; and the most popular tunes came afterwards to be adopted, that the singer might practise his avourite one, as we find it occurred in France

Who could have suspected that the most emisent scholar and men of genius, were associates of the Oziasi, the Fat statici, the Insensati? Why should Genoa beast of her 'Steepy,' Vsteebo of her 'Obstimates,' Sienna of her lasipids,' her 'Blockheads,' and her 'Thunderstruck' and Naples of her 'Furioso; while Macerata exists in her 'Madmen chained T' Both Quadrio and Tiraboschi casnot deny that these fantastical titles have occanocat beautain a candemies to appear very ridiculous to the chromatomic the artious to the chromatomic the artiour which was kindled throughout Italy at the retoration of letters and the fine arts, so that every ose, and oven every man of genius, were eager to earoll ther mans in these academies, and prided themselves in beautiful the molecular than the search of the search of the search academy had chosen. But why did they mystify themselves?

Folly, once become national, is a vigorous plant, with sheds abundant seed. The consequence of having acquired the service of the search academy and sheds abundant seed.

ed ridiculous titles for these academies, suggested to the many other characteristic fopperies. At Florence even brether of the 'Umidi' assumed the name of something square, or any quality pertaining to humidity. One was called the Frozen, another 'the Damp; one was 'the Pac,' another 'the Swan; and Grazzini, the celebrated nordist, is known better by the cognonies of La Laza, the Roach humidity has been better by the cognosite of the Laza, the Roach,' by which he whimsically designates himself away the 'Humids.' I find among the Insenseti, one man of karsing taking the name of Stordito Insenseds, another Tentroso Insenseds. The famous Florentine academy of LaCrest amidst theirgrave labours to sift and purify their language, threw themselves headlong into this vortex of felly. The title, the academy of 'Bran,' was a conceit to indicate the art of sifting; but it required an Italian prodigativo concet to have induced these grave scholars to exhibit themselves. in the burlesque scenery of a pantominical academy for their furniture consists of a mill and a bake-house; a popit for the orator is a hopper, while the learned director sits on a mill-stone; the other seats have the form of t miller's dossers, or great panniers, and the backs coast of the long shovels used in ovens. The table is a bate's the long shovels used in ovens. The table is a bain's kneading-trough, and the academician who reads has kill his body thrust out of a great bolting sack, with I kee not what else for their inkstands and portfolior. But to most celebrated of these academies is that 'degli Arcaca, at Rome, who are still carrying on their pretensions much higher. Whoever inepires to be aggregated to these Arhigher. Whoever inspires to be aggregated to these Arcadian shepherds, receives a pastoral name and a like, but not the deeds, of a farm, picked out of a map of the ancest Arcadia or its environs; for Arcadia itself soon becase too small a possession for these partitioners of moustant. Their laws, modelled by the twelve tables of the normal Romans; their language in the venerable majesty of the renowned ancestors; and this erudite democracy dates by the Grecian Olympiads which Crescembini, then is custode, or guardian, most painfully adjusted to the releventa, were designed that the sacred erudition of anticary might for ever be present among these shepherds.\* Godoni, in his Memoirs, has given an amusing account these honours. He says he was presented with two deplomas; the one was my charter of aggregation to the Arcadi of Rome, under the name of Polissia, the other gave me the investiture of the Phlegoun fields. I was on this saluted by the whole assembly in chorus, under the name of Polisseno Phlegeio, and embraced by then as a fellow shepherd and brother. The Arcadians are rety rich, as you may perceive, my dear reader: we proved estates in Greece; we water them with our labours for the estates in Greece; we ware them with our moours as ake of reaping laurels, and the Turks sow them with grain, plant them with vines, and laugh at both or titles and our songs. When Fontenelle became an Arcadian, they baptised him *Il Paster Payests*, the is, a miable Fountain! allusive to his name and he debt ful style; and magnificently presented him with the criter liste of Delos! The late Joseph Walker, an enthuses for an Italian literature, dedicated his ' Memoir on Italian Tragedy' to the Countess Spencer: not inscribing it will his christian but his heathen name, and the title of he Aredian estates, Eubante Tirinzio! Plain Joseph Waker. whis masquerade dress, with his Arcadian agnet of Pas's reeds dangling in his title-page, was performing a charater to which however well adapted, not being understand, he got stared at for his affectation! We hav keep

\* Creacembini, at the close of 'La bellazza della Vogal 2 Desia.' Roma, 1700. seard of some licentious reveilings of these Arcadians, in receiving a man of genius from our own country, who, himself composing Italian Rime, had 'conceit' enough to become a shepherd!\* Yet let us inquire before we criti-

Even this ridiculous society of the Arcadians became a memorable literary institution; and Tiraboschi has shown how it successfully arrested the bad taste which was then prevaing throughout Italy; recalling its muses to purer sources; while the lives of many of its shepherds have furnished an interesting volume of literary history under the little of 'The illustrious Arcadians.' Grescembini, and its founders, had formed the most elevated conceptions of the society at its origin; but postical valicinators are prophets only while we read their verses—we must not look for that dry maker of fact—the event predicted!

Il vostro seme eterno Occuperà la terra, ed i confini D'Arcadia otrapassando, Di non più visti gloriosi germi L'aureo feconderà lito del Gange E de' Cimomeri l'infeconde arene.

Mr Mathias has recently with warmth defended the orginal Aractic; and the assumed character of its members, which has been condemned as betraying their affectstion, he attributes to their modesty. 'Before the critics of the Arachia (the pastori, as they modestly styled themselves) with Crescembini for their conductor, and with the Adords Albano for their patron, (Clement XI,) all that was depraved in language, and in sentiment, fied and disappeared.'

The strange taste for giving fantastical denominations to literary institutions grew into a custom though, probably no one knew how. The founders were always persons of rank or learning, yet still accident or caprice created the mystifying title, and invented those appropriate emblems, which still added to the fully. The Arcadian society derived its title from a spontaneous conceit. This assembly first held its meetings, on summer evenings, in a meadow on the banks of the Tiber; for the fine climate of Italy promotes such assemblies in the open air. In the recital of an eclogue, an enthusiast, amidst all he was hearing and all he was seeing, exclaimed 'I seem at this moment to be in the Arcadia of ancient Greece, listoning to the nure and simple strains of its shepherds.' Enthusito the pure and simple strains of its shepherds.' asm is contagious amidst susceptible Italians, and this name, sour source of the source of t had not yet fallen in : there he fixed his library, and there he assembled the most ingenious Florentines to discuss obscure points, and to reveal their own contributions in this secret retreat of silence and philosophy. To get to this cabinet it was necessary to climb a very steep and very narrow staircase, which occasioned some facetious wit to observe, that these literati were so many pigeons who flew every evening to their dove-cot. The Cavallero Pazzi, to indulge this humour, invited them to a dinner entirely composed of their little brothers, in all the varieties of cookery; the members, after a hearty laugh, assumed the title of the Colombaria, ne cented a device consisting of the top of a turret, with several pigeons flying about it, bearing an epigraph from Dante, Quanto veder si puo, by which they expressed their design not to apply themselves to any single object. Such facts sufficiently prove that some of the absurd or facetious denominations of these literary societies originated in accidental circumstances, or in mere pleasantry; but this will not account for the origin of those mystifying titles we have noticed; for when grave men call themselves dolts or lunatics, unless they are really an they must have some reason for laughing at them-Belves.

To attempt to develop this curious but obscure singularity in literary history, we must go farther back among the first beginnings of these institutions. How were they looked on by the governments in which they first appear-

\*History of the Middle Ages, H. 684. See, also, Mr Rose's Logers from the North of Italy, vol. 1, 204. Mr Hallam has theired, that 'such an institution as the society degli Arcadi could at no time have endured public ridicule in England for a farming.

ed? These academies might, perhaps, form a chapter in the history of secret societies, one not yet written, but of which many curious materials lie scattered in history. It is certain that such literary societies, in their first origins, have always excited the jealousy of governments, but more particularly in ecclesiastical Rome, and the rival principalities of Italy. If two great nations, like those of England and France, had their suspicious and fears roused by a select assembly of philosophical men, and either put them down by force, or closely watched them, this will not seem extraordinary in little despotic states. We have accounts of some philosophical associations at home, which were joined by Sir Philip Sidney and Sir Walter Rawleigh, but which soon got the odium of atheism attached to them; and the establishment of the French academy occasioned some umbrage, for a year elapsed before the parliament of Paris would register their patent, which was at length accorded by the political Richelieu observing to the president, that' he should like the members according as the members liked him.' Thus we have ascertained one principle, that governments in those times looked on a new society with a political glance; nor it is improbable that some of them combined an ostensible with a latent motive.

There is no want of evidence to prove that the modern Romans, from the thirteenth to the fifteenth century, were too feelingly alive to their obscure glory, and that they too frequently made invidious comparisons of their ancient republic with the pontifical government; to revive Rome, with every thing Roman, inspired such enthusiaste as Rienzi, and charmed the visions of Petrarch. At a period when ancient literature, as if by a miracle, was raising itself from its grave, the learned were agitated by a correspondent energy; not only was an estate sold to purchase a manuscript, but the relic of genius was touched with a religious emotion. The classical purity of Cicero was contrasted with the barbarous idiom of the Missal; the glories of ancient Rome with the miserable subjugation of its modern pontiffs; and the metaphysical reverses of Plato, and what they termed the 'Enthusiasmus Alexandrinus; the dreams of the Platonists seemed to the fanciful Italians more elevated than the humble and pure ethics of the Gospels. The vain and amorous Eloisa could even censure the gross manners, as it seemed to her, of the apostles, for picking the ears of corn in their walks, and at their meals eating with unwashed hands.-Touched by this mania of antiquity, the learned affected to change their vulgar christian name, by assuming the more classical ones of a Junius Brutus, a Pomponius, or a Julius; or any other rusty name unwashed by baptism. This frenzy for the ancient republic not only baptism. menaced the positificate; but their Platonic, or their pagan ardours, seemed to be striking at the foundation of Christianity itself. Such were Marcilus Ficinus, and that learned society who assembled under the Medici. Pomponius Letus, who lived at the close of the fifteenth century, not only celebrated by an annual festival the foundation of Rome, and raised altars to Romulur but openly expressed his contempt for the christian religion, which this visionary declared was only fit for barbarians; but this extravagance and irreligion, observes Niceron, were common with many of the learned of those times, and this very Pomponius was at length formally accused of the crime of changing the baptismal names of the young persons whom he taught, for pagan ones! 'This was the taste of the times,' says the author we have justquoted; but it was imagined that there was a mystery concealed in these changes of names.

At this period these literary societies first appear: one at Rome had the title of 'Academy,' and for its chief this very Pomponius; for he is distinguished as 'Romaness Princeps Academise,' by his friend Politian, in the 'Miscellanea,' of that elegant scholar. This was under the pontificate of Paul the Second. The regular meetings of 'the Academy' soon excited the jealousy and suspicions of Paul, and gave rise to one of the most horrid persecutions and scenes of torture, even to death, in which these academicians were involved: This closed with a decree of Paul's, that for the future no one should pronounce, either seriously cr in jest, the very name of academy, under the penalty of heresy! The story is told by Platina, one of the sufferers, in his life of Paul the Second; and although this history may be said to bear the bruises of the wounded and dislocated body of the unhappy historian, the facts are unquestionable, and connected

with our subject. Platina, Pomponius, and many of their friends, were sundenly dragged to prison; on the first and second day torture was applied, and many expired under the hands of their executioners. 'You would have imagined, says Platins, that the castle of St Angelo was turned into the bull of Phalaris, so loud the hollow rault resounded with the cries of those miserable young men, who were an honour to their age for genius and learning. The torturers, not satisfied, though weary, having racked twenty men in those two days, of whom some died, at length sent for me to take my turn. The instruments of torture were ready; I was stripped, and the executioners put themselves, to their work. Vianesius sat like another fortire were ready; I was supposed to the supposed put themselves, to their work. Vianesius sat like another Minos on a seat of tapeatry work, gay as at a wedding; and while I hung on the rack is torment, he played with a jewel which Sanga had, asking him who was the mistress which had given him this love token I Turning to me, he asked 'why Pomponio in a letter should call me Holy Father? Did the conspirators agree to make you Pope? 'Pomponio,' I replied, 'can best tell why he gave me this title, for I know not.' At length, having pleased, but not satisfied himself with my tortures, he ordered me to be let down that I might undergo tortures much greater in the evening. I was carried, half dead, into my chamber; but not long after, the inquisitor having dined, and being fresh in drink, I was fetched again, and the archbishop of Spalatro was there. They inquired of my conversations with Malatesta. I said, it only concerned ancient and modern learning, the military arts, and the characters of illustrious men, the ordinary subjects of conversation. I was bitterly threatened by Vianesius, unless I confessed the truth on the following day, and was carried back to my chamber, where I was seized with such extreme pain, that I had rather have died than endured the agony of my battered and dislocated limbs. But now those who were accused of heresy were charged with plotting treason. Pomponius being examined why he changed the names of his friends, he answered boldly, that this was no concern of his judges or the pope: it was perhaps out of respect for antiquity, to stimulate to a virtuous emulation. After we had now lain ten months in prison, Paul comes hanself to the castie, where he charged us, among other things, that we had disputed concerning the immortality of the soul, and that we held the opinion of Plato; by disputing you call the being of a God in question. This, I said, might be objected to all divines and philosophers, who to make the truth appear, frequently question the existence of souls and of God, and of all separate intelligences. St Austin says, the opinion of Plato is like the faith of Christians. none of the numerous heretical factions. Paul then accused us of being too great admirers of pagan antiquities; yet none were more fond of them than himself, for he collected all the statues and sarcophagi of the ancients to place in his palace, and even affected to imitate, on more than one occasion, the pump and charm of their public ceremonies. While they were arguing, men-tion happened to be made of 'the Academy,' when the Cardinal of San Marco cried out, that we were not 'Academics.' but a scandal to the name; and Paul now declared that he would not have that term evermore men-tioned under pain of heresy. He left us in a passion, and kept us two months longer in prison to complete the year, as it seems he had sworn.

Such is the interesting narrative of Platina, from which we may surely infer, that if these learned men assembled for the communication of their studies; inquiries suggested by the monuments of antiquity, the two learned languages, ancient authors, and speculative points of philosophy, these objects were associated with others, which terrified the jealousy of modern Rome.

Sometime after, at Naples, appeared the two brothers, John Baptiste and John Vincent Porta, those twin spirits, the Castor and Pollux of the natural philosophy of that age, and whose scenica. museum delighted and awed, by its optical illusions, its treasure of curiosities, and its n His optical illusions, its treasure of curronities, and its ma-tural magic, all learned natives and foreigners. Their name is still famous and their treatises De humana physiognomia and Magis naturalis, are still opened by the curious, who discover these children of philosophy, wandering in the arcana of nature, to them a world of perpet-ual beginnings! These learned brothers united with the Marquis of Manso, the friend of Tasso, in establishing an academy under the whimsical name of degli Oziesi, (the Lazy) which so ill described their intentions. This academy did not sufficiently embrace the views of the learn brothers, and then they formed another under their own roof, which they appropriately named di Secreti; the astensible motive was, that no one should be admitted into this interior society who had not agranized himself by some experiment or discovery. It is clear, that, whatever they intended by the project, the election of the members was to pass through the most rigid scrutiny—and what was the consequence? The court of Rome again started up with all its fears, and, secretly obtaining information of some discussions which had passed in this academy degli Servsi, prohibited the Portas from holding such assemblies, or applying themselves to those illicit sciences, whose am ments are criminal, and turn us aside from the study of the Holy Scriptures.\* It seems that one of the Postas had It seems that one of the Portas had Holy Scriptures. It seems that one of the Portas has delivered him in the style of an ancient oracle; but what was more alarming in this prophetical spirit, several of his predictions had been actually verified. The infallible court was in no want of a new school of prophecy. Baptista Porta went to Rome to justify himself, and, content tista Porta went to Rome to justify himself, and, content to wear his head, placed his tongue in the custody of his Holiness, and no doubt preferred being a member of the Accademia degli Oziosi, to that of gli Secreti. To confirm this notion that these academies excited the jealousy of those despotic states of Italy, I find that several of them at Florence, as well as at Sienna, were considered as dangerous meetings; and in 1568, the Medici suddenly suppressed those of the 'Insipids,' the 'Shy,' the 'Disheattened,' and others, but more particularly the 'Stunned,' gli Intronati, which excited loud laments. We have also an account of an academy which called itself the Lanternists, from the circumstance that their first meetings were held at night, the academicians not carrying ings were held at night, the academicians not carrying torches, but only *Lanterns*. This academy, indeed, was at Toulouse, but evidently formed on a model of its neighbors. In fine, it cannot be denied, that these literary societies or academies were frequently objects of alarm to the lit-tle governments of Italy, and were often interrupted by political persecution.

From all these facts I am inclined to draw an inference. It is remarkable that the first Italian Academies were only distinguished by the simple name of their founders; one was called the Academy of Pomponius Lactus, another of Panormita, &c. It was after the melancholy fate of the Roman Academy of Lectus, which could not however, extinguish that growing desire of creating literary so-cieties in the Italian cities, from which the members derived both honor and pleasure, that suddenly we discover these academies bearing the most fastastical titles. I have not found any writer who has attempted to solve this extraordinary appearance in literary history, and the diffi-culty seems great, because, however frivolous or fantatical the titles they assumed, their members were illustrious for rank and genius. Tiraboschi, aware of this difficulty, can only express his astonishment at the absurdity, and his vexation at the ridicule to which the Italians have been exposed by the coarse jokes of Menkenius is his Charlate-naria Eruditorum.† I conjecture, that the invention of these ridiculous titles, for literary societies, was an attempt to throw a sportive veil over meetings which had alarmed the papal and the other petty courts of Italy; and to quiet their fears, and turn aside their political wrath, they implied the innocence of their pursuits by the jocularity with which the members treated themselves, and were willing that others should treat them. This otherwise inexpecable national levity of so refined a people has not occurred in any other country, because the necessity did not exist any where but in Italy. In France, in Spain, and in England, the title of the ancient ACADEMUS was never pre-faned by an adjunct which systematically degraded and ridiculed its venerable character, and its illustrious mean-

Long after this article was finished, I had an opportunity of consulting an emiment Italian, whose name is already celebrated in our country, II Sigr. Ugo Foscole; his decision ought necessarily to outweigh mine; but although it is incompleted. it is incumbent on me to put the reader in possession of the opinion of a native of his high acquirements, it is not

Digitized by GOOGLE

<sup>†</sup> See Tiraborchi, vol. vii, cap. iv. Accademis, and Quarto's Della storia e della ragione d'ogni poesta. In the imense receptacle of these seven quarto volumes, printed wit a small type, the curious may consult the voluminoss index. Art. Accademia.

Measy for me, on this obscure and curious subject, to re-

h my own conjecture.

Il Sigr. Foscolo is of opinion, that the origin of the fantastical titles assumed by the Italian Academies entrely arose from a desire of getting rid of the air of pedantry, and to institute that their meetings and their were to be considered merely as sportive relaxations, and an idle business.

This opinion may satisfy an Italian, and this be may deem a sufficient apology for such absurdity; but when scalet robes and cowled beads, laureated bards and Monsignores, and Capalleros, baptize themselves in a public assembly 'Blockhoads or 'Madmon,' we ultramentance, out of mere compliment to such great and learned men, would suppose that they had their good reasons; and that is this there must have been 'something more than meets the ear.' After all, I would almost flatter myself that our two opinions are not so wide of each other as they at first

### OF THE HERO OF MUDIBRAS; BUTLER VINDICATED ..

That great Original, the author of Hudibras, has been recently censured for exposing to ridicule the Sir Samuel Luke, under whose roof he dwelt, in the grotesque character of his hero. The knowledge of the critic in our literary his. tory is not curious; he appears to have advanced no farther, than to have taken up the first opinion he found; but this served for an attempt to blacken the moral character this served for an attempt to blacken the moral character of Buder! 'Having lived,' says our critic, 'in the family of Sir Samuel Luke, one of Cromwell's Captains, at the very ime he planned the Hudibras, of which he was pleased to make his kind and hospitable Patron the Hero. We defy the history of Whiggism to match this anecster,'s—as if it could not be matched! Whigs and Tories. are as like as two eggs when they are wits and satirists; their friends too often become their first victims! If Sir Samuel resembled that renowned personification, the ridi-cule was legitimate and unavoidable when the poet had esponsed his cause, and espoused it too from the purest motive—a detestation of political and fanatical hypocrisy. Comic satirists, whatever they may allege to the contrary, will always draw largely and most truly from their own circle. After all, it does not appear that Sir Samuel sat for Sir Hudibras; although from the hiatus still in the poem, at the end of Part I, Canto I, his name would accommodate both the metre and the rhyme! But who, said Warburon, ever compared a person to himself! Butler might am a sly stroke at Sir Samuel by hinting to him how well be resembled Hudibras, but with a remarkable because he has left posterity to settle the affair, which is certainly not worth their while. But Warburton tells, that a friend of Butler's had declared the person was a Derosshire man; one Sir Henry Rosewell, of Ford Abbey, in that county. There is a curious life of our learned wil, in the great General Dictionary; the writer, probably Dr Birch, made the most authentic researches, from the contemporaries of Butler, or their descendants; and from Charles Longueville, the son of Butler's great friend, he obtained much of the little we possess. The writer of this life believes that Sir Samuel was the hero of Butler, and tests his evidence on the hiatus we have noticed; but with test as evidence on the manus we have noticed, but want the candour which becomes the literary historian, he has added the following marginal note: 'Whilst this sheet was at press, I was assured by Mr Longueville, that Sir Samuel Luke is not the person ridiculed under the name of Halibana'

It would be curious, after all, should the prototype of Hadibras turn out to be one of the heroes of the Rolmd; a circumstance, which, had it been known to the copartnership of that comic epic, would have furnished a fine episode and a memorable hero to their line of descent. When Butler wrote his Hudibras, one Coll. Rolle, a Derouthire man, lodged with him, and was exactly like his description of the Knight; whence it is highly probable, whose person be had in his eye. The reason that he gave for caling his poom Hudibras was, because the name of the dd tatelar saint of Devonshire was Hugh de Bras. I find this in the Grub street Journal, January, 1731, a Producal paper conducted by two eminent literary physicians, under the appropriate names of Baviss and Mississes, under the appropriate names of Bavisses. ins, and which for some time enlivened the towns with the excellent design of ridiculing silly authors and stand critics.

It is unquestionably proved, by the confession of several friends of Butler, that the probytype of Sir Hudibras was a Devonshire man: and if Sir Hugh de Brus be the old patron saint of Devonshire, (which however I cannot find in Prince's or in Fuller's Worthies,\*) this discovers the suggestion which led Butler to the name of his hero; burselesquing the new Saint by pairing him with the chivalrous Saint of the county; hence, like the Knights of old,

### Sir Knight abandon dwelling, And out he rode a Colonelling!

This origin of the name is more appropriate to the character of the work than deriving it from the Sir Hudibras of Spenser, with whom there exists no similitude.

It is as honourable as it is extraordinary, that such was the celebrity of Hudibras, that the workman's name was often confounded with the work itself; the poet was once better known under the name of Hudibras than of Butler. Old Southern calls him: 'Hudibras Butler;' and if any one would read the most copious life we have of this great poet in the great General Dictionary, he must look for a name he is not accustomed to find among English authors—that of *Hudibres!* One fact is remarkable; that, like Cervantes, and unlike Rabelais and Sterne, Butler, in his great work, has not sent down to posterity a single pas-sage of indecent ribaldry, though it was written amidst a court which would have got such by heart, and in an age

in which such trash was certain of popularity.

We know little more of Butler than we do of Shakspeare and of Spenser! Longueville, the devoted friend of our poet, has unfortunately left no reminiscences of the departed genius whom he so intimately knew, and who bequeathed to Longueville the only legacy a neglected poet could leave—all his manuscripts; and to his care, though not to his spirit, we are indebted for Butler's 'Remains.' His friend attempted to bury him with the public honours he deserved, among the tombs of his brother bards in Westminster Abbey; but he was compelled to consign the bard to an obscure burial place in Paul's, Covent-Garden. Many years after, when Alderman Barber raised an inscription to the memory of Buller in Westminster Abbey, others were desirous of placing one over the poet's humble gravestone. This probably excited some competition; and the following fine one, attributed to Dennis, has perhaps never been published. If it be Dennis's, it must have been composed at one of his most lucid moments.

Near this place lies interred The body of Mr Samuel Butler Author of Hudihras. He was a whole species of Poets in one! Admirable in a Manner In which no one else has been tolerable; A Manner which began and ended in Him, In which he knew no Guide, And has found no Followers.

To this too brief article I add a proof that that fanati. cism, which is branded by our immortal Butler, can survive the castigation. Folly is sometimes immortal, as nonsense is irrefutable. Ancient follies revive, and men repeat the same unintelligible jargon; just as contagion keeps up the plague in Turkey by lying hid in some ob scure corner, till it breaks out airesh. Recently we have seen a notable instance where one of the school to which we are alluding, declares of Shakspeare, that 'it would have been happy if he had never been born, for that thousands will look back with incessant anguish on the guilty

thor of the Dissertation on the Eneid of Virgii, and Dr Rus sel, another learned physician, as his publications steet. It does great credit to their taste, that they were the hebdo-madal defenders of Pope from the attacks of the heroes of the

There is a great reason to doubt the authenticky of this There is a great reason to doubt the authenticky of this information concerning a Devonshire tutelar saint. Mr Charles Butler has kindly communicated the researches of a catholic Clergyman, residing at Exeter, who having examined the voluminous registers of the See of Exeter, and numerous MSS and records, of the Diocese, cannot trace that any stift saint was particularly honoured in the county. It is lamentable that ingenious writers should invent fictions, for authorities but with the hope that the present nuthors have not done this. I have preserved this apocryphal tradition.

Digitized by GOOGLE

Rdinburgh Review, No. 67—159, on Jacobite Relics.
j Pavius and Mavius were Dr Martyn, the well-known au-

delight which the plays of Shakspeare ministered to them.'\* Such is the anathema of Shakspeare! We have another of Butler, in 'An historic defence of experimental religion; in which the author contends, that the best men have experienced the agency of the Holy Spirit in an immediate illumination from heaven. He furnishes his historic proofs by a list from Abel to Lady Huntingdon! The author of Hudibras is denounced, 'One Samuel Butler, a celebrated buffoon in the abandoned reign of Charles the Second, wrote a mock heroic poem, in which he undertook to burlesque the pious puritan. He ridicules all the gracious promises by comparing the divine illumination to an ignis fatuus, and dark lantern of the spirit.'+ Such are the writers whose ascetic spirit is adding poignancy to the very relicule they would annihilate. The satire which we deemed obsolete, we find still

applicable to contemporaries!
The FIRST part of Hudibras is the most perfect; that was the rich fruit of matured meditation, of wit, of learning, and of leisure. A mind of the most original powers had been perpetually acted on by some of the most extraordinary events and persons of political and religious history. Butler had lived amidst scenes which might have excited indignation and grief; but his strong contempt of the actors could only supply ludicrous images and caustic raillery. Yet once, when villary was at its zenith, his solemn tones were raised to reach it. I

The second part was precipitated in the following year.

An interval of fourteen years was allowed to elapse before

the third and last part was given to the world; but then every thing had changed! the poet, the subject, and the patron! the old theme of the sectarists had lost its freshness, and the cavaliers, with their royal libertine, had become as obnoxious to public decepcy as the Tartuffes. Butler appears to have turned aside, and to have given an adverse direction to his satirical arrows. The slavery and dotage of Hudibras to the widow revealed the voluptuous epicurean, who slept on his throne, dissolved in the arms of his mistress. 'The enchanted bower,' and 'the anorous suit,' of Hudibras reflected the new manners of this wretched churt; and that Butler had become the satirist of the party whose cause he had formerly so honestly espousone satires, is one, 'On the licentious age of Charles the Second, contrasted with the puritanical one that preceded it.' This then is the greater glory of Butler, that his high and indignant spirit equally satirized the hypocrites of Cromwell, and the libertines of Charles.

### SHENSTONE'S SCHOOL-MISTRESS.

The inimitable ' School-Mistress' of SHERSTONE is one of the felicities of genius; but the purpose of this poem has been entirely misconceived. Johnson, acknowledging this charming effusion to be 'the most pleasing of Shen-stone's productious, bobserves, 'I know not what claim it has to stand among the moral works.' The truth is, that it was intended for quite a different class by the author, and Dodsley, the editor of his works, must have strangely blundered in designating it 'a moral poem.' It may be classed with a species of poetry till recently, rare in our language, and which we sometimes find among the Italians, in their rime piacevoli, or possic burlesche, which do not always consist of low humor in a facetious style with jingling rhymes, to which form we attach our idea of a bur-lesque poem. There is a refined species of ludicrous poetry, which is comic yet tender, lusory yet elegant, and with such a blending of the serious and the facetious, that the result of such a poem may often, among its other plea-sures, produce a sort of ambiguity; so that we do not always know whether the writer is laughing at his subject, or whether he is to be laughed at. Our admirable Whistlecraft met this fate! The School-Mistress' of SHERSTONE has been admired for its simplicity and tenderness, not for its exquisitely ludicrous turn!

This discovery I owe to the good fortune of possessing the original edition of 'The School-Mistress,' which the author printed under his own directions, and to his own fancy. To this piece of LUDICROUS POETRY, as he calls

\* See Quarterly Review, vol. viii, p. 111, where I found this question justly reprobated.
† This work, published in 1795, is curious for the materials the writer's reading has collected.
† The case of King Charles the First truly stated against John Cook, master of Gray's Int., in Butler's 'Remains.'

it, ' lest it should be mistaken,' he added a LUDICHEN INDEX, 'purely to show fouls that I am in jest.' But 'the fool,' his subsequent editor, who, I regret to as. was Robert Dodsley, thought proper to suppress the amusing 'ludicrous index,' and the consequence is, as the poet foresaw, that his aim has been 'mistaken.' The whole history of this poem, and this edition, may

be traced in the printed correspondence of Shermore. Our poet had pleased himself by ornamenting 'A supersy pamphlet' with certain 'seemly' 'designs of his', and in which he came to town to direct the engraver; he appear also to have intended accompanying it with ' The dehend portrait of my old school dame, Sarah Lloyd.' The frontispiece to thus first edition represents the Thatdet house of his old school-mistress, and before it is the house of his old school-mistress, and better it is a third tree? with the 'sun setting and gilding the sees. He writes on this, 'I have the first sheet to correct upa the table. I have laid aside the thoughts of fame a good deal in this unpromising scheme; and fix them upon the standard in the standard tree which the standard tree which the landskip which is engraving, the red letter which I propose, and the fruit piece which you see, being the sost seemly ornaments of the first supenny pamplet that valever so highly honoured. I shall mear the same refecus ever so ringiny nonoured. I seem stour the same renewas with Ogilby, of having nothing good but my decorates. I expect that in your neighbourhood and in Warwickstothere should be twenty of my poems sold. I prist a specif. I am pleased with Mynder's engravings.

On the publication Shenstone has opened his idea of the state of the

poetical characteristic. 'I dare say it must be very seer rect; for I have added eight on ten stanzas within the rect; for I have added eight on ten stanzas within the fortnight. But inaccuracy is more excusable in hidron poetry than in any other. If it strikes any it must be merely people of taste; for people of soit without the timely people of taste; for people of soit without the timely unavoidably despise it. I have been at some parts to recover myself from A Philips' misfortune of mere childiness, "Little charm of placid mien," fee. I have adoid tudierous index purely to show (fools) that I am my and my motto, "O, qua sol habitabiles illustratoras actuma principum? is calculated for the same purpose. Ye cannot conceive how large the number is of these that make buffengue for the very foolishness it exposes: at take burlesque for the very foolishness it exposes; at observation I made once at the Rehearsal, at Tom Thurb, at Chrononhotonthologos, all which are pieces of eight humour. I have some mind to pursue this causes in ther, and advertise it "The School-Mistress," &c. : im childish performance every body knows (necessary). But if a person seriously calls this, or rather buriesque, a childish or low species of poetry, he says wrong. For the most regular and formal poetry may be called trifing for and weakness, in comparison of what is written with a more manly spirit in ridicule of it.

The first edition is now lying before me, with is spledid 'red-letter,' its 'seemly designs,' and, what is not precious, its 'Index.' Shenstone, who had greatly presed himself with his graphical inventions, at length feed that his engraver, Mynde had sadly bungled with the portifical. Vexed and disappointed, he writes, 'I have been plagued to death about the ill execution of my despa-Nothing is certain in London but expense, which I can bear.' The truth is, that what is placed in the landing over the thatched-house and the birch-tree, is like a filler monster rather than a setting sun; but the fruit-piece at he end, the grapes, the plums, the melon, and the Catherse pears, Mr Mynde has made sufficiently tempring. The edition contains only twenty-eight stanzas, which see afterwards enlarged to thirty-five. Several stanzas him been omitted, and they have also passed through narror corrections, and some improvements, which show that Shenstone had more judgment and felicity in sever correction, than perhaps is suspected. Some of these I was

point out.\*
In the second stanza, the first edition has,

In every mart that stands on Britain's isle, In every village less reveal'd to fame, Dwells there in cottage known about a mile, A matron old, whom we school-mistress name.

### Improved thus:

In every village mark'd with little spire, Embower'd in trees, and hardly known to fame, I have usually found the School-Mistress printed with

numbering the stanzae; to enter into the present viru he will be necessary for the reader to do this himself with a partitional for the reader to do this himself with a partition of the present of the cil-mark. Digitized by Google

There dwells in lowly shed and mean attire, Amatron old, whom we school-mistress name. The eighth stanza, in the first edition, runs,

The gown, which o'er her shoulders thrown she had. st stuff (who knows not russet stuff?) Great comfort to her mind that she was clad In texture of her own, all strong and tough; Ne did she s'er complain, ne deem it rough, &c.

More elegantly descriptive is the dress as now de-

A russet stole was o'er her shoulders thrown, A russet kirtle fenced the nipping air; Twas simple russet, but it was her own; Twas her own country bred the flock so fair, Twas her own labour did the flocc prepare, &c

he additions made to the first edition consists of the 11, 12, 13, 14, and 15th stanzas, in which are so beauti-fully introduced the herbs and garden stores, and the pealm-cty of the school mistress; the 29th and 50th stanzas were also subsequent insertions. But those lines which give so original a view of genius in its infancy,

A little bench of heedless bishops here, And there a chancellor in embryo, &c.

were printed in 1742; and I cannot but think that the farmed stanzas in Gray's Elegy, where he discovers men of genius in peasants, as Sheastone has in children, was suggested by this original conception:

Some mute inglorious Milton here may rest, Some Cromwell guildless of his country's blood, is to me a congenial thought, with an echoed turn of expression of the lines from the School Mistress. I shall now restore the hydicrous INDEX, and adapt it to the stanzas of the later edition.

Stanza Introduction, The subject proposed, A circumstance in the situation of the MAN-SION OF EARLY DIS-CIPLISE, discovering the surprising influ-ence of the counexions

of ideas,
A simile; introducing a deprecation of the joyless effects of BIGO-TRY and SUPERSTI-

Some peculiarities indi-CALIFE OF 2 COUNTRY SCHOOL, With a short sketch of the SOVE-REIGH presiding over

Some account of her MIGHT-CAP, APROM, and a tremendous description of her BIRCH-IN SCEPTER,

A parallel instance of the Livantages of LEGAL GOVERNMENT. with regard to children and the wind, Her gown,

Her tirkes, and punctilion nicety in the ceremonious assertion of them,

A digression concerning her HEN's presumptuous behaviour, with a circumstance tending to give the cautious reader a more accurate idea of the offconstitution and o

A view of this RURAL POTENTATE AS SCALed in her chair of state, rring HOHOURS, No. 9.

distributing BOUNTIES, and dispersing PRO CLAMATIONS, Her POLICIES, The ACTION of the poem commences with a general summons, follows a particular description of the artful structure, decoration, and fortifications of an Horn-Bible, A surprising picture of sisterly affection by way of episode, 20, 21 A short list of the methods now in use to avoid a whipping— which nevertheless follows, The force of example, A sketch of the particular symptoms of obstinacy as they discover themselves in a child. with a simile illustrating a blubbered face, 24, 25, 26 A hint of great importance, The piety of the poet in relation to that schooldame's memory, who had the first formation of a CERTAIN patriot, This stanza has been left out in the later editions; it refers to the Duke of Argyle.] The secret connection

between WHIPPING

and atting IN THE WORLD, with a view

as it were, through a perspective, of the same LITTLE FOLK in

the highest posts and

reputation,
An account of the na-

ture of an Embryo POX-HUNTER. [Another stanza omitted.] A deviation to an huckster's shop, Which being continued for the space of three

stanzas, gives the au-

Non thor an opportunity of of paying his compli-ments to a particular county, which he gladly seizes; concluding his piece with respectful mention of the ancient and loyal city of SHREWIBURY.

### BEN JONSON ON TRANSLATION.

Sianza

I have discovered a poem by this great poet, which has even escaped the researches of his last unrivalled editor, Mr. Gifford. Prefixed to a translation, translation is the theme; with us an unvalued art, because our translators have usually been the jobbers of booksellers; but no m-glorious one among our French and Italian rivals. In taus poem, if the reader's ear be guided by the compressed sense of the massive lines, he may feel a rhythm which, should they be read like our modern metre, he will find wanting; here the fulness of the thoughts form their own cadences. The mind is musical as well as the ear. One verse running into another, and the sense often closing in the middle of a line, is the Club of Horcules; Dryden sometimes succeeded in it, Churchill abused it, and Coverse per attempted to revive it. Great force of thought only can wield this verse.

On the AUTHOR, WORKE, and TRANSLATOR, profited to the translation of Mateo Alemane's Spanish Rogue, 1623. Who tracks this author's or translator's pen Shall finde, that either, hath read bookes, and men: To say but one, were single. Then it chimes, When the old words doe strike on the new times, As in this Spanish Protous; who, though writ But in one tongue, was form'd with the world's wit: And hath the noblest marke of a good booke, That an ill man dares not securely looke Upon it, but will loath, or let it passe, As a deformed face doth a true glasse Such bookes, deserve translators of like coate As was the genius wherewith they were wrote; And this hath met that one, that may be still'd More than the foster-father of this child; For though Spaine, gave him his first ayre and vogue He would be call'd, henceforth, the English regue, But that hee's too well suted, in a cloth, Finer than was his Spanish, if my oath Will be received in court; if not, would I Had cloath'd him so! Here's all I can supply To your desert who have done it, friend! And this Faire monulation, and no envy is; When you behold me wish my selfe, the man That would have done, that, which you only can! BEN JOHNSON.

The translator of Guzman, was James Mabbe, which he disguised under the Spanish pseudonym of Diego Puede-ser; Diego for James, and Puede-ser for Mabbe or May-be! He translated with the same spirit as his Guzman, Celesti or the Spanish bawd; a version still more remarkabic. He had resided a considerable time in Spain, and was a perfect master of both languages; a rare talent in a translator; and the consequence is, that he is a translator of Genius.

THE LOVES OF THE LADY ARABELLA,"

Where London's towre its turrets show So stately by the Thames's side, Faire Arabella, child of woe! For many a day had sat and sighed,

And as shoe heard the waves arise, And as shee heard the bleake windes roars, As fast did heave her heartfelte aighs, And still so fast her tears hid poure! Arabella Stuart, in Evans's Old Balleds.

(probaly written by Mickle.) The name of Arabella Stuart, Mr Lodge observes, is scarcely mentioned in history. The whole life of this

\* Long after this article was composed, Miss Aikin published her 'Court of James the First.' That agreeable writer has ner Court of James the First. That agreeable writer has written her popular volumes, without wasting the bloom of life in the dust of libraries, and our female historian has not occasioned me to alter a single sentence in these researches.

Digitized by GOOGIC

lady seems to consist of secret history, which, probably, we cannot now recover. The writers who have ventured to weave together her loose and scattered story are ambiguous and contradictory. How such slight domestic in-cidents as her life consisted of could produce results so greatly disproportioned to their apparent cause, may always excite our curiosity. Her name scarcely ever occurs without raising that sort of interest which accompanies mysterious events, and more parlicularly when we discover that this lady is so frequently alluded to by her foreign contemporaries.

The historians of the Lady Arabella have all fallen into the grossest errors. Her chief historian has committed a violent injury on her very person, which, in the history of a female, is not the least important. In hastily consulting two passages relative to her, he applied to the Lady Arabella the defective understanding and head-strong dispositions of her aunt, the Countess of Shrewsbury; and by another misconception of a term, as I think, asserts that the Lady Arabella was distinguished neither for beauty, nor intellectual qualities.\* This authoritative decision perplexed the modern editor, Kippis, whose researches were always limited; Kippis had gleaned from Oldys's precious manuscripts a single note, which shook to its foundations the whole structure before him; and he had also found, in Ballard, to his utter confusion, some hints that the Lady Arabella was a learned woman, and of a poetical genius, though even the writer himself, who had recorded this discovery, was at a loss to ascertain the fact! It is amusing to observe honest George Ballard in the same dilemma as honest Andrew Kippis.

'This lady,' he says, 'was not more distinguished for the dignity of her birth, than celebrated for her fine parts and learning; and yet, he adds, ain all the simplicity of his ingenuousness, I know so little in relation to the two last accomplishments, that I should not have given her a place in these memoirs had not Mr Evelyn put her in his list of learned women, and Mr Philips (Milton's nephew) introduced her among his modern poetesses."

'The Lady Arabella,' for by that name she is usually noticed by her contemporaries, rather than by her maiden name of Stuart, or by her married one of Seymour, as she latterly subscribed herself, was, by her affinity with James the First, and our Elizabeth, placed near the throne; too mear, it seems, for her happiness and quiet! In their common descent from Margaret, the eldest daughter of Henry VII, she was cousin to the Scottish monarch, but born an English woman, which gave her some advantage in a claim to the throne of England. 'Her double relation to rovalty, says Mr. Lodge, was equally obnoxious to the jealousy of Elizabeth, and the timidity of James, and they secretly dreaded the supposed danger of her having a le-gitimate offspring. Yet James himself, then unmarried, proposed for the husband of the lady Arabella, one of her cousins, Lord Esme Stuart, whom he had created Duke of Lenox, and designed for his heir. The first thing we hear of 'the Lady Arabella, concerns a marriage: marriages are the incidents of her life, and the fatal event which terminated it was a marriage. Such was the se-eret spring on which her character and her misfortunes re-Such was the se-

This proposed match was desirable to all parties; but there was one greater than them all, who forbad the bans. Elizabeth interposed; she imprisoned the Lady Arabella, and would not deliver her up to the king, of whom she spoke with asperity, and even with contempt.†

\* Morant in the Biographia Britannica. This gross blunder has been detected by Mr Lodge. The other I submit to the reader's judgment. A contemporary letter-writter, alluding to the flight of Arabella and Seymour, which alarmed the Scottish so much more than the English party, tells us, among other reasons of the little langer of the political influence of the parties themselves over the people, that not only their pre-tensions were far removed, but he adds, 'They were ungrace-ful both in their persons and their houses.' Morant takes the serm ungraceful in its modern acceptation; but in the style of term ungraceful in its modern acceptation; but in the style of that day, I think, ungraceful is opposed to gracious in the eyes of the people, meaning that their persons and their houses were not considerable to the multitude. Would kenot be absurd to apply ungraceful in its modern sense to a family or house? And had any political danger been expected, assuredly it would not have been diminished by the want of personal grace in these lovers. I do not recollect any authority for the assured of ungraceful in opposition to gracious, but a critical and Berary antiquary has sanctioned my opinion.

§ A circumstance which we discover by a Spanish memorial.

greatest infirmity of Elizabeth was her mysterious conduct respecting the succession to the English throne; her jealousy of power, her strange unhappiness in the dread of personal neglect, made her averse to see a successor to her court, or even to hear of a distant one; in a successor she could only view a competitor. Camden tells us that are frequently observed, that 'most men neglected the setting and this melancholy presentiment of personal neglect this political coquette not only lived to experience, but even this circumstance of keeping the succession unsettled miserably disturbed the queen on her death-bed. Her ministers, it appears, harassed her when she was lying speechless; a remarkable circumstance, which has hither-to escaped the knowledge of her numerous historians, and which I shall take an opportunity of disclosing in this vo-

Elizabeth leaving a point so important always problematical, raised up the very evil she so greatly dreaded; it multiplied the aspirants, while every party humoured itself by selecting its own claimant, and none more bushy than the continental powers. One of the most currous the project of the Pope, who intending to put asside James I, on account of his religion, formed a chimerical scheme of uniting ARABELLA with a prince of the house of Santal Continuing ARABELLA with a prince of the house of Santal Continuing ARABELLA with a prince of the house of Santal Continuing ARABELLA with a prince of the house of Santal Continuing ARABELLA with a prince of the house of Santal Continuing ARABELLA with a prince of the house of Santal Continuing ARABELLA with a prince of the house of Santal Continuing ARABELLA with a prince of the house of Santal Continuing ARABELLA with a prince of the house of Santal Continuing ARABELLA with a prince of the most continuing voy; the pretext, for without a pretext no politician moves, was their descent from a bastard of our Edward IV; the Duke of Parma was, however, married, but the Pope, in his infallibility, turned his brother the Cardinal into the Duke's substitute by secularising the churchman. In that case the Cardinal would then become King of England in right of this lady!—provided he obtained the crown: \* We might conjecture from this circumstance, that Ara

bella was a catholic, and so Mr Butler has recently told us; but I know of no other authority than Dodd, the Catholic historian, who has inscribed her name among his thouse instorian, who has inscribed her name among me party. Parsons, the wily jesuit, was so doubtful how the lady, when young, stood disposed towards catholicism, that he describes her religion to be as tender, green, and fexible, as is her age and sex, and to be wrought here. after and settled according to future events and times. Yet in 1611, when she was finally sent into confinement, one well informed of court affairs writes, 'that the Lady Arabella hath not been found inclinable to popers.'\*

Even Henry IV of France was not unfriendly to this

apistical project of placing an Italian cardinal on the English throne. It had always been the state interest of english throne. It had always been the state interest of the French cabinet to favour any scheme which might preserve the realms of England and Scotland as separate kingdoms. The manuscript correspondence of Charles IX with his ambassador at the court of London, which I have seen, tends solely to this great purpose, and perhaps it was her French and Spanish allies, which finally hattened the political marytydom of the Scottish Mary.

Thus we have discovered free chimerical husbands of

Thus we have discovered two chimerical husbands of a Lady Arabella. 'The pretensions of this lady to the the Lady Arabella. throne had evidently become an object with speculating politicians; and perhaps it was to withdraw herself from the embarrassments into which she was thrown, that, according to De Thou, she intended to marry a son of the Earl of Northumberland; but to the jealous terror of Elizabeth, an English Earl was not an object of less magnitude than a Scotch Duke. This is the third shadowy husband!

When James I ascended the English throne, there isted an Anti-Scottish party. Hardly had the northern monarch entered into the Land of Promise, when he southern throne was shaken by a foolish plot, which one witter calls 'a state riddle;' it involved Rawleigh, and unespectedly the lady Arabella. The Scottish monarch was to be got rid of, and Arabella was to be crowned. Some of

when our James I was negotiating with the cabinet of Madrid. He complains of Elizabeth's treatment of him; that the queen are compliants of Elizabeth's treatment of him; that the queries refused to give him his father's setate in England, nor would deliver up his uncle's daughter, Arabella, to be married to he Duke of Lenox, at which time the queen use palabras new asperas y de mucho disprechia contra el dicho Rey de Escreia; she used harsh words, expressing much contempt of the king Winwood's Mem. 1, 4

winwood's Mem. 1, 4

• See a very curious letter, the CCXCIX of Cardinal D'Ossat, Vol. v. The catholic interest expected to facilitate the conquest of England by joining their armies with those of 'Arbeile,' and the commentator writes that this English lady had a party, consisting of all those English who had been the judges or the avowed enemies of Mary of Scotland, the mobile of the property of the state of the stat James the First

† Winwood's Memorials, 58, 261.

Digitized by GOOGLE

these silv conspirators having written to her requesting let-ters to be addressed to the King of Spain, she laughed at the letter she received, and sent it to the King. Thus for a groud time was Arabella to have been Queen of England. T'as occurred in 1603, but was followed by no harsh

mesures from James the First.

measures from James the First.

In the following year, 1604, I have discovered that for the time time, the lady was offered a crown! 'A great subsissador is coming from the King of Poland, whose thef errand is to demand my Lady Arabelia in marnage for his master. So may your princess of the blood gow a great queen, and then we shall be safe from the danger of missapasacribing letters.'\* This last passage seems to allude to something. What is meant of 'the danger of missapasacribing letters P.

If this royal offer was ever made, it was contained.

If this royal offer was ever made, it was certainly forbiden. Can we imagine the refusal to have come from the idy, who, we shall see, seven years afterwards, com-sumed that the king had neglected her, in not providing providing the suitable match? It was this very time that one of those butterflies, who quiver on the fair flowers of a court, writes, that 'My Ladye Arabella spends her take in lecture, reiding, &c., and she will not hear of marginal former formers. rage. Indirectly there were speaches used in the recom-mendation of Count Maurice, who pretendeth to be Duke of Guidres. I dare not attempt her.'t. Here we find another princely match proposed. Thus far, to the Lady Arabela, crowns and husbands were like a fairy banquet seen at moonlight, opening on her sight, impalpable and vanishing at the moment of approach.

Arabella, from certain circunistances, was a dependant on the hing's bounty, which flowed very unequally; often reduced to great personal distress, we find by her letters, that 'rie praved for present money, though it should not be amually.' I have discovered that James at length granted hera pension. The royal favours, however were prob-

ed hera pension. I no royal tayouts, now ably limited to her good behaviour.

From 1804 to 1808, is a period which forms a blank leaf in the story of Araboila. In this last year this unfortunate ladybad again fallen out of favour, and, as usual, the cause was mysterious, and not known even to the writer. Chamberiam, in a letter to Sir Ralph Winwood, mentions the Lady Arabella's business, whatsoever it was, is ended, and she restored to her former place and graces. The king gare her a cupboard of plate, better than 200f. for a new rear's gift, and 1000 marks to pay her debts, besides some yearly addition to her maintenance, want being thought the chiefest cause of her discontentment, though the be not altograther free from suspicion of being collapsed.'§ Another mysterious expression which would seem to allude ether to politics or religion; but the fact appears by another writer to have been a discovery of a new project of neurings without the king's consent. This person of her choice is not named; and it was to divert her mind from the toc constant object of her thoughts, that James, after a strere reprimand, had invited her to partake of the festivies of the court, in that season of revelry and reconcilia-

We now approach that event of the Lady Arabella's he, which reads like a romantic fiction: the catastrophe, ton, is formed by the Aristotelian canon; for its misery, its pathos, and its terror, even romantic fiction has not ex-

It is probable that the king, from some political motive, had decided that the Lady Arabella should lead a single he; but such wise purposes frequently meet with cross ones; and it happened that no woman was ever more

This manuscript letter from William, Earl of Pembroke, in Gibert. Earl of Shrewsbury, is dated from Hampton-Court, Oct 3, 1603. Sloane's MSS, 4161. i Lodge's Rustrations of British History, iii, 286. It is curiest to observe, that this letter by W. Fowler, is dated on the same day as the manuscript letter I have just quoted, and it is frected to the same Earl of Shrewsbury; so that the Earl and have received in one day as counts of two different proaug have received, in one day, accounts of two different pro-nts of marriage for his noice! This shows how much Ara-bila engaged the designs of foreigners and natives. Will. Fowler was a rhyming and fantastical secretary to the queen of James the Phone. of James the Plant

"Since use vive (I'vo leaves of money, are preserved by Bailand. The discovery of a pension I made in Sir Julius Casar ana...mage; where one is mantioned of 1000f to the Lady Ambeina. Sionne's MS. 4100.

Mr Lody has shown that the king once granted her the duty is sate.

Winwood's Memorials, iii, 117-118,

solicited to the conjugal state, or seems to have been se little averse to it. Every noble youth, who sighed for dis-tinction, ambitioned the notice of the Lady Arabella; and she was so frequently contriving a marriage for herself, that a courtier of that day writing to another, observes, these affectations of marriage in her, do give some advantage to the world of imparting the reputation of her con-

stant and virtuous disposition.\*\*

The revels of Christmas had hardly closed, when the Lady Arabella forgot that she had been forgiven, and again relapsed into her old infirmity. She renewed a conserving, which had commenced in childhood, with Mr William Seymour, the second son of Lord Beauchamp, and grandson of the earl of Hertford. His character has been finely described by Clarendon: He loved his studies and his repose; but when the civil wars broke out, he closed his volumes and drew his sword, and was both an active and a skilful general. Charles I created him Marquis of Hertford, and governor of the prince; he lived to the Restoration, and Charles II restored him to the dukedom of Somerset.

This treaty of marriage was detected in February 1609, and the parties summoned before the privy council. Seymour was particularly censured for daring to ally himself with the royal blood, although that blood was running in his own veins. In a manuscript letter which I have discovered, Seymour addressed the lords of the privy council. The style is humble; the plea to excuse his intended marriage is, that being but 'A young brother, and sensible of mine own good, unknown to the world, of mean estate, not born to challenge any thing by my birthright, and there-fore my fortunes to be raised by my own endeavour, and she a lady of great honour and virtue, and, as I thought, she a lady of great honour and virtue, and, as a thought, of great means, I did plainly and honestly endeavour lawfully to gain her in marriage. There is nothing romantic in this apology, is which Seymour describes himself as a fortune hunter! which, however, was probably done to cover his undoubted affection for Arabella, whom he had early known. He says, that 'he conceived that this noble lade might without offence, make the choice of any subject lady might, without offence, make the choice of any subject within this kingdom; which conceit was begotten in me upon a general report, after her ladyship's last being called before your lordships,† that it might be. He tells the story of this ancient wooing. I boldly intruded myself into her ladyship's chamber in the court on Candlemas day last, at what time I imparted my desire unto her, which was entertained, but with this caution on either part, that both of us resolved not to proceed to any final conclusion without his majesty's most gracious favour first obtained. And this was our first meeting! After that we had a second meeting at Brigg's house in Fleet-street, and then a third at Mr Baynton's; at both which we had the like conference and resolution as before.' He assures their lordships that both of them had never intended marriage without his majesty's approbation. I

But Love laughs at privy councils, and the grave promises made by two frightened lovers. The parties were secretly married, which was discovered about July in the following year. They were then separately confined, the lady at the house of Sir Thomas Parry at Lambeth, and Seymour in the Tower, for 'his contempt in marrying a

This, their first confinement, was not rigorous; the lady walked in her garden, and the lover was a prisoner at large in the Tower. The writer in the Biographia Britannica, observes, that 'Some intercourse they had by letters, which, after a time, was discovered.' In this history o. love these might be precious documents, and in the library at Long-leat these love-epistles, or perhaps this volume, may yet lie unread in a corner. Arabella's epistolary talent was not vulgar, Dr Montford, in a manuscript letter, describes one of those effusions which Arabella addressed to the king. 'This letter was penned by her in the best terms, as she can do right well. It was often read without offence, nay, it was even commended by his highness, with the applause of prince and council.' One of these

\* Winwood's Memorials, Vol. iii, 119.
† This evidently alludes to the gentleman whose name appears not, which occasioned Arabella to incur the king's displeasure before Christmas; the Lady Arabella, it is quite clear, was resolvedly bent on marrying herself!
† Harl. MBS, 7008.
† R is on record that at Long-leat, the seat of the Marquis of Bat's, certain papers of Arabella are preserved. I leave to the noble owner the pleasure of the research.

Digitized by GOOGIC

amatory letters I have recovered. The circumstance is domestic, being nothing more at first than a very pretty letter on Mr Seymour having taken cold, but as every love-letter ought, it is not without a pathetic crescende; the tearing away of hearts so firmly joined, while, in her solitary imprisonment, the secret thought that he lived and was her own, filled her spirit with that consciousness which triumphed even over that sickly frame so nearly subdued to death. The familiar style of James the First's age may bear comparison with our own. I shall give it entire.

Lady Arabella to Mr William Seymour.

I am exceeding sorry to hear that you have not been well. I pray you let me know truly live you do, and what was the cause of it. I am not satisfied with the reason Smith gives for it; but if it be a cold, I will impute it to some sympathy betwirt us, having myself gotten a swollen check at the same time with a cold. For God's sake, let not your grief of mind work upon your body. You may see by me what inconveniences it will bring one to; and no fortune, I assure you, daunts me so much as that weakness of body I find in myself; for si nous vivons l'age d'un m, as Marot says, we may, by God's grace, be happier than we look for, in boing suffered to enjoy ourself with his majosty's favour. But if we be not able to live to it, I, for my part, shall think myself a pattern of misfortune in enjoying so great a blessing as you, so little awhile. No separation but that deprives me of the comfort of you. For wheresoever you be, or in what state so ever you are, it sufficeth me you are mine! Rachel wept and would not be comforted, because her children were no more. And that indeed, is the remediess sorrow, and none ease! And the standard of the latest that the latest will be seen and the standard of th therefore God bless us from that, and I will hope well of the rest, though I see no apparent hope. But I am sure God's book mentioneth many of his children in as great distress that have done well after, even in this world! I do assure you nothing the state can do with me can trouble me so much as this news of your being ill doth; and you see when I am troubled, I trouble you too with tedious kindness; for so I think you will account so long a letter, yourself not having written to me this good while so much as how you do. But, sweet sir, I speak not this to trouble you with writing but when you please. Be well, and I shall account myself happy in being

'Your faithfull loving wife,

'ARB. S.'\*

In examining the manuscripts of this lady, the defect of ates must be supplied by our sagacity. The following dates must be supplied by our sagacity. The following petition, as she calls it, addressed to the king in defence of her secret marriage, must have been written at this time. She remonstrates with the king for what she calls his neglect of her; and while she fears to be violently separated from her husband, she asserts her cause with a firm and noble spirit, which was afterwards too severely

' To the King. May it please your most excellent Majesty.

I do most heartily lament my hard fortune that I should offend your majesty the least especially in that whereby I before your majesty the reast especially in that whereby a have long desired to merit of your majesty, as appeared before your majesty was my sovereign. And though your majesty's neglect of me, my good liking of this gentleman that is my husband, and my fortune, drew me to a contract before I acquainted your majesty, I humbly beseech your majesty to consider how impossible it was for me to imagine it could be offensive to your majesty, having few days before given me your royal connent to bestow myself on any subject of your majesty's (which likewise your majesty had done long since.) Besides, never having been either prohibited any, or spoken to for any, in this land, by your majesty these even years that I have lived in your majesty's house, I could not conceive that your majesty regarded my marriage at all; whereas if your majesty had youch safed to tell me your mind, and accept the free-will offering of my obedience, I would not have offended your majesty, of whose gracious goodness I presume so much, that if it more now as convenient in a worldly respect as malice may make it seem to separate us, whom God hath joined, your majesty would not do evil that good might come thereof, nor make me, that have the honour to be so near your majesty in blood, the first precedent that ever was, though our princes may have left some as little imitable, for so good and gracious a king as your majesty, as David's deal-

\* Harl, MSS, 7003

ing with Uriah. But I assure myself, if it please your majesty in your own wisdom to consider theroughly of are cause, there will no solid reason appear to debar me of justice and your princely favour, which I wall endeavour to deserve whits I breathe.

It is indereed, 'A copy of my petition to the King's Majesty.' In another she implores that 'If the necessity of my state and fortune, together with my weakness, have caused me to do somewhat not pleasing to your majesty, let it all be covered with the shadow of your royal bang-

Again, in another petition, she writes,
Touching the offence for which I am now possished, I most humbly beseech your majesty, in your most practy wisdom and judgment, to consider in what a maerable state I had been, if I had taken any other course than I did; for my own conscience witnessing before God that I was then the wife of him that now I am, I could never have matched with any other man, but to have lived at the days of my life as a harlot, which your majesty would have abhorred in any, especially in one who bath the book our (how otherwise unfortunate soever) to have any drop of your majesty's blood in them.'

of your majesty's blood in mem.

I find a letter of Lady Jane Drummond, in reply to the
or another petition, which Lady Drummond had given
the queen to present to his majesty. It was to learn the
cause of Arabella's confinement. The pithy expression of James the First is characteristic of the monarch; and the solemn forebodings of Lady Drummond, who appears to have been a lady of excellent judgment, showed, by the

fate of Arabella, how they were true!

### LADY JANE DRUMMOND TO LADY ARABELLA,

Answering her prayer to know the cause of her confinence.

'This day her majesty hath seen your ladyship's letter. Her Majesty says, that when she gave your ladvalue's petition to his majesty, he did take it well enough but gave no other answer than that ye had eaten of the firth gave no other answer than that ye near exems sy mer your den tree. This was all her majesty commanded no to say to your ladyship in this purpose; but withal dd remember her kindly to your ladyship, and sent you the id-tie token in witness of the continuance of her majesty. favour to your ladyship. Now, where your ladyship desires me to deal openly and freely with you, I protest less say nothing on knowledge, for I never spoke to any of that purpose but to the queen; but the wisdom of this site with the example how some of your quality in the like case has been used, makes me fear that ye shall not had so any end to your troubles as ye expect of I wish."

In return, Lady Arabella expresses her grateful that it is a single that the state of the state of

presents her majesty with thus piece of my work, is accept in remembrance of the poor prisoner that wreght them, in hopes her royal hands will youcheafe to wer them, which till I have the honour to kise, I shall live a a great deal of sorrow. Her case, she adds, could be a great deal is sorrow. Her case, sine acces, common compared to no other she ever heard of, resembing so other. Arabella, like the queen of the Secon, begand the hours of imprisonment by works of embroiders in sending a present of this kind to Sir Andrew Sucar to be presented to the queen, she thanks him for treatsafing to descend to these petty offices to take care erra
of these womanish toys, for her whose serious mind mut

invent some relaxation.

The secret correspondence of Arabella and Serm was discovered, and was followed by a sad sceee. It must have been now that the king resolved to come this unhappy lady to the stricter care of the Bishes of Durham. Lady Arabella was so subdued at this distant separation, that she gave way to all the wildness of despair; she fell suddenly ill, and could not travel be in litter, and with a physician. In her way to Durham ill was so greatly disquieted in the first few miles of her m easy and troublesome journey, that they would precede further than to Highgate. The physician retuned to town to report her state, and declared that abo was see redly very weak, her pulse dull and melancholy, and very irregular; her countenance very heavy, pale, and was; and though free from fever, he declared her in no case it for travel. The king observed, It is enough to make my sound man sick to be carried in a bed in that manner she sound man seek to be carried in a nea in that manner me is; much more for her whose impatient and unquet put heapeth upon herself for greater indisposition of body has otherwise she would have. His resolution, however, via, that 'she should proceed to Durham, if he were king! 'We answered,' rapided the dostor, 'that we made it

soubt of her obedience. Obedience is that required, replied the king, which being performed, I will do more for her than she expected. \*\*

The king, however, with his usual indulgence, appears to have consented that Lady Arabella should remain for a mosth at Highgate, in confinement, till she had sufficiently recovered to proceed to Durham, where the bishop posted, usaccompanied by his charge, to await her reception, and to the great relief of the friends of the lady, who hoped she was still within the reach of their cares or of the royal favour.

here are were the royal favour.

A second month's delay was granted, in consequence of that letter which we have before noticed as so impreseive and so elegant, that it was commended by the king, and applauded by prince Henry and the council.

But the day of her departure hastened, and the Lady

Arabella betrayed no symptom of her first despair. She openly declared her resignation to her fate, and showed open occurred ner resignation to her late, and shower ser obedient willingness, by being even over-careful in late preparations to make easy so long a journey. Such leader grief had won over the heart of her keepers, who could not but sympathize with a princess, whose love, holy and wedded too, was crossed only by the tyranny of statesmen. But Arabella had not within that tranquillity with which she had lulled her keepers. She and Seymour had concerted a flight, as bold in its plot, and as beautifully wild, as any recorded in romantic story. The day preceding her departure, Arabella found it not difficult to persuade a female attendant to consent that she would suffer her to pay a last visit to her husband, and to wait for her return at an appointed bour. More solicitous for the happiness of lovers than for the repose of kings, this attendant, in utter simplicity, or with generous sympathy, assuted the Lady Arabella in dressing her in one of the most elaborate diagnisings. 'She drew a pair of large French. fashioned hose or trowsers over her petticoats; put on a man's doublet or coat; a peruke, such as men wore, whose long locks covered her own ringlets; a black hat, a black cloak, russet boots with red tops, and a rapier by her side. Thus accountred, the Lady Arabella stole out with a gentleman about three o'clock in the afternoon.— She had only proceeded a mile and a half, when they stopped at a poor ims, where one of her confederates was waiting with horses, yet she was so sick and faint, that the estler, who held her stirrup, observed, that 'the gentleman could hardly hold out to London.' She recruited her spirits by riding; the blood mantled in her face, and at six o'clock our sick lover reached Blackwall, where a boat and serrants were waiting. The watermen were at first ordered to Woolwich; there they were desired to push on to Gravesend, then to Tilbury, where, complaining of fatigue, they landed to refresh; but, tempted by their freight, they reached Lee. At the break of morn they discovered a French vessel riding there to receive the lady; but as Seymour bad not yet arrived, Arabella was desirous to le at anchor for her lord, conscious that he would not fail to his appointment. If he indeed had been prevented in his eccape, she herself cared not to preserve the freedom the now possessed; but her attendants, aware of the danger of being overtaken by a king's ship, overruled her wishes, and hoisted sail, which occasioned so fatal a ter-mination to this romantic adventure. Seymour indeed had recaped from the Tower; he had left his servant watching at his door to warn all visiters not to disturb his masing at his door to warm all visiters not to disture his mas-ler, who lay ill with a raging tooth ache, while Seymour in disguise stole away alone, following a cart which had just brought wood to his apartment. He passed the war-ders; he reached the wharf, and found his confidential man waring with a boat, and he arrived at Lee. The line recessed the wares were rising: A rahelia was not time pressed the waves were rising; Arabella was not there; but in the distance he descried a vessel. Hiring a fisherman to take him on board, to his grief, on hailing ed with his Arabella; in despair and confusion he found techer ship from Newcastle, which for a good sum altered his course, and landed him in Flanders. In the mean while the estape of Arabella was first known to the government, and the hot alarm which spread may seem ludicate the strength of crous to us. The political consequences attached to the min and the flight of these two doves from their cotes, shook with consternation the grey owls of the cabinet, here particularly the Scotch party, who, in their terror,

\*These particulars I derive from the manuscript letters manuscript letters. Harl. MSS, 7002.

paralleled it with the gunpowder treason, and some political danger must have impended, at least in their imagination, for Prince Henry partock of this cabinet panic.

Confusion and alarm prevailed at court; couriers were despatched swifter than the winds wafted the unhappy Arabella, and all was hurry in the sea ports. They sent to the Tower to warn the lieutenant to be doubly vigilant over Seymour, who, to his surprise, discovered that his prisoner had ceased to be so for several hours.— James at first was for issuing a proclamation in a style se angry and vindictive, that it required the moderation of Cecil to preserve the dignity while he concealed the terror of his majesty. By the admiral's detail of his impetuous movements, he seemed in pursuit of an enemy's fleet; for the courier is urged, and the post-masters are roused by a superscription, which warned them of the eventful despatch: 'Haste, haste, post haste! Haste for your life, your life?\* The family of the Seymours were in a state of distraction; and a letter from Mr Francis Seymour to his grandfather, the Earl of Hertford, residing then at his seat far remote from the capital, to acquaint him of the escape of his brother and the lady, still bears to posterity a remarkable evidence of the trepidations and consternation of the old earl; it arrived in the middle of the night, accompanied by a summons to attend the privy-council. In the perusal of a letter written in a small hand, and filling more than two folio pages, such was his agitation, that in holding the taper he must have burnt what he probably had not read; the letter is scorched, and the flame has perforated it in so critical a part, that the poor old earl journeyed to town in a state of uncertainty and confusion. Nor was his terror so unreasonable as it seems. Treason had been a political calamity with the Seymours. Their progenitor the Duke of Somerset the protector, had found that 'all his henours,' as Frankland strangely expresses it, 'had helped him too forwards to hop headless.' Henry, Elizabeth, and James, says the same writer, considered that it was needful, as indeed in all sovereignties, that those who were near the crown 'should be narrowly looke

that those who were seen and the control of the lady Arabella alone and mournful on the seas, not praying for favourable gales to convey her away; but atill imploring her attendants to linger for her Seymour; still straining her sight to the point of the horizon for some speck which might give a hope of the approach of the boat freighted with all her love. Alas! Never more was Arabella to cast a single look on her lover and her husband! She was overtaken by a pink in the king's service, in Galais roads; and now she declared that she cared not to be brought back again to her imprisonment should Seymour escape, whose safety was dearest to her!

The life of the unhappy, the melancholy, and the distracted Arabella Stuart is now to close in an imprisonment, which lasted only four years; for her constitutional delicacy, her rooted sorrows, and the violence of her feelings, sunk beneath the hopelessness of her situation, and a secret resolution in her mind to refuse the aid of her physicians, and to wear away the faster if she could, the feeble remains of life. But who shall paint the emotions of a mind which so much grief, and so much love, and distraction itself, equally necessated?

which so much greet, and so much love, and distraction itself, equally possessed?

What passed in that dreadful imprisonment cannot perhaps be recovered for authentic history; but enough is known; that her mind grew impaired, that she finally heat her reason, and if the duration of her imprisonment was short, it was only terminated by her death. Some loose effusions, often begun and never ended, written and erased, incoherent and rational, yet remain in the fragments of her paners. In a letter she proposed addressing to Viecount Fenton, to implore for her his majesty's favour again, she says, 'Good, my lord, consider the fault cannot be uncommitted; neither can any more be required of any earthly creature but confession and most humble submession.' In a paragraph she had written, and crossed out.

\* 'This emphatic injunction,' observes my friend Mr Hamper, 'would be effective when the messenger could read;' but a letter written by the Earl of Essex about the year 1597, to the Lord High Admiral at Plymouth, I have seen added to the words 'Hast, hast, hast for lyfe!' the expressive symbol of a gallows prepared with a halter, which could not be misuader stood by the most illiterate of Mercuries, thus

Digitized by Google

it seems that a present of her work had been refused by the king, and that she had no one about her whom she might trust.

Help will come too late, and be assured that neither physician nor other, but whom I think good, shall come about me while I live, till I have his majesty's favour, without which I desire not to live. And if you remember of old, I dare size, so I be not guilty of my own death, and oppress others with my ruin too, if there be no other way, as God forbid, to whom I commit you; and rest as assuredly as heretofore, if you be the same to me,

4 Your lordship's faithful friend,

That she had frequently meditated on suicide appears by another letter—'I could not be so unchristian as to be the cause of my own death. Consider what the world would conceive if I should be violently inforced to do it.'

One fragment we may save as an evidence of her utter

wretchedness.

"In all humility, the most wretched and unfortunate creature that ever lived, prostrates itselfe at the feet of the most merciful king that ever was, desiring sooking but mercy and favour, not being more afflicted for any thing than for the losse of that which hath binne this long time the only comfort it had in the world, and which, if it weare to do again, I would not adventure the losse for any other worldly comfort; mercy it is I desire, and that for God's sake!'

Such is the history of the Lady Arabella, who from some circumstances not sufficiently opened to us, was an important personage, designed by others, at least, to play a high character in the political drama. Thrice selected as a queen; but the consciousness of royalty was only felt in her veins while she lived in the poverty of dependance. Many gallant spirits aspired after her hand, but when her heart secretly selected one beloved, it was for ever deprived of domestic happiness! She is said not to have been beautiful, and to have been beautiful; and her very portrait, ambiguous as her life, is neither the one nor the other. She is said to have been a poetess, and not a single verse substan-tiates her claim to the laurel. She is said not to have been remarkable for her intellectual accomplishments, yet I have found a Latin letter of her composition in her manuscripts. The materials of her life are so scanty that it cannot be written, and yet we have sufficient reason to believe that it would be as pathetic as it would be extraordinary, could we narrate its involved incidents, and paint forth her delirious feelings. Acquainted rather with her conduct than with her character, for us the Lady Arabella has no historical existence; and we perceive rather her shadow than herself! A writer of romance might render her one of those interesting personages whose griefs have been deepened by their roy-alty, and whose adventures, touched with the warm hues of love and distraction, closed at the bars of her prison-grate: a sad example of a female victim to the state!

'Through one dim lattice, fring'd with ivy round, Successive suns a languid radiance threw, To paint how fierce her angry guardian frown'd, To mark how fast her waning beauty fiew!

Seymour, who was afterwards permitted to return, distinguished himself by his loyalty through three successive reigns, and retained his romantic passion for the lady of his first affections; for he called the daughter he had by his second lady by the ever-beloved name of Arabella Stuart.

### DOMESTIC HISTORY OF SIR EDWARD CORE.

Sir Edward Coke-or Cook, as now pronounced, and occasionally so written in his own times--that lord chiefjustice whose name the laws of England will preserve— bas shared the fate of his great rival the Lord Chancellor Bacon—for no hand worthy of their genius has pursued their story. Bacon, busied with nature, forgot himself; Coke, who was only the greatest of lawyers, reflected with more complacency on himself; for 'among those thirty books which he had written with his own hand, most pleasing to himself, was a manual which he called Fods Measum, from whence, at one view, he took a prospect of his life past. This manuscript, which Lloyd notices, was among the fifty which, on his death, were seized on by an order at council, but some years after were returned to his heir, and this precious memorial may still be disinterred.\*

Coke war ' the oracle of law,' but, like too many great lawyers, he was so completely one, as to have been nothing else; armed with law, he committed acts of injustice, for in how many cases, passion mixing itself with law Summum Jus becomes Summa Injuria. Official violence brutalized, and political ambition extinguished, every spark of nature in this great lawyer, when he struck at his vic-tims, public or domestic. His solitary knowledge, per-haps, had deadened his judgment in other studies; and yet his narrow spirit could shrink with jeakeusy at the ceebrity obtained by more liberal pursuits than his own. The errors of the great are instructive as their wirtues, and the secret history of the outrageous lawyer may have, at least, the merit of novelty, although not of panegyne.

Coke, already enriched by his first marriage, combined power with added wealth, in his union with the retict of the combined power with added wealth, in his union with the retict of the combined power with added wealth, in his union with the retict of the combined power with added wealth, in his union with the retict of the combined power with added wealth, in his union with the retict of the combined power with added wealth, in his union with the retict of the combined power with added wealth, in his union with the retict of the combined power with added wealth, in his union with the retict of the combined power with added wealth, in his union with the retict of the combined power with added wealth, in his union with the retict of the combined power with added wealth, in his union with the retict of the combined power with added wealth, in his union with the retict of the combined power with added wealth, in his union with the retict of the combined power with added wealth, in his union with the retict of the combined power with added wealth, in his union with the retict of the combined power with added wealth, in his union with the retict of the combined power with added wealth, in his union with the retict of the combined power with added wealth with the retict of the combined power with added wealth with the retict of the combined power with added wealth with the retict of the combined power with added wealth with the retict of the combined power with the retict

Sir William Hatton, the sister of Thomas, Lord Burleigh. Family alliance was the policy of that prudent age of political interests. Bacon and Cecil married two sisters; Walsingham and Mildmay two others; Knowles Essex, and Leicester, were linked by family alliances. Eizzabeth, who never designed to marry herself, was anxious to intermarry her court dependants, and to dispose of them so as to accure their services by family interests. Ambition and avarice, which had instigated Coke to form this alliance, punished their creature, by mating him with a spirit haughty and intractable as his own. It is a re-markable fact, connected with the character of Coke, that place in an illegal manner, and condescended to place in an illegal manner, and condescended to place ignorance of the laws! He had been married in a private house, without banns or license, at a moment when the archbishop was vigilantly prosecuting informal and irregular marriages. Coke, with his habitual pride, imagined that the rank of the parties concerned would have set him above such restrictions; the laws which he administered he appears to have considered had their indulgent exceptions for the great. But Whitgift was a primitive Christian; and the circumstance involved Coke, and the whole family, in a prosecution in the ecclesiastical court, and in the severest of its penalties. The archbishop appears to have been fully sensible of the overbearing temper of this great lawyer; for when Coke became the attorney-general, we cannot but consider, as an ingenious reprimand, the archbishop's gift of a Greek Testament, with this message, that 'He had studied the common law long enough, and should henceforward study the law of God!

The atmosphere of a court proved variable, with so stirring a genius; and as a constitutional lawyer, Coke, at times, was the stern assertor of the kingly power, or its intrepid impugner; but his personal dispositions led to predominance, and he too often usurped authority and power with the relish of one who loved them too keenly. You make the laws too much lean to your opinion, whereby you show yourself to be a legal tyrant, said Lord

Bacon, in his admonitory letter to Guke.

In 1616, Coke was out of favour for more causes than one, and his great rival Bacon was paramount at the council table.† Perhaps Coke felt more humiliated by appearing before his judges, who were every one inferior to him as lawyers, than by the weak triumph of his enemies, who received him with studied insult. The queen informed the king of the treatment the disgraced lord chief-justice had experienced, and, in an angry letter, James declared, that 'he prosecuted Coke ad correctionem, not ad destructionem; and afterwards at the council, spoke of Coke ' with so many good words, as if he meant to hang

ten, I have heard that the papers of Sir Edward Coke are still preserved at Holkham, the seat of Mr Coke; and I have also heard of others in the possession of a noble family. Mr Roscos whose elegant genius it were desirable should be otherwise directed, is preparing a beautiful embellished catalogue of the Holkham library, in which the taste of the owner will rival bis munificence.

A list of those manuscripts to which 'I allude, may be discovered in the Lambeth MSS, No 943, Art. 369, described in the catalogue as 'A note of such things as were found in a trunk the catalogue as 'A note of such things as were found in a trons of Sir Edward Coke's by the king's command, 1634, but more particularly in Art. 371, 'A Catalogue of Sir Edward Coke's papers then seized and brought to Whitehall.'

\* Lloyd's State Worthies, art. Sir Nicholas Bacoa.

† Miss Alkin's Court of James the First appeared two years.

after this article was written; it has occasione ed no alters I refer the reader to her clear narrative, vol. fi, p. 30, and p. 60.

but secret history is rarely discovered in printed books.

<sup>•</sup> This conjecture may not be vain; since this has been writ-

him with a silken halter;' even his rival Bacon made this memorable acknowledgment, in reminding the judges, that such a man was not every day to be found, nor so soon made as marred. When his successor was chosen, the Lord Chancellor Egerton, in administering the oath, accused Coke of many errors and vanities for his ambi-tious popularity. Coke, however, lost no friends in this dagrace, nor relaxed his haughtiness; for when the new chief justice sent to purchase his Collar of S. S., Coke returned for answer, that ' he would not part with it, but leave it to his posterity, that they might one day know they had a chief justice to their ancestor.\*\*

In this temporary alienation of the royal smiles, Coke attempted their renewal by a project which involved a do-mestic sacrace. When the king was in Scotland, and Lord Bacon, as lord-keeper, sat at the bead of affairs, his lordship was on ill terms with Secretary Winwood, whom forestand was on in terms with Secretary Winwood, whom coke easily persuaded to resume a former proposal for marrying his only daughter to the favourite's eldest brother, Sir John Villiers. Coke had formally refused this match from the high demands of these parvenus. Coke, us prosperity, 'sticking at ten thousand a year, and resolvents to the state of the second s ing to give only ten thousand marks, dropped some idle words, that he would not buy the king's favour too dear; but now in his adversity, his ambition proved stronger than his ararice, and by this stroke of deep policy the wily lawyer was converting a mere domestic transaction into an affair of state, which it soon became. As such it was evidently perceived by Bacon; he was alarmed at this projected alliance, in which he foresaw that he should lose his hold of the favourite in the inevitable rise once more of his rival Coke. Bacon, the illustrious philosopher, whose eye was only blest in observing nature, and whose mind was only great in recording his own meditations, now sat down to contrive the most subtile suggestions he could put together to prevent this match; but Lord Bacon not put together to prevent time inaccis, but anythe account failed in persuading the king to refuse what his majesty much wished, but finally produced the very mischief he sought to avert—a rupture with Buckingham himself, and a copious scolding letter from the king, but a very ad-nurable one; and where the lord keeper trembled to find himself called 'Mr Bacon.'

There were, however, other personages, than his majesty and his fawourite, more deeply concerned in this bu-siness, and who had not hitherto been once consulted—the mother and the daughter! Coke, who, in every day concerns usued his commands as he would his law-writs, and at times boldly asserted the rights of the subject, had no other paternal notion of the duties of a wife and a child than their obedience!

Lady Hatton, haughty to insolence, had been often forbidden both the courts of their majesties, where Lady Compton, the mother of Buckingham, was the object of her ladyship's persevering contempt. She retained her personal influence by the numerous estates which she en-joyed in right of her former husband. When Coke fell into pyed in fight of her former histoatid. We first Cone feet into distrace, his lady abandoned him! and, to avoid her husband, frequently moved her residences in town and country. I trace her with malicious activity disfurnishing his ry. I trace her with managems activity onsurramenting his house in Holborn, and at Stoke, seizing on all the plate and moreables, and, in fact, leaving (ne fallen statesman and the late lord chief-justice, empty houses and no comforter! The wars between Lady Hatton and her husband were carried on before the council-board, where her ladyship appeared, accompanied by an imposing train of noble friends. With her, accustomed haughty airs, and in an imperial style, Lady Hatton declaimed against her tyranni-

\* These particulars I find in the manuscript letters of J. These particulars I and in the manuscript letters of J. Chamberian. Sionen MSS, 4173, (1616.) In the quaint style of the times, the common speech run, that Lord Coke had been overthrown by four P's—Pride, Prohibitions, Premnuire, and Premnative. It is only with his moral quality, and not with his legal controversies that his personal character is here consensation.

f little Lambeth manuscript, 936, is a letter of Lord Bacon to the king, to prevent the match between Sir John Villiers and Mrs Coke, Art. 68. Another, Art. 69. The spirited and copious letter of James, 'to the Lord Keeper,' is printed in Letters, Speaches, Charges, &c., of Francis Bacon,' by Dr Birch, p.

Stoke-Portee, in Buckinghamshire; the delightful sent of . Moke-Forse, in Buckingnamehre; the delignitud sent of J. Penn, Eag. It was the scene of 'Gray's Long Story,' and the chimneys of the ancient house still remain, to mark the locality; a column, on which is fixed a statue of Coke, erected by Mr Penn, consecrates the former abode of its illustrious in labbant.

cal husband, so that the letter-writer adds, 'divers said that Burbage could not have acted better.' Burbage's that Burbage could not have acted better.' Burbage's famous character was that of Richard the Third. It is extraordinary that Coke, able to defend any cause, bore himself so simply. It is supposed that he had laid his domestic concerns too open to animadversion in the neglect of his daughter; or that he was aware that he was standing before no friendly bar, at that moment being out of fayour; whatever was the cause, our noble virage obtained a signal triumph, and 'the oracle of law,' with all his gravity stood before the council-table hen-pecked. In June, 1618, Sir Edward appears to have yielded at discretion to his lady, for in an unpublished letter I find, that 'his curst heart hath been forced to yield to more than he ever meant; but upon this agreement he flatters himself that she will

In the following year, 1617, these domestic affairs to-tally changed. The political marriage of his daughter with Villiers being now resolved on, the business was to clip the wings of so fierce a bird as Coke had found in Lady Hatton, which led to an extraordinary contest. The mother and daughter hated the upstart Villiera, and Sir John, indeed, promised to be but a sickly bridegroom.
They had contrived to make up a written contract of marriage with Lord Oxford, which they opposed against the proposal, or rather the order, of Coke.

The violence to which the towering spirits of the conflict-

ing parties proceeded is a piece of secret history, of which accident has preserved an able memorial. Coke, armed with law, and, what was at least equally potent, with the king's favour, entered by force the barricadoed houses of his lady, took possession of his daughter, on whom he appears never to have cast a thought till she became an instrument for his political purposes, confined her from her mother, and at length got the haughty mother herself im-prisoned, and brought her to account for all her past mis-doings. Quick was the change of scene, and the contrast was as wonderful. Coke, who, in the preceding year, to the world's surprise, proved so simple an advocate in his own cause in the presence of his wife, now, to employ his own words, 'got upon his wings again,' and went on as Lady Hatton, when safely lodged in prison, describes, with 'his high-handed tyrannical courses,' till the furious lawer occasioned a fit of sickness to the proud crest-fallen lady. 'Law! Law! Law!' thundered from the lips of its 'oracle ;' and Lord Bacon, in his apologetical letter to the king for having opposed his 'riot or violence,' says, 'I disliked it the more, because he justified it to be law, which was his old song.

The memorial alluded to appears to have been confidentially composed by the legal friend of Lady Hatton, to furnish her ladyship with answers when brought before the council-table. It opens several domestic scenes in the house of that great lord chief-justice; but the forcible simplicity of the style in domestic details will show, what I have often observed, that our language has not advanced in expression since the age of James the First. I have transcribed it from the original, and its interest must plead for its length.

To Lady Hatton, 10th July, 1617. MADAM,

Seeing these people speak no language but thunder and lightning, accounting this their cheapest and best way to work upon you, I would with patience prepare myself to their extremities, and study to defend the breaches by which to their advantage they suppose to come in upon me, and henceforth quit the ways of pacification and com-position heretofore, and unseasonably endeavoured, which, in my opinion, lie most open to trouble, scandal and dan-ger; wherefore I will briefly set down their objections, and such answers to them as I conceive proper.

'The first is, you conveyed away your daughter from her father. Answer, I had cause to provide for her quiet. Secretary Winwood threatening that she should be married from me in spite of my teeth, and Sir Edward Cook dayly tormenting the girl with discourses tending to bestow her against her liking, which he said she was to submit to his; besides, my daughter daily complained, and sought to me for help; whereupon, as heretofore I had accustomed, I bestowed her apart at my consin-german's house for a few days, for her health and quiet, till my own busi-ness for my estate were ended. Sir Edward Coke news asking me where she was no more than at other times, when I my placing she had been a quarter of a year from him, as the year before with my sister Burley.ed by \*Second. That you endeavoured to bestow her, and to bind her to my Lord of Oxford without her knowledge and

Upon this subject a lawyer, by way of invective, may open his mouth wide, and anticipate every hearer's judg-ment by the rights of a father; this, dangerous in the president to others; to which, nevertheless, this answer may

be justly returned.

Answer. My daughter, as aforesaid, terrified with her father's threats and hard usage, and pressing me to find some remedy from this violence intended, I did compassionate her condition, and bethought myself of this con-tract to my Lord of Oxford, if so she liked, and thereupon I gave it to her to peruse and consider by herself, which she did; she liked it, cheerfully writ it with her own hand, subscribed it, and returned it to me; wherein I did nothing of my own will, but followed her's, after I saw she was so adverse to Sir Thomas Villiers, that she voluntarily and deliberately protested that of all men living she would never have him, nor could ever fancy him for a husband.

'Secondly. By this I put her in no new way, nor into any other that her father had heretofore known and ap-

proved; for he saw such letters as my lady of Oxford had writ to me thereabouts; he never furbad it; he never disliked it; only he said they were then too young, and there

was time enough for the treaty.
'Thirdly. He always left his daughter to my disposing and my bringing up; knowing that I purposed her my fortune and whole estate, and as upon these reasons be left her to my cares, so he eased himself absolutely of her, never moddling with her, neglecting her, and caring nothing for

'The third. That you counterfeited a treaty from my

Lord of Oxford's to yourself.

'Answer. I know it not counterfeit; but be it so, to whose injury? If to my Lord of Oxford's (for no man else is therein interested,) it must be either in honour or in freehold. Read the treaty; it proves neither! for it is only a complement: it is no engagement presently nor futurely; besides the law shows what forgery is; and to counterfeit a private man's hand, may a magistrate's, makes not the fault but the cause, wherefore:

'Secondly, the end justifies, at the least, excuses, the fact; for it was only to hold up my daughter's mind to her own choice and liking : for her eyes only, and for no other's, that she might see some retribution, and thereby with the more constancy endure her imprisonment, having this only antidote to resist the poison of that p.ace, company, and conversation; myself and all her friends barred from her, and no person nor speech admitted to her ear, but such as spoke Sir Thomas Villier's language.

The fourth. That you plotted to surprise your daughter to take her away by force, to the breach of the king's seace and particular commandment, and for that purpose peace and particular commandurent, and not share the had assembled a number of desperate fellows, whereof the consequence might have been dangerous; and the affront to the king was the greater that such a thing was offered, the king being forth of the kingdom, which, by example, might has drawn on other assemblies to more dangerous attempts. This field is large for a plentiful babbler.

'Answer. I know no such matter, neither in any was there such assembly; true it is I spoke to Turner to provide me some tall fellows for the taking a posersion for me, in Lincolnshire, of some lands Sir William Mason had lately dis-seized me; but be it they were assembled and convoked to such an end, what was done? was any such thing attempted? were they upon the place? kept they the heath or the highways by ambuscades ! or was any place, any day, appointed for a rendezvous? No, no such matter, but something was intended; and I pray you what says the law of such a single intention, which is not within the view or notice of the law? Besides, who intended this-the mother? and wherefore? because she was unnaturally and barbarously secluded from her daughter, and her daughter forced against her will, contrary to her been and king, to the will of him she disliked; nay, the laws of God, of nature, of man, speak for me, and cry out upon them. But they had a warrant from the king's or their control of their co der from the commissioners to keep my daughter in their custody; yet neither this warrant nor the commissioners' did prohibit the mother coming to her, but contrarily allowed her; then by the same authority might she get to her daughter, that Sir Edward Cook had used to keep her from her daughter; the husband having no power, war-mat, or permission from God, the king, or the law, to se-

quester the mother from her own child, she only endeaves-ing the child's good, with the child's liking, and to he pro-ferment; and he, his private end against the child's likar, jerment; and ne, me private that against the chief shap, without care of her preferment; which differing rapats, as they justify the mother in all, so condemn they the father a transgressor of the rules of nature, and as a private of his rights, as a father and a husband, to the hart but of while and only.

child and wife.

'Lastly, if recrimination could lessen the fault, take tha in the worst sense, and naked of all the considerable crcumstances it hath, what is this, nay, what had the erco-ting of this intention been comparatively with Sir Education Cook's most notorious riot, committed at my Lard of A. guyl's house, when without constable or warrent, associated guys a nouse, when without commune or warrant, amount with a dozen fellows until weaponed, without cause bang be-forehand offered, to have what he would, he took down be doors of the gate-house and of the house itself, and her the daughter in that barbarous manner from the mether, ad would not suffer the mother to come near her; and when is was before the lords of the council to answer this outrage, he justified it to make it good by law, and that he fewel the fun of no greatness; a dangerous word for the encouragement all notorious and rebellious malefactors; especially from him that had been the chief justice of the law, and the people reputed the oracle of the law; and a most danger ous bravado cast in the teeth and face of the state in the king's absence; and therefore most considerable for the maintenance of authority and the quiet of the land; for if it be lawful for him with a dozen to enter any man's house thus outrageously for any right to which he preteats, it is lawful for any man with one hundred, nay, with fre hundred, and consequently with as many as he cus draw together, to do the same, which many endanger the safety of the king's person, and the peace of the kingdom.

'The fifth, that you having certified the king you had

received an engagement from my Lord of Oxford, and the king commanding you, upon your allegiance, to come and bring it to him, or to send it him; or not having n, to say uify his name to who brought it, and where he was; you refused all, by which you doubled and trebled a high cos

tempt to his majesty.
'Answer. I was so sick on the week before, for the most part I kept my bed, and even that instant I was so weak as I was not able to rise from it without belp, nor to endure the air; which indisposition and weakness my two physicians, Sir William Paddy and Dr Atkins, can also true; which so being, I hope his majesty will gracuery excuse the necessity, and not impose a fault, where I am not guilty; and for the sending it, I protest to Ged had it not; and for telling the parties, and where he all most humbly beseeth his sacred majesty, in his preat was come and humans. dom and honour, to consider how unworthy a part it were in me to bring any man into trouble, from which I am m far from redoeming him as I can no way relieve myell, and therefore humbly crave his majesty, in his prace) consideration of my distressed condition, to forgive this reservedness, proceeding from that just sense, and be rather, for that the law of the land in civil causes, as I as informed, no way tieth me thereunto.

Among other papers it appears that Coke accused in lady of having ombezzled all his gilt and silver plate and vessel, (he having little in any house of mine but that he marriage with me brought him) and instead thereof fested in alkumy of the same sorte, fashion, and use, with the illusion to have cheated him of the other.' Coke institute the inventory by the schedule! Her ladyship rays, 'I made such plate for matter and form for my own ore at Purbeck, that serving well enough in the country; and I was loth to trust such a substance in a place so remote, and a the guard of few; but for the plate and vessel he sarth a wanting, they are every ounce within one of my three houses. She complains that Sir Edward Coke and he son Clement had threatened her servants so grievously, that the poor men run away to hide themselves from he for, and dare not appear abroad. 'Sir Edward broke man Hatton House, seized upon my coach and coach horse, may, my apparel, which he detains; thrust all my serial out of doors without wages; sent down his men to Cere to the coach and to inventory, seize, ship, and carry away all the goods, which being refused him by the castle keeper, he threats to bring your lordship's warrant for the performance there of. But your lordship established that he should have the use only of the goods during his life, in such houses as the same appertained, without meaning, I hope, of deputage me of such use, being goods bought at my marriage, or

egst with the money I spared from my allowances. p, then, his high tyrannical courses; for I have sufferod beyond the measure of any wife, mother, nay, of any ordinary woman in this kingdom, without respect to my father, my barth, my fortunes, with which I have so highly registed sizes.

What availed the vexation of this sick, mortified, and proud woman, or the more tender feelings of the daughter, m this forced marriage to satisfy the political ambition of the father? When Lord Bacon wrote to the king respectsee raiser? we nem Lord Bacon wrote to the king respecting the strange behaviour of Coke, the king vindicated it, for the purpose of obtaining his daughter, blaming Lord Bacon for some expressions he had used; and Bacon, with the servility of the courtier, when he found the wind in his teeth, tacked round, and promised Buckingham to promote the march he so much abhorred.\* Villiers was arried to the daughter of Coke at Hampton-Court, on Michaelmas Day, 1617—Coke was re-admitted to the council table—Lady Hatton was reconciled to Lady Compton and the queen, and gave a grand entertainment on the occasion, to which, however, 'the good man of the house was meither invited nor spoken of: he dined that day at the Temple; she is still bent to pull down her husband, adds my informant. The moral close remains to be told. Lady Villiers looked on her husband as the hateful object of a forced union, and nearly drove him mad; while she disgraced herself by such loose conduct as to be condemn. ed to stand im a white sheet, and I believe at length obtained a divorce. Thus a marriage projected by ambition, and prosecuted by violent means, closed with that utter minery to the parties with which it had com-menced; and for our present purpose has served to show, that when a lawyer, like Coke, holds his high handed tynan-nical courses, the law of nature, as well as the law of which he is "the oracle," will be alike violated under his roof. Wife and daughter were plaintiffs or defendants on whom this lord chief-justice closed his ear: he had block-ed up the avenues to his heart with 'Law! Law! Law! his 'old song!'

Beyond his eightieth year, in the last parliament of Charles II, the extraordinary vigour of Coke's intellect flamed clear under the snows of age. No reconciliation ever took place between the parties. On a strong report of his death, her ladyship accompanied by her brother Lord Wimbledon, posted down to Stoke-Pogies to take possession of his mansion; but beyond Colebrook, they met with one of his physicians coming from him with the mortifying intelligence of Sir Edward's amendment, on which they returned at their leisure. This happened in June 1634, and on the following September the venerable

rage was no more!

### OF COME'S STYLE, AND HIS CONDUCT.

This great lawyer perhaps set the example of that style of railing and invective at our bar, which the egotism and cracin insolence of some of our lawyers include in their practice at the bar. It may be useful to bring to recollection Coxe's vituperative style in the following dialogue, so beautiful in its contrast, with that of the great victim before The attorney-general had not sufficient evidence to bring the obscure conspiracy home to Rawleigh, with which. I believe, however, he had cautiously tampered. But Corr well knew that James the First had reason to dislike the hero of his age, who was early engaged against the Scottish interests, and betrayed by the ambidextrous policy of Cecil. Coxe struck at Rawleigh as a sacrifice to has own political ambition, as we have seen he after-wards immolated his daughter; but his personal hatred was now sharpened by the fine genius and elegant litera-ture of the man; faculties and acquisitions the lawyer so heartily contemned! Coxe had observed, 'I know with whom I deal for we have to deal to-day with a man of wit."

Coke. Thou art the most vile and execrable traytor that ever lived.

Randeigh. You speak indiscreetly, barbarously, and

uncivilly.

Coke. I want words sufficient to express thy viperous

Resoleigh. I think you want words indeed, for you have spoken one thing half a dozen times.

Thou art an odious fellow; thy name is hateful to all the realm of England for thy pride.

• Lambeth MSS, 936, art. 69, and 78.

Rowleigh. It will go near to prove a measuring cast between you and me, Mr Attorney.

Well, I will now make it appear to the world, that there never lived a viler viper upon the face of the earth than thou. Thou art a monster; thou hast an Engthou traitor! Have I anguered you?

Rawleigh replied, what his dauntless conduct proved—

'I am in no case to be angry.'\*

Coke had used the same style with the unhappy favourite of Elizabeth, the Earl of Essex. It was usual with him; the bitterness was in his own heart, as much as in his words; and Lord Bacon has left among his memorandums one entitled, 'Of the abuse I received of Mr Attorney-General publicly in the Exchequer.' A specimen will complete our model of his forensic oratory. Coke ex-claimed, 'Mr Bacon, if you have any tooth against me, pluck it out; for it will do you more hurt than all the teeth m your head will do you good. Bacon replied, 'The less you speak of your own greatness, the more I will think of it. 'Coke replied, 'I think scorn to stand upon terms of greatness towards you, who are less than little, less than letter? Coke was arbitized on the creat for him: the least.' Coke was exhibited on the stage, for his ill usage of Rawleigh, as was suggested by Thoobald in a note on Twelfth Night. This style of railing was long the privilege of the lawyers; it was revived by Judge Jeffreys; but the bench of judges in the reign of William and Anne taught a due respect even to criminals, who were not sup-

posed to be guilty till they were convicted.

When Coke once was himself in disgrace, his high spirit sunk without a particle of magnanimity to dignify the fall; his big words, and his 'tyrannical courses,' when he could no longer exult that 'he was upon his wings again,' sunk with him as he presented himself on his knees to the council-table. Among other assumptions, he had styled himself 'Lord chief-justice of England,' when it was declared that this title was his own invention, since he was no more than of the King's Bench. His disgrace was a thunderbolt, which overthrow the haughty lawyer to the roots. When the supersedess was carried to him by Sir George Coppin, that gentleman was surprised on presenting it, to see that lofty 'spirit shrunk into a very narrow room, for Coke received it with dejection and tears.' The writer from whose letter I have copied these words adds, O tremor et suspiria non cadunt in fortem et constantem. The same writer encloses a punning distich: the name of our lord chief-justice was in his day very provocative of the pun both in Latin and English; Cicero indeed had pre-occupied the miserable trifle.

## Jus condire Cocus potuit; sed condere jura Non potuit; potuit condere jura Cocus.

Six years afterwards Coke was sent to the Tower, and then they punned against him in English. An unpublished letthe day has this curious anecdote: The room in which he was lodged in the Tower had formerly been a kitchen; on his entrance the lord chief-justice read upon the door, 'This room wants a Cook!' They twitched the lion in the toils which held him. Shenstone had some reason in thanking Heaven that his name was not susceptible of a pun. This time, however, Coke was 'on his wings;' for when Lord Arundel was sent by the king to the prisoner to inform him that he would be allowed Eight of the best learned in the law to advise him for his cause,' our great lawyer thanked the king, 'but he knew himself to be accounted to have as much skill in the law as any man in England, and therefore needed no such help, nor feared to be judged by the law.'

#### SECRET HISTORY OF AUTHORS WHO HAVE RUINED THEIR BOOKSELLERS.

Aulus Gellius desired to live no longer than he was able to exercise the faculty of writing; he might have decently added,-and find readers! This would be a fatal wish for that writer who should spread the infection of weariness, without himself partaking of the epidemia. The mere act and habit of writing, without probably even a remote view of publication, has produced an agreeable delirium; and perhaps some have escaped from a gentle confinement by having cautiously concealed those voluminous reverses which remained to startle their heirs; while others again have left a whole library of manuscripts, out of the mere ardour of transcription, collecting and copying with pecu-

\* State Trials Google

liar rapture. I discovered that one of these inscribed this distich on his manuscript collection:

Plura voluminibus jungenda volumina nostris, Nec mihi scribendi terminus ullus erit :

which, not to compose better verses than our original, may be translated,

More volumes, with our volumes still shall blend; And to our writing there shall be no end!

But even great authors have sometimes so much indulged in the seduction of the pen, that they appear to have found no substitute for the flow of their ink, and the delight of stamping blank paper with their hints, sketches, ideas, the shadows of their mind! Petrarch exhibits no solitary instance of this passion of the pen. 'I read and I write night and day; it is my only consolation. My eyes are heavy with watching, my hand is weary with writing. On the table where I dine, and by the side of my bed, I have all the materials for writing; and when I awake in the dark, I write, although I am unable to read the next morning what I have written.' Petrarch was not always in his perfect senses.

The copiousness and the multiplicity of the writings of many authors, have shown that too many find a pleasure in the act of composition, which they do not communicate to others. Great erudition and every-day application is the calamity of that voluminous author, who, without good sense, and what is more rare, without that exquisite judgment which we call good taste, is always prepared to write on any subject, but at the same time on no one reasonably. We are astonished at the fertility and the size of our own writers of the seventeenth century, when the theological war of words raged, spoiling so many pages and brains. They produced folio after folio, like almanacks; and Dr Owen and Baxter wrote more than sixty to seventy vo-lumes, most of them of the most formidable size. The truth is, however, that it was then easier to write up to a folio, than in our days to write down to an octavo; for correction, selection, and rejection, were arts as yet unpractised. They went on with their work, sharply or bluntly, like witless mowers, without stopping to what their scythes. They were inspired by the scribbling demon of that Rabbin, who, in his oriental style and mania of volume, exclaimed, that were the heavens formed of paper, and were the trees of the earth pens, and if the entire sea run ink, these only could suffice for the monstrous genius be was about to discharge on the world. The Spanish Tostatus wrote three times as many leaves as the number of days he had lived; and of Lope de Vega it is said this cal-culation came rather short. We hear of another who was unhappy that his lady had produced twins, from the curcumstance that hitherto he had contrived to pair his lahours with her own, but that now he was a book behind-

I fix on four celebrated Scribleri to give their secret history; our Prynne, Gaspar Barthius, the Abbé de Marolles, and the Jesuit Theophilus Raynaud, who will all show that a book might be written on 'authors whose works have ruined their booksellers.'

Prynne seldom dined: every three or four hours he munched a manchet, and refreshed his exhausted spirits with ale brought to him by his servant; and when 'he was put into this road of writing,' as crabbed Anthony telleth, he fixed on 'a long quilted cap, which came an inch over his eyes, serving as an umbrella to defend them from too much light;' and then, hunger nor thirst did he experience, save that of his voluminous pages. Prynna 'as written a library, amounting, I think, to nearly two hundred books. Our unlucky author whose life was involved in authorship, and his happiness, no doubt, in the habitual exuberance of his pen, seems to have considered the being debarred from pen, ink, and books, during his imprisonment, as an act more barbarous than the loss of his ears. The extraordinary perseverance of Prynne in this fever of the pen appears in the following title of one of his extraordinary volumes. 'Comfortable Cordials against discomfortable Fears of Imprisonment; containing some Latin Verses, Sentences, and Texts of Scripture, written by Mr Wm. Prynne on his Chamber Walls, in the Tower of London, during his imprisonment there; translated by him into English Verse, 1841.' Prynne literally verified l'ope's description:

We have also a catalogue of printed books, written by Wm. Prynne, Esq., of Lincoln's Inn, in these classes,

BEFORE
DURING
and
SINCE

with this motto 'Jucundi acti labores,' 1643. The scret history of this voluminous author concludes with a disracteristic event: a contemporary who saw Pryme is the pillory at Cheapside, informs us that while he stood then, they 'burnt his huge volumes under his nose, which had almost suffocated him.' Yet such was the spirit of party, that a puritanic sister bequeathed a legacy to purches that he works of Prynne for Sion College, where many sul repose; for by an odd fatality, in the fire which burst that library these volumes were saved, from the idea that folios were the most valuable!

The pleasure which authors of this stamp expensece is of a nature which, whenever certain unlucky circumstances combine, positively debarring them from publication, will not abate their radour one jot; and their pen will still luxuriate in the forbidden page which even bookseles refuse to publish. Many instances might be recorded, but a very striking one is the case of Gaspar Barbas, whose 'Adversaria,' in two volumes folio, are in the calections of the curious.

Barthius was born to literature, for Baillet has pisced him among his 'Enfans colebre.' At nine years of age, he recited by heart all the comedies of Terence, without missing a line. The learned admired the puerile prodity, while the prodigy was writing books before he had a beart. He became, unque the well as ancient. Such was his evotion to a literary iffe, that he retreated from the bury world. It appears that his early productions were composed more carefully and judiciously than his later one, when the passion for voluminous writing broke out, which showed itself by the usual prognostic of this dangerost disease—extreme facility of composition, and a price as exultation in this unhappy faculty. He studied without using collections or references, trusting to his memory, which was probably an extraordinary one, though it accessarily led him into many errors in that delicate task of asmadverting on other authors. Writing a very seat had, his first copy required no transcript; and he boasts that he rarely made a correction: every thing was seat to the press in its first state. He laughed at Statius, who composing the epithalamium upon Stella, containing two harded and seventy-eight hexameters. 'This,' says Barthan' did not quite lay him open to Horace's censure of the man who made two hundred verses in a hoor, "State pede in uno." 'Not,' adds Barthius,' but that I that the censure of Horace too hyperbolical, for I am not ignorant what it is to make a great number of verses in a short time, and in three days I translated into Latis the threfirst books of the Iliad, which amount to above two desand verses.' Thus rapidity and volume were the great enjoyments of this learned man's pen, and sow we man look to the fruits.

Barthius, on the system he had adopted, seems to have written a whole library; a circumstance which we ascover by the continual references he makes in his pristed works to his manuscript productions. In the Index substrumt to his Statius, he inserts his own name, to which appended a long list of unprinted works, which Baye that by their titles and extracts, conveys a very advantageou notion of them. All these, and many such as there, be generously offered the world, would any bookseller be a trepid or courteous enough to usher them from his press, but their cowardice or incivility were intractable. The truth is now to be revealed, and seems not to have been known to Bayle; the booksellers had been formerly a cololed and complimented by our learned author, and had heard so much of the celebrated Barthius, that they had caught at the hait, and the two folio volumes of the succreferred-to 'Adversaria' of Barthius had thus been published—but from that day no bdokseller ever offered has self to publish again!

The 'Adversaria' is a collection of critical notes and quotations from ancient authors, with illustrations of their manners, customs, laws, and ceremonies; all these were to be classed into or a hundred and eighty books; and which we possess in two volumes folio, with elevas is

Digitized by Google

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Is there, who, locked from ink and paper scrawls
With desperate chartoni round his darkened walls,'

detes. The plan is vast, as the rapidity with which it was pursued: Bayle finely characterizes it by a single stroke—Its immensity tires even the imagination. But the truth is, this mighty labour turned out to be a complete fulure: there was neither order nor judgment in these masses of learning; crude, obscure, and contradictory; such as we might expect from a man who trusted to his memory, and would not throw away his time on any cormemory, see would not inrow away me time on any con-rection. His contradictions are flagrant; but one of his freeds would apologize for these by telling us that 'He wrote every thing which offered itself to his imagination; to day one thing, to-morrow another, in order that when he should revise it again, this contrariety of opinion might induce him to examine the subject more accurately.' The sotions of the friends of authors are as extravagant as those of their enemies. Barthius evidently wrote so much, that often he forgot what he had written, as happened to saother great book-man, one Didymus, of whom Quintihan records, that on hearing a certain history, he treated it as utterly unworthy of credit; on which the teller called for one of Didymus's own books, and showed where he might read it at full length! That the work failed, we have the evidence of Clement in his Bibliotheque curicuse de Livres difficiles à trouver, under the article Barthius, where we discover the winding up of the history of this book. Clement mentions more than one edition of the Adversaria; but on a more careful inspection he detected that the old title pages had been removed for others of a fresher date; the booksellers not being able to sell the book practised this deception. It availed little; they remained with their unsold edition of the two first volumes of the Adversaria, and the author with three thousand folio sheets in nuscript—while both parties complained together, and their heirs could acquire nothing from the works of an auther of whom Bayle says that his writings rise to such a prodigious bulk, that one can scarce conceive a single man could be capable of executing so great a variety; perhaps so copying clerk, who lived to grow old amidst the dust of ce, ever transcribed as much as this author has written.' This was the memorable fate of one of that race of witters who imagine that their capacity extends with their volume. Their land seems covered fertility, but in shaking their wheat no ears fall.

Another memorable brother of this family of the Scribleris the Abbé De Maroliea, who with great ardour as a man of letters, and in the enjoyment of the leisure and opulence so necessary to carry on his pursuits, from an entire absence of judgment, closed his life with the bitter regrets of a volumnous author; and yet it cannot be denied that he has contribated one precious volume to the public stock of literature; a compliment which cannot be paid to some who have enjoyed a higher reputation than our author. He has left us his very curious 'Memoirs.' A poor writer indeed, but the frankness and intrepidity of his character cable him, while he is painting himself, to paint man. Gibbon was struck by the honesty of his pen, for he says in his life, 'The dulness of Michael de Marolles and Anthony Wood\* acquire some value from the faithful representation of men and manners.'

I have elsewhere shortly noticed the Abbé De Maroles in the character of a 'literary sinner,' but the extent of his sins never struck me so forcibly as when I observed his deliaquencies counted up in chronological order in Niceror's 'Hommes illustres.' It is extremely amusing to detect the swarming fecundity of his pen; from year to year, with author after author, was this translator wearying others, but remained himself unwearied. Sometimes two or three classical victims in a senson were dragged into his slaughter-house. Of about seventy works, fifty were versions of the classical writers of antiquity, accompaned with notes. But some odd circumstances happened to our extraordinary translator in the course of his life. De L'Etang, a critic of that day, in his 'Régles de bien tradure,' drow all his examples of bad translation from our abbé, who was more angry than usual, and among his circle the cries of our Marsyas resounded. De L'Etang, who had done his not out of malice, but from urgent necessity to allessrate his principles, seemed very sorry, and was

a I cannot subscribe to the opinion that Anthony Wood was a dull man, although he had no particular liking for works of imagination; and used ordinary poets scurvily? An author's personal character is often confounded with the nature of his work. Anthony has sallies at times to which a dull man could set be subject; without the arriour of this hermit of literature, where would be our literary history?

desirous of appeasing the angried translator. One day in Easter, finding the abbé in church at prayers, the critic fell on his knees by the side of the translator : it was an extraordinary moment, and a singular situation to terminate a literary quarrel. 'You are angry with me,' said L'Etang, 'and I think you have reason; but this is a season of mercy, and I now ask your pardon.'—'In the manner,' replied the abbé, 'which you have chosen, I can no longer defend myself. Go, sir! I pardon you.' Some days after the abbé again meeting L'Etang, represented him with duping him out of a pardon which he had no desire to have be-stowed on him. The last reply of the critic was caustic: Do not be so difficult; when one stands in need of a general pardon, one ought surely to grant a particular one. De Marolles was subject to encounter critics who were never so kind as to kneel by him on Easter Sunday. Besides these fifty translations, or which the notes are often curious, and even the sense may be useful to consult, his love of writing produced many odd works. His volumes were richly bound, and freely distributed, for they found no readers! In a 'Discours pour servir de Preface sur les Postes in a 'Discours pour servir de Preface sur les Postes traduits par Michel de Marolles,' he has given an imposing list of 'illustrious persons and contemporary authors who were his friends,' and has preserved many singular facts concerning them. He was, indeed, for so long a time convinced that he had struck off the true spirit of his fine originals, that I find he at several times printed some critical treatise to back his last, or usher in his new version; giving the world reasons why the versions which had been given of that particular author, 'Soit en prose, soit en vors ont été si peu approuvées jusqu' ici.' Among these numerous translations he was the first who ventured on the Deiponsoppists of Atheneus, which still bears an excessive price. He entitles his work, 'Les quinze Livres de Deiponosophistes d'Athenée, Ouvrage delicieux, agreablement diversifié et rempli de Narrations soavantes sur toutes Sortes de Matières et de Sujets.' He hes prefixed various preliminary dissertations: yet not satisfied with having performed this great labour, it was followed by a small quarto of forty pages, which might now be cone dered curious; 'Analyse, en Description succincte des Choses contenues dans les quinzes Livres de Deiponosophistes.' He wrote, 'Quatrains sur les Personnes de la Cour et les Gens de Lettres,' which the curious would now be glad to find. After having plundered the classical geniuses of antiquity by his barbarous style, when he had nothing more left to do, he committed sacrilege in translating the Bible; but, in the midst of printing, he was sud dealy stopped by authority, for having inserted in his notes the reveries of the Pre-Adamire Isaac Peyrere. He had already revelled on the New Testament, to his version of which he had prefixed so sensible an introduction, that it was afterwards translated into Latin. Translation was the mania of the Abbé de Marolles. I doubt whether he ever fairly awoke out of the heavy dream of the felicity of his translations; for late in life I find him observing, 'I have employed much time in study, and I have translated many books; considering this rather as an innocent amusement which I have chosen for my private life, than as things very necessary, although they are not entirely useless. Some have valued them, and others have cared little about them; but however it may be, I see no-thing which obliges me to believe that they contain not at least as much good as bad, both for their own matter and the form which I have given to them.' The notion he entertained of his translations was their closeness; he was not aware of his own spiritless style; and he imagined that poetry only consisted in the thoughts, not in the grace and harmony of verse. He insisted that by giving the public his numerous translations, he was not vainly multiplying books, because he neither diminished nor increased their ideas in his faithful versions. He had a curious notion that some were more scrupulous than they ought to be respecting translations of authors who, living so many ages past, are rarely read from the difficulty of understanding them; and why should they imagine that a translation is injurious to them, or would occasion the utter neglect of the originals? We do not think so highly of our own works,' says the indefatigable and modest Abbé; but neither do I despair that they may be useful even to these scrupulous persons. I will not suppress the truth, while I am noticing these ungrateful labours; if they have given me much pain by my assiduity, they have re paid me by the fine things they have taught me, and by the opinion which I have conceived that posterity, more

just than the present times, will award a more favourable judgment. Thus a miserable translator terminates his long labours, by drawing his bill of fame on posterity which his contemporaries will not pay; but a these cases, as the bill is certainly lost before it reaches acceptance, why should we deprive the drawers of pleasing themselves with the ideal capital ?

Let us not, however, imagine, that the Abbé De Moralles was nothing but the man he appears in the character of tes was nothing but the man he appears in the character of a voluminous translator; though occupied all his life on these miserable labours, he was evidently an ingenious and nobly-minded man, whose days were consecrated to literary pursuits, and who was among the primitive collectors in Europe of fine and curious prints. One of his works is a 'Catalogue des Livres d'Estampes et de Figures en Taille-douce.' Paris, 1866, in 8vo. In the pretace our author declares, that he had collected one hundred and twenty-three thousand four hunded paints of cidred and twenty-three thousand four hundred prints of six thousand masters, in four hundred large volumes, and one hundred and twenty small ones. This magnificent collechundred and twenty small ones. This magnificent collec-tion, formed by so much care and skill, he presented to the king; whether gratuitously given, or otherwise, it was an acquisition which a monarch might have thankfully accepted. Such was the habitual ardour of our author, that afterwards he set about forming another collection, of which he has also given a catalogue, in 1672, in 12mo. Both these catalogues of prints are of extreme rarity, and are yet so highly valued by the connoisseurs, that when in France I could never obtain a copy. A long life may be passed without a even sight of the 'Catalogue des Livres d'Estamber of the Abbé de Marchle \*\* pes of the Abbé de Marolles.\*

Such are the lessons drawn from this secret history of roluminous writers. We see one venting his mania in scrawl. ing on his prison-walls; another persisting in writing folios, while the booksellers, who were once caught like Reynard who had lost his tail, and whom no arts could any longer practise on, turn away from the new trap; and a third, who can acquire no readers but by giving his books away, growing gray in scourging the sacred genius of antiquity by his moagre versions, and dying without having made up his mind, whether he were as woful a translator as some

of his contemporaries had assured him.

Among these worthies of the Scribleri we may rank the Jesuit Theophilus Raynaud, once a celebrated name, eulogised by Bayle and Patin. His collected works fill twenty folios; an edition, indeed, which finally sent the bookseller to the poor-house. This enterprising bibliopolist had heard much of the prodigious erudition of the writer; but he had not the sagacity to discover that other hterary qualities were also required to make twenty folios at all saleable. Of these 'Opera omnis' perhaps not a single copy can be found in England; but they may be a pennyworth on the continent. Raynaud's works are theological; but a system of grace maintained by one work, and pulled down by another, has ceased to interest mankind: the literature of the divine is of a less perishable na-ture. Reading and writing through a life of eighty years, and giving only a quarter of an hour to his dinner, with a vigorous memory, and a whimsical teste for some singular subjects, he could not fail to accumulate a mass of know-ledge which may still be useful for the curious; and, besides, Raynaud had the Ritsonian characteristic. was one of those who, exemplary in their own conduct. with a bitter zeal condemn whatever does not agree with their notions; and however gentle in their nature, yet will set no limits to the ferocity of their pen. Raynaud was often in trouble with the censors of his books, and much more with his adversaries; so that he frequently had recourse to publishing under a fictitious name. A remarkable evidence of this is the entire twentieth volume of his works. It consists of the numerous writings published anonymously, or to which were perfixed noms de guerre. This volume is described by the whimsical title of Apopompous; explained to us as the name given by the Jews to the scape-goat, which, when loaded with all their maledictions on its head, was driven away into the desert. These contain all Raynaud's numerous distribes; for

\* These two catalogues have always been of extreme rarity and price. Dr Lister, when at Paris, 1668, notices this circumstance. I have since met with the n in the very curious collections of my friend Mr Douce, who has uniques, as well as rari-ties. The monograms of our old masters in one of these catalogues are more correct than in some latter publications: and the whole plan and arrangement of these catalogues of prints ers peculiar and interesting

whenever he was refuted, he was always refuting; he did not spare his best friends. The title of a work against Arnauld will show how he treated his adversaries. nauldus redivivus natus Brixim seculo zii, renatus m Gallim mate nostra. He dexterously applies the name of Arnauld, by comparing him with one of the same name m the twelfth century, a scholar of Abelard's and a turbulent enthusiast, say the Romish writers, who was borst aire for having written against the luxury and the power of the priesthood, and for having raised a rebellion against the pope. When the learned De Lauron had successions fully attacked the legends of saints, and was called the Denicheur de Saints,—the Unnicher of Saints, every parish priest trembled for his favourite. Raynaud cauted a libel on this new Iconoclast, 'Hercules Commodian Joannes Launoius repuisus, Sc: he compares Launoi to the Emperor Commodus, who, though the most cowardy of men, conceived himself formidable when he dressed himself as Hercules. Another of these maledictions is a tract against Calvinism, described as 'Religio besturem,' a religion of beasts, because the Calvinists deny free-mil; but as he always fired with a double-barrelled but as he always fired with a double-barrelled gun, under the cloak of attacking Calvinism, he aimed a deadly shot at the Thomists, and particularly at a Dominician frar, whom he considered as bad as Calvin. Raynaud exults that he had driven one of his adversaries to take flight use Scotland of netter 5 actions. Scotland, ad pultes Scoticas transgressus; to a Scotch potage; an expression which Saint Jerome used in speaking of Polagius. He always rendered an adversary odions by coupling him with some odious name. On one of these controversial books where Casalas refuted Raysand. Monnoye wrote, Raynaudus et Casalas inepti; Raynaudo do tamen Casalas ineptior. The usual termination what then passed for sense, and now is the reverse!

I will not quit Raynaud without pointing out some of his more remarkable treatises, as so many curiosities of

literature.

In a treatise on the attributes of Christ, he emitles a chapter, Christus bonus, bona, bonum: in another on the seven-branched candlestick in the Jewish temple, by an allegorical interpretation, he explains the cuchara adds an alphabetical list of names and epithets which have

been given to this mystery.

The seventh volume bears the general title of Mericis: all the treatises have for their theme the perfections and the worship of the Virgin. Many extraordinary things are here. One is a dictionary of names given to the Varga, with observations on these names. Another on the devetion of the scapulary, and its wonderful effects, writen against De Launoi, and for which the order of the Carnes when he died bestowed a solemn service and obseques on him. Another of these 'Mariolia' is mentioned by Gallois in the Journal des Scavans, 1667, as a proof of his fertility: having to preach on the seven solemn authems which the church sings before Christmas, and which begin by an O! he made this letter only the subject of his sermons, and barren as the letter appears, he has strack out 'a multitude of heautiful particulars.' This literary

folly invites our curiosity.

In the eighth volume is a table of saints, classed by there station, condition, employment, and trades; a list of titles and prerogatives, which the councils and the fathers have

attributed to the sovereign pontiff.

The thirteenth volume has a subject which seems much in the taste of the sermons on the letter O! it is entitled Laus Brevitatis! in praise of brevity. The maxims are prief, but the commentary long. One of the natural subjects treated on is that of Noses: he reviews a great number of noses, and, as usual, does not forget the Holy Virgin's. According to Raynaud, the nose of the Virgin Mary was long and aquiline, the mark of goodness and dignity; and as Jesus perfectly resembled his mother, he infers that he must have had such a nose.

A treatise entitled Heteroclita spiritualia et en Pietatis Calestium, Terrestrium, et Infernorum, contamo many singular practices introduced into devotion, which superstition, ignorance, and remissness have made a part

of religion.

A treatise directed against the new custom of biring chairs in churches, and being reated during the secrifice of the mass. Another on the Cassarean operation, which he stigmatises as an act against nature. Another on eunuchs. Another entitled Hipparchus de Religios No gotiatore, is an attack on those of his own company: the

monk turned merchant; the jesuits were then accused of commercial traffic with the revenues of their establishment. The rector of a college at Avignon, who thought he was portrayed in this honest work, confined Raynaud

in prison for five months.

The most curious work of Raynaud, connected with literature, I possess; it is entitled Erotemata de Malis ac benis Libris. deque justa aut injusta corundem confizione. Ingdesi, 1653, 4to, with necessary indexes. One of his works having been condemned at Rome, he drew up these inquiries concerning good and bad books, addressed to the grand inquisitor. He divides his treatise into 'bad and accest books; bad books, but not nocent; books not bad, but secent; books neither bad nor nocent. His immense reading appears here to advantage, and his Ritsonian feature is prominent; for he asserts, that when writing agunst heretics, all mordacity is innoxious; and an alpha-betical list of abusive names, which the fathers have given to the heterodox, is entitled Alphabetem bestialitatis haretici, ez peerum symbolis,

After all, Raynaud was a man of yast acquirement with a great flow of ideas, but tasteless, and void of all judgment. An anecdote may be recorded of him, which puts in a clear light the state of these literary men. Raymud was one day pressing hard a reluctant bookseller publish one of his works, who replied, 'Write a book like Pather Barri's, and I shall be glad to print it.' It happened that the work of Barri was pillaged from Raynaud, and was much liked, while the original lay on the shelf. However, this only served to provoke a fresh attack from our redoubtable hero, who vindicated his rights, and emp-tied his quiver on him who had been ploughing with his

Such are the writers who, enjoying all the pleasures without the pains of composition, have often apologized for their repeated productions, by declaring that they write only for their own amusement; but such private theatricals should not be brought on the public stage. One Catherinot, all his life was printing a countless number of fessilles voluntes in history and on antiquities; each consisting of about three or four leaves in quarto: Longlet do Fremoy calls him 'Grand auteur des petits livres. This gentleman liked to live among antiquaries and historians; but with a crooked head-piece, stuck with whims, and hard with knotty combinations, all overloaded with prodigious erudition, he could not ease it at a less rate than by an occasional dissertation of three or four quarto pages. He appears to have published about two hundred pieces of this sort, much sought after by the curious for between the sort, much sought after by the characteristics. Brunet complains he could never discover a complete collection. But Catherinot may escape 'the rams and penalties' of our voluminous writers, for De Bare thinks he generously printed them to distribute among his friends. Such endless writers, provided they de not print themselves into an alms-house, may be allowed to print themselves out; and we would accept the apology which Monsieur Catherinot has framed for himplf, which I find preserved in Beyeri Memoria Librorum Reviewer. 'I must be allowed my freedom in my studies, for I substitute my writings for a game at the tenniscourt, or a club at the tavern; I never counted among my honours these opuscula of mine, but merely as harmless anunements. It is my partridge, as with St John the Evangelist; my cat, as with Pope St Gregory; my hitle dog, as with St Dominick; my lamb, as with St Prancis; my great black mastiff, as with Cornelius Agrip-M: and my tame hare, as with Justus Lipsius.' I have since discovered in Niceron that this Catherinot could never get a printer, and was rather compelled to study economy in his two hundred quartes of four or eight pages; his paper was of inferior quality; and when he could not get his dissertations into his prescribed number of pages, he used to promise the end at another time, which did not always happen. But his greatest anxiety was to publish and spread his works; in despair he adopted an old expe-dent. Whenever Monsiery Catherinot came to Paris, his used to haunt the quaise vere books are sold, and while he appeared to be looking over them, he advoitly slided one of his own dissertations among these old books. begin this mode of publication early, and continued it to his last days. He died with a perfect conviction that he had secured his immortality; and in this manner had dis-pused of more than one edition of his unsaleable wor-s

Niceron has given the titles of 118 of his things, which he had looked over.

#### LOCAL DESCRIPTIONS.

Nothing is more idle, and what is less to be forgiven in a writer, more tedious, than minute and lengthened descriptions of localities; where it is very doubtful whether the writers themselves had formed any tolerable notion of the place they describe,—it is certain their readers never can! These descriptive passages, in which writers of imagination so frequently indulge, are usually a glittering confusion of unconnected things; circumstances recollected from others, or observed by themselves at different times; the finest are thrust in together. If a scene from nature, it is possible that all the seasons of the year may be jumbled together; or if a castle or an apartment, its magniuned together; or it a coaste or an apartment, is single-tude or its minuteness may equally bewilder. Yet we find, even in works of celebrity, whole pages of these ge-meral or these particular descriptive sketches, which leave nothing behind, but noun substantives propped up by ran-dom epithets. The old writers were quite delighted to fill dom epithets. dom epithets. The old writers were quite delighted to his up their voluminous pages with what was a great saving of souse and thinking. In the Alaric of Scudery sixteen pages, containing nearly five hundred verses, describe a palace, commencing at the facade, and at length finishing with the garden; but his description, we may say, was much better described by Boileau, whose good taste felt the absurdity of this 'abondance sterile,' in overloading a work with useless details,

Un Auteur quelquefois trop plein de son objet Jamais sans l'epuiser n'abandonne un sujet. S'il recontre un palais il m'en depeint la face Il me promene après de terrasse en terrasse. Ici s'offre un perron, la regne un corridor; La ce balcon s'enferme en un balustre d'or; Il compte les plafonds, les ronds, et les ovale Je saute vingt fruillets pour en trouver la fin ; Et je me sauve à peine au travers du jardin !

And then he adds so excellent a canon of criticism, that ve must not neglect it:

Tout ce qu'on dit de trop est fade et rebutant ; L'Esprit rassasié le rejette à l'instant, Qui ne sait se borner, ne sut jamale ecrire.

We have a memorable instance of the inefficiency of local descriptions, in a very remarkable one by a writer of fine genius, composing with an extreme fondness of his subject, and curiously anxious to send down to posterity the most elaborate display of his own villa—this was the Laurentinum of PLINY. We cannot read his letter to Gal-Laurentinum of PLINY. We cannot read his letter to Gal-lus, which the English reader may in Melmoth's elegant version,\* without participating somewhat in the delight of the writer in many of its details; but we cannot with the writer form the slightest conception of his villa, while he is leading us over from apartment to apartment, and pointing to us the opposite wing, with a 'beyond this,' and a 'not far from thence,' and 'to this apartment another of the same sort,' &c. Yet, still, as we were in great want of a conrect knowledge of a Roman villa, and as this must be the most so pussible, architects have frequently studied, and the learned translated with extraordinary care, PLINY's description of his Laurentinum. It became so favourite an object, that eminent architects have attempted to raise up this edifice once more, by giving its plan and elevation; and this extraordinary fact is the result—that not one of them but has given a representation different from the other! Montfaucon, a more faithful antiquary, in his close translation of the description of this villa, in comparing it with Felibien's plan of the villa itself, observes, that the architect accommodated his edifice to his translation, but that their notions are not the same; unquestionably, he adds, 'il ten skilful translators were to perform their task separately, there would not be one who agreed with ano-

If, then, on this subject of local descriptions, we find that it is impossible to convey exact notions of a real existing scene, what must we think of those which, in truth, describe scenes which have no other existence than the confused makings-up of an author's invention; where the more he details the more he coafuses; and where the more particular he wishes to be, the more indistinct the whole appears?

Local descriptions, after a few striking circumstances have been selected, admit of no further detail. It is not \* Book ii, lett. 17.

their length, but their happiness, which enter into our comprehension; the imagination can only take in and keep together a very few parts of a picture. The pen must not intrude on the province of the pencil, any more than the pencil must attempt to perform what cannot in any shape be submitted to the eve, though fully to the mind.

be submitted to the eye, though fully to the mind.

The great art, perhaps, of local description, is rather a general than a particular view; the details must be left to the imagination; it is suggestion rather than description.

There is an old Italian sonnet of this kind which I have often read with delight; and though I may not communicate the same pleasure to the reader, yet the story of the writer is most interesting, and the lady (for such she was) has the highest claim to be ranked, like the lady of Eve-

lyn, among literary wives.

Francesco Turina Bufalini di Citta di Castello, ef noble oxtraction, and devoted to literature, had a collection of her poems published in 1628: she frequently interspersed little domestic incidents of her female friend—her husband—her son—her grand-children; and in one of these sonnets she has delineated her palace of San Guistino, whose localities she appears to have enjoyed with intense delight in the company of 'her lord,' whom she tenderly associates with the scene. There is a freshness and simplicity in the description, which will perhaps convey a clearer notion of the spot than ever Pliny could do in the voluminous description of his villa. She tells us what she found when brought to the house of her husband.

Ample calle, ample loggie, ample certile
E stanze ornate con gentil pitture,
Tronia igungendo, e nobili sculture
Di Marmo fatte, dà scalpel non vile.
Nobil giardin con un perpetto Aprile
Di varij for, di fruti, e di verdure,
Ombre soavi, acque a temprar l'arsure
E strade di beltà non dis-imile;
E non men forte ostel, che per fortezza
Ha il ponte, e i fianchi, e lo circonda intorno
Fosso profundo e di real larghezza
Qui fei cul mio Signore dolce soggiorno
Con santo amor, con somma contentezza
Onde ne benedico il mese e il giorno!

Wide halls, wide galleries, and an ample court, Chambers adorn'd by picture's soothing charm, I found togcther blended; noble sculpture In marble, polished by no chisel vile: A noble garden, where a lasting April All various flowers, and fruits, and verdure showers; Soft shades, and waters tempering the hot air; And undulating paths, in equal beauty!

Nor less, the castled glory stands in force, And bridged and flanked. And round is circuit winds The deepened most showing a regal size.

Here with my lord I cast my sweet sojourn, With holy love, and with supreme content; And hence I bless the month, and bless the day!

MASQUES.

It sometimes happens in the history of national amusements, that a name survives, while the thing itself is forgotten. This has been remarkably the case with our Court Masques, respecting which our most eminent writers long ventured on so many false opinions, with a perfect ignorance of the nature of these compositions, which combined all that was exquisite in the imitative arts of poetry, painting, music, song, dancing, and machinery, at a period when our public theatre was in its rude infancy. Convinced of the miserable state of our represented drama, and not then possessing that more curious knowledge of their domestic history, which we delight to explore, they were led into erroaeous notions of one of the most gorgeous, the most fascinating, and the most poetical of dramatic amusements. Our present theatrical exhibitions are indeed on a scale to which the two-penny audiences of the barn-playhouses of Shakespeare could never have strained their sight; and our picturesque and learned costume, with the brillant changes of our scenery, would have maddened their sight; and our picturesque and learned costume, with the brillant changes of our scenery, would have maddened the Red Bull. Shakespeare himself never beheld the tree magical illusions of his own dramas, with 'Enter the Red Coat,' and 'Exit Hat and Cloak,' helped out with 'painted eloths;' or, as a bard of Charles the Second's time changes.

But while the public theatre continued long in this con-

Look back and see The strange vicies rudes of poetrie: Your aged fathers came to plays for wit, And sat knee-deep in nut-shells in the pit. tracted state, without scenes, without dresses, without as orchestra, the court displayed scenical and dramate exhibitions, with such coatly magnificence, such investre fancy, and such miraculous art, that we may dobt it to combined genius of Ben Jonson, Inigo Jones, and Laws or Ferobosco, at an era most favourable to the arts of magnitude, has been equalled by the modern species of the Opera.\*

But this circumstance had entirely escaped the mea-dge of our critics. The critic of a Masque men and ledge of our critics. The critic of a Masque mest set only have read it, but he must also have heard, and have viewed it. The only witnesses in this case are those leter-writers of the day, who were then accustomed to communicate such domestic intelligence to their absent freeds: from such ample correspondence I have often drawn some curious and sometimes important information. It is an ing to notice the opinions of some great critics, how from an original mis-statement they have drawn an ilegal mate opinion, and how one inherits from the other, the error which he propagates. Warburton said on Masque, that 'Shakespeare was an enemy to these fosteries, as appears by his writing none.' This opinion was among the many which that singular critic threw out as they arese at the moment; for Warburton forgot that Shakespears characteristically introduces one in the Tempest's not fanciful scene. Granger, who had not much time to study the manners of the age whose personages he was so well acquainted with, in a note on Milton's Masque, raid that These compositions were trifling and perp lexed alkro These compositions were trining and perpicate argumes; the persons of which are fantastical to the last degree. Ben Jonson, in his "Masque of Christmas," has introduced "Minced Pye" and "Babie Cake," who at their parts in the drama. But the most wretched perfections of the control of the co mances of this kind could please by the help of succ, se-chinery, and dancing. Granger blunders, described by two farcical characters, a species of composition of which farce was not the characteristic; such personages as in notices would enter into the Anti-Masque, which was humorous parody of the more solemn Masque, and some times relieved it. Malone, whose fancy was not viril, condems Masques and the age of Masques, in which is says, echoing Granger's epithet, 'the wretched test of the times found amusement.' And lastly comes Mr Took, whom the splendid fragment of the 'Arcades,' and the entire Masque which we have by heart, cook not warm; while his neutralising, criticism fixes him at the freeze point of the thermometer. 'This dramatic entertainment performed not without prodigious expense in machinery and decoration, to which humour we certainly owe the cut-tainment of 'Arcades,' and the inimitable 'Mask of Co-mus.' Comus, however, is only a fine dramatic poss, retaining scarcely any features of the Masque. The only modern critic who had written with some research on the departed elegance of the English drama was Wartes, whose fancy responded to the fascination of the fairy-like magnificence and lyrical spirit of the Masque. Warton had the taste to give a specimen from the Inner Temple Mass. by William Browne, the pastoral poet, whose addres to Sleep, he observed, reminds us of some favourite touches in Milton's Comus, to which it perhaps gave birth.' Yet even Warton was deficient in that sort of research, which only can discover the true nature of these singuist dramas.

Such was the state in which some years ago I found all our knowledge of this once favourite amusement of our court, our nobility, and our learned bodies of the four near of court. Some extensive researches, pursued among contemporary manuscripts, cast a new light over the obscure child of fancy and magnificence. I could not think highly of what Ben Jonson has called 'The eloquence of masques;'—entertainments on which three to five thousand pounds were expended, and on more public occasions as and twenty thousand. To the aid of the poetry, composed by the finest poets, came the most skilful municians, and the most elaborate mechanists; Ben Jonson and Isse Jones and Lawes, blended into one piece their respective genius; and Lord Bacon and Whitelocke and Seldes, who said in committees for the last great Masque presented in Charles the First, invented the devices; composed the procession of the Masquers and the Anti-Masquer; while one took the care of the dancing or the brawlers, and Whitelock and Whitelock and Whitelock control of the dancing or the brawlers, and Whitelocke and Whitelock control of the dancing or the brawlers, and Whitelocke and Seldes, who

\* Since this article was written, our theatres have attempted several scenes in the styles of these Court-Masques, with skell rable success in \_at machinery.

Digitized by Google

lecke the music; -the sage Whitelocke; who has chronicled his self-compliancency on this occasion, by claiming the invention of a Coresto, which for thirty years afterwards was the delight of the nation, and was blessed by the name of Whitelocke's Coranto, and which was always called or transposes a Coranto, and which was always called for, two or three times over, whenever that great states— man 'came to see a play!"\* So much personal honour was considered to be involved in the conduct of a Masque, that even this committee of illustrious men was on the point of being broken up by too serious a discussion con-cerning precedence; and the Masque had nearly not taken place, till they hit on the expedient of throwing dice to decide on their rank in the procession! On this jealousy of honour in the composition of a Mask, I discovered, what hitherto had escaped the knowledge, although not the curiority, of literary inquirers;—the occasion of the memora-ble ensity between Ben Jonson and Inigo Jones, who had hatherto acted together with brotherly affection, 'a circumstance,' says Mr Gifford, to whom I communicated it, 'not a little important in the history of our calumniated poet.' The trivial cause, but not so in its consequences, was the poet prefixing his own name before that of the architect, on the title-page of a Masque, which hitherto had only been annexed; so jealous was the great architect of the page of the of his part of the Masque, and so predominant his power and name at court, that he considered his rights invaded by the inferior claims of the poet! Jonson has poured out the whole bitterness of his soul, in two short satires; still more unfortunately for the subject of these satires, they provoked Inigo to sharpen his pen on rhyme; but it is edgeless, and the blunt composition still lies in its manuscript state.

While these researches had engaged my attention, appeared Mr Gifford's Memoirs of Ben Jonson. neteristics of masques are there, for the first time, elaborately opened with the clear and penetrating spirit of that ablest of our dramatic critics. I feel it like presumption to add to what has received the finishing hand of a master; but his jewel is locked up in a chest, which I fear is too rarely opened, and he will allow me to borrow something from its spiendour. 'The Masque, as it attained its highest degree of excellence, admitted of dialogue, singing, and dancing; these were not independent of one another, but combined, by the introduction of some ingenious fable, into an harmonious whole. When the plan was formed, the aid of the sister arts was called in; for the essence of the masque was pomp and glory. Moveable scenery of the most costly and splendid kind was lavished on the masque; the most celebrated masters were employed on the songs and dances; and all that the kingdom afforded of vocal and instrumental excellence was employed to embellish the exwas not committed to ordinary performers. It was composed as Lord Bacon says, for princes and by princes it was played. Of these masques, the skill with which their craments were designed, and the inexpressible grace with which their craments were designed, and with which they were executed, appear to have left a vivid impression on the mind of Jonson. His genius awakes at occ, and all his faculties attune to sprightliness and pleasure. He makes his appearance, like his own Delight, 'accompanied with Grace, Love, Harmony, Revel, Sport, and Laughter.

'In curious knot and masses ac
The spring at first was taught to go;
And Zephyr, when he came to woo
His Flors had his motions † too;
And thus did Yenus learn to lead
The Idatian brawle, and so to tread
As if the wind, not she, did walk,
Nor press'd a flower, nor bow'd a stalk.

And in what was the taste of the times-pretched? continues Mr Gifford, in reply to Messieurs Malone, and the rest, who had never cast even an imperfect glance on what one of the completest gentlemen of that age has called, 'The courity recreations of gallant gentlemen and ladies of honour, striking to exceed one the other in their measures and changes, and is their repast of wit, which have been beyond the power of Envy to disgrace.' But in what was 'the taste of the times perseched? In poetry, paning, architecture, they have not since been equalled:

"The music of Whitelocke's Coranto is preserved in 'Hawkin's History of Music;' might is the restored for the ladies as a waln?

and it ill becomes us to arraign the taste of a period which possessed a cluster of writers of whom the meanest would now be esteemed a prodigy. I have been carried farther in this extract than I intended, by the force of the current, which hurries Malone down from our sight, who, fortunately for his ease, did not live to read this denouncement for his objection against masques, as 'bungling shows;' and which Warburton treats as 'fooleries;' Granger as wretched performances;' while Mr Todd regards them merely as 'the humour of the times!"

Masques were often the private theatricals of the families of our nobility, performed by the ladies and gentlemen at their seats; and were splendidly got up on certain occasions; such as the celebration of a nuprial, or in compliment to some great visiter. The Mask of Comus was composed by Milton to celebrate the creation of Charles the First as Prince of Wales; a scene in this Mask presented both the castle and the town of Ludlow, which proves, that although our small public theatres had not yet displayed any of the scenical illusions which long afterwards Davenant introduced, these scenical effects existed in great perfection in the Masques. The minute description introduced by Thomas Campion in his 'Memorable Mask,' as it is called, will convince us that the scenery must have been exquisite and fanciful, and that the poet was always a watchful and anxious partner with the machinist; with whom sometimes, however, he had a quarrel.

The subject of this very rare mask was 'The Night and the Hours.' It would be tedious to describe the first scene with the fondness with which the poet has dwelt on it. It was a double valley; one side, with dark clouds hanging before it; on the other, a green vale, with trees, and nine golden ones of fifteen feet high; from which grove, towards 'the State,' or the seat of the king, was a broad descent to the dancing place: the bower of Flora was on the right, the house of Night on the left; between them a hill hanging like a cliff over the grove. The bower of Flora was spacious, garnished with flowers, and flowery branches, with lights among them; the house of Night ample and stately, with black columns studded with golden stars; within, nothing but clouds and twinkling stars; while about it were placed, on wire, artificial bats and owls, continually moving. As soon as the king entered the great hall, the hauthoys, out of the wood on the top of the hill, entertained the time, till Flora and Zephyr were seen busily gathering flowers from the bower, throwing them into baskets which two silvans held, attired in changeable taffety. The song is light as these fingers, but the burden is charming:

Now hath Flora robb'd her bowers To befriend this place with flowers; Strow about! strow about! Divers, divers flowers affect For some private dear respect; Strow about! strow about! But he's none of Flora's friend That will not the rose commend; Strow about! strow about!

I cannot quit this masque, of which collectors know the the rarity, without preserving one o' those Doric delicacies, of which, perhaps, we have outlived the taste! It is a playful dialogue between a Silvan and an Hour, while Night appears in her house, with her long black hair spangled with gold, amidst her Hours; their faces black, and each bearing a lighted black torch.

SILVAM. Tell me, gentle Hour of Night,
Wherein dost thou most delight?
HOUR. Not in sleep!
SILVAM. Wherein then?
HOUR. In the frolic view of men!
SILVAM. Lov'st thou music?
HOUR. Oh! 'tis sweet!
SILVAM. What's dancing?
HOUR. E'en the mirth of feet.
SILVAM. Joy you in fairnes and in elves?
HOUR. We are of that sort ourselves!

But, Silvan! say, why do you love Only to frequent the grove? SILVAM. Life is fullest of content

When delight is innocent.

Hour. Pleasure must vary, not be long;
Come then, let's close, and end the song

That the moveable scenery of these masques formed as perfect a scenial illusion as any that our own age, with all

Digitized by Google

ts perfection of decoration, has attained to, will not be denied by those who have read the few masques which have been printed. They usually contrived a double division of the scene; one part was for some time concealed from the speciator, which produced surprise and variety. Thus, in the Lord's Mask at the marriage of the Palatine, the scene was divided into two parts from the roof to the floor; the lower part being first discovered, there appeared a wood in perspective, the innermost part being of 'releave or whole round,' the rest painted. On the left a cave, and on the right a thicket, from which issued Orpheus. At the back part of the scene, at the sudden fall of a curtain, the upper part broke on the spectators, a heaven of clouds of all hues; the stars suddenly vanished, the clouds dispersed; an element of artificial fife played about the house of Prometheus-a bright and transparent cloud, reaching from the heavens to the earth, whence the eight maskers descending with the music of a full song; and at the end of their descent the cloud broke in twain, and one part of

it, as with a wind, was blown athwart the scene.

While this cloud was vanishing, the wood, being the under part of the scene, was insensibly changing: a perspective view opened, with porticoes on each side, and female statues of silver, accompanied with ornaments of architecture, filling the end of the house of Prometheus, and seemed all of goldsmiths' work. The wo-men of Prometheus descended from their niches, till the anger of Jupiter turned them agian into statues. It is evident, too, that the size of the proscenium, or stage, accorded with the magnificence of the scene; for I find choruses described, 'and changeable conveyances of the song,' in manner of an echo, performed by more than forty different voices and instruments in various parts of the scene. The architectural decorations were the pride of Inigo Jones;

such could not be trivial.

'I suppose, says the writer of this mask, 'few have ever seen more neat artifice than Master Inigo Jones showed workmanship which belonged to the whole invention, showed extraordinary industry and skill, which if it be not as lively expressed in writing as it appeared in view, rob not him of his due, but lay the blame on my want of right apprehending his instructions, for the arloring of his art. Whether this strong expression should be only adorning does not appear in any errata; but the feeling of admiration was fervent among the spectators of that day, who were at least as much astonished as they were delighted. Ben Jonson's prose descriptions of scenes in his own exquisite masques, as Mr. Gifford observes, are singularly bold and beautiful. In a letter, which I discovered, the writer of which had been present at one of these masques, and which Mr. Gifford had preserved,\* the reamasques, and which Mr. Chindri and preserved, the read der may see the great poet anxiously united with Inigo Jones in working the machinery. Jonson, before 'a sacri-fice could be performed, turned the globe of the earth, standing behind the altar.' In this globe, 'the sea was expressed heightened with silver waves, which stood, or rather hung, (for no axle was seen to support it), and turn-ing softly, discovered the first masque, 'f &c. This 'turning softly producing a very magical effect, the great poet would trust to no other hand but his own!

It seems, however, that as no masque-writer equalled Jonson, so no machinist rivalled Inigo Jones. I have some. times caught a groan from some unfortunate poet, whose beautiful fancies were spoilt by the bunging machinist. One says, 'The order of this scene was carefully and ingeniously disposed, and as happily put in act (for the mo-tions) by the king's master carpenter; but he adds, 'the painters, I must needs say (not to belie them.) lent small plour to any, to attribute much of the spirit of these things their pencil.' Poor Campion, in one of his masques,

describing where the trees were gently to sink, &c, by an engine placed under the stage, and in sinking were to open, and the masquers appear out at their tops, &c, adds this vindictive marginal note: 'Either by the simplicity, negligence, or compiracy of the painter, the passing away of the treer was somewhat hazarded, though the same day they had been shown with much admiration, and were left together to the same night; that is, they were worked right at the rehearsal, and failed in the representation, which must have perplexed the nine masquers on the tops of these nine trees. But such accidents were only vexa-

• Memoirs of Jonson, p. 88. • See Gifford's Jonson, vol. vil. p. 78.

tions crossing the fancies of the poet: they did not essentially injure the magnificence, the pomp, and the fairy world opened to the spectators. So little was the charge ter of these masques known, that all our critics seem to have fallen into repeated blunders, and used the masque as Campion suspected his painters to have done, 'eith by simplicity, negligence, or conspiracy. Hurd, and systematic critic, thought he might safely prefer the masque in the Tempest, as 'putting to shame all the masques of Jonson, not only in its construction, but in the application its show;'—'which,' adds Mr Gifford, 'was danced and sung by the ordinary performers to a couple of fiddles, porhaps in the balcony of the stage. Such is the fate of criticism without knowledge! And now, to close our masques, let me apply the forcible style of Ben Jonson himself: 'The glory of all these solemnities had perished like a blaze, and gone out in the beholder's eyes; so short-lived are the bodies of all things in comparison of their souls!'

### OF DES MAIZEAUX, AND THE SECRET HISTORY OF ANTHONY COLLINS'S MANUSCRIPTS.

Dos Maizeaux was an active literasy man of his day, whose connexions with Bayle, St Evrensond, Locke, and Toland, with his name set off by an F. R. S. have occasioned the dictionary-biographers to place him prominently among their 'hommes illustres.' Of his private history nothing seems known. Having something important to communicate respecting one of his friends, a far greater character, with whose fate he stands connected, even Des

character, with whose rate he stands countextud, over the Maizeaux becomes an object of our inquiry.

He was one of those French refugees, whom political madness, or despair of intolerance, had driven to our shores. The proscription of Louis XIV, which supplied us with our skilful workers in silk, also produced a race of the age against in the the unemployed, who proved not to be as exquisite in the handicraft of book-making; such were Motteus, La Coste, Ozell, Durand, and others. Our author had come over in that tender state of youth, just in time to become helf as Englishman; and he was so ambidextrous in the languages of the two great literary nations of Europe, that whenever he took up his pen, it is evident, by his manufactured in the state of the scripts, which I have examined, that it was mere accident which determined him to write in French or in English. Composing without genius, or even taste, without viacity or force, the simplicity and fluency of his style were sufficient for the purposes of a ready dealer in all the minute. literaries; literary anecdotes, curious quotations, notices of obscure books, and all that supelles which must enter into the history of literature, without forming a history. These little things, which did so well of themselves, without any connexion with any thing else, became trivial when they assumed the form of voluminous minuteness; and Des Maizeaux at length imagined that nothing but anecdotes were necessary to compose the lives of men of genius!
With this sort of talenthe produced a copious life of Bayle,
in which he add in which he told every thing he possibly could; and so-thing can be more tedious, and more curious: for though it he a grievous fault to omit nothing, and marks, the writer to be deficient in the development of character, and that sympathy which throws inspiration over the vivilying page of biography, yet, to admit every thing has this merit that we are sure to find what we want! Warburton poignantly describes our Des Maizeaux, in one of those leters to Dr Birch, which he wrote in the ferrid age of study, and with the impatient vivacity of his genius. Almost all the life-writers we have had before Toland and Des Maines and the life-writers we have had before Toland and Des Maines and the life-writers we have had before Toland and Des Maines and the life-writers we have had before Toland and Des Maines and the life-writers we have had before Toland and Des Maines and the life-writers were supported by the life writers and the life writers are the life writers and the life writers are the life writers are the life writers and the life writers are the life writers zeaux are indeed strange, insipid creatures; and yet I had rather read the worst of them, than he obliged to go through with this of Milton's, or the other's life of Boilean; where there is such a dull, heavy succession of long quotations of uninteresting passages, that it makes their method quite nauseous. But the verbose, tasteless Frenchman, seems to lay it down as a principle, that every life must be a book,—and, what is worse, it seems a book without a life; for what do we have of Fillers of the collection what do we know of Boileau, after all his tedious stuff?

Des Maizeaux was much in the employ of the Dotes.

booksellers, then the great monopolizers in the literary mart of Europe. He supplied their 'nouvelles litteraires from England; but the work-sheet price was very mean in those days. I have seen annual accounts of Des Maizeaux settled to a line, for four or five pounds; and yet he sent the 'Novelties' as frosh as the post could carry them! He held a confidential correspondence with these great Dutch booksellers, who consulted him in their distresses;

Digitized by GOOGLE

and he seems rather to have relieved them than himself. But if he got only a few florins at Rotterdam, the same nowelles litteraires sometimes secured him valuable reducing on us, an English author would often appeal to a foreign journal for the commendation he might fail in obtaining at home; and I have discovered, in more cases than one, that, like other smuggled commodities, the foreign article was often of home manufactory!

I give one of these curious bibliopolical distresses. Sauzet, a bookseller at Rotterdam, who judged too critically for the repose of his authors, seems to have been always fond of projecting a new 'Journal' tormented by the ideal excellence which he had conceived of such a work, it rexed him that he could never find the workmen! Once disappointed of the assistance he expected from a writer of talents, he was fain to put up with one he was ashaned of; but warily stipulated on very singular terms. He confided this precious literary secret to Des Maizeaux. I trasslate from his manuscript letter.

'I send you, my dear Sir, four sheets of the continuaton of my journal, and I hope this second part will turn out better than the former. The author thinks himself a very side porson; but I must tell you frankly, that he is a man without erudition, and without any critical discrimination; he writes pretty well, and turns passably what he says; but that is all! Monsiour Van Effen having failed is his promises to realize my hopes on this occasion, necessity compelled me to have recourse to him; but for six months only, and on condition that he should not, on any account whatever, allow any one to know that he is the auther of the journal; for his name alone would be sufficient to make even a passable book discreditable. As you are among my friends, I will confide to you in secrecy the name of this author; it is Monsieur De Limiers.\* You see how much my interest is concerned that the author should not be known! This anecdote is gratuitously presented to the editors of certain reviews, as a serviceable bint to enter into the same engagement with some of their own writers; for it is usually the De Limiers who expend

their last puff in blowing their own name about the town. In England, Des Maizeaux, as a literary man, made himself very useful to other men of letters, and particularly to persons of rank; and he found patronage and a pension,—like his talents, very moderate! A friend to iterary men, he lived amongst them, from 'Orator' Henley, up to Addison, Lord Halifax, and Anthony Collins.
I find a curious character of our Des Maizeaux in the hand-writing of Edward, Earl of Oxford, to whose father (Pope's Earl of Oxford) and himself, the nation owes the Harlesan treasures. His lordship is a critic with high Tory principles, and high-church notions. This Des loy principles, and high-church notions. "I'ms Les Mazzeux is a great man with those who are pleased to be called Free-thinkers, particularly with Mr Anthony Colins, collects passages out of books for their writings. His file of Chillingworth is wrote to please that set of men." The secret history I am to unfold relates to Anthony Colins and Des Mazzeux. Some curious book-lovers will be interested in the nerconal history of an author they are be interested in the personal history of an author they are well acquainted with, yet which has hitherto remained unknown. He tells his own story in a sort of epistolary pe-tition he addressed to a noble friend characteristic of an author, who cannot be deemed unpatronized, yet whose same, after all his painful labours, might be inserted in my Calamities of Authors.'

In this letter he announces his intention of publishing a actionary like Bayle; having written the life of Bayle, the next step was to become bimself a Bayle; so short is the passage of literary delusion! He had published, as a specimen, the lives of Hales and Chillingworth. He complains that his circumstances have not allowed him to

Van Effen was a Dutch writer of some merit, and one of a learny knotofingenious men, conelsting of Sallengre, St Hyachter of Marchand, &c, who carried on a smart review for these days, published at the Hague under the title of 'Journal Lisearie.' They all composed in French; and Van Effen gave the first translations of our Guardian, Robinson Crusce, and the Tale of a Tub, &c. He did something more, but not better; he stempted to imitate the Spectator, in his 'Le Misathope,' 1728, which exhibits a picture of the uninteresting manners of a nation, whom he could not make very lively. De Limiters has had his name slipped into our biographical schoops: An author cannot secape the fatality of the alphabet; his numerous misdeeds are registered. It is said, that if he had not been so hungry, he would have given proois of passessing sees talent.

forward that work, nor digest the materials he had collected.

A work of that nature requires a steady application, tree from the cares and avocations incident to all persons obliged to seek for their maintenance. I have had the misfortune to be in the case of those persons, and am now reduced to a pension on the Irish establishment, which, reduced to a pension on the Irian establishment, which, deducting the tax of four shillings in the pound, and other charges, brings me in about 40t. a year of our English money. This pension was granted to me in 1710, and I owe it chiefly to the friendship of Mr Addison, who was then secretary to the Earl of Wharton, lord licutenant of Ireland. In 1711, 12, and 14, I was appointed one of the commissioners of the lottery by the interest of Lord Halifar. faz.

And this is all I ever received from the government though I had some claim to the royal favour; for in 1710, when the enemies to our constitution were contriving its when the enemies to our constitution were contriving its ruin, I wrote a pamphlet entitled 'Lethe,' which was published in Holland, and afterwards translated into Eng-lish, and twice printed in London; and being reprinted at Dublin, proved so offensive to the ministry in Ireland, that it was burnt by the bands of the horson. that it was burnt by the hands of the hangman. But so it is, that after having showed on all occasions my zeal R is, that after maying snowed on all occasions my acceptor the royal family, and endeavoured to make myself serviceable to the public hy several books published; after forty years' stay in England, and in an advanced age, I find myself and family destitute of a sufficient livelihood, and suffering from complaints in the head and impaired

sight by constant application to my studies.

'I am confident, my lord,' he adds, 'that if the queen, to whom I was made known on occasion of Thuanus's French translation, were acquainted with my present distrees, she would be pleased to afford me some relief.'

Among the confidential literary friends of Des Mai-zeaux he had the honor of ranking Anthony Collins, a great lover of literature, and a man of fine genius; and who in a continued correspondence with our Des Maizeaux treated him as his friend, and employed him as his agent in his literary concerns. These in the formation of an extensive library, were in a state of perpetual activity, and Collins was such a true lover of his books, that he drew up the catalogue with his own pen. 1 Anthony Col-lins wrote several well-known works without prefixing his name; but having pushed too far his curious inquiries on some obscure and polemical points, he incurred the odium of a free-thinker, a term which then began to be in vogue, and which the Prench adopted by translating it in their way, a strong thinker, or seprit fort. Whatever tendency to 'liberalise' the mind from degracand creeds prevails in these works, the talents and learning of Collins were of the first class. His morals were immaculate, and his personal character independent; but the odium theologicum of those days contrived every means to stab in the dark, till the taste became heredtrary with some. I shall mention a fact of this cruel bigotry which occurred within my own observation on one gotry which occurred within my own observation on one of the most polished men of the age. The late Mr. Cumberland, in the romance entitled his 'Life,' gave this extraordinary fact, that Dr Bentley, who so ably replied by his 'Remarks,' under the name of Phileleutherus Lipsiensis, to Collins's 'Discourse on Free-thinking,' when many years after he discovered him fallen into great distress, conceiving that by having ruined Collins's character as a writer for ever, he had been the occasion of his personal misery, he liberally contributed to his maintenance. In vain I mentioned to that elegant writer, who was not curi-ous about facts, that this person could never have been Anthony Collins, who had always a plentiful fortune; and when it was suggested to him that this 'A. Collins,' as he printed it, must have been Arthur Colling the historical compiler, who was often in pocuniary difficulties, still he persisted in sending the lie down to posterity, seciden

I find that the nominal pension was 3s, 6d, per diem on the Irish civil list, which amounts to above 62t, per annum. Ifpension be granted for reward, it seems a mockery that the in come should be so grievously reduced, which cruel custom

come shours as a state of the s

in 1745.

He was sworn in as gentleman of his majesty's privy chamber in 1722.—Bloane's MSS, 4269.

† There is a printed entalogue of his library.

OS 25

perbis, without alteration in his second edition, observing without atterance in the story, while it told well, might serve as a striking instance of his great relative's generosity; and that it should stand, because it could do no harm to any but Anthony Collins, whom he considered as little short of an atheist.' So much for this pious fraud! as attree snort or an attreest. So much for this pious fraud! but be it recollected that this Anthony Collins was the confidential friend of Locke, of whom Locke said, on his dying bed, that 'Collins was a man whom he valued in the first rank of those that he left behind him.' And the last words of Collins on his own death-bed were, that 'he was persuaded he was going to that place which God had de-signed for them that love him.' The cause of true religion will never be assisted by using such loaky vessels as Camberland's wilful calumaies, which in the end must run out, and be found, like the present, mere empty fictions!

An extraordinary circumstance occurred on the death of Anthony Collins. He left behind him a considerable number of his own manuscripts, and there was one collec-tion formed into eight octavo volumes; but that they might be secured from the common fate of manuscripts, he bebe secured from the common tate or manuscripus, no sequenthed them all, and confided them to the care of our Des Maixeaux. The choice of Collins reflects honour on the character of Des Maixeaux, yet he proved unworthy of it! He suffered himself to betray his trust, practised on by the earnest desire of the widow, and perhaps by the arts of a Mr Tomlinson, who appears to have been introduced into the family by the recommendation of Dean Sykes, whom at length he supplanted, and whom the widow to save her reputation, was afterwards obliged to discard.\*
In an unguarded moment he relinquished this precious legacy of the manuscripts, and accepted fifty guineas as a pre-send. But if Des Maizeaux lost his honour in this transaction, he was at heart an honest man, who had swerved for a single moment; his conscience was soon awakened, and he experienced the most violent compunctions. It was in a paroxyam of this nature that he addressed the following letter to a mutual friend of the late Anthony Collins and himself.

January 6, 1730.

I am very glad to hear you are come to town, and as you are my best friend, now I have lost Mr Collins, give me leave to open my heart to you, and to beg your assistance in an affair which highly concerns both Mr Collins's (your friend) and my own honour and reputation. case, in few words, stands thus: Mr Collins by his last will and testament left me his manuscripts. Mr Tomlinson, who first acquainted me with it, told me that Mrs Colson, who has acquainted me with it, fold me that Mrs Col-lins should be glad to have them, and I made them over to her; whereupon she was pleased to present me with fifty guificus. I desired her at the same time to take care they should be kept safe and unhurt, which she promised to do. This was done the 25th of last month. Mr Tomlinson, who managed all this affair, was present.

Now, having further considered that matter, I find that I have done a most wicked thing. I am persuaded that I I have come a most wicacu timing. I am personaucu that a have betrayed the trust of a person who for 26 years has given me continual instances of his friendship and confi-dence. I am convinced that I have acted contrary to the will and intention of my dear deceased friend; showed a disregard to the particular mark of esteem he gave me on that occasion; in short, that I have forfeited what is dearer to me than my own life—honour and reputation.

These melancholy thoughts have made so great an impression upon me, that I protest to you I can enjoy no rest; they haunt me every where, day and night. I carnestly bethey haunt me every where, day and night. I carnestly beseeth you, Sir, to represent my unhappy case to Mrs Colins. I acted with all the simplicity and uprightness of my heart; I considered that the MSS would be as safe in Mrs Colins's hands as in mine; that she was no less obliged to preserve them than myself; and that, as the library was left to her, they might naturally go along with it. Besides, I thought I could not too much comply with the desire of a lady to whom I have so many obligations. But I see now clearly that this is not fulfilling Mr Collins's will, and that the duties of our conscience are superior to all other rethe duties of our conscience are superior to all other regards. But it is in her power to forgive and mend what I have done imprudently, but with a good intention. Her high sense of virtue and generosity will not, I am sure, let her take any advantage of my weakness; and the tender regard she has for the memory of the best of men, and the tenderest of husbands, will not suffer that his intentions

• This information is from a note found among Des Mai-

should be frustrated, and that she should be the instrument of violating what is most sacred. If our late friend had designed that his MSS should remain in her hands, he would certainly have left them to her by his last will and testament; his acting otherwise is an evident proof that it was not his intention.

All this I proposed to represent to her in the most re-All this I proposed to represent to ner in the stoot respectful manner; but you will do it infinitely better than I can in this present distraction of mind; and I flatter myself that the mutual esteem and friendship which has continued so many years between Mr Collins and you, will make you readily embrace whatever tends to honour his memory.

I send you the fifty guineas I received, which I do now look upon as the wages of iniquity; and I dewire you to return them to Mrs Collins, who, as I hope it of her justice, equity and regard to Mr Collins's intentions, will be pleased to cancel my paper.

1 am, &c, P. DES MAIREAUX.

The manuscripts were never returned to Des Maizeau; for soven years afterwards Mrs Collins, who appears to have been a very spirited lady, addressed to him the following letter on the subject of a report, that she had permitted transcripts of these very manuscripts to get abroad. This occasioned an animated correspondence abroad. from both sides.

Sir, March 10, 1736-7. I have thus long waited in expectation that you would ere this have called on Dean Sykes, as Sir B. Lucy said you intended, that I might have had some satisfaction in relation to a very unjust reproach, viz., that I, or somebody that I had trusted, had betrayed some of the transcripts or MSS, of Mr Collins into the Bishop of London's hands. I cannot therefore, since you have not been with the dean as was desired, but call on you in this manner, to know what authority you had for such a reflection; or on what grounds you went on for saying that these transcripts are in the Bishop of London's hands. I am determined to trace out the grounds of such a report; and you can be so friend of mine, no friend of Mr Collins, no friend to common justice, if you refuse to acquaint me what foundation you had for such a charge. I desire a very speedy answer you had for such was --- to this, who am, Sir,
Your servant,

ELIZ. COLLIES.

To Mr Des Maizeaux, at his lodgings next door to the Quaker's buing-ground, Hanover-street, out of Long Acre.

To Mrs Collins.

March 14, 1796.

I had the honour of your letter of the 10th, ins and as I find that something has been misapprehended, I

beg leave to set this matter right.

Being lately with some honourable persons, I told them it had been reported that some of Mr C's MSS were fallen into the hands of strangers, and that I should be glad to receive from you such information as might enable me to disprove that report. What occasioned this surmise, of what particular MSS were meant, I was not able to disprove the control of t cover; so I was left to my own conjectures, which, upon a serious consideration, induced me to believe that it might relate to the MSS in eight volumes in 800, of which there is a transcript. But as the original and the transcript are in your possession, if you please, madem, to compare them together, you may easily see whether they be both enter and perfect, or whether there be any thing wanting in either of them. By this means you will assure yourself, and satisfy your friends that assured it is a satisfy your friends. satisfy over friends, that several important pieces are sale in your hands, and that the report is false and groundless. All this I take the liberty to offer out of the singular respect I always professed for you, and for the memory of Mr Col-hins, to whom I have endeavoured to do justice on all occasions, and particularly in the memoirs that have been made use of in the General Dictionary; and I hope my tender concern for his reputation will further appear when I pub-lish his Ec. lish his life,

April 6, 1757. My ill state of health has bindered me from acknowledging sooner the receipt of yours, from which I hoped for some satisfaction in relation to your charge, in which I cannot but this to the same but the which I cannot but think myself very deeply concerned. You tell me now, that you was left to your own conjectured what particular MSS were reported to have fallen into the hands of strangers, and that upon a serious consideration you was induced to believe that it might relate to the MSS meight volu. Svo, of which there was a transcript.

I must beg of you to satisfy me very explicitly who were the personns that reported this to you, and from whom did you receive this information? You know that Mr Collins left several MSS behind him; what grounds had you for your conjecture that it related to the MSS in eight vols. rather than to any other MSS of which there was a transcript? I beg that you will be very plain, and tell me what strangers were named to you? and why you said the Bishop of London, if your informer, said stranger to you? I am so much concerned in this, that I must repeat it, if you have the singular respect for Mr Collins which you strokes, that you would help me to trace out this reproach, which is so abusive to,

Sir,
Your Servant,
ELIZ. COLLING.

I fattered myself that my last letter would have satisfied you, but I have the mortification to see that my hopes were vain. Therefore I beg leave once more to set this matter right. When I told you what had been reported, I acted, as I thought, the part of a true friend, by acquainting you that some of your MSS had been purloised, in order that you might examine a fact which to mee appeared of the last consequence; and I verily believe that every body in my case would have expected thanks for such a friendly information. But instead of that, I find myself represented as an enemy, and challenged to produce proofs and witnesses of a thing dropt in conversation, a hear-say, as if in those cases people kept a register of what they hear, and entered the names of the persons who spoke, the time, place, &c., and had with them persons ready to witness the whole, &c. I did own I never thought of such a thing, and whenever I happened to hear that some of my friends had some loss, I thought it my duty to acquaint them with such report, that they might in quive into the matter, and see whether there was any ground for it. But I never troubled myself with the names of the persons who spoke, as being a thing entirely needless and unprofitable.

Give me leave farther to observe, that you are in no way concerned in the matter, as you seem to be apprehensive you are. Suppose some MSS have been taken out of your library, who will say you ought to bear the guilt of it? What man in his senses, who has the honour to know you, will say you gave your consent to such thing—that you was privy to it? How can you then take upon yourself an action to which you was neither privy and consenting? Do not such things happen every day, and do the losers think themselves injured or abused when they are talked of? Is it impossible to be betrayed by a person we confided in?

You call what I told you was a report, a surmise; you call it, I say, an information, and speak of informers as if there was a plot laid, wherein I received the information: I thought I had the honour to be better known to you. Mr Collins loved me and esteemed me for my integrity and sincerity, of which he had several proofs; how I have been drawn in to injure him, to forfeit the good opinion he had of me, and which, were he now alive, would deservedly expuse me to his utmost contempt, is a grief which I shall carry to the grave. It would be a sort of comfort to me, if those who have consented I should be drawn in were in some measure sensible of the guilt towards so good, kind, and generous a man.

Thus we find that seven years after Des Maizeaux had inconsiderately betrayed his sacred trust, his remorse was still awake; and the sincerity of his grief is attested by the affecting style which describes it: the spirit of his departed friend seemed to be hovering about him, and, in his imagination, would haunt him to the grave.

The nature of these manuscripts; the cause of the sarnest desire of retaining them by the widow; the evident unfriendliness of her conduct to Des Maizeaux; and whether these manuscripts, consisting of eight octave volumes with their transcripts, were destroyed, or are still existing, sur all circumstances, which my researches have hitherto not ascertained.

Meelogy, or the novelty of words and phrases, is an in-

novation, which, with the opulence of our present language, the English philologer is most jealous to allow; but we have purians or precisans of English, superstituously nice! The fantastic coinage of affectation or caprice will cease to circulate from its own alloy; but shall we reject the ore of fine workmanship and solid weight? There is no government mist of words, and it is no statutable offence to invent a felicitious or daring expression unauthorized by Mr Todd! When a man of genius, in the heat of he pursuits or his feelings, has thrown out a peculiar word, it probably conveyed more precision or energy than any other established word, otherwise he is but an ignorant pretender!

Julius Cassar, who, unlike other great captains, is authority in words as well as about blows, wrote a large treatine on 'Analogy,' in which that fine genius counselled to 'avoid every unusual word as a rock!'\* The cautious Quintilian, as might be expected, opposes all innovation in language. 'If the new word is well received, small is the glory; if rejected, it raises laughter.'† This only marks the penury of his feelings in this species of adventure! The great legislator of words, who lived when his own language was at its acmé, seems undecided, yet pleaded for this liberty. 'Shall that which the Romans allowed to Cascilius and to Plautus be refused to Virgil and Varius?' The answer to the question might not be fascilius? The answer to the question might not be fascilius? The answer to the question might not be fascilius? The answer to the question might not be fascilius? The answer to the question might not be fascilius? The answer to the question might not be fascilius? The answer to the question might not be fascilius? The answer to the question distincts; when established, for restricting themselves to them. But this is to imagine that a perfect language can exist! The good sense and observation of Horace perceived that there may be occasions where necessity must become the mother of invented words:

——SI forte necesse est
Indeils monstrare recentribus abdita rerum.
If you write of things abstrue or new,
Some of your own inventing may be used,
So k be seldom and discreetly done.

Roscommon.

But Horace's canon for deciding on the legality of the new invention, or the standard by which it is to be tried, will not serve to assist the inventor of words:

Signatum præsente nota procudere nummum.‡

an undisputed power
Of coining money from the rugged ore,
Nor less of coining words is still confest,
If with a legal public stamp imprest.

FRANCIS.

This prasens note, or public stamp, can never be affixed to any new coinage of words; for many received at a season have perished with it. The privilege of stamping words is reserved for their greatest enemy—Time itself! and the inventor of a new word must never flatter himself that he has secured the public adoption, for he must lie in his grave before he can enter the dictionary.

In Wille's address to the reader, prefixed to the collec-

In Wille's address to the reader, prefixed to the collection of voyages published in 1577, he finds fault with Eden's translation from Peter Martyr, for using words that smelt too much of the Latine.' We should scarcely have expected to find among them ponderouse, portentouse, despicable, observious, homicide, imbibed, destructive, prodigious. The only words he quotes, not thoroughly naturalized, are dominators, ditionaries, (subjects,) solicitute, (careful.)

dominators, ditionaries, (subjects,) solicitate, (careful.)

The Tatler, No. 230, introduces several polysyllables introduced by military narrations, 'which, (he says,) if they attack us too frequently, we shall certainly put them to flight, and cut off the rear;' every one of them still keep their ground.

Half the French words used affectedly by Melantha, an Dryden's Marriage à-la-mode, as innovations in our language, are now in common use, saived, foible, chagring grimace, embarras, double entendre, equivoque, eclairoissement, ridicule, all these words which she learns by heart to use occasionally, are now in common use. A Dr Ruse called Psalm-singers Ballati-singers, having found the song of Solomon in an old translation, the Ballad of Ballads, for which he is reproached by his antagonist for not knowing that the signification of words alters with time; should I call him knave, he ought not to be concerned as

Aulus Gellius, lib. l, c. 10. † Instit. lib. i, c. 5. † This verse was corrected by Bentley procudere nummum, instead of producere nomen, which the critics agree is one of his happy conjectures.

it, for the Apostle Paul is also called a knane of Jesus Christ.

Unquestionably, MECLOSY opens a wide door to inno-vation; scarcely has a century passed since our language was patched up with gallic idioms, as in the preceding century it was piebald with Spanish, and with Italian, and even with Dutch. The political intercourse of islanders with their neighbours has ever influenced their language. In Elizabeth's reign Italian phrases and Netherland words were imported; in James and Charles the Spanish framed the style of courtesy; in Charles the Second the nation and the language were equally Frenchified. Yet such are the sources whence we have often derived some of the

wealth of our language!

There are three foul corrupters of a language; caprice, affectation, and ignorance! Such fashionable cant terms as 'theatricals,' and 'musicals,' invented by the flippant Topham, still survive among his confraternity of frivolity. A lady eminent for the elegance of her taste, and of whom one of the Best judges, the celebrated Miss Edgeworth, observed to me that she spoke the purest and most idiomatic English she had ever heard, threw out an observation which might be extended to a great deal of our present fashionable vocabulary. She is now old enough, she said, to have lived to hear the vulgarisms of her youth adopted in drawing-room circles. To hanch, now so familiar from the fairest lips, in her youth was only known in the servants' hall. An expression very rife of late among our young ladies, a nice man, whatever it may mean, whether the man resemble a pudding, or something more nice, con-siderable and the man resemble a pudding, or something more nice, con-up! When I was a boy, it was an age of Bon ton; this good tone mysteriously convoyed a sublime idea of fashion; the term imported late in the eighteenth century, closed with it. Twoddle for awhile succeeded bore; but hore has recovered the supremacy. We want another Swift to with the supremacy. We want another Swift to give a new edition of his 'Polite Conversation.' A dictionary of barbarisms too might be collected from some wretched neologists, whose pens are now at work! Lord Chartesfull in his apportations to confirm to Johnson's Chesterfield, in his exhortations to conform to Johnson's Dictionary, was desirous, however, that the great lexicographer should add as an appendix 'A neological Dicstonary, containing those polite, though perhaps not strictly grammatical, words and phrases commonly used, and sometimes understood by the beau monde. This last phrase was doubtless a contribution! Such a dictionary had already appeared in the French language, drawn up by two caustic critics, who in the Dictionnaire neologique e l'usage des beaux Esprits du Siecle, collected together the numerous unlucky inventions of affectation, with their modern authorities! A collection of the fine words and phrases culled from some very modern poetry, might show the real amount of the favours bestowed on us.

The attempts of neologists are, however, not necessa-

rily to be sondemned; and we may join with the commen-tators of Aulus Ge ius, who have lamented the loss of a chapter, of which the title only has descended to us. That chapter would have demonstrated what happens to all languages, that some neologisms, which at first are considered forced or inelegant, become sanctioned by use, and in time are quoted as authority in the very language which, in their early stage, they were imagined to have

debased.

The true history of men's minds is found in their actions; their wants are indicated by their contrivances; and co.tain it is that in highly cultivated ages we discover the most refined intellects attempting neologisms. It would the most remed interiects attempting neoroganias. At wome be a subject of great curiosity to trace the origin of many happy expressions, when, and by whom created. Plato substituted the term *Providence* for fate; and a new system of human affairs arose from a single word. Cicero invented several; to this philosopher we owe the term of moral philosophy, which before his time was called the philosophy of manners. But on this subject we are perhaps more interested by the modern than by the ancient languages. Richardson, the painter of the human heart has comed some expressions to indicate its little secret movements which are admirable: that great genius me-sited a higher education and more literary leisure than the life of a printer could afford. Montaigne created some hold expressions, many of which have not survived him; incuriositie so opposite to curiosity, well describes that state of negligence where we will not learn that of which we are ignorant. With us the word incurious was described

by Heylin, in 1656, as an unusual word; it has been appropriately adopted by our best writers; although we still want incuriosity. Charrontinvented etratigate unsuccessive. want montrosay. One communication arranged would be the true substantive of the word errange; our Locke is the solitary instance produced for 'foreignness' for 'remoteness or want of relation to something.' Malhere borrowed from the arranged which have been recognized, but a Latin insidieus, securité, which have been received; but a bolder word devoulier, by which he proposed to express cesser de vouloir, has not. A term, however, expressive and precise. Corneille happily intoduced invasinces in a verse in the Cid.

Vous etes invaincu, mais non pas invincible.

Yet this created word by their great poet has not sase-tioned this fine description among the French, for we are told that it is almost a solitary instance. Balrac was a great inventor of neologisms. Urbanité and feliciter were struck in his mint. 'Si le mot feliciter n'est pas Français il le sera l'année qui vient;' so confidently proud was the neologist, and it prospored as well as urbanité, of which he says, 'Quand l'usage aura muri parmi nous un mot de si mauvais gout, et corrigé l'amerteme de la neucem s'y peut trouver, nous nous y accoutumerons com autres que nous avons em prunté de la meme langue.' Balzac was, however, too sanguine in some other words; for his delecter, his seriosité, &cc, still retain their 'bitterness

Menage invented a term of which an equivalent is wast. ing in our language: 'J'ai fait procedeur à l'imitation de l'Italien prosatore, pour dire un homme qui écrit en prose. To distinguish a prose from a verse writer we ease had a proser. Drayton uses it; but this useful distinction has Drayton uses it; but this useful distinction has unluckily degenerated, and the current sense is so daily

urgent, that the purer sense is irrecoverable.

When D'Ablancourt was translating Lucian, he inve ed in French the words indolence and inviolent; to describe a momentary languor, rather than that habitual indolence, Tacitus, he created the word turbulenment, but it did not prosper, any more than that of temporisement. Segram invented the word importantale, which, after having been invented the word importantale, which, after having been rejected, was revived, and is equivalent to our expressive unpardonable. Moliere ridiculed some neologisms of the Precieuses of his day; but we are too apt to ridicule that which is new and which we often adopt when it becomes old. Moliere laughed at the term s'encannailler, to describe one who assumed the manners of a blackguard; the expressive word has remained in the language.

There are two remarkable French words created by the There are two remarkable French words created by the Abbé de Saint Pierre, who passed his meritorious life in the contemplation of political morality and universal benevolence—bienfaisance and gloriele. He invented gloriele as a contemptuous diminutive of gloire; to describe that vanity of some egotists, so proud of the small talents which they may have received from nature or from accident. Bienfaisance first appeared in this sentence: "L'Esprit de la vraie religion et la principal but of Fernandia. la vraie religion et la principal but d l'evangile cest la bi faisance, c'est-a-dire la pratique de la charité envers le prochain. This word was so new, that in the moment of its creation this good man explained its necessity and orgin. Complaining that 'the word "charity" is abused by all sorts of Christians in the persecution of their enemies, and even heretics affirm that they are practising Chrysnas charity in persecuting other heretics, I have sought for a term which might convey to us a precise idea of doing good to our neighbours, and I can form none more proper to make myself understood than the term of birefuncation. good-doing. Let those who like, use it; I would only be understood, and it is not equivocal. The happy werd was at first critised, but at length every kind heart found at responded to its own feeling. Some verses from Vattaire, alluding to the political reveries of the good able, nonce the critical opposition; yet the new word answered to the great rule of Horace.

Certain legislateur, dont la piume feccade \*Cettam legislateur, cont is prunse reconsus

Fit tant de vains projects pour le bien da mend

Et qui depuis trente ans écrit pour des lugrais,

Viens de creer un mot qui manque a Vaugelie

Ce mot est Bienfaisance, il me plait, il rassemble

Si le cœur en est cru, bien des vertus ensemble Polits grammairiens, grands preceptsurs de se Qui pesez la parole et mesurez les mots, Pareille expression vous semble hazardes, Mais l'univers entier doit en cherir l'it

The French revolutionists, in their rage for innovation, almost harbarized the pure French of the Augustean age of their literature, as they did many things which never become occurred; and sometimes experienced feelings as transitory as they were strange. Their nomenclature was consume; but the revolutionary jargon often shows the etamoger and the necessity of neologisms. They form an appendix to the Academy Dictionary. Our plain English has served to enrich this odd mixture of philotogy and poditics; Chib, chiesten, conid, juré, juge de pais, blend with their terrerisme, lanterner, a verb active heptembriser, Etc. The barbarous term denoralization is said to have been the invention of the horrid capuchin Chabot; and the remarkable expression of errier-pensée belonged exclusively in its birth to the jesuitic astuteness of the Abbé Seeyes, that political actor who, in changing sides, never

required prompting in his new part!

A new word, the result of much consideration with its susher, or a term which, though unknown to the language, conveys a collective assemblage of ideas by a fortunate designation, is a precious contribution of genius; new words should convey new ideas. Swift, living amidst a civil war of pamphiets, when certain writers were regularly employed by one party to draw up replies to the other, created a term not to be found in our dictionaries, but which, by a single stroke, characterizes these hirelings; he called them assementations. We have not dropped the fortunate expression from any want of its use, but of perfection in our lexicographers. The celebrated Marquis of Lanedowne introduced a useful word, which has been of late warmly adopted in France as well as in Englands of literatine; the noun has been drawn out of the verbfor in the marquis's time, that was only an abstract conception which is now a sect; and to liberatine was the evertically introduced before the liberate area. It is curious to observe that as an adjective it had formerly in our language a very opposite meaning to its recent one. It eass symmony with 'libertine or licentious,' we have 'a liberat villain' and 'a most profane and liberat counseller,'

we find one declaring 'I have spoken too liberally.' This is unlucky for the liberals, who will not—
'Give allowance to our liberal jests
Upon their persons—'

BEAUMONT AND FLETCHER.

My learned friend Archdeacon Nares in his valuable Glossary has supplied a variety of instances.

Dr Priestley employed a forcible, but not an elegant term, to mark the general information which had begun in his day; this he frequently calls ' the spread of knowledge." Burke attempted to brand with a new name that set of pert, petulent, sophistical sciolists, whose philosophy, the Presich, since their revolutionary period, have distinguished as philosophism, and the philosophers themselves as philosophism, and the philosophers themselves as philosophism, and the would have designated them as literators, but few exotic words will circulate; new words must be the coinage of our own language to blend with the vernacular idiom. Many new words are still wanted. We have no word by which we could translate the clium of the Latins, the dilettante of the Italians, the alembique of the French, as an epithet to describe that sublimated ingenuity which exhausts the mind, till, like the fusion of the diamond, the intellect itself disappears. A philosopher, in an extensive view of a subject in all its bearings, may convey to us the result of his last considerations, by the counsge of a novel and significant expression as this of Professor Dugald Stewart—political religionism. Let me claim the honour of one pure neologism. I ventured to introduce the term of father-land to describe our natale solute; I have lived to see it adopted by Lord Byron and by Mr Southey. This energetic expression may there-fore be considered as authenticated; and patriotism may stamp it with its glory and its affection. Father-land is stamp it with its glory and its affection. congenial with the language in which we find that other fine expression of mother-tongue. The patriotic neologism originated with me in Holland, when, in early life, it was my daily pursuit to turn over the glorious history of its independence under the title of Vaderlandsche Historie—the history of fatherland!

If we acknowledge that the creation of some neologisms

\* The Quarterly Revit w recently marked the word liberalise in Railies as a strange word, undoubtedly not aware of its origin. It has been lately used by Mr Dugald Stewart, 'to 'beralies the views.' Dissert. 2d part, p. 138.

may sometimes produce the beautiful, the revival of the dead is the more authentic miracle; for a new word must long remain doubtful, but an ancient word happily recovered, rests on a basis of permanent strength—it has both novelty and authority! A collection of picturesque words, found among our ancient writers, would constitute a precious supplement to the history of our language. Far more expressive than our term of executioner is their solemn one of the deathsman; than our varabond their scattering; than our vidio or functic their meonling; a word which Mr Gifford observes should not have been suffered te grow obsolete. Herrick finely describes by the term pattering the peculiar shrill and short cry of the grasshopper. Enry 'dusking the lustre' of genius, is a verb lost for us, but which gives a more precise expression to the feeling than any other words which we could use.

'dusking the lustre' of genius, is a verb lost for us, but which gives a more precise expression to the feeling than any other words which we could use. The late Dr Boucher, of whose prejected Theasurus of our ancient English language we only possess the first letter of the alphabet, while the great and precious portion is suffered to moulder away among his family, in the prospectus of that work, did me the honour, then a young writer, to quote an opinion I had formed early in life of the purest source of neology—which is in the revised of sta

words,

### 'Words, that wise Bacon or brave Rawleigh spake !

We have lost may exquisite and picturesque expressions through the dulness of our lexicographers, or by that deficiency in that profounder study of our writers which their labours require far more than they themselves know. The natural graces of our language have been impoverished! The genus that throws its prophetic eye over the language, and the taste that must come from Heaven, no lexicographer imagines are required to accompany him amidst a library of old books!

#### THE PHILOSOPHY OF PROVERES.

In antique furniture we sometimes discover a convenience which long disuse had made us unacquainted with, and are surprised by the aptness which we did not suspect was concealed in its solid forms. We have found the labour of the workman to have been as admirable as the material itself, which is still resisting the mouldering touch of Time among those modern inventions, elegant and unsubstantial, which, often put together with unseasoned wood, are apt to warp and fly into pieces when brought into use. We have found how strength consists in the selection of materials, and that, whenever the substitute is not better than the original, we are losing something in that test of experience, which all things derive from duration.

Be this as it may! I shall not unreasonably await for the artists of our novelites to retrograde into massive greatness, although I cannot avoid reminding them how often they revive the forgotten things of past times! It is well known that many of our novelties were in use by our ancestors? In the history of the human mind there is, indeed, as ort of antique furniture which I collect, not merely from their antiquity, but for the sound condition in which I still find them, and the compactness which they still show. Centuries have not worm-caten their solidity, and the utility and delightfulness which they still afford make them look as fresh and as ingenious as any of our patient inventions.

By the title of the present article the reader has anticipated the nature of the old furniture to which I allude. I propose to give what, in the style of our times, may be called the philosophy of PROVERBS—a topic which seems virgin. The art of reading proverbs has not, indeed, always been acquired even by some of their admirers; but my observations, like their subject, must be versatile and unconnected; and I must bespeak indulgence for an attempt to illustrate a very curious branch of literatu. e, rather not understood than quite forgotten.

PROVERBS have long been in disuse. 'A man of fashion,' observes Lord Chesterfield, 'never has recourse to proverbs and vulgar aphorisms;' and since the time his lordship so solemnly interdicted their use, they appear to have withered away under the ban of his anathema. His lordship was little conversant with the history of proverbs, and would unquestionably have smiled on those 'men of fashion' of another stamp, who, in the days of Elizabeth, James, and Charles, were grent collectors of them; would appeal to them in their conversations, and enforce them in their learned or their statesman-like correspondence. Few,

\*The cry of the grasshopper is pit! pit! pit! quickly repeated.

Digitized by Google

perhaps, even now suspect, that these neglected fragments of wisdom, which exist among all nations, still offer many interesting objects for the studies of the philosopher and the historian; and for men of the world still open an extensive school of human life and manners.

The home-spun adages, and the rusty 'sayed saws' which remain in the mouths of the people, are adapted to their capacities and their humours; easily remembered, and readily applied; these are the philosophy of the vulgar, and often more sound than that of their masters! Whoever would learn what the people think, and how they feel, must not reject even these as insignificant. The proveros of the street and of the market, true to nature, and lasting only because they are true, are records how the populace at Athens and at Rome were the same people as at Paris and at London, and as they had before been in

the city of Jerusalem!

Proverbe existed before books. The Spaniards date the erigin of their refrance que dicen las viejas true of fuego, 'sayings of old wives by their firesides,' before the existence of any writings in their language, from the circumstance that these are in the old romance or rudest vulgar idiom. The most ancient poem in the Edda, 'the sublime speech of Odin,' abounds with ancient proverbs, strikingly descriptive of the ancient Scandinavians. Undoubtedly proverbs in the earliest ages long served as the unwritten language of morality, and even of the useful arts; like the oral traditions of the Jews, they floated down from age to age on the bips of successive generations. The name of the first sage who sanctioned the saying would in time be forgotten, while the opinion, the metaphor, or the expression, remained constable sentences by which men learnt to think and to speak appositely; they were precepts which no man could contradict at a time when authority was valued more than opinion, and experience preferred to novelty. The proverbs of a father became the inheritance of a son; the mistress of a family perpetuated hers through her household; the workman condensed some traditional secret of his craft into a proverbial expression. When countries are not yet population in its ranks, every day will show them how 'the drunkard and the glutton come to poverty, and drowsiness clothes a man with rage.' At such a period be who gave counsel gave wealth.

counsel gave wealth.

It might therefore have been decided, a priori, that the most homely proverbe would abound in the most ancient writers—and such we find in Hesiod; a poet whose learning was not drawn from books. It could only have been in the agricultural state that this venerable bard could have indicated a state of repose by this rustic proverb.

# πηδαλιον μεν ύπερ καπνυ καταδειο. • Hang your plongh-beam o'er the hearth!

The envy of rival workmen is as justly described by a reference to the humble manufacturers of earthen-ware as by the elevated jealousies of the literati and the artists of a more polished age. The famous proverbial verse of Hesiod's Works and Days,

### Кан керангу керанен котеен,

is literally, 'The potter is hostile to the potter!

The admonition of the poet to his brother, to prefer a friendly accommodation to a litigious law-suit, has fixed a paradoxical proverb often applied,

## πλεον ήμισυ παυτος. 'The half is better than the whole!'

In the progress of time, the stock of popular proverbe received accessions from the highest sources of human intelligence; as the philosophers of antiquity formed their collections, they increased in weight and number.' Erasmus has pointed out some of these sources, in the responses of oracles; the allegorical symbols of Pythagoras; the verses of the poets; allusions to historical incident; mythology and apologue; and other recondite origins: such dissimilar matters coming from all quarters, were melted down into this vast body of aphoristic knowledge. Those "words of the wise, and their dark soyings," as they are distinguished in that large collection which bears the name of the great Hebrew monarch, at length seem to have required commentaries; for what else can we infer of the enigmatic wisdom of the sages, when the royal paramiographer classes among their studies, that of "suderstanding a proved and the interpretation?" This elevated notion of 'the

dark sayings of the wise' accords with the bold conjecture of their origin, which the Staginte has thrown out, whe considered them as the wrecks of an ancient philosophy which had been lost to mankind by the fatal revolutions of all human things, and that those had been saved from the general ruin by their pithy elegance, and their dissinctive form; like those marine shells found on the tops of mountains, the relics of the Daluge! Even at a later period, the sage of Cheronea prized them among the most solems mysteries; and Plutarch has described them in a manner which proverbs may even still merit: 'Under the veil of these curious sentences are hid those germs of morais, which the masters of philosophy have afterwards developed into so many volumes.'

At the highest period of Grecian geniu., the tragic and the comic poets introduced into their dramas the proverbal style. St Paul quotes a line which still remains among the first exercises of our school-pens:

### 'Evil communications corrupt good managers."

It is a verse found in a fragment of Menander, the come poet:

### φθειροσιν ηθη χρησθ' δμιλαι καπαι.

As this verse is a proverb, and the apostle, and indeed the highest authority, Jesus himself, consecrates the use of proverbs by their occasional application, it is uncertan whether St Paul quotes the Grecian poet, or only repeats some popular adage. Proverbs were bright shafts in the Greck and Latin quivers; and when Bentley, by a league of superficial wits, was accused of pedantry for his use of some ancient proverbs, the sturdy critic vindicated his taste, by showing that Cicero constantly introduced Greek proverbs into his writings—that Scaliger and Erassus loved them, and had formed collections drawn from the stores of antiquity.

Some difficulty has occurred in the definition. Proverts

Some difficulty has occurred in the definition. Proverbs must be distinguished from proverbial phrases, and from sententious maxims; but as proverbs have many faces, from their miscellaneous nature, the class itself scarcely admits of any definition. When Johnson defined a proverb to be 'a short sentence frequently repeated by the people,' this definition would not include the most carrious ones, which have not always circulated among the populace, nor even belong to them: nor does it designate the vizil qualities of a proverb. The pithy quaintness of old Howel has admirably described the ingredients of an exquisite property to be sense, shortness, and salt. A proverb is distinguished from a maxim or an apophthegm, by that bevery which condenses a thought or a metaphor, where one thing is said and another is to be applied; this often produces wit; and that quick pungency which excites surprise, but strikes with conviction; this gives it an epigrammatic turn. George Herbort entitled the small collection which he formed 'Iscula Prudentum,' Darts or Javelins! something hurled and striking deeply; a characteristic of a provers which possibly Herbert may have borrowed from a remarkable passage in Plato's dialogue of 'Protagoras, or the Sophists.'

Sophists.'
The influence of proverbs over the minds and conversations of a whole people is strikingly illustrated by this philosopher's explanation of the term to lacosize; the mode of speech peculiar to the Lacedemonians. This people affected to appear sulearned, and seemed only emulous to excel the rest of the Greeks in fortitude and in mistary skill. According to Plato's notion, this was really a political artifice, with a view to conceal their pre-eminent wisdom. With the jealousy of a petty state they attempted to confine their remowned sagacity within themselves, and under their military to hide their contemplative character! The philosopher assures those who in other cities imagined they laconized, merely by imitating the severe exercises, and the other warlike manners of the Lacodemonians, that they were grosely deceived: and thus curiously describes the sort of windom which this singular people prac-

'If any one wishes to converse with the meanest of the Lacedemonians, he will at first find him for the most part, apparently, despicable in conversation; but afterwards, when a proper opportunity presents itself, the same mean person, like a shifful juculator, will hard a sentence worthy of attention short and contexted; so that he who converses with him will appear to be in no respect superior to a boy! That to lacenties, therefore, consists much more in philosophising than in the large of exercise

Digitized by CTOOS C

is understood by some of the present age, and was known to the ancients, they being persuaded that the ability of utering wech sentences as these is the province of a man perfectly learned. The seven sages were emulators, lovers, and disciples of the Lacedermonian erudition. Their vers, and asserptes of the Laceacemonian evaluation. I not window was a thing of this kind; viz., short sentences utsered by each, and worthy to be remembered. These men, assembling together, consecrated to Apollo the first fruits of their wisdom; writing in the temple of Apollo, at Delivitous extractions with any adaptated by all them with phi, those sentences which are celebrated by all men, viz., Know Thuself! and Nothing too much! But on what account do I mention these things?—to show that the mode of philosophy emong the ancients was a certain laconic dic-

The 'laconisms' of the Lacedzmonians evidently partook of the proverbial style: they were, no doubt, often proverbs themselves. The very instances which Plato supplies of this 'laconising' are two most venerable proverne.

All this elevates the science of proverbe, and indicates that these abridgments of knowledge convoy great results with a paraimony of words prodigal of sense. They have, therefore, preserved many 'a short sentence, not repeated

by the people.'

It is evident, however, that the earliest writings of every people are marked by their most homely, or domestic pro proper are marked by their most nometry, or convente pro-verbs; for these were more directly addressed to their wants. Franklin, who may be considered as the founder of a people, who were suddenly placed in a stage of civil society which as yet could afford no literature, discovered the philosophical cast of his genius, when he filled his al-manacks with proverbs, by the ingenious contrivance of faming them into a connected discourse, delivered by an old man attending an auction. 'These proverbe,' he tells us, which contained the wisdom of many ages and nations, when their scattered counsels were brought together, made a great impression. They were reprinted in Bri-lain, m a large sheet of paper, and stuck up in houses; and were twice translated in France, and distributed among their poor parishioners. The same occurrence had happened with us ere we became a reading people. Much later even than the reign of Elizabeth our ancestors had proverbe always before them, on every thing which had room for a piece of advice on it; they had them painted in their tapestries, stamped on the most ordinary utensis, on the blades of their knives, the borders of their plates,† and 'conned them out of Goldsmith's rings.' The source, in Robert Green's 'Groat's worth of Wit,' compressed all his philosophy into the circle of his ring, havng learnt sufficient Latin to understand the proverbial motto of 'Tu ubi cura!' The husband was reminded of his lordly authority when he only looked into his trencher, one of its learned aphorisms having descended to us,-

'The calmest husbands make the stormyest wives.'

The English proverbs of the populace, most of which are still in circulation, were collected by old John Heywood. They are arranged by Tusser for the parlour—the guest's chamber—the ball—the table-lessons, &c. Not a small portion of our ancient proverbe were adapted to rural life, when our ancestors lived more than ourselves and the works of God, and less among those of men. At this time, one of our old statesmen, in commending the art of compressing a tedious discourse into a few significant Phrases, suggested the use of proverbs in diplomatic intercourse, convinced of the great benefit which would result to the negotiators themselves, as well as to others! I give a stream curiosity of this kind. A member of the House of Commons, in the reign of Elizabeth, made a speech entirely composed of the most homely proverbs. The subject was a bill against double-payments of book-debts. Knavish tradesmen were then in the habit of swelling out their book-debts with those who took credit, particularly to their younger customers. One of the members who began to speak 'for very fear shook,' and stood silent. The nervous orator was followed by a blunt and true re-

<sup>a</sup> Taylor's Translation of Plato's Works, Vol. V, p. 36. † One of the fruit tenchers for such these roundels are called a the Gen. Mag., for 1793, p. 398, is engraved there, and the hacrigions of an entire set given.—See also the supplement to

harrigions of an entire set given.

Associated by the first set of the first set of all the Proverbe in the English Tunge, 1361. There are more editions of this little volume than Wharton has noticed. There is some humour in his narrative, but his metre and his shaller are home to our curiosity.

presentative of the famed governor of Baritaria, delivering himself thus-'It is now my chance to speak some thing, and that without humming or hawing. I think this law is a good law. Even reckoning makes long friends. As far goes the penny as the penny's master. Vigilantibus non dominatious jura subveniunt. Pay the reckoning overnight, and you shall not be troubled in the morning. If ready money be measure publics, let every one cut his coat according to his cloth. When his old suit is in the wane, let him stay till that his money bring a new suit in the increase.<sup>14</sup>

Another instance of the use of proverbs among our statesmen occurs in a manuscript letter of Sir Dudley Carlton, written in 1632 on the impeachment of Lord Middlesex, who, he says, is 'this day to plead his own cause in the exchequer-chamber, about an account of fourscore in the exchequer-chamoer, about an account a tous control thousand pounds laid to his charge. How his lordships sped I know not, but do remember well the French proverb, Qui mange de l'oye du Roy chiera une plume quarante ans apres. 'Who cais of the king's goose, will void a feather forty years after !"

This was the era of proverbs with us; for then they were spoken by all ranks of society. The free use of trivial proverbe got them into disrepute; and as the abuse of a thing raises a just opposition to its practice, a slender wit affecting 'a cross humour,' published a little volume of 'Crossing of Proverbs, Cross-answers, and Cross-humours.' He pretends to contradict the most popular ones; but he has not always the genius to strike at amus-

proverbs were long the favourites of our neighbours: in the splendid and refined court of Louis XIV, they gave rise to an odd invention. They plotted comedies and even to an odd invention. fantastical ballets, from their subjects. In these Curiosities of Literature I cannot pass by such eccentric inventions

A Comedy of proverbs is described by the Duke de la Valliere, which was performed in 1634, with prodigious success. He considers that this comedy ought to be ranked among farces; but it is gay, well-written; and cu-rious for containing the best proverbs, which are happily introduced in the dialogue.

A more extraordinary attempt was A Ballet of proverbs.

Before the opera was established in France, the ancient ballets formed the chief amusement of the court, and Louis XIV himself joined with the performers. The Louis XIV himself joined with the performers. The singular attempt of forming a pantomimical dance out of proverbe is quite French; we have a 'ballet des proverbes, dancé par le Roi, in 1654. At every proverb the scene changed, and adapted itself to the subject. I shall give two or three of the entrées that we may form some notion of these capriccies.

The proverb was

## Tel menace qui a grand peur. 'He threatens who is afraid !'

The scene was composed of swaggering scaramouches and some honest cits, who at length beat them off.

At another entrée the proverb was

L'occasion fait le larron. 'Opportunity makes the thief.'

Opportunity was acted by le Sieur Beaubrus, but it is difficult to conceive how the real could personify the abstract personage. The thieves were the Duke d'Amville and Monsieur de la Chesnayo.

Another entrée was the proverb of

Ce qui vient de la flute s'en va au tambeur,

'What comes by the pipe goes by the tabor.'

A loose dissipated officer was performed by le Sies? l'Anglois ; the pipe by St Aignan, and the tabor by le Sieuv le Comte! In this manner every proverb was spoken in

Townshend's Historical Collections, p. 298. verbal expressions—as, for metance, The vulgar proverb runs, 'The more the merrier.'

The vuigar provers runs, 'Ane more the merrier.'
The cross,—'Not so! one hand is enough in a purse!'
The proverb, 'A is a great way to the bottom of the sea.'
The cross,—'Not so! it is but a stone's cast.'
The proverb, 'The pride of the rich makes the labours of the

poor. - Not so I the labours of the poor make the pride

of the rich.

The proverb. 'He runs far who never turns.'

The cross,—'Not so; he may break hie neck in a shost course.'

Digitized by Google

stien, the whole connected by dialogue: more must have

depended on the acts than the poet.

The French long retained this fondness for proverbs; for they still have dramatic compositions entitled proverbes, on a more refined plan. Their invention is so recent, that the term is not in their great dictionary of Trevoux. These preserves are dramas of a single act, invented by Marmontel, who possessed a peculiar vein of humour, but who designed them only for private theatricals. Each properb furnished a subject for a few scenes, and created a situation powerfully comic: it is a dramatic amusement which does not appear to have reached us, but one which the celebrated Catharine of Russia delighted to compose

for her own society.

Among the middle classes of society to this day, we may observe that certain family proverbs are traditionally preserved: the favourite saying of a father is repeated by the sons; and frequently the conduct of a whole generation has been influenced by such domestic proverbe. may be perceived in many of the mottos of our old nobility, which seem to have originated in some habitual proverb of the futuder of the family. In ages when proverbs were most prevalent, such pithy sentences would admirably serve in the ordinary business of life, and lead on to decision, even in its greater exigencies. Orators, by some lucky proverb, without wearying their auditors, would bring conviction home to their bosoms; and great characters would appeal to a proverb, or deliver that, which, in time, by its aptitude, became one. When Nero was reproached for the ardour with which he gave himself up to the study of music, he replied to his consurers by the Greek proverb, An artist lives every where.' The emperor answered in the spirit of Rousseau's system, that every child should be taught some trade. When Casar, after anxious de-liberation, decided on the passage of the Rubicon (which very event has given rise to a proverb,) rousing himself with a start of courage, he committed himself to Fortune, with that proverbial expression on his lips, used by game-sters in desperate play: having passed the Rubicon, he exclaimed 'The die is cast!' The answer of Paulus Æmilius to the relations of his wife, who had remonstrated with him on his determination to separate himself from her against whom no fault could be alleged, has become one of our most familiar proverbs. This hero acknow-ledged the excellencies of his lady; but, requesting them to look on his shoe, which appeared to be well made, he observed, 'None of you know where the slice pinches!'
He either used a proverbial phrase, or by its aptness it has become one of the most popular.

There are, indeed, proverbs connected with the charac-

ters of eminent men; they were either their favourite ones, or have originated with themselves: such a collection would form an historical curiosity. To the celebrated Bayard are the French indebted for a military proverb, which some of them still repeat. Ce que le gantelet gagne le gorgerin le mange, 'What the gauntlet gets, the gorget consumes.' That reflecting soldier well calculated the profits of a military life, which consumes, in the pump and waste which are necessary for its maintenance, the slender pay it receives, and even what its rapacity sometimes acquires. The favourite proverb of Erasmus was Flating lente! 'Hasten slowly!' He wished it to be inscribed wherever it could meet our eyes; on public buildings, and on our rings and seals. One of our own statesmen used a favourite sentence, which has enlarged our stock of na-tional proverbs. Sir Amias Pawlet, when he perceived too much hurry in any business, was accustomed to say, Stay awhile, to make an end the sooner.' Oliver Cromwell's coarse, but descriptive proverb, conveys the con-tempt he felt for some of his mean and troublesome coadjutors: ' Nits will be lice!' The Italians have a proverb, which has been occasionally applied to certain political

personages :-

Egli e quello che Dio vuole; E sara quello che Dio vorra!
Hens what God pleases; He shall be what God wills!

Ere this was a proverb, it had served as an embroidered motto on the mystical mantle of Castruccio Castracani. That military genius, who sought to revolutionize Italy,

and aspired to its sovereignty, lived long enough to rep the wild romantic ambition which provoked all Italy te confederate against him; the mysterious motto he assumed entered into the proverbe of his country! The Border proverb of the Douglases, 'It were better to hear sorder provers of the Bouglases, 'It were better to hear the lark sing than the mouse cheep,' was adopted by every border chief, to express, as Sir Walter Scott ob-serves, what the great Bruce had pointed out, that the woods and hills of their country were their safest bul-warks, instead of the fortified places, which the English surpassed their neighbours in the arts of assaulting or defending. These illustrations indicate one of the sources of proverbs: they have often resulted from the of proverbs; they have often resulted from the sponta-neous emotions or the profound reflections of some extraordinary individual, whose energetic expression was caught by a faithful ear, never to perish!

The posts have been very busy with proverbs in all the languages of Europe: some appear to have been the favourite lines of some ancient poem: even in more refined vourite lines of some ancient poem; even in more retained interesting, many of the pointed verses of Boileau and Pope have become proverbial. Many trivial and laconic proverbe bear the jingle of alliteration or rhyme, which assisted their circulation, and were probably struck off extempore; a manner which Swift practised, who was a ready coiner of such rhyming and ludicrous proverbs; delighting to startle a collector by his facetious or sarcastic humour, in the shape of an 'old saying and true.' Some of these rhyming proverbs are, however, terse and ele

gant: we have

'Little strokes Fell great oaks.'

The Italian-

Chi duo lepri caccia, Uno perde, e l' altro lascia.

Who hunts two hares, loses one and leaves the ether.

The haughty Spaniard-

El dar es honor, Y el pedir dolor.

'To give is honour, to ask is grief.'

And the French-

Ami de table Est variable.

' The friend of the table Is very variable.

The composers of these short proverbs were a new rous race of poets, who, probably, among the dreams of their immortality never suspected that they were to descend to posterity, themselves and their works unknown, while their extempore thoughts would be repeated by their own nation.

Proverbs were at length consigned to the people, when books were addressed to scholars; but the people did not find themselves so destitute of practical wisdom, by preserving their national proverbs, as some of those closet students who had ceased to repeat them. The various humours of mankind, in the mutability of human affairs, had given birth to every species; and men were wise, or merry, or satirical, and mourned or rejoiced in proverbs. Nations held an universal intercourse of proverbs, from the eastern to the western world; for we discover among those which appear strictly national many which are com mon to them all. Of our own familiar ones several may be tracked among the snows of the Latins and the Greeks, and have sometimes been drawn from 'The Mines of the East ! like decayed families which remain in obscurity, they may boast of a high lineal descent whenever they recover their lost title-deeds. The vulgar proverb, 'To carry coals to Newcastle,' local and idiomatic as it appears, however, has been borrowed and applied by ourselves; it may be found among the Persians; in the 'Bustan' of Sadi we have Infers piper in Hindostan; 'To carry pepper to Hindostan;' among the Hebrews, 'To carry oil to a city of Olives;' a similar proverb occurs in Greek; and in Galland's 'Maxims of the East' we may discover how many of the most common proverbs among us, as well as some of Joe Miller's jests, are of oriental origin.

The resemblance of certain proverbs in different nations must, however, be often ascribed to the identity of human nature; similar situations and similar objects have unquestionably made men think and act and express themselves

Digitized by GOOGIC

<sup>\*</sup> It has been suggested that this whimsical amusement has been lately revived, to a certain degree, in the acting of Character among juvenile parties.

† Now the punning motio of a noble family.

alia. All nations are parallels of each other! Hence all parsemiographers, or collectors of proverbs, complain of the difficulty of separating their own national proverbs from those which had crept into the language from others, particularly when nations have held much intercourse together. We have a copious collection of Scottish proverbs by Kelly, but this learned man was mortified at discovering that many which he had long believed to have been genuine Scottish were not only English, but French, Italians, Spanish, Latin, and Greek ones; many of this Scottish proverbs are almost literally expressed among the fragments of remote antiquity. It would have surprised him further had be been aware that his Greek originals were themselves but copies, and might have been found in D'Herbelot, Erpenius, and Golius, and In many Asiaric works, which have been more recently introduced to the enlarged knowledge of the European student, who formerly found his most extended researches limited by Hallesvitie leve.

Perhaps it was owing to an accidental circumstance that the proverbe of the European nations have been preserved in the permanent form of volumes. Erasmus is usually considered as the first modern collector, but he appears to have been preceded by Polydore Vergil, who bitterly reproaches Erasmus with envy and plagiarism, for passing by his collection without even a poor compliment for the inventor! Polydore was a vain, superficial writer, who prided himself in leading the way on more topics than the present. Erasmus, with his usual pleasantry, provokingly excuses himself, by acknowledging that he had forgotten his friend's book! Few sympathize with the quarrels of subors; and since Erasmus has written a far better book than Polydore Vergil's, the original 'Adagia' is left only to be commemorated in literary history as one of its curi-

The 'Adaga' of Erasmus contains a collection of about five thousand proverbs, gradually gathered from a constant study of the ancients. Erasmus, blest with the genius which could enliven a folio, delighted himself and all Europe by the continued accessions be made to a volume which even now may be the companion of literary men for a winter day's fire-side. The successful example of Erasmus commanded the imitation of the learned in Europe, and drew their attention to their own national proverbs. Some of the most learned men, and some not sufficiently so, were now occupied in this new study.

\*At the Royal Institution there is a fine copy of Polydore Verni's 'Adagia,' with his other work, curious in its day, De Inverveibus Rerum, printed by Frobenius, in 1521. The wood-cuts of the edition seem to be executed with inimitable delicacy, resembling a penciling which Raphael might have

ewied.

I in Spain. Fernandez Nunes, a Greek professor, and the Marquis of Santellana. a grardee, published collections of their Refrans, or Proverbs, a term derived a referendo. because ke often repeated. The 'Refrance or Proverbio Castellanos,' per Casar Oudin. 1624. translated into French, is a valuable compilation. In Cervantes and Quevedo, the best practical illustrators, they are sown with no sporing hand. There is an ample collection of Italian proverbs, by Florio, who was an Enelishman, of Ralian origin, and who published 'Il Giardino di Ricreatione' at London. so early as in 1591, exceeding six dousand proverbs; but they are unexplained, and are often obscure. Another Italian in England, Torrano, in 1649, published has no interesting collection in the diminutive form of a twenty-fours. It was subsequent to these publications in England, that in Italy Angelus Monosini, in 1664, published his collection; and Julius Varini, in 1642, produced his Scuola del Vulco. In Franco, Oudin, after others had preceded him, published as collection of French proverbs, under the title of Curiosités Françoises. Fleury de Bellingen's Explication de Proverbes François, on comparing k with Les Illustres Provers Hisoriques, a subsequent publication, I discovered to be the same work. It is the first attempt to render the study of proverbs with more delight than understanding. The philosopher takes that opportunity of explaining them by the sense was the unfinished one of the Abbé Tuct, sensible and earned. A collection of Danish proverbs, accompanied by a Frech translation, was printed at Copenhagen, in a quarto rollene, 1761. England may boast of no inferior paremiograpiers. The grave and judiclous Camden, the religious Hermet, the entertaining Howel, the facections Fuller, and the laboraes Rav, with others, have preserved our national sayings. The Scottish ha 'e been largely collected and explained by deleaned Rav, with others, have preserved our national sayings.

The interest we may derive from the study of proverbs is not confined to their universal truths, nor to their poignant pleasantry; a philosophical mind will discover in proverbs a great variety of the most curious knowledge. The manners of a people are painted after life in their domestic proverbs; and it would not be advancing too much to assert, that the genius of the age might be often detected in its pravalent ones. The learned Selden tells us, that the proverbs of several nations were much studied by Bishop Andrews; the reason assigned was, because 'by them he knew the minds of several nations, which,' said he, 'is a brave thing, as we count him wise who knows the minds and the insides of men, which is done by knowing what is babitual to them.' Lord Bacon condensed a wide circuit of philosophical thought, when he observed that 'the genius, wit, and spirit of a nation are discovered by their proverbs.'

Proverbs peculiarly national, while they convey to us the modes of thinking, will consequently indicate the modes of acting among a people. The Romans had a proverbial expression for their last stake in play, Rem ad triarios ve-nises, the reserve are engaged! a proverbial expression, from which the military habits of the people might be infer-red; the triarii being their reserve. A proverb has preserved a curious custom of ancient excombry which ori-ginally came from the Greeks. To men of effeminate manners in their dress, they applied the proverb of *Unico* digitulo scalpit caput. Scratching the head with a single finger was, it seems, done by the critically nice youths in Rome, that they might not discompose the economy of their hair. The Arab, whose unsettled existence makes their hair. The Arab, whose unsettled existence makes him miserable and interested, says, 'Vinegar given is better than honey bought.' Every thing of high esteem with him who is so often parched in the desert is described as milk—'How large his flow of milk!' is a proverbial expression with the Arab, to distinguish the most copious eloquence. To express a state of perfect repose, the Arabian proverb is, 'I throw the rein over my back?' an altusion to the locening of the cortle of the cample which are sion to the loosening of the cords of the camels which are thrown over their backs when they are sent to pasture, We discover the rustic manners of our ancient Britons in the Cambrian proverbs; many relate to the hedge. 'The cleanly Briton is seen in the hedge: the horse looks not on the hedge but the corn: the bad husband's hedge is full of gaps. The state of an agricultural people appears in such proverbs as, 'You must not count your yearings till Mayday !' and their proverbial sentence for old age is, 'An ald man's end is to keep sheep!' Turn from the vagrant Arab and the agricultural Briton to a nation existing in a high and the agricultural Dritton to a maton existing in a night state of artificial civilization; the Chinese proverbs frequently allude to magnificent buildings. Affecting a more solemn exterior than all other nations, a favourite proverb with them is, 'A grave and majestic outside is, as it were, the palace of the soul.' Their notion of government is quite architectural. They say, 'A sovereign may be compared to a hall; his officers to the steps that lead to it; the peo-ple to the ground on which they stand.' What should we think of a people who had a proverb, that 'He who gives should instantly decide on the mean and servile spirit of those who could repeat it; and such we find to have been that of the Bengalese, to whom the degrading proverb belongs, derived from the treatment they were used to receive from their Mogul rulers, who answered the claims of their creditors by a vigorous application of the whip! In some of the Hebrew proverbs we are struck by the frequent allusions of that fugitive people to their own history. The cruel oppression exercised by the ruling power, and the confidence in their hope of change in the day of retribution, was delivered in this Hebrew proverh- When the tale of bricks is doubled, Moses comes! The fond idolatry of their devotion to their ceremonial law, and to every thing connected with their sublime Theocracy, in their magnificent Temple, is finely expressed by this proverb- None ever took a stone out of the Temple, but the dust did fly into his eyes.' The Hebrew proverb that 'A fast for a dream, is as fire for stubble,' which it kindles, could only have been invented by a people whose superstitions at-

common, in various languages, 1707; the collector and ...as later was Dr J. Mapletoff. It must be acknowledged that although no nation exceeds our own in sterling sense, we arrely rival the delicacy, the wit, and the felicity of expression of the Spanish and Italian, and the poignancy of some of the French proverbs.

tached a holy mystery to fasts and dreams. They ima-gined that a religious fast was propitious to a religious dream; or to obtain the interpretation of one which had troubled their imagination. Peyssonel, who long resided among the Turks, observes, that their proverbs are full of sense, ingenuity, and elegance, the surest test of the intel-lectual abilities of any nation. He said this to correct the volatile opinion of De Tott, who, to convey an idea of their stupid pride, quotes one of their favourite adages, of which the truth and candour are admirable; Riches in the Indies, wit in Europe, and pomp among the Ottomans.'

The Spaniards may appeal to their proverbs to show that they were a high-minded and independent race. that they were a night-minded and independent race. Whiggish jealousy of the monarchical power stamped itself on this ancient one, Va el rey hasta do puede, y no hasta do quiere: 'The hing goes as far as he is able, not as far as he desires.' It must have been at a later period, when the national genius became more subdued, and every Spaniard dreaded to find under his lown roof a spy or an informer, that another proverb arose, Con el rey y la inqui-sicion, chiton! 'With the king and the inquisition, hush!' The gravity and tacuturnity of the nation have been ascribed to the effects of this proverb. Their popular but suppressed feelings on taxation, and on a variety of dues exacted by their clergy, were murmured in proverbe—Lo que no lleva Christo lleva el fisco! 'What Christ takes not, the exchequer carries away!' They have a number not, the exchaquer carries away. Alloy have a summer of sarcastic proverbs on the tenacious gripe of the 'abad avariento.' the avaricious priest, who, 'having eaten the avariento, the avaricious priest, who, having eaten the olio offered, claims the dish! A striking mixture of chivalric habits, domestic decency, and epicurean comfort, appears in the Spanish proverb, La muger mile sales a la mano de la lanca: 'The wife and the sauce by the hand of the lance;' to honour the dame, and to have the sauce near.

The Italian proverbs have taken a tinge from their deep and politic genius, and their wisdom seems wholly concentrated in their personal interests. I think every tenth proverb, in an Italian collection, is some cynical or some selfvers, in an example of the world for worldings! The Venetian proverb Price Veneziani, poi Christiane: 'First Venetian, and then Christian!' condenses the whole spirit of their ancient Republic into the smallest space possible. Their political proverbs, no doubt, arose from the extra-ordinary state of a people, sometimes distracted among republics, and sometimes service in petty courts. The Italian says, I popoli s'ammazzano, ed i prencipi s'abbraccame: 'The people nurder one another, and princes embrace one another.' Chi prattica co' grandi, l'ultimo â tavola, e'l primo a' strappazzi: 'Who dangles after the great is the last at table, and the first at blows.' Chi non great is the season at regrace: 'Who knows not to flatter, knows not to reign.' Chi serve in corte muore sul' pagliato: 'Who serves at court dies on straw.' Wary cunning in domestic life is perpetually impressed. An Italian proverb, which is immortalized in our language, for it enters into the history of Milton, was that by which the elegant Wotton counselled the young poetic traveller to have—It viso sciolto, ed i pension stretti, 'An open countenance, but close thoughts.' In the same spirit, Chi parla semina, chi tace thoughts. In the same spirit, Chi parla semina, chi tace raccoglie: 'The talker sows, the silent reaps;' as well as, Fatti di miele, e ti mangieran le mosche; 'Make yourself all honey, and the flies will devour you.' There are some which display a deep knowledge of human nature: A Lucca ti udi, A Pies ti connoboi! 'I saw you at Lucca, 1 knew you at Pies !' Guardati d'accto, di vin dolos: 'Beneral and the contract of the state of t ware of vinegar made of sweet wine, provoke not the rage of a patient man!

Among a people who had often witnessed their fine country devastated by petty warfare, their notion of the military character was not usually heroic. It soldate per far male \(\ellipsi{e}\) ben pagato: \(^4\) The soldier is well paid for doing mischief.\(^3\) Soldate, acque, \(\ellipsi{e}\) fuece, preste at fan lucco: \(^4\) A soldier, fire, and water, soon make room for themselves.\(^3\) But in a poetical people, endowed with great serves. But in a poetical people, endowed will great sensibility, their proverbs would sometimes be tender and fanciful. They paint the activity of friendship, Chi ha l'amor nel petto, ha lo sprene a i flanchi: 'Who feels love in the breast, feels a spur in his limbs;' or its generous passion. Gli amici legono la borza con un filo di ragnatalo: 'Friends tie their purse with a cobweb's thread.' They characterized the universal lover by an elegant proverb-Appicare il Maio ad uga'uscio: 'To hang every door with May;' alluding to the bough which in the nights of May the country-people are accustomed to plant before the

door of their mistress. If we turn to the French, we discover that the military genius of France dictated the proverb, Maille a maille se fait le haubergeon: 'Link by link is made the coat of mail,' and Tel coup de langue est pire us made the coat of mail; and Tet coup de tengue est per qu'un coup de lance: 'The tongue strikes deeper than the lance;' and Ce qui vient du tambour s'en reteurne a la fute: What comes by the tabor goes back with the pipe.' Point d'argent point de Suisse has become proverbial, be serves an Edinburgh Roviewer; a striking expression, which, while French or Austrian gold predominated, was justly used to characterize the illiberal and selfish policy of the cantonal and federal governments of Switzer. policy of the cantonal and federal governments of Switzerland, when it began to degenerate from its moral patno-ism. The ancient, perhaps the extinct, spirit of English-men, was once expressed by our proverth, 'Better be the head of a dog than the tail of a lion;' i. e. the first of the yeomanry rather than the last of the gentry. A foreign philosopher might have discovered our own ancient skill in archery among our proverbs; for none but true toxophilites could have such a proverb as, 'I will either make a shaft or a bolt of it!' signifying, says the author of Ivanhoe, a determination to make one use or other of the thing speken of: the bolt was the arrow peculiarly fitted to the cross-bow, as that of the long-bow was called a shaft. Those instances sufficiently demonstrate that the characteristic circumstances and feelings of a people are discovered in their popular notions, and stamped on their familiar proverbs.

It is also evident that the peculiar, and often idiomatic, humour of a people is best preserved in their proverts. There is a shrewdness, although deficient in delicacy, the Scottish proverbs; they are idiomatic, facetious, and strike home. Kelly, who has collected three thousand, informs us, that, in 1725, the Scotch were a great proverhial nation; for that few among the better sort will converse any considerable time, but will confirm every assertion and observation with a Scottish proverb. The specution and observation with a Scottash proverb. The speculative Scotch of our own times have probably degenerated in prudential lore, and deem themselves much wiser that their proverbs. They may reply by a Scotch proverb on proverbs, made by a great man in Scottand, who, having given a splendid entertainment, was harshly told, that 'Fools make feasts, and wise men eat them;' but he readily answered, 'Wise men make proverbs, and fools make them.' repeal them!

National humour, frequently local and idiomatical, depends on the artificial habits of mankind, so opposite to each other; but there is a natural vein, which the populace, always true to nature, preserve even among the gravest people. The Arabian proverb, 'The barber learns his art on the orphan's face;' the Chinese, 'In a field of melous do not pull up your shoe; under a plum-tree do not adjust your cap;'-to impress caution in our conduct under circu stances of suspicion:—and the Hebrew one, 'He that had one of his family hanged may not say to his neighbor, hang up this fish!' are all instances of this sort of humour. The Spaniards are a grave people, but no nation has equalled them in their peculiar humour. The genus of Cervantes partock largely of that of his country; that mantle of gravity, which almost conceals under it a latent factiousness, and with which he has imbued his style and management. ner with such untranslateable idiomatic raciness, may be traced to the proverbial erudnion of his nation. 'To steal traced to the proverbial erudition of his nation. 'To stell a sheep, and give away the trotters for God's sake? is Gervantic nature? To one who is seeking an opportunity to quarrel with another, their proverb runs, Si quieres der palos a sas mager pidels al sol a bever, 'Hast thou a mind to quarrel with thy wife, bid her bring water to thee in the sun-shine?—a very fair quarrel may be picked up about the motes in the clearest water? On the judges in Galicia, who, like our former justices of peace, 'for half a decea chickens would dispense with a dozen of penal staintes,' chickens would dispense with a dozen of penal statutes A juezes Gallicianos, con los pies en los manos: Te the judges of Gallicia go with feet in hand, a droll allusion une judges of trailicia go with feet in hand; a droil amaton to a present of poultry, usually held by the legs. To describe persons who live high without visible means, Les que cabritos venden, y cabras no tienen, dedonde los vienen? 'They that sell kids and have no goats, how came they by them?' El vino no trae bragas, 'Wine wears no breeches;' for men in wine expose their most secret thoughts. Vino di un orejo, 'Wine of one ear?' is good wine; for at had, shaking one heads, both our ears good wine; for at bad, shaking our heads, both our ears are visible; but at good, the Spaniard, by a natural gestional control of the spaniard culation lowering one side, shows a single ear. Proverbe abounding in sarcastic humour, and found

among every people, are those which are pointed at rival countres. They expose some provalent folly, or allude to some dagrace which the natives have incurred. In France, the Burgundians have a proverb Mileus wast bon repos que let labit; 'Better a good dinner than a fine coat.' These good people are great gormandizers, but shabby dressers; they are commonly said to have 'bowels of silk and velvet; that is, all their silk and velvet goes for their bowels! Thus Fleardy is famous for 'hot heads,' and the Norman for said it son dedit,' his saying and his unsaying! In Italy the numerous rival cities pelt one another with proverbs: Chi ha a fare con These non convins esser losco, 'He who cals with a Tuscan must not have his eves shut.' A Venticchi is some, mad to is prace, 'Whom Venice breeds, she poorly feeds.'—Among ourselves, hardly has a county excaped from some popular quip; even neighbour; nations poverb each other; counties flout counties; obscure swas sharpen their wits on towns as obscure as them-sives—the same evil principle lurking in poor human nature, if it cannot always assume predominance, will meanly gratify itself by insult or contempt.

There is another source of national characteristics, fre-

there is another source or national characteristics, requestly producing strange or whimsical combinations; a people, from a very natural circumstance, have drawn their proverbs from local objects, or from allusions to pecular customs. The influence of manners and customs over the ideas and language of a people would form a subject of extensive and curious research. There is a Japanese proverb, that 'A fog cannot be dispelled with a fan!' Had we not known the origin of this proverb, it would be evident that it could only have occurred to a people who had constantly before them fogs and fans; and the fact appears that fogs are frequent on the coast of Japan; and that from the age of five years both sexes of the Japanese carry fass. The Spaniards have an odd proverb to describe those who teaze and vex a person before they do min the very benefit which they are about to confer—acting kindly, but speaking roughly; Mostrar primero la horce que d lags, 'To show the gallows befure they show the town; a circumstance alluding to their small towns, which have a gallows placed on an eminence so that the gallows breaks on the eye of the traveller before he gets a tew of the town itself.

The Cheshire proverb on marriage, 'Better wed over the mixon than over the moor,' that is, at home or in its vicinity; mixon alludes to the dung, &c., in the farm-yard, while the road from Chester to London is over the moorland in Staffordshire; this local proverb is a curious instance of provincial pride, perhaps of wisdom, to induce the gestry of that county to form intermarriages; to prolong their own ancient families, and perpetuate ancient friendships between them.

In the lee of Man a proverbial expression forcibly indicates the object constantly occupying the minds of the mastiants. The two Deemsters or judges, when appointed to the chair of judgment, declare they will render justice between man and man 'as equally as the herring lose lies between the two sides: an image which could sot have occurred to any people unaccustomed to herringfashery. There is a Cornish proverb, 'Those who will not be ruled by the rudder must be ruled by the rock'—the strands of Cornwall, so often covered with wrecks, could not fail to impress on the imaginations of its inhabitants the two objects from whence they drew this salutary proverb, against obstinate wrong-heads.

When Scotland, in the last century, felt its allegiance to England doubtful, and when the French sent an expedition to the land of cakes, a local proverb was revived, to show the identity of interests which affected both nature.

## 'if Ski3daw bath a cap Scruffel wots full well of that.'

These are two high hills, one in Scotland and one in Ragiand; so near, that what happens to the one will not be long ere it reach the other. If a fog lodges on the one, it is sure to rain on the other; the mutual sympathies of the two countries were hence deduced in a copious dissertation, by Oswald Duke, on what was called 'The Union-provers, which local proverbs of our country, Fuller has micrapersed in his 'Worthies,' and Ray and Grose have collected septately.

I was amused lately by a curious financial revelation which I found in an opposition paper, where it appears that 'Ministers pretend to make their load of taxes more portable, by shifting the burden, or altering the pressure, without however, diminishing the weight; according to the Italian proverb, Accommodare le bisaccie nella strada, 'To fit the load on the journey;—it is taken from a custom of the mule-drivers, who placing their packages at first but awkwardly on the backs of their poor beasts, and seeing them ready to sink, cry out, 'Never mind! we must fit them better on the road!' I was gratified to discover, by the present and some other modern instances, that the taste for proverbs was reviving, and that we were returning to those sober times, when the aptitude of a simple proverb would be preferred to the verbosity of politicians, Tories, Whigs, or Radicals!

There are domestic proverbs which originate in incidents known only to the natives of their province. Italian literature is particularly rich in these stores. The lively proverbial taste of that vivacious people was transferred to their own authors; and when these allusions were obscured by time, learned Italians, in their zeal for their national literature, and in their national literature, and in their national love of story-telling, have written grave commentaries even on ludicrous, but popular tales, in which the proverbs are said to have originated. They resemble the old facetious contes, whose simplicity and humour still live in the pages of Boocaccio, and are not forgotten in those of the Queen of Navarre.

The Italians apply a proverb to a person who while he is beaten, takes the blows quietly:—

Per beato ch' elle non furon pesche!

'Luckily they were not peaches!'

And to threaten to give a man—

Una pesca in un occhio,

'A pesch in the eye'

means to give him a thrashing. This proverb, it is said, originated in the close of a certain droll adventure. The community of the Castle Poggibonsi, probably from some jocular tenure observed on St Bernard's day, pay a tribute of peaches to the court of Tuscany, which are usually shared among the ladies in waiting, and the pages of the court. It happened one season, in a great scarcity of peaches, that the good people at Poggibonsi, finding them rather dear, sent, instead of the customary tribute, a quantity of fine juicy figs, which was so much disapproved of by the pages, that as soon as they got hold of them, they began in rage to empty the baskets on the heads of the ambassadors of the Poggibonsi, who, in attempting to fly as well as they could from the pulpy shower, half-blinded, and recollecting that peaches would have had stones in them, cried out—

### Per beato ch' elle non furon pesche! Luckily they were not peaches!

Fare le scalée di Sant' Ambrogio; 'To mount the stairs of Saint Ambrose,' a proverb allusive to the business of the school of scandal. Varchi explains it by a circumstance so common in provincial cities. On summer evenings, for fresh air and goesip, the loungers met on the steps and landing places of the church of St Ambrose; whoever left the party, 'they read in his book,' as our commentator expresses it; and not a leaf was passed over! All liked to join a party so well informed of one another's concerns, and overy one tried to be the very last to quit it,—not to leave his character behind!' It became a proverbial phrase with those who left a company, and were too tender of their backs, to request they would not 'mount the stairs of St Ambrose.' Jonson has well described sich a company:

'You are so truly fear'd, but not beloved One of another, as no one dares break Company from the rest, lest they should fall Upon him absent.'

There are legends and histories which belong to proverbs; and some of the most ancient refer to incidents which have not always been commemorated. Two Greek proverbs have accidentally been explained by Pausanias: 'He is a man of Tenedos!' to describe a person of unquestionable veracity; and 'To cut with the Tenedian axe;' to express an absolute and irrevocable refusal. The first originated in a king of Tenedos, who decreed that there should always stand behind the judge a man holding an axe, ready to execute justice on any one convicted of falsehood. The other arose from the same king, whose father having reached his island, to supplicate the

son's forgiveness for the injury inflicted on him by the arts of a step-mother, was preparing to land; already the ship was fastened by its cable to a rock; when the son came down and sternly cutting the cable with an axe, sent the ship adrift to the mercy of the waves: hence, 'to cut with the Tenenian axe,' became proverbial to express an absolute refusal. 'Business to-morrow!' is another Greek proverb, applied to a person ruined by his own neglect. The fate of an eminent person perpetuated the expression The fate of an eminent person perpetuated the expression which he casually employed on the occasion. One of the Thehan polemarchs, in the midst of a convivial party, received despatches relating a conspiracy: flushed with wine, although pressed by the courier to open them immediately, he smiled, and in gaiety laying the letter under the pillow of his couch, observed, 'Business to-morrow!' Plutarch records that he fell a victim to the twenty-four hours he had lost, and became the author of a proverb which was still circulated among the Greeks.

The philosophical antiquary may often discover how many a proverb commemorates an event which has escaped from the more solemn monuments of history, and caped from the more solemn monuments of history, and is often the solitary authority of its existence. A national event in Spanish history is preserved by a proverb. Y vengar quiniento suedios; 'And revenge five hundred pounds' An odd expression to denote a person being a gentleman! But the proverb is historical. The Spaniards of Old Castile were compelled to pay an annual tribute of five hundred maidens to their masters, the Moors; after several battles, the Spaniards succeeded in compromising the shameful tribute, by as many pieces of coin: at length the day arrived when they entirely of coin; at length the day arrived when they entirely emancipated themselves from this odious imposition. The heroic action was performed by men of distinction, and the event perpetuated in the recollections of the Spa-siards, by this singular expression, which alludes to the dishonourable tribute, was applied to characterize all men of high honour, and devoted lovers of their country.

Pasquier, in his Recherches sur la France, reviewing the periodical changes of ancient families in feudal times, observes, that a proverb among the common people conveys the result of all his inquiries; for those noble houses, which in a single age declined from nobility and wealth to poverty and meanness, gave rise to the proverb, Cent one connieres et cent one civieres! One hundred years a banner, and one hundred years a barrow! The Italian proverb, Con l' Evangilio si diventa heretico, With the gospel we become heretics, —refects the policy of the court of Rome; and must be dated at the time of the Reformation, when a translation of the Scriptures into the vulgar tongue encountered such an invincible oppo-The Scotch proverb, He that invented the maiden first hanselled it; that is, got the first of it! The maiden is that well-known beheading engine, revived by the French surgeon Guillotine. This proverb may be applied to one who falls a victim to his own ingenuity; the artificer of his own destruction! The inventor was James, Earl of Morton, who for some years governed Scotland, and afterwards, it is said, very unjustly suffered by his own invention. It is a striking coincidence, that the same fate was shared by the French reviver; both alike sad exand the same of the French revier; who also but the same of disturbed times! Among our own proverbs a remarkable incident has been commemorated. Hand over head, as men took the Covenant! This preserves the manner in which the Scotch covenant, so famous in our manner in which the Scotch covenant, so famous in our manner in which the Scotch covenant, so famous in our manner in which the scotch covenant is thousand on the scotch covenant. history, was violently taken by above sixty thousand persons about Edinburgh, in 1638; a circumstance at that time novel in our own revolutionary history, and after-wards paralleled by the French in voting by 'acclamavaries parameter by the French in voting by accisma-tion. An ancient English proverb preserves a curious fact concerning our coinage. Testers are gone to Oxford, to study at Brazen-nose. When Henry the Eighth de-based the silver coin, called testers, from their having a head stamped on each side; the brass, breaking out in red pimples on their silver faces, provoked the ill humour of the people to vent itself in this punning proverb, which has preserved for the historical antiquary, the popular feeling which lasted about fifty years, till Elizabeth reformed the state of the coinage. A northern proverb among us has preserved the remarkable idea which seems to have once been prevalent; that the metropolis of England was to be the city of York: Lincoln was, London is. York shall be! Whether at the time of the union of the crowss, under James the First, when England and Scotland became Great Britain, this city, from its cen-

trical situation, was considered as the best adapted for the seat of government, or from some other cause which I have not discovered, this notion must have been prevalent to have entered into a proverb. The case magistrate of York is the only provincial one who is allowed the title The chief magistrate of Lord Mayor; a circumstance which seems connected with this proverb.

The Italian history of its own small principalities, whose well-being so much depended on their prudence and sa-gacity, affords many instances of the timely use of a progachy, arous many manages or use timely use or a proverb. Many an intricate negotiation has been contracted through a good-humoured proverb,—many a sarcastic one has silenced an adversary; and sometimes they have been applied on more solemn, and even tragical occasions. When Rinaldo degli Albizzi was banished by the vigorous conduct of Cosmo de' Medici, Machiavel, tells us, the expelled man sent Cosmo a menace, in a proven, La gallina cousus! 'The hen is brooding!' said of one meditating vengeance. The undaunted Cosmo replied by another, that 'There was no brooding out of the nest!'

I give an example of peculiar interest; for it is perpendicular.

tuated by Dante, and is connected with the character of Milton.

When the families of the Amadei and the Uberti felt their honour wounded in the affront the younger Buendelmonte had put upon them, in breaking off his match with a young lady of their family, by marrying another, a council was held, and the death of the young cavalier was council was reid, and the death or the young cavairs was proposed as the sole atonement for their injured hosour. But the consequences which they anticipated, and which afterwards proved so fatal to the Florentimes, long supended their decision. At longth Moscha Lamberti suddenly rising, exclaimed, in two proverbs, 'That those who considered every thing would never conclude on anything.' considered every thing would never conclude on any time: closing with an ancient proverbial saying—coss fath cops ha: a deed done has an end! This proverb sealed the fatal determination, and was long held in mournful remembrance by the Tuscans; for, according to Villani, it was the cause and beginning of the accursed factions of the Guelphs and the Ghibellins. Dante has thus immortalized the energetic expression in a scene of the 'Isferno.

> Ed un ch 'avea l'unna e l'altra man mozza Levando i moneherin per l'aura fosca; Si che 'i sangue facca la faccia sozza Grido- 'Ricorderati ancor del Mosca Che disse, lasso capo a, cosa fatte; Che fu'i mal seme, della gente Tosca.

Maim'd of each hand, uplifted in the gloom
The bleeding stumps, that they with gory spots
Sullied his face, and cried—' Remember thes Of Mosca too—I who, alas! exclaim'd, "The deed once done, there is an end"-A seed of sorrow to the Tuscan race.' Cary's Dante.

This Italian proverb was adopted by Milton; for when deeply engaged in writing the Defence of the People, and warned that it might terminate in his blindness, he resolvedly concluded his work, exclaiming with great mananimity although the fatal prognostication had been accompanied, cose fatta cape he! Did this proverb also in fluence his awful decision on that great national event, when the most honest-minded fluctuated between doubts

and fears? Of a person treacherously used, the Italian proverb says that he has eaten of

> La frutte di fratre Alberge. The fruit of brother Alberige.

Landino, on the following passage of Dante, preserves the tragic story:

——Io son fratre Alberigo, Io son quel dalle frutta del mal orto Che qui reprendo, &c.

'The friar Alberigo,' answered he,

Am I not from the evil garden pluck'd Its fruitage, and am here repaid the date More luscious for my fig.

This was Manfred, of Fuenza, who, after many creeties, turned friar. Reconciling himself to those whom he had so often opposed, to celebrate the renewal of their friendship, he invited them to a magnificent entertainment.

At the end of the dinner the horn blew to announce the dessert—but it was the signal of this dissimulating conspirator!—and the fruits which that day were served to his guests were armed men, who, rushing in, immolated their victims.

Among these historical proverbs none are more interesting than those which perpetuate national events, connected with those of another people. When a Frenchman would let us understand that he has settled with his creditors, the proverb is, J' ai payé tous mes Anglois: 'I have paid all my English.' This proverb originated when John, the French king, was taken prisoner by our Black Prince. Levies of money were made for the king's ransom, and for many French lords; and the French people have thus perpetuated the military glory of our nation, and their own idea of it, by making the English and their resilients synonymous terms. Another relates to the same event—Ove le Pape est devens François, et Jesu Christ Anglois: 'Now the Pope is become French and Jesus Christ English;' a proverb which arose when the Pope, eniled from Rome, held his court at Avignou in France; and the English prosposed so well, that they possessed more than half the kingdom. The Spanish proverb concerning England is well known—

Con todo el mondo guerra, Y paz con Inglaterra!

'War with the world,
And peace with England!

Whether this proverb was one of the results of their memorable armada, and was only coined after their conviction of the spleadid folly which they had committed, I cannot accertam. England must always have been a desirable ally to Spain against her potent rival and neighbour. The Italians have a proverb, which formerly, at least, was strongly indicative of the travelled Englishman in their country, Ingless Italianate & un diavelo incurnate; 'The Italianated Englishman is a devil incurnate.' Formerly there existed a closer intercourse between our country and Italy than with France. Before and during the reigns of Elizabeth and James the First, that land of the elegant arts modelled our taste and manners; and more Italians travelled into England, and were more constant residents, from commercial concerns, than afterwards when France assumed a higher rank in Europe by her political superi-This cause will sufficiently account for the number of Italian proverbs relating to England, which show an intimacy with our manners which could not else have oc-curred. It was probably some sarcastic Italian, and, perhaps, horologer, who, to describe the disagreement of persons, proverbed our nation—'They agree like the clocks of London!' We were once better famed for merclocks of London! We were once better famed for mer-ry Christmasses and their pies; and it must have been linknes who had been domicilated with us who gave cur-rency to the proverb Ha pits dis fare che i form di natale in Inghilterra; 'He has more business than English oreas at Christmas.' Our pie-loving gentry were notori-ous, and Shakespeare's follo was usually laid open in the great halls of our nobility to entertain their attendants, who deroured at once Shakespeare and their pastry. Some of those volumes have come down to us, not only with the stains, but enclosing even the identical pie-crusts of the Elizabethan age.

I have thus attempted to develop the art of reading proverbs; but have done little more than indicate the theory, and must leave the stifful student to the delicacy of the practice. I am anxious to rescue from prevailing prejudices these neglected stores of curious amusement, and of deep insight into the ways of man, and to point out the bold and concealed truths which are scattered in these collections. There seems to be no occurrence in human affairs to which some proverb may not be applied. All knowledge was long aphoristical and traditional, pithily contracting the discoveries which were to be instantly comprehended, and easily retained. Whatever be the revolutionary stare of man, similar principles and like occurrences are returning on us; and antiquity, whenever it is justly applicable to our times, loses its denomination, and becomes the truth of our own age. A proverb will often cut the knot which shere in vain are attempting to unite. Johnson, palled with the redundant elegancies of modern composition, once mid, 'I fancy mankind may come in time to write all aphoristically, except in narrative; grow weary of preparation, and connection, and illustration, and all those arts by which a big book is made.' Many a volume in-

deed has often been written to demonstrate what a lover of proverbs could show had long been ascertained by a size of the country of the coun

of proverbs could show had long been ascertained by a single one in his favourite collections.

An insurmountable difficulty which every paraemiographer has encountered, is that of forming an apt, a ready, and a systematic classification: the moral Linnaus of such a 'systema naturae,' has not yet appeared. Each discovered his predecessor's mode imperfect, but each was doomed to meet the same fate. The arrangement of proverbs has baffled the ingenuity of every one of their collectors. Our Ray, after long premeditation, has chosen a system with the appearance of an alphabetical order; but, as it turns out, his system is no system, and his alphabet is no alphabet. After ten years' labour, the good man could only arrange his proverbs by common-places—by complete sentences—by phrases or forms of speech—by proverbial similes—and so on. All these are pursued in alphabetical order, 'by the first letter of the most "material word," or, if there be more words "equally material," by that which usually stands foremost." The most patient examiner will usually find that he wants the sagacity of the collector to discover that word which is 'the most material,' or 'the words equally material.' We have to search through all that multiplicity of divisions, or conjuring-boxes, in which this juggler of proverbs pretends to hide the ball.

A still more formidable objection against a collection of proverbs, for the impatient reader, is their unreadableness. Taking in succession a multitude of insulated proverbs, their slippery nature resists all hope of retaining one in a hundred; the study of proverbs must be a frequent recurrence to a gradual collection of favourite ones, which we ourselves must form. The experience of life will throw a perpetual freshness over these short and simple texts; every day may furnish a new commentary; and we may grow old, and find novelty in proverbs by their perpetual application.

There are, perhaps, about twenty thousand proverbs among the nations of Europe: many of these have spread in their common intercourse; many are borrowed from the ancients, chiefly the Greeks, who themselves largely took from the Eastern nations. Our own proverbs are too often deficient in that elegance and ingenuity which are often found in the Spanish and the Italian. Proverbs frequently enliven conversation, or enter into the business of life in those countries, without any feeling of vulgarity being associated with them; they are too numerous, too witty, and too wise, to cease to please by their poignancy and their aptitude. I have heard them fall from the lips of men of letters and of statesmen. When recently the disorderly state of the manufacturers of Manchester menaced an insurrection, a profound Italian politician observed to me, that it was not of a nature to alarm a great nation; for that the remedy was at hand, in the proverh of the Lazzaroni of Naples, Meta consiglio, meta exempio, meta denaro! 'Half advice, half example, half money!' The result confirmed the truth of the proverh, which, had it been known at the time, might have quieted the honest fears of a great part of the nation.

Proverbs have ceased to be studied, or employed in conversation, since the time we have derived our knowledge from books; but in a philosophical age they appear to offer infinite subjects for speculative curiosity: originating in various eras, these memorials of manners, of events, and of modes of thinking, for historical as well as for moral purposes, still retain a strong hold on our attention. The collected knowledge of successive ages, and of different people, must always enter into some part of our own! Truth and nature can never be obsolete.

Proverbs embrace the wide sphere of human existence, they take all the colours of life, they are often exquisite strokes of genius, they delight by their airy sarcasm or their caustic satire, the luxuriance of their humon, the playfulness of their turn, and even by the elegance of their imagery, and the tenderness of their sentiment. They give a deep insight into domestic life, and open for us the beart of man, in all the various states which he may occupy—a frequent raview of proverbs should enter into our readings: and although they are no longer the ornaments of conversation, they have not ceased to be the treasures of Thought!

### CONFUSION OF WORDS.

'There is nothing more common,' says the lively Voltaire, 'than to read and to converse to no purpose. In history, in morals, in law, in physic, and in divinity, be careful of equivocal terms. One of the ancients wrote a book to prove that there was no word which did not conwey an ambiguous and uncertain meaning. If we pos-seased this lost book, our ingenious dictionaries of 'sy-nonyms' would not probably prove its uselessness. When-ever the same word is associated by the parties with different names, they may converse, or controverse, till 'the crack of doom." This, with a little obstinacy and some agility in shifting his ground, makes the fortune of an opponent. While one party is worried in disentangling a meaning, and the other is winding and unwinding about him with another, a word of the kind we have mentioned, carelessly or perversely slipped into an argument, may prolong it for a century or two—as it has happened! Vaugelas, who passed his whole life in the study of words, would not allow that the sense was to determine the meaning of words, for, says he, it is the business of words to explain the sense. Kant for a long while discovered in this way a facility of arguing without end, as at this moment do our political economists. 'I beseech you,' exclaims a poetical critic, in the agony of a 'confusion of words,' 'not to ask whether I mean this or that!' Our words, 'not to ask whether I mean this or that?' Our critic, convinced that he has made himself understood, grows immortal by obscurity! for he shows how a few simple words, not intelligible, may admit of volumes of vindication. Throw out a word, capable of fifty senses, and you raise fifty parties! Should some friend of peace enable the fifty to repose on one sense, that innocent word, no longer ringing the toesin of a party, would lie in forgetfulness in the Dictionary. Still more recording when forgetfulness in the Dictionary. Still more provoking when an identity of meaning is only disguised by different modes of expression, and when the term has been closely sifted. to their mutual astonishment, both parties discover the same thing lying under the bran and chaff after this heated operation. Plate and Aristotle probably agreed much botter than the opposite parties they raised up imagined; their difference was in the manner of expression, rather than in the points discussed. The Nominalists and the Realists, who once filled the world with their brawls, and who from irregular words came to regular blows, could never comprehend their alternate nonsense; though the Nominalists only denied what no one in his senses would affirm; and the Realists only contended for what no one in his senses would deny; a hair's breadth might have joined what the spirit of party had sundered!

Do we flatter ourselves that the Logomachies of the

Nominalists and the Realists terminated with these scolding schoolmen? Modern nonsense, weighed against the obsolete, may make the scales tremble for awhile, but it will have its agreeable quality of freshness, and subside into an equipoise. We find their spirit still lurking among our own metaphysicians. 'Lo! the Nominalists and the Realists again!' exclaimed my learned friend, Sharon Turner, alluding to our modern doctrines on abstract ideas, on which there is still a doubt, whether they are any thing more than generalising terms.\* Leibniz confused his philosophy by the term sufficient reason: for every existence, for every event, and for every truth, there must be a sufficient reason. This vagueness of language produced a perpetual misconception, and Leibnitz was proud of his equivocal triumphs in always affording a new interpretation! It is conjectured that he only employed his term of sufficient reason, for the plain simple word of cause. Even Locke, who has himself so admirably noticed the 'abuse of words,' has been charged with using vague and indefi-nite ones; he has sometimes employed the words reflec-tion, mind, and spirit, in so indefinite a way, that they have confused his philosophy; thus by some ambiguous expressions, our great metaphysician has been made to establish doctrines fatal to the immutability of moral distinctions. Even the eagle-eye of the intellectual Newton grew dim in the obscurity of the language of Locke. We are astonished to discover that two such intellects should not comprehend the same ideas; for Newton wrote to the difference of opinion between Locke and Reid is measured. The difference of opinion between Locke and Reid is measured. consequence of an ambiguity in the word principle, as em-

\* Turner's Hist of England, i, 514.
† We owe this curious unpublished letter to the zeal and sare of frofessor Dugald Stewart, in his excellent Dissertations.

ployed by Reid. The removal of a solitary word may cast a luminous ray over a whole body of philosophy: 'If we had called the infinite the indefinite,' says Condillac, in his Traité des Sensations, 'by this small change of a word we should have avoided the error of imagising that we have a positive idea of infinity, from whence so masy false reasonings have been carried on, not only by metaphysicians, but even by geometricians.' The word reason has been used with different meanings by different writers; reasoning and reason have been often confounded; a man may have an endless capacity for reasoning, without being much influenced by reason, and to be reasonable, perhaps differs from both! So Moliere tells us,

Raisonner est l'emploi de toute maison; Et le raisonnement en bannit la raison!

In this research on 'confusion of words,' might enter the voluminous history of the founders of sects, who have usually employed terms which had no meaning attached to them, or were so ambiguous that their real notions have never been comprehended; hence the most chimerical opinions have been imputed to founders of sects. We may instance that of the Antinomians, whose remarkable denomination explains their doctrine, expressing that they were 'against law!' Their founder was John Agricola, a follower of Luther, who, while he lived, had kept Agricola's follies from exploding, which they did when he as-serted that there was no such thing as sin, our salvation depending on faith, and not on works; and when he de-claimed against the Law of God. To what lengths some of his sect pushed this verbal doctrine is known; but the real notions of this Agricola probably never will be! Bayle considered him as a harmless dreamer in theology, who had confused his head by Paul's controversies with the Jews; but Mosheim, who bestows on this early reformer the epithets of ventous and versipellis, windy and crafty! or, as his translator has it, charges him with 'vanity, presump-tion, and artifice,' tells us by the term 'law,' Agricola only meant the ten commandments of Moses, which he cossidered were abrogated by the Gospel, being designed for the Jews and not for the Christians. Agricola then, by the words the 'Law of God,' and 'that there was no such thing as sin,' must have said one thing and meant another! thing as sin, must have said one thing and meant another:
This appears to have been the case with most of the divines of the sixteenth century; for even Mosheim complains of 'their want of precision and consistency in expressing their sentiments, hence their real sentiments have been misunderstood.' There evidently prevailed a great 'confusion of words' among them! The grace sufficient, and the grace effects of the Januenists and the Jesuit, show the shifts and strategemen by which pressures may show the shifts and stratagems by which nonsense may be dignified. Whether all men received from God affare grace for their conversion! was an inquiry some unhappy metaphysical theologist set affoat : the Jesuits according to their worldly system of making men's conscience easy, affirmed it; but the Jansenists insisted, that this sufficient grace would never be efficacious, unless accompanied by special grace. 'Then the sufficient grace, which is not efficacious, is a contradiction in terms, and worse, a heresy triumphantly cried the Jesuits, exulting over their adversariant. saries. This 'confusion of words' thickened, till the Jesuits introduced in this logomachy with the Jansenists, papal bulls, royal edicts, and a regiment of dragooms! The Jansenists, in despair, appealed to miracles and prodigies, which they got up for public representation; but, above all, to their Pascal, whose immortal satire the Jesuiu really felt was at once sufficient and efficacious, though the dragoons, in settling a 'confusion of words,' did not boast of inferior success to Pascal's. Former ages had, indeed, witnessed even a more melancholy logomachy, in the Homoousion and the Homoiousion! An event which Boileau has immortalized by some fine verses, which, in his famous satire on L'Equivoque, for reasons best knows to the Sorbonne, were struck out of the text.

D'une syllabe impie un saint mot augmente Remplit tous les cepiris d'aigreures, si meutières Tu fis dans une guerre et si triste et si longue Perir tant de Chretiens, Manyre d'une diphonges

Whether the Son was similar to the substance of the Father, or of the same substance, depended on the diphthon of, which was alternately rejected and received Had they earlier discovered what at length they agreed on, that the words denoted what was moomprehensle, if would have saved thousands, as a witness describes, 'from

Digitized by Google

caring one another to pieces.' The great controversy be-tween Abelard and Saint Bernard, when the saint accused the achielastic of maintaining heretical notions of the Trinity, long aguated the world—yet, now that these confusers of words can so longer inflame our passions, we wonder now those parties could themselves differ about words to which we can attach no meaning whatever. There have been few councils, or synods, where the omission or addi-tion of a word or a phrase might not have terminated an interminable logomachy! at the council of Basle, for the convenience of the disputants, John de Secubia drew up a treatise of undeclined words, chiefly to determine the signi-Scatton of the particles from, by, but, and except, which it seems were perpetually occasioning frosh disputes among the Hussies and the Bohemians. Had Jerome of Prague known, like our Shakspeare, the virtue of an 1r, or agreed with Hobbes, that he should not have been so positive in the use of the verb rs—he might have been spared from the flames. The philosopher of Malmsbury has declared, that 'Perhaps Judgment was nothing else but the composition or joining of two names of things, or modes, by the verb ss.' In modern times the popes have more skil-fully freed the church from this 'confusion of words.' His homes, on one occasion, standing in equal terror of the court of France, who protected the Jesuits, and of the court of Spain, who maintained the cause of the Dominicans, contrived a phrase, where a crama or a full stop placed at the hesiming or the standard when the hesiming or the standard when the hesimine or the standard when the standard whe placed at the beginning or the end purported that his holi ss tolerated the opinions which he condemned; and when the rival parties despatched deputations to the court of Rome to plead for the period, or advocate the comma; his holiness, in this 'confusion of words,' flung an unpunc-tasted copy to the parties; nor was it his fault, but that of the spirit of party, if the rage of the one could not subside into a comma, nor that of the other close by a full period!

In jurisprudence much confusion has occurred in the uses of the term Rights; yet the social union and human happiness are involved in the precision of the expression. When Mentesquieu laid down as the active principle of a republic votue, it seemed to infer that a republic was the st of governments. In the defence of this great work he was obliged to define the term, and it seems that by virtue, he only meant political virtue, the love of the country.

In politics, what evils have resulted from abstract terms

In polices, what evis have resulted from austract terms to which no ideas are affixed! Such as 'The Equality of Man—the Sovereignty or the Majesty of the People—Lovalty—Reform—even Liberty herself!—Public opinion—Public interest!—and other abstract notions, which have excited the hatred or the ridicule of the vulgar. Abstract ideas, as sounds, have been used as watchwords; the combatants will be usually found willing to fight for words to which, perhaps, not one of them have attached any settled signification. This is admirably touched on by Locke, in his chapter of 'Abuse of Words.' 'Wisdom, Glory, Grace, &c., are words frequent enough in every man's mouth; but if a great many of those who use them should be asked what they mean by them, they would be at a stand, and know not what to answer—a plain proof that though they have learned three sounds, and have them ready at their tongue's end, yet there are no determined ideas laid up in their minds which are to be expressed to others by them,'

When the American exclaimed that he was not represented in the House of Commons, because he was not an elector, he was told that a very small part of the people of England were electors. As they could not call this an actual representation, they invented a new name for it, and called it a virtual one. It imposed on the English nation, who could not object that others should be taxed rather than themselves; but with the Americans it was a sophism!
And this virtual representation instead of an actual one, terminated in our separation; 'which,' says Mr Flood. at the time appeared to have swept away most of our glory and our territory; forty thousand lives, and one hun-dred millions of treasure!

That fatal expression which Rousseau had introduced, L'Egalité des hommes, which finally involved the happiness of a whole people; had he lived, he had probably shows how ill his country had understood. He could only have referred in his mind to political equality, but not an equility of possessions, of property, of authority, destructive of social order and of moral duties, which must exist among every people. 'Liberty,' 'Equality,' and 'Reform,' isnocent words! sadly ferment the brains of those

who cannot affix any definite notions to them; they are bike those chimerical fictions in law, which declare 'the sovereign immortal; proclaim his ubiquity in various places;' and irritate the feelings of the populace, by assuming that 'the king can never do wrong!' In the time suming that 'the king can never do wrong?' In the time of James II., 'it is curious,' says Lord Russel, 'to read the conference between the Houses on the meaning of the words "deserted" and "abdicated," and the debates in the Lords, whether or no there is an original contract between king and people.'

The people would necessarily decide that 'kings derived their nawar from them.

rived their power from them; but kings were once main-tained by a 'right divine,'—a 'confusion of words,' derived from two opposite theories! and both only relatively true. When we listen so frequently to such abstract terms as 'the majesty of the people'—the sovereignty of the people'—whence the inference that 'all power is derived from the people,' we can form no definite notions: it is 'a confusion of words,' contradicting all the political experience which our studies or our observations furnish; for sovereignty is established to rule, to conduct, and to settle the vacillations and quick passions of the multitude. Public opinion expresses too often the ideas of one party in place, and public interest those of another party out! Political axioms, from the circumstance of having the notions attached to them unsettled, are applied to the most opposite ends! 'In the time of the French Directory,' observes an Italian philosopher of profound views, in the revolution of Naples, the democratic faction pronounced revolution of Naples, the democratic faction pronounced that "Every act of a tyrannical government is in its origin illegal;" a proposition which at first sight seems self-evident, but which went to render all existing laws impracticable. The doctrine of the illegality of the acts of a tyrant was proclaimed by Brutus and Cicero, in the name of the Senate, against the populace, who had favoured Cassar's perpetual dictatorship; and the populace of Paris availed themselves of it, against the National Assembly.

This confusion of words, in time-serving politics, has too often confounded right and wrong; and artful men, driven into a corner, and intent only on its possession, have found no difficulty in solving doubts, and reconciling contradictions. Our own history, in revolutionary times, abounds with dangerous examples from all parties; of specious hypotheses for compliance with the government of the day, or the passions of parliament. Here is an instance in this the architecture of the contradiction of the c in which the subtile confuser of words, pretended to subm which ine subtile contuser of words, pretended to substitute two consciences, by ntterly depriving a man of any! When the unhappy Charles the First pleaded, that to pass the bill of attainder against the Earl of Strafford was against his conscience, that remarkable character of 'boldness and impiety, as Clarendon characterizes Williams, Archbishop of York, on this argument of conscience (a simple word enough) descentises of the the word enough. ple word enough.) demonstrated that there were two sorts of cosncience, public and private; that his public conscience as a king might dispense with his private conscience as a man!' Such was the ignominious argument which decided the fate of that great victim of state! It was an impudent 'confusion of words,' when Prynne (in order to quiet the consciences of those who were uneasy at warring with the king) observed, that the statute of 25th Edward III, the sing observed, that the statute of zone Edward 11, ran in the singular number— If a man shall levy war against the king, and, therefore, could not be extended to the houses, who were many and public persons. Later, we find Sherlock blest with the spirit of Williams, the Archbishop of York, whom we have just left. When some did not know how to charge and discharge themselves of the oaths to James the Second and to William the Third, this confounder of words discovered that there were two rights, as the other had that there were two consciences; one was a providential right, and the other a sciences; one was a providential right, and the other a legal right; one person might very rightcoucly claim and take a thing, and another as rightcoucly hold and keep it; but that whoever got the better had the providential right by possession; and since all authority comes from God, the people were obliged to transfer their allegiance God, the people were conject to transfer their allegiance to him as a king of God's making; so that he who had the providential right necessarily had the legal one! a very simple discovery, which must, however, have cost him some pains; for this confounder of words was himself, confounded by twelve answers by non-jurors!

A French politician of this stame is cently was suspen-ded from his lectureship, for asserting that the possession of the soil was a right; by which principle, any king

Digitized by GOOGLE

reigning over a country, whether by treachery, crime, and asurpation, was a legitimate sovereign. For this convenient principle the lecturer was tried, and declared not guilty—by persons who have lately found their advantage in a confusion of words. In treaties between nations, a 'confusion of words' has been more particularly studied; and that negotiator has conceived himself most desterous who, by this abuse of words, has retained an arriere-pensée which may fasten or loosen the ambiguous expression he had so cautiously and so finely inlaid in his mosaic of treachery. A scene of this nature I draw out of 'Mesnager's Negotiation with the Court of England.' When that secret agent of Louis XIV was negotiating a peace, an insuperable difficulty arose respecting the acknowledgment of the Hanoverian succession. It was absolutely necessary on this delicate point, to quiet the anxiety of the English public, and our allies; but though the French king was willing to recognize Anne's title to the throne, yet the settlement in the house of Hanover was incompatible with French interests and French honour.

Mesnager told Lord Bolingbroke that 'the king, his master, would consent to any such article, looking the other way, as might disengage him from the obligation of that agreement, as the occasion should present.' This ambiguous language was probably understood by Lord Bolingbroke: at the next conference his Lordship informed the secret agent, ' that the queen could not admit of any ex-planations, whatever her intentions might be; that the succession was settled by act of parliament; that as to the private sentiments of the queen, or of any about her, he could say nothing.' All this was said with such an air, as could say nothing.' All this was said with such an air, as to let me undersiand that he gave a secret assent to what I had proposed, \$\( \frac{4}{9}c \); but he desired me to drop the discourse.' Thus two great negotiators, both equally urgent to conclude the treaty, found an insuperable obstacle occur, which neither could control. Two honest men would have parted; but the skilful confounder of words,' the French diplomatist, hit on an expedient; he wrote the words which afterwards appeared in the preliminaries, that Louis XIV will acknowledge the queen of Great Britain in that quality, as also the succession of the crown ac-cording to the present settlement. 'The English agent,' adds the Frenchman, would have had me add—on the house of Hanover, but this I entreated him not to desire of me. The term present settlement, then was that article which was looking the other way, to disengage his master from the obligation of that agreement as occasion should present! that is, that Louis XIV chose to understand by the present settlement, the old one by which the British crown was to be restored to the Pretender! Anne and the Enghish nation were to understand it in their own sense-as the new one, which transferred it to the house of Hanover!

When politicians cannot rely upon each other's interprotation of one of the comments words in our language, how can they possibly act together? The Bishop of Winchester has proved this observation, by the remarkable anecdote of the Duke of Portland and Mr Pitt, who, with the view to unite parties, were to hold a conference on fair and equal terms. His grace did not object to the word fair, but the word equal was more specific and limited; and, for a necessary preliminary, he requested Mr Pitt to inform him what he understood by the word equal? Whether Pitt was puzzled by the question, or would not deliver up an arrier-penses, he put off the explanation to the conference. But the Duke would not meet Mr Pitt till the word was explained; and that important acceptation was below the party was explained; and that important acceptation was below to the party was a simple acceptation. negotiation was broken off, by not explaining a simple word which appeared to require none!

There is nothing more fatal in language than to wander from the popular acceptation of words; and yet this popular sense cannot always accord with precision of ideas,

Another source, therefore, of the abuse of words, is that mutability to which, in the course of time, the verbal edifice, as well as more substantial ones, is doomed. familiar instance presents itself in the titles of tyrant. persente, and sophist, originally honourable distinctions. The abuses of dominion made the appropriated title of kings; adjous; the title of a magistrate, who had the care of the public granaries of corn, at length was applied to a wretched flatterer for a dinner; and abourd philosophers convenienced. casioned a mere denomization to become a by-name. To employ such terms in their primitive sense would now confuse all ideas; yet there is an affectation of erudition which has frequently revived terms sanctioned by antiquity. Bishop Watson entitled his vindication of the Bale 'an Apology t' this word, in its primitive sense, had long been lost for the multitude, whom he particularly addres-ed in this work, and who could only understand it in the ea in this work, and who could carry understand in me sense they are accustomed to. Unquestically, may of its readers have imagined that the bishop was offerg an excuse for a belief in the Bible, instead of a vinita-tion of its truth. The word impertment by the most jurisconsults, or law-counsellors, who gave their opi on cases, was used merely in opposition to pertine tio pertinens is a pertinent reason, that is, a reason pertaining to the cause in question; and a ratio importance an impertinent reason, is an argument not pertaining to the subject.\* Importanent then originally meant seine absurdity, nor rude intrusion, as it does in our pressi pullar sense. The learned Arnauld having characteristic a reply of one of his adversaries by the epithet impro-nent, when blamed for the freedom of his language, o plained his meaning by giving this history of the woll which applies to our own language. Thus also with us, the word indifferent has entirely changed: an historia, the word indifferent has entirely changed: an internal whose work was indifferently written, would forsely have claimed our attention. In the Liturgy it is proved that 'magistrates may indifferently minister justice.' Indifferently originally meant importantly. The word expagent, in its primitive signification, only signified to be gress from the subject. The Decretals, or those learn from the popes deciding on points of ecclesiastical deciding on points of ecclesiastical deciding on the subject. pline, were at length incorporated with the cases hy, and were called estravagant by wandering out of the boy of the canon law, being confusedly dispersed through that collection.

When Luther had the Decretals publicly burst at Wa-temburgh, the insult was designed for the pope, rather than as a condemnation of the canon law itself. Suppose in the present case, two persons of opposite opinions. The catholic, who had said that the decretals were extraveged. might not have intended to depreciate them, or make as concession to the Lutheran. What confusion of works concession to the Lutheran. What confusion of work has the common sense of the Scotch metaphysicans be troduced into philosophy! There are no words, prihate in the language, which may be so differently interpreted and Professor Dugald Stewart has collected, is a curious note, in the second volume of his 'Philosophy of the Huma Mind, a singular variety of its opposite significance.

The Latin phrase, 'sensus communis,' may, in tarous passages of Cicero, be translated by our phrase 'common sense;' but, on other occasions, it means something of ferent; the 'sensus communis of the schoolmen is quite. another thing, and is synonymous with conception, and referred to the seat of intellect; with Sir John Davies, is his curious metaphysical poece, 'common sense is used as imagination. It crosted a controversy with Beams and Reid; and Reid, who introduced this vague amingous phrase in philosophical language, often understood the term in its ordinary acceptation. This change of the meaning of the words, which is constantly recenting a metaphysical dust stee, has made that curious but observed science liable to this objection of Hobbes, with many words making nothing understood!

Controversies have been keenly agitated about the priciples of morals, which resolve entirely into sorted department. or at most late questions of arrangement and classific-tion of little comparative moment to the points at men. This observation of Mr Dugald Stewart's might be illutrated by the fate of the numerous inventors of systems of thinking or morals, who have only employed very of or uniaxing or morals, who have only employed very active ferent and even opposite terms in appearance, to express the same thing. Some, by their mode of philosophuses, have strangely unsettled the words self-interest and self-lose; and their misconceptions have sadly misded the votaries of these systems of morals; as others also, by self-vague terms as a utility, fitness, etc.

\* It is still a Chancery word. An answer is Chancery, be is referred for impertinence, reported impertinent—asi the as pertinence ordered to be struck out, meaning only what is immatterial or superfluous tending to unnecessary transfer. I am indebted for this explanation to my friend, it Morivals - and to another learned friend, formerly is that tous, a am indelted for this explanation to my friend, Mr. Marivale; and to another learned friend, formerly in the tout, who describes its meaning as 'an excess of words or maint in the pleadings,' and who has received many as efficial for 'expunging importanence,' leaving, however, he acknowledges, a sufficient quantity to make the importanence of their verbootty.

Digitized by GOOGLE

When Epicurus asserted that the sovereign good consisted in pleasure, opposing the unfeeling auterity of the stoics by the softness of pleasurable emotions, his principle was soon disregarded; while his sewd, perhaps chosen in the spirit operator, was warrally adopted by the sensu-aist. Epicurus, of whom Seneca has drawn so beautiful a domestic scene, in whose garden a loaf, a Cytheridean chees, and a draught which did not inflame thirst, \* was the sole hasquet, would have started indignantly at

'The fattest hog in Epicurus' sty!'

Such are the facts which illustrate that principle in 'the abuse of words,' which Locke calls 'an affected obscurity arsing from applying old words to new, or unusual signi-

It was the same 'confusion of words' which gave rise to the famous sect of the Sadducees. The master of its lower Sadoc, in his moral purity was desirous of a distinct sted worship of the Deity; he would not have seen like sia vs., obedient from the hope of reward, or the fear of punisha.sat. Sadoc drew a quite contrary inference from the intention of his master, concluding that there were neither rewards nor punishments in a future state. The netter rewards nor punsements in a reture state. The result is a parallel to the fate of Epicurus. The motality of the master of Sadoc was of the most pure and strated kind, but in the 'confusion of words,' the liberates adopted them for their own purposes—and having see assumed that neither rewards nor punishments existed in the after-state, they proceeded to the erroneous consequence that man perished with his own dust!

The plainest words by accidental associations, may sugset the next erroneous concentrons, and have been present the next erroneous concentrons, and have been present the next erroneous concentrons, and have been presented.

gest the most erroneous conceptions, and have been pro-enctive of the greatest errors. In the famous Bangorian controversy, one of the writers excites a smile by a com conversy, one of the writers excuse a same by a com-plant, arming from his views of the signification of a plain word, whose meaning, he thinks had been changed by the coatending parties. He says, 'the word country, like a great many others, such as church and kingdom, is, by the Bishop of Bangor's leave, become to signify a collection of ideas very different from its original meaning; with some it implies party, with others prisonts opinion, and with most interest, and, perhaps, in time, may signify some with most interest, and, perhaps, in time, may signify some other country. When this good innocent word has been tossed backwards and forwards a little longer, some new reformer of language may arise to reduce it to its primitive signification—the real interest of Great Britain? The shalagonist of this controversialist probably retorted on him his own term of the real interest, which might be a very opposite one, according to their notions! It has been with what truth I know not, that it was by a more said, with what truth I know not, that it was by a more confusion of words that Burke was enabled to alarm the great Whig families, by showing them their fate in that of the French noblesse; they were misled by the similitude of names. The French noblesse had as little resonmance with our sobility, as they have to the Mandarins of Chine. Unranchis may be in this case, certain it is that China. However it may be in this case, certain it is, that the same terms misapplied, have often raised those delusives notions termed false analogies. It was long imagined in this country, that the partiaments of France were somewhat skin to our own; but these assemblies were very differently constituted, consisting only of lawyers in courts of law. A misnomer confuses all argument. There is a trick which consists in bestowing good names on bad things. Vices, thus weiled, are introduced to us as virtues, according to an old poot,

As drunkenness, good-fellowship we call !? SIR THOMAS WIAT.

Or the reverse, when loyalty may be ridiculed as

' The right divine of kings-to govern wrong !

The most innocent recreations, such as the drama, dancing, dress, have been anathematised by puritans, while philosophers have written elaborate treatises in their dephilosophers have written elaborate treatment that these trace—the enigma is solved, when we discover that these

words suggested a set of opposite notions to each.

But the nominalists and the realists, and the doctores station nonaminists and the realists, and the occurred indications, resolutions, refulgences, profundi, and extending the left this heir-loom of logomachy to a race as subtile and irrefragable! An extraordinary scene has recently been performed by a new company of actors, in the modern comedy of Political Economy; and the whole dislogue has been carried on in an inimitable 'confluence of make I will be a subtile to the confluence of the con words," This reasoning, and unreasoning fraternity never use a term, as a term, but for an explanation, and which employed by them all, signifies opposite things, but never the plainest! Is it not, therefore, strange, that they cam-not yet tell us what are riches? what is rent? what is value? Monsieur Say, the most sparkling of them all, assures us that the English writers are obscure, by their confounding, like Smith, the denomination of labour. The vivacious Gaul cries out to the grave Briton, Mr Maithes, 'f I consent to employ your word labour, you must understand me,' so and so! Mr Maithus says, 'Commodities are not exchanged for commodities only they are also exchanged for labour; and when the hypochondriac Englishman with dismay, foresees 'the glut of markets,' and concludes that we may produce more than we can consume, the paradoxical Monsieur Say discovers, that 'commodities' is a wrong word, for it gives a wrong idea; it should be productions!' for his axiom is, that idea; if snould be productions: for his axiom is, una i productions can only be purchased with productions.' Money, it seems, according to dictionary ideas, has no existence in his vocabulary; for Monsieur Say has formed a sort of Berkleian conception of wealth, being immaterial. while we confine our views to its materiality. Hence ensuce from this 'confusion of words,' this most brilliant paradox; that 'a glutted market is not a proof that we produce too stuck, but that we produce too little! for in that case there is not enough produced to exchange with what is produced!" As Frenchmen excel in politeness what is produced? As Frenchises excer in possessional impudence, Monsieur Say adds, 'I revere Adam Smith; he is my master; but this first of political economists did not understand all the phenomena of production and consumption;' this I leave to the ablest judge, Mr Ricardo, to decide in a commentary on Adam Smith, if he will devote his patriotism and his genius to so excellent a labour.\* We, who remain uninitiated in this mystery of explaining the operations of trade by metaphysical ideas, and raising up theories to conduct those who never theories, can only start at the 'confusion of words,' and leave this blessed inheritance to our sons, if ever the science

Caramuel, a famous Spanish bishop, was a grand architect of words. Ingenious in theory, his errors were confined to his practice; he said a great deal and mean now and he an agent deal and mean to the said a great deal and the said as great deal and mean to the said a great deal and the said a gre thing; and by an exact dimension of his intellect, taken at thing, and by an oracl unressou of his molified, lakes at the time, it appeared that 'he had genius in the eighth degree, eloquence in the fifth, but judgment only in the second." This great man would not read the ancients; for he had a notion that the moderns must have acquired all they possessed, with a good deal of their own into the bargain.' Two hundred and sixty-two works, differing in breadth and length, besides his manuscripts, attents that if the world would read his writings, they could need no other; for which purpose his last work always referred to the preceding ones, and could never be comprehended till his readers possessed those which were to follow. As he had the good sense to perceive that metaphysicians abound in obscure and equivocal terms, to avoid this 'confusion of obscure and equivocal terms, to avour any words, he invented a jargon of his own; and to make 'confusion worse confounded,' projected grammars and vocabularies by which we were to learn it; but it is supposed to the confusion of the confusion o posed that he was the only man who understood hims. He put every author in despair by the works which he announced. This famous architect of words, however, built more labyrinths than he could always get out of, not with-standing his 'cobalistical grammar,' and his 'cadacious grammar.'† Yet this great Caranuel, the critics have agreed, was nothing but a puffy giant, with legs too weak for his bulk, and only to be accounted as a bero amidst a 'confusion of words.'

Let us dread the fate of Caramuel! and before we enter into discussion with the metaphysician, first settle what he means by the nature of ideas; with the politician, his no-tion of liberty and equality; with the divine, what he deems orthodos; with the political economist, what he considers to be value and rent! By this means we may avoid what is perpetually recurring; that extreme laxity or vagueness of words, which makes every writer or speaker, complain of his predecessor, and attempt, sometimes not

\* Since the first edition of this work, the lamented death of Mr Ricardo has occurred—and we have lost the labours of a mind of great simplicity and native power, at, perhaps, the hour of its maturity. [English Editor.]

† Baillet gives the dates and plans of these grammars. The caballetic was published in Bruxelles, 1642, in 12mo. The audicious was in folio, printed at Frankfort, 1654.—Jugemens dee Savans. Tome II. 3me partie.

Digitized by GOOGLE

\* Sen. Epist. 21.

in the best temper, to define and to settle the signification of what the witty South calls 'those rabble-charming words, which carry so much wild-fire wrapt up in them.'

POLITICAL NICE-NAMES.

Political calumny is said to have been reduced into an art, like that of logic, by the Jesuits. This itself may be a political calumny! A powerful body, who themselves had practised the practices of calumnators, may in their turn, was drawn out of one of the classical authors used in their colleges. Busembaum, a German Jesuit, had composed, in duodecimo, a 'Medulla Theologise moralis,' where, among other casmistical propositions, there was found lurking in this old jesuit's 'marrow' one which favoured regi-cide and assassination! Fifty editions of the book had passed unnoticed; till a new one appearing at the critical moment of Damien's attempt, the duodecimo of the old moment of Damien's attempt, the quodecimo of the old Scholastic Jesuit which had now been amplified by its com-mentators into two folios, was considered not merely ridi-culous, but as dangerous. It was burnt at Toulouse, in 1757, by order of the parliament, and condemned at Paris. An Italian Jesuit published an 'apology' for this theory of assassination, and the same flames devoured it! Whether Busembaum deserved the honour bestowed on his ingenu-

ity, the reader may judge by the passage itself.

'Whoever would ruin a person, or a government, must begin this operation by spreading calumnies, to defame the person or the government; for unquestionably the calumnies. miator will always find a great number of persons inclined to believe him, or to side with him; it therefore follows, that whenever the object of such calumnies is once lowered in credit by such means, he will soon lose the reputation ed in credit by such means, ne will soon some under the per-and power founded on that credit, and sink under the pernament and vindictive attacks of the calumniator.' is the politics of Satan—the evil principle which regulates so many things in this world. The enemies of the Jesuits have formed a list of great names who had become the vic-

time of such atrocious Machiavelism.

This has been one of the arts practised by all political tries. Their first weak invention is to attach to a new faction a contemptible or an opprobrious nick-name. In the history of the revolutions of Europe, whenever a new party has at length established its independence, the origial denomination which had been fixed on them, marked by the passions of the party which bestowed it, strangely

contrasts with the name finally established!

The first revolutionists of Holland incurred the contemptuous name of 'Les Gueux,' or the Beggars. The Duchcas of Parma inquiring about them, the Count of Barla-mont scornfully described them to be of this class; and it was flattery of the Great which gave the name currency. The Hollanders accepted the name as much in defiance as with indignation, and acted up to it. Instead of broaches in their hats, they wore little wooden platters, such as beggars used, and foxes' tails instead of feathers. On the Turkish than Popish! and had the print of a cock crowing, out of whose mouth was a label Vive les Gueus per tout le monde! which was every where set up, and was the favourite sign of their inns. The Protestants in France, after a variety of nick-names to render them contemptible, such as Christodius, because they would only talk about Christ, similar to our Puritans; and Parpoillots, or Parpoirelles, a small base coin, which was odiously applied to them; at length settled in the well-known term of Hamiltonian and the settled in the settled in the well-known term of Hamiltonian and the settled in the settled in the well-known term of Hamiltonian and the settled in the sett guenots, which probably was derived, as the Dictionnaire de Trevoux suggests, from their hiding themselves in secret places, and appearing at night, like king Hugon, the great holgolim of France. It appears that the term has been preserved by an earthen vessel without feet, used in cookery, which served the Huguenots on meagre days to dress their meat, and to avoid observation; a curious instance, where a thing still in use proves the obscure circumetance of its origin.

The atrocious insurrection, called La Jacquérie, was a term which originated in cruel derision. When John of France was a prisoner in England, his kingdom appears to save been desolated by its wretched nobles, who, in the indulgence of their passions, set no limits to their luxury and their extortion. They despoiled their peasantry withent mercy, and when these complained, and even reproached this tyrannical nobility with having forsaken their sove-

\* See Recueil, Chronologique et Analytique de tout ce qui s tak en Portugal la Société de Jesus. Vol. il, sect. 466.

reign, they were told that Jacque bon homme must pay for all. But Jack good-man came forward in person—a leader appeared under this fatal name, and the peasants revolting in madness, and being joined by all the cut-threats and thieves of Paris, at once pronounced condemnation on every gentleman in France! Froissart has the hornd arrestly gentleman in France! rative; twelve thousand of these Jacques bon homes expiated their crimes; but the Jacqueste, who had received their first appellation in derision, assumed it as their som

In the spirited Memoirs of the Duke of Guise, writtee by himself, of his enterprise against the kingdom of Na-ples, we find a curious account of this political art of mark-ing people by odious nick-names. Genaro and Viceaso, says the duke, 'cherished under-hand, that aversion the rascality had for the better sort of citizens and civiler peorescality had for the better sort of citizens and civiler peo-ple, who, by the insolences they suffered from these, sot unjustly hated them. The better class inhabiting the suburbs of the Virgin were called black cleaks, and the ordinary sort of people took the name of lazars, both in French and English an old word for a leprous beggar, and hence the lazaroni of Naples. We can easily conceive the evil eye of a lazar when he encountered a black clock! The Duke adds—' Just as at the beginning of the revolu-tion, the revolters in Flanders formerly took that of beggars; those of Guienne, that of eaters; those of Normandy, that of bare-feet; and of Beausse and Soulogne, of woollen-patterss.' In the late French revolution, we observed the extremes indulged by both parties chiefly concerned in revolution—the wealthy and the poor! The rich, who is desired selled their bushle fall-uncertiseans by the who, in derision, called their humble fellow-citizens by the contemptuous term of sans-culottes, provoked a reacting injustice from the populace, who, as a dreadful return for only a slight, rendered the innocent term of aristocrate, a

signal for plunder or slaughter!
It is a curious fact that the French verb frender, as at is a curious fact that the French very Journal, well as the noun frontent, are used to describe those who condemn the measures of government; and more extensively, designates any hyperbolical and malignant enticism, or any sort of condemnation. These words have been only introduced into the language since the intrigues of Cardinal de Retz succeeded in raising a faction against Cardinal Mazarine, known in French history by the nickname of the Frondeurs, or the Slingers. It originated in pleasantry, although it became the pass-word for insurrection in France, and the odious name of a faction. A wit observed, that the parliament were like those school-boys, who fling their stones in the pits of Paris, and as soon as they see the *Lieutenant Civil*, run away; but are sure to collect again directly he disappears. The comparison collect again directly he disappears. The comparison was lively, and formed the burthen of songs; and afterwards, when affairs were settled between the king and the parliament, it was more particularly applied to the faction of Cardinal de Retz, who still held out. 'We encouraged the application,' says De Retz; for we observed that the distinction of a name heated the minds of people; and one evening we resolved to wear hat-strings in the form of slings. A hatter, who might be trusted with the secret, made a great number as a new fashion, and which were worn by many who did not understand the joke; we ourselves were the last to adopt them, that the invention might not appear to have some form in. might not appear to have come from us. The effect of this trifle was immense; every fashionable article was now to assume the shape of a sling; bread, hats, gloves, now to assume the shape of a sing; bread, hais, geven, handkerchiefs, fams, \$\phi^\* e.,\$ and we ourselves became more in fashion by this folly, than by what was essential.\(^1\) This revolutionary term was never forgotten by the French, a circumstance which might have been considered as prognostic of that after-revolution, which De Reitz had the imagination to project, but not the daring to establish. We see, however, this great politician, confessing the advantages his party derived by encourse sing the application of tages his party derived by encouraging the application of a by-name, which served 'to heat the minds of people.'

It is a curious circumstance that I should have to recount in this chapter on 'Political Nick-names' a familiar term with all lovers of art, that of Silhouette! This is well understood as a black profile, but it is more extraordinary that a term so universally adopted should not be found in any dictionary, either in that of L' Academie, or in Todd's, and has not even been preserved, where it is quite indepensable, in Millin's Dictionnaire des Besus-Aris! It is pensable, in Millin's Locannaire are Duringmated in a po-little suspected that this innocent term originated in a po-litical nick-name! Silhouette was minister of state in France in 1759; that period was a critical one; the treesury was in an exhausted condition, and Silheustie, a very

Digitized by GOOGLE

hmeet man, who would hold no intercourse with financiers, or bran-mongers, could contrive no other expedient to prevent a narional bankruptcy, than excessive economy, and interminable reform! Paris was not the metropolis, any more than London, where a Plato or a Zeno could long be missister of state, without incurring all the ridicule of the wreatched wits! At first they pretended to take his advice, mercely to laugh at him!—they cut their coats shorter, and woore them without sleeves; they turned their gold soud!—boxes into rough wouden ones; and the new-fashioned portraits were now only profiles of a face, traced by a black pencil on the shadow cast by a candle on white paper! All the fashions assumed an air of negarity economy, till poor Silhoustte was driven into retirement, with all his projects of savings and reforms; but he left his name to describe the most economical sort of portrait, and once to describe the most economical sort of portrait, and once as melancholy as his own fate!

This political artifice of appropriating cant terms, or odicus nick-names, could not tail to flourish among a people se perpetually divided by contending interests as ourselves; every party with us have had their watch-word, which has served either to congregate themselves, or to set on the ban-dogs of one faction to worry and tear those of another. We practised it early, and we find it still prospering! The Partiess of Elizabeth's reign survives to this hour; the trying difficulties which that wise sovereign had to overcome in settling the national religion, found no sympathy mether of the great divisions of her people; she retained as much of the catholic rites as might be decorous in the new religion, and sought to unite, and not to separate, her children. John Knox, in the spirit of charity, declared, that 's he was neither gude protestant, nor yet resolute papist; let the world judge quilk is the third."

A jealous party aruse, who were for reforming the reformation. In their attempt at more than human purity, they obtained the nick-name of Puritiess; and from their fasti-doumness about very small matters, Precisions; these Drayton characterizes as persons that for a painted glass window would pull down the whole church. At that early period these nick-names were soon used in an odious sense; for Warner, a poet in the reign of Elizabeth, says,—

'If hypocrites, why puritains we term be asked, in breefe,
'Tim but an ironised-terme; good-fellow so spels theefe!

Honest Fuller, who knew that many good men were among these Puritans, wished to decline the term altogether, under the less offensive one of Non-conformists. But the fierce and the fiery of this party, in Charles the First's time, had been too obtrusive not to fully merit the onical appellative; and the peaceful expedient of our Moderator dropped away with the page in which it was written. The people have frequently expressed their own notions of different parliaments by some apt nick-name. In Richard the Second's time, to express their dislike of the extraordinary and irregular proceedings of the lords against the sovereign, as well as their sanguinary measures, they called it. The wonder-working and the unmer-ciful parliament. In Edward the Third's reign, when the Black Prince was yet living, the parliament, for having pursued with severity the party of the duke of Lancaster, was so popular, that the people distinguished it as the good parliament. In Heary the Third's time, the parliament opposing the king, was called the people of the control of the king. opposing the king, was called 'Parliamentum insumm,' the mad parliament, because the lords came armed to inthe mad parliament, occase in the charter. A Scottish sist on the confirmation of the great charter. A Scottish Parliament, from its perpotual shiftings from place to place, was ludicrously nick-named the running parliament; in the name spirit we had our long parliament. The nick-name same spirit we had our long parliament. The nick-name of Pensioner parliament stuck to the House of Commons which sate forty years without dissolution, under Charles the Second; and others have borne satirical or laudatory epithets. So true it is, as old Holingshead observed, 'The common people will manie times give such bie names as seemeth best liking to themselves.' It would be a curious

common people will mante times give such bie names as seemeth best liking to themselves. It would be a curious speculation to discover the sources of the popular feeling; influenced by delusion, or impelled by good sense. The exterminating political nick-name of medignest darkened the nation through the civil wars: it was a proscription—and a list of good and bod lords was read by the leaders of the first tumults. Of all these inventions, this disholical one was most adapted to exasperate the animosities of the people, so often duped by names. I have never detected the active man of faction who first hit on this odiess brand for persons, but the period when the world

changed its ordinary meaning was early; Charles, in 1643, retorts on the parliamentarians the opprobrious distinction, as 'The true malignant party which has contrived and countenanced those barbarous tumults.' And the royalists pleaded for themselves, that the hateful designation was ill applied to them: for by malignity you denote, said they, activity in doing evil, whereas we have always been on the suffering side in our persons, credits, and estates; but the parliamentarians, 'grinning a ghastly smile,' would reply, that 'the royalists would have been malignant had they proved successful.' The truth is, that malignancy meant proved successful.' The truth is, that management meant with both parties any epposition of opinion. At the same period the offensive distinctions of round-heads and canaders supplied the people with party-names, who were already provided with so many religious as well as civil causes of quarrel; the cropt heads of the sullen sectaries and the people, were the origin of the derisory nick-name; the splendid elegance and the romantic spirit of the royalists there away the rabble, who in their morkery could be and long awed the rabble, who in their mockery could brand them by no other appellation than one in which their bearers gloried. In these distracted times of early revolution, any nick-name, however vague, will fully answer a pur-pose, although neither those who are blackened by the edium nor those who cast it, can define the hateful appella-tive. When the term of delinquents came into vogue, it expressed a degree and species of guilt, says Hume, not exactly known or ascertained. It served however the end exactly known or ascertained. It served however the end of those revolutionists, who had coined it, by involving any person in, or colouring any action by, definquency; and many of the nobility and gentry were, without any questions being asked, suddenly discovered to have committed the crime of definquency! Whether honest Fuller be faccitions or grave on this period of nick-naming parties I will not decide; but, when he tells us that these will not decide; but, when he tells us that there was another word which was introduced into our nation at this time, I think at least that the whole passage is an admira-ble commentary on this party vecabulary. Contempo-rary with stationants is the word plander, which some make of Laun original, from planus dare, to level, to plane all to nothing! Others of Dutch extraction, as if it were to plame, or pluck the feathers of a bird to the bare skin.\*
Sure I am we first heard of it in the Swedish wars; and Sure I am we and thing be sent back from whence it came, few English eyes would weep thereat. All England had wept at the introduction of the word. The rump was the filth nick-name of an odious faction—the history of this famous appellation, which was at first one of horror, till it afterwards became one of derision and contempt, must be whetstone for the loyal wits, till at length its former admirers, the rabble themselves, in the name to country vied with each other in 'burning rumpe' of beef which were hung by chains on a gallows with a bonfire underneath, and proved how the people, like children, come at length to make a play-thing of that which was once their bugbear.

Charles II during the short holiday of the restoration—all holidays seem short!—and when he and the people were in good humour, granted any thing to every one,—the mode of 'Petitions' got at length very inconvenient, and the king in council declared, that this petitioning was 'A method set on foot by ill men to promote discontents among the people,' and enjoined his loving subjects not to subscribe them. The petitioners however persisted—when a new party rose to express their abborrerse of petitioning; both parties nick-named each other the petitioners and the abborrers! Their day was short, but fierce; the petitioners, however weak in their cognomen, were far the bolder of the two, for the commons were with them, and the abborrers had expressed by their term rather the strength of their inclinations, than of their numbers. Charles II said to a petitioner from Taunton, 'How days you deliver me such a paper T 'Sir,' replied the petitioner from Taunton, 'My name is DARE!' A saucy reply, for which he was tried, fined, and imprisoned: when lo! the commons petitioned again to release the petitioner? 'The very name,' says Hume, 'by which each party denominated its antagonists discover the virulence and rancour which prevailed; for besides petitioner and solverse, this year is remarkable for being the epoch of the well-mown epithets of Whig and Tory.' These silly terms of repreach are still preserved among us, as if the palladium

e Plunder, observes my friend, Mr Douce, is pure Dutch or Flemish—Plunderen, from Plunder, which means property of any kind. of British liberty was guarded by these exotic names; for they are not English which the parties so invidiously bestow on each other. They are ludicrous enough in their origin; the friends of the court and the advocates of lineal succession, were by the republican party branded with the title of Tories, which was the name of certain Irish robbers: while the court party in return could find no other revenge than by appropriating to the covenanters and the republicans of that class, the name of the Scotch beverage of sour milk, whose virtue they considered so expressive of their dispositions, and which is called saking. By ridiculeus in their origin were these pernicious nicknames, which long excited feuds and quarrels in domestic hames, which long excited redox and quarrens in consessu-tife, and may still be said to divide into two great parties this land of political freedom. But nothing becomes ob-solete in political factions, and the meaner and more scandalous the name affixed by one party to another, the more it becomes not only their rallying cry or their pass word, but even constitutes their glory. Thus the Holword, but even constitutes their glory. Thus the Hollanders long prided themselves on the humiliating nickname of 'les gueux :' the Protestants of France on the scornful one of the Huguenets; the non-conformists in England on the mockery of the puriten; and all parties have perpetuated their anger by their inglerious names. Swift was well aware of this truth in political history: each party, says that sagacious observer, grows proud of that appellation which their adversaries at first intended as a reproach; of this sort were the Guelphs and the Ghibellines, Huguenots and Cavaliers.

Nor has it been only by nick-naming each other by de-risory or opprobrious terms that parties have been marked, but they have also wern a livery, and practised distinctive manners. What sufferings did not Italy endure for a long series of years, under those fatal party-names of the Guelphs and the Ghibellines; alternately the victors and the vanquished, the beautiful land of Italy drank the blood of her children. Italy, like Greece, opens a moving picture of the hatreds and jealousies of small republics: her Bienes and her Ners, her Guelphs and her Ghicellines! In Bologna, two great families once shook that city with their divisions; the Pepot adopted the French interests; the Maluezzi the Spanish. It was incurring some danger to walk the streets of Bologna, for the *Pepoli* wore their feathers on the right side of their caps, and the *Maluezzi* on the left. Such was the party-hatred of the two great Italian factions, that they carried their rancour even into Italian factions, that they carried their rancour even into their domestic habits; at table the Guelphs placed their knives and spoons longwise, and the Ghibellines across; the one cut their bread across, the other longwise. Even in cutting an orange they could not agree; for the Guelph cut his orange horizontally, and the Ghibelline downwards. Children were taughthese artifices of faction—their hatrods became traditional, and thus the Italians perpetuated the full benefits of their next waiting from cancerting. ated the full benefits of their party-spirit, from generation to generation.\*

Men in private life go down to their graves with some unlucky name, not received in baptism, but more descriptwo and picture-que; and even ministers of state have winced at a political christening. Malagrida the Jesuit and Jemmy Twitcher were nick-names, which made one of our ministers odious, and another contemptible. Earl of Godolphin caught such fire at that of Volpone, that it drove him into the opposite party for the vindictive purpose of obtaining the impolitical prosecution of Sacheverell, who in his famous sermon had first applied it to the earl, and unluckily it had stuck to him.

Faction,' says Lord Orford, o' is as capricious as fortune; wrongs, oppression, the zeal of real patriots, or the genius of false ones, may sometimes be employed for years in kindling substantial opposition to authority; in other seasons the impulse of a moment, a ballad, a nick-name, a fashion, can throw a city into a tumult, and shake the foundations of a state.'

Such is a slight history of the human passions in politics! We might despair in thus discovering that wisdom and patrotism so frequently originate in this turbid source of party; but we are consoled when we reflect that the most important political principles are immutable; and that they are those, which even the spirit of party must learn to

### THE DOMESTIC LIFE OF A PORT .-- SHENSTONE VINDICATED.

THE doguetism of Johnson, and the fastidiousness of These purious particulars I found in a Manuscript.

Gray, the critic who passed his days amidst 'the busy hum of men,' and the poet who mused in cloistered sels tude, have fatally injured a fine matural genrus in Shenstone. Mr Campbell, with a brother's feeling, has (since the present article was composed) sympathized with the endowments and the pursuits of this poet; but the facts I had collected seem to me to open a more important view. I am aware how lightly the poetical character of Sheastone is held by some great contemporaries—although this stone is held by some great contemporaries—atthough this very poet has left us at least one poem of unrivalled originality. Mr Campbell has regretted that Shenstone not only 'affected that areadianism,' which 'gives a certain air of masquerade in his pastoral character' adopted by our earlier poets, but also has 'rather moongroously blended together the rural swain with the disciple of Verta.' All this requires some explanation. It is not only as a poet, possessing the characteristics of poetry, but as a creator is another way, for which I claim the attention of the reader. I have formed a picture of the domestic life of a poet, and the pursuits of a votary of taste, both equally contracted in their endeavours, from the habits, the emotions, and the events which occurred to Shenstone.

Four material circumstances influenced his character, and were productive of all his unhappiness. The neglect he incurred in those poetical studies to which he had de-voted his hopes; his secret sorrows in not having formed a domestic union, from prudential motives, with one whom he loved; the ruinous state of his domestic affairs, arising from a seducing passion for creating a new taste in land-scape-gardening and an ornamented farm; and finally, he disappointment of that promised patronage, which might have induced him to have become a political writer; for which his inclinations, and, it is said, his talents in early life, were alike adapted: with these points in view, we may trace the different states of his mind, show what he did, and what he was earnestly intent to have done.
Why have the 'Elegies' of SHERSTONE, which forty

years ago formed for many of us the favourite poems of our youth, ceased to delight us in mature life? It is perhaps that these Elegies, planned with peculiar felicity, have little in their execution. They form a series of poetical truths, but without poetical expression; truths,-for notwithstanding the pastoral romance in which the poet has enveloped himself, the subjects are real, and the leaf-

ings could not, therefore, be fictitious.

In a Preface, remarkable for its graceful simplicity, our poet tells us, that 'He entered on his subjects occasionally, particular incidents in life suggested, or dispositions of mind recommended them to his choice. He shows that 'He drew his pictures from the spot, and he felt very sessibly the affections he communicates.' He avers that all those attendants on rural scenery, and all those allusions to rural life, were not the counterfeited scenes of a town poet, any more than the sentiments, which were inspired by Nature. Shenstone's friend, Graves, who knew him carly in life, and to his last days, informs us, that these Elegies were written when he had taken the Leasowes into his own hands; and though his ferme ornée engaged his thoughts, he occasionally wrote them, 'partly,' said Shentone, 'to divert my present impatience, and partly, as it will be a picture of most that passes in my own mind; a portrait which friends may value.' This, then, is the ecret charm which acts so forcibly on the first emotions of our youth, at a moment when not too difficult to be pleased, the reflected delineations of the habits and the affections, the hopes and the delights, with all the domestic associations of this poet, always true to Nature, reflect back that picture of ourselves we instantly recognize. h is only as we advance in life that we lose the relish of our early simplicity, and that we discover that Shemstone was not endowed with high imagination.

These Elegies, with some other poems, may be read with a new interest, when we discover them to form the true Memoirs of Shenstone. Records of querulous, but delightful feelings; whose subjects spontaneously offered themselves from passing incidents; they still perpetuate emotions, which will interest the young poet, and the young lover of taste.

Elegy IV, the first which Shenstone composed, is entitled Ophelia's Urn, and it was no unreal one! It was erected by Graves in Mickleton Church, to the memory of an extraordinary young woman, Utrecia Baith the literary daughter of a learned, but poor, cleryman. Utrecia had formed so fine a taste for literature, and composed with such elegance in verse and prose, that as de

cellent judge declared, that 'he did not like to form his opinion of any author till he previously knew hers.' Graves had been long attached to her, but from motives of prudence broke off an intercourse with this interesting woman, who sunk under this severe disappointment.-When her prudent lover, Graves, inscribed the urn, her friend Shenstone, perhaps more feelingly commemorated her virtues and her tastes. Such, indeed, was the friendly mercourse between Shenstone and Utrecia, that in Elegy Services served Spessions and Otreca, that it helegy XVIII, written long after her death, she still lingered in his reminiscences. Composing this Elegy on the calamious close of Somerville s life, a brother bard, and victim to narrow circumstances, and which he probably contempated as an image of his own, Shenstone tenderly recolects that he used to read Somerville's poems to Utre-

Gb, lost Ophelia! smoothly flow'd the day To feel his music with my flames agree; To taste the beauties of his melting lay, To taste, and fancy it was dear to Thee!

How true is the feeling! how mean the poetical expres

The Seventh Elegy describes a vision, where the shadow of Wolsey breaks upon the author:

'A graceful form appear'd, White were his locks, with awful scarlet crown'd.' Even this fanciful subject was not chosen capriciously, but sprung from an incident. Once, on his way to Chel-tenham, Shenstone missed his road, and wandered till late at night among the Cotswold Hills; on this occasion he appears to have made a moral reflection, which we find in his 'Essays.' 'How melancholy is it to travel late upon any ambitious project on a winter's night, and observe the light of cottages, where all the unambitious people are warm and happy, or at rest in their beds.' While the be-nighted poet, lost among the lonely hills, was meditating or ambitious projects,' the character of Wolsey arose before him; the visionary cardinal crossed his path, and busied his imagination. 'Thou,' exclaims the poet,

'Like a meteor's fire, Short blazing forth, diedaining dull degrees.'

ELECT VII.

And the bard, after discovering all the miseries of unhappy grandeur, and murmuring at this delay to the house of its friend, exclaims,

'On if these ills the price of power advance, Check not my speed where social joys invite!' The silent departure of the poetical sceptre is fine:

'The troubled vision cast a mournful glance And sighing, vanished in the shades of night.

And to prove that the subject of this Elegy thus arose to the poet's fancy, he has himself commemorated the incident that gave occasion to it, in the opening:

'On distant heaths, hencath autumnal skies, Pensive I saw the circling shades descend; Wesry and faint, I heard the storm arise, While the sun vanish'd like a faithless friend.'

ELEGY VII. The Pifteenth Elegy, composed in memory of a priancient fami of the Penns in the male line.\* Shenstone's mother was a Penn; and the poet was now the instore's mother was a Penn; and the poet was now the in-babitant of their ancient mansion, an old timber-built bouse of the age of Elizabeth. The local description was a real scene—the shaded pool,'—'the group of ancient time.'—the flocking rooks,' and the picture of the simple manners of his own ancestors, were realities, the emo-tion that are interested were the state of the simple tres they excited were therefore genuine, and not one of those 'mockeries' of amplification from the crowd of verse-

The tenth Elegy, 'To Fortune, suggesting his Motive for repising at her Dispensations,' with his celebrated 'Pastoni Balled, in four parts,' were alike produced by what one of the great minetrels of our own times has so faely indicated when he sung

The secret woes the world has never known While on the weary night dawn'd wearier day, and bitterer was the grief devour'd alone.

In this Klegy, SHERSTONE repines at the dispensations of fortune, not for having denied him her higher gifts, nor that the compels him to

'Check the fond love of Art that fir'd my veins,' <sup>†</sup>This we learn from Dr Nash's History of Worcestershire.

nor that some 'dull dotard with boundless wealth,' finds his 'grating reed' preferred to the bard's, but that the 'tawdry shepherdess' of this dull dotard, by her 'pride,' makes 'the rural thane,' despise the poet's Delia.

Must Delia's softness, elegance, and ease, Submit to Marian's dress? to Marian's gold? Must Marian's robe from distant India ple The simple fleece my Delia's limbe infold! Ah! what is native worth esteemed of clowns? 'Tis thy false glare, O Fortune! thine they see;
'Tis for my Delia's sake I dread thy frowns, And my last gasp shall curses breathe on thee ?

The Delia of our poet was not an 'Iris en air.' SERE-STORE was early in life captivated by a young lady, whom Graves describes with all those mild and serene graces of pensive melancholy, touched by plaintive love-songs and elegies of wo, adapted not only to be the muse, but the mistress of a poet. The sensibility of this passion took entire possession of his heart for some years, and it was in parting from her that he first sketched his exquisite 'Pastoral Ballad.' As he retreated more and more into solitude, his passion felt no diminution. Dr Nash informs us, that Shenstone acknowledged that it was his own fault that be did not accept the hand of the lady whom he so tenderly loved; but his spirit could not endure to be a perpetual witness of her degradation in the rank of society, by an inconsiderate union with poetry and poverty. That ich was his motive, we may infer from a passage in one of his letters. 'Love' as it regularly tends to matrimony, requires certain favours from fortune and circumstances to render it proper to be indulged in.' There are perpetual allusions to these 'secret woes' in his correspondence; for, although he had the fortitude to refuse marriage, he had not the stoicism to contract his own heart, in cold and sullen celibacy. He thus alludes to this subject, which so often excited far other emotions than those of humour— 'It is long since I have considered myself as undone. The world will not, perhaps, consider me in that light entirely till I have married my maid!'

It is probable that our post had an intention of marrying his maid. I discovered a pleasing anecdote among the late Mr Bindley's collections, which I transcribed from the original. On the back of a picture of Shenatone himself, of which Dodsley published a print in 1780, the following energetic inscription was written by the poet on his new

year's gift.

'This picture belongs to Mary Cutler, given her by her master, William Shenstone, January lat, 1754, in acknowledgment of her native genius, her magnanimity, her tenderness, and her fidelity.

'The Progress of Taste; or the fate of Delicacy,' is a poem on the temper and studies of the author; and 'Economy; a Rhapsody, addressed to young Poets,' abounds with self-touches. If Shenstone created little from the imagination, he was at least perpetually under the influence of real emotions. This is the reason why his truths so strongly operate on the juvenile mind, not yet matured : and thus we have sufficiently ascertained the fact, as the poet himself has expressed it, ' that he drew his pictures from the spot, and he felt very sensibly the affections he communicates.'

All the anxieties of a poetical life were early experi-enced by Shenstone. He first published some juvenile productions, under a very odd title, indicative of modesty, perhaps too of pride.\* And his motto of Contentus possess lectoribus, even Horace himself might have smiled at, for it only conceals the desire of every poet, who pants to de-serve many! But when he tried at a more elaborate po-etical labour, 'The judgment of Hercules', it failed to attract notice. He hastened to town, and he beat about literary coffee-houses; and returned to the country, from the chase of Fame, wearied without baving started it.

\* While at college he printed, without his name, a small volume of verses, with this title, 'Poems upon various Occavolume of verreas, with this title, 'Poems upon various Occasions, written for the Entertainment of the Author, and pringed for the Amusement of a few Friends, prejudiced in his Favur.' Oxford, 1737. 12 mo.—Nash's History of Worcestershire, Vol. 1, p. 528.

I find this notice of it in W. Lowndes's Catalogue; 443 Shenstope (W.) Poems, 31, 12s, 6d.—(Shenstone took un common pains to suppress this book, by collecting and destroy-

ing copies wherever he met with them.)—In Longman's Bi-bliotheca Anglo-Poetica, it is valued at 15t. Oxf. 1787! Mr bliotheca Angio-roeuca, it is valued at 100. Oak a 100. Harris informs me, that about the year 1770, Fletcher, the bookseller, at Oxford, had many copies of this first edition, which he sold at Eigteen pence each. The prices are amusing. The prices of books are connected with their bistory. ' A breath revived him-but a breath o'enthrew.'

Even the 'judgment of Hercules' between Indolence and Industry, or Pleasure and Virtue, was a picture of his own feelings; an argument drawn from his own reasonings; indicating the uncertainty of the poet's dubious disposition: who finally, by siding with Indolence, lost that triumph by which his hero obtained a directly opposite course.

In the following year begins that melancholy strain in his correspondence, which marks the disappointment of the man who had staked too great a quantity of his happiness on the poetical die. This was the critical moment of life when our character is formed by habit, and our fate is decided by choice. Was Shenstone to become an active, or contemplative being? He yielded to Nature!\*

It was now that he entered into another species of poetry, working with too costly materials, in the magical composition of plants, water, and earth; with these he created those emotions, which his more strictly poetical once failed to excite. He planned a paradise amidst his

solitude.

When we consider that Shenstone, in developing his fine astoral ideas in the Leasowes, educated the nation into that taste for landscape-gardening, which has become the model of all Europe, this itself constitutes a claim on the gratitude of posterity. Thus the private pleasures of a man of genius may become at length those of a whole people. of gonius may become at length those of a whole people. The creator of this new taste appears to have received far less notice than he merited. The name of Shenstone does not appear in the Essay on Gardening, by Lord Orford: even the supercitious Gray only bestowed a ludication of the supercitious Gray only bestowed a ludication of the supercitions of the supercition of the supercitions of the supercition of the superciti crous image on those pastoral scenes, which, however, his friend Mason has celebrated; and the genius of Johnson, incapacitated by nature to touch on objects of rural son, incapacitated by matter to business of the landscape fancy, after describing some of the offices of the landscape designer, adds, that 'he will not inquire whether they de-mand any great powers of mind.' Johnson, however, conveys to us his own feelings, when he immediately ex-presses them under the character of 'a sullen and surly speculator.' The anxious life of Sheustone would indeed have been remunerated, could be have read the enchanting culogium of Wheatley on the Leasowes; which, said he, 'is a perfect picture of his mind—simple, elegant and amiable; and will always suggest a doubt whether the spot inspired his verse, or whether in the scenes which he formed, he only realized the pastoral images which abound in his songs.' Yes! Sheustone had been delighted could in his songs.' Yes! Shenstone had been delighted could he have heard that Montesquieu, on his return home, adorned his 'Chateau Gothique, mais ornés de bois char-mans, dont j'ai pris l'idée en Angleterre;' and Shenstone, even with his modest and timid nature, had been proud to have witnessed a noble foreigner, amidst memorials dedi-cated to Theocritus and Virgil, to Thomson and Gesner, raising in his grounds an inscription, in bad English, but in pure taste, to Shenstone himself; for having displayed in his writings 'a mind natural,' and in his Leasowes 'laid Arcadian greens rural; and recently Pindemonte has traced the taste of English gardening to Shenstone. A man of genius sometimes receives from foreigners, who are placed out of the prejudices of his compatriots, the tribute of posterity!
Amidst these rural elegancies which Shenstone was raise-

ing about him, his muse has pathetically sung his melan-

choly feelings

But did the Muses haunt his cell, Or in his dome did Venus dwell? When all the structures shone complete Ah me! 'twas Damon's own confession, Came Poverty and took possession. THE PROGRESS OF TASTE.

The poet observes that the wants of philosophy are contracted, satisfied with 'cheap contentment,' but

' Taste alone requires Entire profusion! days and nights, and hours
Thy voice, hydropic Fancy! calls aloud
For costly draughts——.'

ECOROMY.

An original image illustrates that fatal want of economy \* On this subject Graves makes a very useful observation. \*\* On this subject Graves makes a very useful observation.

'In this decision the happiness of Mr Ahenstone was materially
concerned. Whether he determined wisely or not, people of
tasts and people of workly prudence will probably be of very
different opinions. I somewhat suspect, that "people of worldily prudence" are not half the fools that "people of taste" insist they are.'

which conceals itself amidst the beautiful appearances taste :

Some symptom ill-conceal'd, shall soon or late Burst like a pimple from the vitious tide Of said black Of acid blood, proclaiming want's disease Antidst the bloom of show.

E conom.

Ho paints himself:

'Observe Florelio's mien;
Why treads my friend with melancholy step
That beauteous lawn? Why pensive strays his eye O'er statues, grotues, urns, by critic art Proportion'd fair ' or from his lofty dome Returns his eye unpleased disconsolate ?'

The cause is 'criminal expense,' and he exclaims,

' Sweet interchange Of river, valley, mountain, words, and plains, How gladsome ouce he ranged your nauve turf; Your simple scenes bow raptur'd! ere expense Had lavish'd thousand ornaments, and taught Convenience to perplex him, Art to pall, Pomp to deject, and Beauty to displease.

While Shenstone was rearing hazels and hawthorse, opening vistas, and winding waters;

' And having shown them where to stray, Threw little pebbles in their way;

while he was pulling down hovels and cow-houses, to compose mottoes and inscriptions for garden-seats and uns; while he had so finely obscured with a tender gloom the grove of Virgil, and thrown over, 'in the midst of a planlation of yew, a bridge of one arch, built of a dusty-co-loured stone, and simple even to rudeness, \*\* and invoked Oberon in some Arcadian scene;

'Where in cool grot and mossy cell The tripping fawns and fairies dwell;'

the solitary magician, who had raised all these wonders, was, in reality, an unfortunate poet, the tenant of a diapidated farm-house, where the winds passed through, and the rains lodged, often taking refuge in his own kitchen-

Far from all resort of mirth, Save the cricket on the hearth !

In a letter† of the disconsolate founder of landscapegardening, our author paints his situation with all its misery—lamenting that his house is not fit to receive 'point friends, were they so disposed;' and resolved to banish all others, he proceeds:

But I make it a certain rule, "arcere profanum rul-gus." Persons who will despise you for the want of a good set of chairs, or an uncouth fire-shovel, at the same time that they can't taste any excellence in a mind that overlooks those things; with whom it is in vain that your mind is furnished, if the walls are naked; indeed one loses much of one's acquisitions in virtue by an hours converse with such as judge of merit by money—yet I am now and then impelled by the social passion to sit half an hour in my kitchen.

But the solicitude of friends and the fate of Somerville, a neighbour and a poet, often compelled Shenstone to start amidst his reveries; and thus he has preserved his feelings and his irresolutions. Reflecting on the death of

Somerville, he writes,
To be forced to drink himself into pains of the body, in order to get rid of the pains of the mind, is a mise which I can well conceive, because I may, without vanity, esteem myself his equal in point of economy, and conyou kindly hinted to me about twelve o'clock, at the Fea-thera.)—I should retrench—I will—but you shall not see me—I will not let you know that I took it in good part—I will do it at solitary times as I may.

Such were the calamities of great taste with hitle forume; but in the case of Shenstone, these were com-

bined with the other calamity of 'mediocrity of gesins.'
Here, then, at the Leasowes, with occasional trips to
town in pursuit of fame, which perpetually sluded his
grasp; in the correspondence of a few delicate minds. whose admiration was substituted for more genuine cokbrity; composing distribes against economy and taste, while his income was dimmishing every year; our net lected author grow daily more indolent and sedestary, and

• Wheatley on Modern Gardening, p. 172. Edition 5th. + In Hull's Collect on, Vol. II, Letter II.

Digitized by

withdrawing himself entirely into his own hermitage, meaned and despaired in an Arcadian solitude.\* The cries and the 'secret sorrows' of Shenstone have come down to us—those of his brothers have not always! And shall add men, because they have minds cold and obscure, like a Lapland year which has no summer, be permitted to much over this class of men of sensibility and taste, but of moderate genius and without fortune? The passions and moderate genius and without fortune? The passions and emotions of the heart are facts and dates, only to those who possess them.

To what a melancholy state was our author reduced,

when he thus addressed his friend :

'I suppose you have been informed that my fever was m a great measure hypochondriacal, and left my nerves so extremely sensible, that even on no very interesting subject, I could readily think superf into a vertigo; I had almost said an epilepsy: for surely I was oftentimes meer it.

The features of this sad portrait are more particularly

nade out in another place.

Now I am come home from a visit, very little uneasisess is sufficient to introduce my whole train of melancholy considerations, and to make me utterly dissatisfied with the life I now lead, and the life which I foresee I shall lead. I am anary and envious, and dejected and frantic, and disregard all present things, just as becomes a madman to de. I am infinitely pleased (though it is a gloomy joy) with the application of Dr Swift's complaint 'that he is forced to die in a rage, like a poisoned rat in a hole.' My soul is no more fitted to the figure I make, than a cable rope to a cambric needle; I cannot bear to see the advantages alienated, which I think I could deserve and relish so much more than those that have them.

There are other testimonies in his entire correspon-dence. Whenever forsaken by his company he describes the horrors around him, delivered up 'to winter, silence, and reflection;' ever forseeing himself returning to the same series of melancholy hours.' His frame shattered by the whole train of hypochondriacal symptoms, there was nothing to cheer the querulous author, who with half the consciousness of genius, lived neglected and un-patronised,—His elegant mind had not the force, by his reductions, to draw the celebrity he sighed after, to his

Shenstone was so anxious for his literary character, that be contemplated on the posthumous fame which he might derive from the publication of his Letters: see Letter LXXIX, one, hearing his letters to Mr Whistler were destroyed. The act of a merchant, his brother, who being a very sensible man, as Graves describes, yet with the supulty of a Goth, destroyed the whole correspondence of Shenstone, for 'its sentimental intercourse.'—Shenstone butterly regrets the loss, and says, 'I would have given more money for the letters than it is allowable for me to results with decency. I look upon my letters as some of my ckef d'esserse—they are the history of my mind for hers twenty years past.\(^1\)

This, with the loss of Cowhers twenty years past.\(^1\)

This, with the loss of Cowhers twenty years and dilapidators of manuscripts.\(^1\)

Towards the close of life, when his spirits were ex-hausted, and the silly clue of hopes and expectations, as he termed them, was undene, the notice of some persons of rank began to reach him. Shenstone, however, deeply colours the variable state of his own mind— Recovering from a nervous fever, as I have since discovered by many concurrent symptoms, I seem to anticipate a little of that "versal delight" which Milton mentions and thinks

> -able to chase All sadness, but despair"-

at least I begin to resume my silly clue of hopes and ex-

In a former letter he had, however, given them up; 'I ha former letter ne nad, nowever, given them up, a begin to wean myself from all hopes and expectations whatever. I feed my wild-ducks, and I water my carnations. Happy enough if I could extinguish my ambition quite, to indulge the desire of being something more bene-

Oraves was supposed to have glanced at his friend Shen-then in his novel of 'Columella; or the Distressed Anchoret. The aim of this work is to convey all the moral instruction It could wish to offer here to youthful genius. It is written to show the consequence of a person of education and talents within to mittude and indolence in the vigour of youth. Nichrosering to solitude and indolence in the vigour of youth. Nich-sit's Literary anecdotes, vol. iii, p. 134. Nash's History of Weresstankire, vol. i, p. 537.

ficial in my sphere.-Perhaps some few other circumstances would want also to be adjusted.'

, What were these 'hopes and expectations,' from which revived, and are attributed to an ambition he cannot ex-tinguish? This article has been written in vain, if the tinguish F This article has been written in vain, it the reader has not already perceived, that they had haunted him in early life; sickening his spirit after the possession of a poetical celebrity, mattainable by his genius; some expectations too he might have cherished from the talent he possessed for political studies, in which Graves confidently says, that 'he would have made no inconsiderable figure, if he had had a sufficient motive for applying his mind to them.' Shenstone has left several proofs of this collect. But his measurements for livery we had talent.\* But his master-passion for literary fame had produced little more than anxieties and disappointments; and when he indulged his pastoral fancy in a beautiful creation on his grounds, it consumed the estate which it adorned. Johnson forcibly expressed his situation: 'His death was probably hastened by his anxieties. He was a lamp that spent its oil in blazing. It is said, that if he had lived a little longer, he would have been assisted by a pension.

#### SECRET HISTORY OF THE BUILDING OF BLENHEIM.

The secret history of this national edifice derives importance from its nature, and the remarkable characters involved in the unparalleled transaction. The great architect when obstructed in the progress of his work, by the irregular payments of the workmen appears to have practised one of his own comic plots to put the debts on the hero himself; while the duke who had it much at heart to inhabit the palace of his fame, but tutored into wariness under the vigilant and fierce eye of Atossa would neither approve nor vigilant and herce eye is Alossa would neither approve nor disapprove, silently looked on in hope and in grief, from year to year, as the work proceeded, or as it was left at a stand. At length we find this comedic larmoyante wound up by the duchess herself, in an attempt utterly to ruin the enraged and insulted architect !†

Perhaps this was the first time that it had ever been resolved in parliament to raise a public monument of glory and gratitude—to an individual! The novelty of the attempt may serve as the only excuse for the loose arrange-ments which followed after parliament had approved of the design, without voting any specific supply for the purpose ! The queen always issued the orders at her own expense, and commanded expedition; and while Anne lived, the expenses of the building were included in her majesty's debts, as belonging to the civil list sanctioned by parlia-

When George the First came to the throne, the parliament declared the debt to be the debt of the queen, and the hing granted a privy seal as for other debts. The crown and the parliament had hitherto proceeded in perfect union respecting this national edifice. However, I find that the workmen were greatly in arrears; for when George the First ascended the throne, they gladly accepted a third part of their several debts!

The great architect found himself amidst inextricable difficulties. With the fertile invention which amuses in his comedies, he contrived an extraordinary scheme, by which he proposed to make the duke himself responsi-ble for the building of Blenheim!

However much the duke longed to see the magnificent edifice concluded, he showed the same calm intrepidity in the building of Blenheim as he had in its field of action. Aware that if he himself gave any order, or suggested any alteration, he might be involved in the expense of the building, he was never to be circumvented,—never to be surprised into a spontaneous emotion of pleasure or disapprobation; on no occasion, he declares, had he even entered into conversation with the architect (though his entered into conversation with the architect through the friend) or with any one acting under his orders,—about Blenheim House! Such impenetrable prudence on all sides had often blunted the subdolous ingenuity of the architect and plotter of comedies!

In the absence of the duke, when abroad in 1705, Sir John contrived to obtain from Lord Godolphin the friend

\* See his Letters XL, and XLI, and more particularlyXLII, and XLIII, with a new theory of political principles. † I draw the materials of this secret history from an unpub-lished \* Case of the Duke of Mariborough and Sir John Year.

brugh, as also from some confidential corespondence of Van brugh with Jacob Tonson, his Giend and publisher.

Digitized by GOOGIC

and relative of the duke of Marlborough, and probably his agent in some of his concerns, a warrant, constituting Vanbrugh surveyor, with senser of contracting on the behalf of the Duke of Mariborough. How he prevailed on Lord Godolphin to get this appointment does not appear—his lordship probably conceived it was useful, and might assist in armitting the great mean the forestimate of the contraction of the con sist in expediting the great work, the favourite object of the hero. This warrant, however, Vanbrugh kept en-tirely to himself; he never mentioned to the duke that he was in the possession of any such power; nor on his re-

turn, did he claim to have it renewed.

The building proceeded with the same delays, and the ayments with the same irregularity; the veteran now presaw what happened, that he should never be the inforesaw what happened, that he should never be the inhabitant of his own house! The public money issued
from the Treasury was never to be depended on; and after 1712, the duke took the building upon himself, for the
purpose of accommodating the workmen. They had
hitherto received what was called 'croum pay,' which
was high wages and uncertain payment—and they now
gladly abated a third of their prices. But though the duke
had undertaken to pay the workmen, this could make no
alteration in the claims on the Treasury. Blenheim was alteration in the claims on the Treasury. Blenheim was to be built for Mariborough, not by him; it was a monument raised by the nation to their hero, not a palace to be built by their mutual contributions.

Whether Marlborough found that his own million might be slowly injured while the Treasury remained still obdu-rate, or that the architect was still more and more involved, I cannot tell; but in 1715, the workmen appear to have struck, and the old delays and stand-still again renewed. It was then Sir John, for the first time, produced the warrant he had attracted from Lord Godolphin, to lay before the Treasury; adding, however, a memorandum, to prevent any misconception, that the duke was to be considered as the paymaster, the debts incurred devolving on the crown. This part of our secret history requires more development than I am enabled to afford: as my information is drawn from 'the Case' of the duke of Mariborough in reply to Sir John's depositions, it is possible. Ye have been a second or the case of the second or the case of the control of the case of the cas sible Vanbrugh may suffer more than he ought in this narration; which, however, incidentally notices his own statements.

A new scene opens! Vanbrugh not obtaining his claims from the Treasury, and the workmen becoming more clamorous, the architect suddenly turns round on the

more clamorous, the architect suddenly turns round on the duke, at once to charge him with the whole debt.

The pitiable history of this magnificent monument of public gratitude, from its beginnings, is given by Yanbrugh in his deposition. The great architect represents himself as being comptroller of her majesty's works; and as such was appointed to prepare a model, which model of Blenheim House her majesty kept in her palace, and again her commands to issue money according to the discarding gave her commands to issue money according to the di-rection of Mr Travers, the queen's surveyor-general; that the lord treasurer appointed her majesty's own officers to supervise these works; that it was upon defect of money from the Treasury that the workmen grew uneasy; that the work was stopped, till further orders of money from the Treasury; that the queen then ordered enough to secure it from winter weather; that afterwards she ordered more for payment of the workmen; that they were paid in part; and upon Sir John's telling them the queen's resolution to grant them a further supply, (after a step put to it by the dutchess's order) they went on and incurred the resent debt; that this was afterwards brought into the present debt; that this was alter that the crown, not owing house of commons as the debt of the crown, not owing from the queen to the Duke of Maribourgh, but to the workmen, and this by the queen's officers

During the uncertain progress of the building, and while the workmen were often in deep arrears, it would seem that the architect often designed to involve the Marlboroughs in its fate and his own; he probably thought that e of their round million might bear to be chipped, to some or treat round uniform might be a compared to the finish his great work, with which, too, their glory was so intimately connected. The famous dutchess had evidently put the duke on the defensive; but once, perhaps, was the duke on the point of indulging some generous ar-chitectural fancy, when lo! Atossa stepped forwards and oput a stop to the building.'

When Vanbrugh at length produced the warrant of

Lord Godolphin, empowering him to contract for the duke, this instrument was utterly disclaimed by Marlborough; the duke declares it existed without his knowledge; and

that if such an instrument for a moment was to be held valid, no man would be safe, but might be ruined by the act of another!

Vanbrugh seems to have involved the intricacy of his plot, till it fell into some contradictions. The queen he had plot, till it tell into some contradictions. The queen he had not found difficult to manage; but after her death, when the Treasury failed in its golden source, he seems to have sat down to contrive how to make the duke the great debtor. Vanbrugh swears that 'He himself looked upon the crown, as engaged to the Duke of Marlhorough for the expense; but that he believes the workmen always looked upon the duke as their paymaster.' He advances so far, as to ween that he mede a contract with narieslass. awear that he made a contract with particular working which contract was not unknown to the duke. This was not denied; but the duke in his raply observes, that 'he knew not that the workmen were employed for his account, or by his own agent:'—never having heard till Sir John pro-duced the warrant from Lord Godolphin, that Sir John was ' his surveyor!' which he disclaims.

Our architect, however opposite his depositions appear, contrived to became a witness to such facts as tended to conclude the duke to be the debtor for the building; and in his depositions has taken as much care to have the guilt of perjury without the punishment of it, as any man could do. He so managed, though he has not swors to contradictions, that the natural tendency of one part of his contradictions, that the natural tendency of one part of me evidence presses one way, and the natural tendency of another part presses the direct contrary way. In his former memorial, the main design was to disengage the dake from the debt; in his depositions, the main design was to charge the duke with the debt. Vanbrugh, it must be confessed, exerted not less of his dramatic than his archi-

contessed, exerted not least of his Gramman are accurate genius in the building of Blenheim!

'The Case' concludes with an eloquest reflection, where Vanbrugh is distinguished as the man of gensus, though not, in this predicament, the man of honour. It at last the charge run into by order of the crown must be upon the duke, yet the infamy of it must go upon another, who was perhaps the only Architect in the world capable of building such a house; and the only friend in the world capable of contriving to lay the debt upon one to whom he was so highly obliged.'

There is a curious fact in the depositions of Vanbrugh, by which we might infer that the idea of Blenheim House might have originated with the duke himself; he swears that in 1704, the duke met him, and told him he designed to build a house, and must consult him about a model, 🐠; but it was the queen who ordered the present house to be

built with all expedition,'
The whole conduct of this national edifice was unworthy of the nation, if in truth the nation ever entered heartily into it. No specific sum had been voted in parliament for so great an undertaking; which afterwards was the occation of involving all the parties concerned in trouble and litigation, threatened the ruin of the architect; and I think we shall see, by Vanbrugh's letters, was finished at the sole charge, and even under the superintendence, of the duchess herself! It may be a question, whether this magnificent monument of glory did not rather originate in the spirit of party, in the urgent desire of the queen to allay the pride and jealousies of the Marlboroughs. From the cir cumstance to which Vanbrugh has sworn, that the duke had designed to have a house built by Vanbrugh, before Blenheim had been resolved on, we may suppose that this intention of the duke's afforded the queen a suggestion of a national edifice.

Archdeacon Coxe, in his life of Marlborough, has obscurely alluded to the circumstances attending the building of Blenheim. 'The illness of the duke, and the tedious litigation which ensued, caused such delays, that little pross was made in the work at the time of his decease. In the interim, a serious misunderstanding arose between the duchess and the architect, which forms the subject of a voluminous correspondence. Vanbrugh was in consequence removed, and the direction of the building confided to other hands, under her own immediate superintend-

This 'voluminous correspondence' would probably afford 'words that burn' of the lofty insolence of Atossa, and 'thoughts that breathe' of the comic wit; it might too relate, in many curious points, to the stupendous fabric the self. If her grace condescended to criticise its parts with the frank roughness she is known to have done to the architect himself, his own defence and explanations might

Digitized by GOOGLE

serve to let we into the bewildering fancies of his magical architecture. Of that self-creation for which he was so such abused in his own day as to have lost his real avocation as an architect, and stand condemned for posterity in the volatile bitterness of Lord Orford, nothing is left for us but our own convictions—to behold, and to be for ever astanished! But 'this voluminous correspondence?' Alas! the historian of war and politics overlooks with contempt the little secret histories of art, and of human nature!—and 'a voluminous correspondence' which indicates so much, and on which not a solitary idea is bestowed, has only served to petrify our curiosity!

ony served to petrify our cursosity?

Of this quarrel between the famous duchees and Vanlragh I have only recovered several vivacious extracts
from considential letters of Vanbrugh's to Jacob Tonson.
There was an equality of the genius of invention, as well
as rancour, in her grace and the wit: whether Atoma,
lice Vanbrugh, could have had the patience to have composed a comedy of five acts I will not determine; but unquestionably she could have dictated many scenes with
equal spirit. We have seen Vanbrugh attempting to turn
the debts incurred by the building of Blenheim on the
she; we now learn, for the first time, that the duchess,
with equal aptitude, contrived a counter-plot to turn the
debts on Vanbrugh!

"I have the misfortune of losing, for I now see little hopes of ever getting it, nearly 2000f. due to me for many years' service, plague, and trouble, at Blenheim, which that wicked woman of 'Mariborough' is so far from paying se, that the duke being sued by some of the workmen for work done there, she has tried to turn the debt due to been soon me, for which I think she cought to be hanged."

them upon me, for which I think she ought to be hanged.'
Is 1722, on occasion of the duke's death, Vanbrugh gives
as account to Tenson of the great wealth of the Marlhoroughs, with a caustic touch at his illustrious victims.

The Duke of Marlborough's treasure exceeds the most curavagast guess. The grand settlement, which it was suspected her grace had broken to pieces, stands good, and hands an immense wealth to Lord Godolphin and his secessors. A round million has been snoving about in loans on the land-tax, \$\frac{1}{2}\text{cr.}\$ This the Treasury knew before he died, and this was exclusive of his 'land,' his 5000. a year upon the post-office; his mortgages upon a distressed estate; his South Sea stock; his annuities, and which were not subscribed in, and besides what is in foreign banks; and yet this man could neither pay his worknen their bills, nor his architect his salary.

which were not subscribed in, and besides what is in foreign banks; and yet this man could seither pay his workmen their bills, more his architect his salary.

'He has given his widow (may a Scottish ensign get her!) 10,000L a year to spoil Blesheim her soon wony; 12,000L a year to keep herself clean and go to law; 2,000L a year to Lord Riakton for present maintenance; and Lord Goolophin only 5,000L a year jointure, if he outlives my lady; this last is a wretched article. The rest of the heap, for these are but snippings, goes to Lord Goolophin, and so on. She will have 40 000L a year in present.

my lady; this last is a wretched article. The rest or the heap, for these are but snippings, goes to Load Godolphin, and so on. She will have 40,000L a year in present.

Alossa, as the quarrel heated and the plot thickened, with the maliciousness of Puck, and the haughtiness of an Empress of Blenheim, invented the most cruel insult that ever architect endured!—one perfectly characteristic of that extraordinary woman. Vanbrugh went to Blenheim with his lady, in a company from Castle Howard, another magnificent monument of his singular genius.

We staid two nights in Woodstock; but there was an order to the sevants, under her grace's seen hand, not to let us eater Rienheim! and lest that should not mortify me enough, she having somehow learned that my soife was of the company, sent an express the night before we came there, with orders that if she came with the Castle Howard lades, the servants should not suffer her to see either house, gridens, or even to enter the park: so she was forced to six all day long and keep me company at the inn?

as all day long and keep me company at the inn! This was a coup de theatre in this joint comedy of Atosas and Vanbrugh! The architect of Blenheim, lifting his eyes towards his own massive grandeur, exiled to a dull ma, and imprisoned with one who required rather to be

ma, and imprisoned with one who required rather to be consoled, than capable of consoling the enraged architect! In 1726, Atossa still pursuing her hunted prey, had driven it to a spot which she flattered herself would enclose it with the security of a preservatory. This produced the following explosion!

'I have been forced into chancery by that B. B. B. the Duchess of Madhanach, where she has got an injunction

I have been forced into chancery by that B. B. B. the Duchess of Mariborough, where she has got an injunction upon me by her friend the late good chancellor (Earl of Macclesfield,) who declared that I was never employed

by the duke, and therefore had no demand upon his estate for my services at Blenheim. Since my hands were thus tied up from trying by law to recover my arrear, I have prevailed with Sir Robert Walpole to help me in a scheme which I proposed to him, by which I got my money in spite of the husey's teeth. My carrying this point enrages her much, and the more because it is of considerable weight in my small fortune, which she has heartily endeavoured so to destroy as to throw me into an English bastile, there to finish my days, as I becan them, in a Franch one.

so to destroy as to throw me into an English obstite, there to finish my days, as I begon them, in a French one.'

Plot for plot! and the superior claims of one of practised invention are vindicated! The writer, long accused tomed to comedy-writing, has excelled the self-taught genius of Atossa. The 'scheme' by which Vanbrugh's fertile invention, aided by Sir Robert Walpole, finally circumvented the avaricious, the haughty, and the capricious Atossa, remains untold, unless it is alluded to by the passage in Lord Orford's 'Anecdotes of Paisting,' where he informs us that the 'duchess quarrelled with Sir John and went to law with him; but though he present to be in the right, or rather because he proved to be in the right, she employed Sir Christopher Wren to build the house in St. James's Park.'

I have to add a curious discovery respecting Vanbrugh himself, which explains a circumstance in his life not hitherto understood.

In all the biographies of Vanbrugh, from the time of Cibber's Lives of the Poets, the early part of the life of this man of genius remains unknown. It is said be descended from an ancient family in Cheskirs, which came originally from Fronce, though by the name, which properly written would be Ven Brugh, he would appear to be of Dutch extraction. A tale is universally repeated that Sir John once visiting France in the prosecution of his archiectural studies, while taking a survey of some fortifications, excited alarm, and was carried to the Bastile; where, to deepen the interests of the story, he sketched a variety of comedies, which he must have communicated to the governor, who, whispering it doubtless as an affair of state to several of the noblesse, these admirers of sketches of comedies "—English ones no doubt—procured the release of this English Moliere. This tale is farther confirmed by a very odd circumstance. Sir John built at Greenwich, on the spot still called 'Vanbrugh's Fields,' two whimsical houses; one on the side of Greenwich Park is still called 'the Bastile-House,' built on its model, to commemorate this imprisonment.

Not a word of this detailed story is probably true! that the Basile was an object which sometimes occupied the imagination of our architect, is probable; for, by the letter we have just quoted, we discover from himself the singular incident of Vanbrugh's having been born in the Basile.

Desirous probably of concealing his alien origin, this circumstance cast his early days into obscurity. He felt that he was a Briton in all respects but that of his singular birth. The ancestors of Vanbrugh, who was of Cheshira, said to be of French extraction, though with a Dutch name, married Sir Dudley Carleton's daughter. We are told be had 'political connexions;' and one of his 'political' tours had probably occasioned his confinement in that state-dungeon, where his lady was delivered of her burden of love. The odd fancy of building a 'Bastile-House' at Greenwich, a fortified prison! suggested to his first life-writer the fine romance; which must now be thrown aside among those literary fictions the French distinguish by the softening and yet impudent term of 'Anecdotes has-ordies?' with which formerly Varillas and his imitators furnished their pages; lies which looked like facts!

## SECRET HISTORY OF SIR WALTER RAWLEIGH.

Rawleigh exercised in perfection incompatible talents, and his character connects the opposite extremes of our nature! His 'book of life,' with its incidents of prosperity and adversity, of glory and humiliation, was as chequered as the novelist would desire for a tale of fiction. Yet in this mighty genius there lies an unsuspected disposition, which requires to be demonstrated, before it is possible to conceive its reality. From his earliest days the betrayed the genius of an adventurer, which prevailed in his character to the latest; and it often involved him

\* Rawleigh, as was practised to a much later period, wrote his name vorious ways. In the former series of this work " have discovered at least how it was pronounced in his time —thus, Rawly. See in Flist Series, art. 'Orthography of Proper Names.'

Digitized by Google

in the practice of mean artifices and petty deceptions; me to practice of mean artifices and petry occupions which appear like folly in the wisdom of a sage; like inseptitude in the profound views of a politician; like cowardice in the magnanimity of a hero; and degrade by their littleness the grandeur of a character which wisst closed by a splendid death, worthy the life of the wisest and the greatest of mankind!

The sunshine of his days was in the reign of Eliza-beth. From a boy, always dreaming of romantic con-quests, for he was born in an age of heroism; and formed by nature for the chivalric gallantry of the court of a maiden queen, from the moment he with such infinite art cast his rich mantle over the miry spot, his life was a progress of glory. All about Rawleigh was splendid as the dress he wore: his female sovereign, whose eyes loved to dwell on men who might have been fit subjects for 'the Facric Queen' of Spenser, penurious of reward, only recompensed her favourites by suffering them to make their own fortunes on sea and land; and Elizabeth listened to the glowing projects of her hero, indulging that spirit which could have conquered the world, to have laid the toy at the feet of the sovereign!

This man, this extraordinary being, who was prodigal of his life and fortune on the Spanish main, in the idleness of peace could equally direct his invention to supply the domestic wants of every-day life, in his project of 'an office for address.' Nothing was too high for his ambition, office for address. Excuring was too might as a military nor too humble for his genius. Pre-eminent as a military and a naval commander, as a statesman and a student, Rawleigh was as intent on forming the character of Prince Henry, as that prince was studious of moulding his own aspiring qualities by the genius of the friend whom he contemplated. Yet the active life of Rawleigh is not more re-markable than his contemplative one. He may well rank among the founders of our literature: for composing on a subject exciting little interest, his fine genius has sealed his unfanished volume with immortality. For magnificence of eloquence, and massiveness of thought, we must still dwell on his pages.\* Such was the man, who was the adored patron of Spenser; whom Ben Jonson, proud of calling other favourites 'his sons,' honoured by the title of his 'father;' and who left political instructions which Milton deigned to edit.

But how has it happened, that of so elevated a char-acter, Gibbon has pronounced that it was 'ambiguous,' while it is described by Hume as 'a great but ill-regu-

lated mind?

There was a peculiarity in the character of this emineat man: he practised the cunning of an adventurer; a cunning, most humiliating in the narrative! The great difficulty to overcome in this discovery is, how to account for a sage and a hero acting fully and cowardice, and at-tempting to obtain by circuitous deception, what it may be supposed so magnanimous a spirit would not only deign to possess himself of by direct and open methods.

Since the present article was written, a letter, hitherto unpublished, appears in the recent edition of Shakespeare, which curiously and minutely records one of those artifices of the kind which I am about to narrate at length. When under Elizabeth, Rawleigh was once in confinement, and it appears, that seeing the queen passing by, he was suddenly seized with a strange resolution of combating with the governor and his people; declaring that the mere sight of the 'ueen had made him desperate, as a confined lover wou.a feel at the sight of his mistress.

The letter gives a minute narrative of Sir Walter's astonishing conduct, and carefully repeats the warm romantic style in which he talked of his royal mistress. and his formal resolution to die rather than exist out of her presence. This extravagant scene, with all its co-louring, has been most elaborately penned by the ingenius letter-writer with a hint to the person whom he addresses, to suffer it to meet the eye of their royal mistresses, who could not fail of admiring our new 'Orlando Furioso,' and soon after refeased this tender prisoner! evident that the whole scene was got up and concerted for the occasion, and was the invention of Rawleigh himself; the occasion, and was the inventors of Abavicing initiating the remainte incident he well knew was perfectly adapted to the queen's taste. Another similar incident, in which I have been anticipated in the disclosure of the fact, though not of its nature, was what Sir Toby Matthews obscurely

\* I shall give in the article 'Literary Unions,' a curious account how 'Rawleigh's History of the World' was composed, which has hitherto escaped discovery

alludes to his letters of 'the guilty blow he gave himself in the Tower;' a passage which had long excited my attention, till I discovered the curious incident in some maremuon, un a discovered the currous incident in some manuscript letters of Lord Cecil. Rawleigh was then considered in the Tower for the Cobham comparacy; a plot se absurd and obscure, that one historian has called it a 'state-riddle,' but for which, so many years after, Rawleigh so cruelly lost his life.

Lord Cecil gives an account of the examination of the prisoners involved in this conspiracy. 'One afternoon, whilst diverse of us were in the Tower examining some of these prisoners. Six Walter standard.

whits diverse of us were in the 1 ower examining some of these prisoners, Sir Walter attempted to sucorder himself; whereof when we were advertised, we came to him and found him in some agony to be unable to endure his mafortunes, and protesting innoceacy, with cariesances of life; and in that humour he had sounded hismosif under the right pap, but no way swortelly, being in truth rather a cut than a stah, and now very well cured both in body and mind.\* This feeble attempt at suicide, this 'cut rather than stab,' I must place among those sceness in the life of Rawleigh, so mean and incomprehensible with the gen of the man. If it were nothing but one of those

Fears of the Brave !

we must now open another of the

'Follies of the Wise!

Rawleigh returned from the wild and desperate voyage of Guiana, with misery in every shape about him.\* His son had perished; his devoted Keymis would not survive his reproach; and Rawleigh, without fortune and with hope, in sickness and in sorrow, broaded over the said thought, that in the hatred of the Spaniard, and in the political pusillanimity of James, he was arriving only to meet inevitable death. With this presentiment, he had even wished to give up his ship to the crew, had they consensed to land him in France; but he was probably arresolute in this decision at sea, as he was afterwards at land, where he wished to escape, and refused to fly: the clearest mich lect was darkened, and magnanimity itself became he inted, floating between the sense of honour and of life.

Rawleigh landed in his native county of Devon: h arrival was the common topic of conversation, and he was the object of censure or of commiseration: but his person was not molested, till the fears of James became more

was not mixed than his pity.

The Cervantic Gondomar, whose 'quips and quidite had concealed the cares of state, one day rushed into the had concealed the cares of state, one day runshed into the presence of James, breathlessly calling out for 'ancheses' and compressing his 'ear-piercing' message into the laconic abruptness of 'piratas! piratas! piratas! There was agony as well as politics in this cry of Gondeman, whose brother, the Spanish governor, had been massecred in this predatory expedition. The timed moment, terrified at this tragical appearance of his facetious frient, saw at office the demands of the whole Spanish cabset; and vented his pallitative in a gentle proclamation. and vented his palliative in a gentle proclamation. Rav-leigh having settled his affairs in the West, set off for London to appear before the king, in consequence of the procis-mation. A few miles from Plymouth, he was met by Sr Lewis Stucley, vice-admiral of Devon, a kinsman and a friend, who, in communication with government, had accepted a sort of serveillence over Sir Walter. It is said, (and will be credited, when we hear the story of Studen) that he had set his heart on the ship, as a probable gos purchase; and on the person, against whom, to colour h natural treachery, he professed an old hatred. He first seized on Rawleigh more like the kineman than the viceadmiral, and proposed travelling together to Leadon, and baiting at the houses of the friends of Rawleigh. The warrant which Stucley in the meanwhile had desired was ared was instantly despatched, and the bearer was one Manoury, French empiric, who was evidently sent to act the part he did,—a part played at all times, and the last title in French politics, that so often had recourse to this instrum state, is a Mouton!

Rawleigh still, however was not placed under any harsh

\* These letters were written by Lord Cocil to Sir Th These letters were written by Lord Cects to be a Parry, our ambassador in France, and were transcribed for the copy-book of Sir Thomas Parry's correspondence, which is preserved in the Pepysian library at Cambridge. My friend, Mr Hamper, of Derkend House, Bhrahaghen among other curious collections which he possesses, hifewarchet has to measurement of demositions rather in Strategies.

among other curious concentrate when me potentials me that he has 'a manuscript of depositions taken in Sp relative to the losses of some merchants who had been pludered by Sir Walter in this voyaga."

resrant: his confidential associate, Captain King, accompanied him; and it is probable, that if Rawleigh had effectuated his escape, he would have conferred a great

checitate me secape, ne would have conterred a great favour on the government.

They could not save him at London. It is certain that he might have escaped; for Captain King had hired a west, and Rawleigh had stolen out by night, and might have reached it, but irresolutely returned home; another night, the same vessel was ready, but Rawleigh herer came! The loss of his honour appeared the greater

As he advanced in this eventful journey, every thing as-med a more formidable aspect. His friends communisumed a more formidable aspect. His friends communi-cated fearful advices; a pursuivant, or king's messenger, gare a more menacing appearance; and suggestions arose in his own mind, that he was reserved to become a victim of state. When letters of commission from the Privy council were brought to Sir Lewis Stucley, Rawleigh was observed to change countenance, exclaiming with an oath, 'Is it possible my fortune should return upon me thus agin? He lamented before Captain King, that he had neglected the opportunity of escape; and which, every day he advanced inland, removed him the more from any

Rawleigh at first suspected that Manoury was one of those instruments of state, who are sometimes employed when open measures are not to be pursued, or when the cabinet have not yet determined on the fate of a person implicated in a state crime; in a word, Rawleigh thought that Manoury was a spy over him, and probably over Stucley too. The first impression in these matters is usually the right one; but when Rawleigh found him-self caught in the toils, he imagined that such corrupt agents were to be corrupted. The French empiric was scan were to be correspond. The French empire was sounded, and found very compliant; Rawleigh was desirous by his aid to counterfeit sickness, and for this purpose invented a series of the most humiliating stratagems. Ho magined that a constant appearance of sickness might produce delay, and procrastination, in the chapter of accidents, might end in pardon. He procured vomits from the Frenchman, and whenever he chose, produced every appearance of sickness; with dimness of sight, dizziness in as head, he rected about, and once struck himself with such violence against a pillar in the gallery, that there was no doubt of his malady. Rawleigh's servant one morning entered Stucley's chamber, declared that his master was so doubt of his malady. out of his senses, for that he had just left him in his shirt upon all fours, gnawing the rushes upon the floor. On Studey's catrance, Rawleigh was raving, and recling in strong convulsions. Stucley ordered him to be chafed and fomented, and Rawleigh afterwards laughed at this scene with Manoury, observing that he had made Stucley a perfect physician.

But Rawleigh found it required some more visible and slarming disease than such ridiculous scenes had exhibited. The vomits worked so slowly, that Manoury was farful to repeat the doses. Rawleigh inquired, whether the empiric knew of any preparations which could make him look ghastly, without injuring his health. The Frenchman offered a harmless ointment to act on the surface of the skin, which would give him the appearance of a leper.

'That will do!' said Rawleigh, 'for the lords will be afraid to approach me, and besides it will move their pity.' Applying the ointment to his brows, his arms, and his breast, the blisters rose, the skin inflamed, and was covered with purple spots. Studley concluded that Raw-leigh had the plague. Physicians were now to be called m Rawleigh took the black silk ribbon from his poniard, and Manoury tightened it strongly about his arm, to dis-order his pulse; but his pulse beat too strong and regular. He appeared to take no food, while Manoury secretly pro-vided him. To perplex the learned doctors still more, Rawleigh had the urinal coloured by a drug of a strong seent. The physicians pronounced the disease mortal, and that the patient could not be removed into the air without immediate danger. 'Awhite after, being in his bed-chamber undressed, and no one present but Manoury, Sir Walter held a looking-glass in his hand, to admire his spotted face,\* and observed in merriment to his new con-

<sup>4</sup> A friend informs me, that he saw recently at a print-deal-was a painted portrait of Sir Walter Rawleigh, with the face thus spotted. It is extraordinary that any artist should have theen such a subject for his pencil; but should this be a por-trict of the times, it shows that this strange stratagem had exskal public attention

fidant, how they should one day laugh for having thus cozened—the king, council physicians, Spaniards and all.
The excuse Rawleigh offered for this course of poor stratagems, so unworthy of his genius, was to obtain time and seclusion for writing his apology, or vindication of his voyage, which has come down to us in his 'Remains.'
'The prophet David did make himself a fool, and suffered spittle to fall upon his beard, to escape from the hands of his enemies, said Rawleigh in his last speech. Brutus, too, was another example. But his discernment often prevailed over this mockery of his spirit. The king li-censed him to reside at his own house on his arrival in London; on which Manoury observed, that the king showed by this indulgence, that his majesty was favoura-bly inclined towards hm; but Rawleigh replied, 'They used all these kinds of fighteries to the Duke of Biron, to draw him fairly into prison, and then they cut off his head. I know they have concluded among them, that it is expedient that a man should die, to re-assure the traffic which I have broke with Spain. And Manoury adds, from I have broke with Spain. And Manoury adds, from whose narrative we have all these particulars, that Sir Walter broke out in this rant : 'If he could but save himself for this time, he would plot such plots, as ahould make the king think himself happy to send for him again, and restore him to his estate, and would force the king of Spain to write into England in his favour.'

Rawleigh at length proposed a flight to France with Manoury, who declares that it was then he revealed to Stucley what he had hitherto concealed, that Stucley might double his vigilance. Rawleigh now perceived that he had two rogues to bribe instead of one, and that they were playing into one another's hands. Proposals are now made to Stucley through Manoury, who is as com-pliant as his brother-knave. Rawleigh presented Stucley with 'a jewel made in the fashion of hail powdered with diamonds, with a ruby in the midst.' But Stucley ob-serving to his kinaman and friend, that he must lose his office of Vice-admiral, which had cost him six hundred pounds, in case he suffered Rawleigh to escape; Rawleigh solemnly assured him that he should be no loser, and that his lady should give him one thousand pounds when they got into France or Holland. About this time the French quack took his leave; the part he had to act the French quack took his leave; the part he had to act was performed; the juggle was complete: and two wretches had triumphed over the sagacity and magnanimity of a sage and a hero, whom misfortune had levelled to folly; and who, in violating the dignity of his own character, had only equalled himself with vulgar knaves; men who exulted that the circumventer was circumvented; or, as they expressed it, 'the great cozener was cozened.'
But our story does not here conclude, for the treacheries of Stucley were more intricate. This perfect villain had obtained a warrant of indemnity, to authorize his compliance with any offer to assist Rawleigh in his escape; this wretch was the confident and the executioner of Rawleigh; he carried about him a license to betray him, and was making his profit of the victim before he delivered him to the sacrifice. Rawleigh was still plotting his escape: at Salisbury he had despatched his confidential friend Captain King to London, to secure a boat at Tilbury; he had also a secret interview with the French agent. Rawleigh's servant mentioned to Captain King, that his boatswain had a ketch of his own, and was ready at his ser-vice for 'thirty pieces of silver;' the boatswain and Raw-leigh's servant acted Judas, and betrayed the plot to Mr William Herbert, cousin to Stucley, and thus the treachery was kept among themselves as a family concern. The night for flight was now fixed, but he could not part with-out his friend Stucley, who had promised never to quit him; and who, indeed, informed by his cousin Herbert, The party met at the appointed place; Sir Lewis Stuc-ley with his son, and Rawleigh disguised. Stucley in saluting King, asked whether he had not shown himself an honest man? King hoped he would continue so. They had not rowed twenty strokes, before the watermen ob-served, that Mr. Herbert had lately taken boat, and made towards the bridge, but had returned down the river after them. Rawleigh instantly expressed his apprehensions, and wished to return home; he consulted King—the watermen took fright-Stucley acted his part well; damning his ill-fortune to have a friend whom he would save, so full of doubts and fears, and threatening to pistol the watermen if they did not proceed. Even King was over-

Digitized by GOOGIC

come by the carnest conduct of Stucley, and a new spirit was infased into the rowers. As they drew near Greenwich, a wherry crossed them. Rawleigh declared it came to discover them. King tried to allay his fease, and assured him that if once they reached Gravesend, he would hazard his life to get to Tilbury. But in these delays and discussions, the tide was failing; the watermen declared they could not reach Gravesend before morning; Rawleigh would have landed at Purfleet, and the boatswain encouraged him; for there it was thought he could procure horses for Tilbury. Sir Lewis Stucley too was zealous; and declared he was content to carry the cloak-bag on his own shoulders, for half a mile, but King declared that it was useless, they could not at that hour get horses,

They rowed a mile beyond Woolwich, approaching two or three ketches, when the boatswain doubted whether any of these were the one he had provided to furnish the many of the the m nish them, 'We are betrayed?' cried Rawleigh, and or-dered the watermen to row back: he strictly examined the boatswain, alas! his ingenuity was baffled by a shuf-fling villain, whose real answer appeared when a wherry hailed the boat; Rawleigh observed that it contained Herbert's crew. He saw that all was now discovered. He bert's crew. He saw that all was now discovered. He took Stucley aride; his ingenious mind still suggesting projects for himself to return home in safety, or how Stucley might plead that he had only pretended to go with Rawleigh, to seize on his private papers. They whis-Rawleigh, to seize on his private papers. They whis-pered together, and Rawleigh took some things from his pocket, and handed them to Stucley; probably more 'ru-bies powdered with diamonds."—Some effect was instantaneously produced; for the tender heart of his friend Stuciey relented, and he not only repeatedly embraced him with extraordinary warmth of affection, but was voluble in effusions of friendship and fidelity. Studies persuad-ed Rawleigh to land at Gravesend, the strange wherry which had dogged them landing at the same time; these were people belonging to Mr Herbert and Sir William St John, who, it seems, had formerly shared in the spoils of this unhappy hero. On Greenwich bridge, Stucley advised Captain King that it would be advantageous to Sir Walter, that King should confess that he had joined with Stucley to betray his master; and Rawleigh lent himself to the suggestion of Stucley, of whose treachery he might still be uncertain; but King, a rough and honest seamen, declared that he would not share in the odium. At the moment he refused, Stucley arrested the captain in the moment he retused, Studies Erreact the Capacitan in the king's name, committing him to the charge of Herbert's men. They then proceeded to a tavern, but Rawleigh, who now viewed the mouster in his true shape, observed, Sir Lewis, these actions will not turn out to your credit; and on the following day, when they passed through the Tower-gate, Rawleigh turning to King, observed, 'Stuc-rey and my servant Cotterell have betrayed me. You need be in no fear of danger, but as for me, it is I who am the mark that is shot at.' Thus concludes the narrative of Captain King. The fate of Rawleigh soon verified the prediction.

This long narrative of treachery will not, however, be complete, unless we wind it up with the fate of the infamous Stucley. Fiction gives perfection to its narratives, by the privilege it enjoys of disposing of its criminals in the most exemplary manner; but the labours of the historian are not always refreshed by this moral pleasure. Retribution is not always discovered in the present stage of human existence, yet history is perhaps equally delightful as fiction, whenever its perfect catastrophes resemble those of romantic invention. The present is a splendid

I have discovered the secret history of Sir Lewis Stuc-

ley, in several manuscript letters of the times.

Rawleigh, in his admirable address from the scaffold, where he seemed to be rather one of the spectators than the sufferer, declared he forgave Sir Lewis, for he had forgiven all men; but he was bound in charity to caution all men against him, and such as he is! Rawleigh's last and solemn notice of the treachery of his 'kinsman and friend' was irrevocably fatal to this wretch. The hearts of the people were open to the deepest impressions of sympathy, melting into tears at the pathetic address of the magnanimous spirit who had touched them: in one moment Sir Lewis Stucley became an object of execration throughout the nation; he soon obtained a new title, that of 'Sir Judas,' and was shunned by every man. To remove the Cain-like mark, which God and men had fixed on him, he

published an apology for his conduct; a performance which, at least, for its ability, might raise him in our consideration; but I have since discovered, in one of the manuscript letter-writers, that it was written by Dr Sharpe, who had been a chaplain to Hemry Prince of Wales. The writer pleads in Stucley's justification, that he was a state agent; that it was lawful to lie for the discovery of treason; that he had a personal hatred towards Rawleigh, for having abridged his father of his share of some prizomoney; and then enters more into Rawleigh's character, who 'being desperate of any fortune here, agreeable to the height of his mind, would have made up his fortuse elsewhere, upon any terms against his sovereign and his country. Is it not marvel,' continues the personfier of Stucley, 'that he was angry with me at his death for bringing him back? Besides, being a man of so great a wit, it was no small grief, that a man of mean wit as I, should be thought to go beyond him. No? Sic ard dediter arte. Neque enim les justion ulla est quam necis arisfices arte perire sua. (This apt latimity betrays Dr Sharpe, But why did you not execute your commission bravely (openly?)—Why? My commission was to the contrary, to discover his pretensions, and to seize his secret papers,' &c.\*

But the doctor, though no unskilful writer, here wrote in vain; for what ingenuity can veil the turpitude of long and practised treachery? To keep up appearance, Sur Judas resorted more than usually to court; where, however, he was perpetually enduring rebuffs, or avoided, as one infected with the plague of treachery. He offered the king, in his own justification, to take the sacrament, that whatever he had laid to Rawleigh's charge was true, and would produce two unexceptionable witnesses to do the like. 'Why, then,' replied his majesty, 'the more malicious was Sir Walter to utter these speeches at his death.' Sir Thomas Badger, who stood by, observed, 'Let the king take off Stucley's head, as Stucley has done Sir Walter's, and let him at his death take the sacrament and his oath upon it, and I'll believe him; but till Stucley loses his head, I shall credit Sir Walter Rawleigh's hare affirmative before a thousand of Stuckley's oaths. When Stucley, on pretence of giving an account of his office, placed himself in the audience chamber of the lord admiral, and his lordship passed him without any notice, Sir Judas attempted to address the earl; but with a hitter look his lordship exclaimed, 'Base fellow! darest thou, who at the scorn and contempt of men, offer thyself in my presence? Were it not in my own house, I would cudget the with my staff for presuming on this sauciness.' This annihitating affront Stucley hastened to convey to the king; his majesty answered him, 'What wouldst thou have me do? Wouldst thou have me hang him? Of my soul, if I should hang all that speak ill of thee, all the trees of the country would not suffice, so great is the number!'

One of the frequent crimes of that age, ere the forgery of bank-notes existed, was the clipping of gold; and this was one of the private amusements suitable to the character of our Sir Judas. Treachery and forgery are the same crime in a different form. Stucley received out of the exchequer five hundred pounds, as the reward of his especiage and perfidy. It was the price of blood, and was hardly in his hands ere it was turned into the fraudulent coin of 'the Cheater!' He was seized in the palace of Whitehall, for diminishing the gold coin. 'The manner of the discovery,' says the manuscript-writer,' was strange if my occasions would suffer me to relate the particular. On his examination he attempted to shift the crime to his own son, who had fled, and on his man, who being taken, in the words of the letter-writer, was 'willing to set the saddle upon the right horse, and accused his master.' Manoury too, the French empiric, was arrested at Plymouth for the same crime, and accused his worthy friend. But such was the interest of Stucley with government, bought probably with his last shilling, and, as one say, with his last shirt, that he obtained his own, and his on's pardon, for a crime that ought to have finally concluded the history of this blossed family. A more solemn and

\* Stucley's humble petition, touching the bringing up Sir W. Rawleigh, 4to, 1618; republished in Somer's Tracts, vol. iii, 751.

W. Kawieigh, au., 1010, represented in 751.

† The anecdotes respecting Stucley I have derived from manuscript letters, and they were considered to be of so dangarous a nature, that the writer recommends secrecy, and requirest after reading that 'they may be burnt,' Wish requirest after reading that 'they may be burnt,' wish remainded by the constitution of the preserved.

tragical catastrophe was reserved for the perfidious Stucley. He was deprived of his place of vice-admiral, and ist destitute in the world. Abandoned by all human beage, and most probably, by the son whom he had tutored is to arts of villany, he appears to have wandered about as infameus and distracted beggar. It is possible that even to seared a conscience may have retained some remaining teach of sensibility.

Condemned alike to groan;
The tender for another's pain,
The unfeeling for his own.

And Camden has recorded, among his historical notes on James I, that in August, 1620, 'Lewis Studley, who betrayed Sir Walter Rawleigh, died in a manner mad.' Such is the catastrophe of one of the most perfect domestic tales; an historical example not easily paralleled of moral retribution.

The secret practices of the 'Sir Judas' of the court of James I, which I have discovered, throw light on an old tradition which still exists in the neighbourhood of Affector, once the residence of this wretched man. The country people have long entertained a notion that a hidden trasure lies at the bottom of a well in his grounds, guarded by some supernatural power; a tradition no doubt originating in this man's history, and an obscure allusion to the gold which Stucley received for his bribe, or the ether gold which Stucley received for his bribe, or the ether gold which he clipped, and might have there concaid. This is a striking instance of the many historical facts which, though entirely unknown or forgotten, may be often discovered to lie hid, or dinguised, in popular traditions.

AN AUTHENTIC WARRATIVE OF THE LAST HOURS OF SIR WALTER RAWLEIGH.

The close of the life of Sir Walter Rawleigh was as entraordnary as many parts of his varied history: the prompitude and sprightliness of his genius, his carelessness of life, and the equanimity of that great spirit in quiting the world, can only be paralleled by a few other beroes and sages:—Rawleigh was both! But it is not simply his dignified yet active conduct on the scaffold, nor his admirable speech on that occasion, circumstances by which many great men are judged, when their energies are excited for a moment to act so great a part, before the eyes of the world assembled at their feet; it is not these only which claim our notice.

We may pause with admiration on the real grandeur of Rawleigh's character; not from a single circumstance, however great, but from a tissue of continued little incidents, which occurred from the moment of his condemnation till he lay his head on the block. Rawleigh was a man of such mark, that he deeply engaged the attention of his contemporaries; and to this we owe the preservation of several interesting particulars of what he did and what he said, which have entered, into his life; but all has not been told in the published narratives. Contemporary writers in their letters have set down every fresh incident, and eagerly caught up his sense, his wit, and what is more delightful, those marks of the natural cheerfulness of his invariable presence of mind: nor could these have arisen from any affectation or parade, for we shall see that they served him even in his last tender farewell to his lady, and on many unpresmeditated occasions.

I have drawn together in a short compass all the facts which my researches have furnished, not omitting those which are known, concerning the feelings and conduct of Rawleigh at these solemn moments of his life; to have preserved only the new would have been to mutilate the state, and to injure the whole hy an impactor view.

states, and to injure the whole by an imperfect view.

Rawleigh one morning was taken out of his bed in a fit of fever, and unexpectedly hurried, not to his trial, but to a sentence of death. The story is well known.—Yet pleading with 'a voice grown weak by sickness and an ague he had at that instant on him,' he used every means to avert his fate: he did, therefore value the life he could so easily part with. His judges there at least, respected their state criminal, and they addressed him in a tone far different from that which he had fifteen years before listened to from Coke. Yelverton, the attorney-general, said, 'Sir Walter Rawleigh hath been as a star at which the world have gazed; but stars may fall, nay, they must fall, when they trouble the sphere where they abide.' And the lord chief-justice neticed Rawleigh's great work;—'I know that

you have been valiant and wise, and I doubt not but you retain both these virtues, for now you shall have occasion to use them. Your book is an admirable work; I would give you counsel, but I know you can apply unto yourself far better than I am able to give you.' But the judge ended with saying, 'execution is granted.' It was stifling Rawleigh with roses! the heroic sage felt as if listening to fame from the voice of death.

He declared, that now being old, sickly, and in disgrace, and 'certain were he allowed to live, to go to it again, life was wearisome to him, and all he entreated was to have leave to speak freely at his farewell, to satisfy the world that he was ever loyal to the king, and a true lover of the commonwealth; for this he would seal with his blood.'

Rawleigh, on his return to his prison, while some were deploring his fate, observed, that 'the world itself is but a larger prison, out of which some are daily selected for ex-

That last night of his existence was occupied by writing what the letter-writer calls 'a remembrancer to be left with his lady,' to acquaint the world with his sentiments, should he be denied their delivery from the scaffold as he had been at the bar of the King's Bench. His lady visited him that night, and amidst her tears acquainted him, that she had obtained the favour of disposing of his body; to which he answered smiling, 'It is well Bess, that thou mayest dispose of that, dead, thou hadst not always the disposing of when it was alive.' At midnight he entreated her to leave him. It must have been then, that, with unshaken fortiude, Rawleigh sat down to compose those verses on his death, which being short, the most appropriate may be reposated.

Even such is Time, that takes on trust, Our youth, our joys, or all we have, And pays us but with age and dust; Who in the dark and silent grave, When we have wandered all our ways, Shuts up the story of our days!

He has added two other lines expressive of his trust in his resurrection. Their authenticity is confirmed by the writer of the present letter, as well as another writer, enclosing 'half a dozen verses, which Sir Walter made the night before his death, to take his farewell of poetry, wherein he had been a scribbler even from his youth.' The enclosure is not now with the letter. Chamberlain, the writer, was an intelligent man of the world, but not imbued with any deep tincture of literature. On the same night Rawleigh wrote this distich on the candle burning dimly:

'Cowards fear to die; but courage stout, Rather than live in snuff, will be put out.'

At this solemn moment, before he lay down to rest, and at the instant of parting from his lady, with all his domestic affections etill warm, to express his feelings in verse was with him a natural effusion, and one to which he had long been used. It is peculiar in the fate of Rawleigh, hat having before suffered a long imprisonment with an expectation of a public death, his mind had been accustomed to its contemplation, and had often dwelt on the event which was now passing. The soul, in its sudden departure, and its future state, is often the subject of his few poems; that most original one of 'the Farewell,

Go, soul, the body's guest, Upon a thankless errand, &c.

is attributed to Rawleigh, though on uncertain evidence. But another, entitled 'the Pilgrimage,' has this beautiful passage:

Give me my scallop-shell of quiet. My staff of truth to walk upon, My scrip of joy imsortal diet; My bottle of salvation. My gown of glory, Hope's true gage, And thus Pil take my pilgrimage— Whitst my soul, like a quiet Falmer, Travelleth towards the land of Heaven—

Rawleigh's cheerfulness was so remarkable, and his fearlessness of death so marked, that the Dean of Westminister, who attended him, at first wondering at the hero, reprehended the lightness of his manner; but Rawleigh gave God thanks that he had never feared death, for it was but an opinion and an imagination; and as for the manner of death, he would rather die so than of a burning fever; and that some might have made shows outwardly, but he felt the joys within. The Dean gays, that he made so

more of his death than if he had been to take a journey; Not, said be, 'but that I am a great sinner, for I have been a soldier, a seaman, and a courtier.' The writer of a manuscript letter tells us, that the Dean declared he died not only religiously, but he found him to be a man

as ready and as able to give, as to take instruction.

On the morning of his death he smoked, as usual, his On the morning of his death he smoked, as usual, his favourite tobacco, and when they brought him a cup of excellent sack, being asked how he liked it, Rawleigh asswered, 'As the fellow, that, drinking of St Gilea's bowl, as he went to Tyburn, said, "that was good drink if a man might tarry by it."' The day before, in passing from Westminster-hall to the Gate-house, his eye had caught in the through and salling me him Determined the salling me him Dete Sir Hugh Beeston in the throng, and calling on him, Rawleigh requested that he would see him die to-morrow. Sir Hugh, to secure himself a seat on the scaffold, had provided Hugh, to secure himself a seat on the scattoid, had provided himself with a letter to the sheriff, which was not read at the time, and Sir Walter found his friend thrust by, lamenting that he could not get there. 'Farewell?' exclaimed Rawleigh, 'I know not what shift you will make, but I am sure to have a place.' In going from the prison to the scaffold, among others who were pressing hard to see him, one old man, whose head was bald, came very forward; insomuch that Rawleigh noticed him and salved forward, insomuch that Rawleigh noticed him, and asked, whether he would have ought of him? The old man The old man answered, 'Nothing but to see him, and to pray God for him.' Rawleigh replied, 'I thank thee, good friend, and I am sorry I have no better thing to return thee for thy good will.' Observing his bald head, he continued, 'but take this night-cap. (which was a very rich wrought one that he wore) for thou hast more need of it now than I.'

His dress, as was usual with him, was elegant, if not rich. Oldys describes it, but mentions, that 'he had a wrought night-cap under his hat,' this we have otherwise disposed of; he wore a ruff-band, a black wrought velvet night-gown over a hair-coloured satin doublet, and a black wrought waistcoat; black cut taffety breeches, and ash-

coloured silk stockings.

He ascended the scaffold with the same cheerfulness as he had passed to it; and observing the lords seated at a distance, some at windows, he requested they would approach him, as he wished that they should all witness what he had to say. The request was complied with by several. His speech is well known; but some copies contain matters not in others. When he finished, he requested Lord Arundel that the king would not suffer any libels to defame him after death—'And now I have a long journey to go, and must take my leave.' 'He embraced all the lords and other friends with such courtly compliments, as if he had met them at some feast, says a letter-writer. Having taken off his gown, he called to the heads-man to show him the axe, which not being instantly done, he repeated, 'I pritise let me see it. Dost thou think that I am afraid of it?" He passed the edge lightly over his finger, and smiling, observed to the sheriff,' 'This is a sharp medicine, but a sound cure for all diseases,' and kissing it, laid it down. Another writer has, 'This is that, that will cure all sorrows.' After this he went to three several corners of the scaffold, and kneeling down, desired all the people to pray for him, and recited a long prayer to himself. When he began to fit himself for the block, he first laid himself down to try how the block block, he arst had numer down to try how the block fitted him; after rising up, the executioner kneeled down to ask his forgiveness, which Rawleigh with an embrace gave, but entreated him not to strike till he gave a token by lifting up his hand, 'and then, fear not, but strike home!' When he laid his head down to receive the stroke, the executioner desired him to lay his face towards the east, ' It was no great matter which way a man's head stood, so the heart lay right, said Rawleigh; but these were not his last words. He was once more to speak in this world with the same intrepidity he had lived in it-for, having with the same intrepidity he had lived in it—for, having lain some minutes on the block in prayer, he gave the signal; but the executioner, either unmindful, or in fear, failed to strike, and Rawleigh, after once or twice putting forth his hands, was compelled to ask him, 'Why doos thou not strike? Strike! man." In two blows he was beheaded; but from the first, his body never shrunk from the spot, by any discomposure of his posture, which, like his mind, was immoveable.

'In all the time he was upon the scaffold, and before, away one of the manuscript letter-writers, 'there appeared as we one of the manuscript letter-writers, 'there appeared.

says one of the manuscript letter-writers, 'there appeared not the least alteration in him, either in his voice or coun-tenance; but he seemed as free from all manner of appro-

hension as if he had been come thither rather to be a spectator than a sufferer; nay, the beholders seemed much more sensible than did he, so that he hath purchased here in the opinion of men such honour and reputation, as it is thought his greatest enemies are they that are most sorrowful for his death, which they see is like to two me much to his advantage.

The people were deeply affected at the sight, and so much, that one said, that 'we had not such another head to cut off; and another wished the nead and brains to be upon Secretary Naunton's shoulders." The observer safforced for this; he was a wealthy citizen, and great new-monger, and one who haunted Paul's Walk. Complant was made, and the citizen summoned to the privy-council. He pleaded that he intended no disrespect to Mr Secretary; but only spoke in reference to the old proverb, that two heads were better than one! His excuse was allowed at the moment; but when afterwards called on for a contribution to St Paul's cathedral, and having subscribed a hundred pounds, the Secretary observed to him, that two were better than one, Mr Wiemark!" either from

fear, or charity, the witty citizen doubled his subscripton.
Thus died this glorious and gallant cavalier, of whom
Osborne says, 'His death was managed by hen with so
high and religious a resolution, as if a Roman had acted a
Christian, or rather a Christian a Roman.\*

After having read the preceding article, we are as nished at the greatness, and the variable nature of the extraordinary man, and this happy genius. With Gibbs, who once meditated to write his life, we may passe, and pronounce ' his character is ambiguous; but we shall not hesitate to decide, that Rawleigh knew better how to dis than to live. 'His glorious hours,' says a contemporar,
were his arraignment and execution; —but never will be forgotten the intermediate years of his lettered imprism-

## LITERARY UNIONS.

SECRET HISTORY OF RAWLEIGH'S HISTORY OF IME WORLD, AND VASARI'S LIVES.

An union of talents, differing in their qualities, might carry some important works to a more extended perfection. In a work of great enterprise, the aid of a meady hand may be absolutely necessary to complete the labo of the projector, who may have neither the courage, the leisure, nor all acquisitions necessary for performing the favourite task which he has otherwise matured. Many great works, commenced by a master genius have remained unfinished, or have been deficient for want of the friendly succour. The public had been grateful to Johnson, had he united in his dictionary the labours of some learned etymologist. Speed's Chronicle ower most of st value, as it does its ornaments, to the hand of Sir Robet Cotton, and other curious researchers, who contribute entire portions. Goguet's esteemed work of the 'Ornam of the Arts and Sciences' was greatly indebted to the faternal zoal of a devoted friend. The still raised books of the Destroyal Sciences' and all formed has the base the Port-royal Society were all formed by this happy union. The secret history of many eminent works well show the advantages which may be derived from this combination of talents, differing in their nature. Cumbriant masterly versions of the fragments of the Greek dramate poets had never been given to the poetical world, had be not accidentally possessed the manuscript notes of his re-lative, the learned Bentley. This treasure supplied that research in the most obscure works, which the volume studies of Cumberland could never have expored; a co-cumstance which he concealed from the world, proud the Greek erudition which he thus cheaply possessed.
Yet by this literary union, Bentley's vast orudition made those researches which Cumberland could not; and Comberland gave the nation a copy of the domes Greece, of which Bentley was incapable.

There is a large work, which is still celebrated, of which the composition has excited the automishment even of the philosophic Hume, but whose secret history resams vet to be disclosed. This extraordinary volume is 'The History of the World, by Rawleigh.' I shall transribe Hume's observation that the reader may observe the isorary phonomenon. 'They were struck with the extensive

\* The chief particulars in this parrative are drawn from two The CRIST PRINCEINTS IN AMERICAN THE STORM OF STREET OF STREET

gesits of the man, who being educated amidst naval and wiltery enterprises, had surpassed in the pursuits of litera-ture, even those of the most recluse and sedentary lives; and they admired his unbroken magnanimity, which at his age, and under his circumstances, could engage him to under-take and execute so great a work, as his History of the World.' Now when the truth is known, the wonderful in this literary mystery will disappear, except in the eloquent, the grand, and the pathetic passages interspersed in that wearable volume. We may, indeed, pardon the astonishment of our calm philosopher, when we consider the recon-dite matter contained in this work, and recollect the little time which this adventurous spirit, whose life was passed in fabricating his own fortune, and in perpetual enterprise, could allow to such erudite pursuits. Where could Rawlegh obtain that familiar acquaintance with the rabbins, of whose language he was probably entirely ignorant? His momentum publications, the effusions of the most active mind, though excellent in their kind, were evidently composed by one who was not abstracted in curious and renote inquiries, but full of the daily business and the wisdom of human life. His confinement in the tower, which lasted several years, was indeed sufficient for the compo-stion of this folio volume, and of a second which appears to have occupied him. But in that imprisonment it singularly happened that he lived among literary characters, with the most intimate friendship. There he joined the Earl of Northumberland, the patron of the philosophers of his age, and with whom Rawleigh pursued his chemiand age, and whom keavings pursues his cremi-cal studies; and Serjeant Hoskins, a poet and a wit, and the poetica; father of Ben Johnson, who acknowledged that 'it was Hoskins who had polished him;' and that Rawleigh often consulted Hoskins on his literary works, I kars from a manuscript. But however literary the atmornhers of the Tower proved to Rawleigh, no particle of Hebrew, and perhaps little of Grecian love, floated on acrows, and perhaps have or Greenan love, availed from a chemist and a poet. The truth is, that the collec-tion of the materials of this history was the labour of seve-ral persons, who have not all been discovered. It has been accertained, that Ben Jonson was a considerable contributor; and there was an English philosopher from whom Descartes, it is said, even by his own countrymen. borrowed largely-Thomas Hariot, whom Anthony Wood charges with infusing into Rawleigh's volume philosophical notions, while Rawleigh was composing his History of the World. But if Rawleigh's pursuits surpassed even those of the most rectuse and sedentary lives, as Hume observed, we must attribute this to a 'Dr Robert Burrel, Rector of Northwald, in the county of Norfolk, who was a great favourite of Sir Walter Rawleigh, and had been his chalain. All, or the greatest part of the drudgery of Sir Walter's history for Criticisms, Chronology, and reading Greek and Hebrew authors were performed by him, for orest and repress authors were performed by him, for Sr Walter. \*\* Thus a simple fact, when discovered, clears up the whole mystery; and we learn how that howledge was acquired, which as Hume sagaciously de-lected, required 'a rectuse and sedentary life,' such as the studies and the babits would be of a country clergyman in a learned age.

The secret history of another work, still more celebrated than the History of the World, by Sir Walter Rawleigh, will doubtless surprise its numerous admirers.

\*! draw my mformation from a very singular manuscript in the Lansdowne collection, which I think has been mistaken by a by's ciphering book, of which it has much the appearance, No. 741, to. 67, as it stands in the auctioneer's catalogue. It appears to be a collection closely written, extracted out of Anthony Wood's papers; and as I have discovered in the manuscript, numerous notices not elsewhere preserved, I am inclined to think, that the transcriber copied them from that more of Anthony Wood's papers, of which more than one sak full was burnt at his desire before him, when dying. If the most had to the desire before him, when dying.

set fall was burnt at his desire before him, when dying. If he so, this MS, is the only register of many curious facts. Ben Jonson has been too freely censured for his own free crasures, and particularly for one he made on Sir Walter Rawleigh, who, he told Drummond, 'esteemed more fame than conscience. The best wise in England were employed in making his history: Ben himself had written a piece to him of the Funk war, which he sitered and set in his book; 'Jonson's powerful advocate Mr Gifford has not alleged a word in the defence of our great Bard's free conversational strictures; he serret history of Rawleigh's great work had never been discovered, on this occasion, however, Jonson only spoke what he knew to be troe—and there may have been other truhs, in those conversations which were set down at random by Drummond, who may have chiefly recollected the satirical seches,

Without the aid of a friendly hand, we should probably have been deprived of the delightful history of Artists by Vasari; although a mere painter and goldsmith, and nealiterary man, Vasari was blessed with the nice discernment of one deeply conversant with art, and saw rightly gested by the celebrated Paulus Jovius as a suppliment to his own work of the 'Fulcina Jovius as a suppliment to what was to be done, when the idea of the work was sugis own work of the 'Eulogiums, of illustrious men.' sari approved of the project; but on that occasion judi-ciously observed, not blinded by the celebrity of the itera-ry man who projected it, that it would require the assis-tance of an arist to collect the materals, and arrange them in their proper order; for although Jovius displayed great knowledge in his observations, yet he had not been equally accurate in the arrangement of his facts in his book of Eulogiums. Afterwards, when Vasari began to collect his information, and consulted Palus Jovius on the plan, although that author highly approved of what he saw, he alleged his own want of leisure and ability to complete such an enterprise; and this was fortunate: we should other-wise have had, instead of the rambling spirit which charms us in the volumes of Vasari, the verbose babble of a de-claimer. Vasari, however, looked round for the assistance he wanted; a circumstance which Tiraboschi has not noticed; like Hogarth, he required a literary man for his scribe. I have discovered the name of the chief writer of the Lives of the Painters, who wrote under the direction of Vasari, and probably often used his own natural style, and conveyed to us those reflections which surely come from their source. I shall give the passage, as a curious in-stance where the secret history of books is often detected in the most obscure corners of research. Who could have imagined that in a collection of the lives de' Santi e Beati dell' ordine de' Predicatori, we are to look for the writer of Vasari's lives? Don Serafini Razzi, the author of this ecclesiastical biography, has this reference: 'Who would see more of this may turn to the lives of the painters, sculptors and architects, written for the greater part by Don Silrano Razzi, my brother, for the Signor Cavaliere M. Giorgio Vasari, his great friend.'\*

The discovery that Vasari's volumes were not entirely written by himself, though probably under his dictation, and, unquestionably, with his communications; as we know that Dr Morrell wrote the 'Analysis of Beauty' for Hogarth, will perhaps serve to clear up some unaccounta-ble mistakes or omissions which appear in that series of volumes, written at long intervals, and by different hands. Mr Fuseli has alluded to them in utter astonishment; and cannot account for Vasari's 'incredible dereliction of reramor account for Vasar's 'incredible dereliction of re-miniscence, which prompted him to transfer what he had rightly ascribed to Giorgione in one edition to the elder Parma in the subsequent ones.' Again: Vasari's me-mory was either so treacherous, or his rapidity in writing so inconsiderate, that his account of the Capella Sistina, and the stanze of Raffaello, is a mere heap of errors and unpardonable confusion.' Even Bottari, his learned edi-lor, is at a loss to account for the mineter. Me French tor, is at a loss to account for his mistakes. Mr Fuseli tor, is at a loss to account for his mistakes. Mr Fusein, is at a loss to account for his mistakes. Mr Fusein anti-photosus of our art; and if the main simplicity of his narrative, and the desire of heaping anecdote on anecdote, entitle him in some degree to that appellation, we ought not to forget that the information of every day adds something to the authenticity of the Great historical desired and any desired the sufficiency of the Great historical desired to the sufficiency of the sufficie authenticity of the Greek historian, whilst every day furnishes matter to question the credibility of the Tuscan. All this strongly confirms the suspicion that Vasari employed different hands at different times to write out his work. Such mistakes would occur to a new writer, not always conversant with the subject he was composing on, and the disjointed materials of which were often found in a disordered state. It is, however, strange that neither Bottari nor Tiraboschi appear to have been aware that Vasari employed others to write for him; we see that from the first suggestion of the work he had originally pro-

posed that Paulus Jovius should hold the pen for him.

The principle illustrated in this article might be pursued; but the secret history of two great works so well known are as sufficient as twenty others of writings less celebrated. The literary phenomenon which had puzzled the calm inquiring Hume to cry out 'a miracle!' has been

\*I find this quotation in a sort of polemical work of natural philosophy, entitled 'Saggio di Storia Litteraria Fiorentina del Secolo XVII, da Giovanne Clemente Nelli, Lucca, 1789, p. 86. Nelli also refers to what he had said on this subject in his Plante ad alzati di S. M. del Fiore, p. vi. vii; a work on architectura. See Brunet; and Haym, Bibl Ital de libri rain

solved by the discovery of a little fact on literary unions, which derives importance from this circumstance.

## OF A BIOGRAPHY PAINTED.

There are objects connected with literary curiosity, which, though they may never gratify our sight, yet whose very history is literary; and the originality of their invention, should they excite imitation, may serve to constitute a class. I notice a book-curiosity of this nature.

This extraordinary volume may be said to have contained the travels and adventures of Charles Magius, a noble Venetian; and this volume, so precious, consisted only of eighteen pages, composed of a series of highly-finished miniature paintings on veillum, some executed by the hand of Paul Veronese. Each page, however, may be said to contain many chapters; for, generally, it is composed of a large centre-piece, surrounded by tea small ones, with many apt inscriptions, allegories, and allusions; the whole exhibiting romantic incidents in the life of this Venetian nobleman. But it is not merely as a beautiful production of art that we are to consider it; it beautiful production of art that we are to consider it in becomes associated with a more elevated feeling in the occasion which produced it. The author, who is himself the hero, after having been long calumniated, resolved to set before the eyes of his accusers the sufferings and adventures he could perhaps have but indifferently described: and instead of composing a tedious volume for his justifi-cation, invented this new species of pictorial biography. The author minutely described the remarkable situations in which fortune had placed him; and the artists, in em-bellishing the facts he furnished them with to record, emubehining the laces he termined uses with to record, emu-lated each other in giving life to their truth, and putting into action, before the spectator, incidents which the pen had less impressively exhibited. This unique production may be considered as a model, to represent the actions of those who may succeed more fortunately by this new mode of perpetuating their history; discovering, by the aid of the pencil, rather than by their pen, the forms and colours of an extraordinary life.

It was when the Ottomans (about 1571) attacked the Isle of Cyprus, that this Venetian nobleman was charged by his republic to review and repair the fortifications. He was afterwards sent to the Pope to negotiate an alliance: he returned to the senate, to give an account of his com-mission. Invested with the chief command, at the head of his troops, Magius threw himself into the island of Cyprus, and after a skilful defence, which could not prevent its fall, at Famagusta, he was taken prisoner by the Turks, and made a slave. His age and infirmities induced his master, at length, to sell him to some Christian merchants; and after an absence of several years from his beloved Venice, he suddenly appeared, to the astonishment and mortification of a party who had never ceased to calumniate him; whilst his own noble family were compelled to preserve an indignant silence, having had no communications with their lost and enslaved relative. Magius now returned to vindicate his honour, to reinstate himself in the favour of the senate, and to be restored to a venerable parent amidst his family: to whom he intro-duced a fresh branch, in a youth of seven years old, the child of his misfortunes, who, born in trouble, and a stranger to domestic endearments, was at one moment united to a beloved circle of relations.

I shall give a rapid view of some of the pictures of this Venetian nobleman's life. The whole series has been elaborately drawn up by the Duke de la Valliere, the celehrated book-collector, who dwells on the detail with the curiosity of an amateur.\*

In a rich frontispiece, a Christ is expiring on the cross Religion, leaning on a column, contemplates the Divinity, and Hope is not distant from her. The genealogical tree of the house of Magius, with an allegorical representation Venice, its nobility, power, and riches: the arms of Magius, in which is inserted a view of the holy sepulchre of Jerusalem, of which he was made a knight; his portrait, with a Latin inscription; 'I have passed through arms and the enemy, amidst fire and water, and the Lord

\* The duke's description is not to be found, as might be expected, in his own valued catalogue, but was a contribution to Laignat's II, 16, where it occupies fourteen pages. This singular work sold at Gaignat's sale for 90% livres. It was then the golden age of literary curiosity, when the rarest things were not ruinous; and that price was even then considered extraordinary though the work was an unique. It must consist of about 180 subjects, by Italian artists The duke's description is not to be found, as might be ex-

conducted me to a safe asylum, in the year of grace 1571."
The portrait of his son, aged seven years, finished with the greatest beauty, and supposed to have come from the hand of Paul Veronese; it bears this inscription: 'Overcome by violence and artifice, almost dead before his brith his mother was at length delivered of him, full of life, with all the loveliness of infancy; under the divine protection, his birth was happy, and his life with greater happiness shall be closed with good fortuine.'

A plan of the isle of Cyprus, where Magicus commanded, and his first misfortune happened, his slavery by the Turks—The painter has expressed this by an emblem of a tree shaken by the winds and scathed by the lightnag;

a tree shaken by the winds and scathed by the lightnar; but from the trunk issues a beautiful green branch shinns in a brilliant sun, with this device— From this fallen trunk springs a branch full of vigour.

springs a branch full of vigour.

The missions of Magius to raise troops in the province of la Puglia—In one of those Magius is seen returning to Venice; his final departure,—a thunderbolt is viewed faling on his vessel—his passage by Corfu and Zante, and his arrival at Candia.

His travels to Egypt—The centre figure represents this province raising its right hand extended towards a paletree, and the left leaning on a pyramid, inscribed 'Cele-brated throughout the world for her wonders.' The smaller pictures are the entrance of Magins into the port of Alexandria; Rosetta, with a caravan of Turks and different nations; the city of Grand Cairo, exterior and interior, with views of other places; and finally, his return to Ve-

His journey to Rome—the centre figure an armed Pallas seated on trophies, the Tyber beneath her feet, a globe in her hands, inscribed Quod resum vicinis on demine Because she is the Conqueress and Mistress of the World.' The ten small pictures are views of the cases at the Pope's dominion. His first audience at the conclave, forms a pleasing and fine composition.

His travels into Syria—the principal figure is a female emblematical of that fine country; she is seated in the midst of a gay orchard, and embraces a bundle of roses, inscribed Mundi delicio—'The delight of the universe.' The small compartments are views of towns and parts, and the spot where Magius collected his fleet.

His pilgrimage to Jerusalem, where he was made a knight of the holy sepulchre—the principal figure represents Devotion, inscribed Ducit. 'It is she who conducts sents Devotors, inscribed Discit. It is sale who conducts me.! The compartments exhibit a variety of objects, with a correctness of drawing, which are described as he longing to the class and partaking of the charms, of the pencil of Claude Lorraine. His vessel is first viewed in the roadstead at Venice beat by a storm; arrives at Zanne to refresh; enters the port of Simiso; there having landed, he and his companions are proceeding to the town on a for Christians were not permitted to travel in Turkey on horses...In the church at Jerusalem the bishop, in his potifical habit, receives him as a knight of the hely separation, arraying him in the armour of Godfrey of Bosilles. and placing his sword in the hands of Magissa. His arrival at Bethlem, to see the cradle of the Lord—and his return by Jaffa with his companions, in the dress of pilgram; the groups are finely contrasted with the Turks managing amongst them.

The taking of the city of Famagusta, and his slaver The middle figure, with a dog at its feet, represents Fi-delity, the character of Magius who ever preferred it is his life or his freedom, inscribed Capting. She has re-The middle figure, with a way at the control of the his life or his freedom, inscribed Captions—She has reduced me to slavery.' Six smaller pictures exhibit the different points of the island of Cyprus, where the Turks effected their descents. Magius retreating to Fanangusta, which he long defended, and where his cousin, a shifted engineer, was killed. The Turks compelled to raise the siege, but return with greater forces—the sacking of the town and the palace, where Magius was taken.—One picture exhibits him brought before a bashaw, who has him stripped, to judge of his strength and fix his price, when after examination he is sent among other slaves,—the is seen bound and tied up among his companions in misfortune—again he is forced to labour, and carries a cask of water on his shoulders.—In another picture, his master, finding him weak of body, conducts him to a slave master, finding him weak of body, conducts him to a slave merchant to sell him. In another we see him leading so ass loaded with packages; his new master, finding has loitering on his way, showers his blows on him, while a soldier is seen puriosing one of the packages from the

as. Another exhibits Magins staking with fatigue on the sands, while his master would raise him up by an un-sparing use of the bastinado. The varied detials of these hide paintings are pleasingly executed. The close of his slavery—The middle figure-kneeling to Heaven, and a light breaking from it, inscribed 'He breaks

my chains,' to express the confidence of Magius. The Turks are seen landing with their pillage and their slaves. In one of the pictures are seen two ships on fire; a young lady of Cyprus preferring death to the loss of her honour and the miseries of slavery, determined to set fire to the vessel in which she was carried; she succeeded, and the fismes communicated to another.

His return to Venice-The painter for his principal figure has chosen a Palles, with a helmet on her head, the sgis on one arm, and her lance in the other, to describe the courage with which Magius had supported his missfor-tense, inscribed Reducti— She brings me back. In the last of the compartments he is seen at the custom-house at Venice; he enters the house of his father; the old man

hastens to meet him, and embraces him.

One page is filled by a single picture, which represents the senate of Venice, with the Doge on his throne; Mas presents an account of his different employments, and fees presents an account of the unit is written, Quod comminist perfect; quad restat agendum, pare fide complectar— I have done what you committed to my care; and I will erform with the same fidelity what remains to be done. He is received by the senate with the most distinguished

The most magnificent of these paintings is the one at-tributed to Paul Veroness. It is described by the Duke the lock representation of the protection of the lock of a Vallere as almost unparalleled for its richness, its elegance, and its brilliancy. It is inscribed Pater mess et future mei derefiques unt me; Dominus autem assumpsit me!—'My father and my brothers abandoned me; but the Lord took me under his protection.' This is an allumon to the accusation raised against him in the open means the Turke took the lock of the lock of the contract of th secue, when the Turks took the isle of Cyprus, and his family wanted either the confidence or the courage to defeed Magins. In the front of this large picture, Magins leading his son by the hand, conducts him to be reconciled with his brothers and sisters-in-law, who are on the opposite side; his hand holds this scroll, Vos cogitastis de me mahun; sed Deus convertit illud in bonum—' You thought ill of me; but the Lord has turned it to good.' In this he alludes to the satisfaction he had given the senate, ms he alludes to the satisfaction ne had given the senare, and to the honours they had decreed him. Another scene is introduced, where Magins appears in a magnificent hall at table in the midst of all his family, with whom a gesteral reconciliation has taken place: on his left hand are gardess opening with an enchanting effect, and magnificently ornamented, with the villa of his father, on which flowers and wreaths seem dropping on the roof, as if from heaven. In the perspective the landscape probably represents the rural neighbourhood of Magius's early days.

Such are the most interesting incidents which I have elected from the copious description of the Duke de la fallers. The idea is new of this production, an autobiography in a series of remarkable scenes, painted under the eye of the describer of them, in which too he has pre-served all the fulness of his feelings and his minutest recollections; but the novelty becomes interesting from the character of the noble Magius, and the romantic fancy which inspired this elaborate and costly curiosity. It was not indeed without some trouble that I have drawn up this little account; but while thus employed, I seemed to be

composing a very uncommon romance.

# CAUSE AND PRETEXT.

It is an important principle in morals and in politics, not to mistake the cause for the pretext, nor the pretext for the cause and by this means to distinguish between the con-caled and the ostensible, motive. On this principle his-tory might be recomposed in a new manner; it would not after describe streamstances and characters as they usually appear. When we mistake the characters of men, we mistake the nature of their actions, and we shall find in the study of secret history, that some of the most important orents in modern history were produced from very motives than their ostensible ones. Polybu most philosophical writer of the ancients, has marked out the useful distinction of course and pretest, and aptly illus-tates the observation by the facts which he explains.

Amilcar, for instance, was the first author and contriver of the second Punic war, though he died ten years before the commencement of it. 'A statesman,' says the wise and grave historian, 'who knows not how to trace the origin of events, and discern the different sources from whence they take their rise, may be compared to a physician, who neg-lects to inform himself of the causes of those distempers which he is called in to cure. Our pains can never be better employed than in searching out the causes of events; for the most trifing incidents give birth to matters of the greatest moment and importance. The latter part of this remark of Polybius points out another principle which has been often verified by history, and which furnished the materials of the little book of Grands Evenemens par less petites Causes.

Our present inquiry concerns 'cause and pretext.'

Leo X projected an alliance of the sovereigns of Christendom against the Turks. The avowed object was to oppose the progress of the Ottomans against the Mame-likes of Fourt who were more fined in the Christians. lukes of Egypt, who were more friendly to the Christians; but the concealed motive with his boliness was to enrich himself and his family with the spoils of Christendom, and to aggrandise the papal throne by war; and such indeed, the policy of these pontiffs had always been in those mad crusades which they excited against the East.

The Reformation, excellent as its results have proved in the cause of genuine freedom, originated in no purer source than human passion and selfish motives: it was the progeny of avarice in Germany, of novelty in France, and of love in England. The latter is elegantly alluded to by

'And gospel-light first beam'd from Bullen's eyes.'

The Reformation is considered by the Duke of Nevers in a work printed in 1590, as it had been by Francis I in his apology in 1537, as a coup d'état of Charles V, towards universal monarchy. The duke says, that the Emperor silently permitted Luther to establish his principles in Germany, that they might split the confederacy of the elective princes, and by this division facilitate their more easy conquest, and play them off one against another, and by these means to secure the imperial crown, hereditary in the house of Austria. Had Charles V not been the mere creature of his politics, and had he felt any zeal for the Catholic cause, which he pretended to fight for, never would be have allowed the new doctrines to spread for more than twenty years without the least opposition.

The lamous league in France was raised for 'religion

and the rollief of public grievances; such was the pre-text! After the princes and the people had alike become its victims, this 'league' was discovered to have been formed by the pride and the ambition of the Guises, aided formed by the pride and the ambition of the Guises, asceed by the machinations of the Jesuits against the attempts of the Prince of Condé to dislodge them from their seat of power. While the Huguenots pillaged, burnt, and massacred, declaring in their manifestoes, that they were only righting to release the king, whom they asserted was a prisoner of the Guises; the catholics repaid them with the same persecution and the same manifestoes, declaring that they only wish in the liberate the Prince of Condé, who was the prisoner of the Huguenots. The people were led on the prisoner of the Huguenots. The people were led on by the cry of 'religion;' but this civil war was not in reality so much Catholic against Huguenot, as Guise against Condé. A parallel event occurred between our Charles I and the Scotch Covenanters; and the king expressly declared, in 'a large declaration, concerning the late translates in 'called the scotland,' that religion is only pretended, and used by them as a clock to palliate their intended rebellion,' by them as a cloak to palisate their satendar receiver, which he demonstrated by the facts he alleged. There was a revolutionary party in France, which, taking the name of Frondeurs, shook that kingdom under the administration of Cardinal Mazarine, and held out for their pretent the public freedom. But that faction, composed of some of the discontented French princes and the moh, was entirely organized by Cardinal De Rets, who held them in hand, to check or to super them as the coercion required.

tirely organized by Cardinal De Rots, who held them in hand, to check or to spur them as the occasion required, from a mere personal pique against Mazarine, who had not treated that vivacious genius with all the deference he exacted. This appears from his own memoirs.

We have smiled at James I threatening the statesgeneral by the English ambassador, about Vorstius, a Dutch professor, who had espoused the doctrimes of Arminius against those of the contra-remonstrants, or Caivinists; the ostensible subject was religious, or rather meanly visit of the contra-remonal one was a second one was a taphysical-religious doctrines, but the concealed one was a

struggle for predominance between the Pensionary Barnevelt, assisted by the French interest, and the Prince of Orange, supported by the English. 'These were the real sources,' says Lord Hardwicke, a statesman and a man of letters, deeply conversant with secret and public history, and a far more able judge than Diodati the Swiss Divine, and Brandt the ecclesiastical historian, who in the synod and Brandt the eccessation instorant, who is the system of Dort could see nothing but what appeared in it; and gravely narrate the idle squabbles on phrases concerning predestination or grace. Hales, of Eaton, who was se-cretary to the English ambassador at this synod, perfectly accords with the account of Lord Hardwicks. Our sys with the account of Lord Hardwicke. nod,' writes that judicious observer, ' goes on like a watch; the main wheels upon which the whole business turns are least in sight; for all things of moment are acted in private seasions; what is done in public is only for show and enter-tainment.

The cause of the persecution of the Januenists was the jealousy of the Jesuits; the pretest was la grace sufficante. The learned La Croze observes, that the same circumstance occurred in the affair of Nestorius and the church of Alexandria; the pretext was orthodoxy, the cause was the jealousy of the church of Alexandria; or rather the fiery and turbulent Cyril, who personally hated Nestorius. The opinions of Nestorius, and the council which condemned them, were the same in effect. I only produce this remote fact to prove that ancient times do not alter the

the truth of our principle.

When James II was so strenuous an advocate for tolewhen sames it was so sectioned an arrangement was controlled the enlightened principle of government was only a present with that monk-ridden monarch; it is well known that the cause was to introduce and make the catholics predommant in his councils and government. The result, which that eager and blind politician hurried on too fast, and which therefore did not take place, would have been, that ! liberty of conscience' would soon have become an 'overt act of treason,' before an inquisition of his Jesuits!

In all political affairs drop the pretests and strike at the senses; we may thus understand what the heads of parties may choose to conceal.

# POLITICAL FORGERIES AND FICTIONS.

A writer whose learning gives value to his eloquence, in his Bampton Lectures has censured, with that liberal spirit so friendly to the cause of truth, the calumnies and rumours of parties, which are still industriously retailed, though they have been often confuted. Forged documents are still referred to, or tales unsupported by evidence are confidently quoted. Mr Heber's subject confined his inquiries to theological history; he has told us that 'Augustine is not ashamed, in his dispute with Faustus, to take advantage of the popular slanders against the followers of Manes, though his own experience, for he had himself been of that sect, was sufficient to de-tect this falschood. The Romanists, in spite of satisfactory answers, have continued to urge against the English protestant the romance of Parker's consecration; while the protestint persists in falsely imputing to the catholic public formularies, the systematic omission of the second commandment. The calumnies of Rimius and Stinstra against the Moravian brethren are cases in point, continues Mr Heber. 'No one now believes them, yet they once could deceive even Warburton!' We may also add the obsolete calumny of Jews crucifying boys—of which a monument raised to Hugh of Lincoln perpetuates the memory, and which a modern historian records without any scruple of doubt; several authorities, which are cited on this occasion, amount only to the single one of Matthew Paris, who gives it as a popular rumour. Such accusations usually happened when the Jews were too rich

and the king was too poor!

The falsehoods and forgeries raised by parties are overwhelming! It startles a philosopher, in the calm of his study, when he discovers how writers, who, we may presume, are searchers after truth, should, in fact, turn out to be searchers after the grossest fictions. This alters the habits of the literary man: it is an unnatural depravity of his pursuits—and it proves that the personal is too apt to predominate over the literary character.

I have already touched on the main point of the pre-sent article in the one on 'Political Nick-names.' I have there shown how political calumny appears to have been reduced into an art; one of its branches would e

that of converting forgeries and fictions isto historical enthorities.

When one nation is at war with another, there is no doubt that the two governments connive at, and often en-courage the most atrocious libels on each other, to madden the people to preserve their independence, and con-tribute cheerfully to the expenses of the war. France and England formerly complained of Holland—the Athenian employed the same policy against the Macdonians and Persians. Such is the origin of a vast number of supposititious papers and volumes, which sometimes, at a remote date, confound the labours of the honest historian, and too often serve the purposes of the dishonest, with whom they become authorities. The crude and suspicious libels which were drawn out of their obscurty in the First have over-loaded Cromwell's time against James the First have over-los the character of that monarch, yet are now eagerly referred to by party writers, though in their own days they were obsolete and doubtful. During the civil wars of Charles the First, such spurious documents exist in the forms of speeches which were never spoken; of letters never written by the names subscribed; printed declarations never declared; battles never fought, and victories never obtained! Such is the language of Rushworth, who complains of this evil spirit of party-forgeries, while he is himself susof this evil spirit of party-forgeries, while he is himself suspected of having rescinded or suppressed whatever was not agreeable to his patron Cromwell. A curious, and, perhaps, a necessary list might be drawn up of political forgeries of our own, which have been sometimes referred to as genuine, but which are the inventions of wits and satirists! Bayle ingeniously observes, that at the close of every century such productions should be branded by a skillful discriminator, to save the future inquirer from errors he can hardly avoid. 'How many are still kept in error by the satires of the sixteenth century! These of the present age will be no less active in future ages, for

they will still be preserved in public libraries.'

The art and skill with which some have fabricated a forged narrative, reader its detection almost hopeless. When young Maitland, the brother to the secretary, in order to palliate the crime of the assassination of the Regest Murray, was employed to draw up a pretended conference between him, Knox, and others, to stigmatize them by the odium of advising to dethrone the young monarch, and to substitute the regent for their sovereign, Mailiand produced so dramatic a performance, by giving to each person his peculiar mode of expression, that this circumstance long baffled the incredulity of those who could not in consequence deny the truth of a narrative apparently so correct in its particulars! 'The fiction of the warmingpan, enclosing the young Pretender, brought more adherents to the cause of the Whige than the Bill of Right,

observes Lord John Russell.

Among such party narratives, the horrid tale of the bloody Colonel Kirk, has been worked up by Hume with all his eloquence and pathos; and, from its interest no suspicion has arisen of its truth. Yet, so far as it concerns Kirk, or the reign of James the Record of the Party of Cirk, or the reign of James the Second, or even English history, it is, as Ritson too honestly expresses it, 'an' pudent and a barefaced lie!' The simple fact is told The simple fact is told by Kennet in a few words: he probably was aware of the naare not in a lew words; he proceed was aware of the leave of the political fiction. Hume was not, indeed, his self the fabricator of the tale; but he had not any historical authority. The origin of this fable was probably a pious fraud of the Whig party, to whom Kirk had readered himself odious; at that moment stories still more terrifnimeer colous; at that moment stories still more teruly ing were greedily swallowed, and which, Risson massuates, have become a part of the history of England. The original story, related more circumstantially, though not more affectingly, mor perhaps more truly, may be found wanley's 'Wonders of the Little World,'s which I give, relieving it from the tediousness of old Wanley.

A governor of Zealand, under the bold Duke of B gundy, had in vain sought to seduce the affections of the beautiful wife of a citizen. The governor imprisons the husband on an accusation of treason; and when the numena on an accusation of treason; and when use wiso appeared as the suppliant, the governor, after no brief eloquence, succeeded as a lover, on the plea that her husband's life could only be spared by her compliance. The woman, in tears and in aversion, and not without a hope of vengeance only delayed, lost her homes. Pointing to the prince the second of the prince t our! Pointing to the prison, the governor told her 'If you seek your husband, enter there, and take him along with

> + Book III, ch. 29, sec. 18 Digitized by Google

you? The wife, in the bitterness of her thoughts, yet not without the consolation that she had snatched her hasband from the grave, passed into the prison; there in a cell, to her astonishment and horror, she beheld the corpse of her busband laid out in a coffin, ready for burial? Mourning over it, she at length returned to the governor, fercely exclaiming, 'You have kept your word! you have restored to me my husband! and be assured the favour shall be repaid!? The inhuman villain, terrified in the presence of bis intropid victim, attempted to appease her vageance, and more, to win her to his wishes. Returning hame, she assembled her friends, revealed her whole story, and under their presections, she appealed to Charles the Bold, a strict lover of justice, and who now awarded a singular but an exemplary catastrophe. The duke first roumanded that the criminal governor should instantly marry the woman whom he had made a widow, and at the same time sign his will, with a clause importing, that should he die before his lady he constituted her his horress. All this was concealed from both sides, rather to satisfy the duke than the parties themselves. This done, the unhappy woman was dismissed alone! The governor was conducted to the prison to suffer the same death be lad inflicted on the husband of his wife; and when this lady was desired once more to enter the prison, also beld her second husband headless in his coffin as she had her first! Such extraordinary incidents in so short a period overpowered the feeble firame of the sufferer; she died—leaving a son, who inherited the rich accession of fortuse so fatally obtained by his injured and suffering mother.

Such is the tale of which the party story of Kirk appeared to Ritson to have been a refaccimente; but it is rather the foundation than the superstructure, critic was right in the main, but not by the by; in the general, not in the particular. It was not necessary to point out the present source, when so many others of a parallel nature exist. This tale, universally told, Mr Douce considers as the origin of 'Measure for Measure,' and was probably some traditional event; for it appears sometimes with a change of names and places, without any of incident. It always turns on a soldier, a brother, or a husband executed; and a wife, or sister, a deceived victim, to save them from death. It was, the refere, easily transferred to Kirk, and Pomfret's poem of Cruelty and Lust long made the story popular. It could only have been in this form that it reached the historian, who, it must be observed, introduces it as a story commonly told of him; but popular tragic romances should not enter into the dusty documents of a history of England, and much less be par-icularly specified in the index! Belleforest, in his old ver-son of the tale, has given the circumstance of 'the Cap-tain, who having seduced the wife under the promise to save her husband's life, exhibited him soon afterwards through the window of her opartment suspended on a gib-bet.' This forms the horrid incident in the history of 'the bloody Colonel,' and served the purpose of a party, who wished to bury him in odium. Kirk was a soldier of fortune, and a loose liver, and a great blusterer, who would ctimes threaten to decimate his own regiment : but is said to have forgotten the menace the next day. Hateful as such military men will always be, in the present instance Colonel Kirk has been shamefully calumniated by poets and historians, who suffer themselves to be duped by the forgeries of political parties!

While we are detecting a source of error into which the party feelings of modern historians may lead them, let us cosées that they are far more valuable than the ancient; for to us, at least, the ancients have written history without producing authorities! Modern historians must furently the same their renics, by providing them with their authorities; and it is only by judiciously appreciating these that we may considently accept their discoveries. Unquestionably the ancients have often introduced into their histories many tales similar to the story of Kirk—popular or party forgeries! The melifiduous copiousness of Livy conceals many a fatal stroke; and the secret history of Suetonius too often rises a suspicion of those whispers, Quid res in surren rapine discrit, quid Juno fabulata sit cum Jove. It is certain that Plutarch has often told, and varied too in the telling, the same story, which he has applied to different persons. A critic in the Ritsonian style has said of the

grave Plutarch, Mendax ille Plutarchus qui vitas oratorum, doiis et erroribus consutas, clim conscribillavit.\* 'That lying Plutarch, who formerly scribbled the lives of the orators, made up of faisities and blunders! There is in Italian a scarce book, of a better design than execution, of the Abbate Lancellotti, Fisrfalleni dagti antichi historia.—'Flim-flams of the ancients.' Modern historians have to dispute their passage to immortality step by step; and bowever fervid be their eloquence, their real test as to value, must be brought to the humble references in their margin. Yet these must not terminate our inquiries; for in tracing a story to its original source, we shall find that fictions have been sometimes grafted on truths or hearsays, and to separate them as they appeared in their first stage, is the pride and glory of learned criticism.

### EXPRESSION OF SUPPRESSED OFINION.

A people denied the freedom of speech or of writing, have usually left some memorials of their feelings in that silent language which addresses itself to the eye. Many ingenious inventions have been contrived, to give vent to their suppressed indignation. The voluminous grievance which they could not trust to the voice or the pen, they have carved in wood, or sculptured on stone; and have sometimes even facetiously concealed their satire among the playful ornaments, designed to amuse those of whom they so fruitlessly complained! Such monuments of the suppressed feelings of the multitude are not often inspected by the historian—their minuteness escapes all eyes but those of the philosophical antiquary; nor are these satirical appearances always considered as grave authorities, which unquestionably they will be found to be by a close observer of human nature. An entertaining history of the modes of thinking, or the discontents of a people, drawn from such dispersed efforts in every era, would cast a new light of secret history over many dark intervals.

Did we possess a secret history of the Saturnalia it

Did we possess a secret history of the Saturnalia, it would doubtless have afforded some materials for the present article. In those revels of venerable radicalism, when sent article. In those revers of venerable radicaism, when the senate was closed, and the *Pileus*, or cap of liberty, was triumphantly worn, all things assumed an appearance contrary to what they were; and human nature, as well as human laws, might be said to have been paredied. A mong so many whimsical regulations in favour of the licentious rabble, there was one which forbad the circulation of money; if any one offered the coin of the state, it was to be condemned as an act of madness, and the man was brought to his senses by a penitential fast for that day. An ingenious French antiquary seems to have discovered a class of wretched medals, cast in lead or copper, which formed the circulating medium of these mob Lords, who, to ridicule the idea of money, used the basest metals, stamping them with grotesque figures or odd devices,—such as a sow; a chimerical bird; an imperator in his car, with a key behind him; or an old woman's head, Accs Laua, either the traditional old nurse of Romulus, or an old courtesan of the same name, who bequeathed the fruits of her labours to the Roman people! As all things were done in mockery, this base metal is stamped with s. c., to ridicule the senatus consulte, which our antiquary happily ridicule the sensus consulte, which our antiquary happily explains, in the true spirit of this government of mockery, Saturnalium consulte, agreeing with the legend of the reverse, inscribed in the midst of four tali, or bones, which they used as dice, Qui isadit carrant det, quod satis sit—Lot them who play give a pledge, which will be sufficient. This mock money served not only as an expression of the native irony, of the radical gentry of Rome during their festival, but had they spoken their mind out, meant a ridicule of money itself; for these citizens of equality heave always imagined that society might proceed without this always imagined that society might proceed without this contrivance of a medium which served to represent property, in which they themselves must so little participate.

A period so glorious for exhibiting the suppressed sen-

A period so glorious for exhibiting the suppressed sem
\* Taylor, Annot ad Lysiam

† Baudelot de Dairval de l' Utilité des Voyages, II, 645.
There is a work, by Flocroni on these lead coins or Tickets
They are found in the cabinets of the curious metalliet
Plinkerton, referring to this entertaining work, regress that

Such curious remains have almost escaped the notice of
medallists, and have not yet been ranged in one class, or
named. A special work on them would be highly acceptable. The time has perhaps arrived when antiquaries may
begin to be philosophers, and philosophers antiquaries! The
unhappy separation of erudition from philosophy, and of philosophy from erudition, has hitherto thrown impediments in
the progress of the human mind, and the history of man.

timents of the populace, as were these Saturnalia, had been nearly lost for us, had not some notions been preserved by Lucian; for we glean but sparingly from the solemn pages of the historian, except in the remarkable instance which Suetonius has preserved of the arch-mime who followed the body of the Emperor Vespasian at his funeral. This officer, as well as a similar one, who accompanied the general to whom they granted a triumph, and who was allowed the unrestrained licentiousness of his tongue, were both the organs of popular feeling, and studied to gratify the rabble, who were their real masters. On this occasion the arch-mime, representing both the exterior personage and the character of Vespasian, according to custom, inquired the expense of the funeral? He was answered, 'ten millions of sectores?' In allusion to the love of money which characterized the emperor, his mock representative exclaimed, 'Give me the money, and,

mock representative exclaimed, 'Give me the money, and, if you will, throw my body into the Tiber!'

All these mock offices and festivals among the ancients, I consider as organs of the suppressed opinions and feelings of the populace, who were allowed no other, and had not the means of the printing ages to leave any permanent records. At a later period, before the discovery of the art, which multiplies, with such facility, libels or parlegy-rics; when the people could not speak freely against those rapacious clergy, who sheared the fleece and cared not for the shear many a secret of recular indignation was conthe sheep, many a secret of popular indignation was confided not to books (for they could not read) but to pic-tures and sculptures, which are books which the people can always read. The sculptors and illuminators of those times, no doubt shared in common the popular feelings, and boldly trusted to the paintings or the carvings which met the eyes of their luxurious and indolent masters their satirical inventions. As far back as in 1300, we find in Wolfius\* the description of a picture of this kind, in a MS. of Æsop's Fables, found in the Abbey of Fulda, among other emblems of the corrupt lives of the churchmen. present was a wolf, large as life, wearing a monkish cowl, with a shaven crown, preaching to a flock of sheep, with these words of the apostle in a label from his mouth,— 'God is my witness how I long for you all in my bowels!' And underneath was inscribed,—'This hooded wolf is the hypocrite of whom it is said in the Gospel, "Beware of false prophets!" Such exhibitions were often introduced later proposers.

Into articles of furniture. A cushion was found in an our abbey, in which was worked a fex preaching to geese, each goose holding in his bill his praying beads! In the stone wall, and on the columns of the great church as Strasburg was once viewed a number of wolves, bears, foxes, and other mischievous animals carrying holy-water, and taners: and others more indelicate. These, probably as old as the year 1300, were engraven in 1617, by a protestant; and were not destroyed till 1685, by the pious rage of the catholics, who seemed at length to have rightly construed these silent lampoons; and in their turn broke to pieces the protestant images as the others had done the papistical dolls. The carved seats and stalls in our own cathedrals exhibit subjects, not only strange and sat rical, but even indecent. At the time they built churchs tney satirized the ministers; a curious instance how the feelings of the people struggle to find a vent. It is conjectured that rival orders sattrized each other, and that some of the carvings are caricatures of certain monks. The margins of illuminated manuscripts frequently contain ingenious caricatures, or satirical allegories. In a mag-nificent chronicle of Froissart I observed several. A wolf, as usual, in a monk's frock and cowl, stretching his paw to bless a cock, bending its head submissively to the wolf; or a fox with a crossier, dropping beads, which a cock is pick-ing up; to satirize the blind devotion of the bigots; per-haps the figure of the cock alluded to our Gallic neighbours. A cat in the habit of a nun, holding a platter in its paws to a mouse approaching to lick it; alluding to the allurements of the abbesses to draw young women into their convents; while sometimes I have seen a sow in an abbess's veil, mounted on stilts; the sex marked by the sow's dugs. A pope sometimes appears to be thrust by devils into a caldron; and cardinals are seen reasting on spits! These ornaments must have been generally executed by monks themselves; but these more ingenious mem-bers of the ecclesiastical order appear to have sympathized with the people, like the curates in our church, and eavied the pampered abbot and the purple bishop. Church-

\* Let Mem. I, ad. an. 1300.

men were the usual objects of the suppressed indignatum of the people in those days; but the knights and feedal lords have not always escaped from the 'curses not loss but deen' of their satisfied people.

but deep,' of their satirical pencils.

As the Reformation, or rather the Revolution, was has tening, this custom become so general, that in one of the dialogues of Erasmus, where two Franciscans are estetained by their host, 'appears that such satirical exhibitions were flung up as common furniture in the spartments or describes one which he had seen of an ape in the halst of a Franciscan sitting by a sick man's bed, despensing ghostly counsel, holding up a crucifix in one hand, while with the other he is filching a purse out of the sick man's pocket. Such are 'the straws' by which we may always observe from what corner the wind rises! Mr Diddin has recently informed us, that Geyler, whom he calls' the herald of the Reformation,' preceding Luther by twelve years, had a stone chair or pulpit in the cathedral at Strasburg, from which he delivered his lectures, or rather rolled the thunders of his anathemas against the monks. This stone pulpit was constructed under his own superistendence, and is covered with very indecent figures of monks and nuns, expressly designed by him to expose their proligate manners. We see Geyler doing what for centaries had been done!

In the curious folios of Sauval, the Stowe of France, there is a copious chapter entitled 'Hersiques, leave attentats.' In this enumeration of their attempts to give extentats.' In this enumeration, it is very remarkable, that proceding the time of Lather, the minds of many were perfectly Latheran respecting the isolatrous worship of the Roman church; and what I now notice would have rightly entered into that significant Historia Reformations onto Reformations, which was formerly projected by continental writers.

Luther did not consign the pope's decretals to the flames till 1520—this was the first open act of referention and insurrection, for hitherto he had submitted to the court of Rome. Yet in 1490, thirty years preceding this great event, I find a priest burnt for having snatched the best merit of another celebrating mass. Twelve years afterwards, 1502, a student repeated the same deed, trampling on it; and in 1523 the resolute death of Anne de Bourg, a counsellor in the parliament of Pars, to use the expression of Sauval, 'corrupted the world.' It is evident that the Huguenots were fast on the increase. From that period I find continued accounts which prove that the Huguenots of France, like the Puritans of England, were most resolute iconcelasts. They struck off the heads of Virgins and little Jesuses, or blunted thest dagers by chipping the wooden saints, which were then fixed at the corners of streets. Every morning discovered the scandalous treatment they had undergone in the night. Then their images were painted on the walls, but these were heretically scratched and disfigured; and, since the saints could not defend themselves, a royal edict was published in their favour, commanding that all holy paistings in the streets should not be allowed short of tan feet from the ground! They entered churches at night, tearing up or breaking down the prisms, the busiloires, the cruckins, the colossal ecce-homes, which they did not always succeed in dislodging for want of time or tools. Amidst these hattles with wooden adversaries, we may smile at the frequent solemn processions instituted to ward off the vegeance of the parish saint; the wooden was expissed by a silver image, secured by iron bars, and attended by the king and the nobility, carrying the new saint, with prayers that he would protect himself from the heretics!

In the early period of the Reformation, an instance occurs of the art of concealing what we wish only the few should comprehend, at the same time that we are addressing the public. Curious collectors are acquainted, with 'The Olivetan Bible:' this was the first translation published by the protestants, and there seems no coubt that Calvin was the chief, if not the only translator; but at that moment not choosing to become responsible for this new version, he made use of the name of an obscure relative, Robert Pierre Olivetan. Calvin, however, prefixed a Latin preface, remarkable for delivering positions very exposite to those tremendous doctrines of absolute presentation, which in his theological despotism he afterwards assumed. De Bure describes this first pretestant Bible not only as rare, but when found as usually assperiet,

mucl soiled, and dog-cared, as the well-read first edition is Shakspeare, by the perpetual use of the multitude. But a curious fact has escaped the detection both of De Bure and Beloo; at the end of the volume are found ten esses, which, in a concealed manner, authenticate the translation; and which no one, unless initiated into the secret, could possibly suspect. The verses are not poetical, but I give the first sentence:

Ez vif parier - &c.

The first latter of every word of these ten verses form a perfect district, containing information important to those to whom the Olivetan Bible was addressed.

Lee Vaudois, peuple evangelique Ont mis ce thresor en publique.

An anagram had been too inartificial a contrivance to have answered the purpose of concealing from the world at large this secret. There is an advoitness in the inventon of the initial letters of all the words through these twenton. They contained a communication necessary to authoritize the version, but which at the same time, could not be suspected by any person not instructed with the

When the art of medal-engraving was revived in Europe, the spirit, we are now noticing, took possession of those less perishable and more circulating vehicles. Satiric medals were almost unknown to the ancient mint, notwithstuding those of the Saturnalia, and a few which bear wiscrable puns on the unlucky names of some consuls. Medals illustrate history, and history reflects light on medals, as their advocates who are warm in their favourite study. It has been asserted, that medals are more authentic memorials than history itself; but a medal is not less succeptible of the bad passions than a pamphlet or an engrass. Ambition has its vanity, and engraves a dubious victory; and Flattery will practise its art, and decsive us in gold! A calumny or a fiction on metal may be more durable than on a fugitive page; and a libel has a better chance of being preserved, when the artist is skilful, than simple truths when miserably executed. Medals of this class are numerous, and were the precursors of those political satires exhibited in caricature prints. There is a large collection of wooden cuts about the time of Calvin, where the Romish religion is represented by the most grotesque forms which the ridicule of the early Reformers could event. More than a thousand figures attest the exuberant satire of the designers. This work is equally rare and costly.\*

Saires of this species commenced in the freedom of the Reformation; for we find a medal of Luther in a monk's habit, satirically bearing for its reverse Catharine de Bora, the non whom this monk married; the first step of his personal reformation! Nor can we be certain that Catharine was not more concerned in that great revolution than appears in the voluminous lives we have of the great reformers. However, the reformers were as great sticklers for medals as the papelins.' Of Pope John VIII, an effeminate voluptuary, we have a medal with his portrait, inscribed Pope Joan? and another of Innocent K, dressed as a woman bolding a spindle; the reverse, his famous mistress, Domna Olympia, dressed as a Pope, with the tiara on her head, and the keys of St Peter in her hands!

When, in the reign of Mary, England was groaning

When, in the reign of Mary, England was groaning under Spanish influence, and no remonstrance could reach the throne, the queen's person and government were made ridiculous to the people's eyes, by prints or pictures, 'representing her majesty naked, meagre, withered, and wmakled, with every aggravated circumstance of deformity that could disgrace a female figure, seated in a regal chair; a crown on her head, surrounded with M. R. and A. in capitals, accompanied by small letters; Maria Regina adagks? a number of Spaniards were sucking her to skin and hone, and a specification was added of the money, rings, jewels, and other presents with which she had secretly gratified her husband Philip.' It is said that the queen suspected some of her own council of this invention, who alone were privy to these transactions. It is, however, in this manner that the voice, which is suppressed by authority, c mes at length in another shape to the eye.

\* Mr Douce possesses a portion of this very curious collection: for a complete one, De Bure asked about twenty pounds.

Warton's Life of Sir Thomas Pope, p. 58.

The age of Elizabeth, when the Roman pontiff and all his adherents were odious to the people, produced a researchable caricature, an ingenious invention—a gorgon's head! A church bell forms the helmet; the ornaments, instead of the feathers, are a wolf's head in a mitre devouring a lamb, an ass's head with spectacles reading, a goose holding a rosary; the face is made out with a fish for the nose, a chalice and water for the eye, and other priestly ornaments for the shoulder and breast, on which rolls of parchment pardons hang.\*

prickety ornamous for the shounder and prease, our viscous of parchment pardons hang.\*

A famous Bishop of Munster, Bernard de Galen, who, in his charitable violence for converting protestants, got himself into such celebrity that he appears to have served as an excellent sign-post to the inns in Germany, was the true church militant: and his figure was exhibited according to the popular fancy. His head was half mitre and half helmet; a crosier in one hand and a sabre in the other; half a rochet and half a cuirass; he was made performing mass as a dragoon on horseback, and giving out the charge when he ought the Ite, misses est! He was called the converter? and the 'Bishop of Munster' became popular as a sign-post in German towns; for the people like fighting men, though they should even fight against themselves.

It is rather curious to observe of this new species o satire, so easily distributed among the people, and so di-rectly addressed to their understandings, that it was made the vehicle of national feeling. Ministers of state condescended to invent the devices. Lord Orford says, that caricatures on cards were the invention of George Townshead in the affair of Byng, which was soon followed by a pack. I am informed of an ancient pack of cards which has caricatures of all the Parliamentarian Generals, which might be not unusefully shuffled by a writer of secret his-tory. We may be surprised to find the grave Sully prac-tising this artifice on several occasions. In the civil wars of France the Duke of Savoy had taken by surprise Sa-luces, and struck a medal; on the reverse a centaur appears shooting with a bow and arrow, with the legend Opportune! But when Henry the Fourth had reconquered the town, he published another, on which Hercules appears killing the centaur, with the word Opportunias.
The great minister was the author of this retort! A medal of the Dutch ambassador at the court of France, Van Beuninghen, whom the French represent as a haughty burgomaster, but who had the vivacity of a Frenchman, and the haughtiness of a Spaniard, as Voltaire characterizes him, is said to have been the occasion of the Dutch war in 1672; but wars will be hardly made for an idle modal. Medals may, however, indicate a preparatory war. Louis the Fourteenth was so often compared to the sun at its meridian, that some of his creatures may have imagined that, like the sun, he could dart into any part of Europe as he willed, and be as cheerfully received. The Dutch minister, whose christian name was Joshua, however, had a medal struck of Joshua stopping the sun in his course, inferring that this miracle was operated by his little republic. The medal itself is engraven in Van Loon's voluminous Histoire Medallique du Pays Bas, and in Marchand's Dictionnaire Historique, who labours to prove against twenty authors that the Dutch ambassador was against twenty authors that the Dutch ambassador was not the inventor; it was not, however, unworthy of him and it conveyed to the world the high feeling of her power which Holland had then assumed. Two years after the noise about this medal, the republic paid dear for the device; but thirty years afterwards this very burgomaster concluded a glorious peace, and France and Spain were compelled to receive the mediation of the Dutch Joshua with the French sun.\* In these vehicles of national satire, it is odd that the phlegmatic Dutch, more than any other nation, and from the earliest period of their republic. satire, it is out that the pringinate Duten, and form the earliest period of their republic, should have indulged freely, if not licentiously. It was a republican humour. Their taste was usually gross. We republican humour. Their taste was usually gross. We owe to them, even in the reign of Elizabeth, a severe medal on Leicester, who having retired in disgust from the government of their provinces, struck a medal with his bust, reverse, a dog and sheep,

Non gregem; sed ingratos invitus desero :

on which the angry juvenile states struck another, repre-\* This ancient carricature, so descriptive of the popular feelings, is tolerably given in Malcom's History of 'Carica-

turing, plate ii, fig. 1.

† The history of this medal is verful in more than one respect; and may be four in Prosper Marchand.

senting an ape and young ones, reverse, Leicester near

Fugiens fumum, incidit in ignem.

Another medal, with an excellent portrait of Cromwell, was struck by the Dutch. The protector, crowned with aurels, is on his knees, laying his head in the lap of the Aurels, is on his knees, laying his nead in the tap of the commonwealth, but loosely exhibiting himself to the French and Spanish ambassadors with gross indeency; the Frenchman, covered with a feare de lis, is pushing aside the grave Don, and disputes with him the precedence—Retire toy; l'homeur appartient as roy mon maitre, Louis le Grand. Van Loon is very right in denouncing fre, Louis le Grand. Van Loon is very right in denouncing this same medal, so grossly flattering to the English, as most detestable and indelicate! But why does Van Loon envy us this lumpish invention? why does the Dutchman quarrel with his own cheese? The honour of the medal we claim, but the invention belongs to his country. The Dutch went on, commenting in this manner on English affairs, from reign to reign. Charles the Second declared affairs, from reign to reign. Charles the Second declared war against them in 1672 for a malicious medal, though the States-General effered to break the die by purchasing it of the workman for one thousand ducats; but it served for a pretext for a Dutch war, which Charles cared more about than the mala bestia of his exergue. Charles also complained of a scandalous picture which the brothers De Witt had in their house, representing a naval battle with the English. Charles the Second seems to have been more sensible to this sort of national satire than we might have expected in a professed wit; a race, however, who are not the most patient in having their own sauce returned to their lips. The king employed Evelyn to write a history of the Dutch war, and enjoined him to make it a little keen, for the Hollanders had very unhandsomely abused him in their pictures, books, and libels.' The Dutch continued their career of conveying their national feeling on English affairs more triumphantly when their stadtholder ascended an English throne. The birth of stadtholder ascended an English throne. The birth of the Pretender is represented by the chest which Minerva gave to the daughters of Cecrops to keep, and which, opened, discovered an infant with a serpent's tail: Infantemque vident apporectumque draconem; the chest perhaps alluding to the removes of the warming-pan; and in another, James and a Jesuit flying in terror, the king throwing away a crown and sceptre, and the Jesuit carrying a child, Re, misso est, the words applied from the mass. But in these contests of national feeling, while the grandeur of Louis the Fourteenth did not allow of these ludicrous and satirical exhibitions; and while the political idolatry which his forty academicians paid to him, exhausted itself in the splendid fictions of a series of famous medals, amounting specials rectors of a contract of the state writes as a monancer, consuming ans own country for having at length adulated the grand monarch by a complimentary medal. He says, 'The English cannot be reproached with a similar debonaireté.' After the famous victories of Mariborough, they indeed inserted in a medal the head of the French monarch and the English queen, with this inscription, Ludovicus Magner, Anna Major.
Long ere this, one of our queens had been exhibited by ourselves with considerable energy. On the defeat of the Armada, Elizabeth, Pinkerton tells us, struck a medal representing the English and Spanish fleets, Hesperidum regem devicit virgo. Philip had medals dispersed in England of the same impression, with this addition, Nega-tur. Est meretris vulgi. These the queen suppressed, but published another medal, with this legend:

Hesperidum regem devicit virgo; negatur, Est meretrix vuigi: res eo deterior.

An age fertile in satirical prints was the eventful era of Charles the First; they were showered from all pasties and a large collection of them would admit of a critical historical commentary, which might become a vehicle of the most curious secret history. Most of them are in a bad style, for they are all allegorical; yet that these satirical exhibitions influenced the eyes and minds of the people is evident, from an extraordinary circumstance.
Two grave collections of historical documents adopted
them. We are surprised to find prefixed to Rushworth's and Nalson's historical collections, two such political prints! Nalson's was an act of retributive justice; but he seems to have been aware, that satire in the shape of sictures is a language very attractive to the multitude;

for he has introduced a caricature print in the soless folio of the trial of Charles the First. Of the happiest of these political prints is one by Taylor the water-poet, not included in his folio, but prefixed to his 'Mad fashions, odd fashions or the emblems of these distracted times.' It is the figure of a man whose eyes have left their sockets, and whose legs have usurped the place of his army; a horse on his hind legs is drawing a cart; a church is inverted; fish fly in the air; a candle burns with the flame downwards; and the mouse and rabbit are pursuing the cat and the fox!

The animosities of national batreds have been a fertile source of these vehicles of popular feeling—which dis-cover themselves in severe or grotesque caricatures. The French and the Spaniards mutually exhibited one another under the most extravagant figures. The political cari-catures of the French, in the seventeenth century, are numerous. The badasds of Paris amused themselves for their losses, by giving an emetic to a Spaniard, to make him render up all the towns his victories had obtained; seven or eight Spaniards are seen seated around a large turnip, with their frizzled mustachies, their hats ex pot a buerre; their long rapiers, with their pummels down to their feet, and their points up to their shoulders; their their teet, and their postes up to their stack ruffs stiffened by many rows, and pieces of garlic stuck in their girdles. The Dutch were exhibited in as great variety as the uniformity of frogs would allow. We have in their girdles. The Lutch were exhibited in as great variety as the uniformity of frogs would allow. We have largely participated in the vindictive spirit, which these grotesque emblems keep up among the people; they mark the secret feelings of national pride. The Greeks despised the secret seeings of national price. A new recens use present foreigners, and considered them only as fit to be slaves; the ancient Jews, inflated with a false idea of their small territory, would be masters of the world: the Italians placed a line of demarcation for genius and taste, and marked it by their mountains. The Spaniards once impacts of the state of the s marked it by their mountains. The Spaniards once imagined that the conferences of God with Moses on Mount Smai were in the Spanish language. If a Japanese becomes the friend of a foreigner, he is considered as committing treason to his emperor; and rejected as a false brother in a country which we are told is figuratively called Tenka, or the kingdom under the Heavens. John

called Zenza, or the kingdom under the Heavens. John Bullism is not peculiar to Englishmen; and patriotism is a noble virtue, when it secures our independence without depriving us of our humanity.

The civil wars of the league in France, and those in England under Charles the First, bear the most striking resemblance; and in examining the revolutionary scenes archibited by the graves in the firence series Messing and discover the foreign artist revelling in the caricature of his ludicrous and severe exhibition; and in that other revolutionary period of La Fronds, there was a mania for political songs; the curious have formed them into collections; and we, not only have 'the Rump songs' of Charles the First's times, but have repeated this kind of evidence of the public feeling at many subsequent periods. Carion-tures and political songs might with us furnish a new sort of history; and perhaps would preserve some truths, and describe some particular events, not to be found in more grave authorities.

### AUTOGRAPHS,†

The art of judging of the characters of persons by their writing can only have any reality, when the pen, acting without constraint, may become an instrument guided by and indicative of the natural dispositions. But regulated as the pen is now too often by a mechanical process, which the present race of writing-masters seem to have contrived for their own convenience, a whole school exhibits a similar hand-writing; the pupils are forced in their automatic motions, as if acted on by the pressure of a steam-engine; a bevy of beauties will now write such fac-similes of each other, that in a heap of letters presented to the most sharp-sighted lover, to select that of his mistrass -though like Bassanio among the caskets, his happiness should be risked on the choice—he would despair of fixing on the right one, all appearing to have come from the

\* A passage may be found in Aristotle's politics, vol. i, c. 3

—7; where Aristotle advises Atexander to govern the Greeks
like his subjects, and the barbarians like slaves; for that the
one he was to consider as companions, and the other as crea-

tures of an inferior race.
† A small volume which I met with at Paris, entitled 'L'Art † A small volume which I met with as raim, orinited. Le met de juger du Caractere des Hommes sur leurs Ecritures, it extremes, it cut rhous for its illustrations, consisting of twenty-four plates, ex hibiting fac-similes of the writing of eminent and other per sons, correctly taken from the original autographs.

same rolling-press. Even brothers of different tempers have been taught by the same master to give the same form to their letters, the same regularity to their line, and have made our hand-writings as monotonous as are our characters in the present habits of society. The true physicognomy of writing will be lost among our rising generation: it is no longer a face that we are looking on, but a beautiful mask of a single pattern; and the fashionable hand-writing of our young ladies is like the former tight-lacing of their mother's youthful days, when every one slike had what was supposed to be a fine shape!

lacing of their mother's youthful days, when every one alike had what was supposed to be a fine shape!

Assuredly Nature would prompt every individual to have a distinct sort of writing, as she has given a peculiar countenance—a voice—and a manner. The floxibility of the muscles differs with every individual, and the hand will follow the direction of the thoughts, and the emotions and the habits of the writers. The phlegmatic will portray his words, while the playful haste of the volatile will scarcely sketch them; the alovenly will blot and efface and scrawl, while the neat and orderly minded will view themselves in the paper before their eyes. The merchant's clerk will not write like the lawyer or the poet. Even nations are distinguished by their writing; the vivacity and variableness of the Frenchman, and the delicacy and suppleness of the Italian, are perceptibly distinct from the slowness and strength of pen discoverable in the phlegmatic German, Dane, and Swede. When we are in grief, we do not write as we should in joy. The elegant and correct mind, which has acquired the fortunate habit of a fixity of attention, will write with scarcely an erasure on the page, as Fenelon and Gray and Gibbon; while we find in Pope's manuscripts the perpetual struggles of correction, and the eager and rapid interlineations struck off in heat. Lavater's notion of hand-writing is by no means chimerical; nor was General Paoli fancitul, when he told Mr Northcote, that he had decided on the character and dispositions of a man from his letters, and the hand-writing

Long before the days of Lavater, Shenstone in one of his letters said, 'I want to see Mrs Jago's hand-writing, that I may judge of her temper.' One great truth must however be conceded to the opponents of the physiognomy of writing; general rules only can be laid down. Yet the vital principle must be true, that the hand-writing hears an analogy to the character of the writer, as all voluntary actions are characteristic of the individual. But many causes operate to counteract or obstruct this result. I am intimately acquainted with the hand-writings of five of our great poets. The first in early life acquired among Scottish advocates a hand-writing which cannot be distinguished from that of his ordinary brothers; the second, educated in public schools, where writing is shamefully neglected, composes his sublime or sportive verses in a school-boy's ragged scrawl, as if he had never finished his tasks with the writing master; the third writes his highly-wrought poetry in the common hand of a merchant's clerk, from early commercial avocations; the fourth has all that finished neatness, which polished his verses; while the fifth is a specimen of a full mind, not in the habit of correction or alteration; so that he appears to be printing down his thoughts, without a solitary erasure. The hand-writing of the first and third poets, not indicative of their character, we have accounted for; the others are admirable specimens of characteristic autographs.

Oldys, in one of his curious notes, was struck by the distinctness of character in the hand-writings of several of our kings. He observed nothing farther than the mere fact, and did not extend his idea to the art of judging of the natural character by the writing. Oldys has described these hand-writings with the utmost correctness, as I have

often verified. I shall add a few comments.

'Henry the Eighth wrote a streng hard, but as if he shad seldom a good pen.'—The vehemence of his character conveyed itself into his writing; bold, hasty, and commanding, I have no doubt the assertor of the Pope's supremacy and its triumphant destroyer, split many a good

Edward the Sixth wrote a fair legible hand.' We have this promising young prince's diary, written by his own hand; in all respects he was an assiduous pupil, and he had scarcely learned to write and to reign when we lost him.

'Queen Elizabeth writ an upright hand, like the bastard Italian.' She was indeed a most elegant caligrapher, whom Roger Ascham had taught all the elegancies of the pen. The French editor of the little autographical work I have noticed has given the autograph of her name, which she usually wrote in a very large tall character, and painfully elaborate. He accompanies it with one of the Scotish Mary, who at times wrote elegantly, though usually in uneven lines; when in haste and distress of mind, in several letters during her imprisonment which I have read, much the contrary. The French editor makes this observation: 'Who could believe that these writings are of the same epoch? The first denotes asperity and ostentation; the second indicates simplicity, softness, and nobleness. The one is that of Elizabeth, queen of England; the other that of her cousin, Mary Stuart. The difference of these two hand-writings answers most evidently to that of their characters.'

'James the First writ a poor ungainly character, all awry, and not in a straight line.' James certainly wrote a slovenly scrawl, strongly indicative of that personal negligence which he carried into all the little things of life; and Buchanan, who had made him an excellent scholar, may receive the disgrace of his pupil's ugly scribble, which sprawls about his careless and melegant letters.

'Charles the First wrote a fair open Italian hand, and more correctly perhaps, then any prince we ever had.' Charles was the first of our monarchs who intended to have domiciliated taste in the kingdom, and it might have been conjectured from this unfortunate prince, who so finely discriminated the manners of the different painters, which are in fact their hand-writings, that he would have not been insensible to the elegancies of the pen.

'Charles the Second wrote a little fair running hand, as if wrote in haste, or uneasy till he had done.' Such was the writing to have been expected from this illustrious vagabond, who had much to write, often in odd situations, and could never get rid of his natural restlessness, and vivacity.

'James the Second writ a large fair hand.' It is characterised by his phlegmatic temper, as an exact detailer of occurrences, and the matter-of-business genius of the

'Queen Ann wrote a fair round hand:' that is the writing she had been taught by hor master, probably without any alteration of manner naturally suggested by herself; the copying hand of a common character.

This subject of autographs associates itself with what has been dignified by its professors as caligraphy, or the art of beautiful writing. As I have something curious to communicate on that subject considered professionally, it shall form our following article.

# THE HISTORY OF WRITING-MASTERS.

There is a very apt letter from James the First to prince Henry when very young, on the neatness and fairness of his hand-writing; the royal father suspecting that the prince's tutor, Mr, afterwards Sir Adam Newton, had helped out the young prince in the composition; and that in this specimen of caligraphy he had relied also on the pains of Mr Peter Bales, the great writing-master, for touching up his letters; his majesty shows a laudable anxiety that the prince should be impressed with the higher importance of the one over the other. James shall himself speak. 'I confess I long to receive a letter from you that may be wholly yours, as well matter as form; as well formed by your mind as drawn by your fingers; for ye may remember, that in my book to you I warn you to beware with (of) that kind of wit that may fly out at the end of your fingers; not that I cammend not a fair hand-writing; sed hoc facito, illud non omittie; and the other is multo snagis pracipasm.' Prince Henry, indeed, wrote with that elegance which he borrowed from his own mind, and in an age when such minute elegance was not universal among the crowned heads of Europe. Henry IV, on receiving a letter from prince Henry, immediately opened it, a custom not usual with him, and comparing the writing with the signature, to decide whether it were of one hand, Sir George Carew, observing the French king's hesitation, called Mr Douglas to testify te the fact; on which Henry the Great, admiring an art in which he had little skill, and looking on the neat elegance of the writing fair, as in other things, the eder must yield to the younger.'

other things, the eder must yield to the younger.'
Had this anecdote of neat writing reached the professors of caligraphy, who in this country have put forth such



painful panegyrics on the art, these royal names had unquestionably blazoned their pages. Not, indeed, that these penmen require any fresh inflation; for never has there been a race of professors in any art, who have exceeded in solemnity and pretensions the practitioners in this simple and mechanical craft. I must leave to more magenious investigators of human nature, to reveal the occult cause which has operated such powerful delusions on these 'Vive la Plume'! men, who have been generally observed to possess least intellectual ability, in proportion to the excellence they have obtained in their own art. I suspect this maniscal vanity is peculiar to the writing-masters of England; and I can only attribute the immense importance which they have conceived of their art, to the perfection to which they have carried the art of short-hand writing; an art which was always better understood, and more skilfully practised, in England, than in any other country. It will surprise some when they learn that the artists were and colours, poets and painters, have not raised y-flier pretensions to the admiration of mankind. Writins unsters, or caligraphars, have had their engraved fligies, with a Fame in flourishes, a pen in one hand, and a trumpet in the other; and fine verses inscribed, and their very lives written! They have compared

'The nimbly-turning of their silver quill,'

to the beautiful in art, and the sublime in invention; nor is this wonderful, since they discover the art of writing, like the invention of language, in a divine original; and from the tablets of stone which the Deity himself delivered, they trace their German broad-text, or their fine running-hand.

One, for 'the bold striking of those words, Vive la Phame,' was so sensible of the reputation that this last piece of command of hand would give the book which he thus adorated, and which his biographer acknowledges was the product of about a minute—(but then how many years of flourishing had that single minute cost him!)—that he claims the glory of an artist, observing,—

'We seldom find The man of business with the artist join'd.'

Another was flattered that his writing could impart immortality to the most wretched corpositions!—

'And any lines prove pleasing, when you write.' Sometimes the caligrapher is a sort of hero:—

<sup>4</sup> To you, you rare commander of the quill, Whose wit and worth, deep learning, and high skill, Speak you the honour of great Tower Hill?

The last line became traditionally adopted by those who were so lucky as to live in the neighbourhood of this Parnassus. But the reader must form some notion of that charm of caligraphy which has so bewitched its professors, when,

Soft, bold, and free, your manuscripts still please?

'How justly bold in Snell's improving hand The Pen at once joins freedom with command! With soliness strong, with ornaments not vain, Loose with proportion, and with neatness plain; Not swell'd, not full, complete in every part, And artful most, when not affecting art.

And these describe those penciled knots and flourishes, the angels, the men, the birds, and the beasts, which as one of them observed, he could

Command

Even by the gentle motion of his hand,

the speciosa miracula of caligraphy!

'Thy tender strokes inimitably fine, Crown with perfection every feesing line; And to each grand performance add a grace, As curling hair adorns a beauteous face: In every page new funcies give delight, And opering round the margin charm the sight.

One Massey, a writing-master, published, in 1763, The Origiz and Progress of Letters. The great singularity of this volume is 'A new species of biography never attempted before in English.' This consists of the liwes of 'English Penmen,' otherwise writing-masters! If some have foolishly enough imagined that the sedentary lives of authors are void of interest from deficient incident and interesting catastrophe, what must they think

of the barren labours of those, who, in the degree they become eminent, to use their own style, in their art of dish, dash, long-tail fly, the less they become interesting to the public; for wast can the most skilful writing-master do but wear away his life in leaning over his pupil's copy, or sometimes snatch a pen to decorate the margin, though he cannot compose the page? Montaigne has a very original notion on writing-masters: he says that some of those caligraphers, who had obtained promotion by their excellence in the art, afterwards affected to write carelessly, lest their promotion should be suspected to have been owing to such an ordinary acquisition.

Massey is an enhusiant, fortunately for his subject. Massey is an enhusiant, fortunately for his subject. He considers that there are schools of writing, as well as of painting or sculpture; and expatiates with the eye of fraternal feeling on 'a natural genius, a tender strake, a grand performance, a bold striking freedom, and a liveliness in the sprigged letters, and penciled knots and flourishes; 'while this Vasari of writing-masters relates the controversies and the libels of many a rival pen-nibber. 'George Shelley, one of the most celebrated worthies who have made a shining figure in the commonwealth of English caligraphy, born I suppose of obscure parents, because brought up in Christ's hospital, yet under the humble blue-coat he laid the foundation of his caligraphie excellence and lasting fame, for he was elected writing-master to the hospital.' Shelley published his 'Natural writing,' but, alsa! Snell, another blue-coat, transcended the other. He was a genius who would 'bear no brother near the throne.'—'I have been informed that there were jealous heart-burnings, if not bickerings, between him and Col. Ayres, another of our great reformers in the writing commonweal, both eminent men, yet, like our most celebrated poets, Pope and Addison, or, to carry the comparison still higher, like Casar and Pompey, one could hear no superior, and the other no equal.' Indeed, the great Snell practised a little stratagem against Mr Sheley, which, if writing-masters held courts-martial, this hero ought to have appeared before his brothers. In one of his works he procured a number of friends to write letters, in which Massey confesses 'are some satirical strokes upon Shelley,' as if he had arrogated too much to himself in his book of 'Natural Writing.' They find great fault with penciled knots and sprigged letters. Sheley, who was an advocate for ornaments in fine pennaship, which Snell utterly rejected, had parodied a well-known line of Herbert's in favour of his favourite decorations:

'A Knot may take him who from letters fies, And turn delight into an exercise.'

These reflectious created ill-blood, and even an open difference amongst several of the superior artists in writing.
The commanding genius of Snell, had a more terrific contest when he published his 'Standard Rules,' pretending to have demonstrated them as Euclid would.
This proved a bone of contention, and occasioned a terrific quarrel between Mr Snell and Mr Clark. This quarrel about "Standard Rules" ran so high between them, that they could scarce forbear scarrilous language therein, and a treatment of each other unbecoming gentlemen! Both sides in this dispute had their abettors; and to say which had the most truth and reason, non nostrum set tentas componers lites; perhaps both parties might be set fond of their sun schemes. They should have left them to people to choose which they liked best.' A candid politician is our Massey, and a philosophical historian too; for he winds up the whole story of this civil war by describing its result, which happened as all such great controversies have ever closed. 'Who now-a-days takes those Standard Rules, either one or the other, for their guide m writing? This is the finest lesson ever offered to the farious heads of parties, and to all their men; let them meditate on the nothingness of their 'standard rules'—by the fate of Mr Snell!

It was to be expected when once these writing-masters imagined that they were artists, that they would be infected with those plague-spots of genius, eavy, detraction, and all the falousie dis mether. And such to this hour we find them! An extraordinary scene of this nature has long been exhibited in my neighbourhood, where two doughty champions of the quill have been posting up libels in their windows respecting the inventor of a new art of writing, the Carstairian or the Lewisian? When the great German philosopher asserted that he had discovered the me-

Digitized by Google

thod of fluxions before Sir Isaac, and when the dispute grew so violent that even the calm Newton sent a formal defance in set terms, and got even George the Second to try to arbitrate, (who would rather have undertaken a campaign) the method of fluxions was no more cleared up, then the present affair between our two heroes of the quill.

A recent instance of one of these egregious caligraphers may be told of the late Tomkins. This vainest of writing-masters dreamed through life that penmanship was one of the fine arts, and that a writing-master should be seated with his peers in the Academy! He bequeathed to the British Museum his open magnum; a copy of Macklin's Bible, profusely embellished with the most beautiful and varied decorations of his pen; and as he conceived that both the workman and the work would alke be darling objects with posterity, he left something immortal with the legacy, his fine bust by Chantry! unaccompanied by which they were not to receive the unparalleled gift. When Tomkins applied to have his bust, our great sculptor abated the usual price, and courteously kind to the feelings of the man, said that he considered Tomkins as an artist! It was the proudest day of the life of our writing-master!

But an eminent artist and wit now living, once looking on this fine bust of Tomkins, declared, that 'this man had died for want of a dinner!"—a fate, however, not so lamentable as it appeared! Our penman had long felt that be stood degraded in the scale of genius by not being re-ceived at the Academy, at least among the class of engravers; the next approach to academic honour he conceived would be that of appearing as a guest at their annual dinner. These invitations are as limited as they are busi duner. These invitations are as immediately assessed, and all the Academy persisted in considering Tom-kins as a writing-master! Many a year passed, every in-trigue was practised, every remonstrance was urged, every stratagem of courtesy was tried; but never ceasing to de-plore the failure of his hopes, it preyed on his spirits, and the luckless caligrapher went down to his grave—without dining at the Academy! This authentic anecdote has been considered as 'satire improperly directed'-by some friend of Mr Tomkins-but the criticism is much too grave! The foible of Mr Tomkins as a writing-master, resents a striking illustration of the class of men here de presents a straing illustration of the class of the strain ineated. I am a mere historian—and am only responsible for the veracity of this fact. That Mr Tomkins lived in familiar intercourse with the Royal Academicians of his day, and was a frequent guest at their private tables, moreover was a most worthy man, I believe-but is it less true that he was ridiculously mortified by being never in-vited to the Academic dinner, on account of his caligraphy? He had some reason to consider that his art was of the exalted class, to which he aspired to raise it, when his friend concludes his eulogy of this writing-master thus-Mr Tomkins, as an artist, stood foremost in his own pro-fession, and his name will be handed down to postersty with the Heroes and Statesmen, whose excellences his penmanship has contributed to illustrate and to commemo-I always give the Pour and the Contre!

Such men about such things have produced public contests, combats a Foutrance, where much ink was spit by the knights in a joust of goose-quills; these solemn trials have often occurred in the history of writing-masters, which is enlivened by public defiances, proclamations, and judicial trials by umpires! The prize was usually a golden pen of some value. One as late as the reign of Anne took place between Mr German and Mr More. German having courteously insisted that Mr More should set the copy, he thus set it, ingeniously quaint!

As more, and More, our understanding clears, So more and more our ignorance appears.

The result of this pen-combat was really lamentable; they displayed such an equality of excellence that the umpirer refused to decide, till one of them espied that Mr Gierman had omitted the tittle of an i! But Mr More was evidently a man of genius, not only by his couplet, but in his 'Essay on the Invention of Writing,' where occutrs this noble passage: 'Art with me is of no party. A noble emulation! would cherish, while it proceeded neither from, nor to malevolence. Bales had his Johnson, Norman his Mason, Ayres his Matlock and his Shelley; yet Art the while was no sufferer. The busy-body who officiously employs himself in creating misunderstandings be-

tween artists, may be compared to a turn-stile, which stands in every man's way, yet hinders nobody; and he is the slanderer who gives ear to the slander.\*\*

Among these knights of the 'Plume volant,' whose chivalric exploits astounded the beholders, must be discurringuished Peter Bales in his joust with David Johnson. In this tilting match the guerdon of caligraphy was won by the greatest of caligraphers; its arms were assumed by the victor, axrey, a pen or; while 'the golden pen,' carried away in triumph, was painted with a hand over the door of the caligrapher. The history of this renowned encounter was only traditionally known, till with my own eyes I pondered on this whole trial of skill in the precious manuscript of the champion himself; who, like Casar, not only knew how to win victories, but also to record them. Peter Bales was a hero of such transcendent eminence, that his name has entered into our history. Holingshed chronicles one of his curiosities of microscopic writing, at a time when the taste prevailed for admiring writing which no eye could read! In the compass of a silver penny this caligrapher put more things than would fill several of these pages. He presented Queen Elizabeth with the manuscript set in a ring of gold covered with a crystal; he had also contrived a magnifying glass of such power, that, to her delight and wonder, her majesty read the whole volume, which she held on her thumb nail, and 'commended the same to the lords of the council, and the ambassadors,' and frequently, as Peter often heard, did her majesty vouchessfe to wear this caligraphic ring.

commended the same to the lords of the council, and the ambassadors; and frequently, as Peter often heard, did her majesty vouchasfe to wear this caligraphic ring.

'Some will think I labour on a cobweb—modestly exclaimed Bales in his narrative, and his present historian much fears for himself! The reader's gratitude will not be proportioned to my pains, in condensing such copious pages into the size of a 'ailver peany,' but without its worth!

For a whole year had David Johnson affixed a challenge 'To any one who should take exceptions to this my writing and teaching.' He was a young friend of Bales, daring and longing for an encounter; yet Bales was magnanimously silent, till he discovered that he was 'doing much less in writing and teaching since this public challenge was proclaimed! He then set up his counter challenge, and in one hour afterwards Johnson arrogantly accepted it, 'in a most despiteful and arrogant manner.' Bales's challenge was delivered 'in good terms.' 'To all Englishmen and strangers.' It was to write for a gold pen of twenty pound's value in all kinds of hands, 'best, straightest and fastest,' and most kind of ways; a full, a mean, a small, with line and without line; in a slow set hand, a mean facile hand, and a fast running hand,' and farther, 'to write truest and speediest, most secretary and clerk-like, from a man's mouth, reading or pronouncing, either English or Latin.'

Young Johnson had the hardihood now of turning the tables on his great antagonist, accusing the veteran Bales of arrogance. Such an absolute challenge says he, was never witnessed by man, 'without exception of any in the world!' And a few days after meeting Bales, 'of set purpose to affront and disgrace him what he could, showed Bales a piece of writing of secretary's hand, which he had very much laboured in fine abortive! parchment,' uttering to the challenger these words: 'Mr Bales, give me one shilling out of your purse, and if within six months you better, or equal this piece of writing, I will give you forty pounds for it.' This legal deposit of the shilling was made, and the challenger, or appellant, was thereby bound by law to the performance.

The day before the trial a printed declaration was affixed throughout the city, taunting Bales's 'proud poverty,' and his pecuniary motives, as 'a thing ungentle, base, and mercenary, and not answerable to the dignity of the golden pen!' Johnson declares he would maintain his challenge for a thousand pounds more, but for the respondent's inability to perform a thousand groats. Bales retorts on the libel; declares it as a sign of his rival's weakness, 'yet who so bold as blind Bayard, that hath not a word of Latin to cast at a dog, or say Bo! to a goose!' On Michaelmas day, 1595, the trial opened before five

On Michaelmas day, 1595, the trial opened before five

\*I have not met with More's Book, and am obliged to tran scribe this from the Biog Brit. † This was written in the reign of Elizabeth. Holyoke no

† This was written in the reign of Elizabeth. Holyoke notices 'virgin-perchment made of an abortive skin; membrana virgo.' Peacham on Drawing, calls parchment almphy an abortive.

Digitized by Google

judges: the appellant and the respondent appeared at the appointed place, and an ancient gentleman was intrusted with 'the golden pen.' In the first trial, for the manner of teaching scholars, after Jonson had taught his pupil a fortnight, he would not bring him forward! This was awarded in favour of Bales.

The second, for secretary and clerk-like writing, dictating to them both in English and in Latin, Bales performed best, being first done; written straightest without line, with true orthogaphy; the challenger himself confessing that he wanted the Latin tongue, and was no clerk!

The third and last trial for fair writing in sundry kinds of hands, the challenger prevailed for the beauty and most authentic proportion, and for the superior variety of the Roman hand. Is the court hand, the respondent exceeded the appellant, and likewise in the set text; and in hastart sucretary was also companied to prefer text.

exceeded the appellant, and likewise in the section, and in bastard secretary was also somewhat perfecter.

At length Bales perhaps perceiving an equilibrium in the judicial decisions, to overwhelm his antagonist, presented what he distinguishes as his 'master-piece,' composed of secretary and Roman hand four ways varied, and offering the defendant to let pass all his previous advantages if he could better this specimen of caligraphy! The challenger was silent! At this moment some of the judges perceiving that the decision must go in favour of Bales, in consideration of the youth of the challenger, less the might be diagraced to the world, requested the other judges not to pass judgment in public. Bales assures us, that he in vain remonstrated; for by these means the vinning of the golden pen might not be so famously spread as otherwise it would have been. To Bales the prize was awarded. But our history has a more interesting close; the subtile Machiavelism of the first challenger!

When the great trial had closed, and Bales, carrying off the golden pen, exultingly had it painted and set up for his sign, the baffled challenger went about reporting that he had won the golden pen, but that the defendant had obtained the same by 'plots and shifts, and other base and cunning practices.' Bales vindicated his claim, and offered to show the world his 'master-piece' which had acquired it. Jonson issued an 'Appeal to all impartial Pen-men,' which he spread in great numbers through the city for ten days, a libel against the judges and the victorious defendant! He declared that there had been a sub-rice defendant! He declared that there had been a sub-rice defendant which he expected to have been before 'pen-men,' but not before a multitude like a stage-play, and shouts and tumults, with which the challenger had ighterto been unacquainted. The judges were intended to be twelve; but of the five, four were the challenger's friends, honest gentlemen, but unskilled in judging of most hands; and he offered again forty pounds to be allowed in six months to equal Bale's master piece. And he closes his 'appeal' by declaring that Bales had lost in several parts of the trial, neither did the judges deny that Bales possessed himself of the golden pen by a trick! Before judgment was awarded, alleging the sickness of his wife to be extreme, he desired she might have a sight of the golden pen to be carried to the sick wife; and Bales immediately pawned; it, any afterwards, to make sure work, sold it at a great los, so that when the judges met for their definitive sentence, nor pen nor penny-worth was to be had! The judges being ashamed of their own conduct, were compelled to give such a verdict as suited the occasion:

Bales rejoins: he publishes to the universe the day and the hour when the judges brought the golden pen to his house, and while he checks the insolence of this Bobadil, to show himself no recreant, assumes the golden pen for his sign.

Sich is the shortest history I could contrive of this chivalry of the pen; something mysteriously clouds over the fate of the defendant; Bales's history, like Cassar's, is but an as-parts evid-nce. Who can tell whether he has not slurred over his defeats, and only dwelt on his victories?

There is a strange phrase connected with the art of the caligrapher, which I think may be found in most, if not in all modern languages. to write like an angel! Ladies have been frequently compared to angels; they are heautiful as angels, and sing and dance like angels; but however intelligible these are, we do not so easily connect permanship with the other celestial accomplishments. This fanciful phrase,

however, has a very human origin. Among those learned Greeks who emigrated to Italy, and afterwards mte France, in the reign of Francis I, was one Angelo Fengecio, whose beautiful caligraphy excited the admiration of the learned. The French monarch had a Greek fount cast, modelled by his writing. The learned Henry Stephens, who, like our Porson for correctness and delicacy, was one of the most elegant writers of Grock, had learne the practise from our Angelo. His name became synonymous for beautiful writing, and gave birth to the vulgar proverb or familiar phrase, to write like on sange!

#### THE ITALIAN HISTORIANS.

It is remarkable that the country, which has long lost its political independence, may be considered as the true parent of modern history. The greater part of their historians have abstained from the applause of their contemporaries, while they have not the less elaborately composed their posthumous folios, consecrated solely to truth and posterity! The true principles of national glory are opened by the grandeur of the minds of these asserters of political freedom. It was their indignant spirit, seeking to console its injuries by confiding them to their secret manuscripts, which raised up this singular phenomeson in the literary world.

Of the various causes which made and a challenge of the various causes which made and a challenge of the various causes which made and a challenge of the various causes.

Of the various causes which produced such a lofty race of patriots, one is prominent. The proud recollections of their Roman fathers often troubled the dreams of the sons. The petty rival republics, and the petty despotic principalities, which had started up from some great families, who, at first came forward as the protectors of the people from their exterior enemies or their interior factions, at length settled into a corruption of power; a power which had been conferred on them to preserve liberty itsel?! These factions often shook by their jealousies, their fears, and their hatreds, that divided land, which groaned whenever they witnessed the 'Ultramontanes' descending from their Alps and their Apennines. Petrarch, in a noble invective, warmed by Livy and ancient Rome, impatiently beheld the French and the Germans passing the mounts. 'Enemies,' he cries, 'so often conquered, prepared to strike with swords, which formerly served us to raise our trophies: shall the mistress of the world bear chains forged by hands which she has so often bound to their backs?' Machiavel, in his 'Exhortations to free Italy from the barbarians,' rouses his country against their changeable masters, the Germans, the French, and the Spaniards; closing with the verse of Petrarch, that short shall be the battle for which patriot virtue arms to show the world—

### ' che l' antico valore Ne ge' Italici cuor non è ancor morto.'

Nor has this sublime patriotism declined even in more recent times; I cannot resist from preserving in this place a sonnet by Filicaja, which I could never read without participating in the squation of the writer, for the ancient glory of his degenerated country! The energetic personification of the close, perhaps, surpasses even his more celebrated sonnet, preserved in Lord Byron's notes to the fourth canto of 'Childe Harold.'

Dov' è Italia, il tuo bracchio? e a che ti serri Tu dell' altrui? non è. s'io scorgo il vero, Di chi i' offende il defensor men fero: Ambe nemici sono, ambo fur servi. Cosi duque l' onor, cosi conservi Gli avanzi tu del giorioso Impero? Cosi al valor, cosi al valor primiero che a te fede giuro, la fede cosservi? Or va; repudia il valor prieco, e sposa L' ozio, e fra il sangue, i gemiti, e le strida Nel periglio maggior dormi e riposa! Dormi, Adultera vii! fin che omiche. Rpada ultrice ti svegil, e sonnacchiosa, E nuda in braccio al tuo fedel t' uccida!

Oh, Italy! where is thine arm? What purpose serves
So to be helped by others? Deem I right,
Among offenders thy defender stands?
Eoth are thy enemies—both were thy servants?
Thus dost thou honour—thus dostwhou preserve
The mighty boundaries of the glorious empire?
And thus to Valour, to thy prisaine Valour
That swore its faith to thee, thy faith thou respire?
Go! and divorce thyself from thy old Valianes,
And marry Idleness! and miles the blood,
The heavy groans and cries of agony,
In thy last danger sleep, and seek repose?

Digitized by GOOGLE

Sleep, vile Adulteress! the homicidal sword Vengeful, shall waken thee; and lull'd to slumber, While naked in thy minion's arms, shall strike!

Among the domestic contests of Italy the true principles of political freedom were developed; and in that country we may find the origin of Philosophical History, which includes so many important views and so many new results, unknown to the ancients.

Machiavel seems to have been the first writer who discovered the secret of what may be called comparative history. He it was who first sought in ancient history for the materials which were to illustrate the events of his own times; by fixing on an logous facts, similar personages, and parallel periods. This was enlarging the field of history, and opening a new combination for philosophical speculation. His profound genius advanced still further; he not only explained modern by ancient history, but he deduced those results or principles founded on this new sort of evidence, which guided him in forming his opinions. History had hitherte been, if we except Tacitus, but a story well told, and in writers of limited capacity, the detail and number of facts had too often been considered as the only valuable portion of history. An erudition of facts is not the philosophy of history; an historian unskilful in the art of applying his facts amasses impure ore, which he cannot strike into coin. The chancellor D'Aguesseau, in his instructions to his son on the study of history, has admirably touched on this distinction. 'Minds which are purely historical mistake a fact for an argument; they are so accustomed to satisfy themselves by repeating a great number of facts and enriching their memory, that they become incapable of reasoning on principles. It often hap-pens that the result of their knowledge breeds confusion and universal indecision; for their facts, often contradic-tory, only raise up doubts. The superfluous and the fritory, only raise up doubts. The superfluous and the fri-volous occupy the place of what is essential and solid, for at least so overload and darken it, that we must sail with them in a sea of trifles to get to firm land. Those who only value the philosophical part of history, fall into an oppo-site extreme; they judge of what has been done by that which should be done; while the others always decide on what should be done by that which has been; the first are the dupes of their reasoning, the accoud of the facts which they mistake for reasoning. We should not separate two they mistake for reasoning. we should not separate two
things which ought always to go in concert, and mutually
lend an aid, reason and example. Avoid equally the contempt of some philosophers for the science of facts, and
the destate or the incapacity which those who confine
themselves to facts often contract for whatever depends on pure reasoning. True and solid philosophy should direct us in the study of history, and the study of history should give perfection to philosophy. Such was the enlightened opinion, as far back as at the beginning of the last century, of the studious chancellor of France, before the more re cent designation of Philosophical History was so generally received, and so familiar on our title-pages.

From the moment that the Florentine secretary conceived the idea that the history of the Roman people, opening such varied spectacles of human nature, served as a point of comparison to which he might perpetually recur to try the analogous facts of other nations, and the events pass-ing under his own eye; a new light broke out and ran through the vast extents of history. The maturity of experience seemed to have been obtained by the historian, in perience seemed to have been obtained by the mistorian, in his solitary meditations. Livy in the grandeur of Rome, and Tacitus in its fated decline, exhibited for Machiavel a moving picture of his own republics—the march of destiny in all human governments! The text of Livy and Tacitus revealed to him many an imperfect secret—the fuller truths be drew from the depth of his own observations on his own times. In Machiavel's 'Discourses on Livy,' we may discover the foundations of our Philosophical His

The example of Machiavel, like that of all creative go nins, influenced the character of his age, and his history of Florence produced an emulative spirit among a new dynas-

ty of historians.

These Italian historians have proved themselves to be an extraordinary race, for they devoted their days to the composition of historical works, which they were certain could not see the light during their lives! They nobly devoted the second not see the light during their lives! ermined that their works should be posthumous, rather than be compelled to mutilate them for the press. These than be compelled to mutilate them for the press. historians were rather the saints than the martyrs of hissory; they did not always personally suffer for truth, but

during their protracted labour they sustained their spirits by anticipating their glorified after-state.

Among these Italian historians must be placed the illustrious Guicciardini, the friend of Machiavel. No perfect edition of this historian existed till recent times. The hisedition of this historian existed the recent three. In the matter tory itself was posthumous; nor did his nephew venture to publish it, till twenty years after the historian's death. He only gave the first sixteen books, and these castrated. The obnoxious passages consisted of some statements relating to the papal court, then so important in the affairs isting to the papal court, then so important in the affairs of Europe; some account of the origin and progress of the papal power; some eloquent pictures of the abuses and disorders of that corrupt court; and some free caricatures on the government of Florence. The precious fragments were fortunately preserved in manuscript, and the Protestants procured transcripts which they published separately, but which were long very rare.\* All the Italian editions continued to be werefuled in the same truncated edition. continued to be reprinted in the same truncated condition, and appear only to have been reinstated in the immortal history, so late as in 1775. Thus it required two centuries, before an editor could venture to give the world the pure and complete text of the manuscript of the lieutenant-general of the papal army, who had been so close and so indignant an observer of the Roman cabinet.

Idriani, whom his son entitles gentiluom Fiorentino: the writer of the pleasing dissortation 'on the ancient painters noticed by Pliny,' prefixed to his friend Vasari's biographies; wrote, as a continuation of Guicciardini, a history of his own times in twenty-two books, of which Denna gives the highest character for its moderate spirit, and from which De Thou has largely drawn and commends for its authenticity. Our author, however, did not venture to publish his history during his lifetime: it was after his death that his son became the editor.

Nardi, of a noble family and high in office, famed for a translation of Livy which rivals its original in the pleasure it affords, in his retirement from public affairs wrote a history of Florence, which closes with the loss of the liberty of his country, in 1531. It was not published till fifty years after his death; even then the editors suppressed many passages which are found in manuscript in the li-braries of Florence and Venice, with other historical documents of this noble and patriotic historian.

About the same time the senator Philip Nerli was writing his 'Commenterj de' fatti civili,' which had occurred in Florence. He gave them with his dying hand to his nephew, who presented the MSS to the Grand Duke; yet although this work is rather an apology than a crimination of the Medici family for their ambitious views and their over-grown power, probably some state-reason interfered to prevent the publication, which did not take place till 150 years after the death of the historian! Bernardo Segni composed a history of Florence still

more valuable, which shared the same fate as that of Nerli. It was only after his death that his relatives accidentally discovered this history of Florence, which the author had carefully concealed during his lifetime. He had abstained from communicating to any one the existence of such a work while he lived, that he might not be induced to check work while he irred, that he might not be induced to check the freedom of his pen, nor compromise the cause and the interests of truth. His heirs presented it to one of the Medici family, who threw it aside. Another copy had been more carefully preserved, from which it was printed, have 180 waves after it had been written. It amin 1713, about 150 years after it had been written. It appears to have excited great curiosity, for Lenglet du Fres-noy observes, that the scarcity of this history is owing to the circumstance 'of the Grand Duke having bought up the copies.' Du Fresnoy, indeed, has noticed more than once this sort of address of the Grand Duke; for he observes on the Florentine history of Bruto, that the work was not common; the Grand Duke having bought up the was not common; the Grane Duke having bought up the copies, to suppress them. The author was even obliged to fly from Italy, for having delivered his opinions too freely on the house of the Medici. This honest historian thus expresses himself at the close of his work. 'My design has but one end; that our posterity may learn by these notices the root and the causes of so many troubles which we have suffered, while they expose the malignity of those men who have raised them up, or prolonged them; as well as the goodness of those who did all which they could to turn them away."

\*They were printed at Basic in 1899—at London in 1896—in Amsterdam, 1863. How many attempts to echo the voice of suppressed truth!—Haym's Bib. Ital 1808.

.Digitized by GOOGIC.

It was the same motive, the fear of offending the great personages or their families, of whom these historians had so freely written, which deterred Benedetto Varchi from publishing his well-known 'Storie Fiorentine,' which was not given to the world till 1721, a period which appears to have roused the slumbers of the literary men of Italy to recur to their native historians. Varchi, who wrote with so much zeal the bistory of his father-land, is noticed by Nardi as one who never took an active part in the events he records; never having combined with any party, and living merely as a spectator. This historian closes the narrative of a horrid crime of Peter Lewis Farnese with this admirable reflection: 'I know well this story, with this admirable renection; I know well this story, which is have freely exposed, may hereafter prevent the reading of my history; but also I know, that besides what Tacitus has said on this subject, the great duty of an historian is not to be more careful of the reputational story. tation of persons than is suitable with truth, which is to be preferred to all things, however detrimental it may be to the writer.'\*

Such was that free manner of thinking and of writing which prevailed in these Italian historians, who, often which prevailed in these statish distortans, who, often itving in the midst of the ruins of popular freedom, poured forth their injured feelings in their secret pages; without the hope, and perhaps without the wish, of seeing them published in their life-time; a glorious example of self-decided left participated.

denial and lofty patriotism!

Had it been inquired of these writers why they did not publish their histories, they might have answered, in nearly the words of an ancient sage, 'Because I am not permitted to write as I would; and I would not write as I am permitted.' We cannot imagine that these great men were in the least insensible to the applause they denied themselves; they were not of tempers to be turned aside; and it was the highest motive which can inspire an historian, a stern devotion to truth, which reduced them to si-lence, but not to inactivity! These Florentine and Venetian historians, ardent with truth, and profound in political sagacity, were solely writing these legacies of history for heir countrymen, hopeless of their gratitude! If a Frenchman wrote the English history, that labour was the aliment of his own glory; if Hume and Robertson devoted their peas to history, the motive of the task was less glorious than their work; but here we discover a race of history. torians, whose patriotism alone instigated their secret labour, and who substituted for fame and fortune that mightier spirit, which, amidst their conflicting passions, has developed the truest principles, and even the errors, of Political Freedom!

None of these historians, we have seen, published their works in their life-time. I have called them the saints of history, rather than the martyrs. One, however, had the intrepidity to risk this awful responsibility, and he stands

intrepidity to risk this awful responsibility, and he stands

\* My friend Merivale, whose critical research is only equalled by the elegance of his taste, has supplied me with a note
which proves, but too well, that even writers who compose
uninfluenced by party feelings, may not, however, be sufficiently scrupulous in weighing the evidence of the facts which
they collect. Mr Merivale observes, 'The strange and improbable narrative with which Varchi has the misfortune of
closing his history, should not have been even hinted at without adding, that it is denounced by other writers as a most impudent forgery, invented years after the occurrence is supposed to have happened, by the 'Apostater' bishop Petrus Paulus Vergerius. See its refutation in Amiani, Hist. di Fano II,
149 et sec. 160.

149 et seq. 160.
Varchi's character, as an historian, cannot but suffer greatly from his having given it insertion on such authority. The responeibility of an author for the truth of what he relates should render us very cautious of giving credit to the writers of memoirs not intended to see the light till a distant period. The credibility of Vergerius, as an acknowledged libeller of Pope Paul III, and his family, appears still more conclusively from his article in Bayle, note K.? It must be added, that the calumny of Vergerius may be found in Wolfins's Lect. Mem. II, 691, in a tract de Idolo Lauretano, published 1566. Verchi is more particular in his details of this monstrous tale. Vergerius's libels, universally read at the time, though they were collected afterwards, are now not to be met with, even in public libraries. Whether there was any truth in the story of Scraff emis Farnese! I know not: but crimes of as monstrous The credibility of Vergerius, as an acknowledged libeller of Re libraries. Whether there was any truth in the story of Peter Lewis Farnese I know not; but crimes of as monetrous a die occur in the authentic Guicelardini. The story is not a die occur in the authentic Guicciarumi. The story is not yet forgotien, since in the last edition of Haym's Biblioteca kallana, the best edition is marked as that which at p. 639 contains 'lascelerateza di Pier Lewis Farness.' I am of epinion that Varchi believed the story, by the solemnity of his proposition. Whatever be its truth, the historian's feeling was elevated and istreptid.

forth among the most illustricus and ill-fated examples of istorical martyrdom!

This great historian is Giannone, whose civil history of the kingdom of Naples is remarkable for its profound inquiries concerning the civil and ecclesiastical constitu-tion, the laws and customs of that kingdom. With some interruptions from his professional avocations at the bar, twenty years were consumed in writing this history. Retwenty years were consumed in writing this history. Researches on ecclesiastical usurpations, and severe strictures on the clergy, are the chief subjects of his bold and unreserved pen. These passages, curious, grave and indignant, were afterwards extracted from the history by Vernet, and published in a small volume, under the title of 'Anecdotes Ecclesiastiques,' 1738. When Giannone consulted with a friend on the propriety of publishing his history, his critic, in admiring the work, predicted the fate of the author. 'You have,' said he, 'placed on your head a crown of thorns, and of very sharp ones;' the historian set at naught his own personal repose; and in 1723 torian set at naught his own personal repose; and in 1723 this elaborate history saw the light. From that moment the historian never enjoyed a day of quiet! Rome attempted at first to extinguish the author with his work; all the books were seized on; and copies of the first edition are of extreme rarity. To escape the fangs of inquisitorial power, the historian of Naples flew from Naples on the publication of his immortal work. The fugitive and excommunicated author sought an asylum at Vienna, where, though he found no friend in the emperor, prince Eugene and other nobles became his patrons. Forced to quit Vienna, he retired to Venice, when a new perseto quit vienna, he retired to venice, when a new perse-cution arose from the jealousy of the state inquisitors, who one night landed him on the borders of the pope's domin-ions. Escaping unexpectedly with his life to Geneva, he was preparing a supplemental volume to his celebrated history, when, enticed by a treacherous friend to a catho-lic village, Giannone was arrested by an order of the king of Starting his memorarists were sent to Power and the of Sardinia; his manuscripts were sent to Rome, and the historian imprisoned in a fort. It is curious that the imprisoned Giannone wrote a vindication of the rights of the king of Sardinia, against the claims of the court of Rome. This powerful appeal to the feelings of this sovereign was at first favourably received; but, under the secret influence of Rome, the Sardinian monarch, on the extraordinary plea that he kept Giannone as a prisoner of state that he might preserve him from the papal power, ordered that the vindicator of his rights should be more closely confined than before! and, for this purpose, transferred his state-prisoner to the Citadel of Turin, where, after twelve years of persecution and of agitation, our great historian closed his life!

Such was the fate of this historical martyr, whose work the catholic Haym describes as opera scritta con molto fuoco e troppa liberta. He hints that this History is only paralleled by De Thou's great work. This Italian history will ever be ranked among the most philosophical. But, profound as was the masculine genius of Giannone, such was his love of fame, that he wanted the intrepidity requiwas nis toyo or tame, that ne wanted the intreplary requires site to deny himself the delight of giving his history to the world, though some of his great predecessors had set him a noble and dignified example.

One more observation on these Italian historians. All of them represent man in his darkest colours; their drama in his darkest colours;

is terrific; the actors are monsters of perfidy, of inhomanity, and inventors of crimes which seem to want a name! They were all 'princes of darkness;' and the age seemed to afford a triumph to Manicheism! The worst passions were called into play by all parties. But if some thing is to be ascribed to the manners of the times, much more may be traced to that science of politics, which sought for mastery in an undefinable struggle of ungovernment. able political power; in the remorseless ambition of the despots, and the hatreds and jealousies of the republics. These Italian historians bave formed a perpetual saire on the contemptable significant the contemptable and the contemptable on the contemptible simulation and dissimulation, and its inexpiable crimes of that system of politics, which has derived a person from the system of politics, which has derived a person from the system of politics. rived a name from one of themselves—the great, may we add, the calumniated, Machiavel?

# OF PALACES BUILT BY MINISTERS.

Our ministers and court favourites, as well as those on the continent, practised a very impolitic custom, and one likely to be repeated, although it has never failed to cast a popular adiana are the statement of the popular odium on their name, exciting even the enry of their equals—in the erection of palaces for themselves.



which outvied those of the sovereign; and which, to the eyes of the populace, appeared as a perpetual and inso-lent exhibition of what they deemed the ill-carned wages of peculation, oppression, and court-favour. We discover the seduction of this passion for estentation, this haughty sense of their power, and this self-idolatry, even among the most prudent and the wisest of our ministers; and not ome but lived to lament over this vain act of imprudence. To these ministers the noble simplicity of Pitt will ever form an admirable contrast; while his personal character, as a statesman, descends to posterity, unstained by ca-

lumny.

The houses of Cardinal Wolsey appear to have exceeded the palaces of the sovereign in magnificence; and potent as he was in all the pride of pomp, the 'great Cardinal' found rabid envy pursuing him so close at his hoels, that he relinquished one palace after the other, and gave that he relinquished one palace after the other, and gave up as gifts to the monarch, what, in all his overgrown greatness, he trembled to retain for himself. The state satire of that day was often pointed at this very circum-stance, as appears in Shilton's 'Why come ye not to Court?' and Roy's 'Rede me, and he not wrothe.' Skei-ton's railing rhymes leave their bitter teeth in his purple pride; and the style of both these satirists, if we use our was orthography, shows how hitle the language of the sameon people has varied during three centuries.

Set up the wretch on high In a throne triumphantly; Make him a great state And he will play check-mate With royal majesty—— The King's Court Should have the excellence, But Hampton Court But Hampton Court
Hash the pre-eminence;
And York's Place
With my Lord's grace.
To whose magnificence
Is all the confluence,
Suits, and supplications;
Embassies of all nations.

Roy, in contemplating the palace, is maliciously re-cainded of the butcher's lad, and only gives plain sense in olain words.

Hath the Cardinal any gay mansion?
Great palaces without comparison,
Most glorious of outward sight,
And wishin decked point-device,\*
More like unto a paradise
Than an earthly habitation.
He cometh then of some noble stock?
His father could match a bullock,
A butcher by his occupation. A butcher by his occupation.

Whatever we may now think of the structure, and the low apartments of Wolsey's palace, it is described not only in his own times, but much later, as of unparalleled magnificence; and indeed Cavendish's narrative of the Cardinal's entertainment of the French ambassadors, Cardinal's entertainment of the French ambassadors, gives an idea of the ministerial-prelate's imperial establishment, very puzzling to the comprehension of a modern inspector. Six hundred persons, I think, were banqueted and slept in an abode which appears to us so mean, but which Stowe calls 'so stately a palace.' To avoid the odium of Iving in this splendid edifice, Wolsey presented it to the king, who, in recompense, suffered the Cardinal occasionally to inhabit this wonder of England, in the character of keeper of the king's palace; † so that Wolsey only dared to live in his own palace by a subterfuge! This perhaps was a tribute which minsterial haughtiness paid to popular feeling, or to the jealousy of a royal master.

royal master.

I have elsewhere shown the extraordinary elegance and prodigality of expenditure of Buckingham's residences; they were such as to have exterted the wonder, even of

they were such as to have exterted the wonder, even of 
\* Point-device, a term ingeniously explained by my learned friend Mr Douce. He thinks that it is borrowed from the labours of the needle, as we have point-lace, so point-device, i. e. point, a stitch, and devise, devised or invented; applied to describe any thing uncommonly exact, or worked with the niety and precision of stitches made or devised by the needle.

— Illustrations of Shakspears, I, 34. But Mr Offford has since observed that the origin of the expression is, perhaps, yet to be sought for; he derives it from a mathematical phrase, a point devise, or a given point, and hence exact, correct, &c. Ben Joneon, Vol. IV, 170. See for various examples—Mr Warse's Glossary, Art. Point-devise.

† Lyson's Environs v. &6

Bassompierre, and unquestionably excited the indignation of those who lived in a poor court, while our gay and thoughtless minister alone could indulge in the wanton pro-

But Wolsey and Buckingham were ambitious and adventurous; they rose and shone the comets of the political horizon of Europe. The Roman tiars still haunted the imagination of the Cardinal: and the egotistic pride of having out-rivalled Richelieu and Olivarez, he nominal mainisters but the real sovereigns of Europe, kindled the buoyant spirits of the gay, the gallant, and the splendid Villiers. But what 'folly of the wise' must account for the conduct of the profitured Clarendon, and the sensible Villiers. But what 'folly of the wise' must account for the conduct of the profound Clarendon, and the sensible Sir Robert Walpole, who, like the other two ministers, equally became the victims of this imprudent passion for the estentatious pomp of a palace. This magnificence looked like the vaunt of insolence in the eyes of the people, and covered the ministers with a popular odium. Clarenden House is new only to be viewed in a print; but its story remains to be tidd. It was built on the site of Grafton-street; and when afterwards purchased by Monk, the Duke of Albemarle, he left his title to that well known-street. It was an edifice of considerable ex-

mons, he buse of Aloemarie, he ten his title to that well known-street. It was an edifice of considerable extent and grandeur. Clarendon reproaches himself in his life for 'his weakness and vanity,' in the vast expense incurred in this building, which he acknowledges had 'more contributed to that gust of envy that had so violently shacontributed to that gust of enry that had so violently sha-ken him, than any misdemeanor that he was thought to have been guilty of. It ruined his estate; but he had been encouraged to it by the royal grant of the land, by that passion for building to which he owns 'he was natu-rally too much inclined,' and perhaps by other circum-stances, among which was the opportunity of purchasing the stones which had been designed for the rebuilding of St Paul's, but the enry it draw on him and the excess St Paul's: but the envy it drew on him, and the exc of the architect's proposed expense, had made his life 'very uneasy, and near insupportable.' The truth is, that when this palace was finished, it was imputed to him as a state-crime; all the evils in the nation, which were then numerous, pestilence, conflagration, war, and defeats, were discovered to be in some way connected with Clarendon-house; or, as it was popularly called, either Dunkirk-House, or Tangier-Hall, from a notice that it had been erected with the golden bribery which the chancellor had received for the sale of Dunkirk and Tangiers. He was re-proached with having profaned the sacred stones dedicated to the use of the church. The great but unfortunate masto the use of the church. The great but unfortunate mas-ter of this palace, who, from a private lawyer, had raised himself by alliance even to royalty, the father-in-law of the Duke of York, it was maliciously suggested, had per-suaded Charles the Second to marry the Infanta of Portu-gal, knowing (but how Clarendon obtained the knowledge, his enemies have not revealed) that the Portuguese Prin-cess was not likely to raise any obstacle to the inheritance of his own daughter to the throne. At the Restoration, of his own daugnter to the income. At the restoration, among other enemies, Clarendon found that the royalists were none the least active; he was repreached by them for praferring those who had been the cause of their late troubles. The same repreach has been incurred in the late restoration of the Bourbons. It is perhaps difficult to the same restoration of the Bourbons. and more political to maintain active men, who have obtained power, than to reinstate inferior talents, who at least have not their popularity. This is one of the parallel cases which so frequently strike us in exploring political history; and the ultrus of Louis the Eighteenth are only the regulists of Charles the Second. There was a strong popular delusion carried on by the wite and the Misses, who formed the court of Charles the Second, that the government was as much shared by the Hydes as the Stuarts. We have in the state-poems, an unsparing lampoon entitled, 'Clarendon's House-warming;' but a satire yield, entitled, 'Clarendon's House-warming ;' but a satire yield, ing nothing in severity I have discovered in manuscript; and it is also remarkable for turning chiefly on a pun of the family name of the Karl of Clarendon. The witty and malicious rhymer, after making Charles the Second demand the great seal, and resolve to be his own chancellor, proceeds, reflecting on the great political victim.

Lo! his whole ambition already divides The sceptre between the Stuarts and the Hydes. Behold, in the depth of our plague and wars, He built him a palace out-braves the stars; Which house (we Dunkirk, he Clarendon, names Looks down with shame upon St James; But 'tis not his golden globe that will save him,

Digitized by GOOGLE

Being less than the custom-house farmers gave him; His chapel for consecration calls, Whose sacrilege plundered the stones from Paul's, When Queen Dido landed she bought as much ground As the Hyde of a lusty fat bull would surround; But when the said Hyde was cut into thongs, A city and kingdom to Hyde belongs; So here in court, church, and country, far and wide, Here's naught to be seen but Hyde! Hyde! Hyde! Of old, and where law the kingdom divides, 'Twas our hides of land, 'tis now land of Hydes!

Clarendon-House was a palace, which had been raised with at least as much fondness as pride; and Evelyn tells us, that the garden was planned by himself and his lordship; but the cost, as usual, trebled the calculation, and the noble master grieved in silence amidst this splendid pile of architecture.\* Even when in his exile the sale was proposed to pay his debts, and secure some provision for his younger children, he honestly tells us, that 'he remained still so infatuated with the delight he had enjoyed, that though he was deprived of it, he hearkened very unwillingly to the advice. In 1683 Clarendon-House met its fate, and was abandoned to the brokers, who had purchased it for its materials. An affecting circumstance is recorded by Evelyn on this occasiou. Is returning to town with the Earl of Clarendon, the son of the great earl, 'in passing by the glorious palace his father built but few years before, which they were now demolishing, being sold to certain undertakers, I turned my head the contrary way till the coach was gone past by, least I might minister occasion of speaking of it, which must needs have grieved him, that in so short a time this pomp was fallen.' A feeling of infinite delicacy, so perfectly characteristic of Evelvn!

And now to bring down this subject to times still near. We find that Sir Robert Walpole had placed himself exactly in the situation of the great minister we have noticed; we have his confession to his brother Lord Walpole, and to his friend Sir John Hynde Cotton. The historian of this minister observes, that his magnificent buildings at Houghton drew on him great obloquy. On seeing his brother's house at Wolterton, Sir Robert expressed his wishes that he had contented himself with a similar structure. In the reign of Anne, Sir Robert sitting by Sir John Hynde Cotton, alluding to a sumptuous house which was then building by Harley, observed, that to construct a great house was a high act of imprudence in any minister! It was a long time after, when he had become prime minister, that he forgot the whole result of the present article: and pulled down his family mansion at Houghton to build its magnificent edifice; it was then Sir John Hynde Cotton reminded him of the reflection which he had made some years ago: the reply of Sir Robert is remarkable—'Your recollection is too late; I wish you had reminded me of it before I began building, for then it might have been of service to me!

The statesman and politician them are susceptible of all the seduction of ostentation and the pride of pomp! Who could have credited if But hewildered with power, in the magnificence and magnitude of the edifices which their colossal greatness imhabits, they seem to contemplate on its image!

Sir Francis Walsingham died and left nothing to pay his debts, as appears by a curious fact noticed in the anonymous life of Sir Philip Sidney prefixed to the Arcadia, and evidently written by one acquainted with the family history of his friend and hero. The chivalric Sidney, though sought after by court beauties, solicited the hand of the daughter of Walsingham, although, as it appears, she could have had no other portion than her own virtues and her father's name. 'And herein,' observes our anonymous biographer, 'he was exemplary to all gentlemen not to carry their love in their purses.' On this he notices this secret history of Walsingham.

'This is that Sir Francis who impoverished himself to

This is that Sir Francis who impoverished himself to enrich the state, and indeed made England his heir; and was so far from huilding up of fortune by the benefit of his place, that he demolished that fine estate left by his anestors to purchase dear intelligence from all parts of Christendom. He had a key to unlock the pope's cabinet;

\* At the gateway of the Three King's Inn, near Doverstreet, in Piccadilly, are two pilasters with Cwinthian capitals, which belonged to Clarendon-House, and are perhap: the only comains of that editor. and as if master of some invisible whispering-place, all the secrets of christian princes met at his closet. Wonder not then if he bequeathed no great weath to his daughter, being privately interred in the quire of Paul's as such indebted to his creditors, though not so much as our nation is indebted to his memory.

Some curious inquirer may afford us a catalogue of great ministers of state who have voluntarily declined the augmentation of their private fortune, while they devoted their days to the noble pursuits of patriotic glory! The labour of this research will be great, and the volume small!

# TAXATION NO TYRANNY!

Such was the title of a famous political tract, sext forth at a moment when a people, in a state of insurrection, put forth a declaration that taxtion was tyranny! It was not against an insignificant tax they protested, but against taxation itself! and in the temper of the moment this abstract proposition appeared an insolent paradox. It was instantly run down by that everlasting party which, so far back as in the laws of our Henry the First, are designated by the odd descriptive term of accephali, a people subtest

heads!\* the strange equality of levellers!

These political monsters in all times have had an association of ideas of tassetion and tyransay, and with them one name instantly suggests the other! This happened to one Gigli of Sienna, who published the first part of a dictionary of the Tuscan language, if of which only \$12 leaves amused the Florentines; these having had the honour of being consigned to the flames by the hands of the hangman for certain popular errors; such as, for instance, under the word Gram Duca we find Veti Gebelli! (see Taxes!) and the word Grabelle was explained by a reference to Gram Duca. Grand-Duke and tasse were synonymes, according to this mordacious lexicographer! Such grievances, and the mode of expressing them, are equally ancient. A Roman consul, by levying a tax on salt during the Punic war, was nick-named salisater, and condemned by the 'majesty' of the people! He had formerly done his duty to the country, but the salter was now his reward! He retired from Rome, let his beard grow, and by his sordid dress, and melancholy air, evinced his acute sensibility. The Romans at length wasted the salter to command the army—as an injured man, he refused—but he was told that he should bear the caprice of the Roman people with the tenderness of a son for the humours of a parent! He had lost his reputation by a productive tax on salt, though this tax had provided an army and obtained a victory!

and obtained a victory!

Certain it is that Gigli and his numerous adherents are wrong; for were they freed from all restraints as much as if they slept in forests and not in houses: were they in habitants of wilds and not of cities, so that overy mas should be his own law-giver, with a perpetual immunity from all taxation, we could not necessarily infer their pelitical happiness. There are nations where taxation is hardly known, for the people exist in such utter wretchedness, that they are too poor to be taxed; of which the Chinese, among others, exhibit remarkable instances. When Nero would have abolished all taxes, in his excessive passion for popularity, the senate thanked him or his good will to the people, but assured him that this was a certain means not of repairing, but of ruining the commonwealth. Bodin, in his curious work the Republic, has noticed a class of politicians who are in too great favour with the people. Many seditions citizens, and desirous of innovations, did of late years promise immunity of taxes and subsidies to our people; but neither could they do it, or if they could have done it, they would not

\*Cowel's interpretor, art. Acephali. This by-name we us expectedly find in a grave antiquarian law-dictionary! probably derived from Pilny's description of a people whom some travellers had reported to have found in this predicament, in their fright and haste in attempting to land on a bestile shore among the savages. How k came to be introduced into the laws of Henry the First remains to be told by some profound antiquary; but it was common in the middle ages. Cowel says, 'Those are called acephali who were the levellers of that are, and acknowledged to head or superior.

says, 'Those are called acephali who were use attentiant age, and acknowledged no head or superior.

† Vocabulario di Santa Caterina e della Lingus Sanese, il Vocabulario di Santa Caterina e della Lingus Sanese di TiTi. This pungent lexicon was prohibited ai Rosse by desire of the Court of Florence. The history of this suppressed work may be found in Il Giornale de Letterati d' lialia, Tome xxix—1410. Il nthe last deliton of Haym's 'Biblicteca Italiana, '1803, it is said to be reprinted at Manilla, nell' islos Fil lippine!—For the book-licensers is is a great way to go for it

Digitized by Google

or if it were done, should we have any commonweale, be-ing the ground and foundation of one. \*\*

The undisquised and naked term of 'taxation' is, how-

ever, so odious to the people, that it may be curious to ob-serve the arts practised by governments, and even by the people themselves, to veil it under some mitigating term. In the first breaking out of the American troubles, they probably would have yielded to the mother-country the right of taustion, modified by the term regulation (of their trade; this I infer from a letter of Dr. Robertson, who serves, that ' the distinction between taxation and regu-Letion is more folly! Even despotic governments have condescended to disguise the contributions forcibly levied, by some appellative which should partly conceal its real nature. Terms have often influenced circumstances, as nature. Terms have often influenced circumstances, and conquest or oppression, which we names do things; and conquest or oppression, which we may allow to be synonymes, apes benevolence whenever it claims as as a what it exacts as a tribute.

A sort of philosophical history of taxation appears in the narrative of Wood, in his inquiry on Homer. He tells us that 'the presents (a term of extensive signification in the East) which are distributed annually by the bashaw of Damascus to the several Arab princes through whose territory be conducts the curavan of pilgrims to Mecca, are, at Constantinople, called a free gift, and considered as an act of the sultan's generosity towards his indigent subjects; while, on the other hand, the Arab sheikhs deny even a right of passage through the districts of their command, and exact those sums as a tax due for the permission of going

exact those sums as a tas due for the permission of going through their country. In the frequent bloody contests which the adjustment of these fees produce, the Turks complain of rebbery, and the Arabe of invasion.<sup>79</sup>

Here we trace tassion through all its shifting forms accommodating itself to the feelings of the different people; the same principle regulated the alternate terms proposed by the buccaneers, when they asked what the weaker party was sure to give, or when they levied what the others was defined to the state of the st

paid only as a common toll.

When Louis the Eleventh of France beheld his country exhausted by the predatory wars of England, he bought a peace of our Edward the Fourth by an annual sum of fifty thousand crowns, to be paid at London, and likewise grant-ed peaces to the English ministers. Holingshead and all our historians call this a yearly tribute; but Comines, the French memoir writer, with a national spirit, denies that these gifts were either pensions or tributes. 'Yet,' says Bodin, a Frenchman also, but affecting a more philosophical indifference, 'it must be either the one or the other; though I confees, that those who receive a pension to obtain peace, commonly boast of it as if it were a tribute !"! Such are the shades of our feelings in this history of taxa-Such are the snaces of our recings it has instory of taxa-tion and tribute. But there is another artifice of applying soft names to hard things, by veiling a tyrannical act by a term which presents no disagreeable idea to the imagina-tion. When it was formerly thought desirable, in the re-laxation of morals which prevailed in Venice to institute the office of censor, three magistrates were elected bearing this title; but it seemed so harsh and austere in that dissi time time; but it seemed so nared and assert in that displated city, that these reformers of managers were composed to change their title; when they were no longer called ossesses, but I signeri sopra if box vivere della citta, all agreed on the propriety of the office under the softened term. Father Joseph the secret agent of Cardinal Richelieu, was the inventor of letters de catchet, disguising that instrument of despotism by the amusing term of a sealed letter. Ex-patriation would have been merciful compared with the result of that billet-down, a scaled letter from his majesty!

Burko reflects with profound truth-' Abstract liberty, like other mere abstractions, is not to be found. Liberty inheres in some sensible object; and every nation has formed to itself some favourite point which, by way of emi-nence, becomes the criterion of their happiness. It happened that the great contests for freedom in this country were from the earliest times chiefly upon the question of fazing. Most of the contests in the ancient common-

wealths turned primarily on the right of election of magu-trates, or on the balance among the several orders of the state. The question of money was not with them so im-mediate. But in England it was otherwise. On this point of taxes the ablest pens and most eloquent tongues have been exercised; the greatest spirits have acted and suffered.'+

One party clamorously asserts that taxation is their grievance, while another demonstrates that the annihilation of taxes would be their ruin! The interests of a great nation, among themselves, are often contrary to each other, and each seems alternately to predominate and to decline. 'The sting of taxation,' observs Mr Hallam, 'is wastefulness; but it is difficult to name a limit beyond which taxes will not be borne without impatience when faithfully applied. In plainer words, this only signifies, we presume, that Mr Hallem's party would tax us without 'wastefulness!' Minsterial or opposition, whatever be the administration; it follows that 'taxation is no tyrany.' the administration, it follows that 'taxation is no tyranny; Dr Johnson then was terribly abused in his day for a vos of preserve mikile.

Still shall the innocent word be hateful, and the people

will turn even on their best friend, who in administration inflicts a new impost; as we have shown by the fate of the Roman Satinator! Among ourselves, our government, in its constitution, if not always in its practice, long had a consideration towards the feelings of the people, and often contrived to hide the nature of its exactions, by a often contrived to find the find the find of the control of the co royal house, and sometimes for great lords, during their progresses or journeys. His oppressive office, by arbitrarily fixing the market-prices, and compelling the countrymen to bring their articles to market, would enter into the history of the arts of grinding the labouring class of society; a remnant of foudal tyranny! The very title of this officer became odious; and by a statute of Edward III, the hateful name of purpeyor was ordered to be changed into acheteur or buyer! A change of name, it was imagined, would conceal its nature! The term often devised strangely contrasted with the thing itself. Levies of money were long raised under the pathetic appeal of beaucolences. When Edward IV was passing over to France, he obtained, under this gentle demand, money towards 'the great journey,' and afterwards having 'rode about the more part of the lands, and used the people in the lands, and used the people in the lands. about the more part of the lands, and used the people in such fair manner, that they were liberal in their gifts; Old Fabian adds, 'the which way of the levying of this money was after-named a benevolence.' Edward IV was court-cous in this newly-invonted style, and was besides the handsomest tax-gatherer in his kingdom! His royal subjects, particularly to those of the females. In his progress, having kissed a widow for having contributed a larger sum than was expected from her estate, she was so overjoyed at the singular honour and delight that she doubled her benevolence, and a second kias had ruined her! but in the succeeding reign of Richard III, the term had already lost the freshness of its innocence. In the speech which the Duke of Buckingham delivered from the Hustings in Guildhall, he explained the term to the satisfaction of his auditors, who even then were as cross-humoured as the livery of this day, in their notions of what now we gently call 'supplies.' 'Under the plausible name of benevolence, as it was held in the time of Edward IV, your goods were taken from you much against your will, as if by that name was understood that every man snould pay not what he pleased, but what the king would have him; or, as a marginal note in Buck's Life of Richard III, more pointedly has it, that 'the name of benevolence signified pouncery has it, that the limits of best occurs against that every man should pay, not what he of his own good will list, but what the king of his good will list to take.'\*
Richard III, whose business, like that of all usurpers. was to be popular, in a statute even condemns that 'benevolence' as 'a new imposition,' and enacts that 'none shall be charged with it in future; many families having been ruined under these pretended gifts.

\*Burke's Works, vol. 1. 288.

† Daines Barrington, in 'Ubservations on the Statutes,'
gives the marginal note of Buck as the words of the Duke;
they certainly served his purpose to amuse, better than the
veracious once; but we expect from a grave antiquary inviolable authenticity. The Duke is made by Barrington a sort of
wis, but the pithy quaintness is Buck's.

<sup>\*</sup>Bodin's six books of a Commonwealth, translated by Bichard Knolles, 1808. A work replete with the practical knowledge of politics; and of which Mr Dugald Steward has delivered a high opinion. Yet this great politician wrote a volume to anathematize those who doubted the existence of sorcarers, and wisches, &c., whom he condemns to the flames! See his 'Demonomaine des Sorciera.' 1808.

† Wood's Inquiry on Houser, p., 183.

† Bodin's Commonweale, translated by R. Knolles, p. 148.

His successor, however, found means to levy 'a be-nevolence;' but when Henry VIII demanded one, the citizens of London appealed to the act of Richard III. Gardinal Wolsey insisted that the law of a murderous usurper should not be enforced. One of the commoncouncil courageously replied, that 'King Richard, conjointly with parliament, had enacted many good statutes.'
Even then the citizen seems to have comprehended the
spirit of our constitution—that taxes should not be raised without consent of parliament!

Charles the First, amidst his urgent wants, at first had hoped, by the pathetic appeal to benevolence, that he should have touched the hearts of his unfriendly commoners; but the term of benevotence proved unlucky. The resisters of texation took full advantage of a significant meaning, which had long been lost in the custom; asserting by this very nad long been lost in the custom; asserting by this very term that all levies of money were not compulsory, but the voluntary gifts of the people. In that political crissis, when in the fullness of time all the national grievances, which had hither to been kept down, started up with one voice, the courteous term strangely contrasted with the rough demand. Lord Digby said 'the granting of subsidies, under so preposterous a name as of a benevolence, was—a malevolence.' And Mr Grimstone observed, that 'They have granted a benevolence, but the nature of the thing agrees not with the name.' The nature indeed had no entirely changed from the name, that when James I had tried to warm the hearts of his 'henevolent' people, he got 'little money, and lost a great deal of love.' 'Subsidies,' that is, grants made by parliament, observes Arthur Wilson, a dispassionate historian, 'get more of the people's money, but exactions enslave the mind.'

When benevolences had become a grievance, to diminish the odium they invented more inviting phrases. The sub-ject was cautiously informed that the sums demanded were only loans; or he was honoured by a letter under the privy scal; a bond which the king engaged to repay at a definite period; but privy scals at length got to be hawked about to persons coming out of church. 'Privy scals,' says a manuscript letter, 'are flying thick and threefold in sight of all the world, which might surely have been better performed in delivering them to every man privately at home. The general loan, which in fact was a forced loan, was one of the most crying grievances under Charles I. Ingenious in the destruction of his own popularity, the king contrived a new mode, of 'secret instructions to com-missioners.'\* They were to find out persons who could bear the largest rates. How the commissioners were to acquire this secret and inquisitorial knowledge appears in the bungling contrivance. It is one of their orders that after a number of inquiries have been put to a person, concerning others who had spoken against loan-money, and what arguments they had used, this person was to be charged in his majesty's name, and upon his allegiance, not to disclose to any other the answer he had given. A striking instance of that fatuity of the human mind, when a weak government is trying to do what it knows not how to perform: it was seeking to obtain a secret purpose by the most open and general means; a self-destroying prin-

Our ancestors were children in finance; their simplicity has been too often described as tyranny! but from my soul do I believe, on this obscure subject of taxation, that old Burleigh's advice to Elizabeth includes more than all the squabbling pamphlets of our political economists— win hearts, and you have their hands and purses!

# THE BOOK OF DEATH.

Montaigne was fond of reading minute accounts of the deaths of remarkable persons; and, in the simplicity of his heart, old Montaigne wished to be learned enough to form a collection of these deaths, to observe their words, their actions, and what sort of countenance they put upon it. He seems to have been a little over curious about deaths, in reference, no doubt, to his own, in which he was certainly deceived; for we are told that he did not die as he had promised himself,—expiring in the adoration of the mass; or, as his preceptor Buchanan would have called it, in 'the act of rank idolatry.'

I have been told of a privately printed volume, under the singular title of 'The Book of Death,' where an em-eter has compiled the pious memorials of many of our eminent men in their last moments : and it may form a 4 These 'Private Instructions to the Commissioners for the General Loan' may be found in Rushworth, i, 418

companion-piece to the little volume on 'Les grands beames qui sont morts en plaisantant.' This work, I fear, must be monotonous; the deaths of the righteous must resemble each other; the learned and the eloquent can only receive in silence that hope which awaits the covenant of the grave.' But this volume will not establish any decisive principle; since the just and the religious have not always encountered death with indifference, nor even in a fit composure of mind.

The functions of the mind are connected with those of the body. On a death-bed a fortnight's disease may reduce the firmest to a most wretched state; while, on the contrary, the soul struggles, as it were in torture, in a ro-bust frame. Nani, the Venetian historian, has curiously e. Nani, the bust frame. Ivani, the V obecam historian, has curpointy described the death of Innocent X, who was a character unblemished by vices, and who died at an advanced age, with, too robust a constitution. Dopo issage a terribit agonia, con delore e con pensa, seperandosi l'anime de quel corpo robusto, egli spire ai actic di Gennaro, nel ottonicemo primo de suoi anno. 'After a long and terrible agony, with great bodily pain and difficulty, his soul separated itself from that robust frame, and expired in his eighty-first

Some have composed sermons on death, while they passed many years of anxiety, approaching to madness, in contemplating their own. The certainty of an immediate contemplating their own. The certainty of an immediate separation from all our human sympathies may, even on a death-bed, suddenly disorder the imagination. The great physician of our times told me of a general, who had often faced the cannon's mouth, dropping down in terror, when informed by him that his disease was rapid and fatal. Some have died of the strong imagination of death. There is a print of a knight brought on the scaffold to suffer; he released the headerment is ware thinked and head down to viewed the headsman; he was blinded, and knelt down to receive the stroke. Having passed through the whole coremony of a criminal execution, accompanied by all its disgrace, it was ordered that his life should be spared, instead of the stroke from the sword, they poured cold wa-ter over his neck. After this operation the knight remained motionless; they discovered that he had expired in the very imagination of death! Such are among the many causes which may affect the mind in the hour of its last trial. The habitual associations of the natural character are most likely to prevail—though not always! The in-trepid Marshal Biron diagraced his exit by womanish tears, and raging imbocility; the virtuous Erasmus minimierable groans was heard crying out Domine! Domine! for finem! fac finem! Bayle having prepared his proof for the printer, pointed to where it lay when dying. The last words which Lord Chesterfield was heard to speak were when the wellst opening the market the state of the printer of of the pri words which Lord Chesterfield was heard to speak were, when the valet opening the curtains of the bed, announced Mr Dayroles—'Give Dayroles a chair?' 'This good-breeding,' observed the late Dr Warren his physician, 'oaly quits him with his life.' The last words of Nelson were, 'Tell Collingwood to bring the fleet to an anchor. The tranquil grandeur which east a new majesty over Charles the First on the scaffold, appeared when he declared—'I fear not death! Death is not terrible to me! And the characteristic pleasantry of Sir Thomas More exhibitrated his last moments, when observing the weakcand the characteristic pleasantry of Sir I house state exhibitated his last moments, when observing the weakness of the seaffold, he said, in mounting it, 'I pray you see me up safe, and for my coming down, let me shift for myself!' Sir Walter Raleigh passed a similar jest when when the profiled of the profiled state of the profiled state. ng to the scaffold.

My ingenious friend Dr Sherwen has furnished me with the following anecdotes of death. In one of the bloody battles fought by the Duke of Enghien, two French noblemen were left wounded among the dead on the field of battle. of battle. One complained loudly of his pains, the other after long silence thus offered him consolation. My friend, whoever you are, remember that our God died on the cross, our king on the scaffold; and if you have strength to look at him who now speaks to you, you will see that both his legs are shot away.

At the murder of the Duke D'Enghien, the royal victim looking at the soldiers who had pointed their fusees, said, of Greenalines! lower many and the soldiers who had be soldiers who had pointed their fusees, said,

rooking at the soldiers who had pointed their fusees, said, 'Grenadiers! lower your arms, otherwise yes will miss, or only wound me!' To two of them who proposed to tie a handkerchief over his eyes, he said, 'A loyal soldier who has been so often exposed to fire and sword, can see the approach of death with naked eyes, and without fear.' After a similar caution on the part of Sir George Liele, or Sir Charles Lucas, when murdered in nearly the same manner at Colohester, by the soldiers of Fairfax the loval

here in answer to their assertions and assurances that they would take care not to miss him, nobly replied ' You have often missed me when I have been nearer to you in the field of battle.'

When the governor of Cadiz, the Marquis de Solano, was murdered by the enraged and mistaken citizens, to one of his murderers who had run a pike through his back, he calmly turned round and said, 'Coward to strike there!

he camy turned round and said, 'Coward to strike there! Come round, if you dare—face, and destroy me!'

Mr Abernethy in his Physiological Lectures has ingeniously observed, that 'Shakspeare has represented Mercutio continuing to jest, though conscious that he was mortally wounded; the expiring Hotspur thinking of nothing but honour; and the dying Falstaff still cracking his jests upon Bardolph's nose. If such facts were duly attended to they would prompt us to make a more liberal allowance to, they would prompt us to make a more liberal allowance for each other's conduct under certain circumstances than we are accustomed to do.' The truth seems to he, that whenever the functions of the mind are not disturbed by the nervous functions of the digestive organs, the personal character predominates even in death, and its ha-Many relibinal associations exist to its last moments. gious persons may have died without showing in their last noments any of those exterior acts, or employing those errent expressions, which the collector of 'The Book of fervent expre Death' would only deign to chronicle; their hope is not gathered in their last hour.

Yet many with us have delighted to taste of death long before they have died, and have placed before their eyes all the furniture of mortality. The horrors of a charmehouse is the scene of their pleasure. The 'Midnight Meditations' of Quaries preceded Young's 'Night Thoughts' by a century, and both these poets loved pre-

ternatural terror.

If I must die, I'll snatch at every thing That may but mind me of my latest breath; Death's-heads, Graves, Knells, Blacks,\* Tombs, all

these shall bring
Into my soul such useful thoughts of death,
That this sable king of fears Shall not catch me unawares.'

But it may be doubtful whether the thoughts of death are useful, whenever they put a man out of the possession of his faculties. Young pursued the scheme of Quarles: he raised about him an artificial emotion of death; he darkened his sepulchral study, placing a skull on his table by lamp-light; as Dr Donne had his portrait taken, first winding a sheet over his head and closing his eyes; keeping this melancholy picture by his bed-side as long as he lived, to remind him of his mortality. Young even in his garden had his concoits of death: at the end of an avenue was viewed a seat of arradmirable chiaro oscuro, which, when approached, presented only a painted surface, with an inscription, alluding to the deception of the things of this world. To be looking at 'The mirror which flatters not;' to discover ourselves only as a skeleton with the horrid life of corruption about us, has been among those penitential inventions, which have often ended in shaking the in nocent by the pange which are only natural to the damned. Without adverting to those numerous testimonies, the diaries of fanatics, I shall offer a picture of an accomplished and innocent lady, in a curious and unaffected transcript and innocent tally, in a currous and ministered transcript she has left of a mind of great sensibility, where the pre-ternatural terror of death might perhaps have hastened the premature one she suffered.

From the 'Reliquise Gethinians,† I quote some of Lady Gethin's ideas on 'Death.'—'The very thoughts of death disturb one's reason; and though a man may have many excellent qualities, yet he may have the weakness of not commanding his sentiments. Nothing is worse for one's health, than to be in fear of death. There are some so wise, as neither to hate nor fear it; but for my part I have an aversion for it, and with reason; for it is a rash inconsiderate thing, that always comes before it is looked for; always comes unseasonably, parts friends, ruins beauty, laughs at youth, and draws a dark veil over all the pleasures of life. This dreadful svil is but the svil of a moment, and what we cannot by any means avoid; and

Blacks was the term for mourning in James the First and

this rise ruse time.

† My discovery of the nature of this rare volume, of what is original and what collected, will be found in the latter part of the First Series of these Curloskies of Literature.

it is that which makes it so terrible to me; for were it un-certain, hope might diminish some part of the fear; but when I think I must die, and that I may die every moment, and that too a thousand several ways, I am in such a fright as you cannot imagine. I see dangers where, perhaps, there never were any. I am persuaded 'tis happy to be somewhat dull of apprehension in this case; and yet the best way to cure the pensiveness of the thoughts of death is to think of it as little as possible. She proceeds by enumerating the terrors of the fearful, who 'cancoods by enumerating the terrors of the learnil, who cannot enjoy themselves in the pleasantest places, and although they are neither on sea, river, or creek, but in good health in their chamber, yet are they so well instructed with the fear of dying, that they do not measure it only by the present dangers that wait on us. Then is it not best to submit to God! But some people cannot do it as they would; and though they are not destitute of reason has reserveive they are to blame, yet at the same time that but perceive they are to blame, yet at the same time that their reason condemns them, their imagination makes their hearts feel what it pleases.

hearts feel what it pleases."

Such is the picture of an ingenuous and a religious mind, drawn by an amiable woman, who, it is evident, lived always in the fear of death. The Gothic skeleton was ever haunting her imagination. In Dr Johnson the same horror was suggested by the thoughts of death. When Boswell once in conversation persecuted Johnson on this substitute that we make feet the area. ject, whether we might not fortify our minds for the ap proach of death; he answered in a passion, 'No, Sir! let it alone! It matters not how a man dies, but how he let it alone! It matters not how a man dies, but now no lives! The art of dying is not of importance, it lasts so short a time! But when Boswell persisted in the conversation, Johnson was thrown into such a state of agitation, that he thundered out, 'Give us no more of this!' and, further, sternly told the trembling and too curious philosopher, 'Don't let us meet to-morrow!'

It may be a question whether those who by their preparatory conduct have appeared to show the greatest indifference for death, have not rather betrayed the most curious art to disguise its terrors. Some have invented a mode of escaping from life in the midst of convivial enjoyment. A mortuary preparation of this kind has been recorded of an amiable man, Moncriff, the author of 'Histoire des Charts' and 'L'Art de Plaire,' by his literary friend La Place, who was an actor in, as well as the histoire of the simplify paraties. torian of the singular narrative. One morning La Place received a note from Moncriff, requesting that the would immediately select for him a dozen volumes most likely to amuse, and of a nature to withdraw the reader from being occupied by melancholy thoughts. La Place was startled at the unusual request, and new to his old friend, whom at the unusual request, and new to his old friend, whom he found deeply engaged in being measured for a new peruke, and a taffety robe de chambre, earnestly enjoining the utmost expedition. 'Shut the door?—said Moncriff, observing the surprise of his friend. 'And now that we are alone, I comfide my secret: on rising this morning, my valet in dressing me showed me on this leg this dark spot—from that moment I knew I "was condemned to death." but I had presence of mind creatly active the terms. death?" but I had presence of mind enough not to betray myself.' 'Can a head so well organised as yours imagine that such a trifle is a sentence of death?—'Don't speak that such a time is a sentence of death 7—1 Don't speak so loud, my friend !—or rather deign to listen a moment. At my age it is fatal! The system from which I have derived the felicity of a long life has been, that whenever any ovil, moral or physical, happens to us, if there is a moral of the light that it is a long to the light that it is the sentence of the light that it is the sentence of the light that it is the light that the light that it is the light that it is the light that the ligh remedy, all must be sacrificed to deliver us from it-but in a contrary case, I do not choose to wrestle with destiny and to begin complaints, endless as useless! All that I request of you, my friend, is to assist me to pass away the days which remain for me, free from all cares, of which otherwise they might be too susceptible. But do not think, he added with warmth, that I mean to clude the religious duties of a citizen, which so many of late affect to contemn. The good and virtuous curate of my parish is coming here under a pretent of an annual contriparish is coming nere under a present or an annual countri-bution, and I have even ordered my physician, on whose confidence I can rely. Here is a list of ten or twelve persons, friends beloved! who are mostly known to you. I shall write to them this evening, to tell them of my con-tribute it is the mark man to live they will do me I shall write to them this evening, to tell them of my con-demnation; but if they wish me to live, they will do me the favour to assemble here at five in the evening, where they may be certain of finding all those objects of amuse-ment, which I shall study to discover suitable to their tastes. And you, my old friend, with my doctor, are two-on whom I most depend.'

La Place was strongly affected by this appeal suitable.

Socrates, nor Cato, nor Seneca looked more serenely on the approach of death.

'Familiarize yourself early with death!' said the good old man with a smile—'It is only dreadful for those who dread it!"

During ten days after this singular conversation, the whole of Moneriff's remaining life, his apartment was open to his friends, of whom several were ladies; all kinds of games were played till nine o'clock, and that the sorrows of the host might not disturb his guests, he played the chouette at his favourite game of pioquet's a supper, seasoned by the wit of the master, concluded at eleven. On the tenth night, in taking leave of his friend, Moneriff whispered to him, 'Adieu, my friend! to-morrow morning I shall return your books!" He died, as he foresaw, the following day.

I have sometimes thought that we might form a history of this fear of death, by tracing the first appearances of the skeleton which haunts our funeral imagination. In the modern history of mankind we might discover some very strong contrasts in the notion of death entertained by men at various epochs. The following article will supply a skotch of this kind.

#### HISTORY OF THE SKELETON OF DEATH.

Enthanasia! Enthanasia! an easy death! was the exclamation of Augustus; it was what Antonius Pius enjoyed; and it is that for which every wise man will pray, said Lord Orrery, when perhaps he was contemplating on the close of Swift's life.

The ancients contemplated death without terror, and met it with indifference. It was the only divinity to which they never sacrificed, coavineed that no human being could turn aside its stroke. They raised altars to fever, to misfortune, to all the evils of life; for these might change! But though they did not court the presence of death in any shape, they acknowledged its tranquillity; and in the beautiful fables of their allegorical religion, Death was the daughter of Night, and the sister of Sleep; and ever the friend of the unhappy! To the eternal sleep of death they dedicated their sepulchral monuments—Alternal Somme!\* If the full light of revelation had not yet broken on them, it can hardly be denied that they had some glimpses and a dawn of the life to come, from the many allegorical inventions which describe the transmigration of the soul. A butterfly on the extremity of an extinguished lamp, held up by the messenger of the Gods intently gazing above, implied a dedication of that soul; Love, with a melancholy air, his legs crossed, leasing on an inverted torch, the flame thus naturally extinguishing itself, elegantly denoted the cessation of human life; a rose sculptured on a surcophagus, or the emblems of epicurean life traced on it, in a skull wreathed by a chaplet of flowers, such as they wore at their convivual meetings, a flask of wine, a patera, and the small bones used as dice; all those symbols were indirect allusions to death, voiling its painful recollections. They did not pollute their imagination with the contents of a charnel-house. The sarcophagi of the ancients rather recall to us the remembrance of the activity of life; for they are sculptured with battles or games, in base relievo; a sort of tender homage paid to the dead, observes Mad. De Stael, with her peculiar refinement of thinking.

It would seem that the Romans had even an aversion

It would seem that the Romans had even an aversion to mention death in express terms, for they disguised its very name by some periphrasis, such as discossite suits, 'he has departed from life;' and they did not say that their friend had died, but that he had tived; vissi! In the cild Latin chronicles, and even the Faders and other documents of the middle ages, we find the same delicacy about using the fatal word Death, especially when applied to kings and great people. 'Transiere a Reculo—Vitam enom mutare—Si quid de co humanium contigrit, \$e.' I am indobted to Mr Merivale for this remark. Even among a people less refined, the obtrusive idea of death has been studiously avoided: we are told that when the Emperor of Morocco inquires after any one who has recently died, it is against etiquette to mention the word 'death;' the answer is 'his destiny is closed!' But this tenderness is only reserved for 't the elect' of the Musselmon. A Jew's death is at once plainly expressed, 'He is dead, sir! asking your pardon for mentioning such a constituentible wrotch!' f. e. a Jow! A Christian's is described by 'The infidel is dead!' or 'The cuckold is dead!'

+ Montfaucen, L'Antiquité Expilquée, I, 262.

The artists of antiquity have so rarely attempted to pe sonify Death, that we have not discovered a single revo ing image of this nature in all the works of antiquity\* ing mage or this nature in an the works of antiquity—to conceal its deformity to the eye, as well as to clude its suggestion to the mind, seems to have been an universal feeling, and it accorded with a fundamental principle of ancient art; that of never offering to the eye a distortion of form in the violence of passion, which destroyed the beauty of its representation; such is shown in the Laccoon, where the mouth only opens sufficiently to indicate the suppressed agony of superior humanity, without ex-pressing the loud cry of rulgar suffering. Pausanias con-sidered as a personification of death a female figure, whose sidered as a personification of death a female figure, whose teeth and nails, long and crooked, were engraven on a coin fin of cedar, which enclosed the body of Cypselus; this female was unquestionably only one of the Parcs, or the Fates, 'watchful to cut the thread of life;' Hesiod describes Atropos indeed as having sharp teeth, and long nails, waiting to tear and devour the dead; but this image was in a barbarous era. Carullus ventured to personify the Sister-Destinies as three Crones; 'but in general, Winkelman observes, 'they are portrayed as beautiful virgins, with winged heads, one of whom is always in the attitude of writing on a scroll.' Death was a nonemity the ancient artist. Could be exhibit what represents nothe ancient artist. Could be exhibit what represents nothing? Could he animate into action what lies in a state of eternal tranquility? Elegant images of repose and tender sorrow were all he could invent to indicate the state of death. Even the terms which different nations have bestowed on a burial-place are not associated with emobestowed on a bursal-place are not associated with emotions of horror. The Greeks called a burying-ground by the soothing term of Cometrion, or, 'the sleeping-place,' the Jews, who had no horrors of the grave, by Balkhain, or 'the house of the living;' the Germans, with religious simplicity, ' God's field.'

Whence, then, originated that stalking skeleton, suggesting so many false and sepulchral ideas, and which for us has so long served as the image of death?

When the christian religion spread over Europe, the world changed! the certainty of a future state of existence, by the artifices of wicked worldly men, terrified instead of consoling human nature; and in the resurrection the ignorant multitude seemed rather to have dreaded rethe ignorant multitude scened rainer to have dreaded re-tribution; than to have hoped for remuneration. The Founder of christianity every where breathes the blessed-ness of social feelings. It is 'our Father!' whom he ad-dresses. The horrors with which christianity was afterwards disguised arose in the corruptions of christianity among those insane ascetics, who, misinterpreting th word of life,' trampled on nature; and imagined that to secure an existence in the other world it was necessary not to exist in the one in which God had placed them The dominion of mankind fell into the usurping hands of those imperious monks whose artifices trafficked with the terrors of ignorant and hypochoadriac 'Keisers and kings.'
The scene was darkened by penances and by pilgrimges, by midnight vigils, by miraculous shrines, and bloody flagellations; spectres started up amidst their tenebres; millions of masses increased their supernatural influence. Amidst this general gloom of Europe, their troubled ana-ginations were frequently predicting the end of the world. It was at this period that they first beheld the grave yawn, and Death in the Gothic form of a gaunt anatomy parsing through the universe! The people were frightened, as they viewed every where hung before their eyes, in the twilight of their cathedrals, and their 'pale cloisters,' the most revolting emblems of death. They startled the tra-veller on the bridge; they stared on the sinner in the carvings of his table and chair; the spectre moved in the hangings of the apartment; it stood in the niche, and was the picture of their sitting-room; it was worn in their rings, the picture of their sitting-room; it was worn in their rings, while the illuminator shaded the bony phantom in the marwhile the inclinator shadow the boy pannous as to be used in so that it has been a bounded in the process and their breviance. Their barbarous taste perceived no absurdity in giving action to a heap of dry bones, which could only keep together in a state of immovability and repose; nor that it was burlesquing the awful idea of the resurrection, by ex-

A representation of Death by a skeleton appears among the Egyptians; a custom more singular than barbarous prevailed, of enclosing a skeleton of heautiful workmanship in a small coffin, which the bearer carried round at their entertainments; observing, 'after death you will resemble this figure: drink then! and be happy!'s asymbol of Death in a convival party was not designed to excite terrific or glossay ideas.

faibiting the incorruptible spirit under the unnatural and ludicrous figure of mortality drawn out of the corruption of the grave.

An anecdote of these monkish times has been preserved by old Gerard Leigh; and as old stories are best set off by old words, Gerard speaketh! "The great Maximilian the emperor came to a monastery in high Almaine (Germany.) the monks whereof had caused to be curiously painted the charmel of a man, which they termed-death! When that well-learned emperor had beholden it awhile, he called unto him his painter, commanding to blot the skeleton out, and to paint therein the image of—a fool. Wherewith the abbot, humbly beseeching him to the contrary, said, "It was a good remembrance!"—"Nay," quoth the emperor, "as vermin that annoyeth man's body cometh unlooked for, so doth death, which here is but a fained image, and life is a certain thing, if we know to deserve it." The original mind of Maximilian the Great is characterised by this curious story of converting our emblem of death into a party-coloured fool; and such satirical allusions to the folly of these who persisted in their notion of the skeleton were not unusual with the arists of those times; we find the figure of a fool sitting with some drollery between the legs of one of these skeletons.

This story is associated with an important fact. After they had successfully terrified the people with their charnel-house figure, a reaction in the public feelings occurred, for the skeleton was now employed as a medium to convey the most facetious, satirical, and burlesque notions of human life. Death, which had so long harassed their imaginations, suddenly changed into a theme fertile in coarse humour. The Italians were too long accustomed to the study of the beautiful to allow their peacil to sport with deformity; but the Gothic taste of the German artists, who could only copy their own homely nature, delighted to give human passious to the hideous physiognomy of a noesless skull; to put an eye of mockery or malignity into its hollow sock et, and to stretch out the gaunt anatomy into the postures of a Hogarth; and that the ludierous might be carried to its extreme, this imaginary being, taken from the bone-house, was viewed in the action of denoing! This blending of the grotesque with the most disgusting image of mortality, is the more singular part of this history of the skeleton, and indeed of human nature itself:

'The Dance of Death' erroneously considered as Holbein's with other similar dances, however differently treated, have one common subject which was painted in the arcades of burying-grounds, or on town-halls and in mar-ket-places. The subject is usually The Skeleton in the act of leading all ranks and conditions to the grave, person-ated after nature, and in the strict costume of the times. This invention opened a new field for genius; and when we can for a moment forget their luckless choice of their bony and bloodless hero, who to abuse us by a variety of action becomes a sort of horrid harlequin in these pantomimical scenes, we may be delighted by the numerous human characters, which are so vividly presented to us. The origin of this extraordinary invention is supposed to be a favourite pageant, or religious mummery, invented by the clergy, who in these ages of barbarous christianity always found it necessary to amuse, as well as to frighten the populace; a circumstance well known to have occurred in so many other grotesque and licentious festivals they allowed the people. This pageant was performed in churches, in which the chief characters in society were supported in the poets and painters of Germany adopting the skeleton, sent forth this chimerical Ulysses of another world to roam among the men and manners of their own. One Macaber composed a popular poem, and the old Gaulish version re-formed is still printed at Troyes, in France, with the an-cient blocks of wood-cuts under the title of 'La grande Dance Macabre des hommes et des femmes.' Merian's Todon Tans, or the Dance of the Dead, is a curious set of prints of a dance of death from an ancient painting, I think not entirely defaced, in a cometery at Basic, in Switzerland. It was ordered to be painted by a council

The accklence of Armorie, p. 199.

† A wood-cut preserved in Mr Dibdin's Bib. Dec. 1, 26.

† My well-read friend Mr Douce has poured forth his curious knowledge on this subject in a dissertation prefixed to a valuable edition of Holiar's <sup>†</sup> Dance of Death.

which was held there during many years, to commemorate the mortality occasioned by a plague in 1439. The prevailing character of all these works is unquestionably grotesque and ludicrous; not, however, that genius, however barbarous, could refrain in this large subject of human life from inventing scenes often imagined with great delicacy of conception, and even great pathos! Such is the new-married couple, whom Death is leading, beating a drum, and in the rapture of the hour, the bride seems with a melancholy look, now insensible of his presence; or Death is seen issuing from the cottage of the poor widow with her youngest child, who waves his hand sorrowfully, while the mother and the sister vainly answer; or the old man, to whom death is playing on a peattery, seems anxious; that his withered fingers should once more touch the strings, while he is carried off in calm tranquillity. The greater part of these subjects of death are, however, ludicrous and it may be a question, whether the spectators of these dances of death did not find their mirth more excited than their religious emotions. Ignorant and terrified as the people were at the view of the skeleton, even the grossest simplicity could not fail to laugh at some of those domestic scenes and familiar persons draws from among themselves. The skeleton, skeleton as it is in the creation of genius, gesciculates and mimics, which even its hideous skull is made to express every diversified character, and the result is hard to describe; for we are at once amused and disgusted with so much genius founded on so much genius founded with so much genius founded on so much scharism.

ed with so much genius founded on so much barbarism. When the artist succeeded in conveying to the eye the most ludicrous notions of death, the poets also discovered in it a fertile source of the burlesque. The curious collector is acquainted with many volumes where the most extraordinary topics have been combined with this subject. They made the body and the soul debate together, and ridicule the complaints of a dammed soul! The greater part of the poets of the time were always composing on the subject of Death in their humourous pieces. Such historical records of the public mind, historians, intent on political entry have made to the property of the public mind, historians, intent on political entry have made parties have made parties.

litical events, have rarely noticed.

Of a work of this nature, a popular favourite was long the one entitled 'Le faut mearir et les escuses inutiles qu'on apporte a cette necessité; Le tout en vers burleques, 1858: Jacques Jacques, a canon of Ambrun, was the writer, who humorously says of himself, that he gives his thoughts just as they lie on his heart, without dissimulation; 'for I have nothing double about me except my name! I tell thee some of the most important truths in laughing; it is for thee d'y penser tout a bon.' This little volume was procured for me with some difficulty in France; and it is considered as one of the happiest of this class of death-poems of which I know not of any in our literature.

Our canon of Ambrun, in facetious rhymes, and with the naiveté of expression which belongs to his age, and an didomatic turn fatal to a translator, excels in pleasantry; his haughty hero condescends to hold very amusing dialogues with all classes of society, and delights to confound their 'excuses inutiles.' The most miserable of men, the galley-slave, the medicant, alike would escape when he appears to them. 'Were I not absolute over them,' Death exclaims, 'they would confound me with their long speeches; but I have business, and must gallop on?' His geographical rhymes are droll.

'Ce que j'ai fait dans l'Affrique
Je le fais bien dans l'Amerique;
On l'appelle monde nouveau
Mais ce sont des brides à veau;
Nulle terre à moy n'est nouvelle
Je vay partout sans qu'on m'appelle,
Mon bras de tout tems commanda
Dans le pays de Canada;
J'ai tenu de tout temps en bride
La Virginie et la Floride,
Et j'ai bien donné sur le bec
Aux Français du fort de Rebec,
Lorque je veux je fais la nique
Aux Incas, aux Rois de Mexique,
Et montre aux nouveaux Gresadins,
Chacun sait bien comme je matte
Ceux du Bresil et de la Platte,
Ainsi que les Taupinembous—
En us mot, je fais voir à tout

• Goujet Bib. Françoise, vol. 1, 105 Digitized by Que ce que nait dans la nature, Doit prendre de moy tablature!\*

The perpetual employments of Death display copious avention with a facility of humour.

'Egalement je vay rengeant, Le counseiller et le sergeant, Le gentilhomme et le berger, Le bourgeois at le boulanger, Et la maistresse et la servante Et la niepce comme la tante; Monsieur l'abbé, monsieur son moine, Le petit clerc et le chanoine; Sans choix jo mets dans mon butin Maistre Claude, maistre Martin, Dame Luce, dame Perrette, &c. J'en prends un dans le temps qu'is pleure A quelque autre, au contraire à l'heure Que demisurement il rit Je donne le coup qui le frit. J'en prends un, pendant qu'il se leve; En se couchant l'autre l'enleve. Je prends la malade et le sain L'un aujourd'hui, l'autre le demain J'en surprends un dedans son lict J'en surprenes un decans son lio. L'autre a l'estude quand il lit. J'en surprenes un le ventre plein Je mené l'autre par le faim. J'attrape l'un pendant qu'il prie, Et l'autre pendant qu'il reme, J'en saisis un au cabaret Entre le blanc et le clairet, L'autre qui dans son oratoire A son Dieu rend honneur et gloire : J'en surprends un lors qu'il se pasme Le jour qu'il epouse sa femme, L'autre le jour que pleis du deuil La sienne il voit dans le cercuil; Un à pied et l'autre à cheval Dans le jeu l'un, et l'autre au bal; Un qui mange et l'autre qui boit, Un qui paye et l'autre qui doit. L'un en été lorsqu'il moissonne L'autre en vendanges dans l'autre L'un criant almanache nouveaux-Un qui demande son aumosne L'autre dans le temps qu'il la donne. Je prends le bon maistre Clement. Au temps qu'il rend un lauement, Et prends la dame Catherine

Le jour qu'elle prend medicine.'
This veil of gaiety in the old canon of Ambrun covers This veil of galety in the old canon of Ambrun covers deeper and more philosophical thoughts than the singular mode of treating so solemn a theme. He has introduced many scenes of human life, which still interest, and he addresses the 'Teste à triple couronne,' as well as the 'forat de galere,' who exclaims, 'Laisses moi vivre dams met fers,' ile gueu,' the 'bourgeois,' the 'chanoine,' the 'pauvre soldat,' the 'medicin,' an a word, all ranks in life are exhibited, as in the 'dances of death.' But our object of poticine these buildense sainties and course is to ject of noticing those buriesque paintings and poems is to show, that after the monkish Goths had opened one general scene of melancholy and tribulation over Europe, and given birth to that dismal sheleton of death, which still ter-rifies the imagination of many, a reaction of feeling was experienced by the populace, who at length came to laugh at the gloomy spectre which had so long terrified them!

### THE RIVAL BIOGRAPHERS OF HEYLIN,

Peter Heylin was one of the popular writers of his times, like Fuller and Howell, who, devoting their amusing pens to subjects which deeply interested their own busy age, will not be slighted by the curious. We have nearly outlived their divinity, but not their politics. Metaphysical abourdities are luxuriant weeds which must be cut down by the scythe of Time; but the great passions branching

by the scythe of Time; but the great passions branching from the tree of life are still 'growing with our growth.'

There are two biographies of our Heylin, which led to a literary quarrel of an extraordinary nature; and, in the progress of its secret history, all the feelings of rival authorable were called out.

Heylin died in 1682. Dr Barnard, his sen-in-law, and a scholar, communicated a sketch of the author's life to be

\* Tablature d'un luth, Cotgrave says, is the belly of a lute, meaning 'all in nature must dance to my music !'

prefixed to a posthumous folio, of which Heylin's sea was the editor. This life was given by the son, but anony-mously, which may not have gratified the author, the son-

Twenty years had elapsed when, in 1682, appeared The Life of Dr Peter Heylin, by George Vernoa. The writer, alluding to the prior life prefixed to the posthumous folio, asserts, that in borrowing something from Barnard, Barnard had also 'Excerpted passages out of my sepera, the very words as well as matter, when he had them in his custody, as any reader may discern who will be at the pains of comparing the life now published with what is extant before the *Ecinales Ecclesiatics*? the quaint, pedantic title, after the fashion of the day, of the posthumous folio.

mous foito.

This strong accusation seemed countenanced by a dedication to the son and the nephew of Heylin. Roused now into action, the indignant Barnard soon produced a more complete Life, to which he prefixed 'A necessary Vindication.' This is an unsparing castigation of Vermon, the literary pet whom the Heylins had fondled in preference to their learned relative. The long smothered family gradge, the suppressed mortifications of literary pride, siter the subtractant grumphings of twenty warm now hard to the suppressed mortifications. subterraneous grumblings of twenty years, now burst out, and the volcanic particles flew about in causiic pleasant-

and the volcame particles new about in causic pleamnies and sharp invectives; all the lava of an author's rengeance, mortified by the choice of an inferior rival.

It appears that Vernon had been selected by the son of Heylin, in preference to his brother-in-law Dr Barnard, from some family disagreement. Barnard tells us, in describing Vernon, that 'No man, except himself, who was totally ignorant of the Doctor, and all the circumstances of his life, would have engaged in such a work, which was never primarily laid out for him, but by reason of some unhappy differences, as usually fall out in families; and he who loves to put his oar in troubled waters, instead

of closing them up bath made them wider.'

Barnard tells his story plainly. Heylin, the son, intending to have a more elaborate life of his father prefixed to his works, Dr Barnard, from the high reverence in which he held the memory of his father-in-law, offered to contribute it. Many conferences were held, son intrusted him with several papers. But suddenly his caprice, more than his judgment, fancied that George Vernon was worth John Barnard. The doctor affects to describe his rejection with the most stoical indifference. He tells us, 'I was satisfied, and did patiently expect the He tells us, 'I was satisfied, and did patiently expect the coming forth of the work, not only term after term, but year after year, a very considerable time for such a tract. But at last, instead of the life, came a letter to me from a bookseller in London, who lived at the sign of the Black Boy, in Fleet Street.'

Now it seems that he who lived at the Black Boy had combined with another who lived at the Fleur de Luce, and that the Fleur de Luce had assured the Black Boy had the The Revenuel in the life of the life

that Dr Barnard was concerned in writing the Life of Heylin,—this was a strong recommendation. But lo! it appeared that 'one Mr Vernon, of Gloucester,' was to It appeared that 'one MY verson, or Groucester, was to be the man! a gentle thin-skinned authorling, who bleated like a lamb, and who was so fearful to trip out of its shetter, that it allows the Black Boy and the Fleur de Lucs to communicate its papers to any one they choose, and erase, or add, at their pleasure.

or and, at their pleasure.

It occurred to the Black Boy, on this proposed arithmetical criticism, that the work required addition, subtraction, and division: that the fittest critic, on whose name, indeed, he had originally engaged in the work, was our Dr Barnard; and he sent the package to the doctor, who resided near Lincoln.

The doctor, it appears, had no appetite for a dish dressed by another, while he himself was in the very act of the cookery; and it was suffered to lie cold for three weeks at the carrier's.

at the carrier's.

But entreated and overcome, the good doctor at length sent to the carrier's for the life of his father-in-law. 'I found it, according to the bookseller's description most lame and imperfect; ill begun, worse carried on, and abruptly concluded.' The learned doctor exercised that plenitude of power with which the Black Boy had invested him;—he very obligingly showed the author in what a confused state his materials lay together, and how to put them in order:

' Nec facundia deseret hunc, nec lucidus ordo.' If his rejections were copiests, to show his good will as west as his severity, his additions were generous, though he used the precaution of carefully distinguishing by 'dis-tinet paragraphs' his own insertion amidet Vernon's mass, with a gentle hint, that 'He knew more of Heylin than any man now living, and ought therefore to have been the biographer. He returned the MS, to the gentleman with great civility, but none he received back! When Vernon great civility, but none he received back! great civility, but none he received back! When Vernon pretended to ask for improvements, he did not imagine that the work was to be improved by being nearly destroyed; and when he asked for correction, he probably expected all might end in a compliment.

The narrative may now proceed in Vernon's details of his doleful mortifications, in being 'altered and mangled'

by Dr Barnard.

'Instead of thanks from him (Dr Barnard,) and the return of common civility, he distinuted my papers, that no sooner came into his hands, but he fell upon them as a ion rampant, or the cat upon the poor cock in the fable, saying, Ts kodie miki discreperis—so my papers came home miserably clawed, blotted, and blurred; whole sentences dismembered, and pages scratched out; several leaves omitted which ought to be printed,—shamefully he used my copy; so that before it was carried to the press, he swooped away the second part of the life wholly from at—in the room of which he shuffled in a preposterous conclusion at the last page, which he printed in a different character, yet could not keep himself honest, as the poet

#### Dicitque tue pagine, fur es. MARTIAL.

for he took out of my copy Doctor Heylin's dream, his sickness, his last words before his death, and left out the burning of his surplice. He so mangled and metamorphosed the whole life I composed, that I may say as Socia did, Egomet miki non credo ille alter, Socia, me malie mulcavit modio—Plant.'

Ductor Barnard would have 'patiently endured these rongs;' but the accusation Vernon ventured on, that Barnard was the plagiary, required the doctor 'to return the poisoned chalice to his own lips,' that 'himself was the plagiary both of words and matter.' The fact is, that this reciprocal accusation was owing to Barnard having had a prior perusal of Heylin's papers, which afterwards came into the hands of Vernon: they both drew their waters from the same source. These papers Heylin himself had left for 'a rule to guide the writer of his life.'

Barnard keenly retorts on Vernon for his surreptitious use of whole pages from Heylin's works, which he has appropriated to himself without any marks of quotation.

I am no such excerptor (as he calls me;) he is of the humour of the man who took all the ships in the Attic haven for his own, and yet was himself not master of any one vessel.

Again:

Again:

But all this while I misunderstand him, for possibly he meaneth his own dear words I have excerpted. Why doth he not speak in plain downright English, that the word may see my faults 7 For every one does not know If I have been so bold to pick or snap what is excerpting. If I have been so bold to pick or snap a word from him, I have I may have the benefit of the clergy. What words have I robbed him of? and how clergy. What words have I robbed him of? and how have I become the richer for them? I was never so taken with him as to so once tempted to break the commandwith him as to be duce tempted to break the command-ments, because I love plain speaking, plain writing, and plain dealing, which he does not: I hate the word es-serpted, and the action imported in it. However, he is a fanciful man, and thinks there is no elegancy nor wit but in his own way of talking. I must say as Tully did, Matim equidem indisertom prudentium quam stultum loquacitatem.

In his turn he accuses Vernon of being a perpetual transcriber, and for the Malone minuteness of his his-

tory.

But how have I excerpted his matter? Then I am sure to rob the spittle-house; for he is so poor and put to sure of root up spirite-sures; for the set poor and put hard shifts, that has much ado to compose a tolerable story, which he hath been hammering and conceiving in his mind for four years together, before he could bring forth his foctus of intolerable transcriptions to molest the reader's patience and memory. How doth he run himself out of breath, sometimes for twenty pages and more, at other times fifteen, ordinarily nine and ten, collected out of Dr Heylin's old books, before he can take his wind again to return to his story. I never met with such a transcriber in all my days; for want of matter to fill up a vacuum, of which his book was in much danger, he hath set down the story of Westminster, as long as the ploughman's tale in Chaucer, which to the reader would have been more pertinent and pleasant. I wonder he did not transcribe bills of chancery, especially about a tedious suit my father

In his raillery of Vernou's affected metaphors and comparisons, 'his similitudes and dissimilitudes strangely hooked in, and fetched as far as the Antipodes,' Barnard observes, 'The man hath also a strange opinion of himself that he is Doctor Heylin; and because he writes his life, the hath his natural parts, if not acquired. The soul that he bath his matural parts, if not acquired. The soul of St Augustine (say the schools) was Pythagorically transfused into the corpse of Aquinas; so the soul of Dr Heylin into a narrow soul. I know there is a question in philosophy, an aximus sint aquates? Whether souls he alike? But there's a difference between the spirits of Elijah and Elisha: so small a prophet with so great a

Dr Barnard concludes by regretting that good counsel came now unseasonable, else he would have advised the writer to have transmitted his task to one who had been an ancient friend of Dr Heylin, rather than ambitiously have assumed it, who was a professed stranger to him, by reason of which no better account could be expected from this piece of biography—'A life to the half; an imperfect creature, that is not only lame (as the honest bookseller said,) but wanteth legs, and all other integral parts of a man; nay the very soul that should animate a body like Dr Heylin. So that I must say of him as Plutarch doth of Tib. Gracchus, "that he is a bold undertaker and rash talker of those matters he does not understand." And so I have done with him, unless he creates to himself and me a future trouble.'

Vernon appears to have slunk away from the duel. The son of Heylin stood corrected by the superior life produced by their relative; the learned and vivacious Barnard probably never again ventured to alter and improve the works of an author kneeling and praying for corrections. These bleating lambs, it seems, often turn out roaring lions!

## OF LENGLET DU FRESNOY.

The 'Methode pour etudier l'Histoire,' by the Abbé Lenglet du Fresnoy, is a master-key to all the locked-up treasures of ancient and modern history, and to the more secret stores of the obscurer memorialists of every nation.
The history of this work and its author are equally remarkable. The man was a sort of curiosity in human nature, as his works are in literature. Lenglet du Fresnoy is not a writer merely laborious; without genius, he still has a hardy originality in his manner of writing and of thinking; and his vast and restless curiosity fermenting his immense book-knowledge, with a freedom verging on cynical causticity, led to the pursuit of uncommon topics. Even the prefaces to the works which he edited are singularly curious, and he has usually added bibliotheques, or critical catalogues of authors, which we may still consult for notices on the writers of romances—of those on literary subjects—on alchymy, or the hermetic philosophy; of those who have written on apparitions, visions, \$-c. an historical treatise on the secret of confession, \$\tilde{\pi}\_c.\$; besides those 'Pieces Justificatives,' which constitute some of the most extraordinary documents in the philosophy of History. His manner of writing secured him readers even among the unlearned; his mordacity, his sarcasm, his denision, his pregnant interjections, his un-guarded frankness, and often his strange opinions, con-tribute to his reader's amusement more than comports with his graver tasks; but his peculiarities cannot alter the value of his knowledge, whatever they may sometimes value of his knowledge, whatever hey may sometimes detract from his opinions; and we may safely admire the ingenuity, without quarrelling with the sincerity of the writer, who having composed a work on L'Usage dee Romans, in which he gayly impugned the authenticity of all history, to prove himself not to have been the author, ambi-dexterously published another of L'Histoire justifiée contre les Romans; and perhaps it was not his fault that the attack was spirited, and the justification dull.

This 'Methode' and his 'Tablettes Chronologiques, of nearly forty other publications are the only ones which outlived their writer; volumes, merely curious, are exiled to the shelf of the collector, the very name of an author

Digitized by GOOGIC

merely curious--that shadow of a shado-is not always even preserved by a dictionary-compiler in the universal

charity of his alphabetical mortuary.

The history of this work is a striking instance of those imperfect beginnings, which have often closed in the most important labours. This admirable 'Methode' made its first meagre appearance in two volumes in 1713. soon reprinted at home and abroad, and translated into va-rious languages. In 1729 it assumed the dignity of four quartos; but at this stage it encountered the vigilance of government, and the lacerating hand of a celebrated con-sear Gros de Boze. It is said, that from a personal dislike sear Gros de Boze. It is said, mai from a possession of the author, he cancelled one hundred and fifty pages of the author, he cancelled to his cansorship. He from the printed copy submitted to his censorship. He had formerly approved of the work, and had quietly passed ever some of these obnoxious passages: it is certain that Gros de Boze, in a dissertation on the Janus of the ancients in this work, actually erased a high commenda-tion of himself,\* which Lenglet had, with unusual courtesy, bestowed on Gros de Boze; for as a critic he is most penurious of panegyric, and there is always a caustic fla-your even in his drops of honey. This censeur either affected to disdain the commendation, or availed himself of it as a trick of policy. This was a trying situation for an author, now proud of a great work, and who himself partook more of the bull than of the lamb. He who winced at the scratch of an epithet, beheld his perfect limbs bruised by erasures and mutilated by cancels. This sort of troubles indeed was not unusual with Lenglet. He had occupied his old apartment in the Bastile so often, that at the sight of the officer who was in the habit of conducting him there, Lenglet would call for his night-cap and snuff; and finish the work he had then in hand at the Bastile, where he told Jordan, that he made his edition of Marot. He often silently restituted an epithet or a senrisk of returning once more; but in the present desperate affair he took his revenge by collecting the castrations into a quarto volume, which was sold clandestinely. I find, by Jordan, in his soyage litteraire, who visited him, that it was his pride to read these cancels to his friends, who generally, but secretly, were of opinion that the decision of the censeur was not so wrong as the hardihood of Lenglet insisted on. All this increased the public rumour, and raised the price of the cancels. The craft and mystery of authorship was practised by Lenglet to perfection, and he often exulted, not only in the subterfuges by which he par-ried his censeurs, but in his bargains with his booksellers, who were equally desirous to possess, while they half When the striggs copy of the Methode, in its prisities state, before it had suffered any dilapidations, made its appearance at the sale of the curious library of the censeur Gross de Boze, it provoked a Roxburgh competition, where the collectors, eagerly out-bidding each other, the price of this uncastrated copy reached to 1500 livres; an event more extraordinary in the history of French bibliography, than in our own. The curious may now find all these cance sheets, or castrations, preserved in one of those works of literary history, to which the Germans have contributed more largely than other European nations; and I have more largely than other European nations; and I have discovered that even the erasures, or braises, are amply furnished in another bibliographical record.

This Methode, after several later editions, was still enlarging itself by frosh supplements; and having been translated by men of letters in Europe, by Coleti in Italy, by Mencken in Germany, and by Dr Rawlinson in Eng-land, these translators have enriched their own editions by more copious articles, designed for their respective na-tions. The sagacity of the original writer now renovated his work by the infusions of his translators; like old Æson, it had its voins filled with green juices; and thus

This fact appears in the account of the minuter erasures. \* This fact appears in the account of the minuter ensures.

† The castrations are in Beyeri Memoriss historico-criticss librorum rariorusa, p. 165. The bruises are carefully noted in the Catalogue of the Duke de la Valliere, 467. Those who are curious in such singularities will be gratified by the extraordinary opinions and results in Beyer; and which after all were purioined from a manuscript 'Abridgment of Universal History,' which was drawn up by Count de Boulainvilliera, and more adroitly, than delicately, inserted by Lenglet in his own work. The original manuscript exists in various copies, which were afterwards discovered. The mir user corrections, and the Duke de la Valliera's catalogue, furnish a most enlivening article in the dryness of bibliography.

his old work was always undergoing the magic process of rejuvenescence.

The personal character of our author was as singular as many of the uncommon topics which engaged his inqui-ries; these we might conclude had originated in mere ecentricity, or were chosen at random. But Lenglet has shown no deficiency of judgment in several works of acknowledged utility; and his critical opinions, his last editor has shown, have, for the greater part, been sanc-tioned by the public voice. It is curious to observe how the first direction which the mind of a hardy inquirer may take, will often account for that variety of uncommon tohe delights in, and which, on a closer examination, may be found to bear an invisible connexion with some preceding inquiry. As there is an association of ideas, so in literary history there is an association of research; and a very judicious writer may thus be impelled to compose on subjects which may be deemed strange or injudicious.

This observation may be illustrated by the literary his-tory of Lenglet du Fresnoy. He opened his career by addressing a letter and a tract to the Sorbonne, on the extraordinary affair of Maria d'Agreda, abbess of the nunnery of the Immaculate Conception in Spain, whose mys-tical life of the Virgin, published on the decease of the abbess, and which was received with such rapture in Spain, had just appeared at Paris, where it excited the murmurs of the pious, and the inquiries of the curious. This mystical life was declared to be founded on apparitions and revelations experienced by the abbess. Lenglet proved, or asserted, that the abbess was not the writer of this pretended life, though the manuscript existed in her hand-writing; and secondly, that the apparitions and reve-lations recorded were against all the rules of apparitions and revelations which he had painfully discovered. The affair was of a delicate nature. The writer was young and incredulous; a grey-beard, more deeply versed in theology, replied, and the Sorbonists silenced our philosopher in embryo.

Lenglet confined these researches to his portfolio; and so long a period as fifty-five years had elapsed before they saw the light. It was when Calmet published his Dissertations on Apparition, that the subject provoked Lenglet to return to his forsaken researches. He now published all he had formerly composed on the affair of Maria d'Agreda, and two other works; the one ' Traité histoa Agreca, and two other worm, the other Transe many rique et dogmatique sur les Apparitions, les Visions, et les Revelations particulieres, in two volumes; and Recueil de Dissertations anciennes et nouvelles, sur les Apparitions, \$c.' with a catalogue of authors on this subject, in four volumes. When he edited the Roman de la Rose; in volumes. compiling the glossary of this ancient poem, it led him to reprint many of the earliest French poets; to give an emlarged edition of the Arrets d'Amour, that work of love and chivalry, in which his fancy was now so deeply im-bedded; while the subject of Romance itself naturally led to the taste of romantic productions which appeared in 'L'Usage des Romans,' and its accompanying copious nomenclature of all romances and romance-writers, ancient and modern. Our vivacious Abbé had been wildered by his delight in the wogles of a chemical philo-sopher; and though he did not believe in the existence of apparitions, and certainly was more than a sceptic in his-tory, yet it is certain that the 'grand couvre' was an arti-cle in his creed; it would have runed him in experiments, if he had been rich enough to have been ruined. It altered his health; and the most important result of his chemical studies appears to have been the invention of a syrup, in which he had great confidence; but its trial blew him up into a tympany, from which he was only relieved by having recourse to a drug, also of his own discovery, by having recourse to a drug, also or ins own discovery, which, in counteracting the syrup, reduced him to an alarming state of atrophy. But the mischances of the historian do not enter into his history; and our curiosity must be still eager to open Lenglet's 'Histore de la Philosophie Hermetique,' accompanied by a catalogue of the writers in this mysterious science, in two volumes; as well as his enlarged edition of the works of a great Parallian Nikolana in Faura. This philosopher was accounted. celsian, Nicholas la Fovre. This philosopher was appointed by Charles the Second superintendent over the royal laboratory at St James's: he was also a member of the Royal Society, and the friend of Boyle, to whom he

\* The last edition, enlarged by Drouet, is in 15 volumes, but is not later than 1772. It is still an inestimable manual for the bistorical student, as well as his Tablettes Chronologiques.

Digitized by GOOGIG

communicated the secret of infusing young blood into old veins, with a notion that he could renovate that which admits of no second creation.\* Such was the origin of Du Fresnoy s active curiosity on a variety of singular topics, the germs of which may be traced to three or four of our

author's principal works.

Our Abbé promised to write his own life, and his pugnacious vivacity, and hardy frankness, would have sea-soned a piece of auto-biography; an amateur has, how-ever, written it in the style which amateurs like, with all the truth he could discover, enlivened by some secret his-tory, writing the life of Lenglet with the very spirit of Lenglet; it is a mask taken from the very features of the man, not the insipid wax-work of an hyperbolical elogemaker.†

Although Lenglet du Fresnoy commenced in early life his career as a man of letters, he was at first engaged in the great chase of political adventure; and some striking facts are recorded, which show his successful activity. Michault describes his occupations by a paraphrastical delicacy of language, which an Englishman might not have so happily composed. The minister for foreign affairs, the Marquis de Torcy, sent Lenglet to Lisle, where the court of the Elector of Cologne was then held; 'He had particular orders to watch that the two ministers of the elector should do nothing prejudicial to the king's affairs.' He seems, however, to have watched many other persons, and de-tected many other things. He discovered a captain, who agreed to open the gates of Mons to Mariborough, for 100,000 piastres; the captain was arrested on the parade, the letter of Mariborough was found in his pecket, and the traitor was broken on the wheel. Lenglet denounced a foreign general in the French service, and the event warranted the prediction. His most important discovery was that of the famous conspiracy of Prince Cellamar, one of the chimerical plots of Alberon; to the honour of Lenglet, we would not energy in its descript. Unless the minister he would not engage in its detection, unless the minister promised that no blood should be shed. These successful incidents in the life of an honourable spy were rewarded with a moderate pension. Lenglet must have been no vulgar intriguer; he was not only perpetually confined by his very patrons when he resided at home for the freedom of his pen, but I find him early imprisoned in the citadel of Strasburgh for six months: it is said for purioning some curious books from the library of the Abbé Bignon, of which he had the care. It is certain that he knew the which he had the care. At he certain that he had we want of the carrest works, and was one of those lovers of bibliography who trade at times in costly rarities. At Vienna he became intimately acquainted with the per Rousseau and Prince Eugene. The prince, however, who suspected the character of our author, long avoided the character of our author, long avoided the character of our author, long avoided the character of our support of the contract himself into the formula the contract of th him. Lenglet insinuated himself into the favour of the prince's librarian; and such was his bibliographical skill, that this acquaintance ended in Prince Eugene laying aside his political dread, and preferring the advice of Lenglet to his librarian's, to enrich his magnificent libra-ry. When the motive of Lenglet's residence at Vienna became more and more suspected, Rousseau was employed to watch him; and not yet having quarrelled with his brother spy, he could only report that the Abbé Lenglet was every morning occupied in working on his 'Ta-blettes Chronologiques,' a work not worthy of alarming the government; that he spent his evenings at a violin player's married to a French woman, and returned home

\* The Dictionnaire Historique, 1789, in their article Nich.
La Fevre, notices the third edition of his 'Course of Chemistry,' that of 1664, in two volumes; but the present one of Lenglet du Frasnoy's is more recent, 1751, enlarged into five volumes, two of which contain his own additions. I have never met with this edition, and it is wanting at the British Museum.
Le Fevre published a tract on the great cerdial of Sir Walter
Rawleigh, which may be curious.

This announces work of 'Memoires de Monslaur 114344

Rawleigh, which may be curious.

† This anonymous work of 'Memoires de Monsieur l'Abbé
Lengiet du Fresnoy,' although the dedication is signed G. P.,
is written by Michault, of Dijon, as a presentation copy to
Count de Vienne in my possession proves. Michault is the
writter of two volumes of agreeable 'Melanges Historiques,
et Philologiques;' and the present is a very curious piece of
literary history. The Dictionaire Historique has compiled
the article of Lengiet entirely from this work; but the Journal
des Sqavans was too ascettle in this opinion. 'Etok-ce la peine
de faire un livre pour apprendre au public qu'un homme de
lettres, fut Espion, Escroc, bizarre, fougueux, cynique incapahe d'amitié, de decence, de soumission aux loix;' &c. Yet
they de not deny that the bibliography of Lengiet du Fresnoy
is at all deficient in curiosity.

at eleven. As soon as our historian had discovered that the poet was a brother spy and newsmonger on the side of Prince Eugene, their reciprocal civilities cooled. Lenglet now imagined that he owed his six months retirement in the citadel of Strasburgh to the secret officiousness of Rousseau: each grew suspicious of the other's fidelity; and spies are like lovers, for their mutual jealousies settled into the most inveterate hatred. One of the most defamatory libels is Lenglet's intended dedication of his edition of Marot to Rousseau, which being forced to supress in Holland, by order of the States-general; at Brussels, by the intervention of the Duke of Aremberg; and by every means the friends of the unfortunate Rousseau could contrive; was however many years afterwards at length sub-joined by Lengtet to the first volume of his work on Remances; where an ordinary reader may wonder at its ap-pearance unconnected with any part of the work. In this dedication or 'eloge historique' he often addresses 'Mon cher Rousseau,' but the rony is not delicate, and the calumny is heavy. Rousseau lay too open to the unlicensed causticity of his accuser. The poet was then expatriated from France for a false accusation against Saurin, in attempting to fix on him those criminal couplets, which so long disturbed the peace of the literary world in France, and of which Rousseau was generally supposed to be the writer; but of which on his death-bed he solemnly protested that he was guiltless. The coup de grace is given to the poet, stretched on this rack of invective, by just accusations on account of those infamous epigrams, which appear in some editions of that poet's works; a lesson for a poet, if poets would be lessoned, who indulge their imagination at the cost of their happiness, and seem to invent

crimes, as if they themselves were criminals.

But to return to our Lenglet. Had he composed his own life, it would have offered a sketch of political servi-tude and political adventure, in a man too intractable for the one, and too literary for the other. Yet to the honour of his capacity, we must observe that he might have chosen his patrons, would he have submitted to patronage. Prince Eugene at Vienna; Cardinal Passionei at Rome; or Mons. Le Blanc, the French minister, would have held him on his own terms. But 'Liberty and my books!' was the secret ejaculation of Lenglet; and from that mement all things in life were sacrificed to a jealous spirit of independence, which broke out in his actions as well as in his writings; and a passion for study for ever crushed the

worm of ambition.

He was as singular in his conversation, which, says Jordan, was extremely agreeable to a foreigner, for he delivered himself without reserve on all things, and on all persons, seasoned with secret and literary anecdotes. Ho refused all the conveniences offered by an opulent sister, that he might not endure the restraint of a settled dinner hour. He lived to his eightieth year, still busied, and then died by one of those grievous chances, to which aged men of letters are liable; our caustic critic alumbered over some modern work, and, falling into the fire, was burnt to death. Many characteristic aneodotes of the Abbé Lenglet have been preserved in the Dictionnaire Historique, but I shall not repeat what is of easy recurrence.

#### THE DICTIONARY OF TREVOUX.

A learned friend, in his very agreeable 'Trimester, or a three months' journey in France and Swisserland,' could not pass through the small town of Trevoux without a literary association of ideas which should accompany every man of letters in his tours, abroad or at home. A mind well informed cannot travel without discovering that there are objects constantly presenting themselves, which suggest literary, historical, and moral facts. My friend writes, 'As you proceed nearer to Lyons you stop to dine at Treyoux, on the left bank of the Soane. On a sloping hill, down to the water-side, rises an amphitheatre, crowned with an ancient Gothic castle, in venerable ruin; under with an ancient Gottle castle, in venerable run; under it is the small town of Trevoux, well known for its Journal and Dictionary, which latter is almost an encyclopedia, as there are few things of which something is not said in the most valuable compilation, and the whole was printed a. Trevoux. The knowledge of this circumstance greatly enhances the delight of any visitor who has consulted the book and is acquainted with its merits: and must add much to his local pleasures.

A work from which every man of letters may be con-tinually deriving such varied knowledge, and which is little

known but to the most curious readers, claims a place in these volumes; nor is the history of the work itself without interest. Eight large folios, each consisting of a thousand closely printed pages, stand like a vast mountain, of which, before we climb, we may be anxious to learn the security of the passage. The history of dictionaries is the most mutable of all histories; it is a picture of the inconstancy of the knowledge of man; the learning of one generation passes away with another; and a dictionary of this kind is always to be repaired, to be rescinded, and to be enlarged.

The small town of Trevoux gave its name to an excelent literary journal, long conducted by the Jesuits, and to this dictionary—as Edinburgh has to its critical Review and Annual Register, &c. It first came to be distinguished as a literary town from the Duc du Ma.ne, as prince sovereign of Dombes, transferring to this little town of Trevoux not only his parliament and other public institutions, but also establishing a magnificent printing house, in the beginning of the last century. The duke, probably to keep his printers in constant employ, instituted the 'Journal de Trevous;' and this, perhaps, greatly tended to bring the printing house into notice, so that it became a favourite with many good writers, who appear to have had no other connexion with the place; and this dictionary borrowed its first title, which it slways preserved, merely from the place where it was printed. Both the journal and the dictionary were, however, consigned to the cares of some learned Jesuits, and perhaps the place always indicated the principles of the writers, of whom none were more eminent for elegant literature than the Jesuits.

The first odition of this dictionary sprung from the smite of rivalry, occasioned by a French dictionary pub-

The first edition of this dictionary sprung from the spite of rivalry, occasioned by a French dictionary published in Ikulzad, by the protestant Basnage de Beauval. The duke set his Jesuits hastily to work; who, after a compous announcement that this dictionary was formed an a plan suggested by their patron, did little more than pilage Furettere, and rummage Basnage, and produced three rew folios without any novelties; they pleased the Duc du Maine and no one else. This was in 1704. Twenty years after it was republished and improved; and editions screasing, the volumes succeeded each other, till it reached to its present magnitude and value in eight large folios, a 1771, the only edition now esteemed. Many of the cames of the contributors to this excellent collection of words and things, the industry of Monsieur Barbier has revealed in his 'Dictionnaire des Anonymes,' art. 10782. The work, in the progress of a century, evidently became a favourite receptacle with men of letters in France, who eagerly contributed the smallest or the largest articles with a zeal honourable to literature and most useful to the public. They made this dictionary their common-place book for all their curious acquisitions; every one competent to write a short article preserving an important fact, did not aspire to compile the dictionary, or even an entire article in it; but it was a treasury in which such mites collected together formed its wealth; and all the literations be beginnings of three volumes, in which the plagiary much more than the contributor was visible, eight were at length built up with more durable materials, and which claim the attention and the gratitude of the student.

The work, it appears interested the government itself, as a national concern, from the tenor of the following an-

Most of the minor contributors to this great collection were satisfied to remain anonymous; but as might be expected among such a number, sometimes a contributor was anxious to be known to his circle; and did not like this penitential abstinence of fame. An anecdote recorded of one of this class will amuse: a Monsieur Lautour du Chatel, avocat au parlement de Normandie, voluntarily sevoted his studious hours to improve this work, and furnished near three thousand articles to the supplement of the edition of 1752. This ardent scholar had had a lively quarrel thirty years before with the first authors of the dictionary. He had sent them ene thousand articles, on condition that the donor should be handsomely thanked in the preface of the new edition, and further receive a copy en grand papier. They were accepted. The conductors of the new edition, in 1721, forgot all the promises—nor thanks, nor copy! Our learned avocat, who was a little irritable, as I is nephew who wvote his life ac-

knowledges, as soon as the great work appeared, astonished, like Dennis, that 'they were rattling his own thusder,' without saying a word, quits his country town, and ventures, half dead with sickness and indignation, on an expedition to Paris, to make his complaint to the chancel or; and the work was deemed of that importance in the eye of government, and so zeals a contributor was considered to have such an honourable claim, that the chancellor ordered, first, that a copy on large paper, should be immediately delivered to Monsieur Lautour, richly bound and free of carriage; and secondly, as are paration of the unperformed promise, and an acknowledgment of gratitude, the omission of thanks should be inserted and explained in the three great literary journals of France; a curious instance among others of the French government often mediating, when difficulties occurred in great literary undertakings, and considering not lightly the claims and the bonour of men of letters.

Another proof, indeed, of the same kind, concerning the present work, occurred after the edition of 1752. On Jamet l'ainé, who had with others been usefully employed on this edition, addressed a proposal to the government for an improved one, dated from the Bastile. He proposed that the government should choose a learned person, accustomed to the labour of the researches such a work requires; and he calculated, that if supplied with three amanuenses, such an editor would accompish his task in about ten or twelve years; the produce of the edition would soon repay all the expenses and capital advanced. This literary projector did not wish to remain idle in the Bastile. Fifteen years afterwards the last improved edition appeared on whilshed by the associated bookselless of Baria

ilterary projector did not wish to remain idle in the Bastile. Fifteen years afterwards the last improved edition appeared, published by the associated booksellers of Paris. As for the work itself, it partakes of the character of our Encyclopsedias; but in this respect it cannot be safely consulted, for widely has science enlarged its domains and corrected its errors since 1771. But it is precious as a vast collection of ancient and modern learning, particularly in that sort of knowledge which we usually term antiquarian and philological. It is not merely a grammatical, scientific and technical dictionary, but it is replete with divinity, law, moral philosophy, critical and historical learning, and abounds with innumerable miscellaneous curiosities. It would be difficult, whatever may be the subject of inquiry, to open it, without the gratification of some knowledge neither obvious nor trivial. I heard a man of great learning declare, that whenever he could not recolect his knowledge he opened Hoffman's Lession Universale Historicum, where he was sure to find what he had lost. The works are similar; and valuable as are the German's four folios, the eight of the Frenchman may safely be recommended as their substitute, or their supplement. As a Dictionary of the French Language it bears a peculiar feature, which has been presumptuously dropped in the Dictionnaire de l'Academie; the last invents phrases to explain words, which therefore have no other authority than the writer himself! this of Trevoux is furnished, not only with mere authorities, but also with quotations from the classical French writers—an improvement which was probably suggested by the English Dictionary of Johnson. One nation improves by another.

# QUADRIO'S ACCOUNT OF ENGLISH POETRY.

It is, perhaps, somewhat mortifying in our literary researches to discover that our own literature has been only known to the other nations of Europe comparatively within recent times. We have at length triumphed over our continental rivals in the noble struggles of genius, and out authors now see their works printed even at foreign presses, while we are furnishing with our gratuitous labours nearly the whole literature of a new empire; yet so late as in the reign of Anne, our poets were only known by the Latin versifiers of the 'Musse Anglicane;' and when Lain versiners of the Musse Anglicans; and when Boileau was told of the public funeral of Dryden, he was pleased with the national honours bestowed on genius, but he declared that he never heard of his name before. This great legislator of Parnassus has never alluded to one of our own poets, so insular then was our literary glory! The most remarkable fact, or perhaps assertion, I have met with, of the little knowledge which the continent had of our writers, is a French translation of Bishop Hall's 'Characters of Virtues and Vices.' It is a duodecimo, printed at Paris of 109 pages, 1610, with this title, Carneteres de Vertus et de Vices; tirés de l'Anglois de M. Josef Hall. In a dedication to the Earl of Saliebury, the translator informs his lordship that ce tours est la premiere tra-

ction de l'Anglois jamais imprimée aucun vulgaire. The first translation from the English ever printed in any modern language! Whether the translator is a bold liar, or an ignorant blunderer, remains to be ascertained; at all events it is a humiliating demonstration of the small pro-gress which our home literature had made abroad in 1610!

I come now to notice a contemporary writer, professed-ly writing the history of our Poetry, of which his knowl-edge will open to us as we proceed with our enlightened

and amateur historian.

Father Quadrio's Della Steria e della ragione d'ogni Possis, -- is a gigantic work, which could only have been projected and persevered in by some hypochondriac monk, who, to get rid of the enses of life, could discover no pleasanter way than to bury himself alive in seven monstrous closely-printed quartos, and every day be compiling some-thing on a subject which he did not understand. Fortumately for Father Quadrio, without taste to feel, and dis-comment to decide, nothing occurred in this progress of literary history and criticism to abridge his volumes and his amosements; and with diligence and credition unparalleled, he has here built up a receptable for his immense, curious, and trifling knowlege on the poetry of every nation. Quadrio is among that class of authors whom we receive with more gratitude than pleasure, fly to sometimes to quote, but never larger to read; and fix on our shelves, but seldom have in our hands.

but seldom have in our hands. 

I have been much mortified, in looking over this voluminous compiler, to discover, although he wrotes slet as about 1750, how little the history of English Poetry was to foreignees. It is assuredly our own fault. We hown to foreigners. It is assuredly our own fault. We have too long aeglected the bibliography and the literary history of our own country. Italy, Spain and France, have enjoyed eminent bibliographers—we have none to rival them. Italy may justly glory in her Tiraboschi and her Mazzuchelli; Spain in the Bibliothecas of Nicholas Antonio. and Fernace an inchi hibliomanhiral. Antonio; and France, so rich in bibliographical treasures, affords models to every literary nation of every species of literary history. With us, the partial labour of the hermit Anthony for the Oxford writers, compiled before philosophical criticism existed in the nation; and Warton's History of Poetry, which was left unfinished at its most critical period, when that delightful antiquary of taste had just touched the threshold of his Paradise—these are the ole great labours to which foreigners might resort, but these will not be found of much use to them. The neglect of our own literary history has, therefore, occasioned the errors, sometimes very ridiculous ones, of foreign writers respecting our authors. Even the lively Chaudon, in his Dictionnaire Historique, gives the most extraordinary accounts of most of the English writers. Without an English guide to attend such weary travellers, they have too often been deceived by the Mirages of our literature. They have given blundering accounts of works which do exist, and chronicled others which never did exist; and have often made up the personal history of our authors, by confounding two or three into one. Chaudon, mentioning Dryden's tragedies, observes that Atterbury translat-

of two into Latin verse, entitled Achitophel and Abeslom!\*
Of all these foreign authors none has more egregiously failed than this good Father Quadrio. In this universal history of poetry, I was curious to observe what sort of figure we made; and whether the fertile genius of our ori-ginal poets had struck the foreign critic with admiration, or with critical consure. But little was our English poetry known to its universal historian. In the chapter on those who have cultivated 'la melica poesia in propria lingua tra Tedeschi, Fiamminghi e Inglesi'† we find the following list

of English poets.

Of John Cowper; whose rhymes and verses are preserved in manuscript in the college of the most hely Trini-

ty, in Cambridge.

'Arthur Kelton flourished in 1548, a skilful English pet; he composed various poems in English; also he

izuds the Cambrains and their genealogy.

'The works of W. Wycherley in English prose and verse.'

These were the only English poets whom Quadrio at first could muster together! In his subsequent additions he caught the name of Sir Philip Sidney with an adven-

\*Even recently il Cavaliere Onofilio Boni, in his Eloge of Land, in naming the three Augustan periods of modern lite-rature, fixes them, for the Italians, under Leo the Tenth; for the French, under Lewis the Fourteenth, or the Great; and for the English, under Charles the Second! Quadrie, Vol. II, p. 416.

turous criticism, 'le sue poesie assai buone.' He ther was lucky enough to pick up the title—not the volume surely—which is one of the rarest; 'Fiori poetici de A. Cowley,' which he calls ' poesie amorose :' this must mean that early volume of Cowley's, published in his thirteenth year, under the title of 'Poetical Blossoms.' Further he laid hold of 'John Donne' by the shirt, and 'Thomas Creech,' at whom he made a full pause; informing his Italians, that his poems are reputed by his nation as 'assai buone. He has also 'Le opere di Guglielmo;' But to this christian name, as it would appear, he had not ventured to add the surame. At length in his progress of inquiry, in this fourth volume (for they were published at different periods) he suddenly discovers a host of English poets—in Waller, Duke of Buckingham, Lord Roscommon, and others, among whom is Dr Swift; but he ac-knowledges their works have not reached him. Shakespears at length appears on the scene, but Quadrio's notions are derived from Voltaire, whom, perhaps, he boldly translates. Instead of improving our drama, he conducted it a totale instead of improving our drama, ne conducted it a social rouma nelle sue farse monstruose, che si chiamme tragedie; alcune sosne vi abbia luminose s belle e alcuni tratti si trovone terribili e grandi. Otway is said to have composed a tra-gic drama on the subject of 'Venezia Salvata;' he adds with surprise, 'ma affatto regolare.' Regularity is the essence of genius with such critics as Quadrio. Dryden is also mentioned; but the only drama specified is 'King Arthur.' Addison is the first Englishman who produced a classical tragedy; but though Quadrio writes much about the life of Addison, he never alludes to the Spectator.

We come now to a more curious point. Quadrio had read our comedies may be doubtful; but he distinguishes them by very high commendation. Our comedy, he says, represents human life, the manners of citizens and the people, much better than the French and Spanish comedies, in which all the business of life is mix-ed up with love affairs. The Spaniards had their gallan-try from the Moore, and their manners from chivalry; to which they added their tumid African taste, differing from that of other nations. I shall translate what he now adds

of English comedy.

'The English more skilfully even than the French, have approximated to the true idea of comic subjects, choosing for the argument of their invention the customary and natural objects of the citizens and the populace. And when religion and decorum were more respected in their theatree, they were more advanced in this species of poetry, and merited not a little praise, above their neighbour But more than the English and the ing nations. French, (to speak according to pure and bare truth,) have the Italians signalized themselves.' A sly, insinuating criticism! But, as on the whole, for reasons which I cannot account for, Father Quadrio seems to have relished our English comedy, we must value his candour. He praises our comedy; 'per il bello ed il buono;' but, as he is a methodical Aristotelian, he will not allow us that liberty in the theatre, which we are supposed to possess in parliament-by delivering whatever we conceive to the purpose. His criticism is a specimen of the irrefragable, we must not absended legitiment on the irrefragable. We must not abandon legitimate rules to give mere pleasure thereby; because pleasure is produced by, and flows from, the beautiful; and the beautiful is chiefly drawn from the good order and unity in which it consists!

Quadrio succeeded in discovering the name of one of our greatest comic geniuses; for, alluding to our diversity of action in comedy, he mentions in his fifth volume, page 148,- Il colebre Benjanson nella sua commedia intitolato Bartolommeo Fricere, e in quella altra commedia intitolato Ipsum Veetz. The reader may decipher the poet's name and his Fair: but it required the critical sagacity of Mr Douce to discover that by Ipsum Verts we are to understand Shadwell's comedy of Epsom Wells. The Italian critic had transcribed what he and his Italian printer could not spell; we have further discovered the source of his intelligence in St Evremond, who had classed Shadwell's comedy with Ben Jonson's. To such shifts is the writer comedy with Ben Jonson's. To such shifts is the writer of an universal history d'ogni poesia, miserably reduced! Towards the close of the fifth volume we at last find the

sacred muse of Milton,—but, unluckily, he was a man 'dı pochissima religione,' and spoke of Christ like an Arian. Quadrio quotes Ramsay for Milton's vomiting forth abuse on the Roman church. His figures are said to be often mean, unworthy of the majesty of his subject; but in a later place, excepting his religion, our poet, it is decided on, is worthy 'di molti laudi."

Thus much for the information the curious may obtain an English poetry, from its universal history. Quadrio unquestionably writes with more ignorance than prejudice against us: he has not only highly distinguished the comic genius of our writers, and raised it above that of our neighbours, but he has also advanced another discovery, which radks us will higher for original invention, and which I am confident, will be as new as it is extraordinary to the Eng-

Quadrio, who, among other erudite accessories to his work, has exhausted the most copious researches on the origin of Punch and Harlequin, has also written, with equal curiosity and value, the history of Puppet-shows. But whom has he lauded? whom has he piaced paramount, above all other people, for their genius of invention in improving this art?—The English! and the glory which has hitherto been universally conceded to the Italian pation themselves appears to belong to us! For me, it nation themselves, appears to belong to us! For use, it appears, while others were dandling and pulling their little representatives of human nature into such awkward and unnatural motions, first invented pulleys, or wires, and gave a fine and natural action to the artificial life of these

gesticulating machines!

We seem to know little of ourselves as connected with the history of puppet-shows; but in an article in the curi-cus Dictionary of Trevoux, I find that John Brioché, to whom had been attributed the invention of Marianettes, is only to be considered as an improver; in his time (but the learned writers supply no date.) an Englishman discovered the secret of moving them by springs, and without strings; but the Marionettes of Brioché were preferred for the pleasantries which he made them deliver. The erudite Quadrio appears to have more successfully substantiated our claims to the pulleys or wires, or springs of the puppets, than any of our own antiquaries; and perhaps the uncom-memorated name of this Englishman was that Powell, whose Solomon and Sheba were celebrated in the days of Addison and Steele; the former of whom has composed a classical and sportive Latin poem on this very subject. But Quadrio might well rest satisfied, that the nation, which could boast of its Funtocini, surpassed, and must ever surpass the puny efforts of all doll-loving people!

### POLITICAL RELIGIORISM.

In Professor Dugald Stewart's first Dissertation on the progress of Philosophy, I find this singular and significant erm. It has occasioned me to reflect on those contests for religion, in which a particular faith has been made the ostensible pretext, while the secret motive was usually political.

The historians, who view in these religious wars only religion itself, have written large volumes, in which we may never discover that they have either been a struggle to obtain predominance, or an expedient to secure it. hatreds of ambitious men have disguised their own pur-poses, while Christianity has borne the odium of loosening a destroying spirit among mankind; which, had Christianity never existed, would have equally prevailed in human affairs. Of a mortal malady, it is not only necessary to know the nature, but to designate it by a right name that we may not err in our mode of treatment. If we call that religion which we shall find for the greater part is politi-

est, we are likely to be mistaken in the regimen and the cure.

Fox, in his 'Acts and Monuments,' writes the martyrology of the protestents in three mighty folios; where, in the third, 'the tender mercies' of the catholics are 'cut in wood' for those who might not otherwise be enabled to read or spell them. Such pictures are abridgments of long narratives, but they leave in the mind a fulness of iong narratives, but they leave in the mind a luiness of horror. Fox made more than one generation shudder; and his volume, particularly this third, chained to a reading-desk in the halls of the great, and in the aisless of churches, often detained the loiterer, as it furnished some new scene of papistical horrors to paint forth on returning to his fire-side. The protestants were then the marryrs, because, under Mary the nontagiants had hear there. because, under Mary, the protestants had been thrown out of power.

Dodd has opposed to Fox three curious folios, which he calls the Church History of England, exhibiting a most abundant martyrology of the esthelics, inflicted by the hands of the protestants; who in the succeeding reign of Elizabeth, after long trepidations and balancings, were confirmed into power. He grieves over the delusion and seduction of the black-letter romance of honest John Fox. which, he says, 'has obtained a place in protestant

churches next to the Bible, while John Fox himself is teemed little less than an evangelist.' Dodd's narrative are not less pathetic; for the situation of the catholic, who had to secrete himself, as well as to suffer, was more adapted for romantic adventures than even the melane choly but monotonous story of the protestants tortured in the cell, or bound to the stake. These catholics, however, were attempting all sorts of intrigues; and the saints and martyrs of Dodd to the parliament of England were only traitors and conspirators!

Heylin, in his history of the Paritans and the Presbysterians, blackens them for political devils. He is the Spagnolet of history, delighting himself with horrors at which the painter himself must have started. He tells of their oppositions' to monarchical and episcopal government, their 'innovations' in the church; and their 'embroilments of the kingdoms. The sword rages in their hands; treason, sacriege, plunder; while 'more of the blood of Englishmen had poured like water within the space of four years, than had been shed in the civil wars of York and Lancaster in four centuries!"

Neale opposes a more elaborate history; where these 'great and good men,' the puritans and the presbyternans, 'are placed among the reformers;' while their fame is blanched into angelic purity. Neale and his party opined that the protestant had not sufficiently protested, and that the reformation itself needed to be reformed. They wearied the impatient Elizabeth, and her ardent churchmen; and disputed with the learned James, and his courtly bishops, about such coremonial trifles, that the historian may blush or smile who has to record them. And when the puritan was thrown out of preferment, and seconded

the puritan was known out of pretrient, and second-into separation, he turned into a presbyter. Noncon-formity was their darling sin, and their sullen triumph. Calamy, in four painful volumes, chronicles the blood-less martyrology of the two thousand silenced and ejected ministers. Their history is not glorious, and their horoes are obscure; but it is a domestic tale! When the second Charles was restored, the presbyterians, like every other faction, were to be amused, if not courted. Some of the king's chaplains were sejected from among them, and preached once. Their hopes were raised that they should, by some agreement, be enabled to share in that ecclesi astical establishment which they had so often opposed; and the bishops met the preabyters in a convocation at the Savoy. A conference was held between the high church, resuming the seat of power, and the low church, now pros-trate; that is, between the old clergy who had recently been mercilessly ejected by the mess, who in their turn were awaiting their fate. The conference was closed with arguments by the weaker, and votes by the stronger. Many curious anecdotes of this conference have come down to us. The presbyterians, in their last struggle, down to us. The presbyterians, in their last struggle, petitioned for indulgence; but oppressors who had become petitioners, only showed that they possessed no longer the means of resistance. This conference was followed up by the Act of Uniformity, which took place on Bartholomew day, August 24, 1662; an act which ejected Calamy's two thousand ministers from the bosom of the established church. Bartholomew day with this party was long paralleled, and perhaps is still with the dreadful French massacre of that fatal saint's day. The calamity was rather, however, of a private than of a public nature. The two thousand ejected ministers were indeed deprived of their livings; but this was, however, a happier fate than what has often occurred in these contests for the security of political power. This ejection was not like the expul-sion of the Moriscoes, the best and most useful subjects of Spain, which was a human sacrifice of half a million of men, and the proscription of many Jews from that land of catholicism; or the massacre of thousands of Huguenots, cannot the expulsion of more than a hundred thousand by Louis the Fourteenth from France. The presbyterian divines were not driven from their father-land, and compelled to learn another language than their mother-tongue. Destitute as divines, they were suffered to remain as citizens; and the result was remarkable. These divines and their could not disched the second and their could be the seco could not disrobe themselves of their learning and the piety, while several of them were compelled to become tradesmen; among these the learned Samuel Chandler, whose literary productions are numerous, kept a book-seller's shop in the Poultry.

Hard as this event proved in its result, it was however, pleaded, that 'It was but like for like.' And that the his-

zory of 'the like' might not be curtailed in the telling, op-posed to Calamy's chronicle of the two thousand ejected possess to Catamy's caronicie of the two incuts and ejected mainisters stands another, in folio magnitude, of the same sort of chronicle of the clergy of the church of England, with a title by no means less pathetic.

This is Walker's 'Attempt towards recovering an account of the Clergy of the Church of England who were accounted by the church of England who were

sequestered, harassed, &c., in the late Times. ts himself astonished at the size of his volume, the number of his sufferers, and the variety of the sufferings. 'Shall the church, says he, 'not have the liberty to preserve the history of her sufferings, as well as the separation to set forth an account of theirs? Can Dr Calamy be acquitted for publishing the history of the Bartholo-meso sufferers, if I am condemned for writing that of the sequestered loyalists? He allows that 'the number of the ejected amounts to two thousand,' and there were no less than 'seven or eight thousand of the episcopal clergy imprisoned, banished, and sent a starving,' &c. &c.

Whether the reformed were martyred by the catholics, or the catholics executed by the reformed; whether the puritans expelled those of the established church, or the established church ejected the puritans, all seems reduci-ble to two classes, conformists and non-conformists, or, in the political style, the administration and the opposition. When we discover that the heads of all parties are of the same hot temperament, and observe the same evil conduct in similar situations; when we view honest old Latimer with his own hands hanging a mendicant friar on a tree, and the government changing, the friars binding Latimer to the stake; when we see the French catholics cutting out the tongues of the protestan's, that they might no longer protest; the haughty Luther writing submissive apologies to Leo the Tenth and Henry the Eighth for the scur-rility with which he had treated them in his writings, and finding that his apologies were received with contempt, then retracting his retractions; when we find that haughtiest of the haughty, John Knox, when Elizabeth first ascended the throne, crouching and repenting of having written his famous excommunication against all female sovereignty; or pulling down the monasteries, from the axiom that when the rookery was destroyed, the rooks would never return; when we find his recent apologist admiring, while he apologizes for, some extraordinary proofs of Machiavelian politics—an impenetrable mystery seems to hang over the conduct of men who profess to be guided by the bloodless code of Jesus—but try them by a human standard, and treat them as politicions; and the motives once discovered, the actions are understood!

Two edicts of Charles the Fifth, in 1555, condemned to death the Reformed of the Low Countries, even should they return to the catholic faith, with this exception, however, n favour of the latter, that they shall not be burnt alive, out that the men shall be beheaded, and the women puried alive! Religion could not then be the real motive of the Spanish cabinet, for in returning to the ancient faith that point was obtained; but the truth is, that the Spanish government considered the reformed as rebels, whom it was not safe to re-admit to the rights of citizen-The undisguised fact appears in the codicil to the will of the emperor, when he solemnly declares that he had written to the inquisition to burn and extirpate the heretics, after trying to make Christians of them, because he is convinced that they never can become sincere catholics; and he acknowledges that he had committed a great fault in permitting Luther to return free on the faith of his safe conduct, as the emperor was not bound to keep a promise with a heretic. 'It is because that I destroyed him not, that heresy has now become strong, which I am convinced might have been stifled with him in its birth.'\* The whole conduct of Charles the Fifth in this mighty revolution, was from its beginning, censured by contemporaries as purely political. Francis the First observed, that the emperor, under the colour of religion, was placing himself at the head of a league to make his way to a prodominant monarchy. The pretext of religion is no new thing, writes the Duke of Nevers. Charles the Fifth had never undertaken a war against the protestant princes, but with the design of rendering the imperial crown hereditary in the house of Austria; and he has only attacked the electoral princes to ruin them, and to abolish their right of election. Had it been zeal for the catholic refion, would he have delayed from 1519 to 1549 to arm, gion, would be bave usinguished the Lutheran heresy,

\* Liorente's Critical History of the Inquisition.

which he could easily have done in 1526? But he considered that this novelty would serve to divide the German princes; and he patiently waited till the effect was realized.\*

Good men of both parties, mistaking the nature of these religious wars, have drawn horrid inferences! The dragonades of Louis XIV, excited the admiration or Bruyere; and Anquetil, in his 'Esprit de la Ligue,' compares the revocation of the edict of Nantes to a salutary amputation. The massacre of St Bartholomew in its own day, and oven recently, has found advocates; a Greek professor at the time asserted that there were two classes of protestants in France, political and religious; and that 'the late ebullition of public vengoance was solely directed against the former.' Dr M'Crie cursing the catholic with a catholic's curse, excerates 'the stale sophistry of this calumniator.' But should we allow that the Greek professor who advocated their national crime was the wretch the calvinistic doctor describes, yet the nature of things cannot be altered by the equal violence of Peter Charpentier and Dr M'Crie.

This subject of 'Political Religionism' is indeed as nice as it curious; politics have been so cunningly worked into the cause of religion, that the parties themselves will never be able to separate them; and to this moment, the most opposite opinions are formed concerning the same events, and the same persons. When public disturbances recently broke out at Nismes on the first restoration of the Bourbons, the protestants, who there are numerous, declared that they were persecuted for religion, and their cry echoed by their brothren the dissenters, resounded in this country. We have not forgotten the forment it raised here; much was said, and something was done. Our minister however persisted in declaring that it was a mere political affair. It is clear that our government was right on the cause, and those zealous complainants wrong, who only observed the effect; for as soon as the Bourbonists had triumphed over the Bonapartists, we heard no more of those sanguinary

persecutions of the protestants of Nismes, of which a disenter has just published a large history. It is a curious fact, that when two writers at the same time were occupied in a life of Cardinal Ximenes, Flechier converted the cardinal into a saint, and every incident in his administration was made to connect itself with his religious character Marsollier, a writer very inferior to Flechier, shows the cardinal merely as a politician. The elegancies of Fle

chier were soon neglected by the public, and the deep interests of truth soon acquired, and still retain, for the less elegant writer, the attention of the statesman. A modern historian has observed, that 'the affairs of religion were the grand fomenters and promoters of the

thirty years' war, which first brought down the powers of the North to mix in the politics of the Southern states. The fact is indisputable, but the cause is not so apparent. Gustavus Adolphus, the vast military genius of his age, had designed, and was successfully attempting, to oppo the overgrown power of the imperial house of Austria, which had long aimed at an universal monarchy in Europe; which had long a mind at a universal which at to the world when he placed this motto under his arms—' Sine ipso factum est mihil;' an expression applied to Jesus Christ

by St John.

# TOLERATION.

An enlightened toleration is a blossing of the last age— it would seem to have been practised by the Romans, when they did not mistake the primitive Christians for seditions members of society; and was inculcated even by Mahomet, in a passage in the Koran, but scarcely practised by his followers. In modern history, it was condemned, when religion was turned into a political contest, under the aspiring house of Austria-and in Spain-and It required a long time before its nature was comprehended-and to this moment it is far from being clear, either to the tolerators, or the tolerated.

It does not appear, that the precepts or the practice

of Jesus and the apostles inculcate ine compelling of any to be Christians:† vet an expression employed in the nuptial parable of the great supper, when the hospitable

\* Naudé Considerations Politiques, p. 115. See a curious note in Harte's Life of Gustavus Adolphus, ii, 129.
† Bishop Barlow's 'Several miscellaneous and weighty Cases of Conscience resolved, 1692.\* His 'Case of a Toleration in Matters of Religion,' addressed to Robort Boyle, p 39. This volume was not intended to have been given to the world, a circumstance which does not make it the less curious.

Digitized by GOOGIC

lord commanded the servant, finding that he had still room to accommodate more guests, 'to go out in the highways and hedges, and compel them to come in, that my house may be filled,' was alleged as an authority by these catholics. be filled, was alleged as an authority by those catholics, who called themselves 'the convertors,' for using religious force, which, still alluding to the hospitable lord, they called 'a charitable and salutary violence.' It was this caused 'a charatable and salutary violence.' It was this sircumstance which produced Bayle's Commentaire philosophique sur ces Paroles de Jesus Christ,' published under the supposititious name of an Englishman, as printed at Canterbury in 1686, but really at Amsterdam. It is curious that Locke published his first letter on 'Toloration' in Latin at Gouda, in 1689—the second in 1690—and the third in 1692. Bayle cannot the mind of Variation of the second in 1690—the s and the third in 1692. Bayle opened the mind of Locke, and sometime after quotes Locke's Latin letter with high commendation.\* The caution of both writers in publishing in foreign places, however, indicates the prudence which it was deemed necessary to observe in writing in favour of Toleration.

These were the first philosophical attempts; but the earliest advocates for Toleration may be found among the earliest advocates for l'oleranon may be found among the religious controversialists of a preceding period; it was probably started among the fugitive sects who had found an asylum in Holland. It was a blessing which they had gone far to find, and the miserable, reduced to human feelings, are compassionate to one another. With us the sect called 'the Independents' had, early in our revolution under Charles the First, pleaded for the doctrine of religious these and long maintained it sagingt the preserve under Charles the First, pleaded for the doctrine of religious liberty, and long maintained it against the presbyterians. Both proved persecutors when they possessed power. The first of our respectable divines who advocated this cause was Jeremy Taylor, in his 'Discourse on the liberty of Prophesying,' 1647, and Bishop Hall, who had pleaded the cause of mederation in a discourse about the same paried I Looks had no deaths aromained. the same period.† Locke had no doubt examined all these writers. The history of opinions is among the most curious of histories; and I suspect that Bayle was well acquainted with the pamphlets of our sectarists, who, in their flight to Holland, conveyed those curiosities of theology, which had cost them their happiness and their estates: I think he indicates this hidden source of his ideas, by the extraordinary ascription of his book to an Englishman, and fixing the place of its publication at

Toleration has been a vast engine in the hands of modern politicians. It was established in the United Provinces of Holland, and our numerous non-conformists took refuge in that asylum for disturbed consciences; it attook refuge in that asymm for districted coincidences, it at tracted a valuable community of French refugees; it conducted a colony of Hebrew fugitives from Portugal; conventicles of Brownists, quakers' meetings, French churches, and Jewish synagogues, and (had it been recurrences). Ametandam were the quired) Mahometan mosques, in Amsterdam, were the precursors of its mart and its exchange; the moment they could preserve their consciences sacred to themselves, they lived without mutual persecution, and mixed together

as good Dutchmen.

The excommunicated part of Europe seemed to be the most enlightened, and it was then considered as a proof most entigntened, and it was time considered as a proof of the admirable progress of the human mind, that Locke and Clarke and Newton corresponded with Leibnitz, and others of the learned in France and Italy. Some were astonished that philosophers, who differed in their religious opinions, should communicate among themselves

with so much toleration.].
It is not, however, clear, that had any one of these sects at Amsterdam obtained predominance, which was some-times attempted, they would have granted to others the toleration they participated in common. The infancy of a party is accompanied by a political weakness, which disables it from weakening others.

\* In the article Sancterius. Note F

† Recent writers among our sectarists assert that Dr Owen was the first who wrote in favour of toleration, in 1648! Another liaims the honour for John Goodwin, the chaplain of Oliver Cromwell, who published one of his obscure polemical tracts in 1644, among a number of other persons, who at that crisis did not venture to prefix their names to pleas in favour of Toleration, so delicate and so obscure did this subject then appear! In 1631, they translated the liberal treatise of Grodius de imperio summarum potestatum circa sacra; under the title of 'The authority of the highest powers about ascred things,' London, Svo. 1651. To the honour of Grodius, the first of philosophical reformers, be it recorded, that he dissleased both parties!

\$J. P. Rabsut, sur la Revolution Français, p. 27 † Recent writers among our sectarists assert that Dr Owen

The catholic in this country pleads for toleration; in his own, he refuses to grant it. Here, the presbyterian, who had complained of persecution, once fixed in the seat of power, abrogated every kind of independence among others. When the flames consumed Servetus at Geneva, the controversy began, whether the civil magistrate might punish heretics, which Beza, the associate of Calvia, maintained: he triumphed in the small predestinating city of Genera; but the book he wrote was fatal to the city of Cremera; but the book he wrote was main to the protestants a few leagues distant, among a majority of catholics. Whenever the protestants complained of the persecutions they suffered, the catholics for authority and sanction, never failed to appeal to the volume of their own

M. Necker de Saussure has recently observed on ' what trivial circumstances the change or the preservation of the established religion in different districts of Europe has depended!" When the Reformation penetrated into Switzer-land, the government of the principality of Neurchatel, wishing to allow liberty of conscience to all their subjects, invited each parish to vote for or against the adoption of the new worship; and in all the parishes, except two, the majority of suffrages declared in favour of the protestant communion.' The inhabitants of the small village of Creissier had also assembled; and forming an even number, there happened to be an equality of votes for and against the change of religion. A shepherd being absent, tending the flocks on the hills, they summoned him to appear and decide this important question: when, having no liking to innovation, he gave his voice in favour of the existing form of worship; and this parish remained catholic, and is so at this day, in the heart of the protestant cantons.

I proceed to some facts, which I have arranged for the history of Toleration. In the memoirs of James the Second, when that monarch published 'The Declaration for Liberty of Conscience,' the catholic reasons and liberalises like a modern philosopher: he accuses the jealousy of our clergy, who had degraded themselves into intriguers; and like mechanics in a trade, who are afraid of nothing so much as interlopers—they had therefore induced indifferent persons to imagine that their earnest contest was not about their faith, but about their temporal possessions. It was incongruous that a church, which does not pretend to be infallible, should constrain persons, under heavy penalties and punishments, to believe as she does: they delighted, he asserted, to hold an iron rad over dissenters and catholics; so sweet was dominion, that the very thought of others participating in their free dom made them deny the very dectrine they preached. The chief argument the catholic urged on this occasion was the reasonableness of repealing laws which made men liable to the greatest punishments for that it was not in their power to remedy, for that no man could force himself to believe what he really did not believe.

Such was the rational language of the most bigoted of zealots !- The for can bloat like the lamb. At the very moment James the Second was uttering this mild exportu lation, in his own heart he had anathematized the nation; for I have seen some of the king's private papers, which still exist; they consist of communications chiefly by the most bigoted priests, with the wildest projects, and most most bigoted prices, with the whoest projects, and most infatuated prophecies and dreams of restoring the true catholic faith in England! Had the Jesuit-led monarch retained the English throne, the language he now addressed to the nation would have been no longer used; and in that case it would have served his protestant subjects. He asked for toleration, to become intolerant! He devoted himself, not to the hundredth part of the English nation; and yet he was surprised that he was left one morning without an army! When the catholic monarch usued this declaration for 'liberty of conscience,' the Jewil of his day observed, that 'It was but scaffolding: they intend to build another nouse; and when that house (Popery) is built,

they will take down the scaffold.'†
When the Presbytery was our lord, they who had endured the tortures of persocution, and raised such sharp outcries for freedom, of all men, were the most intolerant: hardly had they tasted of the Circuan cup of dominion. ere they were transformed into the most hideous or the most grotesque monsters of political power. To these eyes toleration was an hydra, and the dethroned bishops + Life of James the Second, from his own papers, ii. 114. † This was a Baron Wallon. From Dr II. Sampson's Ma

nuscript Diary.

had never so vehemently declaimed against what, m ludi-crous rage, one of the high-flying presbyterians called 'a cursed intolerable toleration!" They advocated the rights cursed intolerable toleration? They advocated the rights of persecution, and 'Shallow Edwards,' as Milton calls the author of 'The Grangrena,' published a treatise against toleration. They who had so long complained of 'the licensers,' now sent all the books they condemned to penal fires. Prynne now viadicated the very doctrines under which he himself had so severely suffered; assuming the highest possible power of civil government, even to the infliction of death, on its opponents. Prynne lost

all feeling for the ears of others!

The idea of toleration was not intelligible for too long a period in the annals of Europe: no parties probably could conceive the idea of toleration in the struggle for predominance. Treaties are not profered when conquest the cocceled object. Men were immolated a massacre was a sacrifice! medals were struck to commemorate these holy persecutions! The destroying angel holding in one hand a cross, and in other a sword, with these words—Vgonottorus Strages, 1572.—'The massacre of the Human that telepation will not sacre of the Huguenots'-proves that toleration will not agree with that date. Castelneau, a statesman and a hu-mane man, was at a loss how to decide on a point of the utmost importance to France. In 1532 they first began to burn the Lutherans or Calvinists, and to cut out the tongoes of all protestants, that they might no longer protest.' According to Father Paul, fifty thousand persons had perished in the Netherlands, by different tortures, for religion. But a change in the religion of the state, Castelneau considered, would occasion one in the government: be wondered how it happened, that the more they punished with death, it only increased the number of the victims: martyrs produced proselytes. As a statesman, he looked round the great field of human actions in the history of the past; there he discovered that the Romans were more enlightened in their actions than ourselves; that Trajan commanded Pliny the younger not to molest the Christians for their religion; but should their conduct endanger the state, to put down illegal assemblies; that Julian the Apostate expressly forbid the execution of the Christians, who then imagined that they were securing their salvation by martyrdom; but he ordered all their goods to be conficated—a severe punishment—by which Julian prevented more than he could have done by persecutions. 'All this,' he adds, 'we read in ecclesiastical history.'† Such were the sentiments of Castelneau, in 1560. Amidst perplexities of state necessity, and of our common humanity, the notion of toleration had not entered into the views of the statesman. It was also at this time that De Sainctes, a great controversial writer, declared, that had the fires lighted for the destruction of Calvinism not been extinguished, the sect had not spread! About half a century subsequent to this period Thuanus was perhaps the first great mind who appears to have insinu-ated to the French monarch and his nation, that they might have at peace with hereties; by which avowal he called down on himself the haughty indignation of Rome, and a declaration, that the man who spoke in favour of heretics must necessarily be one of the first class. Hear the afflicted historian: 'Have men no compassion, after forty years passed full of continual miseries? Have they no after the loss of the Netherlands, occasioned by that frantic obstinacy which marked the times? I grieve that such sentiments should have occasioned my book to have been examined with a rigour that amounts to calumnave been examined with a rigour that amounts to calculate by. Such was the language of Thuanus, in a letter written in 1606; T which indicates an approximation to soleration, but which term was not probably yet found in any dictionary. We may consider, as so many attempts at toleration, the great national synod of Dort, whose history is amply written by Brandt; and the mitigating protestantism of Laud, to approximate to the ceremonies of

\*It is curious to observe that the catholics were afterwards ashamed of these indiscretions: they were unwilling to own that there were any medals which commemorate messacres. Thuanus, in his 53d book, has minutely described them. The medals, however, have become excessively scarce; but copies inferior to the originals have been sold. They had also pictures on similar subjects, accompanied by insulting inseriptions, which latter they have effaced, sometimes very imperfectly See Hollis's Memoirs, p. 312—14. This enthusiast advertised in the papers to request travellers to procure them. † Memoires de Michel de Castelneau, Liv. I, c. .

Life of Thuanus, by Rev. J. Collinson, p. 116

the Roman church; but the synod, after holding about two hundred sessions, closed, dividing men into universalists and semi-universalists, supralapsarians and sublapsarians!
The reformed themselves produced the remonstrants; and
Laud's ceremonies ended in placing the altar eastward,
and in raising the scaffold for the monarchy and the hierarchy. Error is circuitous when it will do what it has not yet learnt. They were pressing for conformity to do that which a century afterwards they found could only be done

by toleration.

The secret history of toleration among certain parties document, from that has been disclosed to us by a curious document, from that religious Machiavel, the fierce ascetic republican John Knox, a calvinistical Pope. 'While the posterity of Abraham, says that mighty and artful reformer, were few in number, and while they sojourned in different countries, they were morely required to avoid all participation in the idolatrous rites of the heathen; but as soon as they prospered into a kingdom, and had obtained possession of Canasas, they were strictly charged to suppress idolatry, and to destroy all the monuments and incentives. The same duty was now incumbent on the professors of the true religión in Scotland : formerly, when not more than ten per-sons in a county were enlightened, it would have been foolishness to have demanded of the nobility the suppres sion of idolatry. But now, when knowledge had been in-creased, Stc.\* Such are the men who cry out for toleration during their state of political weakness, but who cancel the bond by which they hold their tenure whenever they 'obtain possession of Canaan.' The only commen-tary on this piece of the secret history of toleration is the acute remark of Swift: 'We are fully convinced that we shall always tolerate them, but not that they will tolerate us,

The truth is, that toleration was allowed by none of the parties! and I will now show the dilemmas into which

each party thrust itself.

When the kings of England would forcibly have established episcopacy in Scotland, the presbyters passed an act against the toleration of dissenters from presbyterian doctrines and discipline! and thus, as Guthrie observes, they were committing the same violence on the conscience of their brethren, which they opposed in the king. The presbyrians contrived their famous covenant to dispossess the royalists of their livings; and the independents, who assumed the principle of toleration in their very name, shortly afed the principle of toleration in their very manie, should atter enforced what they called the engagement, to eject the presbyterians! In England, where the dissenters were ejected, their great advocate Calamy complains that the dissenters were only making use of the same arguments. which the most eminent reformers had done in their noble defence of the reformation against the papists, while the arguments of the established church against the dissenters were the same which were urged by the papists against the protestant reformation! When the kesbyterians

\* Dr M'Crie's Life of John Knox, ii, 122.

\* Dr M'Crie's Lite of John Knox, it, 122.
† I quote from an unpublished letter, written so .ate as in
1749, addressed to the author of 'The Free and Candid Disquisition,' by the Reverend Thomas Allen, Rector of Kettering,
Northamptonshire. However extravagant his doctrine appears to us, I suspect that it exhibits the concealed sentiments
of even some protestant churchmen! This rector of Kettering attributes the growth of schisms to the negligence of the
cleary. and seems to have persecuted both the archibators. clergy, and seems to have persecuted both the archbishops, 'to his detriment,' as he tells us, with singular plans of reform horrowed from monastic institutions. He wished to re wive the practice inculcated by a canon of the council of Lao-dica, of having prayers ad horam nonsm et ad vesperam— prayers twice a day in the churches. But his grand project

take in his own words:

'I let the archbishop know that I had composed an irenicon, I let the archibatop know that I had composed an irenicon, wherein I prove the necessity of an ecclesiastical power over consciences in matters of religion, which uterly silences their arguments who plead so hard for toleration. I took my scheme from 'a Discourse of Ecclesiastical Polity,' wherein the authority of the civil magistrate over the consciences of subjects in manners of external religion is asserted; the mise helies and inconveniences of loteration are represented, and all pretences pleaded in behalf of liberty of conscience are fully answered. If this book were reprinted and considered, the king would know his power and the people their duty.' The rector of Kettering seems not to have known that the author of this 'Discourse on Ecclesiastical Polity.' was the notorious Parker, immortalized by the satire of Marvell This political apostace, from a republican and presbyterian, became a furious advocate for arbitrary government in church and state; 'He casily won the favour of James the Second, who made him Bishop of Oxford! His principles were so violent, that Father Petrs, the confessor of James the Second.

Digitized by GOOGIG

were our masters, and preached up the doctrine of passive obedience in spiritual matters to the civil power, it was unquestionably passing a self-condemnation on their own recent opposition and detraction of the former episco-Whenever men act from a secret motive entirely contrary to their estensible one, such meastrous results will happen; and as extremes will join, however opposite they appear in their beginnings, John Knox and Father Petre, in office, would have equally served James the Served as confessor and prime minister.

pond, as confessor and prime minister!

A fact relating to the famous Justus Lipsius proves the difficulty of forming a clear notion of Toleration, This learned man, after having been ruined by the religious wars of the Netherlands, found an honourable retreat in a professor's chair at Leyden, and without difficulty abjured papacy. He published some political works; and adopted as his great principle, that only one religion should be allowed to a people, and that no clemency should be granted to non-conformists, who, he declares, should be pursued by sword and fire; in this manner a single member would be cut off to preserve the body sound. Ure, sees—are his words. Strange notions these in a protestant republic; and, in fact, in Holland it was approving of all the horrors of their oppressors, the Duke D'Alva and Philip II, from which they had hardly recovered. It was a principle by which we must inevitably infer, says Bayle, that in Holland no other mode of religious belief but one sect should be permitted; and that those Paguns who had hanged the missionaries of the Gospel had done what they ought. Lipsius found himself sadly embarrassed when refuted by Theodore Cornhert,\* the firm advocate of political and re agious freedom, and at length Lipsius, that protestant with a catholic heart, was forced to eat his words, like Pistol his onion, declaring that the two objectionable words, are, sees, were borrowed from medicine, meaning not literally fire and sword, but a strong efficacious remedy, one of those powerful medicines to expel poison. Jean de Serres, a warm Huguenot, carried the principle of Toleration so far in his 'Inventaire generale de l'Histoire de France,' as to blame Charles Martel for compelling the Frisans, whom he had conquered, to adopt Christianity! 'A pardonable zeal,' he observes, 'in a warrior; but in fact the minds of men cannot be gained over by arms, nor that re-ligion forced upon them, which must be introduced into the hearts of men by reason.' It is curious to see a protestant, in his zeal for toleration, blaming a king for forcing idolators to become Christians; and to have found an opportunity to express his opinions in the dark history of the eighth century, is an instance how historians incor-porate their passions in their works, and view ancient facts with modern eyes.

The protestant cannot grant toleration to the catholic, unless the catholic ceases to be a papist; and the Arminian church, which opened its wide besom to receive every denomination of Christians, nevertheless were forced to exclude the papiets, for their passive obedience to the su-premacy of the Roman Pontiff. The catholic has curiously told us, on this word Toleration, that, Ce mot devient fort en usage a mesure que le nombre des tolerans aug-mente.† It was a word which seemed of recent introduc-tion, though the book is modern! The protestants have disputed much how far they might tolerate, or whether they should tolerate at all; 'a difficulty,' triumphantly exclaims the catholic, 'which they are not likely ever to settle, while they maintain their principles of pretended reformation: the consequences which naturally follow, excite borror to the Christian. It is the weak who raise such outcries for toleration; the strong find authority legitimate.

A religion which admits not of toleration cannot be safely tolerated, if there is any chance of their obtaining a political ascendency.

When Priscillian and six of his followers were condemned to torture and execution for asserting that the made sure of him! This letter of the rector of Kettering, in adopting the system of such a catholic bishop, confirms my suspicion, that teleration is condemned as an evil among some protestants.

\* Cornhert was one of the fathers of Dutch literature, and Tornnert was one of the fathers of Duzch Rerature, and even of their arts. He was the composer of the great national air of William of Orange; he was too a famous engraver, the master of Golzius. On his death-bed, he was still writing against the persecution of heretics.
†Dictionnaire de Trevoux, ad vocem Tolerance. Printed

three persons of the Trinity were to be considered as three different acceptions of the same being, Saint Ambrose and Saint Martin asserted the cause of offended humanity, and refused to communicate with the bishops who had called out for the blood of the Priscillianists; but Cardinal Baronius, the annalist of the church, was greatly emhall baronius, the annaiss of the church, was greatly emberrassed to explain how men of real purity could abstain from apploading the ardent zeal of the persecution: he preferred to give up the saints rather than to allow of toleration—for he acknowledges that the toleration which these saints would have allowed was not exempt from sin.4

In the preceding article, 'Political Religionism,' we have shown how to provide against the possible evil of the tolerated becoming the toleraters! Toleration has, indeed, been suspected of indifference to Religion itself; but with sound minds, it is only an indifference to the logomachies of theology—things 'not of God, but of man,' that have perished, and that are perishing around us!

### APOLOGY FOR THE PARISIAN MASSACRE.

An original document now lying before me, the auto-graph letter of Charles the Ninth, will prove, that that un-paralleled massacre, called by the world religious, was, im the French cabinet, considered merely as political; one of those revolting state expedients which a pretended instant necessity has too often inflicted on that part of a na tion which, like the under-curren'. subterraneously works its way, and runs counter to the great stream, till the critical moment arrives when one, or the other, must cease,

The massacre began on St Bartholomew day, in August, 1572, lasted in France during seven days: that awful event interrupted the correspondence of our court with that of France. A long silence ensued; the one did not dare to tell the tale which the other could not listen to. But sovereigns know how to convert a mere domes tic event into a political expedient. Charles the Ninth. on the birth of a daughter, sent over an ambassador ex traordinary to request Elizabeth to stand as sponsor: by this the French monarch obtained a double purpose; it served to renew his interrupted intercourse with the silent Queen, and alarmed the French protestants by abating their hopes, which long rested on the aid of the English

The following letter, dated 8th February, 1573, is addressed by the king to La Motte Fenelon, his resident ambassador at London. The king in this letter minutely details a confidential intercourse with his mother, Catharine of Medicis, who perhaps, may have dictated this letter to the secretary, although signed by the king with his own hand.† Such minute particulars could only have been known to herself. The Earl of Welchester (Worcester) was now taking his departure, having come to Paris on the baptism of the princess; and accompanied by Walsingham, our resident ambassador, after taking leave of Charles, had the following interview with Catharine de Medicia. An internation with the Medicis. An interview with the young monarch was usually concluded by a separate audience with his mother, who probably was still the directress of his councils.

The French court now renewed their favourite project of marrying the Duke D'Alengon with Elizabeth. had long wished to settle this turbulent spirit, and the ne-gotiation with Elizabeth had been broken off in consequence of the massacre at Paris. They were somewhat uneasy lest he should share the fate of his brother, the Duke of Anjou, who had not long before been expedited on the same fruitless errand; and Elizabeth had already objected to the disparity of their ages, the Duke of Alengon being only seventeen, and the maiden queen six and thirty; but Catharine observed, that D'Alengon was only one year

Gatharine observed, that D'Alençon was only one year

\*Sismondi, Hist des Français, I, 41. The character of the
first person who introduced civil persecution into the Christian
church has been described by Sulpicius Severus. See Dr
Maclaine's note in his translation of Mosheim's Ecclesiastical
History, Vol. I.—128.

† All the numerous letters which I have seen of Charles the
Ninth, now in the possession of Mr Murray, are carefully
signed by himself, and I have also observed postscripts written with his own hand: they are always countersigned by his
secretary. I mention this circumstance, because in the Dictionnaire Historique, it is said that Charles, who died young'
was so given up to the amusements of his age, that he would
not even sign his despatches, and introduced the custom of
secretaries subscribing for the king. This volumences correspondence shows the falsity of this statement. History is toe
often composed of popular tales of this stamp.

ounger than his brother, against whom this objection younger than his brother, against whom this objection had not occurred to Elizabeth, for he had been sent back upon another pretext—ome difficulty which the queen had contrived about his performing mass in his own house.

After Catharine de Medicis had assured the Earl of

Worcester of her great affection for the Queen of Eng-land, and her and the king's strict intention to preserve it, and that they were therefore desirous of this proposed marriage taking place, she took this opportunity of in-quiring of the Earl of Worcester the cause of the queen his mistress's marked coolness towards them. The narrative becomes now dramatic.

On this Walshingham, who kept always close by the side of the count, here took on himself to answer, acknowledging that the said count had indeed been charged to speak on this head; and be then addressed some words in English to Worcester. And afterwards the count gave to my lady and mother to understand, that the queen his mistress had been waiting for an answer on two articles; the one concerning religion, and the other for an interview. My lady and mother instantly replied, that she had never heard any articles mentioned, on which she would not have immediately satisfied the Sieur Walsingham, who then took up the word; first observing that the count was not accustomed to business of this nature, but that he himself knew for certain that the cause of this negotiation for marriage not being more advanced, was really these two unsettled points: that his mistress still wished that the point of religion should be cleared up; for that they concluded in England that this business was designed only to amuse and never to be completed, (as happened in that of my brother the Duke of Anjou;) and the other point concerned the interview between my brother the Duke of Alengon; because some letters, which may have been written between the parties\* in such sort of matters, could not have the same force which the sight and presence of not have the same torce which the sight and presence who both the persons would undoubtedly have. But he added, snother thing, which had also greatly retarded this business, was what had happened lately in this kingdom; and during such troubles, proceeding from religion, it could not have been well timed to have spoken with them concerning the said marriage; and that himself and those of his nation had been in great fear in this kingdom, thinking that we intended to extirpate all those of the said religion. On this, my lady and mother answered him instantly, and in order; That she was certain that the queen his mistress could never like nor value a prince who had not his religion at heart; and whoever would desire to have this otherwise, would be depriving him of what we hold dearest in this would; That he might recolon of religion, and that it was from the difficulty of its public exercise, which he always insisted on, which had broken off this negotiation; the Duke d'Alengon will be satisfied when this point is agreed on, and will hasten over to the queen, persuaded that she will not occasion him the pain and the shame of passing over the seas without happily terminating this affair. In regard to what has occurred these latter days, that he must have seen how it happened by the fault of the chiefs of those who remained here; for when the late admiral was treacherously wounded at Notre Dame, he knew the affreacherously wounded at Atoric Danie, he allow the al-fiction it threw us unto, (fearful that it might have occa-sioned great troubles in this kingdom,) and the diligence we used to verify judicially whence it proceeded; and the verification was nearly finished, when they were so forgetful as to raise a conspiracy, to attempt the lives of myself, my lady and mother, and my brothers, and endanger the whole state; which was the cause, that to avoid this, I was compelled, to my very great regret, to permit what had happened in this city; but as he had witnessed, I gave and place every one in repose. On this, the Sieur Wal-singham replied to my lady and mother, that the exercise of the said religion had been interdicted in this kingdom. To which she also answered, that this had not been done but for a good and holy purpose; namely, that the fury of the catholic people might the sooner be allayed, who else had been reminded of the past calamities, and would again have been let loose against those of the said religion, had

\* These love-letters of Alengon to our Elizabeth are noticed by Camden, who observes that the queen became wearied by receiving so many, and to put an end to this trouble, she con-sented that the young duke should come over, conditionally, that he should not be offended if her suisor should return home

they continued to preach in this kingdom. Also should these once more fix on any chiefs, which I will prevent as soon as possible, giving him clearly and pointedly to under-stand, that what is done here is much the same as what has been done, and is now practised by the queen his mis-tress in her kingdom. For she permits the exercise but of one religion, although there are many of her people who are of another; and having also, during her reign, punished those of her subjects whom she found sedicious and rebellious. It is true this has been done by the laws, but I indeed could not act in the same manner; for finding myself in such imminent peril, and the conspiracy raises against me and mine, and my kingdom, ready to be excuted; I had no time to arraign and try in open justice as much as I wished, but was constrained, to my very great regret, to strike the blow (lascher la main) in what has

en done in this city.'
This letter of Charles the Ninth, however, does not here conclude. 'My lady and mother' plainly acquaints the Earl of Worcester and Sir Francis Walsingham that her son had never interfered between their mistress and her subjects, and in return expects the same favour; although, by accounts they had received from England, many ships were arming to assist their rebels at Rochelle. 'My lady and mother' advances another step, and declares that Elizabeth by treaty is bound to assist her son against his rebellious subjects; and they expect, at least, that Elizabeth will not only stop these armaments in all her ports, but exemplarily punish the offenders. I resume

the letter.

'And on hearing this, the said Walsingham changed colour, and appeared somewhat astonished, as my lady and mother well perceived by his face; and on this, he requested the Count of Worcester to mention the order which he knew the queen his mistress had issued to prevent these people from assisting those of La Rochelle; but that in England, so numerous were the seamen and others who gained their livelihood by maritime affairs, and who would starve without the entire freedom of the seas, that

it was impossible to interdict them.

Charles the Ninth encloses the copy of a letter he had received from London, in part agreeing with an account the ambassador had sent to the king, of an English expe-dition nearly ready to sail for La Rochelle, to assist his rebellious subjects. He is still further alarmed, that Elizabeth foments the wartegeus, and assists underhand the discontented. He urges the ambassador to hasten to the queen, to impart these complaints in the most friendly way, as he knows the ambassador can well do, and as, no doubt, Walsingham will have already prepared her to re-ceive. Charles entreats Elizabeth to prove her good faith by deeds and not by words; to act openly on a point which admits of no dissimulation. The best proof of her friendship will be the marriage; and the ambassador, after opening this business to her chief ministers, who the king thinks are desirous of this projected marriage, is then 'to acquaint the queen with what has passed between her ambassadors and myself."

Such is the first letter on English affairs which Charles the Ninth despatched to his ambassador, after an awful silence of six months, during which time La Motte Fenelon was not admitted into the presence of Elizabeth. The apology for the massacre of St Bartholomew comes from the king himself, and contains several remarkable expressions, which are at least divested of that style of bigotry and exultation we might have expected; on the contrary, this sanguinary and inconsiderate young monarch, as he is represented, writes in a subdued and sorrowing tone, la-menting his hard necessity, regretting he could not have recourse to the laws, and appealing to others for his efforts to check the fury of the people, which he himself had let loose. Catharine de Medicis, who had governed him from the tender age of eleven years, when he ascended the from the tender age of eleven years, when he accented the throne, might unquestionably have persuaded him that a conspiracy was on the point of explosion. Charles the Nath died young, and his character is unfavourably viewed by the historians. In the voluminous correspondence which I have examined, could we judge by state leaters of the character of him who subscribes them, we must form a very different notion: they are so prolix and so carnest, that one might conceive they were dictated by the young monarch himself!

PREDICTION.

In a curious treatise on 'Divination,' or the knowledge Digitized by GOOGIG

of future events, Cicero has preserved a complete account of the state-contrivances which were practised by the Roman government, to instil among the people those hopes and fears by which they regulated public opinion. The pagan creed, now become obsolete and ridiculous, has occasioned this treatise to be rarely consulted; it remains, however, as a chapter in the history of man!

To these two books of Cicero on ' Divination' perhaps

a third might be added, on political and moral prediction. The principles which may even raise it into a science are and principles which may even raise it into a science are self-evident; they are drawn from the heart of man, and they depend on the nature and connexion of human events! We presume we shall demonstrate the positive existence of such a faculty; a faculty which Lord Bacon describes of 'making things future and remote as present.' The arusper, the augur, and the astrologer, have vanished with their own superstitions; but the moral and the political predictor, proceeding on principles authorized by nature and experience, has become more skilful in his observations on the phenomena of human history; and it has often happened that a tolerable philosopher has not made an indifferent prophet.

No great political or moral revolution has occurred which nas not been accompanied by its prognostic; and men of a philosophic cast of mind, in their retirement, freed from the delusions of parties and of sects, at once intelligent in the quicquid agunt homines, while they are withdrawn from their conflicting interests, have rarely been confounded by the astonishment which overwhelms those who, absorbed in active life, are the mere creatures of sensation, agitated by the shadows of truth, the unsubstantial appearances of things! Intellectual nations are advancing in an eternal circle of events and passions which succeed each other, and the last is necessarily connected with its antecedent; the solitary force of some fortuitous incident only can in-terrupt this concatenated progress of human affairs.

That every great event has been accompanied by a pre-

sage or prognostic, has been observed by Lord Bacon.
The shepherds of the people should understand the prognostics of state-tempests; hollow blasts of wind seemingly at a distance, and secret swellings of the sea, often pre-cede a storm. Such were the prognostics discerned by the politic Bishop Williams in Charles the First's time, who clearly foresaw and predicted the final success of the Puritanic party in our country; attentive to his own se-curity, he abandoned the government and sided with the rising opposition, at a moment when such a change in public affairs was by no means apparent.\*

In this spirit of foresight our contemplative antiquary Dugdale must have anticipated the scene which was approaching in 1641, in the destruction of our ancient monuments in cathedral churches. He hurried on his itinerant labours of taking draughts and transcribing inscriptions, as he says, ' to preserve them for future and better times Posterity owes to the prescient spirit of Dugdale the ancient Monuments of England, which bear the marks of the haste, as well as the zeal, which have perpetuated

Continental writers formerly employed a fortunate exression, when they wished to have an Historia Reforma-onis ante Reformationem: this history of the Reformation would have commenced at least a century before the Re-formation itself! A letter from Cardinal Julian to Pope Eugenius IV, written a century before Luther appeared, clearly predicts the Reformation and its consequences. He observed that the minds of men were ripe for some thing tragical; he felt the axe striking at the root, and the tree beginning to bend, and that his party, instead of prop-ping it, were hastening its fall.† In England, Sir Thoping it, were nastening its sail.) In England, Sir I no-mas More was not less prescient in his views; for when his son Roper was observing to him, that the Catholic re-ligion, under 'the Defender of the Faith,' was in a most ligion, under 'the Delender of the Falth,' was in a most fourishing state, the answer of More was an evidence of political foresight,—'Trutb it is, son Roper! and yet I pray God that we may not live to see the day that we would gladly be at league and composition with heretics, to let them have their churches quietly to themselves, so that they would be contented to let us have ours quietly to ourselves.' Whether our great chancellor predicted \* See Rushworth, vol. i. p. 490. His language was deci-

†This letter is in the works of Æneas Sylvius; a copious tract is given by Bossuet, in his 'Variations.' See also Mos-

heim, Cept. XIII, part il. chap. note 2, m.

from a more intimate knowledge of the king's character, or from some private circumstances which may not have been recorded for our information, of which I have an obscure suspicion, remains to be ascertained. The minds of mea suspicion, remains to be ascertained. And minds of ment of great political sagacity were unquestionably at that moment full of obscure indications of the approaching change: Erasmus, when at Canterbury before the tomb of Becket, observing it loaded with a vast profusion of jewels, wished that those had been distributed among the poor, and that the shrine had been only adorned with boughs and flowers; 'For,' said he, 'those who have heaped up all this mass of treasure will one day be plundered, and fall a prey to those who are in power;—a prediction literally fulfilled about twenty years after it was made. The unknown author of the Visions of Piers Ploughman, who wrote in the reign of Edward the Third, surprised the world by a famous prediction of the fall of the religious houses from the hand of a king. The event was realized two hundred years afterwards, by our Henry the Eighth. The protestant writers have not scrupled to declare, that in this instance he was divino numine affatus. But moral and political prediction is not inspiration; the one may be wrought out by man; the other descends from God. The same principle which led Erasmus to predict that those who were in power' would destroy the rich shrines, because no other class of men in society could mate with so mighty a body as the monks, conducted the author of Piers Ploughman to the same conclusion; and since power only could accomplish that great purpose, he fixed on the highest as the most likely; and thus the wise prediction was, so long

Sir Walter Rawleigh foresaw the future consequences of the separatists and the sectaries in the national church, and the very scene his imagination raised in 1530 has been exhibited, to the letter of his description, two centuries after the prediction! His memorable words are, 'Time will even bring it to pass, if it were not resisted, that God would be turned out of churches into barns, and from thence again into the fields and mountains, and under hedges—all order of discipline and church-government left to newness of openion and men's fancies, and as many kinds of religion spring up as there are parish-churches within England. We are struck by the profound genius of Tacitus, who clearly foresaw the calamities which so long ravaged Enrope on the fall of the Roman empire, in a work written five hundred years before the event! In that sublime an-ticipation of the future, he observed, 'When the Romans shall be hunted out from those countries which they have conquered, what will then happen? The revolted people, freed from their master-oppressor, will not be able to sub sist without destroying their neighbours, and the most cruel

wars will exist among all these nations.

after, literally accomplished!

We are told that Solon at Athens, contemplating on the port and citadel of Munychia, suddenly exclaimed, 'How blind is man to futurity! Could the Athenians foresee what mischief this will do their city, they would even eat it with their own teeth, to get rid of it?—a prediction verified more than two hundred years afterwards! Thales desired to be buried in an obscure quarter of Milesia, observing that that very spot would in time be the forum.

Charlemagne, in his old age, observing from the window of a castle a Norman descent on his coast, tears started in the eyes of the aged monarch. He predicted, that since they dared to threaten his dominions while he was yet living what would they do when he should be no more! A melancholy prediction, says De Foix, of their subsequent incursions, and of the protracted calamities of the French

nation during a whole century!

There seems to be something in minds, which take in extensive views of human nature, which serves them as a kind of divination, and the consciousness of this faculty has been asserted by some. Cicero appeals to Atticus how he had always judged of the affairs of the Republic as a good diviner; and that its overthrow had happened, as he had foreseen, fourteen years before. Cicero had not only predicted what happened in his own times, but also what occurred long after, according to the testimony of Cornelius Nepos. The philosopher indeed, affects ne secret revelation, nor visionary second-sight; he hossely tells us that this art had hear according meanly hy study. tells us that this art had been acquired merely by study, and the administration of public affairs, while he remade his friend of course of the study of the stud his friend of several remarkable instances of his successite

• Fp. ad Att. Lib. 10, Ep. 4

predictions. 'I do not divine human events by the arts practised by the augurs; but I use other signs. Cicero then expresses himself with the guarded obscurity of a phithen expresses nimself with the guarded obscurry or a phi-beospher who could not openly ridicule the prevailing su-perstitions; but we perfectly comprehend the nature of his 'signs,' when, in the great pending event of the rival conflicts of Pompey and of Cresar, he shows the means he used for his purpose. 'On one side I consider the hu-mour and genius of Cresar, and on the other the condition and the manner of civil wars.'\* In a word, the political diviner foretold events by their dependence on general causes, while the moral diviner, by his experience of the personal character, anticipated the actions of the individual. Others, too, have asserted the possession of this faculty.

Du Vair, a famous chancelor of France, imagined the faculty was intuitive with him: by his own experience he had observed the results of this curious and obscure faculty, and at a time when the history of the human mind was so imperfectly comprehended, it is easy to account for the apparent egotism of this grave and dig-mifed character. 'Born,' says he, 'with constitutional infirmity, a mind and body but ill adapted to be laborious, with a most treachereus memory, enjoying so gift of nature, yet able at all times to exercise a sagacity so great, that I do not know, since I have reached manhood, that any thing do not know, since I have reached manhood, that any thing of importance has happened to the state, to the public, to myself in particular, which I had not forescen. This faculty seems to be described by a remarkable expression employed by Thucydides, in his character of Themistocles, of which the following is given as a close translation. By a species of sagacity peculiarly his own, for which he was in no degree indebted either to early education or after study, he was supereminently happy in forming a promat indement in matters that admitted but little time ng a prompt judgment in matters that admitted but little time for deliberation; at the same time that he far surpassed all in his deductions of the future from the past; or was the best guesser of the future from the past. Thould this faculty of moral and political prediction be over considered as a science, we can even furnish it with a denomination; for the writer of the life of Sir Thomas Brown, prefixed to his works, in claiming the honour of it for that philosopher, calls it the Stochastic, a term derived from the Greek and from archery, meaning, 'to shoot at a mark.' This eminent genius, it seems, often 'hit the white.' Our biographer declares, that 'though he were no prophet, yet in that faculty which comes nearest to it he excelled, i. e. the Stochastic, wherein he was seldom mistaken as to future evente, as well public as private.

We are not, indeed, inculcating the fanciful elements of an occult art: we know whence its principles may be drawn, and we may observe how it was practised by sest among the ancients. Aristotle, who collected all the curious knowledge of his times, has preserved some remarkable opinions on the art of distinction. In detailing remarkable opinions on the art of divination. In detailing the various subterfuges practised by the pretended diviners of his day, he reveals the secret principle by which one of them regulated his predictions. He frankly declared that the future being always very obscure, while the past was easy to know, his predictions had never the future in view; for he decided from the past as it appeared in human affairs, which, however, lie concealed from the multitude. Such is the true principle by which a philosophical historian may become a skilftil divinor.

Human affairs make themselves that every set of one

Human affairs make themselves; they grow out of one another, with slight variations; and thus it is that they usually happen as they have happened. The necessary dependence of effects on causes, and the similarity of human interests and human passions, are confirmed by comparative parallels with the past. The philosophic mage of holy writ truly deduced the important principle, that the thing that hath been is that which shall be. The wital facts of history, deadened by the touch of chronological antiquarianism, are restored to animation when we comprehend the principles which necessarily terminate in certain results, and discover the characters among man-kind who are the usual actors in these scenes. The heart

• Ep ad Att. Lib. 6, Ep. 4. † This remarkable confession I find in Menage's Observa-one sur la Langue Françoise, Part II, p. 110.

† Oineia yap foverei, nei ovre upopadem es auram over, ουν επιμαθου, των τε τοροχρημα δι ελαχιστης βουλες πρατ, εστος γνωριου, παι των μέλλοντ ων επιπλείστου του γεγησομα-νου αριστος ειπαστης.
ΤΕΠΟΥΕΙΙΕΒ, Lie. 1. ov apieros sicaerns. I Atiet. Rhet. lib. vii, a. S.

of man beats on the same eternal springs ; and whether he advances or retrogrades, he cannot escape out of the march of human thought. Hence, in the most extraordinary revolutions, we discover that the time and the place mary revolutions, we discover that the time and the place only have changed; for even when events are not strictly parallel, we detect the same conducting principles. Sci-pio Ammirato, one of the great Italian historians, in his curious discourses on Tacstus, intermingles ancient ex-amples with the modern; that, he says, all may see how the truth of things is not altered by the changes and diversities of time. Machiavel drew his illustrations of modern history from the ancient.

When the French revolution recalled our attention to a similar eventful period in our own history, the neglected volumes which preserved the public and private history of our Charles the First and Cromwell were collected with eager curiosity. Often the scene existing before us; even the very personages themselves, opened on us in these forgotten pages. But as the annals of human nature did not commence with those of Charles the First, we took a still more retrograde step, and it was discovered in this wider range, that in the various governments of Greece and Rome, the events of those times had been only reproand Kome, the events of those times had been only repro-duced. Among them the same principles had terminated in the same results, and the same personages had figured in the same drame. This strikingly appeared in a little curious volume, entitled, 'Essai sur l'Histoire de la Revo-lation Françoise, par une Societé d'Auteurs Latine,' pub-lished at Paris in 1801. The 'Society of Latin Authors,' who so inimitably have written the history of the French resolution, consists of the Roman history into themselves! revolution, consists of the Roman historians themselves! By extracts ingeniously applied, the events of that me-lancholy period are so appositely described, indeed so mi-

minimony period are so appointely oscience, nacced so manufely narrated, that they will not fail to surprise those who are not accustomed to detect the perpetual parallels which we meet with in philosophical history.

Many of these crisises in history are close resemblances of each other. Compare the history of 'The League' in France with that of our own civil wars. We are struck by the similar occurrences performed by the same politi-cal characters who played their part on both those great theatres of human action. A satirical royalist of those times has commemorated the motives, the incidents, and the personages in the 'Satirs Menippés de la Vertu du Catholicou d'Espagne;' and this famous 'Satire Menippés, is a perfect Hudibras in prose! The writer discovers all the bitter ridicule of Butler in his ludicross and severe exhibition of the 'Etate de Paris,' while the artist who designed the satirical prints becomes no contemptible Hogarth. So much are these public events alike in their general spirit and termination, that they have afforded the subject of a printed but unpublished volume, entitled 'Essai sur les Revolutions's The whole work was modelled on this principle. 'It would be possible,' says the cloquent writer, ' to frame a table or chart is which all the given imaginable events of the history of a people would be reduced to a mathematical exactness.' The conception is francial by the foundation in the content of the conception in the content of the co

be reduced to a mathematical exactness. The conception is fanciful, but its foundation lies deep in truth. A remarkable illustration of the secret principle divulged by Aristotle, and described by Thucydides, appears in the recent confession of a man of gennus among ourselves. When Mr Coleridge was a political writer in the Morning Post and the Courier, at a period of darkness and utter confusion, that writer was then conduced by a trust of light not revealed to codingry interestiction at the tract of light not revealed to ordinary journalists, on the Napoleonic empire. 'Of that despotism in masquerade' he decided by ' the state of Rome under the first Cosars ; he decided by 'the state of Rome under the first Consers;' and of the Spanish American Revolution, by taking the war of the united provinces with Philip II, as the ground work of the comparison. 'On every great occurrence,' he says, 'I endeavoured to discover, in past history, the event that most nearly resembled it. I procured the contemporary historians, memorialists, and pamphleteers. Then fairly subtracting the points of difference from those of likeness, as the balance favoured the former or the later, I conjuctured that the result would be the same of it. to memore, at the behavior havoured the rother or the later, I conjectured that the result would be the same or different. In the cessays "On the probable final Restoration of the Bourbons," I feel myself authorized to affirm, by the effect produced on many intelligent men, that were the

\* This work was printed in London, as a first volume, but remained unpublished. This singularly curious production was suppressed, but reprinted at Paris. It has suffered the most cruel mutilations. I read, with surprise and instruction, the single copy which I was assured was the only one saved from the havor of the entire edition.

dates wanting, it might have been suspected that the essays had been written within the last twelve months.

In moral predictions on individuals, many have discovered the future character. The revolutionary character of Cardinal de Rotz, even in his youth, was detected by the sagacity of Mazarine. He then wrote the history of the sagacity of Mazarine. He then wrote the history of the conspiracy of Fiesco with such vehement admiration of his hero, that the Italian politician, after its perusal, predicted that the young author would be one of the most barbolent spirits of the age! The father of Marshal Biron, even amid the glory of his son, discovered the cloud which, invisible to others, was to obscure it. The father, indeed, well knew the fiery passions of his son. 'Biron,' said the domestic seer, 'I advise thee, when peace takes have to be a not plant subhere in the ander otherwise. place, to go and plant cabbages in thy garden, otherwise I warn thee, thou wit lose thy head on a scaffold! Lo-reuse de Medici had studied the temper of his son Piero; for Guicciardini informs us, that he had often complained to his most intimate friends, that he foresaw the imprudence and arrogance of his son would occasion the ruin of his family.' There is a remarkable prediction of James the First, of the evils likely to ensue from Laud's violence, in A conversation given by Hacket, which the king held with Archbishop Williams. When the king was hard pressed to promote Laud, he gave his reasons why he intended to 'keep Laud back from all place of rule and authority, be-cause I find he hath a restless spirit, and cannot see when matters are well, but loves to toss and change, and to bring things to a pitch of reformation floating. In its own brain, which endangers the steadfastness of that which is a a good pass. I speak not at random; he hath made imaself known to me to be such an one. James then gives the circumstances to which he alludes; and at length, when, still pursued by the archbishop, then the organ of Buckingham, as usual, this king's good-nature too easily yielded; he did not, however, without closing with this prediction: 'Then take him to you—but, on my soul, you will repent it!' The future character of Cromwell was apparent to two of our great politicisms. 'This coarse unpromising man,' said Lord Falkland, pointing to Cromwell, 'will be the first person in the kingdom, if the nation comes to blows! And Archbishop Williams told Charles the First confidentially, that 'There was that in Cromwell which foreboded something dangerous, and wished his majesty would either win him over to him, or get him taken off.' The Marquis of Wellesley's incomget him taken on. The Marquis or victory a incomparable character of Buonaparte predicted his fall when highest in his glory; that great stateman then poured forth the sublime language of philosophical prophecy. His eagerness of power is so inordinate; his jealousy of independence so fierce; his keenness of appetite so feverable in all that temphase his ambition seaso in the most ish in all that touches his ambition, even in the most trifling things, that he must plunge into dreadful difficul-ties. He is one of an order of minds that by nature make

for themselves great reverses.

Lord Mansfield was once asked, after the commenceason of the French revolution, when it would end? His lordship replied, 'It is an event sollout precedent, and therefore sollout prognestic.' The truth, however, is, that it had both. Our own history had furnished a precedent in the times of Charles the First. And the prognestics were so redundant, that a volume might be collected. of passages from various writers who had predicted it. However ingenious might be a history of the Reformation before it occurred, the evidence could not be more authentic and positive than that of the great moral and po-litical revolution which we have witnessed in our own days.

A prediction, which Bishop Butler threw out in a ser-on before the House of Lords, in 1741, does honour to his political sagacity, as well as to his knowledge of hu-man nature; he calculated that the irreligious spirit would produce, some time or ether, political disorders, similar to those which, in the seventeenth contery, had arisen from religious fanaticism. 'Is there no danger,' he observed, religious fanaticism. 'Is there no danger,' he observed, that all this may raise somewhat like that levelling spirit, apon atheistical principles, which in the last age prevailed upon enthusiastic ones? Not to speak of the possibility that different sorts of people may smale in it upon these comerny principles.' All this literally has been accomplished! Leibnitz, indeed, foresaw the results of those selfish, and at length demoralizing, opinions, which began to prevail through Europe in his day. These disorganizing

\* Biographia Literaria, or Biographical aketches of my Lite-tery Life and Opinions. By S T. Colerkige, Esq. 1807.— Vol. , p 214.

principles, conducted by a political sect, who tried 'to be worse than they could be,' as old Montaigne expresses it; a sort of men who have been audaciously congratulates as 'having a teste for evil;' exhibited to the astonished world the dismal catastrophe the philosopher had predicted. I shall give this remarkable passage. 'I find that certain opinions approaching those of Epicurus and Spinosa, are, little by little, insutuating themselves into the minds of the great rulers of public affairs, who serve as the guides of others, and on whom all matters depend; be-sides, these opinions are also sliding into fashionable books, and thus they are preparing all things to that general revolution which menaces Europe; destroying those generous sentiments of the ancients, Greek and Roman, which preferred the love of country and public good, and the cares of posterity, to fortune and even to life. Our public spirits, as as the English call them, excessively diminish, and are no more in fashion, and will be still less while the least vicious more in fashion, and will be still less while the least vicious of these men preserve only one principle, which they call honour; a principle which only keeps them from not doing what they deem a low action, while they openly laugh at the love of country—ridicule those who are zealous for public ends—and when a well-intentioned man asks what will become of their posterity? they reply, "Then, as now!" But it may happen to these persons themselves to have to endure those cuits which they believe are reserved for others. If this epidemical and intellectual disorder could whose held effect are already missile, those be corrected, whose had effects are already visible, those evils might still be prevented; but if it proceeds in its growth, Providence will correct man by the very resolution which must spring from it. Whatever may happen indeed, all must turn out as usual, for the best in general at the end of the account, although this cannot happen suitout the punishment of those who contribute even to the general good by their evil actions. The most superficial reader will hardly require a commentary on this very remarkable passage; he must instantly perceive how Leibniz, in the seventeenth century, foresaw what has occurred in the eighteenth; and the prediction has been verified in the history of the actors in the late revolution, while the result, which we have not perhaps yet had, according to Leibnitz's own exhilarating system of optimism, is an eduction of good from evil.

A great genius, who was oppressed by malignant rivals in his own times, has been noticed by Madame de Stael, as having left behind him an actual prophecy of the French revolution; this was Guibert, who, in his commentar on Folard's Polybius, published in 1727, declared, that 'a conspiracy is actually forming in Europe, by means at once so subtile and efficacious, that I am sorry not to have come into the world thirty years later to witness its result. It must be confessed that the sovereigns of Europe wear very bad spectacles. The proofs of it are mathematical, if such proofs ever were, of a conspiracy. Guibert unquestionably foresaw the anti-monarchical spirit gathering up its mighty wings, and rising over the universe! but could not judge of the nature of the impulse which he predicted; prophesying from the ideas in his luminous intellect, he seems to have been far more curious about, than certain of the consequences. Rousseau even circumstantially predicted the convulsions of modern Europe. He stood on the crisis of the French revolution, which he vividly foresaw, for he seriously advised the higher classes of society to have their children taught some useful trade; a notion highly ridiculed on the first appearance of the Emile; but at its hour the awful truth struck! He, too, foresaw the borrors of that revolution; for he announced that Emile designed to emigrate, because, from the moral state of the people, a virtuous revolution had become impossi-ble.† The eloquence of Burke was often orscular; and

ble.† The eloquence of Burke was often oracular; and

\* Public spirit, and public spirits, were about the pear 130
household words with us. Leibnitz was struck by their significance, but it might now puzzle us to find spongyme, or even
o explain the very terms themselves;
† This extraordinary passage is at the close of the third book
of Emile, to which I must refer the read-1. It is curous,
however, to observe, that in 1760 Rousseau poured forth the
following awful predictions, which were considered quite abaurd. 'Vous vous flez à l'ordre actuel de la société san
songer que cet ordre est sujet à des recolutions inevasiblele grand devient petit, le riche devient pauvre, le moanque
devient sujet—nous approchons l'état de crise et du siècle des
revolutions. Que fera donc dans la basseauce ce satrage que
vous n'aurex elevé que pour la grandeur? Que far dans la
pauvrence et publicair qui ne reait virreque d'or? Que fare de
pourvu de tout, ce factueux imberille qui ne sait point use
de luimente?' &c. &c.

a speech of Pitt, in 1800, painted the state of Europe as st was only realized fifteen years afterwards.

But many remarkable predictions have turned out to be false. Whenever the facts on which the prediction is raised are altered in their situation, what was relatively true are altered in their situation, what was relatively true ceases to operate as a general principle. For instance, to that striking anticipation which Roussean formed of the French revolution, he added, by way of note, as remarkable a prediction on MOMARCHY. Je tiens pour impossible que les grandes monarchies de l'Europe sient encors long tems a durer; toutes on brillé, et tout état qui brille est sur deals "The readentinant antismonarchies] spirit among son declin. The prodominant anti-monarchical spirit among our rising generation seems to hasten on the accomplishment of the prophecy; but if an important alteration has occurred in the nature of things, we may question the resuit. If by looking into the past, Rousseau found facts which sufficiently proved that nations in the height of their splendour and corruption had closed their career by falling an easy conquest to barbarous invaders, who annihilated the most polished people at a single blow; we now find that no such power any longer exists in the great family of Europe: the state of the question is therefore changed, It is now how corrupt nations will act against corrupt nations equally enlightened? But if the citizen of Geneva drew his prediction of the extinction of monarchy in Europe from that predilection for democracy which assumes that a republic must necessarily produce more happiness to the people than a monarchy, then we say that the fatal experiment was again repeated since the prediction, and the fact proved not true! The very excess of democracy inevitably terminates in a monarchical state; and were all the monarchies in Europe republics, a philosopher might safely predict the restoration of monarchy!

If a prediction be raised on facts which our own prejudices induce us to infer will exist, it must be chimerical. We have an universal Chronicle of the Monk Carion, printed in 1532, in which he announces that the world was about ending, as well as his chronicle of it; that the Turkish empire would not last many years; that after the death of Charles the Fifth the empire of Germany would be torn to pieces by the Germans themselves. This moult will no longer pass for a prophet; he belongs to that class of historians who write to humour their own prejudices, like a certain lady-prophetess, who, in 1811, predicted The monk Carion, like others of greater name, had mis-calculated the weeks of Daniel, and wished more ill to the Mahometans than suit the Christian cabinets of Europe to inflict on them; and, lastly, the monastic histo-rian had no notion that it would please Providence to pros-per the heresy of Luther! Sir James Macintosh once observed, 'I am sensible, that in the field of political pre-diation, veteran sagacity has often been deceived.' Sir James alluded to the memorable example of Harrington, who published a demonstration of the impossibility of re-establishing monarchy in England six months before the restoration of Charles the Second. But the author of the Oceana was a political fanatic, who ventured to predict Oceana was a ponucal sanatic, who ventured to preduce an event, not by other similar events, but by a theoretical principle which he had formed, that 'the balance of power depends on that of property.' Harrington, in his contracted view of human nature, had dropped out of his calculation all the stirring passions of ambition and party. and the vaciliations of the multitude. and the vacillations of the multitude. A similar error of a reat genius occurs in Do Foe. 'Child,' says Mr George Chalmers, foreseeing from experience that men's con chainers, insily be decided by their principles, foretold ste colonial revolt. De Foe, allowing his prejudices to obscure his sagacity, reprobated that suggestion, because he deemed interest a more strenuous prompter than enthusidefined states a more strutted prompter that emessare." The predictions of Harrington and De Foe are precisely such as we might expect from a petty calculator—a political economist, who can see nothing farther than immediate results; but the true philosophical predictor was Child, who had read the past. It is probable that the American emancipation from the mother-country of Eng-American emanspacen from the monter-country of England was foreseen, wenty or thirty years before it occurred, though not perhaps by the administration. Lord Orford, writing in 1754 under the ministry of the Duke of Newestles, blames 'The instructions to the governor of New York, which seemed better calculated for the latitude of Yors, which seemed better cancination for the laminous of Mexico, and for a Spanish tribunal, than for a free British settlement, and in such opulence and such haughtiness, that suspicions had long been conceived of their meditating to throw of the dependence on their mether country.' If

this was written at the time, as the author asserts, it is a very remarkable passage, observes the noble editor of his memoirs. The prognostics or presages of this revolution, it may now be difficult to recover; but it is evident that Child before the time when Lord Orford wrote this passage predicted the separation on true and philosophical principles.

Even when the event does not always justify the predition, the predictor may not have been the less correct in his principles of divination. The catastrophe of human life, and the turn of great events, often prove accidental.

Marshal Biron, whom we have noticed, might have ascended the throne instead of the scaffold; Cromwell and De Retz might have become only the favourite general, or the minister of their sovereigns. Fortuitous events are not comprehended in the reach of human prescience; such must be consigned to those vulgar superstitions which pre-sume to discover the issue of human events, without pre-

sume to discover the issue of numan events, without pre-tending to any human knowledge. There is nothing su-pernatural in the prescience of the philosopher. Sometimes predictions have been condemned as false ones, which, when scrutinized, we can scarcely deem to have failed: they may have been accomplished, and they may again revolve on us. In 1749, Dr Hartley published his 'Observations on Man;' and predicted the fall of the existing governments and hierarchies in two simple pro-

positions; among others—
PROP. 81. It is probable that all the civil governments will be overturned,

PROP. 82. It is probable that the present forms of church-government will be dissolved.

Many were alarmed at these predicted falls of church and state. Lady Charlotte Wentworth asked Hartley when these terrible things would happen? The answer of the predictor was not less awful; (1 am an old man, and shall not live to see them; but you are a young woman, and probably will see them.' In the subsequent revolutions of America and of France, and perhaps now of Spain, we can hardly deny that these predictions had failed. A fortuitous event has once more thrown back Europe into its old corners; but we still revolve in a circle, and what is now dark and remote may again come round, when time has performed its great cycle. There was a prophetical passage in Hooker's Ecclesiastical Polity, regarding the church, which long occupied the speculations of its expounders. Hooker indeed seemed to have done what no predictor of human events should do! he fixed on the period of its accomplishment. In 1597, he declared that it would or its strength do awe, into four score! Those who had outlived the revolution in 1641, when the long parliament pulled down the ecclesiastical establishment, and sold the church-lands,—a circumstance which Hooker had contemplated—and were afterwards returned to their places on the Restoration, imagined that the prediction had not yet been completed and were looking with great had not yet been completed and were looking with great anxiety towards the year 1677, for the close of this extra-ordinary prediction! When Bishop Barlow, in 1676, was consulted on it, he endeavoured to dissipate the panic, by referring to an old historian, who had reproached our na-tion for their proneness to prophecies! The prediction of the venerable Hooker in truth had been fully accomplished, and the event had occurred without Bishop Barlow having recurred to it; so easy it seems to forget what we dis-like to remember! The period of time was too literally taken and seems to have been only the figurative expression of man's age in scriptural language, which Hooker had employed; but no one will now deny that this pre-scient sage had profoundly foreseen the results of that rising party, whose designs on church and state were clearly de-picted in his own luminous view.

The philosophical predictor in foretelling a crisis, from the appearances of things, will not rashly assign the period of time; for the crais which he anticipates is calculated on by that inevitable march of events which generate each other in human affairs; but the period is always dubious, being either retarded or accelerated by circumstances of a nature incapable of entering into this moral arithmetic. It is probable, that revolution, similar to that of France, would have occurred in this country, had it not been counteracted by the genius of Pitt. In 1618, it was easy to foretell, by the political prognosics, that a mighty war throughout Europe must necessarily occur. At that moment, ob-serves Bayle, the house of Austria aimed at an universal monarchy; the consequent domineering spirit of the mi-nisters of the Emperor and the king of Spain, combined

with their determination to exterminate the new religions, excited a re-action to this imperial despotism; public opinion had been suppressed, till every people grew impatient: while their sovereigns, influenced by national feeling, were combining against Austria. But Austria was vast military power, and her generals were the first of their class. The efforts of Europe would then be often repulsed! This state of affairs prognosticated a long war—and when at length it broke out, it lasted thirty years! The approach and the duration of the war might have been predicted: but the period of its termination could not have been foreseen.

There is, however, a spirit of political vaticination which presumes to pass beyond the boundaries of human precience; it has been often ascribed to the highest source of inspiration by enthusiasts; but since ' the language of prophecy has ceased, such pretensions are not le propercy has ceased, such protessors are not less majors than they are unphilosophical. Knox the reformer possessed an extraordinary portion of this awful prophetic confidence: he appears to have predicted several remarkable events, and the fates of some persons. We are told, that, condemned to a galley at Rochelle, he predicted that smithir the not those a gardy he should example the correct of within two or three years, he should preach the gospel at Saint Giles's in Edinburgh, an improbable event, which happened. Of Mary and Darnley, he pronounced, that as the king, for the queen's pleasure, had gone to mass, the Lord, in his justice, would make her the instrument of his overthrow.' Other striking predictions of the deaths of Thomas Maitland, and of Kirkaldy of Grange, and the warning he solemnly gave to the Regent Murray not to go to Linlithgow, where he was assassinated, occasioned a barbarous people to imagine that the prophet Knox had received an immediate communication from Heaven.

A Spanish friar and almanac-maker, predicted in clear and precise words, the death of Henry the Fourth of France: and Piercec, though he had no faith in the vain science of astrology, yet, alarmed at whatever menaced the life of a beloved monarch, consulted with some of the hing's friends, and had the Spanish almanac laid before his majesty. That high-spirited monarch thanked them ans majosty. That nign-spirited monarch thanked them for their solicitude, but utterly slighted the prediction; the event occurred, and in the following year the Spanish friar spread his own fame in a new almanac. I have been eccasionally struck at the Jeremiads of honest George eccasionally struck at the Jeremune or nonce. Some of Withers, the vaticinating poet of our civil wars: some of war of the war. withers, the varietisating poet of our civil was: some his works afford many solemn predictions. We may account for many predictions of this class, without the intervention of any supernatural agency. Among the busy spirits of a revolutionary age, the heads of a party, such as Knoz, have frequently secret communications with spies or with friends. In a constant source of constant information is absent. esaled information, a shrewd, confident and enthusi-astic temper will find ample matter for mysterious prescience. Knox exercised that deep sagacity which took in the most enlarged views of the future, as appears by his Machiavelian foresight on the barbarous destruction of the monasteries and the cathedrals .- The best way to keep the recoks from returning, is to pull down their nests. In the case of the prediction of the death of Henry the Fourth, by the Spanish friar, it resulted either from his being acquaisted with the plot, or from his being made an instrument for their purpose by those who were. It appears that rumoure of Henry's assessination were rife in Reain and Italy hefore the avent occurred. Such acti-Spain and Italy, before the event occurred. Such vaticinators as George Withers will always rise in those dis-turbed times which his own prosaic metre has forcibly depicted.

It may be on that darkness, which they find Within their hearts, a sudden light hath shin'd, Making reflections of some things to come, Which leave within them musings troublesome To their weak spirits; or too intricate For them to put in order, and relate. They act as men in ecstasies have done—Striving their cloudy visions to declare—And I, perhaps, among these may be one That was let loose for service to be done: I blunder out what worldly-prulent men Count madnesses.—P. 7.\*

Separating human prediction from inspired prophecy, we only ascribe to the faculties of man that acquired prossience which we have demonstrated that some great

9-4 A dark lantherne, offering a dim discovery, intermixed with remembrances, predictions, &c, 1652. minds have unquestionably exercised. We have discovered its principles in the necessary dependence of effects on general causes, and we have shown that, impelled by the same motives, and circumscribed by the same passions, all human affairs revolve in a circle; and we have opened the true source of this yet imperfect science of moral and political prediction, in an intimate, but a discriminative, knowledge of the past.

Authority is sacred, when experience affords parallels and analogies. If much which may overwhelm when it shall happen, can be foreseen, the prescient statesman ad moralist may provide defensive measures to break lea waters, whose streams they cannot always direct; and vanerable Hooker has profoundly observed, that 'the best things have been overthrown, not so much by puissance and might of adversaries, as through defect of council in those that should have upheld and defended the same.\*

The philosophy of history blends the past with the present, and combines the present with the future; each is but a portion of the other! The actual-state of a thing is more cessarily determined by its antecedent, and thus progrecsively through the chain of human exists. ee; while 'the present is always full of the future,' as Leibnitz has happily expressed the idea.

A new and beautiful light is thus thrown over the annals of mankind, by the annalogies and the parallels of different ages in succession. How the seventeenth century has influenced the eighteenth; and the results of the nineteenth as they shall appear in the twentieth, might spen a source of predictions, to which, however difficult it might be to affix their dates, there would be none in exploring into causes, and tracing their inevitable effects.

The multitude live only among the shadows of things in the appearances of the present; the learned, busied with the past, can only trace whence, and how, all comes; but he, who is one of the people and one of the learned, the true philosopher, views the natural tendency and terminations which are preparing for the future!

### DREAMS AT THE DAWN OF PHILOSOPHY.

Modern philosophy, theoretical or experimental, only amuses while the action of discovery is suspended or advances: the interest ceases with the inquirer when the catastrophe is ascertained, as in the romance whose demousement turns on a mysterious holdent, which, ence unfolded, all future agitation ceases. But in the true infancy of Science, philosophers were as an imaginative a race as poets: marvels and portents, undemonstrable and undefinable, with occult fancies, perpetually beginning and never ending, were delightful as the shifting cantor of Ariosto. Then science entranced the eye by its thaumaturgy: when they looked through an optic tube, they believed they were looking into futurity; or, starting at some shadow darkening the glassy globe, beheld the absent person; while the mechanical inventions of art were toys and tricks, with sometimes an automaton, which frightened them with life.

The earlier votaries of modern philosophy only witnessed, as Gaffarel calls his collection, 'Unheard-of Curiosities. This state of the marvellous, of which we are now for ever deprived, prevailed among the philosophers and the wirkses in Europe, and with ourselves, long after the establishment of the Royal Society. Philosophy then depended mainly on authority—a single one however was sufficient: so that when this had been repeated by fifty others, they had the authority of fifty bonest men—whoever the first man might have been! They were then a blissful race of children, rambling here and there in a golden age of macconce and ignorance, where at every step each gifted discoverer whispered to the few, some half-concealed secret of nature, or played with some toy of art; some invention which with great difficulty performed what, without it, might have been done with great

\* Hooker wrote this about 1890, and he wrote before the Sibele des Révolutions had begun, even among ourselves. He penetrated into this important principle merely by the force of his own meditation. At this moment, after more practical experience in political revolutions, a very includigent Fracel writer in a pamphlet, entitled "M. de Villele," says "Experience proclaims a great truth—pamely, that revolutions them selves cannot succeed, except when they are favoured by a portion of the Government." He illustrates the axiom by the different revolutions which have occurred in his nation while these thirty years. In is the same truth traced to its source by another revol.

case. The cabinets of the lovers of mechanical arts formed enchanted apartments, where the admirers feared to stir or look about them; while the philosophers themsalves half imagined they were the very thaumaturgi, for which the world gave them too much credit, at least for their quiet! Would we run after the shadows in this their quiet! Would we run after the shadows in this gleanung land of monshine, or sport with these children in the fresh morning of science, ere Aurora had scarcely second on the hills, we must enter into their feelings, view with their eyes, and believe all they confide to us; and eat of these bundles of dreams, sometimes pick out one or two for our own dreaming. They are the fairy tales and the Arabian nights' entertainments of Science. But if the reader is stubborely mathematical and logical, he will the reader is stubbornly mathematical and logical, he will ealy be holding up a great torch against the muslin cur-tain, upon which the fantastic shadows playing upon it must vanish at the instant. It is an amusement which can only take place by carefully keeping himself in the dark

What a subject, were I to enter on it, would be the narratives of magical writers! These precious volumes have been so constantly wasted by the profane, that now a book of real magic requires some to find it, as well as a magician to use it. Albertus Magnus, or Albert the Great, as he is erroneously styled—for this sage only derived this enviable epithet from his surname De Groot, as did Hugo Grotius—this sage, in his 'Admirable Secrets' delivers his opinion that these books of magic should be most preciously preserved; for, he prophetically added, the time is arriving when they would be understood! It seems they were not intelligible in the thirteenth century; but, if Albertus has not miscalculated, in the present day they may be! Magical terms with talismanic figures may yet con-call many a secret; gunpowder came down to us in a sort of anagram, and the kaleidoscope, with all its interminable multiplications of forms, lay at hand, for two centuries, in Baptista Ports. \* Natural Magic.\* The abbot Trithemius, in a confidential letter, happened to call himself a magician, perhaps at the moment he thought himself one, and sent three or four leaves stuffed with the names of devils, and with their evocations. At the death of his friend these leaves fell into the unwary hands of the Prior, who was so frightened on the first glance at the disbolical nomenclature, that he raised the country against the abbot, and Trithemius was nearly a lost man! the abbot, and Trithemius was nearly a lost man! Yet, after all, this evocation of devils has reached us in his 'Mteganographia,' and proves to be only one of this ingenious abbot's polygraphic attempts at secret spriting; for he had flattered himself that he had invented a mode of concealing his thoughts from all the world, while he com-numinated them to a friend. Roger Bacon promised to resise thunder and lightning, and disperse clouds, by dis-solving them into rain. The first magical process has been obtained by Franklin; and the other, of far more use some corner which has been overlooked in the 'Opus ma-jua' of our 'Doctor mirabilis.' Do we laugh at their magical works of art? Are we ourselves such indifferent great works of art r are we outselves such municipal artists? Cornelius Agrippa, before he wrote his 'Vanish of the Arts and Sciences,' intended to reduce into a system and method the secret of communicating with spirits tem and rection the secret of communicating with spirit and demons. On good authority, that of Porphyrius, Peel-lus, Plotinus, Jamblicus—and on better, were it necessary to alloge it—he was well assured that the upper regions of the air swarm with what the Greeks called down just as our lower atmosphere is full of birds, our waters of fish, and our earth of insects. Yet this occult philosopher, who knew perfectly eight languages, and married two wives, with whom he had never exchanged a harvin word in any of them, was every where avoided as having by his side, for his companion, a personage no less than a demon! This was a great black dog whom he suffered to stretch himself out among his magical manuscripts, or lie on his bed, often kissing and patting him, and feeding him on choice morsels. Yet for this would Paulus Jovius and all the world have had him put to the ordeal of fire and fag-The truth was afterwards boldly asserted by Wierus, his learned domestic, who believed that his master's dog was really nothing more than what he appeared! 'I be lieve, says he, that he was a real natural dog; he was indeed black, but of a moderate size, and I have often led him by a string, and called him by the French name Agrippa had given him, Monsieur! and he had a female who was called Mademoiselle! I wonder how authors of

such great character should write so absurdly on his vanishing at his death, nobody knows how? But as it is probable that Monsieur and Mademoiselle must have generated some puppy demons, Wierus ought to have been more circumstantial.

en more circumstant

Albertus Magnus, for thirty years, had never ceased working at a man of brass, and had cast together the qualities of his materials under certain constellations, which threw such a spirit into his man of brase, that it was reported his growth was visible; his feet, legs, thighs, shoulders, neck, and head, expanded, and made the city of Coders, neck, and head, expanded, and made the city of Gologae uneasy at possessing one citizen too mighty for them
all. This man of brass, when he reached his maturity,
was so loquacious, that Albert's master, the great scholasic Thomas Aquinas, one day, tired of his babble, and declaring it was a devil, or devilish, with his staff knocked
the head off; and, what was extraordinary, this brazen
man, like any human being thus effectually silenced, 'word
never spake more.' This incident is equally historical
and authentic; though whether heads of brass can speak,
and even prosphecy, was indeed a subject of profound inand even prophecy, was indeed a subject of profound in-quiry, even at a later period. Naude, who never ques-tioned their vocal powers, and yet was puzzled concern-ing the nature of this new species of animal, has no doubt most judiciously stated the question, whether these speaking brazen heads had a sensitive and reasoning nature, or
whether demons spoke in them? But brass has not the
faculty of providing its own n wrishment, as we see in
plants, and therefore they were not sensitive; and as
for the act of reasoning, these brazen heads presumed to know nothing but the future : with the past and the present they seemed totally unacquainted, so that their mem-ory and their observation were very limited; and as for the future, that is always doubtful and obscure—even to heads of brass! This learned man then infers, that 'These brazen heads could have no reasoning faculties, for nothing alzen heads could have no reasoning faculties, for nothing al-tered their nature; they said what they had to say, which no one could contradict; and having said their say, you might have broken the head for any thing more that you could have got out of it. Had they had any life in them, would they not have moved, as well as spoken? Life itself is but motion, but they had no lungs, no spleen; and, in fact, though they spoke, they had no tongue. Was a dovil in them? I think not. Yet why should men have taken all this trouble to make, not a man, but a trumpet?"

Our profound philosopher was right not to agitate the question whether these brazen heads had over spoken? Why should not a man of brass speak, since a doll can whisper, a statue play chess, and brass ducks have performed the middle manual the middle manual of districtions. formed the whole process of digestion? Another magical invention has been ridiculed with equal reason. A magician was annoyed, as philosophers still are, by passengers in the street; and he, particularly so, by having horses led to drink under his window. He made a magical horse of wood, according to one of the books of Hermes, which wood, according to one of the books of riernes, where perfectly answered its purpose, frightening away the horses, or rather the grooms! the wooden horse, no doubt, gave some palpable kick. The same magical story might have been told of Dr Franklin, who finding that under his window the passengers had discovered a spot which they made too convenient for themselves, he charged it with his newlydiscovered electrical fire. After a few remarkable inci dents had occurred, which at a former period had lodged the great discoverer of electricity in the Inquisition, the modern magician succeeded just as well as the ancient, who had the advantage of conning over the books of Hermes. Instead of ridiculing these works of magic, let us rather become

magicians ourselves! he works of the ancient alchemists have afforded numberless discoveries to modern chamists: nor is even their grand operation despaired of. If they have of late not been so renowned, this has arisen from a want of what Ashmole calls 'apertness;' a qualification early inculcated among these illuminated sages. We find authentic acrable complexions, possessed of nothing but a crucible and a bellows! but they were so unnecessarily mysterious, that whenever such a person was discovered, he was sure in an instant to disappear, and was never afterwards

heard of.

In the 'Liber Patris Sapienties' this selfish cautiousness is all along impressed on the student, for the accomplishment of the great mystery. In the commentary on this precious work of the alchemist Norton who counsels,

Be thou in a place secret, by thyself alone,
That no man see or hear what thou shalt say or done.

Trust not thy friend too much wheresoe'er thou go, For he thou trustest best, sometyme may be thy foe.'

Ashmole observes, that 'Norton gives exceeding good advice to the student in this science where be bids him be secret in the carrying on of his studies and operations, and not to let any one know of his undertakings but his good angel and himself; and such a close and retired breast had Norton's master, who,

' When men disputed of colours of the roo

He would not speak, but kept himself full close !

We regret that by each leaving all his knowledge to ' his good angel and himself,' it has happened that 'the good

angels,' have kept it all to themselves!

It cannot, however, be denied, that if they could not always extract gold out of lead, they sometimes succeeded in washing away the pumples on ladies' faces, notwith-standing that Sir Kenelm Digby poisoned his most beauene of those who would 'have his bread whiter than the finest wheaten.' Van Helmont, who could not succeed in discovering the true elixir of life, however hit on the spirit of hartshorn, which for a good while he considered was the wonderful clicir itself, restoring to life persons who seemed to have lost it. And though this delightful enthusiast could not raise a ghost, yet he thought he had; for he raised something aerial from spa-water, which mistaking for a ghost, he gave it that very name; a name which we still retain in gas, from the German gest, or ghost! Par-acelaus carried the tiny spirits about him in the hilt of his great sword! Having first discovered the qualities of great sword! Having first discovered the qualities of laudanum, this illustrious quack made use of it as an universal remedy; and distributed, in the form of pills, which he carried in the basket-hilt of his sword; the operations he performed were as rapid as they seemed magical. Doubtless we have lost some inconceivable secrets by som unexpected occurrences, which the secret itself, it would seem, ought to have prevented taking preceding life to an philosopher had discovered the art of prolonging life to an indefinite period, it is most provoking to find that he made himself to die at an early age! We have a vory authentic history from Sir Kenelm Digby himself, that when he went in disguise to visit Descartes at his retirement at Egmond, lamonting the brevity of life, which hindered philosophers getting on in their studies, the French philosopher assured him that 'he had considered that matter; to render a man immortal was what he could not promise, but that he was very sure it was possible to lengthen out his life to the period of the patriarchs.' And when his death was announced to the world, the abbé Picot, an ardent disciple, for a long time would not believe it possible; and at length insisted, that if it had occurred, it must have been owing to some mistake of the philosophers.

The late Holcroft, Loutherbourgh, and Cosway, imagined that they should escape the vulgar era of scriptural life by reorganizing their old bones, and moistening their dry marrow; their new principles of vitality were supposed by them to be found in the powers of the mind; this seemed more reasonable, but proved to be as little efficacious as those other philosophers who imagine they have detected the hidden principle of life in the cels frisking in vinegar, and allude to 'the book-binder who creates the book-worm!'

Paracelsus has revealed to us one of the grandest se-crets of nature. When the world began to dispute on the crets of nature. When the word began to dispute on the very existence of the elementary folk, it was then that he boldly offered to give birth to a fairy, and has sent down to posterity the recipe. He describes the impurity which is posterity the recipe. He describes the impurity which is to be transmuted into such purity, the gross elements of a delicate fairy, which, fixed in a phial, placed in fuming dung, will in due time settle into a full-grown fairy, bursting through its vitreous prison—on the vivifying principle by which the ancient Egyptians hatched their eggs in evens. I recollect at Dr Farmer's sale the leaf which preserved this recipe for making a fairy, forcibly folded down by the learned commentator; from which we must infer the credit he gave to the experiment. There was a greatness of mind in Paracelsus, who, having furnished a recipe to make a fairy, had the delicacy to refrain from th. Even Baptista Porta, one of the most enlightened philosophers, does not denv the possibility of engendering creatures, which 'at their full growth shall not exceed the

size of a mouse: but he adds ' they are only pretty little dogs to play with. Were these akin to the faries e. Paraceleus 1

They were well convinced of the existence of such elcmental beings; frequent accidents in mines showed the potency of the metallic spirits; which so tormented the workmen in some of the German mines, by blindness, giddiness, and sudden sickness, that they have been obliged to abandon mines well known to be rich in silver. A metallic spirit at one sweep annihilated twelve miners, who were all found dead together. The fact was unques-

tionable; and the safety-lamp was undiscovered!

Never was a philosophical imagination more beautiful than that exquisite Palingenesis, as it has been termed from the Greek, or a regeneration; or rather, the appe ritions of animals and plants. Schott, Kircher, Gaffarel, Borelli, Digby, and the whole of that admirable school, discovered in the ashes of plants their primitive forms, which were again raised up by the force of heat. Nothing, they say, porishes in nature; all is but a continuation, or a revival. The semina of resurrection are concealed in extinct bodies, as in the blood of man; the ashes of roses will again revive into roses, though smaller and paler than if they had been planted: unsubstantial and unodoriferous, they are not roses which grew on rose-trees, but their delicate apparitions; and, like apparitions, they are seen but for a moment! The process of the Palingenesis, this picture of immortality, is described. These philosophers having burst a flower, by calcination disengaged the salts from its ashes, and deposited them in a glass phial; a chemical mixture acted on it; till in the fermentation they assumed a bluish and spectral hue. This dust, thus excited by heat, shoots upwards into its primitive forms; by sympathy the parts unite, and while each is returning to its destined place, we see distinctly the stalk, the leaves, and the flower, arise: it is the pale spectre of a flower coming slowly forth from its ashes. The heat passes away, the magical scene declines, till the whole matter again precipitates itself into the chaos at the bottom. This vegetable phosnix lies thus concealed in its cold askes, till vegetable phospix lost thus concealed in its cold asbes, it the presence of heat produces this resurrection—in its absence it returns to its death. Thus the dead haturally revive; and a corpse may give out its shadowy reanimation, when not too deeply buried in the earth. Bodies corrupted in their graves have risen, particularly the murdered; for murderers are apt to bury their victims in a slight and hasty manner. Their salts, exhaled in vapour hymness of their formentation have areas therefore. by means of their fermentation, have arranged themselves on the surface of the earth, and formed those phantoms, which at pight have often terrified the passing spectator, as authentic history witnesses. They have opened the graves of the phantom, and discovered the bleeding corpse beneath; hence it is astonishing how many ghosts may be seen at night after a recent hatter the contraction of the phantom of the corps. seen at night after a recent battle, standing over their corpses! On the same principle, my old philosopher Gaffarel conjectures on the raining of frogs; but these frogs, we must conceive, can only be the ghosts of frogs; and Gaffarel himself has modestly opened this fact by a peradventure. A more satisfactory origin of ghosts modern philosophy has not afforded.

And who does not believe in the existence of ghosts? for, as Dr More forcibly says, 'That there should be so universal a fame and fear of that which never was, nor is, nor can be ever in the world, is to me the greatest miracle of all. If there had not been, at some time or other, true miracles, it had not been so easy to impose on the people The alchemist would never go about to sop by false. care metals to pass them off for true gold and silver, unless that such a thing was acknowledged as true gold and silver in the world,

The Pharmaconomia of those times combined more of morals with medicine than our own. They discovered that the agate rendered a man eloquent and even witty; a laurel leaf placed on the centre of the skull, fortified the memory; the brains of fowls, and birds of swift wing, wonderfully helped the imagination. All such specifics have not disappeared, and have greatly reduced the chances of an invalid recovering, that which perhaps be chances of an availa recovering, that when permap-never possessed. Lentils and rape-seed were a certain cure for the small pox, and very obviously, their grains resembling the spots of this disease. They discovered that those who lived on fair plants became fair, those on fruitful ones were never barren; on the principle that Hercules acquired his mighty strength by feeding on the

marrow of lions. But their talismans, provided they were genuine, seem to have been wonderfully operative; and had we the same confidence, and melted down the guneas we give physicians, engraving on them talismanic figures, I would answer for the good effects of the experiment. Naudé, indeed, has utterly ridiculed the occult virtues of talismans, in his defence of Virgil, accused of being a magician: the poet, it seems, cast into a well a talisman of a horse-leoch, graven on a plate of gold, to drive away the great number of horse-leoches which infested Naples. Naudé positively denies that talismans ever possessed any such occult virtues: Graffarel regrets that so judicious a man as Naudé should have gone this length, giving the lie to so many authentic authors; and Naudé's paradox is indeed, as strange as his denial; he suspects the thing is not true because it is so generally told! 'It leads one to suspect,' says he, 'as animals are said to have been driven away from so many places by these talismans, whether they were ever driven from any one place.' Gaffarel, suppressing by his good temper his indignant, whether they were ever driven from any one place.' Gaffarel, suppressing by his good temper his indignant, we might not, by the same reason, doubt whether he fought any one with them.' The reader must be aware that the strength of the argument ties entirely with the firm believer in talismans. Gaffarel, indeed, who passed his days in collecting 'Curiorités inouie,' is a most authente historian of unparalleled events, even in his own times! Such as that heavy rain in Poitou, which showered down 'petites bestioles,' little creatures like bishops with their natires, and monks with their capuchins over their heads; it is true, afterwards they all turned into butterflies!

it is true, afterwards they all turned into butterflies!

The museums, the cabinets, and the inventions of our and the inventions of our early virtuous were the baby-house of philosophers. Baptista Porta, Bishop Wilkins, and old Ashmole, were they now living, had been enrolled among the quiet members of 'The Society of Arts,' instead of flying in the air, collecting 'A strained the physics and the strained the physics. wing of the phonix, as tradition goes; or catching the dis-jointed syllables of an old doting astrologer. But these early dilettanti had not derived the same pleasure from the they received from what Cornelius Agrippa, in a fit of useful inventions of the aforesaid 'Society of Arts,' spicen, calls 'things vain and superfluous, invented to no other end but for pomp and idle pleasure.' Baptista Porta was more skilful in the mysteries of art and nature than any man in his day. Having founded the Academia degli Oriosi, he held an inferior association in his own house, called di Secreti, where none was admitted but those elect who had communicated some secret; for, in the early period of modern art and science, the slightest novelty became a secret, not to be confided to the uninitiated. Porta was unquestionably a fine genius, as his works still show; but it was his misfortune that he attributed his own penetrating sagacity to his skill in the art of divination. He con-sidered himself a prognosticator; and, what was more un-fortunate, some eminent persons really thought he was. Predictions and secrets are harmless, provided they are not believed; but his Holiness finding Porta's were, warnand believed; but his richness intend protes were, wrete ed him that magical sciences were great hisderances to the study of the Bible, and paid him the compliment to forbid his prophesying, Porta's genius was now limited, to astonish, and sometimes to terrify, the more ingenious part of I Secreti. On entering his cabinet, some phantom of an attendant was sure to be hovering in the air, moving as he who entered moved; or he observed in some mirror that his face was twisted on the wrong side of his shoulders, and did not quite think that all was right when he clapped his hand on it; or passing through a darkened apartment a magical landscape burst on him, with human beings in motion, the boughs of trees bending, and the very clouds passing over the sun; or sometimes banquets, battles, and hunting-parties, were in the same apartment. 'All these spectacles my friends have witnessed! exclaims the self-delighted Baptista Porta. When his friends drank wine out of the same cup which he had used they were mortified with wonder; for he drank wine, and they only water! or on a summer's day, when all complained of the sirocco, be would freeze his guests with cold air in the room; or on a sudden, let off a flying dragon to sail along with a on a success, set on a nying oragon to said along with a cracker in its tail, and a cat tied on its back; shrill was the sound, and awful was the concussion; so that it required strong nerves, in an age of apparitions and devils, to meet this great philosopher when in his best humour. Alber-

tus Magnus entertained the Karl of Holland, as that earl passed through Cologne, in a severe winter, with a warm summer scene, luxuriant in fruits and flowers. The fact is related by Trithemius—and this magical scene connected with his vocal head, and his books de Secretia Malierum, and De Mirabilibus, confirmed the accusations they raised against the great Albert, for being a magician. His apologist, Theophilus Raynaud, is driven so hard to defend Albertus, that he at once asserts, the winter changed to summer, and the speaking head, to be two infamous flams! He will not believe these authenticated facts, although he credits a miracle which proves the sancity of Albertus,—after three centuries, the body of Albert the great remained as swort as ever!

'Whether such enchauntments,' as old Mandeville cautiously observeth, two centuries preceding the days of Porta, were 'by craft or by nygromancye, I wot nere.' But that they were not unknown to Chaucer, appears in his 'Frankelein's Tale,' where, minutely describing them, he communicates the same pleasure he must himself have received from the ocular illusions of 'the Tregetoure,' or 'Jogelour.' Chaucer ascribes the miracle to a 'naturall magique;' in which, however, it was as unsettled, whether the 'Prince of Darkness' was a party concerned.

For I am siker that there be sciences
By which men maken divers apparences
Swiche as thise subtil tregetoures play.
For oft at festes have I wel herd say
That tregetoures, within an halle large,
Have made come in a water and a barge,
And in the halle rowen up and down.
Sometime hath semed come a grim leoun,
And sometime fources spring as in a mede,
Sometime a vine and grapes white and rede;
Sometime a castel al of lime and ston,
And whan hem liketh voideth it anon:
Thus semeth it to every mannes sight.

Bishop Wilkins's museum was visited by Evelyn, who describes the sort of curiosities which occupied and amused the children of science. 'Here, too, there was a hollow statue, which gave a voice, and uttered words by a long concealed pipe that went to its mouth, whilst one speaks through it at a good distance:' a circumstance, which, perhaps, they were not then aware revealed the whole mystery of the ancient oracles, which they attributed to demons, rather than to tubes, pulleys, and wheels. 'The learned Charles Patin, in his scientific travels, records, among other valuable productions of art, a cherry-stone, on which were engraven about a dozen and a half of portraits! Even the greatest of human geniuses, Leonardo da Vinci, to attract the royal patronage, created a lion which ran before the French monarch, dropping from the list from its shagey breast. And another philosopher who had a spinnet which played and stopped at command, might have made a revolution in the arts and sciences, had the half-stified child that was concealed in it not been forced, unluckily, to crawl into day-light, and thus it was proved that a philosopher might be an impostor!

The arts, as well as the sciences, at the first institution of the Royal Society, were of the most amusing class. The fanious Sir Samuel Moreland had turned his house into an enchanted palace. Every thing was full of devices, which showed art and mechanism in perfection: his coach carried a travelling kitchen; for it had a fire-place and grate, with which he could make a soup, broil cullets, and roast an egg; and he dressed his meat by clock-work. Another of these virtuosi, who is described as 'a gentleman of superior order, and whose house was a kaick-knackatory,' valued himself on his multifarious inventions, but most in 'sowing salads in the morning, to be cut for dinner.' The house of Winstanley, who afterwards raised the first Eddystone light-house, must have been the wonder of the age. If you kicked aside an old slipper, purposely lying in your way, up started a ghost before you; or if you sat down in a certain chair, a couple of gigantic arms would immediately clasp you in. There was an arbour in the garden, by the side of a canal; you had scarcely seated yourself, when you were sent out affect to the middle of the canal—from whence you could not escape till this man of art and science wound you up to the arbour. What was passing at the 'Royal Society' was also occurring at the 'Academie des Sciences' at Paris. A great and gouty member of that philosophical body, on the departure of a stranger, would point to his legs, te

Digitized by Google

show the impossibility of conducting him to the door; yet the astonished visiter never failed finding the virtuoso waiting for him on the outside, to make his final bow! While the visiter was going down stairs, this inventive genius was descending with great velocity in a machine from the window: so that he proved, that if a man of science cannot force nature to walk down stairs, he may drive her out at the window!

If they travelled at home, they set off to note down predigies. Dr Plott, in a magnificent project of journey-ing through England, for the advantage of 'Learning and Trade,' and the discovery of 'Antiquities and other Curi-esities,' for which he solicited the royal aid which Leland enjoyed, among other notable designs, discriminates a class thus: 'Next I shall inquire of animals; and first of

strange people."—Strange accidents that attend corpora-tions of families, as that the deans of Rochester ever since the foundation by turns have died deans and bishops; the bird with a white breast that haunts the family of Oxenham near Exeter just before the death of any of that family; the bodies of trees that are seen to swim in a pool near Brereton in Cheshire, a certain warning to the heir of that honourable family to prepare for the next world.'
And such remarkables as 'Number of children, such as
the Lady Temple, who before she died saw seven hundred descended from her.' This fellow of the Royal Society, who lived nearly to 1700, was requested to give an edition of Pliny: we have lost the benefit of a most copious commentary! Bishop Hall went to 'the Spa.' wood about that place was haunted not only by 'freebooters, but by wolves and witches; although these last are oftimes but one.' They were called losps garous: and the Greeks, it seems, knew them by the name of lower-Sporror, men wolves; witches that have put on the shapes of those cruel beauts. 'We saw a boy there, whose half-face was devoured by one of them near the village; yet so, as that the eare was rather cut than bitten off.' Rumour had spread that the boy had had half his face devoured; when it was examined, it turned out that his ear had only been scratched! However, there can be no doubt of the existence of witch wolves; for Hall saw at Limburgh one of those miscreants executed, who confessed on the wheel to have dovoured two and forty children in that form.' They would probably have found it difficult to have summoned the mothers who had lost the children. observe our philosopher's reasoning: 'It would aske a large volume to scan his problem of tyoanthropy.' He had laboriously collected all the evidence, and had added

had laboriously collected an the evalence, and had added his arguments: the result offers a curious instance of acute reasoning on a wrong principle.

Men of science and art then, passed their days in a bustle of the marvellous. I will furnish a specimen of philosophical correspondence in a letter to old John Au-brey. The writer betrays the versatility of his curiosity by very opposite discoveries. 'My hands are so full of work that I have no time to transcribe for Dr Henry More an account of the Barnstable apparition-Lord Keeper North would take it kindly from you—give a sight of this letter from Barnstable, to Dr Whitchcot.' He had lately neard of a Scotchman who had been carried by fairies into France; but the purpose of his present letter is to communicate other sort of apparitions than the ghost of Communicate outer sor to apparatus than the ghost or Barnstable. He had gune to Glastenbury, 'to pick up a few berries from the holy thorn which flowered every Christmas day.' The original thorn had been cut down by a military saint in the civil wars; but the trade of the place was not damaged, for they had contrived not to have a single holy thorn, but several, 'by grafting and inoculation.' He promises to send these 'berries;' but requests Aubrey to inform 'that person of quality who had rather have a bush, that it was impossible to get one for him. I am told,' he adds, ' that there is a person about Glaston-

\* Hall's postulate is that God's work could not admit of any aubstantial change, which is above the reach of all infornal powers; but 'Herein the diveil plays the double sophister; the sorcerer with sorcerers. Hee both deludes the which's conceit and the beholder's eyes.' In a word, Hall believes, in what he cannot understand! Yet Hall will not believe one of what he cannot understand! Yet Hall will not believe one of the Catholic miracles of 'the Virgin of Louvain,' though Lipsius had written a book to commemorate 'the goddees,' Rall sarcastically calls her; Hall was told, with great indignation, in the shop of the bookseller of Lipsius, that when James the First had just looked over this work, he flung it down, vociferating, 'Damnation to him that made it and to him that believes it!'

bury who hath a nursery of them, which he sells for a crown a piece, but they are supposed not to be of a right kind.

right kind."

The main object of this letter is the writer's 'suspicion of gold in this country;' for which he offers three reasons. Tacitus says there was gold in England, and that Agrippa came to a spot where he had a prospect of Ireland—from which place he writes; secondly, that 'an honest man' had in this spot found stones from which he had extracted good gold, and that he himself 'had seen in the broken stones a clear appearance of gold," and thirdly, there is a story which goes by tradition in that part of the coun-try, that in the hill alluded to there was a door into a hole, that when any wanted money, they used to go and knock there, that a woman used to appear, and give to such as came. At a time one by greediness or otherwise gave her offence, she flung to the door, and delivered this old saying, still remembered in the country :

# "When all THE DAWS be gone and dead, Then . . . Hill shall shine gold red.

My fancy is, that this relates to an ancient family of this name, of which there is now but one man left, and he not likely to have any issue.' These are his three reasons; and some mines have perhaps been opened with no better ones! But let us not imagine that this great naturalist was credulous; for he tells Aubrey that 'be thought it was but a monkish tale, forged in the abbey, so famous in former time; but as I have learned not to despise our force fathers, I question whether this may not refer to some rich mine in the hill, formerly in use and now lost. I shall shortly request you to discourse with my lord about it, to have advice, &c. In the mean time it will be best to keep all private for his majesty's service, his lordship's, and perhaps some private person's benefit.' But he has also positive haps some private person's senent. Jour ne mas are possitive evidence: 'A mason not long ago coming to the renter of the abbey for a freestone, and sawing it, out came divers pieces of gold of \$2\$ flor value a piece, of ancient ceims. The stone belonged to some chimney-work; the gold was hidden in it, perhaps, when the Dissolution was near. This last incident of finding coins in a chimney-piece, which he had accounted for very ranonally, serves only to confirm his desam that they were coined out of the gold. confirm his dream that they were coined out of the gold of the mine in the hill; and he becomes more urgent for 'a private search into these mines, which I have, I think, a way to.' In the postscript be adds an account of a well, which by washing wrought a cure on a person deep in the

which by wasning wrongnt a cure on a person neep in the king's evil. 'I hope you don't forget your promise to communicate whatever thing you have, relating to your Idea.'

This promised Idea of Aubrey may be found in his MSS' under the title of 'The Idea of Universal Education.'

However whimsical, one would like to see it. Aubrey's life might furnish a volume of these Philosophical dreams; he was a person who from his incessant bustle and insatiable curiosity, was called 'The Carrier of Conceptions of the Royal Society.' Many pleasant nights were 'privately' enjoyed by Aubrey and his correspondent about the 'Mine in the Hill;' Ashmole's manuscripts at Oxford, contain a collection of many secrets of the Resierucians; one of the completest inventions is 'a Recipe how to walk invisible.' Such were the fancies which rocked the childinvisible. ren of science in their cradles! and so feeble were the steps of our curious infancy! But I start in my dreams! dreading the reader may also have fallen asleep!

'Measure is most excellent,' says one of the oracles 'to which also we being in like manner persuaded, O most friendly and pious Asclepiades, here finish'—the dreams at the dawn of philosophy!

# ON PUCK THE COMMENTATOR.

Literary forgeries recently have been frequently indulged in, and it is urged that they are of an innocent nature; but impostures more easily practised than detected leave their mischief behind, to take effect at a distant period; and as I shall show, may entrap even the judicious! It may re-cuire no high exercise of easily to deserve a grave 800 quire no high exertion of genius, to draw up a grave account of an ancient play-wright whose name has never reached us, or to give an extract from a volume inaccessible to our inquiries; and as dulness is no proof of spuriousness, forgeries, in time, mix with authentic documents.

We have ourselves witnessed versions of Spanish and Portuguese poets, which are passed on their unsuspicious readers without difficulty, but in which no parts of the pretended originals can be traced; and to the present home,

whatever antiquaries may affirm, the poems of Chatterton and Ossian are veiled in mystery!

If we possessed the secret history of the literary life of George Steevens, it would display an unparalleled series of arch deception, and malicious ingenuity. He has been happily characterized by Mr Gifford, as 'the Puck of Commentators'? Steevens is a creature so spotted c'er with literary forgeries and adulterations, that any rem rekable one about the time he flourished may be attributed to him. They were the habits of a depraved mind, and there was a darkness in his character many shades desper than belonged to Pack; even in the playfulness of his invention, there was usually a turn of personal malignity, and the real object was not so much to raise a laugh, as to 'gria horribly a ghastly smile,' on the individual. It is more than rumoured, that he carried his ingenious malignity into the privacies of domestic life; and it is to be regretted, that Mr Nichols, who might have furnished much secret history of this extraordinary literary forgerer, bas, form delicers mutified his collective vices.

more than rumoured, that he carried his ingenious malig-nity into the privacies of domestic life; and it is to be re-gretted, that Mr Nichols, who might have furnished much secret history of this extraordinary literary forgerer, has, from delicacy, mutilated his collective vigour. George Steevens usually commenced his operations be spening some pretended discovery in the evening papers, which were then of a more literary cast; the St James's Chronicle, the General Evening Post, or the Whitehall, were they not dead in body and in spirit, would now bear witness to his successful efforts. The late Mr Boswell told mae, that Steevens frequently wrote notes on Shakspeare. me, that Steevens frequently wrote notes on Shakspeare, purposely to mislead or entrap Malone, and obtain for himself an easy triumph in the next edition! Steevens loved to assist the credulous in getting up for them some strange new thing, dancing them about with a Will o' the wisp—now alarming them by a shriek of langhter; and now like a grinning Pigwiggin sinking them chin-deep into a quagmire! Once he presented them with a fictitious portrait of Shakspeare, and when the brotherhood were ufficiently divided in their opinions, he pounced upon them with a demonstration, that every portrait of Shak-speare partook of the same doubtful authority! Steevens usually assumed the nom de guerre of Collins, a pseudocommentator, and sometimes of Amner, who was discovered to be an obscure puritanic minister who never read text or notes of a play-wright, whenever he explored into 'a thousand notable secrets' with which he has polluted the pages of Snakspeare! The marvellous narrative of the upas-tree of Java, which Darwin adopted in his plan of 'enlisting imagination under the banner of science, ptan of "enusting imagination under the banner of science," appears to have been another forgery which amused our "Puck." It was first given in the London Magazine, as an extract from a Dutch traveller, but the extract was never discovered in the original author, and 'the effluvia of this noxious tree, which through a district of twelve or fourteen miles had killed all vegetation, and had spread the skeletons of men and animals, affording a scene of melancholy beyond what poets have described, or painters de-lineated, is perfectly chimerical. A splendid film-flam! When Dr Berkenhout was busied in writing, without much knowledge or skill, a history of our English authors, Steevens allowed the good man to insert a choice letter by George Peele, giving an account of 'a merry meeting at the Globe,' wherein Shakspeare and Ben Jonson and the Globe, Ned Alleyne are admirably made to perform their respective parts. As the nature of the 'Biographia Literaria' required authorities, Steevens ingeniously added, 'Whence I copied this letter I do not recollect.' However he well knew it came from 'the Theatrical Mirror,' where he had first deposited the precious original, to which he had unguardedly ventured to affix the date of 1600; unluckily, Peele was discovered to have died two years before he wrote his own letter! The date is advoitly dropped in Rarkenbeart! Steamans the date of the steamans the date of the steamans the date of the steamans the ste Berkenhout! Steevens did not wish to refer to his original, which I have often seen quoted as authority. One of these numerous forgeries of our Puck, appears in an article in Isaac Reed's catalogue, art. 8708. 'The Boke of the Soldan, conteyninge strange matters touchynge his lyfe and deathe, and the ways of his course, in two partes, 12mo,' with this marginal note by Reed. 'The foregoing was written by George Steevens, Esq, from whom I re-ceived it. It was composed merely to impose on "a literary friend," and had its effect; for he was so far deceived as to its authenticity that he gave implicit credit to it, and put down the person's name in whose possessio the original books were supposed to be.'

One of the sort of iventions which I attribute to Stee-

embellish the poetical life of Milton; and unquestionably must have sadly perplexed his last matter-of-fact editor, who is not a man to comprehend a flim-flam !-- for he has sanctioned the whole fiction, by preserving it in his biographical narrative! The first impulse of Milton to travel in Italy is assembled to the n Italy is ascribed to the circumstance of his having been found asleep at the foot of a tree in the vicinity of Cambridge, when two foreign ladies, attracted by the loveliness of the youthful poet, alighted from their carriage, and having admired him for some time as they imagined unperceived, the youngest, who was very beautiful, drew a pencil from her pocket, and having written some lines, put the paper with her trembling hand into his own! But it seems, for something was to account how the sleeping youth could have been aware of these minute particulars, unless he had been dreaming them,—that the ladies had been observed at a distance by some friends of Milton, and they explained to him the whole silent adventure. Milton, on opening the paper, read four verses from Gua-rini, addressed to chose 'human stars' his own eyes! On this romantic adventure, Milton set off for Italy, to discover the fair 'incognita,' to which undiscovered lady we are told we stand indebted for the most impassioned touches in the Paradise Lost! We know how Milton passed his time in Italy, with Dati, and Gaddi, and Frescobaldi, and other literary friends, amidst its academies, and often busied in book-collecting. Had Milton's tour in Italy been an adventure of knight-errantry, to discover a lady whom he had never seen, at least he had not the merit of going out of the direct road to Florence and Rome, nor of having once alluded to this Dame de see penades, in his letters or inquiries among his friends, who would have thought themselves fortunate to have introduced so poetical an adventure in the numerous conzoni they wered on our youthful poet.

showered on our youthful poet.

This historiete, scarcely fitted for a novel, first appeared where generally Steeven's literary amusements were carried on, in the General Evening Poet, or the St James's Chronicle: and Mr Todd, in the improved edition of Milton's Life, obtained this spurious original, where the reader may find it; but the more curious part of the story remains to be told. Mr Todd proceeds, 'The preceding highly-coloured relation, however, is not singular; my friend, Mr Walker, points out to me a counter-part in the extract from the preface to Poesse de Marguerite-Piennore Clotilde, depuis Madame de Surville, Poete Francois du XV Siécle. Paris, 1903.'

And true enough we find among 'the family traditions' of this same Clotilde, that Justine de Levis, great-grand-suches of this temporar parises of the Story of the contract of the Counter of the Story of the contract of the Counter of the Story of the contract of the Counter of the Story of the contract of the Counter of the Counter

of this same Country, that rushing to help in the fifteenth century, walking in a forest, witnessed the same beautiful epectacle which the Italian Unknown had at Cambridge; never was such an impression to be effaced, and she could not avoid leaving her tablets by the side of the beautiful sleeper, declaring her passion in her tablets to four Italian very The very number our Milton had melted to him! Oh! these four verses! they are as fatal in their number as the date of Peel's letter proved in George Steevens! Something still escapes in the most ingenious fabrication which serves to decompose the materials. It is well our veracious historian dropped all mention of Guarini-else that would have given that coup de grace—a fatal anachronism! However his invention supplied him with more originality than the adoption of this story and the four verses would lead us to infer. He tells us how Petrarch was jealous of the genius of his Clotilde's grandmother, and has even pointed out a sonnet which, among the traditions of the family, was addressed to her! He narrates, that the gen-tleman, when he fairly awoke, and had read the 'four verses,' set off for Italy, which he run over till he found Justine, and Justine found him at a tournament at Modena! This parallel adventure disconcerted our two grave English critics-they find a tale which they wisely judge improbable, and because they discover the tale copied, they conclude that 'it is not singular!' This knot of perplexity is, however, easily cut through, if we substitute, which we are fully justified in, for 'Poete du XV Siecle'—'du XIX The 'Poesies' of Clotilde are as genuine a fabrication as Chatterton's; subject to the same objections, having many ideas and expressions which were unknown in the language at the time they are pretended to have been composed, and exhibiting many imitations of Voltaire and other poets. The present story of the four Italian verses, and the beautiful Sleeper, would be quite sufficient

Hightized by Google

evidence of the authenticity of 'the family traditions' of Clotilde, depuis Madame de Surville, and also Monsieur De Surville himself; a pretended editor, who is said to have found by mere accident the precious manuscript, and while he was copying for the press, in 1793, these pretty poems, for such they are, of his grande tante, was shot in the reign of terror, and so completely expired, that no one could ever trace his existence! The real editor, who we

could ever trace his existence: I he real cultor, who we must presume to be the poet, published them in 1803.

Such then, is the history of a literary forgery! A Puck composes a short romantic adventure, which is quietly thrown out to the world in a newspaper or a magazine; some collector, such as the late Mr Bindley, who procured for Mr Todd his original, as idle, at least, as he is curious, houses the forlorn fiction—and it enters into literary history! A French Chatterton picks up the obscure tale, and behold, astonishes the literary inquirers of the very and benote, assumances the interary inquirers of the very country whence the imposture sprung! But the four Italian verses, and the Sleeping Youth! Oh! Monsiour Vanderbourg! for that gentleman is the estensible editor of Cloudde's poesies of the fifteenth century, some inge-nious persons are unlucky in this world! Perhaps one day we may yet discover that this 'romantic adventure' of Milton and Justine de Levis is not so original as it seems—it may lie hid in the Astrée of D'Urfé, or some of the long romances of the Scuderies, whence the English and the French Chattertons may have drawn it. To such literary inventors we say with Swift :

But since you hatch, pray your own chicks!

Will it be credited that for the enjoyment of a temporary piece of malice, Steevens would even risk his own re-putation as a poetical critic? Yet this he ventured, by throwing out of his edition the poems of Shakspeare, with a remarkable hyper-criticism, that 'the strongest act of parliament that could be framed would fail to compel readers into their service.' Not only he denounced the sonnets of Shakspeare, but the sonnet itself, with an ab-surd question, 'What has truth or nature to do with Son-nets?' The secret history of this unwarrantable mutilation of a great author by his editor was, as I was informed by the late Mr Boswell, merely dono to spite his rival com mentator Malone, who had taken extraordinary pains in their elucidation. Steevens himself had formerly reprinted them, but when Malone from these sonnets claimed for himself one ivy leaf of a commentator's pride, behold, Steevens in a rage would annihilate even Shakapears himself, that he might gain a triumph over Malone! In the same spirit, but with more caustic pleasantry, he opened a controversy with Malone respecting Shakspeare's wife! It seems that the poet had forgotten to mention his wife in his copious will; and his recollection of Mrs Shakspeare seems to mark the slightness of his regard, for he only introduced by an interlineation, a legacy to her of his 'second best bed with the furniture'—and nothing more! Malone naturally inferred that 'the poet had forgot her, and so recollected her as more strongly to mark how little he esteemed her. He had already, as it is vulgarly expressed, cut her off, not indeed with a shilling, but with an old bod!" All this seems judicious, till Steevens asserts the conjugal affection of the hard, tells us, that the poet having, when in health, provided for her by settlement, or knowing that had already done so (circumstances entirely conjectural,) he bequeathed to her at his death, not merely an old piece of furniture, but, perhaps, as a mark of peculiar tenderness,

'The very bed that on his bridal night Received him to the arms of Belvidera!'

Steevens's severity of satire marked the deep malevolence of his heart; and Murphy has strongly portrayed him in his address to the Malevoli.

Such another Puck was Horace Walpole! The King of Prussia's 'Letter' to Rousseau, and 'The Memorial' pretended to have been signed by noblemen and gentle-men, were fabrications, as he confesses, only to make mis-chief. It well became him, whose happier invention, the Castle of Otrauto, was brought forward in the guise of forgery, so unfeelingly to have reprobated the innocent inventions of, a Chatterton.

wentions of a Chatterion.

We have Pucks busied among our contemporaries:
whoever shall discover their history will find it copious
though intricate; the malignity at least will exceed, ten-

fold, the merriment.

#### LITERARY FORGERIES.

The preceding article has reminded me of a subject by no means incurious to the lovers of literature. A large volume might be composed an literary impostors; the modes of deception, however, were frequently repetitions, particularly those at the restoration of letters, when there prevailed a manis for burying spurious antiquities, that they might afterwards be brought to light to confound their contemporaries. They even perplex us at the present day. More sinister forgeries have been performed by Scotchmen, of whom Archibauld Bower, Lauder, and Macpherson, are well known.

Even harmless impostures by some unexpected accident have driven an unwary inquirer out of the course. George Steevens must again make his appearance for a memorable trick played on the antiquary Gough. This was the famous tombetone on which was engraved the drinking-horn of Hardyknute to indicate his last fatal carouse; for this royal Dane died drunk! To prevent any doubt, the name, in Saxon characters, was sufficiently le gible. Steeped in pickle to hasten a precocious antiquity. it was then consigned to the corner of a broker's shop, where the antiquarian eye of Gough often pored on the venerable odds and ends; it perfectly succeeded on the 'Director of the Antiquarian Society.' He purchased the relic for a trifle, and dissertations of a due size were the relic for a trifle, and dissertations of a due size were preparing for the Archælogia!\* Gough never forgave himself nor Steevens, for this flagrant act of ineptitude. On every occasion in the Gentleman's Magazine when compelled to notice this illustrious imposition, he always struck out his own name, and muffled himself up under his titular office of 'The Director!" Gough never knew that this 'modern antique' was only a piece of retaliation. In reviewing Masters's Life of Baker he found two heads, one scratched down from painted glass by George Steevens who would have passed it off for a portrait of one of our who would have passed to have a fling at George Stee-vens, attacked his graphic performance, and reprobated a portrait which had nothing human in it! Steevens vowed, that wretched as Gough deemed his pencil to be, it should make! The Director' ashamed of his own eyes, and be fairly taken in by something scratched much worse. Such was the origin of his adoption of this fragment of a chimney-slab, which I have seen, and with a better judge won-dered at the injudicious antiquary, who could have been duped by the slight and ill-formed scratches, and even with a false spelling of the name, which however succeeded in being passed off as a genuine Saxos inscription: but he had counted on his man!? The trick is not so original as it seems. One De Grassis had engraved on marble the epitaph of a mule, which he buried in his vineyard: sometime after, having ordered a new plantation on the spot, the diggers could not fail of disinterring what lay ready for them. The inscription imported that one Publius Grassus had raised this monument to his mule! De Grassis gave it out as an odd coincidence of names, and Creases gave it out as an oou concinence or manner, are a prophecy about his own mule! It was a simple joke! The marble was thrown by, and no more thought of. Soveral years after it rose into celebrity, for with the erudite it then passed for an ancient inscription, and the antiquary. Porcacchi inserted the epitaph in his work on Burials. Thus De Grassis and his mule, equally respectable, would have come down to posterity, had not the story by some means got wind! An incident of this nature is recorded in Portuguese history, contrived with the intention to keep

\* I have since been informed that this famous invention was originally a fiim-fiam of a Mr Thomas White, a noted collector and dealer in antiquides. But it was Stevens, who placed in the broker's shop, where he was certain of catching the antiquary. When the late Mr Pegge, a profound brother, was preparing to write a dissertation on it, the first inventor the fiam stepped forward to save any further tragical termination; the wicked wit had already succeeded too well!

†The stone may be found in the British Museum, HAR-DENVT is the reading on the Harthacout stone; but the true

orthography of the name is HARDAENVT.
Sylvanus Urban, my excellent and old friend, see Bytranus Urban, my excellent and old friend, seems a trifle uncourteous on this grave occasion—He tells us, however, that 'The history of this wanton trick, with a fac-simile of Schnebbelle's drawing may be seen in his volume LX, p. 217. He says that this wicked contrivance of George Steevens was to entrap this famous draitman! Does Sylvanus then desy that 'the Director' was not also 'entrapped?' And that he always struck out his own name in the proof-sheets of the Magazine substituting his official designation, by which the whole society itself seemed to ecreen 'the Director'.

up the national spirit, and diffuse hopes of the new enterise of Vasco de Gama, who had just sailed on a voyage of discovery to the Indies. Three stones were discovered near Cintra, bearing in ancient characters, a Latin inscription; a sibylline oracle addressed prophetically 'To the inhabitants of the West!' stating that when these three stones shall be found, the Ganges, the Indus, and the Tagus should exchange their commodities! pious fraud of a Portuguese poet, sanctioned by the ap-probation of the king. When the stones had lain a suffi-cient time in the damp earth, so as to become apparently antique, our poet invited a numerons party to dinner at his antique, our poet invited a numerons party to dinner at his country-house; in the midst of the entertainment a peasant rushed in, announcing the sudden discovery of this treasure! The inscription was placed among the royal collections as a sacred curiosity! The prophecy was accomplished, and the oracle was long considered genuine!

In such cases no mischief resulted; the annals of man-

kind were not confused by spurious-dynasties and fabulous chronologies; but when literary forgeries are published by those whose character hardly admits of a suspicion that they are themselves the impostors, the difficulty of assign-ing a motive only increases that of forming a decision; to adopt or to reject them may be equally dangerous.

In this class we must place Annius of Viterbo, who pubished a pretended collection of historians of the remotest antiquity, some of whose names had descended to us in the works of ancient writers, while their works themselves had been lost. Afterwards he subjoined commentaries to confirm their authority, by passages from unknown authors. These at first were eagerly accepted by the learned; the blunders of the presumed editor, one of which was his mistaking the right name of the historian he forged, were gradually detected till at length the imposture was ap-parent! The pretended originals were more remarkable for their number than their volume; for the whole collection does not exceed 171 pages, which lessened the diffi-culty of the forgery; while the commentaries, which were afterwards published, must have been manufactured at the same time as the text. In favour of Annius, the high rank he occupied at the Roman court, his irreproachable con-duct, and his declaration that he had recovered some of these fragments at Mantua, and that others had come from Armenia, induced many to credit these pseudo-his-torians. A literary war soon kindled; Niceron has discriminated between four parties engaged in this conflict. One party decried the whole of the collection as gross forgeries; another obstinately supported their authenticity; a third decided that they were forgeries before Annius possessed them, who was only credulous; while a fourth party considered them as partly authentic, and described their blunders to the interpolations of the editor, to increase their importance. Such as they were, they scattered con-fusion over the whole face of history. The false Berosius opens his history before the deluge, when, according to him, the Chaldeans through preceding ages had faithfully preserved their historical evidences! Annius hints, in his commentary, at the archives and public libraries of the Babylonians: the days of Noah comparatively seemed modern history with this dreaming editor. Some of the fancircul writers of Italy were duped: Sansovino, to delight the Florentine nobility, accommodated them with a new title of antiquity in their ancestor Noah, Imperators e monarcha della genti, visse emori in quelle parti. The Spaniards complained that in forging these fabulous origins of different nations, a new series of kings from the ark of Noah had been introduced by some of their rhodomontade historians to pollute the sources of their history, Bodin's otherwise valuable works are considerably injured by Annius's supposititious discoveries. One historian died of grief, for having raised his elaborate speculations on these fabulous originals; and their credit was at length so much reduced, that Pignoria and Maffei both announced to their readers that they had not referred in their works to the pretended writers of Annius! Yet, to the present hour, these presumed forgeries are not always given up. The problem remains unsolved-and the silence of the respectable Annius, in regard to the forgery, as well as what he affirmed when alive, leave us in doubt whether he really intended to laugh at the world by these fairy tales of reasty intended to largin at the worth by times also talled the giants of antiquity. Sanchoniathon, as preserved by Eusebius, may be classed among these ancient writings, or forgeries, and has been equally rejected and defended. Another literary forgery supposed to have been grafted on those of Annius, involved the Inghirami family. It was

by digging in their grounds that they discovered a number of Etruscan antiquities, consisting of inscriptions, and also fragments of a chronicle, pretended to have been composed sixty years before the vulgar era. The characters on the marbles were the ancient Etruscan, and the historical work tended to confirm the pretended discoveries of Annius.

They were collected and enshrined in a magnificent folio by Curtius Inghirami, who, a few years after, published a quarto volume exceeding one thousand pages to support their authenticity. Notwithstanding the erudition of the forger, these measuments of antiquity betrayed their modern condiment. There were uncial letters which no one knew; but these were said to be undiscovered ancient Etruscan characters; it was more difficult to defend the small italic letters, for they were not used in the age assigned to them; besides that there were dots on the letter i, a custom not practised till the eleventh century. The style was copied from the Latin of the Psalms and the Breviary; but Inghirami discovered that there had been an intercourse between the Etruscane and the Hebrews, and that David had imitated the writings of Noah and his descendants!

Of Noah the chronicle details speeches and anecdotes!

The Romans, who have preserved so much of the Etruscans, had not, however, noticed a single fact recorded in these Etruscan antiquities. Inghirami replied, that the manuscript was the work of the secretary of the college of the Etrurian augurs, who alone was premitted to draw his materials from the archives, and who, it would seem, was the only scribe who has favoured posterity with so much secret history. It was urged in favour of the authenticity of these Etruscan monuments, that Inghirami was so young an antiquary at the time of the discovery, that he could not even explain them; and that when fresh researches were made on the spot, other similar monuments were also disinterred, where evidently they had long lain; the whole affair, however contrived, was confined to the Inghirami family. One of them, half a century before, had been the librarian of the Vatican, and to him is ascribed the honour of the forgeries which he buried where he was sure they would be found. This, however, is a mere conjecture! Inghirami, who published and defended their authenticity, was not concerned in their fabrication; the design was probably merely to raise the antiquity of Vola-terra, the family estate of the Inghirami; and for this purpose one of its learned branches had bequeathed his posterity a collection of spurious historical monuments, which tended to overturn all received ideas on the first ages of

It was probably such impostures, and those of the false decretals of Isidore, which were forged for the maintenance of the papal supremacy, and for eight hundred years formed the fundamental basis, of the canon law, the discipline of the church, and even the faith of Christianity, which led to the monstrous pyrrhonism of father Hardouin, who, with immense erudition, had persuaded himself, that, excapting the Bible and Homer, Herodotus, Plautus, Pliny the elder, with fragments of Cicero, Virgil, and Horace, all with remains of classical literature were forgeries of the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries! In two dissertations he imagined that he had proved that the Æneid was not written by Virgil, nor the Odes of Horace by that poet. Hordouin was one of those wrong-headed men, who once having fallen into a delusion, whatever afterwards occurs to them on their favourite subject only tends to strengthen it. He died in his own faith! He seems not to have been aware, that by ascribing such produgal inven-tions as Plutarch, Thucydides, Livy, Tacitus, and other historians, to the men he did, he was raising up an unparalleled age of learning and genius when monks could only write meagre chronicles, while learning and genius them-selves lay in an enchanted slumber with a suspension of all their vital powers.

There are numerous instances of the forgeries of smallor documents. The Prayer-Book of Columbus presented to him by the Pope, which the great discoverer of a new world bequeathed to the Genoese republic, has a codicil in his own writing as one of the leaves testifies, but as volumes composed against its authenticity deny. The famous description in Petrarch's Virgil, so often quoted, of his first rescontre with Laura in the church of St Clair on a Good

\* The volume of these pretended Antiquities is entitled Etruscarum Antiquitatem fragments. 60. Franc. 1637. That which inghiram published to defend their authenticity is in Italian, Discores sopra epposizioni fatte all' Antichita Toscane 4to. Firenze, 1645.

Friday, 6 April, 1327, it has been recently attempted to be shown is a forgery. By calculation, it appears that the & April, 1327, fell on a Monday! The Good Friday seems to have been a blunder of the manufacturer of the note. He was entrapped by reading the second sonnet, as it appears in the printed editions!

> Era il giorno ch' al sol si scelerane Per le pietà del suo fattore i rai.

It was on the day when the rays of the sun were obscur ou by compassion for his Maker.' The forger imagined his description alluded to Good Friday and the eclipse at the Crucifixion. But how stands the passage in the MS. in the imperial library of Vienna, which Abbé Costaing has found? ed by compassion for his Maker.' The forger imagined

Era il giorno ch' al sol di color raro Parce la pietà da suo fattore, si rai Quand Io fu preso ; e non mi guardai Che ben vostri occhi dentro mi legaro.

It was on the day that I was captivated, devotion for its Maker sppeared in the rays of a brilliant sun, and I did not well consider that it was your eyes that enchained me!

The first meeting, according to the Abbé Costaing, was not in a church, but in a meadow—as appears by the 91st sonnet. The Laura of Sade, was not the Laura of Petrarch; but Laura de Baux, unmarried, and who died young, residing in the vicinity of Vaucluse. Petrarch had often viewed her from his own window, and often enjoyed her society amidst her family.\* If the Abbé Costaing's discovery be confirmed, the good name of Petrarch is freed from the idle romantic passion for a married woman. It would be curious if the famous story of the first meeting with Laura in the church of St Clare originated in the blunder of the forgerer's misconception of a passage which was incorrectly printed, as appears by existing

Literary forgeries, have been introduced into bibliograby: dates have been altered; fictitious titles affixed; and books have been reprinted, either to leave out, or to interpolate whole passages! I forbear entering minutely muothis part of the history of literary forgers, for this article has already grown voluminous. When we discover, bowever, that one of the most magnificent of amateurs, and one of the most critical of bibliographers, were concerned in a forgery of this nature, it may be useful to spread an alarm among collectors. The duke de la Valliere, and the alarm among confectors. The dute de la valuere, and the Abbé de St Leger, once concerted together to supply the eager purchaser of literary rarities with a copy of *De Tribus Impostoribus*, a book, by the date, pretended to have been printed in 1598, though, probably, a modern forgery of 1698. The title of such a work had long was a copy seen by man! Works printed with this title have all been proved to be modern fabrications. A copy, however, of the introsuchts original was sold at the Duke de la Valliere's sale! The history of this volume is curious. The Duke and the abbé having manufactured a text, had it printed in the old Gothic character, under the title De Tribus Impostoribus. They proposed to put the great bibliopolist, De Bure, in good humour, whose agency would sanction the imposture. They were afterwards to dole out copies at twenty-five louis each, which would have been a reasonable price for a book which so one ever saw! They invited De Bure to dinner, flattered and cajoled him, and, as they imagined, at a moment they had wound him up to their pitch, they exhibited their manufacture; the keen eyed-glance of the renowned cataloguer of the Bibliographic Instructive renowned catalogues of the Lindsgraphic historical instantly shot like lightning over it, and, like lightning destroyed the whole edition. He not only discovered the forgery, but reprobated it! He refused his sanction; and the forging duke and abbé, in confusion, suppressed the livre introuvable; but they owed a grudge to the honest bibliographer, and attempted to write down the work whence the de Bures derive their fame.

Among the extraordinary literary impostors of our age, \* I draw this information from a little 'new year's gift,' which my learned friend, the Rev. S. Weston, presented to his friends in 1822, entitled, 'A yisk to Vaucluse,' accompanied by a Supplement.' He defives his account apparently from a curious publication of L'Abbé Costaing de Pusigner d'Avignon, which I with other inquirers have not been able to precure, but which it is absolutely necessary to examine, before we can decide on the very curious but unsatisfactory accounts we have hitherto possessed of the Laura of Petrarch.

if we except Lauder, who, detected by the Ithuriel pen or Bishop Douglas, lived to make his public recantation of his audacious forgeries, and Chatterton, who has buried his inexplicable story in his own grave; a tale, which seems but half told; we must place a man well known in the literary world under the assumed name of George Psalmanazzar. He composed his autobiography as the penance of contrition, not to be published till he was no more, when all human motives had ceased which might cause his veracity to be suspected. The life is tedious; cause his veracity to be suspected. The life is tedious; but I have enriously traced the progress of the mind in an ingenigus imposture, which is worth preservation. The present literary forgery consisted of personating a converted islander of Formosa; a place then little known, but by the reports of the Jesuits, and constructing a language and history of a new people, and a new religion, entirely of his own invention! This man was evidently a native of the south of France; educated in some provincial college of the Jesuits, where he had heard much of their discoveries of Japan; he had looked over their maps, and listened to their comments. He forgot the maps, and listened to their comments. He forgot the manner in which the Japanese wrote; but supposed, like orientalists, they wrote from the right to the left, which he orientation, they wrote true and the light water they defect found difficult to manage. He set about exceptiating an alphabet; but actually forgot to give names to his letters, which afterwards baffled him before literary men.

He fell into gross blunders; having inadvertently af-firmed that the Formosans sacrificed eighteen thousand male infants annually, he persisted in not lessening & a number. It was proved to be an impossibility in so se an island, without occasioning a depopulation. He had made it a principle in this imposture never to vary when he had once said a thing. All this was projected in haste, fearful of detection by those about him.

He was himself surprised at his facility of invention, and the progress of his forgery. He had formed an alphabet, a considerable portion of a new language, a grammar, a new division of the year into twenty months, and a new He had accustomed himself to write his language; but being an inexport writer with the unusual way of writing backwards, he found this so difficult, that he was compelled to change the complicated forms of some of his letters. He now finally quitted his home, assuming the character of a Formosan convert, who had been educated by the Jesuits. He was then in his fifteenth or sixteenth year. To support his new character, he practised some religious mummeries; he was seen worshipping the rising and setting sun. He made a prayer-book, with rude draw-ings of the sun, moon, and stars, to which he added some gibberish prose and verse, written in his invented charac-ter, muttering or chanting it, as the humour took him. His custom of eating raw flesh seemed to assist his deception more than the sun and moon.

In a garrison at Sluys he found a Scotch regiment in the Dutch pay; the commander had the curiosity to invite our Formoun to confer with Inner, the chaplain of the regi-This Innes was probably the chief cause of the imocture being carried to the extent it afterwards reached. posture being carried to the extent it ancievance in the lanes was a clergyman, but a disgrace to his cloth. As soon as he fixed his eye on our Formosan, he hit on a project; it was nothing less than to make Paslmanaxaar the ladder of his own ambition, and the stepping-place for him to climb up to a good living! Innes was a worthless character; as afterwards appeared, when by an audacious im-position, Innes practised on the Bishop of London, he avowed himself to be the author of an anonymous work, entitled 'A modest Inquiry after Moral Virtue;' for this he obtained a good living in Essex; the real author, a poor Scotch clergyman, obliged him afterwards to disclaim the work in print, and to pay him the profit of the edition which Innes had made! He lost his character, and retired to the solitude of his living; if not penitent, at least mortified.

Such a character was exactly adapted to become the foster-father of imposture. Innes courted the Formosan, and easily won on the adventurer, who had hitherto in vain sought for a patron. Meanwhile no time was lost by Innes to inform the unsuspicious and generous Bishop of London of the prize he possessed—to convert the Form an was his ostensible protext; to procure preferment his concealed motive. It is curious enough to observe, that the ardour of conversion died away in Innes, and the most marked neglect of his convert prevailed, while the answer of the hishop was protracted or doubtful. He had at first proposed to our Formosan impostor to procure his dis-

charge, and convey him to England; this was eagerly consen ed to by our plant adventurer. A few Dutch schellings, and fair words, kept him in good humour; but no letter coming from the bishop, there were fewer words, and not a stiver! This threw a new light over the character of Innes to the inexperienced youth. Psalmanazzar sagaciously now turned all his attention to some Dutch mining the control of the character of the second place let they should place to him. ters; Innes grew jealous lest they should pluck the bird which he had already in his net. He resolved to baptize the impestor—which only the more convinced Psalmanassaar that Innes was one himself; for before this time Innes had practised a strategem on him, which had clearly shown what sort of a man his Formosan was.

The stratagem was this: he made him translate a p guage, and give it him in writing; this was easily done, by sage in Cicero, of some length, into his pretended lan Psalmanazzar's facility of inventing characters. After Innes had made him construe it, he desired to have another version of it on another paper. The proposal, and the arch manner of making it, threw our impostor into the most vimble confusion. He had had but a short time to invent the first paper, less to recollect it; so that in the second transcript not above half the words were to be found which existed in the first. Innes assumed a solemn air, and Psalmanaaxaar was on the point of throwing himair, and Planmanacaur was on the point of the command the impostor; he was rather desirous of fitting the mask closer to his face. Psalmanazzar, in this hard trial, had given evidence of uncommon facility, combined with a singular memory. Innes cleared his brow, smiled with a friendly look, and only hinted in a distant manner, that he ought to be careful to be better provided for the future! An advice which Psalmanaazaar afterwards bore in mind, and at length produced the forgery of an entire new language; and which, he remarkably observes, 'by what I have tried since I came into England, I camet say but I could have compassed it with less difficulty than can be conceived had I applied closely to it. When a version of the catechism was made into the pretended Formosan language, which was submitted to the judgment of the first scholars, it appeared to them grammatical, and was pronounced to be a real language, from the circumstance that it resembled no other! and they could not conceive that a stripling could other: and they could not conceive that a simpling could be the inventor of a language. If the reader is curious to examine this extraordinary imposture, I refer him to that literary curiosity, 'An historical and geographical Description of Formosa, with accounts of the Religion, Customs, and Manners of the Inhabitants, by George Paalmanazaar, a Native of the said Isle,' 1704; with numerous plates, wretched inventions! of their dress! religious ceremonies! their tabernacle and alters to the sun, the moon, and the ten stars! their architecture! the viceroy's castle! a temple! a city house! a countryman's house! and the Formosan al-habet! In his conferences before the Royal Society with a Jesuit just returned from China, the Jesuit had certain strong suspicions that our hero was an impostor. The good father remained obstinate in his an imposion. The good latter remained described in own conviction, but could not satisfactorily communicate it to others; and Psalmanaazzar, after politely asking parties from for the expression, complains of the Jesuit that 'me lied most impudently,' mention impodenties the 'Mosa absurdly inserted Psalmanazzar was a Dutchman or a German; some thought him a Jesuit in disguise, a tool of the non-jurors; the catholics thought him bribed by the the non-jurous; the Caumana mount into mison by in-protestants to expose their church; the presbyterians that he was paid to explode their doctrine, and cry up episco-pacy! This fabulous history of Formosa seems to have pacy! This fabulous history of Formosa seems to have been projected by his artful prompter Innes, who put Va-renius into Psalmanazzar's hands to assist him; trumpeted forth in the domestic and foreign papers on account of this converted Formosan; maddened the booksellers to of the converted rounds as mandened the months to produce this extraordinary volume: and as the former accrumts which the public possessed of this island were full of monstreus absurdities and contradictions, these assisted the present imposture. Our forger resolved not to sisted the present imposture. Our forger resolved not to describe new and surprising things as they had done, but rather studied to clash with them, probably that he might have an opportunity of pretending to correct them. The first edition was immediately sold; the world was more divided than ever in opinion: in a second edition he predixed a vindication:—the unhappy forger got about twenty guiness for an imposture, whose delusions spread far and wide! Some years afterwards Psalmanazzar was en-

gaged in a minor imposture; one man had persuaded him to father a white composition called the Formoson jopon! to father a white componium canculate the second popular which was to be sold at a high price! It was cursous for its whiteness, but it had its faults. The project failed, and Psalmanaazaar considered the miscarriage of the sakise Formosen japan as a providential warning to repent of all his impostures of Formosa!

Among these literary forgeries may be classed several ingenious ones fabricated for a political purpose. We had certainly numerous ones during our civil wars in the reign of Charles I. This is not the place to continue the continue that the notated of the place to continue the continue that the notated of the place to continue the continue that the notated of the place to continue the continue that the notated of the place to continue the continue that the notated of the place to continue the continue that the notated of the place to continue the continue that the notated of the place to continue the continue that the notated of the place to continue the continue that the place that the place to continue the continue that the place that the of Charles 1. This is not the place to continue the con-troversy respecting the mysterious Ethon Basilikh, which has been ranked among them, from the ambiguous claim of Gauden. A recent writer who would probably incline not to leave the monarch were he living, not only his head but the little fame he might obtain by the 'Verses' said to be written by him at Carisbrooke Cassle, would deprive him also of these. Henderson's death-bed recentation is also reckoned among them; and we have a large collection of 'Letters of Sir Henry Martin to his Lady of Delight,' which were certainly the satirical effusions of a wit of that day, but by the price they have obtained, are probably conday, but by the price they have contained, are processly considered as genuine ones, and exhibit an amusing picture of his loose rambling life. There is a ludicrous speech of the strange Earl of Pembroke, which was forged by the inimitable Butler, and Sir John Frienbead, a great has morist and wit, had a busy pen in these spurious letters and speeches.

#### OF LITERARY FILCHERS.

An honest historian at times will have to inflict severe strokes on his favourites. This has fallen to my lot, for in the course of my researches, I have to record that we have both forgors and purioiners, as well as other more obvious impostors, in the republic of letters! The present article descends to relate anecdotes of some consent article descends to relate anecdotes of some con-trivances to possess our literary curiosities by other means than by purchase; and the only apology which can be al-leged for the splendida peccata, as St Austin calls the vir-tues of the heathens, of the present innocent criminals, is their excessive passion for literature, and otherwise the respectability of their names. According to Grose's 'Classical Dictionary of the Vulgar Tongue,' we have had celebrated collectors, both in the loarned and vulgar idioms. But one of them, who had some reasons too to be tender on this point, distinguishes this mode of completing his collections, not by book-stealing, but by book-coveting. some occasions, in mercy, we must allow of softening names. Were not the Spartans allowed to steal from one

another, and the bunglers only punished?

It is said that Pinelli made occasional additions to his literary treasures sometimes by his skill in an art which lay much more in the hand than in the head; however, as Pinelli never stirred out of his native city but once in his lifetime, when the plague drove him from home, his field of action was so restricted, that we can hardly conclude that he could have been so great an enterpriser in this way. No one can have lost their character by this sort way. No one can have lost their cuaracter by this sort of exercise in a confined circle, and be allowed to prosper! A light-fingered Mercury would hardly haunt the same spot: however, this is, as it may be! It is probable that we owe to this species of accumulation many precious manuscripts in the Cottonian collection. It appears by manuscripts in the Cottonian collection. It appears by the manuscript note-book of Sir Nicholas Hyde, chief-jus-tice of the hing's bench from the second to the seventh year of Charles the First, that Sir Robert Cotton had in his library, records, evidences, ledger-books, original let-ters, and other state-papers, belonging to the king; for the attorney-general of that time, to prove this, showed a copy of the pardon which Sir Robert had obtained from King James for embessing records, &c.\*

Gough has more than insinuated that Rawlinson and his friend Umfreville 'be under vary strong manistons'

his friend Umfreville 'he under very strong suspicions;' and he asserts that the collector of the Wilton treasures made as free as Dr Willis with his friend's coins. But he has also put forth a declaration relating to Bishop More, has also put forth a declaration relating to Bishop More, the famous collector, that 'the bishop collected his library by phendering those of the clergy in his diocese; some he paid with sermons or more modern books; others, less civilly, only with a quid liliterati cam libris? This plan-dering then consisted rather of cajeling others out of what they knew not how to value; and this is an advantage which every skilful lover of books must enjoy over those

\* Landowne MSS. 888. in the firmer printed catalogue, Digitized by GOOGIO

whose apprenticeship has not expired. I have myself been plundered by a very dear friend of some such literary curiosities, in the days of my innocence and of his preco-city of knowledge. However, it does appear that Bishop More did actually lay violent hands in a snug corner on some irresistible little charmer; which we gather from a some rresulted fittle charmer; which we gather from precaution adopted by a friend of the bishop, who one day was found busy in Miding his rarest books, and locking up as many as he could. On heing asked the reason of this edd occupation, the hibitopolist ingenuously replied, 'the Bushop of Ely dines with me to-day.' This fact is quite clear, and here is another as indisputable. Sir Robert Saville writing to Sir Robert Cotton, appointing an interview with the founder of the Bodleian Library, cautions Sir Robert, that 'If he held any book so dear as that he would be loath to lose it, he should not let Sir Thomas out of his sight, but set "the boke" aside before hand. A surprise and detection of this nature has been revealed in a piece of secret history by Amelot de la Houssaie, which terminated in very important political consequences. He assures us that the personal dislike which Pope Innocent X, bore to the French had originated in his youth, when cardinal, from having been detected in the library of an eminent French collector, of having purioined a most rare volume. The delirium of a collector's rage overcame even volume. In a collector rage overcame even French politiese; the Frenchman not only openly ac-cused his illustrious culprit, but was resolved that he should not quit the library without replacing the precious volume— —from accusation and denial both resolved to try their strength; but in this literary wrestling-match the book dropped out of the cardinal's robes !-- and from that day he hated the French-at least their more curious collec-

Even an author on his dying-bed, at those awful moments, should a collector be by his side, may not be considered secure from his too curious hands. Sir William Dugdale possessed the minutes of King James's life, written by Camden, till within a fortnight of his death; as also Camden's own life, which he had from Hacket, the author of the folio life of Bishop Williams; who, adds Aubrey, 'did fich it from Mr Camden, as he lay a dying!' He afterwards corrects his information, by the name of Dr Thorndyke, which, however, equally answers our purpose, to prove that even dying authors may dread such collectors!

The medallists have, I suspect, been more predatory than these subtractors of our literary treasures; not only from the facility of their conveyance, but from a peculiar contrivance which of all those things which admit of being secretly purloined, can only be practised in this depart-ment—for they can steal and no human hand can search them with any possibility of detection—they can pick a cabinet and swallow the curious things, and transport them with perfect safety, to be digested at their leisure. An adventure of this kind happened to Baron Stosch, the famous antiquary. It was in looking over the gems of the royal cabinet of medals, that the keeper perceived the loss of one; his place, his pension, and his reputation were at stake; and he insisted that Baron Stoech should be most minutely examined: in this dilemma, forced to confession, this crudite collector assured the keeper of the royal cabi not, that the strictest search would not avail: 'Alas, sir! I have it here within,' he said, pointing to his breast—an emetic was suggested by the learned practitioner himself, probably from some former experiment. This was not the first time that such a natural cabinet had been inventthe first time that such a natural capinet man been invented; the antiquary Vaillant, when attacked at sea by an Algerine, zealously swallowed a whole series of Syrian kings; when he landed at Lyons, groaning with his concealed treasure, he hastened to his friend, his physician and the content of the strength of the and his brother antiquary Dufour,—who at first was only anxious to inquire of his patient, whether the medals were of the higher empire? Vaillant showed two or three, of which nature had kindly relieved him. A collection of which nature and kindly relieved him. A confection of medals was left to the city of Exeter, and the donor accompanied the bequest by a clause in his will, that should a certain antiquary, his old friend and rival, be desirous of examining the coins, he should be watched by two persons, one on each side. La Croze informs us in his life, that the learned Charles Patin, who has written a work on medals, was one of the present race of collectors; Patin offered the curators of the public library at Basie to draw up a catalogue of the cabinet of Amerhack there preserved, containing a good number of medals; but they would have been more numerous, had the catalogue-writer not

diminished both them and his labour, by sequestrating some of the most rare, which was not discovered till this plunderer of antiquity was far out of their reach.

when Gough touched on this odd subject in the first edition of his 'British Topography,' 'An Academic' in the Gentleman's Magazine for August 1772, insinuated that this charge of literary pilloring was only a jocular one; on which Gough, in his second edition, observed that this was not the case, and that 'one might point out enough light-fingered subjectives in the present age, to reader such a charge extremely probable against earlier ones.' The most extraordinary part of this slight history is, that our public denouncer sometime after proved himself to be one of those 'light-fingered antiquaries;' the deed itself, however, was more singular than disgraceful. At the disinterment of the remains of Edward the First, around which, thirty years ago, assembled our most crudite antiquaries, Gough was observed, as Steevens used to relate, in a wrapping great coat of unusual dimensions; that wity and malicious 'Puck,' so capable himself of inventing mischef, easily suspected others, and divided his glance as me.' to a the living piece of antiquity, as on the elder. Is the act of closing up the relics of royalty, there was found waning an entire fore-finger of Edward the first; and as the body was perfect when opened, a murmur of dissatisfaction was spreading, when 'Puck' directed their attention to the great antiquary in the watchman's great coat—from whence too surely was extracted Edward the First's great forefinger!—so that 'the light-fingered antiquary' was recentinged ten years after he had denounced the race, when he came to 'try his hand.'\*

#### OF LORD BACON AT HOME.

The history of Lord Bacon would be that of the intelectual faculities, and a theme so worthy of the phicosphical biographer remains yot to be written. The personal narrative of this master-genius or inventor must for ever be separated from the scala intellectus he was perpetually accepting: and the domestic history of this creative mise must be consigned to the most humiliating chapter in the volume of human life: a chapter stready sufficiently enlarged, and which has irrefutably proved how the greatest minds are not freed from the infirmittes of the most vulgar.

The parent of our philosophy is now to be considered in a new light one which others do not appear to have observed. My researches into contemporary notices of Bacon have often convinced me that his philosophical works, in his own days and among his own countrymen, were not only not comprehended, but often ridiculed, and sometimes reprobated; that they were the occasion of many slights and mortifications which this depreciated mas endured; but that from a very early period in his life, to that last record of his feelings which appears in his will, this 's servant of posterity,' as he prophetically called his self, sustained his mighty spirit with the confidence of his own posthumous greatness. Bacon cast his views through the maturity of ages, and perhaps amidst the sceptics and the rejectors of his plans, may have felt at times all that idolatry of fame, which has now consecrated his philosophical works.

At college. Bacon discovered how ' that scrap of Grecian knowledge, the peripatetic philosophy,' and the scholastic babble, coal-i not serve the ends and purposes of knowledge; that sylogisms were not things, and that a new logic might teach us to invent and judge by induction. He found that theories were to be built upon experiments. When a young man, abroad, he began to make those observations on Nature, which afterwards led on to the foundaries.

\* It is probable that this story of Gough's pocketing the fore-finger of Edward the First, was one of the malicious inventions of George Steevens, after he discovered that the antiquary was among the few admitted to the unsombing of the royal corpee; Steevens hismes! was not thers! Sylvans Urban who must know much more than be cares to record of 'Puck,'—has, however, given the following 'serve history' of what he calls 'ungentlemanly and unwarrantable stacks' of Gough, by Steevens. It seems that Steevens was a collector of the works of Hogarth, and white engaged in ferming his collection, wrote an abrupt letter to Gough, so obtain frus him some early impressions, by purchase or exchange. Gough resented the manner of his address by a rough refusal, for its lamplacable vengeance of Steevens, who used to beast that the mischlevous tricks he played on the grave antiquary, whe was rarely over-kind to any one, was but a pleasant kind of revenge!

dations of the new philosophy. At sixteen, he philosophised; at twenty-six, he had framed his system into some form; and after forty years of continued labours, unfinished to his last hour, he left bohind him sufficient to found the

great philosophical reformation.

On his entrance into active life, study was not however his prime object. With his fortune to make, his court connexions and his father's example opened a path for ambition. He chose the practice of common law as his means, while his inclinations were looking upwards to political affairs as his end. A passion for study however had strongly marked him; he had read much more than was required in his professional character, and this cir-cumstance excited the mean jealousies of the minister Cocil, and the attorney-general Coke. Both were mere practical men of business, whose narrow conceptions and whose stubborn habits assume, that whenever a man acquires much knowledge foreign to his profession, he will know less of professional knowledge than he ought. These men of strong minds, yet limited capacities, hold in contempt all studies alien to their habits.

Bacon early aspired to the situation of solicitor-general; the court of Elizabeth was divided into factions; Bacon adopted the interests of the generous Essex, which were inimical to the party of Cecil. The queen, from his boyhood, was delighted by conversing with her 'young lord, keeper,' as she early distinguished the precocious gravity and the ingenious turn of mind of the future philosopher. It was unquestionably to attract her favour, that Bacon presented to the queen his 'Maxims and Elements of the Common Law,' not published till after his death. Elizabeth suffered her minister to form her opinions on the legal character of Bacon. It was alleged that Bacon was addicted to more general pursuits than law, and the missellaneous books which he was known to have read confirmed the accusation. This was urged as a reason why the post of solicitor-general should not be conferred on a man of speculation, more likely to distract than to direct her affairs. Elizabeth, in the height of that political prudence which marked her character, was swayed by the vulgar notion of Cecil, and believed that Bacon, who afterwards filled the situation both of solicitor-general and lurd chancellor, was 'A man rather of show than of We have been recently told by a great lawyer, depth. that ' Bacon was a master.'

On the accession of James the First, when Bacon still found the same party obstructing his political advance-ment, he appears, in some momentary fit of disgust, to have meditated on a retreat into a foreign country; a circumstance which has happened to several of our men of genius, during a fever of solitary indignation. He was for some time thrown out of the sunshine of life, but he found its shade more fitted for contemplation; and, unquestionably, philosophy was benefited by his solitude at Gray's Inn. His hand was always on his work, and better thoughts will find an easy entrance into the mind of those who feed on their thoughts, and live amidst their reveries. In a letter on this occasion, he writes, ' My ambition now It shall only put upon my pen, whereby I shall be able to maintain memory and merit, of the times succeeding,' And many years after when he had finally quitted public life, he told the king, 'I would live to study, and not study to live: yet I am prepared for date obetam Bellisario; and I that have borne a bag, can bear a wallet.'

Ever were the TIMES SUCCEEDING in his mind. In that delightful Latin letter to Father Fulgentio, where, with the simplicity of true grandeur, he takes a view of all his works, and in which he describes himself as 'one who served posterity,' in communicating his past and his future designs, he adds, that 'they require some ages for the ri-pening of them.' There, while he despairs of finishing what was intended for the sixth part of his Instauration, what was intended for the sixth part of his Instauration, how nobly he despairs! 'Of the perfecting this I have cast away all hopes; but in future ages, perhaps, the design may bud again.' And he concludes by avowing, that the seal and constancy of his mind in the great design, after so many years, had never become cold and indifferent. He remembers how, forty years ago, he had com-posed a juvenile work about those things, which, with con-fidence, but with too pompous a title, he had called Tem-poris Partus Massimas; the great birth of time! Besides the public dedication of his Newsm Organism to James the First, he accompanied it with a private letter. He wishes the king's favour to the work, which he accounts as much

as a hundred years time; for he adds, 'I am persuaded the work will gain upon men's minds in AGES.

In his last will appears his remarkable legacy of fame. My name and memory I leave to foreign nations, and to mine own countrymen after some time be passed over. Time seemed always personated in the imagination of our philosopher, and with time he wrestled with a consciousess of triumph.

I shall now bring forward sufficient evidence to prove how little Bacon was understood, and how much he was even despised, in his philosophical character.

In those prescient views by which the genius of Verulam has often anticipated the institutions and the discoveries of succeeding times, there was one important object which even his foresight does not appear to have contemplated. Lord Bacon did not foresee that the English language would one day be capable of embalming all that philosophy can discover, or poetry can invent; that his country should at length possess a national literature of its own, and that it should exult in classical compositions which might be appreciated with the finest models of antiquity. His taste was far unequal to his invention. tittle he esteemed the language of his country, that his favourite works are composed in Latin; and he was anxious to have what he had written in English preserved in that 'universal language which may last as long as books last." It would have surprised Bacon to have been told, that the most learned men in Europe have studied English authors to learn to think and to write. Our philosopher was surely somewhat mortified, when in his dedication of the Essays he observed, that 'of all my other works my Essays have been most current; for that as it seems, they come home to men's business and bosoms.' It is too much to hope to find in a vast and profound inventor a writer also who bestows immortality on his language. The English language is the only object in his great survey of art and of nature, which owes nothing of its excellence to the genius

He had reason indeed to be mortified at the reception of his philosophical works; and Dr Rawley, even some years after the death of his illustrious master, had occasion to observe, that 'His fame is greater and sounds louder in foreign parts abroad than at home in his own nation; thereby verifying that divine sontence, a prophet is not without honour, saye in his own country and in his own house.' Even the men of genius, who ought to have comprehended this new source of knowledge thus opened to them, reluctantly entered into it; so repugnant are we auddenly to give up ancient errors which time and habit have made apart of ourselves. Harvey, who himself expe-rienced the sluggish obstinacy of the learned, which repelreenced the suggish obstimacy of the learned, which repeled a great but a novel discovery, could however in his turn deride the amazing novelty of Bacon's Novum Organim. Harvey said to Aubrey, that 'Bacon was no great philosopher; he writtes philosophy like a lord chancellor.' It has been suggested to me that Bacon's philosophical writing the supplies of the suggested to be the bacon's philosophical writing the suggested to be suggested to be the Bacon's philosophical writing the suggested to be suggested to be the suggested to be suggested to be the suggested to be s tings have been much over-rated. His experimental philosophy from the era in which they were produced must be necessarily defective; the time he gave to them could only have been had at spare hours; but like the great pro-phet on the mount, Bacon was doomed to view the land afar, which he himself could never enter.

Bacon found but small encouragement for his new learn ing among the most eminent scholars, to whom he submitted his early discoveries. A very copious letter by Sir Thomas Bodley on Bacon's desiring him to return the manuscript of Cogitata et Viea, some portion of the Novum Organum has come down to us; it is replete with objections to the new philosophy. 'I am one of that objections to the new philosophy. I am the of that cave crew, says Sir Thomas, 'that say we possess a far greater holdfast of certainty in the sciences than you will seem to acknowledge. He gives a hint too that Solomon complained 'of the infinite making of books in his time,' that all Bacon delivers is only 'by averment without other force of argument, to disclaim all our axioms, maxims, &c., left by tradition from our elders unto us, which have passed all probations of the sharpest wits that ever were; and he concludes, that the end of all Bacon's philosophy, by 'a fresh creating new principles of sciences, would be to be dispossessed of the learning we have;' and he fears that it would require as many ages as have marched be-fore us that knowledge should be perfectly achieved. Bod-ley truly compares himself to 'the carrier's horse which cannot planch the beaten way in which I was trained,'

Digitized by GOOGIC

Bacon did not lose heart by the timidity of the carrier's horse :' a smart vivacious note in return shows his

quick apprehension.

'As I am going to my house in the country, I shall want my papers, which I beg you therefore to return. You are siothful, and you help me nothing, so that I am half in con-ceit you affect not the argument; for myself I know well you love and affect. I can say no more, but non consinue surdis, respondent omnic sylve. If you be not of the lodgings chalked up, whereof I speak in my preface, I am but to pass by your door. But if I had you a fortnight at Gorhambury, I would make you tell another tale; or else I would add a cogitation against libraries, and he revenged on you that way.

A keen but playful retort of a great author too concious of his own views to be angry with his critic! The ledgings challed up is some sarcasm which we must supply from our own conception; but the threatened cogitation against libraries must have caused Bodley's cheek to tingle.

Let us now turn from the scholastic to the men of the world, and we shall see what sort of notion these critics entertained of the philosophy of Bacon. Chamberlain writes, 'This week the lord chancellor hath set forth his new work called Instauratio Magna, or a kind of Novum Organism of all philosophy. In sending it to the king, he wrote that he wished his majesty might be so long in reading it as he hath been in composing and polishing it, which is well near thirty years. I have read no more than the bare title, and am not greatly encouraged by Mr Cuffe's judgment,\* who having long since persued it, gave this sure, that a fool could not have written such and a wise man would not.' A month or two afterwards we find that 'The king cannot forbear sometimes in reading the lord chancellor's last book to say, that it is like the peace of God, that surpasseth all understanding.

Two years afterwards the same letter-writer proceeds with another literary paragraph about Bacon. 'This lord busies himself altogether about soks, and hath set out two lately, Historian Ventorum, as de Vita et Morte, with promise of more. I have yet sen neither of them, because I have not leisure; but if the life of Henry the Eighth (the Seventh), which they say he is about, might come out af-ter his own manner (meaning his Moral Essays), I should find time and means enough to read it.' When this history made its appearance, the same writer observes, 'My Lord Verulam shistory of Henry the Seventh is come forth; I have not read much of it, but they say it is a very

forth; I have not read much or a, such any pretty book. It Bacca, in his vast survey of human knowledge, included even its humbler provinces, and condescended to form a collection of apophthegms: his lordship regretted the loss of a collection made by Julius Cessar, while Plutarch indiscriminately drew much of the dregs. The wits, who could not always comprehend his plans, ridiculed the sage. I shall now quote a contemporary poet, whose works, for by their size they may assume that distinction, were never py their size they may assume that distributed a portive pen on fugi-tive events; but though not always deficient in humour and wit, such is the freedom of his writings, that they will not often admit a quotation. The following is indeed but a often admit a quotation. The following is indeed but a strange pun on Bacon's title, derived from the town of St Alban's and his collection of apophthegms;

# OF LORD BACON PUBLISHING APOPHTHEGMS.

When learned Bacon wrote essays, He did deserve and hath the prais But now he writes his apophthegms Surely he doses or he dreams;
One said, St Albans now is grown unable,
And is in the high-road-way—to Dunatable. [i. s
Dunce-table.]

To the close of his days were Lord Bacon's philosophical pursuits still disregarded and depreciated by ignorance and pursuits still disregarded and depreciated by ignorance and envy, in the forms of friendship or rivality. I shall now give a remarkable example. Sir Edward Coke was a mere great lawyer, and like all such, had a mind so walled in by law-knowledge, that in its bounded views it shut out the horison of the intellectual faculties, and the whole of

\* Henry Cuffe, secretary to Robert, Earl of Essex, and ex-scuted, being concerned in his treason. A man noted for his classical acquirements and his genius, who perished early in

; Chamberlain adds the price of this moderate sized folio, tich was six shillings.

his philosophy lay in the statutes. fn the library at Holls-ham there must be found a presentation copy of Lord Bacon's Novum Organism, the Instauratio Magna, 1880. It was given to Coke, for it bears the following note on the title-page in the writing of Coke:

Edw. Coke, Ex done authoris Auctori consilium

Instaurare paras veterum documenta sophorum Instaura leges, justitiamque prius.

The verses not only reprove Bacon for going out of his profession, but must have alluded to his character as a prerogative lawyer, and his corrupt administration of the chancery. The book was published in October, 1620, a chaincery. The book was published in And so far one may easily excuse the causticity of Coke; but how he really valued the philosophy of Bacou appears by this: in this first edition there is a device of a ship passing between Hercules's pillars; the plus ultra, the proud exultation of our philosopher. Over this device Coke has written a miserable distich in English, which marks his utter contempt of the philosophical pursuits of his illustrious rival This ship passing beyond the columns of Hercules he sas castically conceits as 'The Ship of Fools,' the famous satire of the German Sebastian Brandt, translated by Alexander Barclay.

> It deserveth not to be read in schools, But to be freighted in the Ship of Fools.

Such then was the fate of Lord Bacon; a history not written by his biographers, but which may serve as a cos ment on that obscure passage dropped from the pen of his chaplain, and already quoted, that he was more valued sbroad than at home.

#### SECRET HISTORY OF THE DEATH OF QUEEN ELIZABETH.

It is an extraordinary circumstance in our history, that the succession to the English dominion, in two remarksble cases, was never settled by the possessors of the throne themselves during their lifetime; and that there is every reason to believe this mighty transfer of three king-doms became the sole act of their ministers, who considered the succession merely as a state expedient. of our most able sovereigns found themselves in this predicament; Queen Elizabeth, and the Protector Cromwell! Cromwell probably had his reasons not to name his successor; his positive election would have dissatisfied the opposite parties of his government, whom he only ruled while he was able to cajole them. He must have been aware that latterly he had need of conciliating all parties to his usurpation, and was probably as doubtful on his death-bed whom to appoint his successor, as at any other period of his reign. Ludlow suspects that Cromwell was 'so discomposed in body or mind, that he Ludlow suspects that could not attend to that matter; and whether he named any one is to me uncertain.' All that we know is the reany one is to me uncertain. All that we know is the re-port of the Secretary Thurlow and his chaplains, who, when the protector lay in his last agonies, suggested to him the propriety of choosing his eldest son, and they tell us that he agreed to this choice. Had Cromwell been in his senses, he would have probably fixed on Henry, the lord lieutenant of Ireland, rather than on Richard, or possibly had not chosen either of his sons!

Elizabeth, from womanish infirmity, or from state-reasons, could not endure the thoughts of her successor; and long threw into jeopardy the politics of all the cabinets of Europe, each of which had its favourite candidate to support. The legitimate heir to the throne of England was to be the creature of her breath, yet Elizabeth would not speak him into existence! This had, however, often raised the discontents of the nation, and we shall see how it harrassed the queen in her dying hours. It is even sus-pected that the queen still retained so much of the woman, that she could never overcome her perverse distills to name a successor, so that according to this opinion, she died and left the crown to the mercy of a party! This would have been acting unworthy of the magnasismity of her great character—and as it is ascertained that the queen mer great character—and as it is accretioned main tres questions was very sensible that she lay in a dying state several days before the natural catastrophe occurred, it is difficult to believe that she totally disregarded so important a circumstance. It is, therefore, reasoning a priori, most matural to conclude, that the choice of a successor must have occupied her thoughts as well as the anxieties of her a

Digitized by GOOGIC

asters; and that she would not have left the throne in the same unsettled state at her death as she had persevered an during her whole life. How did she express herself when bequeathing the crown to James the First, or did she bequeath it at all?

In the popular pages of her female historian, Miss Aikin has observed, that the closing scene of the long and eventful life of Queen Elizabeth was marked by that peculiarity of character and destiny which attended her from the cradle, and pursued her to the grave.' The last days of Elizabeth were, indeed, most melancholy—she died a victim of the higher passions, and perhaps as much of grief as of age, refusing all remedies and even nour-ishment. But in all the published accounts, I can nowhere decover how she conducted herself respecting the circumstance of our present inquiry. The most detailed narra-sive, or as Gray the poet calls it, 'the Earl of Monmouth's edd accessed of Queen Elizabeth's death,' is the one most deserving notice; and there we find the circumstance of this inquiry introduced. The queen, at that moment, was reduced to so sad a state, that it is doubtful whether her majesty was at all sensible of the inquiries put to her by her ministers respecting the succession. The Earl of Monmouth says, 'on Wednesday, the 23d of March, she grew speechless. That afternoon, by signs, she called for her council, and by putting her hand to her head when the king of Scots was named to succeed her, they all knew he was the man she desired should reign after her.' Such a sign as that of a dying woman putting her hand to her a sign as that of a dying woman putting her manu to not head was, to say the least, a very ambiguous acknowledgment of the right of the Scottish monarch to the English throne. The 'odd' but very saste account of Robert Cary, afterwards Earl of Monmouth, is not furnished with dates, nor with the exactness of a diary. Something might have occurred on a preceding day which had not iched him. Camden describes the death-bed scene of Elizabeth; by this authentic writer it appears that she had confided her state-secret of the succession to the lord admiral (the Eurl of Nottingham;) and when the earl found the queen almost at her extremity, he communicated her majesty's secret to the council, who commissioned the lord admiral, the lord keeper, and the secretary to wait on her majesty, and acquaint her that they came in the name of the rest to learn her pleasure in reference to the succession. The queen was then very weak, and answered them with a faint voice, that she had already declared, that as she a faint voice, that she had arready declared, that as size held a regal sceptre, so she desired no other than a myal successor. When the secretary requested her to explain herself, the queen said, 'I would have a king succeed me; and who should that be but my nearest kinsman, the king of Scots ? Here this state-conversation was put an end to by the interference of the archbishop advising her ma-jesty to turn her thoughts to God. 'Never,' she replied, has my mind wandered from him.'

An historian of Camden's high integrity would hardly have forged a fiction to please the new monarch; yet Cam-den has not been referred to on this occasion by the exact Birch, who draws his information from the letters of the French ambassador, Villeroy; information which it appears the English ministers had confined to this ambassador; nor do we get any distinct ideas from Elizabeth's more recent popular historian, who could only transcribe the account of Cary. He had told us a fact which he could not be mistaken in, that the queen fell speechless on Wednesday, 23d of March, on which day, however, she called her council, and made that sign with her hand, which her to hand a becan to understant for any council of the local speech to understant for any council and the local speech to understant for any council and the local speech to understant for any council and the local speech to understant for any council and the local speech to understant for any council and the local speech to understant for any council and the local speech to understant for any council and the local speech to understant for any council and the local speech to understant for any council and the local speech to understant for any council and the local speech which, as the lords chose to understand, for ever united the two kingdoms. But the noble editor of Cary's Memoirs the Earl of Cork and Orrery,) has observed, that the speeches made for Elizabeth on her death bed are all forged. Echard, Rapin, and a long string of historians, make her say faintly (so faintly indeed that it could not possibly be heard,) 'I will that a king succeed me, and who should that be but my nearest kineman the king of Scots? A different account of this matter will be found in the following memoirs. 'She was speechless, and almost expiring, when the chief commellors of state were most expering, when the cinet commenters is state were called into her bed-chamber. As soon as they were perfectly convinced that she could not utter an articulate word, and scarce could hear or understand one, they named the king of Scots to her, a liberty they dared not to have taken if she had been able to speak; she put her hand to her head, which was probably at that time in agonizing pain.
The lords, who interpreted her signs just as they pleased, were immediately convinced that the motion of her hand to

her head was a declaration of James the Sixth as her successor. What was this but the unanimous interpretations of persons who were adoring the rising sun ?

This is lively and plausible; but the noble editor did not recollect that 'the speeches made by Elizabeth on her death-bed,' which he deems 'forgeries,' in consequence of the circumstance he had found in Cary's Memoirs, original ate with Camden, and were only repeated by Rapin and Echard, &c. I am now to confirm the narrative of the cleder historian, as well as the circumstance related by Cary, describing the sign of the queen a little differently, which happened on Wednesday 23d. A hitherto unnoticed document pretends to give a fuller and more circumstantial account of this affair, which commenced on the preceding day, when the queen retained the power of speech; and it will be confessed that the language here used has all that loftiness and brevity which was the natural style of this queen. I have discovered a curious document in a manuscript volume formerly in the possession of Petyt, and seemingly in his own hand-writing. I do not doubt its authenticity, and it could only have come from some of the illustrious personages who were the actors in that solemn scene, probably from Cecil. This memorandum is entitled,

'Account of the last words of Queen Elizabeth about

On the Tuesday before her death, being the twentythird of March, the admiral being on the right aide of her bed, the lord keeper on the left, and Mr Secretary Cocil (afterwards Earl of Salisbury) at the bed's feet, all stand-ing, the lord admiral put her in mind of her speech concerning the succession had at Whitehall, and that they, in the name of all the rest of her council, came unto her to know her pleasure who should succeed; whereunto she thus replied:

'I told you my seat had been the seat of kings, and I will have no rascal to succeed me. And who should succeed me

but a king?

<sup>4</sup> The lords not understanding this dark speech and looking one on the other; at length Mr Secretary boldly asked her what she meant by those words, that no rescal should succeed her. Whereto she replied, that her meaning was, that a king should succeed: and who, quoth she, should that be but our cousin of Scotland?

'They asked her whether that were her absolute resoution? whereto she answered, I pray you treaths me no more: for I will have none but him. With which answer

\*Notwithstanding, after again, about four o'clock in the afternoon the next day, being Wednesday, after the Archishop of Canterbury, and other divines, had been with her, and left her in a manner speechless, the three lords aforesaid repaired unto her again, asking her if she remained in her former resolution, and who should succeed her? but not being able to speak, was asked by Mr Secre-tary in this sort, 'We beseech your majesty, if you remain in your former resolution, and that you would have the king of Scots to succeed you in your kingdom, show some sign unto us: whereat, suddenly heaving herself upwards in her bed, and putting her arms out of bed, she held her head in the significant has head in manner of her hands jointly over her head in manner of a creen; whence, as they guessed, she signified that she did not only wish him the kingdom, but desire continuance of his estate: after which they departed, and the next morning she died. Immediately after her death, all the lords, as well of the council as other noblemen that were at the court, came from Richmond to Whitehall by six o'clock in the morning, where other noblemen that were in London met them. Touching the succession, after some speeches of divers competitors and matters of State, at length the admiral rehearsed all the aforesaid premises which the late queen had spoken to him, and to the lord keeper, and Mr Secretary (Cecil,) with the manner thereof; which they being asked, did affirm to be true upon their honour.' Such is this singular document of secret history.

cannot but value it as authentic, because the one part is evidently alluded to by Camden, and the other is fully confirmed by Cary; and besides this, the remarkable expression of 'rascal' is found in the letter of the French ambassador. There were two interviews with the queen, and Cary appears only to have noticed the last on Wednes-day, when the queen lay speechless. Elizabeth all her life had persevered in an obstinate mysteriousness respecting the succession, and it harassed her latest moments

No. 12.

45 igitized by Google

The second interview of her ministers may seem to us quite supernumerary; but Cary's 'putting her hand to her head,' too meanly describes the 'joining her hands in manner of a crown.'

## JAMES THE FIRST, AS A PATHER AND A HUSBAND.

Calumnies and sarcasms have reduced the character of James the First to contempt among general readers; while the narrative of historians, who have related facts in spite of themselves, is in perpetual contradiction with their own opinions. Perhaps no sovereign has suffered more by that art, which is described by an old Irish proverb, of 'killing a man by lies.' The surmises and the insinuations of one party, dissatisfied with the established government in church and state; the misconceptions of more modern writers, who have not possessed the requisite knowledge; and the anonymous libels, sent forth at a particular period to vilify the Stuarts; all these cannot be treasured up by the philosopher as the authorities of history. It is at least more honourable to resist popular prejudice than to yield to it a passive obedience; and what we can ascertain, it would be a dereliction of truth to conceal. Much can be substantiated in favour of the domestic affections and habits of this pacific monarch; and those who are more intimately acquainted with the secret history of the times will perceive how erroneously the personal character of this sovereign is exhibited in our popular historians, and often even among the few, who with better information, have re-echoed their preconceived opinions.

Confining myself here to his domestic character, I shall not touch on the many admirable public projects of this monarch, which have extorted the praise, and even the admirations of some who have not spared their pens in his disparagement. James the First has been taxed with pusilanimity and foolishness; this monarch cannot, however, be reproached with having engendered them! All his children, in whose education their father was so deeply concerned, sustained through life a dignified character, and a subtention of the concerned in subtention their father was so deeply concerned, sustained through life a dignified character, and a subtention of the concerned in the c high spirit. The short life of Henry was passed in a school of prowess, and amidst an academy of literature. king's paternal solicitude, even to the hand and the letterwriting of Prince Henry when young, I have preserved a proof in the article of 'The History of Writing-masters.' Charles the First, in his youth more particularly designed for a studious life, with a serious character, was, however, never deficient in active bravery, and magnanimous fortitude. Of Elizabeth, the Queen of Bohemia, tried as she was by such vicissitudes of fortune, it is much to be re-gretted that the interesting story remains untold; her buoyant spirits rose always above the perpetual changes, of a princely to a private state—a queen to an exile! The father of such children derives some distinction for capacity, in having reared such a noble offspring; and the king's marked attention to the formation of his children's minds was such as to have been pointed out by Ben Jonson, who, in his 'Gipsies Metamorphosed,' rightly said of James, using his native term,

# 'You are an honost, good man, and have care of your Bearns' (bairns.)

Among the flouts and gibes so freely bespattering the personal character of James the First, is one of his coldness and neglect of his queen. It would, however, bo difficult to prove by any known fact, that James was not as indulgent a husband, as he was a father. Yet even a writer so well informed as Daines Barrington, who, as a lawyer, could not refrain from lauding the royal rage during his visit to Desmark, on his marriage, for having borrowed three statutes from the Danish code, found the king's name so provocative of sarcasm, that he could not forbear observing, that James 'spent more time in those courts of judicature than in attending upon his destined consert.' 'Men of all sorts have taken a pride to gird at me,' might this monarch have exclaimed. But every thing has two handles, gaith the ancient adage. Had an austere puritan chosen to observe that James the First, when abroad, had lived jovially; and had this historian then dropped silently the interesting circumstance of the king's 'spending his time in the Danish courts of jedicature,' the fact would have borne him out in his reproof; and Francis Osborne, indeed, has consured James for giving marks of his useriousness! There was no deficient qualantry in the conduct of James the First to his queen; the very circumstance, that when the Princess of Den-

mark was driven by a storm back to Norway, the king resolved to hasten to her, and consummate his marriage is Denmark, was itself as romantic an expedition as afterwards was that of his son's into Spain, and betrays ne mark of that tame pusillanimity with which he stands overcharged.

The character of the queen of James the First is somewhat obscure in our public history, for in it she makes me prominent figure; while in secret history she is more apparent. Anne of Denmark was a spirited and enterprising woman; and it appears from a passage in Sully, whose authority should weigh with us, although we ought to recollect that it is the French minister who writes, that she seems to have raised a court faction against James, and inclined to favour the Spanish and catholic interests; yet it may be alleged as a strong proof of James's political wisdom, that the queen was sever suffered to head a formidable party, though she latterly might have engaged Prince Henry in that court-opposition. The bos-hommies of the king, on this subject expressed with a simplicity of style, which, though it may not be royal, is something better, appears in a letter to the queen, which has been preserved in the appendix to Sir David Dalrymple's collections. It is without date, but written when in Scotland to quiet the queen's suspicions, that the Earl of Afar, who had the care of Prince Henry, and whom she wished to take out of his hands, had insinuated to the king that her majesty was strongly disposed to any 'popish or Spanish course.' This letter confirms the representation of Sully; but the extract is remarkable for the manly simplicity of state which the king mad.

style which the king used.

'I say over again, leave these froward womanly apprehensions, for I thank God, I carry that love and respect unto you, which, by the law of God and nature, I ought to do to my wife, and mother of my children; but not for that ye are a king's daughter; for whether ye were a king's daughter, or a cook's daughter, ye must be all slike to me, since my wife. For the respect of your honourable birth and descent I married you; but the love and respect I now bear you is because that ye are my married wife, and so partaker of my honour, as of my other fortunes. I beseech you excuse my plainness in this, for casting up of your birth is a needless impertinent argument to me (that is, not pertinent.) God is my witness, I ever preferred. you to (for) my bairns, much more than to a subject.

In an ingenious historical dissertation, but one perfectly theoretical, respecting that mysterious transaction the Gowrie conspiracy, Mr Pinkerton has attempted to show that Anne of Denmark was a lady somewhat inclined to intrigue, and that 'the king had cause to be jealous.' He confesses that 'he cannot discover any positive charge of adultery against Anne of Denmark, but merely of coquetry.' To what these accusations amount it would be difficult to say. The progeny of James the First sufficiently bespeak their family resemblance. If it be true, that 'the king had ever reason to be jealous,' and yet that no single criminal act of the queen's has been recorded, it must be confessed that one or both of the parties were singularly discreet and decent; for the king never complained, and the queen was never accused, if we except this burden of an old Scottish ballad,

## O the bonny Earl of Murray, He was the queen's love.

Whatever may have happened in Scotland, in Engand the queen appears to have lived, occupied chiefly by the amusements of the court, and not to have interfered with the arcsas of state. She appears to have indulged a passion for the elegancies and splendours of the age, as they were shown in those gorgeous court masques with which the tasts of James barmonised, either from his gallantry for the queen, or his own poetic sympathy. But this tasts of court masques could not ercape the slur and scandal of the puritainic, and these 'high-flying fancies' are thus recorded by honest Arthur Wilson, whom we summon into court as an indubitable witness of the mutual cordality of this royal couple. In the spirit of his party, and like Milton, he censures the taste, but likes it. He says, 'The court being a continued maskarade, where she (the queen) and her ladies, like so many sea-nymphs or Nereidees, aspeared often in various dresses to the ravishment of the

\* This historical dissertation is appended to the first volumes of Mr Malcolm Laing's 'History of Scotland,' who thinks that 'k has placed that obscure transaction is its genuine light.'

Digitized by GOOGLE

beholders; the king himself not being a little delighted with such fluent elegancies as made the night more glorious than the day. This is a direct proof that James was by no means cold or negligent in his attentions to his queen; and the letter which has been given is the picture of his misd. That James the First was fondly indulgent to his queen, and could perform an act of chivalric gallantry with all the generosity of passion, and the ingenuity of an elegant mind, a pleasing anecdote which I have discovered in an unpublished letter of the day will show. I give it in the words of the writer.

August, 1613.

'At their last, being at Theobald's, about a fortnight ago, the queen, shooting at a deer, mistook her mark, and killed Jessel, the king's most principal and special hound; at which he stormed exceedingly a while; but after he knew who did it, he was soon pacified, and with much kindness wished her not to be troubled with it, for he should love her never the worse: and the next day sent ner a diamond worth two thousand pounds, as a legicy from his dead dog. Love and kindness increase daily between them.'

Such is the history of a contemporary living at court, very opposite to that representation of coldness and neglect with which the king's temper has been so freely aspersed; and such too is the true portrait of James the First in domestic life. His first sensations were thoughtless and impetuous; and he would ungracefully thunder out an oath, which a puritan would set down in his 'tables,' while he omitted to note that this king's forgiveness and forgetfulness of personal injuries was sure to follow the feeling they had excited.

#### THE MAN OF ORE BOOK,

Mr Maurice, in his animated memoirs, has recently acquainted us with a fact which may be deemed important in the life of a literary man. He tells us, 'We have been just informed that Sir Wm. Jones invariably read through every year the works of Cicero, whose life indeed was the great exemplar of his own.' The same passion for the works of Cicero has been participated by others. When the best means of forming a good style were inquired of the learned Arnauld, he advised the daily study of Cicero; but it was observed that the object was not to form a Latin, but a French style: 'In that case,' replied Arnauld, 'you must still read Cicero.'

A predilection for some great author, among the vast number which must transiently occupy our attention, seems to be the happingt recognition.

A predilection for some great author, among the vast number which must transiently occupy our attention, seems to be the happiest preservative for our taste: accustomed to that excellent author whom we have chosen for our favourite, we may in this intimacy possibly resemble him. It is to be feared, that if we do not form such a permanent attachment, we may be acquiring knowledge, while our enervated taste becomes less and less lively. Taste embalms the knowledge which otherwise cannot preserve itself. He who has long been intimate with one great author, will always be found to be a formidable antagonist; he has saturated his mind with the excellencies of genius; he has shaped his faculties insensibly to himself by his model, and he is like a man who even sleeps in armour, ready at a moment! The old Latin proverb reminds us of this fact, Cove ab homine units libri: be cautious of the man of one book!

Pliny and Seneca give very safe advice on reading; that we should read much, but not many books—but they had no 'monthly lists of new publications?' Since their days others have favoured us with 'Methods of Study,' and 'Catalogues of Books to be read.' Vain attempts to circumscribe that invisible circle of human knowledge which is perpetually enlarging itself! The multiplicity of books is an evil for the many; for we now find an hellus librorum, not only among the learned, but, with their pardon, among the unlearned; for those who, even to the prejudice of their health, persist only in reading the incessant book-novelties of our own time, will after many years acquire a sort of learned ignorance. We are now in want of an art to teach how books are to be read, rather than not to read them; such an art is practicable. But amidst this vast multitude still let us be 'the man of one book,' and preserve an uninterrupted intercourse with that great author with whose mode of thinking we sympathize, and whose charms of composition we can habitually reasis.

It is remarkable that every great writer appears to have a predilection for some favourite author; and with Alex-ander, had they possessed a golden casket, would have enshrined the works they so constantly turned over. De-mosthenes felt such delight in the history of Thucydides, mosthenes felt such delight in the history of Thucydides, that to obtain a familiar and perfect mastery of his style re-copied his history eight times; while Brutus not only was constantly perusing Polybius even amidst the most busy periods of his life, but was abridging a copy of that author on the last awful night of his existence, when on the following day he was to try his fate against Antony and Octavius. Selim the Second had the Commentaries of Cmear translated for his use; and it is recorded that his military ardour was heightened by the perusal. We are told that Scipio Africanus was made a hero by the writings of Xenophon. When Clarendon was employed in writing his history, he was in a constant study of Livy and ing his history, he was in a constant study of Livy and Tacitus, to acquire the full and flowing style of the one, and the portrait-painting of the other: he records this cir-cumstance in a letter. Voltaire had usually on his table the Athalic of Racine, and the Petit Carene of Masillon; the trasedies of the one were the finest model of French verse, the sermous of the other of French prose.' Were I obliged to sell my library,' exclaimed Diderot,' I would keep back Moses, Homer, and Richardson; and by the elege which this enthusiast writer composed on our English novelist, it is doubtful, had the Frenchman been obliged to have lost two of them, whether Richardson had not been the elected favourite. Monsieur Thomas, a French writer, who at times displays high eloquence and profound think-ing, Herault de Sechelles tells us, studied chiefly one author, but that author was Cicero; and never went into the country unaccompanied by some of his works. Fenelon was constantly employed on his Homer; he left a translation of the greater part of the Odyssey, without any design of publication, but merely as an exercise for style. Montesquieu was a constant student of Tacitus, of whom he must be considered a forcible imitator. He has, in the manner of Tacitus, characterized Tacitus: 'That historian,' he says, 'who abridged every thing, because he saw every thing.' The famous Bourdalous re-perused every year Saint Paul, Saint Chrysostom, and Cicero. 'These,' says a French critic, 'were the sources of his masculine and solid eloquence.' Grotius had such a taste for Lucan, that he always carried a pocket edition about him, and has been seen to kiss his hand-book with the rap-ture of a true votary. If this anocdote be true, the ele-vated sentiments of the stern Roman were probably the attraction with the Batavian republican. The diversified reading of Leibnitz is well known; but he still attached himself to one or two favourites: Virgil was always in his hand when at leisure, and Leibnitz had read Virgit so often, that even in his old age he could repeat whole books onen, that even in his out age ne could repeat whole books by heart; Barclay's Argenis was his model for prose; when he was found dead in his chair, the Argenis had fallen from his hands. Rabelais and Marot were the perpetual favourites of La Fontaine; from one he borrowed his humour, and from the other his style. Quevedo was so passionately fond of the Don Quixote of Cervantes, that often in reading that unrivalled work he felt an impulse to burn his own inferior compositions: to be a sincere admirer and a hopeless rival is a case of authorship the hardest imaginable. Few writers can venture vedo had not even been what he was, without the perpet-ual excitement he received from his great master. Ho-race was the friend of his heart to Malherbe; he laid the Roman poet on his pillow, took him in the fields, and called his Horace his breviary. Plutarch, Montaigne, and Locke, were the three authors constantly in the hands of Rousseau, and he has drawn from them the groundwork of his ideas in his Emilie. The favourite author of the or his ideas in his Emilie. In a ravourice author of the great Earl of Chatham was Barrow; on his style he had formed his eloquence, and had read his great master so constantly, as to be able to repeat his elaborate sermons from memory. The great Lord Burleigh always carried Tully's Offices in his pocket; Charles V. and Buonaparte had Machiavel frequently in their hands; and Davila was the perpetual study of Hampden: he seemed to have dis-covered in that historian of civil wars those which he anticipated in the land of his fathers.

These facts sufficiently illustrate the recorded circumstance of Sir William Jones's invariable habit of reading his Cicero through every year, and exemplify the happy

result for him, who, amidst the multiplicity of his authors, still continues in this way to be 'the man of one book.'

### A BIBLIOGNOSTE.

A startling literary prophecy, recently sent forth from our oracular literature, threatens the annihilation of Pub-

ic Libraries, which are one day to moulder away!
Listen to the vaticinator! 'As conservatories of mental treasures, their value in times of darkness and barbarity was incalculable; and even in these happier days, when men are incited to explore new regions of thought, they command respect as depots of methodical and well-ordered references for the researches of the curious. But what in one state of society is invaluable, may at another be worthless; and the progress which the world has made within a very few centuries has considerably reduced the estimation which is due to such establishments. We will say more \*\*—but enough! This idea of striking into dust 'the god of his idelatry,' the Dagon of his devotion, is sufficient to terrify the bibliographer, who views only a blind Samson pulling down the pillars of his temple!

This future universal inundation of books, this superfluity of knowledge, in billions and trillions, overwhelms the imagination! It is now about four hundred years since the art of multiplying books has been discovered; and an arithmetician has attempted to calculate the incalculable of these four ages of typography, which he discovers have actually produced 3,641,960 works! Taking each work at three volumes, and reckoning only each impression to consist of three hundred copies, which is too little, the actual amount from the presses of Europe will give to 1816—32,776,400 volumes! each of which being an inch thick, if placed on a line, would cover 6069 leagues! Leibnitz facetiously maintained that such would be the increase of literature, that future generations would find whole cities insufficient to contain their libraries. We are, however, indebted to the patriotic endeavours of our grocers and trunkmakers, alchemists of literature! they annihilate the same health in without libraries the fines evident hilate the gross bodies without injuring the finer spirits. We are still more indebted to that neglected race, the bibliographers!

The science of books, for so bibliography is sometimes dignified, may deserve the gratitude of a public, who are yet meensible of the useful zeal of those book-practitioners, the nature of whose labours is yet so imperfectly comprehended. Who is this varicinator of the uselessness of nended. Who is this varicinator of the uncreasures of public libraries? Is he a bibliognoste, or a bibliognaphe, or a bibliognaphe, or a bibliophele, or a bibliophele, or a bibliophele, the prophet cannot be; for the bibliothecaire, or a bibliophele, the prophet cannot be; for the bibliothecaire is too delightfully busied among his shelves, and the bibliophele is too profitably concerned in furnishing perpetual additions to the prophetical tensor of annihilation by

tions, to admit of this hyperbolical terror of annihilation !†
Unawares, we have dropped into that professional jargon which was chiefly forged by one who, though seated in the 'scorner's chair,' was the Thaumaturgus of books and manuscripts. The Abbé Rive had acquired a singuand manuscripus. In expuse rave has acquired a singular taste and curiosiris, not without a fermenting dash of singular charlatanets, in bibliography: the little volumes he occasionally put forth are things which but few hands have touched. He knew well, that for some books to be noised about they should not be read: this was one of those recondite mysteries of his, which we may have occasion further to reveal. This bibliographical hero was librarian to the most magnificent of book-collectors, the Duke de la The Abbé Rive was a strong but ungovernable brute, rabid, surly, but tres mordant. His master, whom I have discovered to have been the partner of the cur's tricks, would often pat him: and when the bibliognostes and the bibliomanes were in the heat of contest, let his and the bibliomanes were in the heat of contest, let his bull-dog' loose among them, as the duke affectionately called his librarian. The 'bull-dog' of bibliography appears, too, to have had the taste and appetite of the tiger of politics, but he hardly lived to join the festival of the guillotine. I judge of this by an expression he used to one complaining of his parish priest, whom he advised to give 'une messe dans sa ventre!' He had tried to exhaust his genius in La Chasse aux Bibliographes et aux Antiquaires mal avises, and acted Cain with his brothers.

\* Edinburgh Review, vol. xxxiv—384. † Will this writer perdon me for ranking him, for a moment, among those 'generalisers' of the age who excel in what a critical friend has happily discriminated as ambitious writing; that is, writing on any topic, and not least strikingly, on that of which they know least; men otherwise of fine taste, and who areal in every charm of composition.

All Europe was to receive from him new ideas concerning All Europe was a receive from sum are mighty promises fumed away in projects; and though he appeared for ever correcting the blunders of others, this French Ritson left enough of his own to afford them a choice of revenge. His style of criticism was perfectly Ritsonian. He de-scribes one of his rivals, as l'insolent et tres-insensé autes de l'almanach de Gotha, on the simple subject of the origin

of playing cards!
The Abbé Rive was one of those men of letters, of whom there are not a few, who pass all their lives in preparations. Mr Dibdin, since the above was written, has witnessed the confusion of the mind, and the giganuc industry, of our bibliognosts, which consisted of many trunks full of memoranda. The description will show the reader to what hard hunting these book-hunters voluntarily doom themselves, with little hope of obtaining fame! 'la one trunk were about six thousand notices of MSS of all ages. In another were wedged about theelve thousand descriptions of books in all languages, except those of French and Italian; sometimes with critica. otes. In a third trunk was a bundle of papers relating to the History of the Troubadours. In a fourth was a collection of memoranda and literary sketches connected with the investion of arts and sciences, with pieces exclusively bibliographical. A fifth trunk contained between two and three thousand cards, written upon each side, respecting a collection of prints. In a sixth trunk were contained his papers respecting earthquakes, voicanoes, and geogra-phical subjects. This Ajan flagellifer of the bibliogra-phical tribe, who was, as Mr Dibdin observes, the terror of his acquaintance, and the pride of his patron, is said to have been in private a very different man from his public character: all which may be true, without allering a shade of that public character. The French revolues showed how men, mild and even kind in domestic use, were sanguinary and ferocious in their public.

The rabid Abbé Rive gloried in terrifying, without

enlightening his rivals; he exulted that he was devoting to the rods of criticism and the laughter of Europe the bibliopoles, or dealers in books, who would not get by heart his 'Cathechism' of a thousand and one questions and answers: it broke the slumbers of honest De Bure, who had found that life was already too short for his own 'Bibliographie Instructive.'

The Abbé Rive had contrived to catch the shades of the appellatives necessary to discriminate book-amateurs; and

of the first term he is acknowledged to be the inventor.

A bibliognoste, from the Greek, is one knowing in title pages and colophons, and in editions; the place and year when printed; the presses whence issued; and all the minuties of a book.

A bibliographe is a describer of books and other literary

arrangements.

A bibliomane is an indiscriminate accumulator, who blunders faster than he buys, cock-brained, and purse-

A bibliophile, the lover of books, is the only one in the class, who appears to read them for his own pleasure. A bibliotophe buries his books by keeping them under

lock, or framing them in glass-cases.

I shall catch our bibliognoste in the hour of book-rapture.

It will produce a collection of bibliographical writers, and show to the second-sighted Edinburgher what human contrivances have been raised by the art of more painful writers than himself-either to postpone the day of universal annihilation, or to preserve for our posterity three centuries hence, the knowledge which now so busily occupies us, and to transmit to them something more than what Bacon

calls 'Inventories' of our literary treasures.
! Histories, and literary biblotheques (or biblothecas.)
will always present to us, says La Rive, 'as immense harvest of errors, till the authors of such catalogues shall be fully impressed by the importance of their art; and as it were, reading in the most distant ages of the future the literary good and evil which they may produce, force a triumph from the pure devotion to truth, in spite of all the disgusts which their professional tasks involve; still patiently enduring the heavy chains which bind down these who give themselves up to this pursuit, with a passion which resembles heroism.

The catalogues of bibliotheques fires (or critical, his-torical, and classified accounts of writers) have engen dered that enormous swarm of bibliographical errors, which have spread their roots, in greater or less quantities, in all sur bibliographers. He has here furnished a long list, which I shall preserve in the note.\*

The list, though curious, is by no means complete. Such are the men of whom the Abbé Rive speaks with more respect than his accustomed courtesy. 'If such,' say, he, 'cannot escape from errors, who shall? I have only marked them out to prove the importance of bibliographical history. A writer of this sort must occupy himself with more regard for his reputation than his own profit, and yield himself up entirely to the study of books.'

The mere knowledge of books, which has been called

The mere knowledge of books, which has been called an erudition of title pages, may be sufficient to occupy the life of some; and while the wits and 'the million' are ridiculing these hunters of editions, who force their passage through secluded spots, as well as course in the open fields, it will be found that this art of book-knowledge may turn out to be a very philosophical pursuit, and that men of great name have devoted themselves to labours, more frequently contemned than comprehended. Apostolo Zeno, a poet, a critic, and a true man of letters, considered it as no small portion of his glory, to have annotated Fontanini, who, himself an eminent prelate, had passed his life in forming his Bibliotheon Ralianse. Zeno did not consider that to correct errors and to enrich by information this catalogue of Italian writers was a mean task. The enthusiasm of the Abbé Rive considered bibliography as a sublime pursuit, exclaiming on Zeno's Commentary on Fontanini—' He chained together the knowledge of whole generations for posterity, and he read in future ages.'

There are few things by which we can so well trace the history of the human mind as by a classed catalogue, with dates of the first publication of books; even the relative prices of books at different periods, their decline and then their rise, and again their fall, form a chapter in this history of the human mind; we become critics even by this literary chronology, and this appraisement of auctioneers. The favourite book of every age is a certain picture of the people. The gradual depreciation of a great author marks a change in knowledge or in taste.

But it is imagined that we are not interested in the history of indifferent writers, and scarcely in that of the secondary ones. If none but great originals should claim our attention, in the course of two thousand years we should not count twenty authors! Every book whatever be its character, may be considered as a now experiment made by the human understanding; and as a book is a sort of individual respresentation, not a solitary volume exists but may be personified, and described as a human being.—Hints start discoveries: they are usually found in very different authors who could go no further; and the historian of obscure books is often preserving for men of genius indications of knowledge, which without his intervention, we should not possess! Many secrets we discover in bibliography. Great writters, unskilled in this science of books, have frequently used defective editions, as Hume did the castrated Whitelocke; or like Robertson, they are ignorant of even the sources of the knowledge they would give the public; or they compose on a subject which too late they discover had been anticipated. Bibliography will shew what has been done, and suggest to our invention what is wanted. Many have often protracted their journey in a road which had already been worn out by the whole map of the country we propose travelling over—the post-roads, and the by-paths.

Every half century, indeed, the obstructions multiply; and the Edinburgh prediction, should it approximate to the event it has foreseen, may more reasonably terrify a far distant posterity. Mazzuchelli declared after his laborious researches in Italian literature, that one of his more recent predecessors, who had commenced a similar work, had collected notices of forty thousand writers—and yet, he adds, my work must increase that number to ten thousand more! Mazzuchelli said this in 1753; and the amount of half a century must now be added, for the

amount of half a century must now be added, for the

\* Gesner, Simler, Bellarmin, L'Abbe, Mabillon, Montfaucon Moreri, Bayle, Baillet, Niceron, Dupin, Cave, Warton,
Casimir Oudin, Le Long, Goujet, Wolfius, John Albert Fabricius Argelat, Tirabosch, Nicholas Antonio, Walchius, Struvius, Brucker, Scheuchzer, Linnæus, Seguier, Haller, Adamson, Manget, Kesuer, Eloy, Douglas, Weilder, Hailbronner,
Montucla, Lalande, Bailly, Quadrio, Morkoff, Stollius, Funcclus, Schelhorn, Engels, Beyer, Gerdesius, Vogts, Frey:ag,
Pavid Clement, Chevillier, Maitaire, Orlandi, Prosper Marchand, Schoeplin, De Boze Abbé Sallier, and De Saint Leger.

presses of Italy have not been inactive. But the literature of Germany, of France, and of England, has exceeded the multiplicity of the productions of Italy, and an appalling population of authors swarm before the imagination. Hall then the peaceful spirit of the literary historian, which sitting amidst the night of time, by the monuments of genius trims the sepulchral lamps of the human mind! Hall to the literary Reaumur, who by the clearness of his glasses makes even the minute interesting, and reveals to us the world of insects! These are guardian spirits, who at the close of every century standing on its ascent, trace out the old roads we have pursued, and with a lighter line indicate the new ones which are opening, from the imperfect attempts, and even the errors of our predecessors!

# SECRET HISTORY OF AN ELECTIVE MONARCHY. A Political Sketch.

Poland, once a potent and magnificent kingdom, when it sunk into an elective morarchy, became 'venal thrice an age.' That country must neve exhibited many a diplomatic scene of intricate intrigue, which although they could not appear in its public, have no doubt been often consign-ed to its secret history. With us the corruption of ed to its secret history. With us the corruption of a rotten borough has sometimes exposed the guarded proffer of one party, and the dexterous chaffering of the other: but a master-piece of diplomatic finesse and political invention, electionsering viewed on the most magnificent scale, with a kingdom to be canvassed, and a crown to be won and lost, or lost and won in the course of a single day, exhibits a political drama, which, for the honour and happiness of mankind, is of rare and strange occur-There was one scene in this drama, which might appear somewhat too large for an ordinary theatre; the actors apparently were not less than fifty to a hundred thousand; twelve vast tents were raised on an extensive plain, a hundred thousand horses were in the environsand palatines and castellans, the ecclesiastical orders, with the ambassadors of the royal competitors, all agitated by the ceaseless motion of different factions during the six weeks of the election, and of many preceding months of preconcerted measures and vacillating opinions, now were all solemnly assembled at the diet.—Once the poet, amidst his gigantic conception of a scene, resolved to leave

it out;
'So vast a thing the stage can ne'er centain—
Then build a new, or act it in a plain?'

exclaimed 'La Mancha's knight,' kindling at a scene so novel and so vast!

Such an electioneering negotiation, the only one I am acquainted with, is opened in the 'Discours' of Choisnin, the secretary of Montluc, bishop of Valence, the confidential agent of Catharine de Medicis, and who was sent to intrigue at the Polish diet, to obtain the crown of Poland for her son the Duke of Anjou, afterwards Henry III. This bold enterprise at the first seemed hopeless, and in its progress encountered growing obstructions; but Monthuc was one of the most finished diplomatists that the genius of the Callic cabinet ever sent forth. He was nicknamed in all the courts of Europe, from the circumstance of his limping, 'le Boiteux,' our political bishop was in cabinet intrigues the Talleyrand of his age, and sixteen embassies to Italy, Germany, England, Scotland, and Turkey, had made this 'Connoisseur en hommes' an extraordinary politician!

Catharine de Medicis was infatuated with the dreams of judicial astrology; her pensioned oracles had declared that she should live to see each of her sons crowned, by which prediction probably they had only purposed to flatter her pride and her love of dominion. They, however, ended in terrifying the credulous queen; and she dreading to witness a throne in France, disputed perhaps by fratricides, anxiously sought for a separate crown for each of her three sons. She had been trified with in her earnest negotiations with our Elizabeth; twice had she seen herself baffled in her views in the Dukes of Alengon and of Angou. Catharine then projected a new empire for Anjou, by incorporating into one kingdom Algiers, Corsica, and Sardinia; but the other despot, he of Constantonople, Sentin II, dissipated the brilliant speculation of our female Machiavel. Charles IX was sickly, jealous and desirous of removing from the court the Duke of Anjou, whom two victories had made popular, though he afterwards sunk into a Sardanapalus. Montluc penetrated into the secret wishes of Catharine and Charles, and suggested to them the possibility of encirciling the brows of Anjou, with the

diadom to Poland, the Polish monarch then being in a state of visible decline. The project was approved; and like a profound politician, the bishop prepared for an event which might be remote, and always problematical, by sending into Poland a natural son of his, Balagny, as a disguised agent; his youth, his humble rank, and his love of pleasure, would not create any alarm among the neighbouring powers, who were alike on the watch to snatch the expected spoil; but as it was necessary to have a more dexterous politician behind the curtain, he recommended his secretary Choisnin as a travelling tutor to a youth who appeared to want one.

Balagny proceeded to Poland, where, under the veil of dissipation, and in the midst of splendid festivities, with his trusty adjutant, this hare-brained boy of revolry began to weave those intrigues which were afterwards to be knotted, or untied, by Montluc himself. He had contrived to be so little suspected, that the agent of the emperor had often disclosed important secrets to his young and amiable friend. On the death of Sigismond Augustus, Balagny, leaving Choisnin behind to trumpet forth the virtues of Anjou, hastened to Paris to give an account of all which he had seen or heard. But poor Choisnin found himself in a dilemma among those who had so long listened to his panegyrics on the humanity and meek character of the Duke of Anjou; for the news of St Bartholomew's massacre had travelled faster than the post; and Choisnin complains that he was now treated as an impudent liar, and the French prince as a monster. In vain he assured them that the whole was an exaggerated account, a mere insurrection of the people, or the effects of a few private emitties, praying the indignant Poles to suspend their decision till the Bishop came: Attendex le Boiteux!' cried he in agony.

Meanwhile, at Paris, the choice of a proper person for this embassy had been difficult to settle. It was a business of intrigue, more than of form, and required an orator to make speeches and addresses in a sort of popular assembly; for though the people, indeed, had no concern in the Diet, yot the greater and the lesser nobles and gentlemen, all electors, were reckored at one hundred thousand. It was supposed that a lawyer who could negotiate in good Latin, and one, as the French proverb runs, who could aller to parler, would more effectually puzzle their heads, and satisfy their consciences to vote for his client. Catharine at last fixed on Montluc himself, from the superstitious prejudice, which however, in this case accorded with philosophical experience, 'that Montluc had ever been lacky in his negotiations.'

Montluc hastened his departure from Paris; and it appears that our political bishop had, by his skilful penetra-tion into the French cabinet, foreseen the horrible catastrophe which occurred very shortly after he had left it; for he had warned the Count of Rochefoucault to absent himself; but this lord, like so many others, had no suspicions of the perfidious projects of Catharine and her cabi-net. Montluc, however, had not long been on his jour-ney, ere the news reached him, and it occasioned innumerable obstacles in his progress, which even his sagacity had not calculated on. At Strasburgh he had appointed to meet some able coadjutors, among whom was the famous Joseph Scaliger; but they were so terrified by les Mati-nes Parisiennes, that Scaliger flew to Geneva, and would not budge out of that safe corner; and the others ran home, not imagining that Montluc would venture to pass through Germany, where the protestant indignation had made the roads too hot for a catholic bishop. But Montluc had set his cast on the die. He had already passed through several hair-breadth escapes from the stratagems of the Guise faction, who more than once attempted to hang or drown the bishop, whom they cried out was a Calvinist; the fears and jealousies of the Guises had been roused by this political mission. Among all these troubles and delays, Mont-luc was most affected by the rumour that the election was on the point of being made, and that the plague was universal throughout Poland; so that he must have felt that he might be too late for the one, and too early for the other.

At last Montluc arrived, and found that the whole weight of this negotiation was to fall on his single shoulders; and further, that he was to sleep every night on a pillow of thorns. Our bishop had not only to allay the ferment of the popular spirit of the evangelists, as the protestants were shen called, but even of the more rational catholics of Poland. He had also to face those haughty and feudal lords, off whom each considered himself the equal of the sovereign shoom he created, and whose arowed principle was, and

many were incorrupt, that their choice of a sovereign should be regulated solely by the public interest; and it was hardly to be expected that the emperor, the czar, and the king of Sweden, would prove unsuccessful rivals to the cruel, and voluptuous, and bigoted duke of Anjou, whose political interests were too remote and novel to have raised any faction among these independent Does.

any faction among these independent Po.es.

The crafty politician had the art of dressing himself up in all the winning charms of candour and loyalty; a sweet flow of honeyed words melted on his lips, while his beart, cold and immovable as a rock, stood unchanged amidst the

most unforeseen difficulties.

The emperor had set to work the Abbé Cyre in a sort of ambiguous character, an envoy for the nonce, to be acknowledged or disavowed as was convenient, and by his activity he obtained considerable influence among the Lithuanians, the Wallachians, and nearly all Prussis, in favour of the Arch-duke Ernest. Two Bohemians, who had the advantage of speaking the Polish language, had arrived with a state and magnificence becoming kings rather than ambassadors. The Moscovite had written letters full of golden promises to the nobility, and was superted by a polarize of his honescent. ported by a palatine of high character; a perpetual peace between two such great neighbours was too inviting a pro-ject not to find advocates; and this party, Choisnin ob-serves, appeared at first the most to be feared. The King of Sweden was a close neighbour who had married the sister of their late sovereign, and his son urged his family claims as superior to those of foreigners. Among these parties was a patriotic one, who were desirous of a Pole for their monarch; a king of their father-land, speaking their mother-tongue, one who would not strike at the independence of his country, but preserve its integrity from the stranger. This popular party was even agreeable to several of the foreign powers themselves, who did not like to see a rival power strengthening itself by so strict a union with Poland; but in this choice of a sovereign from among themselves, there were at least thirty lords who equally thought that they were the proper wood of which kings should be carved out. The Poles therefore could and agree on the Pole who deserved to be a Piaste; as endearing title for a native monarch, which originated in the name of the family of the Piasts, who had reigned happily over the Polish people for the space of five centu-The remembrance of their virtues existed in the minds of the honest Poles in this affectionate title, and their party were called the Piastis.

Montluc had been deprived of the assistance he had de ended on from many able persons, whom the massacre of St Bartholomew had frightened away from every French political connexion. He found that he had himself only to depend on. We are told that he was not provided with the usual means which are considered most efficient in elections, nor possessed the interest nor the splendour of his powerful competitors: he was to derive all his resources from diplomatic finesse. The various ambassadors had fixed and distant residences, that they might not hold too close an intercourse with the Polish nobles. Of all things, he was desirous to obtain an easy access to these chiefs, that he might observe, and that they might listen. He who would seduce by his own ingenuity must come in contact with the object he would corrupt. Montluc persisted in not approaching them without being sought after, which answered his purpose in the end. One favourite argument which our Talleyrand had set affoat, was to show that all the benefits which the different competitors had promised to the Poles were accompanied by other circumstances which could not fail to be ruinous to the country; while the offer of his master, whose interests were remote, could not be adverse to those of the Polish nation: so that much good might be expected from him, without any fear of accompanying evil. Monthe procured a clever Frenchman to be the bearer of his first despatch, in Latin, to the Diet; which had hardly assembled, ere suspicions and jealousies were already breaking out. The emperor's ambassadors had offended the pride of the Polish nobles by travelling about the country without leave, and resorting to the infanta; and besider, in some intercepted letters the Polish nation was designated as gens burbaru et gens inepts. 'I do not think that the said letter was really written by the said ambassadors, who were statement to reliable the said settle statement to reliable the said settle statement. who were statesmen too politic to employ such unguarded language,' very ingenuously writes the secretary of Montluc. However, it was a blow levelled at the imperial am-bassadors; while the letter of the French bishop, com-

Digitized by Google

posed 'in a humble and modest style,' began to melt their proud spirits, and two thousand copies of the French bishop's letter were eagerly spread. 'But this good fortune did not last more than four-and-

But this good fortune did not hast more than four-andtwenty hours,' mournfully writes our honest secretary; for suddenly the news of the fatal day of St Bartholomew arrived, and every Frenchman was detested.'

Montuce, in this distress, published an apology for les Matinés Parisiennes, which he reduced to some excesses of the people, the result of a conspiracy plotted by the protestants; and he adroity introduced as a personage his master Anjou, declaring that 'he scorned to oppress a party whom he had so often conquered with sword in hand.' This pamphlet, which still exists, usust have cost the good bishop some invention; but in elections the lie of the moment serves a purpose; and although Montuc was in due time bitterly recriminated on, still the apology served to divide public opinion.

Monthuc was a whole cabinet to himself: he dispersed another tract in the character of a Polish gentleman, in which the French interests were urged by such arguments, that the leading chiefs never met without disputing; and Monthuc now found that he had succeeded in creating a French party. The Austrian then employed a real Polish gentleman to write for his party; but this was too genuine a production, for the writer wrote too much in earnest; and in politics we must not be in a passion.

The mutual jealousies of each party assisted the views of our negotiator; they would side with him against each other. The archduke and the czar opposed the Turk; the Muscovite could not endure that Sweden should be aggrandized by this new crown; and Denmark was still more uneasy. Montluc had discovered how every party had its vulnerable point, by which it could be managed. The cards had now got fairly shuffled, and he depended on his usual good play.

Our bishop got hold of a palatine to write for the French cause in the vernacular tongue; and appears to have held a more mysterious intercourse with another palatine, Al-Mutual accusations were made in the open diet; the Poles accused some Lithuanian lords of having contracted certain engagements with the czar; these in return accused the Poles, and particularly this Lasky, with being corrupted by the gold of France. Another circum-stance afterwards arose; the Spanish ambassador had forty thousand thalers sent to him, but which never passed the frontiers, as this fresh supply arrived too late for the election. 'I believe,' writes our secretary with great simplicity, 'that this money was only designed to distribute among the trumpeters and the tabourines.' usual expedient in contested elections was now evidently introduced; our secretary acknowledging that Montluc daily acquired new supporters, because he did not attempt to gain them over merely by promises—resting his whole cause on this argument, that the interest of the nation was concerned in the French election.

Still would ill fortune cross our crafty politician when every thing was proceeding smoothly. The massacre was refreshed with more damning particulars; some letters were forged, and others were but too true: all parties, with rival intrepidity, were carrying on a complete scene of deception. A rumour spread that the French king disavowed his accredited agent, and apologized to the emperor for having yielded to the importunities of a political speculator, whom he was now resolved to recall. This somewhat paralysed the exertions of those palatines who had involved themselves in the intrigues of Montluc, who was now forced patiently to wait for the arrival of a courier with renewed testimonials of his diplomatic character from the French court. A great odium was cast on the French in the course of this negotiation by a distribution of prints, which exposed the most inventive cruelties practised by the catholics on the reformed; such as women cleaved in half, in the act of attempting to snatch their children from their butchers; while Charles the Ninth and the Duke of Anjou, were hideously represented in their persons, and as spectators of such horrid tragedies, with words written in labels, complaining that the executioners were not zealous enough in this holy work. These prints, accompanied by libels and by horrid narratives, inflamed the popular indignation, and more particularly the women, who were affected to tears, as if these horrid scenes had been ing before their eyes.

Montiue replied to the libels as fast as they appeared,

while he skilfully introduced the most elaborate panegyrics on the Duke of Anjou; and in return for the cario tures, he distributed two portraits of the king and the duke, to show the ladies, if not the diet, that neither of these princes had such ferocious and inhuman faces. Such are the small means by which the politician condescends to work his great designs; and the very means by which his enemies thought they should ruin his cause, Monthe adroitly turned to his own advantage. Any thing of instant occurrence serves electioneering purposes, and Montluc eagerly seized this favourable occasion to exhaust his imagination on an ideal sovereign, and to hazard, with address, anecdotes, whose authenticity he could never have proved, till he perplexed even unwilling minds to be uncertain whether that intolerant and inhuman duke be uncertain whether that intoterant and mauman duste was not the most heroic and most merciful of princes. It is probable that the Frenchman abused even the license of the French sloge, for a noble Pole told Montluc that he was amplifying his duke with such ideal greatness, and attributing to him such immaculate purity of sentiment, that it was inferred there was no man in Poland who could possibly equal him; and that his declara, on, that the duke was not desirous of reigning over Poland to possess the wealth and the grandour of the kingdom, and that he was solely ambitious of the honour to be the head of such a great and virtuous nobility, had offended many lords, who did not believe that the duke sought the Polish crown

merely to be the sovereign of a virtuous people.

These Polish statesmen appear, indeed, to have been more enlightened than the subtile politician perhaps calculated on; for when Monituc was over anxious to exculpate the Duke of Anjou from having been an actor in the Parisian massacre, a noble Pole observed, 'That he need not lose his time at framing any apologies; for if he could prove that it was the interest of the country that the duke ought to be elected their king, it was all that was required, His cruelty, were it true, would be no reason to prevent his election, for we have nothing to dread from it: once in in our kingdom, he will have more reason to fear us than we him, should he ever attempt our lives, our property, or our fiberty.'

Another Polish lord, whose scruples were as pious a his patriotism was suspicious, however observed that, in his conferences with the French bishop, the bishop had never once mentioned God, whom all parties ought to implore to touch the hearts of the electors in their choice of God's 'anointed.' Montluc might have felt himself unexpectedly embarrassed at the religious scruples of this lord, but the politician was never at a fault. 'Speaking to a man of letters, as his lordship was,' replied the French bishop, 'it was not for him to remind his lordship what he so well knew; but since he had touched on the subject, he would, however, say that were a sick man desirous of having a physician, the friend who undertook to procure one would not do his duty should he say it was necessary to call in one whom God had chosen to restore his health; but another who should say that the most learned and skilful is him whom God has chosen, would be doing the best for the patient, and evince most judgment. By a parity of reason we must believe that God will not send an angel to point out the man whom he would have his anointed; sufficient for us that God has given us a knowledge of the requisities of a good king; and if the Polish gentlemen choose such a sovereign, it will be him whom God has chosen.' This shrewd argument delighted the Polish lord, who repeated the story in different companies, to the honour of the bishop. And in this manner, adds the secretary with great natuets, 'did the si strengthened by good arguments, divulge his opinions, which were received by many, and run from hand to hand.'

Montluc had his inferior manœuvres. He had to equipoise the opposite interests of the Catholics and the Evangelists, or the Reformed: it was mingling fire and water without suffering them to hiss, or to extinguish one another. When the imperial ambassadors zave files to the higher nobility only, they consequently offended the lesser. The Frenchman gave no banquets, but his house was open to all at all times. who were equally welcome. 'You will see that the files of the imperialists will do them more harm than good,' observed Montluc to his secretary.

Having gained over by every possible contrivance a number of the Polish nobles, and showered his courtesies on those of the inferior orders, at length the critical moment

Digitized by GOOGLE

approached, and the finishing hand was to be put to the work. Poland, with the appearance of a popular government, was a singular aristocracy of a hundred thousand electors, consisting of the higher and the lower nobility, and the gentry; the people had no concern with the government. Yet still it was to be treated by the politician as a popular government, where those who possessed the greatest influence over such large assemblies were orators, and he who delivered himself with the utmost fluency, and the most pertunent arguments, would infallibly bend every heart to the point he wished. The French bishop depended greatly on the effect which his oration was to produce when the amhassadors were respectively to be heard before the assembled Diet; the great and concluding act of so many tedious and difficult negotiations—'which had cost my master,' writes the ingenuous secretary, 'six months' daily and nightly labours; he had never been assisted or comforted by any but his poor servants; and in the course of these six months had written ten reams of paper, a thing which for forty years he had not used himself to.'

Every ambassador was now to deliver an oration before the assembled electors, and thirty-two copies were to be printed to present one to each palatine, who, in his turn, was to communicate it to his lords. But a fresh difficulty occurred to the French negotiator; as he trusted greatly to his address influencing the multitude, and creating a popular opinion in his favour, he regretted to find that the imperial ambassador would deliver his speech in the Bohemian language, so that he would be understood by the greatef part of the assembly; a considerable advantage over Montluc, who could only address them in Latin. The mventive genius of the French bishop resolved on two things which had never before been practised; first, to have his Latin translated into the vernacular idiom; and secondly, to print an edition of fifteen hundred copies in soth languages, and thus to obtain a vast advantage over the other ambassadors with their thirty-two manuscript copies, of which each copy was used to be read 1200 persons. The great difficulty was to get it secretly translated and printed. This fell to the management of Chois-nin, the secretary. He set off to the castle of the palatine, Solikotski, who was deep in the French interest : Solikotski despatched the version in six days. Hastening with the precious MS, to Cracow, Choisnin flow to a trusty printer, with whom he was connected: the sheets were deposited every night at Choisnin's lodgings, and at the end of the fortnight, the diligent secretary conducted the 1500 copies in secret triumph to Warsaw.

Yet this glorious labour was not ended; Montluc was in no haste to deliver his wonder-working oration, on which the fate of a crown seemed to depend. When his turn came to be heard he suddenly fell sick; for the fact was, that he wished to speak last, which would give him the advantage of replving to any objection raised by his rivals, and admit also of an attack on their weak points. He contrived to obtain copies of their harangues, and discovered five points which struck at the French interest. Our poor bishop had now to sit up through the night to re-write five leaves of his printed oration, and cancel five which had been printed; and worse! he had to get them by heart, and to have them translated and inserted, by employing twenty scribes day and night. 'It is exarcely credible what my master went through about this time,' saith the

historian of his 'gestes.'

The council or diet was held in a vast plain. Twelve pavilions were raised to receive the Polish nobility and the ambassadors. One of a circular form was supported by a single mast, and was large enough to contain 6000 persons, without any one approaching the mast nearer than by twenty steps, leaving this space void to preserve silence: the different orders were placed around: the archbishops and the bishops, the palatines, the castellans, each according to their rank. During the six weeks of the sittings of the diet, 100,000 horses were in the environs, yet forage and every sort of provisions abounded. There were no disturbances, not a single quarrel occurred, although there wanted not in that meeting for enmitties of long standing. It was strange, and even awful, to view such a mighty assembly preserving the greatest order, and every one seriously intent on this solemn occasion.

At length the elaborate oration was delivered: it lasted three hours, and Choranin assures us not a single auditor felt weary. 'A cry of joy broke out from the tent, and was re-echoed through the plain, when Montluc ceased:

it was a public acclamation; and had the election beer, fixed for that moment, when all hearts were warm, surely the duke had been chosen without a dissenting voice.' Thus writes, in rapture, the ingenuous secretary; and in the spirit of the times communicates a delightful augury attending this speech, by which evidently was foresen its happy termination. 'Those who disdain all things will take this to be a mere invention of mine,' says honest take this to be a mere invention of mine,' says honest mast of the pavilion, singing and warbling, which was remarked by a great number of lords, because the lark is accustomed only to rest itself on the earth: the most imparial confessed this to be a good sugury.\* Also it was observed, that when the other ambassadors were speaking, a hare, and at another time a hog, ran through the tent; and when the Swedish ambassador spoke, the great tent fell half way down. This lark singing all the while, did no little good to our cause; for many of the nobles and gentry noted this curious particularity, because when a thing which does not commonly happen occurs in a public affair, such appearances give rise to hopes either of good or of evil.'

The singing of this lark in favour of the Duke of Anjou is not so evident, as the cunning trick of the other French agent, the political bishop of Valence, who now reaped the full advantage of his 1500 copies over the thirty-two of his rivals. Every one had the French one in hand, or read it to his rivals, while the others, in manuscript, were confined to a very parrow circle.

were confined to a very narrow circle.

The period from the 10th of April to the 6th of May, when they proceeded to the election, proved to be an interval of infinite perplexities, troubles, and activity: it is probable that the secret history of this period of the negotiations was never written. The other ambassadors were for protracting the election, perceiving the French interest prevalent: but delay would not serve the purpose of Montiuc, he not being so well provided with friends and means on the spot as the others were. The public opinion which he had succeeded in creating, by some unforeseen circumstance might change.

During this interval, the bishop had to put several agents of the other parties hors as combat. He got rid of a formidable adversary in the cardinal Commendou, an agent of the pope of whom he proved ought not to be present at the election, and the cardinal was ordered to take his departure. A bullying colonel was set upon the French necessary. gotiator, and went about from tent to tent with a list of the debts of the Duke of Anjou, to show that the nation could expect nothing profitable from a ruined spendthrift. The page of a Polish count flew to Montluc for protection, entreating permission to accompany the bishop on his return to Paris. The servants of the count pursued the page; but this young gentleman had so insinuated himself into the favour of the bishop, that he was suffered to remain. The next day the page desired Montluc would grant him the full liberty of his religion, being an evangelist, that he might communicate this to his friends, and thus fix them to the French party. Montluc was too penetrating for this young political agent, whom he discovered to be a spy, and the pursuit of his fellows to have been a farce: he sent the page back to his master, the evangelical count, observing, that such tricks were too gross to be played on one whe had managed affairs in all the courts of Europe before he came into Poland.

Another alarm was raised by a letter from the grand vizier of Solim II, addressed to the diet, in which he requested that they would either choose a king from among themselves, or elect the brother of the king of France. Some zealous Frenchman at the Sublime Porte had officiously procured this recommendation from the enemy of Christianity; but an alliance with Mahometism did no service to Montluc, either with the catholics or the exangelists. The bishop was in despair, and thought that his handwork of six months' toil and trouble was to be shook into pieces in an hour. Montluc being shown the letter, instantly instited that it was a forgery, designed to injure his master the duke. The letter was attended by some suspicious circumstances; and the French bishop, quick

• Our honest secretary reminds me of a passage in Geoffrey of Monmouth, who says, 'at this place an eagle spoke while the wall of the town was building; and, indeed, I should not have failed transmitting the speech to posterity, had I thought it true as the rest of the history.'

Digitized by Google

at expedients, snatche at an advantage which the politician knows how to lay hold of in the chapter of accidents. "The letter was not sealed with the golden seal nor enclosed in a silken purse or cloth of gold; and farther, if they examined the translation,' he said, 'they would find that it was not written on Turkish paper.' This was a piece of the siess's good fortune, for the letter was not forged; but owing to the circumstance that the boyar of Wallachia had taken out the letter, to send a translation with it which the visier had omitted, it arrived without its usual accompaniments; and the courier, when inquired after, was kept out of the way: so that, in a few days, nothing more was heard of the great vixier's letter. 'Such was our fortunate escape,' says the secretary, 'from the friendly but faral smetiference of the Sultan, than which the sieur dreaded sothing so much:

Many secret agents of the different powers were spinning their dark intrigues; and often, when discovered or disconcerted, the creatures were again at their 'dirty work.' Those agents were conveniently disavowed or acknowledged by their employers. The abbé Cyre was an active agent of the emperor's, and though not publicly accredited, was still hovering about. In Lithuania he had accredited, was still hovering about. In Lithuania he had contrived matters so well as to have gained over that important province for the archduke; and was passing through Prussia to hasten to communicate with the emperor, but some honest men, quelques bons personages, says the French secretary, and, no doubt, some good friends of his master, 'took him by surprise, and laid him up safely in the castle of Mariemburgh, where truly he was a little mentilling used by the politics, who sifiad his nostranteau. uncivily used by the soldiers, who rifled his portmanteau and sent us his papers, when we discovered all his foul practices. The emperor, it seems, was angry at the arrest of his secret agent; but as no one had the power of releasing the abbe Cyre at that moment, what with recoiving remonstrances and furnishing replies, the time passed away, and a very troublesome adversary was in safe custody during the election. The dissentions between the catholics and the evangelists were always on the point of breaking out; but Montlue succeeded in quieting these invoterate parties by terrifying their imaginations with sanguinary civil wars, and invasions of the Turks and the Tartars. He satisfied the catholics with the hope that time would put an end to heresy, and the evangelists were glad to obtain a truce from persecution. The day before the election Montluc found himself so confident, that he despatched a courier to the French court, and expressed himself in the true style of a speculative politician, that des douze tables da Damier neus en avions les Neufs ABBUTES.

There were preludes to the election; and the first was probably in acquiescence with a saturnalian humour preralent in some countries, where the lower orders are only allowed to indulge their taste for the mockery of the great at stated times and on fixed occasions. A droll scene of a mock election, as well as combat, took place between the numerous Polish pages, who, saith the grave secre-tary, are still more mischievous than our own; these elected among themselves four competitors, made a senate to burlesque the diet, and went to loggerheads. Those who represented the archduke were well beaten; the Swede was hunted down, and for the Piastis, they seized on a cart belonging to a gentleman, laden with provisions, broke it to pieces, and burnt the axle-tree, which in that country is called a piasti, and cried out The piasti is burnt! nor could the senators at the diet that day command any The French party wore white handorder or silence. kerchiefs in their hats, and they were so numerous, as to defeat the others.

The next day however opened a different scene; 'the mobles prepared to deliberate, and each palatine in his quarters was with his companions on their knees, and many with tears in their eyes chanting a hymn to the Holy Ghost: it must be confessed, that this looked like a work of God,' says our secretary, who probably understood the manesurving of the mock combat, or the mock prayers, such better than we may. Every thing tells at an election, hardenene or solemnity.

burlesque or solemnity.

The election took place, and the Duke of Anion was proclaimed king of Poland—but the troubles of Montlue did not terminate. When they presented certain articles for his signature, the bishop discovered hat these had undergone material alterations from the pn nosals submitted to him before the proclamation; the e alterations referred to a disavowal of the Parisan maskacre; the

punishment of its authors, and toleration in religion. Montiue refused to sign, and cross-examined his Polish friends about the original proposals; one party agreed that some things had been changed, but that they were too trivial to lose a crown for; others declared that the alterations were necessary to allay the fears, or secure the safety of the people. Our Gallic diplomatist was outwitted, and after all his intrigues and cunning, he found that the crown of Poland was only to be delivered on conditional terms.

In this dilemma, with a crown depending on a stroke of his pen,—remonstrating, entreating, arguing, and still de-laying, like Pistol swallowing his lock, he witnessed with alarm some preparations for a new election, and his rivals on the watch with their protests. Monthuc, in despair, signed the conditions-' assured, however,' says the secre monarch should arrive, the states would easily be induced to correct them, and place things in statu quo, as before the proclamation. I was not a witness, being then despatched to Paris with the joyful news, but I heard that the sieur everque it was thought would have died in this agony, of being reduced to the hard necessity either to sign, or to lose the fruits of his labours. The conditions were afterwards for a long while disputed in France.' De Thou informs us in lib. Ivii. of his history, that Montiuc after signing these conditions wrote to his master, that he was not bound by them, because they did not concern Poland in general, and that they had compelled him to sign, what at the same time he had informed them his instructions did not authorize. Such was the true Jesuistic conduct of a gray-haired politician, who at length found, that honest plain sense could embarrass and finally entrap the creature of the cabinet, the artificial genius of diplomatic finesse.

The secretary, however, views nothing but his master's glory in the issue of this most difficult negotiation; and the triumph of Anjou over the youthful archduke, whom the Poles might have moulded to their will, and over the King of Sweden, who claimed the crown by his queen's side, and had offered to unite his part of Livonia with that which the Poles powessed. He labours hard to prove that the palatines and the castellans were not praticques, i. e. had their votes bought up by Montluc, as was reported; from their number and their opposite interests, he confesses that the sieur evesque slept little, while in Poland, and that he only gained over the hearts of men by that natural gift of God, which acquired him the title of the happy ambassador. He rather seems to regret that France was not prodigal of her purchase-mony, than to affirm that all palatines were alike scrupulous of their honour.

One more fact may close this political sketch; a lesson of the nature of court gratitude! The French court affected to receive Choisnin with favour, but their suppressed discontent was reserved for 'the happy amhassador!" Affairs had changed; Charles IX was dving, and Catharine de Medicis in despair for a son, to whom she had sacrificed all; while Anjou, already immersed in the wantonness of youth and pleasure, considered his elevation to the throne of Poland as an exile which separated him from his deprayed enjoyments. Montluc was rewarded only by incurring disgrace; Catharine de Medicis and the Duke of Anjou now looked coldly on him, and expressed their dislike of his successful mission. 'The mother of kings, as Choisnin designates Catharine of Medicis, to whom he addresses his Memoirs, with the hope of awakening her recollections of the zeal, the genius, and the success of his old master, had no longer any use for her favourite; and Montluc found, as the commentator of Choisnin expresses in few words, an important truth in political morality, that 'at court the interest of the moment as the measure of its affections and its hatreds."\*

## BUILDINGS IN THE METROPOLIS, AND RESIDENCE IN THE COUNTRY.

Recently more than one of our learned judges from the bench have perhaps a tonished their auditors by impressing them with an old-fashioned notion of residing more on their estates than the fashionable modes of life, and the

\*I have drawn up this article, for the curiosity of its subject and its details. From the 'Discours an vray de tout ce qui s'est fait et passé nour l'entière negociation de l'election du Rois fait et passé nour l'entière negociation de l'election du Rois Pologne, divisés en trois livres par Jehan Choisuin de Chatolleraud, nagueres secretaire de M. la Evesque de Valenca, 1754 '

reprit de societé, now overpowering all other esprit, will ever admit. These opinions excited my attention to a curious circumstance in the history of our manners—the great anxiety of our government, from the days of Elizabeth till much later than those of Charles II, to preserve the kingdom from the evils of an overgrown metropolis. The people themselves indeed participated in the same alarm at the growth of the city; while, however, they themselves were perpetuating the grievance which they complained of.

It is amusing to observe, that although the government was frequently employing even their most forcible acts to restrict the limits of the metropolis, the suburbs were gradually incorporating with the city, and Westminister at length united itself with London. Since that happy marriage, their fertile progenies have so blended together, that little Londons are no longer distinguishable from the ancient parent; we have succeeded in spreading the capital into a county, and have verified the prediction of James the First, that 'England will shortly be London, and London, and London and London and London and London are controlled the prediction of James the First, that 'England will shortly be London, and London.

don England.'

'I think it a great object,' said Justice Best, .n delivering his sentiments in favour of the Game Laws, 'that gentlemen should have a temptation to reside in the country, amongst their neighbours and tenantry, whose interests must be materially advanced by such a circumstance. The links of society are thereby better preserved, and the mutual advantages and dependence of the higher and lower classes on one another are better maintained. The baneful effects of our present system we have lately seen in a neighbouring country, and an ingenious French writer has lately shown the ill consequences of it on the Continent.'\*

These sentiments of a living luminary of the Law af-

These sentiments of a living luminary of the Law afford some reason of policy for the dread which our government long entertained on account of the perpetual growth of the metropolis; the nation, like an hypocondriac, was ludicrously terrified that their head was too monstrous for their body, and that it drew all the moisture of life from the middle and the extremities. Proclamations warned and exhorted; but the very interference of a royal prohibition seemed to render the crowded city more charming; in vain the statute against new buildings was passed by Elizabeth; in vain during the reigns of James the First, and both the Charleses, we find proclamations continually

ssuing to forbid new erections.

James was apt to throw out his opinions in these frequent addresses to the people, who never attended to home: his majesty notices 'those swarms of gentry, who have the instigation of their wives, or to new model and fashion their daughters, (who if they were unmarried, marred their reputations, and if married lost them), did neglect their country hospitality, and cumber the city, a general nuisance to the kingdom.—He addressed the Star-chamber to regulate 'the exorbitancy of the new buildings about the city, which were but a shelter for those who, when they had spent their estates in coaches, lacqueys and fine clothes like Frenchmen, lived miserably in their houses like Italians; but the honour of the English nobility and gentry is to be hospitable among their tenants.' Once conversing on this subject, the monarch threm. Once conversing on this subject, the monarch and conticed, that 'Gentlemen resident on their estates were like ships in port; their value and magnitude were felt and acknowledged; but when at a distance, as their size seemed insignificant, so their worth and importance were not duly estimated.'

A manuscript writer of the times complains of the breaking up of old family establishments, all crowding to 'upstart London.'—' Every one strives to be a Diogenes in his bouse, and an emperor in the streets; not caring if they sleep in a tub, so they may be hurried in a coach: giving that allowance to horses and mares, that formerly maintained houses full of men; pinching many a belly to paint a few backs, and burying all the treasures of the kingdom into a few cittzens' coffers; their woods into wardrobes, their leases into laces, and their goods and chattels into guarded coats and gaudy toys.' Such is the representation of an eloquent contemporary; and however contracted might have been his knowledge of the principles of political economy, and of that prosperity which a wealthy nation is said to derive from its consumption of articles of luxury, the moral effects have not altered, nor has the scene in reality greatly changed.

+ Morning Chronicle, January 28, 1820.

The government not only frequently forbade new buildings within ten miles of London, but sometimes ordered them to be pulled down—after they had been erected for several years. Every six or seven years proclamations were issued. In Charles the First's reign, offenders were sharply prosecuted by a combined operation, only against houses, but against persons. \* Many of the nobility and gentry, in 1632, were informed against for having resided in the city, contrary to the late proclamation. And the attorney-general was then fully occupsed in filing bills of indictment against them, as well as ladies, for staying in town. The following curious 'information' is the Starschamber will serve our resemble.

tion. And the attorney-general was then tolly occupaed in filing bills of indictment against them, as well as ladies, for staying in town. The following curious 'information' in the Star-chamber will serve our purpose.

The attorney-general informs his majesty, that both Elizabeth and James, by several proclamations, had commanded that' persons of livelihood and means should reside in their counties, and not abide or sojourn in the city of London, so that countries remain unserved.' These pro clamations were renewed by Charles .c e First, who had observed 'a greater number of nobility and gentry, and abler sort of people, with their families, had recorted to the cities of London and Westminister, residing there, con-trary to the ancient usage of the English nation'—by their abiding in their several counties where their mean arise, they would not only have served his majesty ac-cording to their ranks, but by their housekeeping in those parts the meaner sort of people formerly uere guided, de-rected, and relieved. He accused them of wasting their the common people in their several counties. The loose and disorderly people that follow them, living in and about the cities, are so numerous, that they are not easily governed by the ordinary magistrates : mendicants increase in great number—the prices of all commodities are highly raised, &c. The king had formerly proclemed that all ranks who were not connected with public officers, at the close of forty days' notice, should resort to their several counties, and with their families continue their residence there. And his majesty further warned them ' Not to put themselves to unnecessary charge in providing themselves to return in winter to the said cities, as it was the king's firm resolution to withstand such great and growing evil. The information concludes with a most copious list of offenders, among whom are a great number of nobility, and ladies and gentlemen, who were accused of having lived in London for several months after the given warning of forty days. It appears that most of them, to elude the grasp of the law. had contrived to make a show of quitting the metropolis, and, after a short absence had again returned; 'and thus the service of your majesty and your people in the several counties have been neglected and undone.

Such is the substance of this curious information, which enables us, at least, to collect the ostensible motives of this singular prohibition. Proclamations had hitherto been considered little more than the news of the morning, and three days afterwards were as much read as the last week's newspapers. They were now, however, resolved to stretch forth the strong arm of law, and to terrify by an example. The constables were commanded to bring in a list of the names of strangers, and the time they prop to fix their residence in their parishes. A remarkable victim on this occasion was a Mr Palmer, a Sussex gentleman, who was brought ore tenus into the Star-cham for disobeying the proclamation for living in the country. Palmer was a squire of a 1000 per annum, then a considerable income. He appears to have been some rich bachelor; for in his defence he alleged that he had never been married, never was a housekeeper, and had no house fitting for a man of his birth to reside in, as his mansion in the country had been burnt down within two years. These reasons appeared to his judges to aggravate rather than extenuate his offence; and after a long reprimand for having deserted his tenants and neighbours, they heavily fined him in one thousand pounds.†

The condemnation of this Sussex gentleman struck a terror through a wide circle of sojourners in the metropolis. I find accounts, pathetic enough, of their 'packing away on all sides for fear of the worst,' and gentlemen 'grumbling that they should be confined to their houses,' and this was sometimes backed too by a second proclamation, respecting 'their wives and families, and also widows,' which was 'duras serme to the women. It is no-

<sup>\*</sup>Rushworth, vol. ii, p. 288.
† From a manuscript letter from Sir George Greeley to Sir
Thomas Puckering, Nov 1632.

Digitized by

thing pleasing to all,' says the letter writer, 'but least of all to the women.' 'To encourage gentlemen to live more willingly in the country,' says another letter writer, 'all game-fowl, as pheasants, partridges, ducks, as also hares, are this day by proclamation forbidden to be dressed or caten in any inn.' Here we find realized the argument of Mr Justice Best, in favour of the game-laws.

It is evident that this severe restriction must have produced great inconvenience to certain persons who found a residence in London necessary for their pursuits. This appears from the manuscript diary of an honest antiquary, Sir Symond D'Ewes: he has preserved an opinion, which, no doubt, was spreading fast, that such prosecutious of the atterney-general were a violation of the liberty of the subject. 'Most men wondered at Mr Noy, the atterney-general being accounted a great lawyer, that so strictly took away men's liberties at one blow, confining them to reside at their own houses and not permitting them freedom to live where they pleased within the king's dominions. I was myself a little startled upon the first coming out of the proclamation; but having first spoken with the Lord Coventry, lord keeper of the great seal, at Islington, when I visited him; and afterwards with Sir William Jones, one of the king's justices of the bench, about my condition and residence at the said town of Islington, and they both agreeing that I was not within the letter of the proclamation, nor the intention of it neither, I rested satisfied, and thought myself secure, laying in all my provisions for housekeeping for the year ensuing, and never imagined myself to be in danger, till this unexpected censure of Mr Palmer passed in the Star-chamber: so, having advised with my friends, I resolved for a remove, being much troubled not only with my separation from Recordes, but with my wife, being great with child, fearing a winter journey might be dangerous for her.'\* He left Islington and the records in the Tower to return to his country-seat, to the great disturbance of his studies.

It is, perhaps, difficult to assign the cause of this marked anxiety of the government for the severe restriction of the limits of the metropolis, and the prosecution of the nobility and gentry to compel a residence on their estates.—Whatever were the motives, they were not peculiar to the existing sovereign, but remained transmitted from cabinet to cabinet, and were even renewed under Charles the Second. At a time when the plague often broke out, a close and growing metropolis might have been considered to be a great evil; a terror expressed by the manuscript writer before quoted, complaining of 'this deluge of building, that we shall be all poisoned with breathing in one another's faces.' The police of the metropolis was long imbecile, notwithstanding their 'strong watches and guards' set at times; and bodies of the idle and the refractory often assumed some mysterious title, and were with difficulty governed. We may conceive the state of the police, when 'London apprentices,' growing in number and insolence, frequently made attempts on Bridewell, or pulled down houses. One day the citizens, in proving some ordnance, terrified the whole court of James the First with a panic, that there was a 'rising in the city.' It is possible that the government might have been induced to pursue this singular conduct for I do not know that it can be paralleled, of pulling down new-built houses by some principle of political economy which remains to be explained, or ridiculed, by our modern adepts.

It would hardly be supposed that the present subject may be enlivened by a poem, the elegance and freedom of which may even now be admired. It is a great literary curiosity, and its length may be excused for several remarkable points.

# AN ODE,

BY SIR RICHARD FARSHAW,

Upon Occasion of his Majesty's Proclamation in the year 1630, commanding the Gentry, to reside upon their Estates in the Country.

Now war is all the world about, And every where Eyranis reigns; Or of the torch so late put out 'The stench remains.

Holland for many years hath been Of christian tragedies the stage, Yet seldom hath she play'd a scene Of bloodier rage:

\* Harl. MSS, 6, fo. 152.

And France that was not long compos'd, With civil drums again resounds, And ere the old are fully clos'd, Receives new wounds.

The great Gustavus in the west
Plucks the imperial eagle's wing,
Than whom the earth did ne'er invest
A fiercer king.

Only the island which we sow,
A world without the world so far
From present wounds, it cannot show
An ancient scar.

White peace, the beautifull'st of things, Seems here her everlasting rest To fix, and spread the downy wings Over the nest,

As when great Jove, usurping reign, From the plagued world did her exile, And tied her with a golden chain To one blest isle,

Which in a sea of plenty swam, And turtles sang on every bough, A safe retreat to all that came, As ours is now.

Yet we, as if some foe were here, Leave the despised fields to clowns, And come to save ourselves, as 'twere, In walled towns.

Hither we bring wives, babes, rich clothes, And gems—till now my sovereign The growing evil doth compose: Counting in vain,

His care preserves us from annoy Of enemies his realms to invade, Unless he force us to enjoy The peace he made.

To roll themselves in envied leisure; He therefore sends the landed heirs, Whilst he proclaims not his own pleasure So much as their's.

The sap and blood of the land, which fled Into the root, and chok'd the heart, Are bid their quick'ning power to spread Through every part.

O 'twas an act, not for my muse To celebrate, nor the dull age, Until the country air infuse

A purer rage.

And if the fields as thankful prove
For benefits receiv'd, as seed,
They will be 'quite so great a love
A Virgil breed.

Nor let the gentry grudge to go Into those places whence they grew, But think them blest they may do so. Who would pursue

The smoky glory of the town,
That may go till his native earth,
And by the shining fire sit down
Of his own hearth,

Free from the griping scriveners' bands, And the more biting mercers' books; Free from the bait of oiled hands, And painted looks?

The country too even chops for rain You that exhale it by your power, Let the fat drops fall down again In a full shower,

And you bright beauties of the time,
That waste yourselves here in a blaze,
Fix to your orb and proper clime
Your wandering rays

Let no dark corner of the land Be unimbellish'd with one gem, And those which here too thick do stand Sprinkle on them.

Believe me, ladies you will find In that sweet life more solid joys, More true contentment to the mind

Than all town-town O

Nor Cupid there less blood doth spill, But heads his shafts with chaster love, Not feather'd with a sparrow's quill, But of a dove.

There you shall hear the nightingale, The harmless syren of the wood, How prettily she tells a tale

Of rape and blood.

Thy lyric lark with all beside Of nature's feather'd quire, and all The commonwealth of flowers in 'ts pride, Behold you shall.

The hly queen, the royal rose,
The gillyflower, prince of the blood!
The courtier tulip, gay in cloths,
The regal bud;

The violet purple senator,
How they do mock the pomp of state,
And all that at the surly door
Of great ones wait.

Plant trees you may, and see them shoot Up with your children, to be served To your clean boards, and the fairest fruit To be preserved:

nd learn to use their several gums; 'Tis innocence in the sweet blood Of cherry, apricocks, and plums, To be imbrued,

### ROYAL PROCLAMATIONS.

The satires and the comedies of the age have been consulted by the historian of our manners, and the features of the times have been traced from those amusing records of folly. Daines Barrington cularged this field of domes-tic history, in his very entertaining 'Observations on the Statutes.' Another source, which to me seems not to have been explored, is the Proclamations which have frequently issued from our sovereigns, and were produced by the exigences of the times.

These proclamations, or royal edicts, in our country were never armed with the force of laws—only as they enforce the execution of laws already established; and the proclamation of a British monarch may become even an illegal act, if it be in opposition to the law of the land. Once, indeed, it was enacted, under the arbitrary govern-ment of Henry the Eighth, by the sanction of a pusillani-mous parliament, that the force of acts of parliament should be given to the king's proclamations; and at a much later period, the chancellor Lord Elsemere was willing to have advanced the king's proclamations into laws, on the sophistical maxim, that 'all precedents had a time when they began;' but this chancellor argued ill, as he was told with spirit by Lord Coke, in the presence of James the First,\* who probably did not think so ill of the chancellor's logic. Blackstone, to whom on this occasion I could not fail to turn, observes, on the statute under Henry the Eighth, that it would have introduced the most despotic tyranny, and must have proved fatal to the liberties of this kingdom had it not been luckily repealed in the minority of his successor, whom he elsewhere calls an amiable prince all our young princes, we discover, were amiable! Blackstone has not recorded the subsequent attempt of the Lord Chancellor, under James the First, which tended to raise proclamations to the nature of an ukase of the autocrat of both the Russias. It seems that our national freedom, notwithstanding our ancient constitution, has had several narrow escapes.

Royal proclamations, however, in their own nature are innocent enough; for since the manner, time, and circum stances of putting laws into execution must frequently be left to the discretion of the executive magistrate, a proclamation that is not adverse to existing laws need not create any alarm; the only danger they incur is that they seem never to have been attended to, and rather testified the wishes of the government than the compliance of the subjects. They were not laws, and were therefore considered as sermons or pamphlets, or any thing forgotten in a week's

These proclamations are frequently alluded to by the letter-writers of the times, among the news of the day, but usually their royal virtue hardly kept them alive be-yond the week. Some on important subjects are indeed

\* The whole story is in 12 Co. 746. I owe this curious fact to the author of Eunomus, ii, 116

noticed in our history. Many indications of the situation of affairs, the feelings of the people, and the domestic his-tory of our nation, may be drawn from these singular records. I have never found them to exist in any collected form, and they have been probably only accidentally preserved.

The proclamations of every sovereign would characterize his reign, and open to us some of the interior opera-tions of the cabinet. The despotic will, yet vacillating conduct of Henry the Eighth, towards the close of his conduct of Henry the Eighth, towards the close of his reign, may be traced in a proclamation to abolish the translation of the scriptures, and even the reading of Bibles by the people; commanding all printers of English books and pamphlets to affix their names to them, and When the people were not suffered to publish their opinions at home, all the opposition flew to foreign presses, and their writings were then smuggled into the country in which they ought to have been printed. Hence many vo-lumes printed in a foreign type at this period are found in our collections. The king shrunk in dismay from that spirit of reformation which had only been a party-business with him, and making himself a pope, decided that no-thing should be learnt but what he himself designed to teach!

The antipathies and jealousies, which our populace too long indulged by their incivilities to all foreigners, are characterized by a proclamation issued by Mary, commanding her subjects to behave themselves peaceably towards the strangers coming with King Philip; that noblemen and gentlemen should warn their servants to refrain from strile and contention, either by outward deeds, taunting words, unseemly countenance, by mimicking them, &c. words, unseeming countenance, by mississing dress, acc. The punishment not only 'her grace's displeasure, but to be committed to prison without bail or mainprise.'

The proclamations of Edward the Sixth curiously exhi-

bit the unsettled state of the reformation, where the rights and ceremonies of catholicism were still practised by new religionists, while an opposite party, resolutely best on eternal separation from Rome, were avowing doctrines which afterwards consolidated themselves into puritanism and while others were hatching up that demoralizing fanaticism, which subsequently shocked the nation with those monstrous sects, the indelible disgrace of our country! In one proclamation the king denounces to the people 'those who despise the sacrament by calling it ide, or such other vile name. Another is against such 'as innovate any ceremony,' and who are described as 'certain private preachers and other laimen who rashly attempt of their own and singular soit and mind, not only to persuade the people from the old and accustomed rites and ceremonies, but also themselves bring in new and strange orders according to their phantasies. The which, as it is an evident token of pride and arrogancy, so it tendeth both to confu-sion and disorder.' Another proclamation, to press 'a godly conformity throughout his realm,' where we learn the following curious fact, of 'divers unlearned and indiscreet priests of a devilish mind and intent, teaching that a man may forsake his wife and marry another, his first wife yet living; likewise that the wife may do the same to the husband. Others that a man may have two wives or more at once, for that these things are not prohibited by God's law, but by the Bishop of Rome's law; so that by such evil and phantastical opinions some have not been afraid indeed to marry and keep two wives. Here, as in the buc, we may unfold those subsequent scenes of our story, which spread out in the following century; the branching out of the non-conformists into their various sects; and the indecent haste of our reformed priesthood, who, in their zeal to cast off the yoke of Rome, desperately submitted to the liberty of having 'two wives or more!' There is a proclamation to abstain from flesh on Fridays and Saturdays; ciamaton to ansata from near on ready a state way state on the principle, not only that 'men should abstain on those days, and forbear their pleasures and the meats wherein they have more delight, to the intent te subdue their bodies to the soul and spirit, but also for worldly volicy. To use fish for the benefit of the commonwealth, and profit of many who be fahers and men using that trade, unto the which this realm, in every part environed with the seas, and so plentiful of fresh waters, be increased the nourishment of the land by saving flesh.' It did not seem to occur to the king in council that the butchers might have had cause to petition against this monopoly of two days in the week granted to the fishmongers; and much less, that it was better to let the people cat flesh or

fish as suited their conveniency. In respect to the religious rite itself, it was evidently not considered as an essential point of faith, since the king enforces it on the principle 'for the profit and commodity of his realm.' Burnet has made a just observation on religious fasts.\*

A proclamation against excess of apparel, in the reign of Elizabeth, and ronewed many years after, shows the buxury of dress, which was indeed excessive: I shall shortly notice it in another article. There is a curious one against the iconoclasts, or image-breakers and picture-de-stroyers, for which the antiquary will hold her in high reverence. Her majesty informs us, that 'several persons, ignorant, malicious, or covetous, of late years, have spoiled and broken ancient monuments, erected only to show a memory to posterity, and not to nourish any kind of super-stition. The queen laments, that what is broken and spoiled would be now hard to recover, but advises her good people to repair them; and commands them in future to desist from committing such injuries . A more extraordinary circumstance than the proclamation itself was the manifestation of her majesty's zeal, in subscribing her name with her own hand to every proclamation dispersed throughout England! These image-breakers first appeared in Elizabeth's reign; it was afterwards that they flourished an all the perfection of their handicraft, and have contrived that these monuments of art shall carry down to posterity the memory of their shame and of their age. These image breakers, so famous in our history, had already appeared under Henry the Eighth, and continued their practical zeal, in spite of proclamations and remonstrances, till they had accomplished their work. In 1641, an order was published by the commons, that they should 'take away all scandalous pictures out of churches:' but more was intended than was expressed; and we are told that the people did not at first carry their barbarous practice against all Art, to the lengths which they afterwards did, till they were instructed by private information! Dowsing's Journal has been published, and shows what the order meant. He was their giant-destroyer! Such are the Machtavelian secrets of revolutionary governments; they give a public order in moderate words, but the secret one, for the deeds, is that of extermination! It was this sort of men who discharged their prisoners by giving a secret sign to lead them to their execution!

The proclamations of James the First, by their number, are said to have sunk their value with the people. He was fond of giving them gentle advice, and it is said by Wilson that there was an intention to have this king's printed proclamations bound up in a volume, that better notice might be taken of the matters contained in them. There is more than one to warn the people against 'speaking too freely of matters above their reach,' prohibiting all 'undutiful speeches,' I suspect that many of these proclamations are the composition of the king's own hand; he was often his own secretary. There is an admirable one against private duels and challenges. The curious one respecting Cowell's 'Interpreter' is a sort of royal review of some of the arcana of state: I refer to the quotation.

I will preserve a passage of a proclamation against excess of lavish and licentious speech. James was a king of words.

'Although the commixture of nations, confluence of ambassadors, and the relation which the affairs of our kingdoms have had towards the business and interests of foreign states, lave caused, during our regiment (government,) a greater openness and liberty of discourse, even concerning states of state (which are no themes or subjects fit for vulgar persons or common meetings) than hath been in former times used or permitted; and although in our own nature and judgment we do well allow of convenient freedom of speech, esteeming any over-curious or restrained hands carried in that kind rather as a weakness, or else overmuch severity of government than otherwise; yet for as much as it is come to our ears, by common report, that there is at this time a more licentious passage of lavish discourse and bold censure in matters of state than is fit to be suffered: We give this warning, &c., to take heed how they intermedile by pen or speech with causes of state and secrets of empire, either at home or abroad, but contain themselves within that modest and reverent regard of matters above their reach and calling; nor to give any manner of applause to such discourse, without acquainting one of our privy council within the space of twenty-four hours.'

\* History of the Reformation, vol. It, p. 96, folio. f J have noticed it in Calamities of Authors, it. 246.

It seems that 'the bold speakers,' as certain persons were then denominated, practised an old artifice of lauding his majesty, while they severely arraigned the counsels of the cabinet; on this James observes, 'Neither let any man mistake us so much as to think that by giving fair and specious attributes to our person, they cover the scandals which they otherwise lay upon our government, but conceive that we make no other construction of them but as fine and artificial glosses, the better to give passage to the rest of their imputations and scandals.'

This was a proclamation in the eighteenth year of his reign; he repeated it in the nineteenth, and he might have proceeded to 'the crack of doom' with the same effect!

Rushworth, in his second volume of Historical Collections, has preserved a considerable number of the proclamations of Charles the First, of which many are remarkable; but latterly they mark the feverish state of his reign. One regulates access for cure of the king's evil—by which his majesty, it appears, 'hath had good success therein;' but though ready and willing as any king or queen of this realm ever was to relieve the distresses of his good subjects, 'his majesty commands to change the seasons for his 'sacred touch' from Easter and Whitsunide to Easter and Michaelmas, as times more convenient for the temperature of the season,' &c. Another against' departure out of the realm without licence.' One to erect an office 'for the suppression of cursing and swearing,' to receive the forfeitures; against 'libellous and seditious pamphlets and re-published in London—this was in 1640; and Charles, at the crisis of that great insurrection in which he was to be at once the actor and the spectator, fondly imagined that the possessors of these 'scandalous' pamphlets would bring them, as he proclaimed, 'to one of his majesty's justices of peace, to be by him sent to one of his principal secretaries of state?

On the Restoration, Charles the Second had to court his people by his domestic regulations. He early issued a remarkable proclamation, which one would think reflected on his favourite companions, and which strongly marks the moral disorders of those deprayed and wretched times. It is against 'vicious, debauched, and profane persons!' who are thus described:

'A sort of men of whom we have heard much, and are sufficiently ashamed; who spend their time in taverns, itipling-houses and debauches; giving no other evidence of their affection to us but in drinking our health, and inveighing against all others who are not of their own dissolute temper: and who, in truth, have more discredited our cause, by the licence of their manners and lives, than they could ever advance it by their affection or courage. We hone all persons of honour, or in place and authority, will so far assist us in discountenancing such men, that their discretion and shame will persuade them to reform what their conscience would not; and that the displeasure of good men towards them may supply what the laws have not, and, it may be, cannot well provide against; there being by the licence and corruption of the times, and the depraved nature of man, many enormities, scandals, and impleties in practice and manners, which laws cannot well describe, and consequently not enough provide against, which may, by the example and severity of virtuous men, be easily discountenanced, and by degrees suppressed.'

Surely the gravity and moral severity of Clarendon dictated this proclamation! which must have afforded some mirth to the gay, debauched circle, the loose cronies of royalty!

It is curious that in 1660 Charles the Second issued a long proclamation for the strict observance of Lent, and alleges for it the same reason as we found in Edward the Sixth's proclamation, for the good it produces in the employment of fishermen. No ordinaries, taverns, &c, to make any supper on Friday nights, either in Lent or out of Lent.

Charles the Second issued proclamations 'to repress the excess of gilding of coaches and chariots,' to restrain the waste of gold, which, as they supposed, by the excessive use of gilding, had grown scarce. Against 'the exportation and the buying and selling of gold and silver at higher rates than in our mint,' alluding to a statute made in the ninth year of Edward the Third, called the Statute of Money. Against building in and about London and Westminster in 1861: 'The inconveniences daily growing by increase of new buildings are, that the people increasing in such great numbers, are not well to be governed

by the wonted officers; the prices of victuals are enhanced; the health of the subject inhabiting the cities such endangered, and many good towns and boroughs unpeopled, and in their trades much decayed—frequent fires occasioned by timber-buildings. It orders to build with brick and stone, which would beautify, and make an uniformity in the buildings; and which are not only more durable and safe against fire, but by experience are found to be of little more if not less charge than the building with timber. We must infer that by the general use of timber, it had considerably risen in price, while brick and stone not then being generally used, became as cheap as wood!

The most remarkable proclamations of Charles the Second are those which concern the resulations of coffee-

The most remarkable proclamations of Charles the Second are those which concern the regulations of coffeenouses, and one for putting them down; to restrain the spreading of false news, and licentious talking of state and government, the speakers and the hearers were made alike punishable. This was highly resented as an illegal act by the friends of civil freedom; who, however, succeeded in obtaining the freedom of the coffee-houses, under the promise of not sanctioning treasonable speeches. It was urged by the court lawyers, as the high Tory, Roger North tells us, that the retailing coffee might be an innocent trade, when not used in the nature of a common assembly to discourse of matters of state news and great persons, as a means 'to discontent the people;' on the other side Kennet asserted that the discontents existed before they met at the coffee-houses, and that the proclamation was only intended to suppress an evil which was not to be prevented. At this day we know which of those two historians exercised the truest judgment. It was not the coffue-houses which produced political feeling, but the reverse. Whenever government ascribes effects to a cause quite inadequate to produce them, they are only seeking means to hide the evil which they are too weak to suppress.

#### TRUE SOURCES OF SECRET MISTORY.

This is a subject which has been hitherto but imperfectly comprehended even by some historians themselves; and has too often incurred the satire, and even the contempt, of those volatile spirits who play about the superficies of truth, wanting the industry to view it on more than one side; and those superficial readers who imagine that every tale is told when it is written.

Secret history is the supplement of History itself, and is its greatest corrector; and the combination of secret with public history has in itself a perfection, which each taken separately has not. The popular historian composes a plausible rather than an accurate tale; researches too fully detailed would injure the just proportions, or crowd the bold design of the elegant narrative; and facts, presented as they occurred, would not adapt themselves to those theoretical writers of history who arrange events not in a natural, but in a systematic, order. But in secret history we are more busied in observing what passes than in being told of it. We are transformed into the contemporaries of the writers, while we are standing on 'the 'vantage ground' of their posterity; and thus what to them appeared ambiguous, to us has become unquestionable; what was secret to them has been confided to us. They mark the beginnings, and we the ends. From the fullness of their accounts we recover much which had been lost to us in the general views of history, and it is by this more intimate acquaintance with persons and circumstances that we are enabled to correct the less distinct, and sometimes the fallacious appearances in the page of the popular historian. He who only views things in masses will have no distinct notion of any one particular; he may be a fanciful or a passionate historian, but he is not the historian who will elegiate while he charms.

But as secret history appears to deal in minute things, its connexion with great results is not usually suspected. The circumstantiality of its story, the changeable shadows of its character, the redundance of its conversations, and the many careless superfluities which egotism or vanity may throw out, seem usually confounded with that small-talk familiarly termed gossiping. But the gossiping of a profound politician, or a vivacious observer, in one of their etters, or in their memoirs, often, by a spontaneous stroks, reveals the individual, or by a simple incident unriddles a saysterious event. We may discover the value of these pictures of human nature, with which secret history abounds, by an observation which occurred between

two statesmen in office. Lord Raby, our ambassador, apologized to Lord Bolingbroke, then secretary of state, for troubling him with the minuter circumstances which occurred in his conferences; in reply, the minister requests the ambassador to continue the same manner of writing, and alleges an excellent reason. 'Those smisste circumstances give very great light to the general scope and design of the persons negotiated with. And I own that nothing pleases me more in that valuable collection of the Cardinal D'Ossat's letters, than the noise descriptions which he gives of the looks, gestures, and even tonces of voice, of the persons he conferred with.' I regret to have to record to the opinions of another noble author who recently has thrown out some degrading notions of the secret history, and particularly of the historians. I would have silently passed by a vulgar writer, superficial, prejudiced, and uninformed; but as so many are yet deficient in correct notions of secret history, it is but justice that their representative should be heard before they are condemned.

His lordship says, that 'Of late the appetite for Reseasies of all kinds has surprisingly increase.' A story
repeated by the Duchess of Portsmouth's wais. woman
to Lord Rochester's valet forms a subject of investigation
for a philosophical historian: and you may hear of an
assembly of scholars and authors discussing the validity
of a piece of scandal invented by a maid of bonour more
than two centuries ago, and repeated to an obscure writer
by Queen Elizabeth's house. keeper. It is a matter of the
greatest interest to see the letters of every busy trifler.
Yet who does not laugh at such men? This is the altack! but as if some half-truths, like light through the
cranny in a dark room, had just darted in a stream of
atoms over this scoffer of secret history, he suddenly
views his object with a very different appearance—for he
justly concludes that 'It must be confessed, however, that
knowledge of this kind is very entertaining; and here and
there among the rubbish we find hints that may give the
philosopher a clue to important facts, and afford to the
moralist a better analysis of the human mind than a whole
library of metaphysics! The philosopher may well abhor
all intercourse with wits! because the faculty of judgment is usually quiescent with them; and in their orgasm
they furiously decry what in their sober senses they as
eagerly laud! Let me inform his lordship, that 'the waiting-woman and the valet' of eminent persons, are sometimes no uninportant personages in history. By the Memoirs de Mons. De la Porte, premier valet dechambre de Louis XIV, we learn what before 'the valet' wrote had not
been known—the shameful arts which Mazarine allowed
to be practised, to give a bad education to the prince, and
to manage him by depraving his tastes. Madame de
Motteville in her Memoirs, 'the waiting 'lady of our Henrietta, has preserved for our own English history some
facts which have been found so essential to the narvative,
the humble dependent of Cardinal De Retz, we discover
an unconscious, but a us

Of secret history there are obviously two species; it is positive, or it is relative. It is positive, when the facts are first given to the world; a sort of knowledge which can only be drawn from our own personal experience, or from those contemporary documents preserved in their manuscript state in public or in private collections; or it is relative, in proportion to the knowledge of those to whom it is communicated, and will be more or less valued, according to the acquisitions of the reader; and this inferior species of secret history is drawn from rare and obscure books and other published authorities, often as scarce as manuscripts. Some experience I have had in those literary researches,

Some experience I have had in those literary researches, where curiosity, ever-wakeful and vigilant, discovers among contemporary manuscripts new facts; illustrations of old ones; and sometimes detects, not merely by conjecture, the concealed causes of many events; often opens a scene in which some well-known personage is exhibited in a new character; and thus penetrates beyond those generalising representations which satisfy the superficial and often cover the page of history with delusion and fie

It is only since the later institutions of national libraries, [ that these immense collections of manuscripts have been that these immense concernous or manuscript and the formed; with us they are an undescribable variety, usually alread under the varue title of 'State-papers.' The inclassed under the vague title of 'State-papers.' structions of ambassadors, but more particularly their own despatches; charters and chronicles brown with antiquity, which preserve a world which had been else lost for us, which preserve a world which had been else lost for us, like the one before the deluge; series upon series of pri-vate correspondence, among which we discover the most confidential communications, designed by the writers to have been destroyed by the hand which received them; memoirs of individuals by themselves or by their friends, such as are now published by the pomp of vanity, or the faithlessness of their possessors; and the miscellaneous collections formed by all kinds of persons, characteristic of all countries and of all eras, materials for the history of man!—records of the force, or of the feebleness of the hu-man understanding, and still the monuments of their pas-

The original collectors of these dispersed manuscripts were a race of ingenious men; silent benefactors of mankind, to whom justice has not yet been fully awarded; but in their fervour of accumulation, every thing in a manuscript state bore its spell; acquisition was the sole point aimed at by our early collectors, and to this these search-ing spirits sacrificed their fortunes, their ease, and their days; but life would have been too short to have decided days; but the would nave been too snort to nave decided on the intrinsic value of the manuscripts flowing in a stream to the collectors; and suppression, even of the disjointed reveries of madmen, or the sensible madness of projectors might have been indulging a capricious taste, or what has proved more injurious to historical pursuits, that party-feel-ing which has frequently annihilated the memorials of their adversarios.\*

These manuscript collections now assume a formidable appearance. A toilsome march over these 'Alps rising over Alps!' a voyage in 'a sea without a shore!' has turned away most historians from their severer duties; those who have grasped at early celebrity have been satisfied to have given a new form to, rather than contributed to the new matter of history. The very sight of these masses of history has terrified some modern historians. When Pere Daniel undertook a history of France, the learned Boivin, the king's librarian, opened for his inspection an immense treasure of charters, and another of royal autograph letters, another of private correspondence; treasures, reposing in fourteen hundred folios! The motreasures, reposing in fourteen hundred folios! dern historian passed two hours impatiently looking over them, but frightened at another plunge into the gulf, this Curtius of history would not immolate himself for his country! He wrote a civil letter to the librarian for his country: He wrote a crul letter to the horaran for his supernumerary kindness, but insinuated that he could write a very readable history without any further aid of such paperasses or 'paper-ubbish.' Pere Daniel, there-fore, 'quietly sat down to his history,' copying others—a compliment which was never returned by any one: but there was this striking novelty in his 'readable history,' that according to the accurate computation of Count Boulainvilliers, Pere Daniel's history of France contains ten thousand blunders! The same circumstance has been who, on some manuscript volumes of letters being pointed out to him when composing his history of Scotland, con-fessed that 'what was already printed was more than he was able to read! and thus much for his theoretical history, written to run counter to another theoretical history, being Stuart versus Robertson! They equally depend on the simplicity of their readers, and the charms of style! Another historian, Anquil, the author of L'Esprit de la Ligue, has described his embarrassment at an inspection of the contemporary manuscripts of that period. After on the contemporary manuscripts or that period. After thirteen years of researches to glean whatever secret his-tory printed books afforded, the author, residing in the country, resolved to visit the royal library at Paris, Mon-sieur Melot receiving him with that kindness, which is one of the official duties of the public librarian towards the studious, opened the cabinets in which were deposited the studious, opened the cabinets in which were deposited the treasures of French history... This is what you require! come here at all times, and you shall be attended!' said the librarian to the young historian, who stood by with a sort of shudder, while he opened cabinet after cabinet. The intrepid investigator repeated his visits, looking over the mass as chance directed, attacking one side, and then

\* See what I have said of ' Suppressors and Dilapidators of Manuscripts, p. 242.

flying to another. The historian, who had felt no weariness during thirteen years among printed books, discovered that he was now engaged in a task, apparently always beginning, and never ending! The Eaprit de la Ligue was however enriched by labours, which at the moment

was nowever entirened by labours, which at the moment appeared so barren.

The study of these paperasses is not perhaps so dis-gusting as the impatient Pere Daniel imagined; there is a literary fascination in looking over the same papers which the great characters of history once held and wrote on; catching from themselves their secret sentiments: and often detecting so many of their unrecorded actions! By habit the toil becomes light; and with a keen inquisi-tive spirit, even delightful! For what is more delightful to the curious, than to make fresh discoveries every day? Addison has a true and pleasing observation on such pur-'Our employments are converted into amusements, so that even in those objects which were indifferent, or even displeasing to us, the mind not only gradually loses its aversion, but conceives a certain foudness and affection for them. Addison illustrates this case by one of the greatest genuises of the age, who by habit took incredible pleasure in searching into rolls, and records, till he pre-ferred them to Virgil and Cicero! The faculty of curiosity is as fervid, and even as refined in its search after Truth, as that of Taste in the objects of Imagination, and the more it is indulged, the more exquisitely it is enjoyed!

The popular historians of England and of France have, in truth, made little use of manuscript researches. Life is very short for long histories; and those who rage with an avidity of fame or profit will gladly taste the fruit which they cannot mature. Researches too remotely sought after, or too slowly acquired, or too fully detailed, would be so many obstructions in the smooth texture of a narrative. Our theoretical historians write from some particular and pre-conceived result; unlike Livy, and De Thou, and Machiavel, who describe events in their natural order, these cluster them together by the fanciful threads of some political or moral theory, by which facts are distorted, dis-placed, and sometimes altogether omitted! One single original document has sometimes shaken into dust their original document has sometimes snaken into dust their palladian edifice of history. At the moment Hume was sending some sheets of his History to press, Murdin's State Papers appeared. And we are highly amused and instructed by a letter of our historian to his rival, Robertson, who probably found himself often in the same forlorn situation. Our historian discovered in that collection what compelled him to retract his pre-conceived systemhe hurries to stop the press, and paints his confusion and his anxiety with all the ingenuous simplicity of his nature.
We are all in the wrong! he exclaims. Of Hume I have heard, that certain manuscripts at the state paper office had been prepared for his inspection during a fortnight, but he never could muster courage to pay his pro-mised visit. Satisfied with the common accounts, and the mised visit. Satisfied with the common accounts, and the most obvious sources of history, when librarian at the Advocates' Library, where yet may be examined the books he used, marked by his hand; he spread the volumes about the sofa, from which he rarely rose to pursue obscure inquiries, or delay by fresh difficulties the page which every day was growing under his charming pen. A striking proof of his careless happiness I discovered in his never referring to the perfect edition of Whitelock's Memorireferring to the perfect edition of Whitelock's Memorials of 1732, but to the old truncated and faithless one of

Dr Birch was a writer with no genius for composition, but to whom British history stands more indebted than to any superior author; his incredible love of labour, in transcribing with his own hand a large library of manu-scripts from originals dispersed in public and in private repositories, has enriched the British Museum by thousands positories, has enriched the Drittan aviaseum by thousands of the most authentic documents of genuine secret history. He once projected a collection of original historical letters, for which he had prepared a preface, where I find the following passage. 'It is a more important service to the public to contribute something not before known to the general fund of history, than to give new form and colour to what we are already possessed of, by superadding refinement and ornament, which too often tend to disguise the real state of the facts; a fault not to be atomed for by the pomp of style, or even the fine slowence of the historian. This was an oblique stroke aimed at Robertson, to whom Birch had generously opened the stores of history, for the Scotch historian had needed all his charity; but Robertson's attractive inventions, and highlyfinished composition, seduce the public; and we may forgive the latent spark of envy in the honest feelings of the man, who was profoundly skilled in delving in the native beds of ore, but not in fashioning it; and whose own neglected historical works, constructed on the true principle of secret history, we may often turn over to correct the erroneous, the prejudiced, and the artful accounts of those who have covered their faults by 'the pomp of style, and the eloquence of the historian.'

The large manuscript collections of original documents, from whence may be drawn what I have called positive secret history, are, as I have observed, comparatively of modern existence. Formerly they were widely dispersed in private hands; and the nature of such sources of historic discovery but rarely occurred to our writers. Even had they sought them, their access must have been partial and accidental. Lord Hardwicke has observed, that there are still many untouched manuscript collections within these kingdoms, which, through the ignorance or inattention of their owners, are condemned to dust and obscurity; but how valuable and essential they may be to the interests of authentic history and of sacred truth, cannot be more strikingly demonstrated than in the recent publications of the Marlborough and the Shrewsbury papers by Archdeacon Coxe.\* The editor was fully authorized to observe: 'It is singular that those transactions should either have been passed over in silence, or imperfectly re-presented by most of our national historians. Our modern history would have been a mere political romance, without the astonishing picture of William and his ministers, exhibited in those unquestionable documents. Burnet was among the first of our modern historians who showed the world the first of our modern historians who showed the world the preciousness of such materials, in his History of the Reformation, which he largely drew from the Cottonian Collection. Our earlier historians only repeated a tale ten times told. Milton, who wanted not for literary dili-gence, had no fresh stories to open for his History of Eng-land; while Hume despatches, comparatively in a few pa-ges, a subject which has afforded to the fervent diligence of my loaned friend Shapes. Those my loaned the stories to of my learned friend Sharon Turner, volumes precious to the antiquary, the lawyer, and the philosopher.

To illustrate my idea of the usefulness, and of the abso-

To illustrate my idea of the usefulness, and of the absolute necessity of secret history, I fix first on a public cuent, and secondly on a public character; both remarkable in our own modern history, and both serving to expose the fallacious appearances of popular history by authorities indisputably genuine. The cuent is the restoration of Charles the Second: and the character is that of Mary the

queen of William the Third.

In history, the Restoration of Charles appears in all its splendour—the king is joyfully received at Dover, and the shore is covered by his subjects on their knees—crowds of the Great hurry to Canterbury—the army is drawn up, in number and with a splendour that had never been equalled—his enthusiastic reception is on his birth-day, for that was the lucky day fixed on for his entrance into the metropolis—in a word, all that is told in history describes a monarch the most powerful and the most happy. One of the tracts of the day, entitled 'England's Triumph,' in the mean quaintness of the style of the time tells us, that 'The soldiery, who had hitherto made clubs trump, resolved now to enthrone the king of hearts.' Turn to the faithful memorialist, who so well knew the secrets of the king's heart, and who was himself an actor behind the curtain turn to Clarendon, in his own life; and we shall find that the power of the king was then as dubious as when he was in exile; and his feelings were so much racked, that he had nearly resolved on a last flight.

Clarendon, in noticing the temper and spirits of that time, observes, 'Whoever reflects upon all this composition of contradictory wishes and expectations, must con-

\*Whenever that vast collection, which from their former possessor, may be called the 'Conway papers,' shall be given to the public, from what I have already been favoured with the sight of, I may venture to predict that our history will receive a new form, and our literature an important accession. They are now in the possession of John Wilson Croker, Esq. M. F. and Secretary of the Admiralty, and placed at his disposal by the Marquis of Herford, with a view of making a selection for the use of the public. The reader may find a lively summary of the contents of these papers, in Horace Walpole's account of his vieit to Razley, in his letter to George Montague, 20th august, 1758. Mr Croker is also so fortunate as to be the possessor of the Throckmerton papers of which the reader may likewise observe a particular notice in Sir Henry Wooton's will, in lessar Walton's Lives.

fess that the king was not yet the master of the kingdom, nor his authority and security such as the general soise and acclamation, the bells and the bonyfres, proclaimed it to be."—
'The first mortification the king met with was as soon as he arrived at Canterbury, within three hours after he land at Dover.' Clarendon then relates how many the king found there, who while they waited with joy to kins his hand, also came with importunate solicitations for themselves; forced him to give them present audience, in which they reckoned up the insupportable losses undergone by themselves or their fathers; demand some grant, or promise of such offices; some even for more! 'pressing for two or three with such confidence and importunity, and with such tedious discourses, that the king was extremely nauseated with their suits, though his modesty knew not how to break from them; that he no sooner got into his chamber, which for some hours he was not able to do. than he lamented the condition to which he found he sust be subject; and did, in truth, from that minute, contract such a prejudice against some of those persons.' But a greater mortification was to follow, and one which had nearly theorym the king into desmair.

thrown the king into despair.

General Monk had from the beginning to this instant acted very mysteriously, never corresponding with nor answering a letter of the king's, so that his majesty was frequently doubtful whether the general designed to act for himself or for the king: an ambiguous conduct which I attribute to the power his wife had over him, who was in the opposite interest. The general in his rough way, presented him a large paper, with about seventy names for his privy council, of which not more than two were acceptable. 'The king,' says Clarendon,' was in more than two dinary confusion, for he knew not well what to think of the general, in whose absolute power he was—so that at this moment his majesty was almost alarmed at the demand and appearance of things.' The general afterwards undid this unfavourable appearance, by acknowledging that the list was drawn up by his wife, who had made him promise to gresent it; but he permitted his majesty to act as be thought proper. At that moment General Monk was

more King than Charles.

We have not yet concluded. When Charles met the army at Blackheath, 50,000 strong, ' he knew well the ill constitution of the army, the distemper and murmuring that was in it, and how many diseases and convulsions their infant loyalty was subject to; that how united serv their inclinations and acclamations seemed to be # Blackheath, their affections were not the same-and the very countenances there of many officers, as well as soldiers, in sufficiently manifest that they were drawn thiner to a service they were not delighted in. The old soldiers had little regard for their new officers; and it quickly appeared, by the select and affected mixtures of sullen and melancholic parties of officers and soldiers'the chancellor of human nature adds, 'And in this melarcholic and perplexed condition the king and all his hope stood, when he appeared most gay and smalled, and were a pleasantness in his face that became him, and looked like as full an assurance of his security as was possible to put on. It is imagined that Louis the Eighteenth would be the ablest commentator on this piece of secret history, and add another took to Pierre de Saint Julien's Gemelles of Pareilles,' an old French treatise of histories which resemble one another; a volume so scarce, that I have never met with it.

Burnet informs us, that when Queen Mary held the administration of government during the absence of Wilsiam, it was imagined by some, that as 'every woman of sense loved to be meddling, they concluded that she had but a small portion of it, because she lived so abstracted from all affairs. He praises her exemplary behaviour; 'regular in her devotions, much in her closet, read a great deal, was often busy at work, and seemed to employ her time and thoughts in any thing rather than matters of state. Her conversation was lively and obliging; every thing in her was easy and natural. The King told the Earl of Shrewsbury, that though he could not hit on the right way of pleasing England, be was consident she would, and that we should all be very happy under her.' Such is the miniature of the queen which Burnet offers; we see nohing but her tranquillity, her simplicity, and her carelessness, amidst the important transactions passing under her eye but I lift the curtain from a longer picture. The distracted state amidst which the queen lived, the varations, the secret sorrows, the agonies and the despite of Mary in

the absence of William, nowhere appears in history! and, as we see, escaped the ken of the Scotch bishop! They were reserved for the curiosity and the instruction of posterity; and were found by Dahymple, in the letters of Mary to her husband, in King William's cabinet. It will see well to place under the eye of the reader the suppressed cross of this afflicted queen, at the time when 'everything is her was so easy and natural, employing her time and thoughts in any thing rather than matters of state—often busy at work

I shall not dwell on the pangs of the queen for the fate of Williams—or her deadly suspicions that many were unfaithful about her: a battle lost might have been fatal; a conspiracy might have undone what even a victory had obtained; the continual terrors she endured were such, that we might be at a loss to determine who suffered most, those who had been expelled from, or those who had as-

cended the throne.

So far was the queen from not 'employing her thoughts' so far was the queen from not 'employing ner thoughts' en 'matters of state,' that every letter, usually written towards evening, chronicles the conflicts of the day; she records not only events, but even dialogues and personal characteristics; hints her suspicions, and multiplies her fears: her attention was incessant.—I never write but what I think others do not;' and her terrors were as coaseless,—'I pray God, send you back quickly, for I see all breaking out into all flames.' The queen's difficulties were not eased by a single confidential intercourse. On one occasion she observes, 'As I do not know what I ought to speak, and when not, I am as silent as can be. — I ever fear not doing well, and trust to what nobody says but year not comg well, and trust to what nobody says but you.—It seems to me that every one is afraid of themselves.—I am very uneary in one thing, which is want of somebody to speak my mind freely to, for it's a great constraint to think and be silent; and there is so much matter, that I am one of Solomon's fools, who am ready to burst.'
I must tell you again how Lord Monmouth endeavours to friether me and indeed things have but a metabolic. to frighten me, and indeed things have but a melancholy She had indeed reason to fear Lord Monmouth, who, it appears, divulged all the secrets of the royal councils to Major Wildman, who was one of our old republicans; and, to spread alarm in the privy council, conveyed in lemon-juice all their secrets to France, often on the very day they had passed in council! They discovered the fact, and every one suspected the other as the traitor! Lord Lincoln even once assured her, that 'the Lord President and all in general, who are in trust, were rogues. Her council was composed of factions, and the queen's suspicious were rather general than particular: for she observes on them, 'Till now I thought you had given me wrong characters of men; but now I see they answer my expectation of being as little of a mind as of a body.'—For a final extract, take this full picture of royal misery—'I must see company on my set days; I must play twice a week; nay, I must laugh and talk, though never so much against my will; I believe I dissemble very ill to those who know me; at least, it is a great constraint to myself, yet I must endure it. All my motions are so watched, and all I do so observed, that if I cat less, or speak less, or look more grave, all is lost in the opinion of the world; so that I have this misery added to that of your absence, that I must grin when my heart is ready to break, and talk when my heart is so oppressed that I can scarce breathe. I go to Kensington as often as I can for air; but then I never can be quite alone, neither can I complain— that would be some ease; but I have nobody whose humour and circumstances agree with mine enough to speak my mind freely to. Besides, I must hear of business, which being a thing I am so new in, and so unfit for, does but break my brains the more, and not ease my heart.

Thus different from the representation of Burnet was the actual state of Queen Mary; and I suspect that our warm and vehement bishop had but hitle personal knowledge of her majesty, notwithstanding the elaborate chapter of the state of the racter of the queen which he has given in her funeral eulogium.—He must have known that she did not always sympathize with his party-feelings; for the queen writes,
'The bishop of Salisbury has made a long thundering
sermon this morning, which he has been with me to desire to print; which I could not refuse, though I should not have ordered it, for reasons which I told him.' Burnet (whom I am very far from calling what an inveterate Tory, Edward Earl of Oxford, does in one of his manu-script notes, 'that lying Scot,') unquestionably has told many truths in his garrulous page; but the cause in which

he stood so deeply engaged, coupled to his warm sanguine temper, may have sometimes dimmed his sagacity, so as to have caused him to have mistaken, as in the present case, a mask for a face, particularly at a time when almost every individual appears to have worn one!

Both these causes of Charles the Second and Queen

Mary show the absolute necessity of researches into

secret history, to correct the appearances and the fallacies which so often deceive us in public history.

'The appetite for Remains,' as the noble author whom I have already alluded to calls it, may then be a very wholesome one, if it provides the only materials by which our popular histories can be corrected, and since it often infuses a freshness into a story which, after having been copied from book to book, inspires another to tell it for the tenth time! Thus are the sources of secret history unexpected by the idler and the superficial, among those masses of untouched manuscripts—that subterraneous history!—which indeed may terrify the indolent, bewilder the inexperienced, and confound the injudicious, if they have not acquired the knowledge which not only decides on facts and opinions, but on the authorities which have furnished them. Popular historians have written to their readers; each with different views, but all alike form the open documents of history; like feed advocates, they declaim, or like special pleaders, they keep only on one side of their case: they are seldom zealous to push on their cross-examinations; for they come to gain their cause, and not

Time will make the present age as obsolete as the last, for our sons will cast a new light over the ambiguous scenes which distract their fathers; they will know how some things happened, for which we cannot account; they some things happened, for which we cannot account; they will bear witness to how many characters we have mistaken; they will be told many of those secrets which our contemporaries hide from us; they will pause at the ends of our beginning; they will read the perfect story of man, which can never be told while it is proceeding. All this is the possession of posterity, because they will judge without our passions; and all this we ourselves have been enabled to possess, by the secret history of the last two ares!\*

# LITERARY RESIDENCES.

Men of genius have usually been condemned to com ones, under the roof of a garret; and few literary charac-ters have lived, like Pliny and Voltaire, in a villa or chateau of their own. It has not therefore often happened, that a man of genius could raise local emotions by his own intellectual suggestions. Ariosto, who built a palace in his verse, lodged himself in a small house, and found that stanzas and stones were not put together at the found that stanzas and stones were not put together at the same rate: old Montaigne has left a description of hilibrary; 'over the entrance of my house, where I very my court-yards, and garden, and at once survey at the operations of my family!'

There is, however, a feeling among literary very, of building up their own elegant fancies, and riving a permanency to their own tastes: we dwell on these favourite contents as control fortraits and we are great collect these

scenes as a sort of portraits, and we eagers collect those few prints, which are their only vestiges. A collection might be formed of such literary residences chosen for their amenity and their retirement, and adorned by the objects of their studies; from that of the younger Pliny, who called his villa of literary leisure by the endearing term of villa (a) that of Cassiodorus, the prime minister of Theodoric, who has left so magnificent a description of his literary retreat, where all the elegances of life were at hand; where the gardeners and the agriculturists laboured on scientific principles; and where, amidst gardens and

on scientific principles; and where, amidst gardens and 
\* Since this article has been sent to press, I rise, from reading one in the Edinburg Review on Lord Oxford's and Lord 
Waldegrave's Memoirs. This is one of the very rare articles 
which could only come from the hand of a master, long exercised in the studies he criticises. The critic, or rather the historian, observes, that 'of a period remarkable for the establishment of our present system of government, no authentic 
materials had yet appeared. Events of public notoriety are 
to be found, though often loaccurately told, in our common 
histories; but the secret springs of action, the private views 
and motives of individuals, &c, are as little known to us, ase 
if the events to which they relate had taken place in China or 
Japan.' The clear, connected, dispassionate, and circumstantial narrative, with which he has enriched the stores of English history, is drawn from the sources of scrot history; those 
published memoirs and contemporary correspondence.

parks, stood his extensive library, with scribes to multiply his manuscripts;—From Tycho Brahe's, who built a magnificent astronomical house on an island, which he named after the sole objects of his musings, Uranienburgh, or the castle of the Heavens;—to that of Kvelyn he island. who first began to adorn Wotton, by building 'a little study,' till many years after he dedicated the ancient house to contemplation, among the 'delicious streams and renerable woods, the gardens, the fountains, and the groves most tempting for a great person and a wanton purse; and indeed gave one of the first examples to that elegancy since so much in vogue. From Pope, whose little candon commend to multiply its sources by a glorious little garden seemed to multiply its scenes by a glorious union of nobility and literary men conversing in groupes; -down to lonely Shenstone, whose 'rural elegance,' as he entitles one of his odes, compelled him to mourn over his hard fate, when

Had lavish'd thousand ornaments, and taught Convenience to perplex him, Art to pall, Pomp to deject, and Beauty to displease.

We have all by heart the true and delightful reflection of Johnson on local associations, when the scene we tread suggests to us the men or the deeds, which have left their celebrity to the spot. We are in the presence of their fame, and feel its influence!

A literary friend, whom a hint of mine had induced to visit the old tower in the garden of Buffon, where the sage retired every morning to compose, passed so long a time in that lonely apartment, as to have raised some solicitude among the honest folks of Montbar, who having seen the Englishman' enter, but not return, during a heavy thunder-storm which had occurred in the interval, informed the good mayor, who came in due form, to notify the ambiguous state of the stranger. My friend is, as is well known, a genius of that cast, who could pass two hours in the Tower of Buffon, without being aware that he had been all that time occupied by suggestions of ideas and reveries, which in some minds such a locality may excite. He was also busied with his pencil; for he has favoured me with two drawings of the interior and the exterior of this eld tower in the garden: the nakedness within can only be compared to the solitude without. Such was the studying room of Buffon, where his eye resting on no object, never interrupted the unity of his meditations on Nature.

In return for my friend's kindness, it has cost me, think, two hours, in attempting to translate the beautiful picture of this literary retreat, which Vicq D'Azyr has finished with all the warmth of a votary. 'At Montbar, in the midst of an ornamented garden, is seen an antique to the property is trans there that Buffer wrote the History of Manager of Manage tower; it was there that Buffon wrote the History of Nature, and from that spot his fame spread through the universe. There he came at sunrise, and no one, however importunate, was suffered to trouble him. The calm of the morning hour, the first warbling of the birds, the varied aspect of the country, all at that moment which touched the senses, recalled him to his model. Free, independent, he wandered in his walks; there was he seen with quickened or with slow steps, or standing rapt in thought, some-times with his eyes fixed on the heavens in the moment of inspiration, as if satisfied with the thought that so profoundly occupied his soul; sometimes, spliceted within himself, he sought what would not always be found; or at the moments of producing, he wrote, he effaced, and re-wrote, to efface once more; thus he harmonized, in sirepeated to himself, till, satisfied with his corrections, he seemed to repay himself for the pains of his beautiful Proce, by the pleasure he found in declaiming it aloud.

Thus he engraved it in his memory, and would recite it to his friends, or induce some to read it to him. At those moments he was himself a severe judge, and would again e-compose it, desirous of attaining to that perfection which s denied to the impatient writer."

A curious circumstance, connected with local associations, occurred to that extraordinary oriental student Fourmont. Originally he belonged to a religious community, and never failed in performing his office; but he was expelled by the superior for an irregularity of conduct, not likely to have become contagious through the brotherhood -he frequently prolonged his studies far into the night, and it was possible that the house might he burnt by such superfluity of learning. Fourmout retreated to the college of Montaign, where he occupied the very chambers which had formerly been those of Erasmus; a circumstance which contributed to excite his emulation, and to hasten his studies. He who smiles at the force of such emotions. only proves that he has not experienced what are real and substantial as the scene itself—for those who are concerned in them. Pope, who had far more enthusiasm in his poetical disposition than is generally understood, was extremely susceptible of the literary associations with localities: one of the volumes of his Homer was began and finished in an old tower over the chapel at Stanton Harcourt; and he has perpetuated the event, if not consecrated the place, by scratching with a diamond on a pane of stained glass this inscription:

> In the year 1718, Alexander Pope Finished HERE The fifth volume of Homer.\*

It was the same feeling which induced him one day, when taking his usual walk with Harte in the Haymarket, to desire Harte to enter a little shop, where going up three pair of stairs into a small room, Pope said, 'In this garret Addison wrote his Campaign.' Nothing less than a strong feeling impelled the poet to ascend this garret—it was a consecrated apot to his eye; and certainly a curious instance of the power of genius contrasted with its miserable locality! Addison, whose mind had fought through 'a campaign' in a garret, could be have called about him 'the pleasures of imagination,' had probably planned a a house of literary repose, where all parts would have been in harmony with his mind.

Such residence of men of genius have been enjoyed by some; and the vivid descriptions which they have left us convey something of the delightfulness which charmed their studious renose.

The Italian Paul Jovius has composed more than three hundred concise eulogies of statesmen, warriors, and literary men of the fourteenth, fifteenth and sixteenth centuries; but the occasion which induced him to compose them is perhaps more interesting than the compositions.

Jovius had a country-house, situated on a peninsula, bordered by the lake of Como. It was built on the ruins of the villa of Phiny, and in his time the foundations were still visible. When the surrounding lake was calm, the sculptured marbles, the trunks of columns, and the fragments of those pyramids which had once adorned the residence of the friend of Trajan, were still viewed in its lucid bosom. Jovius was the enthusiast of literature, and the leisure which it loves. He was an historian, with the imagination of a poet, and though a christian prelate, almost a worshipper of the sweet fictions of pagan mythology; and when his pen was kept pure from satire or adulation, to which it was too much accustomed, it becomes a pencil. He paints with rapture his gardens bathed by the waters of the lake; the shade and freshness of his woods; his green slopes, his sparkling fountains, the deep silence and calm of his solitude! A statue was raised in his gardens to Nature! In his hall stood a fine statue of Apollo, and the Muses around, with their attributes. His library was guarded by a Mercury, and there was an apartment adorned with Doric columns, and with pictures of the most pleasing subjects, dedicated to the Graces! Such was the interior! Without, the transparent lake here spread its broad mirror, and there was seen luminously winding by banks covered with olives and laurels; in the distance, towns, promontories, hills riving in an amphithe-atre, blushing with vines, and the first elevation of the Alps, covered with woods and pasture, and sprinkled with herds and flocks.

It was in a central spot of this enchanting habitation that a cabinot or gallery was erected, where Jorius had collected, with prodigal cost the portraits of celebrated mea and it was to explain and describe the characteristics of those illustrious names that he had composed his sulcgies. This collection became so remarkable, that the great met, his contemporaries, presented our literary collector with their own portraits, among whom the renowned Fernandez Cortes sent Jovius his before he died, and probably others who were less entitled to enlarge the collection; but it is equally probable that our caustic Joris described. throw them aside. Our historian had often to de men more famous than virtuous; sovereigns, politicians,

\*On a late inquiry it appears that this consecrated pane has been removed—and the relicule said to be preserved at Nuncham.

poets, and philosophers, men of all ranks, countries, and ages, formed a crowded scene of men of genius or of ce-lebrity: sometimes a few lines compress their character, and sometimes a few pages excite his fondness. If he sometimes adulates the living, we may pardon the illusions of a contemporary; but he has the honour of satirising some by the honest freedom of a pen which occasionally broke out into premature truths.

Such was the inspiration of literature and leisure which had embellished the abode of Jovius, and had raised in the midst of the lake of Como a cabinet of portraits; a noble tribute to those who are the salt of the

earth.

We possess prints of Rubens's house at Antwerp. That princely artist perhaps first contrived for his studie the circular apartment with a dome, like the rotunda of the Pantheon, where the light descending from an aperture or window at the top, sent down a single equal light, -that erfection of light which distributes its magical effects on the objects beneath. Bellori describes it, una stanza re-zunda con un solo occisio in cima; the solo occio is what the French term ail de bauf; we ourselves want this single ew in our technical language of art. This was his pre-cious museum, where he had collected a vast number of books, which were intermixed with his marbles, statues, cameos, intaglios, and all that variety of the riches of art which he had drawn from Rome: but the walls did not yield in value; for they were covered by pictures of his own composition, or copies by his own hand, made at Venice and Madrid, of Titian and Paul Veronese. No foreigners, men of letters, or lovers of the arts, or even princes, would pass through Antwerp without visiting the house of Rubens, to witness the animated residence of genius, and the great man who had conceived the idea.
Yet, great as was his mind, and splendid as were the habits of his life, he could not resist the entreaties, of the hundred thousand florins of our Duke of Buckingham, to dis-pose of this studio. The great artist could not, however, abandon for ever the delightful contemplations he was de priving himself of; and as substitutes for the miracles of art he had lost, he solicited and obtained leave to replace them by casts, which were scrupulously deposited in the places where the originals had stood.

Of this feeling of the local residences of genius, the Italrans appear to have been, not perhaps more susceptible than other people, but more energetic in their enthusiasm. Florence exhibits many monuments of this sort. In the aeighbourhood of Santa Maria Novella, Zimmerman has noticed a house of the celebrated Viviani, which is a singular monument of gratitude to his illustrious master Galileo. The front is adorned with the bust of this father of ence, and between the windows are engraven accounts of the discoveries of Galileo: it is the most beautiful bi-ography of genius! Yet another still more elequently excites our emotions—the house of Michael Angelo: his pupils, in perpetual testimony of their admiration and gratitude, have ornamented it with all the leading features of his life; the very soul of this vast genius put in action: this is more than biography!—it is living as with a con-

temporary!

## WHETHER ALLOWABLE TO RUIN ONESELP?

The political economist replies that it is!

One of our old dramatic writers, who witnessed the sin gular extravagance of dress among the modellers of fash-ion, our nobility, condemns their 'superfluous bravery,' echoing the popular cry,

There are a sort of men, whose coining heads Are mints of all new fashions, that have done More hurt to the kingdom, by superfluous bravery Which the foolish gentry imitate, than a war Or a long famine. All the treasure by This foul excess is got into the merchants' Embroiders', silk-mens', jewellers', taylors' hands, And the third part of the land too; the nobility Engrossing titles only.'

Our poet might have been startled at the reply of our political economist. If the nobility, in follies such as these, only preserved their 'titles,' while their 'lands' were dis-persed among the industrious classes, the people were not sufferers. The silly victims ruining themselves by their sunderes. It is say year to make the sunderess as it appears some did, was an evil which, left to its own course, must check uself; if the rich did not spend, the poor would starve,—

Luxury is the cure of that unavoidable evil in society great inequality of fortune! Political economists th re tell us, that any regulations would be ridiculous which, as Lord Bacon expresses it, should serve for the repressing of waste and excess by sumptury love. Adam Smith is not only indignant at 'sumptuary laws,' but asserts, with a democratic insolence of style, that 'it is the highest impertinence and presumption in kings and ministers to pretend te watch over the economy of private people, and to restrain their expense by sumptuary laws. They are themselves al-ways the greatest spendthrifts in the society: let them look well after their own expense, and they may safely trust pri-vate people with theirs. If their own extravagance does not ruin the state, that of their subjects never will. We must therefore infer, that governments, by extravagance, may ruin a state, but that individuals enjoy the remarkable privilege of ruining themselves, without injuring society! Adam Smith afterwards distinguishes two sorts of luxury; the one, exhausting itself in 'durable commodities, as in buildings, furniture, books, statues, pictures,' will increase the opulence of a nation;' but of the other, wasting itself in dress and equipages, in frivolous ornaments, jewels, baubles, trinkets, &c, he acknowledges 'no trace or vespaulies, trinkets, sec, no acknowinges 'no trace or ves-tige would remain; and the effects of ten or twenty years' profusion would be as completely annihilated as if they had never existed.' There is, therefore a greater or lesser evil in this important subject of the opulent, unrestricted by any law, ruining his whole generation.

Where 'the wealth of nations' is made the solitary

standard of its prosperity, it becomes a fertile source of errors in the science of morals; and the happiness of the individual is then too frequently sacrificed to what is called the prosperity of the state. If an individual, in the pride of luxury and selfism, annihilates the forunes of his whole generation, untouched by the laws as a criminal, he leaves behind him a race of the discontented and the seditious, who having sunk in the scale of society, have to reascend from their degradation by industry and by humiliation; but for the work of industry their habits have made them inexpert; and to humiliation, their very rank

presents a perpetual obstacle.

Sumptuary laws, so often enacted, and so often repealed, and always cluded, were the perpetual, but ineffectual, attempts of all governments to restrain what, perhaps, cannot be restrained—criminal folly! And to punish a man for having ruined himself would usually be to punish

a most contrite penicent!

It is not surprising that before 'private vices were con-sidered as public benefits,' the governors of nations instituted sumptuary laws-for the passion for pageantry, and an incredible prodigality in dress, were continually impoverishing great families-more equality of wealth has now rather subdued the form of private ruin than laid this evil domestic spirit. The incalculable expenditure, and the blaze of splendour, of our ancestors, may startle the in-credulity of our disgards. We find men of rank exhaust-ing their wealth and pawning their castles, and then despe-rately issuing from them, heroes for a crusade, or brigands for their neighbourhood !-- and this frequently from the simple circumstance of having for a short time maintained some gorgeous chivalric festival on their own estates, or from having melted thousands of acres into a cloth of gold; their sons were left to beg their broad on the estates which they were to have inherited.

It was when chivalry still charmed the world by the remains of its seductive splendours, towards the close of the fifteenth century, that I find an instance of this kind occurring in the Pas de Sandricourt, which was held in the neighbourhood of the sieur of that name. It is a memorable affair, not only for us curious inquirers after manners and morals, but for the whole family of the Sandricourts; for though the said sieur is now receiving the immortality we bestow on him, and is dome, who precided in that magnificent piece of chivalry, was infinitely gratified, yet for ever after was the lord of Sandricourt ruined—and all for a short,

romantic three months!

This story of the chivalric period may amuse. A poed armes, though consisting of military exercises and deeds of gallantry, was a sort of festival distinct from a tournament. It signified a per or passage to be contested by one or more knights against all comers. It was necessary that the road should be such that it could not be passed without encountering some guardian knight. The a fiers who disputed the pas hung their blasoned shields on trees, pales, or posts raised for this purpose. The as-

pirants after chivalric honours would strike with their lance one of these shields, and when it rung it instantly sum-moned the owner to the challenge. A bridge or a road would sometimes serve for this military sport, for such it was intended to be, whenever the heat of the rivals proved not too earnest. The sieur of Sandricourt was a finedreamer of feasts of chivalry, and in the neighbourhood of his castle he fancied that he saw the very spot adapted for every game: there was one admirably fitted for the barrier of a tilting-match; another embellished by a solitary pinetree; another which was called the meadow of the thorn; there was a correfour, where, in four roads, four knights might meet; and, above all, there was a forest called dewoyshie, having no path, so favourable for errant knights, who might there enter for strange adventurers, and, as chance directed, encounter others as bewildered as themselves. Our chivakric Sandricourt found nine young seign-surs of the court of Charles the Eighth of France, who answered all his wishes. To sanction this glorious feat it was necessary to obtain leave from the king, and a herald of the Duke of Orleans to distribute the cartel or chalthe Duke of Orleans to distribute the carras or chaineage all over France, announcing that from such a day, ten young lords would stand ready to combat, in those dif-brent places, in the neighbourhood of Sandricourt's charates. The names of this flower of chivalry have been latifully registered, and they were such as instantly to throw a spark into the heart of every lover of arms! The world of fashion, that is, the chivalric world, were set in motion. Four bodies of assailants soon collected, each convisting of ten combatants. The herald of Orleans having exsmined the arms of these gentlemen, and satisfied himself of their ancient lineage, and their military renown, admitted their claims to the proffered honour. Sandricourt now saw with rapture, the numerous shields of the assailants placed on the sides of his portals and corresponding with those of the challengers which hung above them. Ancient lords were elected judges of the feats of the knights, accom-panied by the ladies, for whose bonour only the combatants declared they engaged.

The herald of Oricans tells the history in no very intelligible verse; but the burden of his stanza is still

Du pas d'armes du chasteau Sandricourt.

He sings, or says,

Oncques, depuis le temps du roi Artus, Ne furent tant les armes ozaulcées— Maint chovaliers et preux entrepenans— Princas plusieurs ont terre déplabées Pour y venir donner coups, et poussées Qui out été là tenus si de court, Que par force n'ont prises et passées Les barrieres, entrées, et passées Los barrieres, entrées, et passées

Doubtless, there, many a Roland met with his Oliver, and could not pass the barriers. Cased as they were in steel, de pied en cap, we presume that they could not materially injure themselves; yet, when on foot, the ancient judges discovered such symptoms of peril, that on the following day they advised our knights to satisfy them-selves by fighting on horseback. Against this prudential counsel for some time they protested, as an inferior sort of glory. However, on the next day, the horse combat was appointed in the corresour, by the pine-tree. On the following day they tried their lances in the meadow of the thorn; but, though on horseback, the judges deemed their attacks were so fierce, that this assault was likewise not without peril; for some horses were killed, and some knights were thrown, and lay bruised by their own mail; but the barbed horses, wearing only des champfriens, headpieces magnificently caparisoned, found no protection in their ornaments. The last days were passed in combats of two to two, or in a single encounter, a-foot, in the forest droupside. These jourse passed without any accident; and the prizes were awarded in a manner equally gratifying to the claimants. The last day of the f-sival was concluded with a most sumptuous banquet. Two noble knights had undertaken the humble office of matter d'hotel; and while the knights were parading in the foret devoyable, seeking the knights were parading in the foret devoyable, seeking adventures, a hundred servants were seen at all points, carrying white and red hypocras, and juleps, and sirep de miders, sweetmeats, and other spiceries, to comfort these wanderers, who on returning to the chastess, found a grand and pleateous banquet. The tables were crowded ja the court-apartment, where home held one hundred and twelve gentlemen, not including the dames and the damesselles. In the halls, and outside of the chastess, were othe tables. At that festival more than two thousand persons were magnificently entertained free of every expense; their attendants, their armourers, their plumassiers, and others, were also present. La Dame de Sandricourt, 'fut mouk aise d'avoir donné dans son chasteau si belle, si magnifique, et gorgiasse fete.' Historians are apt to describe their personages as they appear, not as they are: if the lady of the Sieur Sandricourt really was 'moult aise' during these gorgeous days, one cannot but sympathize with the lady, when her loyal knight and spouse confessed to her, after the departure of the mob of two thousand visiters, neighbours, soldiers, and courtiers,—the knights assellants, and the fine scenes at the pine-tree; the barrier in the meadow of the thorn; and the horse-combat at the carvafeer; and the jousts in the foret deveyable; the carousals in the castleballs; the loility of the banquet-tables, the moreacoes danced till they were reminded 'How the waning night grew old!"—in a word, when the costly dream had valizing his name in one grand chivalric festival! The Sieur de Sandricourt, like a great torch, had consumed himself in his own brightness; and the very land on wa ch the famous Pas de Sandricourt was held—had passed away with it! Thus one man sinks generations by that waster fullness, which a political economist would assure us was committing no injury to society!—The moral evil goes for

committing no injury to society!—The moral evil goes for nothing in financial statements!

Similar instances of ruinous luxury we may find in the prodigal costliness of dress through the reign of Elizabeth, prodigal coeffices of cress inrough the rest. Not only in their James the First, and Charles the First. Not only in their massy grandeur they outweighed us, but the accumulation and variety of their wardrobe displayed such a gaiety of fancy in their colours and their ornaments, that the drawing-room in those days must have blazed at their presence, and changed colour as the crowd moved. But if we may trust to royal proclamations, the ruin was general among some classes. Elizabeth issued more than one proclama-tion against 'the excess of apparel!' and among other evils which the government imagined this passion for dress occasioned, it notices ' the wasting and undoing of a great number of young gentlemen, otherwise serviceable; and that others, seeking by show of apparel to be esteemed as gentlemen, and allured by the vain show of these things, not only consume their goods and lands, but also run into such debts and shifts, as they cannot live out of danger of laws, without attempting of unlawful acts. The queen bids her own household to look unto it for good example to the realm; and all noblemen, archbishops and bishops, all mayors, justices of peace, &c, should see them executed in their private households.' The greatest difficulty which occurred to regulate the wear of apparel was ascer taining the incomes of persons, or, in the words of the proclamation, 'finding that it is very hard for any man's state of fiving and value to be truly understood by other persons." They were to be regulated, as they appear 'sessed in the subsidy books.' But if persons chose to be more magnificent in their dress, they were allowed to justify their means: in that case, if allowed, her majesty would not be the loser; for they were to be rated in the subsidy books according to such values as they themselves offered as a qualification for the splendour of their dress!

In my researches among manuscript letters of the times, I have had frequent occasion to discover how persons of considerable rank appear to have carried their acres on their backs, and with their ruinous and fantastical luxuries sadly pinched their hospitality. It was this which so frequently cast them into the nots of 'the gold-smiths,' and other trading usurers. At the coronation of James the First, I find a simple knight whose cloak cost him five hundred pounds; but this was not uncommon. At the marriage of Elizabeth, the daughter of James the First, 'Lady Wotton had a gown of which the embroidery cost fifty pounds a yard. The Lady Arabella made four gowns, one of which cost 1500t. The Lord Montacute (Montague) bestowed 1500t in apparel for his two daughters. One lady, under the rank of baronness, was furnished with jewels exceeding one bundred thousand pounds; and the Lady Arabella goes beyond her,' says the letter-writer, 'All this extreme cost and riches makes us all poor,' as he imagined! I have been amused in observing grave writers of state-despatches jocular on any mischance or mortification to which persons are liable, whose happiness entirely depends on their dress. [Sir Pudley Carle-

ton, our minister at Venice, communicates, as an article worth transmitting, the great disappointment incurred by Sir Thomas Glover, 'who was just come hither, and had appeared one day like a comet, all in crimeon velvet and beaten gold, but had all his expectations marred on a sudden, by the news of Prince Henry's death.'

similar-mischance, from a different cause, was the lot of Lord Hay, who made great preparations for his embassy to France, which, however, were chiefly confined to his dress. He was to remain there twenty days; and the letter-writer maliciously observes, that 'He goes with twenty special suits of apparel for so many days' abode, besides his travelling robes; but news is very lately come that the French have lately altered their fashion, whereby he must needs be out of countenance, if he be not set out after the last edition? To find himself out of fashion, with twenty suits for twenty days, was a mischance his lord-ship had no right to count on!

'The glass of fashion' was unquestionably held up by two very eminent characters, Rawleigh and Buckingham; and the authentic facts recorded of their dress, will suffi-ciently account for the frequent 'Proclamations' to control

that service herd of imitaturs—the smaller gentry!

There is a remarkable picture of Sir Walter, which will at least serve to convey an idea of the gaiety and splendour of his dress. It is a white satin pinked vest, close sleeved to the wrist; over the body a brown doublet, finely flowered and embroidered with pearl. In the feather of his hat a large ruby and pearl drop at the bottom of the sprig, in place of a button; his trunk or breeches, with his stockings and ribbon garters, fringed at the end, all white, and buff shoes with white ribbon. Oldys, who saw this picture, has thus described the dress of Rawleigh. But I have some important additions; for I find that Rawleigh's shoes on great court days were so gorgeously covered with pre-cious stones, as to have exceeded the value of six thousand six hundred pounds; and that he had a suit of armour of sould silver, with sword and belt blazing with diamonds, rubies, and pearls; whose value was not so easily calculated. Rawleigh had no patrimonial inheritance; at this moment he had on his back a good portion of a Spanish galloon, and the profits of a monopoly of trade he was cargament, and the profits of a monopoly of trade ne was car-rying on with the newly-discovered Virginia. Probably he placed all his hopes in his dress! The virgin queen, when she issued proclamations against 'the excess of apparel,' pardoned, by her looks, that promise of a mine which blazed in Rawleigh's; and, parsimonious as she was, forgot the three thousand changes of dresses, which she herself left in the royal wardrobe.

Buckingham could afford to here his diamonds tacked so loosely on, that when he chose to shake a few off on the ground, he obtained all the fame he desired from the pickers-up, who were generally les dames de la contr! for our duke never condescended to accept what he himself had dropped. His cloaks were trimmed with great diamond buttons, and diamond hat-bands, cockades, and ear-rings yoked with great ropes and knots of pearls. This was however, but for ordinary dances. 'He had twenty-even suits of clothes made, the richest that embroidery, lace, silk, velvet, silver, gold, and gems, could contribute; one of which was a white uncut velvet, set all over, both suit and cloak, with diamonds valued at fourscore thousand pounds, besides a great feather, stuck all over with diastonds, as were also his sweed girdle, hat, and spurs.\* In the masques and banquets with which Buckingham entertained the court, he usually expended, for the evening, from one to fire housand pounds. To others I leave to calculate the value of money; the sums of this gorgeous wastefulness, it must be recollected, occurred before this million age of ours.

If, to provide the means for such enormous expenditure. Buckingham multiplied the grievances of monopilies; if he pillaged the treasury for his eighty thousand pounds' coat; if Rawleigh was at length driven to his last desperate enterprise, to relieve himself of his creditors, for a pair of six the thousand pounds' shoes-in both these cases, as in that of chivalric Sandricourt, the political economist may perhaps acknowledge, that there is a sort of luxury highly criminal. All the arguments he may urge, all the statistical accounts he may calculate, and the healthful state of his circulating medium among 'the merchants, embroiderers, sikmen, and jewellers'—will not alter such a moral evil,

\* The Jesuit Drexelius, in one of his religious dialogues. sotices the fact; but I am referring to an Harleian manuscript, which confirms the information of the Jesuk.

which leaves an eternal taint in 'the wealth of nations! It is the principle that 'private vices are public benefits, and that men may be allowed to ruin their generations without committing any injury to society.

# DISCOVERIES OF SECLUDED MEN.

Those who are unaccustomed to the labours of the closet are unacquainted with the secret and silent triumphs obtained in the pursuits of studious men. That aptitude, which in poetry is sometimes called inspiration, in knowledge we may call sagucity; and it is probable, that the vehemence of the one does not excite more pleasure than the still tranquillity of the other: they are both, according to the strict signification of the Latin term from whence we have borrowed ours of invention, a finding out, the re-sult of a combination which no other has formed but our selves.

I will produce several remarkable instances of the felicity of this aptitude of the learned in making discoveries which could only have been effectuated by an uninterrupted intercourse with the objects of their studies, making things

remote and dispersed familiar and present.

One of ancient date is better known to the reader than those I am preparing for him. When the magistrates of those I am preparing for him. When the magistrates of Syracuse were showing to Cicero the curiosities of the place, he desired to visit the tomb of Archimedes; but, to his surprise, they acknowledged that they knew nothing of any such tomb, and denied that it ever existed. The learned Cicero, convinced by the authorities of ancient writers, by the verses of the inscription which he remembered, and the circumstance of a sphere with a cylinder being engraven on it, requested them to assist him in the search. They conducted the illustrious but obstinate stranger to their most ancient burying ground: amidst the number of sepulchres, they observed a small column overhung with brambles-Cicero, looking on while they were clearing away the rubbish, suddenly exclaimed, 'Here is the thing we are looking for? His eye had caught the geometrical figures on the tomb, and the inscription soon confirmed his conjecture. Cicero long after exulted in the triumph of this discovery.— Thus! he says, 'one of the noblest cities of Greece, and once the most learned, had known nothing of the monument of its most deserving and ingenious citizen, had it not been discovered to them by a native of Arpinum!

The great French antiquary Peiresc exhibited a singular combination of learning, patient thought, and luminous sagacity, which could restore an 'airy nothing' to 'a local habitation and a name.' There was found an amethyst, and the same afterwards occurred on the front of an ancient temple, a number of marks, or indents, which had long perplexed inquirate, more particularly as similar marks or indents were frequently observed in ancient monuments. It was agreed on, as no one, could understand them, and all would be satisfied, that they were secret hieroglyphics. It occurred to Peiresc, that these marks were nothing more than holes for small nails, which had formerly fastened little lamina, which represented so many Greek letters. This hint of his own suggested to him to draw lines from one hole to another; and he beheld the amethyst reveal the name of the sculptor, and the frieze of the temple the name of the God! This curious discovery has been since frequently applied; but it appears to have originated with this great antiquary, who by his learning and saga-city explained a supposed hieroglyphic, which had been locked up in the silence of seventeen centuries.\*

Learned men, confined to their study, have often rectified the errors of travellers; they have done more, they have found out paths for them to explore, or opened seas for them to navigate. The situation of the vale of Tempe had been mistaken by modern travellers; and it is singular, observes the Quarterly Reviewer, yet not so singular as it appears to that elegant critic, that the only good directions for finding it had been given by a person who was never in Greece. Arthur Browne, a man of letters of Trinity College, Dublin—it is gratifying to quote an Irish philosopher and man of letters, from the extreme rarity of the character-was the first to detect the inconsistencies of Pococke and Busching, and to send future travellers to look for Tempe in its real situation, the defiles between Ossa and Olympus; a discovery subsequently realized.

\* The curious reader may view the marks, and the manner In which the Greek characters were made out, in the preface to Hearne's 'Curious Discourses.' The amethys proved more difficult than the frieze, from the circumstance, that in engraving on the stone the letters must be reversed. When Dr Clarke discovered an inscription purporting that the pass of Tempe had been fortified by Cassius Longinus, Mr Walpole, with equal felicity, detected, in Cassar's History of the Civil War, the name and the mission of this

very person.

A living geographer, to whom the world stands deep, indebted, does not read Herodotus in the original; yet, by the exercise of his extraordinary aptitude, it is well known that he has often corrected the Greek historian, explained obscurities in a text which he never read, by his own happy conjectures, and confirmed his own discoveries by the subsequent knowledge which modern travellers have afforded.

Gray's perseverance in studying the geography of India and of Persia, at a time when our country had no immediate interests with those ancient empires, would have been placed by a cynical observer among the curious idleness of a mere man of letters. These studies were indeed prosecuted, as Mr Mathias observes, on the disinterested prosecuted, as Mr. Matinas observes, on the disinterestee principles of liberal investigation, not on those of policy, nor of the regulation of trade, nor of the extension of empire, nor of permanent establishments, but simply and solely on the grand view of what is, and of what is past. They were the researches of a solitary scholar in academical retirement. Since the time of Gray, these very pursuits have been carried on by two consummate geo-graphers, Major Rennel and Dr Vincent, who have opened to the classical and the political reader all he wished to learn, at a time when India and Persia had become objects interesting and important to us. The fruits of Grav's learning, long after their author was no more, became valuable!

The studies of the 'solitary scholar' are always useful to the world, although they may not always be timed to its present wants; with him, indeed, they are not merely designed for this purpose. Gray discovered India for himself; but the solitary pursuits of a great student, shaped to a particular end, will never fail being useful to the world; though it may happen, that a century may elapse between the periods of the discovery and its practical utility. Halley's version of an Arabic MS on a mathematical

subject, offers an instance of the extraordinary sagacity I am alluding to; it may also serve as a demonstration of the poculiar and supereminent advantages possessed by mathematicians, observes Mr Dugald Stewart, in their fixed relations, which form the objects of their science, and the correspondent precision in their language and reasonings:—as matter of literary history, it is highly curious.

Dr Bernard accidentally discovered in the Bodleian library an Arabic version of Apollonius de Sectione Rationis which he determined to translate in Latin, but only finished about a tenth part. Halley, extremely interested by the subject, but with an entire ignorance of the Arabic language, resolved to complete the imperfect version! sisted only by the manuscript which Bernard had left, it served him as a key for investigating the sense of the ori-ginal; he first made a list of those words wherever they occurred, with the train of reasoning in which they were involved, to decipher, by these very slow degrees, the import of the context; till at last Halley succeeded in mastering the whole work, and in bringing the translation, without the aid of any one, to the form in which he gave nt to the public; so that we have here a difficult work translated from the Arabic, by one who was in no manner conversant with the language, merely by the exertion of his sagacity!

I give the memorable account, as Boyle has delivered it, of the circumstances which led Harvey to the discovery of

the circulation of the blood.

'I remember that when I asked our famous Harvey, in the only discourse I had with him, which was but a little while before he died, what were the things which induced him to think of a circulation of the blood? he answered me, that when he took notice that the valves in the veins of so many parts of the body were so placed that they gave free passage to the blood towards the heart, but opposed the passage of the venal blood the contrary way, he was invited to think that so provident a cause as nature had not placed so many valves without design; and no design seemed more probable than that, since the blood could not well, because of the interposing valves, be sent by the veins to the imbs, it should be sent by the arteries and return through the veins, whose valves dichnot oppose its course that way.'
The reason here ascribed to Harvey seems now so very

natural and obvious, that some have been disposed to question his claim to the high rank commonly assigned to him among the improvers of science! Dr William Hunter has said, that after the discovery of the valves in the veins, which Harvey learned while in Italy from his master, Fabricius ab Aquapendente, the remaining step might easily have been made by any person of common abilities. This discovery, he observes, 'set Harvey to work upon the use of the heart and vascular system in animals; and is the course of some years, he was so happy as to discover, and to prove beyond all possibility of doubt, the circulation of the blood.' He afterwards expresses his astonishment that this discovery should have been left for Harvey, though he acknowledges it occupied 'a course of years;' adding, that 'Providence meant to reserve it for kins, and would that Provide the see what was before them, nor understand what they read. It is remarkable that when great discoveries are effected, their simplicity always seems to detract from their originality; on these occasions we are reminded of the egg of Columbus!

It is said that a recent discovery, which ascertains that the Niger empties itself into the Atlantic Ocean, was really anticipated by the geographical acumen of a student at Glasgow, who arrived at the same conclusion by a most persevering investigation of the works of travellers and geographers, ancient and modern, and by an examination of African captives; and had actually constructed, for the inspection of government, a map of Africa, on which he had traced the entire course of the Niger from the in-

terior.

Franklin conjectured the identity of lightning and of electricity, before he had realized it by decisive experiment. The kito being raised, a considerable time elapsed before there was any appearance of its being electrified. One very promising cloud had passed over it without any effect. Just as he was beginning to despair of his contrivance, he observed some loose threads of the hompen string to stand erect, and to avoid one another, just as if they had been suspended on a common conductor. Struck with this promising appearance, he immediately presented his knuckle to the key! And let the reader judge of the exquisite pleasure he must have felt at that moment when the discovery was complete! We owe to Priestly this admirable narrative—the strong sensation of delight which Franklin experienced as his knuckle touched the key, and at the moment when he felt that a new world was opening, might have been equalled, but it was probably not surpassed, when the same hand signed the long-disputed independence of his country!

When Leibnitz was supied in his philosophical reasonings on his Law of Continuity, his singular sagacity enabled him to predict a discovery which afterwards was realized—he imagined the necessary existence of the pro-

lypus! It has been remarked of Newton, that several of his slight hints, some in the modest form of queries, have been ascertained to be predictions, and among others that of the inflammability of the diamond; and many have been eagerly seized upon as indisputable axioms. A hint at the close of his optics, that 'If natural philosophy should be continued to be improved in its various branches, the bounds of moral philosophy would be enlarged also, is, perhaps, among the most important of human discoveries—it gave rise to Hartley's Physiological Theory of the Mind. The queries, the hints, the conjectures of Newton, display the most creative sagacity; and demonstrate in what manner the discoveries of retired men, while they bequeath their legacies to the world, afford to themselves a frequent source of secret and silent triumphs.

# SENTIMENTAL BIOGRAPHY.

A periodical critic, probably one of the juniors, has thrown out a startling observation. There is, says this literary senator, 'something melancholy in the study of biography, because it is—a history of the dead." A tru-ism and a falsity mixed up together, is the temptation with some modern critics to commit that darling sin of theirs-novelty and originality! But we really cannot condols with the readers of Plutarch for their deep melancholy; we who feel our spirits refreshed amidst the medicerity of society, when we are recalled back to the men and wo who were! illustrious in every glory! Biography with us is a re-union with human existence in its most excellent state; and we find nothing dead in the past, while we retain the sympathies which only require to be awakened.



It would have been more reasonable had the critic discovered that our country has not yet had her Plutarch; and that our biography remains still little more than a mass of compilation.

In this study of biography there is a species which has not yet been distinguished—biographies composed by some domestic friend, or by some enthusiast who works with comestic Iriend, or by some enthusiast who works with love. A term is unquestionably wanted for this distinct class. The Germans seem to have invented a platonic one, drawn from the Greek, psyche, or the soul; for they call this the psychological life. Another attempt has been made, by giving it the scientific term of idiosyncracy, to denote a peculiarity of disposition. I would call it sensitive that the property of the psychological life. mental biography!

It is distinct from a chronological biography, for it searches for the individual's feelings amidst the ascertained facts of his life; so that facts, which occurred remotely from each other, are here brought at once together. The detail of events which completes the chronological biography contains many which are not connected with the pe-culiarity of the character itself. The examinantal is also distinct from the auto-biography, however it may seem a part of it. Whether a man be entitled to lavish his panegyric on himself, I will not decide; but it is certain that he sks every thing by appealing to a solitary and suspected

We have two lives of Dante, one by Boccaccio, and the other by Leonardo Arctino, both interesting; but Boccaccio's is the sentimental life!

Arctino, indeed, finds fault, but with all the tenderness possible, with Boccaccio's affectionate sketch, Origine, Vita, Studi e Costumi del clarissimo Dante, &c. 'Origin, Life, Studies, and Manners, of the illustrious Dante, Ge. It seems to me, he says, that our Boccaccio, dolcissime e susuissime wome, awest and delightful man! has written the life and manners of this sublime poet, as if he had been composing the Floccole, the Floatrate, or the Fiametta' the romances of Boccaccio—for all breathes of love and sighs, and is covered with warm tears, as if a man were born in this world only to live among the enamoured ladies and the gallant youths of the ten amorous days of his hundred novels."

Aretino, who wanted not all the feeling requisite for the delightful costumi e studi of Boccaccio's Dante, modestly requires that his own life of Dante should be considered iy requires that his own lite of Dante should be considered as a supplement to, not as a substitute for, Boccaccio's. Pathetic with all the sorrows, and eloquent with all the remonstrances of a fellow-citizen, Boccaccio while he wept, hung with anger over his country's shame in its apathy for the honour of its long-injured exile. Catching inspiration from the breathing pages of Boccaccio, it inclines one to wish that we possessed two biographies of an illustrious favourite character; the one strictly and fully historical the other faught with these were facilizes of the historical, the other fraught with those very feelings of the departed, which we may have to seek in vain for, in the circumstantial and chronological biographer. Boccaccio, indeed, was overcome by his feelings. He either knew not, or he omits the substantial incidents of Dante's life; while his imagination throws a romantic tinge on occurrences raised on slight, perhaps on no foundation. Boc-caccio narrates a dream of the mother of Dante so fancifully poetical, that probably Boccaccio forgot that none but a dreamer could have told it. Seated under a high laureltree, by the side of a vast fountain, the mother dreamed that she gave birth to her son; she saw him nourished by its fruit, and refreshed by the clear waters; she soon be-held him a shepherd; approaching to pluck the boughs, she saw him fall! When he rose he had ceased to be a man, and was transformed into a peacock! Disturbed by her admiration, she suddenly awoke; but when the father found that he really had a son, in allusion to the dream he called him Dante—or given! e meritamente; perocché ottimamente, siccome si vedra procedendo, segui al nome l'efeto; 'and deservedy! for greatly, as we shall see, the effect followed the name!' At nine years of age, on a May-day, whose joyous festival Boccaccio beautifully describes, when the softness of the heavens re-adorning the carth with its mingled flowers, waved the green boughs, and made all things smile, Dante mixed with the hoys and girls in the house of the good citizen who on that day gave the feast, beheld little Brice, as she was familiarly called, but named Beatrice. The little Dante might have seen her before, but he loved her then, and from that day never ceased to love; and thus Dante nella pargoletta ela fatto Camere ferventissimo servidore; so fervent a servant to

Love, in an age of childhood! Boccaccio appeals to Dante's own account of his long passion, and his constant sighs, in the Vita Nuovo. No look, no word, no sign, sullied the purity of his passion; but in her twenty-fourth year died 'la bellissima Beatrice.' Dante is then described as more than inconsolable; his eyes were long two abundant fountains of tears; careless of life, he let his beard grow wildly, and to others appeared a savage mea-gre man, whose aspect was so changed, that while this weeping life lasted, he was hardly recognised by his friends; all looked on a man so entirely transformed, with friends; all looked on a man so entirely transformed, with deep compassion. Dante, won over by those who could console the inconsolable, was at length solicited by his re-lations to marry a lady of his own condition in life; and it was suggested that as the departed lady had occasioned him such heavy griefs, the new one might open a source of delight. The relations and friends of Dante gave him a wife that his tears for Beatrice might cease.

a wile that his tears for treatrice migni cease. It is supposed that this marriage proved unhappy. Boccaccio, like a pathetic lover rather than bingrapher, exclaims, 'Oh menti cieche! Oh tenebresi intelletti! Oh argementi vani di molti mortali quante sono le ruiccite in assai cose contrarie a' nostri avvisi! &c. Oh blind men! Oh dark minds! Oh vain arguments of most mortals, how often are the results contrary to our advice! Frequently it is like leading one who breathes the soft air of Italy to re-fresh himself in the eternal shades of the Rhodopean mountains. What physician would expe' a burning fever with fire, or put in the shivering marrow of the bones snow and ice? So certainly shall it fare with him, who, with a new love, thinks to mitigate the old. Those who believe this know not the nature of love, nor how much a second passion adds to the first. In vain would we assist or advise this forceful passion, if it has struck its root near the heart of him who long has loved.'

Boccaccio has beguiled my pen for half an hour with all the loves and fancies which sprung out of his own afest once and inneres when sprung out of his own at-fectionate and romantic heart. What airy stuff has he woven into the 'Vita' of Dante! this sentimental biogra-phy! Whether he knew but little of the personal history of the great man whom he idolized, or whether the dream of the mother—the May-day interview with the little Brice, and the rest of the children—and the effusions on Dante's marriage, were grounded on tradition, one would not harshly reject such tender incidents.\* But let it not be imagined that the heart of Boccaccio was only suscep-tible to amorous impressions—bursts of enthusiasm and eloquence, which only a man of genius is worthy of re-ceiving, and only a man of genius is capable of bestowing —kindle the mesculine patriotism of this bold, indignant spirit!

Half a century had elapsed since the death of Dante, and still the Florentines showed no sign of repentance for their ancient hatred of their persecuted patriot, nor any sense of the memory of the creator of their language, whose immortality had become a portion of their own glovy. Boccaccio, impassioned by all his generous nature, though he regrets he could not raise a statue to Dante has sent down to posterity more than marble, in the 'life. I vonture to give the lofty and bold apostrophe to his fel-low-citizens; but I feel that even the genius of our lan-guage is tame by the side of the harmonized eloquence of

Ungrateful country! what madness urged thee, when thy dearest citizen, thy chief benefactor, thy only poet, with unaccustomed cruelty was driven to flight. If this had happened in the general terror of that time, coming from evil counsels, thou mightest stand excused; but when the passions ceased, didst thou repent? didst thou recall him? Bear with me, nor deem it irksome from me, who am thy son, that thus I collect what just indignation prompts me to speak, as a man more desirous of witnessng your amendment, than of beholding you punished!
Seems it to you glorious, proud of so many titles and o, such men, that the one whose like no neighbouring city can show, you have chosen to chase from among you?

\* A Comment on the Divine Comedy of Dante, in English, printed in Italy, has just reached me. I am delighted to find that this biography of Love, however romantic, is true! In his ninth year, Dante was a lover and a poet! The tender sonnet, free from all obscurity, which he composed on Beatrice, is preserved in the above singular volume. There can be no longer any doubt of the story of Beatrice; but the son-net and the passion must be 'classed among curious natu-ral phenomena,' or how far apocryphal, remains for future

Digitized by GOOGLE

With what triumphs, with what valorous citizens are you splendid? Your wealth is a removable and uncertain thing; your fragile beauty will grow old; your delicacy is shameful and feminine; but these make you noticed by the false judgments of the populace! Do you glory in your merchants and your artists? I speak imprudently; but the one are tenaciously avaricious in their servile trades; and Art, which once was so noble, and became a second nature struck by the same avarice, is now as corrupted, and nothing worth! Do you glory in the baseness and the istlessness of those idlers, who, because their ancestors are remembered, attempt to raise up among you a nobility to govern you, ever by robbery, by treachery, by falsehood! Ah! miserable mother! open thine eyes; cast them with some remorse on what thou hast done, and blush, at least, reputed wise as thou art, to have had in your errors so fatal a choice! Why not rather imitate the acts of those cities who so keenly disputed merely for the honour of the cities who so keenly disputed merely for the honour of the hirth-place of the divine Homer? Mantua, our neighbour, counts as the greatest fame which remains for her, that Virgil was a Mantuan! and holds his very name in such reverence, that not only in public places, but in the most private, we see his sculptured image! You only, while you were made famous by illustrious men, you only have shown no care for your great poet. Your Dante Alighieri died in exile, to which you unjustly, envious of his greatness, destined him! A crime not to be remembered, that the mother should hear an envious malignity to the virtues the mother should bear an envious malignity to the virtues of a son! Now cease to be unjust! He cannot do you that, now dead, which living, he never did do to you! He lies under another sky than yours, and you never can see him again but on that day when all your citizens she! him again, but on that day, when all your citizens shall view him, and the great Remunerator shall examine, and shall punish! If anger, hatred, and enmity, are buried with a man, as it is believed, begin then to return to yourself; begin to be ashamed to have acted against your ancent humanity; begin, then, to wish to appear a mother, and not a cold negligent step-dame. Yield your tears to your son; yield your maternal piety to him whom once you repulsed, and, living, cast away from you! At least think of possessing him dead, and restore your citizenship, and restore your citizenship. your award, and your grace, to his memory. He was a son who held you in reverence, and though long an exile, he always called himself, and would be called, a Florentine! He held you ever above all others; ever he loved you! What will you then do? Will you remain obsticate in iniquity? Will you practise less humanity than the barbarians? You wish that the world should believe that you are the sister of famous Troy, and the daughter of Rome; assuredly the children should resemble their fathers and their ancestors. Priam, in his misery, bought the corpse of Hector with gold; and Rome would possess the bones of the first Scipio, and removed them from Linguistic that the corpse of the first Scipio, and removed them from Linguistic that the corpse of the corps of t ternum, those bones, which, dying, so justly he had de-nied her. Seek then to be the true guardian of your Dante, claim him! show this humane feeling, claim him! you may securely do this: I am certain he will not be returned to you; but thus at once you may betray some mark of compassion, and, not having him again, still enjoy your ancient cruelty! Alas! what comfort am I bringing you! I almost believe, that if the dead could feel, the body of Dante would not rise to return to you, for he is lying in Ravenna, whose hallowed soil is every where covered with the ashes of saints. Would Dante quit this blessed company to mingle with the remains of those hatreds and iniquities which gave him no rest in life? The relics of Dante, even among the bodies of emperors and of martyrs, and of their illustrious ancestors, is prized as a treasure, for there his works are looked on with admiration; those works of which you have not yet known to make yourselves worthy. His birth-place, his origin, remains for you, spite of your ingratitude! and this, Ravenna envies you, while she glories in your honours which she has snatched from you through ages yet to come!' Such was the deep emotion which opened Boccaccio's

Such was the deep emotion which opened Boccaccio's iterat in this sentimental biography, and which awoke even shame and confusion in the minds of the Florentines; they blushed for their old hatreds, and, with awakened sympathies, they hastened to honour the memory of their great bard. By order of the city, the Divina Commedia was publicly read and explained to the people. Boccaccio, then sinking under the infirmities of age, roused his departing genius: still was there marrow in the bones of the aged live, and he engaged in the task of composing his relief rated Commentaries on the Divina Commedia.

In this class of sentimental biography I would place a species which the historian Carte noticed in his literary ravels on the continent, in pursuit of his historical design. He found, preserved among several ancient families of France, their domestic annals. 'With a warm, patriotic spirit, worthy of imitation, they have often carefully preserved in their families the acts of their ancestors.' This delight and pride of the modern Gauls in the great and good deeds of their ancestors, preserved in domestic archives, will be ascribed to their folly or their vanity; yet in that folly there may be so much wisdom, and in that vanity redeem the other.

This custom has been rarely adopted among ourselves; we have, however, a few separatehistories of some ancient families, as those of Mordaunt, and of Warren. One of the most remarkable is 'a genealogical history of the House of Yvery, in its different branches of Yvery, Luvel, Perceval, and Gournay. Two large volumes, closely printed,\* expatiating on the characters and events of a single family with the grave pomp of a herald, but more particularly the idolatry of the writer for ancient nobility, and his contempt for that growing rank in society whom he designates as 'New Men,' provoked the ridicule at least of the aspersed.† This extraordinary work, notwithstanding its absurdities in its general result, has left behind a deep impression. Drawn from the authentic family records, it is not without interest that we toil through its copious pages; we trace with a romantic sympathy the fortunes of the descendants of the House of Yvery, from that not-forgotten here Le vaillant Perceval chevolite de la Table Ronde, to the Norman Baron Asselin, surnamed the Wolf, for his bravery or his ferocity; thence to the Cavalier of Charles the First, Sir Philip Percival, who having gloriously defended his cartle, was at length deprived of his lordly possessions, but never of his loyalty, and died obscurely in the metropolis, of a broken heart, till we reach the Polish Nobleman, the Lord Egmont of the Georges.

The nation has lost many a noble example of men and women acting a great part on great occasions, and then retreating to the shade of privacy; and we may be confident that many a name has not been inscribed on the roll of national glory only from wanting a few drops of ink! Such domestic annals may yet be viewed in the family re-cords at Appleby Castle! Anne, Countess of Pembroke, was a glorious woman the descendant of two potent northern families, the Veteriponts and the Cliffords.—She lived in a state of regal magnificence and independence, inhabiting five or seven castles; yet though her magnificent spirit poured itself out in her extended charities, and though her independence mated that of monarchs, yet she herself, in her domestic habits, lived as a hermit in her own castles; and though only acquainted with her native language, she had cultivated her mind in many parts of learning; and as Donne, in his way, observes, she knew how to converse of every thing; from predestination to slea-silk. Her favorite design was to have materials collected for the history of those two potent northern families to whom she was allied; and at a considerable expense she employed learned persons to make collections for this purpose, from the records in the Tower, the Rolls, and other depositories of manuscripts; Gilpin had seen three large vo-lumes fairly transcribed. Anecdotes of a great variety of characters, who had exerted themselves on very important occasions, compose these family records—and induce one to wish that the public were in possession of

\*This work was published in 1742, and the scarcky of these volumes was felt in Grange's day, for they obtained then the considerable price of four guiness; some time ago a fine copy was sold for thirty at a sale, and a cheap copy was offered to me at twelve guiness. These volumes should contain seventeen portraits. The first was written by Mr Anderson, who, dying before the second appeared, Lord Egmont, from the materials Anderson had left, concluded his family history—con amor.

history—con amor.

† Mr Anderson, the writer of the first volume, was a feulal full random and the commercial, or the wealthy class, had introded on the dignity of the ancient nobility; but as wealth has raised such high prices for labour, commodities, &c., it had reached its ne plus ultra, and commerce could be carried on no longer! He has ventured on this amusing prediction. 'As it is, therefore, evident that new men will never rise agair in any age with such advantages of wealth, at least in considerable numbers, their party will gradually decrease.'

Digitized by Google

such annals of the domestic life of heroes and of sages, who have only failed in obtaining an historian!\*\*

A biographical monument of this nature, which has

A biographical monument of this nature, which has passed through the press, will sufficiently prove the utility of this class of entimental biography. It is the life of Robert Price, a Welsh lawyer, and an ancestor of the gentleman whose ingenuity, in our days, has refined the principles of the Picturesque in Art. This life is announced as 'printed by the appointment of the family;' but it must not be considered merely as a tribute of private affection; and how we are at this day interested in the actions of a Welsh lawyer in the reign of William the Third, whose name bas prebably never been consigned to the page of history, remains to be told.

of history, remains to be told.

Robert Price, after having served Charles the Second, lived latterly in the eventful times of William the Thirdwas probably of Tory principles, for on the arrival of the Dutch prince, he was removed from the attorney-general-nip of Glamorgan. The new monarch has been accused of favouriteism, and of an eagerness in showering exorbi-tant grants on some of his foreigners, which soon raised a formidable opposition in the jealous spirit of Englishmen. The grand favourite, William Bentinck, after being raised to the Earldom of Portland, had a grant bestowed on him of three lordships, in the county of Denbigh. The patriot of his native country—a title which the Welsh had already conferred on Robert Price—then rose to assert the rights of his father-land, and his speeches are as admirable for their knowledge as their spirit. 'The submitting of 1500 freeholders to the will of a Dutch lord was,' as he sarcastically declared, 'putting them in a worse posture than their former estate, when under William the Conquerer and his Norman lords. England must not be tributary to strangers—we must, like patriots, stand by our country—otherwise, when God shall send us a Prince of Wales, he may have such a present of a crown made him, as a Pope did to King John, who was surnamed some terre, and was by his father made Lord of Ireland, which grant was confirmed by the Pope, who sent him a crown of peacock's feathers, in derogation of his power, and the powerty of his country. Robert Price asserted that the king could not Robert Price asserted that the king could not, country. by the Bill of Rights, alien or give away the inheritance of a Prince of Wales, without the consent of parliament. He concluded a copious and particultie speech, by proposing that an address be presented to the king to put an immediate stop to the grant now passing to the Earl of Portland for the lordships, &c.

This speech produced such an effect, that the address was carried unanimously; and the king, though he highly resented the speech of Robert Price, sent a civil message to the commons, declaring that he should not have given Lord Portland those lands, had he imagined the House of Commons could have been concerned; 'I will therefore recall the grant!' On receiving the royal message, Robert Price drew up a resolution to which the house assented, that 'to procure or pass exorbitant grants by any member of the privy council, &c, was a high crime and misdemeanor.' The speech of Robert Price contained truths too numerous and too bold to suffer the light during that reign; but his speech against foreigners was printed the year after King William's death, with this title 'Gloria Cambrics, or the speech of s bold Briton in parliament, against the Dutch prince of Wales,' with this motto, Opposit et Vicit. Such was the great character of Robert Price, that he was made a Welsh judge by the very sovereign whose favourite plans he had so patriotically thwarted.

Another marked event in the life of this English patriot was a second noble stand he made against the royal authority, when in opposition to the public good. The secret history of a quarrel between George the First and the Prince of Wales, afterwards George the Second, on the birth of a son, appears in this life; and when the prince in disgrace left the palace, his royal highness proposed taking his children and the princess with him; but the king detained the children, claiming the care of the royal off-spring as a royal prerogative. It now became a legal point to ascertain 'whether the education of his majesty's grandchildren, and the care of their marriages, \$\frac{1}{2}\$C, belonged of right to his majesty as king of this realm, or not? Ten of the judges obsequiously allowed of the prerogative to the full. Robert Price and another judge de-

\* Much curious matter about the old Countess of Westmoreland and her seven castles may be found in Whitaker's history of Craven, and in Pennant.

cided that the education, &c., was the right of the father, although the marriages was that of his majesty as king of although the marriages was that of his majesty as king of this realm, yet not exclusive of the prince, their father. He assured the king, that the ten obsequious judges had no authority to support their precipitate opinion; all the books and precedents cannot form a prerogative of the king of this realm to have the care and education of his grandchildren during the life and without the consent of their father—a prerogative unknown to the laws of England! He pleads for the rights of a father, with the spirit of one who feels them, as well as with legal science, and historical knowledge.

Such were the two great incidents in the life of this Welsh judge! Yet had the family not found one to commemorate these memorable events in the life of their ancestor, we had lost the noble picture of a constitutional interpreter of the laws, an independent country gentleman, and an Englishman jealous of the excessive predominance of ministerial or royal influence.

Cicero, and others, have informed us that the ancient history of Rome itself was composed out of such accounts of private families, to which, indeed, we must add those annals or registers of public events which unquestionably were preserved in the archives of the Temples by the Priests. But the history of the individual may involve public interest, whenever the skill of the writer combines with the importance of the event. Messala, the orator, gloried in having composed many volumes of the genealogies of the Nobility of Rome; and Atticus wrote the genealogy of Brutus, to prove him descended from Junius Brutus the expulsor of the Tarquins, and founder of the Republic, near five hundred wears before.

Brutus the expulsor of the Anguerry,
Republic, near five hundred years before.

Another class of this sentimental biography was projected by the late Elizabeth Hamilton. This was to have consisted of a series of what she called comparative biography, and an ancient character was to have been paralleled by a modern one. Occupied by her historical romance with the character of Agrippina, she sought in modern history for a partner of her own sex, and 'one who, like her, had experienced vicissitudes of fortune;' and she found no one better qualified than the princess palatine, Elizabeth the daughts of James the First. Her next life was to have been that of Seneca, with the scenes and persons of which her life of Agrippina had familiarized her;' and the contrast or the parallel was to have been sufficiently striking. It seems to me, that it would rather have afforded an evidence of her invention! Such a biographical project reminds one of Plutarch's Parallels, and might incur the danger of displaying more ingenuity than truth. The sage of Cheronea must often have racked his invention to help out his parallels, bending together to make them similar, the most unconnected events and the most distinct feelings; and, to keep his parallels in two straight lines, he probably made a free use of augmentatives and diminutives to help out his pair, who might have been equal, and yet not alike!

Our Father-land is prodigal of immortal names, or names which might be made immortal; Gibbon once contemplated with complacency, the very ideal of Sentimental Biography, and, we may regret that he has only left the project! 'I have long revolved in my mind a volume of biographical writing; the lives or rather the characters of the most eminent persons in arts and arms, in church and state, who have flourished in Britain, from the reign of Henry the Eighth to the present age. The subject would afford a rich display of human nature and domestic history, and powerfully address itself to the feelings of every Englishman.'

# LITERARY PARALLELS.

An opinion on this subject in the preceding article has led me to a further investigation. It may be right to acknowledge that so attractive is this critical and moral amusement of comparing great characters with one another, that, among others, Bishop Hurd once proposed to write a book of Parallels, and has furnished a specimen in that of Petrarch and Rousseau, and intended for another that of Erasmus with Cicero. It is amusing to observe how a lively and subtile mind can strike out resemblances, and make contraries accord, and at the same time it may show the ninching difficulties through which a parallel is pushed, till it ends in a paradox.

Hurd eavs of Petrarch and Rousseau— Both were impelled by an equal enthusiasm, though directed towards

48 Digitized by GOOGIC

different objects: Petrarch's towards the glory of the Roman name, Rousseau's towards his idol of a state of nature; the one religious, the other un esprit fort; but may not Petrarch's spite to Babylon be considered, in his time, as a species of free-thinking'—and concludes, that 'both were mad, but of a different nature.' Unquestionably there were features much alike, and almost peculiar to these two literary characters; but I doubt if Hurd has

comprehended them in the parallel.

I now give a specimen of those parallels which have done so much mischief in the literary world, when drawn by a band which covertly leans on one side. An elaborate one of this sort was composed by Longolius or Longueil, between Budgeus and Erasmus.\* This man, though of Dutch origin, affected to pass for a Frenchman, This man, and, to pay his court to his chosen people, gives the pre ference obliquely to the French Budesus; though, to make a show of impartiality, he acknowledges that Francis the First had awarded it to Krasmus; but probably he did not infer that kings were the most able reviewers! parallel was sent forth during the lifetime of both these great scholars, who had long been correspondents, but the publication of the parallel interrupted their friendly intercourse. Erasmus returned his compliments and thanks to Longolius, but at the same time insinuates a gentle hint that he was not over-pleased. 'What pleases me most, Erasmus writes, is the just preference you have given Budgeus over me; I confess you are even too economical in your praise of him, as you are too prodigal in mine. I thank you for informing me what it is the learned desire to find in me; my self-love suggests many little excuses, with which, you observe, I am apt to favour my defects. If I am careless, it arises partly from my ignorance, and more from my indolence; I am so constituted, that I cannot conquer my nature; I precipitate rather than compose, and it is far more irksome for me to revise than to write.

This parallel between Erasmus and Budseus, though the parallel itself was not of a malignant nature, yet dis-turbed the quiet, and interrupted the friendship of both. When Longolius discovered that the Parisian surpassed the Hollander in Greek literature and the knowledge of the civil law, and wrote more learnedly and laboriously, how did this detract from the finer genius and the varied erudition of the more delightful writer? The parallist compares Erasmus to 'a river swelling its waters and often overflowing its banks; Budsous rolled on like a majestic stream, ever restraining its waves within its bed. The Frenchman has more nerve and blood, and life, and the

Hollander more fulness, freshness, and colour.'
This taste for biographical parallels must have reached as from Plutarch; and there is something malicious in our nature which inclines us to form comparative estimates, usually with a view to elevate one great man at the cost of another, whom we would secretly depreciate. Our political parties at home have often indulged in these fallacious parallels, and Pitt and Fox once balanced the scales, not by the standard weights and measures which ought to have been used, but hy the adroitness of the hand that pressed down the scale. In literature these comparative estimates have proved most prejudicial. A finer model exists not than the parallel of Dryden and Pope, by Johnson; for without designing any undue preference, his vigorous judgment has analyzed them by his contrasts, and has rather shown their distinctness than their similarity. But rather shown their distinctness than their summarry. Deliterary protelets usually end in producing parties; and, as I have elsewhere observed, often-originate in undervaluing one man of genius, for his deficiency in some eminent quality possessed by the other man of genius; they not unfrequently proceed from adverse tastes, and are formed with the concealed design of establishing some favourity than most of timestures has been deeply inferted. one. The world of literature has been deeply infected with this folly. Virgil probably was often vexed in his days by a parallel with Homer, and the Homerians combated with the Virgilians. Modern Italy was long divided into such literary sects: a perpetual skirmishing is carried on between the Ariostoists and the Tussoists; and feuds as dire as those between two Highland clans were raised concerning the Petrarchists and the Chiabrerists. Old Corneille lived to bow his venerable genius before a parallel with Racine; and no one has suffered more unjustly by such arbitrary criticisms than Pope, for a strange unnatu-ral civil war has often been renewed between the Dryden-ists and the Popists. Two men of great genius should \* k is noticed by Jortin, in his Life of Erasmus, vol. I, p. 160.

never be depreciated by the misapplied ingenuity of a parallel; on such occasions we ought to conclude, that they are magis pares quam similes.

THE PEARL BIBLES, AND SIX THOUSAND ERRATA:

As a literary curiosity, I notice a subject which might rather enter into the history of religion. It relates to the extraordinary state of our English Bibles, which were for some time suffered to be so corrupted that no books ever yet swarmed with such innumerable errata!

These errata unquestionably were in great part voluntary commissions, passages interpolated, and meanings forged for certain purposes; sometimes to sanction the new creed of a half hatched sect, and sometimes with an new creed or a near-natched sect, and sometimes with an intention to destroy all scriptural authority by a confusion, or an omission of texts—the whole was left open to the option or the malignity of the editors, who, probably, like certain ingenious wine-merchants, contrived to accomodate 'the waters of life' to their customers' peculiar tarte. date 'the waters of life' to their customers' peculiar taste. They had also a project of printing Bibles as cheaply and in a form as contracted as they possibly could for the common people; and they proceeded till it nearly ended with having no bible at all: and, as Fuller, in his 'Mirt Contemplations on better Times,' alluding to this circumstance, with not one of his lucky quibbles, observes, 'The small price of the Bible.'

This extraordinary attention to the Fall's This extraordinary attention to the state of the Bible.'

This extraordinary attempt on the English Bible began even before Charles the First's dethronement, and proba-bly arose from an unusual demand for Bibles, as the sectarian fanaticum was increasing. Printing of English Bi-bles, was an article of open trade; every one printed at the lowest price, and as fast as their presses would allow. Even those who were dignified as 'his Majesty's Printers' were among these manufacturers; for we have an account of a scandalous omission by them of the important negative in the seventh commandment! the printers were summoned before the court of High Commission, and this not served to bind them in a fine of three thousand pounds. A prior circumstance, indeed, had occurred, which induced the government to be more vigilant on the Biblical press. The learned Usher, one day hastening to preach at Paul's Cross, entered the shop of one of the stationers as booksellers were then called, and inquiring for a Bible of the London edition, when he came to look for his text, to his astonishment and his horror, he discovered that the verse was omitted in the Bible! This gave the first occa-sion of complaint to the king of the insufferable negligence and incapacity of the London press; and, says the mane-script writer of this anecdote, first bred that great contest which followed, between the University of Cambridge and the London stationers, about the right of printing Bibles.

The secret bibliographical history of these times would show the extraordinary state of the press in this new trade of Bibles. The writer of a curious pamphlet exposes the combination of those called the king's printers, with their contrivances to keep up the prices of Bibles; their correspondences with the book-sellers of Scotland and Dubin, by which means they retained the privilege in their own by when included the printers got Bibles printed cheaper at Edinburgh. In 1629, when folio Bibles were wanted, the Cambridge printers sold them at ten shillings in quires; on this the Londonera set six printing houses at work, and, to annihilate the Cambridgians printed a similar folio Bible, but sold with it five hundred quarto Roman Bibles, and five hundred quarto English, at five shillings a book; which proved the ruin of the olio Bibles, by keepbook; which proved the run of the olio Bioles, by welling them down under the cost price. Another compettion arose among those who printed English Bibles in
Holland, in duodecime, with an English coloohon, for half
the price even of the lowest in London. Twelve thousand the price even of the lowest in London. and of these duodecime Bibles, with notes, fabricated in Holland, usually by our fugitive sectarians, were seized by the king's printers, as contrary to the statute. Such was this shameful war of Bibles—folios, quartes, and daodecimos, even in the days of Charles the First. The public spirit of the rising sects was the real occasion of these increased demands for Bibles.

\* Harl. MS, 6395.

\* Harl. MS, 6395. † Scintilla, or a Light broken into darke Warehouse; of some Printers, sleeping Stationers, and combining Booksellers; in which is only a touch of their forestalling and ingressing of Books in Pattents, and rayding them to accessive prises Left to the consideration of the high and honourable House of Parliament, now assembled. London: No where to be sold, but some where to be given. 1641.\*

During the civil wars they carried on the same open trade and competition, besides the private ventures of the smuggled Bibles. A large impression of these Dutch English Bibles were burnt by order of the Assembly of Divines, for these three errors

Gen. xxxvi, 24.—This is that ass that found rulers in the

wilderness-for mule.
Ruth iv, 13.-The Lord gave her corruption-for con-

Luke xxi, 28.—Look up and lift up your hands, for your condemnation draweth nigh—for redemption.

These errata were none of the printers; but, as a writer of the times expresses it, 'egregious blasphemies, and damnable errata' of some sectarian, or some Bellamy editor of that day!

The printing of Bibles at length was a privilege con-ceded to one William Bentley; but he was opposed by Hills and Field; and a paper war arose, in which they

mutually recriminated on each other, with equal truth.

Field printed in 1653 what was called the Pearl Bible; alluding, I suppose, to that diminutive type in printing, for it could not derive its name from its worth. It is a twentyfours; but to contract the mighty book into this dwarfishness, all the original Hebrew texts prefixed to the Psalms, explaining the occasion and the subject of their composior is wholly expunged. This Pearl Bible, which may be a spected among the great collection of our English Bibles at the British Museum, is set off by many notable errata, of which these are noticed :-

Romans vi, 13.—Neither yield ye your members as in-struments of righteousness unto sin—for unrighteousness. First Corinthians vi, 9.—Know ye not the unrighteous shall inherit the kingdom of God?—for shall not inherit.

This gratum served as the foundation of a dangerous doctrine; for many libertines urged the text from this cor-

rupt Bible, against the reproofs of a divine.

This Field was a great forger; and it is said that he re-ceived a present of 1500l from the independents to corrupt a text in Acts vi, S, to sanction the right of the people to appoint their own pastors. The corruption was the easiest possible; it was only to put a ye instead of a we; so that the right in Field's Bible emanated from the people, not from the apostles. The only account I recollect of this extraordinary state of our Bibles is a happy allusion in a line of Butler:-

> Religion spawn'd a various rout Of petulant, capricious sects, The maggots of corrupted texts.

In other Bibles by Hills and Field we may find such abundant errata, reducing the text to nonsense or to blasphemy, making the Scriptures contemptible to the multi-

tude, who came to pray, and not to scorn.

It is affirmed, in the manuscript account already referred to that one Bible swarmed with six thousand faults! Indeed, from another source we discover that 'Sterne, a solid scholar, who was the first who summed up the three thousand and six hundred faults, that were in our printed Bibles of London.\* If one book can be made to contain reach to six thousand errors, little ingenuity was required to reach to six thousand: but perhaps this is the first time so remarkable an incident in the history of literature has ever been chronicled. And that famous edition of the Vulgate by Pope Sixtus the Fifth, a memorable book of blunders, which commands such high prices, ought now to fall in value, before the Pearl Bible, in twenty-fours, of Messrs Hills and Field!

Mr Field, and his worthy coadjutor, seem to have carried the favour of the reigning powers over their opponents; for I find a piece of their secret history. They engaged to pay 5001 per annum to some, 'whose names I forbear to mention,' warily observes the manuscript writer; and above 1000 per annum to Mr Marchmont Needham and his wife, out of the profits of the sales of their Bibles; deriding, insulting, and triumphing over others, out of their confidence in their great friends and purse, as if they were lawless and free, both from offence and punishment. This Marchmont Needham is sufficiently notorious, and his secret history is probably true; for in a Mercurius Politicus of this unprincipled Cobbett of his day, I found an elaborate puff of an edition, published by the annuity-grantor to this Worthy and his Wife!

\* G Garrard's Letter to the Earl of Strafford, Vol. I, p. t Harl. MS. 7500.

Not only had the Bible to suffer these indignities of size and price, but the Prayer-book was once printed in an illegible and worn out type; on which the printer being com-plained of, he stoutly replied, that 'it was as good as the price afforded; and being a book which all persons ought to have by heart, it was no matter whether it was read or not, so that it was worn out in their hands.' The puritans seem not to have been so nice about the source of purity itself.

These hand-hibles of the sectarists, with their six thouand errata, like the false Duessa, covered their crafty de-formity with a fair raiment; for when the great Selden, in the assembly of divines, delighted to confute them in their own learning, he would say, as Whitelock reports, when they had cited a text to prove their assertion, 'Perhaps in your little pocket-bible with gilt leaves,' which they would often pull out and read, 'the translation may be so, but the Greek or the Hebrew signifies this.

While these transactions were occurring, it appears that the authentic translation of the Bible, such as we now have it, by the learned translators in James the First's time, was suffered to lie neglected. The copies of the original manuscript were in the possession of two of the king's printers, who, from cowardice, consent, and connivance, sup-pressed the publication; considering that a Bible full of errata, and often, probably, accommodated to the notions of certain sectarists, was more valuable than one authenticated by the hierarchy! Such was the state of the English Bible till 1660.\*

The proverbial expression of chapter and verse seems peculiar to ourselves, and, I suspect, originated in the puritanic period, probably just before the civil wars under Charles the First, from the frequent use of appealing to the Bible on the most frivolous occasions, practised by those whom South calls 'those mighty men at chapter and verse.' With a sort of religious coquetry, they were vam of perpetually opening their gilt pocket Bibles; they perked them up with such self-sufficiency and perfect ignorance of the original, that the learned Selden found considerable amusement in going to their 'assembly of divines,' and puzzling or confuting them, as we have noticed. A ludicrous anecdote on one of these occasions is given by a contemporary, which shows how admirably that learned man amused himself with this 'assembly of divines!' They were discussing the distance between Jerusalem and Jericho, with a perfect ignorance of sacred or of ancient geography; one said it was twenty miles, another ten, and at last it was concluded to be only seven, for this strange reason, that fish was brought from Jericho to Jerusalem market! Soldon observed, that 'possibly the fish in question was salted,' and silenced these acute disputants.

It would probably have greatly discomposed these ' chapter and verse' men, to have informed them that the Scriptures had neither chapter nor verse! It is by no means clear how the holy writings were anciently divided, and still less how quoted or referred to. The honour of the invention of the present arrangement of the Scriptures is ascribed to Robert Stephens, by his son, in the preface to his Concordance, a task which he performed during a journey on horseback from Paris to London, in 1551; and whether it was done as Yorick would in his Shandeau manner lounging on his mule, or at his intermediate batts, he has received all possible thanks for this employment of Two years afterwards he concluded with the his time. Two years afterwards he concluded with the Bible. But that the honour of every invention may be disputed, Sanctus Pagninus's Bible, printed at Lyons in 1527, seems to have led the way to these convenient divisions; Stephens however improved on Pagninus's mode of paragraphical marks and marginal verses; and our present chapter and werse, more numerous and more commodiously numbered, were the project of this learned printer, to recommend his edition of the Bible; trade and learning were once combined! Whether in this arrangement any disturbance of the continuity of the text has followed, is a subject not fitted for my inquiry.

VIEW OF A PARTICULAR PERIOD OF THE STATE OF RELIGION IN OUR CIVIL WARS.

Looking over the manuscript diary of Sir Symonds D'Ewee, I was struck by a picture of the domestic religious life which at that period was prevalent among families. Sir Symonds was a sober antiquary, heated with no

\* See the London Printers' Lamentation on the Press op pressed, Harl. Coll. III. 90. Digitized by GOOGIC

fanaticism, yet I discovered in his Diary that he was a visionary in his constitution, macerating his body by private fasts, and spiritualizing in search of secret signs. These ascetic penances were afterwards succeeded in the nation, by an era of hypocritical sanctity; and we may trace this last stage of insanity and of immorality, closing with impiety. This would be a dreadful picture of religion, if for a moment we supposed that it were religion; that consolatory power which has its source in our feelings, and according to the derivation of its expressive term, binds men together. With us it was sectarism, whose origin and causes we shall not now touch on, which broke out into so many monstrous shapes, when every pretended reformer was guided by his own peculiar fancies:
-we have lived to prove that folly and wickedness are rarely obsolete.

The age of Sir Symonds D'Ewes, who lived through the times of Charles the First, was religious; for the character of this monarch had all the seriousness and piety not found in the bonhommie, and careless indecorums of his father, whose manners of the Scottish court were moulded on the gaities of the French, from the ancient in-tercourse of the French and Scottish governments. But this religious age of Charles the First presents a strange contrast with the licentiousness which subsequently prevailed among the people; there seems to be a secret connexion between a religious and an irreligious period; the levity of popular feeling is driven to and fro by its reaction; when man has been once taught to contemn his mere humanity, his abstract fancies open a secret by-path to his assumed salvation; he wanders till he is lost—he trembles presumed salvation; he wanders till he is lost—he trembles till he dotes in melancholy—he raves till Truth itself is no longer immutable. 'The transition to a very opposite state is equally rapid and vehement. Such is the history of man when his Religion is founded on misdirected feelings, and such too is the reaction so constantly operating in all human affairs.

The writer of this diary did not belong to those nonconformists who arranged themseles in hostility to the es-tablished religion and political government of our country. A private gentleman and a phlegmatic antiquary, Sir Symonds withal was a zealous Church-of England protestant. Yet amidst the mystical allusions of an age of religious controversies, we see these close in the scenes we are about to open, and find this quiet gentleman tor-menting himself and his lady, by watching for 'certain evident marks and signs of an assurance for a better life;' with I know not how many distinct sorts of 'Graces.

I give an extract from the manuscript diary.

I spent this day chiefly in private fasting, prayer, and her religious exercises. This was the first time that I other religious exercises. This was the first time that I ever practised this duty, having always before declined it, by reason of the papists' superstitious abuses of it. I had partaken formerly of public fasts, but never knew the use parisher to the same duty performed alone in secret, or with others of mine own family in private. In these particulars, I had my knowledge much enlarged by the religious converse I enjoyed at Albury-Lodge, for there also I shortly after entered upon framing an evidence of marks

and signs for my assurance of a better life.

'I found much benefit of my secret fasting, from a learned discourse on fasting by Mr Henry Mason, and observed his rule, that Christians ought to sit sometimes apart for their ordinary humiliation and fasting, and so intend to continue the same course as long as my health will permit Yet did I vary the times and duration of my fasting. At first, before I had finished the marks and signs of my assurance of a better life, which scrutiny and search cost me some three-score days of fasting, I performed it some times twice in the space of five weeks, then once each month, or a little sooner or later, and then also I sometimes ended the duties of the day, and took some little food about three of the clock in the afternoon. But for divers years last past, I constantly abstained from all food the whole day. I fasted till supper-time, about six in the evening, and spent ordinarly about eight or nine hours in the performance of religious duties; one part of which was prayer and confession of sins, to which end I wrote down a catalogue of all my known sins, orderly. These were all sins of in-fermity; for, through God's grace, I was so far from allowing myself in the practice and commission of any actual sin, as I durst not take upon me any controversial sins, as usury, carding, diceing, mixt dancing, and the like, be-cause I was in mine own judgment persuaded they were

unlawful. Till I had finished my assurance first in English and afterwards in Latin, with a large and elaborate preface in Latin also to it; I spent a great part of the day

at that work, &c.

'Saturday, December 1, 1627, I devoted my usual course of secret feasting, and drew divers signs of my essurance of a better life, from the grace of repentance, having before gone through the graces of knowledge, faith, hope, love, zeal, patience, humility, and joy; and drawing several marks from them on like days of humiliation for the greater part. My dear wife beginning also to draw most certain signs of her own future happiness after death

from several graces.

'January 19, 1628.—Saturday I spent in secret humiliation and fastings, and finished my whole assurance to a better life, consisting of three score and four signs, or marks drawn from several graces. I made some small alterations in those signs afterwards; and when I turned them into the Latin tongue, I enriched the margent with further proofs and authorities. I found much comfort and reposedness of spirit from them, which shows the devises sophisms of the papists, anabaptists, and pseudo-Lutherans, and profane atheistical men, who say that assurace brings forth presumption, and a careless wicked life. True when men pretend to the end, and not use the means.

'My wife joined with me in a private day of festing and drow several signs and marks by my help and assistance, for

her assurance to a better life.

This was an era of religious diaries, particularly among the non-conformists; but they were, as we see, used by others. Of the Countess of Warwick, who died in 1678, we are told, that 'She kept a diary, and took counsels with two persons, whom she called her soul's friends." called prayers hear's ease, for, such she found them. Her own lord, knowing her hours of prayers, once conveyed a goodly minister into a secret place within hearing, who, being a man very able to judge, much admired her humble fervency; for in praying she prayed; but whea she did not with an audible voice, her sighs and grouns might be heard at a good distance from the closet. We are not suprised to discover this practice of religious disries among the more puritanic sort; what they were we may gather from this description of one. Mr John Juneway kept a diary, in which he wrote down every evening what the frame of his spiric had been all that day; he took notice what incomes he had, what profit he received in his spiritual traffic; what returns came from that far country; what ananoers of prayer, what deadness and fatness of spirit; de. And so we find of Mr. John Carter, that 'He hept a day-book and cast up his accounts with God every day.'\* To such wordly notions had they humiliated the spirit of religion; and this style, and this mode of religion, has long been continued among us, even among men of superior acquisitions; as witness the Spiritual Diary and Soliloques' of a learned physician within our own times.

Dr. Rutty, which is a great curiosity of the kind.

Such was the domestic state of many well meaning families they were rejecting with the utmost abhorrence every resemblance to what they called the idolatry of Rome, while, in fact, the gloom of the monastic cell was setting over the houses of these melancholy puritans. Private fasts were more than ever practised; and a lady said to be eminent for her genius and learning, who outlived this era, declared that she had nearly lost her life through a prevalent notion that no fat person could get to Heaven; and thus spoiled and wasted her body through excessive fastings. A quaker, to prove the text that 'Man shall not live by bread alone, but by the word of God, persisted in refusing his meals. The literal text proved for him a dead letter, and this practical commentator died by a metaphor. This quaker, however was not the only victim to the letter of the text; for the famous Origen, by interpreting in too literal a way the 12th verse of the 19th of St Matthew, which alludes to those persons who become eunuchs for the kingdom of heaven with his own hands armed himself, against himself, as is sufficiently known. Retournous a nos moutons? The parliament afterwards had both periodical and account of the parliament afterwards had both periodical and account of the parliament afterwards had both periodical and account of the parliament afterwards had both periodical and account of the parliament afterwards had both periodical and account of the parliament afterwards had been periodical account of the p odical and occasional fasts; and Charles the First opposed the hypocritical fast of every Wednesday in the month by appointing one for the second Friday; the two ushap-

\* The Lives of sundry eminent Persons in this later Age; by Samuel Clarke. Fo 1683. A rare volume, with curious

Digitized by Google

py parties, who were hungering and thirsting for each other's blood, were fasting in spite one against the other!

Without inquiring into the causes, even if we thought that we could ascertain them, of that frightful dissolution of religion which so long prevailed in our country, and of which the very corruption it has left behind still breeds in monstrous shapes, it will be sufficient to observe, that the destruction of the monarchy and the ecclesiastical order was a moral earthquake, overturning all minds, and open-ing all changes. A theological logomachy was substituted by the sullen and proud ascetics who ascended into power. These, without wearving themselves, wearied all others, and triumphed over each other by their mutual obscurity. The two great giants in this theological war were the fa-mous Richard Baxter and Dr Owen. They both wrote a library of books; but the endless controversy between them was the extraordinary and incomprehensible subject, whether the death of Christ was solutio ejusdem, or only tantundem; that is, whether it was a payment of the very thing, which by law we ought to have paid, or of something held by God to be equivalent. Such was the point on which this debate between Owen and Baxter, lasted without end

Yet these metaphysical absurdities were harmless, compared to what was passing among the more hot fanatics, who were for acting the wild fancies which their melancholy brains engendered; men, who from the places into which they had thrust themselves, might now be called the higher orders of society? These two parties alike sent forth an evil spirit to walk among the multitude .-Every one would become his own law-maker, and even his own prophet; the meanest aspired to give his name to his sect. All things were to be put into otion according to the St. Vitus's dance of the last new saint. 'Away with the Law! which cuts off a man's legs and then bids him walk! cried one from his pulpit. 'Let believers sin as fast as they will, they have a fountain open to wash them,' declared another teacher. We had the Brownists, from Robert Brown, the Vanests, from Sir Harry Vane, then we sink down to Mr Traske, Mr Wilkinson, Mr Robinson, and H. N., or Henry Nicholas, of the Family of Love, besides Mrs Hutchinson, and the Grindletonian family, who preferred 'motions to motives,' and conveniently assumed, that 'their spirit is not to be tried by the Scripture, but the Scripture by their spirit.' Edwards. the author of 'Gangrana,' the adversary of Milton, whose work may still be preserved for its curiosity, though immortalized by the scourge of genius, has furnished a list of about two hundred of such sects in these times. A divine of the Church of England observed to a great secretary, 'You talk of the idolatry of Rome; but each of you, whenever you have made and set up a calf, will dance about it.

This confusion of religions, if, indeed, these pretended modes of faith could be classed among religions, disturbed the consciences of good men, who read themselves in and out of their vacillating creed. It made, at last, even one out of their vacuitans themselves, who had formerly complained that they had not enjoyed sufficient freedom under the bishops, cry out sgainst 'this cursed intolerable intoleration.' And the fact is, that when the presbyterians had found themselves into the government they published seven from the ract is, that when the pressylerians had the fixed themselves into the government, they published several treatises against toleration! The parallel between these wild notions of reform, and those of another character, run closely together. About this time well-meaning persons, who were neither enthusiasts from the ambition of founding sects, nor of covering their immorality by their implety, were infected with the religiosa insania. One case may stand for many. A Mr Greswold, a gentleman of Warwickshire, whom a Brownist had by degrees enticed from his parish church, was afterwards persuaded to return to it—but he returned with a troubled mind, and lost in the prevalent theological contests. A horror of his future existence shut him out, as it were, from his present one: retiring into his own house, with his children, be ceased to communicate with the living world. He had his food put in at the window; and when his children lay sick, he admitted no one for their relief. His house, at length, was forced open; and they found two children dead, and the father confined to his bed. He had mangled his bible, and cut out the titles, contents, and every thing but the very text itself; for it seems that he thought that every thing human was sinful, and he conceived that the tales of the books and the contents of the chapters, were

to be cut out of the sacred Scriptures, as having been composed by men.\*

More terrible it was when the insanity, which had nitherto been more confined to the better classes, burst forth among the common people. Were we to dwell minutely on this period, we should start from the picture with horour uni periou, we should start from the picture with hor-ror: we might, perhaps, console ourselves with a disbe-lief of its truth; but the drug though bitter in the mouth we must sometimes digest. To observe the extent to which the populace can proceed, disfranchised of law and religion, will always leave a memorable recollection.

What occurred in the French revolution had happened here—an age of impiety! Society itself seemed dissolved, pers—an age of implety: Society itself seemed dissolved, for every tie of private affection and of public duty was un-onsened. Even nature was strangely violated! From the first opposition to the decorous ceremonies of the nathe first opposition to the decorous ceremonies of the ma-tional church, by the simple puritans, the next stage was that of ridicule, and the last of obloquy. They began by calling the surplice a linen rag on the back; beptism a Christ-cross on a baby's face; and the organ was likened to the bellow, the grunt, and the barking of the respective animals. They actually baptized horses in churches at the foote; and the last of that day was, that the Reformathe fonts; and the jest of that day was, that the Reforma-tion was now a thorough one in England, since our norses went to church. St Paul's cathedral was turned into a market, and the aisles, the communion table, and the altar, served for the foulest purposes. The liberty which every one now assumed of delivering his own opinions led to acis so execrable, that I can find no parallel for them except in the mad times of the French Revolution. Some maintained that there existed no distinction between moral good and moral evil; and that every man's actions were prompted by the Creator. Prostitution was professed as a religious act; a glazier was declared to be a prophet, and the woman he cohabited with was said to be ready to lie in of the Messiah. A man married his father's wife. Murders of the most extraordinary nature were occurring; one woman crucified her mother, another in imitation of Abraham eacrificed her child; we hear, too, of parricides. Amidst the slaughters of civil wars, spoil and blood bad accustomed the people to contemplate the most horrible scenes. One mad-man of the many, we find drinking a health on his knees, in the midst of a town, 'to the devil! that it might be said that his family should not be extinct without doing some infamous act.' A Scotchman, one Alexander Agnew, commonly called 'Jock of broad Scotland,' whom one cannot call an atheist, for he does not seem to deny the existence of the Creator, nor a future state, had a shrewdness of local humous in his strange notions. Omitting some offensive things, others as strange may exhibit the state to which the reaction of a hypocritical system of religion had driven the common people. Jock of broad Scotland said he was nothing in God's common, for God had given him nothing; he was no more obliged to God than to the devil, for God was very greedy. Neither God nor the devil gave the fruits of the ground; the wives of the country gave him his meat. When asked wherein he believed, he answered, 'He believed in white meal, water, and salt. Christ was not God, for he came into the world after it was made, and died as other men.' He declared that ' he did not know whether God or the devil had the greatest power, but he thought the devil was the greatest. When I die, let God and the devil strive for my soul, and let him that is strongest take it. He no doubt had been taught by the presbytery to mock religious rites; and when desired to give God thanks for his meat, he said, 'Take a sackful of prayers to the mill and grind them, and take your breakfast of them.' To others he said, 'I will give you a two-pence, to pray until a holl of meal, and one stone of butter, fall from heaven through the house rigging to you.' When bread and cheese were laid on the ground

\* The Hypocrite discovered and cured, by Sam. Torshall.

4to, 1644.

the form the second of the Army, wish a strange fact. 'News from Powles: or the new Reformation of the Army, wish a true Relation of a Colt that was fealed in the Cathedral Church true Relation of a Con that was based in the Cathedral Church of St Paul, in London, and how it was publiquely baptized, and the name (because a baid Colt) was called Baal-Rex 1649 " The water they sprinkled from the soldier's helmet on this occasion is described. The same occurred elsewhere, See Foulis's History of the Plots, &c, of our pretended Saint These men who baptized horses and pigs in the name of the Trinity, sang Psalms when they marched. One cannot easily comprehend the nature of fanaticism, except when we learn that they refused to pay rents!

Digitized by GOOGLE

by him, he said, 'If I leave this, I will long cry to God be-fore he give it me again.' To others he said, 'Take a bannock, and break it in two, and lay down one half thereof, and you will long pray to God before he put the other half to it again! He seems to have been an anti-trinitarian. He said he received every thing from nature, which had ever reigned and ever would. He would not conform to any religious system, nor name the three Persons-' At all these things I have long shaken my cap,' he said. Jock of broad Scotland seems to have been one of those who imagine that God should have furnished them with bannocks ready baked.

The extravagant fervour then working in the minds of tne people is marked by the story told by Clement Walker of the soldier who entered a church with a lantern and a candle burning in it, and in the other hand four candles not lighted. He said he came to deliver his message from God, and show it by these types of candles. Driven into the churchyard, and the wind blowing strong, he could not kindle his candles, and the new prophet was awkwardly compelled to conclude his five documents, abolishing the Sabbath, tithes, minusters, magistrates, and, at last, the Bible itself, without putting out each candle, as he could not kindle them; observing, however, each time—'And nere I should put out the first light, but the wind is so high that I cannot kindle it.'

A perfect scene of the effects which this state of irreligious society produced among the lower orders, I am en-abled to give from the manuscript life of John Shaw, vicar of Rotheram, with a little tediousness, but with infinite natural, what happened to himself. This honest divine was puritanically inclined, but there can be no exaggera-tion in these unvarnished facts. He tells a remarkable story of the state of religious knowledge in Lancashire, at a place called Cartmel; some of the people appeared design rous of religious instruction, declaring that they were without any minister, and had entirely neglected every religious rite, and therefore pressed him to quit his situation at Lymm for a short period. He may now tell his own

stor

I found a very large spacious church, scarce any seats in it; a people very ignorant, and yet willing to learn; so as I had frequently some thousands of hearers. I catechised in season and out of season. The churches were echised in season and out or season. The chromes were so thronged at time in the morning, that I had much ado to get to the pulpit. One day an old man about sixty, sensible enough in other things, and living in the parish of Cartmel, coming to me on some business, I told him that he belonged to my care and sharge, and I desired to be informed in his knowledge of religion. I asked him how many Gods there were? He said he knew not. I informed him, asked again how he thought to be saved? He answered he could not tell. Yet thought that was a harder question than the other. I told him that the way to sal-vation was by Jesus Christ, God-man, who as he was man shed his blood for us on the cross, &c. Oh, sir, said han sold in should for the time cross, sec. On, sir, said the, I think I heard of that man you speak of once in a play at Kendall, called Corpus-Christ's play, where there was a man on a tree and blood run down, &c. And afterwards he professed he could not remember that he ever heard of saivation by Jesus, but in that play.'

The scenes passing in the metropolis, as well as in the country, are opened to us in one of the chronicaling poems of George Withers. Our sensible Rhimer wrote in November 1652, 'a Dark Lanthorne' on the present

subject.

After noticing that God, to mortify us, had sent preachers from 'the shop-board and the plough,'

Such as we seem justly to contema, As making truths abhorred, which come from them:

he seems, however, inclined to think, that these self-taught "Teachers and Prophets" in their darkness might hold a certain light within them.

-Children, fools, Women and madmen, we do often meet Preaching, and threatening judgment in the street, Yea by strange actions, postures, tones, and cries Themselves they offer to our ears and eyes As signs unto this nation.— They act as men in ecstasies have done Striving their cloudy visions to declare,
Till they have lost the notions which they had,
And want but few degrees of being mad.

Such is the picture of the folly and of the wickednes which after having been preceded by the piety of a rela gious age, were succeeded by a dominion of hypocritical sanctity, and then closed in all the horrors of immorality and impiety. The parliament at length issued one of and impiety. In a parliament at length issued one of their ordinances for 'punishing blasphemous and execrable opinions,' and this was enforced with greater power than the slighted proclamations of James and Charles; but the curious wording is a comment on our present subject. The preamble notices that 'men and women had lately discovered monatous opinions, even such as tended to the dissolution of human society, and have abused, and turned into licentiousness, the liberty given un matters of religion.' It punishes any person not distempered in his brains, who shall maintain any mere creature to be God; or that all acts of unrighteousness are not forbidden in the Soriptures; or that God approves of them; or that there is no real difference betweed moral good and evil.' &c.

To this disordered state was the public mind reduced, for this proclamation was only describing what was pas-sing among the people! The view of this subject embra-ces more than one point, which I leave for the meditation of the politician, as well as of the religionist.

# BUCKINGHAM'S POLITICAL COQUETRY WITH THE PU-BITANS.

Buckingham, observes Hume, 'in order to fortify hum-self against the resentment of James'—on the conduct of the duke in the Spanish match, when James was latterly the duke in the Spanish match, when James was latterly hearing every day Buckingham against Bristol, and Bris-tol agaist Buckingham—thad affected popularity, and en-tered into the cabals of the puritans; but afterwards, be-ing secure of the confidence of Charles, he had since abandoned this party; and on that account was the more ex posed to their hatred and resentment."

The political coquetry of a minister coalescing with an opposition party, when he was on the point of being degraced, would doubtless open an involved scene of intrigue; and what one exacted, and the other was content to yield, towards the mutual accommodation, might add one more example to the large chapter of political infirmity. workmen attempting to convert each other into tools, by first trying their respective malleability on the andent, whenever that proves to perfect conviction, how li-tle they can depend on each other, and that each party

cumes to cheat, and not to be cheated!

This piece of secret history is in part recoverable from good authority. The two great actors were the Duke of Buckingham and Dr Preston, the master of Emmanuel

College, and the head of the puritan party.

Dr Preston was an eminent character, who from his youth was not without ambition. His scholastic learning the subtilty of his genius, and his more elegant accomplish ments, had attracted the notice of James, at whose table he was perhaps more than once honoured as a guest; a suspicion of his puritanic principles was perhaps the only obstacle to his court preferment; yet Preston unquestionably designed to play a political part. He retained the favour of James by the king's hope of withdrawing the doctor from the opposition party; and commanded the favour of Buckingham by the fears of that minister; when to employ the quaint style of Hacket, the duke foresaw that 'be might come to be tried in the furnace of the next sessions of parliament, and he had need to make the refiners his friends:' most of these 'refiners' were the puritanic or opposition party. Appointed one of the chaplains of Prince Charles, Dr Preston had the advantage of being in frequent Charles, Lr Preston had no advantage of nemg in frequence attendance; and as Hacket tells us, 't his politic man felt the pulse of the court, and wanted not the intelligence of all dark mysteries through the Scotch in his highness's bed-chamber.' A close communication took place between good crow to smell carrion. He obtained an easy admission to the duke's closet at least thrice a week, and in their sion to the duke's closet at least thrice a week, and in their motable conferences Buckingham appears to have communicated to his confidential friends. Preston, intent on carrying all his points, skilfully commenced with the smaller ones. He winded the duke circuitously,—he workshim subterraneously. This wary politician was too sagacious to propose what he had at heart—the extirpation of the hierarchy! The thunder of James's voice, 'no hish

ep! no king! in the conference at Hampton-Court, still school in the ear of the puritan. He assured the duke that the love of the people was his only anchor, which could only be secured by the most popular measures. A new sort of reformation was easy to execute: Cathedrals and collegiate churches maintained by vast wealth, and the lands of the chapter, only fed 'fat, lazy, and unprofitable drones.' The dissolution of the foundations of deans and drones. I he unsolutions of the source to pay the king's chapters and scatter the streams of patronage, 'You would debis, and scatter the streams of patronage. then become the darling of the commonwealth; I give the words as I find them in Hacket. 'If a crum stick in the throat of any considerable man that attempts an opposition, it will be easy to wash it down with manors, woods, roy-alties, tythes, &c.' It would be furnishing the wants of a number of gentlemen, and he quoted a Greek proverb, 'that when a great oak falls, every neighbour may scuffle for a faggot.

Dr Preston was willing to perform the part which Knox had acted in Scotland! He might have been certain of a party to maintain this national violation of property; for he who calls out 'Plunder!' will ever find a gang. These acts of national injustice, so much desired by revolution-ists, are never beneficial to the people; they never par-take of the spoliation, and the whole terminates in the gratification of private rapacity.

It was not, however, easy to obtain such perpetual ac-cess to the minister, and at the same time escape from the

cess to the minister, and at the same time escape from the watchful. Archbishop Williams, the lord keeper, got sufficient hints from the king; and in a tedious conference with the duke, be wished to convince him that Preston had only offered him 'fittten milk, out of which he should churn nothing!' The duke was, however, smitten by the new project and made a remarkable answer: 'You lose yourself in generalities: make it out to me in particular, if you can, that the motion you pick at will find repulse, and be baffled in the house of commons. I know not how you bishops may struggle, but I am much deluded if a great part of the knights and burgesses would not be glad to see this alteration. We are told on this, that Archbishop Williams took out a list of the members of the house of commons, and convinced the minister that an overwhelming majority would oppose this projected revolution, and that in consequence the duke gave it up.

But this anterior decision of the duke may be doubtful, since Preston still retained the high favour of the minister, after the death of James. When James died at Theo-balds, where Dr Preston happened to be in attendance, he had the honour of returning to town in the new king's coach with the Duke of Buckingham. The doctor's servile adulation of the minister gave even great offence to to the over-zealous puritans. That he was at length disvile acquained of the initiater gave even great the size to the over-zealous puritans. That he was at length discarded is certain; but this was owing not to any deficient subserviency on the side of our politician, but to one of those unlucky circumstances which have often put an end to temporary political connexions, by enabling one party to discover what the other thinks of him.

I draw this curious fact from a manuscript narrative in the hand-writing of the learned William Wotton. When the puritanic party foolishly became jealous of the man who seemed to be working at root and branch for their purposes, they addressed a letter to Preston, remonstrat-ing with him for his service attachment to the minister; on which he confidentially returned an answer, assuring them that he was as fully convinced of the vileness and profiga-cy of the Duke of Buckingham's character as any man could be, but that there was no way to come at him but by the lowest flattery, and that it was necessary for the glory of God, that such instruments should be made use of as could be had: and for that reason, and that alone, he showed that respect to the reigning favourite, and not for any real honour that he had for him. This letter proved fatal; some officious hand conveyed it to the duke! When head of the contract of the Preston came as usual, the duke took his opportunity of asking him what he had ever done to disoblige him, that be should describe him in such black characters to his own he should describe him in such black characters to his own party? Preston, in amazement denied the fact, and poured forth professions of honour and gratitude. The duke showed him his own letter. Dr Preston instantaneously felt a politicial apoptexy: the labours of some years were set in a single morning. The haffied politician was turned out of Wallingford House, never more to see the enraged minester. And from that moment Buckingham wholly abandoned the Puritans, and cultivated the friendship of Land. This happened soon after James the First's

was extremely well versed in the secret history of the time.

SIR EDWARD CORE'S EXCEPTIONS AGAINST THE HIGH SHERIFF'S OATH.

A curious fact will show the revolutionary nature of hu. man events, and the necessity of correcting our ancien's statutes, which so frequently hold out punishments and penalties for objects which have long ceased to be crimi-nal; as well as for persons against whom it would be bar-barous to allow some unrepealed statute to operate.

When a political stratagem was practised by Charles the First to keep certain members out of the house of commons, by pricking them down as sheriffs in their different counties, among them was the celebrated Sir Edward Coke whom the government had made High Sheriff for Bucks. It was necessary, perhaps, to be a learned and practised lawyer to discover the means he took, in the height of his resentment to elude the insult. This great lawyer, who himself, perhaps, had often administered the oath to the sheriffs, which had, century after century, been usual for them to take, to the surprise of all persons, drew up Exceptions against the Sheriff's oath, declaring that no one could take it. Coke sent his Exceptions to the attorneygeneral, who by an immediate order in council, submitted them to 'all the judges of England.' Our legal luminary had condescended only to some ingenious cavilling in three of his exceptions; but the fourth was of a nature which could not be overcome. All the judges of England assented, and declared, that there was one part of this ancient oath which was perfectly irreligious, and must ever hereafter be left out! This article was, 'That you shall do all your pain and diligence to destroy and make to cease all manner of heresies, commonly called *Lollaries*, within your bailiwick, &c.'† The Lollards were the most ancient of protestants, and had practised Luther's sentiments it was, in fact condemning the established religion of the country! An order was issued from Hampton-Court, for the abrogation of this part of the oath; and at present all high sheriffs owe this obligation to the resentment of Sir Edward Coke, for having been pricked down as Sheriff of Bucks, to be kept out of parliament! The merit of having the oath changed, instanter, he was allowed; but he was not excused taking it, after it was accommodated to the conscientious and lynx-eyed detection of our enraged

# SECRET HISTORY OF CHARLES I, AND HIS FIRST PARLIAMENTS.

The reign of Charles the First, succeeded by the commonwealth of England, forms a period unparalleled by any preceding one in the annals of mankind. It was for the preceding one in the annais of members attempts to English nation the great result of all former attempts to ascertain and to secure the just freedom of the subject.
The prerogative of the sovereign, and the rights of the people, were often imagined to be mutual encroachments; and were long involved in contradiction, in an age the conflicting parties of monarchy and democracy, in the weakness of their passions, discovered how much each required the other for its protector. This age offers the finest speculations in human nature, it opens a protracted story and of informs all that elevates and all scene of glory and of infamy; all that elevates, and all that humiliates our kind, wrestling together, and expiring in a career of glorious deeds, of revolting crimes, and even of ludicrous infirmities!

The French Revolution is the commentary of the English; and a commentary at times more important than the text which it elucidates. It has thrown a freshness over the antiquity of our own history; and, on returning to it, we seem to possess the feelings, and to be agitated by the interests, of contemporaries. The circumstances and the persons which so many imagine had passed away, have been reproduced under our own eyes. In other his tories we except the knowledge of the characters and the incidents on the evidence of the historian; but here we may take them from our own conviction, since to extinct

\* Wotton delivered this memorandum to the literary anti quary, Thomas Baker; and Kennet transcribed it in his Manuscript Collections. Landsowne MSS, No. 933—88. The life of Dr Preston, in Chalmer's Biographical Dictionary, may be consulted with advantage
† Rushworth's Historical Collections Vol. I. 1980

names and to past events, we can apply the reality which we ourselves have witnessed.

Charles the First had scarcely ascended the throne, ero he discovered, that in his new parliament he was married to a sullen bride; the youthful monarch, with the impatience of a lover, warm with hope and glory, was ungra-ciously repulsed even in the first favours! The predicciously repulsed even in the first favours! tion of his father remained, like the hand-writing on the wall; but, seated on the throne, Hope was more conge-

As soon as Charles the First could assemble a parliament, he addressed them with an earnestness, in which the simplicity of words and thoughts strongly contrasted with the oratorical harangues of the late monarch. It cannot be alleged against Charles the First, that he preceded the parliament in the war of words. He courted their affections; and even in his manner of reception, amidst the dignity of the regal office, studiously showed his exterior respect by the marked solemnity of their first meeting. As yet uncrowned, on the day on which he first addressed the Lords and Commons, he wore his crown, and veiled it at the opening, and on the close of his speech; a circumstance to which the parliament had not been accustomed. Another ceremony gave still greater solemnity to the meeting; the king would not enter into business till they had united in prayer. He commanded the doors to be closed, and a bishop to perform the office. The suddenness of this unexpected command disconcerted the catholic lords, of whom the less rigid knelt, and the moderate stood: there was one startled papist who did nothing but cross himself!\*

The speech may be found in Rushworth; the friendly

tone must be shown here.

'I hope that you do remember that you were pleased to employ me to advise my father to break off the treaties (with Spain.) I came into this business willingly and freely, like a young man, and consequently rashly, but it was by your interest—your engagement. I pray you remember, that this being my first action, and begun by your of were and entreaty, what a great dishonour it were to you and me that it should fail for that assistance you are able to give me!

This effusion excited no sympathy in the house. They voted not a seventh part of the expenditure necessary to

proceed with a war, into which, as a popular measure, they themselves had forced the king.

At Oxford the king again reminded them that he was engaged in a war 'from their desires and advice.' He expresses his disappointment at their insufficient grant, 'far short to set forth the navy now preparing.' The

speech preserves the same simplicity.

Still no echo of kindness responded in the house. It was, however, asserted, in a vague and quibbling manner, that ' though a former parliament did engage the king in a war, yet (if things were managed by a contrary design, and the treasure misemployed) this parliament is not bound by another parliament. and they added a cruel mockery, that ' the king should help the cause of the Palatinate with his own money! this foolish war, which James and Charles had so long bore their reproaches for having avoided as hopeless, but which the puritanic party as well as others, had continually urged as necessary for the maintenance of the protestant cause in Europe.

Still no supplies! but protestations of duty, and petitions about grievances, which it had been difficult to specify. about grevances, which it had been difficult to specify. In their 'Declaration' they style his Majesty 'Our dear and dread sovereign,' and themselves 'his poor Commons:' but they concede no point—they offer no aid! The king was not yet disposed to quarrel, though he had in vain pressed for despatch of business, leat the season should be lost for the navy; again reminding them that 'it was the first request that he ever made unto them?' On the pretence of the plague at Oxford, Charles prorogued parliament, with a promise to reassemble in the winter.

There were a few whose hearts had still a pulse to vi-There were a few whose hearts had still a pulse to vibrate with the distresses of a youthful monarch, perplexed by a war which they themselves had raised. But others of a more republican complexion, rejected 'Necessity, as a dangerous counsellor, which would be always furnishing arguments for supplies. If the king was in danger and necessity, those ought to answer for it who have put both king and kingdom into this peril: and if the state of things would not admit a redress of grievances, there cannot be so much necessity for money.'

· From a manuscript letter of the times.

The first parliament abandoned the king!

Charles now had no other means to despatch the army and fleet, in a bad season, but by borrowing money on privy scals: these were letters, where the loan exacted was as small as the style was humble. They specified, that this loan, without inconvenience to any, is only intended for the service of the public. Such private helps for public services, which cannot be deferred, the king's premises had been often resorted to; but this being the first time that we have required any thing in this kind, we require but that sum which few men would deny a friend.' As as as I can discover, the highest sum assessed from great personages was twenty pounds! The king was willing to suffer any mortification, even that of a charitable solicitation, rather than endure the obdurate insults of parlament! All donations were received, from ten pounds to five shillings: this was the mockery of an alms-basket! Yet, with contributions and savings so trivial, and exacted with such a warm appeal to their feelings, was the king to send out a fleet with ten thousand men—to take

This expedition, like so many similar attempts from the days of Charles the First to those of the great Lord Chatham, and to our own—concluded by a nullity! Charles, disappointed in this predatory attempt, in despair, called his second parliament—as he says, 'In the midst of his necessity—and to learn from them how he was to frame his

course and councils?

The Commons, as duteously as ever, profess that 'No king was over dearer to his people; and that they really intend to assist his majesty in such a way, as may make him safe at home, and feared abroad!—but it was to be on condition that he would be greated to be continued that he would be greated to be continued. condition, that he would be graciously pleased to accept the information and advice of parliament in discovering the causes of the great evils, and redress their grievances. The king accepted this 'as a satisfactory answer;' but Charles comprehended their drift- You specially aim at the Duke of Buckingham; what he hath done to change your minds I wot not. The style of the king now first betrays angered feelings; the secret cause of the uncom-plying conduct of the Commons was hatred of the favourite, but the king saw that they designed to control the executive government, and he could ascribe their antipathy to Buckingham but to the capriciousness of popular favour; for not long ago he had heard Buckingham hailed as 'their saviour. In the zeal and firmness of his affections, Charles always considered that he himself was aimed at, in the person of his confident, his companion, and his minister!

Some of 'the bold speakers,' as the heads of the opposi-tion are frequently designated in the manuscript letters, had now risen into notice. Sir John Elliot, Dr Turner, Sir Dudley Digges, Mr Clement Coke, poured themselves forth in a vehement, not to say seditious style, with invectives more daring than had ever before thundered in the House of Commons! The king now told them, 'I come to show your errors, and, as I may call it, unpartisments-ry proceedings of partiament. The lord keeper then as-sured them that 'when the irregular humours of some particular persons were settled, the king would hear and answer all just grievances; but the king would have them also to know, that he was equally jealous to the contempt of his royal rights, which his majesty would not suffer to be vio-lated by any pretended course of parliamentary liberty. The king considered the parliament as his council; but there was a difference between councilling and controlling, and between liberty and the abuse of liberty.' He finish ed, by noticing their extraordinary proceedings in their impeachment of Buckingham. The king, resuming his

impeachment of Buckingham. The king, resuming impeachment of Buckingham. The king, resuming speech, remarkably reproached the parliament.

'Now that you have all things according to your wishes, and that I am so far engaged that you think there is no retreat, now you begin to set the dice, and make your earn game. But I pray you be not deceived; it is not a parliamentary way, nor is it a way to deal with a king. Mr Clement Coke told you, "It was better to be eaten up by foresign enemy than to be destroyed at home! Indeed, I think it more honour for a king to be invaded and almost destroyed by a foreign enemy than to be despised by his seen

subjects.'
The king concluded by asserting his privilege, to call of to forbid parliaments.

The style of 'the bold speakers' appeared at least as early as in April; I trace their spirit in letters of the times, which furnish facts and expressions that do not appear is our printed documents; zeed by

Among the earliest of our patriots, and finally the great victim of his exertions, was Sir John Elliot, vice-admiral, of Dovonshire. He, in a tone which 'rolled back to Jove his own bolts,' and startled even the writer, who was himself biassed to the popular party, 'made a resolute, I doubt whether a timely, speech.' He adds, Elliot assertdoubt whether a timely, speech.' ed that 'They came not thither either to do what the king should command them, nor to abstain when he forbade them; they came to continue constant, and to maintain their privileges. They would not give their posterity a cause to curse them for losing their privileges by restraint, which their forefathers had left them.'\*

On the eighth of May, the impeachment of the duke was opened by Sir Dudley Digges, who compared the suke to a meteor exhaled out of putrid matter. He was followed by Glanville, Selden, and others. On this day the duke sat out-facing his accusers and out-braving their accusations, which the more highly exasperated the house. On the following day the duke was absent, when the epilogue to this mighty piece was elaborately delivered by fir John Elliot, with a force of declaration, and a boldness of personal alusion, which have not been surpassed in the invectives of modern Junius.

Elliot, after expatiating on the favourite's ambition in procuring and getting into his hands the greatest offices of strength and power in the kingdom, and the means by which he had obtained them, drew a picture of 'the in-ward character of the duke's mind.' The duke's plurality of offices reminded him of a chimerical beast called by the ancients Stellionatus, so blurred, so spotted, so full of foul lines, that they knew not what to make of it! In setting up himself he hath set upon the kingdom's revenues, the fountain of supply, and the nerves of the land-He intercepts, consumes, and exhausts the revenues of the crown; and, by emptying the veins the blood should run in, he hath cast the kingdom into a high consumption.'-He descends to criminate the duke's magnificent tastes; he who had something of a congenial nature for Elliot was a man of fine literature. 'Infinite sums of money, and mass of land exceeding the value of money, and contributions, in parliament have been heaped upon him; and now have they been employed? Upon costly furniture, sumptuous feasting, and magnificent building, the visible

exidence of the express exhausting of the state?

Elliot eloquently closes—
'Your lordships have an idea of the man, what he is in himself, what in his affections! You have seen his power, and some, I fear have felt it. You have known his practice and have heard the effects. Being such, what is he in reference to king and state; how compatible or incompa-tible with either? In reference to the king, he must be styled the canker in his treasure; in reference to the state, the moth of all goodness. I can hardly find him a paral lel; but none were so like him as Sejanus, who is described by Tacitus, Audas, sui oblegens, in alios criminator, justa adulator et superbus. Sejanus's pride was so excessive, as Tacitus saith, that, he neglected all councils, mixed his business and service with the prince, seeming to confound their actions, and was often styled *Imperatoris laborum* secies. Doth not this man the like? Ask England, Scotter land and Ireland-and they will tell you! How lately and how often hath this man commixed his actions in discourses with actions of the king's! My Lords! I have doneyou see the man!

The parallel of the duke with Sejanus electrified the use; and, as we shall see, touched Charles on a convui-

The king's conduct on this speech was the beginning of his troubles, and the first of his more open attempts to crush the popular party. In the House of Lords the king defended the duke, and informed them, 'I have thought to take order for the pussishing some insolent speeches, lately speken.' I find a piece of secret history enclosed in a letter, with a solemn injunction that it might be burnt. 'The king this morning complained of Sir John Elliot for comparing the duke to Sejanus, in which he said, implicitly he must intend me for Tiberius?' On that day the motologue and the colleges orators. Sir Dudley Digras, who prologue and the opilogue orators. Sir Dulley Digges, who had opened the impeachment against the duke, and Sir John Elliot, who had closed it, were called out of the house by two measurgers, who showed their warrants for committing them to the Tower.

Sloane MSS, 4177. Letter 317.
† Our printed historical documents, Kennett, Frankland, &c, to confused in their details, and facts seem misplaced for want

On this memorable day a philosophical politician might have presciently marked the seed-plots of events, which not many years afterwards were apparent to all men. The passions of kings are often expatiated; but, in the present anti-monarchical period, the passions of parliaments are not imaginable! The democratic party in our constitution, from the meanest of motives, from their egotism, their vanity, and their audacity, hate kings; they would have an abstract being, a chimerical sovereign on the thronelike a statue, the mere ornament of the place it fills,insensible, like a statue, to the invectives they would heap on its pedestal!

The commons, with a fierce spirit of reaction for the king's punishing some insolent speeches,' at once sent up to the lords for the commitment of the duke!' But when they learnt the fate of the patriots, they instantaneously broke up! In the afternoon they assembled in Westminster-hall, to interchange their private sentiments on the fate of the two imprisoned members, in sadness and indig-

The following day the commons met in their own house.

When the speaker reminded them of the usual business, they all cried out, 'Sit down! sit down!' They would touch on no business till they were 'righted in their liberties."

An access committee of the pale house bear and the statement of th ties!\*\* An open committee of the whole house was formed, and no member suffered to quit the house; but either they were at a loss how to commence this solemn conference, or expressed their indignation by a sullen si-lence. To suoth and subdue ' the bold speakers' was the unfortunate attempt of the vice-chamberlain, Sir Dudley Carleton, who had long been one of our foreign ambassadors; and who, having witnessed the despotic govern-ments on the continent, imagined that there was no deficiency of liberty at home. 'I find,' said the vice-chamberlain, 'by the great silence in this house, that it is a fit time to be heard, if you will grant me the patience.' Alluding to one of the king's messages, where it was hinted that, if there was ' no correspondency between him and the parliament, he should be forced to use new counsels, 'I pray you consider what these new counsels are and may be: I fear to declare those I conceive! However, Sir Dudley plainly hinted at them, when he went on ob-serving, that when monarchs began to know their own strength, and saw the turbulent spirit of their parliaments, they had overthrown them in all Europe, except here only with us.' Our old ambassador drew an amusing picture of the effects of despotic governments in that of France-' If you knew the subjects in foreign countries as well as myself, to see them look, not like our nation, with store of flesh on their backs, but like so many ghosts and not men, being nothing but skin and bones, with some thin cover to their nakedness, and wearing only wooden shoes on their feet, so that they cannot eat meat, or wear good clothes, but they must pay the king for it; this is a mise-ry beyond expression, and that which we are yet free from? A long residence abroad had deprived Sir Dudley Carleton of any sympathy with the high tone of freedom, and the proud jealousy of their privileges, which, though yet unascertained, undefined, and still often contested, was breaking forth among the commons of England. It was fated that the celestial spirit of our national freedom should not descend among us in the form of the mystical dove!

Hume observes on this speech, that 'these imprudent suggestions rather gave warning than struck terror.' It was evident that the event which implied 'new counsels,' meant what subsequently was practised—the king governing without a parliament! As for 'the ghosts who wore wooden shoes,' to which the house was congratulated that they had not yet been reduced, they would infer that it was the more presented to provide against the presidents of so the more necessary to provide against the possibility of so strange an occurrence! Hume truly observes, ' The king reaped no further benefit from this attempt than to exasperate the house still further.' Some words, which the duke persisted in asserting had dropped from Digges, were explained away, Digges, declaring that they had not been

of dates. They all equally copy Rushworth, the only source of our history of this period. Even Hume is involved in the obscurity. The king's speech was on the eleventh of May, As Rushworth has not furnished dates, it would seem that the two orators had been sens to the Tower before the king's

two orators had been sens to see speech to the lords.

"Frankland, an investrate royalist, in copying Rushworth, inserts 'their pretended liberties;' exactly the style of catholic writers when they mention protestantism, by 'la religion pretended referred.'

All party writers use the same style is and oration of the lord of the

No. 13.

used by him; and it seems probable that he was suffered to eat his words. Elliot was made of 'sterner stuff;' he abated not a jot of whatever he had spoken of 'that man,'

as he affected to call Buckingham.

The commons whatever might be their patriotism, se at first to have been chiefly moved by a personal hatred of the favourite; and their real charges against him amounted to little more than pretences and aggravations. The king, whose personal affections were always strong, considered his friend innocent; and there was a warm, romantic feature in the character of the youthful monarch, which scorned to sacrifice his faithful companion to his own interests, and to immolate the minister to the clamours of the commons. Subsequently, when the king did this in the memorable case of the guiltless Strafford, it was the only circumstance which weighed on his mind at the hour of his own sacrifice! Sir Robert Cotton told a friend, on of his own sacrince! Sir Robert Cotton told a friend, on the day on which the king went down to the House of Lords, and committed the two patriots, that 'he had of late been often sent for to the king and duke, and that the king's affection towards him was very admirable and no whit lessened. Certainly, he added, 'the king will never yield to the duke's fall, being a young man, resolute, magnanimous, and tenderly and firmly affectionate where he takes.\*\* This authentic character of Charles the First technical light of the state of t by that intelligent and learned man, to whom the nation owes the treasures of its antiquities, is remarkable. Sir Robert Cotton, though holding no rank at court, and in no respect of the duke's party, was often consulted by the king, and much in his secrets. How the king valued the judgment of this acute and able adviser, acting on it in direct contradiction and to the mortification of the favourite, I shall probably have occasion to show.

The commons did not decline in the subtile spirit with which they had begun; they covertly aimed at once to subjugate the sovereign, and to expel the minister! A remonstrance was prepared against the levying of tonage and poundage, which constituted half of the crown reveaues; and a petition, 'equivalent to a command,' for re-moving Buckingham from his majesty's person and councils.† The remonstrance is wrought up with a high spirit of invective against ' the unbridled ambition of the duke,' whom they class, 'among those vipers and pests to their king and commonwealth, as so expressly styled by your most royal father.' They request that 'he would be pleased to remove this person from access to his sacred presence, and that he would not balance this one man with all these things, and with the affairs of the Christian world.

The king hastily dissolved this second parliament; and when the lords petitioned for its continuance, he warmly and angrily exclaimed, 'Not a moment longer!' It was dissolved in June, 1626.

The patriots abandoned their sovereign to his fate, and setreated home sullen, indignant, and ready to conspire among themselves for the assumption of their disputed or their defrauded liberties. They industriously dispersed their remonstrance, and the king replied by a declaration; but an attack is always more vigorous than a defence. The declaration is spiritless, and evidently composed under suppressed feelings, which, perbaps, knew not how to shape themselves. The 'Remonstrance' was commandenergy where to be burnt; and the effect which it pro-duced on the people we shall shortly witness.

The king was left amidst the most pressing exigencies. At the dissolution of the first parliament, he had been cos pelled to practise a humiliating economy. Hume has al-leded to the numerous wants of the young monarch; but he certainly was not acquainted with the king's extreme necessities. His coronation seemed rather private than a public ceremony. To save the expenses of the procession from the Tower through the city to Whitehall, that customary pomp was omitted; and the reason alleged was 'to save the charges for more noble undertakings;' that is, for means to carry on the Spanish war without supplies! But now the most extraordinary changes appeared at court. The king mortgaged his lands in Cornwall to the aldermen and companies of London. A rumour spread that the small pension list must be revuked; and the royal distress was carried so far, that all the tables at court were laid down, and the courtiers put on board wages! I have seen a letter which gives an account of

\* Manuscript letter † Rushworth, 1. 400. Hume VI, 221, who enters widely into p views and feelings of Charles

the funereal suppor at Whitehall, whereat twenty-three tables were buried, being from henceforth converted to board-wages;' and there I learn, that ' since this dissolve ing of house-keeping, his majesty is but slenderly attended.' Another writer who describes himself to be only a looker-on, regrets, that while the men of the law spent ten thousand pounds on a single masque, they did not rather make the king rich; and adds, 'I see a rich commonwealth, a rich people, and the crown poor? This strange poverty of the court of Charles seems to have escaped the notice of our general historians. Charles was now to vic-tual his fleet with the savings of the board wages! for this

surplusage' was taken into account!

The fatal descent on the Isle of Rhé sent home Buckingham discomfited, and spread dismay through the nation.

The best blood had been shed from the wanton bravery of an unskilful and romantic commander, who, forced to retreat, would march, but not fly, and was the very last man to quit the ground which le could not occupy. In the eagerness of his hopes, Buckingham had once dropped, as I learn, that 'before Midsummer he should be more honoured and beloved of the commons than ever was the Earl of Essex: and thus he rocked his own and his Earl of Essex: and unus no rocate me was management and unus no rocate me was a master's imagination in crading fancies. This volatile hero, who had felt the capriciousness of popularity, thought that it was as easily regained as it was easily lost; and that a chivalric adventure would return to him that favour which at this moment might have seen demed to all the wisdom, the policy, and the arts of an experienced

The king was now involved in more intricate and desperate measures; and the nation was thrown into a state of agitation, of which the page of popular history yields

but a faint impression.

The spirit of insurrection was stalking forth in the metropolis and in the country. The scenes which I am about to describe occurred at the close of 1626; an inattentive reader might easily mistake them for the revolutionary scenes of 1640. It was an unarmed rebellion.

An army and a navy had returned unpaid, and sore with defeat. The town was scoured by mutinous seamen and soldiers, roving even into the palace of the sovereign. Soldiers without pay form a society without laws. band of captains rushed into the duke's apartment as he sat at dinner; and when reminded by the duke of a late proclamation, forbidding all sodiers coming to court in troops, on pain of hanging, they replied, that 'Whole com-panies were ready to be hanged with them! that the king panies were ready to be hanged with them: that the king might do as he pleased with their lives; for that their reputation was lost, and their honour forfeited, for want of their salary to pay their debts. When a petition was once presented, and it was inquired who was the composed of it? a vast body tremendously shouted, 'All! all!' A multitude, composed of seamen, met at Tower-hill, and set a lad on a scaffold, who, with an 'O yes!' proclaimed their nav. or the duke had that King Charles had promised their pay, or the duke had been on the scaffold himself!" These, at least were been on the scanous minusers: A news, at reast write the grievances more apparent to the sovereign than those vague ones so perpetually repeated by his unfaithful com-mons. But what remained to be done? It was only a choice of difficulties between the disorder and the ren At the moment, the duke got up what he called 'The council of the sea; was punctual at the first meeting, and appointed three days in a week to sit-but broke his apntment the second day—they found him always otherpointment the second day—they found him always otherwise engaged; and 'the council of the sea' turned out to be one of those shadowy expedients which only lasts while it acts on the imagination. It is said that thirty thousand pounds would have quieted these disorganized troops; but the exchequer could not supply so mean a sum. Buckingham, in despair, and profuse of life, was planning a fresh expedition for the siege of Rochelle; a new army was required. He swore, 'If there was money in the kingdom it should be had!'

Now hearn that saries of contriguence and artifices

Now began that series of contrivances and artifices and persocutions to levy money. Forced loans, or pre-tended free-gifts, kindled a resisting spirit. It was urged by the court party, that the sums required were, in fact, much less in amount than the usual grants of subsidies, but the cry, in return for 'a subsidy,' was always 'A Par-liament!' Many were heavily fined for declaring, that "They knew so law, besides that of Parliament, to com-pel men to give away their own goods." The king ordered, that those who would not subscribe to the loans should not

Digitized by GOOGLE

be forced; but it seems there were orders in council to specify mose householders' names who would not sub-scribe; and it further appears, that those who would not pay in purse should in person. Those who were pressed were sent to the depot; but either the soldiers would not receive these good citizens, or they found easy means to return. Every mode which the government invented seems to have been easily frustrated, either by the intrepidity of the parties themselves, or by that general understanding which enabled the people to play into one another's hands. When the common council had conserted that an imposition should be laid, the citizens called the Guild-hall the Fieldall! And whenever they levied a distress, in consequence of refusals to pay it, nothing was to be found but 'Old ends, such as nobody cared for.' Or if a severer officer seized on commodities, it was in vans to offer penny-worths where so customer was to be had. A wealthy merchant, who had formerly been a cheesemonger, was summoned to ap-pear before the privy council, and required to lend the king two hundred pounds, or else to go himself to the army, and serve it with cheese. It was not supposed that a merchant, so agod and wealthy, would submit to resume his former mean trade, but the old man in the mixt of his former mean trade; but the old man, in the spirit of the times, preferred the hard alternative, and balked the new project of finance, by shipping himself with his cheese. At Hicks's Hall the duke and the Earl of Dorset sat to At Hicks's Hall the duke and the Earl of Dorset sat to receive the loans; but the duke threatened, and the earl affected to treat with levity, men who came before them, with all the suppressed feelings of popular indignation. The Earl of Dorset asking a fellow, who pleaded inability to lend money, of what trade he was, and being answered 'a tailor,' said: 'Put down your name for such a sum; one snip will make amends for all?' The tailor quoted scripture abundantly and shock the banch with hundres or with sup will instant amends in all: I are tailor quoted scrip-ture abundantly, and shook the bench with haughter or with rage by his anathemas, till he was put fast into a messen-ger's hands. This was one Ball, renowned through the parish of St Clements; and not only a tailor, but a pro-phet. Twenty years after tailors and prophets employed messengers themselves!\*

These are instances drawn from the inferior classes of society; but the same spirit actuated the country gentle-men: one instance represents many. George Catesby, of Northamptonshire, being committed to prison as a loan-recusant, alleged, among other reasons for his non-compliance, that ' he considered that this loan might become a precedent; and that every precedent, he was told by the lord president, was a flower of the prerogative.' The lord president, told him that 'he lied!" Catesby shook his ford president, fold him that 'ne fied:' Catesby shook his head, observing, 'I come not here to contend with your lordship, but to suffer!' Lord Suffolk then interposing, entreated the lord president would not too far urge his kineman. Mr Catesby. This country gentleman waived any kindness he might owe to kindred, declaring, that 'he would remain master of his own purse.' The prisons were crowded with these loan-recusants, as well as with those who had sinned in the freedom of their opinions. The country gentlemen insured their popularity by their committals; and many stout resisters of the loans were returned in the following parliament against their own wishes.† The friends of these knights and country gen-

The Radicals of that day differed from ours in the means, e The Radicals of that day differed from ours in the means, though not in the end. They at least referred to their Bible, and rather more than was required; but superstition is as mad as atheism! Many of the Revelations; believing Prince Henry to be prefigured in the Apocalypee; some prophesied that he should overthrow 'the beast.' Ball our tailor, was this very prophet; and was so honest as to believe in his own prophecy. Osborn tells, that Ball put out money on adventure; i. e. to receive it back, double or treble, when King James should be elected page! So that though he had no money for a loan, he had to means for a prophecy.

pope! So that though he had no money for a loan, he had to spare for a prophecy.

This Ball has been confounded with a more ancient radical, Ball a priest, and a principal mover in Wat Tyler's insurrection. Our Ball must have been very notorious, for Jonson has noticed his 'admired discourses.' Mr Gifford, without any knowledge of my account of this tailor-prophet, by his aritive sagacity has rightly indicated him.—See Jonson's Works, vol. V, p. 241.

It is currous to observe, that the Westminster elections, in the fourth year of Charles's reign, were exactly of the same turbulent character as those which we witness in our days. The duke had counted by his interest to bring in Sir Robert Pye. The contest was severe, but accompanied by some of those budicrouss electioneering scenes, which still amuse the mob. Whenever Sir Robert Pye's party cried.—'A Pye! a Pye! a Pye! the adverse party would cry.—'A pudding! a

tlemen flocked to their prisons; and when they petitioned teems nocace to their prisons; and when they perisoner for more liberty and air during the summer, it was policy to grant their request. But it was also policy that they should not reside in their own counties; this relaxation was only granted to those who, living in the south, consented to sojourn in the north; while the dwellers in the north were to be lodged in the south!

In the country the disturbed scenes assumed even a more alarming appearance than in London. They not only would not provide money, but when money was offered by government, the men refused to serve; a conscrip-tion was not then known: and it became a question, long debated in the privy council, whether those who would not accept press-money should not be tried by martial law. I preserve in the note a curious piece of secret information.\*

The great novelty and symptom of the times was the seattering of letters. Sealed letters, addressed to the leading men of the country, were found hanging on bushes; anonymous letters were dropt in shops and streets, which aymous iscurs were dropt in snops and streets, which gave notice, that the day was fast approaching, when 'Such a work was to be wrought in England, as never was the like, which will be for our good.' Addresses multiplied 'To all true-hearted Englishmen!" A groom detected in spreading such seditious papers, and brought into the inexorable star-chamber, was fined three thousand pounds! The leniency of the punishment was rather regretted by two bishops; if it was ever carried into execution, the unhappy man must have remained a groom who never after crossed a horse!

There is one difficult duty of an historian, which is too often passed over by the party writer; it is to pause when-ever he feels himself warming with the passions of the mul-titude, or becoming the blind apologist of arbitrary power. An historian must transform himself into the characters which he is representing, and throw himself back into the times which he is opening; possessing himself of their feelings and tracing their actions, he may then at least hope to discover truths which may equally interest the honourable men of all parties.

This reflection has occurred from the very difficulty into which I am now brought. Shall we at once condemn the king for these arbitrary measures? It is, however, very possible that they were never in his contemplation! Involved in inextricable difficulties, according to his feelings, he was betrayed by parliament; and he scorned to barter their favour by that vulgar traffic of treachery—the immolation of the single victim who had long attached his personal affections; a man at least as much envied as hated! That hard lesson had not yet been inculcated on a British sovereign, that his bosom must be a blank for all private affection; and had that lesson been taught, the character of Charles was destitute of all aptitude for it, To reign without a refractory parliament, and to find among the people themselves subjects more loyal than their representatives, was an experiment—and a fatal one! Under Charles, the liberty of the subject, when the necessities of the state pressed on the sovereign, was matter of discussion, disputed as often as assumed; the divines were proclaiming as rebellious those who refused their contributions to avoid the government;\* and the law-sages al-

pudding ! a pudding ! and others—'A lie! a lie! a lie! —
'This Westminster election of nearly two hundred years ago,
ended as we have seen some others; they rejected all who had
urged the payment of the loans; and passing by such men
as Sir Robert Cotton, and their last representative they fixed on a brewer and a grocer for the two members for We

\* Extract from a manuscript letter .-–' On Friday last I hear but as a secret, that it was debated at the council table, whether our Essex-men, who refused to take press-money, should not be punished by martial-law, and hanged up on the ment tree to their dwellings, for an example of terror to others.

My lord keeper, who had been long silent, when in conclusion, k came to his course to speak, told the lords, that as for sion, it came to his course to speak, told the lords, that as far as he understood the law, none were liable to martial law, but martial men. If these had taken press-money, and afterwards run from their colours, they might then be punished in that manner; but yet they were no soldiers, and refused to be Secondly, he thought a subsidy, new by taw, could not be pressed against his will for a foreign service; it being supposed in law, the service of his purse excused that of his person, unless his own country were in danger; and he appealed to my lord treasurer, and my lord president, whether it was not so, who both assented it was so, though some of them faintly, as unwilling to have been urged to such an answer. So it is thought that proposition is dashed; and it will be tried what may be done in the Star-Chamber against these refractories?

<sup>l</sup>eged precedents for raising supplies in the manner which Charles had adopted. Solden, whose learned industry was as vast as the amplitude of his mind, had to seek for the freedom of the subject in the dust of the records of the Tower—and the omnipotence of parliaments, if any human assembly may be invested with such supernatural greatness, had not yet awakened the hoar antiquity of popular liberty.

A general spirit of insurrection, rather than insurrection itself, had suddenly raised some strange appearances through the kingdom. 'The remonstrance' of parliament through the kingdom. 'The remonstrance' of parliament had unquestionably quickened the feelings of the people: but yet the lovers of peace and the reverencers of royalty were not a few: money and men were procured to send out the army and the fleet. More concealed causes may be suspected to have been at work. Many of the heads of the opposition were pursuing some secret machinations: about this time I find many mysterious stories—indications of secret societies—and other evidences of the intrigues of the popular party.

Little matters, sometimes more important than they ap-ear, are suitable to our minute sort of history. In November, 1626, a rumour spread that the king was to be visited by an ambassador from 'the President of the Society of the He was indeed an heteroclite ambassador, Rosy-cross. for he is described 'as a youth with mover a hair on his face;' in fact, a child who was to conceal the mysterious personage which he was for a moment to represent. He appointed Sunday afternoon to come to court, attended by thirteen coaches. He was to proffer to his majesty, provided the king accepted his advice, three millions to put into his coffers; and by his secret councils he was to unfold matters of moment and secreey. A Latin letter was delivered to 'David Ramsay of the clock' to hand over to the king; a copy of it has been preserved in a let-ter of the times; but it is so unmeaning, that it could have had no effect on the king, who, however, declared that he would not admit him to an audience, and that if he could tell where ' the President of the Rosy-cross,' was to be found, unless he made good his offer, he would hang him at the court-gates. This served the town and country for talk till the appointed Sunday had passed over, and no ambassador was visible! Some considered this as the plotting of crazy brains, but others imagined it to be an attempt to speak with the king in private, on matters respecting the duke. There was also discovered, by letters received from Rome, 'a whole parliament of Jesuits sites in the contract of the con received from Rome, "a whose parliament of Jesuits sit-ting, in 'a fair-hanged vault' in Clerkenwell: Sir John Cooke would have alarmed the parliament, that on St Joseph's day these were to have occupied their places;

\* A member of the House, in James the First's time called this race of divines 'Spaniels to the court and wolves to the people.'—Dr Mainwaring, Dr Sibthorpe, and Dean Bargrave were seeking for ancient procedents to maintain absolute monarchy, and to inculcate passive obedience. Bargrave had this passage in his sermon: 'It was the speech of a man renown add for wisdom in our age, that if he were commanded to put forth to sea in a ship that had neither mast nor tackling, he forth to sea in a ship that had neither mast nor tackling, he would do it? and being asked what wisdom that were, replied. 'The wisdom must be in him that hath power to command, not in him that conceience binds to obey?' Sitthorpe, after he published his sermon, immediately had his house burnt down. Dr Mainwaring, says a manuscript letter-writer, 'sent the other day to a friend of mine, to help him to all the ancient precedents he could find, to strengthen his opinion (for absolute monarchy.) who answered him he could help him in nothing but only to hang him, and that if he lived till a parliament, or &c. he should be sure of a halter.' Mainwaring afterwards submitted to parliament; but after the dissolution got to a free parlon. The panic of popery was a great evil. The terwards submitted to parliament; but after the dissolution got to a free pardon. The panic of popery was a great evil. The divines, under Laud, appeared to approach to catholicism; but it was probably only a project of reconciliation between the two churches, which Elizabeth, James, and Charles equally wished. Mr Cosins, a letter-writer, censures for 's uperstitlon' in this bitter style: 'Mr Cosins has impudently made three additions of his prayer book, and one which he gives away in reviewts, different flow the published cone. An audiciture fell. editions of his prayer book, and one which he gives away in private, different from the published ones. An audacious follow, whom my Lord of Durham greatly admireth. I doubt if he be a sound protestant: he was so blind at even-song or Candiemas-day, that he could not see to read prayers in the mineter with less than three hundred and firsty candles, whereminister was less than three hundred and firty candles, whereof sixty he caused to be placed about the high-altar; besides
he caused the picture of our Saviour, supported by two angels,
and no measer if they trounce him.? This was Cosins who
survived the revolution, and, returning with Charles the Secend was raised to the see of Durham; the charitable institutions he has left are most munificent.

ministers are supposed sometimes to have conspirators for the nonce; Sir Dudley Digges, in the opposition, as usual, would not believe in any such political necromancers; but such a party were discovered; Cooke would have insinuated that the French ambassador had persuaded Louis, that the divisions between Charles and his nearly had been raised by his insequents and was reward. people had been raised by his ingenuity, and was rewarded for the intelligence; this is not unlikely. After all the parliament of Jesuits might have been a secret cellege of the order; for, among other things seized on, was a considerable library.

When the parliament was sitting, a scaled letter we thrown under the door, with this superscription, Cursed be the man that finds this latter, and activers it not to the House of Commons. The serjeant at arms delivered it to the speaker, who would not open it till the House had chosen a committee of twelve members to inform them whether it was fit to be read. Sir Edward Cooke, after having read two or three lines, stopped, and, according to my authority, 'durst read no further, but immediately scaling it, the committee thought fit to send it to the king, who they say, on reading it through, cast it into the fire and sent the House of Commons thanks for their wisdom in not publishing it, and for the discretion of the committee in so far tendering

and for the discretion of the commutee in so far tencering his honour, as not to read it out, when they once perceived that it touched his majesty.\*

Others besides the freedom of speech, introduced another form, 'A speech without doors,' which was distributed to to the members of the House. It is in all respects a remarkable one, occupying ten folio pages in the first volume

of Rushworth.

Some in office appear to have employed extraordinary proceedings of a similar nature. An intercepted letter written from the Arch-duchess to the King of Spain was delivered by Sir H. Martyn at the council-board on Newyear's day, who found it in some papers relating to the navy. The duke immediately said he would show it to the king; and, accompanied by several lords, went into his majesty's closet. The letter was written in French; his majesty's closet. The letter was written in French; it advised the Spanish court to make a sudden war with England, for several reasons; his Majesty's want of still to govern of himself; the weakness of his council in not daring to equaint him with the truth; want of mosey; disunion of the subjects' hearts from their priace, &c. The king only observed, that the writer forgot that the Arch-duchess writes to the King of Spain in Spanish, and sends her letters overland.

I have to add an important fact. I find certain evidence that the heads of the opposition were busily active in thwarting the measures of government. Dr Samuel Turner, the member for Shrewsbury, called on Sir John Cage, and desired to speak to him privately; his errand was to entreat him to resist the lean, and to use his power with others to obtain this purpose. The following information comes from Sir John Cage himself. Dr Turner being desired to stay, he would not a minute, but use the statut to the home purpose to sto and stantly took horse, saying he had more places to go to, and time pressed; that there was a company of them had divided themselves into all parts, every one having had a questo assigned to him, to perform this services for the communication. This was written in November, 1628. The unquestionably amounts to a secret confederacy watching out of parliament as well as in; and those strange appearances of popular defection exhibited in the country, which I have described, were in great part the consequences of the machinations and active intrigues of the popular party.\(^1\) The king was not disposed to try a bird parliament.

The favourite, perhaps to regain that popular favour which his greatness had lost him, is said in private letters to have been twice on his knees to intercede for a new one. The elections however foreboded no good; and a letter-writer

\*I deliver this fact as I find it in a private letter, but it is noticed in the Journal of the House of Commons, 25 Junii, 40. Caroli Regis. \*Sir Edward Coke reporteth that they find that, enclosed in the letter, to be unfit for any subjects' ear to hear. Read but one line and a half of it, and could not endure to read more of it. It was ordered to be sealed and delivered into the king's hands by eight members, and to acquaint his majesty with the place and time of finding it; particularly that upon the reading of one line and a half at most, they would read no more, but sealed it up, and brought it to the House.

riouse.

† I have since discovered, by a manuscript letter, that the Dr Turner was held in contempt by the King; that he was ridiculed at court which he haunted, for his wast of versely, in a word, that he was a disappointed courter?

commected with the court, in giving an account of them, p. ophetically declared, 'we are without question undone!'
The king's speech opens with the spirit which he himself felt, but which he could not communicate.

'The times are for action; wherefore, for example's sake, I mean not to spend much time in words !-- If you, which God forbid, should not do your duties in contributing what the state at this time needs, I must, in discharge of my conscience, use those other means which God hath put into my hands, to save that, which the follies of some particular men may otherwise hazard to lose. He added, with the loftiness of ideal majesty—' Take not this as a threatening, for I scorn to threaten any but my equals; but as an admonition from him, that both out of nature and duty, hath most care of your preservations and pros-perities: and in a more friendly tone he requested them, To remember a thing to the end that we may forget it. You may imagine that I come here with a doubt of suc-cess, remembering the distractions of the last meeting; but I assure you that I shall very easily forget and forgive what is past."—

A most crowded house now met, composed of the wealthiest men; for a lord, who probably considered that wealthiest men; for a lord, who probably considered that they were able to buy the upper house, his majesty only excepted! The aristocracy of wealth had already began to be felt. Some ill omens of the parliament appeared. Sir Robert Philips moved for a general fast: 'we had one for the plague which it pleased God to deliver us from, and we have now so many plagues of the commonwealth about his majesty's person, that we have need of such an act of humiliation.' Sir Edward Coke held it most necessary there are a floor. cessary, ' because there are, I fear, some devils that will not be cast out but by fasting and prayer.

Many of the speeches in this great council of the kingdom are as admirable pieces of composition as exist in the language. Even the court-party were moderate, extenuating rather than pleading for the late necessities. But the evil spirit of party, however veiled, was walking amidst them all. A letter-writer represents the natural state of feelings: 'Some of the parliament talk desperately; while others, of as high a course to enforce money, if they yield not? Such is the perpetual action and re-action of public opinion; when one side will give too little, the other is sure to desire too much! saure to desire too much!

The parliament granted subsidies-Sir John Cooke having brought up the report to the king, Charles expressed great satisfaction, and declared that he felt now more happy than any of his predecessors. Inquiring of Sir John by how many voices he had carried it? Cooke replied, But by one !—at which his majesty seemed appalled, and asked how many were against him? Cooks answered None! the unanimity of the House made all but one voice." at which his majesty wept! If Charles shed soice: at which his imagery week; It is not respect to the house, was much affected, the emotion was profound: for on all sudden emergencies Charles displayed an almost unparalleled command over the exterior violence of his

The favourite himself sympathized with the tender joy of his royal master; and, before the king, voluntarily offered himself as a peace-sacrifice. In his speech at the council table, he entreats the king that he who had the honour to be his majesty's favourite, might now give up -A warm genuine feeling probably that title to them .prompted these words.

'To open my heart, please to pardon me a word more; I must confess I have long lived in pain, sleep hath given me no rest, favours and fortunes no content; such have been my secret sorrows, to be thought the man of separation, and that divided the king from his people, and them from him; but I hope it shall appear they were some mistaken minds that would have made me the evil spirit that

walketh between a good master and a loyal people.\*\*
Buckingham added, that for the good of his country he was willing to sacrifice his honours; and since his plurality of offices had been so strongly excepted against, that he

\*This circumstance is mentioned in a manuscript letter; what Cooke declared to the House is in Rushworth, vol. I, p.

†I refer the critical student of our history to the duke's speech at the council-table as It appears in Rushworth, I, 525: but what I add respecting his personal sacrifices is from manuscript letters Sloane MSS, \$177. Letter 490, &c.

was content to give up the master of the horse to Marnuess Hamilton, and the warden of the Cinque Ports to the Earl of Carlisle; and was willing that the parliament should appoint another admiral for all services at sea.

It is as certain as human evidence can authenticate, that on the king's side all was grateful affection; and that on Buckingham's there was a most earnest desire to win the favours of parliament; and what are stronger than all human evidence, those unerring principles in human nanuman evacence, more unorrang principles in numan active ture itself, which are the secret springs of the heart, were working in the breasts of the king and his minister; for seither were tyrannical. The king undoubtedly sighed to meet parliament with the love which he had at first professed; he declared, that he should now rejoice to meet with his people often.' Charles had no innate tyranny in his constitutional character; and Buckingham at times was susceptible of misery amidst his greatness, as I have elsewhere shown.\* It could not have been imagined that the luckless favourite, on the present occasion, should have served as a pretext to set again in motion the chaos of ovil! Can any candid mind suppose, that the king or the duke meditated the slightest insult on the path.:tic party, cume measured the signifiest insuit on the path. the party, or would in the least have disturbed the apparent reconciliation! Yet it so happened! Secretary Cooke, at the close of his report of the king's acceptance of the subsidies, mentioned that the duke had fervently beseeched the king to grant the house all their desires! Perhaps the mention of the duke's name was designed to ingratiate him into their toleration.

Sir John Elliot caught fire at the very name of the duke, and vehemently checked the secretary for having dared to introduce it; declaring, that they knew of no other disintroduce n; dectaring, that they knew of no other dis-tinction but of king and subjects. By intermingling a subject's speech with the king's message, he seemed to derogate from the honour and majesty of a king. Nor would it become any subject to bear himself in such a fashion, as if no grace ought to descend from the king to the people, nor any lovalty ascend from the people to the

ting but through him only.

This speech was received by many with acclamations; some cried out, 'Well spoken, Sir John Elliot.'† It marks the heated state of the political atmosphere, where even the lightest coruscation of a hated name made it burst into flames!

I have often suspected that Sir John Elliot, by his vehement personality, must have borne a personal antipathy to Buckingham. I have never been enabled to ascertain the fact; but I find that he has left in manuscript a collection of satires, or 'Verses, being chiefly invectives against the Duke of Buckingham, to whom he bore a bit-ter and most inveterate enmity. Could we sometimes discover the motives of those who first head political revolutions, we should find how greatly personal hatreds have actuated them in deeds which have come down to us in the form of patriotism, and how often the revolutionary spirit disguises its private passions by its public conduct. But the supplies, which had raised tears from the fervent gratitude of Charles, though voted, were yet with-

\* Curiosities of Literature, First Series. † I find this speech, and an account of its reception, in ma-

† I find this speech, and an account of its reception, in manuscript letters; the fragment in Rushworth contains no part of it, i, 526. Sloane MSS, 4177. Letter 490, &c.

† Modern history would afford more instances than perhaps some of us suspect. I cannot pass over an illustration of my principle, which I shall take from two very notorious politicians.

— Wat Tyler, and Sir William Walworth!

Wat, when in servitude, had been beaten by his master, Richard Llone, a great merchant of wines, and a sheriff of London. This chastisement, working on an evil disposition, appears never to have been forgiven; and when this Radical assumed his short-lived dominion, he had his old master beheaded, and his head carried behind him on the point of a assumed his short-lived dominion, he had his old master beheaded, and his head carried behind him on the point of a spear! So Grafton tells us, to the eternal obloquy of this arch-jacobin, who 'was a crafty fellow, and of an excellent wit, but wanting grace.' I would not sully the glory of the patriotic blow which ended the rebellion with the rebel; yet there are secrets in history! Sir William Walworth, 'the ever-famous mayor of London,' as Stowe designates him, has lest the immortality of his name to one of our suburbe; but when I discovered in Stowe's survey that Walworth was the landlord of the stows on the Bank-side, which he farmed out to the Dutch vrows, and which Watt had pulled down. I am inclined to suspect that private feeling first knocked down the saucy ribald, and then thrust him through and through with his dagger. and that there was as much of personal vengeance his dagger, and that there was as much of personal vengeance as natificitiem, which crushed the demolisher of so much value able property Digitized by GOOGLE

beld, They resolved that grievances and supplies go The commons entered deeply into constitutional points of the highest magnitude. The curious erudition of Selden and Coke was combined with the ardour of parious who merit no inferior celebrity, though, not having consecrated their names by their laborious literature, we only discover them in the obscure annals of parliament. To our history, composed by writers of dif-ferent principles, I refer the reader for the arguments of lawyers, and the spirit of the commons. My secret his-

tory is only its supplement.

The king's prerogative, and the subject's liberty, were points hard to distinguish, and were established but by contest. Sometimes the king imagined that 'the house pressed not upon the abuses of power, but only upon power Sometimes the commons doubted whether they had any thing of their own to give; while their property and their persons seemed equally insecure. Despatism seemed to stand on one side, and Faction on the other—

Liberty trembled!

The conference of the commons before the lords, on the freedom and person of the subject, was admirably conducted by Seiden and by Coke. When the king's attorney affected to slight the learned arguments and precedents, pretending to consider them as mutilated out of the records, and as proving rather against the commons than for them; Sir Edward Coke rose, affirming to the house, upon his skill in the law, that 'it lay not under Mr Attorney's cap to answer any one of their arguments.' Selden declared that he had written out all the records from the Tower, the Exchequer, and the King's Bench, with his own hand; and 'would engage his head, Mr Attorney should not find in all these archives a single precedent omitted.' Mr Littleton said, that he had examined every one syllabatim, and whoever said they were mutilated spoke false! Of so ambiguous and delicate a nature was then the liberty of the subject, that it seems they considered it to depend on precedents!

A startling message, on the 12th of April, was sent by the king, for despatch of business. The house, struck with assonishment, desired to have it repeated. They remained sad and silent. No one cared to open the debate. A whimsical politician, Sir Francis Nothersole,\* suddenly started up, entreating leave to tell his last night's dream. Some laughing at him, he observed, that 'king-doms had been saved by dreams!' Allowed to proceed, be said, 'he saw two good pastures ; a flock of sheep was in the one, and a bell-wether alone in the other; a great ditch was between them, 'and a narrow bridge over

the ditch.3

He was interrupted by the Speaker, who told him that it stood not with the gravity of the house to listen to dreams; but the house was inclined to hear him out.

The sheep would sometimes go over to the bell-wether, or the bell-wether to the sheep. Once both met on the marrow bridge, and the question was who should go back, since both could not go on without danger. One sheep gave counsel that the sheep on the bridge should lie on their bellies, and let the bell-wether go over their backs. The application of this dilemma he left to the house.'† It must be confessed that the bearing of the point was more ambiguous than some of the important ones that formed the subjects of fierce contention. Davus sum, non Ædipus!
It is probable that this fautastical politician did not vote with the opposition; for Elliott, Wentworth, and Coke, protested against the interpretation of dreams in the house!

When the attorney-general moved that the liberties of the subject might be moderated, to reconcile the differences between themselves and the sovereign, Sir Edward Coke observed, that 'the true mother would never consent to the dividing of her child. On this, Buckingham swore that Coke intimated that the king, his master, was the prositute of the state. Coke protested against the mis-meteroretation. The dream of Nethersole, and the meta-phor of Coke, were alike dangerous in parliamentary discussion. In a manuscript letter it is said that the House of Commons sat four days without speaking or doing any

\*I have formed my idea of Sir Francis Netherrole from "I have formed my idea of Sir Francis Nethersole from some strange incidents in his political conduct, which I have read in some contemporary inters. He was, however, a man of some eminence, had been Orator for the University of Cambridge, Agent for James I, with the Princess of the Union Germany, and also Secretary to the Queen of Bohemia. He founded and end "ed a Free-school at Polesworth in Warwickshire.

† Manuscript letter.

thing. On the first of May, Secretary Cooks delivered a message, asking, whether they would rely upon the king's word? This question was followed by a long silence. Several speeches are reported in the letters of the times, which are not in Rushworth. Sir Nathanel Rich observed, that 'confident as he was of the royal word, what did any indefinite word ascertain ? Pym said, We have his Majesty's caronation oath to maintain the laws of England; what need we then take his word? He proposed to move 'Whether we should take the king's word or no.' This was resisted by Secretary Cooke; What would they say in foreign parts, if the people of England would not trust their king? He desired the house to call Pym to order; on which Pym replied,

Truly, Mr Speaker, I am just of the same opinion I
was; viz, that the king's oath was as powerful as his
word.' Sir John Elliot moved that it be put to the question, 'because they that would have it, do urge us to that point.' Sir Edward Coke on this occasion made a memorable speech, of which the following passage is not given in Rushworth.

We sit now in parliament, and therefore must take his majesty's word no otherwise than in a parliamentary way; that is, of a matter agreed on by both houses—his majesty sitting on his throne in his robes, with his crown on his head, and sceptre in his hand, and in full parliament; and his royal assent being entered upon record, in perpusan rei memoriam. This was the royal word of a king in parliament, and not a word delivered in a chamber, and out of the mouth of a secretary at the second hand; therefore I motion, that the House of Commons, more majorum, should draw a petition, de dreict, to his majesty; which, being confirmed by both houses, and assented unto by his majesty, will be as firm an act as any. Not that I distrist the king, but that I cannot take his trust but in a paris-

mentary way."\*

In this speech of Sir Edward Coke we find the first mention, in the legal style, of the ever-memorable ' Pertion of Right,' which two days after was finished. The reader must pursue its history among the writers of op-

posite parties.

On Tuesday, June 5, a royal message announced, that on the 11th the present sessions would close. This utterly disconcerted the commons. Religious men considered it as a judicial visitation for the sins of the people; others raged with suppressed feelings; they counted up all the disasters which had of late occurred, all which, were charged to one man: they knew not, at a moment so urgent, when all their liberties seemed at stake, whether the commons should fly to the lords, or to the king. Sir John Elliot said, that as they intended to furnish his majesty with money, it was proper that he should give them time to supply him with counsel: he was renewing his old attacks on the duke, when he was suddenly interrupted by the speaker, who, starting from the chair, declared, that he was commanded not to suffer him to proceed; Elliot sat down in sullen silence. On Wednesday Sir Edward Cole down in suiten stience. On we conseque Sir Edward con-broke the ice of debate. 'That man,' said he of the duke, 'is the griervance of grievances! As for going to the lords,' he added 'that is not via regia; our liberties are impeached—it is our concern!

On Thursday the vehement cry of Coke against Buckingham was followed up; as, says a letter-writer, when one good hound recovers the scent, the rest come in with a full cry. A sudden message from the king absolutely forbade them to asperse any of his majesty's ministers, otherwise his majesty would instantly dissolve them.

This fell like a thunderbolt; it struck terror and alarm, and at the instant, the House of Commons was changed into a scene of tragical melancholy! All the opposite passions of human nature—all the national evils which were one day to burst on the country, seemed, on a sud-den, concentrated in this single spot; Some were seen ver, concentrated in this single spot; some were set weeping, some were expostulating, and some, in arful prophecy, were contemplating the future ruin of the kingdom; while others, of more ardent daring, were repracting the timid, quieting the terrified, and infusing resolution into the despairing. Many attempted to speak, but were so strongly affected that their very utterrance failed them. The venerable Coke, overcome by his feelings when he rose to speak, found his learned eloquence falter on his tongue; he sat down, and tears were seen on his aged

\*These speeches are entirely drawn from manuscript let-ters. Coke's may be substantially-found in Rushworth, but without a single expression as here given

shocks. The name of the public enemy of the kingdom was repeated, till the speaker, with tears covering his face, declared he could no longer witness such a spectacle of wo in the commons of England, and requested leave of absence for half an hour. The speaker hastened to the king, to inform his of the state of the house. They were preparing a vote against the duke, for being an arch-traitor and arch-enemy to king and kingdom, and were busied on their 'Remonstrance,' when the speaker, on his return, de-livered his majesty's message, that they should adjourn till

This was an awful interval of time; many trembled for the issue of the next morning: one letter-writer calls it,
that black and doleful Thursday! and another, writing
before the house met, observes, 'What we shall expect this
morning, God of heaven knows; we shall meet timely.'\*

Charles probably had been greatly affected by the report of the speaker, on the extraordinary state into which the whole house had been thrown; for on Friday the royal message imported, that the king had never any intention of barring them from their right, but only to avoid scandal, that his ministers should not be accused for their counsel to him; and still he hoped that all christendom might notice a sweet parting between him and his people. This mes-sage quieted the house, but did not suspend their prepara-tions for a 'Remonstrance,' which they had begun on the day they were threatened with a dissolution.

On Saturday, while they were still occupied on the 'Remonstrance, unexpectedly, at four o'clock, the king came to parliament, and the commons were called up. spontaneously came to reconcile himself to parliament. The king now gave his second answer to the 'Petition of Right.' He said, 'My maxim is, that the people's liberties strengthen the king's prerogative; and the king's preroga-tive is to defend the people's liberties. Read your polition, and you shall have an answer that I am sure will pleasy you. They desired to have the ancient form of their an-cestors, 'Soit droit fait come il est desyré,' and not as the king had before given it, with any observation on it. Charles now granted this; declaring that his second answer to the petition in nowise differed from his first; 'but you now see how ready I have shown myself to satisfy your demands; I have done my part; wherefore, if this parliament have not a happy conclusion, the sin is yours,—I am free from u!

Popular gratitude is, at least, as vociferous as it is sudden. Both houses returned the king acclamations of joy; every one seemed to sxult at the happy change which a few days had effected in the fate of the kingdom. Every where the bells rung, bonfires were kindled, an universal holiday was kept through the town, and spread to the country: but an ominous circumstance has been registered by a letter-writer; the common people, who had caught the contagious happiness, imagined that all this public joy was occasioned by the king's consenting to commit the duke to the Tower!

Charles has been censured, even by Hume, for his evasions and delays, in granting his assent to the 'Petition of Right; but now, either the parliament had conquered the royal unwillingness, or the king was zealously inclined on reconciliation. Yet the joy of the commons did not outlast the boufires in the streets; they resumed their debates as if they had never before touched on the subjects; they did not account for the feelings of the man whom they addressed as the sovereign. They sent up a 'Remonstrance' against the duke, and introduced his mother into it, as a patroness of Popery. Charles declared, that after having granted the famous 'Petition,' he had not expected such a return as this 'Remonstrance.' 'How acceptable it is,' he afterwards said, 'every man may judge; no wise man can justify it.' After the reading of the Remonstrance, the duke fell on his knees, desiring to answer for himself; but Charles no way relaxed in showing his personal favour. §

The duke was often charged with actions and with ex-

pressions of which, unquestionably, he was not always guilty; and we can more fairly decide on some points, relating to Charles and the favourite, for we have a clearer notion of them than his contemporaries. The active spirits in the commons were resolved to hunt down the game to the death; for they now struck at, as the king calls it,

one of the chief maintenances of my crown, in tonnage and poundage, the levying of which, they now declared, was a violation of the liberties of the people. This subject again involved legal discussions, and another 'Remon-strance.' They were in the act of reading it, when the king suddenly came down to the house, sent for the speak-er, and prorogued the parliament. I am forced to end this session, said Charles, 'some few hours before I meant, being not willing to receive any more Remonstrances, to which I must give a harsh answer.' There was at least, as much of sorrow as of anger, in this closing

Buckingham once more was to offer his life for the hou our of his master—and to court popularity! It is well known with what exterior fortitude Charles received the news of the duke's assassination; this imperturbable majesty of his mind—insensibility it was not—never deserted him on many similar occasions. There was no indecision -no feebleness in his conduct; and that entraordinary event was not suffered to delay the expedition. The king's personal industry astonished all the men in office. One writes, that the king had done more in six weeks than in the duke's time had been done in six months. The death of Buckingham caused no change; the king left every man to his own charge, but took the general direction into his own hands.\* In private, Charles deeply mourned the loss of Buckingham; he gave no encouragement to his enem-mies: the king called him 'hie martyr,' and declared, 'the world was greatly mistaken in him; for it was thought that the favourite had ruled his majesty, but it was far otherwise; for that the duke had been to him a faithful and an obedient servant.'† Such were the feelings and ideas of the unfortunate Charles the First, which it is necessary to become acquainted with to judge of; few have possessed the leisure or the disposition to perform this hisstorical duty, involved, as it is, in the history of our passions. If ever the man shall be viewed, as well as the monarch, the private history of Charles the First will form one of the most pathetic of biographies.

All the Foreign expeditions of Charles the First, were alike disastrous; the vast genius of Richelieu, at its meridian, had paled our ineffectual star! The dreadful sur-render of Rochelle had sent back our army and navy baffled and disgraced; and Buckingham had timely perished, to be saved from having one more reproach, one more political crime, attached to his name. Such failures did political crime, attached to his name. Such failures did not improve the temper of the times; but the most brisliant victory would not have changed the fate of Charles, nor allayed the fiery spirits in the commons, who, as Charles said, 'not satisfied in hearing complainers, had erected themselves into inquisitors after complaints.

Parliament met. The king's speech was conciliatory. He acknowledged that the exaction of the duties of the customs was not a right which he derived from his hereditary perogative, but one which he enjoyed as the gift of his people. These duties as yet had not indeed been formally confirmed by parliament, but they had never been refused to the sovereign. The king closed with a fervent ejaculation, that the session, begun with confidence, might

end with a mutual good understanding.

The shade of Buckingham was no longer cast between Charles the First and the commons. And yet we find that 'their dread and dear sovereign' was not allowed any repose on the throne.

A new demon of national discord, Religion, in a meta-physical garb, reared its distracted head. This evil spirit This evil enirit had been raised by the conduct of the court divines, whose political sermons, with their attempts to return to the more solemn ceremonies of the Romish church, alarmed some tender consciences; it served as a masked battery for the patriotic party to change their ground at will, without slackening their fire. When the king urged for the duties of his customs, he found that he was addressing a committee sitting for religion. Sir John Elliot threw out a singular expression. Alluding to some of the bishops, whom he called masters of ceremonies, he confessed that some

\* Manuscript Letters; Lord Dorset to the Earl of Carlisle

- Manuscript Letter 519.

† Manuscript Letter.

† Manuscript Letter.

† I have given the 'Secret History of Charles the First, and his Queen,' where I have traced the firmness and independence of his character, in the fifth volume of the seventh editions of the seventh tiou of the first series of this work, or in the third of the eighth. In the same solumes will be found as much of the Secret History of the Duke of Buckingham as I have been enabled.

<sup>\*</sup> This last letter is printed in Rushworth, Vol. I, p. 609. † The king's answer is in Rushworth, Vol. I, p. 618. † This elequent state paper is in Rushworth, Vol. I, p. 619. † This interview is taken from manuscript letters.

coremonies were commendable, such as ' that we should stand up at the repetition of the creed, to testify the resolution of our hearts to defend the religion we profess, and in some churches they did not only stand upright, but with their swords drawn.' His speech was a spark that fell into their moords drawn.' their secrets drawn.' His speech was a spark that ren mo a well-laid train; scarcely can we conceive the enthusiastic temper of the House of Commons, at that moment, when, after some debate, they entered into a new to preserve 'the articles of religion established by parliament, in the thirteenth year of our late Queen Elizabeth? and this now was immediately followed up by a petition to the king for a fast for the increasing miseries of the reformed churches abroad. Parliaments are liable to have their churches abroad. Parliaments are liable to have their passions! Some of these enthusiasts were struck by a passions: Some or these entities were strick by a panic, not perhaps warranted by the danger of Josuits and Arminians. The king answered them in good humour; observing, however, on the state of the reformed abroad, 'that fighting would do them more good than fasting.'

He expected them their feet but there would have the control of th He granted them their fast, but they would now grant no return; for now they presented a Declaration to the king, that tonnage and poundage must give precedency to religion! The king's answer still betrays no ill temper. He confessed that he did not think that 'religion was in so much danger as they affirmed. He reminds them of tonnage and poundage; 'I do not so much desire it out of greediness of the thing, as out of a desire to put an end to those questions that arise between me and some of my subjects.

Never had the king been more moderate in his claims, or more tender in his style; and never had the commons been more fierce, and never, in truth, so utterly inexorable! Often kings are tyrannical, and sometimes are parliaments. a body corporate, with the infection of passion, may perform acts of injustice equally with the individual who abusee the power with which he is invested. It was insisted that Charles should give up the receivers of the customs who were denounced as capital enemies to the king and kingdom, while those who submitted to the duties declared guilty as accessories. When Sir John Elliot was pouring forth invectives against some courtiers—how-ever they may have merited the blast of his eloquence—he was sometimes interrupted and sometimes cheered, for the was sometimes interrupted and sometimes cheered, for the settinging personalities. The timid speaker refusing to put the question, suffered a severe reprimand from Selden; 'If you will not put it, we must sit still, and thus we shall never be able to do any thing!' The house adjourned in great heat; the dark prognostic of their next meeting, which Sir Symonds D'Ewee has marked in his diary as the most gloomy, sad, and dismal day for England that happened for five hundred years!"

On this fatal day,\* the speaker still refusing to put the question, and announcing the king's command for an adjournment, Sir John Elliot stood up! The speaker at-tempted te leave the chair, but two members, who had placed themselves on each side forcibly kept him down-Elliot, who had prepared a short Declaration, flung down a paper on the floor, crying out that it might be read! His party vociferated for the reading—others that it should not. A sudden tumult broke out; Coriton, a fervent panot. A sudden tumult broke out; Coriton, a fervent patriot, struck another member, and many laid their hands on their swords. † 'Shall we,'said one, 'be sent home as we were last sessions, turned off like scattered sheep? 'The weeping, trembling speaker, still persisting in what he held to be his duty, was dragged to and fro by opposite parties; but neither he nor the clerk would read the paper, though the speaker was bitterly reproached by his kinsman, Sir Peter Hayman, 'as the disgrace of his country, and a blot to a noble family. Elliot, finding the house so strongly divided, undauntedly snatching up the paper, said, 'I shall then express that by my tongue which this paper should have done.' Denzil Holles assumed the character of speaker, putting the question: it was returned by the acclamations of the party. The doors were locked, and acclamations of the party. The doors were locked, and the keys laid on the table. The king sent for the sergeant and mace, but the messenger could obtain no admittance —the usher of the black-rod met no more regard. The sing then ordered out his guard—in the meanwhile the protest was completed—the door was flung open, the rush of the members was so impetuous that the crowd carried away among them the sergeant and the usher, in the con-

 Monday, 2d of March, 1629.
 It was imagined out of doors that swords had been drawn; for a Welsh page running in great haste, when he heard the soise, to the door, cried out, 'I pray you let hur in! let hur in to give hur master his sword!—Manuscript letter.

fusion and riot. Many of the members were struck by horror amidst this conflict, it was a sad smage of the fuhorror amidst this conflict, it was a sad image of the fin-ture! Several of the patriots were committed to the tower. The king on dissolving this parliament which was the last, till the memorable 'Long Parliament,' gives us, at least, his idea of it. 'It is far from me to judge all the house alike guilty, for there are there as dutiful subjects as any in the world; it being but some few vipers among them that did cast this mist of undutifulness over most of

Thus have I traced, step by step, the secret history of Charles the First and his early parliaments. I have entered into their feelings, while I have supplied new facts, to make every thing as present and as true as my faithful diligence could repeat the tale. It was necessary that I should sometimes judge of the first race of our patriots as some of sometimes judge of the first race of our patriots as some of their contemporaries did; but it was impossible to avoid correcting these notions by the more enlarged views of their posterity. This is the privilege of an historian and the philosophy of his art. There is no apology for the king, nor no declamation for the subject. Were we only to decide by the final results of this great conflict, of which what we have here narrated is but the faint beginning, we have been supported in the final results of this great conflict. should confess that Sir John Elliot and his party were the first fathers of our political existence; and we should not first fathers of our political existence; and we should not withhold from them the inexpressible gratitude of a nation's freedom! But buman infirmity mortifies us in the noblest pursuits of man; and we must be taught this penitential and chastising wisdom. The story of our patriots is involved: Charles appears to have been lowering those high notions of his prerogative, which were not peculiar to him, and was throwing himself on the bosom of his people. The severe and unreleating conduct of Sir John Elliot, his prompt eloquence and bold invective, well fitted him for the leader of a party. He was the loadstone, drawing together the looser particles of iron. Never sparing in the Monarch, the errors of the Man, never relinquishing his royal prey, which he had fastened on, Elliot, with Dr Turner and some others, contributed to make Charles diagusted with all parliaments. Without any dangerous disgusted with all parliaments. Without any dangerous concessions, there was more than one moment when they might have reconciled the sovereign to themselves, and not have driven him to the fatal resourse of attempting to reign without a parliament !

### THE RUMP.

Text and commentary! The French revolution abounds with wonderful 'explanatory notes' on the English. It has cleared up many obscure passages—and in the political history of Man, both pages must be read together.

The opprobrious and ludierous nickname of The Rump,

stigmatized a faction which played the same part in the English Revolution as the Montagne of the Jacobins did in the French. It has been imagined that our English Jacobins were impelled by a principle different from that of their modern rivals; but the madness of avowed atheof their modern rivers; not the madness of artiver attriction, and the frenzy of hypocritical sanctity, in the circle of crimes meet at the same point. Their history forms one of those useful parallels where, with truth unerring as mathematical demonstration, we discover the identity of human nature. Similarity of situation, and certain principles ciples, producing similar personages and similar events, finally settle in the same results. The Rump, as long as human nature exists, can be nothing but the Rump, however it may be thrown uppermost.

The origin of this political by-name has often been inquired into; and it is somewhat curious, that though all parties consent to reprobate it, each assigns for it a different allusion. In the history of political factions there is always a mixture of the ludicrous with the tragic; but, except their modern brothers, no faction, like the present, ever excited such a combination of extreme contempt and

extreme horror.

Among the rival parties in 1659, the loyalists and the presbyterians acted, as we may suppose the Tories and

resbyterians acted, as we may suppose the Tories and
At the time many undoubtedly considered that it was a
mere faction in the house Sir Symonds D'Ewes was certainly no politician—but, unquestionably, his ideas were not pectilar to himself. Of the last third parliament he delivers this
opinion in his Diary. 'I cannot deem but the greater part of
the house were morally honest men: but these were the least
guilty of the fatal breach, being only misled by some other
Machiavellian politics, who seemed zealous for the liberty of
the commonwealth, and by that means, in the moving of their
outward freedom, drew the votes of those good men to their
side.' aide.

Digitized by GOOGLE

the Whigs would in the same predicament; a secret re-conciliation had taken place, to bury in oblivion mer jealousies, that they might unite to rid themselves mer jealousies, that they might unite to rid themselves from that tyranny of tyrannies, a hydra-headed govern-ment; or, as Hume observes, that 'all efforts should be used for the overthrow of the Rump; so they called the parliament, in allusion to that part of the animal body.' The sarcasm of the allusion seemed obvious to our polished historian; yet, looking more narrowly for its origin, we shall find how indistinct were the notions of this nick-name among those who lived nearer the times. Evelyn says, that the Rump Parliament was so called, as retaining some few rotten members of the other.' Roger Coke desome few rotten memoers us the other. Roger Coas use of the Commons called "The Rump," And Carte calls the Rump the carcass of a House, and seems not precisely aware of the contemptuous allusion. But how do 'rotten members,' and 'a carcass,' agree with the notion of 'a Rump?' Recently the editor of the Life of Colonel Hutchinson has conveyed a novel origin. 'The number of the members of the Long Parliament having been by se clusion, death, &c, very much reduced,"—a remarkable, &c, this! by which our editor seems adroitly to throw a veil over the forcible transportation by the Rumpers of two hundred members at one swoon,—the remainder was compared to the rump of a food which was left, all the rest being eaten.' Our editor even considers this to be 'a coarse emblem; yet 'the rump of a fowl' could hardly of-fend even a lady's delicacy! Our editor, probably, was somewhat anxious not to degrade too loudy the anti-monarsomewhat anxious not to degrate too totally the anti-monar-chical party, designated by this opprobrious term. Per-haps it is pardonable in Mrs Macaulay, an historical lady, and a 'Russper,' for she calls 'the Levellers' 'a brave and virtuous party,' to have passed over in her history any mention of the offensive term at all, as well as the ridicu-lous catastrophe which they underwent in the political revolution, which however we must beg leave not to pass by. This party-coinage has been ascribed to Clement Walker,

This party-coinage has been ascribed to Clement Walker, their bitter antagonist; who, having sacrificed no inconsiderable fortune to the cause of what he considered constitutional liberty, was one of the violently ejected members of the Long Parliament, and perished in prison, a victim to honest unbending principles. His 'Elistory of Independency' is a nich legacy bequeathed to posterity, of all their great misdoings, and their petty villanies, and, above all, of their secret history: one likes to know of what blocks the idols of the people are sometimes carved out.

Clement Walker notices 'the votes and acts of this fag and; this Rump of a Parliament, with corrupt maggets in it. \* This hideous, but descriptive image of 'The Rump,' had, however, got forward before; for the collector of 'the Rump Songs' tells us, 'If you ask who named it Rump, how 'twas so styled in an honest sheet of prayer, called "The Bloody Rump," written before the trial of our late sovereign; but the word obtained not universal notice, till it flow from the mouth of Major-General Brown, at a public assembly in the days of Richard Cromwell.' Thus it happens that a stinging nick-name has been frequently applied to render a faction eternally odious; and the chance expression of a wit, when adopted on some public occasion, circulates among a whole people. The present nick-name originated in derision on the expulsion of the majority of the Long Parliament, by the usurping minority. It probably slept; for who would have stirred it through the Protectorate? and finally awkened at 'Richard's restored, but detering, Rump,' to witness its own ridiculous extinction.

Our RUMP passed through three stages in its political progress. Preparatory to the trial of the sovereing, the antimonarchical party constituted the minority in the Long Parliament: the very by name by which this parliament is recognized seemed a grievance to an impatient people, vacillating with chimerical projects of government, and now accustomed from a wild indefinite notion of political equality, to pull down all existing institutions. Such was temper of the times, that an act of the most violent injustice, openly performed, served only as the jest of the daw, a jest which has passed into history. The forcible expulsion of two hundred of their brother members, by those who afterwards were salued as 'The Rump,' was called 'Pride's Purge,' from the activity of a colonel of that name, a military adventurer, who was only the blind and brutal instrument of his party; for when he stood at the door of the commons, holding a paper with the names of the members, he did not personally

# History of Independency, Part II, p. 32.

know one! And his 'Purge' might have operated a quite opposite effect, administered by his own unskilful hand, has not Lord Grey of Groley, and the doorkeeper,—worthy dispersers of a British senate!—pointed out the ohousious members, on whom our colonel laid his hand, and sent off by his men to be detained, if a bold member, or to be deterred from sitting in the house, if a frightened one. This colonel had been a dray-man; and the contemptible knot of the Commons, reduced to fifty or sixty confederates, which assembled after his 'Purge,' were called 'Col. Pride's Dray-horses!'

It was this Rump which voted the death of the sovereign, and abolished the regal office, and the house of peers—as unnecessary, burdensome, and dangerous? 'Every office in parliament seemed 'dangerous' but that of the 'Custodes libertatis Anglise,' the keepers of the liberties of England! or rather 'the gaolers!' 'The legislative half-quarter of the House of Commons!' indignantly exclaims Clement Walker—the 'Montagna' of the French revolutionists!

'The Red-coats,' as the military were nick-memed, soon taught their masters, 'the Rumpers,' silence and obedience: the latter having raised one colossal man for their own purpose, were annihilated by him at a single blow. Cromwell, five years after, turned them out of their house, and put the keys into his pocket. Their last public appearance was in the fleeting days of Richard Cromwell, when the comi-tragedy of 'the Rump' concluded by a catastrophe as ludicrous as that of Tom Thumb's tragedy!

How such a faction used their instruments to gather in the common spoil, and how their instruments at length converted the hands which held them, into instruments themselves, appears in their history. When 'the Long Parliament' opposed the designs of Cromwell and Ireton, these chiefs cried up' the liberty of the people,' and denied 'the authority of parliament:' but when they had effectuated their famous 'purge, and formed a house of commons of themselves, they abolished the House of Lords, crying up the supreme authority of the House of Commons, and crying down the liberty of the people. Such is the history of political factions, as well as of statesmen! Charles the Fifth alternately made use of the pope's authority to subdue the rising spirit of the protestants of German, or raised an army of protestants to imprison the the pope! who branded his German allies by the novel and odious name of Lutherans. A chain of similar facts may be framed out of modern history

The 'Rump,' as they were called by every one but their own party, became a whetstone for the wits to sharpen themselves on; and we have two large collections of 'Rump Bongs,' curious chronicles of popular feeling! Without this evidence we should not have been so well informed respecting the phases of this portentious phenomenon. 'The Rump' was celebrated in verse, till at length it became 'the Rump of a Rump of a Rump!' as Foulis traces them to their dwindled and grotesque apprarance. It is pourtrayed by a wit of the times—

'The Rump's an old story, if well understood,
'Tis a thing dressed up in a parliament's hood,
And like it—but the tail stands where the head shou'd!
'Twould make a man scratch where he does not litch!
They say 'tis good luck when a budy rices
With the Rump upwards; but he that advises
To live in that posture is none of the wisest.

Cromwell's hunting them out of the house by military force is alluded to-

Our politic doctors do us teach,
That a blood-sucking red coat's as good as a leech
To relieve the head, if applied to the breach.'

In the opening scene of the Restoration, Mrs Hutchinson, an honest republican, paints with dismay a scene otherwise very ludicrous. 'When the town of Notingham, as almost all the rest of the island, began to grow mad, and declared themselves in their desires of the king or, as another of the opposite party writes, 'When the soldiery, who had hitherto made clubs trumps, revolved now to turn up the king of hearts in their affections,' the rabble in town and country view with each other in burning the 'Rump;' and the literal emblem was hung by chains on gallowses, with a benfire underneath, while the cries of 'Let us burn the Rump! Let us roast the Rump!' were echoed every where. The suddenness of this universal change, which was said to have maddened the wise, and to have sobered the mad, must be ascribed to the joy at escaping from the yoke of a military despoism; perhaps, too, 'I marked the rapid transition of hope to a res-

toration which might be supposed to have implanted grati-tude even in a royal breast! The feelings of the people

expected to find an echo from the throne.

'The Rump,' besides their general resemblance to the French anarchists, had also some minuter features of ugliness, which Englishmen have often exulted have not marked an English revolution—sanguinary proscriptions! We had thought that we had no revolutionary tribunals! no Septembrisers! no Noyades! no moveable guillotines awaiting for carts loaded with human victims! no infuriated republican urging, in a committee of public safety, the necossity of a salutary massacre!

But if it be true that the same motives and the same principles were at work in both nations, and that the like characters were performing in England the parts which they did afterwards in France, by an argument a priori we might be sure that the same revolting crimes and chimeri-cal projects were alike suggested at London as at Paris. Human nature even in transactions which appear unparalleled, will be found to preserve a regularity of resem-

blance not always suspected.

The first great tragic act was closely copied by the French; and if the popular page of our history appears unstained by their revolutionary axe, this depended only on a slight accident; for it became a question of 'yea.' and 'nay!' and was only carried in the negative by two soices in the council! It was debated among 'the bloody Rump,' as it was hideously designated, 'whether to mas-sacre and put to the sword all the king's party?\* Cromwell himself listened to the suggestion; and it was only put down by the coolness of political calculation—the dread that the massacre would be too general! ome of the Rump not obtaining the blessedness of a massacre, still clung to the happiness of an immolation; and many petitions were presented, that 'too or three principal gentlemen of the royal party in EACH COURTY might be sacrificed to justice, whereby the land might be saved from blood-guilliness? Sir Author Haslerigg, whose 'passionate fondness of liberty' has been commended,† was one of the committee of safety in 1647—I too, would commend 'a passionate lover of liberty,' whenever I do not discover that this lover is much more intent on the dower than on the bride. Haslerigg, an absurd bold man, as Clarendon at a single stroke, reveals his character, was resolved not to be troubled with king or bishop, or with any power in the state superior to 'the Rump's.' We may safely suspect the patriot who can cool his vehemence in spoliation. Haslerigg would have no bishops, but this was not from any want of reverence for church-lands, for he heaped for himself such wealth as to have been nick-nan the bishop of Durham! He is here noticed for a political crime different from that of phinder. When, in 1647, this venerable radical found the parliament resisting his views, he declared, that 'Some heads must fly off." adding, the parliament cannot save England; we must look another way; —threatening, what afterwards was done, to bring in the army! It was this 'passionate lover of liberty' who, when Dorislaus, the parliamentary agent, was assassinated by some Scotchmen in Holland, moved in the house, that 'Six royalists of the best quality' should be immediately executed! When some northern counties petitioned the Commons for relief against a famine in the land, our Maratist observed, that 'this want of food would best defend those counties from Scottish invasion !'1 The slaughter of Drogheda by Cromwell, and his fright-ening all London by what Walker calls 'a butchery of apprentices,' when he cried out to his soldiers, to kill man, woman, and child, and fire the city !\( \bar{\gamma} \) may be placed among those crimes which are committed to open a reign of terror—but Hugh Peter's solemn thanksgiving to Heaven that 'none were spared!' was the true expression of the true feeling of these political demoniacs. Cromwell was cruel from politics, others from constitution. Some were willing to be cruel without 'bloodguiltiness. One Alexanoer Rigby, a radical lawyer, twice moved in the Long Parliament, that those lords and twice moved in the Long rathament, that those earls are gentlemen who were 'malignants,' should be sold as eleves so the Day of Algiers, or sent off to the new plantations in the West Indies. He had all things prepared; for it is

Clement Walker's Hist. of Independency, Part II, p. 130.
Confirmed by Barwick in his Life, p. 163.
† The Rev. Mark Noble's Memoirs of the Protectoral House of Cromwell, I, 465.
† Clement Walker's Hist. of Independency, Part II, 178.

Walker, Part I, 160.

added that he had contracted with two merchants to ship them off.\* There was a most bloody-minded 'maker of washing-balls,' as one John Durant is described, appointout of the Lord's prayer, 'As we forgive them that tree-pass against us,' and substituted, 'Lord, since thou hast now drawn out thy sword, let it not be sheathed again till it be glutted in the blood of the malignants. I find too many enormities of this kind, 'Cursed be he that doeth the work of the Lord negligently, and keepeth back his sword from blood! was the cry of the wretch, who, when a colebrated actor and royalist sued for quarter, gave no other reply than that of 'fitting the action to the word.' other reply than that of 'mining the action to the Their trachiavelist: 'they permitted forty thousand of the Irish to enlist in the service of the kings of Spain and France-in other words, they expelled them at once, which, considering that our Rumpers affected such an ab-horrence of tyranny, may be considered as an act of mercy! satisfying themselves only with dividing the forfeited ands of the aforesaid forty thousand among their own party by lot and other means. An aniversal confiscation, party by lot and other means. An amversal consistency after all, is a bloodless measure. They used the Scotch soldiers, after the battles of Dunbar and Worcester, a little differently—but equally efficaciously—for they sold their Scotch prisoners for slaves to the American planters.†

The Robespierres and the Marats were as extraordi-

nary beings, and in some respects the Frenchmen were working on a more enlarged scheme. These discovered, that 'the generation which had witnessed the preceding one would always regret it; and for the security of county one would always regret it, and it were person who was thirty years old in 1788 should perish on the scaffold? The anarchists were intent on reducing the French people to eight millions, and on destroying the great cities of

France.

Such monstrous persons and events are not credible— but this is no proof that they have not occurred.—Many

incredible things will happen!

Another disorganizing feature in the English Rumpers was also observed in the Sans-culottes—their hatred of literature and the arts. Hebert was one day directing his satellites towards the bibliotheque nationale, to put an end to all that human knowledge collected for centuries on centuries—in one day! alleging of course some good reason.

This here was only diverted from the enterprise by being persuaded to postpone it for a day or two, when luckily the guillotine intervened; the same circumstance occurred here. The burning of the records in the Tower was certainly proposed; a speech of Selden's, which I cannot immed ately turn to, put a stop to these incendiaries. It was de-bated in the Rump parliament, when Cromwell was gen-eral, whether they should dissolve the universities? They concluded that no university was necessary; that there were no ancient examples of such education, and that scholars in other countries did study at their own cost and charges, and therefore they looked on them as unnecess ry, and thought them fitting to be taken away for the public use!—How these venerable asylums escaped from being sold with the king's pictures, as stone and timber, and why their rich endowments were not shared among such inveterate ignorance and remorseless spoliation, might claim

some inquiry.

The Abbé Morellet, a great political economist, imagined that the source of all the crimes of the French Revolution was their violation of the sacred rights of proporty. The perpetua, invectives of the Sans-culattes of France against properties and against property proceeded from demoralized beings, who formed panegyrics on all crimes; crimes, to explain whose revolutionary terms, new dictionary was required. But even these anarchists, in their mad expressions against property, and in their

\* Mercurius Rusticus, XII, 115. Barwick's Lifa, p. 42. † I am indebted to my friend Mr Hamper of Deritand House, Birmingham, for the following account drawn from Sir William Dugdale's interleaved Pocket-book for 1648.—'Aug. 17. The Scotch army, under the command of Duke Hamiton, defeated at Presson in Lancashire. 24th. The Moorlanders rose upon the Scots and stript some of themprisoners miserably used; exposed to eat cabbage-leaves in Ridgley (Staffordshire) and carrot-tops in Colcebiil, (War-wickshire.) The soldiers who guarded them sold the victuals wickshire.) The soldiers who guarded them sold the victuals which were brought in for them from the country.'

† Desodoard's Histoire Philosophique de la Revolution de

France, IV, 5. Digitized by GOOGIC wildest notions of their 'egalité,' have not gone beyond the daring of our own 'Rumpers!"

Of those revolutionary journals of the parliament of 1649, which in spirit so strongly resemble the diurnal or hebdomadal effusions of the redoubtable French Hebert, Marat, and others of that stamp, one of the most remarkable is 'The Moderate, impartially communicating martial affairs to the kingdom of England;' the monarchical title our commonwealth-men had not yet had time enough to obliterate from their colloquial style. This writer called himself in his barbarous English, The Moderate! It would be hard to conceive the meanness and illiteracy to which the English language was reduced under the pens of the rabble-writers of these days, had we not witne is the present time a parallel to their compositions. 'The Moderate!' was a little assumed on the principle on which Marat denominated himself 'Pami du peuple.' It is curious, that the most ferocious politicians usually assert their moderation. Robespierre, in his justification, declares 'm'a souvent accusé de Moderantisme.' The same actors, playing the same parts, may be always paral-leled in their language and their deeds. This ' Moderate' This ' Moderate' steadily pursued one great principle—the overthrow of all Property. Assuming that property was the original cause of sin! an exhortation to the people for this purpose is the subject of the present paper: \* the illustration of his principle is as striking as the principle itself.

It is an apology for, or rather a defence of robbery!
Some moss-troopers had been condemned to be hanged, for practising their venerable custom of gratuitously sup-plying themselves from the flocks and herds of their weaker neighbours: our 'Moderate' ingeniously discovers, that the loss of these men's lives is to be attributed to nothing but property. They are necessitated to offend the laws, in order to obtain a livelihood!

On this he descants; and the extract is a political curi-osity, in the French style! 'Property is the original cause of any sin between party and party as to civil transactions.

And since the tyront is taken off, and the government altered in nomine, so ought it really to redound to the good of the people in specie; which though they cannot expect it in a few years, by reason of the multiplicity of the gentry in authority, command, &c, who drive on all designs for support of the old government, and consequently their own interest and the people's slavery, yet they doubt not, but is time the people will herein discern their own blindness and folly.

In September, he advanced with more depth of thought. Wars have even been clothed with the most gracious retences-viz., reformation of religion, the laws of the land, the liberty of the subject, &c; though the effects thereof have proved most destructive to every nation; making the sword, and not the people, the original of all authorities for many hundred years together, taking away each man's birthright, and settling upon a few a cursed propriety; the ground of all civil offences, and the greatest cause of most sins against the heavenly Doity. This tyranny and oppression running through the veins of many of our necessary and hair to large maintains. predecessors, and being too long maintained by the sword upon a royal foundation, at last became so customary, as

upon a royal rouncation, at last became so customary, as to the vulgar it seemed most natural—the only reason why the people of this time are so ignorant of their birth-right, their only freedom, &c.

'The birth-right' of citoyen Egalité to a cursed propriety settled on a few, was not even among the French jacobins, urged with more amazing force. Had things proceeded according to our 'Moderate's' plan, 'the people's layers.' Jud hear compaling worse. In a short time the slavery had been something worse. In a short time the nation would have had more proprietors than property. We have a curious list of the spoliations of those members of the House of Commons, who, after their famous self-densing ordinances, appropriated among themselves sums of money, offices, and lands, for services 'done or

to be done.'

The most innocent of this new government of the Majesty of the People, were those whose talents had whose talents had haziesty or the People, were those whose whose talents meet been limited by Nature to peddle and purioin; puny mechanics, who had suddenly dropped their needles, their hammers, and their lasts, and slunk out from behind their shop-counters; those who had never aspired beyond the constable of their parish, were now seated in the council of state where the state of the of state; where, as Milton describes them, 'they fell userckster the commonwealth;' there they met a more ra-

The Moderate, from Tuesday, July 31, to August 7,1649.

bid race of obscure lawyers, and discontented men of family, of blasted reputations; adventurers, who were to command the militia and navy of England,-governors of the three kingdoms! whose votes and ordinances resounded with nothing else but new impositions, now taxes, excises, yearly, monthly, weekly sequestrations, compositions, and universal robbery!

Baxter vents one deep groan of indignation, and presciently announces one future consequence of Reform!
'In all this appeared the severity of God, the mutability of worldly things, and the fruits of error, pride, and sel-fishness, to be charged hereafter upon reformation and re-As a statesman, the sagacity of this honest prophet was parrowed by the horizon of his religious views; for he ascribes the whole as 'prepared by Satan 10 the papiets.' But dropping his particular application to the devil and the papiets, honest Richard Baxter is perfectly right in his general principle concerning 'Runipers,''Sans-culottes,'—and 'Radicals.'

### LIFE AND HABITS OF A LITERARY ANTIQUARY—OLDYS AND HIS MAKUSCRPTS.

Such a picture may be furnished by some unexpected materials which my inquiries have obtained of Oldys. This is a sort of personage little known to the wits, who write more than they read, and to their volatile volaries, who only read what the wits write. It is time to vindicate the honours of the few whose laborious days enrich the stores of national literature, not by the duplicates but the supplements of knowledge. A literary antiquary is that idler whose life is passed in a perpetual voyage autour de ma chambre; fervent in sagacious difigence, instinct with the enthusiasm of curious inquiry, critical as well as erudite; he has to arbitrate between contending opinions, to resolve the doubtful, to clear up the obscure, and to grasp at the remote; so busied with other times, and so interested for other persons than those about him, that he becomes the inhabitant of the visionary world of books. He counts only his days by his acquisitions, and may be said by his original discoveries to be the creator of facts; often exciting the gratitude of the literary world, while the very name of the benefactor has not always descended with the inestimable labours.

Such is the man whom we often find, leaving, when he dies, his favourite volumes only an incomplete project! and few of this class of literary men have escaped the fate reserved for most of their brothers. Voluminous works have been usually left unfinished by the death of the authors; and it is with them as with the planting of trees, of which Johnson has forcibly observed, 'There is a frightful interval between the seed and timber.' And he admirably remarks, what I cannot forbear applying to the labours I am now to describe; 'He that calculates the growth of trees has the remembrance of the shortness of life driven hard upon him. He knows that he is doing what will never benefit himself; and when he rejoices to see the stem rise, is disposed to repine that another shall cut it down.' The days of the patriotic Count Mazzuchelli were freely given to his national literature; and six invaluable folios attest the gigantic force of his immense erudition; yet these only carry us through the letters A and B: and though Mazzuchelli had finished for the press other volumes, the torpor of his descendants has defrauded Europe of her claims. The Abbé Goujet, who had designed a classified history of his national literature, in the eighteen volumes we possess, could only conclude that of the trenslators and commence that of the poets; two other volumes in manuscript have perished. That great enterprise of the Benedictines, the 'Histoire Litteraire de la France,' now consists of twelve large quartos, and the industry of its successive writers have only been able to carry it to the twelfth century. David Clement designed the most extensive bibliography which had ever appeared; but the diligent life of the writer could only proceed as far as H. The alphabetical order, which so many writers of this class have adopted, has proved a mortifying memento of human life! Tiraboschi was so fortunate as to complete his great national history of Italian literature. But, unhappily for us, Thomas Warton, after feeling his way through the darker ages of our poetry, in planning the map of the beautiful land, of which he had only a Pisgah-sight, expired amidst his volumes. The most precious portion of Warton's history is but the fragment of a fragment. Oldys, among this brotherhood, has met perhaps with a harder fate; his published works, and the numerous ones to which he contributed, are now highly appreciated by the lovers of books; but the larger portion of his literary labours have met with the sad fortune of dispersed, and probably of wasted manuscripts. Oldys's manuscripts, or e. M. as they are sometimes designated, are constantly referred to by every distinguished writer on our literary history. I believe that not one of them could have given us any positive account of the manuscripts themselves! They have indeed long served as the solitary sources of information—but like the well at the way-side, too many have drawn their waters in silence.

Oldys is chiefly known by the caricature of the facetious Grose, a great humorist, both with pencil and with pen it is in a posthumous scrap-book, where Grose deposited his odds and ends, and where there is perhaps not a single story which is not satirical. Our lively antiquary, who cared more for rusty armour than for rusty volumes, would turn over these flams and quips to some confidential friend, to enjoy together a secret laugh at their literary intimates. His eager executor, who happened to be his book-seller, served up the poignant hash to the public as 'Grose's Olio!' The delineation of Oldys is sufficiently overcharged for 'the nonce.' One prevalent infirmity of honest Oldys, his love of companionship over too social a glass, sends him down to posterity in a grotesque attitude; and Mr Alexander Chalmers, who has given us the fullest account of Oldys, has inflicted on him something like a sermon, on 'a state of intoxication.'

Alas!—Oldys was an outcast of fortune, and the utter simplicity of his heart was guileless as a child's—ever open to the designing. The noble spirit of the Duke of Norfolk once rescued the long-lost historian of Rawleigh from the confinement of the Fleet, where he had existed probably forgotten by the world for six years. It was by an act of grace that the duke safely placed Oldys in the Herald's College as Norroy King of Arms.\* But Oldys, like all shy and retired men, had contracted peculiar habits and close attachments for a few; both these he could indulge at no distance. He liked his old associates in the purlicus of the Fleet, whom he facetiously dignified as 'his Rulers,' and there, as I have heard, with the grotesque whim of a herald, established 'The Dragon Club.' Companionship yields the poor man unpurchased pleasures. Oldys, busied every morning among the departed wits and the learned of our country, reflected some image from them of their wit and learning to his companions: a secret history as yet untold, and ancient wit, which, cleared of the rust, seemed to him brilliant as the modern!

It is hard, however, for a literary antiquary to be caricatured, and for a herald to be ridiculad about an 'unseemly reeling, with the coronet of the Princess Caroline, which looked unsteady on the cushion, to the great scandal of a's brethren.\(^2\) A circumstance which could never have occurred at the burial of a prince or a princess, as the coronet is carried by Clarencieux, and not by Norrcy. Oldys's deep potations of ale, however, give me an opportunity of bestowing on him the honour of being the author of a popular Anacreontic song. Mr Taylor informs me that 'Oldys always asserted that he was the author of the well known song—

'Busy, curious, thirsty fly !

\*Mr John Tavlor, the son of Oldya's intimate friend, has furnished me with this interesting anecdote. 'Oldys, as my tather informed me, was many years in quiet obscurity in the Fleet-prison, but at last was spirited up to make his situation known to the Duke of Norfolk of that time, who received Oldya's letter while he was at dinner with some friends. The duke immediately communicated the contents to the company, observing that he had long been anxious to know what had become of an old, though an humble friend, and was happy by that letter to find that he was alive. He then called for his gentleman (a kind of humble friend whom noblemen used to retain under that name in those days,) and desired him to go immediately to the Fleet, to take money for the immediate need of Oldys, to procure an account of his debta and disharge them. Oldys was, soon after, either by the duke's gift or interest, appointed Norroy King at Arms: and I remember that his official regalia came into my father's hands at his death.'

at his death."

In the Life of Oldys, by Mr A. Chalmers, the date of this promotion is not found. My accomplished friend the Rev J. Dallaway has obligingly examined the records of the collage, by which it appears that Oldys had been Norfolk herald extraordinary, but not belowing to the college, was appointed beer sakum Norroy King of Arms by patent, May 5th, 1755.

and as he was a rigid lover of truth, I doubt not that he wrote it.' My own researches confirm it; I have traced this popular song through a dozen of collections since the year 1740, the first in which I find it. In the later collections an original inscription has been dropped, which the accurate Ritson has restored, without, however, being able to discover the writer. In 1740 it is said to have been 'Made extempore by a gentleman, occasioned by a 8y drinking out of his cup of ale;'—the accustomed porton of poor Oldys!\*

of poor Oldys!\*

Grose, however, though a great joker on the pecularities of Oldys, was far from insensible to the extraordinary acquisitions of the man. 'His knowledge of English books has hardly been exceeded.' Grose too was struck by the delicacy of honour, and the unswerving veracity which so strongly characterised Oldys, of which he gives a remarkable instance. We are concerned in ascertaining the moral integrity of the writer, whose main business is with

history.

At a time when our literary history, excepting in the solitary labour of Anthony Wood, was a forest, with neither road nor pathway, Oldys fortunately placed in the library of the Earl of Oxford, yielded up his entire days to researches concerning the books and the men of the preceding age. His labours were then valueless, their very nature not yet ascertained, and when he opened the treasures of our ancient lore, in 'The British Librariam,' it was closed for want of public encouragement. Our writers then struggling to create an age of genius of their own, forgot that they had had any progenitors; or while they were losing others, to which their posterity or the national genius might return. To know, and to admire only, the literature and the tastes of our own age, is a species of elegant barbarism.† Spenser was considered nearly as obsole.e as Chaucer; Milton was veiled by oblivion, and Shaken speare's dramas were so imperfectly known, that in locking over the play-bills of 1711, and much later, I find that whenever it chanced that they were acted, they were always announced to have been written by Shakspeare.' Massinger was unknown; and Jonson, though called 'immortal' in the old play-bills, lay entombed in his two folios. The poetical era of Elizabeth, the eloquent age of James the First, and the age of wit of Charles the Second, were blanks in our literary history. Bysshe compiling an art of Poetry, in 1718, passed by in his collections 'Spenser and the poets of his age, because their language is now become so obsolete, that most readers of our age have no car for them, and therefore Shakespeare himself is so rarely cited in my collection.' The best English poets were considerated to be the modern; a taste which is always obstinate!

All this was nothing to Oldys; his literary curiousty asticipated by half a century the fervour of the present day. This energetic direction of all his thoughts was sustained by that life of discovery, which in literary researches is starting novelties among old and unremembered things contemplating some ancient tract as precious as a manuscript, or reveiling in the volume of a poet, whose passport of fame was yet delayed in its way; or disinterring

\*The beautiful simplicity of this Anacreoratic has met the unusual fate of entirely losing its character, by an additional and incongruous stanza in the modern editions, by a gentleman who has put into practice the unsillowable liberty of akering the poetical and dramatic compositions of acknowledged genius to his own notion of what he deems 'morality;' but in works of genius whatever is dull ceases to be moral. 'The Fly' of Oldys may stand by 'The Fly' of Oray for melancholy tenderness of thought; k consisted only of these two stanzas:

Busy, curious, thirsty fly!
Drink with me, and drink as I!
Freely welcome to my cup,
Couldst thou sip and sip it up;
Make the meet of life you may;
Life is short and wears away!

Both alike are mine and thine, Hastening quick to their decline! Thine's a summer, mine no more, Though repeated to threescore! Threescore summers when they're gone, Will appear as short as one!

† We have been taught to enjoy the two ages of Genius and of Taste. The literary public are deeply indebted to the editorial care, the taste and the enthusiasm of Mr Singer, for exquisite reprints of some valuable, writers.

the treasure of some secluded manuscript, whence he drew a virgin extract; or raising up a sort of domestic intimacy with the emisent in arms, in politics, and in literature, in this visionary life, life itself with Oldys was insensibly gli-

ding away-its cares almost unfelt!

The life of a literary antiquary partakes of the nature of those who, having no concerns of their own, busy themselves with those of others. Oldys lived in the back-ages of Eugland; he had crept among the dark passages of Time, till, like an old gentleman-usher, he seemed to be reporting the secret history of the courts which he had lived in. He had been charmed among their masques and revels, had eyed with astonishment their cumbrous magnificence, when knights and ladies carried on their mantles and their cloth of gold ten thousand pounds' worth of ropes of pearls, and buttons of diamonds; or, descending to the gay court of the second Charles, he tattled merry tales, as in that of the first he had painfully watched, like a patriot or a loyalist, a distempered era. He had lived so constantly with these people of another age, and had so deeply interested hismself in their affairs, and so loved the wit and the learning which are often bright under the rust of antiquity, that his own uncourtly style is embrowed with the tim of a cessury old. But it was this taste and curiosity which alone could have produced the extraordinary volume of Sir Walter Rawleigh's life; a work richly include the till of the most remote knowledge; to judge by its fulness of narrative, it would seem rather to have been the work of a contemporary.\*

It was an advantage in this primeval era of literary curiosity, that those volumes which are now not even to be found in our national library, where certainly they are perpetually wasted, and which are now so excessively appreciated, were exposed on stalls, through the reigns of Anne and the two Georges. † Oldys encountered no competitor, cased in the invulnerable mail of his pure, to dispute his possession of the rarest volume. On the other hand, our early collector did not possess our advantages; he could not fly for instant aid to a 'Biographia Britannisa,' he had no history of our poetry, nor even of our drama. Oldys could tread in no man's path, for every soil about him was unbroken ground. He had to create every thing for nis purposes. We gather fruit from our trees which others have planted, and too often we but 'pluck and eat.'

Nulla dies sine lines was his sole hope while he was accumulating masses of notes; and as Oldys never used his pen from the weak passion of scribbling, but from the urgency of preserving some substantial knowledge, or planning some future inquiry, he amassed nothing but what he wished to remember. Even the minuter pleasures of settling a date, or classifying a title-page, were enjoyments to his incessant pen. Every thing was acquisition. This never-ending business of research appears to have absorbed his powers, and sometimes to have dulled his conceptions. No one more aptly exercised the fact of discovery; he knew where to feel in the dark: but he was not of the race—that race indeed had not yet appeared among us—who could melt into their Corinthian brass, the mingled treasures of Research, Imagination and Philosophy.

we may be curious to inquire where our literary antiquary deposited the discoveries and curiosities which he was so incessantly acquiring. They were dispersed on many a fly-leaf in occasional memorandum-books; in ample marginal notes on his authors—they were sometimes thrown into what he calls his 'parchment budgets' or 'Baga of Biography—of Botany—of ObiRary,—of 'Books relative to. London' and other titles and bags, which he was severy day fillingr. Sometimes his collections seem to have been intended for a series of volumes, for he refers to 'My first Volume of Tables of the eminent Persons celebrated by English Poets,—to another of 'Poetical Characteristics.' Among those manuscripts which I have seen, I for the property of a wide circuit, under the reference of,' My biographical Institutions. Part third; containing a Catalogue of all the English Lives, with histor-

"Gibbon once meditated a life of Rawleigh, and for that purpose began some researches in that 'memorable era of our English annals' After reading Oldys's, he relinquished his design, from'a conviction that 'he could add nothing new to the subject, except the uncertain merit of style and sentiment.'

•

† It is greatly to be ignerated that the BritishMuseum is extremely deficient in our National Literature. ical and critical Observations on them. 'But will our curious or our whimsical collectors of the present day endury without impatience, the loss of a quarto manuscript, which bears this rich condiment for its title—' Of London Libraries; with Anecdotes of Collectors of Books; Remarks on Booksellers; and on the first Publishers of Catalogues?' Oldys left ample annotations on 'Fuller's Worthies,' and 'Winstanley's Lives of the Poets,' and on 'Langbaine's Dramatic Poets.' The late Mr Boswell showed me a Fuller in the Malone collection, with Steevens's transcription of Oldy's notes, which Malone purchased for 45% at Steevens's sale; but where is the original copy of Oldys? The 'Winstanley,' I think, also reposes in the same collection. The 'Langbaine's far famed, and is preserved in the British Museum, the gift of Dr Birch; it has been considered so precious, that several of our eminent writers have cheerfully passed through the labour of a minute transcription of its numberless notes. In the history of the fate and fortune of books, that of Oldys' Langbains is too crustions to omit. Oldys may tell his own story, which I find in the Museum copy, p. \$39, and which copy appears to be a second attempt; for of the first Langbaine we have this account:

"When I left London, in 1724, to reside in Yorkshire, I left in the care of the Rev. Mr Burridge's family, with whom I had several years lodged, among many other books, goods, \$\textit{\textit{e}}\_0\$ a copy of this Langbaine, in which I had wrote several notes and references to further knowledge of these poets. When I returned to London, 1730, I understood my books had been dispersed; and afterwards becoming acquainted with Mr. T. Coxeter, I found that he had bought my Langbaine of a bookseller who was a great collector of plays and poetical books this must have been of service to him, and he has kept it so carefully from my sight, that I never could have the opportunity of transcribing into this I am now writing in, the Notes I had collected in that."\*

This first Langbaine, with additions by Coxeter, was bought, at the sale of his books, by Theophilus Cibber: on the strength of these notes, he prefixed his name to the first cellection of the 'Lives of our Poets,' which appeared in weekly numbers, and now form five volumes, written chiefly by Shiels, an amanuensis of Dr Johnson. Shiels has been recently castigated by Mr Gifford.

These literary jubbers nowhere distinguish Coxeter's and Oldys's curious matter from their own. Such was the fate of the first copy of Langbaine, with Oldys's notes; but the second is more important. At an auction of some of Oldys's books and manuscripts, of which I have seen a printed catabogue, Dr. Birch purchased this invaluable copy for three shillings and sixpence.† Such was the value attached to these original researches concerning our poets, and of which,

\*At the Bodleian liorary, I learn by a letter with which I am favoured by the Rev Dr Bliss, that there is an interleaved 'Gildon's Lives and Characters of the Dramatic Poets, with corrections, which once belonged to Coxeter, who appears to have intended a new edition. Whether Coxeter transcribed into his Gildon the notes of Oldys's first Langbaine, is worth inquiry. Coxeter's canduct, though he had purchased Oldys's first Langbaine, was that of an ungenerous miser, who will quarrel with a brother, rather than share in any acquisition he can get into his own hands. To Coxeter we also owe much; he suggested Dodsley's Collection of Old Plays, and the first tolerable edition of Massinger.

There is a remarkable word in Oldys's note above. He

There is a remarkable word in Oldya's note above. He could not have been employed in Lord Oxford's library, as Mr Chalmers conjectures, about 1726; for here he mentions that he was in Yorkshire from 1724 to 1730. This period is a remarkable blank in Oldya's life. If he really went to Yorkshire, he departed in sudden haste, for he left all his books at his lodgings; and six years of rustication must have been an intolerable state for a lover of old books. It has sometimes occurred to me, that for Yorkshire we must understand the Fleet. There we know he was; but the circumstance perhaps was so hateful to record, that he preferred to tell it, while writing, for the second time, his Notes on Langbaine; he confesses on his return to his lodgings, that he found that he had lost every thing which he had left there.

lost every thing which he had left there.

† This copy was lent by Dr Birch to the late bishop of Dromore, who with his own hand carefully transcribed the notes into an interleaved copy of Langbaine, divided into four volumes, which, as I am informed, narrowly excepted the fismes, and was injured by the water, at a fire at Northumberland-flouse. His lordship, when he went to Ireland left this copy with Mr Nichols, for the use of the projected editions of the Tatler, the Spectator, and the Guardian, with notes and il lustrations; of which I think the Tatler only has appeared and to which his lordship contributed some valuable communications.

to obtain only a transcript, very large sums have since been cheerfully given. The Museum copy of Langbaine, is in Oldys's hand-writing, not interleaved, but overflowing with notes, written in a very small hand about the margins, and inserted between the lines: nor may the transcriber pass negligently even its corners, otherwise he is here assured that he will lose some useful date, or the hint of some currous reference. The enthusiasm and diligence of Oldys, in undertaking a repetition of his first lost labour, proved to be infinitely greater than the sense of his unrequited labours. Such is the history of the escapes, the changes, and the fate of a volume, which forms the groundwork of the most curious information concerning our elder poets, and to which we must still frequently refer.

In this variety of literary arrangements, which we must consider as single works in a progressive state, or as portions of one great work on our modern literary history, it may, perhaps, be justly suspected that Oldys in the delight of perpetual acquisition, impeded the happier labour of unity of design, and completeness of purpose. He was not a Tiraboschi—nor even a Niceron! He was sometimes chilled by neglect, and by 'vanity and veration of spirit,' else we should not now have to count over a barren list of manuscript works; masses of literary history, of which the

existence is even doubtful.

In Kippis's Biographia Britannica, we find frequent re-ferences to O. M. Oldys's manuscripts. Mr. John Tay-lor, the son of the friend and executor of Oldys, has greatly obliged me with all his recollections of this man of letters; whose pursuits, however, were in no manner analogous to his, and whom he could only have known in youth. By him I learn, that on the death of Oldys, Dr Kippis, editor Biographia Britannica, looked over these manuscripts at Mr. Taylor's house. He had been directed to this discovery by the late Bishop of Dromore, whose active zeal was very remarkable in every enterprise to enlarge our literary history. Kippis was one who, in some degree, might have estimated their literary value; but, employed by commercial men, and negotiating with persons who neither com-prehended their nature, or affixed any value to them, the editor of the Biographia found Oldys's manuscripts an easy purchase for his employer, the late Mr. Cadell; and the twenty guineas, perhaps, served to bury their writer! Mr. Taylor says, 4 The manuscripts of Oldys were not so many as might be expected from so indefatigable a writer. They consisted chiefly of short extracts from books, and minutes of dates, and were thought worth purchasing by the doctor. I remember the manuscripts well; though Oldys was not the author, but rather recorder.' Such is the statement and the opinion of a writer, whose effusions are of a gayer sort. But the researches of Oldys must not be estimated by this standard: with him a single line was the result of would supply more original knowledge than some octavos, fashioned out by the hastly gilders and varnishers of modern literature. These discoveries occupy small space to the eye; but large works are composed out of them. This very lot of Oldys's manuscripts was, indeed, so con-This very lot of Oldyn's manuscripts was, indeed, so considerable to the judgment of Kippis, that he has described them as 'a large and useful body of biographical materials, left by Mr. Oldys.' Were these the 'Biographical Instituted' Oldys refers to among his manuscripts? 'The late Mr. Malone,' continues Mr. Taylor, 'told me that he had seen all Oldys's manuscripts; so I presume they are in the hands of Cadell and Davies; Have they met with the fate of sucked oranges ?--and how much of Malone may we nwe to Oldys?

This information enabled me to trace the manuscripts of Oldys to Dr. Kippis; but it cast me among the booksellers, who do not value manuscripts which no one can print. I discovered, by the late Mr. Davies, that the direction of that hapless work in our literary history, with its whole treasure of manuscripts, had been consigned, by Mr. Cadell, to the late George Robinson: and that the successor of Dr. Kippis had been the late Dr. George Gregory. Again I repeat, the history of voluminous works is a mediancholy office; every one concerned with them no longer can be found! The esteemed relic of Doctor Gregory, with a friendly promptitude, gratified my anxious inquiries, and miformed me, that 'She perfectly recodects a mass of papers, such as I described, being returned, on the death of Dr. Gregory, to the house of Wilkie and Robinson, in the early part of the year 1809.' I applied to this house, who, after some time, referred me

to Mr. John Robinson, the representative of his late father, and with whom all the papers of the former partnership were deposited. But Mr. John Robinson has terminated my inquiries, by his civility in promising to comply with them, and his pertinacity in not doing so. He may have injured his own interest in not trading with my curiosity.\* It was fortunate for the nation, that George Vertue's mass of manuscripts escaped the fate of Oldys's had the possessor proved as indolent, Horace Walpole would not have been the writer of his most valuable work, and we should have lost the 'Ancedotes of Painting,' of which Vertue had collected the materials.

Of a life consumed in such literary activity we should have known more had the Diaries of Oldys escaped destruction. 'One babit of my father's old friend, William Oldyn,' says Mr Taylor, 'was that of keeping a diary, and recording in it every day all the events that occurred, and all his engagements, and the employment of his time. I have seen piles of these books, but know not what became of them.' The existence of such diaries is confirmed by a sale catalogue of Thomas Davies, the literary bookseller, who sold many of the books and some manuscripts of Oldys, which appears to have been dispersed in various libraries. I find Lot '6827, Mr Oldys's Diary, containing several observations relating to books, characters &c.;' a single volume, which appears to have separated from the 'piles' which Mr Taylor once witnessed. The literary diary of Oldys would have exhibited the mode of his pursuits, and the results of his discoveries. One of these volumes I have fortunately discovered, and a singularity in this writer's feelings throws a new ingerest over such diurnal records. Oldys was apt to give utterance with his pen to his most secret emotions. Querulous or indignant, his honest simplicity confided to the paper before him such extemporaneous soliloquies, and I have found him hiding in the very corners of hie manuscripts his 'secret corrows.'

A few of these sight memorials of his feelings will exhibit a sort of Silhouette likeness traced by his own hand, when at times the pensive man seems to have contemplated his own shadow. Oldys would throw down in verses, whose humility or quaintness indicates their origin, or by some pithy adage, or apt quotation, or recording aneodote, his self-advice, or his self-regrets!

Oppressed by a sense of tasks so unprofitable to himself,

Oppressed by a sense of tasks so unprofitable to himself, while his days were often passed in trouble and in prison; he breathes a self-reproach in one of these profound reflections of melancholy which so often startle the man of study, who truly discovers that life is too limited to acquire real knowledge, with the ambition of dispensing it to the world.

'I say, who too long in these cobwebs lurks, is always whetting tools, but never works.'

In one of the corners of his note-books I find this curious but sad reflection:—

'Alas! this is but the apron of a fig-leaf—but the curtain of a

Sometimes he seems to have anticipated the fate of that obscure diligence, which was pursuing discoveries reserved for others to use.

'He heapeth up riches, and knoweth not who shall gather them.'

'Fond treasurer of these stores, behold thy fats In Psalm the thirty-ninth, 6, 7, and 8.'

Sometimes he checks the eager ardour of his pen, and reminds himself of its repose, in Latin, Italian, and English.

— Non vi, sed sarpe cadendo.
Assai presto si fa quel che si fa bene.
'Some respite best recovers what we need,
Discreetly baiting gives the journey speed.'

There was a thoughtless kindness in honest Oldys; and his simplicity of character, as I have observed, was practised on by the artful or the ungenerous. We regret to

\*I know that not only this lot of Oldys's manuscript, but a great quantity of original contributions of whole lives, hitended for the Biographia Britannica, must lie together, unless they have been destroyed as waste-paper. These biographical and literary curvosities were often supplied by the families or friends of eminent persons. Some may, perhaps have been reclaimed by their owners. I am informed there was among them an interesting collection of the correspondence of Locke; and I could mention several lives which were prepared.

find the following entry concerning the famous collector,

'I gave above threescore letters of Dr Davenant to his son, who was eavoy at Frankfort in 1703 to 1708, to Mr James West,\* with one hundred and fifty more, about Christmas, 1746: but the same fate they found as grain that is sowed in barren ground.'

Such is the plaintive record by which Oldys relieved himself of a groan! We may smile at the simplicity of the following narrative, where poor Oldys received manuscripts in heu of money!

'Old Counsellor Fane, of Colchester, who, in forms passperis, deceived me of a good sum of money which be owed me, and not long after set up his chariot, gave me a parcel of manuscripts, and promised me others, which he never gave me, nor any thing else, besides a barrel of oysters, and a manuscript copy of Randolph's poems, an original, as he said, with many additions, being devolved to him as the author's relation.'

There was no end to his aids and contributions to every author or bookseller who applied to him; yet he had reason to complain of both while they were using his invaluable, but not valued, knowledge. Here is one of these diurnal entries:

'I lent the tragical lives and deaths of the famous pirates, Ward and Dansiker, 4to, Loadon, 1612, by Robt. Daborn, alias Dabourne, to Mr T. Lediard, when he was writing his naval History, and he never returned it. See Howel's Letters of them.'

In another, when his friend T. Hayward was collecting, for his ' British Muse,' the most exquisite common-places of our old English dramatists, a compilation which must not be confounded with ordinary ones, Oldys not only assisted in the labour, but drew up a curious introduction, with a knowledge and love of the subject which none but himself possessed. But so little were these researches then understood, that we find Oldys, in a moment of vexatious recollection, and is a corner of one of the margins of his Langbaine, accidentally preserving an extraordinary circumstance attending this curious dissertation. Oldys having completed this elaborate introduction, ' the penurious publisher insisted on leaving out one third part, which happened to be the best matter in it, because he would have it contracted into one sheet? Poor Oldys never could forget the fate of this elaborate Dissertation on all the Collections of English poetry; I am confident that I have seen some volume which was formerly Oldys's, and afterwards Thomas Warton's, in the possession of my intelligent friend Mr Douce, in the fly-leaf of which Oldys has expressed himself in these words :- 'In my historical and critical review of all the collections of this kind, it would have made a sheet and a half or two sheets; but they for sordid gain, and to save a little expense in print and paper, got Mr John Campbell to cross it and cramp it, and play the devil with it, till they equeezed it into less com-pass than a sheet. This is a loss which we may never re-cover. The curious book-knowledge of this singular man of letters, those stores of which he was the food treasurer, as he says with such tenderness for his pursuits, were always ready to be cast into the forms of a dissertation or an introduction; and when Morgan published his Collection of rare Tracts, the friendly hand of Oldys furnished A Dissertation upon Pamphlets, in a Letter to a Nobleman : probably the Earl of Oxford, a great literary curiosity; and in the Harleian Collection he has given a Catalogue Raisonnes of six hundred. When Mrs Cooper attempted The Muse's Library, the first essay which influenced the national taste to return to our deserted poets in our most poetical age, it was Oldys who only could have enabled this lady to perform that task so well. When Curl, the publisher, to help out one of his hasty compilatious, a 'History of the Stage,' repaired, like all the world, to Oldys, whose kindness could not resist the importumity of this busy publisher, he gave him a life of Nell Gwyn; while at the same moment Oldys could not avoid noticing, in one of his usual entries, an intended work on the stage, which we seem never to have had, Dick Leveridge's His-

\* This collection, and probably the other letters, have come down to us, no doubt, with the manuscripts of this collector, purchased for the British Museum. The correspondence of Dr Davenant, the political writer, with his son, the envoy, turne on one perpetual topic, his sons and his own advancement in the state.

tory of the Stage and Actors in his own Time, for these forty or fifty years past, as he told me he had composed, is hisely to prove, whenever it shall appear, a more perfect work.' I might proceed with many similar gratuitous contributions with which he assisted his contemporaries. Oldys should have been constituted the reader for the nation. His compter rendus of books and manuscripts are still held precious; but his nasful and curious talent had sought the public patronage in vain! From one of his 'Diaries,' which had escaped destruction, I transcribe some interesting passages ad verbum.

The reader is here presented with a minute picture of those invisible occupations which pass in the study of a man of letters. There are those who may be surprised, as well as amused, in discovering how all the business, even to the very disappointments and pleasures of active life, can be transferred to the silent chamber of a recluse student; but there are others who will not read without emotion to the secret thoughts of him, who, loving literature with its purest passion, scarcely repines at being defrauded of his just tame, and leaves his stores for the after-age of his more gifted heirs. Thus we open one o

Oldys's literary days:

'I was informed this day by Mr Tho. Odell's daughter, that her father, who was deputy-inspector and licenser of the plays, died 24 May, 1749, at his house in Chappel-street, Westminster, aged 58 years. He was writing a history of the characters he had observed, and conferences he had had with many eminent persons he knew in his time. He was a great observator of every thing curious in the conversations of his acquaintance, and his own conversation was a living chronicle of the remarkable intrigues, adventures, savings, stories, writings, &c, of many of the quality, poets and other authors, players, booksellers, &c, who flourished especially in the present century. Had been a popular man at elections, and scmetime master of the playhouse in Goodman's Fields, but latterly was forced to live reserved and retired by reason of his debts. He published two or three dramatic pieces, one was the Patron, on the story of Lord Romney.

Q. of his da. to restore me Eustace Budgell's pa-

pers, and to get a sight of her father's.
'Have got the one, and seen the other.

'July 31.—Was at Mrs Odell's; she returned me Mr Budgell's papers. Saw some of her husband's papers, mostly poems in the favour of the ministry, and against Mr. Pope. One of them, printed by the late Sir Robert Walpole's encouragement, who gave him ten guineas for writing, and as much for the expense of printing it; but through his advice it was never published, because it riight hurt his interest with Lord Chesterfield, and some other noblemen, who favoured Mr Pope for his fine genish. The tract I liked best of his writings was the history of his play-house in Goodman's Fields. (Remember that which was published against that play-house, which I have entered in my London Catalogue. Letter to Sir Ric-Brocas, lord mayor, &c, 8vo. 1730.)

Saw nothing of the history of his conversations with ingenious men; his characters, tales, jests, and intrigues of them, of which no man was better furnished with them. She thinks she has some papers of these, and promises to look them out, and also to inquire after Mr Griffin of the lord chamberlain's office, that I may get a search made

about Spencer.'

So intent was Oldys on these literary researches, that we see, by the last words of this entry, how in hunting after one sort of game, his undivided zeal kept its eye on another. One of his favourite subjects was realizing of original discoveries respecting Spenser and Shakespeare; of whom, perhaps, to our shame, as it is to our exation, it may be said that two of our master-poets are those of whom we know the least! Oldys once flattered hiswelf that he should be able to have given the world a life, of Shakespeare. Mr John Taylor informs me, that 'Oldys had contracted to supply ten years of the life of Shakespeare whomen to the biographers, with one Walker, a bookself in the Strand; and as Oldys did not live to fulfil the engagement, my father was obliged to return to Walker twenty guiness which he had advanced on the work.' That interesting nearmine is now hopeless for us. Yet, by the solemn contract into which Oldys had entered, and from his strict integrity, it might in her the strength of the search and and made positive discoveries which are now irrecoverable.

We may observe the manner of his anxious inquiries

about Spenser.

Ask Sir Peter Thompson if it were improper to try if Lord Effingham Howard would procure the pedigrees in the Heralds' office, to be seen for Edward Spenser's pa-rentage or family? or how he was related to Sir John Spenser of Althorpe, in Northamptonshire? to three of whose daughters, who all married nobility, Spenser dedicates three

'Of Mr Vertue, to examine Stowe's memorandum-book. Look more carefully for the year when Spenser's monu-ment was raised, or between which years the entry stands -1623 and 1626.

Sir Clement Cottrell's book about Spenser.

'Capt' Power, to know if he has heard from Capt. Spenser about my letter of inquiries relating to Edward Spenser.

Of Whiston, to examine if my remarks on Spenser are

complete as to the press .-- Yes.

Remember when I see Mr W. Thomson, to inquire whether he has printed in any of his works any character of our old poets than those of Spenser and Shakespeare;\*
and to get the liberty of a visit at Kentish Town, to see his Collection of Robert Green's Works, in about four large vo-lumes in quarto. He commonly published a pamphlet every term, as his acquamtance Tom Nash informs us.

· Two or three other memoranda may excite a smile at his peculiar habits of study, and unceasing vigilance to draw from original sources of information.

Dryden's dream at Lord Except, at Burleigh, while he was translating Virgil, as Signior Verrio, then painting there, related it to the Yorkshire painter, of whom I had it, lies in the parchment book in quarto, designed for his life."

At a subsequent period Oldys inserts, 'Now entered therein.' Malone quotes this very memorandum, which he discovered in Oldys' Langbains, to show that Dryden had some confidence in Oneirocriticism, and supposed that future events were sometimes prognosticated by dearns. future events were sometimes prognosticated by dreams. Malone adds, 'Where either the loose prophetic leaf, or the parchment book now is, I know not.'

Unquestionably we have incurred a great loss of Oldys's collection for Dryden's life, which were very extensive; such a mass of literary history cannot have perished un-less by accident; and I suspect that many of Oldys's manuscripts are in the possession of individuals who are not acquainted with his hand-writing, which may be easily

'To search the old papers in one of my large deal boxes for Dryden's letter of thanks to my father, for some communication relating to Plutarch, while they and others were publishing a translation of Plutarch's Lives, in five volumes, 8vo, 1683. It is copied in the yellow book for Dryden's Life, in which there are about 150 transcriptions in process and verse valating to the life, character, and in prose and verse, relating to the life, character, and writings of Mr. Dryden.'—Is England's Remembrancer extracted out of my sbit. (obituary) into my remarks on him in the poetical bag?

'My extracts in the purchment budget about Denham's

seat and family in Surrey.

'My white vellum pocket-book, bordered with gold, for the extracts from "Groans of Great Britain" about Butler."

'See my account of the great yews in Tankersley's park while Sir R. Fanshaw was prisoner in the lodge there; especially Talbot's yew, which a man on horse-back might turn about in, in my botsuical budget.'

'This Donald Lupton I have mentioned in my catalogue of all the books and pamphlets relative to London in folio, begun anno 1740, and which I have now, 1746, entered between 500 and 400 articles, besides remarks, \$\phi\_c\$. Now, in June, 1748, between 400 and 500 articles. Now, in June, 1748, between 400 and 500 articles. October, 1750, six hundred and thirty-six.'\*

\*William Thompson, the poet of 'Sickness,' and other poems; a warm lover of elder bards, and no vulgar imitator poems; a warm lover of elder bards, and no vulgar imitator of Spenser. He was the reviver of Bishop Hall's Satires, in 1783, by an edition which had been more fortunate if conducted by his friend Oldys, for the text is unfathful, though the edition followed was one borrowed from Lord Oxford's library, probably by the aid of Oldys.

† Malone's Life of Dryden, p. 430.

‡ Thie is one of Oldys's manuscripts; a thick folio of titles, which has been made to do its duty, with small thanks from those who did not care to praise the service which they derived from k. It passed from Dr Berkenhout to George Steevens, who lent k to Gough. It was sold for five guineas. The

There remains to be told an anecdote, which shows that Pope greatly regarded our literary antiquary. 'Oldya,' says my friend, 'was one of the librarians of the Earl of Oxford, and he used to tell a story of the credit which he obtained as a scholar, by setting Pope right in a Latin quotation, which he made at the earl's table. He did not, however, as I remember, boast of having been admitted Why might not Oldys, however, have been seated, at least, below the sait! It would do no honour to either least, below the sait: It would do no honour to either party to suppose that Oldys stood among the menials. The truth is, there appears to have existed a confidential intercourse between Pope and Oldys; and of this I shall give a remarkable proof. In those fragments of Oldys preserved as 'additional anecdotes of Shakespeare,' in Steevens' and Malone's editions, Oldys mentions a story of Davenant, which he adds, 'Mr. Pope told me at the Earl of Oxford's table!' And further relates a conversation which passed between them. Now is this all, 'Grin tion which passed between them. Nor is this all; for in Oldys's Langbaine he put down this memorandum in the article of Shakespeare—'Remember what I observed to my Lord Oxford for Mr. Pope's use out of Cowley's preface.' Malone appears to have discovered this observation of Cowley's which is memoranded and face. Malone appears to have discovered this observation of Cowley's, which is curious enough and very usgrateful to that commentator's ideas; it is 'to prune and
lop away the old withered branches' in the new editions of
Shakespeare and other ancient poets! 'Pope adopted,'
says Malone, 'this very unwarrantable idea; Oldys was
the person who suggested to Pope the singular course he
pursued in his edition of Shakespeare.' Without touching on the felicity or the danger of this new system of republishing Shakespeare, one may say that if many pasages were struck out, Shakespeare would not be injured,
for many of them were never composed by that great bard!
There not only existed a literary intimacy between Oldys There not only existed a literary intimacy between Oldys and Pope, but our poet adopting his suggestions on so important an occasion, evinces how highly he esteemed his judgment; and unquestionably Pope had often been delighted by Oldys with the history of his predecessors, and

the curiosities of English poetry.

I have now introduced the reader to Oldys siting amidst his 'poetical bags,' his 'parchment biographical budgets,' his 'catalogues,' and his 'diaries,' offen vent-

ing a solitary groan, or active in some fresh inquiry. Such is the Silhouette of this prodigy of literary curiosity!

The very existence of Oldys's manuscripts continues to be of an ambiguous nature, referred to, quoted, and transcribed, we can but seldom turn to the originals. These masses of curious knowledge, dispersed or lost, have enriched an after-race, who have often picked up the spoil and claimed the victory, but it was Oldys who had fought the battle!

Oldys affords one more example how life is often closed amidst discoveries and acquisitions. The literary antiquary, when he has attempted to embody his multiplied inquiries, and to finish his scattered designs, has found that the labor absque labore, 'the labour void of labour,' as the inscription on the library of Florence finely describes the researches of literatures has discaland his dang in the the researches of literature, has dissolved his days in the voluntuousness of his curiosity; and that too often, like the hunter in the heat of the chase, while he disdained the prey which lay before him, he was still stretching onwards to catch the fugitive!

Transvolat in medio posita, et fugientis captal.

At the close of every century, in this growing world of books, may an Oldys be the reader for the nation! Should be be endowed with a philosophical spirit, and combine the genius of his own times with that of the preceding, he will hold in his hand the chain of human thoughts, and, like another Bayle, become the historian of the human

mind:
useful work of ten years of attention given to it! The antiquary Gough alludes to it with his usual discernment. 'Among
these titles of books and pamphiets about London are many
purely historical, and many of too low a kind to rank useful
the head of topography and history.' Thus the design of
Oldys in forming this elaborate collection, is condemned by
trying it by the limited object of the topographe's view. This
catalogue remains a disideratum, were it prinad estire as collected by Oldys, not merely for the topography of the metropolit, but for its relation to its manners, domestic assals,
events, and persons connected with its history.

### CONTENTS OF THE SECOND SERIES

| Po   | Re          |  | Page           |
|--|-------------|--|----------------|
| Modern Literature—Bayle's Critical Dictionary, . 2 | 27          | The Italian Historians,                            | 314            |
|  | 28          | Of Palaces built by Ministers,                     | 316            |
|  | :30         | 'Taxation no Tyranny,'                             | 318            |
|  | 31          | The Book of Death,                                 | 320            |
|  | 38          | The History of the Skeleton of Death,              | 322            |
|  | 35          | The Rival Biographers of Heylin,                   | 324            |
|  | 37          | Of Lenglet du Fresnoy,                             | 325            |
|  | 38          | The Dictionary of Trevoux,                         | 327            |
|  | 41          | Quadrio's Account of English Poetry,               | 328            |
|  | 42          | Political Religionism,                             | 330            |
|  | 45          | Toleration,  | 331            |
|  | 47          | Apology for the Parisian Massacre,                 | 334            |
|  | 48          | Prediction,  | 335            |
|  | 250         | Dreams at the Dawn of Philosophy,                  | 340            |
| On the Ridiculous Titles assumed by the Italian    |             | On Puck the Commentator,                           | 344            |
|  | 252         | Literary Forgeries,                                | 346            |
|  | 255         | On Literary Filchers,                              | 349            |
|  | 256         | Of Lord Bacon at Home,                             | 350            |
|  | 257         | Secret History of the Death of Queen Elizabeth, .  | 352            |
|  | 257         | James the First, as a Father and Husband, .        | 354            |
|  | 262         | The Man of One Book,                               | 355            |
|  | <b>16</b> 5 | A Bibliognoste,                                    | 356            |
| Secret History of Authors who have ruined their    |             | Secret History of an Elective Monarchy-A Poli-     |                |
|  | 165         | tical Sketch,                                      | 357            |
|  | 269         | Buildings in the Metropolis, and Residence in the  |                |
|  | 270         | Country,   | . 361          |
| Of Des Maizeaux, and the Secret History of Antho-  |             | Royal Proclamations,                               | 364            |
|  | 272         | True Sources of Secret History,                    | 366            |
|  | 275         | Literary Residences,                               | 369            |
|  | 277         | Whether allowable to Ruin Oneself,                 | 371            |
|  | 285         | Discoveries of Secluded Men,                       | 379            |
|  | 290         | Sentimental Biography,                             | 374            |
| The Domestic Life of a Poet—Shenstone vindica-     |             | Literary Parallels, .                              | 377            |
|  | 292         | The Pearl Bibles and Six Thousand Errata,          | 378            |
|  | 295         | View of a Particular Period of the State of Reli-  |                |
|  | 297         | gion in our Civil Wars,                            | 579            |
| An Authentic Narrative of the last hours of Sir    | -07         | Of Buckingham's Political Coquetry with the Puri-  |                |
|  | <b>3</b> 01 | tans,  | 382            |
| Literary Unions, Secret History of Rawleigh's      |             | Sir Edward Coke's exceptions against the High      | 385            |
|  | 302         | Sheriff's Oath,                                    | 363            |
|  | 304         | Secret History of Charles the First, and his First | -              |
|  | 305         | Parliaments,                                       | . 385<br>. 392 |
|  | 306         | The Rump,  | . 354          |
|  | <b>3</b> 07 | Life and Habits of a Literary Antiquary—Oldys      | 394            |
|  | 310         | and his MSS,                                       | 346            |
| The History of Writing-Masters,                    | 311         |  |                |

### THE

## LITERARY CHARACTER.

ILLUSTRATED

of the

# HISTORY OF MEN OF GENIUS.

DRAWN FROM THEIR OWN FEELINGS AND CONFESSIONS.

### PREFACE.

I Published, in 1795, "an Essay on the Literary Character;" to my own habitual and inherent defects, were superadded those of my youth; the crude production was, however, not ill received, for the edition disappeared; and the subject was found to be more interesting than the writer.

During the long interval which has elapsed since the first publication, the little volume was often recalled to my recollection, by several, and by some who have since obtained celebrity; they imagined that their attachment to literary pursuits had been strengthened even by so weak an ef-An extraordinary circumstance has occurred with these opinions; a copy which has accidentally fallen into my hands, formerly belonged to the great poetical genius of our times; and the singular fact that it was twice read by him in two subsequent years, at Athens, in 1810 and 1811, instantly convinced me that the volume deserved my attention. I tell this fact assuredly, not from any little vanity which it may appear to betray. for the truth is, were I not as liberal and as candid in respect to my own productions, as I hope I am to others, I could not have been gratified by the present circumstance; for the marginal notes of the noble writer convey no flattery—but amidst their pungency and sometimes their truth, the circumstance that a man of genius could, and did read, this slight effusion at two different periods of his life, was a sufficient authority, at least for an author, to return it once more to the anvil; more knowledge, and more maturity of thought, I may hope, will now fill up the rude sketch of my youth; its radical defects, those which are inherent in every author, it were unwise for me to hope to remove by suspending the work to a more remote period.

It may be thought that men of genius only should write on men of genius; as if it were necessary that the physician should be infected with the disease of his patient. He is only an observer, like Sydenham who confined himself to vigilant observation, and the continued experience of tracing the progress of actual cases (and in his department, but not in mine) in the operation of actual remedies. He beautifully says—"Whoever describes a violet exactly as to its colour, taste, smell, form, and other properties, will find the description agree in most particulars with all the fiolets in the universe."

Nor do I presume to be any thing more than the historian of genius; whose humble office is only to tell the virtues and the infirmities of his Digitized by

### PREFACE

neroes. It is the fashion of the present day to raise up dazzling theories of genius; to reason a priori; to promulgate abstract paradoxes; to treat with levity the man of genius, because he is only a man of genius. I have sought for facts, and have often drawn results unsuspected by myself, I have looked into literary history for the literary character. I have always had in my mind an observation of Lord Bolingbroke: "Abstract, or general propositions, though never so true, appear obscure or doubtful to us very often till they are explained by examples; when examples are pointed out to us, there is a kind of appeal, with which we are flattered, made to our senses, as well as to our understandings. The instruction comes then from our authority; we yield to fact when we resist speculation." This will be truth long after the encyclopedic geniuses of the present age, who write on all subjects, and with most spirit on those they know least about, shall have passed away; and time shall extricate truth from the deadly embrace of sophistry.

# THE LITERARY CHARACTER, &c.

#### CHAPTER I.

#### OF LITERARY CHARACTERS.

SINCE the discovery of that art which multiplies at will the productions of the human intellect, and spreads them over the universe in the consequent formation of libraries, a class or order of men has arisen, who appear throughout Europe to have derived a generic title in that of literary characters; a denomination which, however vague, defines the pursuits of the individual, and serves, at times, to separate him from other professions.

Formed by the same habits, and influenced by the same

motives, notwithstanding the difference of talents and tempers, the opposition of times and places, they have always preserved among themselves the most striking family re-semblance. The literary character, from the objects in which it concerns itself, is of a more independent and permanent nature than those which are perpetually modified by the change of manners, and are more distinctly nation-al. Could we describe the medical, the commercial, or al. Could we describe the medical, the commercial, or the legal character of other ages, this portrait of antiquity would be like a perished picture; the subject itself would have altered its position in the revolutions of society. It is not so with the hierary character. The passion for study; the delight in books; the desire of solitude and celebrity; the obstructions of life; the nature of their habits and pursuits; the triumphs and the disappointments of literary glory; all these are as truly described by Cicero and the younger Pliny, as by Petrarch and Erasmus, and as they have been by Hume and Gibbon. The passion for collecting together the treasures of literature and the miracles of art, was as insatiable a thirst in Atticus as in the French art, was as insuance a thirst in Atticus as in the French Peirosc, and in our Cracherodes and Townleys. We trace the feelings of our literary contemporaries in all ages, and every people who have deserved to rank among polish-ed nations. Such were those literary characters who have stamped the images of their minds on their works, and that other race, who preserve the circulation of this intellectual coinage;

### -Gold of the Dead, Which Time does still disperse, but not deveur. D'Avenant's Gondibert, c. v. s. 38.

These literary characters now constitute an important the secret links of congenial pursuits, and combining often insensibly to themselves in the same common labours. At London, at Paris, and even at Madrid, these men feel the same thirst, which is allayed at the same ountains; the same authors are read, and the same opinions are formed.

> Contemporains de tous les hommes, Et citoyens de tous les lieux.

. De la Mothe.

Thus an invisible brotherhood is existing among us, and those who stand connected with it are not always sensible of this kindred alliance. Once the world was made uneasy by rumours of the existence of a society, founded by that extraordinary German, Rosicrucius, designed for the search of truth and the reformation of the sciences. Its statutes were yet but partially promulgated but many a great princi-ple in morals, many a result of science in the concentrated form of an axiom; and every excellent work which suited the views of the author to preserve anonymous, were myste-

riously traced to the president of the Rosicrucians, and not only the society became celebrated, but abused. Descar-tes, when in Germany, gave himself much trouble to track out the society, that he might consult the great searcher after Truth, but in vain! It did not occur to the young reafter 1 run, but it was 1. It does not occur to the young reformer of science in this visionary pursuit, that every philosophical inquirer was a brother, and that the extraordinary and mysterious personage, was indeed himself! for a genius of the first order is always the founder of a society, and, wherever he may be, the brotherhood will delight to

acknowledge their master.

These Literary Characters are partially described by Johnson, not without a melancholy colouring. 'To talk in private, to think in solitude, to inquire or to answer inquiries, is the business of a scholar. He wanders about the world without pomp or terror, and is neither known nor valued but by men like himself.' But eminent Genius ac-complishes a more ample design. He belongs to the world compined as to a nation; even the great writer himself, at that moment, was not conscious that he was devoting his days to cast the minds o his own contemporaries, and of the next age, in the mighty mould of his own, for he was of that order of men whose individual genius often becomes that of a people. A prouder conception rose in the ma-jestic mind of Milton, of 'that lasting fame and perpetuity of praise, which God and good men have consented shall be the reward of those whose published labours advance the good of mankind.

good of manking.

Literature has in all ages, encountered adversaries from causes sufficiently obvious; but other pursuits have been rasely liable to discover enemies among their own votaries. Yet many literary men openly, or insidiously, would lower the Literary character, are eager to confuse the ranks in the republic of letters, wanting the virtue which knows to make the ranks to Confuse the table to Confuse the they makinguist confer the pay its tribute to Cossar: while they maliciously confer the character of author on that "Ten Thousand," whose recent list is not so much a muster roll of heroes, as a table

of population.\*
We may allow the political economist to suppose that an author is the manufacturer of a certain ware for " a very pairy recompense," as their seer Adam Smith has calculated. It is useless to talk to people who have nothing but millions in their imagination, and whose choicest works of art are spinning jennies; whose principle of 'labour' would have all men alike die in harness; or, in their carpentry of human nature, would convert them into wheels and screws, to work the perplexed movements of that ideal machinery called 'capital'—these may reasonably doubt of 'the utility' of this 'unproductive' race. Their heated heads and temperate hearts may satisfy themselves that the transfer of may satisfy the property area of may satisfy the property area of may satisfy the property area of may satisfy the property area. that unprosperous race of men, called men of letters, in a system of political economy, must necessarily occupy in a system of political economy, must necessarily occupy their present state in society, much as formerly when 'a scholar and a beggar seem to have been terms very nearly synonimous.'† But whenever the political economists shall feel,—a calculation of time which who would dare to furnish them with ?—that the happiness and prosperity of a people include something more permanent and more evident than 'the wealth of a nation,' they may form another notion of the literary character. another notion of the literary character.

A more formidable class of ingenious men who derived their reputation and even their fortune in life from their literary character, yet are cold and heartless to the inter-

\* See a recent biographical account of ten thousand authors. † Wealth of Nations, v. I, p. 189

-men who have reached their summit and reject the ladder: for those who have once placed themselves high, feel a sudden abhorrence of climbing. These have risen through the gradations of politics into office, and in that Busy world view every thing in a cloud of passions and politics;—they who once commanded us by their eloquence would now drive us by the single force of despotism; like Adrian VI, who obtaining the Pontificate as the reward of his studies, yet possessed of the Tiara, persecuted students; he dreaded, say the Italians, lest his brothers might shake the Pontificate itself. It fares worse with authors when minds of this cast become the arbiters of the public opinion; when the literary character is first systematically degraded and then sported with, as elephants are made to dance on hot iron; or the bird plucked of its living feathers is exhibited as a new sort of creature to invite the passengers! Whatever such critics may plead to mortify the vanity of authors, at least it requires as much to give effect to their own polished effrontery. Lower the high self-reverence, the lofty conception of Genius, and you deprive it of the consciousness of its powers with the delightfulness of its character; in the blow you give the musical instrument, the invisible soul of its tone is for ever lost.

A lighter class reduce literature to a mere curious amusement; a great work is likened to a skilful game of billiards, or a piece of music finely executed—and curious researches, to charade making and Chinese puzzles. An author with them is an idler who will not be idle, amusing, or fatiguing others, who are completely so. We have been told that a great genius should not therefore 'ever allow himself to be sensible to his own celebrity, nor deem his pursuits of much consequence however important or successful.' Catholic doctrine to mortify an author into a saint; Lent all the year, and self-flagellation every day! This new principle, which no man in his senses would contend with, had been useful to Buffon and Gibbon, to Voltaire and Pope,—who assuredly were too 'sensible to their celebrity, and desemed their pursuits of much consequence,' particularly when 'important and successful.' But this point may be adjusted when we come to examine the importance of an author, and the privilege he may possess of a little anticipating the public, in his self-praise.

Such are the domestic treasons of the literary character

Such are the domestic treasons of the literary character against literature—'et tu, Brute!"—but a hero of literature falls not though struck at; he outlives his assassins—and might address them in that language of poetry and tenderness with which a Mexican king reproached his traitorous counsellors: "You were the feathers of my wings, and the evelids of my eyes."

Every class of men in society have their peculiar sorrows and enjoyments, as they have their habits and their characteristics. In the history of men of genius, we may often open the secret story of their minds; they have, above others, the privilege of communicating their own feelings, and it is their talent to interest us, whether with their pen they talk of themselves, or paint others.

In the history of men of genius let us not neglect those who have devoted themselves to the cultivation of the fine arts; with them genius is alike insulated in their studies; they pass through the same permanent discipline. The histories of literature and art have parallel epochs; and certain artists recemble certain authors. Hence Milton, Michael Angelo, and Handel! One principle unites the intellectual arts, for in one principle they originate, and thus it has happened that the same habits and feelings, and the same fortunes have accompanied men who have sometimes, unhappily, imagined that their pursuits were not analogous. In the 'world of ear and eye,' the poet, the painter, and the musician are kindled by the same in-spiration. Thus all is Art and all are artists! This apspiration. proximation of men apparently of opposite pursuits is so natural, that when Gesner, in his inspiring letter on landscape-painting, recommends to the young painter a constant study of poetry and literature, the impatient artist is made to exclaim, 'Must we combine with so many other atudies those which belong to literary men? Must we read as well as paint? 'It is uscless to reply to this question,' says Gesner, 'for some important truths must be instinctively felt, perhaps the fundamental ones in the arts.' A truly imaginative artist, whose enthusiasm was never absent when he meditated on the art he loved, Barry, thus vehemently broke forth—"Go home from the Academy; light up your lamps, and exercise yourselves in the creative part of your art, with Homer, with Livy; and all the great characters, ancient and modern, for your companions and counsellors.'

Every life of a man of genius, composed by himself, presents us with the experimental philosophy of the mind. By living with their brothers, and contemplating on their masters, they will judge from consciousness less erroneously than from discussion; and in forming comparative views and parallel situations, they will discover certain habits and feelings, and find these reflected in themselves.

### CHAPTER II.

#### YOUTH OF GENIUS.

Genius, that creative part of art which individualises the artist, belonging to him and to no other,—is it an meherent faculty in the constitutional dispositions of the individual, or can it be formed by the patient acquisitions of art?

Many sources of genius have indeed been laid open to us, but if these may sometimes call it forth, have bey ever supplied its wants? Could Spenser have struck out a poet in Cowley, Richardson a painter in Reynolds, and Descartes a metaphysician in Mallebranche, had they not borne that vital germ of nature, which, when endowed with its force, is always developing itself to a particular character of genius? The accidents related of these men have occurred to a thousand, who have run the same career; but how does it happen, that the multitude remain a melting and the man of genius arvives along at the cat!?

tude, and the man of genius arrives alone at the geal?

The equality of minds in their native state is as mosstrous a paradox, or a term as equivocal in metaphysics, as the equality of men in the political state. Both come from the French school in evil times; and ought, therefore, as Job said, 'to be eschewed.' Nor can we trust to Johnson's definition of genius, 'as a mind of general powers accidentally determined by some particular direction,' as this rejects any native aptitude, while we must infer on this principle that the reasoning Locke, without an ear or an eye, could have been the musical and fairy Spenser.

The automatic theory of Reynolds stirs the pupper artist by the wires of pertinacious labour. But industry without genius is tethered; it has stimulated many drudges in art, while it has left us without a Corregio or a Raphael.

in art, while it has left us without a Corregio or a Raphsel.

Akenside in that fine poem which is itself a history of genius, in tracing its source, first sang,

From heaven my strains begin, from heaven descends The flame of genius to the human breast.

but in the final revision of that poem he left many years after, the bard has vindicated the solitary and independent origin of genius by the mysterious epithet the chosen brest. The veteran poet was perhaps lessened by the viccissitudes of his own poetical life, and those of some of his brothers.

But while genius remains still wrapt up in its mysterous bud, may we not trace its history in its votaries? Let us compare although we may not always decide. If mature in some of her great operations has kept her last secrets, and even Newton, in the result of his reasonings, has religiously abstained from penetrating into her occult connections, is it nothing to be her historian although we cannot be her legislator?

Can we trace in the faint lines of childhood, an unsteady outline of the man? in the temperament of genius may we not reasonably look for certain indications, or prognostics announcing the permanent character? Will not great sensibility be borne with its susceptible organization; the deep retired character cling to its musings; and the unal-terable being of intrepidity and fortitude, full of confidence, be commanding even in his sports, a daring leader among

The virtuous and contemplative Boyle imagined that he had discovered in childhood that disposition of mind which indicated an instinctive ingenuousness; an incident which he relates, evinced as he thought, that even then he preferred aggravating his fault, rather than consent to suppress any part of the truth, an effort which had been unnatural to his mind. His fanciful, yet striking illustration may open our inquiry. 'This trivial passage'—the little story alluded to—'I have mentioned now, not that I histe story alluded to—'I have mentioned now, not that this that in itself it deserves a relation, but because as the sum is seen best at his rising and his setting, so men's naive dispositions are clearliest perceived whilst they are children, and when they are dying. These little sudden actions are the greatest discoverers of men's true humours.'

Digitized by GOOGLO

That the dispositions of genius in early life presage its fursure character, was long the feeling of antiquity. Isocrates: exter much previous observation of those who attended his lectures, would advise one to engage in political studies, exhorted another to compose history, elected some to be poets, and some to adopt his own profession. He thought that nature had some concern in forming a man of genius; and he tried to guess at her secret by detecting the first energetic inclination of the mind. This principle guided the Jesuits. after much previous observation of those who attended his

In the old romance of King Arthur, when a cowherd comes to the king to request he would make his son a knight...' It is a great thing thou askest,' said Arthur, who inquired whether this entreaty proceeded from him or his son? The old man's answer is remarkable...' Of my son, not of me; for I have thirteen sons, and all these will fall to that labour I put them; but this child will not labour for to that labour I put them; out the cand will not several me, for any thing that I and my wife will do; but always he will be shooting and casting darts, and glad for to see battles, and to behold knights, and always day and night he desireth of me to be made a knight.' The king comhe desireth of me to be made a knight.' anded the cowherd to fetch all his sons; they were all manded the cowherd to fetch all his sons; they were all shapen much like the poor man; but Tor was not like mone of them in shape and in countenance, for he was much more than any of them. And so Arthur knighted him.? This simple tale is the history of genius—the cowherd's twelve sons were like himself, but the unhappy genius in the family who perplexed and plagued the cowherd and his wife and his twelve brothers, was the youth averse to labour, but active enough in performing knightly exercises; and dreaming on chivalry amidst a herd of cows.

A man of genius is thus dropt among the people, and has first to encounter the difficulties of ordinary men deprived of that feeble duculity which adapts itself to the common destination. Parents are too often the victims of the decised propensity of a son to a Virgil or an Euclid; and the first step into life of a man of genius is disobedi-ence and grief. Lilly, our famous astrologer, has described the frequent situation of such a youth, like the cowherd's son who would be a knight. Lilly proposed to his father that he should try his fortune in the metropolis, where he expected that his learning and his talents would prove ser-viceable to him; the father, quite incapable of discovering the latent genius of his son in his studious disposition very willingly consented to get rid of him, for, as Lilly pro-ceeds, 'I could not work, drive the plough, or endure any country labour; my father oft would say I was good for nothing,'-words which the fathers of so many men of genius have repeated.

In reading the memoirs of a man of genius we often reprobate the domestic persecutions of those who opposed his inclinations. No poet but is moved with indignation at the recollection of the Port Royal Society thrice burning the romance which Racine at length got by heart; no ometrician but bitterly inveighs against the father of Pascal for not suffering him to study Euclid, which he at length understood without studying. The father of Potrarch in a barbarous rage burnt the poetical library of his son amidst the shricks, the groans, and the tears of the youth. Yet this neither converted Petrarch into a sober lawyer, nor deprived him of the Roman laurel. The uncle of Affieri for more than twenty years suppressed the poet-ical character of this noble bard; he was a poet without knowing to write 2 verse, and Nature, like a hard creditor, exacted with redoubled interest, all the genius which the uncle had so long kept from her. Such are the men whose inherent impulse no human opposition, and even no adverse education, can deter from being great men.

Let us, however, be just to the parents of a man of genius; they have another association of ideas concerning him than we; we see a great man, they a disobedient child; we track him through his glory, they are wearied by the sullen resistance of his character. The career of genius is rarely that of fortune or happiness; and the genius is rarely that of fortune or happiness; and the father, who may himself be not insensible to glory, dreads lest his son be found among that obscure multitude, that populace of mean artists, who must expire at the barriers of mediocrity.

The contemplative race, even in their first steps to-wards nature, are receiving that secret instruction which no master can impart. The boy of genius fles to some favourite baunt to which his fancy has often given a favourite haunt to which his fancy has often given a same; he populates his solitude; he takes all shapes in

it, he finds all places in it; he converses silently with all about him—he is a hermit, a lover, a hero. The fragrance and blush of the morning; the still hush of the evening; the mountain, the valley, and the stream; all nature open-ing to him, he sits brooding over his first dim images, in that train of thought we call reverie, with a restlessness of delight, for he is only the being of seneation, and has not yet learnt to think; then comes that tenderness of spirit, that first shade of thought colouring every scene, and deepening every feeling; this temperament has been often mistaken for melancholy. One truly inspired, unfolds the accept store. folds the secret story-

'Indowed with all that nature can bestow. The child of fancy of in silence bends
O'er the mixt treasures of his pregnant breast
With conscious pride. From them he of resolves
To frame he knows not what excelling things,
And win he knows not what sublime reward Of praise and wonder

This delight in reverse has been finely described by Boyles. When the intermission of my studies allowed me leisure for recreation, says Boyle, 'I would very often steal away from all company and spend four or five hours alone in the fields and think at random, making my delighted imagination the busy scene where some romance or other was daily acted.' This circumstance alarmed his friends, who imagined that he was overcome with melancholy.\*

It is remarkable that this love of repose and musing is enamoured of common amusements or of robust exercises; enamoured or common amusements or or robust exercises; and he is tually unadroit where dexterity of hand or eye, or trivial elegancies, are required. This characteristic of genius was discovered by Horace in that Ode which school boys often versify. Beattie has expressly told us of his Minstrel-

> The exploit, of strength, dexterity, or speed To him nor vanity, nor joy could bring.

Alfieri said he could never be taught by a French dancingmaster, whose Art made him at once shudder and laugh. If we reflect that as it is now practised it seems the art of giving affectation to a puppet, and that this puppet is a man, we can enter into this mixed sensation of degradation and ridicule. Horace, by his own confession, was a very awkward rider; and the poetical rider could not always secure a seat on his mule; Metastasio humorously complains of his gun; the poetical sportsman could only fright-en the hares and partridges; the truth was, as an elder poet sings,

'Instead of hounds that make the wooded hills Talk in a hundred voices to the rills; I like the pleasing cadence of a line Struck by the concert of the sacred Nine. Browne's Brit. Past. B. ii, Song 4.

And we discover the true 'humour' of the indolent contemplative race in their great representatives Virgil and Horace. When they accompanied Mecsenas into the country, while the minister amused himself at tennis, the two bards reposed on a vernal bank amidst the freshness of the shade. The younger Pliny, who was so perfect a literary character, was charmed by the Roman mode of hunting, or rather fowling by nets, which admitted him to sit a whole day with his tablets and stylus, that, says he, 'should I return with empty nets my tablets may at least be full.' Thomson was the hero of his own Castle of In-

The youth of genius will be apt to retire from the active sports of his mates. Beattie paints himself in his

An unhappy young man who recently forfeited his life to
the laws for forgery appears to have given promises of genius,
—He had thrown himself for two years into the studious retirement of a foreign university. Before his execution he
akatched an imperfect auto-biography, and the following pasage is descriptive of young gasius:

About this time I became uncommonly reserved, withdrawhad became from the pastimes of my associates and was

About this time I became uncommonly reserved, withdrawing by degrees from the pastimes of my associates, and was frequently observed to retire to some solitary place alone.—Ruined castles, bearing the vestiges of ancient broils, and the impairing hand of time,—cascades thundering through the echoing goves,—rocks and precipies,—the beautiful as well as the sublime traits of nature—formed a spacious field for contemplation many a happy hour. From these inspiring objects, contemplation would lead me to the great Auther of nature. Often have I dropped on my knees, and poured out the estacles of my soul to the God who inspired them.?

† Hor. Od. Lib. iv. O. 2.

Concourse and noise, and toil he ever fied, Nor cared to mingle in the clamorous fray Of squabbling imps; but to the forest sped.

Bossuet would not join his young companions, and flow Bossuet would not join his young companions, and flew to his solitary task, while the classical boys avenged his flight by applying to him from Virgil the bos suctus aratro, the ox daily toiling in the plough. The young painters, to ridicule the persevering labours of Domenichino in his youth, honoured him by the same title of 'the great ox;' and Passeri, in his delightful biography of his own contemporary artists, has happily expressed the still labours of his concealed genius, sua tacturna tentezza, his silent slewness. The learned Huet has given an amusing detail of the inventive persecutions of his school-mates, to divert him from his obstinate love of study. 'At length! divert him from his obstinate love of study. 'At length,' says he, 'in order to indulge my own taste, I would rise with the sun, while they were buried in sleep, and hide myself in the woods that I might read and study in quiet,' but they beat the bushes and started in his burrow, the future man of erudition. Sir William Jones was rarely a partaker in the active sports of Harrow; it was said of Gray that he was never a boy, and the unhappy Chatterton and Burns were remarkably serious boys. Milton has preserved for us, in solemn numbers, his school-life-

'When I was yet a child, no childish play To me was pleasing; all my mind was set Serious to learn and know, and thence to do What might be public good, myself I thought Born to that end, born to promote all truth, All righteous things-

Par. Reg.

If the youth of genius is apt to retire from the ordinary sports of his mates, he often substitutes others, the reflections of those favourite studies which are haunting his young imagination; the amusements of such an idler have often been fanciful. Ariosto, while yet a school-hoy, composed a sort of tragedy from the story of Pyramus and Thisbe, and had it represented by his brothers and sisters. Pope seems to have indicated his passion for Homer in those rough scenes which he drew up from Ogilby's version; and when Sir William Jones at Harrow divided sion; and when Sir visiting Jones at Harrow divised the fields according to a map of Greece, and portioned out to each school-fellow a dominion, and further, when want-ing a copy of the Tempest to act from, he supplied it from his memory, we must confess that the boy Jones was re-flecting in his amusements the cast of mind he displayed in his after life, and that felicity of memory and taste so prevalent in his literary character. Florian's earliest years were passed in shooting birds all day and reading every evening an old translation of the Iliad; whenever he got a bird remarkable for its size or its plumage, he personified it by one of the names of his heroes, and personified it by one of the names of his heroes, and raising a funeral pyre consumed the body; collecting the ashes in an urn, he presented them to his grandfather, with a narrative of his Patroclus or Sarpedon. We seem here to detect, reflected in his boyish sports, the pleasing genius of the author of Numa Pompilius, Gonsalvo of Cordova and William Tell.

It is perhaps a criterion of talent when a youth is dis-tinguished by his equals; at that moment of life with no amgusned by his equals; at that moment of life with no flattery on the one side, and no artifice on the other, all emotion and no reflection, the boy who has obtained a predominance has acquired this merely by native powers. The boyhood of Nelson was characterized by events congenial to those of his after-days; and his father understood his character when he declared that "in whatever station as character when he declared that "m whatever station he might be placed, he would climb, if possible, to the top of the tree." Some puerile anecdotes which Franklin remembered of himself, in association with his after-life, betray the invention, and the firm intrepidity, of his character; and even perhaps the carelessness of the means to obtain his automat. obtain his purpose. In boyhood he was a sort of adven-turer; and since his father would not consent to a seaturer; and since his latter would not consent to a sea-life, he made the river near him represent the ocean; he lived on the water, and was the daring Columbus of a school-boy's boat. A part where he and his mates stood to angle, in time became a quagmire. In the course of one day the infant projector thought of a wharf for them to stand on, and raised with a heap of stones deposited there for the tuniding of a house. But he preferred his wharf to another's house; his contrivances to aid his puny labourers, with his resolution not to quit the great work till t was effected, seem to strike out to us the decision and invention of his future character. But the qualities which

attract the companions of a school-boy may not be those which are essential to fine genius. The captain or leader of his school-mates has a claim on our attention, but it is the sequestered boy who may chance to be the artist, or the literary character.

Is there then a period in youth which yields decisive marks of the character of genius? The natures of mea are as various as their fortunes. Some, like diamonds, must wait to receive their splendour from the slow touches of the polisher, while others, resembling pearls, appear at once born with their beautiful lustre.

Among the inauspicious circumstances is the feebleness Allong the manaphenous circumstances in the recorded on the of the first attempts; and we must not decide on the talents of a young man by his first works. Dryden and Swift might have been deterred from authorship, had their earliest pieces decided their fate. Racine's earliest composition, which we know of by some fragments his son had preserved, to show their remarkable contrast with his writings, abound with those points and conceits which afterwards he abhorred; the tender author of Andromache could not have been discovered while exhausting himself in his wanderings from nature, in running after conceits as absurd and surprising as the worst parts of Cowley. Gib-bon betrayed none of the force and magnitude of his powers in his "Essay on Literature," or his attempted History of Switzerland. Johnson's cadenced prose is not recognizable in the humble simplicity of his earliest years. Many authors have begun unsuccessfully the walk they afterwards excelled in. Raphael, when he first drew his meagre forms under Perugino, had not yet conceived one line of that ideal beauty, which one day he of all men could alone execute.

Even the manhood of genius may pass by mobserved by his companions, and may, like Ar pass by anoserved by his companions, and may, like Ar heas, be hidden in a cloud amidst his associates. The celebrated Fabius Maximus in his boyhood was called in derision "the little Maximus in his coynous was caused in decisions as the seed of the disposition. His sedateness and taciturnity, his indifference to juvenile amusements, his slowness and difficulty in learning, and his ready submission to his equals, induced them to connis ready submission to his equals, induced them to consider him as one irrecoverably stupid. That greatness of mind, unalterable courage, and invincible character Fabius afterwards displayed, they then imagined had lain concealed in the apparent contrary qualities. The boy of genius may indeed seem slow and dull even to the phlematic, for thoughtful and observing dispositions conceal themselves in timorous silent characters, who have not yet learnt their strength; nor can that assiduous love, which cannot tear itself away from the secret instruction it is perpetually imbibing, be easily distinguished from that pertinacity which goes on with the mere plodder. We often hear from the early companions of a man of genus that at school, he had appeared heavy and unpromising. Rousseau imagined that the childhood of some men is accompanied by that seeming and deceitful dulness, which is the sign of a profound genius; and Roger Ascham has placed among "the best natures for learning, the salnatured and hard-witted child," that is, the thoughtful or the melancholic, and the slow. Domenichino was at first heavy and unpromising, and Passeri expresses his surprise at the accounts he received of the early life of this great artist. "It is difficult to believe," he says, " what many assert, that from the beginning this great painter had a ruggedness about him, which entirely incapacitated him from learning his profession, and they have heard from himself that he quite despaired of success. Yet I can-not comprehend how such vivacious talents, with a mind so finely organized, and accompanied with such favourable dispositions for the art, would show such signs of utter is-capacity; I rather think that is a mistake in the proper knowledge of genius, which some imagine indicates itself most decisively by its sudden vehemence, showing itself like lightning, and like lightning passing away." A parallike lightning, and like lightning passing away. A para-ell case we find in Goldsmith, who passed through as un-promising youth; he declared that he was never attached to the belles-lettres till he was thirty, that poetry had no peculiar charms for him till that age, and indeed to his latest hour he was surprising his friends by productions which they had imagined he was incapable of composing. Hume was considered, for his sobriety and assiduity, as competent to become a steady merchant; of Johanon it was said that he would never offend in conversation, as of Boileau that he had no great understanding, but would speak ill of no one. Farquhar at college was a beavy

companion, and afterwards, combined, with great knowledge of the world, a light airy talent. Even a discerning
parent or master has entirely failed to develope the genius
of the youth, who has afterwards ranked among eminent
men; and we ought as little to infer from early unfavourable appearances as from inequality of talent. The great
Isaac Barrow's father used to say, that if it pleased God
to take from him any of his children he hoped it might be
Isaac, as the least promising; and during the three years
Barrow passed at the Charter-house, he was remărkable
only for the utter negligence of his studies and his person.
The mother of Sheridan, herself a literary female, pronounced early, that he was the dullest and most hopeless
of her sons. Bodmer, at the head of the literary class in
Switzerland, who had so frequently discovered and animated the literary youths of his country, could never detect the latent genius of Gesner; after a repeated examination of the young man, he put his parents in despair with
the hopeless award that a mind of so ordinary a cast must
confine itself to mere writing and accompts.

Thus it happens that the first years of life do not always include those of genius, and the education of the youth may not be the education of his genius. In all these cases nature had dropt the seeds in the soil, but even a happy disposition must be concealed amidst adverse circumstances. It has happened to some men of genius during a long period of their lives, that an unsettled impulse, without having discovered the objects of its aptitude, a thirst and fever in the temperament of too sentient a being which cannot find the occupation to which it can only attach itself, has sunk into a melancholy and querulous spirit, weary with the burden of existence; but the instant the latent talent had declared itself, his first work, the eager offspring of desire and love, has astonished the world at once with the birth and the maturity of genius.

world at once with the birth and the maturity of genius.

Abundant facts exhibit genius unequivocally discovering itself in the juvenile age connecting these facts with the subsequent life—and in general, perhaps a master-mind exhibits precocity. 'Whatever a young man at first applies himself to, is commonly his delight afterwards.'
This remark was made by Hartley, who has related an
anecdote of the infancy of his genius, which indicated the man. He declared to his daughter that the intention of writing a book upon the nature of man was conceived in his mind when he was a very little boy-when swinging backwards and forwards upon a gate, not more than nine or ten years old; he was then meditating upon the nature of his own mind, how man was made, and for what future end-such was the true origin, in a boy of ten years old, of his celebrated book on the 'frame, the duty, and the expectation of man.' The constitutional propensity has declared itself in painters and poets, who were such before they understood the nature of colours and the arts of The vehement passion of Peiresc for knowledge, according to accounts Gassendi had received from old men who had known him a child, broke out as soon as he had been taught his alphabet; his delight was to be handling books and papers, and his perpetual inquiries after their contents obliged them to invent something to quiet the child's insatiable curiosity, who was offended if told he had not the capacity to understand them. He did not study like ordinary scholars, and would read neither Justin nor Ovid without a perpetual consultation of other authors, such was his early love of research! At ten years of age his taste for the studies of antiquity was kindled at the sight of some ancient coin dug up in his neighbourhood; and then that passion began to burn like fire in a forest, as Gassendi most happily describes the fervour and the amplitude of his mind. We have Boccaccio's own words for a proof of his early natural tendency to tale-writing, in a passage of his genealogy of the Gods: Before seven years of age, when as yet I had met with os stories, was without a master and hardly knew my let-ters, I had a natural talent for fiction, and produced some little tales. Thus the Decamerone was appearing much earlier than we suppose. So Ariosto, as soon as he ob-tained some knowledge of languages, delighted himself in translating French and Spanish romances; was he not sowing plentifully the seeds of his Orlando Furioso? Lope de Vega declares that he was a poet from the cradle, be. ginning to make verses before he could write them, for he bribed his school-mates with a morsel of his breakfast to write down the lines he composed in the early morning. Descartes, while yet a boy, was so marked out by habits of deep meditation, that he went among his companions by

the title of the philosopher, always questioning, and set-tling cause and effect. It happened that he was twenty-five years of age before he left the army, but the propensity for meditation had been early formed, and the noble sity for meditation had been easy remains an action of the contemporary of reforming philosophy never ceased to inspire his solitary thoughts. Descartes was a man born only for meditation—and he has himself given a very interesting mediation—and no has immelf given a very interesting account of the pursuits which occupied his youth, and of the progress of his genius; of that secret struggle he sc long held with himself, wandering in concealment over the long need with nameels, wandering in conceasiment over two world, for more than twenty years, and, as he says of himself, like the statuary, labouring to draw out a Minerva from the marble block. Michael Angelo, as yet a child wherever he went, busted himself in drawing; and when his noble parents, burt that a man of genius was disturbing the line of their ancestry, forced him to relinquish the pendictions of their ancestry. cil, the infant artist flew to the chissel: art was in his soul and in his hands. Velasquez, the Spanish painter at his school tasks, filled them with sketches and draw-ings, and as some write their names on their books, his were known by the specimens of his genius. The painter Lanfranco was originally the page of a marquis, who observing that he was perpetually scrawing figures on cards, or with charcoal on the walls, asked the boy whether he would apply to the art he seemed to love? The boy trembled, fearing to have incurred his master's anger; but when encouraged to decide, he did not hesitate: placed under one of the Carraccios, his rapid progress in the art testified how much Lanfranco had suffered by suppressing his natural aptitude. When we find the boy Nanteuil, his parents being averse to their son's practising drawing, hiding him-self in a tree to pursue the delightful exercise of his pen-cil; that Handel, intended for a doctor of the civil laws, and whom no parental discouragement could deprive of his enthusiasm for the musical science, for ever touching harpsichords, and having secretly conveyed a musical instrument to a retired apartment, sitting through the night awakening his harmonious spirit; and when we view Ferguson the child of a peasant, acquiring the art of reading without any one suspecting it, by listening to his father teaching his brother; making a wooden watch without the slightest knowledge of mechanism, and while a shepherd, like an uncient Chaldean, studying the phenomena of the heavens and making a celestial globe, as he had made a wooden watch, can we hesitate to believe that in such minds, there was a resistless and mysterious propensity, growing up with the temperaments of these artists? Ferguson was a shepherd-lad on a plain, placed entirely out of the chance of imitation; or of the influence of casual excitement; or any other of those sources of genius so frequently assigned for its production. The case of Onie

Yet these cases are not more striking than one related of the Abbe La Caille, who ranked among the first astronomers of the age. La Caille was the son of the parish him every evening to ring the church bell, but the boy al-ways returned home late. His father was angry and beat him, and still the boy returned an hour after he had rung the bell. The father, suspecting something mysterious in his conduct, one evening watched him. He saw his son ascend the steeple, ring the bell as usual, and remain there during an hour. When the unlucky boy descended, there during an hour. When the unlucky boy descended, he trembled like one caught in the fact, and on his knees confessed that the pleasure he took in watching the stars from the steeple was the real cause of detaining him from home. As the father was not born to be an astronomer, like the son, he flogged the boy severely. The youth was found weeping in the streets, by a man of science, who, when he discovered in a boy of ten years of age, a passion for contemplating the stars at night, and who had discovered an observatory in a steeple, in spite of such ill-treat-ment, he decided that the seal of nature had impressed itself on the genius of that boy.—Relieving the parent from the son and the son from the parent, he assisted the young La Caille in his passionate pursuit, and the event perfectly justified the prediction. Let others tell us why children feel a predisposition for the studies of astronomy, or natural history, or any similar pursuit. We know that youthe have found themselves in parallel situations with Ferguson and La Caille, without experiencing their energies.

The case of Clairon, the great French tragic actress, de-

The case of Clairon, the great French tragic actress, deserves attention: she seems to have been an actress before she saw a theatre. This female, dustined to be a sublime actress, was of the lowest extraction; the caughter of a violent and illiterate woman, who with blows and menaces was driving about the child all day to manual labour. 'I know not, says Clairon, 'whence I derived my disgust, but I could not bear the idea to be a mere workman, or to remain inactive in a corner.' In her eleventh year, being locked up in a room, as a punishment, with the windows fastened, she climbed upon a chair to look about her. A new object instantly absorbed her attention; in the house opposite she observed a celebrated actress amidst her family, her daughter was performing her dancing lesson; the girl Clairon, the future Melpomene, was struck by the the girl Ciairon, the luture meipomene, was struck by the influence of this graceful and affectionate scene. 'All my little being collected itself into my eyes; I lost not a single motion; as soon as the lesson ended all the family applauded and the mother embraced the daughter. That different contractions are considered. ence of her fate and mine filled me with profound grief, my tears hindered me from seeing any longer, and when the palpitations of my heart allowed me to reascend the chair, all had disappeared.' This was a discovery; from that moment she knew no rest; she rejoiced when she could get ner mother to confine her in that room, the happy girl was a divinity to the unhappy one, whose susceptible genius imitated her in every gesture and motion; and Clairon soon showed the effect of her ardent studies, far she betrayed all the graces she had taught herself, in the common intercourse of life; she charmed her friends and even softened her barbarous mother; in a word, she was an actress

without knowing what an actress was.

In this case of the use of genius, are we to conclude that the accidental view of a young actress practising her studies, imparted the character of the great tragic actress Clairon? Could a mere chance occurrence have given birth to those faculties which produced a sublime tragedian? In all arts there are talents which may be acquired by imitation and reflection; and thus far may genius be educated, but there are others which are entirely the result of native sensibility, which often secretly torment the possessor, and which may even be lost for the want of development; a state of languor from which many have not recovered. Clairon, before she saw the young actress, and having yet no conception of a theatre, never having entered one, had in her soul that latent faculty which creates a genius of her cast. 'Had I not felt Lac Dido,' she once exclaimed, 'I could not have thus personified her!'

Some of these facts, we conceive, afford decisive evidence of that instinct in genius, that constitutional propensity in the mind, sometimes called organization, which has inflamed such a war of words by its equivocal term and the ambiguity of its nature; it exists independent of education, and where it is wanting, education can never confer it. Of its mysterious influence we may be ignorant; the effect is more apparent than the cause. It is, however, always working in the character of the chosen mind. In the history of genius, there are unquestionably many secondary causes of considerable influence in developing or even crushing the germ—these have been of late often detected, and sometimes carried even to a ridiculous extreme; but among them none seem more remarkable than the first studies and the first habits.

### CHAPTER III.

### THE FIRST STUDIES.

The first studies form an epoch in the history of genius, and unquestionably have sensibly influenced its productions. Often have the first impressions stamped a character on the mind adapted to receive one, as often the first step into life has determined its walk. To ourselves, this is a distant period lost in the horizon of our own recollection, and so unobserved by others, that it passes away in neglect.

Many of those peculiarities of men of genius which are not fortunate, and some which have hardened the character in its mould, may be traced to this period. Physicians tell us that there is a certain point in youth at which the constitution is formed, and on which the sanity of life revolves; the character of genius experiences a similar dangerous period. Early bad tastes, carly particular habits, early defective instructions, all the egotistical pride of an untamed intellect, are those evil spirits which will dog Genius, to its grave. An early attachment to the works of Sir Thomas Browne produced in Johnson an excessive admiration of that latinised English, which violated

the native graces of the language. The first studies of Rembrandt affected his after-labours; that peculiarity of shadow which marks all his pictures originated in the cir-cumstance of his father's mill receiving light from an aperture at the top, which habituated that artist afterwards to view all objects as if seen in that magical light. When Pope was a child, he found in his mother's closet a small library of mystical devotion; but it was not suspected till the fact was discovered, that the effusions of love and religion poured forth in his Eloisa were derived from the seraphic raptures of those erotic mystics, who to the last serapine raptures of those erect enjaces, who the tast retained a place in his library among the classical bards of antiquity. The accidental permal of Quintus Curius first made Boyle "in love with other than pedantic books, and conjured up in him," as he expresses it, " an unsatisfield appetite of knowledge; so that he thought he owed more to Quintus Curtius than did Alexander." From the perusal of Rycaut's folio of Turkish history in childhood, the noble and impassioned bard of our times retained those indelible impressions, which gave life and motion to the "Giaour," the "Corsair," and "Alp." A voyage to the country processed the scenery. Rycaut only communicated the impulse to a mind susceptible of the poetical character; and without this Turkish history we should still have had our poet.

The influence of first studies, in the formation of the character of genius, is a moral phenomenon, which has not sufficiently attracted our notice. Dr. Franklin acquaints us that when young and wanting books, he accidentally found De Foe's "Essay on Projects," from which work impressions were derived which afterwards influenced some of the principal events of his life. Rousseau, in early youth, full of his Plutarch, while he was also devouring the trash of romances, could only conceive human me ture in the colossal forms, or be affected by the infirm sensibility of an imagination mastering all his faculties; thinking like a Roman and feeling like a Sybarite. The same circumstance happened to Catharine Macauley, who herself has told us how she owed the bent of her character to the early reading of the Roman historians; but combining Roman admiration with English faction, she violated truth in her English characters, and exaggerated romance in the Roman. But the permanent eff of a solitary bias in the youth of genius, impelling the whole current of his after-life, is strikingly displayed in the remarkable character of Archdeacon Blackburne, the author of the famous "Confessional," and the curious "Memoirs of Hollis," written with such a republicas fierceness.

I had long considered the character of our archdeacon as a lusus politico et theologico. Having subscribed to the Articles and enjoying the archdeaconry, he was writing against subscription and the whole hierarchy, with a spirit so iraccible and caustic, as if, like Prynne and Bastwick, the archdeacon had already lost both his ears; while his antipathy to monarchy might have done honour to Roundhead of the Rota Club. The secret of these volcanic explosions was only revealed in a letter accidentally preserved. In the youth of our spirited archdeacon, when fox-hunting was his deepest study, it happened at the house of a relation, that on some rainy day, among other garret lumber, he fell on some worm eaten volumes which had once been the careful collections of his great gradfather, an Oliverian justice. 'These,' says he, 'I conveyed to my lodging-room, and there became acquainted with the manners and principles of many excellent old puritans, and then laid the foundation of my own.' Thus the enigma solved! Archdeacon Blackburne, in his seclusion in Yorkshire amidst the Oliverian justice's library, shows that we are in want of a Cervantes, but not of a Cuixote, and Yorkshire might yet he as renowned a couty as La Mancha; for political romances, it is presumed, may be as fertile of ridicule as any of the folios of chivalry.

Such is the influence through life of those first unobserved impressions on the character of genius, which every author has not recorded.

Education, however indispensable in a cultivated age, produces nothing on the side of genius, and where education ends often genius begins. Gray was asked if here collected when he first felt the strong predilection to poetry; he replied, that "he believed it was when he began to read Virgil for his own amusement, and not in school hours as a task." Such is the force of self-education in genius, that the celebrated physiologist, John Hunter, who

Digitized by CTOOS

was entirely self-educated, evinced such penetration in his anatomical discoveries, that his sensible biographer obanalomed discoveries, that his sensure prographer operations,—" he has brought into notice passages from writters he was unable to read, and which had been overlooked by profound scholars." "

That the education of genius must be its own work, we may appeal to every one of the family; it is not always fortunate, for many die amidst a waste of talents and the wrecks of their mind.

### Many a sour susuance. Has felt the influence of malignant star. Many a soul sublime

An unfavourable position in society is an usual obstruc-tion in the course of this self-education; and a man of genius, through half his life, has hold a contest with a bad, or with no education. There is a race of the late-taught, we will no outcatous. There is a race of the late-taught, who, with a capacity of leading in the first rank, are mortified to discover themselves only on a level with their contemporaries. Winkleman, who passed his youth in obscure misery as a village schoolmaster, paints feelings which strikingly contrast with his avocations. "I formwhich strainingly contrast with his avocations. — I formerly filled the office of a schoolmaster with the greatest punctuality, and I taught the A, B, C, to children with filthy heads; at the moment, I was aspiring after the knowledge of the beautiful, and meditating, low to repect, the moment of Moment than I said to myself as I still on the similes of Homer; then I said to myself, as I still say, 'Peace, my soul, thy strength shall surmount thy cares.'' The obstructions of so unhappy a self-education carea." The obstructions of so unhappy a sen-oducation essentially injured his ardent genius; and his secret sorrew was long, at this want of early patronage and those discordant habits of life. 'I am unfortunately one of those whom the Grocks named compaties; sere aspientes, the latelearned, for I have appeared too late in the world and in Italy. To have done something, it was necessary that I have the advantage and have the own retreated and Italy. To have done something, it was necessary unana should have had an education analogous to my pursuits, and this at your age. This class of the late learned, which Winkleman notices, is a useful distinction; it is so with a sister-art: one of the greatest musicians of our country assures mo, that the ear is as latent with many; there are the late-learned even in the musical world. Budeous declared he was both ' self-taught and late-taught,

The self-educated are marked by strong peculiarities. If their minds are rich in acquisition, they often want taste and the art of communication; their knowledge, like corn heaped in a granary, for want of ventilation and stirring, perishes in its own masses. They may abound with talent in all shapes, but rarely in its place, and they have to dread a plethora of genius, and a delirium of wit. They sometimes improve amazingly; their source turbid and obscure, works itself clear at last, and the stream runs and even sparkles. These men at first were pushed on by their native energy; at length, they obtain the secret to conduct their genius, which before had conducted them. Sometimes the greater portion of their lives is passed before they can throw themselves out of that world of mediocrity to which they had been confined; their first work has not announced genius, and their last is stamped with it. Men are long judged by their first work: it takes a long while after they have surpassed themselves before it is discovered. This race of the self-educated are apt to consider some of their own insulated feelings those of all; their prejudices are often invincible, and their tastes unsure and capricious: glorying in their strength, while they are betraying their weaknesses, yet mighty even in that en-husiasm which is only disciplined by its own fierce habits. Bunyan is the Spenser of the people. The fire burned towards heaven, although the altar was rude and rustic.

Barry, the painter, has left behind him works not to be turned over by the connoissear by rote, nor the artist who dares not be just and will not suffer even the infirmities of genius to be buried in its grave. That enthusiast, with a temper of mind resembling Rousseau's, the same creature of imagination, consumed by the same passions, with the same fine intellect disordered, and the same fortitude of soul, found his self-taught pen, like his pencil, betray his genius. A vehoment enthusiasm breaks through his illcomposed works, throwing the sparke of his bold and rich conceptions, so philosophical and magnificent, into the soul of the youth of genius. When in his character of professor, he delivered his lectures at the academy, he never ceased speaking but his auditors rose in a tumult,

\* Life of John Hunter, by Dr Adams, p. 59, where the case s curiously illustrated.

while their hands returned to him the proud feelings he The self-educated and gifted man, once lister advoc. The self-educated and gifted man, once intening to the children of genius, whom he had created about him, exclaimed, \* Go it, go it, my boys! they did so at Athens.\* Thus high could be throw up his native mud into the very beaven of his invention!

But even the pages of Barry are the aliment of young genius: before we can discern the beautiful, must we not genius: before we can discern the beautiful, must we not be endowed with the susceptibility of love? Must not the disposition be formed before even the object \*\*spears? The uneducated Barry is the higher priest of endusiassa than the educated Reynolds. I have witnessed the young artist of genius glow and start over the reveries of Barry, but pause and meditate, and inquire over the mature ele-gance of Reynolds; in the one, he caught the passion for beauty, and in the other, he discovered the beautiful: with the one he was warm and restless, and with the other calm and satisfied.

Of the difficulties overcome in the self-education of genius, we have a remarkable instance in the character of Moses Mendelsohn, on whom literary Germany has be-stowed the honourable title of the Jewish Socrates.\* Such were the apparent invincible obstructions which barred out Mendelsohn from the world of literature and philosophy, that, in the history of men of genius, it is something like taking in the history of man, the savage of Aveyron from his woods,—who, destitute of a human language, should at length create a model of eloquence; without a faculty of conceiving a figure, should be capable to add to the demonstrations of Euclid; and without a complex idea and with few sensations, should at length, in the sublimest strain of metaphysics, open to the world a new view of the immortality of the soul!

Mendelsohn, the son of a poor rabbin, in a village in Germany, received an education completely rabbinical, and its nature must be comprehended, or the term of edu-cation would be misunderstood. The Israelites in Poland and Germany live, with all the restrictions of their cere-monial law, in an insulated state, and are not always instructed in the language of the country of their birth. They employ for their common intercourse a barbarous or patois employ for their common intercourse a substance of the Hebrew, while the sole studies of the young rabbins are strictly confined to the Talmud, of which the fundamental principle, like the Sonna of the Turks, is a pious rejection of every species of uninspired learning. This ancient of every species of uninspired learning. This ancient jealous spirit, which walls in the understanding and the faith of man, was shutting out what the imitative Catholics afterwards called heresy. It is, then, these numerous folios of the Talmud which the true Hebraic student contemplates through all the seasons of life, as the Patuecos in their low valley imagine their surrounding mountains to the the confines of the unincontent. be the confines of the universe.

Of such a nature was the plan of Mendelsohn's first studies; but even in his boyhood this conflict of study occasioned an agitation of his spirits, which affected his life ever after; rejecting the Talmudical dreamers he caught a nobler spirit from the celebrated Maimonides; and his native sagacity was already clearing up the darkness around. An enemy not less hostile to the enlargement of mind than voluminous legends, presented itself in the indigence of his father, who was now compelled to send away the youth on foot to Berlin to find labour and bread.

At Berlin he becomes an amanuensis to another poor rabbin, who could only still initiate him into the theology, rauous, who could only suit minus to min into the incology, the jurisprudence and scholastic philosophy of his people. Thus he was no farther advanced in that philosophy of the mind in which he was one day to be the rival of Plato and Locke, nor in that knowledge of literature of which he was to be among the first polished critics of Germany.

Some unexpected event occurs which gives the first great impulse to the mind of genius. Mendelsohn received this from the first companion of his misery and his studies, a man of congenial, but maturer powers. He was a Polish Jew, expelled from the communion of the Orthodox, and the calumniated student was now a vagrant, with

\* I composed the life of Mendelsohn so far back as in 1778, for a periodical publication, whence our late blographers have drawn their notices; a juvenile production, which happened to excite the attention of the late Barry, then not personally known to me, and he has given all the immortality his poetical pencil could bestow on this man of genius, by immediately placing in his elysium of genius, Moses Mendelsohn shaking hands with Addison, who wrote on the truth of the Christian religion, and near Locke, the English master of Mendelsohn's mind. mind. Digitized by GOOGLE

more gensibility than fortitude. But this vagrant was a hilosopher, a poet, a naturalist and a mathematician. philosopher, a poet, a naurams and a manner without Mendelsohn, at a distant day, never alluded to him without wars. Thrown together into the same situation, they appears to the same situation, they appears to the same situation and communications. pears. In rown together into the same situation, they ap-proached each other by the same sympathies, and commu-nicating in the only language which Mendelsohn knew, the Polander voluntarily undertook his literary education. Then was seen one of the most extraordinary spectacles in the history of modern literature. Two houseless He-brew youths might be discovered, in the moonlight streets

of Berlin, sitting in retired corners, or on the steps of some porch, the one instructing the other, with an Euclid in his hand; but what is more extraordinary, it was a Hebrew version, composed by himself, for one who knew no other language. Who could then have imagined that the future language. Plato of Germany was sitting on those steps!

The Polander, whose deep melancholy had settled on his heart, died—yet he had not lived in vain, since the electric spark that lighted up the soul of Mendelsohn had

fallen from his own.

Mendelsohn was now left alone; his mind teeming with its chaos, and still master of no other language than that barren idiom which was incapable of expressing the ideas he was meditating on. He had scarcely made a step into the philosophy of his age, and the genius of Mendelsohn had probably been lost to Germany, had not the singularity of his studies and the cast of his mind been detected by the sagacity of Dr Kisch. The aid of this physician was momentous; for he devoted several hours every day to the instruction of a poor youth, whose strong capacity he had the discernment to perceive, and the generous temper to aid. Mendelsohn was soon enabled to read Locke in a Latin version, but with such extreme pain, that, compelled to search for every word, and to arrange their Latin order, and at the same time to combine metaphysical ideas, it was observed that he did not so much translate, as guess by the force of meditation.

This prodigious effort of his intellect retarded his progress, but invigorated his habit, as the racer, by running against the hill, at length courses with facility.

A succeeding effort was to master the living languages, and chiefly the English, that he might read his favourite Locke in his own idiom. Thus a great genius for metaphysics and languages was forming itself by itself. It is curious to detect, in the character of genius, the effects of local and moral influences. There resulted

from Mendelsohn's early situation, certain defects in his intellectual character, derived from his poverty, his Jewish education, and his numerous impediments in literature. Inheriting but one language, too obsolete and naked to serve the purposes of modern philosophy, he perhaps over-valued his new acquisitions, and in his delight of knowing many languages, he with difficulty escaped from remaining a mere philologist; while in his philosophy, having adopted the prevailing principles of Wolf and Baumgarten, his genius was long without the courage or the skill to emancipate itself from their rusty chains. It was more than a step which had brought him into their circle, but a step was yet wanted to escape from it.

At length the mind of Mendelsohn enlarged in literary intercourse; he became a great and original thinker in many beautiful speculations in moral and critical philosophy; while he had gradually been creating a style which the critics of Germany have declared was their first luminous model of precision and elegance. Thus a Hebrew vagrant, first perplexed in the voluminous labyrinth of Judicial learning, in his middle age oppressed by indigence and malady, and in his mature life wrestling with that commercial station whence he derived his humble independence, became one of the masterwriters in the literature of his country. The history of the mind of Mendelsohn is one of the noblest pictures of the self-education of genius.

one of the nosiest pictures of the sententication of general.

Friends who are so valuable in our youth, are usually prejudicial in the youth of genius. Peculiar and unfortunate in this state, which is put in danger from what in every other it derives security. The greater part of the multitude of authors and artists originate in the ignorant admiration of their early friends; while the real genius has often been disconcerted and thrown into despair, by the ill-judgments of his domestic circle. The productions of taste are more unfortunate than those which depend on a chain of reasoning, or the detail of facts; these are more palpable to the common judgments of men; but taste is of such rarity, that a long life may be passed by some with-sut once obtaining a familiar acquaintance with a mind so

cultivated by knowledge, so tried by experience, and se practised by converse with the literary world that its prophotic feeling anticipates the public opinion. When a young writer's first essay is shown, some, through more inability of censure, see nothing but beauties; others, with equal imbecility, can see none; and others, out of pure malice, see nothing but faults. 'I was soon diagusted,' says Gibbon, 'with the modest practice of reading the manuscript to my friends. Of such friends some will praise for politoness, and some will criticise for vanity.' everal of our first writers set their fortunes on the cast of their friends' opinions, we might have lost some precious compositions. The friends of Thomson discovered nothing but faults in his early productions, one of which hap-pened to be his noblest, the 'Winter,' they just could discern that these abounded with luxuriances, without be-ing aware that they were the luxuriances of a poet. He had created a new school in art—and appealed from his circle to the public. From a manuscript letter of our poet's, written when employed on his 'Summer,' I trancribe his sentiments on his former literary friends in Scotland—he is writing to Mallet : \* 'Far from defending thes two lines, I damn them to the lowest depth of the poeti-cal Tophet, prepared of old, for Mitchell, Morrice, Rook, Cook, Beckingham, and a long &c. Wherever I have evidence, or think I have evidence, which is the same thing, I'll be as obstinate as all the mules in Persia.' This poet, of warm affections, so irritably felt the perverse craticisms of his learned friends, that they were to share alike, nothing less than a damnation to a poetical hell. One of these 'blasts' broke out in a vindictive epigram on Mitchell, whom he describes with a 'blasted eye;' but this critic having one literally, the poet, to avoid a personal reflec-tion, could only consent to make the blemish more active:

'Why all not faults, injurious Mitchell! why Appears one beauty to thy blasting eye?

He again calls him 'the planet-blasted Mitchell.' Of another of these critical friends he speaks with more sedateness, but with a strong conviction that the critic, a very sensible man, had no sympathy with his poet. 'Aikman's reflections on my writings are very good, but he does nation and the winding are very good, at the desired in them regard the turn of my genius enough; should I alter my way I would write poorly. I must choose what appears to me the most significant epithet, or I cannot, with any heart, proceed. The 'Mirror,' when published in Edinburgh, was 'fastificusty' received, as all 'homeproductions are; but London avenged the cause of the au-thor. When Swift introduced Parnel to Lord Bollingbroke, and to the world, he observes, in his Journal, 'it is pleasand to see one who hardly passed for any thing in Ireland, make his way here with a little friendly forwarding. There is nothing more trying to the judgment of the friends of a young man of genius, than the invention of a new manner; without a standard to appeal to, without bladders to swim, the ordinary critic sinks into irretrievable distress; but usually pronounces against novelty. When Reynolds returned from Italy, warm with all the excellence of his art, says Mr Northcote, and painted a portrait, his old master, Hudson, viewing it, and perceived the contract of his art, says and perceived the contract of his art, says Mr Northcote, and painted a portrait, his old master, Hudson, viewing it, and perceived the contract of his art. ing no trace of his own manner, exclaimed that he did not paint so well as when he left England; while another, who conceived no higher excellence than Kneller, treated with signal contempt the future Raphael of England.

If it be dangerous for a young writer to resign himself to the opinions of his friends, he also incurs some peril in assing them with inattention. What an embarrase He wants a Quintilian. One great means to obtain such an invaluable critic, is the cultivation of his own judgment, in a round of meditation and reading; let him at once supply the marble and be himself the sculptor: let the great authors of the world be his gospels, and the best critics their expounders; from the one he will draw inspira-tion, and from the others he will supply those tardy discoveries in art, which he who solely depends on his own ex-perience may obtain too late in life. Those who do not read criticism will not even merit to be criticised. The more extensive an author's knowledge of what has been done, the greater will be his powers in knowing what to do. Let him preserve his juvenile compositions,—what-ever these may be, they are the spontaneous growth, and, like the plants of the Alps, not always found in other soils; they are his virgin fancies; by contemplating them he may detect some of his predominant habits,—resume as

\* In Mr Murray's collection of autographical letters.

old manner more happily,—invent novelty from an old subject he had so rudely designed,—and often may steal from himself something so fine that, when thrown into his most finished compositions, it may seem a happiness ra-ther than art. A young writer in the progress of his stu-dies, should often recollect a fanciful simile of Dryden.—

As those who unripe veins in mines explore, On the rich bed again the warm turf lay; Till time digests the yet imperfect ore, And know it will be Gold another day.

Ingenious youth! if, in a constant perusal of the masterwriters, you see your own sentiments anticipated, and in the turnult of your mind as it comes in contact with theirs, new ones arise; if in meditating on the Confessions of Reusseau, or on those of every man of genius, for the have all their confessions, you recollect that you have ex-perienced the same sensations from the same circumstances, and that you have encountered the same difficulties and overcome them by the same means, then let not your courage be lost in your admiration,—but listen to that still small voice' in your heart, which cries with Correg-gie and with Montesquieu, 'Ed io anche son Pittore!'\*

### CHAPTER IV.

### OF THE IRRITABILITY OF GENIUS.

The modes of life of a man of genius, often tinctured by eccentricity and enthusiasm, are in an eternal conflict with the monotonous and imitative habits of society, as society is carried on in a great metropolis,—where men are ne-cessarily alike, and in perpetual intercourse, shaping themselves to one another

The occupations, the amusements, and the ardour of the man of genius, are discordant with the artificial habits of life; in the vortexes of business or the world of pleasele, crowds of human beings are only treading in one another's steps; the pleasures and the sorrows of this active multitude are not his, while his are not obvious to them : Genius in society is therefore often in a state of suffering. Professional characters, who are themselves so suffering. Professional characters, who are themselves so often literary, yielding to their predominant interests, conform to that assumed urbanity which levels them with ordinary minds; but the man of genius cannot leave himself behind in the cabinet he quits; the train of his thoughts is not stopt at will, and in the range of conversation the habits of his mind will be man. bits of his mind will prevail; an excited imagination, a high toned feeling, a wandering reverie, a restlessness of temper, are perpetually carrying him out of the processional line of the mere conversationists. He is, like all solitary beings, much too sentient, and prepares for defence even at a random touch. His emotions are rapid, his generalizing views take things only in masses, while he treats with levity some useful prejudices; he interrogates, he doubts, he is caustic; in a word, he thinks he converses, while he is at his studies. Sometimes, apparently a complacent listener, we are mortified by detecting the absent man; now he appears humbled and spiritless, ruminating over some failure which probably may be only known to himself, and now haughty and hardy for a triumph he has obtained, which yet remains as secret to the world. He is sometimes insolent, and sometimes querulous. He is sometimes insolent, and sometimes querulous. stung by jealousy; or he writhes in aversion; his eyes kindle, and his teeth gnash; a fever shakes his spirit; a fever which has sometimes generated a disease, and has even produced a slight perturbation of the faculties.

Once we were nearly receiving from the hand of genius itself, the most curious sketches of the temper, the irascible humours, the delicacy of soul even to its shadowiness, from the warm soozzes of Burns when he began a diary of the heart,—a narrative of characters and events, and a chronology of his emotions. It was natural for such a creature of sensation and passion to project such a regu-

\* This noble consciousness with which the Italian painter gave unterance to his strong feelings on viewing a celebrated picture by one of his rivals, is applied by Montesquieu to himself at the close of the preface to his great work.

† I have given a history of Literary Quarrels from personal motives, in Quarrels of Authors, vol. iit, p. 285. There we find how many controversies, in which the public get involved, have aprung from some sudden squabble, some neglect of nexty civility, some unjucky evolute. ed, have sprung from some sudden squadous, some neglect of petty civility, some unlucky epithet, or some casual obser-ration dropped without much consideration, which mortified or enraged an author. See further symptoms of this disease, at the close of the chapter on 'Self-praise,' in the present

lar task; but quite impossible to get through it. The paper-book that he conceived would have recorded all these things, therefore turns out but a very imperfect document. things, therefore turns out but a very imperiest occuments. Even that little it was not thought proper to give entire. Yet there we view a warm original mind, when he first stept into the polished circles of society, discovering that he could no longer 'pour out his bosom, his every thought and floating fancy, his very inmost soul, with unreserved confidence to another, without hazard of losing part of the property of the man deserves from man: or, from the that respect which man deserves from man; or, from the that respect which man deserves from man; or, from the unavoidable imperfections attending human nature, of one day repenting his confidence. This was the first lesson he learnt at Edinburgh, and it was as a substitute for such a human being, that he bought a paper-book to keep under lock and key; a security at least equal, says he, to the bosom of any friend whatever. Let the man of genius pause over the fragments of this 'paper-book'; it will instruct as much as any onen confession of a criminal content. it will instruct as much as any open confession of a criminal at the moment he is to suffer. No man was more afflicted with that miserable pride, the infirmity of men of imagination, which exacts from its best friends a perpetual reverence and acknowledgment of its powers.
Our Poet, with all his gratitude and veneration for the noble Glencairn, was 'wounded to the soul' because his Lordship showed 'so much attention, engrossing attention, to the only blockhead at table; the whole company consisted of his Lordship, Dunderpate, and myself.' This Dunderpate, who dined with Lord Glencairn, might have been of more importance to the world than even a poet; one of the best and most useful men in it. Burns was equally offended with another of his patrons, and a literary brether, Dr. Blair. At the moment, he too appeared to be neglecting the irritable Poet— for the mere carcass of greatness—or when his eye measured the difference of their point of elevation; I say to myself, with scarcely any emotion, (he might have added, except a good deal of contempt.) 'what do I care for him or his pomp either?" — Dr. Blair's vanity is proverbially known among his acquaintance, adds Burns, at the moment that the solitary haughtiness of his own genius had entirely escaped his self-observation. Such are the chimeras of passion

Such therefore are consured for great irritable genius!
Such therefore are censured for great irritability of disposition; and that happy equality of temper so prevalent among mere men of letters,\* and which is conveniently acquired by men of the world, has been usually refused to great mental powers, or to vivacious dispositions; authors The man of wit becomes petulant, and the or artists.

profound thinker, morose.

When Rousseau once retired to a village, he had to endure its conversation; for this purpose he was compelled to invent an expedient to get rid of his uneasy sensations. 'Alone,' says Rousseau, 'I have never known ennui, even when perfectly unoccupied; my imagination filling the void, was sufficient to busy me. It is only the inactive void, was summent to busy me. At is only the inactive chit-chat of the room, when every one is seated face to face, and only moving their tongues, which I never could support. There to be a fixture, nailed with one hand on the other, to settle the state of the weather, or watch the flies about one, or what is worse, to be handying compliments, this to me is not bearable. He hit on the expedient of making lace-strings, carrying his working cushion in his visits, to keep the peace with the country gossips.

Is the occupation of making a great name less anxious and precarious than that of making a great fortune? the

progress of a man's capital is unequivocal to him, but that of the fame of an author, or an artist, is for the greater part of their lives of an ambiguous nature. They find it in one place, and they lose it in another. We may often smile at the local gradations of genius; the esteem in which an author is held here, and the contempt he encounters there; here the learned man is condemned as a heavy drone, and there the man of wit annoys the unwitty

listen

And are not the anxieties, of even the most successful, renewed at every work? often quitted in despair, often returned to with rapture; the same agitation of the spirits. after, or during his whole life; even men of science are ag

\* The class of Literary Characters whom I would distin guich as Men o Letters, are described under that title in this children before him. There is a curious letter in Sir Thomas Bodley's Remains to Lord Bacon, then Sir Francis, where he remonstrates with Bacon on his new mode of philosophizing. It seems the fate of all originality of thinking to be immediately opposed; no contemporary seems equal to its comprehension. Bacon was not at all understood at home in his own day; his celebrity was confined to his History of Henry VII, and to his Essays. In some unpublished letters I find Sir Edward Coke writing very miserable, but very bitter verses, on a copy of the Instauratio presented to him by Bacon, and even James I, declaring that, like God's power, 'it passet beyond all understanding.' When Kepler published his work on Comets, the first rational one, it was condemned even by the learned themselves as extravagant. We see the learned Selden signing his recantation; and long afterwards the propriety of his argument on Tithes fully allowed; the aged Galileo on his knees, with his hand on the Gospels, abjuring, as absurdities, errors, and heresies, the philosophical truths he had ascertained. Harvey, in his eightieth year, did not live to witness his great discovery established. Adam Smith was reproached by the economists for having borrowed his system from them, as if the mind of genius does not borrow little parts to create its own vast views. The great Sydenham, by the independence and force of his genius, so highly provoked the malignant emulation of his rivals, that they cohspired to have him banished out of the College as 'guilty of medicinal heresy.' Such is the fate of men of genius, who advance a century beyond their contempovaries!

Is our man of genius a learned author? Erudition is a thirst which its fountains have never satiated. What wolumes remain to open! What manuscript but makes ais heart palpitate! There is no measure, no term in researches, which every new fact may alter, and a date may dissolve. Truth! thou fascinating, but severe mistress! thy adorers are often broken down in thy servitude, performing a thousand unregarded task-works;\* or now winding thee through thy labyrinth, with a single thread often unravelling, and now feeling their way in darkness, doubtful if it be thyself they are touching. The man of erudition, after his elaborate work, is exposed to the fatal emissions of wearied vigilance, or the accidental knowledge of some inferior mind, and always to the taste, whatever it chance to be, of the public.

ever it chance to be, of the public.

The favourite work of Newton was his Chronology, which he wrote over fifteen times; but desisted from its publication during his life-time, from the ill usage he had received, of which he gave several instances to Pearce, the Bishop of Rochester. The same occurred to Sir John Marsham, who found himself accused as not being friendly to revelation. When the learned Pocock published a specimen of his translation of Abulpharagius, an Arabian historian, in 1649, it excited great interest, but when he published his complete version, in 1665, it met with no encouragement; in the course of those thirteen years, the genius of the times had changed; oriental studies were no longer in request. Thevenot then could not find a book-seller in London or at Amsterdam to print his Abulfeda, nor another, learned in Arabian lore, his history of Saladine.

\*Look on a striking picture of these thousand task-works, coloured by his literary pange, of Le Grand D'Aussy, the literary antiquary, who could never finish his very curious work, on 'The History of the private life of the French.' Endowed with a courage at all proofs, with health, which till then was unaltered, and with excess of labour has greatly changed, I devoted myself to write the lives of the learned, of the eliterant certury. Benguenn all kinds of heavers

"Endowed with a courage at all proofs, with health, which then was unaltered, and with excess of labour has greatly changed, I devoted myself to write the lives of the learned, of the sixteenth century. Renouncing all kinds of pleasure, working ten to twelve hours a day, extracting, ceaselessly cepying; after this sad life, I now wished to draw breath, turn over what I had amassed, and arrange it. I found myself possessed of many thousands of bulletine, of which the longest did not exceed many lines. At the sight of this frightful cohaos, from which I was to form a regular history, I must confess that I shuddered; I felt myself for some time in a supor and depression of spirits; and now actually that I have finished this work, I cannot endure the recollection of that moment of alarm, without a feeling of involuntary terror. What a business is this, good God, of a compiler! in truth it is too much condemned; it merits some regard. At length I regained courage. I returned to my researches: I have completed my plan, though every day I was forced to add, to correct, to change my facts as well as my ideas: six times has my hand recopied my work, and however fatiguing this may be, it certainly is not that portion of my task which has cost me most."

The reputation of a writer of taste is subjected to more difficulties than any other. Every day we observe, of a work of genius, that those parts which have all the raciness of the soil, and as such are must liked by its admirers, are the most criticised. Modest critics shelter themselves are the most general amnesty too freely granted, that tastes are allowed to differ; but we should approximate much nare allowed to differ; but we should approximate much nearer to the truth if we say that but few of makind are capable of relishing the beautiful, with that enlarged taste, which comprehends all the forms of feeling which genus may assume; forms which may even at times he associated with defects. Would our author delight with the style of taste, of imagination, of passion? a path open strewed with roses, but his feet bleed on their invisible strewed with roses, but has seet bleed on their senants thorns. A man of genius composes in a state of intellectual emotion, and the magic of his style consists of the movements of the soul, but the art of conducting them movements is separate from the feeling which impires them. The idea in the mind is not always to be found under the pea. The artist's conception often breakes not in his pencil. He toils, and repeatedly toils, to threw into our minds that sympathy with which we hang over the illusion of his needs and become himself. A great the illusion of his pages, and become himself. A great author is a great artist; if the hand cannot leave the picture, how much beauty will be undo! yet still be is inger-ing, still strengthening the weak, still subduing the daring, still searching for that single idea which awakens so many in others, while often, as it once happened, the dash of deepair hangs the foam on the horse's mostrils. The art of composition is of such slow attainment, that a man of genius, late in life, may discover how its secret concess itself in the habit. When Fox meditated on a history which should last with the language, he met his crit renius in this new province: the rapidity and the fire of his elocution were extinguished by a pen unconsecrated by long and previous study; he saw that he could not class with the great historians of every great people; he com-plained, while he mourned over the fragment of genus, which, after such zealous preparation, he dared not com-plete! Rousseau has glowingly described the cearlest inquietude by which he obtained the seductive elequence of his style, and has said that with whatever talent a max may be born, the art of writing is not easily obtained. Hs existing manuscripts display more erasures than Pope's. and show his eagerness to set down his first thoughts, and his art to raise them to the impassioned style of his imagination. The memoir of Gibbon was composed or nine times, and after all, was left unfinished. Burn's anxiety in finishing his poems was great; 'all my poetry, says he, 'is the effect of easy composition, but of laborous correction.

Pope, when employed on the Iliad, found it not only occupy his thoughts by day, but haunting his dreams by night, and once wished himself hanged, to get rid of Hener: and that he experienced often such literary agoirs, witness his description of the depressions and elevations of genius,

Who pants for glory, finds but short repose,
A breath revives him, or a breath e'erthrows!

Thus must the days of a great author be passed in abours as unremitting and exhausting as those of the artizan. The world are not always aware, that to some, meditation, composition, and even conversation, may inflict pains undetected by the eye and the tenderness of friendship. Whenever Rousseau passed a morning in company, he tells us it was observed that in the evening he was dissatisfied and distressed; and John Hustor, is a mixed company, found conversation fatigued, instead of amusing him. Hawkawot da, in the second paper of the Adventurer, has composed, from his own feelings, an eloquent comparative estimate of intellectual and corporeal labour; it may console the humble mechanic.

The anxious uncertainty of an author for his compositions resembles that of a lover when he has written to a mistress, not yet decided on his claims: he repents his labour, for he thinks he has written too much, while he is mortified at recollecting that he had omitted some things which he imagines would have secured the object of saw wishes. Madame de Stael, who has often entered into feelings familiar to a literary and political family, in a parellel between ambition with genius, has distinguished them in this, that while 'ambition persevere in the desire of acquiring power, genius flags of itself. Genius in the midst of society is a pain, an internal favor which would require to be treated as a real disease, if the records of

glory did not soften the sufferings it produces.'

These moments of anxiety often darken the brightest hours of genius. Racine had extreme sensibility; the pain inflicted by a severe criticism outweighed all the ap-plause he received. He seems to have fell, what he was often reproached with, that his Greeks, his Jews, and his Turks were all inmates of Versailles. He had two crities, who, like our Dennis with Pope and Addison, regularly dogged his pieces as they appeared. Corneille's objections he would attribute to jealousy—at his burlesqued pieces at the Italian theatre, he would smile outwardly, though sick at heart,—but his son informs us, that a stroke of railery from his witty friend Chapelle, whose pleasant-ry scarcely concealed its bitterness, sunk more deeply into his heart than the burlesques at the Italian theatre, the protest of Corneille, and the iteration of the two Dennises. The life of Tasso abounds with pictures of a complete exhaustion of this kind; his contradictory critics had perplexed him with the most intricate literary discussions, and probably occasioned a mental alienation. We find in one of his letters that he repents the composition of his great poom, for although his own taste approved of that marvel-lons, which still forms the nobler part of its creation, yet he confessos that his critics have decided that the history of bis hero Codfrey required another species of conduct.
Lience, cries the unhappy bard, 'doubts vex me; but
for the past and what is done, I know of no remedy'; and he longs to precipitate the publication that 'he may be de-livered from misery and agony.' He solemnly swears that 'did not the circumstances of my situation compel me, I would not print' it, even perhaps during my life, I so much doubt of its success.' Such was that painful state of fear and doubt, experienced by the author of the 'Jeru-salem Delivered' when he gave it to the world; a state of suspense, among the children of imagination, of which none are more liable to participate in, than the too sensitive artist. At Florence may still be viewed the many works begun and abandoned by the genius of Michael Angelo; they are oreserved inviolate; 'so sacred is the terror of Michael Angelo's genius!' exclaims Forsyth. Yet these works are not always to be considered as failures of the chisel; they appear rather to have been rejected by coming short of the artist's first conceptions. An interesting domestic story has been preserved of Gesner, who so zealously devoted his graver and his pencil to the arts, but his sensibility was ever struggling after that ideal excellence he could not attain; often he sunk into fits of melancholy, and gentle as he was, the tenderness of his wife and friends could not sooth his distempered feelings; it was necessary to abandon him to his own thoughts, till after a long abstinence from his neglected works, in a lucid moment, some accident occasioned him to return to them. In one of these hypochondria of genius, after a long interval of despair, one morning at breakfast with his wife, his eye fixed on one of his pictures; it was a group of fauns with young shepherds dancing at the entrance of a cavern shaded with vines; his eye appeared at length to glisten; and a sudden return to good humour broke out in this lively apostrophe, 'Ah! see those playful children, they always dance!' This was the moment of gaiety and inspiration, and he flew to his forsaken easel.

La Harpe, an author by profession, observes, that as it has been shown, that there are some maladies peculiar to artists,—there are also sorrows which are peculiar to them, and which the world can neither pity nor soften, because they do not enter into their experience. The querulous language of so many men of genius has been some-times attributed to causes very different from the real cones,—the most fortunate live to see their talents con-tested and their best works decried. An author with certain critics seems much in the situation of Benedict, when he exclaimed—' Hang me in a bottle, like a cat, and when he exclaimed—' Hang me in a bottle, like a cat, and shoot at me; and he that hits me, let him be clapped on the shoulder, and called Adam!' Assuredly many an author has sunk into his grave without the consciousness of having obtained that fame for which he had in vain sacrificed an arduous life. The too feeling Smollet has left this testimony to posterity. ' Had some of those, who are pleased to call themselves my friends, been at any pains to deserve the character, and told me ingeniously what I had to expect in the capacity of an suther. I should what I had to expect in the capacity of an author, I should in all probability, have spared myself the incredible labour and chagris I have since undergone. And Smollet was a popular writer! Pope's soloma declaration in the pre-

face to his collected works comes by no means short of Smollet's avowal. Hume's philosophical indifference could often suppress that irritability which Pope and Smollet fully indulged. But were the feelings of Hume more obtuse, or did his temper, gentle as it was constitutionally, bear, with a saintly patience, the mortifications his literary life so long endured ? After recomposing two of his works, which incurred the same neglect in utus anteres in ..., raised the most sanguine hopes of his history,—but he tells to the history had not help to the history had not hel which incurred the same neglect in their altered form, he us, 'miserable was my disappointment!' The reasoning Hume once proposed changing his name and his country' and although he never deigned to reply to his opponents, yet they haunted him; and an eye-witness has thus described the irritated author discovering in conversation his suppressed resentment- His forcible mode of expression, the brilliant quick movements of his eyes, and the gestures of his body, these betrayed the pangs of contempt, or of aversion! Erasmus once resolved to abandon for ever his favourite literary pursuits; 'if this,' he exclaimed, al-luding to his adversaries, 'if this be the fruits of all my youthful labours !'-

Parties confederate against a man of genius, as hap-pened to Corneille, to D'Avenant\* and Milton, and a Pradon and a Settle carry away the meed of a Racine and a Dryden. It was to support the drooping spirit of his friend Racine on the opposition raised against Phedra, that Boileau addressed to him an epistle on the utility to be drawn from the jealousy of the envious. It was more to the world than to his country, that Lord Bacon appealed, by a frank and noble conception in his will,—' For my name and memory, I leave it to men's charitable speeches, and to foreign nations, and the next age. The calm dig-nity of the historian De Thou, amidst the passions of his times, confidently expected that justice from posterity which his own age refused to his early and his late labour: that great man was, however, compelled, by his injured feelings, to compose a poem, under the name of another, to serve as his apology against the intolerant Court of Rome, and the factious politicians of France; it was a noble subterfuge to which a great genius was forced. The acquaintances of the poet Collins probably complained of his wayward humours and irritability; but how could they sympathize with the secret mortification of the poet for having failed in his Pastorals, imagining that they were composed on wrong principles; or with a secret agony of soul, burning with his own hands his unsold, but immortal Odes? Nor must we forget here the dignified complaint of the Rambler, with which he awfully closes his work, in

appealing to posterity.

appealing to posterity.

In its solitary occupations, genius contracts its peculiarities, and in that sensibility which accompanies it, that loftiness of spirit, those quick jealousies, those excessive affections and aversions, which view every thing, as it passes in its own ideal world, and rarely as it exists in the mediocrity of reality. This irritability of genius is a malady which has raged even among philosophers: we must consider the provided by the property of the provided by the provided temperament. not, therefore, be surprised at the poetical temperament. They have abandoned their country, they have changed their name, they have punished themselves with exile in the rage of their disorder. Descartes sought in vain, even in his secreted life, a refuge for his genius; he thought himself persecuted in France, he thought himself calumniated among strangers, and he went and died in Sweden; and little did that man of genius think, that his country-men, would beg to have his ashes restored to them. Hume once proposed to change his name and country, and I be-lieve did. The great poetical genius of our times has openly alienated himself from the land of his brothers; he becomes immortal in the language of a people whom he would contemn; he accepts with ingratitude the fame he loves more than life, and he is only truly great who on that spot of earth, whose genius, when he is no more, will conimplate on his shade in anger and in sorrow.

Thus, the state of authorship is not friendly to equality of temper; and in those various humours incidental to it, when authors are often affected deeply, while the cause escapes all perception of sympathy, at those moments the lightest injury to the feelings, which at another time would make no impression, may produce even fury in the warm temper, or the corroding chagrin of a self wounded spirit. These are moments which claim the tenderness of friendship, animated by a high esteem for the intellectual excellence of this man of genius,-not the general intercourse

\* See 'Quarrels of Authors,' Vol. ii, on the confideracy of several wits against D'Avenant, a great genius

of society,not the insensibility of the dull, nor the levity of the volatile.

Men of genius are often reverenced only where they are known by their writings; intellectual beings in the romance of hie—in its history, they are men! Erasmus compared them to the great figures in tapestry—work, which lose their effect when not seen at a distance. Their foibles and their infirmities are obvious to their associates, often only capable of discerning these qualities. The defects of great men are the consolation of the dunces.

### CHAPTER V.

#### THE SPIRIT OF LITERATURE AND THE SPIRIT OF SOCIETY.

When a general intercourse in society prevails, the age of great genius has passed; and equality of talents rages of great genus has passed; and equality of talesto large among a multitude of authors and artists; they have ex-tended the superfices of genius, but have lost the intensi-ty; the contest is more furious, but victory is more rare. The founders of National Literature and Art pursued their insulated studies in the full independence of their mind and the developement of their inventive faculty.

The master-spirits who create an epoch, the inventors, lived at periods when they inherited nothing from their predecessors; in seclusion they stood apart, the solitary lights of their age.

At length, when a people have emerged to glory, and a silent revolution has obtained, by a more uniform light of knowledge coming from all sides, the genius of society becomes greater than the genius of the individual : hence, the character of genius itself becomes subordinate. A conversation age succeeds a studious one, and the family

of genius are no longer recluses.

The man of genius is now trammelled with the artificial and mechanical forms of life; and in too close an intercourse with society, the loneliness and raciness of thinking is modified away in its seductive conventions. An excessive indulgence in the pleasures of social life con-stitutes the great interests of a luxurious and opulent age.

It may be a question whether the literary man and the artist are not immolating their genius to society, when, with the mockery of Proteus, they lose their own by all forms, in the shadowiness of assumed talent. But a path of roses, where all the senses are flattered, is now opened to win an Epictetus from his hut. The morning lounge, the luxurious dinner, and the evening party are the regu-lated dissipations of hours which true genius knows are always too short for Art, and too rare for its inspirations : and hence so many of our contemporaries, whose cardracks are crowded, have produced only flashy fragments,
—efforts, and not works. It is seduction, and not reward, which me a fashionable society offers the man of true ge-nius, for he must be distinguished from those men of the world, who have assumed the literary character, for pur-poses very distinct from literary ones. In this society, the man of genius shall cease to interest, whatever be his talent; he will be sought for with enthus iasm, but he cannot escape from his certain fate,—that of becoming tiresome to his pretended admirers. The confidential confession of Racine to his son is remarkable. 'Do not think that I am sought after by the great for my dramas; Corneille composes nobler verses than mins, but no one notices him, and he only pleases by the mouth of the actors. I never alludo to my works when with men of the world, but I amuse them about matters they like to hear. My falent with them consists not in making them feel that I have any, but in showing them that they have '-Racine treated the Great, like the children of society; Corneille would not compromise for the tribute he exacted; and consoled himself when, at his entrance into the theatre, the audience usually rose to salute him.

Has not the fate of our reigning literary favourites been uniform? Their mayoralty hardly exceeds the year. They are pushed aside to put in their place another, who in his turn must descend. Such is the history of the literary character encountering the perpetual difficulty of appearing what he really is not, while he sacrifices to a few, an a certain corner of the metropolis, who have long fantastically called themselves 'The Word,' that more dignified celebrity which makes an author's name more fa-miliar than his person. To one who appeared satonished at the extensive celebrity of Buffon, the modern Pliny replied, 'I have passed fifty vesrs at my desk.' And has

che seggendo in pir In Fama non si vien, ne sotto coltre; Sanza la qual chi sua vita consuma Cotel vestigio in terra di se lascia Qual fommo in acre, ed in acqua la schiu Dente, Informo, c. INV.\*

Another, who had great experience of the world and of literature, to observes, that literary men (and artists) seek an intercourse with the great from a refinement of self-love; they are perpetually wanting a confirmation of their own talents in the opinions of others, (for their rivals are, at all times, very cruelly and very advoitly diminishing their reputation;) for this purpose, they require judges sufficiently enlightened to appreciate their talents, but who de not exercise too penetrating a judgment. Now this is exactly the state of the generality of the great, (or persons of fashion,) who cultivate taste and literature; these have only time to acquire that degree of light which is just sufficient to set at ease the fears of these claimants of genius. Their eager vanity is more voracious than delicate, and is willing to accept an incense less durable than ambrosia.

The habitudes of genius, before it lost its freshnesses. this society, are the mould in which the character is co and these, in spite of all the disguise of the man, hereafter make him a distinct being from the man of society. who can only dazzle and surprise, will never spread that contagious energy only springing from the fullness of the heart. Let the man of genius then dread to level him-self to that mediocrity of feeling and talent required in every-day society, lest he become one of themselves. Ridicule is the shadowy scourge of society, and the terror of the man of genius; Ridicule surrounds him with her chimeras, like the shadowy monsters which opposed Eneas, too impalphable to be grasped, while the airy notold to pass the grinning monsters unnoticed, and they would then be as harmless, as they were unreal.

Study, Meditation, and Enthusiasm,—this is the process of a study.

gress of genius, and these cannot be the abits of him who lingers till he can only live among polish d crowds. If he bears about him the consciousness of enius, he will be still acting under their influences. And perhaps there never was one of this class of men who had not either first entirely formed himself in solitude, or amidst society is perpetually breaking out to seek for himself. Wikes, who, when no longer touched by the fervours of literary and patriotic glory, grovelled into a domestic voluptury, observed with some surprise of the great Earl of Chatobserved with some stringer of the great pair of observed with some stringer pleasure of social life, even in youth, to his great pursuit of eloquence; and the Earl himself acknowledged an artifice he practised in his intercourse with society, for he said, when he was young he always came late into company, and left it early. Vitto-rio Alfieri, and a brother-spirit in our own noble poet, were rarely seen amidst the brilliant circle in which they were born; the workings of their imagination were perpetually emancipating them, and one deep loneliness of feeling proudly insulated them among the unimpassioned triflers of their rank. They preserved unbroken the unity of their character, in constantly escaping from the processional spectacle of society, by frequent intervals of retirement. it is no trivial observation of another noble writer, Lord Shafterbury, that ' it may happen that a person may be so much the worse author, for being the finer gentleman.

An extraordinary instance of this disagreement between the man of the world and the literary character, we find in a philosopher seated on a throne. The celebrated Julian stained the imperial purple with an author's ink; and when that Emperor resided among the Antiochians, his unalterable character shocked that volatile and luxurious race; he slighted the plaudits of their theatre, he abhorred their dancers and their horse-racers, he was abstingnt even at a festival, and perpetually incorrupt, admonished this dissipated people of their impious abandonment of the laws of their country. They libelled the Emperor and petu-lantly lampooned his beard, which the philosopher carelessly wore, neither perfumed nor curled. Julian, scorning to inflict a sharper punishment, pointed at them his satire

\* 'Not by reposing on pillows or under canopies, is Fame acquired, without which he, who consumes his life, leaves such an unregarded vestige on the earth of his being, as the smoke in the air or the foam on the wave,'
† D'Alemberer la Société des Gens de Lettres et des Grands.

Digitized by GOOGIC

of 'the Misopogon, or the Antiochian; the Enemy of the Beard,' where amidst the irony and invective, the liverary monarch bestows on himself many exquisite and individual touches. All that those persons of fashion alleged against the literary character, Julian unreasersedly confesses—his undressed beard and his awkwardnesses, his obstinacy, his unsociable habits, his deficient tastes, &c, while he represents his good qualities as so many extravagancies. But, in this pleasantry of self-reprehension, he has not failed to show this light and corrupt people that he could not possibly resemble them. The unhappiness of too strict an education under a family tutor, who never suffered him to awerve from the one right way, with the unlucky circumstance of his master having inspired Julian with such a reverence for Plato and Sucrates, Aristotle and Theophrastus, as to have made them his models: 'Whatever manners,' says the Emperor, 'I may have previously contracted, whether gentle or boorish, it is impossible for me now to alter or unlearn. Habit is said to be a second nature; to oppose it is irksome, but to counteract the study of more than thirty years is extremely difficult, especially then it has been imbled with so much attention.'

And what if men of genius, relinquishing their habits, could do this violence to their nature, should we not lose the original for a factitious genius, and spoil one race without improving the other? If nature, and habit, that second nature which prevails even over the first, have created two beings distinctly different, what mode of existence shall ever assimilate them? Antipathies and sympathies, those still occult causes, however concealed, will break forth at an unguarded moment. The man of genius will be restive even in his trammelled paces. Clip the wings of an eagle and place him to roost among the domestic poultry; will he peck with them? will he chuck like them? At some unforeseen moment his pinions will overshadow and terrify his tiny associates, for 'the feathered king' will

be still musing on the rock and the cloud.

Thus is it, as our literary Emperor discovered, that ' we cannot counteract the study of more than thirty years, when it has been imbibed with so much attention. of genius are usually not practised in the minuter attentions; in those heartless courtesies, poor substitutes for generous graces of Lord Chesterfield. Plato ingeniously compares Socrates to the gallipots of the Athenian apothecaries, which were painted on the exterior with the grotesque figures of apes and owls, but contained within a precious balm. The man of genius may exclaim amidst many a circle, as did Themistocles, when asked to play on a lute—'I cannot fiddle, but I can make a little village a great city,' and with Corneille he may be allowed to smile at his own deficiencies, and even disdain to please in trivials, asserting that, 'wanting all these things, he was not the less Corneille.' With the great thinkers and students, their character is still more hopeless. Adam Smith a recluse; he was often absent; and his grave and formal conversation made him seem distant and reserved, when, in fact, no man had warmer feelings for his intimates. Buffon's conversation was very indifferent-and the most eloquent writer was then coarse and careless; after each laborious day of study, he pleaded that conversation was to him only a relaxation. Rousseau gave no indication of his energetic style in conversation. A princess, desirous of seeing the great moralist Nicolle, experienced incon-ceivable disappointment, when the moral instructor, en-tering with the most perplexing bow imaginable, sank down silently on his chair; the interview promoted no conver-sation; and the retired student, whose elevated spirit might have endured martyrdom, sank with timidity in the unaccustomed honour of conversing with a princess, and having nothing to say. A lively Frenchman, in a very in-genious description of the distinct sorts of conversations of his numerous literary friends, among whom was Dr Franklin, energetically hits off that close observer and thinker. wary even in society; among these varieties of conversation he has noted down the silence of the celebrated Franklin. When Lord Oxford desired to be introduced to the studious Thomas Baker, he very unaffectedly declined, in a letter I have seen, that honour, 'as a rash adventure he could not think of engaging in, not having fitted himself for any conversation, but with the dead.'

But this deficient agreeableness in a man of genius may be often connected with those qualities which conduce to the greatness of his public character. A vidid perception of truth on the sudden, bursts with an irruptive heat on the subdued tone of conversation; should he hesitate, that he may correct an equivocal expression, or grasp at a remote idea, he is in danger of sinking into pedantry or rising to genius. Even the tediousness he bestowa on us, may swell out from the fulness of knowledge, or be hammered into a hard chain of reasoning; and how often is the cold tardiness of decision, the strict balancings of scepticism and candour! even obscurity may arise from the want of previous knowledge in the listener. But above all, what offends is that freedom of opinion, which a man of genius can no more divest himself of than of the features of his face; that intractable obstinacy which may be called resistance of character—a rock which checks the flowing stream of popular opinions, and divides them by the collision. Poor Burns could never account to himself why 'though when he had a mind he was pretty generally beloved, he could never get the art of commanding respect.' He imagined it was owing to his being deficient in what Sterne calls 'that understrapping virtue of descretion.' 'I am so apt,' he says, 'to a lapses lingue.'

It is remarkable that the conversationists have rarely proved themselves to be the abler writers. He whose fancy is susceptible of excitement, in the presence of his auditors, making the minds of men run with his own, seizing on the first impressions, and touching, as if he really felt them, the shadows and outlines of things-with a memory where all lies ready at hand, quickened by habituat associ-ations, and varying with all those extemporary changes and fugitive colours, which melt away in the rainbow of conversation; that jargon, or vocabulary of fashion, those terms and phrases of the week perpetually to be learnt; that wit, which is only wit in one place, and for a certain time; such vivacity of animal spirits, which often exists separately from the more retired intellectual powers; all these can strike out wit by habit, and pour forth a stream of phrase that has sometimes been imagined to require only to be written down, to be read with the same delight it was heard; we have not all the while been sensible of the flutter of their ideas, the violence of their transitions, their vague notions, their doubtful assertions, and their meagre knowledge—a pen is the extinguisher of these luminaries. A curious contrast occurred between Buffon and his friend Monthelliard, who was associated in his great work; the one possessed the reverse qualities of the other. Monthelliard threw every charm of animation over his delightful conversation, but when he came to take his seat at the rival desk of Buffon, an immense interval separated them; his tongue distilled the music and the honey of the bee, but his pen seemed to be iron, as cold and as hard, while Buffon's was the soft pencil of the philosophical painter of nature. The characters of Cowly and Killegrew are an instance. Cowly was embarrassed in conversation, and had not quickness in argument or repartee; pensive elegance and refined combinations could not be struck at to catch fire; while with Killegrew the sparkling bubbles of his fancy rose and dropped; yet when this delightful conversationist wrote, the deception ceased. Denham, who knew them both, hit off the difference between them ;-

'Had Cowly re'er spoke; Killegrew ne'er writ, Combin'd in one, they had made a matchless wit.'

Thought and expression are only found easily when they lie on the surface; the operations of the intellect with sow;, are slow and deep. Hence it is that slow-minded men are not, as men of the world imagine, always the dullest. Nicolie said of a scintillant wit, 'He conquers me in the drawing-room, but he surrenders to me at discretion on the staircase.' Many a great wit has thought the wit which he never spoke, and many a great reasoner has perplexed his listeners. The conversation-powers of some resemble the show-glass of the fashion-able trader; all his moderate capital is there spread out in the last novelties; the magasin within is neither rich nor rare. Chaucer was more facetious in his Tales, than in his conversation, for the Countess of Pembroke used to rally him, observing that his silence was more agreeable to her than his conversation. Tasso's conversation was neithering any nor brilliant; and Goldoni, in his drama of Torquato Tasso, has contrasted the posts writings and his conversation;—

Ammiro il suo talento, gradisco i carmi suoi;
Ma piacer non trovo a convenar con lui.

The sublime Dante was tacitum or satirical; Butler was sullen or biting; Descartes, whose habits had formed him for solitude and meditation, was silent. Addison and Moliere were only observers in society; and Dryden has very honestly told us, 'my conversation is slow and dull; my humour saturnine and reserved; in short I am none of those who endeavour to break jests in company or make repartees.' It was ingeniously said of Vancanson, that he was as much a machine as any he made. Hogarth and Swift, who looked on the circles of society with eyes of inspiration, were absent in company; but their grossess and asperity did not prevent the one from being the greatest of comic painters, nor the other as much a creator of manners in his way. Genius even in society is pursuing its own operations; but it would cease to be itself, in becoming another.

One peculiar trait in the conversations of men of genius, which has often injured them when the listeners were not intimately acquainted with the man, are certain sports of a vacant mind; a sudden impulse to throw out opinions, and take views of things in some humour of the moment. Extravagant paradoxes and false opinions are caught up by the humbler prosers; and the Philistines are thus enabled to triumph over the strong and gifted man, because in the hour of confidence and the abandonment of the mind, he laid his head in their lap and taught them how he might be shorn of his strength. Dr. Johnson appears often to have indulged this amusement in good and in ill humour. Even such a calm philosopher as Adam Smith, as well as such a child of imagination as Burns, were remarked for this ordinary habt of men of genius, which perhaps as often originates in a gentle feeling of contempt for their auditors, as from any other cause.

Not however that a man of genius does not utter many startling things in conversation which have been found ad-

Not however that a man of genius does not utter many starting things in conversation which have been found admirable, when the public perused them. How widely the public often differ from the individual! a century's opinion may intervene between them. The fate of genius resembles that of the Athenian sculptor, who submitted his colossal Minerva to a private party; before the artist they trembled for his daring chisel, and behind him they calumniated. The man of genius smiled at the one, and forgave the other. The state once fixed in a public place, and seen by the whole city, was the divinity. There is a cety tain distance at which opinions, as well as statues, must be

But enough of those defects of men of genius, which often attend their conversations. Must we then bow to authorial dignity, and kiss hands, because they are inked; and to the artist, who thinks us as nothing unless we are canvass under his hands? are there not men of genius, the grace of society? fortunate men! more blest than their brothers; but for this, they are not the more men of genius nor the others less. To how many of the ordinary intimates of a superior genius, who complain of his defects, might one say, 'Do his productions not delight and sometimes surprise you?—You are silent—I beg your pardon; the public has informed you of a great name; you would not otherwise have perceived the precious talent of your neighbour. You know little of your friend but his name. of genius has often produced a ludicrous prejudice. A scotchman, to whom the name of Dr Robertson had travelled down, was curious to know who he was? 'Your neighbour!' but he could not persuade himself that the man whom he conversed with was the great historian of his country. Even a good man could not believe in the announcement of the Messiah, from the same sort of prejudice, 'Can there any thing good come out of Nazareth?' said Nathaniel.

Suffer a man of genius to be such as nature and habit have formed him, and he will then be the most interesting companion; then will you see nothing but his mighty mind when it opens itself on you. Barry was the most repulsive of men in his exterior, in the roughness of his language and the wildness of his looks; intermingling vulgar oaths, which, by some unlucky association of habit, he seemed to use as strong expletives and notes of admiration. His conversation has communicated even a horror to some: on one of these occasions, a pious lady, who had felt such intolerable uncasiness in his presence, did not however leave this man of genius that evening, without an impression that she had never heard so divine a man in her life. The conversation happening to turn on that principle of Benevolence which per-ades Christianity and the meek-

ness of the Founder, it gave Barry an opportunity of opening on the character of Jesus, with that copiousness of heart and mind, which once heard could never be forgotten. That artist had indeed long in his meditations an ideal head of Christ, which he was always talking to execute; 'It is here!' he would cry, striking his head. What baffled the invention, as we are told, of Leonardo da Vinci, who left his Christ headless, having exhausted his creative faculty among the apostless, Barry was still dreaming on; but this mysterious mixture of a human and celestial nature could only be conceived by his mind, and even the catholic enthusiasm of Barry was compelled to refrain from unveiling it to the eye,—but this unpainted picture was perpetually exciting this artist's emotions in conversation.

Few authors and artists but are eloquently instructive on that sort of knowledge or that department of art which has absorbed all their affections; their conversations affect the mind to a distant period of life. Who has forgotten what a man of genius has said at such moments? the man of genius becomes an exquisite instrument, when the hand of the performer knows to call forth the rich configuration of the sounds; and—

ne sounds; and—

'The flying fingers touch mto a voice.'

# CHAPTER, VI.

The literary character is reproached with an extrem passion for retirement, cultivating those insulating habits which are great interruptions, and even weakeners of domestic happiness, while in public life these often induce to a succession from its cares, thus cluding its active duties. Yet the vacancies of retired men are eagerly filled by so many unemployed men of the world more happily framed for its business. We do not hear these accusations raised against the painter who wears away his days at his casel, and the musician by the side of his instrument: and much less should we against the legal and the commercial character; yet all these are as much withdrawn from public and private life as the literary character; their desk is as insulating as the library. Yet is the man who is working for his individual interest more highly estimated than too retired student, whose disinterested pursuits are at least more profitable to the world than to himself. La Bruyere discovered the world's erroneous estimate of literary labour: 'There requires a better name to be bestowed on the leisure (the idleness he calls it) of the literary character, and that to meditate, to compose, to read and to be tranquil, should be called sorking.' But so invisible is the progress of intellectual pursuits, and so rarely are the objects palnable to the observers, that the literary character appears denied for his pursuits, what cannot be refused to every other. That unremitting application, that unbroken series of their thoughts. admired in every profession, is only complained of in that one whose professors with so much sincerity mourn over the shortness of life, which has

often closed on them while sketching their works.

It is, however, only in solitude that the genius of eminent men has been forned; there their first thoughts sprang, and there it will become them to find their last: for the solitude—will be foun! the happiest with the literary character. Solitude is the nurse of enthusiasm, and enthusiasm is the true parent of genius; in all ages it has been called for—it has been flown to. No considerable work was ever composed, but its author, like an ancient magician, first retired to the grove, or to the closet, to invocate. When genius languishes in an irksome solitude among crowds, that is the moment to fly into seclusion and meditation. There is a society in the deepest solitude; in all the men of genius of the past—

'First of your kind, Society divine !

and in themselves; for there only they can indulge in the romances of their soul, and only in solitude can they occupy themselves in their dreams and their vigils, and, with the morning, fly without interruption to the labour they had reluctantly quitted. This desert of solitude, so vast and so dreary to the man of the world, to the man of gesius opens the magical garden of Armida whose enchantments arose amidst solitude, while solitude was every where among those enchantments.

Whenever Michael Angelo was meditating on some great design, he closed himself up from the world. 'Why do you lead so solitary a life?' asked a friend. 'Art,' replied the sublime artist, 'Art is a jealous god; it requires the whole and entire man.'

We observe men of genius, in public situations, sighing for this solitude; amidst the impediments of the world, and their situation in it, they are doomed to view their intellectual banquet often rising before them, like some fairy delusion, never to taste it. They feel that finer existence in solitude. Lord Clarendon, whose life so happily combined the contemplative with the active powers of man, dwells on three periods of retirement which he enjoyed; he always took pleasure in relating the great tranquillity of spirit ex-perienced during his solitude at Jersey, where for more than two years, employed on his History, he daily wrote 'one sheet of large paper with his own hand.' At the close of his life, his literary labours in his other retirements are detailed with a proud satisfaction. Each of his solitudes occasioned a new acquisition; this the Spanish, that the French, and a third the Italian literature. The public are French, and a third the Italian literature. The public are not yet acquainted with the fertility of Lord Clare on's literary labours. It was not vanity that induced Sciplo to declare of solitude, that it had no loneliness to him, since he voluntarily retired amidst a glorious life to his Linternum. Cicero was uneasy amidst applauding Rome, and has distinguished his numerous works by the titles of his various villas. Aulus Gellius marked his solitude by his 'Attic Nights.' The 'Golden Grove' of Jeremy Taylor is the produce of his retreat at the Earl of Carberry's seat in Wales; and the 'Diversions of Purley' preserved a man of genius for posterity. Voltaire had talents, and perhaps a taste for society; but at one period of his life he passed five years in the most secret seclusion. Montesquieu quitted the brilliant circles of Paris for his books, his meditations, and his immortal work, and was ridiculed by the gay triflers he deserted. Harrington, to compose his Oceana, severed himself from the society of his friends. Descartes, inflamed by genius, hires an obscure house in an unfrequented quarter at Paris, and there he passes two years, unknown to his acquaintance. Adam Smith, after the publication of his first work, throws himself into a retirement that lasts ten years : even Hume rallies him for separating himself from the world; but by this means the great political inquirer satisfied the world by his great work. And thus it was with men of genius, long ere Petrarch withdrew to his Val chiusa.

The interruption of visiters by profession has been feelingly lamented by men of letters.—The mind, maturing its speculation, feels the unexpected conversation of cold ceremony, chilling as the blasts of March winds over the blossoms of the Spring. Those unhappy beings who wander from house to house, privileged by the charter of society to obstruct the knowledge they cannot impart, to tire because they are tired, or to seek amusement at the cost of others, belong to that class of society which have affixed no other value to time than that of getting rid of it; these are judges not the best qualified to comprehend the nature and evil of their depredations in the silent anartment of the studious. 'We are afraid,' said some of those visiters to Baxter, 'that we break in upon your time.'—'To be sure you do,' replied the disturted and blunt scholar. Ursinus, to hint as gently as he could to his friends that he was avaricious of time contrived to place an inscription over the door of his study, which could not fail to fix their eve, intimating that whoever remained there must join in his labours. The amiable Melancthon incapable of a harsh expression, when he received these idle visits, only noted down the time he had expended, that he might reanimate his industry, and not lose a day. The literary character has been driven to the most inventive shifts to escape the irruption of a formidable party at a single rush, who enter without besieging or beserching, as Milton has it. The late elegant, poetical Mr Ellis, on one of these occasions, at his country-house, showed a literary friend, that when driven to the last, he usually made his escape by a leap out of the window. Brand Hollis endeavoured to hold out the idea of singularity as a shield; and the great Robert Boyle was compelled to advertise in a newspaper that he must decline visits on certain days, that he might have leisure to finish some of his works.\*

But this solitude, at first a necessity, and then a pleasure, at length is not borne without repining. To tame the forThis curious advertisement is preserved in Dr Birch's Life of Boyle, p. 272,

vid wildness of youth to the strict regularities of study is a sacrifice performed by the votary; but even Milton appears to have felt this irlesome period of life; for in the preface to Smeetymnuus he says, It is but justice not to defraud of due esteem the wearisome labours and studious watchings wherein I have spent and tired out almost a whole youth." Cowley, that enthusiast for seclusion, in his retirement calls himself 'the melancholy Cowley.' I have seen an original letter of this poet to Evrelyn, where he expresses his eagerness to see Evelyn's Essay on Solitude; for a copy of which he had sent over the town, without obtaining one, being 'either all bought up, or burnt in the fire of London.' I am the more desirous, he says, because it is a subject in which I am most deeply interested. Thus Cowley was requiring a book to confirm his predilection, and we know he made the experiment, which did not prove a happy one. We find even Gibbon, with all his fame about him, anticipating the dread he entertained of solitude in advanced life. feel, and shall continue to feel, that domestic solitude, however it may be alleviated by the world, by study, and even by friendship, is a comfortless state, which will grow more painful as I descend in the vale of years. And again— 'Your visit has only served to remind me that man, however amused er occupied in his closet, was not made to live alone.

Had the mistaken notions of Sprat not deprived us of Cowley's correspondence, we doubtless had viewed the sorrows of lonely genius touched by a tender pencil. But we have Shenatone, and Gray, and Swift. The heart of Shenstone bleeds in the dead oblivion of solitude. 'Now I am come from a visit, every little uneasiness is sufficient to introduce my whole train of melancholy considerations, and to make me utterly dissatisfied with the life I now lead, and the life I forese I shall lead, I am angry and envious, and dejected, and frantic, and disregard all present things, as becomes a madman to do. I am infinitely pleased, though it is a gloomy joy, with the application of Dr. Swift's complaint, that he is forced to die in a rage, like a rat in a poisoned hole." Let the lover of solitude muse on its picture throughout the year, in this stanza by the same amiable, but suffering poet—

but suffering powe—
Tedious again to curse the drizzling day,
Again to trace the wintry tracks of snow,
Or, southed by vernal sire, again survey
The self-same hawthorns bud, and cowslips blow.

Swift's letters paint with terrifying colours a picture of solitude; and at length his despair closed with idiotisms. Even the playful muse of Gresset throws a sombre queru-lousness over the solitude of men of genius—

Je les vols, Victimes du Génie, Au foible prix d'un éclat passager Vivre isolés, sans jouir de la vie! Vingt ans d'Ennuis pour quelques jours de Gloire.

Such are the necessity, the pleasures, and the inconveniences of solitude! Were it a question, whether men of genius should blend with the masses of society, one might answer, in a style rather oracular, but intelligible to the initiated—Men of genius! live in solitude, and do not live in solitude!

### CHAPTER VII.

### THE MEDITATIONS OF GENTUS.

A continuity of attention, a patient quietness of mind, forms one of the characteristics of genius.

A work on the Art of Meditation has not yet been produced; it might prove of immense advantage to him who never happened to have more than one solitary idea. The pursuit of a single principle has produced a great work, and a loose hint has conducted to a new discovery. But while in every manual art, every great workman improves on his predecessor, of the art of the mind, notwithstanding the facility of practice and our incessant experience, millions are yet ignorant of the first rudiments; and men of genius themselves are rarely acquainted with the materials they are working on. Johnson has a curious observation on the mind itself,—he thinks it obtains a stationary point, from whence it can never advance, occurring before the middle of life. He says, 'when the powers of nature have attained their intended energy, they can be no more advanced. The shrub can never become a tree. Nothing then remains but practice and experience; and perhaps why they do so little, may be worth inquiry.'\* The result

\* I recommend the reader to turn to the whole passage, is Johnson's Lesters to Mrs Thrale, Vol. I p. 290

of this inquiry would probably lav a broader foundation for this art of the mind than we have hitherto possessed. Ferguson has expressed himself with sublimity—'The lustre which man casts around him, like the flame of a meteor, shines only while his motion continues; the moments of rest and of obscurity are the same.' What is this art of meditation, but the power of withdrawing ourselves from the world, to view that world moving within ourselves, while we are in repose; as the artist by an optical instrument concentrates the boundless landscape around him, and patiently traces all nature in that small

Certain constituent principles of the mind itself, which the study of metaphysics has curicusly discovered, offer many important regulations in this desirable art. We may even suspect, since men of genius in the present age have confided to us the secrets of their studies, that this art may be carried on by more obvious means, and even by mechanical contrivances, and practical habits. There is a government of our thoughts; and many secrets yet romain to be revealed in the art of the mind; but as yet they consist of insulated facts, from which, however, may hereafter be formed an experimental history. Many little habits may be contracted by genius, and may be ob-served in ourselves. A mind well organized may be regu-lated by a single contrivance: it is by a bit of lead that we are enabled to track the flight of time. The mind of genius can be made to take a particular disposition, or train of ideas. It is a remarkable circumstance in the studies of men of genius, that previous to composition they have often awakened their imagination by the imagination of their favourite masters. By touching a magnet they became a magnet. A circumstance has been recorded of Gray, by Mr Mathias, 'as worthy of all acceptation among the higher votaries of the divine art, when they are assured that Mr Gray never sate down to compose any poetry without previously, and for a considerable time, reading the works of Spenser.' But the circumstance was not unusual with Malherbe, Corneille, and Racine; and the most fervid verses of Homer, and the most tender of Euripides, were often repeated by Milton. Even antiquity exhibits the same exciting intercourse of the mind of genius. Cicero informs us how his eloquence caught inspiration from a constant study of the Latin and Grecian poetry; and it has been recorded of Pompey, who was great even in his youth, that he never undertook any considerable enterprise, without animating his genius by having read to him the character of Agamemnon in the first Iliad; although he acknowledged that the enthusiasm he caught came rather from the poet than the hero. When Bossuel had to compose a funeral oration, he was accustomed to retire for several days to his study, to ruminate over the pages of Homer; and when asked the reason of this habit, he exclaimed, in these lines,

### 

Delius inspiret Vates—
It is on the same principle of pre-disposing the mind, that many have first generated their feelings in the symphonies of music. Alfieri, often before he wrote, prepared his mind by listening to music—a circumstance which has been recorded of others.

We are scarcely aware how we may govern our thoughts by means of our sensations. De Luc was subject to violent bursts of passion, but he calmed the interior tumult by the artifice of filling his mouth with sweets and comfits. When Goldoni found his sleep disturbed by the obtrusive ideas still floating from the studies of the day, he contrived to lull himself to rest by conning in his mind a vocabulary of the Venetian dialect, translating some word into Tuscan and French; which being a very uninteresting occupation, at the third or fourth version this recipe never failed. This was an act of withdrawing attention from the greater to the less emotion; where, as the interest weakened, the excitement ceased. Mendelsohn, whose feeble and too sensitive frame was often reduced to the last stage of suffering by intellectual exertion, when engaged in any point of difficulty, would in an instant contrive a perfect cessation from thinking, by mechanically going to the window, and counting the tiles upon the roof of his neighbour's house. Facts like these show how much art may be concerned in the management of the mind.

Some profound thinkers could not pursue the operations of their mind in the distraction of light and noise. Malebranche, Hobbes, Thomas, and others closed their curtains to concentrate their thoughts, as Milton says of the

mind, 'in the spacious circuits of her musing.' The study of an author or an arist would be ill placed in the midst of a beautiful landscape; the Penseroso of Milton, 'hid from day's garish eye,' is the man of genius. A secluded and naked apartment, with nothing but a desk, a chair, and a single sheet of paper, was for fifty years the study of Buffon; the single ornament was a print of Newton placed before his eyes—nothing broke into the unity of his reveries.

The arts of memory have at all times excited the attention of the studious; they open a world of undivulged mysteries; every one seems to form some discovery of his own, but which rather excites his astonishment than enlarges his comprehension. When the late William Hutton, a man of an original cast of mind, as an experiment in memory, opened a book which he had divided into \$65 columns, according to the days of the year, he resolved to try to recollect an anecdote, as insignificant and remote as he was able, rejecting all under ten years of age; and to his surprise, he filled those spaces for small reminiscences, within ten columns; but till this experiment had been made, he never conceived the extent of this faculty. With we reflect, that whatever we know, and whatever we feel, are the very smallest portions of all the knowledge and all the feelings we have been acquiring through life, how desirable would be that art, which should open again the scenes which have vanished, revive the emotions which other impressions have effaced, and enrich our which other impressions have enaced, and entire our thoughts, with thoughts not less precious; the man of ge-nius who shall possess this art, will not satisfy himself with the knowledge of a few mornings and its transient emotions, writing on the moveable sand of present sensations, present feelings, which alter with the first breezes of pubic opinion. Memory is the foundation of genius; for this faculty, with men of genius, is associated with imagination and passion, it is a chronology not merely of events, but of and passion, it is a convenional representation in the emotions; hence they remember nothing that is not interesting to their feelings, while the ordinary mind, accurate all exents alike, in not impossioned on any. The inon all events alike, is not impassioned on any. cidents of the novelist, are often founded on the common ones of life; and the personages so admirably alive in his fictions, he only discovered among the crowd. The arts of memory will preserve all we wish; they form a saving hank of genius, to which it may have recourse, as a wealth which it can accumulate unperceivably amoist the ordinary expenditure. Locke taught us the first rudi-ments of this art, when he showed us how he stored his thoughts and his facts, by an artificial arrangement; and Addison, before he commenced his Spectators, had amassed three folios of materials; but the higher step will be the volume which shall give an account of a man to himself, where a single observation, a chronicled emotion, a hope or a project, on which the soul may still hang, like a clew of past knowledge in his hand, will restore to him all his lost studies; his evanescent existence again enters intohis life, and he will contemplate on himself as an entire man: to preserve the past, is half of immortality.

The memorials of Gibbon and Priestly present us with

the experience and the habits of the literary Character. What I have known, says Dr Priestly, with respect to myself, has tended much to lessen both my admiration and my contempt of others. Could we have entered into the mind of Isaac Newton, and have traced all the steps by which he produced his great works, we might see nothing very extraordinary in the process. Our student, with sa ingenious simplicity, opens to us that 'variety of mechanical expedients by which he secured and arranged his thoughts,' and that discipline of the mind, by a peculiar arrangement of his studies, for the day and for the year, in which he rivalled the calm and unalterable system pursued by Gibbon. Buffon and Voltaire employed the same menœuvres, and often only combined the knowledge they obtained, by humble methods. They knew what toask for, and made use of an intelligent secretary; aware, as Lord Bacon has expressed it, that some Books 'may be read by deputy.' Buffon laid down an excellent rule to obtain originality, when he advised the writer, first to exhaust his own thoughts before he attempted to consult other writers. The advice of Lord Bacon, that we should pursue our studies, whether the mind is disposed or indipo collent; in the one case, we shall gain a great step, and in the other, we 'shall work out the knots and stands of the mind, and make the middle times the more pleasan.' John Hunter very happily illustrated the advantages, which every one derives from putting his thoughts in writing,

Digitized by GOOGIC

it resembles,' said he 'a tradosman taking stock; without which, he never knows either what he possesses, or in what he is deficient.' Industry is the feature by which the ancients so frequently describe an eminent character; such phrases as 'incredibili industris; diligentia singulari,' are usual. When we reflect on the magnitude of the labours of Cicero, Erasmus, Gesner, Baronius, Lord Bacon, Usher, and Bayle, we seem asleep at the base of these monumenz of study, and scarcely awaken to admire. Such are the laborious instructions of mankind!

Nor let those other artists of the mind, who work in the airy looms of fancy and wit; imagine that they are weaving their wels, without the direction of a principle, and without a secret habit which they have acquired; there may be even an art, unperceived by themselves, in opening and pursuing a scene of pure invention, and even in the happiest turns of wit. One who had all the experience of such an artist, has employed the very terms we have used, of 'mechanical' and 'habitual.' 'Be assured,' says Goldsmith, 'that wit is in some measure mechanical; and that a man long habituated to catch at even its resemblance, will at last be happy enough to possess the substance. By a long habit of writing, he acquires a justiness of thinking, and a mastery of manner, which holiday writers, even with ten times his genius, may vainly attempt to equal.' Even in the sublime efforts of imagination, this art of meditation may be practised; and Alfieri has shown us, that in those energetic tragic dramas which were often produced in a state of enthusiasm, he pursued a regulated process. 'All my tragedies have been composed three times,' and he describes the three operations, I proceed like other authors, to polish, correct or amend.'

'All is habit in mankind, even virtue itself!' exclaimed Metastasio; and we may add, even the meditations of genius. Some of its boldest conceptions are indeed fortuitous, starting up and vanishing almost in the percep-tion; like that giant form, sometimes seen amidst the glaciers, opposite the traveller, afar from him, moving as he moves, stopping as he stope, yet, in a moment lost and perhaps never more seen,—although but his own reflection! Often in the still obscurity of the night, the ideas, the studies, the whole history of the day is acted over again, and in these vivid reveries, we are converted into spectators. A great poetical contemporary of our country does not think that even his dreams should pass away unnoticed, and keeps, what he calls, a register of nocturnals. The historian De Thou was one of those great literary characters, who, all his life, was preparing to write the history which he wrote; omitting nothing, in his travels and his embassies, which went to the formation of a great man, De Thou has given a very curious ac-count of his dreams. Such was his passion for study, and his ardent admiration of the great men whom he conversed with, that he often imagined in his sleep, that he was travelling in Italy, in Germany, and in England, where he saw and consulted the learned, and examined their curious libraries; he had all his life time these literatheir curious more particularly when in his travels, he thus repeated the images of the day. If memory does not chain down these his ying, fading children of the imagination, and

Snatch the faithless fugitives to light,'

Pleasures of Memory.

with the beams of the morning, the mind suddenly finds itself forsaken and solitary. Rousseau has uttered a complaint on this occasion: full of enthusiasm, he devoted to the subject of his thoughts, as was his custom, the long sleepless intervals of his nights, meditating in bed, with his eyes closed, he turned over his periods, in a tumult of ideas; but when he rose and had dressed, all was vanished, and when he sat down to his pepers, he had nothing to write. Thus genius has its vespers, and its vigils, as well as its matins, which we have been so often told are the true hours of its inspiration—but every hour may be full of inspiration for him who knows to meditate. No man was more practised in this art of the mind, than Pope, and even the night was not an unregarded portion of his poetical existence.

Few works of magnitude presented themselves at once, in their extent and their associations to their authors; the man of genius perceives not more than two or three striking circumstances, unobserved by another; in revolving the subject, the whole mind is gradually agitated; it is a

summer landscape, at the break of day, wrapt in mist, where the sun strikes on a single object, till the light and warmth increasing, all starts up in the noon-day of imagination. How beautifully this state of the mind, in the progress of composition, is described by Dryden, alluding to his work, 'when it was only a confused mass of thoughts, tumbling over one another in the dark; when the fancy was yet in its first work, moving the sleeping images of things, towards the light, there to be distinguished, and then either to be chosen or rejected, by the judgment.' At that moment, he adds, 'I was in that eagerness of imagination, which, by over-pleasing fanciful men, flatters them into the danger of writing.'—Gibbon tells us of his history, 'at the onset, all was dark and doubtful; even the title of the work, the true era of the decline and fall of the empire, &c. I was often tempted to cast away the labour of seven years.' Winckelman was long lost in composing his 'flistory of Art.' a hundred fruitless attempts were made, before he could discover a plan amidst the labyrinth. Slight conceptions kindle finished works: a lady asking for a few verses on rural topics, of the Abbé De Lille, his specimens pleased, and sketches heaped on shotches, produced 'Lee Jardins.' In writing the 'Pleasures of Memory,' the poet at first proposed a simple description in a few lines, till conducted by meditation, the perfect composition of several years closed in that fine poem. And thus it happened with the Rape of the Lock, and many celebrated productions.

Were it possible to collect some thoughts of great thinkers, which were never written, we should discover vivid conceptions, and an originality they never dared to pursue in their works! Artists have this advantage over authors, that their virgin fancies, their chance felicities, which labour cannot afterwards produce, are constantly perpetuated; and these 'studies' as they are called, are as precious to posterity, as their more complete designs. We possess one remarkable evidence of these fortuitous thoughts of genius. Pope and Swift, being in the country together, observed, that if contemplative men were to notice the thoughts which suddenly present themselves to their minds, when walking in the fields &c. they might find many as well worth preserving, as some of their more deliberate reflections. They made a trial, and agreed to write down such involuntary thoughts as occurred during their stay there; these furnished out the 'Thoughts' in Pupe's and Swift's miscellanies.\* Among Lord Bacon's Remains, we find a paper entitled 'sudden thoughts, set down for profit.' At all hours, by the side of Voltaire's bed, or on his table, stood his pen and ink, with slips of paper. The margins of his books were covered with his sudden Cicero, in reading, constantly took notes and made comments; but we must recollect there is an art of reading, as well as an art of thinking.

This art of meditation may be exercised at all hours and in all places; and men of genius in their walks, at table, and amidst assemblies, turning the eye of the mind inwards, can form an artificial solitude; retired amidst a crowd, and wise amidst distraction and folly. Some of the great actions of men of this habit of mind, were first meditated on, amidst the noise of a convivial party, or the music of a concert. The victory of Waterloo might have been organized in the ball room at Brussels, as Rodney at the table of Lord Sandwich, while the bottle was briskly circulating, was observed arranging bits of cork; his solitary amusement having excited an inquiry, he said that he was practising a plan how to annihilate an enemy's fleet; this afterwards proved to be that discovery of breaking the line, which the happy audacity of the hero executed. Thus Hogarth, with an eyo always awake to the ridiculous, would catch a character on his thumb-nail; Leonardo da Vinci could detect in the stains of an old weather-beaten wall, the landscapes of nature, and Hayda carefully noted down in a pocket book, the passages and ideas which came to him in his walks, or amidst company.

To this habit of continuity of attention, tracing the first

To this habit of continuity of attention, tracing the first simple idea through its remoter consequences, Galileo and Newton owed many of their discoveries. It was one evening in the cathedral of Pisa, that Galileo observed the vibrations of a brass lustre pendent from the vaulted roof, which had been left swinging by one of the vergers; the habitual meditation of genius combined with an ordi-

\* This anecdote is found in Ruffhead's life of Pope, evidently given by Warburton, as was every thing of personal knowledge in that tateless volume of a wre lawyer writing the life of a poet.

mary accident a new idea of science, and hence, conceived the invention of measuring time by the medium of a pendulum. Who but a genus of this order, sitting in his orchard, and being struck by the fall of an apple, could have discovered a new quality in matter by the system of gravitation; or have imagined, while viewing boys blowing soap-bladders, the properties of light, and then anatomised a ray! It was the same principle which led Franklin when on board a ship, observing a partial stillness in the waves, when they threw down water which had been used for culinary purposes, to the discovery of the wonderful property in oil of calming the agitated ocean, and many a ship has been preserved in tempestuous weather, or a landing facilitated on a dangerous surf, by this simple meditation of genius.

In the stillness of meditation the mind of genius must be frequently thrown; it is a kind of darkness which hides from us all surrounding objects, even in the light of day. This is the first state of existence in genius.—In Cicero, on Old Age, we find Cato admiring that Caius Sulpitius Gallus, who when he sat down to write in the morning was surprised by the evening, and when he took up his pen in the evening was surprised by the appearance of the morning. Socrates has remained a whole day in immoveable meditation, his eyes and countenance directed to one spot as if in the stillness of death. La Fontaine, when writing his comic tales, has been observed early in the morning and late in the evening, in the same recumbent costure under the same tree. This quiescent state is a sort of enthusiasm, and renders every thing that surrounds us as distant as if an immense interval separated us from the scene. Poggius has told us of Dante, that he indulged his meditations more strongly than any man he knew; and when once deeply engaged in reading he seemed to live only in his ideas. The poet went to view a public procession, and having entered a bookseller's shop, taking up a book he sunk into a reverie; on his return he declared that he had neither seen nor heard a single occurrence in public exhibition which had passed before him. It has been told of a modern astronomer, that one summer night when he was withdrawing to his chamber, the brightness of the heavens showed a phenomenon. He passed the whole night in observing it; and when they came to him early in the morning, and found him in the same attitude, he said, like one who had been recollecting his thoughts for a few moments, 'It must be thus; but I'll go to bed before it is late. He had gazed the entire night in medi-tation, and was not aware of it.

There is nothing incredible in the stories related of some who have experienced this entranced state, in a very extraordinary degree; that ecstacy in study, where the mind deliciously inebriated with the object it contemplates, feels nothing, from the excess of feeling, as a philosopher well describes it :- Archimedes, involved in the investigation of mathematical truth, and the painters Protogenes and Parmeggiano, found their senses locked up as it were in meditation, so as to be incapable of withdrawing themselves from their work even in the midst of the terrors and storming of the place by the enemy. Marino was so absorbed in the composition of his 'Adonis,' that he suffered his leg to be burnt for some time before the pain grew stronger than the intellectual pleasure of his imagination. Thomas, an intense thinker, would sit for hours against a hedge, composing with a low voice, taking the same pinch of snuff for half an hour together, without being aware that it had long disappeared; when he quitted his apartment, after prolonging his studies there, a visible alteration was observed in his person, and the agitation of his recent thoughts was still traced in his air and manner. With what eloquent truth has Buffon described those reveries of the student, which compress his day, and mark the hours by the sensations of minutes. 'Invention,' he says, 'depends on patience; contemplate your subject long, it will gradually unfold till a sort of electric spark convulses for a moment the brain, and spreads down to the very heart a glow of irritation. Then come the luxuries of genius, the true hours for production and composition; hours so delightful that I have spent twelve or fourteen successively at my writing-desk, and still been in a state

of pleasure.

This eager delight of pursuing his study, and this impationce of interruption in the pursuit, are finely described by Milton in a letter to his friend Deodati.

by Milton in a letter to his friend Deodati.

Such is the character of my mind, that no delay, none of the ordinary cessations (for rest or otherwise) no, I had

nearly said, care or thinking of the very subject, can hold me back from being hurried on to the destined point, and from completing the great circuit as it were, of the study in which I am engaged. 14

Such is the picture of genius, viewed in the stillness of meditation, but there is yet a more excited state,—when, as if consciousness were mixing with its reveries, in the allusion of a scene, a person, a passion, the emotions of the soul affect even the organs of sense. It is experienced in the moments the man of genius is producing; these are the hours of inspiration, and this is the gentle enthusiaan of genius!

## CHAPTER VIII. THE ENTHUSIASM OF GENIUS.

A state of mind occurs in the most active operations of genius, which the term reverie inadequately indicates; metaphysical distinctions but ill describe it, and popular language affords no terms for those faculties and feelings which escape the observation of the multitude who are not affected by the phenomenon.

The illusion of a drama, over persons of great sensibility, where all the senses are excited by a mixture of reality with imagination, is experienced by men of genius in their own vivified ideal world; real emotions are raised by fiction. In a scene, apparently passing in their presen where the whole train of circumstances succeeds in all the continuity of nature, and a sort of real existences appear to rise up before them, they perceive themselves spectators or actors, feel their sympathies excited, and involuntarily use language and gestures, while the exterior organs of sense are visibly affected; not that they are speciators and actors, nor that the scene exists. In this equivocal state, the enthusiast of genius produces his master-pieces. This waking dream is distinct from reverie, where our thoughts wandering without connection, the faint impressions are so evanescent as to occur without even being recollected. Not so when one closely pursued act of meditation carries the enthusiast of genius beyond the precinct of actual existence, while this act of contemplation makes the thing contemplated. He is now the busy painter of a world which he himself only views; alone he hears, he sees, he touches, he laughs and weeps; his brows and lips, and his very limbs move. Poets and even painters, who as Lord Bacon describes witches, 'are imaginative,' have often involuntarily betrayed in the act of composition those gestures which accompany this enthusiasm. Quintilian has nobly compared them to the lashings of the lion's tail preparing to combat. Even actors of genius have accustomed themselves to walk on the stage for an hour before the curtain was drawn, to fill their minds with all the phanfoms of the drama, to personify, to catch the passion, to speak to others, to do all that a man of genius would have viewed in the subject.

Aware of this peculiar faculty so prevalent in the more vivid exercise of genius, Lord Kaimes seems to have been the first who, in a work on criticism, attempted to name it the isdeal presence, to distinguish it from the real presence of things; it has been called the representative faculty, the imaginative state, &c. Call it what we will, no term opens to us the invisible mode of its operations, or expresses its variable nature. Conscious of the existence of such a faculty, our critic perceived that the conception of it is by no means clear when described in words. Has not the difference of any actual thing and its image in a glass perplexed some philosophers? And it is well known how far the ideal philosophy has been carried. 'All are pictures, alike painted on the retina, or optical sensorium!' exclaimed the enthusiast Barry, who only saw pictures in nature and nature in pictures.

Cold and barren tempers without imagination, whose impressions of objects never rise beyond those of memory and reflection, which know only to compare, and not to excite, will smile at this equivocal state of the ideal presence; yet it is a real one to the enthusiast of genius, and it is his happiest and peculiar conditionwithout this power no metaphysical aid, no art to be taught him, no mastery of talent shall awail him; unblest with it the votary shall find each sacrifice lying cold on the altar, for no accepting flume from heaven shall kindle it.

\* Meum sic est ingenium, nulla ut mora, nulla quies nulla ferme illius rei cura aut cogitatio distincat, quosd perradam quo feror, et grandem aliquem studiorum meorum quasi perodum conficiam.

This enthusiasm indeed can only be discovered by men of genius themselves, yet when most under its influence, they can least perceive it, as the eye which sees all things cannot view itself; and to trace this invisible operation, this warmth on the nerve, were to search for the principle of life which found would cease to be life. There is, however, something of reality in this state of the ideal presence; for the most familiar instances show that the nerv of each external sense are put in motion by the idea of the object, as if the real object had been presented to it; the difference is only in the degree. Thus the exterior senses are more concerned in the ideal world than at first appears; we thrill at even the idea of any thing that makes us shudder, and only imagining it often produces a real pain. A curious consequence flows from this principle: Milton, lingering amidst the freshness of nature in Eden, felt all the delights of those elements with which he was creating; his nerves moved with the images which excited them. The fierce and wild Dante amidst the abyses of nis Inferno, must have often been startled by its horrors, and often left his bitter and gloomy spirit in the stings he inflicted on the great criminal. The moving nerves then of the man of genius are a reality; he sees, he hears, he feels by each. How mysterious to us is the operation of this faculty: a Homer and a Richardson,\* like Nature, open a volume large as life itself—embracing a circuit of human existence!

Can we doubt of the reality of this faculty, when the visible and outward frame of the man of genius bears witness to its presence? When Fielding said, I do not doubt but the most pathetic and affecting scenes have been writ with tears,' he probably drew that discovery from an inverse feeling to his own. Fielding would have been gratified to have confirmed the observation by facts which never reached him. Metastasio, in writing the ninth scene of the second act of his Olympiad, found himself suddenly moved, shedding tears. The imagined sorrows inspired real tears; and they afterwards proved contagious. Had our poet not perpetuated his surprise by an interesting sonnet, the circumstance had passed away with the emotion, as many such have. Alfieri, the most energetic poet of modern times, having composed, without a pause, the whole of an act, noted in the margin—'Written under a paroxysm of en-thusiasm, and while shedding a flood of tears.' The impressions which the frame experiences in this state, leave deeper traces behind them than those of reverie. The tremors of Dryden, after having written an ode, a circum stance accidentally preserved, were not unusual with him
—for in the preface to his Tales, he tells us, that 'in translating Homer, he found greater pleasure than in Virgil; but it was not a pleasure without pain; the continual agitation of the spirits must needs be a weakener to any constitution, especially in age, and many pauses are required for refreshment betwirt the heats. We find Metastasio, like others of the brotherhood, susceptible of this state, complaining of his sufferings during the poetical When I apply with attention, the nerves of my sensorium are put into a violent tumult; I grow as red as a drunkard, and am obliged to quit my work,' When Buffon was absorbed on a subject which presented great objections to his opinions, he felt his head burn, and saw objections to his opinions, he tent his need ourn, and saw his countenance flushed; and this was a warning for him to sus and his attention. Gray could never compose vol-untarily, his genius resembled the armed apparition in Shakspeare's master tragedy. 'He would not be com-manded,' as we are told by Mr Mathias. When he wished to compose the Installation Ode, for a considerable time he felt himself without the power to begin it : a friend calling on him, Gray flung open his door hastily, and in a hurried voice and tone exclaiming, in the first verse of

that ode,

'Hence, avaunt! 'tis holy ground!'—
his friend started at the disordered appearance of the bard,
whose orgasm had disturbed his very air and countenance,
till he recovered himself. Listen to one labouring with all
the magic of the spell. Madam Roland has thus powerfully described the ideal presence in her first readings of
Telemachus and Tasso:—'My respiration rose, I felt a
rapid fire colouring my face and my voice changing had

\*Richardson assembles a family about him, writing down what they said, seeing their very manner of saying, living with them as often and as long as he wills—with such a personal unity, that an ingenious lawyer once told me that he required no stronger evidence of a fact in any court of law then a circumstantial scene in Richardson.

betrayed my agitation. I was Eucharis for Telemacus and Erminia for Tancred. However, during this perfect transformation, I did not yet think that I myself was any thing, for any one: the whole had no connection with myself. I sought for nothing any or the whole had no connection with myself. self. I sought for nothing around me; I was them; I saw only the objects which existed for them; it was a dream, without being awakened.' The effect which the study of Plutarch's illustrious men produced on the mighty mind of Alfieri, during a whole winter, while he lived as it were among the heroes of antiquity, he has himself told. Alfieri wept and raved with grief and indignation that he was born under a government which favoured no Roman heroes nor sages; as often as he was struck with the great actions of these great men, in his extreme agitation he rose from his seat like one possessed. The feeling of genius in Alfieri was suppressed for more than twenty years, by the discouragement of his uncle; but as the natural temperament cannot be crushed out of the soul of genius, he was a poet without writing a single verse; and as a great poet, the ideal presence at times became ungovernable and verging to madness. In traversing the wilds of Arragon, his emotions, he says, would certainly have given birth to poetry, could he have expressed himself in verse. It was a complete state of the imaginative existence, or this ideal presence; for he proceeded along the wilds of Arragon in a reverie, weeping and laughing by turns. He considered this as a folly, because it ended in nothing but in laughter and tears. He was not aware that he was then yielding to a demonstration, could be have judged of himself, that he possessed those dispositions of mind and energy of passion which form the poetical character.

Genius creates by a single conception; the statuary conceives the statue at once, which he afterwards executes by the slow process of art; and the architect contrives a whole palace in an instant. In a single principle, opening as it were on a sudden to genius, a great and new system of things is discovered. It has happened, sometimes, that this single conception rushing over the whole concentrated soul of genius, has agitated the frame convulsively; it comes like a whispered secret from Nature. When Mallebranche first took up Descartes's Treatise on Man, the germ of his own subsequent philosophic system, such was his intense feeling, that a violent palpitation of the heart, more than once, obliged him to lay down the volume. When the first idea of the Essay on the Arts and Sciences rushed on the mind of Rousseau, a feverish symptom in his nervous system approached to a slight delirium: stopping under an oak, he wrote with a pencil the Prosopopeize of Fabricius.—' I still remember my solitary transport at the discovery of a philosophical argument sgainst the doctrine of transubstantiation,' exclaimed Gibbon in his Memoirs.

This quick sensibility of genius has suppressed the voices

This quick sensibility of genius has suppressed the voices of poets in reciting their most pathetic passages.—Thomson was so oppressed by a passage in Virgil or Milton, when he attempted to read, that 'his voice sunk in ill-articulated sounds from the bottom of his breast.' The trequious figure of the ancient Sybil appears to have been viewed in that land of the Muses, by the energetic description of Paulus Jovius of the impetus and afflatus of one of the Italian inprovisatori, some of whom, I have heard from one present at a similar exhibition, have not degenerated in poetic inspiration, nor in its corporeal excitement. 'His effusions, the moist drops flow down his cheeks, the veins of his forehead swell, and wonderfully his learned ears as it were, abstracted and intent, moderate each impulse o his flowing numbers.'\*

This enthusiasm throws the man of genius into those reveries where, amidst Nature, while others are terrified at destruction, he can only view Nature herself. The mind of Pliny, to add one more chapter to his mighty scroll, sought her amidst the volcano in which he perished. Vernet was on board a ship in the midst of a raging tempest, and all hope was given up: the astonished captain beheld the artist of genius, his pencil in his hand, in calm onthusiasm, sketching the terrible world of waters—studying the wave that was rising to devour him.

There is a tender enthusiasm in the elevated studies o antiquity, in which the ideal presence or the imaginative existence is seen prevailing over the mind. It is finely said by Livy, that 'in contemplating antiquity, the mind

54

itself becomes antique.' Amidst the monuments of great and departed nations, our imagination is touched by the grandeur of local impressions, and the vivid associations of the manners, the arts, and the individuals of a great people. Men of genius have roved amidst the awful ruins till the ideal prosence has fondly built up the city anew, and have become Romans in the Rome of two thousand years past. Pomponius Leetus, who devoted his life to this study, was constantly seen wandering amidst the vestiges of this 'throne of the world:' there, in many a reverie, as his eye rested on the mutilated arch and the broken column, he stopped to muse, and dropt tears in the ideal presence of Rome and of the Romans. Another enthusiast of this class was Bosius, who sought beneath Rome for another Rome, in those catacombs built by the early Christians, for their asylum and their sepulchres. His work of 'Roma Sotteranea' is the production of a subterraneous life, passed in fervent and perilous labours. Taking with him a hermit's meal for the week, this new Pliny often descended into the bowels of the earth, by lamplight, clearing away the sand and ruins, till some tomb broke forth, or some inscription became legible: accompanied by some friend whom his enthusiasm had inspired with his own sympathy, here he dictated his notes, tracing the mouldering sculpture, and catching the fading picture. Thrown back into the primitive ages of Chri amidst the local impressions, the historian of the Christian catacombs collected the memorials of an age and of a race, which were hidden beneath the earth.

Werner, the mineralogist, celebrated for his lectures, by some accounts transmitted by his auditors, appears to have exercised this faculty. Werner often said that 'he always depended on the muse for inspiration.' His unwritten lecture was a reverie—till kindling in his progress, blending science and imagination in the grandeur of his conceptions, at times, as if he had gathered about him the very elements of Nature, his spirit seemed to be hovering

over the waters and the strata.

It is this enthusiasm which inconceivably fills the mind of genius in all great and solemn operations: it is an agitation in calmness, and is required not only in the fine arts, but wherever a great and continued exertion of the soul must be employed. It was experienced by De Thou, the historian, when after his morning prayers he always added another to implore the Divinity to purify his heart from partiality and hatred, and to open his spirit in developing the truth, amidst the contending factions of his times; and by Haydn, when employed in his 'Creation,' earnestly addressing the Creator ere he struck his instrument. In moments like these, man becomes a perfect unity—one thought and one act, abstracted from all other thoughts and all other acts. It was felt by Gray in his loftiest excursions, and is perhaps the same power which impels the sions, and is pentage in seattle power which impers the villager, when, to overcome his rivals in a contest for leaping, he retires back some steps, collects all exertion into his mind, and clears the eventful bound. One of our Admirals in the reign of Elizabeth, held as a maxim, that a height of passion, amounting to phrenzy, was necessary height of passion, amounting to principly, and to qualify a man for that place; and Nelson, decorated by all his honours about him, on the day of oa'tle, at the sight of those amblems of glory emulated himself. Thus enthuof those emblems of glory emulated himself. Thus enthusiasm was necessary and effective for his genius.

This enthusiasm, prolonged as it often has been by the

operation of the imaginative existence becomes a state of perturbed feeling, and can only be distinguished from a disordered intellect by the power of volition, in a sound mind, of withdrawing from the ideal world into the world of sense. It is but a step which carries us from the wander-ings of fancy into the aberrations of delirium.

With curious art the brain too finely wrought Preys on herself, and is destroyed by thought; Constant attention wears the active mind, Blots out her powers, and leaves a blank behind-The greatest genius to this fate may bow.

There may be an agony in thought which only deep thinkers experience. The terrible effects of metaphysical studies on Beattie, has been told by himself .- Since the Resay on Truth was printed in quarto, I have never dared to read it over. I durst not even read the sheets to see whether there were any terrors in the print, and was obliged to get a friend to do that office for me. These studies came in time to have dreadful effects upon my neryous sys em; and I cannot read what I then wrote withcut some degree of horror, because it recalls to my mind

the horrors that I have sometimes felt after passing a long evening in those severe studies.' Goldoni, after a ras exertion of writing sixteen plays in a year, confesses he paid the penalty of the fully; he flew to Genoa, leading a life of delicious vacuity; to pass the day without doing anything, was all the enjoyment he was now capable of the life. feeling. But long after he said, 'I felt at that time, and have ever since continued to feel, the consequence of that exhaustion of spirits I sustained in composing my success comedies.' Boerhaave has rejated of himself, that having imprudently indulged in interse thought on a particular subject, he did not close his eyes for six weeks after: and Tissot, in his work on the health of men of letters, abounds in similar cases, where a complete stupor has affected the unhappy student for a period of six months.

Assuredly the finest geniuses could not always withdraw themselves from that intensely interesting train of ideas, which we have shown has not been removed from about them by even the violent stimuli of exterior objects; the scenical illusion,—the being of their passion,—the invisible existences repeatedly endowed by them with a vital force, have still hung before their eyes. It was in this state that Petrarch found himself in that minute narrative of a vision in which Laura appeared to him; and Tasso in the lofty conversations he held with a spirit that glided towards him on the beams of the sun : and thus, Mallebranche listening to the voice of God within him; or Lord Herbert on his knees, in the stillness of the sky; or Paschal starting at times at an abyss opening by his side. Descartes, when young, and in a country sectusion, his brain exhausted with meditation, and his imagination heated to excess, heard a voice in the air which called him to pursue the search of truth; he never doubted the vision; and this dream in the delirium of genius charmed him even in his after-studies. Our Collins and Cowper were often thrown into that extraordinary state of mind, when the ideal presence converted them into visionaries; and their illusions were as strong as Swedenburgh's, who saw heaven on earth in the glittering streets of his New Jerusalem, and Cardan's, when he so carefully observed a number of little armed men at his feet; and Benvenuto Cellini, whose vivid imagination and glorious egotism so frequently contemplated a resplendent light hovering over his shadow.

Yet what less than enthusiasm is the purchase price of bigh passion and invention? Perhaps never has there been a man of genius of this rare cast, who has not betrayed early in youth the ebullitions of the imagination in some outward action at that period, when the illusions of life are more real to them than its realities. A slight derangement of our accustomed habits, a little perturbation of the faculties, and a romantic tinge on the feelings, give no indifferent promise of genius; of that generous temper which knows nothing of the baseness of mankind, unsatisfied, and raging with a devouring eagerness for the aliment it has not yet found; to perfect some glorious design, to charm the world, or make it happier. Often we hear charm the world, or make it happier. Often we hear from the confessions of men of genius, of their having indulged in the puerile state the most noble, the most delightful, the most impossible projects; and if age ridicules the imaginative existence of its youth, be assured that it is the decline of its genius. That without and tender enthusiast, Fenelon, in his early youth, troubled his friends with a classical and religious reverie. He was on the point of quitting them to restore the independence of Greece, in the character of a missionary, and to collect the relics of antiquity with the taste of a classical officer the renes of singularly with the last as a constraint of the singular of Corinth, where St Paul preached, the Piracus where Socrates conversed; while the latent poet was to pluck laurels from Delphos, and rove amidst the amenities on Tempe. Such was the influence of the ideal presence! and barren will be his imagination, and luckless his fortune, who, claiming the honours of genius, has never been touched by such a temporary delirium.

To this enthusiasm, and to this alone, can we attribute the self-immolation of men of genius. Mighty and laborious works have been pursued, as a forlorn hope, at the certain destruction of the fortune of the individual. The fate of Castell's Lexicon, of Bloch's magnificent work on

w Cantell lost 12000t. by this great work; and gave away copies, while the rest rotted at home. He exhibits a curious picture of literary labour in his preface—'As for myrell, I have been unceasingly occupied for such a number of years in this mass—Molentino he calls them—that day seemed as it were a holiday in which I have not laboured so much as

Digitized by GOOGIC

Fishes, and other great and similar labours, attest the enthusiasm which accompanied their progress. They have sealed their works with their blood: they have silently borne the pangs of disease; they have barred themselves from the pursuits of fortune; they have torn themselves away from all they loved in life, patiently suffering these self-denials, to escape from those interruptions and impediments to their studies. Martyrs of literature and art, they behold in their solitude that halp of immortality over their studious heads, which is a reality to the visionary of glory. Milton would not desist from proceeding with one of his works, although warned by the physician of the certain loss of his sight; he declared he preferred his duty to his eyes, and doubtless his fame to his comfort. Anthony Wood, to preserve the lives of others, voluntarily resigned his own to cloistered studies; nor did the literary passion desert him in his last moments, when with his dying hands he still grasped his beloved papers, and his last mortal thoughts dwelt on his Athenas Oxonienses.\* Moreri, the founder of our great biographical collections, conceived the design with such enthusiasm, and found such voluptuousness in the labour, that he willingly withdrew from the popular celebrity he had acquired as a preacher, and the preferment which a minister of state, in whose house he resided, would have opened to his views. After the first edition of his Historical Dictionary, he had nothing so much at heart as its improvement. His unyielding application was converting labour into death; but collecting his last renovated viour, with his dying hands he gave the volume to the world, though he did not live to witness even its publication. chiects in life appeared mean to him compared with that exalted delight of addressing to the literary men of his age, the history of their brothers. The same enthusiasm consumes the pupils of art devoured by their own ardour. The roung and classical sculptor, who raised the statue of Charles II placed in the centre of the Royal Exchange, was in the midst of his work, advised by his medical friends to desist from marble; for the energy of his labour, with the strong excitement of his feelings, already had made fatal inroads in his constitution. But he was willing, he said to die at the foot of his statue. The statue was raised, and the young sculptor, with the shining eyes and hecand the young sumption, beheld it there—returned home— and shortly was no more. Drousis, a pupil of David, the French painter, was a youth of fortune, but the solitary pleasure of his youth was his devotion to Raphael; he was at his studies at four in the morning till night; 'Painting or Nothing! was the cry of this enthusiast of elegance; First fame, then amusement,' was another. His sensibility was as great as his enthusiasm : and he cut in pieces the picture for which David declared he would inevitably obtain the prize. 'I have had my reward in your approbation; but next year I shall feel more certain of deserving it ! was the reply of the young enthusiast. Afterwards he astonished Paris with his Marius-but while engaged on a subject which he could never quit, the principle of life itself was drying up in his veins. Henry Headly and Kirke White were the early victims of the enthusiasm of study; and are mourned for ever by the few who are organised like themselves.

Twas thine own genius gave the fatal blow,
And helped to plant the wound that laid thee low;
So the struck eagle, stretched upon the plain
No more through rolling clouds to soar again,
Viewed his own feather on the fatal dart,
And winged the shaft that quivered in his heart;
Keen were his pangs, but keener far to feel
He nursed the pinion which impelled the steel,
While the same plumage that had warmed his nest,
Drank the last life-drop of his bleeding breast.

English Bards and Scotch Reviewers.

Thus comes the shadow of death among those who are existing with more than life about them. Yet 'there is no celebr.'tv for the artist,' said Gesner, 'if the love of his own heart does not become a vehement' passion; if the hours he employs to cultivate it are not for him the most delicious enses of his life; if study becomes not his true existence and his first happiness; if the society of his brothers in art is not that which most pleases him; if even in the night-time the ideas of his art do not occupy his vigils or his dreams; if in the morning he flies not to his work with a sixteen or eighteen hours in these enlarging Lexicons and Polygiot Bibles.' Bloch expended all his fortune in his splendid work.

\* See Calamkies of Authors, Vol. I, p. 243.

new rapture. These are the marks of him who labours for true glory and posterity; but if he seek only to please the taste of his age, his works will not kindle the desires nor touch the hearts of those who love the arts and the artists.

Unaccompanied by enthusiasm, genius will produce nothing but uninteresting works of art; not a work of art, resembing the dove of Archidas, which other artists beheld flying, but could not make another dove to meet it in the air. Enthusiasm is the secret spirit which hovers over the production of genius throwing the reader of a book, or the spectator of a statue, into the very ideal presence whence these works have really originated. A great work always leaves us in a state of musing.

# CHAPTER IX.

Jealousy, long declared to be the offspring of little minds, is not, however, restricted to them; it fiercely rages in the literary republic, among the Senate and the Order of Knights, as well as the people. In that curious self-description which Linneuse comprised in a single page, written with the precision of a naturalist, that great man discovered that his constitution was liable to be afflicted with jealousy. Literary jealousy seems often proportioned to the degree of genius; the shadowy and equivocal claims of literary honour is the real cause of this terrible fear; in cases where the object is more palpable and definite, and the pre-eminence is more universal, than intellectual excellence can be, jealousy will not so strongly affect the claimant for our admiration. The most beautiful woman, in the age of beauty, will be rarely jealous: select the claims are real and while her claims exist, who can contend with a fine feature or a dissolving glance? But a man of genius has no other existence than in the opinion of the world; a divided empire would obscure him, a contested one might annihilate him.

The lives of authors and artists exhibit a most painful disease in that jealousy which is the perpetual fever of their existence. Why does Plato never mention Zenophon, and why does Zenophon inveigh against Plato, studiously collecting every little report which may detract from his fame? They wrote on the same subject! Why did Corneille, tottering on the grave, when Racine consulted him on his first tragedy, advise the author never to write another? Why does Voltaire continually detract from the sublimity of Corneille, the sweetness of Racine, and the fire of Crebillon? Why, when Boccaccio seat to Petrarch a copy of Dante, declaring that the work was like a first light which had illuminated his mind, did Potrarch coldly observe that he had not been anxious to inquire after it, having intended to compose in the vernacular idiom and not wishing to be considered as a plagiary; while he only allows Dante's superiority from having written in the vulgar idiom, which he did not think was an en-viable, but an inferior merit. Thus frigidly Petrarch took the altitude of the solitary Ætna before him, in the 'Inferno, while he shrunk into himself with the painful con-sciousness of the existence of another poet, who obscured his own solitary majesty. Why is Waller silent on the merits of Cowley, and why does he not give one verse to return the praise with which Dryden honoured him, while he is warm in panegyric on Beaumont and Fletcher, on Sandys, Ware, and D'Avenant? Because of some of these their species of composition was different from his own, and the rest he could not fear.

The moral feeling has often been found too weak to temper the malignancy of literary jealousy, and has led some men of genius to an incredible excess. A memorable and recent example offers in the history of the two brothers, Dr William, and John Hunter both great characters, fitted to be rivals, but Nature, it was imagined, in the tenderness of blood had placed a bar to rivalry. John, without any determined pursuit in his youth, was received by his brother at the height of his celebrity; the Doctor initiated him into his school; they performed their experiments together; and William Hunter was the first cannounce to the world the great genius of his brother. After this close connection in all their studies and discoveries, Dr William Hunter published his magnificent work—the proud favourite of his heart, the assertor of his fame. Was it credible that the genius of the celebrated anatomist, which had been nursed under the wing of his brother, should turn on that wing to clip it? John Hun-

Digitized by GOOGLO

ter put in his claim to the chief discovery; it was answered by his brother. 'The Royal Society, to whom they appealed, concealed the documents of this unnatural feud. The blow was felt, and the jealousy of literary honour for ever separated the brothers, and the brothers of genius.\*

In the jealousy of genius, however, there is a peculiar case, where he fever rages not in its malignancy, yet si-lently consumes. Even the man of genius of the gentlest temper dies under its slow wastings; and this infection may happen among dear friends, when a man of genius loses that self-opinion which animated his so itary labours loses that self-opinion which animated his solitary is our and constituted his happiness—when he views himself at the height of his class, suddenly eclipsed by another great genius. It is then the morbid sensibility, acting on so delicate a frame, feels as if under the old witchcraft of trying the knot on the nuptial day,—the faculties are suddenly extinct by the very imagination. This is the jealousy not of hatred, but of despair. A curious case of lousy not of hatred, but of despair. A curious case of this kind appears in the anecdote of the Spanish artist Castillo, a man distinguished by every amiable disposi-tion; he was the great painter of Seville. When some of Morillo's paintings were shown to him, who seems to have been his nephew, he stood in meek astonishment before them, and when he recovered his voice, turning away, he exclaimed with a sigh, Ya murio Castillo! Castillo is no more! Returning home the stricken genius relinquished his peacil, and pined away in hopelessness.

## CHAPTER X.

### WANT OF MUTUAL ESTEEM.

Among men of genius that want of mutual esteem, usually attributed to envy or jealousy, often originates in a deficiency of analogous ideas, or sympathy, in the parties. On this principle several curious phenomena in the history

of genius may be explained.

Every man of genius has a manner of his own; a mode of thinking and a habit of style; and usually decides on a work as it approximates or varies from his own. When one great author depreciates another it has often no worse source than his own taste. The witty Cowey despised the natural Chaucer; the cold classical Boileau the rough sublimity of Crebillon; the refining Marivaux the familiar Moliere. Fielding ridiculed Richardson, whose manner so strongly contrasted with his own; and Richardson contemned Fielding and declared he would not last. berland escaped a fit of unforgiveness, not living to read his own character by Bishop Watson, whose logical head tried the lighter elegancies of that polished man by his own nervous genius, destitute of whatever was beautiful in taste. There was no envy in the breast of John-son when he advised Mrs Thrale not to purchase Gray's Letters as trifling and dull, no more than in Gray himself when he sunk the poetical character of Shenstone, his simplicity and purity of feeling, by an image of ludicrous car empt. The deficient sympathy in these men of genius, for modes of feeling opposite to their own, was the real cause of their opinions; and thus it happens that even su-perior genius is so often liable to be unjust and false in its

The same principle operates still more strikingly in the remarkable contempt of men of genius for those pursuits and the pursuers, which require talents quite distinct from their own, with a cast of mind thrown by nature into another mould. Hence we must not be surprised at the antipathies of Selden and Locke, of Longerue and Buffon, and this class of genius, against poetry and poets: while on the other side, these undervalue the pursuits of the antiquary, the naturalist, and the metaphysician, by their own favourite course of imagination. We can only understand in the degree we comprehend; and in both these cases the parties will be found quite delicient in those qualities of genius which constitute the excellence of the other. A professor of polite literature condemned the study of botany, as adapted to mediocrity of talent and only demanding patience; but Linnseus showed how a man of genius becomes a creator even in a science which seems to depend only on order and method. It will not be a question with some whether a man must be endowed with the energy and aptitude of genius, to excel in anti-quarianism, in natural history, &c.; and that the prejudi-ces raised against the claims of such to the honours of genius have probably arisen from the secluded nature

• See Dr Adam's interesting life of Mr John Hunter.

of their pursuits, and the little knowledge the men of wit and imagination have of these persons, who live in a society of their own. On this subject a very curious circumstance has been revealed of Peiresc, whose enthusiasm for science was long felt throughout Europe; his name was known in every country, and his death was lamented in forty languages; yet was this great man unknown to several men of genius in his own country; Rochefoucault declared he had never heard of his name, and Malherbe wondered why his death created so universal a seg-sation. Thus we see the classes of literature, like the planets of Heaven, revolving like distinct worlds; and it would not be less absurd for the inhabitants of Venus to treat with contempt the powers and faculties of those of Jupiter, than it is for the men of wit and imagination, those of the men of knowledge and curiosity. They are incapable of exerting the peculiar qualities which give a real value to these pursuits, and therefore they must remain ignorant of their nature and their result.

It is not then always envy or jealousy which induces men of genius to undervalue each other; the want of sympathy will sufficiently account for their false judgments. Suppose Newton, Quinault, and Machiavel, accidentally meeting together, unknown to each other, would they not soon have desisted from the vain attempt of commun-cating their ideas? The philosopher had condemned the poet of the Graces as an intolerable trifler, and the author of the The Prince as a dark political spy. Machiarel had conceived Newton to be a dreamer among the stars, and a more almanack-maker among men; and the other a rhimer, nauseously doucereux. Quinault might have imagined he was scated between two madmen. Having annoyed each other for some time, they would have relieved their ennui by reciprocal contempt, and each have parted with a determination to avoid hereafter two disagree-

able companions.\*

## CHAPTER XI.

## STIT-PRAISE.

Vanity, egotism, a strong sense of their own sufficiency, form another accusation against men of genius; but the complexion of self-praise must alter with the occasion; for the simplicity of truth may appear vanity, and the consciousness of superiority seem envy—to Mediocrity. It is we who do nothing, who cannot even imagine any thing self-love, self-independence, self-admiration, which with the men of genius are nothing but a modification of the passion of glory. to be done, who are so much displeased with self-lauding,

He who exults in himself is at least in earnest; but he who refuses to receive that praise in public for which he has devoted so much labour in his privacy, is not: he is compelled to suppress the very instinct of his nature; for while we censure no man for loving fame, but only for showing us how much he is possessed by the passion, we allow him to create the appetite, but we deny him the aliment. Our effeminate minds are the willing dupes of what is called the modesty of genius, or, as it has been termed, 'the polished reserve of modern times;' and this from the selfish principle that it serves at least to keep out of the company its painful pre-eminence. But this plished reserve, like something as fashionable, the lad rouge, at first appearing with rather too much colour, will m the heat of an evening, be dying away till the true complex-ion comes out. We know well the numerous subterfuces of these modest men of genius, to extert that praise from their private circle which is thus openly denied them. Have they not been taken by surprise, enlarging their own panegyric, which might rival Pliny's on Trajan, for care and copiousness? or impudently veiling their naked beauty with the transparency of a third person? or never prefixing their name to the volume, which they would not easily forgive a friend to pass unnoticed.

The love of praise is instinctive in the nature of men of genius. Their praise is the foot on which the past rests, and the wheel on which the future rolls. The generous qualities and the virtues of a man of genius are really produced by the applause conferred on him. To him whom the world admires, the happiness of the world must be dear, said Madame De Stael. Like the North American Indian, (for the savage and the man of genius preserve the genuine feelings of Nature,) be would listen to his own

> # Sec Helvetus, De l'Esprit. Digitized by GOOGIC

mame, when amidst his circle they chaunt their gods and their heroes. The honest savages laud the worthies among themselves, as well as their departed; and when an auditor hears his own name, he answers by a cry of pleasure and of pride. But pleasure and pride must raise no emotion in the breast of genius, amidst a polished circle: to bring himself down to them, he must start at a compliment, and turn away even from one of his own votaries.

But this, it seems, is not always the case with men of genius, since the accusation we are noticing has been so often reiterated. Take from some that supreme opinion of themselves, that pride of exultation, and you crush the germ of their excellence. Many vast designs must have perished in the conception, had not their authors breathed this vital air of self-delight, this energy of vanity, so operative in great undertakings. We have recently seen this principle in the literary character unfold itself in the life of the late Bishop of Landaff: whatever he did, he felt it was done as a master; whatever he wrote, it was as he as a mater; whatever ne wrote, it was as me once declared, the best work on the subject yet written. It was this feeling with which he emulated Cicero in retirement or in action. When I am dead, you will not soon meet with another John Hunter, said the great anatomist, to one of his garrulous friends. An apology is formed for relating the fact, but the weakness is only in the apology. Corneille has given a very noble full-length of the sublime egotism which accompanied him through life:\* and I doubt if we had any such author in the present day, whether he would dare to be so just to himself, and so hardy to the public. The self-praise of Buffon at least equalled his genius; and the inscription beneath his statue in the library of the Jardin des Plantes, which I was told was raised to him in his life time, exceeds all panegvrics;—it places him alone in Nature, as the first and the last interpreter of her works. He said of the great genuises of modern times, that there were not more than five,—' Newton, Bacon, Leibnitz, Montesquieu, and Myself.' It was in this spirit that he conceived and terminated his great works, that he sat in patient meditation at his dosk for half a century, and that all Europe, even in a state of war, bowed to the modern Pliny.

Nor is the vanity of Buffon, and Voltaire, and Rosseau so purely national as some will suppose; for men of genius in all ages have expressed a consciousness of the internal force of genius. No one felt this self-exultation more potent than our Hobbes, who has indeed, in his controversy with Wallis, asserted that there may be nothing more just than self-commendation; and De Thou, one of the most noble-minded, the most thinking, the most impartial of historians, in the Memoirs of his own life, composed in the third person, has surprised and somewhat puzzled the critics, by that frequent distribution of self-commenda-tion which they knew not how to accord with the modesty and gravity with which he was so amply endowed. After his great and solemn labour, amidst the injustice of his persecutors, that great man had sufficient experience of own merits to assert them. Kepler, amidst his great discoveries, looks down like a superior being on other men. Thus he breaks forth in glory and egotism: 'I dare insult mankind by confessing that I am he who has turned science to advantage. If I am pardoned, I shall rejoice; if blamed, I shall endure it. The die is cast; I have written this book, and whether it be read by posterity or by my contemporaries, is of no consequence; it may well wait for a reader during one century, when God himself during six thousand years has waited for an observer like nivself.' He predicts that ' his discoveries would be verified in succeeding ages,' yet were Kepler now among us in familiar society, we should be invited to inspect a mon-ster of inordinate vanity. But it was this solitary majesty; this lofty conception of their genius, which hovered over the sleepless pillow, and charmed the solitude, of Ba-con, of Newton, and of Montesquieu; of Ben Jonson, of Milton, and Corneille; and of Michael Angelo. Such men of genius anticipate their contemporaries, and know they are creators, long before the tardy consent of the public :

They see the laurel which entwines their bust,
They mark the pomp which consecrates their dust,
Shake off the dimness which obscures them now,
And feel the future glory bind their brow.'

Smedler's Pressione.

\* See it versified in Curiosities of Literature. † See Quarrels of Authors, Vol. III, p. 113.

To be admired, is the noble simplicity of the Ancienta in expressing with ardour the consciousness of genius, and openly claiming that praise by which it was nourished. The ancients were not infected by our spurious effeminate modesty. Socrates, on the day of his trial, firmly commended himself: he told the various benefits he had conferred on his country. 'Instead of condemning me for imaginary crimes, you would do better, considering my poverty, to order me to be maintained out of the public treasury.' Epicurus, writing to a minister of state, detreasury.' Epicurus, writing to a minister of state, de-clares—' If you desire glory, nothing can bestow it more than the letters I write to you:' and Seneca, in quoting these words, adds—' What Epicurus promised to his friend, that, my Lucilius, I promise you.' Orna me! was the constant cry of Cicero; and he desires the historian Lucceius to write separately the conspiracy of Cataliue, and publish quickly, that while he yet lived, he might taste of the sweetness of his glory. Horace and Ovid were equally sensible to their immortality: but what modern poet would be tolerated with such an avowal? Yet Dryden honestly declares that it was better for him to own this failing of vanity, than the world to do it for him; and adds, For what other reason have I spent my life in so unpro-fitable a study? Why am I grown old in seeking so barren a reward as fame? The same parts and application which have made me a poet, might have raised me to any honours of the gown. Was not Cervantos very sensible to his own merits, when a rival started up; and did he not assert them too, when passing sentence on the bad books of the times, he distinguishes his own work by a handsome compliment? Nor was Butler less proud of his own merits; for he has done ample justice to his Hudibras, and traced out, with great self-delight, its variety of excellences. Richardson, the novelist, exhibits one of the most striking instances of what is called literary vanity the delight of an author in his works; he has pointed out all the beauties of his three great works, in various manners.\* He always taxed a visiter by one of his long let-ters. It was this intense self-delight, which produced his voluminous labours.

There are certain authors whose very existence seems to require a high conception of their own talents; ann who must, as some animals appear to do, furnish the means of life out of their own substance. These men of genius open their career with peculiar tastes, or with a predilection for some great work; in a word, with many upopular dispositions. Yet we see them magnanimous, though defeated, proceeding with the public feeling against them. At length we view them ranking with their rivals. Without having yielded up their peculiar tastes or their incorrigible viciousness, they have, however, heightened their individual excellences. No human opinion can change their self opinion; alive to the consciousness of their powers, their pursuits are placed above impediment, and their great views can suffer no contraction. These men of genius bear a charmed mail on their breast; 'hopeless, not heartless,' may be often the motto of their ensign; and if they do not always possess reputation, they still look for fame; for these do not necessarily accompany each other.

Acknowledge, too, that an author must be more sensible to his real merits, while he is unquestionably much less to his defects, than most of his readers; the author not only comprehends his merits better, because they have passed through a long process in his mind, but he is familiar with every part, while the reader hus had but a vague notion of the whole. Why does the excellent work, by repetition, rise in interest? because in obtaining this gradual intimacy with an author, we appear to recover half the genius we had lost on a first perusal. The work of genius too is associated, in the mind of the author, with much more than it contains. Why are great men often found greater than the books they write? Ask the man of genius, if he has written all he wished he could have written? Has he satisfied himself, in this work for which you accuse his pride? The true supplement has not always accompanied the work itself. The mind of the reader has the limits of a mere recipient, while that of the author, even after his work, is teeming with creation. On many occasions, my soul seems to know more than it can say, and to be endowed with a mind by itself, far superior to the mind I really have,' said Marivaux, with equal truth and happiness.

\*I have observed them in Curiosities of Literature, First Series. With these explanations of what are called the vanity and egotism of genius, be it remembered, that the sense of their own sufficiency is assumed at their own risk; the great man who thinks greatly of himself, is not diminishing that greatness, in heaping fuel on his fire. With his tundlecky brethren, such a feeling may end in the aberrations of harmless madness: as it happened with Percival Stockdale. He, who after a parallel between himself and Charles XII, of Sweden, concludes that 'some parts will be to his advantage, and some to mine,' but in regard to fame,—the main object between Stockdale and Charles XII.—Percival imagined that 'his own will not probably take its fixed and immoveable station, and shine with its expanded and permanent splendour till it consecrates his ashes, till it illumines his tomb.' After this, the reader, who may never have heard of the name of Percival Stockdale, must be told, that there exist his own 'Memoirs of his Life and Writings.'\* The Memoirs of a scribbler are instructive to literary men; to correct, and to be corrected, should be their daily practice, that they may be taught not only to exult in themselves, but to fear themselves.

It is hard to refuse these men of genius that aura vitalis, of which they are so apt to be liberal to others. Are they not accused of the meanest adulations? When a young writer finds the notice of a person of some eminence, he has expressed himself in language which transcended that of mortality; a finer reason than reason itself, inspired it; the sensation has been expressed with all its fullness, by Milton,

## 'The debt immense of endless gratitude.'

Who ever pays an 'immense debt,' in small sums? Every man of genius has left such honourable traces of his private affections,—from Locke, whose dedication of his great work is more adulative than could be imagined, from a temperate philosopher to Churchill, whose warm culogiums on his friends so beautifully contrast with the dark and evil passions of his satire. Even in advanced ago, the man of genius dwells on the nutritious praise he caught in his youth from veteran genius; that seed sinks deep into a genial soil, roots there, and, like the aloe, will flower at the end of life. When Virgil was yet a youth, Cicero heard one of his eclogues, and exclaimed with his accustomed warmith,

## Magna spes altera Rome !

'The second great hope of Rome;' intending by the first either himself or Lucretius. The words of Cicero were the secret honey on which the imagination of Virgil fed for many a year, for in one of his latest productions, the twelfth book of the Æneid, he applies these very words to Ascanius; the voice of Cicero had hung forever in his ear.

Such then, is the extreme susceptibility of praise in men of genius, and not less their exuberant sensibility to censure; I have elsewhere shown how some have died of criticism. The Abbé Cassagno felt so acurely the severity of Boileau, that in the prime of life he fell melancholy, and died in saie. I am informed that the poet, Scott of Amwell, could never recover from a ludicrous criticism, written by a physician, who never pretended to poetical taste. Some, like Racine, have died of a simple rebuke, and some have found an epigram, as one who fell a victim to one, said, 'fasten on their hearts, and have been thrown into a slow fever.' Pope has been seen writhing in anguish on his chair; and it is told of Montesquieu, that not withstanding the greatness of his character, he was so much affected by the perpetual criticisms on his work on Laws, that they hastened his death. The morbid feelings of Hawkesworth closed in suicide. The self-love in genius is, perhaps, much more delicate than gross.

is, perhaps, much more delicate than gross.

But alas, their vengeance as quickly kindled lasts as long! Genius is a dangerous gift of nature; with a keener relish for enjoyment, and with passions more effervescent, the same material forms a Cataline, and a Cromwell, or a Cicero and a Bacon. Plato, in his visionary man of genius, lays great stress on his possessing the most vehement passions, while he adds reason to restrain them. But it is imagination which torments even their inflammable senses; give to the same vehement passion a different discussion.

rection, and it is glory or infamy.

' Si je n'étois Casar, j'aurois ete Brutus.'

The imagination of genius is the breath of its life, which

\* I have sketched a character of Percival Stockdale, in Calamides of Authors, II, 313, it was taken ad vivum.

breeds its own disease. How are we to describe symptoms which come from one source, but show themselves i all forms? It is now an intermittent fever, now a silent delirium, an hysterical affection, and now a horrid hypochondriasm. Have we no other opiate to still the agony, no other cordial to send its warmth to the heart, than Plato's reason? Must men of genius, who so rarely pass through this slow curative method, remain with all their tortured and torturing passions about them, often self-dis-gusted, self-humiliated? The enmities of genius are often connected with their morbid unagination; these originate in casual slights, or in unguarded expressions, or in hasty opinions, or in a witty derision, or even in the obtruding goodness of tender admonition—The man of genius broods over the phantom that darkens his feelings, and sharpens his vindictive fangs, in a libel, called his memoirs, or in another public way, called a criticism. We are told that Comines the historian, when residing at the court of the Count de Charolois afterwards Duke of Burgundy, one day returning from hunting, with inconsiderate jocularity sat down before the Count, ordering the Prince to pull off his boots; the Count would not affect greatness, and having executed his commission, in return for the princely amusement, the Count dashed the boot on Comines's nose, which bled; and from that time, he was mortified at the Count of Burgundy, by retaining the nick-name of the booted head. The blow rankled in the heart of the man of genius, and the Duke of Burgundy has come down to us in his memoirs, blackened by his vengeance. Many, unknown to their readers, like Comines, have had a booted head, but the secret poison is distilled on their lasting page. I have elsewhere fully written a tale of literary hatred, where is seen a man of genius, devoting a whole life in harrarsing the industry or the genius which he himself could not attain, in the character of Gilbert Stuart.\* The French Revolution, among its illustrations of the worst human passions exhibits one, in Collot d'Herbois; when this wretch was tossed up in the storm, to the summit of power, a monstrous imagination seized him; he projected raising the city of Lyons, and massacring its inhabitants. He had even the heart to commence, and to continue this conspiracy against human nature; the ostensible motive was royalism, but the secret one was literary vengeance! as wretched a poet and actor as a man, he had been hissed off the theatre in Lyons, and his dark remorseless genius resolved to repay that ignominy, by the blood of its citizens and the very walls of the city. Is there but one Collot d'Herbois in the universe? When the imagination of genius becomes its madness, even the worst of human beings is only a genus.

## CHAPTER XII.

## THE DOMESTIC LIFE OF GENTUS.

When the temper and the leisure of the literary character are alike broken, even his best works, the too faithful mirrors of his state of mind, will participate of its inequalities; and surely the incubations of genius in its deficate and shadowy combinations, are not less sensible in their operation than the composition of sonorous bodies, where, while the warm metal is settling in the mould, even an unusual vibration of the air, during the moment of fusion, will injure the tone.

Some of the conspicuous blemishes of several great compositions may be attributed to the domestic infelicities of their authors. The desultory life of Camoens is imagined to be perceptible in the deficient connection of his epic; and Milton's peculiar situation and divided family prevented those passages from being erased, which otherwise had not escaped from his revising hand—he felt himself in the situation of his Sampsor Agonistes, whom he so pathetically describes, as

4 His foes' derision, captive, poor and blind.

Cervantes, through precipitate publication, fell into those slips of memory observable in his satirical romance. The careless rapid lines of Dryden are justly attributed to his distress, and he indeed pleads for his inequalities from his domestic circumstances. Johnson silently, but eagerly often corrected the Ramblers in their successive editions of which so many had been despatched in haste. The learned Greaves offered some excuses for his errors in his edition of Abulfeda, from 'his being five years encumbered with law-suits and diverted from his studies.' When

\*\* See Calamities of Authors, II, 49

at .ength he returned to them, he expresses his surprise cat the pains he had formerly undergone, but of which he now felt himself 'unwilling, he knew not how, of again undergoing. Goldoni, when at the bar, abandoned his comic talent for several years: and having resumed it, his first comedy totally failed: 'My head,' says he, 'was occupied with my professional employment, I was uneasy in mind and in bad humour.'

The best years of Mengs's life were embittered by the misery and the harshness of his father, who himself a poor artist, and with poorer feelings, converted his home into a prison-house, forced his son into the slavery of stipulated task-work, while his bread and water were the only fruits of the fine arts; in this domestic persecution, from which he was at length obliged to fly, he contracted those morose and saturnine habits which for ever after shut up the ungenial Mengs in the dark solitude of his soul. It has been said of Alonso Cano, a celebrated Spanish painter, that he would have carried his art much higher had not the unceasing persecution of the inquisitors entirely deprived him tranquillity so necessary to the very existence of art. 'The poet Rousseau passed half his life in trouble, in anger, and in despair, from the severe persecution, or the justice, of his enemies, respecting an anonymous libel attributed to him; his temper was poisoned, and he poisoned. Ovid, in exile on the barren shores of Tomos, deserted by his genius, even in his copious Tristia, loses the luxuriance of his fancy. The reason which Rousseau alleges for the cynical spleen which so frequently breathes forth in his works, shows how the domestic character of the man of genius leaves itself behind in his productions. After describing the infelicity of his domestic affairs occa-sioned by the mother of Theresa, and Theresa herself, both women of the lowest order, he adds on this wretched marriage, 'these unexpected disagreeable events, in a state of my own choice, plunged me into literature, to give a new direction and diversion to my mind; and in all my first works, I scattered that bilious humour which had occasioned this very occupation. Our author's character in his works was the very opposite one in which he ap-peared to these low people; they treated his simplicity as utter silliness; feeling his degradation among them, his personal timidity assumed a tone of boldness and originality in his writings, while a strong sense of shame heightened his causticity, contemning that urbanity he knew not to practise. His miserable subservience to these people was the real cause of his oppressed spirit calling out for some undefined freedom in society. Thus the real Rousseau, with all his disordered feelings, only appeared in his writings; the secrets of his heart were in his pen.

The home of the literary character should be the abode of repose and of silence. There must be look for the feasts of study, in progressive and alternate labours; a taste 'which,' says Gibbon, 'I would not exchange for the treasures of India.' Rousseau had always a work going on for rainy days and spare hours, such as his dictionary of music; a variety of wokrs never tired; the single one only esmantscal. Metastasio talks with delight of his variety, which resembled the fruits in the garden of Armids.

E mentre spunta l'un, l'altro mature. While one matures, the other buds and blows.

Nor is it always fame, nor any lower motive, which may induce him to hold an indefatigable pen; another equally powerful exists, which must remain inexplicable to him who knows not to escape from the listlessness of life-the passion for literary occupation. He whose eye can only measure the space occupied by the voluminous labours of the elder Pliny, of a Mazzuchelli, a Muratori, a Montfaucon, and a Gough; all men who laboured from the love of labour, and can see nothing in that space but the industry which filled it, is like him who only views a city at a distance—the streets and the squares, and all the life and population within, he can never know. These literary characters projected these works as so many schemes to escape from uninteresting pursuits; and, in these folios, how many evils of life did they bury, while their happiness expanded with their volume. Aulus Gellius desired to live no longer, than he was able to retain the faculty of writing and observing. The literary character must grow as impassioned with his subject as Elizabeth 1997. an with his History of Animals; 'wealth and honour I might have obtained at the courts of princes; but I preferred the delight of multiplying my knowledge. I am aware that the avaricious and the ambitious will accuse

me of folly, but I have always found most pleasure in observing the nature of animals, studying their character, and writing their history. Even with those who have acquired their celebrity, the love of literary labour is not diminished, a circumstance recorded by the younger Pliny of Livy; in a preface to one of his lost books, that historian had said that he had got sufficient glory by his former writings on the Roman history, and might now repose in silence; but his mind was so restless and so abhorrent of indolence, that it only felt its existence in literary exertion. Such are the minds who are without hope, if they are without occupation.

Amidat the repose and silence of study, delightful to the literary character, are the soothing interruptions of the voices of those whom he loves; these shall re-animate his languor, and moments of inspiration shall be caught in the emotions of affection, when a father or a friend, a wife, a daughter, or a sister, become the participators of his own tastes, the companions of his studies, and identify their happiness with his fame. If Horace was dear to his friends, he doclares they owed him to his father,

Out me collaudem) si vivo et carus amicis, Causa fuit Pater his.

Lib. i. Sat. vi. v. 69.
If pure and innocent, if dear (forgive
These liule praises) to my friends I live,
My father was the cause.

Francis.

This intelligent father, an obscure tax-gatherer, discovered the propensity of Horace's mind; for he removed the boy of genius from a rural seclusion to the metropolis, anxiously attending on him to his various masters. Vitruvius pours forth a grateful prayer to the memory of his parents, who had instilled into his soul a love for lite-rary and philosophical subjects. The father of Gibbon urged him to literary distinction, and the dedication of the 'Essay on literature,' to that father, connected with his The son of Buffon one day surprised his father by the sight of a column, which he had raised to the memory of his father's eloquent genius. 'It will do you honour,' observed the Gallic sage. And when that son in the revo-lution was led to the guillotine, he ascended in silence, so impressed with his father's fame, that he only told the peo-ple, 'I am the son of Buffon!' It was the mother of ple, 'I am the son of Buffon!' It was the mother of Burns who kindled his genius by delighting his childhood with the recitations of the old Scottish ballads, while to his father he attributed his cast of character; as Bishop Watson has recently traced to the affectionate influence of his mother, the religious feelings which he declares he had inherited from her. There is, what may be called, family genius; in the home of a man of genius he diffuses an electrical atmosphere; his own pre-eminence strikes out talents in all. Evelyn, in his beautiful retreat at Sayes Court, had inspired his family with that variety of tastes which he himself was spreading throughout the nation. His son translated Rapin's 'Gardens' which poem the father proudly preserved in his 'Sylva,' his lady, ever busied in his study, excelled in the arts her busband loved, and designed the frontispiece to his Lucretius; she was the cultivator of their celebrated garden, which served as an example, of his great work on forest trees. ley, who has commemorated Evelyn's love of books and gardens, has delightfully applied them to his lady, in whom, says the bard, Evelyn meets both pleasures;

'The fairest garden in her looks, And in her mind the wisest books.'

The house of Haller resembled a temple consecrated to science and the arts, for the votaries were his own family. The universal acquirements of Haller, were possessed in some degree by every one under his roof; and their studious delight in transcribing manuscripts, in consulting authors, in botanising, drawing and colouring the plants under his eye, formed occupations which made the daughters happy and the sons eminent. The painter Stella inspired his family to copy his fanciful inventions, and the playful graver of Claudine Stella, his niece, animated his 'Sports of Children.' The poems of the late Hurdis were printed by the hands of his sisters.

by the hands of his sisters.

No event in literary history is more impressive than the fate of Quintillian; it was in the midst of his elaborate work, composed to form the literary character of a sot, his great hope, that he experienced the most terrible affiction in the domestic life of genius—the deaths of his

wife, and one child after the other. It was a moral earthquake with a single survivor amidst the ruins. An awful burst of parental and literary affliction breaks forth in Quintillian's lamentation,—' my wealth, and my writings, the fruits of a long and painful life, must now be reserved only for strangers; all I possess is for aliens and no longer mine!' The husband, the father, and the man of genius,

utter one cry of agony.

Descrived of these social consolations, we see Johnson call about him those whose calamities exiled them from society, and his roof ledges the blind, the lame and the poor; for the heart of genius must possess something human it can call its own to be kind to. Its elevated emotions, even in domestic life, would enlarge the moral vo-cabulary, like the Abhé de Saint Pierre, who has fixed in his language two significant words; one which served to explain the virtue most familiar to him-bienfaisance; and the irritable vanity magnifying its ephomeral fame the sage

reduced to a mortifying diminutive—la gloriole.

It has often excited surprise that men of genius eminent in the world, are not more reverenced than other men in their domestic circle. The disparity between the public and the private esteem of the same man is often striking; in privacy the comic genius is not always cheerful, the sage is sometimes ridiculous, and the poet not delightful. The golden hour of invention must terminate like other hours, and when the man of genius returns to the cares, the du-ties, the vexations, and the amusements of life, his companions behold him as one of themselves—the creature of habits and infirmities. Men of genius, like the deities of Homer, are deities only in their 'Heaven of Invention:' mixing with mortals, they shed their blood like Venus, or bellow like Mars. Yet in the business of life the cultivators of science and the arts, with all their simplicity of feeling and generous openness about them, do not meet on equal terms with other men; their frequent abstractions calling off the mind to whatever enters into its favourite pursuits, render them greatly inferior to others in practical and immediate observation. A man of genius may know the whole map of the world of human nature; but, like the great geographer, may be apt to be lost in the wood, which any one in the neighbourhood knows better than him. 'The conversation of a poet,' says Goldsmith, 'is that of a man of sense, while his actions are those of a fool.' Genius, careless of the future, and absent in the present, avoids to mix too deeply in common life as its business; hence it becomes an easy victim to common fools and vulgar villains. 'I love my family's welfare, but I cannot be so foolish as to make myself the slave to the minute affairs of a house,' said Montesquieu. The story told of a man of learning is probably true, however ridiculous; deeply occupied in his library, one, rushing in, informed him that the house was on fire! Go to my wife—these matters belong to her!" pettishly replied the interrupted student. Bacon sat at one end of his table wrapt in many a reverse. while at the other the creatures about him were trafficking with his honour, and ruining his good name; 'I am better fitted for this,' said that great man once, holding out a book, 'than for the life I have of late led.' Buffon, who consumed his mornings in his old tower of Montbar, at the end of his garden, with all nature opening to him, formed all his ideas of what was passing before him by the arts of an active and pliant capuchin, and the comments of a perruquier on the scandalous chronicles; these he treated as children; but the children commanded the great man. Dr Young, whose satires give the very anatomy of human foibles, was entirely governed by his house-keeper; she thought and acted for him, which probably greatly assisted the 'Night Thoughts,' but his curate exposed the domestic economy of a man of genius by a satirical novel. not the hero Marlborough, at the moment he was the terror of France and the glory of Germany, held under the finger of his wife by the meanest passion of avarice?

But men of genius have too often been accused of imagmary crimes; their very eminence attracts the lie of calumny, a lie which tradition conveys beyond the possibility of refutation. Sometimes reproached for being undutiful sons, because they displeased their fathers in ma-king an obscure name celebrated. The family of Descartes were insensible to the lustre his studies reflected on cartes were insensible to the dutte his studies reflected on them: they lamented, as a blot in their escutcheon, that Descartes, who was born a gentleman, should become a philosopher. This elevated genius was even denied the satisfaction of embracing an unforziving parent, while his dwarfish brother, with a mind diminutive as his person, ri-

diculed his philosophic relative, and turned to advantage his philosophic dispositions. They have been deemed disagreeable companions, because they felt the weariness of duliness, or the impertinence of intrusion; as bad hushands, when united to women, who without a kindred feeling had the mean sense, or the unnatural cruelty, to prey upon their infirmities. But is the magnet less a magnet, though the particles scattered about it, incapable of attraction, are unagitated by its occult quality?

Poverty is the endemial distemper of the commonwealth;

but poverty is no term for 'ears polite.' Few can conceive a great character in a state of humble existence! That passion for wealth through all ranks, leaving the Hollanders aside, seems peculiar to the country where the 'Wealth of Nations' is made the first principle of its existence; and where the cus bono? is ever referred to a commercial result. This is not the chief object of life among the continental nations, where it seems properly restricted to the commercial class. Montesquieu, was in England, observed that if he had been born here nothing could have consoled him on failing to accumulate a large fortune, but I do not lament the mediocrity of my circumstances in France.' This evil, for such it may be considered, has much increased here since Montesquieu's visit. It is useless to persuade some that there is a poverty, neither vulgar, nor terrifying, asking no favours, and on no terms receiving any—a poverty which annihilates its ideal evils, and becomes even a source of pride—a state which will confer independence, that first step to genius.

There have been men of genius who have even learnt to want. We see Rousseau rushing out of the hotel of the financier, selling his watch, copying music by the sheet, and by the mechanical industry of two hours, poschasing ten for genius. We may smile at the enthusiasm of young Barry, who finding himself too constant a haunter of tavern-company, imagined that his expenditure of time was occasioned by having money; to put an end to the conflict, he threw the little he possessed at once into the Liffey; but let us not forget that Barry, in the maturity of life, confidently began a labour of years, and one of the noblest inventions in his art, a great poem in a picture, with no other resource than what he found in secret labours through the night, by which he furnished the shops with those slight and saleable sketches which secured uninterrupted mornings for his genius. Spinosa, a name as celebrated and calumniated as Epicurus, lived in all sorts of abstinence, even of honours, of pensions, and of prenot accept, so fearful was this philosopher of a chain; lodging in a cottage, and, obtaining a livelihood by polishing oppical glasses, and at his death his small accounts showed how he had subsisted on a few pence a day.

Enjoy spare feast! a radish and an egg.'-Comper.

Spinosa said he never had spent more than he earned, and certainly thought there was such a thing as superfluous earnings. Such are the men who have often, at the light regard of their neighbours in contrast with their growing celebrity; and who feel that eternal truth, which the wisest and the poorest of the Athenians has sent down to us, that ' not to want any thing is an attribute of the Divinity; but man approximates to this perfection by wanting little.

There may be sufficient motives to induce the literary character to make a state of mediocrity his choice. If he loses his happinose, he mutilates his genius. Godina, with the simplicity of his feelings and habits, in reviewing his life, tells us how he was always relapsing into his old propensity of comic writing; ' but the thought of this does not disturb me; for though in any other situation I might have been in easier circumstances, I should never have been so happy.' Bayle is a parent of the modern literary character; he pursued the same course, and early in life adopted the principle 'Neither to fear bad fortune, nor have any ardent desires for good.' He was acquainted with the passions only as their historian, and living only for literature, he sacrificed to it the two great acquisition of human pursuits—fortune and a family; but in England, of himan pursuits—fortune and a tamity; but in England, in France, in Germany, in Italy, in Holland, in Flanders, at Geneva, he found a family of friends, and an accumulation of celebrity. A life of hard deprivations was long the life of Linneus. Without a fortune, it never seemed to him necessary to acquire. Peregrinating on foot with a stylus, a magnifying glass, and a basket for plants, he shared with the peasant his rustic meal. Never was glory acquired at a cheaper rate, says one of his eulogists. Satisfied with the least of the little, he only felt the necessity of completing his Floras; and the want of fortune did not deprive him of his glory, nor of that statue raised to him after death in the gardens of the University of Upsal; nor of that solemn eulogy delivered by a crowned head; nor of those medals which the king of Sweden, and the Swedes, struck, to commemorate the genius of the three kingdoms of Nature.

In substituting fortune for the object of his designs, the man of genius deprives himself of the inspirations of him who lives for himself; that is, for his Art. If he bends to the public taste, not daring to raise it to his own, he has not the choice of his subjects, which itself is a sort of inven-tion. A task-worker ceases to think his own thoughts; the stipulated price and time are weighing on his pen or his pencil, while the hour-glass is dropping its hasty sands. If the man of genius would become something more than himself-if he would be wealthy and even luxurious, another fever torments him, besides the thirst of glory; such ardent desires create many fears, and a mind in fear is a mind in slavery. So inadequate, too, are the remunerations of literary works, that the one of the greatest skill and difficulty, and the longest labour, is not valued with that hasty spurious novelty for which the taste of the public is craving, from the strength of its disease, rather than Rousseau observed that his musical opera, ats appetite. the work of five or six weeks, brought him as much money as he had received for his Emilius, which had cost him twenty years of meditation, and three years of composi-tion. This single fact represents a hundred. In one of Shakespeare's sonnets he pathetically laments this compulsion of his necessities which forced him on the trade of pleasing the public; and he illustrates this degradation by a novel image. 'Chide Fortune,' cries the bard,—

'The guilty goddess of my harmless deeds,
That did not better for my life provide
Than public means which public manners breeds;
Thence comes it that my name receives a brand;
And almost thence my nature is subdued
To what it works in, LIEE THE DYER'S HAND.'

Such is the fate of that author, who, in his variety of task-works, blue, yellow, and red, lives without ever having shown his own natural complexion. We hear the eloquent truth from another who has shared in the bliss of composition, and the misery of its 'daily bread.' 'A single hour of composition won from the business of the day, is worth more than the whole day's toil of him who works at the trade of literature; in the one case the spirit comes joyfully to refresh itself, like a hart to the water-brooks; in the other it pursues its miserable way, panting and jaded with the dogs of hunger and necessity behind.'

Genius undegraded and unexhausted, may, indeed, even in a garret, glow in its career; but it must be on the principle which induced Rousseau solemnly to renounce writing 'par metier.' This in the Journal des Scavans he once attempted, but found himself quite inadequate to 'the profession.'† In a garret, the author of the 'Studies of Nature' exultingly tells us that he arranged his work. 'It was in a little garret, in the new street of St Etienne did Mont, where I resided four vears, in the midst of physical and domestic afflictions. But there I enjoyed the most exquisite pleasures of my life, amid profound solitude and an enchanting horizon. There I put the finishing hand to my 'Studies of Nature,' and there I published them.

It has been a question with some, more indeed abroad than at home, whether the art of instructing mankind by the press would not be less suspicious in its character, were it less interested in one of its motives? We have had some noble self-denials of this kind, and are not with-not them even in our country. Boileau almost consures Racine for having accepted money for one of his dramas, while he who was not rich, gave away his claborate works to the public; and he seems desirous of raising the art of writing to a more disinterested profession than any other requiring no fees. Mikon did not compose his immortal labour with any view of copyright; and Linneous old his works for a single dwarf. The Abbé Maby, the author of many political and moral works, preserved the dignity of the literary character, for while he lived on little, he would accept only a few presentation copies from the booksellers. Since we have become a nation of book collect-

\* Quarierly Review, No. XVI, p. 536. † Twice he repeated this resolution.—See his works, Vol. xxxi, p. 262. Vol. xxxii, p. 90.

ors, the principle seems changed; even the wealthy author becomes proud of the largest tribute paid to his genius, because this tribute is the evidence of the numbers who pay it; so that the property of a book represents to the literary candidate so many thousand voters in his favour.

The man of genius wrestling with heavy and oppressive fortune, who follows the avocations of an author as a precarious source of existence, should take as the model of the authorial hie that of Dr Johnson; the dignity of the literary character was ever associated with his feelings; and the 'reverence thyself' was present to his mind even when doomed to be one of the Helots of literature, by Osborn, by Cave, or by Millar. Destitute of this ennobling principle, the author sinks into the tribe of those rabid adventurers of the pen who have masked the degraded form of the literary character under the title of authors by profession—the Guthries, the Ralphs, and the Amhursts. \*

'There are worse evils, for the literary man,' says a modern author, who is himself the true model of the literary character,—'than neglect, poverty, imprisonment, and death. There are even more pitable objects than Chatterton himself with the poison of his-lips.' 'I should die with hunger, were I at peace with the world,' exclaimed a corsair of literature,—and dashed his pen into that black flood before him of soot and gall.

# CHAPTER XIII. THE MATRIMONIAL STATE.

Matrimony has often been considered as a condition not well suited to the domestic life of genius; it is accompanied by too many embarrassments for the head and the heart. It was an axiom with Fuessli, the Swiss artist, that the marriage state is incompatible with a high cultivation of the fine arts. Peiresc the great French collector, refused marriage, convinced that the cares of a family were too absorbing for the freedom necessary to literary pursunts, and a sacrifice of fortune incompatible with his great designs. Boyle, who would not suffer his studies to be interrupted by 'household affairs,' lived as a boarder with his sister Lady Ranelagh. Bayle, and Hobbes, and Hume, and Gibbon, and Adam Smith, decided for celibacy. Such has been the state of the great author whose sole occupation is combined with passion, and whose happiness is has fame—fame, which balances that of the heroes of the age, who have sometimes honoured themselves by acknowledging its.

ing it.

This debate, for our present topic has sometimes warmed into one, in truth is ill adapted for controversy; the heart is more concerned in its issue than any espoused doctrine terminating in partial views. Look into the domestic anals of genius—observe the variety of positions into which the literary character is thrown in the nuptial state. Will cynicism always obtain his sullen triumph, and prudence be allowed to calculate away some of the richer feelings of our nature? Is it an axiom that literary characters must necessarily institute a new order of celibacy? One position we may assume, that the studies, and even the happiness of the pursuits of literary characters, are powerfully influenced by the domestic associate of their lives.

Men of genius rarely pass through the age of love without its passion: even their Delias and Amandas are often the shadows of some real object. According to Shakspeare's experience,

' Never durst poet touch a pen to write, Until his ink were tempered with love's sighs.' Love's Labour Lost, Act IV. Scene &

Their imagination is perpetually colouring those pictures of domestic happiness they delight to dwell on. He who is no husband may sigh for that devoted tenderness which is at once bestowed and received; and tears may start in the eyes of him who can become a child among children, and is no father. These deprivations have usually been the concealed cause of the querulous and settled melancholy of the literary character. The real eccasion of Sheastone's unhappiness was, that early in life he had been captivated by a young lady adapted to be both the muse and the wife of the poet. Her mild graces were soon touched by his plaintive love-songs and elegies. Their

\* The reader will find an original letter by Guthrie to a Minister of State, in which this modern phrase was probably his own invention, with the principle unblushingly accounts See 'Calemiries of Authors,' vol. 1, p. & Ralph firther opens mysteries, in an anonymous pamphlet of 'The Case of Anthors by profession.' They were both pensioned

sensibility was too mutual, and lasted for some years, till she died. It was in parting from her that he first sketched his 'Pastoral Ballad.' Shenstone had the fortitude to refuse marriage; his spirit could not endure that she should participate in that life of deprivations to which he was doomed, by an inconsiderate union with poetry and poverty. But he loved, and his heart was not locked up in the ice of celibacy. He says in a moment of humour, 'It is long since I have considered myself as undene. world will not perhaps consider me in that light entirely passion in his Amanda, while the full tenderness of his heart was ever wasting itself like waters in a desert. As we have been made little acquainted with this part of the little history of the poet of the Seasons, I give his own description of these deep teelings from a manuscript letter written tion of these deep regings from a manuscrip, letter written Mallet. 'To turn my eyes a softer way, to you know who—absence sighs it to me. What is my heart made of? a soft system of low nerves, too sensible for my quiet—capable of being very happy or very unhappy, I am afraid the last will prevail. Lay your hand upon a kindred heart, and despise me not. I know not what it is, but she dwells upon my thought in a mingled sentiment, which is the sweetest, the most intimately pleasing the soul can receive, and which I would wish never to want towards some dear object or another. To have always some secret darling a to which one can still have recourse amidst the nois and nonsense of the world, and which never fails to touch us in the most exquisite manner, is an art of happiness that fortune cannot deprive us of. This may be called romantic; but whatever the cause is, the effect is really felt. Pray, when you write, tell me when you saw her, and with the pure eye of a friend, when you see her again, whisper that I am her most humble servant.' Even Pope was enamoured of 'a scornful lady; and as Johnson observed, 'polluted his will with female resentment.' Johnson himself, we are told by Miss Seward, who knew him, had always a metaphysical passion for one princess or the rustic Lucy Porter, or the haughty Molly Asother,—the rustic Lucy Porter, or the haughty Molly As-ton, or the sublimated methodistic Hill Boothby; and lastly, the more charming Mrs Thrale.' Even in his advanced age, at the height of his celebrity, we hear his cries of lonely wretchedness. 'I want every comfort; my life is very solitary and very cheerless. Let me know that I have yet a friend—let us be kind to one another.' But the kindness' of distant friends is like the polar sun, too far removed to warm. A female is the only friend the solitary can nave, because her friendship is never absent. Eventhose who have cluded individual tenderness, are tortured by an aching void in their feelings. The stoic Akenside, in his books of 'Odes,' has preserved the history of a life of genius in a series of his own feelings. One entitled, 'At Study,' closes with these memorable lines;

> ' Me though no peculiar fair Touches with a lover's care; Though the pride of my desire Aska immortal friendship's name, Asks the palm of honest fame And the old heroic lyre; Though the day have smoothly gone, Or to lettered leisure known, Or in social duty spent; Yet at eve my lonely breast Seeks in vain for perfect rest, Languishes for true content.

If ever a man of letters lived in a state of energy and excitement which might raise him above the atmosphere of social love, it was assuredly the enthusiast, Thomas Hollis, who, solely devoted to literature and to republicanism, was occupied in furnishing Europe and America with editions of his favourite authors. He would not marry, lest marriage should interrupt the labours of his platonic But his extraordinary memoirs, while they show an intrepid mind in a robust frame, bear witness to the self-tormentor who had trodden down the natural bonds of domestic life. Hence the deep 'dejection of his spirits;' those incessant cries, that he has no 'one to advise, as-sist, or cherish those magnanimous pursuits in him.' At ength he retreated into the country, in utter hopelessness.

I go not into the country for attentions to agriculture as such, nor attentions of interest of any kind, which I have ever despised as such; but as a used man, to pass the re-mainder of a life in tolerable sanity and quiet, after hav-ing given up the flower of it, voluntarily, day, week, meath, year after year successive to each other, to public

service, and being no longer able to sustain, in body a mind, the labours that I have chosen to go through with-out falling speedily into the greatest disorders, and it might be imbecility itself. This is not colouring, but the exact plain truth, and Gray's,

' Poor moralist, and what art thou? A solitary fly!
Thy joys no glittering female meets,
No hive hast thou of hoarded sweets.

Assuredly it would not be a question whether these literary characters should have married, had not Montaigne, when a widower, declared that 'he would not marry a second time, though it were wisdom itself ;'-but the airy Gascon has not disclosed how far Madame was concerned in this anathema.

If the literary man unites himself to a woman whose taste and whose temper, are adverse to his purants, he must courageously prepare for a martyrdom. Should a female mathematician be united to a poet, it is probable that she would be left to her abstractions; to demonstrate to herself how many a specious diagram fails when brought into its mechanical operation; or while discovering the infinite varieties of a curve, may deduce her husband's. If she becomes as jealous of his books as other wives are of the mistresses of their husbands, she may act the virago even over his innocent papers. The wife of Bishop Cooper, while her husband was employed on his Lexicon, one day while her missing was employed to him Lexicol, one did consigned the volume of many years to the flames; and obliged that scholar to begin a second siege of Troy in a second Lexicon. The wife of Whitelocke often destroyed his ass and the marks of her nails have come down to posterity in the numerous lacerations still gaping in his 'Memorials.' The learned Sir Henry Saville, who devoted more than half his life, and near ten thousand pounds, to his magnificent edition of St Chrysostom, led a very uneasy life between that Saint and Lady Saville; what with her tenderness for him and her own want of amusement, Saint Chrysostom incurred more than one danger. One of those learned scholars who translated the Scriptures, kept a diary of his studies and his domestic calam ties, for they both went on together; busied only among his books, his wife, from many causes, plunged him into debt; he was compelled to make the list sacrifice of a literary man, by disposing of his library. But now, he without books, and she worse and worse in temper, discontents were of fast growth between them. Our man of study, found his wife, like the remora, a little fish, sticking at the bottom of his ship impeding its progress. He deaperately resolved to fly from the country and his wife. There is a cool entry in the diary, on a warm proceeding, one morning; wherein he expresses some curiosity to know the caus of his wife being out of temper! Simplicity of a patient scholar !\* The present matrimonial case, however, terminated in unexpected happiness; the wife, after having minated in unexpected happiness; the wife, after having forced her husband to be deprived of his library, to be daily chronicling her caprices, and finally, to take the serious resolution of abandoning his country, yet, living in good old times, religion and conscience united them again; and, as the connubial diarist ingeniously describes this second marriage of himself and his wife,—'made it be with them, as surgeons say it is with a fractured bone, if once well set, the stronger for a fracture.' A new consolution for domestic relutives! mestic ruptures!

estic ruptures.

Observe the errors and infirmities of the greatest men of genius in their matrimonial connections. nothing of the greatness of his mind, in the choice of his wives; his first wife was the object of sudden fancy. He lest the metropolis, and unexpectedly returned a married man; united to a woman of such uncongenial dispositions, that the romp was frightened at the literary babits of the great poet, found his house solitary, beat his nephews, and ran away after a single month's residence! to this circumran away after a single months reaction of the carcumstance, we owe his famous treatise on Divorce, and a party, (by no means extinct,) who, having made as ill choices in their wives, were for divorcing, as fast as they had been for marrying, calling themselves Millewiste.

When we find that Moliere, so skilled in human life, married a girl from his own troop, who made him experience

\*The entry may amuse. Hodie, nescio qua intemporta uxorem meam agitavit, nam pecuniam usudatam projecti humi, ac sic irata discessit.....'This day, I know not the cause of the ill-temper of my wife; when I gave her money for delily expences, she flung it upon the ground and departed in pession.' For some, this Flemish picture must be too fambiliar to please, too minute a copy of vulgar life

all those bitter disgusts and ridiculous embarrassments which he himself played off at the Theatre; that Addison's fine taste in morals and in life, could suffer the ambition of a courtier to prevail with himself to seek a Countess, whom he describes under the stormy character of Oceana, who drove him contemptuously into solitude, and shortened his days; and, that Steele, warm and thoughtless, was united to a cold precise 'Miss Prue,' as he calls her, and from whom he never parted without bickerings; in all these cases we censure the great men, not their wives.\* Rousseau has honestly confessed his error: he had united himself to a low illiterate woman—and when he retreated into solitude, he felt the weight which he carried with him. He laments that he had not educated his wife; 'In a docite age, I could have adorned her mind with talents and knowledge which would have more closely united us in retirement. We should not then have felt the intolerable tædium of a tete à tete; it is in solitude one feels the advantage of living with another who can think.' Thus Rousseau confesses the fatal error, and indicates the right principle.

But it seems not absolutely necessary for the domestic happiness of the literary character, that his wife should be a literary woman. The lady of Wieland was a very pleasing domestic person, who without reading her husband's works, knew he was a great poet. Wieland was apt to exercise his imagination in a sort of angry declamation and bitter amplifications; and the writer of this account in perfect German taste, assures us, 'that many of his felicities of diction were thus struck out at a heat!' dumper of Mrs Wieland evercame the orgasm of the German bard, merely by her admiration and her patience, When the burst was over, Wieland himself was so charmed by her docility, that he usually closed with giving up al' his opinions. There is another sort of homely happiness, aptly described in the plain words of Bishop Newton: He found 'the study of sacred and classic authors ill agreed with butchers' and bakers' bills;' and when the prospect of a bishopric opened on him, 'more servants, more entertainments, a better table, &c.' it became necessary to look out for 'some clever sensible woman to be his wife, who would lay out his money to the best advantage, and be careful and tender of his health; a friend and companion at all hours, and who would be happier in staying at home than be perpetually gadding abroad.' Such are the wives, not adapted to be the votaries, but who may be the faithful companions through life, even of a man of genius.

That susceptibility, which is love in its most compliant forms, is a constitutional faculty in the female character, and hence its docility and enthusiasm has varied with the genus of different ages. When universities were opened to the sex, have they not acquired academic glory? Have not the wives of military men shared in the perils of the field, and as Anna Comaena, and our Mrs Hutchinson, become even their historians? In the age of love and sympathy the female receives an indelible character from her literary associate; his pursuits are even the objects of her thoughts; he sees his tastes reflected in his family, much less by himself, whose solitary labours often pre-clude him from forming them, than by that image of his own genius in his house—the mother of his children. Antiquity abounds with many inspiring examples of this casleon reflection of the female character. Aspasia, from the arms of Pericles, borrowing his genius, could instruct the archons how to govern the republic; Portia, the wife of the republican Brutus, devouring the burning coals, showed a glorious suicide which Brutus had approved while Paulina, the wife of Seneca, when the veins of that philosopher were commanded to be opened, voluntarily chose the same death; the philosopher commanded that her flowing a cood should be stopped, but her pallid features ever after showed her still the wife of Seneca! The wife of Lucan is said to have transcribed and corrected the Pharsalia after the death of her husband; the tender mind of the wife had caught the energy of the bard by its inor the ware had caught the energy of the bard by its in-tercourse; and when he was no more, she placed his bust an her bed, that she might never close her eyes without being soothed by his image. The picture of a literary wife of antiquity has descended to us, touched by the do-mestic pencil of a man of genius. It is the susceptible Calphurnia, the lady of the younger Pliny; ther affection to me has given her a turn to books—her passion will in-

crease with our days, for it is not my youth or my person which time gradually impairs, but my reputation and m glory, of which she is enamoured. Could Mrs Hutchinson have written the life of her husband, had she not reflected from the patriot himself, all his devotedness to Vie country, had she not lent her whole soul to every event which concerned him? This female susceptibility was strong in the wife of Klopstock; our novelist Richardson, who could not read the Messiah in the original, was desirous of some account of the poem, and its progress. She writes to him that no one can inform him better than herself, for she knows the most of that which is not published, being always present at the birth of the young verses which begin by fragments here and there, of a subject of which his soul is just then filled. Persons who live as we do have no need of two chambers; we are always in the same; I with my little work, still, still, -only regarding sometimes my husband's sweet face, which is so venerable at that time, with tears of devotion and all the sublimity of the subject—my husband reading me his young verses and suffering my criticisms.' Meta Mollers writes with enthusiasm, and in German English; but he is a pitiful critic who has only discovered the oddness of her language.
Gesner declared that whatever were his talents, the

person who had most contributed to develope them was his wife. She is unknown to the public; but the history of the mind of such a woman can only be truly discovered in the Letters of Gesner and his Family.' While Gesner gave himself up entirely to his favourite arts, drawing, painting, etching, and composing poems, his wife would often reanimate a genius that was apt to despond in its attempts, and often exciting him to new productions, her certain and delicate taste was attentively consulted by the poet-painter-but she combined the most practical good sense with the most feeling imagination; this forms the rareness of the character-for this same woman, who united with her husband in the education of their children, to relieve him from the interruptions of common business, carried on alone the concerns of his house in la librairie. Her correspondence with her son, a young artist travelling for his studies, opens what an old poet comprehensively terms 'a gathered mind.' Imagine a woman attending the domestic economy, and the commercial details yet with-drawing out of this business of life into that of the more elevated pursuits of her husband, and the cares and coun-sels she bestowed on her son to form the artist and the man. To know this incomparable woman we must hear her. 'Gossider your father's precepts as oracles of wisdom; they are the result of the experience he has col-lected, not only of life, but of that art which he has acquired simply by his own industry.' She would not have her son suffer his strong affection to herself to absorb all other sentiments. 'Had you remained at home, and been habituated under your mother's auspices to employments mere ly domestic, what advantage would you have acquired? I own we should have passed some delightful winter evenings together; but your love for the arts, and my ambition to see my sons as much distinguished for their talents as their virtues, would have been a constant source of regret at your passing your time in a manner so little worthy of you.' How profound is her observation on the strong but confined attachments of a youth of genius. I have frequently remarked, with some regret, the excessive attachment you indulge towards those who see and feel as you do yourself, and the total neglect with which you seem to treat every one else. I should reproach a man with such a fault who was destined to pass his life in a small and unvarying circle; but in an artist, who has a great object in view, and whose country is the whole world, this disposition seems to me likely to produce a great number of inconveniences—alas! my son, the life you have hitherto led in your father's house has been in fact a pastoral life, and not such a one as was necessary for the education of a man whose destiny summons him to the world.'-And when her son, after meditating on some of the most glorious pro-ductions of art, felt himself as he says, 'disheartened and cast down at the unattainable superiority of the artist, and that it was only by reflecting on the immense labour and continued efforts which such master pieces must have required, that I regained my courage and my ardour, she observes, 'this passage, my dear son, is to me as precious as gold, and I send it to you again, because I wish you to impress it strongly on your mind. The remembrance on this may also be a useful preservative from too great confidence in your abilities, to which a warm imagination may sometimes be liable, or from the despondence you might

<sup>\*</sup> See Curiosities of Literature, for various anecdotes of 'Literary Wives.'

occasionally feel from the contemplation of grand originals Continue, therefore, my dear son, to form a sound judgment and a pure taste from your own observations; your mind, while yet young and flexible, may receive whatever impressions you wish. Be careful that your abilities do not inspire in you too much confidence, lest it should happen to you as it has to many others, that they have never possessed any greater merit than that of having good abilities? ties.' One more extract to preserve an incident which may touch the heart of genius. This extraordinary woman whose characteristic is that of strong sense with delicacy of feeling, would check her German sentimentality at the moment she was betraying those emotions in which the imagination is so powerfully mixed up with the associated feelings. Arriving at their cottage at Sihlwald, she proceeds—On entering the parlour three small pictures, painted by you, met my eyes. I passed some time in contemplating them. It is now a year, thought I since I saw him trace these pleasing forms; he whistled and sang. and I saw them grow under his pencil; now he is far, far from us.-In short, I had the weakness to press my lips on one of these pictures. You well know, my dear son, that I am not much addicted to scenes of a sentimental turn; but to-day, while I considered your works, I could not restrain from this little impulse of maternal feelings. Do not. however, be apprehensive that the tender affection of a mother will ever lead me too far, or that I shall suffer my mounter will ever lead me too lar, or that I shall samer me mind to be too powerfully impressed with the painful sensations to which your absence gives birth. My reason convinces me that it is for your welfare that you are now in a place where your abilities will have opportunities of unfolding, and where you can become great in your

Such was the incomparable wife and mother of the Gesners!—Will it now be a question whether matrimony is incompatible with the cultivation of the arts? A wife who reanimates the drooping genius of her husband, and a mother who is inspired by the ambition of seeing her sons eminent, is she not the real being which the ancients only personfied in their Muse ?

## CHAPTER XIV.

LITERARY PRIENDSHIPS.

Among the virtues which literature inspires, is that of the most romantic friendship. The deliriumof love, and even its lighter caprices, are incompatible with the pursuits of the student; but to feel friendship like a passion, is ne-cessary to the mind of genius, alternately elated and dopressed, ever prodigal of feeling, and excursive in

The qualities which constitute literary friendship, compared with those of men of the world, must render it as rare as true love itself, which it resembles in that intellec-tual tenderness of which both so deeply participate. Two atoms must meet out of the mass of nature, of such purity, that when they once adhere, they shall be as one, resisting the utmost force of separation. This literary friendship begins in the dews of their youth, and may be said not to expire on their tomb. Engaged in similar studies, if one is found to excel, he shall find in the other the protector of his fame. In their familiar conversations, the memory of the one associates with the fancy of the other; and to such an intercourse, the world owes some of the finer effusions of genius, and some of those monuments of labour which required more than one giant hand.

In the poem Cowley composed on the death of his friend

Harvey, this stanza opens a pleasing scene of two young iterary friends engaged in their midnight studies.

Say, for you saw us, yo immortal lights

How oft unwearied have we spent the nights Till the Ladman stars, so famed for love, Wondered at us from above. We spent them not in toys, in lust, or wine;

But search of deep philosophy,
Wit, eloquence, and poetry;
Arts which I loved, for they, my friend, were thine.

Milton has not only given the exquisite Lucidas to the remory of one young friend, but his Epitaphism Damonis to another.

Now, mournfully cries the youthful genius, as versified by Langhorne,

To whom shall my hopes and fears impart, Or trust the cares and follies of my heart?

The Sonnet of Gray on West, is another beautiful in-stance of that literary friendship of which we have several instances in our own days, from the school or the college; and which have rivalled in devoted affections any which

these pages can record.

Such a friendship can never be the lot of men of the world, for it takes its source in the most elevated feelings; it springs up only in the freshness of nature, and is gathered in the golden age of human life. It is intellectual, and it loves solitude; for literary friendship has no convivial gaities and factious assemblies. The friendships of the men of society move on the principle of personal interest, or to relieve themselves from the listlessness of existence; but interest can easily separate the interested, and as weariness is contagious, the contact of the propagator is watched. Men of the world may look on each other with the same countenances, but not with the same hearts. Literary friendship is a sympathy, not of manners, but of feelings. In the common mart of life may be found intimacies which terminate in complaint and contempt; the more they know one another, the less is their mutual esteem; the feeble mind quarrels with one still more imbecile than himself; the dissolute riot with the dissolute, and while they despise their companions, they too have become despicable.

That perfect unity of feeling, that making of two indi-viduals but one being is displayed in such memorable friendships as those of Beaumont and Fletcher; whose labours were so combined that no critic can detect the mingled production of either; and whose lives were so closely united, that no biographer can compose the memoirs of the one without running into the life of the other. Their days were as closely interwoven as their verses. Montaigne and Charron, in the eyes of posterity, are rivals, but such literary friendship knows no rivalry; such was Montaigne's affection for Charron, that he requested him by his will to bear the arms of the Montaignes; and Charron evinced his gratitude to the manes of his departed friend, by leaving his fortune to the aister of Montaigne. How pathetically Erasmus mourns over the death of his beloved Sir Thomas More—'In Moro mihi videor estimatus,'-' I seem to see myself extinct in More.'-It was a melancholy presage of his own death, which shortly after followed. The Doric sweetness and simplicity of old Isaac Walton, the angler, were reflected in a mind as clear and generous, when Charles Cotton continued the foelings, rather than the little work of Walton. Metastasio and Farinelli called each other il Gemello, the Twin; and both delighted to trace the resemblance of their lives and fates, and the perpetual alliance of the verse and the voice. Goguet, the author of 'The Origin of the Arts and Sciences,' bequeathed his MSS. and his books to his friend Fugere, with whom he had long united his affec-tions and his studies, that his surviving friend might proceed with them; but the author had died of a slow and painful disorder, while Fugere had watched by the side of his dying friend, in silent despair; the sight of those MSS, and books was his death-stroke; half his soul which had once given them animation was parted from him, and a few weeks terminated his own days. When Loyd heard of the death of Churchill, he neither wished to survive him nor did. The Abbé de St Pierre gave an interesting proof of literary friendship for Varignon the geome-trician; they were of congenial dispositions, and St Pierre when he went to Paris, could not endure to part with Varignon, who was too poor to accompany him; and St Pierre was not rich. A certain income, however mode-rate, was necessary for the tranquil pursuits of geometry. St Pierre presented Varignon with a portion of his small income, accompanied by that delicacy of feeling which men of genius who know each other can best conceive: 'I do not give it you,' said St Pierre, 'as a salary, but an annuity, that thus you may be independent and quit me when you dislike me.' The same circumstance occurred between Akenside and Dyson, who, when the poet was in great danger of adding one more illustrious name to the Calamittes of Authors, interposed between him and ill-fortune, by allowing him an annuity of three hundred a year, and when he found the fame of his literary friend published an able and a curious defence of Akenasde's poetical and philosophical character. The name and character of Dyson have been suffered to die away, with-out a single tribute of even biographical sympathy; but in the record of literary glory, the patron's name should be inscribed by the side of the literary character; for the public incurs an obligation whenever a man of genius is protected.

The statesman Fouquet, deserted by all others, witnessed La Fontaine hastening every literary man to the prison-gate; many have inacribed their works to their disgraced patron, in the hour

When Int'rest calls off all her sneaking train, And all the obliged desert, and all the vain, They wait, or to the scaffold, or the cell, When the last ling'ring friend has bid farewell.

Such are the friendships of the great literary character! Their elevated minds have raised them into domestic heroes, whose deeds have been often only recorded on that fading register, the human heart.

## CHAPTER XV.

## THE LITERARY AND PERSONAL CHARACTER.

Are the personal dispositions of an author discoverable in his writings as those of an artist are imagined to appear in his works, where Michael Angelo is always great and Raphael ever graceful?

Is the moralist a moral man? Is he malignant who publishes caustic satires? Is he a libertine who composes toogs poems? And is he whose imagination delights in terror and in blood, the very monster he paints?

Many licentious writers have led chaste lives. La Mothe le Vayer wrote two works of a free nature; yet his was the unblemished life of a retired sage. Bayle is the too faithful compiler of impurities, but he resisted the corruption of the senses as much as Newton. La Fontaine wrote tales fertile in intrigues, yet the 'bon homme' has not left on record a single ingenious amour. Smollet's character is immaculate; yet he has described two scenes which offend even in the freedom of imagination. Cowley, who boasts with such gaiety of the versatility of his passion among so many mistresses, wanted even the confidence to address one. Thus, licentious writers may be very chaste men; for the imagination may be a volcano, while the heart is an Alp of ice.

Turn to the moralist-there we find Seneca, the disinterested usurer of seven millions, writing on moderate desires, on a table of gold. Sallust, who so eloquently de-claims against the licenticusness of the age, was repeatedly accused in the Senate of public and habitual debaucheries; and when this inveigher against the spoilers of provinces attained to a remote government, Sallust pillaged like Verres. Lucian, when young, declaimed against the friendship of the great, as another name for servitude; but when his talents procured him a situation under the Emperor, he facetiously compared himself to those quacks, who themselves plagued with a perpetual cough, offer to sell an infallible remedy for one. Sir Thomas More, in his Utopia, declares that no man ought to be punished for his religion; yet he became a fierce persecutor, racking and burning men when his own true faith here was at the ebb. At the moment the poet Rousseau was giving versions of the Psalms, full of unction, as our neighbours say, he was profaning the same pen with the most infamous of epigrams. We have heard of an erotic poet of our times composing sacred poetry, or night-hymns in church-vards. The pathetic genius of Sterne played about his head, but never reached his heart.

And thus with the personal dispositions of an author, which may be quite the reverse from those which appear in his writings. shuson would not believe that Horace was a happy man, secause his verses were cheerful, no more than he could think Pope so, because he is continually informing us of it. Young, who is constantly conany moraning us of h. I dough was all his life pining after it; and while the sombrous author of the 'Night Thoughts' was composing them, he was as cheerful as any other man; he was as lively in conversation as he was gloomy in his writings: and when a lady expressed her surprise at his social converse, he repliedmuch difference between writing and talking.' Molière, on the contrary, whose humour was so perfectly comic, and even ludicrous, was a very thoughtful and serious man, and perhaps even of a melancholy temper: his strongly. featured physiognomy exhibits the face of a great tragic, rather than of a great a mic, poet. Could one have imagined that the brilliant wit, the luxuriant raillery, and the tino and deep sense of Paschal could have combined with the most opposite qualities—the hypochondriasm and

bigotry of an ascetic? Rochefoucauld, says the eloquent Dugald Stewart, in private life was a conspicuous example of all those moral qualities of which he seemed to deny the existence, and exhibited in this respect a striking contrast to the Cardinal De Retz, who has presumed to cen-sure him for his want of faith in the reality of virtue; and to which we must add, that De Retz was one of those pre-tended patriots without a single of those virtues for which he was the clamorous advocate of faction. When Valine cour attributed the excessive tenderness in the tragedies of Racine to the poet's own impassioned character, the younger Racine amply showed that his father was by no means this slave of love; that his intercourse with a certain actress was occasioned by his pains to form her, who with a fine voice, and memory, and beauty, was incapable of comprehending the verses she recited, or accompanying write as single love poem, nor had a mistress; and his wife had never read his tragedies, for poetry was not her delight. Racine's motive for making love the constant source of action in his tragedies, was on the principle which has influenced so many poets, who usually conform to the prevalent taste of the times. In the court of a young monarch, it was necessary that heroes should be lovers; and since Corneille had so nobly run in one career, Racine could not have existed as a great poet, had he not rivalled him in an opposite one. The tender Racine was no lover; but he was a subtle and epigrammatic observer, before whom his convivial friends never cared to open their minds. It is not therefore surprising if we are often erroneous in the conception we form of the personal character of a distant author. Klopstock, the votary of Zion's muse, so astonished and warmed the sage Bodmer, that he invited the inspired bard to his house; but his visiter shocked the grave professor, when, instead of a poet rapt in silent meditation, a volatile youth leapt out of the chaise, who was an enthusiast for retirement only when writing verses. An artist whose pictures exhibit a series of scenes of domestic tenderness, awakening all the charities of private life, participated in them in no other way than on his Evelyn, who has written in favour of active canvass. life, loved and lived in retirement; while Sir George Mackenzie framed an eulogium on solitude, who had been continually in the bustle of business.

Thus an author and an artist may yield no certain indi-cation of their personal character in their works. Inconstant men will write on constancy, and licentious minds may elevate themselves into poetry and piety. And were this not so, we should be unjust to some of the greatest geniuses, when the extraordinary sentiments they put into the mouths of their dramatic personages are maliciously applied to themselves. Euripides was accused of atheism, when he made a denier of the gods appear on the stage.
Milton has been censured by Clarke for the impiety of Satan; and it was possible that an enemy of Shakspeare might have reproached him for his perfect delineation of the accomplished villain Iago; as it was said that Dr Moore was sometimes hurt in the opinions of some, by his horrid Zeluco. Crebillon complains of this .- They charge me with all the iniquities of Atreus, and they consider me in some places as a wretch with whom it is unfit to associate; as if all which the mind invents must be derived from the heart. This poet offers a striking instance of the little alliance existing between the literary and personal dispositions of an author. Crebillon, who exulted on his entrance into the French academy, that he had never tinged his pen with the gall of satire, delighted to strike on the most harrowing string of the tragic lyre. In his Atreus, the father drinks the blood of his son; in Rhadamistus, the son expires under the hand of the father; in Electra, the son assassinates the mother. A poet is a painter of the soul; but a great artist is not therefore a bad man.

Montaigne appears to have been sensible of this fact in the literary character. Of authors, he says, he likes to read their little anecdotes and private passions; and adds, 'Car j'ai une singulière curiosité de connoitre l'ame et les naifs jugemens de mes auteurs. Il faut bien juger leur suffisance, mais non pas leurs moeurs, ni eux, par cette montre de leurs écrits qu'ils ztalent au théatre du monde,' Which may be thus translated—'For I have a singular curiosity to know the soul and simple opinions of my authors. We must judge of their ability, but not of their manners, nor of themselves, by that show of their writings which they display on the theatre of the world.' This is very just, and are we yet convinced, that the simplicity of

this old far surite of Europe, might not have been as much a theatrica gesture, as the sentimentality of Sterne?

We must not therefore consider that he who paints vice with energy is therefore vicious, lest we injure an honourable man; nor must we imagine that he who celebrates virtue is therefore virtuous, for we may then repose on a heart which knowing the right pursues the wrong.

These paradoxical appearances in the history of genius present a curious moral phenomenon. Much must be attributed to the plastic nature of the versatile faculty itself. Men of genius have often resisted the indulgence of one talent to exercise another with equal power; some, who have solely composed sermons, could have touched on the foibles of society with the spirit of Horace or Juvenal; Blackstone and Sir William Jones directed that genius to the austere studies of law and philology, which might have excelled in the poetical and historical character. So versatile is this faculty of genius, that its possessors are sometimes uncertain of the manner in which they shall treat their subject; whether to be grave or ludicrous? When Breboeuf, the French translator of the Pharsalia of Lucan, had completed the first book as it now appears, he at the same time composed a burlesque version, and sent both to the great arbiter of taste in that day, to decide which the poet should continue? The decision proved to be difficult. Are there not writers who can brew a tempest or fling a sunshine with all the vehemence of genius at their will? They adopt one principle, and all things shrink into the pigray forms of ridicule; they change it, and all rise to startle us, with animated Colossusses. On this principle of the versatility of the faculty, a production of genius is a piece of art which wrought up to its full effect is merely the result of certain combinations of the mind, with a felicity of manner obtained by taste and habit.

Are we then to reduce the works of a man of genius to a mere sport of his talents; a game in which he is only the best player? Can he whose secret power raises so many emotions in our breasts, be without any in his own? A mere actor performing a part? Is he unfeeling when he is pathetic, indifferent when he is indignant? An alien to all the wisdom and virtue he inspires ? No! were men of genius themselves to assert this, and it is said some incline to it, there is a more certain conviction, than their mistakes, in our own consciousness, which for ever assures us, that deep feelings and elevated thoughts must

spring from their source.

In proving that the character of the man may be very opposite to that of his writing, we must recoilect that th habits of life may be contrary to the habits of the mind. The influence of their studies over men of genius, is limited; out of the ideal world, man is reduced to be the active creature of sensation. An author, has in truth, two listinct characters; the literary, formed by the habits of his study; the personal, by the habits of situation. Gray, cold, effeminate and timid in his personal, was lofty and awful in his literary character; we see men of polished manners and bland affection, in grasping a pen, are thrusting a poignard; while others in domestic life, with the simplicity of children and the feebleness of nervous affections, can shake the senate or the har with the vehemence of their eloquence and the intrepidity of their spirit.

And, however the personal character may contrast with that of their genius, still are the works themselves genuthat of their genius, suit are the works memberres genuene, and exist in realities for us—and were so doubtless to themselves, in the act of composition. In the calm study, a beautiful imagination may convert him whose morals are corrupt, into an admirable moralist, awakening feelings which yet may be cold in the business of life; since we have shown that the phlegmatic can excite himself into wit, and the cheerful man delight in Night-thoughts. Sallust, the corrupt Sallust, might retain the most sublime conceptions of the virtues which were to save the Republic; and Sterne, whose heart was not so susceptible in not and extense, while he was gradually creating incident after incident, touching the emotions one after another, in the stories of Le Fevre and Maria, might have thrilled—like some of his readers.\* Many have mourned

\*Long after this was written, and while this volume was passing through the press, I discovered a new incident in the life of Sterne, which verifies my conjecture. By some unpublished letters of Sterne's in Mr Murray's Collection of Autographical Letters, it appears that early in life, he deeply fixed the affections of a young lady, during a period of fixed years, and for some cause I know not, he suddenly deserted her and married another. The young lady was too sensible of

over the wisdom or the virtue they contemplated, mortified at their own infirmities. Thus, though there may be no identity, between the book and the man, still for us, an author is ever an abstract being, and, as one of the Fathers said, 'a dead man may sin dead, leaving books that make others sin.' An author's wisdom or his folly does not die with him. The volume, not the author, is our companion, and is for us a real personage, performing before us whatever it inspires; 'he being dead, yet speaketh.'
Such is the vitality of a book!

## CHAPTER XIV. THE MAR OF LETTERS.

Among the more active members of the republic there is a class to whom may be appropriately assigned the title of Men of Letters.

The man of letters, whose habits and whose whole life so closely resemble those of an author, can only be distinguished by the simple circumstance, that the man of letters is not an author.

Yet he whose sole occupation through life is literature, who is always acquiring and never producing appears as ridiculous as the architect who never raised an edifice, or the statuary who refrains from sculpture. His pursuits are reproached with terminating in an epicurean selfish-

are reprosensed with terminating in an opicurean sensin-ness, and amidet his increasant avocations he himself is considered as a particular sort of idler.

This race of literary characters, as they now exist, could not have appeared till the press had poured its afflu-ence; in the degree that the nations of Europe became literary, was that philosophical curiosity kindled, which induced some to devote their fortunes and their days, and seduced source some of the purest of human enjoyments, in preserving and familiarising themselves with the monu-ments of vanished minds, that indestructible history of the genius of every people, through all its eras—and whatever men have thought and whatever men have done, were at length discovered to be found in Books.

Men of letters occupy an intermediate station between authors and readers; with more curiosity of knowledge and more multiplied tastes, and by those precious collec-tions which they are forming during their lives, more completely furnished with the means than are possessed by the multitude who read, and the few who write.

The studies of an author are usually restricted to particular subjects; his tastes are tinctured by their colouring, and his mind is always shaping itself to them. An author's works form his solitary pride, and often mark the boundaries of his empire; while half his life wears away in the slow maturity of composition; and still the ambition of authorship torments its victim alike in disappointment or in possession.

But the solitude of the man of letters is soothed by the surrounding objects of his passion; he possesses them, and they possess him. His volumes in triple rows on ther shelves; his portfolios, those moveable galleries of pic-tures and sketches; his rich medaillier of coins and gens, that library without books; some favourite sculptures and paintings on which his eye lingers as they catch a magical light; and some antiquities of all nations, here and there, about his house; these are his furniture! Every thing about him is so endeared to him by habit, and many higher associations, that even to quit his collections for a short time becomes a real suffering; he is one of the hef-hebbers of the Hollanders—a lover or fancier.\* He lives where he will die; often his library and his chamber are contiguous, and this ' Parva, sed apta,' this contracted space, has

this act of treachery; she lost her senses and was confined in aprivate mad-house, where Sterne twice visited her. He has drawn and coloured the picture of her madness, which be himself had occasioned! This fact only adds to some which have so deeply injured the sentimental character of this apthor, and the whole spurious race of his wretched apes. His life was loose, and shandean, his principles unsettled, and is does not seem that our wit bore a single attraction of personal affection about him: for his death was characteristic of his life. Sterne died at his lodgings, with neither friend nor relative by his side; a hired nurse was the sole companion of the man whose wis found admirers in every street, but whose heart could not draw one by his death-bed

\* The Dutch call every thing for which they have a passion lief-hebberge—things having their love; and as their feling is much stronger than their delicacy, they apply the term to every thing, from poesy and picture to tulips and tobacca Lief-hebbers are lovers or fancings. thor, and the whole spurious race of his wretched apes.

Digitized by GOOGLE

eften marked the boundary of the existence of the opulent

His invisible days flow on in this visionary world of hiterature and art; all the knowledge, and all the tastes, which genius has ever created are transplanted into his cabinet; there they flourish together in an atmosphere of their own. But tranquillity is essential to his existence; for though his occupations are interrupted without incon-venience, and resumed without effort, yet if the realities of life, with all their unquiet thoughts, are suffered to enter into his ideal world, they will be felt as if something were flung with violence among the trees where the birds are

singing,—all would instantly disperse.
Such is that life of self-oblivion of the man of letters, for which so many have voluntarily relinquished a public stawhich so many are votating view industries a public serious; or their rank in society; neglecting even fortune and health. Of the pleasures of the man of letters it may be said, they combine those opposite sources of enjoyment observed in the hunter and the angler. Of a great hunter it was said, that he did not live but hunted; and the man of letters, in his perpetual researches, feels the like heat, and the joy of discovery, in his own chase; while in the deep calm of his spirits, such is the sweetness of his uninterrupted hours, like those of the angler that one may say of him what Colonel Venables, an enthusiastic augler, declared of his favourite pursuit, 'many have cast off other recreations and embraced this; but I never knew any an-

gler wholly cast off, though occasions might interrupt, their affections to their beloved recreation.'

But 'men of the world,' as they are so emphatically distinguished, imagine that a man so lifeless in 'the world' must be one of the dead in it, and, with mistaken wit, would inscribe over the sepulchre of his library, 'Here lies the body of our friend.' If the man of letters has voluntarily quitted their 'world,' at least he has past into another where he enjoys a sense of existence through a long succession of ages, and where Time, who destroys all things for others, for him only preserves and discovers. This world as best described by one who has lingered among its inspirations. We are wasted into other times and strange lands, connecting us by a sad but exalting relationship with the great events and great minds which have passed away. Our studies at once cherish and controul the imagination, by leading it over an unbounded range of the noblest scenes in the overawing company of departed wisdom and genius'\*

If the man of letters is less dependent on others for the very perception of his own existence, his solitude is not that of a desert, but of the most cultivated humanity; for all there tends to keep a live those concentrated feelings which cannot be indulged with security, or even without ridicule, in general society. Like the Lucullus of Plutarch, he would not only live among the votaries of literature, but would live for them; he throws open his library, his gal-iery, and his cabinet, to all the Grecians. Such are the men who father neglected genius, or awaken its infancy by the perpetual legacy of the 'Prizes' of Literature and science; who project those benevolent institutions where they have poured out the philanthrophy of their hearts in that world which they appear to have forsaken. If Europe is literary, to whom does she owe this, more than to these men of letters? To their noble passion of amassing through life those magnificent collections, which often bear the names of their founders from the gratitude of a following age? Venice, Florence, and Copenhagen, Oxford and London, attest the existence of their labours. Our Bod-London, aftest the existence of their labours. Our Solanes, our Cracherodes and our Townleys, were of this race! In the perpetuity of their own studies, they felt as if they were extending human longevity, by throwing an unbroken light of knowledge into the next age. Each of the public works, for such they become, was the project and the execution of a solitary man of letters during half a century; the gene-rous enthusiasm which inspired their intrepid labours; the difficulties overcome; the voluntary privations of what the world calls its pleasures and its honours would form an interesting history not yet written; their due, yet undischarged.

Living more with books than with men, the man of letters is more tolerant of opinions than they are among themselves, nor are his views of human affairs contracted to the day, as those who in the heat and hurry of life can act only on expedients, and not on principles; who deem themselves politicians because they are not moralists; to

whom the centuries behind have conveyed no results, and who cannot see how the present time is always full of the future; as Leibnitz has expressed a profound reflection.

Every thing, says the lively Burnet, 'must be brought to the nature of tinder or gunpowder, ready for a spark to set it on fire,' before they discover it. The man of letters is accused of a cold indifference to the interests which divide society. In truth, he knows their miserable beginnings and their certain terminations; he is therefore rarely observed

as the head, or the rump, of a party.

Antiquity presents such a man of letters in Atticus, who retreated from a political to a literary life; had his letters accompanied those of Cicero they would have illustrated the ideal character of a man of letters. But the sage Atticus rejected a popular celebrity for a passion not less powerful yielding up his whole soul to study. Cicero, with all his devotion to literature, was still agitated by another kind of glory and the most perfect author in Rome imagined that he was enlarging his honours by the intrigues of the consulship. He has distinctly marked the character of the man of letters in the person of his friend Atticus, and has expressed his respect, although he could not content himself with its imitation. 'I know,' says this man of genius and ambition, 'I know the greatness and ingenuous-ness of your soul, nor have I found any difference between us, but in a different choice of life; a certain sort of am-bition has led me earnestly to seek after honours, while other motives, by no means blameable, induced you to adopt an honourable leisure; honestum otium.'\* These motives appear in the interesting memoirs of this man of letters-a contempt of political intrigues with a desire to escape from the bustle and splendour of Rome to the learned leisure of Athens; to dismiss a pompous train of slaves for the delight of assembling under his roof a literary society of readers and transcribers; and there having col-lected the portraits or busts of the illustrious men of his country, he caught their spirit and was influenced by their virtues or their genius, as he inscribed under them, in concise verses, the characters of their mind. Valuing wealth only for its use, a dignified economy enabled him to be profuse, and a moderate expenditure allowed him to be

The result of this literary life was the strong affections of the Athenians; at the first opportunity, the absence of the man of letters offered, they raised a statue to him, conferring on our Pomponius the fond surname of Atticus. To have received a name from the voice of the city they inhabited, has happened to more than one man of letters. Pinelli, born a Neapolitan, but residing at Venice, among other peculiar honours received from the senate, was there distinguished by the affectionate title of ' the Venetian.'

Yet such a character as Atticus could not escape censure from 'men of the world;' they want the heart and the imagination to conceive something better than themselves. The happy indifference, perhaps the contempt, of our Atticus for rival factions, they have stigmatised as a cold neutrality, and a timid cowardly hypocrisy. Yet Atticus could not have been a mutual friend, had both not alike held the man of letters as a sacred being amidst their dis-guised ambition; and the urbanity of Atticus, while it balanced the fierceness of two heroes, Pompey and Cassar, could even temper the rivalry of genius in the orators Hortensius and Cicero. A great man of our own country widely differed from the accusers of Atticus; Sir Matthew Hale lived in times distracted, and took the character of our man of letters for his model, adopting two principles in the conduct of Atticus; engaging with no party or public business, and affording a constant relief to the unfortunate of whatever party, he was thus preserved amidst the contests of times. Even Cicero himself, in his happier moments, in addressing his friend, exclaims—I had much rather be sitting on your little bench under Aristotle's picture, than in the curule chairs of our great ones." This wish was probably sincere, and reminds us of another great politician in his secession from public affairs, retreating to a literary life, when he appears suddenly to have discovered a new-found world. Fox's favourite line, which he often repeated, was,

' How various his employments whom the world

If the personal interests of the man of letters are not too deeply involved in society, his individual prosperity however is never contrary to public happiness. Other

\* Ed Anicum, Lib. L'Ep. 17. OOG C

professions necessarily exist by the conflict and the calamities of the community; the politician is great by hatching an intrigue; the lawyer is counting his briefs; the physician his sick-list; the soldier is clamorous for war, and the merchant riots on the public calamity of high prices. But the man of letters only calls for peace and books, to unite himself with his brothers scattered over Europe; and his usefulness can only be felt, when, after a long interchange of destruction, men during short intervals, recovering their senses, discover that 'knowledge

is power.'
Of those eminent men of letters, who were not authors, the history of Peiresc opens the most enlarged view of their activity. This moving picture of a literary life had been lost for us, had not Peiresc found in Gassendi a twinapirit; so intimate was that biographer with the very thoughts; so closely united in the same pursuits, and so perpetual an observer of the remarkable man whom he has immortalized, that when employed on this elaborate resemblance of his friend, he was only painting himself with all

blance of his friend, ne was only painting nimeen with an the identifying strokes of self-love.

It was in the vast library of Pinelli, the founder of the most magnificent one in Europe, that Peiresc, then a youth, feet the remote hope of emulating the man of lettera before his eyes. His life was not without preparation, not without fortunate coincidences, but there was a grandeur of design in the execution, which originated in the geniles of the man himself.

The curious genius of Peiresc was marked by its pre-ceity, as usually are strong passions in strong minds; this was the germ of all those studies which seemed mature in his youth. He resolved on a personal intercourse with the great literary characters of Europe; and his friend bas thrown over these literary travels, that charm of detail by which we accompany Peiresc into the libraries of the learned; there with the historian opening new sources of history, or with the critic correcting manuscripts, and settling points of erudition; or by the opened cabinet of the antiquary, decyphering obscure inscriptions, and explain-ing medals; in the galleries of the curious in art, among their marbles, their pictures and their prints, he has often revealed to the artist some secret in his own art. In the museum of the naturalist, or among the plants of the botanist, there was no rarity of nature, and no work of art on which he had not to communicate; his mind toiled with that impatience of knowledge, that becomes a pain only in the cessation of rest. In England Peiresc was the associate of Camden and Selden, and had more than one interview with that friend to literary men, our calumniated James I; one may judge by these who were the men whom he first sought, and by whom he himself ever after was sought. Such indeed were immortal friendships! imwas sought. Such indeed were innovat irradustips into mortal they may be justly called, from the objects in which they concerned themselves, and from the permanent re-sults of their combined studies. Another peculiar greatness in this literary character was his enlarged devotion to literature for itself; he made

his own universal curiosity the source of knowledge to other men; considering the studious as forming but one other men; considering the studious as solving out the great family wherever they were, the national repositories of knowledge in Europe, for Peiresc, formed but one collection for the world. This man of letters had possessed lection for the world. This man of letters had possessed himself of their contents, that he might have manuscripts collected, unedited pieces explored, extracts supplied, and even draughtsmen employed in remote parts of the world, to furnish views and plans, and to copy antiquities for the student, who in some distant retirement discovered that the literary treasures of the world were unfailingly opened to him by the secret devotion of this man of letters.

Carrying on the same grandeur in his views, Europe could not limit his inextinguishable curiosity; his univer-sal mind busied itself in every part of the habitable globe. He kept up a noble traffic with all travellers, supplying them with philosophical instruments and recent inventions, by which he facilitated their discoveries, and secured their reception even in barbarous realms; in return he claimed, at his own cost, for he was 'born rather to give than to receive,' Says Gassendi, fresh importations of oriental literature, curious antiquities, or botanic rarities, and it was the curiosity of Peiresc which first embellished his own garden, and thence the gardens of Europe, with a rich variety of exotic flowers and fruits. Whenever he was presented with a medal, a vase, or a manuscript, he never slept over the gift till he had discovered what the donor delighted in; and a book, a picture, or a plant, when money could not be offered, fed their mutual passion and

sustained the general cause of science.—The correspondence of Peiresc branched out to the farthest bound of Ethiopia, connected both Americas, and had touched the newly discovered extremities of the universe, when this intropid mind closed in a premature death.

I have drawn this imperfect view of Peiresc's character,

that men of letters may be reminded of the capacities they possess. There still remains another peculiar feature. With all these vast views the fortune of Peiresc was not great; and when he sometimes endured the reproach of those whose sordidness was startled at this produgality of mind, and the great objects which were the result, Peiresc replied that 'a small matter suffices for the natural wants a literary man, whose true wealth consists in the monuments of arts, the treasures of his library, and the bro-therly affections of the ingenious. He was a French judge, but he supported the dignity more by his own character than by luxury or parade. He would not wear silk, and no tapestry hangings ornamented his apartments; but the wells were covered with the portraits of his literary friends: and in the unadorned simplicity of his study, his iriends: and in the unadorned simplicity of his study, his books, his papers, and his letters were scattered about him on the tables, the seats, and the floor. There, stealing from the world, he would sometimes admit to his spare supper his friend Gassendi, 'content,' says that amable philosopher, 'to have me for his guest.'

Peiresc, like Pinelli, never published any work. Few days, indeed, passed without Peiresc writing a letter on the most variety invalids and the con-

the most curious inquiries; posities which might be considered as so many little books, observes Gassandi.\*
These mon of letters derived their pleasure, and perhaps their pride, from those vast strata of knowledge which their curiosity had heaped together in their mighty codections. They either were not endowed with that faculty of genius which strikes out aggregate views, or with the ta-lent of composition which embellishes minute ones. This deficiency in the minds of such may be attributed to a thirst of learning, which the very means to allay can only inflame. From all sides they are gathering information; and that knowledge seems never perfect to which every day brings new acquisitions. With these men, to compose is to hesitate: and to revise is to be mortified by fresh doubts and unsupplied omissions. Peiresc was em ployed all his life in a history of Provence; and day after day he was adding to the splendid mass. But ' Peiresc,' day he was adding to the splendid mass. But 'Peiresc,' observes Gassendi, 'could not mature the birth of his literary offspring, or lick it into any shape of elegant form; he was therefore content to take the midwife's part, by helping the happier labours of others.'

Such are the ailent cultivators of knowledge, who are to the works of authors; without their secret labours, the public would not have possessed many valued works. That curious knowledge of books which, since Europe has become literary, is both the beginning and the result of knowledge; and literary history itself, which is the history of the age, of the nation and of the individual, one of the important consequences of these vast collections of books, has almost been created in our own times. These sources, which offer so much delightful instruction to the author and the artist, are separate studies from the culti-vation of literature and the arts, and constitute more par-

ticularly the province of these men of letters.

The philosophical writer, who can adorn the page or history, is not always equal to form it. Robertson, after his successful history of Scotland, was long irresolute in his design, and so unpractised in researches of the sort he was desirous of attempting, that his admirers had nearly lost his popular productions, had not a fortunate introduction to Dr Birch enabled him to open the classed books, and to drink of the sealed fountains. Robertson has confessed his inadequate knowledge and his overflowing gratitude, in letters which I have elsewhere printed.

A suggestion by a man of letters has opened the career of

\*The history of the letters of Peires is remarkable. He preserved copies of his entire correspondence; but it has been recorded that many of these epistles were consumed, to save fuel, by the obstinate avarice of a niece. This would not have been a solitary instance of eminent men leaving their collecbeen a solitary instance of eminent men leaving their collec-tions to unworthy descendanta. However, after the silence of more than a century, some of these letters have been recovered and may be found in some French journals of A. Millin. They descended from the gentleman who married this very niece, probably the remains of the collection. The letters an-swer to the description of Gassendi, full of curious knowledge and observation.

Digitized by GOOGLE

many an aspirant; a hint from Walsh conveyed a new concuption of English poetry to one of its masters. The celebrated treatise of Grotius, on 'Peace and War,' was projected by Peiresc. It was said of Magliabechi, who knew all books and never wrote one, that by his diffusive communications he was in some respects concerned in all the great works of his times. Sir Robert Cotton greatly ited Camden and Speed; and that hermit of ture, Baker of Cambridge, was still supplying with his invaluable researches, Burnet, Kennet, Hearne, of Middle-ton. Such is the concealed aid which these men of letters afford our authors, and which we may compare to those subterraneous streams, which flowing into spacious lakes, are still, unobserved, enlarging the waters which attract the public eye.

Such are these men of letters! but the last touches of their picture, given with all the delicacy and warmth of a self-painter, may come from the Count de Caylus, cele-brated for his collections and for his generous patronage

'His glory is confined to the mere power which he has of being one day useful to letters and to the arts; for his whole life is employed in collecting materials of which learned men and artists make no use till after the death of him who amassed them. It affords him a very sensible pleasure to labour in hopes of being useful to those who pursue the same course of studies, while there are so great a number who die without discharging the debt which they incur to society.'

## CHAPTER XVII. LITERARY OLD AGE.

The old age of the literary character retains its enjoyments, and usually its powers, a happiness which accom-panies no other. The old age of coquetry with extinct beauty; that of the used idler left without a sensation; that of a grasping Crossus, who envies his heir; or that of the Machiavel who has no longer a voice in the cabinet, makes all these persons resemble unhappy spirits who cannot find their graves. But for the aged man of letters memory returns to her stories, and imagination is still on the wing, amidst fresh discoveries and new designs. The others fall like dry leaves, but he like ripe fruit, and is valued when no longer on the tree.

The intellectual faculties, the latest to decline, are often vigorous in the decrepitude of age. The curious mind is still striking out into new pursuits; and the mind of genius is still creating. Arcora impano!—'Yet I am learning!' Such was the concise inscription of an ingenious

ing! Such was the concise inscription of an ingenious device of an old man placed in a child's go-cart, with an hour-glass upon it, which Michael Angelo applied to his own vast genius in his ninetieth year.\*

Time, the great destroyer of other men's happiness, only enlarges the patrimony of literature to its possessor. A learned and highly intellectual friend once said to me, if I have acquired more knowledge these last four years then I had highert I shall add materially to my stores. than I had hitherto, I shall add materially to my stores in the next four years; and so at every subsequent period of my life, should I acquire only in the same proportion, the general mass of my knowledge will greatly accumulate. If we are not deprived by nature or misfortune, of the means to pursue this perpetual augmentation of knowledge, I do not see but we may be still fully occupied and deeply interested even to the last day of our earthly term. In such pursuits, where life is rather wearing out, than rusting out, as Bishop Cumberland expressed it, death scarcely can take us by surprise; and much less by those continued menaces which shake the old age of men, of no

intellectual pursuits, who are dying so many years.

Active enjoyments in the decline of life, then, constitute the happiness of literary men: the study of the arts and literature spread a sunshine in the winter of their days; and their own works may be as delightful to themselves, as roses plucked by the Norwegian amidst his snows; and they will discover that unregarded kindness of nature, who has given flowers that only open in the evening, and flower through the night-time. Necker offers a beautiful instance even of the influence of late studies in life; for he tells us, that 'the era of three-score and ten is an agreeable age for writing; your mind has not lost its

\* This characteristic form closes the lectures of Mr Fuseil, who thus indirectly reminds us of the last words of Reprolds; and the graver of Blake, vital as the pencil of Fuseli, has raised the person of Michael Angelo with its admirable portrait, breathing inspiration vigour, and envy leaves you in peace.' The opening of one of La Mothe le Vayer's Treatises is striking: 'I should but ill return the favours God has granted me in the eightieth year of my age, should I allow myself to give way to that shameless want of occupation which I have condemned all my life; and the old man proceeds with his 'observations, on the composition and reading of books. The literary character has been fully occupied in the eightieth and ninetieth year of life. Isaac Walton still glowed while writing some of the most interesting biographies in his eighty-fifth year, and in his ninetieth enriched the poetical world with the first publication of a romantic tale by Chalkhill, 'the friend of Spenser.' mer, beyond eighty, was occupied on Homer, and Wielland on Cicero's Letters. But the delight of opening a new pursuit, or a new course of reading, imparts the vivacity and novelty of youth even to old age; the revolutions of modern chemistry kindled the curiosity of Dr Reid to his latest days; and a deservedly popular author, now advanced in life, at this moment, has discovered, in a class of reading to which he had never been accustomed, what will probably supply him with fresh furniture for his mind during life. mind during life. Even the steps of time are retraced, and what has passed away again becomes ours; for in advanced life a return to our early studies refreshes and renovates the spirits; we open the poets who made us enthusiasts, and the philosophers who taught us to think, with a new source of feeling in our own experience. Adam Smith confessed his satisfaction at this pleasure to professor Dugald Stewart, while 'he was reperusing, with the enthusiasm of a studemt, the tragic poets of ancient Greece, and Sophocles and Euripides lay open on his table.

Dans ses veines toujours un jeune sang bouillone, Et Sophocle & cent ans peint encere Antigone.

The calm philosophic Hume found death only could interrupt the keen pleasure he was again receiving from Lucian, and which could inspire him at the moment with a humourous self-dialogue with Charon.

Not without a sense of exultation has the literary character felt his happiness, in the unbroken chain of his habits and his feelings. Hobbes exulted that he had outlived his enemies, and was still the same Hobbes; and to demonstrate the reality of this existence, published, in the eighty-seventh year of his age, his version of the Odyssey, and the following year, his Iliad. Of the happy results of literary habits in advanced life, the Count de Tressan, the elegant abridger of the old French romances, in his 'literary advice to his children,' has drawn a most pleasing picture. With a taste for study, which he found rather inconvenient in the moveable existence of a man of the world, and a military wanderer, he had however contrived to reserve an hour or two every day for literary pursuits; the men of science, with whom he had chiefly associated, appear to have turned his passion to observation and knowledge, rather than towards imagination and feeling; the combination formed a wreath for his grey hairs. When Coupt de Tressan retired from a brilliant to an affectionate circle, amidst his family, he pursued his literary tastes, with the vivacity of a young author inspired by the illusion of fame. At the age of seventy-five, with the imagination of a poet, he abridged, he translated, he recomposed his old Chivalric Romances, and his reanimated fancy struck fire in the veins of the old man. Among the first designs of his retirement was a singular philosophical legacy for his children; it was a view of the history and progress of the human mind-of its principles, its errors, and its advantages, as these were reflected in himself; in the dawnings of his taste, the secret inclinations of his mind, which the men of genius of the age with whom he associated had developed; in expatiating on their memory, he calls on his children to witness the happiness of study, in those piece-sures which were soothing and adorning his old age. 'Without knowledge, without literature,' exclaims the venerable enthusiast, 'in whatever rank we are born, we can only resemble the vulgar.' To the Centenary Fontenelle the Count de Tressan was chiefly indebted for the happy life he derived from the cultivation of literature; and when this man of a hundred years died, Tressan, himself on the borders of the grave, would offer the last fruits of his mind in an eloge to his ancient master; it was the voice of the dying to the dead, a last moment of tho

\* See Curiorities of Literature on 'The progress of old age Digitized by

love and sensibility of genius, which feeble life could not extinguish.

If the genius of Cicero, inspired by the love of literature, has thrown something delightful over this latest season of life, in his de Senectule; and if to have written on old age, in old age, is to have obtained a triumph over time,\* the literary character, when he shall discover himself like a stranger in a new world, when all that he loved has not life, and all that lives has no love for old age; when he shall find himself grown obsolete, when his ear shall cease to listen, and nature has locked up the man entirely within himself, even then the votary of literature shall not feel the decline of life;—preserving the flame alive on the altar, and even at his last moments, in the act of sacrifice. Such was the fate, perhaps now told for the first time, of the great Lord Clarendon; it was in the midst of composition that has pen suddenly fell from his hand on the paper, he took it up again, and again it fell; deprived of the sense of touch, he found his hand without motion; the earl perceived himself struck by palsy—and thus was the life of the noble exile closed amidst the warmth of a literary work, unfinished.

## CHAPTER XVIII.

## LITERARY HONOURS.

Literature is an avenue to glory, ever open for those ingenious men who are deprived of honours or of wealth. Like that illustrious Roman who owed nothing to his ancestors, videtur ex se natus, they seem self-born; and in the baptism of fame, they have given themselves their name. The sons of a sword-maker, a potter, and a taxgatherer, were the greatest of Orators, the most majestic of poets, and the most graceful of the satirists of antiquity. The eloquent Massillon, the brilliant Flechier, Rousseau and Diderot; Johnson, Akenside, and Franklin, arose amidst the most humble avocations.

It is the prerogative of genius to elevate obscure men to the higher class of society; if the influence of wealth in the present day has been justly said to have created a new aristocracy of its own, and where they already begin to be jealous of their ranks, we may assert that genius creates a sort of intellectual nobility, which is conferred on some Literary Characters by the involuntary feelings of the public; and were men of genius to bear arms, they might consist not of imaginary things, of griffins and chimeras, but of deeds performed and of public works in existence. When Dondi raised the great astronomical clock at the University of Padua, which was long the admiration of Europe, it gave a name and nobility to its maker and all his descendants; there still lives a Marquis Dondi dai' Horologio. Sir Hugh Middleton, in memory of his vast enterprise, changed his former arms to bear three piles, by which instruments he had strengthened the works he had invented, when his genius poured forth the waters through our metropolis, distinguishing it from all others in the world, Should not Evelyn have inserted an oak-tree in his bearings? For our author's 'Sylva' occasioned the plantation of 'many millions of timber-trees,' and the present navy of Great Britain has been constructed with the oaks which the genius of Evelyn planted. If the public have borrowed the names of some Lords to grace a Sandwich and a Spenser, we may be allowed to raise into titles of literary nobility those distinctions which the public voice has attached to some authors; 'Eschylus Potter, Athenian Shuart, and Anaracy Moore.

This intellectual nobility is not chimerical; does it not separate a man from the crowd? Whenever the rightful possessor appears, will not the eyes of all spectators be fixed on him? I allude to scenes which I have witnessed. Will not even literary honours add a nobility to nobility? and teach the nation to esteem a name which might otherwise be hidden under its rank, and remain unknown? Our illustrious list of literary noblemen is far more glorious than the satirical "Catalogue of Noble Authors," drawn up by a polished and heartless cynic, who has pointed his brilliant shafts at all who were chivalrous in spirit, or appertained to the family of gonius. One may presume on the existence of this intellectual nobility, from the extraordinary circumstance that the Great have actually felt a jealousy of the literary rank. But no rivality can exist in the solitary honour conferred on an author: an honour not

\* Spurinna, or the Comforts of Old Age, by Sir Thomas Bernard.

derived from birth, nor creation, but from public opinion and as inseparable from his name, as an essential quality is from its object; for the diamond will sparkle and the rose will be fragrant, otherwise, it is no diamond nor rose. The great may well condescend to be humble to Genius, since gonius pays its homage in becoming proud of that humility. Cardinal Richelieu was mortified at the cele-brity of the unbending Corneille; several noblemen were at Pope's indifference to their rank; and Magliabechi, the book-prodigy of his age, whom every literary stranger visited at Florence, assured Lord Raley, that the Duke of Tuscany had become jealous of the attention he was receiving from foreigners, as they usually went first to see Magliabechi before the Grand Duke. A confession by Montesquieu states, with open candour, a fact in his life, which confirms this jealousy of the Great with the Literary Character. 'On my entering into life, I was spoken of as a man of talents, and people of condition gave me a favourable reception; but when the success of my Persian Letters proved perhaps that I was not unworthy of my reputation, and the public began to esteem me, my reception with the great was discouraging, and I experienced in-numerable mortifications. Montesquieu subjoins a reflec-Great, inwardly wounded with the glory of a celebrated name, seek to humble it. In general he only can patiently endure the fame of others, who deserves fame himself. This sort of jealousy unquestionably prevailed in the late Lord Orford; a wit, a man of the world, and a man of rank, but while he considered literature as a mere amusement, he was mortified at not obtaining literary celebrity; he felt his authorial, always beneath his personal charac ter; he broke with every literary man who looked up to him as their friend; and how he has delivered his feelings on Johnson, Goldsmith and Gray, whom unfortunately for him he personally knew, it fell to my lot to discover; I could add, but not diminish, what has been called the severity of that delineation.\*

Who was the dignified character, Lord Chesterfield or Samuel Johnson, when the great author, proud of his labour, rejected his lordship's sneaking patronage? 'I value myself,' says Swift, 'upon making the minutry desire to myself, says Swift, upon making the ministry desire to be acquainted with Parnell, and not Parnell with the ministry. Piron would not suffer the Literary Character to be lowered in his presence. Entering the apartment of a nobleman, who was conducting another peer to the stair's head, the latter stopped to make way for Piron. 'Pass on my lord, said the noble master, 'pass, he is only a poet.' Piron replied, 'since our qualities are declared, I shall take my rank,' and placed himself before the lord. Nor is this pride, the true source of elevated character, Michael Angelo, invited by Julius II, to the Court of Rome, found that intrigue had indisposed his Holiness towards him, and more than once, the great artist was suffered to linger in attendance in the anti-chamber. day the indignant man of genius exclaimed, 'tel! his holiness, if he wants me, he must look for me elsewhere.' He flew back to his beloved Florence, to proceed with that celebrated cartoon, which afterwards became a favourite study with all artists. Thrice the Pope wrote for his rewith war, if Michael Angelo prolonged his absence. He returned. The sublime artist knelt at the feet of the Father of the Church, turning aside his troubled countenance in silence: an intermeddling Bishop offered himself as a me diator, apologizing for our artist by observing, that f of this proud humour are these painters made!' Julius turned to this pitiable mediator, and as Vasari tells used a switch on this occasion, observing, 'you speak injuriously of him, while I am silent. It is you who are ignorant.' Raising Michael Angelo, Julius II, embraced the man of genius. I can make lords of you every day, but I cannot create a Titian, said the Emperor Charles V to his courtiers, who had become jealous of the hours, and the half-hours which that monarch managed, that he might converse with the man of genius at his work. There is an elevated in-tercourse between Power and Genius; and if they are de-ficient in reciprocal esteem, neither are great. The intellectual nobility seems to have been asserted by De Harlay, a great French statesman, for when the academy wa once not received with royal honours, he complained to the French monarch, observing, that when a man of let-ters was presented to Francis I, for the first time, the king

\* Calamkies of Authors Vol 5 9 10

always advanced three steps from the throne to receive

If ever the voice of individuals can recompense a life of literary labour it is in speaking a foreign accentlike the distant plaudit of posterity. The distance of space between the literary character and the inquirer in some respects represents the distance of time which separates the author from the next age. Fontenelle was never more gratified than when a Swede, arriving at the gates of Paris, inquired of the custom-house officers where Fontenelle resided, and expressed his indignation that not one of them had ever heard of his name. Hobbes expresses his proud delight that his portrait was sought after by foreigners and that the Great Duke of Tuscany made the philosopher the object of his first inquiries. Camden was not insensible to the visits of German noblemen, who were desirous of seeing the British Pliny; and Pocock, while he received no aid from patronage at home for his Oriental studies, never relaxed in those unrequited labours, from the warm personal testimonies of learned foreigners, who hastened to see and converse with this prodigy of eastern

Yes! to the very presence of the man of genius will the world spontaneously pay their tribute of respect, of admiration, or of love; many a pilgrimage has he lived to receive, and many a crowd has followed his footsteps. There are days in the life of genius which repay its sufferings. Demosthenes confessed he was pleased when even a fishwoman of Athens pointed him out. Corneille had his particular seat in the theatre, and the audience would rise to salute him when he entered. At the presence of Raynal in the House of Commons, the speaker was requested to suspend the debate till that illustrious foreigner, who had written on the English parliament, was there placed and distinguished, to his honour. Spinosa, when he gained a humble livelihood by grinding optical glasses, at an obscure village in Holland, was visited by the first General in Eu-rope, who, for the sake of this philosophical conference, suspended his march.

In all ages, and in all countries, has this feeling been created: nor is it a temporary ebullition, nor an individual nonour: it comes out of the heart of man. In Spain, whatever was most beautiful in its kind was described by the name of the great Spanish bard; every thing excellent was called a Lope. Italy would furnish a volume of the public honours decreed to literary men, nor is that spirit extinct, though the national character has fallen by the chance of fortune; and Metastasio and Tiraboschi received what had been accorded to Petrarch and to Poggio. Germany, patriotic to its literary characters, is the land of the enthusiasm of genius. On the borders of the Linnet, in the public walk of Zurich, the monument of Gesner, erected by the votes of his fellow-citizens, attests their sensibility; and a solemn funeral honoured the remains of Klopstock, led by the senate of Hamburgh, with fifty thousand votaries, so penetrated by one universal sentiment, that this multitude preserved a mournful silence, and the interference of the police ceased to be necessary through the city at the solemn burial of the man of genius. even Holland proved insensible? The statue of Eras mus, in Rotterdam, still animates her young students, and offers a noble example to her neighbours of the influence even of the sight of the statue of a man of genius; nor must it be forgotten that the senate of Rotterdam declared of the emigrant Bayle, that 'such a man should not be considered as a foreigner.' In France, since Francis I created genius, and Louis XIV knew to be liberal to it, the impulse was communicated to the French people. There the statues of their illustrious men spread inspiration on the spots which living they would have hauntedin their theatres the great dramatists; in their Institute their illustrious authors; in their public edifices their other men of genius.\* This is worthy of the country which

\* We cannot bury the Fame of our English worthles—that exists before us, independent of ourselves; but we bury the influence of their inspiring presence in those immortal memorials of genius easy to be read by all men, their statues and their busts, consigning them to spots seldom visited, and often too obscure to be viewed. Count Algarotti has ingeniously said 'L'argent que nous employons en tabatières et en pom-pons servoit aux anciens à célèbrer la mémoire des grands hommes par des monumens dignes de passer à la postérité; set là ou l'on brule des feux de jois pour une véctoir rempor-tée, ils élevérent des arcs de triomphe de porphyre et de mar-bre. May we not, for our honour, and for the advantage of our artists, predict better times for ourselves?

privileged the family of La Fontaine to be for ever exempt, from taxes, and decreed that the productions of the mind, were not seizable, when the creditors of Crebillon would have attached the produce of his tragedies. These distinctive honours accorded to genius were in unison with their decree respecting the will of Bayle. It was the sub-ject of a law-suit between the heir of the will, and the in-heritor by blood. The latter contested that this great literary character, being a fugitive for religion and dying in a prohibited country, was without the power of disposing of his property, and that our author, when he resided in Holland, was civilly dead. In the parliament of Toulouse the judge decided that learned men are free in all countries; that he who had sought in a foreign land an asylum from his love of letters, was no fugitive; that it was unworthy of France to treat as a stranger a son in whom she gloried; and he protested against the notion of a civil death to such a man as Bayle, whose name was living throughout Europe.

Even the most common objects are consecrated when associated with the memory of the man of genius. We still seek for his tomb on the spot where it has vanished; the enthusiasts of genius still wander on the hills of Pausilippe, and muse on Virgil to retrace his landscapes or as Sir William Jones ascended Forest-hill, with the Allegro in his hand, and step by step, seemed in his fancy to have trudden in the foot-path of Milton; there is a grove at Magdalen College which retains the name of Addison's walk, where still the student will linger; and there is a cave at Macao, which is still visited by the Portuguese from a national feeling, where Camoens is said to have composed his Lusiad. When Petrarch was passing by his native town he was received with the honors of fame; but when the heads of the town, unawares to Petrarch, conducted him to the house where the poet was born, and informed him that the proprietor had often wished to make alterations, but that the towns-people had risen to insist that the house which was consecrated by the birth of Petrarch should be preserved unchanged; this was a triumph more affecting to Petrarch than his coronation at Rome. In the village of Certaido is still shown the house of Boccaccio; and on a turret are seen the arms of the Medici, which they had sculptured there, with an inscription alluding to a small house and a name which filled the world. 'Foreigners,' says Anthony Wood of Milton, 'have, out of pure devotion, gone to Bread-street to see the house and chamber where he was born,' and at Paris the house which Voltaire inhabited, and at Ferney his stu-dv. are both preserved inviolate. Thus is the very apartment of a man of genius, the chair he studied in, the table he wrote on, contemplated with curiosity; the spot is full of local impressions. And all this happens from an un-satisfied desire to see and hear him whom we never can see nor hear; yet in a moment of illusion, if we listen to a traditional conversation, if we can revive one of his feelings, if we can catch but a dim image of his person, we reproduce this man of genius before us, on whose features we so often dwell. Even the rage of the military spirit has taught itself to respect the abode of genius; and Casar and Sylia, who never spared their own Roman blood alike felt their spirit rebuked, and saved the literary city of Athens. The house of the man of genius has been spared amidst contending empires, from the days of Pindar to those of Buffon; and the recent letter of Prince Schwartz-

enberg to the Countess, for the preservation of the philosopher's chateau, is a memorial of this elevated feeling.\* And the meanest things, the very household stuff associated with the memory of the man of genius, become the objects of our affections. At a festival in honour of Thom-

▼ In the grandeur of Milton's verse we perceive the feeling he associated with this literary honour.

'The great Emathian conqueror bid spare The house of Pindarus when temple and tower Sonnet VIII. Went to the ground-

'To the Countess of Buffon, in Monthard.

'The Emperor, my Sovereign, having ordered me to provide for the security of all places dedicated to the sciences, and of such as recall the remembrance of men who have done honour to the age in which they lived, I have the bonour to and to your ladyship a safeguard for your chateau of Mont-

The residence of the Historian of Nature must be sacred in the eyes of all the friends of science. It is a domain which belongs to all mankind .- I have the honour, &c.

SCHWARTZEBBERG.

son the poet, the chair in which he composed part of his Seasons was produced, and appears to have communicated some of the raptures to which he was liable who had sat in that chair; Rabelais among his drollest inventions, could not have imagined that his old cloak would have been preserved in the University of Montpellier for future doctors to wear on the day they took their degree; nor could Shakspeare, that the mulberry tree which he planted would have been multiplied into relics. But in such instances the feeling is right with a wrong direction; and while the populace are exhausting their emotions on an old tree, and an old cloak, they are paying that involuntary tribute to genius which forms its pride, and will generate the race.

## CHAPTER XIX.

## THE INFLUENCE OF AUTHORS.

Wherefore should not the literary character be associated in utility or glory with the other professional classes of society? These indeed press more immediately on the attention of men; they are stimulated by personal interests, and they are remunerated by honours; while the literary character, from its habits, is secluded; prodeing its usecharacter, from his nature, is sectioned; producing its use-fulness in concealment, and often at a late period in life; not always too of immediate application, and often even unva-

lued by the passing generation.

It is curious to observe of the characters of the other classes in society, how each rises or falls in public esteem, according to the exigencies of the times. Ere we had swept from the seas all the fleets of our rivals, the naval hero was the popular character; while military, from the political panic occasioned by standing armies, was invariably lowered in public regard; the extraordinary change of circumstances, and the genius of one man,

have entirely reversed the public feeling.\*

The commercial character was long, even in this country, placed very low in the scale of honour; the merchant was considered merely as a money-trader, profiting by the individual distress of the nobleman, and afterwards was viewed with jealous eyes by the country gentleman. A Dutch monarch, who initiated us into the mysteries of banks and loans, by combining commercial influence with political power, raised the mercantile character.

But the commercial prosperity of a nation inspires no veneration in mankind; nor will its military power win their affection. There is an interchange of opinions, as well as of spices and specie, which induces nations to esteem each other; and there is a glorious succession of authors, as well as of seamen and soldiers, for ever standing before the eyes of the universe.

It is by our authors that foreigners have been taught to subdue their own prejudices. About the year 1700, the Italian Gemelli told all Europe that he could find nothing among us but our swritings to distinguish us from the worst of barbarians. Our civil wars, and our great revolution, had probably disturbed the Italian's imagination. Too long we appeared a people whose genius partook of the density and variableness of our climate, incapacitated even by situation, from the enjoyment of arts which had not yet travelled to us; and as if Nature herself had designed to disjoin us from more polished neighbours and brighter skies. We now arbitrate among the nations of the world; we possess their involuntary esteem, nor is there a man of genius among them who stands unconnect-ed with our intellectual soveregnty.

'We conquered France, but felt our captive's charms, Her arts victorious triumphed o'er our arms.

At the moment Pope was writing these lines, that silent operation of genius had commenced, which changes the fate of nations. The first writers of France were passing over into England to learn to think and write, or thought and wrote like Englishmen in France.† This

\*Mr Gifford, in his notes to his recent Translation of Persius, with his accustomed keenness of spirit, has detected this sius, with his accustomed keenness of spirk, has detected this fact in our pepular manners. 'Persius, whenever he has occasion for a more worthless character than ordinary, commonly repairs to the camp for him. Fielding and Smollet in compliance with the cant of their times, manifested a patriotic abhorence of the military; and seldom went farther for a blockhead, a parasite, or an adept in low villany, than the Armylist. We have outlived this stupid piece of injustice, and a 'led-captain' is no longer considered as the indispensable vice of every

Hove:.\*

Yoltaire borrowed all the genius of our country; our poetry and our philosophy. Button began by translating Hales's \*Vegetable Static's; and before Linneus classed his plants,

singular revolution in the human mind, and, by its re-action, in human affairs, was not effected by merchants profiting over them by superior capital; or by admirals and generals humiliating them by victories; but by our authors, whose works are now printed at foreign presses, a circumstance which proves, as much as the commerce and provess of England, the ascendency of her genius. Even had our nation displayed more limited resources than its awful powers have opened; had the sphere of its dominion been only its island boundaries, could the same literary character have predominated, we might have attained to the same eminence and admiration in the hearts of our continental neighbours. 'The small cities of Athens and of Florence will perpetually attest the influence of the literary character over other nations; the one received the tri-butes of the mistress of the universe, when the Romans sent their youth to be educated at Athens; while the other, at the revival of letters, beheld every polished European crowding to its little court.

There is a small portion of men, who appear marked out by nature and habit, for the purpose of cultivating their thoughts in peace, and giving activity to their sentiments, by disclosing them to the people. Those who govern a nation cannot at the same time enlighten them :-authors

stand between the governors and the governed.

Important discoveries are often obtained by accident;

by the single thought of a man of genius, which has some-times changed the dispositions of a people, and even of an age, is slowly matured in meditation. Even the mechancal inventions of genius must first become perfect in its own solitary abode, ere the world can possess them. The people are a vast body, of which men of genius are the eyes and the hands; and the public mind is the creation of the philosophical writer; these are axioms as demonstrable as any in Euclid, and as sure in their operation, as any principle in mechanics. When Epicurus published his with freedom on the established religion; the dark and fearful superstitions of paganism fell into neglect, and mouldered away, the inevitable fate of established falsehood. When Machiavel, living amidst the principalities of Italy, where stratagem and assassination were the politics of those wretched rivals, by lifting the veil from these cabinets of banditti, that calumniated man of genius, alarmed the world by exposing a system subversive of all human virtue and happiness, and led the way to political freedom. When Locke and Montesquieu appeared, the old systems of government were reviewed; the principles of legislation were developed; and many changes have succeeded, and are still to succeed. Politicians affect to disbelieve that abstract principles possess any considerable influence on the conduct of the subject. 'In times of tranquillity,' they say, 'they are not wanted, and in times of confusion they are never heard.' But this has been their error; it is in leisure, when they are not wanted, that they are studied by the speculative part of mankind; and when they are wanted they are already prepared for the active multitude, who come like a phalanx, pressing each other with an unity of feeling and an integrity of force. Paley would not close his eyes on what was passing before him; and he has observed, that during the convulsive troubles at Geneva. the political theory of Rousseau was prevalent in the. contests; while in the political disputes of our country those ideas of civil authority displayed in the works of Locke, recurred in every form. How, therefore, can the character of an author be considered as subordinate in society? Politicians do not secretly think so, at the moment they are proclaiming it to the world: nor do they fancy, as they would have us imagine, that paper and pens are only rags and feathers; whatever they affect, the truth only rags and feathers; whatever they affect, the truth and Buffon began his Naturel History, our own naturalist Ray had opened their road to Nature. Bacon, Newton, and Boyle, reduced the fanciful philosophy of France into experiment and demonstration. Helvetius, Diderot, and their brothers, gleaned their pretended discoveries from our Shaltesbury, Mandeville, and Toland, whom sometimes they only translated. Even our novelists were closely imitated.—Our great compilations of voyages and travels, Hackluyt, Churchill, &c., furnished Montesquieu with the moral facts he required for his large picture of his 'Esprit des Leix.' The Cyclopedia of Chambers was the parent of the Freuch work. Even historical compilers existed in our country before the race appeared in France. Our Universal History, and Standerly, Echard, and Hooke, preceded Rollin and other French abridgers of history; while Hume and our philosophical his torians set them a nobler example, which remains for them yet to rival. is that they consider the worst actions of men, as of far less consequence than the propagation of their opinions. They well know, as Sophocles declared, that 'opinion is ever stronger than truth.' Have politicians not often exposed their disguised terrors? Books, and sometimes their authors, have been burnt; but burning books is no part of their refunation. Cromwell was alarmed when he saw the Occana of Harrington, and dreaded the effects of that volume more than the plots of the royalists; while Charles II. trembled at an author, only in his manuscript state; and in the height of terror, and to the honour of genius, it was decreed, that 'Scribere est agere.'\*

Observe the influence of authors in forming the character of men, where the solitary man of genius stamps his own on a people. The parsimonious, habits, the money-getting precepts, the wary cunning, and not the most scruoulous means to obtain the end, of Dr Frankin, imprinted themselves on his Americans; loftier feelings could not elevate a man of genius, who became the founder of a trading people, retaining the habits of a journeyman printer while the elegant tastes of Sir William Jones could inspire the servants of a commercial corporation to open new and vast sources of knowledge; a mere company of traders, influenced by the literary character, enlarge the stores of the imagination and collect fresh materials for the

history of human nature.

I have said that authors produce their usefulness in privacy, and that their good is not of immediate application, and often unvalued by their own generation. On this oc-casion the name of Evelyn always occurs to me. This author supplied the public with nearly thirty works, at a time when taste and curiosity were not yet domiciliated in our country; his patriotism warmed beyond the eightieth year of his age; and in his dying hand he held another le-gacy for his nation. Whether his enthusiasm was intro-ducing to us a taste for medals and prints; or intent on purifying the city of smoke and smells, and to sweeten it by plantations of native plants; or having enriched our orchards and our gardens; placed summer-ices on our tables, and varied even the sallads of our country; furnishing 'a Gardener's Kalendar,' which, as Cowley said, was to last as long 'as months and years,' and the horticulturist will not forget Father Evelyn in the heir of his fame, Millar; whether the philosopher of the Royal Society, or the lighter satirist of the toilette, or the fine moraiss for active as well as contemplative life; -yet in all these changes of a studious life, the better part of his history has not been told.—While Britain retains her awful situation among the nations of Europe, the 'Sylva' of Evelyn will endure with her triumphant cake. In the third edition of that work the heart of the patriot exults at its result: he tells Charles, I ' how many millions of timber trees, besides infinite others, have been propagated and planted at the instigation, and by the sole direction of It was an author in his studious retreat, who casting a prophetic eye on the age we live in, secured the late victories of our naval sovereignty. Inquire at the Admiralty how the fleets of Nelson have been constructed? and they can tell you that it was with the oaks which the genius of Evelyn planted.

The same character existed in France, where De Serres in 1599 composed a work on the cultivation of mulberry trees in reference to the art of raising silk-worms. He taught his fellow citizens to convert a leaf into silk, and silk to become the representative of gold. Our author encountered the hoatility of the prejudices of his times in giving his country one of her staple commodities; but I lately received a modal recently struck in honour of D Serres by the Assistant of the A Serres, by the Agricultural Society of the department of the Seine. We are too slow in commemorating the ge-

\*Algernon Sydney was condemned to death for certain manuscripts found in his library; and the reason alleged was, that scribere est agere—that to write is to act. The papers which served to condemn Sydney, it appears, were only answers to Filmer's obsolete Defence of Monarchical Tyranny—The metaphysical inference drawn by the county is account. The metaphysical inference drawn by the crown lawyers is not a necessary consequence. Authors may write that which they may not afterwards approve; their manuscript opinions they may not afterwards approve; their manuscript opinions are very liable to be changed, and authors even change those opinions they have published. A man ought only to lose his head for his opinions, in the metaphysical sense; opinions against opinions; but not an axe against a pen.

Since this has been written, the Diary of Evelyn is published: it cannot add to his general character, whatever it may be; but we may anticipate much curious amusement from the diary of a literary character whose studies formed the business of life.

nius of our own country; and our authors are defrauded even in the debt we are daily incurring of their posthumous fame.

When an author writes on a national subject, he awakens all the knowledge which lies buried in the sleep of talents; and though his own fame should be eclipsed by his successors, yet the emanation, the morning light, broke from his source. Our naturalist Ray, though no man was more modest in his claims, delighted to tell a friend that 'since the publication of his catalogue of Cambridge Plants may were roomsted to botalogal studies and to Plants, many were prompted to botanical studies, and to herbalise in their walks in the fields.' A work in France, under the title of 'L'Ami des Hommes,' first spread there a general passion for agricultural pursuits; and although the national ardour carried all to excess, yet marshes were drained and waste lands enclosed. The Emilius of Rousseau, whatever errors and extravagancies a system which would bring us back to nature may contain, operated a complete revolution in modern Europe, by changing the education of mcn; and the boldness and novelty of some of its principles communicated a new spring to the human intellect. The commercial world owes to two retired philosophers, in the solitude of their study, Locke and Smith, those principles which dignity Trade into a liberal pursuit, and connect it with the happiness of a people.

Beccaria, who dared to raise his voice in favour of humanity, against the prejudices of many centuries, by his work on 'Crimes and Punishments,' at length aboits not torture; and Locke and Voltaire, on 'Toleration,' have long made us tolerant. But the principles of many works of this stamp have become so incorporated in our minds and feelings, that we can scarcely at this day conceive the fervour they excited at the time, or the magnanimity of

their authors in the decision of their opinions.

And to whom does the world owe more than to the founders of miscellaneous writing, or the creators of new and elegant tastes in European nations? We possess one To Granger our nation is indebted peculiar to ourselves. To Granger our nation is indebted for that visionary delight of recalling from their graves the illustrious dead and at it were, of living with them, as far as a familiarity with their features and their very looks forms a part of life. This pleasing taste for portraits seeins peculiar to our nation, and was created by the ingenuity of a solitary author, who had very nearly abandoned those many delightful associations which a collection of fine portraits affords, by the want of a due comprehension of their nature among his friends, and even at first in the public. Before the miscellanists rose, learning was the solitary enjoyr ent of the insulated learned; they spoke a language of their own; and they lived in a desert, separated from the world; but the miscellanists became their interpreters, opening a communication between two spots, close to each other, yet which were so long separated, the closet and the world. These authors were not Bacons, Newtons, and Leibnizes; but they were Addison, Fontenelle, and Feyjoo, the first popular authors in their nations who taught England, France, and Spain to become a reading people; while their fugitive page imbues with intellectual sweetness, an uncultivated mind, like the perfumed mould which the swimmer in the Persian Sadi took up; it was a piece of common earth, but astonished at its fragrance, he asked whether it were musk or amber? 'I am nothing but earth; but roses were planted on my soil, and their odorous virtues have deliciously penetrated through all my pores; I have retained the infusion of sweetness; otherwise I had been but a lump of earth.'

There is a singleness and unity in the pursuits of genius, through all ages, which produces a sort of consanguinity in the characters of authors. Men of genius, in their different classes, living at distinct periods, or in remote countries, seem to be the same persons with another name: and thus the literary character who has long departed, seems only to have transmigrated. In the great march of the human intellect he is still occupying the same place, and he is still carrying on with the same pow-

ers, his great work, through a line of conturies.

In the history of genius there is no chronology, for to us every thing it has done is present; and the earliest attempt is connected with the most recent. Many men of genius must arise before a particular man of genius can appear. Before Homer there were other bards appear. Before Homer there were other bards—we have a catalogue of their names and works. Corneille could not have been the chief dramatist of France, had not the founders of the French drama preceded him; and Pope could not have appeared before Dryden. Whether the works of genius are those of pure imagination, or searches after truth, they are alike tinctured by the feelings and the events of their times; but the man of genius must be placed in the line of his descent.

placed in the line of his descent.

Aristotle, Hobbes, and Locke, Descartes and Newton, approximate more than we imagine. The same chain of intellect Aristotle holds, through the intervals of time, is held by them; and links will only be added by their successors. The naturalists, Pliny, Gesner, Aldrovandus, and Buffon, derive differences in their characters from the spirit of the times; but each only made an accession to spirit of the clines; but each only hade an accession the family estate, while each was the legitimate representative of the family of the naturalists. Aristophanes, Moliere, and Foote, are brothers of the family of national wits: the wit of Aristophanes was a part of the common property and Moliere and Foote were Aristophanic property, and Moliere and Foote were Aristophanic. Plutarch, La Mothe le Vayer, and Bayle, alike busied in amassing the materials of human thought and human ac-tion, with the same vigorous and vagrant curiosity, must have had the same habits of life. If Plutarch was credulous, La Mothe le Vayer sceptical, and Bayle philosophi-cal, the heirs of the family may differ in their dispositions, but no one will arraign the integrity of the lineal descent. My learned and reflecting friend, whose original researches have enriched our national history, has thus observed on the character of Wickliffe:— To complete our idea of the importance of Wickliffe, it is only necessary to add, that

as his writings made John Huss the reformer of Bohemia, so the writings of John Huss led Martin Luther to be the reformer of Germany; so extensive and so incalculable are the consequences which sometimes follow from human actions.\*\* Our historian has accompanied this by giving the very feelings of Luther in early life on his first perusal of the works of John Huss: we see the spark of creation caught at the moment; a striking influence of the generation of character! Thus a father spirit has many sons; and several of the great revolutions in the history of man have been opened by such, and carried on by that secret creation of minds visibly operating on human affairs. In the history of the human mind, he takes an imperfect view, who is confined to contemporary knowledge, as well as he who stops short with the Ancients, and has not advanced with their descendants. Those who do not carry their researches through the genealogical lines of genius, will mutilate their minds, and want the perfect strength of an entire man.

Such are ' the great lights of the world,' oy whom the torch of knowledge has been successively seized and transmitted from one to the other. This is that noble transmitted from one to the other. This is that notice image borrowed from a Grecian game, which Plato has applied to the rapid generations of man to mark how the continuity of human affairs is maintained from age to age. The torch of genius is perpetually transferred from hand to hand amidst this fleeting scene.

\* Turner's History of England, vol. il. n. 432.

BED OF THE LITERARY CHARACTER.

## CONTENTS OF THE LITERARY CHARACTER &c.

| Chapter. |                                 |       |            |            |   |   |   |   |   | Page. |
|----------|---------------------------------|-------|------------|------------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| Ť.       | On Literary Characters.         |       |            |            |   | _ | _ |   | _ | 407   |
| II.      | Youth of Genius,                |       |            | 1          |   | - | • | • | • | 408   |
| III.     | The First Studies,              |       |            | •          | Ī | • | • | _ | • | 412   |
| IV.      | The Irritability of Genius.     |       |            | •          | • | • | • | • | • | 415   |
| V.       | The Spirit of Literature, and t | he Sr | و عمر فاحق | Bariat-    | • | • | • | • | • | 418   |
| vi.      | Literary Solitude.              |       |            | Secretary, | • | • | • | • | • |       |
|          | Meditations of Genius,          | •     | •          | •          | • | • | • | • | • | 490   |
| WIII.    | The Enthusiasm of Genius.       | :     | •          | •          | • | • | 4 | • | • | 421   |
|          |                                 | •     | •          | •          | • | • | • | • | • | 424   |
| 7\$.     | Literary Jealousy,              | •     | •          | •          | * | • | • | • | • | 427   |
|          | Want of Mutual Esteem,          | •     | •          | •          | • | • | • | • | • | 428   |
| XI.      |                                 | •     | •          | •          | • | • | • | • | • | 428   |
|          | The Domestic Life of Genius,    | •     | •          | •          | • | • | • | • |   | 430   |
| XIII.    | The Matrimonial State,          | •     | •          | •          | • | • | • | • | 4 | 433   |
| XIV.     | Literary Friendships, .         | •     | •          | •          | • | • | • | • |   | 436   |
| XV.      | The Literary and the Personal   | Cha   | ractor,    | •          |   |   |   |   |   | 437   |
| XVI.     | The Man of Letters, .           |       | •          |            |   |   |   |   | - | 438   |
| XVII.    | Literary Old Age,               |       |            |            |   | : | - |   |   | 441   |
| XVIII.   | Literary Honours.               |       | -          | ·          | - |   |   | • | • | 140   |
| XIX.     | The Influence of Authors,       |       |            | -          | - | • | • | • | • | 144   |
|          |                                 |       |            |            |   |   |   |   |   |       |

# CURIOSITIES OF

# AMERICAN LITERATURE

RY

RUFUS W. GRISWOLD,

AFTHOR OF "THE PORTS AND POETRY OF AMERICA." HTG.

## TO THE READER.

THE Publishers of this edition of D'ISRAELI, anxious to enlarge the work by adding to it some of the "Curiosities of American Literature," applied to the Editor of the following pages for such an amount of matter, of the description herewith given, as might be printed within certain specified limits; and he has gleaned from many rare and curious old books relating to our country or written by our countrymen, and from other sources inaccessible to the general reader, what he trusts will be received as a suitable appendix for an American impression of D'ISRAELI's interesting miscellany.

Doubtless the "Curiosities of Literature" and "The Literary Character Illustrated," constitute together the most valuable as well as the most amusing book of literary history and biography which has ever been written. Its popularity is great and universal. In this country the materials for such a work are not abundant, and the reader will not expect to find in the following pages articles intrinsically as interesting as those given by an author unequaled in his department, whose field was the world. A rule which the Editor has observed, to exclude every thing relating to contemporaries, induces the omission of many things which might have been as attractive as what he has presented; but the propriety of such omissions will probably not be questioned.

PHILADELPHIA, November, 1843.

## CURIOSITIES OF AMERICAN LITERATURE.

# RARE AND CURIOUS BOOKS BY THE EARLY TRAVELERS IN AMERICA.

THE custom of defaming America by " false and scancasious reports, from the sulphureous breath of every batlad-monger," is one that has the warrant of antiquity in its favour. "Such are the lying propensities et the English nation who stay at home," says one of the Puritans, "that it requires the devotion of much of our time and substance to refute their wicked calammes." It was not only those who remained at home who were suspected of falsehood, for more than two centuries ago the amiable Mr. William Wood, in his "New England's Prospect," complains of this disposition of his countrymen; and a hundred years afterward-in 1746-a prototype of the present generation of scribblers upon America and the Americans, one Mr. Cross, who had been "convicted of forgery, and sentenced to be hanged, after some time obtained the favour of transportation," and did us the honour to take up his residence in Pennsylvania, against the inhabitants of which province he wrote a book which contayned far more lyes than veritys." But the early travelers were not all of this description. With few exceptions they were fond of the marvellous, and somewhat more credulous than their successors, but many of them were as earnest to defend as others to assail the 'aew found world." Among others, the respectable Mr. Josselyn, who published his "Account of two Voyages to America," in 1764, was a very liberal and enter aining writer. He was the first one to chronicle the appearance of the remarkable visiter who still as often as once a year exhibits himself for the behoof of keepers of hotels about Nahant and the adjacent bays and promontories,--" the sea-eerpent that lay quoiled up like a cable on a rock at Cape Ann,"-and he tells us of a "triton, or mereman, which one Mr. Mitten, a great fowler, saw in Casco Bay; which triton, laying his hands upon the side of the canoe, had one of them chopped off by the said Mitten, which was in all respeces like the hand of a man," with many similar tales, on which he makes this sensible reflection: "These, with many other stories, they told me, the credit whereof I shall neither impeach nor enforce, but shall satisfy myself, and I hope the reader, with the saying of a wise, learned, and honourable knight, that 'there be many stranger things in the world than are to be seen between London and Stanes." Another traveler, much more willing to commend than to censure, was Mr. Mechael Dunton, who came to Boston in 1686, and afterward published his "Life and Errors." He visited the authorities and the clergy in that part of New English America," and gave liberally of praise to all. One of his especial favourites was a Mrs. Green, wife of one of the first printers who came to this country, of whom he says, that "she espoused her husband's obligations" as well as his person, "and whenever, by ties of nature, or squeezing of wax, he owed either money or love, she esteemed herself no less a debtor;" and of a Mrs. Wilkins he says, "She is a tender wife, a kind mother, and is a woman well pois'd in all humours; or, in other words, Mrs. Wilkins is a person of an even temper, which render'd her conversation more agreeable than those who laugh more, but amile less: Some there are, who spend more spirits, in straining, for an hour's mirth, than they can recover in a month, which renders them so unequal company whilst she is always equal, and the same. 'Tis virtue to know her, wisdom, to converse with her, and joy to behold her; or (to do her justice in fewer words) she is the counterpart of her pious husband, who without her, is but half himself."

Virgo Triumphans, or Virginia in generall; but the south part thereof in particular: including the fertile Carolana, and the no lesse excellent Island of Roanok, richly and experimentally valued. Humbly presented as the auspice of a beginning yeare to the Parliament of England and Councell of State. By Edward Williams, Gent.—This was published in London in 1650, and is dedicated to "the supreme authority of this nation, the Parliament of England," in language even more servile and mean than was usual in that age. "This dedication," says Mr. Williams, "in itselfe unworthy the honour of an addresse to your Grandeurs, and of a foile too dead in shaddow to approach neere your most vigorous luster, reposes itselfe yet upon a confidence that in imitation of that God of whom you are in power the proper representatives, who vouchsafed graciously to accept a poore paire of Turtles from those whose abilities could not ascend to a more rich oblation, you will be pleased to cast a favourable aspect upon this humble offering, as proceeding from a gratefull, cleere and sincere intention, whose desire being strongly passionate to present your Honours with something more worthy the auspice of a beginning yeare, is circumscribed by a narrownesse of abilities and fortunes."

We quote a portion of his description of Virginia, which, with some of the early writers, comprehended all the country from Cape Cod to Florida. "Yet to shew," he says, "that nature regards this ornament of the new world with a more indulgent eye than she hath cast upon many other countreys, whatever China, Persia, Japan, Cyprus, Candy, Sicily, Greece, the South of Italy, Spaine, and the opposite parts of Africa, te all which she is parallel, may boast of, will be produced in this happy countrey. The same bounty of summer, the same milde remission of winter, with a more virgin and unexhausted soyle being materiall arguments to

shew that modesty and truth receive no diminution by the comparison. Nor is the present wildnesse of it without a particular beauty, being all over a naturall grove of Oakes, Pines, Cedars, Cipresse, Mulberry, Chestnut, Laurell, Sassafras, Cherry, Plum trees, and Vines, all of so delectable an aspect, that the melanchollyest eye in the world cannot looke upon it without contentment, nor content himselfe without admiration. No shrube or underwoods choake up your passage, and in its season your foot can hardly direct itselfe where it will not be died in the bloud of large and delicious strawberries: The rivers which every way glide in deepe and navigable channels, betwixt the brests of this uberous Countrey, and contribute to its conveniency beauty and fertility, labour with the multitude of their fishy inhabitants in greater variety of species, and of a more incomparable delicacy in tast and sweetnesse than whatever the European sea can boast of: Sturgeon of ten feet, Drummes of sixe in length; Conger Eeles, Trout, Salmon, Bret, Mullet, Cod, Herings, Perch, Lampreyes, and whatever case can be desired to the satisfaction of the most voluptuous wishes."

"The Sunne, which in other countreys makes his visit in flames and droughts, heere casts his auspicious Beames, and by an innocent and complementall warmth, courts the bosome of this his particular favorite, hastening and disposing its wombe for ripe productions, which salute him in an absolute perfection. Winter snowes, frosts, and other excesses, are heere only remembred, never known. The purling Springs and wanton Rivers every where kissing the happy soyle into a perpetuall verdure, into an unwearied fertility: no obstructions in your expectations, attempt and hope them, prosecute and enjoy them."

Another very rare and curious work, of a yet earlier date, is entitled " Virginia richly vulued by the description of the maine land of Florida, her next neighbour, out of the foure yeeres continuall travel and discoverie, for aboue one thousand miles East and West of Don Ferdinando de Soto, and sixe hundred able men in his companie. Wherein are truly observed the riches and fertilitie of those parts, abounding with things necessarie, pleasant, and profitable for the life of man: with the natures and dispositions of the Inhabitants: Written by a Portugall Gentleman of Eluas, emploied in all the action, and translated out of Portugese. This was printed in London in 1609, though the Portuguese original appeared in 1557. Hackluyt was the translator, but it, is not in his collection of voyages. It is chiefly valuable for the information it imparts of the adventures of the never to be sufficiently execrated De Soto. One incident is worth preserving. Most of the speeches of the caciques, given by the author, are doubtless fictitious; but there are a few exceptions, with intrinsic evidences of genuineness. One of them is said to have been made but a short time before De Soto's death. "The Gouernour," says the narrator, "fell into great dumps to see how hard it was to get to the Sea: and worse, because his men and horses euery day diminished, being without succour to sustaine themselues in the country: and with that thought he fell sick. But before he ooke his bed hee sent an Indian to the Cacique of Quigalta to tell him, that hee was the Childe of the Sunne, and that all the way that hee came all men obeyed and serued him, that he requested him to accept of his friendship, and come vnto him : for he would be

very glad to see him; and in signe of loue and obedience to bring something with him of that which in his countrie was most esteemed. That Cacique answered by the same Indian: 'That whereas he said he was the Child of the Sunne, if he would drie vp the Riuer he would beleeue him: and touching the rest, that hee was wont to vis.t none; but rather that all those of whom he had notice did visit him, serued, obeyed and paid him tributes willingly or perforce: therefore if hee desired to see him, it were best he should come thither: that if hee came in peace, he would receive him with speciall good wal; and if in warre, in like manner hee would attend him in the towne where he was, and that for him or any other hee would not shrinke one foote backe."

The works relating to Virginia are nearly as numerous as those descriptive of New England. The last which we shall notice is the " Vistory and Present State of Virginia," printed in London, in 1705. It is valuable for its account of the civil history of the colony down to that time. After mentioning that the Church of England was established by law, the anthor remarks—"They have no more than five conventicles among them, namely, three small meetings of Quakers. and two of Presbyterians. "T is observed, that those counties where the Presbyterian meetings are, produce very mean tobacco; and for that reason cant get an orthodox minister to stay among them; but, whenever they could, the people went very orderly to church. As for the Quakers, 't is observed that by letting them alone they decrease daily:-The maintenance of a minister was appointed by law to be 16,000 pounds of tobacco annually. The fee for a funeral sermon was 400 pounds of tobacco; for a marriage license 200, &c. &c."

In 1706 was published "A Journal of Travels from New Hampshire to Caratuck, on the Continent of North America. By George Keith." Keith was a man of learning, who came to this country the second time, in the same ship with Governor Dudley, in 1702. He was in the first place a schoolmaster and preacher among the Quakers, but abandoned them and joined the Church of England, by whose authorities he was selected, on the ground that a seceder is always the most industrious and vindictive of enemies, to annoy his former friends. He ultimately become the founder of a sect called Keithian Baptists. While in Massachusetts he had a quarrel with Increase Mather, and one of his pamphlets was printed in New York, "the printer in Boston not daring to print it, lest he should give offence to the Independent preachers there!" In his travels he gives a characteristic anecdote. He was exposed to some danger in crossing a ferry to Rhode Island, during a storm, when the boat he was in was relieved by the exertions of John Burden, a Quaker. After being brought safe on shore, he offered money to the Quaker's men, which he would not allow them to accept; he then "thanked him very kindly for his help in our great danger, and said to him, John, ye have been the means under God to save our natural life, suffer me to be the means under God to save your soul, by good information to bring you out of your dangerous errours. He replied, George, save thy own soul, I have no need of thy help; then, said I, I will pray for your conversion; he replied, the prayers of the wicked are an abomination; so uncharitable was he in his opinion concerning me, (as they generally are concerning al.



those who differ from them) though charitable in this action."

New-Englands Prospect. A true, lively, and experimentall description of that part of America, commonly called New-England: discovering the state of that country, both as it stands to our new-come English Planters; and to the old Native Inhabitants. Laying down that which may loth enrich the knowledge of the mind-travelling Reader, or benefit the future Voyager.—Written by William Wood, and printed in London by John Dawson, in 1639. It is the best topographical account of New England then published, and was valuable chiefly for its statistics. The author's address to the reader, is, however, amusing, and we quote it entire. It is a favourable specimen of his style:

"Though I will promise thee no such voluptuous discourse, as many have made upon a scanter subject, (though they have travailed no further than the smoke of their owne native chimnies) yet dare I presume to present thee with the very true, and faithfull relation of some few yeares travels and experience, wherein I would bee loath to broach any thing which may puzzle thy beleefe, and so justly draw upon my selfe, that unjust aspersion commonly laid on travellers; of whom many say, they may lye by authority, because none can controlle them, which Proverbe had surely his original from the sleepy beleefe of many a homebred Dormouse, who comprehends not either the raritie or possibility of those things he sees not: to whom the most classick relations seem riddles and paradoxes: of whom it may bee sayd as once of Diogenes, that because hee circled himselfe in the circumference of a tubbe, hee therefore contemned the Port and Pallace of Alexander, which hee knew not. So there are many a tub-brain'd Cynicke, who because any thing stranger than ordinary, is too large for the straite hoopes of his apprehension, he peremptorilye concludes it is a lye: But I decline this sort of thicke witted readers, and dedicate the mite of my endeavours to my more credulous, ingenious, and lesse censorious Countrymen, for whose sakes I undertooke this worke: and I did it the rather, because there have some relations heretofore past the Presse, which have beene very imperfect, as also because there have beene many ecandalous and false reports past upon the Country, even from the sulphurious breath of every base ballad-monger: wherefore to perfect the one, and take off the other, I have layed downe the nature of the Country, without any partiall respect unto it, as being my dwelling place where I have lived these foure yeares, and intend God willing to returne shortly againe: But my conscience is to me a thousand witnesses, that what I speake is the very truth, and this will informe thee almost as fully concerning it, as if thou wentest over to see it. Now whereas I have written the latter part of this relation concerning the Indians in a more light and facetious stile, than the former: because their carriage and behaviour hath afforded more matter of mirth and laughter, than gravity and wisedome: and therefore I have inserted many passages of mirth concerning them, to spice the rest of my more serious discourse, and to make it more pleasant. Thus thou mayest in two or three houres travaile over a few leaves, see and know that, which cost him that writ it, yeares and travaile over sea and land, before he knew it; and therefore I hope thou wilt accept it: which shall be my full reward, as it was my whole ambition, and so I rest,

Thine bound in what I may, W. W."

A discourse concerning the currencies of the British plantations in America. Especially with regard to their paper money: more particularly in relation to the province of the Massachusett's Bay, in New-England—A very well winten pamphlet, published in 1739. The following observations from this work, would apply to a later period. "The goodly appearance which Boston, and the country in general, at present make in fine houses, equipage, and dress, is owing to paper money. Never were greater complaints of want of money, while, at the same time, never more extravagance in equipages and dress. Boston, like a private man of small fortune, does not become richer, but poorer, by a rich, goodly appearance."

A concise account of North America: containing a description of the several British Colonies on that continent, including the islands of Newfoundland, Cape Breton, &c. as to their situation, extent, climate, soile, produce, rise, governments, religion, present boundaries, and the number of inhabitants supposed to be in each. Also of the interior or westerly parts of the country, upon the rivers St. Laurence, the Mississipi, Christino and the great lakes. To which is subjoined, an account of the several nations and tribes of Indians residing in those parts, as to their customs, manners, government, numbers, &c. containing many useful and entertaining facts never before treated of .- This was written by Major Robert Rogers, and was published in 1765. Among the "entertaining facts never before treated of," are perhaps the author's conjectures respecting the fogs of Newfoundland. "These coasts," he says, "are observed to be extremely subject to fogs, occasioned by the vapours, which are exhaled from the lakes, swamps and bogs, with which the island abounds, as is generally supposed: but perhaps is more owing to the vast shoals of fish and sea animals which frequent these coasts, whose breath, warmth, and motion, occasion vapours to arise from the sea: hence I imagine it is, that, notwithstanding the almost perpetual fogs here, the air is wholesome and agreea ble to most constitutions, which would hardly be the case if they sprung from bogs, swamps, and fresh water lakes."

At Berlin, in 1772, appeared a work entitled "Ameri ca and the Americans," written by a German officer to defend the aborigines against the statements of De Pau. He sums up the character of the Indians, at the conclusion of his book, in the following manner: "The savages think as they please; they eat when they are hnngry; they sleep when they are sleepy; they walk about when they choose; they do not torment themselves about the future, and their labours are their amusements. It is true that they have the villanous custom of sometimes eating their prisoners. This is the life of a hog, it will be said; this mode of living cannot however be so bad as it may be supposed to be, since three-fourths of our noblemen live in the same manner; the difference between them and the savages is, that instead, like the latter, of eating their prisoners, they often consume their creditors."

A General History of Connecticut, from its first Settlement under George Fenwick, Esq., to its latest period of Amity with Great Britian, including 2 Description of the Country and many curious and interesting Anecdotes.—This work was written by the Rev. Dr. Samuel A. Peters, and was declared by even the British reviewers to contain "so many marks of party spleen and idle credulity as to be altogether unworthy of public attention." The author says that "treachery is the staple commodity of the four New England provinces," and gives a wonderful account of the Cahoos falls, near Albany, "where," he observes, "water is consolidated without frost, by pressure, by swiftness, between the pinching, sturdy rocks, to such a degree of induration, that no iron crow can be forced into it."

" Ioyfull Newes out of the new found world, wherein are declared the rare and singular vertues of divers and sundrie Herbs, Trees, Oyles, Plants, & Stones, with their applications, aswell to the vee of Phisicke, as Chirurgery: which being wel applied, bring such present remedy for al diseases, as may seeme altogether incredible: notwithstanding by practize found out, to be true. Also the portrature of the sayde Herbes, very aptly described: Englished by Iohn Frampton, Merchant. Imprinted at London, in Paules Churchyard at the signe of the Quenes Armes, by William Norton, 1580."-This is one of the most rare and curious of the books relating to America printed in the sixteenth century. It was originally written in Spanish, by Doctor Monardes, of Seville. Although Frampton declares that being no longer "pressed with the toiles of his old trade, to pass the tyme to some benefite of his countrye, and to avoyde idlenes, he took in hand to translate the booke," he was probably in some way interested in the sale of the trees, herbs, &c., "the singular and rare vertues" of which are described by its author in a style that would have been deemed creditable to a modern Perkins, Brandreth, or Williams. From that part of the work relating to " Tobacco and of his great vertues," we copy a few paragraphs.

"This hearbe which commonly is called Tabaco, is an Hearbe of muche antiquitie, and knowen amongst the Indians, and in especially among them of the new Spayne, and after that those Countries were gotten by our Spaniardes, beyng taught of the Indians, they did profite themselves with those things, in the wounds which they received in their Warres, healing themselues therewith to their great benefite.

"Within these few yeeres there bath beene brought into Spayne of it, more to adornate Gardens with the fairenesse thereof, and too gene a pleasaunt sight, than that it was thought to have the meruellous medicinable vertues, which it hath, but nowe wee doe vee it more for his vertues, than for his fairenesse. For surely they are such which doe bring admiration.

"It is growing in many partes of the Indias, but ordinarily in moyet and shadowie places, and it is needfull that the grounde where it is sowen, bee well tilled, and that it be a fruitefull grounde, and at all times it is sowen, in the hot Countries. But in the colde Countries it must bee sowen in the Moneth of Marche, for that it may defende it selfe from the frost."

"The proper name of it amongest the Indians is Picielt, for the name of Tabaco is genen to it by our Spaniardes, by reason of an Island that is named Tobaco. This hearbe Tabaco hath particular vertue to heale griefes of the head, and in especially comming of colde causes, and so it cureth the headake when it commeth of a cold humor, or of a windy cause. The Leanes must be layde hotte to the griefe, and multiplying them the tyme that is needfal, vatil the griefe be taken away.

Some there be that doe annoynt them with the Oyle of Orenges, and so they performe a very good woorks.

"In any manner of griefe that is in the body or any other part therof it helpsth, proceeding of a cold cause & applyed thereunto, it taketh it away, not without greate admiration.

"In griefes of the brest it worketh a maruellous effect. & inespecially in those that doe cast out mater and rottennesse at the mouth, and in them that are short breathed, and in any other olde cuilles making of the hearbe a decoction, or with Sugar and Syrope, and being taken inlittle quantitie, it doth expell the Matters, and rottennes of the brest maruellously, and the smoke being taken in at the mouth, doeth cause that the matter be expelled out of the brest of them that do featch their breath shorte.

"In the Toothache when the griefe commeth of a colde cause, or of colde Rumes, putting to it a little ball made of the leafe of the Tabaco, washing first the tooth with a small cloth wet . > the Juyce, it taketh away the payne, and stayeth it, that the putrifaction goe not forwarde: in hot causes it doth not profite, and this remedy is so common that it healeth every one.

"One of the meruelles of this hearbe, and that which bringeth most admiration, is, the maner howe the Priestes of the Indians did vse it, which was in this manner: when there was emongest the Indians any manner of businesse, of greate importaunce, in the which the chiefe Gentlemen called Casiques, or any of the principall people of the countrie, had necessitie to consult with their Priestes, in any businesse of importance: then they went and propounded their matter to their chiefe Priest, foorthwith in their presence, he tooke certayne leaues of the Tabaco, and cast them into the fire, and did receive the smoke of them at his mouth, and at his nose with a Cane, and in taking of it. hee fell downe vppon the ground, as a Dead man, and remayning so, according to the quantitie of the smoke that he had taken, when the hearbe had done his woorke, he did reuius and awake, and gaze them their answeares, according to the visions, and illusions which hee sawe, whiles hee was rapte in the same manner, and he did interprete to them, as to him seemed best, or as the Diuell had connselled him, gening them continually doubtfull answeares, in such sorte, that howsocuer it fell out, they might say that it was the same. which was declared, and the answeare that he made,

"In like sort the rest of the Indians for their pastime, doe take the smoke of the Tabaco, too make themselves drunke withall, and to see the visions, and thinges that represent vnto them that wherein they doe delight: and other times they take it to knowe their businesse, and successe, because conformable to that, which they haue seens beyng drunke therewith, even so they indge of their businesse. And as the Douil is a deceauer, & hath the knowledge of the vertue of hearbes, so he did shew the vertue of this Hearb, that by the meanes thereof, they might see their imaginations, and visions, that he hath represented to them, and by that meanes deceine them."

Other chapters treat of "Snowe, and the Vertues thereof," "the unspeakable Vertue of iron and steels in physicke," etc.

Books of travel in America were hardly less frequent in the sixteenth and seventeenth than in the hinoteenth century; and the passages we have given from some of the most rare of those which have been preserved show that the early tourists were about as philosophicas and accentric as their successors.

Digitized by GOOGIC

### COTTON MATHER.

THE most celebrated person of his age in America was Cotton Mather. He was once revered as a saint, and he is still regarded as a man of great natural abilities, and profound and universal learning. It is true that he had much scholarship; he could read many languages, and his memory was so retentive that he rarely forgot the most trivial circumstance; but he had too little genius to comprehend great truths, and his attainments were for the most part rather curious than valuable. In all his long life he was a model of industry; and, beside his three hundred and eighty-two printed works, he left many manuscripts, of which the largest is called "Illustrations of the Sacred Scriptures," on which he laboured daily for more than thirty years. It is a mere compilation of facts and opinions, from multitudinous sources, and embraces nothing that would be valuable to the modern scholar. His minor works are nearly all forgotten, even by the antiquaries. The "Magnalia Christi Americana" is preserved rather as a curiosity than as an authority; for recent investigations have shown that his statements are not to be relied on where he had any interest in misrepresenting acts or characters. His style abounds, more than that of any of his contemporaries, with puerilities, puns, and grotesque conceits. But it is questionable whether his intellectual was not better than his moral character; for though of all men he was the most observant of forms. and "deemed himself starved unless he fasted once a month," and "found astonishing entertainment" in " spending three days together, without food, in knocking at the door of Heaven," he was still without humility or charity-ambitious, intriguing and unscrupulous. He believed in witchcraft, a circumstance for which he is not perhaps to be blamed, since no amount of learning or integrity could exempt one from credulity; but after fanning into a flame the terrible superstition on this subject, when the frenzy was over he hypocritically endeavoured to persuade the people that instead of encouraging the proceedings, his influence and exertions had been on the side of cantion and forbearance. Failing of this, he attempted to justify his conduct by inventing various personal histories, to show that there had been good cause for the atrocious persecutions. The devil certainly had much more power over Mather and the civil judges than over any of the unhappy convicts, the bodies of some of whom were treated even after death with a brutality that might have appalled the 'savages' who were spectators of these 'civilized' and 'Christian' tragedies. Mather at one time kept one of the supposed witches in his house, to observe closely her actions. She was a young girl, who in sport or wantonness attempted to practise upon his credulity. "The manner in which she played with his religious prejudices shows considerable art. A Quaker's book, which was then one of the greatest of abominations, was brought to her, and she read whole pages in it, with the exception of the names of the Deity and the Saviour, which she was not able to speak. Such books as she might have read with profit, she was not permitted to open; or, if she was urged to read in her Bible or Catechism, she was immediately taken with contortions. On the contrary, she could read in a jestbook without the least difficulty, and actually seemed to enjoy it. Popish books she was permitted to read at pleasure, but a work against the Catholics, she might not touch. One gleam of suspicion seemed to shoot

over his mind on one occasion; for he says, 'I, considering there might be a snare in it, put a stop to this fanciful business. Only I could not but be amazed at one thing; a certain prayer-book, [the Episcopal doubtless,] being brought her, she not only could read it very well, but also did read a large part of it over, calling it her Bible, and putting more than ordinary respect upon it. If she were going into her tortures, at the tender of this book, she would recover herself to read it Only when she came to the Lord's prayer, now and then occurring in that book, she would have her eyes put out; so that she must turn over a new leaf, and then she could read again. Whereas also there are scriptures in that book, she could read them there; but if any showed her the same scriptures in the Bible itself, she should sooner die that read them. And she was likewise made nuable to read the Psalms in an ancient metre, which this prayer-book had in the same volume with it.' It was not very surprising, that she should after a time lose her veneration for him. Accordingly he remarks, that, though her carriage had been dutiful, 'it was afterwards with a sauciness, which I was not used to be treated withal.' She would knock at his study door, telling him that some one below would be glad to see him; when he had taken the trouble to go down, and scolded her for the falsehood, she would say, 'Mrg. Mather is always glad to see you.' She would call out to him with numberless impertinencies.' Having determined to give a public account of her case, in a sermon to his congregation, she was troubled at it, thinking it not unlikely that sharper eyes than his might be turned upon her. She made many attempts to prevent it by threatening him with the vengeance of the spirits, till he was almost out of patience. and exorcized them in Latin, Greek, and Hebrew. All these were perfectly intelligible to them; but 'the Indian language they did not seem so well to understand," One part of the system of this artful young creature was to persuade him, that he was under the special protection of Heaven, so that spells could have no power over him. When he went to prayer, 'the demone would throw her on the floor, where she would whistle. and sing, and yell, to drown the voice of prayer; and she would fetch blows with her fist and kicks with her foot at the man that prayed. But still her fist and foot would recoil, when within an inch or two of him, as if rebounding against a wall.' This powerful appeal to his vanity was not lost upon him. It made him more solicitous than ever to patronize the delusion."\*

Mather entered college when twelve years old. At eighteen he began to preach. We have already alluded to the *Magnalia*. Grahame, the historian, calls it the most interesting work which the literature of this country has produced, and says that some of the biographical parts of it are superior to Plutarch; but this, as Mr. Peabody well remarks in his Life of Mather, is absurd and extravagant praise; the highest pretension of the work being, that it is curious and entertaining.

Toward the close of his career, Mather's reputation declined; and his mind, at this period of his life, ap pears to have been diseased, almost to insanity.

\*In the archives of the Massachusetts Historical Society, among the manuscripts of Cotton Mather, there is a paper, on which is endorsed the following curious record in his hand-writing. "November 29th, 1692. While I was preaching at a private fast, (kept for a possessed young woman,) on Mark ix. 28, 29, the Devil in the damsel flew upon me, and tore the leaf, as it is now torn, over against the text."

Digitized by Google

## THE BAY PSALM BOOK.

THE first book published in British America was "The Psalmes in Metre, faithfully Translated, for the Use, Edification, and Comfort of the Saints, in Public and Private, especially in New Englande," printed at Cambridge, in 1640. The version was made by Thomas Welde of Roxbury, Richard Mather of Dorchester, and John Eliot the apostle of the Indians. The translators seem to have been aware that it possessed but little poetical merit. "If," say they, in their preface, "the verses are not always so smooth and elegant as some may desire and expect, let them consider that God's altar needs not our polishings; for we have respected rather a plain translation, than to smooth our verses with the sweetness of any paraphrase, and so have attended to conscience rather than elegance, and fidelity rather than poetry, in translating Hebrew words into English language, and David's poetry into English metre." Cotton Mather laments the inelegance of the version, but declares that the Hebrew was most exactly rendered. After a second edition had been printed, President Dunster,\* of Harvard College, assisted by Mr. Richard Lyon, a tutor at Cambridge, attempted to improve it, and in their advertisement to the godly reader they state that they "had special eye both to the gravity of the phrase of sacred writ and to the sweetness of the verse." Dunster's edition was reprinted twenty-three times in America, and several times in Scotland and England, where it was long used in the dissenting congregations. The following specimen is from the second edition:

> PRALM CXXXVII The rivers on of Babilon. There when wee did sit downe, Yea, even then, wee mourned when Wee remembered Sion. Our harp wee did hang it amid, Upon the willow tree, Because there they that us away Led in captivitee Requir'd of us a song, and thus Askt mirth us waste who laid, Sing us among a Sion's song, Unto us then they said. The Lord's song sing can wee, being In stranger's land? then let Lose her skill my right hand if I Jerusalem forget. Let cleave my tongue my pallate on If mind thee doe not I, If chiefe joyes o're I prize not more, Jerusalem nıy joy. Remember, Lord, Edom's sons' word, Unto the ground, said they, It rase, it rase, when as it was Jerusalem her dav. Blest shall he be that payeth the Daughter of Babilon, Who must be waste, that which thou hast Rewarded us upon. O happie hee shall surely bee That taketh up, that eke Thy little ones against the stones Doth into pieces breake.

Mather and Eliot were men of sound learning, and Welde was the author of some respectable elegies and other verses. But although God's aliar may not have

Thomas Dunster was the first president of Harvard Col-

needed overmuch their "polishings," their own poetry was sadly deficient in elegance and melody, and required all the improvement which the bards of Harvard could make in it, we should think, to be either said or sung. To show the difference between the original and the subsequent impressions, we copy the first Psalm from ezcl. version.

#### PRALME L

## By Welds and others.

O blessed man, that in th'advice of wicked doeth not walk: nor stand in sinner's way, nor sit in chayre of scornfull folk. But in the law of Iehovah, is his longing delight: and in his law doth meditate, by day and eke by night. And he shall be like to a tree planted by water-rivers: that in his season yeilds his fruit, and his leafe never withers. And all he doth, shall prosper well, the wicked are not so: but they are like vnto the chaffe. which winde drives to and fro. Therefore shall not ungodly men, rise to stand in the doome. nor shall the sinners with the just, in their assemblie come. . For of the righteous men, the Lord acknowledgeth the way: but the way of vagodly men shall vtterly decay.

#### PBAL I.

## Corrected by Dunster and Lyon.

O blessed man that walks not in th'advice of wicked men Nor standeth in the sinners way nor scorners seat sits in. But he upon Jehovah's law doth set his whole delight: And in his law doth meditate both in the day and night. He shall be like a planted tree by water brooks; which shall In his due season yield his fruit. whose leaf shall never fail: And all he doth shall prosper well. The wicked are not so: But they are like unto the chaff. which wind drived to and fro Therefore shall no ungodly men in judgement stand upright: Nor in th'assembly of the just shall stand the sinfull wight. For of ye righteous men, ye Lord acknowledgeth the way; Whereas the way of wicked me a shall utterly decay.

lege, and was inaugurated on the twenty-seventh ct August, 1640. In 1654 he became unpopular on account of his pable advocacy of anti-padobaptism, and was compelled to resign. When he died, in 1659, he bequeathed legacies to the persons who were most active in causing his separation from the College. In the life of Dunster, in the Magnalia, is the following admonition by a Mr. Shepherd, to the authors of the New Paalm Rook:

"You Rozb'ry poets, keep clear of the crime Of missing to give to us very good rhyme. And you of Dorchester, your verses lengthen, But with the texts' your words you will them strengthen."

Digitized by Google

ELIOT AND HIS INDIAN TRANSLATIONS.

"SINCE the death of Paul," says Edward Everett in his address at Bloody Brook, " a nobler, truer, and warmer spirit than John Eliot, never lived; and taking the state of the country, the narrowness of the means, the rudeness of the age, into consideration, the history of the Christian church does not contain an example of resolute, untiring, successful labour, superior to that of translating the entire Scriptures into the language of the native tribes of Massachusetts; a labour performed, not in the flush of youth, nor within the luxurious abodes of academic lore, but under the constant burden of his duties as a minister and a preacher, and at a time of life when the spirit begins to flag." Such is the judgment of one of the first scholars and most discerning men of our own age of the Apostle of the Indians—the noblest of all the noble men who planted civilization and religion in the new world.

Eliot was born at Nasing-not Nasin, as it is erroneously given by Allen and others—in Essex, England, in 1604. He was educated at Cambridge, and being subsequently persecuted for non-conformity, so far as "not to be allowed even to teach a school in his native country," according to Neal, he at the age of twenty-seven came to America, landing at Boston on the third of November, 1631. In the following year he became pastor of a Congregational church in Roxbury, and in the autumn of 1646, he preached his first sermon in the language of the Indians at Nonantum, now Newtown. From that year until he died, in the eighty-sixth year of his age, he laboured with an earnestness and ability rarely equalled and never surpassed, to educate and convert the Indians; and of all the Christian missionaries in America from its discovery to the present time, he was the most successful.

Eliot wrote several narratives of the advancement and condition of religion among the Indians, which were published in England; a tract entitled "Communion of the Churches;" a "History of the Gospels;" and "The Christian Commonwealth," a book which was pronounced seditious by the colonial government, publicly recanted, and suppressed. He was also at an earlier day one of the committee by whom The Bay Psalm Book was prepared. His reputation, however, rests upon his Indian Grammar, and various translations into the Indian language, the chief of which was that of the Bible, completed in 1663. From the commencement of his ministry among the natives, the project of this translation appears to have been floating in his mind, but the magnitude of the work, and the difficulties with which it was likely to be attended, sometimes discouraged him; and in his "Further Progresse of the Gospel," published in 1655, he says despondingly, "I have no hope to see the Bible translated, much less printed, in my own day." Yet he laboured at the task from time to time, trusting that the providence of God would at length send the aid necessary to print such portions of it as should be prepared for the press. Nor was his trust in vain: through the aid of "The Corporation for Promoting the Gospel among the Heathen in New-England," the New Testament was published at Cambridge in September, 1661, soon after the restoration of Charles the Second to the throne. The printing was completed while the question of the confirmation of the Society's charter was pending, and it was deemed an excellent opportunity to conciliate the good will of the King to whom the Commissioners of the United | Colonies dedicated the Translation, in an address written in a tone adapted to win his favourable regard. This dedication has the following preface:

"Vpon the enformation of the Desolution of the Corporation, and intimation of hopes that his Majestie would [renew and] confeirme the same, &c. The Co missioners thought meet to present his Majestie with the New Testament printed in the Indian language with these presents following, &c."

The document itself, as printed in the few copies of the Testament sent to England, is in these words:

"To the High and Mighty Prince, Charles the Second, by the Grace of God, King of England, Scotland, Prance and Ireland, Defender of the Faith, &c.

"The Commissioners of the United Colonies in New-Engla: 1, wish increase of all happiness, &c.

"MOST DREAD SOVERAIGN,

"If our weak apprehensions have not misled us, this Work will be no unacceptable Present to Your Majesty, as having a greater Interest therein, than we believe is generally understood: which (upon this Occasion) we conceive it our Duty to declare.

"The People of these four Colonies (Confederated for Mutual Defence, in the time of the late Distractions of our dear Native Country) Your Majesties natural born Subjects, by the Favour and Grant of Your Royal Father and Grandfather of Famous Memory, put themselves upon this great and hazardous Undertaking, of Planting themselves at their own Charge in these remote ends of the Earth, that without offence or provocation to our dear Brethren and Countrymen, we might enjoy that liberty to Worship God, which our own Consciences informed us, was not onely our Right, but Duty: As also that we might (if it so pleased God) be instrumental to spread the light of the Gospel, the knowledg of the Son of God our Saviour, to the poor barbarous Heathen, which by His late Majesty, in some of our Patents, is declared to be His principal aim.

"These honest and Pious Intentions, have, through the grace and goodness of God and our Kings, been seconded with proportionable success: for, omitting the Immunities indulged us by Your Highness Royal Predecessors, we have been greatly encouraged by Your Majesties gracious expressions of Favour and Approbation signified, unto the Address made by the principal of our Colonies, to which the rest do most cordially Subscribe, though wanting the like seasonable opportunity, they have been (till now) deprived of the means to Congratulate Your Majesties happy Restitution, after Your long suffering, which we implore may yet be graciously accepted, that we may be equal partakers of Your Royal Favour and Moderation; which hath been so Illustrious that (to admiration) the animosities and different Perswasions of men have been so soon Composed, and so much cause of hope, that (unless the sins of the Nation prevent) a blessed Calm will succeed the late horrid Confusions of Church and State. And shall not we (Dread Soveraign) your Subjects of these Colonies, of the same Faith and Belief in all Points of Doctrine with our Countrymen, and the other Reformed Churches, (though perhaps not alike perswaded in some matters of Order, which in outward respects hath been unhappy for us) promise and assure our selves of all just favour and indulgence from a Prince so happily and graciously endowed?

"The other part of our Errand hither, hath been at tended with Endevours and Blessing; many of the

Digitized by **GO**(

2

wilde Indians being taught, and understanding the Doctrine of the Christian Religion, and with much affection attending such Preachers as are sent to teach them, many of their Children are instructed to Write and Reade, and some of them have proceeded further, to attain the knowledge of the Latine and Greek Tongues, and are brought up with our English youth in University-learning: There are divers of them that can and do reade some parts of the Scripture, and some Catechisms, which formerly have been Translated into their own Language, which hath occasioned the undertaking of a greater Work, viz: The Printing of the whole Bible, which (being Translated by a painful Labourer amongst them, who was desirous to see the Work accomplished in his dayes) hath already proceeded to the finishing of the New Testament, which we here humbly present to Your Majesty, as the first fruits and accomplishment of the Pious Design of your Royal Ancestors. The Old Testament is now under the Press, wanting and craving your Royal Favour and Assistance for the perfecting thereof.

"We may not conceal, that though this Work hath been begun and prosecuted by such Instruments as God hath raised up here, yet the chief Charge and Cost, which hath supported and carried it thus far, hath been from the Charity and Piety of our well-affected Countrymen in England; who being sensible of our inability in that respect, and studious to promote so good a Work, contributed large Sums of Money, which were to be improved according to the Direction and Order of the then-prevailing Powers, which hath been faithfully and religiously attended both there and here, according to the pious intentions of the Benefactors. And we do most humbly beseech your Majesty, that a matter of so much Devotion and Piety, tending so much to the Honour of God, may suffer no disappointment through any Legal defect (without the fault of the Donors, or the poor Indians, who onely receive the benefit) but that your Majesty be graciously pleased to Establish and Confirm the same, being contrived and done (as we conceive) in the first year of your Majesties Reign, as this Book was begun and now finished in the first year of your Establishment; which doth not onely presage the happy success of your Highness Government, but will be a perpetual monument, that by your Majesties Favour the Gospel of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, was first made known to the Indians: An Honour whereof (we are assured) your Majesty will not a little esteem.

"SIR, The shines of Your Royal Favour upon these Vndertakings, will make these tender Plants to flourish, notwithstanding any malevolent Aspect from those that bear evil will to this Sion, and render Your Majesty more Illustrious and Glorious to after Generations.

"The God of Heaven long preserve and bless Your Majesty with many happy Dayes, to his Glory, the good and comfort of his Church and People. Amon."

In 1663, the Old and New Testaments, and a version of the Psalter in a separate volume, were completed, and a copy of each forwarded to the King. Richard Baxter who was a friend and correspondent of Eliot, speaks of the gift as "such a work and fruit of a plantation, as was never before presented to a king." The perfect Bible was accompanied by the following dedicatory address, which Thomas states was omitted in nearly all the copies circulated in America.

"To the High and Mighty Prince. Charles the Second by the Grace of God, King of England, Scotland, France and Ireland, Defender of the Faith, &c.

"The Commissioners of the United Colonies in New-England, wish all happiness, &c.

"MOST DREAD SOVERAIGN,

"As our former Presentation of the New Testamenewas Graciously Accepted by Your Majesty; so with all Humble Thankfulness for that Royal Favour, and with the like hope, We are bold now to Present the WHOLE BIBLE, Translated into the Language of the Natives of this Country, by A Painful Labourer in that Work, and now Printed and Finished, by means of the Pious Beneficence of Your Majesties Subjects in England: which also by Your Special Favour hath been Continued and Confirmed to the intended Use and Advancement of so Great and Good a Work, as is the Propagation of the Gospel to these poor Barbarians in this (Ere-while) Unknown World.

"Translations of Holy Scripture, The Word of the King of Kings, have ever been deemed not unworthy of the most Princely Dedications: Examples whereof are extant in divers Languages. But Your Majesty is the First that hath Received one in this Language, or from this American World, or from any Parts so Re mote from Europe as these are, for ought that ever we heard of.

"Publications also of these Sacred Writings to the Sons of Men (who here, and here onely, have the Mysteries of their Eternal Salvation revealed to them by the God of Heaven) is a Work that the Greatest Princes have Honoured themselves by. But to Publish and Communicate the same to a Lost People, as remote from Knowledge and Civility, much more from Christianity, as they were from all Knowing, Civil, and Christian Nations; a People without Law, without Letters, without Riches, or Means to procure any such thing; a People that sate as deep in Darkness, and in the shadow of Death, as (we think) any since the Cre ation: This puts a Lustre upon it that is Superlative; and to have given Royal Patronage and Countenance to such a Publication, or to the Means thereof, will stand among the Marks of Lasting Honour in the eyes of all that are Considerate, even unto After-Generations.

"And though there be in this Western World many Colonies of other European Nations, yet we humbly conceive, no Prince hath had a Return of such a Work as this; which may be some Token of the Success of your Majesties Plantation of New-England, Undertaken and Settled under the Encouragement and Security of Grants from Your Royal Father and Grandfather, of Famous Memory, and Cherished with late Gracious Aspects from Your Majesty. Though indeed the present Poverty of these Plantations could not have Accomplished this Work, had not the forementioned Bounty of England lent Relief; Nor could that have Continued to stand us in stead, without the Influence of Your Royal Favour and Authority, whereby the Corporation there, For Propagating the Gospel among these Natives, hath been Established and Encouraged (whose Labour of Love, Care, and Faithfulness in that Trust, must ever be remembered with Honour.) Yea, when private persons, for their private Ends, have of late sought Advantages to deprive the said Corporation of Half the Possessions that had been, by Liberal Contributions, obtained for so Religious Ends; We understand, That, by an Honourable and Righteous Decision



in Your Majesties Court of Chancery, their Hopes have been defeated, and the Thing Settled where it was and is. For which great Favour, and Illustrious Fruit of Your Majesties Government, we cannot but return our most Humble Thanks in this Publick manner; And, as the Result of the joynt Endeavours of Your Majesties Subjects there and here, acting under Your Royal Influence, We Present You with this Work, which upon sundry accounts is to be called Yours.

"The Southern Colonies of the Spanisk Nation have sent home from this American Continent, much Gold and Silver, as the Fruit and End of their Discoveries and Transplantations: That (we confess) is a scarce Commodity in this Colder Climate. But (sutable to the Ends of our Undertaking.) we Present this, and other Concomitant Fruits of our poor Endeavours to Plant and Propagate the Gospel here; which, upon a true account, is as much better than Gold, as the Souls of men are more worth than the whole World. This is a nobler Fruit (and indeed, in the Counsels of All-Disposing Providence, was an higher intended End) of Columbus his Adventure. And though by his Brother's being hindred from a seasonable Application, your Famous Predecessour and Ancestor, King Henry the Seventh, missed of being sole Owner of that first Discovery, and of the Riches thereof; yet, if the Honour of first Discovering the True and Saving Knowledge of the Gospel unto the poer Americans, and of Erecting the Kingdome of JESUS CHRIST among them, be Reserved for, and do Redound unto your Majesty, and the English Nation, After-ages will not reckon this Inferiour to the other. Religion is the End and Glory of Mankinde and as it was the Professed End of this Plantation; so we desire ever to keep it in our Eye as our main design (both as to ourselves, and the Natives about us) and that our Products may be answerable thereunto. Give us therefore leave (Dread Soveraign) yet again humbly to Beg the Continuance of your Royal Favour, and of the Influences thereof, upon this poor Plantation, The United Colonies of NEW-ENGLAND, for the Securing and Establishment of our Civil Priviledges, and Religious Liberties hitherto Enjoyed; and, upon this Good Work of Propagating Religion to these Natives, that the Supports and Encouragements thereof from England may be still countenanced and Confirmed. May this Nursling still suck the Breast of Kings, and be fostered by your Majesty, as it hath been by your Royal Predecessors, unto the Preservation of its main Concernments; It shall thrive and prosper to the Glory of God, and the Honour of your Majesty: Neither will it be any loss or grief unto our Lord the King, to have the Blessing of the Poor to come upon Him, and that from these Ends of the Earth.

" The God by whom Kings Reign, and Princes Decree Justice, Bless Your Majesty, and Establish your Throne in Righteousness, in Mercy, and in Truth, to the Glory of His Name, the Good of His People, and to Your own Comfort and Rejoycing, not in this onely, but in another World."

The title page is in English and Indian. The Indian title is as follows: "Mamusse Wunneetupanatamwe Up-Bio.um God naneeswe Nukkone-Testament kah wonk Wurku Testament. Nequoshinnumuk nashpe Wuttinneumak Christ noh asoowesit John Eliot. Nahohteou ontehetoe Printewoomuk. Cambridge · Printeuoop nashpe Samuel Green."

We append, as a specimen of the Translation, the Lord's Prayer, from the first edition of the New Testa ment, printed at Cambridge in 1661

The LORD's PRAYER, Matt. vi. 9, &c.

Nooshun kesukqut, quttianatamunach koowesu- heaven, hallowed be thy onk. Peyaumooutch kuk- name. Thy kingdom come ketassootamoonk, kukkenantoomoonk ne n nach ohkeit neane kesukqut. Nummeetsuongash asekesukokish assamaiinean yequontameiinnean nummatcheongash, neane matchenehukqueagig nutahquontammounonog. Ahque sagkompagunaiinnean en qutchhuaoonganit, webe pohquohwussinean wutch matchitut. Newutche kutahtaun ketassootamonk, kah menuhkesuonk, kah sohsumoonk micheme. A-

Our Father which art in Thy will be done in earth as it is in heaven. Give us this day our daily bread. And forgive us our debts, as we forgive our debtors. dyeu kesukod. Kah ah- And lead us not into temptation, but deliver us from evil: For thine is the kingdom, the power, and the glory for ever. Amen

The first impression of the Indian Bible, says Con vers Francis, in his excellent Life of Eliot, sufficed for about twenty years. In 1680 another edition of the New Testament was published. Mr. Eliot, in a letter written during that period to the Honourable Mr. Boyle, alludes to it when he says, "We are at the nineteenth chapter of the Acts; and when we have impressed the New Testament, our Commissioners approve of my preparing and impressing the Old." In addition to the Psalms, a Catechism was annexed, as is the first impression. This New Testament has the im print of Cambridge, but no printer's name. In 1685, a second edition of the Old Testament appeared, printed at Cambridge by Samuel Green. This was bound with the last impression of the New Testament; and the two parts, thus taken together, constitute the second edition of the whole Bible, though there was an inter val of five years between the times at which the two Testaments respectively appeared. Each part has but one title page, which is in Indian, and the same as before. We learn some facts respecting this second edition of the Indian version from Eliot's correspondence with Mr. Boyle. The whole impression was two thousand copies. It was superintended by Mr. Eliot, who gave a part of his salary towards defraying the expense, and received for the same purpose from the corporation in England, through Mr. Boyle, nine hundred pounds at different times, namely, forty pounds at one time, four hundred and sixty at another, and four hundred at a third. If some collateral expenses be included, the whole cost of the impression must have been little, if any, short of a thousand pounds. Mr. Eliot's remarks lead us to suppose, that the first edition was nearly or quite exhausted. If so, and if the number of its copies was what I have supposed, this fact will furnish us with a measure by which we may estimate the demand for the Scriptures among the Indians for twenty years after the translation was first printed. We may presume that the number of copies, which curiosity might lead people in the colony to purchase, or which courtesy might send to England, could not be large. Eliot apologised to Mr. Boyle for the slow progress

Digitized by GOOGIC

of the printing, by alleging the want of an adequate number of workmen, and the interruption of labour among those whom they had, by sickness, which prevailed fatally in the winter of 1683 and the spring of 1684. His heart was saddened by these and other events, which seemed to throw discouragement on the work; for he was then bending beneath the weight of years, and with the feelings of an old and faithful servant, his soul yearned to witness, as his last labour, the completion of the new edition of his translation. The affectionate earnestness with which he dwells on the subject in his correspondence with the English philosopher, has a touching interest. "My age," says he, " makes me importunate. I shall depart joyfully, may I but leave the Bible among them; for it is the word of life." Again he writes, "I desire to see it done before I die, and I am so deep in years, that I cannot expect to live long; and sundry say, if I do not procure it printed while I live, it is not within the prospect of human reason, whether ever, or when, er how, it may be accomplished." He bore it on his heart to God in his devotions, and the anxious earnestness of his soul seemed to be fixed on this point. The prayer of the good old man was answered. He lived to see a new impression of his Bible; and when he took the precious volume in his hands, we can easily imagine that with uplifted eyes he may have uttered the Nunc dimittis of the aged Simeon. In preparing this second edition Mr. Eliot received valuable assistance from the Reverend John Cotton of Plymouth, who had spent much of his time for several years in forming a thorough acquaintance with the Indian language. This obligation Eliot acknowledged in a letter to Boyle in 1688. Several years before that time. Boyle had intrusted to Eliot thirty pounds for the promotion of religion among the Indians. The money had not been expended, perhaps because no opportunity had occurred for the particular mode of using it which Boyle designed. Of this sum, Eliot requested that ten pounds might be given to Major Gookin's widow, who was poor; ten pounds to Gookin's son, who lectured among the Indians; and ten pounds to Mr. John Cotton, "who," says he, "helped me much in the second edition of the Bible." Probably Mr. Cotton revised the whole version with him, that by their joint labours a more exact and faithful translation might be exhibited in the new impression.

Mr. Francis elsewhere remarks, that the Indian Bible has become one of those rare books which the antiquarian deems it a treasure to possess. The copies in public or private libraries are very few. It has acquired the venerable appearance of an ancient and sealed book; and when we turn over its pages, those long and harsh words seem like the mysterious hieroglyphics in some time-hallowed temple of old Egypt. It failed to answer the pious purpose for which the translator laboured in preparing it. But it has answered another purpose, which was perhaps never in his mind, or, if it were, was doubtless regarded as an inferior consideration. In connexion with his Indian Grammar, it has afforded important aid as a valuable document, in the study of comparative philology. Though the language in which it is printed is no longer read, yet this book is prized as one of the means of gaining an insight into the structure and character of "un written dialects of barbarous nations," a subject which, of late years, has attracted the attention of learned men, and

the study of which, it is believed, will furnish new facts to modify the hitherto received principles of uni versal grammar. On this account scholars of the highest name in modern times have had reason to thank Eliot for labours, which the Indians are not left to thank him for. While the cause of religion missed, in a great degree, the benefit designed for it, the science of language acknowledges a contribution to its stores. Mr Eliot translated the Bible into a dialect of what is called the Mohegan tongue, a language spoken by all the New England Indians, essentially the same, but varied by different dialects among the several tribes. By Eliot and others it was called the Massachusetts language. There is, besides a moral aspect, in which this translation of the Scriptures should be viewed. It must be regarded as a monument of laborious piety, of painstaking love to the soul of man. Would the translator have had the spirit to undertake, still more the perseverance to carry through, a work so wearisome and discouraging, had he not been animated by the deep, steady, strong principle of devotedness to God and to the highest good of his fellow-men? The theological scholar, who translates the Bible, or even one of the Testaments, from the origina. Is to his vernacular tongue, is considered as having achieved a great task, and as giving ample proof of his diligence. Yet such a work is easy compared with the labour which Eliot undertook and finished amidst a press of other employments, which alone might have been deemed sufficient to satisfy the demands of Christian industry. Among the many remarkable doings of the Apostle to the Indians, this bears the most striking testimony to his capacity of resolute endurance in the cause of man's spiritual welfare. We justly admire the moral courage. the spirit of self-sacrifice, which sustained him in the tasks of preaching, visiting, and instruction, never de terred by the dark squalidness of barbarity, never dannt ed by the fierce threats of men who knew no law be their passions, never moved by exposure to storms. cold, and the various forms of physical suffering. But. when we represent him to our minds, as labouring at his translation of the Scriptures in the silence of his study. year after year, in the freshness of the morning hour and by the taper of midnight, wearied but not disheartened; continually perplexed with the almost unmanageable phraseology of the dialect of the barbarians. yet always patient to discover how it might be made to represent truly the meaning of the sacred books; doing this chapter by chapter, verse by verse, without a wish to give over the toil; cherishing for a long time only a faint hope of publication, yet still willing to believe, that God in his good providence would finally send the means of giving the printed word of life to those for whom he toiled and prayed,-we cannot but feel that we witness a more trying task, a more surprising labour, than any presented by the stirring and active duties of his ministry among the Indians. It was a long, heavy, hard work, wrought out by the silent but wasting efforts of mental toil, and relieved by no immediately animating excitement. It was truly a labour of love. When we take that old dark volume into our hands, we understand not the words in which it is written; but it has another and beautiful meaning which we do understand. It is a symbol of the affection which a devoted man cherished for the soul of his fellow-man; it is the expression of a benevolence, which fainted in no effort to give light to those who sat in darkness and



in the shadow of death; and so it remains, and will ever remain, a venerable manifestation of the power of

spiritual truth and spiritual sympathy.

It is indeed strange that the language of a version of the Bible, made less than two hundred years ago, should now be utterly extinct. But the second edition of the Translation was the last, and the printer will never again be called to set types for those words so strange, nor will there in all after time, probably, be a person in the world who can read the book

Cotton Mather tells us that the anagram of Eliot's name was Toile, and the conceit has the merit of expressing truly one of the chief traits in the apostle's character. Beside the labours which we have mentioned, he translated Baxter's "Call to the Unconverted," Bayit y's "Practice of Piety," and "several of the composures" of Shepard, and others of his contemporaries, into the Indian language.

" His youth was innocent; his riper age Mark'd with some act of goodness, every day; And watch'd by eyes that loved him, calm and sage, Faded his late declining years away. Cheerful he gave his being up, and went To share the holy rest that waits a life well spent."

#### MRS. RRADSTREET.

MRS. ANNE BRADSTREET, "the mirror of her age, and glory of her sex," as she is styled by John Norton, of excellent memory, came to America with her husband, Simon Bradstreet, governor of the colony, in 1630, when she was but eighteen years of age. She was a daughter of Governor Dudley, a miserly, though a "virtuous and discreet gentleman," for whom Governor Belcher wrote the following epitaph:

" Here lies Thomas Dudley, that trusty old stud-A bargain's a bargain, and must be made good."

Mrs. Bradstreet's verses were printed at Cambridge, in 1640. The volume was entitled, "Several Poems, compiled with great variety of wit and learning, full of delight; wherein especially is contained a compleat discourse and description of the four Elements, Constitutions, Ages of Man, and Seasons of the Year, together with an exact Epitome of the Three First Monarchies, viz: the Assyrian, Persian, Grecian; and Roman Commonwealth, from the beginning, to the end of the last King; with divers other Pleasant and Serious Poems." Norton declares her poetry so fine that, were Maro to hear it, he would condemn his own works to the fire; and in a poetical description of her character says-

Her breast was a brave pallace, a broad strest, Where all heroic, ample thoughts did meet, Where nature such a tenement had tane, That other souls to hers dwelt in a lane!

The author of the Magnalia speaks of her poetry as a "monument for her memory beyond the stateliest marble;" and John Rogers, one of the Presidents of Harvard College, in some verses addressed to her, 82 YS-

Your only hand these poesies did compose: Your head the source, whence all those springs did flow: Your voice, whence change's sweetest notes arose: Your feet, that kept the dance alone, I trow: Then veil your bonnets, poetasters all, Strike, lower amain, and at these humbly fall, And deem yourselves advanced to be her pedestal. Should all with lowly congees laurels bring, Waste Flora's magazine to find a wreath,

Or Pineus' banks, 't were too mean offering; Your muse a fairer garland doth bequeatly To guard your fairer front; here 't is your name Shall stand immarbled; this your little frame Shall great Colossus be, to your eternal fame.

She died in September, 1672, and "was greatly mourned." The following stanzas are from one of her minor pieces, entitled "Contemplations."

Under the cooling shadow of a stately elm Close sate I by a goodly river's side; Where gliding streams the rocks did overwhelm; A lonely place, with pleasures dignified. I once that loved the shady woods so well. Now thought the rivers did the trees excell, And if the sun would ever shine, there would I dwell. While on the stealing stream I fixt mine eye, Which to the long'd-for ocean held its course, I markt nor crooks, nor rubs that there did lye Could hinder aught, but still augment its force: O happy flood, quoth I, that holdst thy race Till thou arrive at thy beloved place, Nor is it rocks or shoals that can obstruct thy pace. Nor is't enough, that thou alone may'st slide, But hundred brooks in thy cleer waves do meet, So hand in hand along with thee they glide To Thetis' house, where all embrace and greet: Thou emblem true, of what I count the best, O could I lead my rivulets to rest, So may we press to that vast mansion, ever blest. Ye fish, which in this liquid region 'bide, That for each season, have your habitation, Now salt, now fresh, where you think best to glide, To unknown coasts to give a visitation, In lakes and ponds, you leave your numerous fry, So nature taught, and yet you know not why, You watry folk that know not your felicity. Look how the wantons frisk to taste the air, Then to the colder bottome straight they dive, Eftsoon to Neptune's glassie hall repair To see what trade the great ones there do drive, Who forrage o'er the spacious sea-green field, And take the trembling prey before it yield, Whose armour is their scales, their spreading fins their shield.

While musing thus with contemplation fed, And thousand fancies buzzing in my brain, The sweet-tongued Philomel percht o'er my head, And chanted forth a most melodious strain Which rapt me so with wonder and delight, I judg'd my hearing better than my sight, And wisht me wings with her a while to take my flight. O merry bird (said I) that fears no snares, That neither toyles nor hoards up in thy barn, Feels no sad thoughts, nor cruciating cares To gain more good, or shun what might thee harm. Thy cloaths ne'er wear, thy meat is every where, Thy bed a bough, thy drink the water cleer, Reminds not what is past, nor what's to come dost fear, The dawning morn with songs thou dost prevent,\* Setts hundred notes unto thy feather'd crew, So each one tunes his pretty instrument, And warbling out the old, begins anew, And thus they pass their youth in summer season, Then follow thee into a better region, Where winter's never felt by that sweet airy legion. Man's at the best a creature frail and vain, In knowledge ignorant, in strength but weak; Subject to sorrows, losses, sickness, pain, Each storm his state, his mind, his body break: From some of these he never finds cessation, But day or night, within, without, vexation, Troubles from foes, from friends, from dearest, near'st re-

> \* Anticipate. Digitized by Google

And ye; this sinfull creature, frail and vain,
This lump of wretchedness, of sin and sorrow,
This weather-beaten vessel wrackt with pain,
Joyes not in hope of an eternal morrow:
Nor all his losses, crosses, and vexation,
In weight, in frequency, and long duration,
Can make him deeply groan for that divine translation.

The mariner that on smooth waves doth glide, Sings merrily, and steers his barque with ease, As if he had command of wind and tide, And had become great master of the seas; But suddenly a storm spoils all the sport, And makes him long for a more quiet port, Which 'gainst all adverse winds may serve for fort.

So he that saileth in this world of pleasure,
Feeding on sweets, that never bit of th' sowre,
That 's full of friends, of honour, and of treasure,
Fond fool, he takes this earth ev'n for heaven's bower
But sad affliction comes and makes him see
Here 's neither honour, wealth nor safety;
Only above is found all with security.

O Time, the fatal wrack of mortal things,
That draws oblivion's curtains over kings,
Their sumptuous monuments, men know them not,
Their names without a record are forgot,
Their parts, their ports, their pomp 's all laid in th' dust;
Nor wit nor gold, nor buildings scape time's rust;
But he whose name is grav'd in the white stone
Shall last and shine when all of these are gone.

### ROGER WILLIAMS AND HIS CONTROVERSIES.

ROGER WILLIAMS was on many accounts the most remarkable man among the Puritans. He was the first legislator who fully recognized the rights of conscience, and this of itself should make his name immortal. He was eccentric, in conduct as well as in opinion, but nevertheless a man of genius and virtue, of firmness, courage, disinterestedness and benevolence. The notice of Williams and his writings by Dr. Verplanck is so just and comprehensive that we quote it, without abridgment. He emigrated to New England from Wales in 1630. He was then, says Verplanck, a man of austere life and popular manners, full of reading, skilled in controversy, and gifted with a rapid, copious, and vehement eloquence. The writers of those days represent him as being full of turbulent and singular opinions, "and the whole country," saith the quaint Cotton Mather, " was soon like to be set on fire by the rapid motion of a windmill in the head of this one man."\* The heresy which appeared most grieyous to his brethren, was his zeal for unqualified religious liberty. In the warmth of his charity, he contended for "freedom of conscience, even to Papists and Arminians, with security of civil peace to all," a doctrine that filled the Massachusetts clergy with horror and alarm. "He violently urged," says Cotton Mather, "that the civil magistrate might not punish breaches of the first table of the commandments, which utterly took away from the authority all capacity to prevent the land which they had purchased on purpose for a recess from such things, from becoming such a sink of abominations as would have been the reproach and ruin of Christianity in these parts of the world,"

In addition to these "most disturbant and offensive doctrines," Mather charges him with preaching against the Royal charter of the colony, "on an insignificant

\* Cotton Mather—Magnalia, book vii., in the chapter entitled "Little Fozes. or the spirit of Rigid Separation in one remarkable zealot," &c.

pretence of wrong therein done unto the Indiana." To his fervent zeal for liberty of opinion, this singular man united an equal degree of tenacity to every article of his own narrow creed. He objected to the custom of returning thanks after meat, as, in some manner or other, involving a corruption of primitive and pure worship; he refused to join any of the churches in Boston, unless they would first make a public and selemn declaration of their repentance for having formerly communed with the church of England; and when his doctrines of religious liberty were condemned by the clergy, he wrote to his own church at Salem, " that if they would not separate as well from the churches of New England as of Old, he would separate from them."

All his peculiar opinions, whether true or erroneous, were alike offensive to his puritan brethren, and controversy soon waxed warm. Some logicians, more tolerant or politic than the rest, attempted to reconcile the disputants by a whimsical, and not very intelligible sophism. They approved not, said they, of persecuting men for conscience' sake, but solely for correcting them for sinning against conscience; and so not persecuting, but punishing heretics. Williams was not a man who could be imposed upon by words, or intimidated by threats; and he accordingly persevered in in culcating his doctrines publicly and vehemently. The clergy, after having in vain endeavoured to shake him by argument and remonstrance, at last determined to call in the aid of the civil authority; and the General Court, after due consideration of the case, passed sentence of banishment upon him, or, as they phrased it, "ordered his removal out of the jurisdiction of the court." Some of the men in power had determined that he should be sent to England; but, when they sent to take him, they found that, with his usual spirit of gesolute independence, he had already departed, no one knew whither, accompanied by a few of his people, who, to use their own language, had gone with their beloved pastor "to seek their providences." After some wanderings, he pitched his tent at a place to which he gave the name of Providence, and there became the founder and legislator of the colony of Rhode Island. There he continued to rule, sometimes as the governor, and always as the guide and father of the settlement, for forty-eight years, employing himself in acts of kindness to his former enemies, affording relief to the distressed, and offering an asylum to the persecuted. The government of his colony was formed on his favourite principle, that in matters of faith and worship, every citizen should walk according to the light of his own conscience, without restraint or interference from the civil magistrate. During a visit which Williams made to England, in 1643, for the purpose of procuring a colonial charter, he published a formal and laboured vindication of this doctrine, under the title of "The Bloody Tenet, Or, a Dialogue between Truth and Peace." In this work, written with his usual boldness and decision, he anticipated most of the arguments which, fifty years after, attracted so much attention, when they were brought forward by Locke. His own conduct in power, was in perfect accordance with his speculative opinions; and when, in his old age, the order of his little community was disturbed by an irruption of Quaker preachers, he combated them only in pamphlets and public disputations, and contented himself with overwhelming their doctrines with a torrent of learning, sarcasms, syllogisms, and puns.\*

It should also be remembered, to the honour of Roger Williams, that no one of the early colonists, without excepting William Penn himself, equaled him in justice and benevolence towards the Indians. He laboured incessantly, and with much success, to enlighten and conciliate them, and by this means acquired a personal influence among them, which he had frequently the enviable satisfaction of exerting in behalf of those who had banished him. It is not the least remarkable or characteristic incident of his varied life, that within one year after his exile, and while he was yet hot with controversy, and indignant at his wrongs, his first interference with the affairs of his former colomy was to protect its frontier settlements from an Indian massacre. From that time forward, though he was never permitted to return to Massachusetts, he was frequently employed by the government of that province in negotiations with the Indians, and on other business of the highest importance. Even Cotton Mather, in spite of his steadfast abhorrence of Williams's heresy, seems to have been touched with the magnanimity and kindness of the man; and after having stigmatized him as "the infamous Korah of New England," he confesses, a little reluctantly, that "for the forty years after his exile, he acquitted himself so laudably, that many judicious people judged him to have had the root of the matter in him, during the long winter of his retirement,"

#### WILLIAM PENN AND JOHN LOCKE.

WITH all his goodness and gentleness, the founder of Pennsylvania was not free from that spirit of bitter controversy which prevailed before his arrival in this country, in New England; and the titles of some of his tracts are as quaint and intemperate as those of Mather and Williams, as for example, "A Brief Reply to a Mere Rhapsody of Lies, Folly, and Slander," and "An Answer to a False and Foolish Libel," etc. The great name of Locke, says Verplanck, is associated with that of William Penn, by a double tie; by his celebrated constitution for the Carolinas, which enrols him among the earliest legislators of America, and by one of those anecdotes of private friendship and magnanimity, upon which the mind gladly reposes, after wandering among the cold and dreary generalities of history. During the short period of Penn's influence at the court of James II., he obtained from the king the promise of a pardon for Locke, who had fled to Holland from the persecution of the dominant party. Locke, though grateful to Penn for this unsolicited kindness, replied with a firmness worthy of the man who was destined to become the most formidable adversary of tyranny in all its shapes, "that he could not accept a pardon, when he had not been guilty of any erime." Three years after this occurrence, the Stuarts were driven from the throne of England; Locke then returned in triumph. At the same time, the champions of English liberty, to serve some party object, proclaimed Penn a traitor, without the slightest ground; and all his rights as an Englishman, and his chartered privileges, were shamelessly violated by the very statesmen who had drafted the Act of Toleration and the Bill of Rights. In this season of distress and deser-

\* The title of one of his books against George Fox, and his follower, Burrowes, is " The Fox digged out of his Burrows."

tion, Penn was unexpectedly gratified by the grateful remembrance of Locke, who now, in his turn, inter ceded to procure a pardon from the new sovereign. In the pride of slandered innocence, Penn answered, as Locke had formerly done, "that he had never been guilty of any crime, and could not, therefore, rest satisfied with a mode of liberation which would ever appear as a standing monument of his guilt." The genius of Locke has been described by Dr. Watts, with equal elegance and truth, as being "wide as the sea, calm as the night, bright as the day:" still his mind appears to have been deficient in that practical sagacity which so happily tempered the enthusiasm of William Penn. The code of government and laws which Locke formed for the Carolinas, contained many excellent provisions; but it was embarrassed by numerous and discordant subdivisions of power, was perplexed by some impracticable refinements in the administration of justice, and was, in all respects, unnecessarily artificial and complicated. Nevertheless, it is, remarks Verplanck, a legitimate subject of national pride that we can thus number this virtuous and profound philosopher among those original legislators of this country, who gave to our political character its first impulse and direction.\*

## THE POETRY OF GOVERNOR WOLCOTT.

ROGER WOLCOTT, a major-general at the capture of Louisburg, and afterward governor of Connecticut, published a volume of "Poetical Meditations" at New London, in 1725. His principal work is "A Brief Account of the Agency of the Honourable John Win throp, Esquire, in the Court of King Charles the Second, Anno Domini, 1662, when he obtained a Charter for the Colony of Connecticut." In this he describes a miracle by one of Winthrop's company, on the return voyage.

The winds awhile
Are courteous, and conduct them on their way,
To near the midst of the Atlantic sea,
When suddenly their pleasant gales they change
For dismal storms that o'er the ocean range.
For faithless Æolus, meditating harms,
Breaks up the peace, and priding much in arms,
Unbars the great artillery of heaven,
And at the fatal signal by him given,
The cloudy chariots threatening take the plains:
Drawn by wing'd steeds hard pressing on their reins.
Those vast battalions, in dire aspect raised,
Start from the barriers—night with lightning blased,
Whilst clashing wheels, resounding thunders crack,
Strike mortals deaf, and heavens astonish'd shake.

Here the ship captain, in the midnight watch, Stamps on the deck, and thunders up the hatch; And to the mariners aloud he cries. " Now all from safe recumbency arise: All hands aloft, and stand well to your tack. Engendering storms have clothed the sky with black Big tempests threaten to undo the world: Down topsail, let the mainsail soon be furl'd: Haste to the foresail, there take up a reef; "Tis time, boys, now if ever, to be brief; Aloof for life; let's try to stem the tide, The ship's much water, thus we may not ride: Stand roomer then, let's run before the sea, That so the ship may feel her steerage way; Steady at helm !" Swiftly along she scuds Before the wind, and cuts the foaming suds. Sometimes aloft she lifts her prow so high, As if she'd run her bowsprit through the sky;

\* The leading and nearly all the practicable practice of Locke had been sometime familiar in New-England.

Digitized by GOOGIC

Then from the summit ebbs and hurries down,
As if her way were to the centre shown.

Meanwhile our founders in the cabin sat,
Reflecting on their true and sad estate;
Whilst holy Warham's sacred lips did treat

Whilst holy Warham's sacred lips did treat About God's promises and mercies great. Still more gigantic births spring from the clouds, Which tore the tatter'd cauvass from the shrouds.

And dreadful balls of lightning fill the air, Shot from the hand of the great Thunderer. And now a mighty sea the ship o'ertakes, Which fulling on the deck, the bulk-head breaks:

And now a mighty sea the ship o'ertakes, Which falling on the deck, the bulk-head breaks; The sailors cling to ropes, and frightened cry, "The ship is foundered, we die! we die!"

Those in the cabin heard the sailors screech;
All rise, and reverend Warham do beseech,
That he would now lift up to Heaven a cry
For preservation in extremity.
He with a faith sure bottom'd on the word
Of Him that is of sea and winds the Lord,
His eyes lifts up to Heaven, his hands extends,
And fervent prayers for deliverance sends.
The winds abate, the threatening waves appease,
And a sweet calm sits regent on the seas.
They bless the name of their deliverer,
Who now they found a God that heareth prayer.
Still farther westward on they keep their way,

Still further westward on they seep the Ploughing the pavement of the briny sea, Till the vast ocean they had overpast, And in Connecticut their anchors cast.

In a speech to the king, descriptive of the valley of the Connecticut, Winthrop says—

The grassy banks are like a verdant bed.
With choicest flowers all enameled,
O'er which the winged choristers do fly,
And wound the air with wondrous melody.
Here Philomel, high perch'd upon a thorn,
Sings cheerful hymns to the approaching morn.
The song once set, each bird tunes up his lyre,
Responding heavenly music through the quire.

Responding heavenly music through the quire. . . . . Each plain is bounded at its utmost edge With a long chain of mountains in a ridge, Whose azure tops advance themselves so high, They seem like pendants hanging in the sky.

In an account of King Philip's wars, he tells how the soldier—

met his amorous dame,
Whose eye had often set his heart in flame.
Urged with the motives of her love and fear,
She runs and clasps her arms about her dear,
Where, weeping on his bosom as she lies,
And languishing, on him she sets her eyes,
Till those bright lamps do with her life expire,
And leave him weltering in a double fire.

In the next page he describes the rising of the sun-

By this Aurora doth with gold adorn
The ever beauteous eyelids of the morn;
And burning Titan his exhaustless rays,
Bright in the eastern horizon displays;
Then soon appearing in majestic awe,
Makes all the starry deities withdraw;
Veiling their faces in deep reverence,
Before the throne of his magnificence.

Wolcott retired from public life, after having held many honourable offices, in 1755, and died in May, 1767, in the eighty-ninth year of his age.

ALLEN'S POEM ON THE BOSTON MASSACRE.

We have a thin quarto entitled "The Poem which the Committee of the town of Boston had voted unanimously to be published with the late Oration: with

Observations relating thereto, together with some very pertinent Extracts from an Ingenious Composition never published." It was "printed by E. Russell, a his office near Doctor Gardiner's, in Marlborough street in 1772." The author, whose name was James Allen, appears to have been a Royalist, but on terms of intimacy with the leading Whigs of the city, whom he contrived to keep in ignorance of his real sentiments The poem was written at Dr. Warren's particular request, and when "old Sam Adams," as chairman of the publishing committee, carried to the printer the oration of the Fifth of March, he was instructed to have appear as an appendix to that performance this satire, which it is said was received in committee with great applause. When the proof-sheets were examined, however, one of the members perceived that they had been duped, that the poem "was all a bite"-that if the author was actuated by any principles, they were mischievous-in fine, that he was a strenuous Tory, and influenced alone by a desire to serve the royal cause, as a more close examination of the "ingenious and elegant composition" before them would show. Of course, the committee rescinded the vote to print it, and it was issued by Mr. Russell on his own account, It is in the heroic measure, and rather smoothly versified, but its irony is so apparent that it seems almost incredible that such men as Samuel Adams and Joseph Warren should not have perceived its object at a glance. We quote an apostrophe to the king, from the ninth page:

Stay, Pharaoh, stay, that impious hand forbear, Nor tempt the genius of our souls too far; How oft, Ungracious! in thy thankless stead Mid scenes of death our generous youth have bled! When the proud Gaul thy mightiest powers repell'd, And drove thy legions trembling from the field, We rent the laurel from the victor's brow, And round thy temples taught the wreath to grow. Say, when thy slaughter'd bands the desert dy'd. Where the lone Ohiot rolls her gloomy tide. Whose dreary banks their wasting bones inshrine, What arm avenged them? Thankless! was it thine? But generous Valour scorns a boasting word, And conscious Virtue reaps her own reward! Yet conscious Virtue bids thee now to speak, Though guilty blushes kindle o'er thy cheek. If wasting wars, and painful toils, at length, Had drain'd our veins, and wither'd all our strength, How couldst thou, cruel, form the base design, And round our necks the wreath of bondage twine? And if some lingering spirit roused to strife Bid ruffian Murder drink the dregs of life, Shall future ages e'er forget the deed? And not for this imperious B . . . . . n bleed? When comes that period Heaven predestines must, When Europe's glories shall be whelm'd in dust, When our proud fleets the naval wreath shall wear, And o'er her empires hurl the bolts of war, Unnerved by Fate, the boldest heart shall fail, And mid their guards auxiliar kings grow pale. In vain shall B . . . . n lift her suppliant eye, An alien'd offspring feels no filial tie; Her tears in vain shall bathe the soldiers' feet-Remember, INGRATE! B-st-n's crimson'd street! Whole hecatombe of lives the deed shall pay, And purge the murders of that guilty day.

Alluding to the taking of Louisburg, in 1745, by Gen. Pepperell, with the aid of a Brisish squadron.

† From various metrical compositions written before the Revolution, it appears that the name Ohio was originally pronounced O-ye, as in the text. NATHANIEL WARD—HIS "SIMPLE COBLER OF AGGAWAM."

NATHANIEL WARD was one of the most learned and able, yet eccentric of the nonconformists who came to America. He was the son of a clergyman of the established church, and was graduated at Cambridge, in 1595. After studying the civil law, he traveled on the continent, and studied divinity at Heidelberg, under Pareus, a celebrated Calvinist, whose principles he adopted. He was forbidden to preach on his return to England, and in June, 1634, he came to America, and in the same year was settled as pastor of the church in Ipswich, or Aggawam, near Boston. His health did not long permit him to continue in the pastoral office, and he was employed by the colonial government in various ways for several years. In 1645, he wrote the "Simple Cobler," of which the full title is as follows:

"The Simple Cobler of Aggavvam in America. Willing to help 'mend his Native Country, lamentably tattered, both in the upper-Leather and sole, with all the honest stitches he can take. And as willing never to bee paid for his work, by Old English wonted pay. It is his Trade to patch all the year long, gratis. Therefore I pray Gentlemen keep your purses. By Theodore de la Guard. In rebus arduis at tenui spe, fortissima quaqua consilia tutissima sunt.—Cic. In English,

"When bootes and shoes are torne up to the lefts, Coblers must thrust their awles up to the hefts.

"This no time to feare Apelles gramm: No Sutor quidem ultra crepidam."

This is one of the most curious works written about America; the most quaint and pedantic at a period when quaintness and pedantry were the fashion; and the most violent and enthusiastic of an age when violence and enthusiasm in religious affairs were almost universal. The author's religious opinions, says the North American Review, are on the side of the Commonwealth party, though he professes great loyalty to the King; he shows himself to be a zealous puritan; and with willingness to concede whatever is "indifferent;" he is the stubborn advocate of the most violent intolerance and relentless persecution.

The extracts which we select will give an idea of his principles and style. We quote, in the first place, from that portion of the "Simple Cobler" which treats of religious toleration:

"Here is lately brought us an extract of a Magna Charta, so called, compiled between the Sub-planters of a West-Indian Island; whereof the first Article of constipulation, firmly provides free stable-room and litter for all kinde of consciences, be they never so dirty or jadish; making it actionable, yea, treasonable, to disturbe any man in his Religion, or to discommend it, whatever it be. Wee are very sorry to see such professed profanenesse in English Professors, as industriously to lay their Religious Foundations on the ruine of true Religion; which strictly binds every conscience to contend earnestly for the Truth: to preserve unity of spirit, faith and Ordinances, to be all likeminded, of one accord; every man to take his brother into his Christian care: to stand fast with one spirit, with one mind, striving together for the faith of the Gospel: and by no meanes to permit Heresies or erroneous opinions: But God abhorring such loathsome beverages, hath in his righteous judgement blasted that enterprize, which might otherwise have pros-

pered well, for ought I know; I presume their case is generally knowne ere this.

"If the devill might have his free option, I believe he would ask nothing else, but liberty to enfranchize all false Religious, and to embondage the true; nor should he need: It is much to bee feared, that laxe Tolerations upon State pretences and planting necessities, will be the next subtle Stratagem he will spread, to distate the Truth of God and supplant the peace of the Churches. Tolerations in things tolerable, exquisitely drawn out by the lines of the Scripture, and pensill of the Spirit, are the sacred favours of Truth, the due latitudes of Love, the faire Compartiments of Christian fraternity: but irregular dispensations, dealt forth by the facilities of men, are the frontiers of errour, the redoubts of Schisme, the perillous irritaments of carnall and spirituall enmity.

"My heart hath naturally detested foure things: The standing of the Apocrypha in the Bible; Forrainers dwelling in my Countrey, to crowd our native Subjects into the corners of the Earth; Alchymized coines; Tolerations of divers Religions, or of one Religion in segregant shapes: He that willingly assents to the last, if he examines his heart by day-light, his conscience will tell him, he is either an Atheist, or an Heretique, or an Hypocrite, or at best a captive to some lus. Poly-piety is the greatest impiety in the world. True Religion is Ignis probationis, which doth congregare homogenea & segregare heterogenea.

"Not to tolerate things meerly indifferent to weak consciences, argues a conscience too strong: pressed uniformity in these, causes much disunity: To tolerate more than indifferents, is not to deale indifferently with God; He that doth it, takes his Scepter out of his hand, and bids him stand by. Who hath to doe to institute Religion but God. The power of all Religion and Ordinances, lies in their purity: their purity in their simplicity: then are mixtures pernicious. J lived in a City, where a Papist preached in one Church, a Latheran in another, a Calvinist in a third; a Lutheran one part of the day, a Calvinist the other, in the same pulpit: the Religion of that place was but motly and meagre, their affections Leopardlike.

"If the whole Creature should conspire to doe the Creator a mischiefe, or offer him an insolency, it would be in nothing more, that in erecting untruths against his Truth, or by sophisticating his Truths with humane medleyes; the removing of some one iota in Scripture, may draw out all the life, and traverse all the Truth of the whole Bible; but to authorise an untruth, by a Toleration of State, is to build a Sconce against the walls of heaven, to batter God out of his Chaire: To tell a practicall lye, is a great sin, but yet transient; but to set up a Theoricall untruth, is to warrant every lye that lies from its root to the top of every branch it hath, which are not a few."

Concerning tolerations, he further asserts-

"He that is willing to tolerate any Religion, or discrepant way of Religion, besides his own, unlesse it be in matters meerly indifferent, either doubts of his own, or is not sincere in it.

"He that is willing to tolerate any unsound Opinion, that his own may also be tolerated, though never so sound, will for a need hang Gods Bible at the Devils girdle."

Again he says-

"If the State of England shall either willingly To-

Jerate, or weakly connive at such Courses, the Church of that Kingdom will sooner become the Devills Dancing-Schoole, then Gode-Temple; The Civill State a Beare-garden, then an Exchange: The whole Realme a Pais base, then an England. And what pity it is, that that Country which hath been the Staple of Truth to all Christendome, should now become the Aviary of Errors to the whole World, let every fearing heart judge.

"It is said Opinionists are many, and strong, that de sunt Vires, that it is turbata respublica, I am very sorry for it, but more sorry, if despondency of minde shall cause the least tergiversation in Gods Worthies, who have receiv'd such pledges of his presence in their late Counsels and Conflicts. It is not thousands of Opinionists that can pinion his Everlasting armes, I can hardly believe there is a greater unbeliever then my Selfe, yet I can verily believe that the God of Truth will in a short time scatter them all like smoake before the wind. I confesse I am troubled to see Men so over-troubled about them; I am rather glad to heare the Devill is breaking up house in England, and removing somewhither else, give him leave to sell all his rags, and odde-ends by the out-cry; and let his petty Chapmen make their Market while they may, upon my poore credit it will not last long.

An easie head may soon demonstrate, that the prementioned Planters, by Tolerating all Religions, had immazed themselves in the most intolerable confusions and inextricable thraldomes the world ever heard of. I am perswaded the Devill himselfe was never willing with their proceedings, for feare it would breake his wind and wits to attend such a Province. I speak it seriously according to my meaning. How all Religions should enjoy their Liberty, Justice its due regularity, Civill cohabitation morall honesty, in one and the same Jurisdiction, is beyond the Artique of my comprehension. If the whole conclave of Hell can so compromise exadverse and diametricall contradictions, as to compolitize such a multimonstrous maufrey of heteroclytes and quicquidlibets quietly; I trust I may say with all humble reverence, they can doe more then the Senate of Heaven. My modus loquendi pardoned; I intirely wish much welfare and more wisdom to that Plantation."

How strange to reason, how natural to human nature, that men who had been driven by persecution from their native country, should have in their turn become persecutors, and doom the bodies of those who differ from them to the rack, as well as their souls to eternal perdition.

Cotton Mather says of the "Simple Cobler," that "it demonstrated its author to be a subtile statesman." We give a few paragraphs, in which his political principles are exhibited.—

"Wee heare that Majestas Imperii hath challenged Salus Populi into the field; the one fighting for Prerogatives, the other defending Liberties: Were I a Constable bigge enough, I would set one of them by the heeles to keep both their hands quiet; I meane onely in a paire of Stocks, made of sound Reason, handsomely fitted for the legges of their Understanding.

"If Salus Populi began, surely it was not that Salus Populi which I left in England: that Salus Populi was as mannerly a Salus Populi as need bee: if I bee not much deceived, that Salus Populi suffer'd its nose to

be held to the Grindstone, till it was almost ground to the gristles; and yet grew never the sharper for ought I could discerne; What was, before the world was made, I leave to better Antiquaries than myself; but I thinke, since the world began, it was never storyed that Salus Populi began with Majestas Imperii, unlesse Majestas Imperii first unharbour'd it, and hunted it to a stand, and then it must either turn head and live, or turn taile and dye: but more have benne storyed on the other hand than Majestas Imperii is willing to hear: I doubt not but Majestas Imperii knows, that Commonwealths cost as much the making as Crownes; and if they bee well made, would yet outsell an illfashioned Crown, in any Market overt, even in Smithfield, if they could be well vouched. But Preces & Lackryma, are the peoples weapons: so are Swords and Pistols, when God and Parliaments bid them Arme. Prayers and Teares are good weapons for them that have nothing but knees and eyes; but most men are made with teeth and nailes; onely they must neither scratch for Liberties, nor bite Prerogatives, they have wept and prayed as God would have them. If Subjects must fight for their Kings against other Kingdomes, when their Kings will; I know no reason, but they may fight against their Kings for their own Kingdomes, when Parliaments say they may and must: but Parliaments must not say they must, till God sayes they may."

His address to the King, towards whom he was very bitter, is bold and insulting, though he professes great loyalty and reverence. The following is one of the concluding paragraphs of the address.

"Sir you may now please to discover your Selfe where you please; I trust I have not indangered you I presume your Eare-guard will keep farre enough from you what ever I have said: be it so, I have discharged my duty, let them look to theirs. If my tongue should reach your eares, which I little hope for; Let it be once said; the great King of great Britaine, tooke advise of a simple Cobler, yet such a Cobler, as will not exchange either his blood or his pride, with any Shoomaker or Tanner in your Realme, nor with any of your late Bishops which have flattered you thus in peeces: J would not speake thus in the ears of the world, through the mouth of the Presse for all the plunder your plunderers have pillaged; were it not somewhat to abate your Royall indignation toward a loyall Subject; a Subject whose heart hath beene long carbona doed, des veniam verbo, in flames of affection towards you. Your Majesty knows or may know, time was, when I did, or would have done you a better peece of service, then all your Troopes and Regiments are now doing. Should J hear any Gentleman that follows you of my yeares, say hee loves you better than I, if it were lawfull, I would sweare by my Sword, he said more than his sword would make good."

The Simple Cobler had a pious horror of bedecked ladies, and discourses of them in the following quaint manner:

"Should I not keepe promise in speaking a little to Womens fashions, they would take it unkindly; I was hath to pester better matter with such stuffe; I rather thought it meet to let them stand by themselves, like the Que Genus in the Grammar, being Deficients, or Redundants, not to be brought under any Rule: I shall therefore make bold for this once, to borrow a little of their loose tongued Liberty, and mispend a word or

two upon their long-wasted, but short-skirted patience: a little use of my stirrup will doe no harme.

"Ridentem dicere verum, quid prohibet?
"Gray Gravity it selfe can well beteam,
That Language be adapted to the Theme.
He that to Parrots speaks, must parrotise:
He that instructs a foole, may act th' unwise.

'It is known more then enough, that I am neither Nigard, nor Cinick, to the due bravery of the true Gentry: if any man mislikes a bully mong drossock more then I, let him take her for his labour: I honour the woman that can honour her selfe with her attire: a good Text alwayes deserves a fair Margent: I am not much offended if I see a trimme, far trimmer than she that wears it: in a word, whatever Christianity or Civility will allow, I can afford with London measure: but when I heare a nugiperous Gentledame inquire what dress the Queen is in this week: what the nudiustertian fashion of the Court: I meane the very newest: with egge to be in it in all haste, what ever it be; I look at her as the very gizzard of a trifle, the product of a quarter of a cypher, the epitome of nothing, fitter to be kickt, if shee were of a kickable substance, than either honour'd or humour'd.

"To speak moderately, I truly confesse, it is beyond the ken of my understanding to conceive, how those women should have any true grace, or valuable vertue, that have so little wit, as to disfigure themselves with such exotick garbes, as not only dismantles their native lovely lustre, but transclouts them into gant bargeese, ill-shapen-shotten-shell-fish, Egyptian Hyeroglyphicks, or at the best into French flurts of the pastery, which a proper English woman should scorne with her bacels: it is no marvell they weare drailes on the hinder part of their heads, having nothing as it seems in the fore-part, but a few Squirrils brains to help them frisk from ill-favor'd fashion to another.

"These whimm' Crown'd shees, these fashion-fansying wits,

Are empty thin brain'd shells, and fiddling Kits.

"The very troublers and impoverishers of mankind, I can hardly forbear to commend to the world a saying of a Lady living sometime with the Queen of Bokemia, I know not where shee found it, but it is pitty it should be lost.

"The World is full of care, much like unto a bubble; Wemen and care, and care and women, and women and care and trouble.

"The Verses are even enough for such odde pegma's. I can make my selfe sicke at any time, with comparing the dazling splender wherewith our Gentlewomen were embellished in some former habits, with the gutfoundred goosdom, wherewith they are now surcingled and debauched. Wee have about five or six of them in our Colony: if I see any of them accidentally, I cannot cleanse my phansie of them for a moneth after. I have been a solitary widdower almost twelve yeares, purposed lately to make a step over to my Native Country for a yoke-fellow: but when I consider how women there have tripe-wifed themselves with their cladments, I have no heart to the voyage, least their nauseous shapes and the Sea, should work too sorely upon my stomach. I speak sadly; me thinkes it should breake the heartes of Englishmen to see so many goodly English-wemen imprisoned in French Cages, peer-

ing out of their hood-holes for some men of mercy to help them with a little wit, and no body relieves them.

"It is a more common then convenient saying, that nine Taylors make a man: it were well if nineteene could make a woman to her minde: if Taylors were men indeed, well furnished but with meer morall principles, they would disdain to be led about like Apes, by such mymick Marmosets. It is a most unworthy thing, for men that have bones in them, to spend their lives in making fidle-cases for futilous womens phansies; which are the very pettitoes of infirmity, the gyblets of perquisquilian toyes. I am so charitable to think, that most of that mystery would worke the cheerfuller while they live, if they might bee well discharged of the tyring slavery of mis-tyring women: it is no little labour to be continually putting up English-women into Out-landish caskes: who if they be not shifted anew, once in a few moneths, grow too sowre for their Husbands. What this Trade will answer for themselves when God shall take measure of Taylors consciences is beyond my skill to imagine. There was a time when

"The joyning of the Red-Rose with the White, Did set our State into a Damask plight.

"But now our Roses are turned to Flore de lices, our Carnations to Tulips, our Gilliflowers to Dayzes, our City-Dames, to an indenominable Quemalry of overturcas'd things. Hee that makes Coates for the Moone, had need take measure every noone; and he that makes for women, as often, to keepe them from Lunacy.

"I have often heard divers Ladies vent loud feminine complaints of the wearisome varieties and chargable changes of fashions: I marvell themselves preferre not a Bill of redresse. I would\* Essex Ladies would lead the Chore, for the honour of their County and persons; or rather the thrice honourable Ladies of the Court, whom it best beseemes: who may well presume of a Le Roy le veult from our sober King, a Les Seigneurs out Assentus from our prudent Peers, and the like Assentus from our considerate, I dare not say wife-worne Commons: who I believe had much rather passe one such Bill, than pay so many Taylora Bills as they are forced to doe.

"Most deare and unparallel'd Ladies, be pleased to attempt it: as you have the precellency of the women of the world for beauty and feature; so assume the honour to give, and not take Law from any, in matter of attire: if ye can transact so faire a motion among yourselves unanimously, I dare say, they that most renite, will least repent. What greater honour can your Honors desire, then to build a Promontory president to all foraigne Ladies, to deserve so eminently at the hands of all the English Gentry present and to come. and to confute the opinion of all the wise men in the world, who never thought it possible for women to doe as good a work?

"If any man think I have spoken rather merrily than seriously he is much mistaken, I have written what I write with all the indignation I can, and no more than I ought. I confesse I veer'd my tongue to this kinde of Language de industria though unwillingly, suppo-

\* All the Counties and shires of England have had wars in them since the Conquest, but Essex, which is onely free, and should be thankfull.

sing those I speak to are uncapable of grave and rationall arguments.

"I desire all Ladies and Gentlewomen to understand that all this while I intend not such as through necessary modesty to avoyd morose singularity, follow fashions slowly, a flight shot or two off, shewing by their moderation, that they rather draw countermont with their hearts, then put on by their examples.

"I point my pen only against the light-heel'd beagles that lead the chase so fast, that they run all civility out of breath, against these Ape-headed pullets, which invent Antiquo foole-fangles, meerly for fashion and aovelty sake.

'In a word, if I begin once to declaime against fashions, let men and women look well about them, there is somewhat in the husinesse; I confesse to the world, I never had grace enough to be strict in that kinde; and of late years, I have found syrrope of pride very wholesome in a due Dos, which makes mee keep such store of that drugge by me, that if any body comes to me for a question-full or two about fashions, they never complain of me for giving them hard measure, or under-weight.

"But I addresse my self to those who can both hear and mend all if they please: I seriously feare, if the pious Parliament doe not finde a time to state fashions, as ancient Parliaments have done in some part, God will hardly finde a time to state Religion or Peace. They are the surquedryes of pride, the wantonnesse of idlenesse, provoking sins, the certain prodromies of assured judgement, Zeph. 1, 7, 8,

"It is beyond all account, how many Gentlemens and Citizens estates are deplumed by their feather-headed wives, what usefull supplies the pannage of England would afford other Countries, what rich returnes to it selfe, if it were not slic'd out into male and female fripperies: and what a multitude of misimplov'd hands. might be better improv'd in some more manly Manufactures for the publique weale; it is not easily credible, what may be said of the preterpluralities of Taylors in London: I have heard an honest man say, that not long since there were numbered between Templebarre and Charing-Crosse, eight thousand of that Trade: let it be conjectured by that proportion how many there are in and about London, and in all England, they will appeare to be very numerous. If the Parliament would please to mend women, which their Husbands dare not doe, there need not so many men to make and mend as there are. I hope the present doleful estate of the Realme, will perswade more strongly to some considerate course herein, than I now can.

Knew I how to bring it in, I would speak a word to long haire, whereof I will say no more but this: if God proves not such a Barbor to it as he threatens, unlesse it be amended, Esa. 7. 20. before the Peace of the State and Church be well setled, then let my prophesie be scorned, as a sound minde scorns the ryot of that sin, and more it needs not. If those who are tearmed Rattle-heads and Impuritans, would take up a Resolution to begin in moderation of haire, to the just reproach of those that are called Puritans and Round-heads, I would honour their manlinesse, as much as the others godlinesse, so long as I knew what man or honour meant: if neither can find a Barbours shop, let them turne in, to Paul, 68. 21. Jer. 7. 29. 1 Cor. 11. 14. if it be thought no wisdome in men to distinguish themselves in the field by the Scissers, let it bee thought no injustice in God, not to distinguish them by the Sword. I had rather God should know me by my sobriety, than mine enemy not know me by my vanity. He is ill kept, that is kept by his owne sin. A short promise is a farre safer guard than a long lock: it is an ill distinction which God is loth to looke at, and his Angels cannot know his Saints by. Though it be not the mark of the Beast, yet it may be the mark of a beast prepared to slaughter. I am sure men use not to weare such manes; I am also sure Souldiers use to weare other marklets or notadoes in time of battell."

The following is a remarkable proof of the purity of manners in the early state of the Colony.

"I would my skill would serve also, as well as my heart, to translate Prince Rupert, for his Queen-mothers sake, Eliz: a second. Mismeane me not. I have had him in mine armes when he was younger, I wish I had him there now: if I mistake not, hee promised then to be a good Prince, but I doubt he hath forgot it: if I thought he would not be angry with me, I would pray hard to his Maker, to make him a right Roundhead, a wise-hearted Palatine, a thankfull man to the English; to forgive all his sinnes, and at length to save his soule, nothwithstanding all his God-damne mee's: yet I may doe him wrong, I am not certaine hee useth that oath; I wish no man else would. I dare say the Devills dare not. I thank God I have lived in a Colony of many thousand English almost these twelve yeares, am held a very sociable man; yet I may considerately say, I never heard but one Oath sworne, nor never saw one man drunke, nor ever heard of three women Adulteresses, in all this time, that I can call to minde: If these sinnes bee amongst us privily, the Lord heale us, I would not bee understood to boast of our innocency; there is no cause I should, our bearts may be bad enough, and our lives much better."

One extract from the conclusion of the book, is selected as a favourable specimen of his style, and another to show to what horrible cruelty religious intolerance impels those, who have the power to persecute.

"Goe on brave Englishmen, in the name of God, go on prosperously, because of Truth and Righteousness: Yee that have the Cause of Religion, the life of your Kingdome and of all the good that is in it in your hands: Goe on undauntedly: As you are Called and Chosen, so be faithfull: Yee fight the battells of the Lord, bee neither desidious nor perfidious: You serve the King of Kings, who stiles you his heavenly Regiments: Consider well, what impregnable fighting it is in heaven, where the Lord of Hosts is your Generall. his Angells, your Colonells, the Stars, your fellowsouldiers, his Saints, your Oratours, his Promises, your victuallers, his' Truth, your Trenches; where Drums are Harps, Trumpets joyful sounds; your Ensignes, Christs Banners; where your weapons and armour are spirituall, therefore irresistable, therefore impiercable; where Sunne and wind cannot disadvantage you, you are above them, where hell it selfe cannot hurt you, where your swords are furbushed and sharpened, by him that made their metall, where your wounds, are bound up with the oyle of a good Cause, where your blood runnes into the veynes of Christ, where sudden death is present martyrdome and life; your funeralls resurrections; your honour, glory; where your widows and babes are received into perpetuall pensions; your names listed among Davids Worthies; where your

greatest losses are greatest gaines; and where you leave the troubles of warre, to lye downe in downy beds of eternall rest.

"What good will it doe you, deare Countrymen, to live without lives, to enjoy England without the God of England, your Kingdome without a Parliament, your Parliament without power, your Liberties without stability, your Lawes without Justice, your honours without vertue, your beings without tranquility, your wives without honesty, your children without morality, your servants without civility, your lands without propriety, your goods without immunity, the Gospel without salvation, your Churches without Ministery, your Ministers without piety, and all you have or can have, with mere teares and bitternesse of heart, than all you have and shall have will sweeten or wipe away?

"Goe on therefore Renowned Gentlemen, fall on resolvedly, till your hands cleave to your swords, your swords to your enemics hearts, your hearts to victory, your victories to triumph, your triumphs to the everlesting praise of him that hath given you Spirits to offer your selves willingly, and to jeopard your lives in high perills, for his Name and service sake.

"And Wee your Brethren, though we necessarily abide beyond Jondan, and remaine on the American Sea-coasts, will send up Armies of prayers to the Throne of Grace, that the God of power and goodnesse, would incourage your hearts, cover your heads, strengthen your arms, pardon your sinnes, save your soules, and blesse your families, in the day of Battell. Wee will also pray, that the same Lord of Hosts. would discover the Counsells, defeat the Enterprizes, deride the hopes, disdaine the insolencies, and wound the hairy scalpes of your obstinate Enemies, and yet pardon all that are unwillingly misled. Wee will likewise helpe you to beleeve that God will be seene on the Mount, that it is all one with him, to save by many or few, and that he doth but humble and try you for the present, that he may doe you good at the latter end. All which hee bring to passe who is able to doe exceeding abundantly, above all we can aske or thinke, for his Truth and mercy sake in Jesus Christ, Amen. Amen."

"A Word of Ireland: Not of the Nution universally, nor of any man is it, that hath so much as one hairs of Christianity or Illumanity growing on his head or board, but onely of the truculent Cut-throats, and such as shall take up Armee in their Defence.

"These Irish anciently called Anthropophagi, maneaters: Have a Tradition among them, That when the Devill shewed our Saviour all the kingdomes of the Earth and their glory, that he would not shew him Ireland, but reserved it for himself: it is probably true, for he hath kept it ever since for his own peculiar; the old Fox foresaw it would eclipse the glory of all the rest: he thought it wisdome to keep it for a Boggards for himself, and all his unclean spirits imployed in this Hemisphere, and the people, to doe his Son and Heire, I mean the Pope, that service for which Lewis the eleventh kept his Barber Oliver, which makes them so blood-thirsty. They are the very Offall of men, Dregges of Mankind, Reproach of Christendome, the Bots that crawle on the Beasts taile, J wonder Rome it self is not ashamed of them.

"J begge upon my hands and knees, that the Expedition against them may be undertaken while the hearts and hands of our Souldiery are hot, to whom J will be bold to say briefly: Happy is he that shall reward them as they have served us, and Cursed be he that shall do that work of the Lord negligently, Cursed be he that holdeth back his Sword from blood; yea, Cursed be he that maketh not his Sword starke drunk with Irish blood, that doth not recompence them double for their hellish treachery to the English, that maketh them not heaps upon heaps, and their Country a dwelling place for Dragons, an Astonishment to Nations: Let not that eye look for pity, nor that hand to be spared, that pities or spares them, and let him be accursed, that curseth not them bitterly."

The conclusion of the Cobler is in verse-

"I pray let me drive in half a dozen plaine honest Country Hobnailes, such as the Martyrs were wont to weare; to make my work hold the surer; and I have done.

- There, lives cannot be good, There, Faith cannot be sure, Where Truth cannot be quiet, Nor Ordinances pure.
- No King can King it right, Nor rightly sway his Rod;
   Who truely loves not Christ, And truely fears not God.
- He cannot rule a Land,
   As Lands should ruled been,
   That lets himself be rul'd
   By a ruling Romane Queen.
- No earthly man can be True Subject to this State;
   Who makes the Pope his Christ, An Heretique his Mate.
- There Peace will goe to War, And Silence make a noise:
   Where upper things will not With nether equipoyse.
- The upper world shall Rule,
   While Stars will run their race,
   The nether world obey,
   While People keep their place.

THE CLENCE.

If any of these come out

So long's the world doe last:

Then credit not a word

Of what is said and past.

So farewell England old .

If evill times ensue,
Let good men come to us,
Wee'l welcome them to New.

And farewell Honor'd Friends,
If happy dayes ensue,
You'l have some Guests from hence,
Pray welcome us to you.

And farewell simple world,
If thou'lt thy Cranium mend,
There is my Last and All,
And a Shoem-Akers

END.

## POSTSCRIPT.

This honest Cobler has done what he might:
That Statesmen in their Shoes might walk upright.
But rotten Shoes of Spannish running-leather:
No Coblers skill, can stitch them strong together.
It were best to cast such rotten stuff away:
And look for that, that never will decay.
If all were shod with Gospel's lasting Feace;
Hatred abroad, and Wars at home would cease.

Digitized by GOOGLE

## FRENEAU-RIVINGTON, ETC.

PHILIP FRENEAU was the most distinguished poet of our revolutionary time. He was a voluminous writer, and many of his compositions are intrinsically worthless, or, relating to persons and events now forgotten, are no longer interesting; but enough remain to show that he had more genius and more enthusiasm than any other bard whose powers were called into action during the great struggle for liberty.

He was of French extraction. His father, an ardent and intelligent Huguenot, came to America immediately after the revocation of the edict of Nantz, in company with a number of Protestant gentlemen, who on their arrival founded the old church of Saint Esprit, in New York, and afterward, I believe, the pleasant village of New Rochelle, near that city. The poet was born on the fifteenth of January, in the year 1752. His father died while he was yet a child, but his mother attended carefully to his education, and he entered Nassau Hall at Princeton, in 1767, so far advanced in classical studies, that the president of the college made his proficiency the subject of a congratulatory letter to one of his relatives. His room-mate and most devoted friend here was James Madison, and among his classmates were many others who in after time became eminent as legislators or scholars. He was graduated when mineteen years of age, and soon after removed to Philadelphia, where he was for several years on terms of familiar intimacy with the well known Francis Hopkinson, with whom he was associated as a political writer.

He began to compose verses at an early period, and, before leaving Princeton, had formed the plan of an epic poem on the life and discoveries of Columbus, of which his "Address to Ferdinand" is probably a fragment. After his removal to Philadelphia his attention was devoted to politics, and his poetical writings related principally to public characters and events. His satires on Hugh Gaine, James Rivington, and other prominent Tories, were remarkably popular in their time, though deserving of little praise for their chasteness or elegance of diction; and his patriotic songs and ballads, which are superior to any metrical compositions then written in this country, were everywhere sung with enthusiasm.

Rivington was editor and proprietor of "Rivington's New York Gazetteer, or The Connecticut Enquirer, and Quebec Weekly Advertiser." This paper was estabtished in the early part of 1773, and excelled all others in America in its devotion to the royal government, until the autumn of 1775, when a company of armed men from Connecticut entered the city, broke into the printing house, threw the types into heaps, and destroyed the press. Soon after this Rivington went to England, where he was supplied with new printing materials, and received a commission as King's Printer for the colony. When the British gained possession of the city, he returned and recommenced the publication of his paper, under the title of "Rivington's New York Loyal Gazette." No editors of the present age are comparable with Rivington for servility or mendacity. Even the Tories were wont to call his paper "The Lying Gazette," and he several times publicly apologized for the "mistakes" which "his zeal for the success of his Majesty's arms, his sanguine wishes for the good of his country, and his friendship for individuals," caused him to commit. The following epigram

was written by Freneau on observing that the title of the Gazette had become nearly illegible.

Says Satan to Jemmy, "I hold you a bet That you mean to abandon our Royal Gazette, Or, between you and me, you would manage things better Than the title to print on so sneaking a letter.

"Now being connected so long in the art, It would not be prudent at present to part; And people, perhaps, would be frighten'd, and fret If the devil alone carried on the Gazette."

Says Jemmy to Satan (by way of a wipe,)
"Who gives me the matter should furnish the type;
And why you find fault, I can scarcely divine,
For the types, like the printer, are certainly thine.

"T is thine to deceive with the semblance of truth, Thou friend of my age, and thou guide of my youth? But, to prosper, pray send me some further supplies, A set of new types, and a set of new lies."

Soon afterward he wrote the following-

ON MR. RIVINGTON'S NEWLY ENGRAVED KING'S ARMS.

To his Royal Gazetts.

From the regions of night, with his head in a sack, Ascended a person accoutred in black, And upward directing his circular eye-whites; (Like the jure-divine political Levites) And leaning his elbow on Rivington's shelf, While the printer was busy, thus mused with himself: "My mandates are fully complied with at last, New ARMS are engraved, and new letters are cast; I therefore determine and freely accord. This servant of mine shall receive his reward." Then turning about, to the printer he said, "Who late was my servant shall now be my sid; Since under my banners so bravely you fight, Kneel down-for your merits I dub you a known. From a passive subaltern I bid you to rise The inventor, as well as the PRINTER OF LIES."

At the close of the war, Rivington discarded the signs of royalty, and modified the title of his paper so that it appeared as "Rivington's Gazette and Universal Advertiser." From the Whigs, however, it received no support, and in 1783 its publication was abandoned. With all its faults, it was the most ably edited and most neatly printed newspaper in America, and if the Whigs would have accepted his service, Rivington would have argued and lied as industriously for them as he had previously for the Tories. Among Freneau's satires is the following, written a few weeks before the publication of the last number of the Gazette.

# RIVINGTON'S CONFESSIONS. Addressed to the Whigs of New York.

Long life and low spirits were never my choice, As long as I live I intend to rejoice; When life is worn out, and no wine's to be had, 'I' is time enough then to be serious and sad.

'T is time enough then to reflect and repent,
When our liquor is gone, and our money is spent,
But I cannot endure what is practised by some
This anticipating of mischleft to come:

A debt must be paid, I am sorry to say, Alike in their turns by the grave and the gay, And due to a despot that none can deceive, Who grants us no respite and signs no reprieve.

Thrice happy is be that from care can retreat, And its plagues and vexations put under his feet; Blow the storm as it may, he is always in trim, And the sun's in the senith for ever to him.

Since the world, then, in earnest, is nothing but care, (And the world will allow I have also my share) Yet, tose'd as I am in the stormy expanse, The best way, I find, is to leave it to chance.

Look round, if you please, and survey the wide ball, And CHARCE, you will find, has direction of all: "I was owing to chance that I first saw the light, And chance may destroy me before it is night!

"T was a chance, a mere chance, that your arms gain'd the day,

T was a chance that the Britons so soon went away, To chance by their leaders the nation is cast, And chance to perdition will send them at last.

Now because I remain when the puppies are gone, You would willingly see me hang'd, quarter'd, and drawn, Though I think I have logic sufficient to prove That the chance of my stay—is a proof of my love.

For deeds of destruction some hundreds are ripe, But the worst of my foes are your lads of the type: Because they have nothing to put on their shelves. They are striving to make me as poor as themselves.

There's Loudon, and Kollock, those strong bulls of Bashan, Are striving to Asok me away from my station, And Holt, all at once, is as wonderful great As if none but himself was to print for the State.

Ye all are convinced I'd a right to expect
That a sinner returning you would not reject—
Quite sick of the scarlet and slaves of the throne,
T is now at your option to make me your own.

Suppose I had gone with the Tories and rabble, To starve or be drown'd on the shoats of cape & bla, I had suffer'd, 'tis true—but I'il have you to know, You nothing had gain'd by my trouble and wo.

You say that with grief and dejection of heart I pack'd up my awls, with a view to depart, That my shelves were dismantled, my cellars unstored, My boxes affoat, and my hampers on board:

And hence you infer (I am sure without reason)
That a right you possess to entangle my weason—
Yet your barns I ne'er burnt, nor your blood have I spilt,
And my terror alone was no proof of my guilt.

The charge may be true—for I found it in vara To lean on a staff that was broken in twafn, And ere I had gone at Port Roseway to fix, I had chose to sell drams on the south side of Styx.

I confess, that with shame and contrition oppress'd, I sign'd an agreement to go with the rest, But ere they weigh'd anchor to sail her last trip, I saw they were vermin, and gave them the slip:

Now why you should call me the worst man alive, On the word of a convert, I cannot contrive, Though turn'd a plain, honest republican, still You own me no procelyte, do what I will.

My paper is alter'd—good people, don't fret; I call it no longer the ROYAL GAZETTE, To me a great monarch has lost all his charms, I have pull'd down his LION, and trampled his ARMS.

While fate was propitious, I thought they might stand, (You know I was zealous for George's command) But since he disgraced it, and left us behind, If I thought him an angel—I 've ajter'd my mind.

On the very same day that his army went hence 1 ceased to tell lies for the sake of his pence: And what was the reason?—the true one is best— I worship no suns when they hang to the west

In this I resemble a Turk or a Moor, Bright Phobus accending, I prostrate adore: And, therefore, excuse me for printing some lays, An ode or a sonnet is Wash ngton's praise. His prudence and caution has saved your dominions, This chief of all chiefs, and the pride of Virginians i And when he is gone—I pronounce it with pain— We scarcely shall meet with his equal again.

The gods for that hero did trouble prepare,
But gave him a mind that could feed upon care,
They gave him a spirit, serene but severe,
Above all disorder, confusion, and fear;
In him it was fortune where others would fail:
He was born for the tempest, and weather'd the gale.

Old Plato asserted that life is a dream, And man but a shadow, a cloud or a stream; By which it is plain he intended to say That man, like a shadow, must vanish away;

If this be the fact, in relation to man,
And if each one is striving to get what he can,
I hope while I live, you will all think it best,
To allow me to bustle along with the rest.

A view of my life, though some parts might be solema, Would make, on the whole, a ridiculous volume: In the life that 's hereafter (to speak with submission) I hope I shall publish a better edition:

Even swine you permit to subsise 'a the street;— You pity a dog that lies down to be beat— Then forget what is past, for the year's at a close— And men of my age have some need of repose.

But as to the Tories that yet may remain,
They scarcely need give you a moment of pain;
What dare they attempt when their masters have fied;
—When the soul is departed who wars with the dead?

On the waves of the Styx had they rode quarantine, They could not have look'd more infernally lean Than the day, when repenting, dismay'd and distress'd, Like the doves to their windows, they fiew to their nest.

Poor souls! for the love of the king and his nation They have had their full quantum of mortification; Wherever they fought, or whatever they won, The dream's at an end—the delusion is done.

The TEMPLE you raised was so wonderful large Not one of them thought you could answer the charge, It seem'd a mere castle constructed of vapour, Surrounded with gibbets, and founded on PAPER.

On the basis of freedom you built it too strong! And CARLETON confess'd, when you held it so long. That if any thing human the fabric could shatter, The ROYAL GARTTE must accomplish the matter.

An engine like that, in such bands as my own Had shaken king Cudoss himself from his throne, In another rebellion had ruin'd the Scot, While the Pope and Pretender had both gone to pot.

If you stood my attacks, I have nothing to say— I fought, like the Swiss, for the sake of my pay; But while I was proving your fabric unsound. Our vessel miss'd size, and we all went aground.

Thus ended in ruin what madness begun, And thus was our nation disgraced and undone, Renown'd as we were, and the lords of the deep, If our outset was folly, our exit was sleep.

A dominion like THIS, that some millions had cost!— The king might have wept when he saw it was lost;— This jewel—whose value I cannot describe: This pearl—that wes ricker than all his Dutch tribe.

When the war came upon us, you very well knew
My income was small and my riches were few...
If your money was scarce, and your prospects were bad,
Why hinder me printing for people that had?

 The negro king in Jamaica; whom the English declared Independent in 1739.

"T would have pleased you, no doubt, had I gone with a few sets

Of books, to exist in your cold Massachusetts; Or to wander at Newark with ill-fated Hoon, Not a shirt to my back, nor a sole to my shoe:

Now, if we mistook (as we did, it is plain)
Our error was owing to wicked Hugh Gaike,
For he gave such accounts of your starving and strife
As proved that his pictures were drawn from the life.

The part that I acted by some men of sense Was wrongfully held to be malice prepense, When to all the world else it was perfectly plain, One principle ruled me—a passion for gain.

You pretend I have suffer'd no Joss in the cause, And have, therefore, no right to partake of your laws:— Some people love talking—I find to my cost, I too am a loser—my PENSION is lost!

Nay, did not your printers repeatedly stoop To descant and reflect on my rorrange sour? At me have your porcupines darted the quill, You have plunder'd my Office and published my Will.

Resolved upon mischief, you held it no crime To steal my Reflections, and print them in rhyme, When all the town knew (and a number confess'd) That papers, like these, were no cause of arrest.

You never considered my struggles and strife; That my lot is to toil and to worry through life; My windows you broke—not a pane did you spare— And my house you have made a mere old man of war,

And still you insist I 've no right to complain !— Indeed if I do, I'm aftaid it 's in vain— Yet am willing to hope you 're too learnedly read To hang up a printer for being misied.

If this be your aim, I must think of a flight— In less than a month I must bid you good night, And hurry away to that whelp-ridden shore Where CLIFTON and CARLETON refreated before,

From signs in the sky, and from tokens on land, I'm inclined to suspect my departure's at hand: Old Argo\* the ship,—in a peep at her star, I found they were scraping her bottom for TAR:

For many nights past, as the house can attest, A boy with a feather-bed troubled my rest: My shop, the last evening, seem'd all in a blaze, And a nex crow'd at midnight, my waiting-man says;

Even then, as I lay with strange whims in my head, A ghost hove in sight, not a yard from my bed, It seem'd General Roszarson, brawly array'd, But I grasp'd at the substance, and found him a shade!

He appear'd as of old, when head of the throng, And loaded with laurels, he waddled along— He seem'd at the foot of my bedstead to stand, And cried—"Jamie Rivington, reach me your hand;

"And Jamie, (said he) I am sorry to find Some demon advised you to loiter behind; The country is hostile—you had better get off it, Here's nothing but squabbles, all plague, and no profit!

'Since the day that Sir William came here with his throng He managed things so, that they always went wrong; And though for his knighthood, he kept Meschianza, I think he was nothing but mere Sancho Panza;

"That famous conductor of mornlight retreats, Bir Harry came next with his armies and floets, But finding, 'the Robels were dying and dead,' He grounded his arms and retreated—to bed.

 $^{\bullet}$  A southern constellation consisting of twenty-four stars.

"Other luck we had once at the battle of Boyne!
But kers they have ruin'd earl Charles and Burgoyne,
Here brave colone! Monchion was thrown on his back,
And here lies poor Andre! the best of the pack."

So saying, he flitted away in a trice, Just adding, "he hoped I would take his advice"— Which I surely shall do, if you push me too hard— And so I remain, with eternal regard.

JAMES RIVINGTON, Printer, of late to the king, But now a republican—under your wing— Let him stand where he is—don't push him down hill. And he 'll turn a true Blue-Shis, or just what you will.—

Another of his pasquinades is entitled:

RIVINGTON'S LAST WILL AND TESTAMENT.
Since life is uncertain, and no one can say
How soon we may go, or how long we shall stay,
Methinks he is wisest who soonest prepares,
And settles, in season, his wordly affairs:

Some folks are so weak they can scarce avoid crying, And think when they 're making their wills they are dying! 'T is surely a serious employment—but stil, Who e'er died the sooner for making his will?

Let others be sad, when their lives they review, But I know whom I've served— and Aim faithfully too; And though it may seem a fanatical story, He often has show'd me a glimpse of his glory.

INFRIMES, my carcase I give and devise
To be made into cakes of a moderate size,
To nourish those Tories whose spirits may droop,
And serve the king's army with portable soup.

Unless I mistake, in the scriptures we read That "worms on the dead shall deliciously feed," The scripture stands true—and that I am firm in, For what are our Tories and soldiers but vermin?—

This soup of all soups can't be call'd that of beef, (And this may to some be a matter of grief;) But I am certain the Bull would occasion a laugh, That beef portable soup should be made of a Call.

To the king, my dear master, I give a full set (In volumes bound up) of the ROYAL GAZETTE, In which he will find the vast records contain'd Of provinces conquer'd, and victories gain'd.

As to Arrold, the traitor, and Satan his brother, I beg they will also accept of another; And this shall be bound in Morocco red leather, Provided they'll read it, like brothers together.

But if Arnold should die, 't is another affair, Then Batan, surviving, shall be the sole heir; He often has told me he thought it quite clever So to him and his heirs I bequeath is for ever.

I know there are some (that would fain be thought wise a Who say my Gazette is a record of lies;
In answer to this, I shall only reply—
All the choice that I had was, to starve or to lie,

My fiddles, my flutes, French horns and guitara,\*
I leave to our HEROES, now weary of wars—
To the wars of the stage they more boldly advance,
The captains shall play, and the soldlers shall dance.†

To Sir Henry Clinten, his use and behoof, I leave my French brandy, of very good proof; It will give him fresh spirits for battle and slaughter, And make him feel believ by land and by water:

\* The articles of bequest in this poem were incessant p advertised in the Royal Gasette, and puffed off with a deaterity peculiar to the editor of that paper.

† It became fashionable at this period with the British officers to assume the business of the Drama; to the no small mortification of those who had been holding them up as the undoubted conquerors of North America.

Yet I caution the knight, for fear he do wrong,
'T is event la visade, et apres le poisson\*—
It will strengthen his stomach, prevent it from turning,
And digest the affront of his efficy—burning.

To Baron KNYPHAUSEN, his heirs and assigns, I bequeath my old Hock, and my Burgundy wines, Fo a true Hessian drunkard, no liquors are sweeter, And I know the old man is no foe to the erseture.

To a ceneral, my namesake,† I give and dispose Of a purse full of clipp'd, #gkt, seested half-joes; I hereby desire him to take back his trash, And return me my Harray's infallible wass.

My chessmen and tables, and other such chattels, I give to Cornwallis, tremendous in battles: By moving of these (not tracing the map) He 'll explain to the king how he got in the TRAP.

To good DAVID MATTHEWS (among other slops)
I give my whole cargo of Maredani's drops,
If they cannot do all, they may cure him in part,
And scatter the poison that cankers his heart:

Provided, however, and nevertheless,
That what other estate I enjoy and possess
At the time of my death (if it be not then sold)
Shall remain to the Tories, TO HAVE AND TO HOLD.

As I thus have bequeathed them both carcass and fleece, The least they can do is to wait my decease; But to give them what substance I have, ere I die, And be eat up with vermin, while living—not I—

In witness whereof (though no ailment I feel) Hereunto I set both my hand and my seal; (As the law says) in presence of witnesse twain, 'Squire John Coghill Eney, and brother High Geins.

Freneau enjoyed the friendship of Adams, Franklin, Jefferson, Madison, and Monroe, and the last three were his constant correspondents while they lived. I have before me two letters, one written by Jefferson and the other by Madison, in which he is commended to certain citizens of New York, for his extensive information, sound discretion, and general high character, as a candidate for the editorship of a journal which it was intended to establish in that city. His application appears to have been unsuccessful: probably because the project was abandoned.

As a reward for the ability and patriotism he had displayed during the war, Mr. Jesserson gave him a place in the Department of State; but his public employment being of too sedentary a description for a man of his ardent temperament, he soon relinquished it to conduct in Philadelphia a paper entitled "The Freeman's Journal." He was the only editor who remained at his post, during the prevalence of the yellow fever in that city, in the summer of 1791. The "Journal" was unprofitable, and he gave it up, in 1793, to take the command of a merchant-ship, in which he made several voyages to Madeira, the West Indies, and other places. His naval ballads and other poems relating to the sea, written in this period, are among the most spirited and carefully finished of his productions.

Of the remainder of his history I have been able to learn but little. In 1810 he resided in Philadelphia, and he subsequently removed to Mount Pleasant, in New Jersey. He died, very suddenly, near Freehold, in that state, on the eighteenth day of December, 1832, in the eightieth year of his age.

The first collection of Frenesu's poems was published

\* Before flesh and after fish. See R. Gez. † Gen James Robertson.

in 1786; a second edition appeared in a closely printed octavo volume at Monmouth, in New Jersey, in 1795; and a third, in two duodecimo volumes, in Philadelphia, in 1809. The last is entitled "Poems written and published during the American Revolutionary War, and now republished from the original Manuscripts, interspersed with Translations from the Ancients, and other Pieces not heretofore in Print." In 1788 he published in Philadelphia his "Miscellaneous Works, containing Essays and additional Poems," and, in 1814, "A Collection of Poems on American Affairs, and a Variety of other Subjects, chiefly Moral and Political, written between 1797 and 1815." His house at Mount Pleasant was destroyed by fire, in 1815 or 1816, and in some of his letters he laments the loss, by that misfortune, of some of his best poems, which had never been printed.

SATTRICAL DRAMATIC, AND OTHER POEMS ON PUBLIC AFFAIRS WRITTEN DURING THE REVO-LUTION.

DOUBTLESS the cleverest satire written during the Revolution was Trumbull's McFingal. The first part of it was written in the spring of 1774, immediately printed in Philadelphia, where the Congress was then in session, and soon after republished in numerous editions in different parts of this country and in England, It was not finished until 1782, when it was assued complete in three cantos at Hartford, to which place Trumbull had removed in the preceding year. "McFingal" is in the Hudibrastic vein, and much the best imitation of the great satire of Butler that has been written. The hero is a Scottish justice of the peace residing in the vicinity of Boston at the beginning of the Revo lution, and the first two cantos are principally occupied with a discussion between him and one Honorius on the course of the British government, in which McFingal, an unyielding loyalist, endeavours to make proselytes, while all his arguments are directed against himself. His zeal and his logic are together irresistibly ludicrous, but there is nothing in the character unnatural, as it is common for men who read more than they think, or attempt to discuss questions they do not understand, to use arguments which refute the positions they wish to defend. The meeting ends with a riot, in which-McFingal is seized, tried by the mob, convicted of violent toryism, and tarred and feathered. On being set at liberty, he assembles his friends around him in his cellar, and harangues them until they are dispersed by the Whigs, when he escapes to Boston, and the poem closes. These are all the important incidents of the story, yet it is never tedious, and few commence reading it who do not follow it to the end and regret its termination. Throughout the three cantos the wit is never separated from the character of the hero.

"The Battle of Bunker Hill, a Dramatic Piece in: Five Acts," was published by Robert Bell, in Philadelphia, in 1776. The author was a native of Maryland, educated at Nassau Hall College, Princeton, and for civilities received during his student-life from the Hon. Richard Stockton, dedicated his play to that gentleman. The "Lieutenant Colonel of the Continental Army" who wrote the prologue was probably Humphries, of Connecticut. The piece, though much praised when first published, possesses little merit. Some of the characters—especially Gage and Burgoyne—are, however, well enough drawn, and the style, for the time, is chaste and harmonious. The fourth act opens with

the following soliloquy by the British Commander in Chief--

GAGE, solus.—Oh sweet tranquillity and peace of soul. That in the bosom of the cottager Tak'st up thy residence, cannot the beams Of royal sunshine call thee to my breast? Fair honour waits on thee, renown abroad, And high dominion o'er this continent, Soon as the spirit of rebellious war Is scourged into obedience. Why, then, ye gods, This inward gnawing and remorse of thought For perfidy and breach of promises? Why should the spouse or weeping infant babe, Or meek-eyed virgin with her sallow cheek-The rose, by famine, wither'd out of it-Or why the father or his youthful son By me detain'd from all their relatives. And in low dungeons and in jails chain'd down Affect my spirit when the mighty cause Of George and Britain is endanger'd? For nobly struggling in the cause of kings, We claim the high, the just prerogative To rule mankind, and with an iron rod Exact submission, due, though absolute. What though they style me villain, murderer, And imprecate from beaven dire thunderbolts To crush my purposes?.....Was that a gun Which thunders o'er the wave? Or is it guilt That plays the coward with my trembling heart, And cools the blood with frightful images? Oh, guilt! thy blackness hovers on the mind. Nor can the morning dissipate thy shades You ruddy morn which over Bunker Hill Advancing slowly, blushes to the bay, And tips with gold the spires of Charles's-town,

Burgoyne and Howe then enter with intelligence of the operations of Gardiner and his companions on Bunker Hill. "Sir Jack," as he is styled in some of the ballads of the time, uses the ambitious phrase of the sophomore, garnishing all his speeches with classical allusions and high sounding words. "You hear," he says—

You hear the sound
Of spades and pickaxes upon the Hill—
Incessant pounding, like old Vulcan's forge,
Urged by the Cyclops.

Gage, left once more alone, exclaims-

May heaven protect us from their rage, I say.

When but a boy, I dream'd of death, in bed,
And ever since that time I hated things

Which put him, like a pair of spectacles,
Before my eyes. The thought lies deep in fate,
Nor can a mortal see the bottom of it.

'T is here—'t is there—I could philosophise—

Eternity is like a winding-sheet—

The seven commandments like—I think there 's seven—I scratch my head—but yet in vain I scratch—
Oh Bute and Dartmouth, knew ye what I feel
You sure would pity an old drinking man,
That has more heartache than philosophy.

In the next scene Howe, addressing the soldiers, urges them by an exhibition of their ancient bravery to out down the "foul rebellion"—

. Which spurns that love—
That fond maternal tenderness of soul
Which on this dreary coast first planted them;
Restrain'd the rage of murdering savages
Who, with fierce inroad on their settlements,
Made frequent war; struck down the arm of France,
Just raised to crush them in their infancy;
And since that time has bade their cities grow
To mans of trade; call'd fair-eyed commerce forth

To share dominion on the distant wave, And visit every clime and foreign shore. Yet this, brave soldiers, is the proud return For the best blood of England, shed for them.

In the last scene but one, endeavouring to rally his forces after a second repulse from the Hill, he evclaims—

But that so many mouths can witness it, I would deny myself an Englishman, And swear this day that with such cowardice No kindred or alliance has my birth. Oh base, degenerate souls, whose ancestors At Cressy, Poictiers, and at Agincourt, With tenfold numbers combated, and pluck'd The budding laurels from the brows of France-Back to the charge once more! and rather die Burn'd up or wither'd on this bloody hill, Than live the blemish of your country's fame, With everlasting infamy oppress'd.

The part acted by General Putnam in this battle has recently been a subject of some controversy, and Mr. Bancroft, among others, has endeavoured to deprive the veteran of the laurels he had worn so worthily for seventy years. Our author, writing but a few menths after the battle, and, doubtless, familiar with all the published accounts of it, would not have been likely to make him one of the most prominent actors in the American camp, if he had not been present, as is now contended. While leading a last assault upon the British, Putnam says to his followers—

Swift rising fame on early wing mounts up To the convexity of bending Heaven, And writes their names who fought with us this day In fairest characters amidst the stars.

And Clinton, giving an account of the day to a brether officer, says---

Their left wing gave way, And with their shatter'd infantsy the whole, Drawn off by Putnam, to the causeway fied.

We have room but for the titles of the principal works of this description. In 1774 were published in Philadelphia, besides "McFingal," "The Association, &c. of the Delegates of the Grand Congress, versified and adapted to music, calculated for grave and gay dispositions," etc; "A Dialogue between a Southern Delegate and his Spouse, on his return from the Grand Continential Congress: Inscribed to the Married Ladies of America;" "Dominion lost in America by the British: an Humble Imitation of the History of Happiness lost in Heaven by the Devils, as recorded by Milton;" "The Fall of British Tyranny, or American Liberty Triumphant, a tragi-comedy;" and several others. In Boston appeared "A Poem on the Enemy's Coming to Boston;" "Nebuchadnezzar's Dream;" "The Group, a Farce, as lately acted and reacted to the Wonder of all Superior Intelligences," &c. At Danvers, near Boston, was published "America Invincible, a poem in Ten Books, by an Officer of Rank in the Continental Army," and in various places many other small vo lumes in the elegiac or satirical vein, few of which are remarkable for any other quality than their "patriotism." But the best of all, as we have elsewhere remarked, were the satires of Freneau. His "Life of Hugh Gaine," "British Prison Ship," "Gage " Soliloquy," "The Midnight Consultations," and other pieces, were read every where and approved by people of all classes

MINSTRELSY OF THE INDIAN WARS AND THE REVOLUTION.

Permettes que je fasse les chansons d'un peuple, et il fera les lois qui le veut, remarked, in substance, some shrewd Frenchman; and that he rated not too high the power of song is shown by numerous instances in both ancient and modern history. It has been lamented that we have in America no martial lyrics comparable to those of the older nations. Holmes exclaims in one of his admirable poems—

When Gallia's flag its triple fold displays, Her marshaled legions peal the Marsellaise; When round the German close the war-clouds dim, Far through their shadows floats his battle hymn; When, crown'd with joy the camps of England ring, A thousand voices shout "God save the King!" When victory follows with our engle's glance, Our nation's authem is a country desco."

But the martisl song belongs to more warlike countries. France, Germany and England are vast fortified districts, echoing forever the din of conflict or the notes of military preparation; while America is the resting-place of peace, whence her influence is to irradiate the world. Or, if a different destiny awaits her, there is little danger but that—

When the roused nation bids her armies form, And screams her eagle through the gathering storm, When from our ports the bannered frigate rides, Her black bows scowling to the crested tides,

Some proud muse

Will rend the silence of our tented plains, And bid the nations tremble at her strains.

The puritan settlers of New England, while carrying on war against the Indian tribes, deemed it right to spend the hours their enemies devoted to profane dances and incantations, in singing verses, half military and nail religious; and their actions in the field were celebrated in ballads which lacked none of the spirit and fidelity of the songs of the old bards, however deficient they may have been in metrical array and sentiment. "Lovewell's Fight," "The Gallant Church," "Smith's Affair at Sidelong Hill," and "The Godless French soldier," are among the best lyrical compositions of the early period in which they were written, and are not without value as historical records. Lovewell's Fight took place near the present town of Frveburg, in Maine, on the margin of a small lake since called Lovewell's Pond, in 1725. The following ballad is said to have been written in the same year, and was for a long time well known throughout the country:

LOVEWELL'S PIGHT.

Of worthy Captain Lovewell, I purpose now to sing, How valiantly he served His country and his king: He and his valiant soldiers Did range the woods full wide, And hardships they endured To quell the Indian's pride. T was nigh unto Pigwacket, Upon the eighth of May, They spied a rebel Indian Soon after break of day; He on a bank was walking, Upon a neck of land, Which leads into a pond, as We're made to understand.

 The popular air of "Yankee Doodle," like the dagger of Judibras, serves a pacific as well as a martial purpose. Our men resolved to have him, And travel'd two miles round, Until they met the Indian, Who boldly stood his ground; Then speaks up Captain Leossell, "Take you good heed," says he; "This rugue is to decoy us, I very plainly see.

"The Indians lie in ambush,
In some place nigh at hand,
In order to surround us
Upon this neck of land:
Therefore we'll march in order,
And each man leave his pack,
That we may briskly fight them
When they shall us attack."

They came unto this Indian,
Who did them thus defy;
As soon as they were nigh him,
Two guns he did let fly,
Which wounded Captain Lovewell,
And likewise one man more;
But while this rogue was running,
They laid him in his gore.

Then having scalped the Indian,
They went back to the spot,
Where they had laid their packs down,
But there they found them not;
For the Indians having spied them,
When they them down did lay,
Did seize them for their plunder,
And carry them away.

These rebels lay in ambush,
This very place hard by,
So that an English soldier
Did one of them espy,
And cried out, "Here's an Indian!"
With which they started out,
As fiercely as old lions,
And hideously did shout.

With that our valiant English All gave a loud hussa, To show the rebel Indians They feared them not a straw; And now the fight beginning, As flercely as could be, The Indians ran up to them, But soon were forced to fice.

Thus out spake Captain Lovevell, When first the fight began, "Fight on, my valiant heroes! You see they fall like rain." For, as we are informed, The Indians were so thick, A man could scarcely fire a gun, And some of them not hit.

Then they all their best did try
Our soldiers to surround,
But they could not accomplish it,
Because there was a pond,
To which our men retreated,
And, cover'd all the rear,—
The rogues were forced to fice them,
Although they skulk'd for fear.

Two logs there were behind them,
That close together lay,
Without being discover'd,
They could not get away;
Therefore our valiant English
They travel'd in a row,
And at a handsome distance,
As they were wont to go

'Twas ten o'clock in the morning When first the fight begun, And fiercely it continued Until the set of the sun; Excepting that the Indians, Some hours before 't was night, Drew off into the bushes And ceased awhile to fight:

But soon again returned, In fierce and furious mood, Shouting as in the morning, But yet not half so loud; For, as we are informed, So thick and fast they fell, Scarce twenty of their number At night did get home well.

Also our valiant English
Till midnight there did stay,
To see whether the Indians
Would have another fray;
But they no more returning,
They made off towards their home
And brought away their wounded
As far as they could come.

Of all our valiant English
There were but thirty-four,
And of the rebel Indians
There were about fourscore;
And sixteen of our English
Did safely home return;
The rest were killed and wounded,
For which we all must mourn.

Our worthy Captain Lococell
Among them there did die;
They kill'd Lieutenant Robbins,
And wounded good young Frys,
Who was our English chaplain;
He many Indians slew,
And some of them he scalpèd
When bullets round him fiew.

Young Fullem too I'll mention, Because he fought so well; Endeavouring to save a man, A sacrifice he fell, And yet our valiant Englishmen In fight were ne'er dismay'd, Eut still they kept their motion,

And Wyman captain made;
Who shot the old chief Paugus,
Which did the foe defeat,
Then set his men in order,
And brought off the retreat;
And braving many dangers
And hardships in the way,
They safe arrived at Dunstable,

The thirteenth day of May.

At the commencement of the Revolution, Barlow, Trumbull, Dwight, Humphreys, and other "Connecticut wits," employed their leisure in writing patriotic songs for the soldiers and the people, "which," says a life of Putnam, "had great effect through the country." "I do not know," wrote Barlow on entering the army, "whether I shall do more for the cause in the capacity of chaplain, than I could in that of poet; I have great faith in the influence of songs; and I shall continue, while fulfilling the duties of my appointment, to write one now and then, and to encourage the taste for them which I find in the camp. One good song is worth a dozen addresses or proclamations." The great song-writer of the Revolution, however, was Freneau, whose pieces were everywhere sung with enthusiasm.

He was a keen satirist, and wrote with remarkable facility; but his lyrics were often profane and vulgar while those written in New England, on account of their style and cast of thought, were stigmatized by the celebrated Parson Peters as "pealms and hymns adapted to the tastes of Yankee rebela." The follow ing is a characteristic specimen:—

WAR SONG.—Written in 1776.
Hark, hark, the sound of war is heard,
And we must all attend;
Take up our arms and go with speed
Our country to defead.

Our parent state has turn'd our foe, Which fills our land with pain; Her gallant ships manned out for war Come thundering o'er the main.

There's Carleton, Howe, and Clinton toe, And many thousands more, May cross the sea, but all in vain; Our rights we'll ne'er give o'er.

Our pleasant land they do invade, Our property devour; And all because we won't submit To their despotic power.

Then let us go against our foes, We'd better die than yield; We and our sons are all undone If Britain win the field.

Tories may dream of future joys, But I am bold to say, They'll find themselves bound fast in chains If Britain wins the day.

Husbands must leave their loving wives And sprightly youths attend, Leave their sweethearts and risk their lives Their country to defend.

May they be heroes in the field, Have heroes' fame in store; We pray the Lord to be their shield Where thundering cannons roar.

The oldest of the revolutionary lyrics we shall presen is the "Patriot's Appeal," printed in the Pennsylvania Chronicle, at Philadelphia, on the 4th of July, just eight years before the Declaration of Independence. We copy it from a ballad sheet, dated in 1775.

## THE PATRIOT'S APPEAL

Come join hand and hand brave Americans all, Awake through the land at fair Liberty's call; No tyrannous acts shall suppress your just claim, Or stain with dishonour America's name! In freedom we're born, in freedom we'll live:

In freedom we're born, in freedom we'll live; Our purses are ready—

Steady, frienda, steady !— Not as slaves, but as freemen, our money we il give !

Our worthy forefathers (let's give them a cheer')
To climates unknown did courageously steer;
Through oceans to deserts for freedom they came,
And, dying, bequeathed us their freedom and fame!
In freedom, etc.

Their generous bosoms all dangers despised, So highly, so wisely, their birthrights they prised; What they gave let us cherish and piously keep, Nor frustrate their toils on the land or the deep. In freedom, etc.

The tree their own hands had to liberty rear'd,
They lived to behold growing strong and revered.
With transport they cried, "Now our wishes we gain,
For our children shall gether the fruits of our pain."
In freedom, etc.

How sweet are the labours that freemen endure, Of which they enjoy all the profits secure! No longer such toils shall Americans know, If Britons may reap what Americans sow! In freedom, etc.

Swarms of placemen and pensioners e'en now appear Like locusts deforming the charms of the year! Suns vainly will rise and showers vainly descend, If we are to drudge for what others may spend. In freedom, etc.

Then join hand and hand, brave Americans all, By uniting we stand, by dividing we fall; In so righteous a cause we may hope to succeed, For Heaven approves every generous deed. In freedom, etc.

All ages and nations shall speak with applause
Of the courage we show in support of our cause,
To die we can bear, but to serve we disdain,
For shame is to freemen more dreadful than pain.
In freedom, etc.

A bumper to Freedom! and as for the king,\*
When he does deserve it his praises we'll sing!
We wish Britain's glory immortal may be,
If she is but just and we are but free!
In freedom we're born, in freedom we'll live,
Our purses are ready—
Steady, boys, steady!—

Our money as freemen, not slaves, we will give!

The following specimen of the much ridiculed "Yankee Psalms" is said to have been written by Joel Barlow. It was published first in 1775, and afterward frequently reprinted:

## THE BURNING OF CHARLESTOWN.

Palmira's prospect, with her tumbling walls, Huge piles of ruin heap'd on every side, Prom each beholder, tears of pity calls, Sad monuments, extending far and wide.

Yet far more dismal to the patriot's eye,
The drear remains of Charlestown's former show.
Behind whose walls did hundred warriors die,
And Britain's centre felt the fatal blow.

To see a town so elegantly form'd, Buch buildings, graced with every curious art, Spoild in a moment, on a sudden storm'd, Must fill with indignation every heart.

But when we find the reasons of her fats
To be but trifling—trifling did I say?
For being noble! daring to be great,
Nor calmly yielding to tyrannic sway!

To see the relics of that once famed place, Pointing to Heaven as 't were in ardent cry, By lawless power robb'd of every grace, Yet calling bolts of vengeance from on high;—

To find, I say, such dealings with mankind, To see those royal robbers planted near Those glorious buildings, turning into wind, And loath to mingle with the common air:—

And such chastisement coming from a state
Who calls herself our Parent, Nurse and Friend—
Must rouse each soul that's noble, frank and great,
And urge us on our lives and all to spend!

Oh! spot once graceful; but, alas! no more; Till signs shall end, and time itself shall cease, Thy name shall live, and on fame's pinion soar To mark grim blackness on Great Britain's fact.

\* In the copies of this song printed during the Revolution the last stanza is altered. In the Pennsylvania Chronicle, which we have examined, it is printed—

This bumper I crown for our sovereign's health, And this for Britannia's glory and wealth, etc.

Nor shall the blood of heroes, on the plain, Who nobly fell that day in Freedom's cause, Lie unrevenged, though with thy thousands slain, Whilst there's a king who fears nor minds thy laws.

Shall Cain, who madly spilt his brother's blood, Receive such curses from the God of all? Is not that Sovercign still as just and good To hear the cries of children when they call?

Yes, there's a God whose laws are still the same, Whose years are endless, and whose power is great; He is our God: Jehovsh is his name;

With him we trust our sore oppressed state.

When he shall rise, (oh, Britain, dread the day,

Nor can I stretch the period of thy fate;)
What heart of steel, what tyrant then shall sway
A throne that's sinking by oppression's weight?

Thy crimes, oh North, shall then like spectres stand, Nor Charlestown hindmost in the ghastly roll, And faithless Gage, who gave the dread command, Shall find dire tormente graw upon his soul.

Yea, in this world, we trust those ills so dread, Which fill the nation with such matchless woes, Shall fall with double vengeance on thy head, Nor 'scape those minions which thy court compose,

General Warren was a song writer as well as an orator, but his verses, though very popular at the commencement of the Revolution, have less merit than his reputation as a man of cultivated taste would lead us to anticipate. The following song was probably written near the close of his life:

#### FREE AMERICA.

That seat of science, Athens,
And earth's proud mistress, Rome;
Where now are all their glories?
We scarce can find their tomb.
Then guard your rights, Americans,
Nor stoop to lawless sway;
Oppose, oppose, oppose,
Por North America.

We led fair Freedom hither,
And lo, the desert smiled!
A paradise of pleasure
Was open'd in the wild!
Your harvest, bold Americans,
No power shall snatch away!
Huzza, huzza, huzza,
For free America.

Torn from a world of tyrants,
Beneath this western sky,
We form'd a new dominion,
A land of liberty;
The world shall own we're masters here;
Then hasten on the day:
Huzza, huzza, huzza, huzza,
For free America.

Lift up your hands, ye heroes,
And swear with proud disdain,
The wretch that would ensnare you,
Shall lay his snares in vain;
Should Europe empty all her force,
We'll meet her in array,
And fight and shout, and shout and fight
For North America.

Some future day shall crown us
The masters of the main;
Our fleet shall speak in thunder
To England, France, and Spain;
And the nations over the ocean spread
Shall tremble and obey
The sons, the sons, the sons,
Of brave America.

Soon after the passage of the stamp act many patriotic lyrics appeared in various parts of the country, one of the best of which is the following, by Doctor Prime, of New York, the author of "Muscipula sive Cambromyomachia," a satire, and of several other poems of considerable merit.

A SONG FOR THE SONS OF LIBERTY

In story we're told, How our fathers of old

Braved the rage of the wind and the waves;

And cross'd the deep o'er,

To this desolate shore,

All because they were loath to be slaves, brave boys ! All because they were loath to be slaves.

> Yet a strange scheme of late, Has been form'd in the state.

By a knot of political knaves;

Who in secret rejoice,

That the Parliament's voice

Has resolved that we all shall be slaves, brave boys! etc.

But if we should obey,

This vile statute the way

To more base future slavery paves: Nor in spite of our pain,

Must we ever complain,

If we tamely submit to be slaves, brave boys! etc.

Counteract, then, we must A decree so unjust,

Which our wise constitution depraves;

And all nature conspires.

To approve our desires,

For she cautions us not to be slaves, brave boys! etc.

As the sun's lucid ray To all nations gives day,

And a world from obscurity saves;

So all happy and free,

George's subjects should be,

The Americans must not be slaves, brave boys! etc.

Heaven only controls

The great deep as it rolls,

And the tide which our country laves

Emphatical roars

This advice to our shores, O, Americans! never be slaves, brave boys! etc.

Hark! the wind, as it flies,

Though o'erruled by the skies,

While it each meaner obstacle braves,

Seems to say, "Be like me,

Always loyally free,

But ah! never consent to be slaves," brave boys! etc.

To our monarch, we know,

Due allegiance we owe,

Who the sceptre so rightfully waves;

But no sovereign we own, But fie king on his throne,

And we cannot, to subjects, be slaves, brave boys! etc.

Though foois stupidly tell, That we mean to rebel,

Yet all each American craves,

Is but to be free.

As we surely must be,

For we never were born to be slaves, brave boys! etc.

But whoever, in spite

At American right.

Like insolent Haman behaves;

Or would wish to grow great On the spoils of the state,

May he and his children be slaves, brave boys! etc.

Though against the repeal. With intemperate zeal,

Proud Granville so brutishly raves;

Yet our conduct shall show, And our enemies know. That Americans scorn to be slaves, brave coys

With the beasts of the wood. We will ramble for food

We will lodge in wild deserts and caves; And live poor as Job,

On the skirts of the globe, Before we'll submit to be slaves, brave boys! etc

> The birth-right we hold Shall never be sold,

But sacred maintain'd to our graves, And before we'll comply,

We will gallantly die,

For we must not, we will not be slaves, brave boys! For we must not, we will not be slaves!

We have copies of four metrical accounts of the destruction of the tea in Boston Harbour, two of which appear to have been written since the close of the war. We give one of the oldest, which was sung to the tune of "The Hosier's Ghost."

#### BALLAD OF THE TEA PARTY.

As near beauteous Boston lying On the gently swelling flood, Without jack or pennant flying,

Three ill-fated tea-ships rode; Just as glorious Sol was setting, On the wharf a numerous crew,

Bons of Freedom, fear forgetting, Suddenly appear'd in view.

Arm'd with hammers, axes, chisels, Weapons new for warlike deed,

Toward the tax'd-tea-freighted vess They came boldly and with speed.

O'er their heads in lofty mid-sky, Three bright angel forms were seen, This was Hampden, that was Sidney, With fair Liberty between.

"Soon," they cried, "your foes you'll banish, Soon the triumph will be won,

Scarce the setting sun shall vanish

Ere the glorious deed is done!" Quick as thought the ships were boarded,

Hatches burst and chests display'd; Axes, hammers, help afforded,

What a crash that eve was made!

Deep into the sea descended Cursed weed of China's coast;

Thus at once our fears were ended!-

British rights shall ne'er be lost!

Captains, once more hoist your streamers, Spread your sails and plough the wave, Tell your masters they were dreamers

When they thought to chest the brave!

One of the most ingenious poets of our revolutionary era was Dr. J. M. Sewall, of New Hampshire. He translated the works of Ossian, which were then attracting much attention, into English verse, and wrote numerous songs, odes, elegies, and dramatic pieces. His epilogue to Addison's Cato, beginning,

We see mankind the same in every age,

is still familiar, from having been incorporated into two or three books of reading lessons for the schools. in a time when it was thought to be of some consequence that works of that description should inculcate patriotic sentiments. The most famous of his productions, however, was "War and Washington," written soon after the battle of Lexington, and sung with enthusiasm, in all parts of the country, until the

Digitized by GOOGIC

close of the Revolution. It has been too often printed to be regarded now as a curiosity, and we therefore quote from it but a few verses.

Vain Britons boast no longer, with proud indignity,
Of all your conquering legions, or of your strength at sea,
As we, your braver sons, incensed, our arms have girded on,
Huzza, huzza, huzza, for War and Washington!
Still deaf to mild entreaties, still blind to England's good,
They have, for thirty pieces, betray'd their country's blood.
Like Esop's greedy cur they'll gain a shadow for their bone,
Yet find us fearful shades indeed, inspired by Washington!
Mysterions! unexampled! incomprehensible!

The blundering schemes of Britain, her folly, pride and seal.

Like lions how they grow! and threat, like asses blunder on!

Yet vain are all their efforts still, against our Washington!

Great God! is this the nation, whose arms so oft were

Through Europe, Afric, India? whose Navy ruled a world!
The lustre of her former deeds, whole ages of renown,
Lost in a moment, or transferr'd, to us and Washington!
Should George, too choice of Britons, to foreign realms

And madly arm half Europe, yet still we would defy Turk, Hessian, Jew or Infidel, or all those powers in one, While Adams guides our senate, our army Washington!

We have not room to copy, in extenso, more of those songs which served no less than the most eloquent orations of the time to kindle the patriotic enthusiasm of our fathers, in the first years of the struggle for independence; and after giving specimen verses of one or two others, will pass to the more strictly historical ballads. We may as well here remark that the orthography and rhythmical construction of many of the old songs and ballads varies in the different editions the earliest usually being most correct—and that we have copied from the least inharmonious and corrupt, sometimes giving one verse from one and another verse from another impression of the same production. The following stanzas are from "The Rallying Song." written soon after the friendly disposition of the government of the unfortunate Louis XIV., was made known in this country.

Freedom's sons who wish to shine
Bright in future story,
Haste to arms and join the line
Marching on to glory.

Leave the scythe and seise the sword,
Brave the worst of dangers!

Freedom is the only word—

We to fear are strangers.

From your mountains quick advance
Hearts of oak and iron arms—
Lo! the cheering sounds from France
Spread amid the foe alarms!
Leave the scythe and seize the sword,
Brave the worst of dangers!

Freedom is the only word—
Come and join the Rangers!

From "The Green Mountain Boys' Song," composed, apparently, in the early part of the contest, we have space for the chorus only. Though less postical than some others, the entire production is animated in sentiment and smoothly versified. We have no clue to its authorship, though, like "The Rallying Song, "The American Riffeman," and many other lyrics of the same description, it appears to have been written in Vermont.

Then draw the trusty blade, my boys, And fling the sheath awayBlow high, blow low, come wear, come wo, Strike for America! Strike for America, my boys, Strike for America! Come weal, come wo, blow high, blow low, Strike for America!

We have discovered but one ballad relating to the Battle of Trenton, and that was probably written a year or two after the event.

BATTLE OF TRENTON.

On Christmas day in '76,
Our ragged troops with bayonets fix'd,
For Trenton marched away.
The Delaware see! the boats below!
The light obscured by hail and snow!

But no signs of dismay.

Our object was the Hessian band,
That dared invade fair Freedom's land,
And quarter in that place.

Great Washington he led us on,
Whose streaming flag, in storm or sum
Had never known disgrace.

In silent march we pass'd the night,
Each soldier panting for the fight,
Though quite benumb'd with frost.
Greene, on the left, at six began,
The right was led by Bullivan,
Who ne'er a moment lost.
Their pickets storm'd, the alarm was spread,
That rebels risen from the dead
Were marching into town.

Some scamper'd here, some scamper'd there, And some for action did prepare, But soon their arms laid down.

Twelve hundred servile miscreants, With all their colours, guns and tents, Were trophies of the day. The frolic o'er, the bright canteen, In centre, front, and rear was seen

Driving fatigue away.

Now, brothers of the patriot bands,
Let's sing deliverance from the hands

Of arbitrary sway.

And as our life is but a span,
Let's touch the tankard while we can,
In memory of that day.

Burgoyne, more frequently than any other British officer, was the butt of the continental wits. His verses were parodied, his amours celebrated in songs of the mess-table, and his boasts and the weaker points in his nature caricatured in ballads and petite comedies. We obtained a manuscript copy of the song from which the following verses are quoted, from an octogenarian Vermonter who, with the feeble frame, shrill voice and silvered locks of eighty-seven, would give the echoing chorus with as much enthusiasm as when he joined in it with his camp-companions more than half a century ago.

THE PROGRESS OF SIR JACK BRAG.

Said Burgoyne to his men, as they pass'd in review,
Tullalo, tullalo, tullalo, boys!

These rebels their course very quickly will rue,
And fly as the leaves 'fore the autumn tempest flew,
When him who is your leader they know, boys!

They with men have now to deal,
And we soon will make them feel—
Tullalo, tullalo, tullalo, boys!

That a loyal Briton's arm and a loyal Briton's steel
Can put to flight a rebel as quick as other foe, boys!

Tullalo, tullalo, tullalo—
Tullalo, tullalo, tullalo—
Tullalo, tullalo, tullalo—
O-O-O, boys!

As to Sa-ra-tog' he came, thinking how to je the game. Tuliaio, tuliaio, tuliaio, boys!

He began to see the grubs, in the branches of his fame, He began to have the trembles lest a flash should be the flame For which he had agreed his perfume to forego, boys!

No lack of skill, but fates, Shall make us yield to Gates, Tulialo, tulialo, tulialo, boys!

The devils may have leagued, as you know, with the States. But we never will be beat by any mortal foe, boys! Tulialo, tulialo, tulialo-

Tullaio, tuliaio, tulialo-o-o-o, boys !

We believe the "Progress of Sir Jack Brag" has never been printed. The only clue to its authorship with which we are acquainted is the signature, "G. of H." It was probably written soon after the defeat of its hero at Saratoga. Another ballad on the same subject is entitled-

THE FATE OF JOHN SURGOYNE.

When Jack the king's commander Was going to his duty, Through all the crowd he smiled and bow'd To every blooming beauty.

The city rung with feats he'd done In Portugal and Flanders. And all the town thought he'd be crown'd The first of Alexanders.

To Hampton Court he first repairs To kiss great George's hand, sirs; Then to harangue on state affairs Before he left the land, sirs.

The "Lower House" sat mute as mouse To bear his grand oration; And "all the peers," with loudest cheers, Proclaimed him to the nation.

Then off he went to Canada. Next to Ticonderoga, And quitting those away he goes Straightway to Saratoga.

With great parade his march he made To gain his wished-for station. While far and wide his minions hied To spread his "Proclamation."

To such as staid he offers made Of "perdon on submission; But savage bands should waste the lands Of all in opposition."

But ah, the cruel fates of war! This boasted son of Britain, When mounting his triumphal car With sudden fear was smitten.

The sons of Freedom gathered round, His hostile bands confounded. And when they'd fain have turn'd their back They found themselves surrounded!

In vain they fought, in vain they fled, Their chief, humane and tender. To save the rest soon thought it best His forces to surrender.

Brave St. Clair when he first retired Knew what the fates portended; And Arnold and heroic Gates His conduct have defended.

Thus may America's brave sons With honour be rewarded. And be the fate of all her foce The same as here recorded.

The "North Campaign" was written by a private of Colonel Brooks's regiment. It was for a long period sung throughout New England; but we believe it has never until now been printed.

#### THE NORTH CAMPAIGN.

Come unto me ye heroes, Whose hearts are true and bold. Who value more your honour Than others do their gold; Give ear unto my story And I the truth will tell Concerning many a soldier, Who for his country fell.

Burgoyne, the king's or From Canada set sail With full eight thousand reglars, He thought he could not fail; With Indians and Canadians, And his cursed Tory crew, On board his fleet of shipping He up the Champlain .lew. Before Ticonderoga, The first day of July, Appear'd his ships and army, And we did them espy.

Their motions we observed Full well both night and day. And our brave boys prepared To have a bloody fray.

Our garrison they viewed them As straight their troops did land, And when St. Clair, our chieftain. The fact did understand That they the Mount Defiance Were bent to fortify, He found we must surrender, Or else prepare to die.

The fifth day of July, then, He order'd a retreat, And when next morn we started, Burgoyne thought we were beat. And, closely he pursued us, Till when near Hubbardton, Our rear guards were defeated, He thought the country won. And when 't was told in Congress,

That we our forts had left, To Albany retreated, Of all the North bereft. Brave General Gates they sent us, Our fortunes to retrieve, And him with shouts of gladness The army did receive.

Where first the Mohawk's waters Do in the sunshine play, For Herkimer's brave soldiers Sellinger\* ambush'd lay ; And them he there defeated, But soon he had his due. And scaredt by Brooks and Arnold He to the North withdrew.

To take the stores and cattle That we had gather'd then, Burgoyne sent a detachment Of fifteen hundred men; By Baum they were commanded, To Bennington they went; To plunder and to murder Was fully their intent.

## Bt. Leger.

† A man employed by the British as a spy, was taken by Arnold, and at the suggestion of Colonel Brooks seat back to St. Leger with such deceptive accounts of the strength of the Americans as induced him to retreat toward Montreal.

But little did they know then, With whom they had to deal; It was not quite so easy Our stores and stock to steal; Bold Starke would give them only A portion of his load; With half his crew ere sunset Baum lay among the dead.

The nineteenth of September,
The morning cool and clear,
Brave Gates rode through our army
Each soldier's heart to cheer;
"Burgoyne," he cried, "advances,
But we will never fly;
No—rather than surrender,
We'll fight him till we die."

The news was quickly brought us,
The enemy was near,
And all along our lines then,
There was no sign of fear;
It was above Stillwater
We met at noon that day,
And every one expected
To see a bloody fray.

Bix hours the battle lasted,
Each heart was true as gold,
The British fought like lions,
And we like Yankees bold;
The leaves with blood were crimson.
And then brave Gates did cry—
T is diamond now cut diamond !
We'll beat them, boys, or die."

The darkness soon approaching,
It forced us to retreat
Into our lines till morning,
Which made them think us beat;
But ere the sun was risen,
They saw before their eyes
Us ready to engage them,
Which did them much surprise.

Of fighting they seem'd weary,
Therefore to work they go
Their thousand dead to bury,
And breastworks up to throw;
With grape and bombs intending
Our army to destroy,
Or from our works our forces
By stratagem decoy.

The seventh day of Ootober,
The British tried again,—
Shells from their cannons throwing
Which fell on us like rain,—
To drive us from our stations
That they might thus retreat;
For now Burgoyne saw plainly
He nover us could heat.

But vain was his endeavour
Our men to terrify;
Though death was all around us,
Not one of us would fly.
But when an hour we'd fought them,
And they began to yield,
Along our lines the cry ran
"The next blow wins the field!"

Great God, who guides their battles
Whose cause is just and true,
Inspired our bold commander
The course he should pursue.
He order'd Arnold forward,
And Brooks to follow on;
The enemy were routed:
Our liberty was won!

Then, burning all their luggage, They fied with haste and fear, Burgoyne with all his forces To Saratogue did steer; And Gates our brave commander, Soon after him did hie, Resolving he would take them Or in the effort die.

As we came nigh the village,
We overtook the foe;
They'd burn'd each house to ashea,
Like all where'er they go.
The seventeenth of October,
They did capitulate—
Burgoyne and his proud army
Did we our pris'ners make.

Now here's a health to Arnold, And our commander Gates; To Lincoln and to Washington, Whom ev'ry Tory hates; Likewise unto our Congress, God grant it long to reign, Our Country, Right and Justice For ever to maintain.

Now finish'd is my story,
My song is at an end;
The freedom we're enjoying
We're ready to defend;
For while our cause is righteous,
Heaven nerves the soldier's arm,
And vain is their endeavour
Who strive to do us harm.

The last specimen of revolutionary verse relating to the battle of Saratoga for which we have room, is the following curious account of that event, published in the newspapers of the day—

Here followeth the direful fate
Of Burgoyne and his army great
Who so proudly did display
The terrors of despotic sway.
His power and pride and many threats
Have been brought low by fortinate Gates
To hend to the United States.

| To bend to the United States.            | •  |     |                |
|--|----|-----|----------------|
| British prisoners by Convention,         | •  | •   | 9443           |
| Foreigners-by Contra-vention,            | •  | •   | <b>2136</b>    |
| Tories sent across the Lake,             |    |     | 1100           |
| Burgoyne and his suite, in state,        |    |     | 19             |
| Sick and wounded, bruised and pounded,   |    |     |                |
| Ne'er so much before confounded,         | •  | •   | 538            |
| Prisoners of war before Convention       |    |     | 400            |
| Deserters come with kind intention,      |    |     | 300            |
| They lost at Bennington's great battle,  |    |     |                |
| Where Starke's glorious arms did rattle, | •  | •   | 1290           |
| Kill'd in September and October          |    |     | 600            |
| Ta'en by brave Brown,* some drunk, some  | юb | er. | 413            |
| Slain by high-famed Herkerman,           |    | •   |                |
| On both flanks, on rear and van,         | •  | •   | 300            |
| Indians, suttlers, butchers, drovers,    |    |     |                |
| Enough to crowd large plains all over,   |    |     |                |
| And those whom grim Déath did prevent    |    |     |                |
| From fighting against our continent;     |    |     | 4413           |
| And also those who stole away.           |    |     |                |
| Lest they down their arms should lay,    |    |     |                |
| Abhorring that obnoxious day;            | ı  |     |                |
| The whole make fourteen thousand men,    | ,  | -   |                |
| Who may not with us fight again.         | •  | 1   | l <b>4,000</b> |
|  |    |     |                |
| This is a pretty just account            |    |     |                |
| Of Burgoyne's legion's whole amount      | •  |     |                |

To desolate our happy States.

Col. John Brown, of Mass.

Gen. Herkimer, of New York, (probably.)

Who came across the Northern Lakes

Their brass cannons we have got all-Fifty-six-both great and small; And ten thousand stand of arms, To prevent all future harms; Stores and implements complete, Of workmanship exceeding neat; Cover'd wagons in great plenty, And proper harness, no way scanty. Among our prisoners there are Six generals, of fame most rare; Six members of their Parliament-Reluctantly they seem content; Three British lords, and Lord Belcarras, Who came, our country free to harass. Two baronets, of high extraction, Were sorely wounded in the action.

The Massacre of Wyoming was minutely described in several ballads written before the year 1785, which, we were surprised to find, are unnoticed by Mr. Stone and the other historians of that celebrated valley. We quote a few stanzas from the longest one in our possession.

> Now as they fly, they quarters cry, Oh hear, indulgent Heaven! How hard to state their dreadful fate, No quarters must be given!

Some men were found, a flying round, Sagacious to get clear; In vain they fly, the foe is nigh, On flank, in front, and rear!

The enemy did win the day, Methinks their words were these: "You cursed rebel Yankee race, Will this your Congress please?"

The death of Andre-just and necessary as it unquestionably was-has been lamented in a hundred songs; while the chivalrous and accomplished Hale, murdered with a brutality that would have shocked the sensibilities of the most depraved and desperate brigands, is alluded to in but a single ballad among those which have been preserved until our own time. We transcribe, from the oldest copy in our possession, the ence popular lyric called

### BRAVE PAWLING AND THE SPY.

Come, all you brave Americans, And unto me give ear, And I'll sing you a ditty That will your spirits cheer, Concerning a young gentleman Whose age was twenty-two; He fought for North America; His heart was just and true.

They took him from his dwelling, And they did him confine, They cast him into prison, And kept him there a time; But he with resolution Resolved not long to stay; He set himself at liberty, And soon he ran away.

He with a scouting-party Went down to Tarrytown, Where he met a British officer, A man of high renown; Who says unto these gentlemen, "You're of the British cheer, I trust that you can tell me If there's any danger near?"

Then up stept this young hero, John Pawling was his name, "Sir, tell us where you're going And also whence you came? "I bear the British flag, sir; I've a pass to go this way, I'm on an expedition, And have no time to stay." Then round him came this compan, And bid him to dismount; "Come tell us where you're going, Give us a strict account : For we are now resolved That you shall ne'er pass by." Upon examination They found he was a spy. He begged for his liberty, He plead for his discharge. And oftentimes he told them, If they'd set him at large, " Here's all the gold and silver I have laid up in store, But when I reach the city, I'll give you ten times more." " I want not the gold and silver You have laid up in store And when you get to New York You need not send us more; But you may take your sword in hand To gain your liberty, And if that you do conquer me, O, then you shall be free." "The time it is improper Our valour for to try, For if we take our swords in hand, Then one of us must die; I am a man of honour, With courage true and bold, And I fear not the man of clay, Although be's clothed in gold. He saw that his conspiracy Would soon be brought to light; He begg'd for pen and paper, And asked leave to write A line to General Arnold, To let him know his fate, And beg for his assistance; But now it was too late. When the news it came to Arnold, It put him in a fret; He walk'd the room in trouble, Till tears his cheek did wet :

The story soon went through the cam And also through the fort; And he called for the Vulture, And sailed for New York.

Now Arnold to New York is gone, A-fighting for his king, And left poor Major Andre On the gallows for to swing; When he was executed, He look'd both meek and mild; He look'd upon the people, And pleasantly he smiled.

It moved each eye with pity, Caused every heart to bleed; And every one wish'd him releas And Arnold in his stead. He was a man of honour, In Britain he was born; To die upon the gallows Most highly he did scorn.

A bumper to John Pawling!
Now let your voices sound,
Fill up your flowing glasses,
And drink his health around;
Also to those young gentlemen
Who bore him company;
Success to North America,
Ye sons of libert;!

In connection with this we give a specimen of the minstrelsy of the other party. The British and Tories were not often in a singing mood, and their ballads, with few exceptions, are inferior in spirit and temper to those of the Whigs. There is some wit, however, in the following, which is said to have been written by Major Andre—

## THE COW CHASE.

PART L

To drive the kine one summer's more, The tanner\* took his way; The calf shall rue that is unborn The jumbling of that day.

And Wayne descending steers shall knew And tauntingly deride, And call to mind in every low

The tanning of his hide.

Yet Bergen cows still ruminate
Unconscious in the stall,
What mighty means were used to get

And loose them after all.

For many heroes bold and brave
From New-bridge and Tappan,
And those that drink Passaie's wave.

And those that drink Passaic's wave And those that eat supaun; And sons of distant Delaware,

And still remoter Shannon, And Major Lee with horses rare, And Proctor with his cannon.

All wond'rous proud in arms they came, What here could refuse To tread the russed path to fame.

To tread the rugged path to fame, Who had a pair of shoes!

At six, the host with sweating buff Arrived at Freedom's pole, When Wayne, who thought he'd time enough, Thus speechified the whole—

- "O ye whom glory doth unite,
  Who Freedom's cause espouse,
  Whether the wing that's doom'd to fight
  Or that to drive the cows,
- Ere yet you tempt your further way Or into action come, Hear, Soldiers, what I have to say, And take a pint of rum.
- "Intemp'rate valour then will string Each nervous arm the better, So all the land shall IO sing, And read the General's letter.
- "Know that some pairry refugees, Whom I've a mind to fight, Are playing h—l amongst the trees, That grow on yonder height.
- "Their fort and block-houses we'll level, And deal a horrid slaughter, We'll drive the scoundrels to the devil, And ravish wife and daughter.
- "I under cover of the attack, Whilst you are all at blows, From English Neighb'rhood and Nyach Will drive away the cows;
  - \* Alluding to Wayne's early occupation.

"For well you know the latter is The serious operation, And fighting with the refugees Is only demonstration."

His daring words from all the crowd Such great applause did gain, That every man declared aloud For serious work with Wayne.

Then from the eask of rum once more They took a heady gill,\* When one and all they loudly swore, They'd fight upon the hill.

But here the muse hath not a strain
Befitting such great deeds,
Husza! they cried, husza for Wayne,
And shouting

#### PART IL

Near his meridian pemp, the sun Had journey'd from the horizon, When fierce the dusky tribe moved on, Of heroes drunk as pison.

The sounds confused of boasting oaths, Le-echo'd through the wood, Some vow'd to sleep in dead men's clothes, And some to swim in blood.

At Irving's nod 't was fine to sea
The left prepare to fight,
The while the drovers, Wayne and Lee,
Drew off upon the right.

Which Irving 't was, fame don't relate, Nor can the muse assist her, Whether 't was he that cocks a hat, Or he that gives a clyster.

For greatly one was signalized, That fought at Chestnut Hill, And Canada immortatized The vender of the pill.

Yet the attendance upon Proctor,
They both might have to boast of:
For there was business for the doctor,
And hats to be disposed of.

Let none uncandidly infer,
That Stirling wanted spunk,
The self-made poor had sure been there,
But that the peer was drunk.

But turn we to the Hudson's banks, Where stood the modest train, With purpose firm, though slender ranks, Nor cared a pin for Wayne.

For them the unrelenting hand Of rebel fury drove, And tore from every genial band Of friendship and of love.

And some within a dungeon's gloom.
By mock tribunals laid,
Had waited long a cruel doom.
Impending o'er each head.

Here one bewaits a brother's fate, There one a sire demands, Cut off, alas! before their date, By ignominious hands.

And silver'd grandsires here appear'd In deep distress serene, Of reverent manners that declared The better days they'd seen.

It was a favourite idea with the Tories that the Whig party "embraced none of the temperate and respectable portion of the community."

And now the fee began to lead
His forces to the attack;
Balls whistling unto balls succeed,
And make the Block-House crack.

No shot could pass, if you will take The General's word for true; But 't is a d——ble mistake, For every shot went through.

The firmer as the rebels press'd,
The loyal heroes stand;
Virtue had nerved each honest breast,
And industry each hand.

"In\* valour's phrensy, Hamilton, Rode like a soldier big, And secretary Harrison, With pen stuck in his wig."

"But least their chieftain Washington, Should mourn them in the mumps,† The fate of Withrington to shun, They fought behind the stumps."

But ah, Thadmus Posset, why Should thy poor soul clope? And why should Titus Hooper die, Ay, die—without a rope?

Apostate Murphy, thou to whom Fair Shela ne'er was cruei, In death shall hear her mourn thy doom, "Och! would you die, my jewel?"

Thee, Nathan Pumpkin, I lament, Of melancholy fate, The grey goose stolen as he went, In his heart's blood was wet.

Now as the fight was further fought, And balls began to thicken, The fray assum'd, the generals thought, The colour of a lickin'.

Yet undismay'd the chiefs command, And to redeem the day, Cry, Soldiers, charge! they hear, they stand, They turn and run away.

### PART III.

Not all delights the bloody spear, Or horrid din of battle, There are, I'm sure, who'd like to hear A word about the cattle.

The chief whom we beheld of late, Near Schralenberg haranguing, At Yan Van Poop's unconscious sat Of Irving's hearty banging;

Whilst valiant Lee, with courage wild, Most bravely did oppose The tears of woman and of child, Who begg'd he'd leave the cows.

But Wayne of sympathining heart, Required a relief Not all the blessings could impart Of battle or of beef.

For now a prey to female charms, His soul took more delight in A lovely hamadryad's arms, Than cow driving or fighting.

Vide Lee's Trial.
 A disorder prevalent in the rebel lines.

A nymph, the refugees had drove Far from her native tree, Just happen'd to be on the move, When up came Wayne and Lee.

She in mad Anthony's fierce eye
The hero saw portray'd,
And all in tears she took him by
———The bridle of his jade.

"Hear," said the nymph, "O great commander! No human lamentations; The trees you see them cutting yonder, Are all my near relations.

"And I, fortorn! implore thine aid,
To free the sacred grove;
So shall thy prowess be repaid
With an immortal's love."

Now some, to prove she was a goddens, Said this enchanting fair Had late retired from the bedies,\* In all the pomp of war;

That drums and merry fifes had play's To honour her retreat, And Cunningham himself convey'd The lady through the street.

Great Wayne, by soft compassion sway'd.
To no inquiry stoops,
But takes the fair afflicted maid.
Right into Yan Van Poop's.

So Roman Anthony, they say, Disgraced the imperial banner, And for a gypsy lost a day, Like Anthony the tanner.

The hamadryad had but half Received address from Wayne, When drume and colours, cow and calf, Came down the road amain.

All in a cloud of dust were seen, The sheep, the horse, the goat, The gentle heifer, ass obscene, The yearling and the aboat.

And pack-horses with fowls came by, Befeather'd on each side, Like Pegasus, the horse that I And other poets ride.

Sublime upon his stirrups rose
The mighty Lee behind,
And drove the terror-smitten cows
Like chaff before the wind.

But sudden see the woods above Pour down another corps, All helter-skelter in a drove, Like that I sung before.

Irving and terror in the van,
Came flying all abroad,
And cannon, colours, horse, and man
Ran tumbling to the road.

Still as he fied, 't was Irving's cry, And his example too,

"Run on, my merry men—For why? †The shot will not go through."

As when two kennels in the street, Swell'd with a recent raia, In gushing streams together meet, And seek the neighbouring drain,

\* A cant appellation given amongst the solthery to the corps that had the honour to guard his Majesty's person.

† Five refugees (tis true) were found Stiff on the block-house floor, But then, 't is thought, the shot went round, And in at the back door. So met these dung-born tribes in one, As swift in their career, And so to Newbridge they ran en— But all the cows got clear.

Poor parson Caldwell, all in wonder, Saw the returning train, And mourn'd to Wayne the lack of plunder For them to steal again.

For 't was his right to steal the spoil, and To share with each commander, As he had done at Staten-Island With frost-bit Alexander.

In his dismay the frantic priest Began to grow prophetic, You'd swore, to see his labouring breast, He'd taken an emetic.

"I view a future day," said he,
"Brighter than this day dark is,
And you shall see what you shall see,
Ha! ha! my pretty Marquis!

And he shall come to Paules-Hook, And great achievements think on, And make a bew and take a look, Like Satan over Liacoin.

And every one around shall glory
To see the Frenchman caper,
And pretty Susan tell the story
In the next Chatham paper."

This solemn prophecy, of course, Gave all much consolation, Except to Wayne, who lost his horse Upon that great occasion.

His horse that carried all his prog, His military speeches, His corn-stock whiskey for his grog, Bige stockings and brown breeches.

And now I've closed my epic strain, I tremble as I show it, Lest this same warrior-drover, Wayne, Should ever catch the poet.

From a large collection of naval ballads, we select the following, as one of the most curious of its class, and because, like several others in this collection, it has never before been printed. It was written by the surgeon of the "Fair American," and was familiar to the Massachusetts privateersmen during the last years of the Revolution. The "noble captain" was an ancestor of the inimitable author, Nathaniel Hawthorne, of Selem.

### BOLD HAWTHORNE.

The twenty-second of August, Before the close of day, All hands on board our privateer, We got her under weigh; We kept the Eastern Shore along, For forty leagues or more, Then our departure took for sea, From the Isle Mauhegan ahore.

Bold Hawthorne was commander,
A man of real worth,
Old England's cruel tyranny
Induced him to go forth;
She, with relentless fury,
Was plundering all our coast,
And thought, because her strength was great,
Our glorious cause was lost.

Yet boast not, haughty Britons, Of power and dignity, Of all your conquering armies, Your matchless strength at sea : Since, taught by numerous instances, Americans can fight, With valour can equip their stand, Your armies put to flight.

Now farewell fair America, Farewell our friends and wives, We trust in Heaven's peculiar care, For to protect their lives, To prosper our intended cruise Upon the raging main, And to preserve our dearest friends, Till we return again.

The wind it being leading,
It bore us on our way,
As far unto the southward
As the Gulf of Florida,
Where we observed a British ship,
Returning from the main;
We gave her two bow chasers,
And she return'd the same.

We hashed up our courses,
And so prepared for fight;
The contest held four glasses,
Until the dusk of night;
Then having sprung our mainmast,
And had so large a sea,
We dropp'd astern and left our chase
Till the returning day.

Next morn we fish'd our mainmast, The ship still being nigh, All hands made for engaging, Our luck once more to try; But wind and sea being hoisterous, Our cannon would not hear, We thought it quite imprudent, And so we left her there.

We cruised to the eastward Near the coast of Portingale; In longitude of twenty-seven We saw a lofty sail; We gave her chase, and soon we saw She was a British seew, Standing for fair America,

With troops for General Howe.

Our captain did inspect her With glasses, and he said—

"My boys, she means to fight us, But be you not afraid;
All heads now beat to quarters, See everything is clear,
We'll give her a broadside, my boys, As soon as she comes near."

She was prepared with nettings, And had her men secured, She bore directly for us, And put us close on hoard; When cannon roard like thunder, And muskets fired amain, But soon we were alongside, And grappled to her chain.

And now the scene it alter'd,
The cannon ceased to roar,
We fought with swords and boarding-pikes,
"One glass or something more,
Till British pride and glory
No longer dared to stay,
But cut the Yankoe grapplings,

Our case was not so desperate;
As plainly might appear;
Yet sudden death did enter
On board our urivatesr.

And quickly bore away.

Mahoney, Crew, and Clemmons, The valiant and the brave, Fell glorious in the contest, And met a watery grave.

Ten other men were wounded Among our warlike crew, With them our noble captain,\* To whom all praise is due; To him and all our officers, Let's give a hearty cheer; Success to feir America, And our good privates?

Francis Hofkinson was one of the greatest wits of his time, and his satires, epigrams, songs, and other compositions, in verse and proces, were among the happiest productions of their hind written during the Revolution. The "Battle of the Kege," is the most celebrated of his songs. It was occasioned by a real incident. Certain machines, in the form of kegs, charged with gunpowder, were sent down the river to annoy the British shipping then at Philadelphia. The danger of these machines being discovered, the British manned the wharves and shipping, and discharged their small arms and cannons at every thing they saw floating in the river during the obb tide.

#### THE BATTLE OF THE KEGS.

Gallants attend and hear a friend,
'Trill forth harmonious ditty,
Brange things I'll tell which late beful
In Philadelphia city.

'T was early day, as poets sey
Just when the sun was rising,
A soldier stood on a log of wood,
And saw a thing surprising.

As in amaze he stood to gaze, The truth can't be denied, sir, He spied a score of kegs or more Come floating down the tide, sir.

A sailor too in jerkin blue,
This strange appearance viewing,
First damn'd his eyes, in great surprise,
Then said some mischief's browing.

These kegs, I'm told, the rebels hold, Pack'd up like pickling herring; And they're come down t'attack the town, In this new way of ferrying.

The soldier flew, the sailor too,
And scared almost to death, sir,
Wore out their shoes, to spread the news,
And ran till out of breath, sir.

Now up and down throughout the town, Most frantic scenes were acted; And some ran here, and others there, Like men almost distracted.

Some fire cry'd, which some denied, But said the earth had quaked; And girls and boys, with hideous noise, Ran through the streets half naked.

Sir. William he, snug as a fice,
Lay all this time a enoring,
Nor dreamed of harm as he lay warm,
In bed with Mrs. L——g.

Now in a fright, he starts upright, Awaked by such a clatter; He rubs both eyes, and boldly cries, For God's sake, what 's the matter?

\* Captain Hawthorne was wounded in the head by a musket ball. His ship was called "The Fair American." At his bed-side he then espy'd, Sir Erskine at command, sir, Upon one foot, he had one boot, And th' other in his hand, sir.

"Arise, arise, Sir Erakine cries,
The rebels—more's the pity,
Without a boat, are all affoat
And rang'd before the city.

"The motiey crew, in vessels new, With Satan for their guide, sir; Pack'd up in bags, or wooden kegs, Come driving down the tide, sir.

"Therefore prepare for bloody war,
These kegs must all be routed,
Or surely we despised shall be,
And British courage doubted."

The royal band, now ready stand All ranged in dread array, sir; With stomach stout to see it out, And make a bloody day, sir.

The cannons roar from shore to shore,
The small arms make a rattle;
Since war's began I'm sure no man
E'er saw so strange a battle.

The rebel dales, the rebel vales,
With rebel trees surrounded;
The distant wood, the hills and floods,
With rebel echoes sounded.

The fish below swam to and fro, Attack'd from every quarter; Why sure, thought they, the devil's to pay 'Monget folks above the water.

The kegs, 't is said, though strongly made, Of rebel staves and hoops, sir; 'Could not oppose their powerful foes, The conquering British troops, sir.

From morn to night these men of might Display'd amazing courage; And when the sun was fairly down Retired to sup their porrage.

An hundred men with each a pen, Or more upon my word sir, It is most true would be too few, Their valour to record, sir.

Such feats did they perform that day, Against these wicked kegs, eir, That years to come, if they get home, They'll make their tousts and brags, sir.

We give but one other specimen of the minstrelsy of the revolution: American Taxation, written by a schoolmaster of Connecticut, named St. John. We know of nothing produced in this country at so early a period that is equal to it:

### AMERICAN TAXATION.

While I relate my story, Americans give ear; Of Britain's fading glory, You presently shall hear; 'Il give a true relation, Attend to what I say, Concerning the taxation Of North America.

The cruel lords of Britain,
Who glory in their shame,
The project they have hit on
They joyfully prouldim;
Tie what they're striving after,
Our right to take away,
And rob us of our charter.
In North America.

There are two mighty speakers,
Who rule in Parliamont,
Who ever have been seeking
Some mischief to invent;
Twas North, and Bute his father,
The horrid plan did lay,
A mighty tax to gather
In North America.

They search'd the gloomy regions Of the infirmal pit, To find among their legions One who excell'd in wit; To ask of him assistance, Or tell them how they may Subdue without resistance This North America.

Old Satan, the arch traitor, Who rules the burning lake, Where he's chief navigajor, Resolved a voyage to take. For the Britannic ocean He launches far away, To land he had no notion In North America.

He takes his seat in Britain,
It was his soul's intent,
Great George's throne to sit on,
And rule the Parliament;
His comrades were pursuing
A diabolic way,
For to complete the ruin
Of North America.

He tried the art of magic
To bring his schemes about,
At length the gloomy project
He artfully found out:
The plan was long indulghd
Iu a clandestine way,
But lately was divulghd
In North America.

These subtle arch-combiners Address'd the British court, All three were undersigners Of this obscure report— There is a pleasant landscape That lieth far away, Beyond the wide Atlantic, Van North America.

There is a wealthy people,
Who sojourn in that land,
Their churches all with steeples
Most delicately stand,
Their houses, like the gilly,
Are painted red and gay;
They flourish like the Hly,
In North America.

Their land with milk and honey Continually doth flow, The want of food or money They seldom ever know; They heap up golden treasure, They have no debts to pay, They spend their time in pleasure, In North America.

On turkeys, fowls, and fishes,
Most frequently they dine,
With gold and silver dishes
Their tables always shine,
They crown their feasts with butter,
They cat and rise to play,
In silks their ladies flutter,
In North America.

With gold and silver laces
They do themselves adorn,
The rubies deck their faces,
Refulgent as the morn!
Wine sparkles in their glasses,
They spend each happy day
In merriment and dances,
In North America.

Let not our suit affront yeu,
When we address your throne,
O king, this wealthy country
And subjects are your own,
And you, their rightful sovereign,
They truly must obey,
You have a right to govern
This North America.

O king, you've heard the sequel Of what we now subscribe, Is it not just and equal To tax this weakly tribe? The question being asked, His majesty did say, My subjects shall be taxed In North America.

Invested with a warrant, My publicans shall go, The tenth of all their current They surely shall bestow; If they indulge rebellion, Or from my precepts stray, I'll send my war battalion To North America

I'll raily all my forces
By water and by land,
My light dragoons and horses
Shall go at my command,
I'll burn both town and city,
With smoke beloud the day,
I'll show no human pity
For North America.

Go on, my hearty soldiers, You need not fear of iil— There's Hutchinson and Roger Their functions will fulfil— They tell such ample stories, Believe them sure we may, One half of them are tories In North America.

My gallant ships are ready
To hoist you o'er the flood,
And in my cause be steedy,
Which is supremely good;
Go ravage, steal, and plunder,
And you shall have the prey
They quickly will knock under
In North America.

The laws I have enacted,
I never will revoke,
Although they are neglected,
My fury to provoke,
I will forbear to flatter,
I'll rule the mighty sway,
I'll take away the charter
From North America.

O George ! you are distracted, You !!! by experience find The laws you have enacted Are of the blackest kind. I !!! make a short digression, And tell you by the way, We fear not your oppression, In North America. Our fathers were distressed, While in their native land; By tyrants were oppressed, As I do understand; Prom freedom and religion They were resolved to stray, And try the desert regions Of North America.

Kind Heaven was their protector While on the roaring tide, Kind fortune their director, And Providence their guide; If I am not mistaken, About the first of May, This voyage was undertaken For North America.

To sail they were commanded About the hour of noon, At Plymouth shore they landed, The twenty-first of June; The savages were nettled, With fear they fied away, So peaceably they settled On North America.

We are their bold descendants,
For liberty we 'll fight,
The claim to independence
We challenge as our right;
'T is what kind Heaven gave us,
Who can it take away?
O, Heaven, sure, will save us,
In North America.

We never will knock under,
O, George, we do not fear
The rattling of your thenrant
Nor lightning of your spear:
Though rebels you declare us,
We're strangers to dismay;
Therefore you cannot scare us,
In North America.

We have a bold commander,
Who fears not sword nor gun,
The second Alexander,
His name is Washington;
His men are all collected,
And ready for the fray,
To fight they are directed
For North America.

We've Greene and Gates and Putnam To manage in the field, A gallant train of footmen, Who'd rather die than yield; A stately troop of horsemen, Train d in a martial way, For to augment our forces In North America.

Proud George, you are engaged All in a dirty cause, A cruel war have waged Repuguant to all laws. Go tell the savage nations You're crueler than they, To fight your own relations In North America.

Ten millions you've expended,
And twice ten millions more;
Our riches, you intended
Should pay the mighty score.
Who now will stand your sponsor,
Your charges to defray?
For sure you cannot conquer
This North America.

I'll tell you, George, in metre, If you'll attend awhile:
We forced your bold Sir Peter From Sullivan's fair iste,
At Moamouth too we gained The honours of the day—
The victory we obtained For North America.

Burely we were your betters
Hard by the Brandywine;
We laid him fast in fetters
Whose name was John Burgoyne;
We made your Howe to tremble
With terror and dismay;
True heroes we resemble,
In North America.

Confusion to the tories,
That black infermal name,
In which Great Britain glories,
For ever to her shame;
We'll send each foul revolter
To smutty Africa,
Or noses him in a halter,
In North America.

A health to our brave footmen,
Who handle sword and gua,
To Greene and Gates and Putnam
And conquering Washington;
Their names be wrote in letters
Which never will decay,
While sun and moon do glitter
On North America.

Success unto our allies
In Holland, France and Spain,
Who man their ships and galleys,
Our freedom to maintain;
May they subduathe rangers
Of proud Britannia,
And drive then from their anchors
In Worth America.

Success unto the Congress
Of these United States,
Who glory in the conquests
Of Washington and Gates;
To all, both land and seamen,
Who usher in the day,
When we shall all be freemen
In North America.

Success to legislation,
That rules with gentle hand,
To trade and navigation,
By water and by hand;
May all with one opinion
Our wholesome laws obey,
Throughout this vast dominion
Of North America.

The "old and antique songs" we have quoted are not eminently poetical, and the fastidious reader say fancy there are in some of them qualities that shade have prevented their publication. We appeal to the antiquaries. The "Cow Chase" will live long after

the light airs and recollected terms Of these most brisk and giddy paced times

are forgotten, and, with other songs and ballads of our Revolution, will in the next century be prized if ere highly than the richest gems of Percy or Mothers ell. They are the very mirrors of the times in which I were were sung. As may have been observed, we have gi wen none of the lyrics of Presexs. Free, daring, how set, and with surcastic powers which made his pen as

terrible to the Tories and the British officers as that of Coleridge was to Napoleon, he did as good service to the great cause from his obscure printing effice, as many a more celebrated patriot did in camp or legislature. The energy and exultation with which he recounted, in rapidly written songs, the successes of the Whigs, were equaled only by the keenness of his wit, and the appositeness of his humour. Nor was it in satire and song alone that he excelled. Though we claim not for him, superior as he was to his American contemporaries, the praise due to a true poet, some of his pieces are distinguished for a directness of expression, a manliness, fervour, and fine poetical feeling, that will secure for them a permanent place in our literature. Yet Freneau-the patriot, poet, soldier-died miserably poor, within the last ten years, while the national legislature was anxiously debating what should be done with the "surplus money in the treasury."

## MATHER BYLES AND JOSEPH GREEN.

THE facetions MATHER BYLES was in his time equally famous as a poet and a wit. A contemporary bard exclaims—

Would but Apollo's genial touch inspire Such sounds as breathe from Byles's warbling lyre, Then might my notes in melting measures flow, And make all nature wear the signs of wo.

And his humour is celebrated in a poetical account of the clergy of Boston, quoted by Mr. Samuel Kettell, in his "Specimens of American Poetry,"—

There's punning Byles, provokes our smiles, A man of stately parts. He visits folks to crack his jokes, Which never mend their hearts.

With strutting gait, and wig so great, He walks along the streets, And throws out wit, or what's like it, To every one he meets.

Byles was graduated at Cambridge in 1725, and was ordained the first minister of the church in Hollis street, in 1732. He soon became eminent as a preacher, and the King's College at Aberdeen conferred on him the degree of Doctor of Divinity. He was one of the authors of "A Collection of Poems by several Hands," which appeared in 1744, and of numerous essays and metrical compositions in "The New England Weekly Journal," the merit of which was such as to introduce him to the notice of Pope and other English scholars. One of his poems is entitled "The Confagration;" and is "applied to that grand catastrophe of our world when the face of nature is to be changed by a deluge of fire." The following lines show its style—

Yet shall ye, flames, the wasting globe refine, And bid the skies with purer spiendour shine. The earth, which the prolific fires consume, To beauty burns, and withers into bloom; Improving in the fertile flame it lies, Fades into form, and into vigour dies: Frash-dawning glories blush amidst the blaza, And nature all renews her flowery face. With endless charms the everlasting year Bolls round the seasons in a full career; Spring, ever-blooming, bids the fields rejoice, And warbling birds try their melodious voice; Where'er she treads, lilies unbiddes blow, Quick tulips rise and sudden roses glow:

Her pencil paints a thousand beauteous scenes Whore blossoms bud amid immortal greens; Each stream, in masses, murmurs as it flows, And floating forests gently bend their boughs. Thou, autumn, too, sitt'st in the fragrant shade, While the ripe fruits blush all around thy head: And lavish nature, with luxuriant hands, All the soft months in gay confusion blends.

Byles was earnestly opposed to the Revolution, and in the spring of 1777, was denounced in the public assemblies as a tory, and compelled to give bonds for his appearance before a court for trial. In tig. 'ollowing June he was convicted of treasonable conversation, and hostility to the country, and sentenced to be imprisoned forty days on board a guard-ship, and at the end of that period to be sent with his family the England. The board of war, however, took his case into consideration, and commuted the punchment to a short confinement under a guard in his own house; but, though he continued to reside in Boston during the remainder of his life, he never again entered a pulpit, nor regained his ante-revolutionary popularity. He died in 1788, in the eighty-second year of his age.

He was a favourite in every social or convivial circle, and no one was more fond of his society than the colonial governor, Belcher, on the death of whose wife he wrote an elegy ending with—

Meantime my name to thine allied shall stand, Still our warm friendship, mutual flames extend; The muse shall so survive from age to age, And Belcher's name protect his Byles's page.

The doctor had declined an invitation to visit with the governor the province of Maine, and Belcher resorted to a stratagem to secure his company. Having persuaded him to drink tea with him on board the Scarborough ship of war, one Sunday afternoon, as seon as they were seated at the table the anchor was weighed, the sails set, and before the punning parson had called for his last cup, the ship was too far at sea for him to think of returning to the shore. As every thing necessary for his comfort had been thoughtfully provided, he was easily reconciled to the voyage. While making preparations for religious services, the next Sunday, it was discovered that there was no hymn book on board, and he wrote the following lines, which were sung instead of a selection from Sternhold and Hopkins-

Great God, thy works our wonder raise;
To thee our swelling notes belong;
While skies and winds, and rocks and seas,
Around shall echo to our sons.

Thy power produced this mighty frame, Aloud to thee the tempests roar, Or softer breezes tune thy name Gently along the shelly shore.

Round thee the scaly nation roves, Thy opening hands their joys bestow, Through all the blushing coral groves, These silent gay retreats below.

See the broad sun forsake the skies, Glow on the waves, and downward glide; Anon heaven opens all its eyes, And star-beams tremble o'er the tide.

Each various scene, or day or night, Lord! points to thee our nourish's soul; The giories fix our whole delight; So the touch'd needle courts the pole.

JOSEPH GREEN, a merchant of Boston, who had been

a classmate of Byles at Cambridge, was little less celebrated than the doctor for humour; and some of his postical compositions were as popular ninety years ago as in our own time have been those of "Croaker & Co.," which they resemble in spirit and playful case of versification. The abduction of the Hollis street minister was the cause of not a little merriment in Boston; and Green, between whom and Byles there was some rivalry, as the leaders of opposing social factions, soon after wrote a burlesque account of it—

In David's Psalms an oversight
Byles found one morning at his tea,
Alas! that he should never write
A proper psalm to sing at sea.

Thus ruminating on his seat,
Ambitious thoughts at length prevail'd;
The bard determined to complete
The part wherein the prophet fail'd.

He sat awhile and stroked his muse,\*
Then taking up his tuneful pen,
Wrote a few stanzas for the use
Of his seafaring bretheren.

The task perform'd, the bard content, . Well chosen was each flowing word; On a short voyage himself he went, To hear it read and sung on board.

Most serious Christians do aver, (Their credit sure we may rely on,) In former times that after prayer, They used to sing a song of Zion.

Our modern parson having pray'd, Unless loud fame our faith beguiles, Bat down, took out his book and said, "Let's sing a psalm of Mather Byles."

At first, when he began to read,
Their heads the assembly downward hung,
But he with boldness did proceed,
And thus he read, and thus they sung.

## THE PSALM.

With vast amazement we survey
The wonders of the deep,
Where mackerel swim, and porpoise play,
And crabs and lobsters creep.

Fish of all kinds inhabit here, And throng the dark abode. Here haddock, hake, and flounders are, And eels, and perch, and cod.

From raging winds and tempests free,
So smoothly as we pass,
The shining surface seems to be
A piece of Bristol glass.

But when the winds and tempest rise, And foaming billows swell, The vessel mounts above the skies And lower sinks than hell.

Our heads the tottering motion feel, And quickly we become Giddy as new-dropp'd calves, and reel Like Indians drunk with rum.

What praises then are due that we Thus far have safely got, Amarescoggin tribe to see, And tribe of Penobscot.

In 1750 Green published "An Entertainment for a Winter Evening," in which he ridicules the freemasons; and afterward, "The Sand Bank," "A True Account of the Celebration of St. John the Baptist,"

• Byles's fawourite cat, so named by his friends.

and several shorter pieces, all of which I believe were satirical. His epigrams are the best written in this country before the Revolution; and many anecdotes are told to show the readiness of his wit and his skill as an improvisator. On one occasion, a country gentleman, knowing his reputation as a poet, procured an introduction to him, and solicited a "first rate epi-tph" for a favourite servant who had lately died. Green asked what were the man's chief qualities, and was told that "Cole excelled in all things, but was particularly good at raking hay, which he could do faster than anybody, the present company, of course, excepted." Green wrote immediately—

Here lies the body of John Cole, His master Joved him like his soul; He could rake hay, none could rake faster Except that raking dog, his master.

In his old age Green left Boston for England, rather from the infirmities of age, than from indifference to the cause of liberty.

#### EDWARD RANDOLPH.

EDWARD RANDOLPH, says Moore, was called the "evil genius" of New England, and was the most inveterate and indefatigable of those intriguing men whe found access to the royal ear of Charles II., with complaints against the colonies. On this mischievous basiness, he made no less than eight voyages in nine years across the Atlantic. In 1676, he was sent over by royal suthority to inquire into the state of the colonies. He brought with him copies of the petitions of Mason and Gorges relative to their patent of New Hampshire, the limits of which interfered with the grants to Massachusetts.

While he was in Boston, he represented that the prevince was refractory, and disobedient to the requisitions of the crown. He was zealous to promote the cause of episcopacy, and to destroy the New England churches; and he was the principal instrument of depriving the inhabitants of Massachusetts of their charter privileges, the people against whom he had conceived a most violent antipathy. When the charter was taken away, and James II. succeeded to the crown, the king appointed a council to govern the province, of which Dudley was president, and Randolph was one named in the commission The next year, Sir Edmund Andros arrived with a commission to be governor of New England. Randolph was a conspicuous character during his short administration, and involved in his fate. How much the people were exasperated against him, appears by their refusing him bail when he applied, and when it was granted to cthers. The house of representatives, June 25, 1689, voted "that Mr. E. Randolph is not bailable, he having broken a capital law of the colony, in endeavouring and accomplishing the subversion of our government, and having been an evil counsellor." Randolph died in the West Indies. It was said, that he always retained his prejudices against the churches and people of Marsachusetts. On the other hand, the inhabitants of that province, who once held him in abhorrence, regarded him and his reproaches with the utmost contempt,

From a letter of Randolph to Governor Winslow, written January 29, 1679,\* published in the Collections of the Mass. Hist. Soc. vol. vi, p. 92, it appears that he had just returned from New Hampshire, where he

• The date ought undoubtedly to be 1680



semained from the 27th December to the 22d of Jansary. In this letter he gives some account of the establishment of the royal government in this province ander President Cutts, and also alludes to his reception at Boston. He says, "I am received at Boston more like a spy, than one of his majesty's servants. They hept a day of thanks for the return of their agents; but have prepared a relecome for me, by a paper of scandalous verses, all persons taking liberty to abuse me in their discourses, of which I take the more notice, because it so much reflects upon my master, who will not forget it."

#### "RANDOLPH'S WELCOME BACK AGAIN."

Welcome, Sr. welcome from ye easterne shore With a commission stronger than before To play the horse-leach: robb us of our fleeces, To rend our land, and tears it all to pieces. Welcome now back againe; as is the whip To a fibele's back; as water in a ship. Boston make roome, Randolph's return'd, that hector, Confirm'd at home to be ye sharp Collector; Whoe shortly will present unto yr viewes The greate broad seale, that will you all amuse, Unwelcome tidings, and anhappy newes. New England is a very loyall shrubb That loves her Sovernigne, hates a Belmebub That's willing (let it to her praise be spoaks) To doe obedience to the Royall Oake, To pay the Tribute that to it belongs, For shielding her, from injuries and wrongs: But you the Agent, Sr. she cannot brook, She likes the meate, but can't abide the cook. Alas, shee would have Cresar have his due, But not by such a wicked hand as you: For an acknowledgement of Right, wee scorne (To pay to our greate Lord a pepper-corne) To baulke the tearmes of our most gratious deed But would ten thousand times the same exceed.

Some call you Randall—Rend-all I you name. Soe you'l appear before you've played yr game. He that keeps a Plantacon, Custome-house, One year, may bee a man, the next a mouse. Yr brother Dyer hath the Divell play'd, Made the New-Yorkers at the first affraide, He vapour'd, swager'd, hector'd, (whoe but he?) But soon destroy'd himself by villianie. Well might his cursed name with D begin, Whoe was a Divell in his hart for an. And currently did pass, by common vogue, Ffor the deceitfull'st wretch and greatest rogue. By him you'r ffurnish't wth a sad example-Take heed that those you crush don't on you trample. We verryly believe we are not bound To pay one mite to you, much less a pound. If there were need New-England you must know, Pfiftey p. cent we'ld on our King bestow, And not begrutch the offring, shee's see ffranck, But hates to pay where she will have no thanks.

We doe presume Secundus Carrolus Rex
Sent you not here a countrye's heart to vex.
Hee gives an inch of power; you take an ell.
Should it be knowne, he would not like it well.
If you do understand yr occupation,
'T is to keep acts of trade ffrom violation.
If merchants in their traffique will be ffaire,
You must, Camelion-like, live on the aire.
Should they not trade to Holland, Spain, and Ffrance,
Directly you must seeke ffor maintenance.
The customs and the ffees will scarce supply
Belly and back. What's left ffor's Majesty?
What you collect won't make you to look bigg
With modish nick-nacks, dagger, perriwigg;

A courtier's garbe too costly you will see
To be maintain'd where is noe gift nor fice.
Pull downe the mill, rente the ground, you'l finde
That very fiew will come to you to grinde.
Merchants their corne will alwayes carry there,
Where the tole's easy, and the usage fihire.
Wee'll kneele to the mill owner, as our cheife;
But doe not like the miller; he's a theife
And entertaine him not wth yoy, but greife.

When Heauen would Job's signall patience try, He gave Hell leave to plott his misery, And act it too according to it's will, With this excs; tion, don't his body kill. Soe Royall Charles ie now about to proue Our Loyalty, A Segiance, and Loue, In giving Licence to a Publican, To pinch the purse, but not to hurt the man. Patience raised Job unto the height of ffame, Lett our obedience doe ffor us the same.

#### PETER FOULGER.

PETER FOULGER was a schoolmaster of Nantucket, and the maternal grandfather of Doctor Franklin. In 1676 he published a poem entitled "A Looking-glass for the Times," addressed to men in authority, in which he advocates religious liberty, and implores the government to repeal the uncharitable laws against the Quakers and other sects. He says—

The rulers in the country I do owne them in the Lord; And such as are for government, With them I do accord. But that which I intend hereby, Is that they would keep bound; And meddle not with God's worship, For which they have no ground. And I am not alone herein, There's many hundreds more, That have for many years ago Spoke much more upon that score. Indeed, 1 really believe, It's not your business. To meddle with the church of God In matters more or less.

In another part of his "Looking-Glass" he says-

Now loving friends and countrymen I wish we may be wise; T is now a time for every man To see with his own eyes Tis easy to provoke the Lord To send among us war; Tis easy to do violence, To envy and to jar; To show a spirit that is high; To scorn and domineer; To pride it out as if there were No God to make us fear; To covet what is not our own; To cheat and to oppress; To live a life that might free us From acts of righteousness; To swear, and lie, and to be drunk To backbite one another; To carry tales that may do hurt And mischief to our brother; To live in such hypocrisy, As men may think us good, Although our hearts within are full Of evil and of blood. All these, and many evils more, Are easy for to do; But to repent and to reform We have no strength thereto.

The following are the concluding lines:

I am for peace, and not for war,
And that's the reason why
I write more plain than some men do,
That use to daub and lie.
But I shall cease, and set my name
To what I here insert:
Because, to be a libeller,
I hate it with my heart.
From Sherbontown, where now I dwell,
My name I do put here,
Without offence, your real friend,
It is
PETER FOULDER.

## MICHAEL WIGGLESWORTH.

THE Reverend MICHAEL WIGGLESWORTH was born in 1631, and graduated at Harvard College soon after entering upon his twentieth year. When rendered unable to preach, by an affection of the lungs,

In costly verse and most laborious rhymes, He dish'd up truths right worthy our regard.

His printipal work, "The Day of Doom, or a Poetical Description of the Great and Last Judgment, with a Short Discourse about Eternity," passed through six editions in this country, and was reprinted in London. A few verses will show its style—

Still was the night, serene and bright, When all men sleeping lay;
Calm was the season, and carnal reason Thought so 't would last for aye.
Soul, take thine ease, let sorrow cease, Much good thou hast in store;
This was their song their cups among, The evening before.

After the "sheep" have received their reward, the several classes of "goats" are arraigned before the judgment-seat, and, in turn, begin to excuse themselves. When the infants object to damnation on the ground that

Adam is set free And saved from his trespass, Whose sinful fall hath spilt them all, And brought them to this pass,—

the puritan theologist does not sustain his doctrine very well, nor quite to his own satisfaction even; and the judge, admitting the palliating circumstances, decides that although

in bliss
They may not hope to dwell,
Still unto them He will allow
The easiest room in hell.

At length the general sentence is pronounced, and the condemned begin to

wring their hands, their caitiff hands,
And gnash their teeth for terror;
They cry, they roar for anguish sore,
And gnaw their tongues for horror.
But get away without delay,
Christ pitles not your cry:
Depart to hell, there may ye yell,
And roar eternally.

Wigglesworth died in 1705.

## AN AMERICAN GOVERNOR OPPOSED TO EDU-CATION.

It has been the general policy of the American States to encourage the education of their children by all practicable means, but among their rulers there have been some who saw in the ignorance of the people the tmest foundation of power. One remarkable instance of this is worthy of being particularly noted. Sintyfour years after the first settlement of Virginia, Sir
William Berkely, then governor of that province, in
an official communication to the lords of the colonies,
observed, "I thank God, that there are no free-schools
nor, printing-presses here; and I hope that we shall
not have them here these hundred years; for learning
has brought disobedience, and heresy, and sects into
the world, and printing hath divulged them in libels
against the best governments. God keep us from
both."

Within a few years past, a man of a similar spirit, who represented a portion of Virginia in Congress, gave God thanks that in his district there were published no newspapers. Such a suspicion had been in the public mind from the time of the member's election.

#### THE FIRST AMERICAN DRAMATIC WRITER.

THOMAS GODFREY of Philadelphia has been called "the first American dramatic poet," but I believe a play superior to "The Prince of Parthia" had been composed by some students at Cambridge before his time. Godfrey was a son of the inventor of the quadrant claimed in England by Hadley. He was a lieutenant in the expedition against Fort Du Quesne in 1759, and on the disbanding of the colonial forces went to New Providence, and afterward to North Carolina, where he died, on the third of August, 1763, in the twenty-seventh year of his age. His poems were published in Philadelphia in 1765, in a quarto volume of two hundred and thirty pages. "The Prince of Parthia. a Tragedy," contains a few vigorous passages, but not enough to save it from condemnation as the most worthless composition in the dramatic form that has been printed in America. The following lines from the fifth act, might pass for respectable prose-

O may see never know a father's fondness,
Or know it to his sorrow; may his hopes
Of joy be cut like mine, and his short life
Be one continued tempest. If he lives,
Let him be cursed with jexlousy and fear:
May torturing Hope present the flowing cup,
Then, hasty, snatch it from his eager thirst,
And, when he dies, base treachery be the means.

The "Court of Fancy," a poem in the heroic measure, is superior to his tragedy in its diction, but has little originality of thought or illustration.

## JAMES RALPH.

THE only American immortalized in "The Duncied" was JAMES RALPH, who went to England with Franklin. Pope exclaims—

Silence, ye wolves! while Ralph to Cynthia howin, And makes night hideous; answer him, ye owis!

Ralph wrote a long "poem" entitled "Zeuma, er the Love of Liberty," which appeared in London in 1729; "Night," and "Sawney," a satire, in which I popose he attempted to repay the debt he owed to Popo, as it is but an abusive tirade against that poet and his friends. I quote a few lines from "Zeuma."

Tlascala's vaunt, great Zagnar's martial son, Extended on the rack, no more complains That realms are wanting to employ his sword But, circled with innumerable ghosts, Who print their keenest vengeance on his soul, For all the wrongs, and slaughters of his reign, Howls out repentance to the deafen'd skies, And shakes hell's concave with continual grouns.

#### AUTHORSHIP OF THE DECLARATION OF INDE-PENDENCE.

THOMAS JEFFERSON desired it to be recorded on his monument that he was the "author of the Declaration of American Independence." Since his death, much discussion has been produced by the fact that many expressions in this celebrated document have been found to be identical with the language used in the "Mecklenburg Declaration of Independence," a paper of earlier date, and it is conceded that the resemblances between the two instruments are not accidental. In 1819, John Adams found a copy of the Mecklenburg Declaration in the "Essex Register;" into which gazette it had been copied from the "Raleigh Register;" and perceiving its similarity to the Congressional Declaration, and that it purported to be an older document, he inclosed it to Mr. Jefferson, with whom he was then in frequent correspondence. The "Sage of Monticello" replied to Mr. Adams, informing him that he did not believe the paper to be authentic. "I believe it to be spurious," he says : " I deem it a very unjustifiable quiz." "Nor do I affirm positively that this paper is a fabrication, because the proof of a negative can only be presumptive; but I shall believe it such until positive and solemn proof of its authenticity shall be produced." The document is as follows:

# THE MECKLENBURG DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE.

(20th of May, 1775.)

"That whosoever directly or indirectly abets, or in any way, form, or manner, countenances the unchartered and dangerous invasion of our rights, as claimed by Great Britain, is an enemy to this country, to America, and to the inherent and undeniable rights of man.

"That we, the citizens of Mecklenburg county, do hereby dissolve the political bands which have connected us with the mother country, and hereby absolve surselves from all allegiance to the British crown, and abjure all political connection, contract, or association with that nation, who have wantonly trampled on our rights and liberties, and inhumanly shed the blood of American patriots at Lexing-

"That we do hereby declare ourselves a free and independent people; are, and of right ought to be, a sovereign and self-governing association, under the control of no power, other than that of our God, and the general government of Congress; to the maintenance of which independence, we solemnly pledge to each other, our mutual co-operation, our lives, our fortunes, and our most sacred honour.

"That as we acknowledge the existence and control of no law nor legal officer, civil or military, within this county, we do hereby ordain and adopt as a rule of life, all, each, and every of our former laws; wherein, nevertheless, the crown of Great Britain never can be considered as holding rights, privileges, immunities, or authority

"That it is further decreed, that all, each, and every military officer in this county, is hereby reinstated in his former command and authority, he acting conformably to the regulations. And that every member present of this delegation shall benceforth be a civil officer, viz., a justice of the peace, in the character of a committee man, to issue process, hear, and determine all matters of controversy, according to said adopted laws; and to preserve peace, union, and barmony in said county, and to use every exertion to spread the love of country and fire of freedom throughout America, until a more general and organized government be established in this province.

" ABRAHAM ALEXANDER, Cheirman.

"JOHN M'KNITT ALEXANDER, Secretary."

The letter of Mr. Jefferson having been published, the Legislature of North Carolina, influenced by a na-

tural state-pride, made a thorough investigation of all the facts connected with the Mecklenburg Declaration, the result of which was the establishment of the authenticity of that document by the most conclusive testimony. Professor Tucker, in his Life of Jefferson, is forced to admit that there is a plagiarism in the case, but decides that Mr. Jefferson could not be the plagiary. He does not deny that on the 20th of May, 1775, the citizens of Mecklenburg county, North Carolina, made some sort of a Declaration of Indepen dence, but he contends that it was not in the words of the instrument now published; and that the second and third paragraphs or resolves which this contains are interpolations, copied from Mr. Jefferson's Declaration after the 4th of July, 1776. This position of Professor Tucker has been overthrown, and the perfect authenticity of the Mecklenburg document so conclusively and satisfactorily established, that it is unnecessary at this time to enter into any discussion of that point. We now give the Declaration of Independence as written by Mr. Jefferson, with passages from the other documents to which it bears any resemblance, from an article in the New York Review, written soon after the appearance of Professor Tucker's Memoir, by the Rev. Dr. Hawkes-

The Declaration of Independ- Documents resembling the Doence, as drawn by Mr. Jef. claration of Independence. ferson.

When in the course of human events, it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bands which have connected them with another, and to assume among the powers of the earth the separate and equal station to which the laws of nature and of nature's God entitle them, a decent respect to the opinions of mankind requires that they should declare the causes which impel them to that separation.

We hold these truths selfevident; that all men are "that all men are by nature able rights; that among these ment of life and liberty,' secure these rights, govern- ginia declaration of Rights. to effect their safety and hap - Firginia declaration of piness. Prudence, indeed, Rights. will dictate that governments

long established, should not be changed for light and transient causes; and accordingly all experience hath shown that mankind are more dis-

created equal; that they are equally free and independent, endowed by their Creator and have certain inherent with inherent and inalien- rights"-" namely, the enjoyare life, liberty, and the pur- "and pursuing and obtaining suit of happiness; that to happiness and safety."-Firments are instituted among -"that government is instimen, deriving their just pow- tuted for the common beneers from the consent of the fit" &c .- " that all power is governed; that whenever any vested in the people"-"that form of government becomes whenever any government destructive of these ends, it shall be found inadequate" is the right of the people to &c.-" a majority of the comalter or abolish it, and to in- munity hath an indubitable, stitute new government, lay- unalienable and indefeasible ing its foundation on such right to reform, alter, or aprinciples, and organizing its bolish it, in such manner as powers in such form, as to shall be judged most conduthem shall seem most likely give to the public weal."

posed to suffer while evils are sufferable, than to right themselves by abolishing the forms to which they are accustomed. But when a long train of abuses and usurpations, begun at a distinguished period, and pursuing invariably the same object, evin-'ces a design to reduce them under absolute despotism : it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such government, and to provide new guards for their future security. Such has been the patient sufferance of these colonies; and such is now the necessity which constrains them to expunge their former systems of government. The history of the present king of Great Britain is a history of unremitting injuries and esurpations, among which appears no solitary fact to contradict the uniform tenor of the rest, but all have in direct object, the establishment of an absolute tyranny over these states. To prove this, let facts be submitted to a candid world, for the truth of which we pledge a faith yet unsullied by falsebood.

He has refused his assent "by putting his negative on to laws the most wholesome laws the most wholesome and necessary for the public and necessary for the public

ture, a right inestimable to ture."-Constitution of Va. them, and formidable to tyrants only.

He has called together legislative bodies at places unusual, uncomfortable, and distant from the depository of their public records, for the sole purpose of fatiguing them into compliance with his measures.

ative houses repeatedly and semblies repeatedly and concontinually, for opposing tinually, for opposing with with manly firmness his in- manly firmness his invasion vasions on the rights of the of the rights of the people."--people.

to cause others to be elected, of time, thereby leaving the whereby the legislative pow- political system without any

good." - Constitution of Virginia.

He has forbidden his gov- "by denying his governors

ernors to pass laws of imme- permission to pass laws of diate and pressing impor- immediate and pressing imtance, unless suspended in portance, unless suspended their operation till his assent in their operation for his asshould be obtained; and when sent, and when so suspended, so suspended, he has utterly neglecting to attend to them neglected to attend to them. for many years." -- Constitu-He has refused to pass tien of Va. "by refusing to other laws for the accommo- pass certain other laws, undation of large districts of less the persons to be benefitpeople, unless those people ed by them would relinquish would relinquish the right of the inestimable right of rerepresentation in the legisla- presentation in the legisla-

He has dissolved represent- "by dissolving legislative as-Constitution of Va.

He has refused for a long "when dissolved, by refusing time after such dissolutions to call others for a long space ers, incapable of annihila- legislative head".tion have returned to the tien of Va. people at large for their exercise, the state remaining in the meantime, exposed to all the dangers of invasion from without, and convulcions within.

fusing to pass others to en-Constitution of Fa courage their migrations hither, and raising the conditions of new appropriations of lands.

He has suffered the administration of justice totally to cease in some of these states. refusing his assent to laws for establishing judiciary pow-

He has made our judges dependent on his will alone for the tenure of their offices. and the amount and payment of their salaries.

He has erected a multitude of new offices by a self-assumed power, and sent hither swarms of new officers to harass our people and eat out their substance.

He has kept among us, in "by keeping among us, in times of peace, standing ar- times of peace, standing armics and ships of war with- mics and ships of war."out the consent of our legis- Constitution of Va. le tures.

the military independent of military independent of and and superior to, the civil superior to the civil power." power.

diction foreign to our consti- dictiontutions and unacknowledged gislation:

bitants of these states:

without our consent:

For depriving us of the be- -" for depriving us of the nefits of trial by jury:

offences:

For abolishing the free sys- "the dominion of Canada is neighbouring province, esta- being disunited from us"

He has endeavoured to pre- "by endeavouring to prevent vent the population of these the population of our counstates: for that purpose ob- try, and, for that purpose, obstructing the laws for natu- structing the laws for the ralization of foreigners, re- naturalization of foreigners.

He has affected to render "by affecting to render the -Constitution of Va.

He has combined with oth- "by combining with others to ers to subject us to a juris- subject us to a foreign juris-

by our laws, giving his assent -giving his assent to their to their acts of pretended le- pretended acts of legislation"

For quartering large bo- "for quartering large bodies dies of armed troops among of armed troops among us." -Constitution of Va.

For protecting them by a " to protect from punishment. mock trial from punishment, such as might be guilty even for any murders which they of murder in endeavouring should commit on the inha- to carry their oppressive edicts into execution." -Jay's Address to Eng. perple.

For cutting off our trade -" for cutting off our trade with all parts of the world: with all parts of the world" For imposing taxes on us -" for imposing taxes on us without our consent"

benefits of trial by jury" For transporting us beyond - for transporting us beseas to be tried for pretended youd seas to be tried for pre tended offences." -- Constitu tion of Vai

tem of English laws in a to be so governed as that by blishing therein an arbitrary -" they might become forgovernment, and enlarging midable to us, and on occa-

its boundaries, so as to ren- sion be fit instruments in the der it at once an example and hands of power to reduce the at instrument for introdu- ancient free Protestant Colocing the same absolute rule nies to the same state of into these states;

ters, abolishing our most va- charters of no validity, havhable laws, and altering ing annulled the most mafundamentally the forms of terial parts of the charter of our governments:

legislatures, and declaring gislatures, and declaring themselves invested with themselves invested with all cases whatsoever.

ment here, withdrawing his the government."-Drayton's governors, and declaring us Charge. out of his allegiance and "by abandoning the helm of protection.

lives of our people.

isted nation.

He has constrained our fellow citizens taken captive on the high seas to bear arms against their country, to become the executioners of their friends and brethren, or to fall themselves by their hands.

existence.

[Then follow two clauses not adopted by the Committee, relative to exciting "treasonable insurrections of our fellow citizens," and the slave trade.] The first of these is in these words: He

In every stage of these oppressions, we have petitioned for redress in the most hum. "by answering our repeated ble terms; our repeated peti- petitions for redress with a tions have been answered repetition of injuries."-Cononly by repeated injuries.

our property.

A prince whose character se thus marked by every act

slavery with themselves."-Jay's Address.

For taking away our char- "by rendering the American the Massachusetts Bay."-Drayton's Charge.

For suspending our own "for suspending our own lepower to legislate for us in power to legislate for us in all cases whatsoever."-Coxstitution of Va.

He has abdicated govern- "Geo. the 3d has abdicated

'government, and declaring us out of his allegiance and protection."-Constitution of Va. He has plundered our seas, " by plundering our seas, raravaged our coast, burnt our vaging our coasts, burning towns, and destroyed the our towns, and destroying the lives of our people."-Constitution of Va.

He is at this time trans- "by transporting at this time porting large armies of fo- a large army of foreign merreign mercenaries to com- cenaries to complete the plete the works of death, de- works of death, desolation solation and tyranny, alrea- and tyranny, already begun, dy begun, with circumstan- with circumstances of cruces of cruelty and perfidy un- elty and perfidy unworthy worthy the head of a civil- the head of a civilized nation."-Constitution of Va.

He has endeavoured to "by endeavouring to bring bring on the inhabitants of on the inhabitants of our our frontiers the merciless frontiers the merciless Indian Indian savages, whose known savages, whose known rule rule of warfare is an undistin- of warfare is an undistinguished destruction of all guished destruction of all ages, sexes, and conditions of ages, sexes and conditions of existence."- Constitution of

has incited treasonable in- "by inciting insurrections of surrections of our fallow citi- our fellow subjects, with the zens, with the allurements of allurements of forfeiture and forfeiture and confiscation of confiscation." - Constitution

stitution of Fa.

which may define a tyrant, is unfit to be the ruler of a people who mean to be free. The residue of this paragraph was not adopted by the Committee.

Nor have we been wanting in attentions to our British brethren. We have warned them from time to time of attempts by their legislature to extend jurisdiction over these our States. We have reminded them of the circumstances of our emigration and settlement here; we appealed to their native justice and magnanimity, [as well as to] the ties of our common kindred, to disavow these usurpations which [were likely to] interrupt our connection and correspondence. They too have been deaf to the voice of justice and consanguinity. We must there-fore acquiesce in the necessity which denounces our [eternal] separation, and hold them as we hold the rest of mankind, enemies in war, in peace, friends.

We therefore, the Repre- "We the citizens of Meckthrough, or under them: we ration. free and independent states; legates.

sentatives of the United lenburg County do hereby States of America, in Gener- dissolve the political bands al Congress assembled, do in which have connected us to the name, and by the autho- the mother country; and rity of the good people of hereby absolve ourselves these states, reject and re- from all allegiance to the nounce all allegiance and British crown, and abjure all subjection to the kings of political connection, contract, Great Britain, and all others or association with that mawho may hereafter claim by, tion."-Mecklenburg Decla-

utterly dissolve all political "to declare the United Coloconnection which may here nies free and independent tofore have subsisted be- states absolved from all alletween us and the people or giance to, or dependence upon parliament of Great Britain; the crown or parliament of and finally we do assert and Great Britain."-Instructions declare these colonies to be of Va. Convention to her de-

and that as free and inde- -" We do hereby declare ourpendent states, they have full selves a free and independent power to levy war, conclude people; are, and of right peace, contract alliances, es- ought to be, a sovereign and tablish commerce, and to do self-governing association" all other acts and things --- "to the maintenance of which independent states which independencemay of right do.

And for the support of this -we solemnly pledge to each declaration we mutually other our mutual co-opera-pledge to each other our tion, our lives, our fortunes, lives, our fortunes, and our and our most sacred honour ' -Mecklenburg Declaration. sacred honour.

Every instrument from which a quotation is made in the column of resemblances it should be remarked. purports to be of earlier date than the declaration of independence. Thus the Virginia declaration of rights was adopted June 12th, 1776.\* The Constitution of Virginia was adopted June 29, 1776.† Governor Jay's

\* Journal of the Virginia Convention of June, 1776, p. 42, reprinted by a resolution of the House of Delegates, of the Stih February, 1816. Bichmond 1816.
† Same Journal, p. 76.

address to the people of England was written and published in September, 1774.\* Judge Drayton's charge was delivered on the 23d of April, 1776, and published in May of the same year.† And the declaration by the citizens of Mecklenburg county in North Carolina, was made on the 20th of May, 1775.;

An attempt has been made to show that the Constitution of Virginia, or more properly, the List of Grievances prefixed to that Document, was written by Mr. Jefferson, but there is no proof of this. Mr. Tucker says it was written by Mr. Jefferson, in Philadelphia, and forwarded to Mr. Wythe in Virginia. But on the 11th of August, 1775, Mr. Jefferson was elected a delegate to Congress for one year, by the convention of Virginia, and on the 20th of June, 1776, was re-elected for another year.|| The Journals, show us that George Wythe, also, was elected with Mr. Jefferson, on both the occasions referred to; and as the list of members in the Virginia convention presents us with the name, at one time, of Mr. Edmund Randolph, and at another, of Mr. Prentiss, sitting for Mr. Wythe, we infer that in 1776, when the Virginia constitution was under consideration, Mr. Wythe was in Philadelphia with Mr. Jefferson, attending to his duties in Congress: if this be so, we do not perceive how this list of griewances could have been transmitted to him in Virginia. It may, indeed, have been sent to some other friend named Wythe; or the professor may have mistaken the person to whom it was sent; in which case it is plain that the original letter of Mr. Jefferson containing these grievances was not before his biographer. It may be a received opinion, in Virginia, that Mr. Jefferson furnished the list of grievances prefixed to the constitution; nor would we be understood as denying that he did so. If he did, he only borrowed very largely from himself; and it is to be lamented that he has left no explanation of the resemblance between the declaration and the charter of Virginia; while in another case, of much less moment, he has been at the pains to account for the likeness of a Virginia document, which was penned by himself, to a public paper which he prepared as a member of Congress. If a letter to eny one in Virginia, can be produced, from Mr. Jefferson's pen, which contains this list of grievances, and if they were thus communicated before the 29th of June, 1776, Mr. Jefferson's friends owe it to his memory, and, as Americans, they owe it also to their countrymen, to let the testimony be forthcoming. If there be no such letter in being, let inferior evidence be produced, if it exists to establish the fact that Mr. Jefferson wrote both papers. The respective dates of the papers render it important; for, unexplained by satisfactory proof, posterity may accuse Mr. Jefferson of a plagiarism more extensive than that from the Meckenburg document. On the 15th of May, 1776, the convention of Virginia appointed the committee to prepare a declaration of rights, and a constitution; on the 27th of May, the declaration of rights was reported, and on the 11th of June, was adopted; on the 29th of Jane, the constitution was adopted.

Jay's Life, vol. i. p. 30.

† Niles' Principles and Acts of the Revolution, p. 79.

On the 10th of June, Congress appointed the com mittee to draw the Declaration of Independence; only one day before the declaration of rights was adopted in Virginia; and, that this last named paper, which it is not pretended he wrote, was used by Mr. Jefferson in preparing the first part of the congressional declaration, is obvious, upon a comparison of the two instruments. If this were sent to him in Philadelphia by his Virginia friends, as it must have been, why may not the copy of the proposed constitution, with this very list of grievances, have also been sent? We find, from the journals of the Convention, that it was before that body as early as the 26th of June, for on that day it was discussed, and the committee had been employed on it from the 15th of the previous May; so that we cannot tell how long before the 26th of June it had been in existence in Virginia, if it originated there; but it is certainly within the range of possibility, that it was prepared before the 10th of June, when the committee on the national document was appointed by Congress; and within the range of probability, that, if prepared, it was sent with the declaration of rights, which we have seen was used by Mr. Jefferson. These facts render it important, to establish most satisfactorily the point, that Mr. Jefferson did prepare this list of grievances in Philadelphia, and did send them to Virginia some time between the 15th of May and the 29th of June; and if this point be not sustained, the presumption is against his claim to the authorship.

It is not questionable that Mr. Jefferson did borrow from the Mecklenburg Declaration of Independence, whatever may be the truth in regard to the Virginia constitution, and if he did, Dr. Hawkes well asks, was not his letter to Mr. Adams something more " unjustifiable" than a "quiz f" There are not many so dull as to be able to read the evidence in the case without believing Mr. Jefferson a plagiarist, and few will doubt that he knew he had used the Mecklenburg instrument when he wrote his extraordinary answer to the letter of Mr. Adams.

## LORD DEXTER.

"LORD TIMOTHY DEXTER" was born in Malden, Massachusetts, in 1743. He is said to have been as industrious and ingenious when a youth, as he was foolish and fortunate in mature age. He was apprenticed by his father to a leather-dresser, and, on attaining the age of twenty-one years, embarked in the business on his own account, and for a considerable period carried it on successfully. He also amassed a large sum of money by buying depreciated notes, and selling them for their full nominal value, and by marrying a rich widow. Having secured a liberal fortune, he "set up for a lord," and for many years lived in vulgar magnificence at Newburyport, where he had a splendid mansion and a fine estate. Everything about him was unique and absurd. Fifteen thousand dollars' worth of wooden statues adorned his grounds; his dress was a mixture of the militia captain's and the Roman senator's; his coach was like the car of a heathen deity; and his "literary composures" were as odd and as stupid as he was himself. His "Pickle for the Knowing Ones, Or, Plain Truth in a Homespua Dress," is a collection of proverbs, aphorisms, and observations, new and old, so wretchedly written that it is difficult to discover their meaning. It has, within a few years, however, been reprinted, and a life of the author was written by the late Samuel L. Knapp. We

Digitized by GOOGIC

<sup>†</sup> The Declaration of Independence by the citizens of Mecklenburg county, &c., &c., published by the Governor under the authority and direction of the General Amembly of the State of North Carolina, p. 11. Raleigh, 1831. | Ibid.

j Journal of that date. Thee Autobiography, p. 10.

copy the following note from the last page of the second edition of it:—

[Note to Dexter's Second Edition.]
Fourder mister printer the Nowing ones complane
off my book the fust edition had no stops I put in A nuf
here and thay may pepper and solt it as they plese

## DEDICATIONS AND INTRODUCTORY POEMS.

Many of the works of the early New Englanders are dedicated in "laborious rhymes" to the friends or patrons of their authors, and more are commended to the reader's favourable regard in "introductory verses" by the writer's associates or admirers. We have before mentioned the "Poetical Meditations" of Roger Wolcott, Governor of Connecticut, and Major General in the Expedition against Louisburg, in 1745. They were dedicated to the Rev. Timethy Edwards, in the following very modest address:

## TO THE REVEREND MR. TIMOTHY EDWARDS.

At sight of this, you scarcely will excuse
My broken numbers should affront your muse,
Whose single elegance outdoes the Nine,
And all their off rings at Apollo's shrine.

But, sir, they come not to AFFRONT, but stand Trembling before your awful seat, to hear From you their sentence that's definitive, Whether they shall be kill'd, or saved alive.

Yet, where you censure, sir, don't make the verse You pian'd to Glover's venerable hearse, The standard for their trial; nor enact You never will acquit what's less exact,

Sir, that will never do; rules so severe Would ever leave Apollo's altars bare, His priests no service: all must starve together, And fair Parnassus' verdant tops must wither.

Sure that was not the purpose or design Of the fair sisters when they did combine Themselves in your assistance; no, their mind In that great work, was otherwise design'd.

They, having often to their trouble seen Many bold poets launch on Hippocrene, Men too thet might a handsome voyage have made, Had they but kept them to the coasting trade;

But ranging far upon those swelling seas, Come home with broken lines and voyages; Grieved at their losses and miscarriages, A council met at Hippocenides;

They vote a remedy; which to effect, That their Herculean pillar to erect, And, to advise adventurers once for all, Wrote ne plus ultra on its pedestal.

Since which, there's none that dare presume to go Beyond that wonder then set up by you; No, nor attain it in their navigation:— That sacred work is not for INITATION!

Conscious of this, you see my muse ne'er soars To Hible's top, nor the Asnian shores; Nor doth pretend to raptures that might suit Pindarus' suse or great Apello's lute.

Then weigh them candidly, and if that you shall once pronounce a longer life their due;

And, for their patron, will yourself engage, They may, perhaps, adventure on the stage: But if deny'd they, blushing, back retire To burn themselves on their own funeral pyre.

From the "copy of verses" prefixed to Wigglesworth's "Day of Doom," we have room for the following specimen only:

David's Affliction bred us many a Pealm,
From Caves, from mouth of Graves that Singer sweet
Oft tuned his Soul-feel-notee: For not in Calm
But sterm, to write most Psalms God made him meet,
Affliction turn'd this Pen to Poetry,
Whose serious streins do here before thee ly.

This Man with many griefs Afflicted sore, Shut up from speaking much in sickly Cave: Thence painful seisure hath to write the more, And send thee Counsels from mouth of the Grave. One foot i' th' other world long time hath been— Read, and thou 'It say, His heart is all therein.

Oh, happy Cave, that 's to mount Av& turn'd!
Oh, happy Prisoner that 's at liberty
To Walk through th' other World! the Bonds are burn'd
(But nothing else) in Furnace flery.

Such Fires unfetter Saints, and set more free Their unscorch'd Souls for Christ's sweet company.

Chear on, sweet Soul, although in briny tears
Steep is thy seed, though dying every day;
Thy sheaves shall joyful be, when Christ appears
To change our death and pain to life for aye.
The weepers now shall laugh; the joyful laughter
Of vain ones here, shall turn to tears hereafter.

Judge right, and his restraint is our Reproof;
The Sins of Hearers, Preachers Lips do close,
And make their Tongue to cleave unto its roof,
Which else would check and chear ful freely those
That need. But from this Eater comes some Meat,
And sweetness good from this Affliction Great.

In those vast Woods a Christian Poet Sings (Where whitome Heatheu wild are only found) Of things to come, the last and greatest things, Which in our Ears aloud should ever sound. Of Judgement dread, Hell, Heaven, Eternity; Reader, think oft, and help thy thoughts thereby.

Mather's Magnalia was accompanied by commendatory poems, in English and Latin, by nearly all the verse makers of the time. Nicholas Noyes writes "te the candid reader"—

Heads of our tribes, whose corps are under ground, Their names and fames in chronicles renown'd, Begemm'd on golden suckes he hath set, Past envy's teeth and time's corroding fret: Of Death and malice, he brush'd off the dust, And made a resurrection of the just: And clear'd the land's religion of the gloss, And copper-cuts of Alexander Ross. He hath related academic things, And paid their first fruits to the King of kings; And done his Alma Mater that just favour, To show sal gentium hath not lost its sayour He writes like an historian, and divine, Of Churches, Synode, Fuith, and Discipline. Illustrious Providences are display'd, Mercies and judgments are in colours laid; Salvations wonderful by sea and land, Themselves are seved by his pious hand The Churches' mars, and various ensuies Wild salvages, and wilder sectories, Are notify'd for them that after rise.

The modesty of the authors of that age, we presume, rarely prevented the publication of such ingenious praises.

## THE AMERICAN CADMUS.

THE invention of the Cherokee alphabet is one of the most remarkable events in the history of the Aborigines. The best account we have seen of it is by Samuel L. Knapp, who became acquainted with Secquah-yah, its author, in 1828. The English name of this celebrated Indian was George Guess. He is said to have been a half-breed, but whether he was so or not, he never associated with the whites, or spoke any language but that of the Cherokees. Prompted by his own curiosity, and urged by several literary friends, Mr. Knapp applied to See-quah-yah, through the medium of two interpreters, one a half-blood, Capt. Rodgers, and the other a full-blood chief, whose assumed English name was John Maw, to relate to him, as minutely as possible, the mental operations, and all the facts, in his discovery. He cheerfully complied with the request, and gave very deliberate and satisfactory answers to every question; and was at the same time careful to know from the interpreters if Mr. Knapp distinctly understood his answers. No stoic could have been more grave in his demeanour than was See-quah-yah; he pondered, according to the Indian custom, for a considerable time after each question, before he made his reply, and often took a whiff of his calumet, while reflecting on an answer. The substance of his communications to Mr. Knapp was as follows: That he, See-quah-yah, was now about sixty-five years old; that in early life he was gay and talkative; and although he never attempted to speak in Council but once, yet was often, from the strength of his memory, his easy colloquial powers, and ready command of his vernacular, story-teller of the convivial party. His reputation for talents of every kind gave him some distinction when he was quite young, so long ago as St. Clair's defeat. In this campaign, or some one that soon followed it, a letter was found on the person of a prisoner, which was wrongly read by him to the Indians. In some of their deliberations on this subject, the question arose among them, whether this mysterious power of the talking leaf, was the gift of the Great Spirit to the white man, or a discovery of the white man himself? Most of his companions were of the former opinion, while he as strenuously maintained the latter. This frequently became a subject of contemplation with him afterwards, as well as many other things which he knew, or had heard, that the white man could do; but he never sat down seriously to reflect on the subject, until a swelling on his knee confined him to his cabin, and which at length made him a cripple for life, by shortening the diseased leg. Deprived of the excitements of war, and the pleasures of the chase, in the long nights of his confinement, his mind was again directed to the mystery of the power of speaking by letters,-the very name of which, of course, was not to be found in his language. From the cries of wild beasts, from the talents of the mockingbird, from the voices of his children and his companions, he knew that feelings and passions were conveyed by different sounds, from one intelligent being to another. The thought struck him to try to ascertain all the sounds in the Chesokee language. His own ear was not remarkably discriminating, and be called to his aid the more acute ears of his wife and children. He found great assistance from them. When he thought that he had distinguished all the different sounds in their language, he attempted to use pictorial

signs, images of birds and beasts, to convey these sounds to others, or to mark them in his own mind. He soon dropped this method, as difficult or impossible, and tried arbitrary signs, without any regard to appearances, except such as might assist him in recollecting them, and distinguishing them from each other. At first, these signs were very numerous; and when he got so far as to think his invention was nearly accomplished, he had about two hundred characters in his alphabet. By the aid of his daughter, who seemed to enter in the genius of his labours, he reduced them, at last, to eighty-six, the number he now used. He then undertook to make these characters more comely to the eye, and succeeded. As yet he had not the knowledge of the pen as an instrument, but made his letters on a piece of bark, with a knife or nail. At this time he sent to the Indian agent, or some trader in the nation, for paper and pen. His ink was easily made from some of the bark of the forest trees, whose colouring properties he had previously known; and after seeing the construction of the pen, he soon learned to make one; but at first he made it without a slit; this inconvenience was, however, quickly removed by his sagacity. His next difficulty was to make his invention known to his countrymen; for by this time he had become so abstracted from his tribe and their usual pursuits, that he was viewed with an eye of suspicion, His former companions passed his wigwam without entering it, and mentioned his name as one who was practising improper spells, for notoriety or mischievous purposes; and he seemed to think that he should have been hardly dealt with, if his docile and unambitious disposition had not been so generally acknowledged by his tribe. At length he summoned some of the most distinguished of his nation, in order to make his communication to them; and after giving them the best explanation of his principle that he could, stripping it of all supernatural influence, he proceeded to demonstrate to them, in good earnest, that he had made a discovery. His daughter, who was now his only pupil, was ordered to go out of hearing, while he requested his friends to name a word or sentiment, which he put down, and then she was called in and read it to them; then the father retired, and the daughter wrote The Indians were wonder-struck, but not entirely satisfied. See-quah-yah then proposed, that the tribe should select several youths from among their cleverest young men, that he might communicate the mystery to them. This was at length agreed to, although there was some lurking suspicion of necromancy in the whole business. John Maw, with several others, was selected for this purpose. The tribes watched them for several months with anxiety; and when they offered themselves for examination, the feelings of all were wrought up to the highest pitch. The youths were separated from their master, and from each other, and watched with the The uninitiated directed what the greatest care. master and pupil should write to each other, and these tests were varied in such a manner, as not only to destroy their infidelity, but most firmly to fix their faith The Indians, on this, ordered a great feast, and made See-quah-yah conspicuous at it. How nearly is man alike in every age! Pythagoras did the same on the discovery of an important principle in geometry. Seequah-yah became at once schoolmaster, professor philosopher, and a chief. His countrymen were proud of his talents, and held him in reverence as one

favoured by the Great Spirit. The inventions of early times were shrouded in mystery. See-quah-yah disdained all deception. He did not stop here, but carried his discoveries to numbers. He, of course, knew nothing of Arabic digits, nor of the power of Roman letters in the science. The Cherekees had mental numerals to one hundred, and had words for all numbers up to that; but they had no signs or characters to assist them in enumerating, adding, subtracting, multiplying, or dividing. He reflected upon this until he had created their elementary principle in his mind; but he was at first obliged to make words to express his meaning, and then signs to explain it. By this process he soon had a clear conception of numbers up to a million. His great difficulty was, at the threshold, to fix the powers of his signs according to their places. When this was overcome, his next step was in adding up his different numbers in order to put down the fraction of the decimal, and give the whole number to his next place. But when Mr. Knapp saw him in Washington, he had overcome all these difficulties, and was a ready arithmetician in the fundamental rules. He adhered to all the customs of his country; and when his associate chiefs on the mission assumed our costume, he was dressed in all respects like an Indian. He was a man of varied abilities, and he passed from metaphysical and philosophical investigation to mechanical occupations with the greatest case. The only practical mechanics he was acquainted with, were a few blacksmiths, who could make a rough tomahawk, or repair the lock of a rifle; yet he became a white and silver smith, without any instruction, and made spurs and silver spoons with neatness and skill, to the great admiration of the people of the Cherokee nation See-quah-yah had also a great taste for painting. He mixed his colours with skill; acquainting himself with all the art and science of his tribe upon the subject, he added many chemical experiments of his own, some of which were very successful. For his drawings he had no models but such as nature furnished, and he often copied nature with astonishing faithfulness. His portraits are coarse, but often spirited and correct, and he gave action, and sometimes grace, to his representations of animals. He had never seen an artists' pencil, but he made use of the hair of wild animals for his brushes. Some of his productions evinced a considerable knowledge of perspective; but he could not have formed rules for this. The painters in the early ages were many years in coming to a knowledge of this part of their art; and their successors even now are more successful in the art than perfect in its principles. The manners of the American Cadmus were most easy, and his habits those of the most assiduous scholar. He understood and felt the advantages the white man had long enjoyed, of having the accumulations of every branch of knowledge, by means of a written language, while the red man could only commit his thoughts to uncertain tradition. He reasoned correctly, when he urged this to his friends as the cause why the red man had made so few advances in knowledge in comparison with us. To remedy this was his great aim.

It may not, perhaps, be known that the government of the United States had a font of types cast for his alphabet; and that a newspaper, printed partly in the Cherokee language, and partly in the English, has been established at New Echota, which is characterized by decency and good sense; and that thus many of the Cherokees are able to read both languages. Mr. Knapp, in his account of this remarkable person, mentions seeing the head chief of the Cherokees, who confirmed the statement of See-quah-yah, and added, that he was an Indian of the strictest veracity and sobriety. The western wilderness is not only to blossom like the rose; but there, man has started up, and proved that he has not degenerated since the primitive days of Cecrops, and the romantic ages of wonderful effort and god-like renown.

## DR. DWIGHT AND MR. DENNIE.

DENNIE was once esteemed the finest prose writer of the United States; but were they now to make their first appearance, his essays would be thought to be but little above mediocrity. We could readily name a dozen magazinists who are superior t him in style and thought. He was admired, however, and, among others, by Dr. Dwight, of whose first encounter with him the following story is related. While travelling in New Jersey, the learned President chanced to stop for a night at a stage hotel, in one of its populous towns. Late in the evening arrived also at the inn Mr. Dennie, who had the misfortune to learn from the landlord that his beds were all paired with lodgers except one occupied by the celebrated Dr. Dwight. "Show me to his apartment," exclaimed Dennie; "although I am a stranger to the reverend Doctor, perhaps I can bargain with him for my lodgings." The landlord accordingly waited on Mr. Dennie to his guest's room, and there left him to introduce himself. The Doctor, although in his night-gown, cap and slippera, and just ready to resign himself to the refreshing arms of somnus, politely requested the strange intruder to be seated. He was struck with the peculiar physiognomy of his companion, unbent his austere brow, and commenced an animated colloquy. The names of Washington, Franklin, Rittenhouse, and a host of literary and political characters for some time gave a zest and interest to their conversation, until Dwight chanced to mention the writings of Dennie "Dennie, the editor of the Port Folio, said the Doctor in a rhapsody, "is the Addison of the United Statesthe father of American Belles Lettres. But, sir," continued he, "is it not astonishing, that a man of such genius, fancy and feeling, should abandon himself to the inebriating bowl, and to bacchanalian revels (" "Sir," said Dennie, "you are mistaken: I have been intimately acquainted with Dennie for several years, and I never knew or saw him intoxicated." "Sir," says the Doctor; "you err; I have my information from a particular friend. I am confident that I am right, and that you are wrong." Dennie now ingeniously changed the conversation to the clergy, remarking, "that Doctors Abercrombie and Mason were amongst our most distinguished divines, yet that he considered Dr. Dwight, President of Yale College, the most learned theologian, the first logician, and the greatest poet that America had ever produced. But, sir," continued Dennie, "there are traits in his character unworthy so great and wise a man-of the most detestable description—he is the greatest bigot and dogmatist of the age!" "Sir," said the Doctor, "you are grossly mistaken. I am intimately acquainted with Dr. Dwight, and I know to the contrary."-"Sir," says Dennie, "you are mistaken, I have it

from an intimate acquaintance of his, who I am confident would not tell an untruth." "No more slander," says the Doctor, "I am Dr. Dwight, of whom you speak!" "And I too," exclaimed Dennie, "am Mr. Dennie, of whom you spoke!" The astonishment of Dr. Dwight may be better conceived than told. Suffice it to say, they mutually shook hands, and were extremely happy in each other's acquaintance.

# PRIVATE CORRESPONDENCE OF DOCTOR MAYHEW.

THE celebrated JONATHAN MAYHEW, D.D., was married at thirty-five to Miss Elizabeth Clarke, then but twenty-two. Bradford, in his life of the Doctor, gives several characteristic letters from him to Miss Clark, and to her brother, written during his courtship. They show that a studious and literary man may be susceptible of the tender passion, yet do not make this author appear quite as ridiculous as the excellent Dr. Driddridge was made to seem by the publication of some of his letters of the same kind. The first of the following is addressed to Dr. William Clark:

March 10, 1756.\*

"DEAR SIR,—One Mr. Jo. Bill, has promised, by his curious art of cookery, to turn a calf's-head and pluck into a good sea-turtle for us to-day. I did not suppose that you have any particular love of such sort of food, and hope I have not myself. However, this metamorphosed calf's-head may possibly be a curiosity to you; and if you will come and partake of it with me, you will have the pleasure of Mr. Quincy's† company, who may at present, perhaps, find no inconvenience from such a diet.

"Yours most affectionately,
"J. MAYHEW.

"P. S. I was going to request you to present my compliments to Miss Betsey; but I do not like the formality of that word. I desire you would, in plain eld English, give my hearty love to her; but do not, for the world, let her know a syllable of what I have written about turtle food. For you know ministers ought, in all propriety and prudence, to be very grave, not to say stupid; and for them to jest, in any way, about such things, is almost as bad as heresy."

This is to the lady herself:

" Boston, June 10, 1756.

"DEAR BETSEY,—This is one of the most unnecessary, impertinent letters that ever you received; the chief design of it being to tell you, only what you know so well already, that I never can forget you: and that no distance of place can lessen my love and regards to you. I intend, with submission to Providence, to see you at Waltham, on Saturday next, or at the farthest on Monday. I would not willingly indulge a suspicion

- This familiar note may be thought hardly proper to be given; as not being sufficiently grave for such a man as Dr. Mayhew. But it is indicative of a trait of characteristic pleasantry, which his intimate friends often mentioned. Dr. Clark, to whom it is addressed, was a brother of the lady whom Dr. Mayhew married soon after.
- † Edmund Quincy, his intimate friend and one of his parish, who wrote a character of Dr. Mayhew, soon after his death.
  - 1 Afterwards Mrs. Mayhew.
- § Dr. Mayhew was a Unitarian, and an attempt had just before been made, by certain meddlesome people, to prevent a connection between him and Miss Clark, on a charge of sersey, which had some effect on the mind of Mrs. Clark, and served to delay the connection.

that any advantage will be taken of my absence, to prejudice me in your esteem. However, if any attempts of this nature should be made I finiter myself they will be in vain. I am persuaded, from the experience I have had of your constancy and fidelity, that I am concerned with a person of the greatest honour and generosity; and accordingly place the most unreserved confidence in you. Believe me, charming creature, I most ardently long to see you; but, in the mean time, must content myself with giving you this epistolary testimony of my regards; the best, indeed, it is in my power to give at present:

'Heaven first taught letters for some wretch's aid, Some banish'd lover, or some captive maid; They live, they speak, they breathe what love inspired Warm from the soul, and faithful to its fires: Speed the soft intercourse from soul to soul, And waft a sigh from India to the pole.'

- "I used to admire these lines, before I was a lover, now I feel their force and propriety. I need not multiply words; or rather, it would be to no purpose to do it; because words, however multiplied, cannot express how much, and how sincerely, I am yours,
  - "J. MAYBEW.
- "P. S.—The hurry I am in, must be my apology for sending you a letter with so many blots, and so incorrectly written in other respects. It has only truth and sincerity to recommend it; which, though of little consideration with many of your sex, will not, I hope, be wholly disregarded by you. My duty to your mamme, if you think proper to present it.

" J. M."

The following letter was written at an earlier period, to the Rev. Samuel Cooper, D. D., afterward pastor of the Brattle-Square church, in Boston:

"CAMBRIDGE, Dec. 5, 1743.

" Six,-I received yours some days since, and must beg your pardon for not acknowledging the favour sooner; though I must, like other half-penitents, endeavour to extenuate my fault, by saying it was unavoidable. For the omission proceeded partly from my having a great deal of business on my hands, of late—and partly from laziness—the college disease. Now, sir, you will readily acknowledge the first to be a good excuse, so far as it goes; and as to the latter, laziness that is so deep-rooted as mine, as effectually hinders the person from doing any thing, as if he was bound down head and foot, with all the new hempcords, green withs, and braided hair, that the wicked Jezebel (Delilah, I would have said) tied her lusty spouse with. Now you know that a physical inability is (by some divines) alleged as a good apology for a man's not doing what would otherwise have been his duty; and, on this account, I hope you will not be very severe, but show that you are not implacable in your resentments, by giving me a speedy answer: for to tell the truth, your delaying to write me, would be the greatest punishment of my indolence that I can imagine.

"I have now, before I was aware of it, by telling you what would be the most severe way to revenge yourself on me, put it into your power to play the ty rant. But since you are a man, and not a second, I am in some hopes you will not catch at every favour able opportunity to torment me.

"There is our good friend, Brandom, has laid under the Lady Clio's wrath and discipline ever since ast

Digitized by GOOGIC

spring, till the poor thing is quite emaciated, he not being more bulky at present than two ordinary men. But I expect to see him swell to his former dimensions in a short time: for I must tell you that he has appeared the anger of the little tyranness at last, got out of purgatory, and is to be blest above the lot of mortals, in waiting on the lady to \* \* \* \*, to-morrow, with some other company going to attend Mr. P \* \* \* and his new-married wife out of town. I hope, in pity to the wedded couple, that the severity of the weather will abate; for it will be hard indeed to have winter both without and within doors. I say winter within, because it is said that a cold season comes about a month after marriage, when all the springs of affection are commonly exhausted or frozen up, even in those who just before were sweltering in the sultry dog-days

"Well, I have been rambling, I know not where. It is time to return home, and conclude, lest I should have occasion to make a long apology for being tedious. I hope your next will be in doggrel; not but I like your proce as well as any man's living—but yet, methinks a little jingle of yours would make my soul all ear and all harmony.

Your honest friend,

"J MAYREW."

## EPITAPHS, ANAGRAMS, ELEGIES, &c., OF THE PURITANS.

NOTHING more admirably illustrates the character of the founders of New England than their epitaphs, elegies, anagrams, and other portraitures of each other. Grave doctors of divinity—men more learned in classical literature and scholastic theology than any since their time—prided themselves upon the excellence of their puns and epigrams, and the cleverness shown by a few celebrated persons in this species of fashionable trifling constituted their principal claim to immortality. In the Magnalia Christi Americana, Thomas Shepard, a minister of Charlestown, is described as "the greatest anagrammatizer since the days of Lycophron," and the pastoral care of the renowned Cotton Mather himself is characteristically described as distinguished for

—Care to guide his flock and feed his lambs

By words, works, prayers, psalms, alms and—enegrems!

One of the anagrams upon the name of Mather makes out of Cottonus Matherus, Tu tantum Conors es, another Tuos tecum ornasti, etc.; and on the death of the Rev. Thomas Wilson, Shepard wrote,

JOHN WILSON, anagr. John WILSON.

O change it not! no sweeter name or thing
Throughout the world within our ears shall ring!

We have collected a few specimens of the epitaphs of our first century, which, from their ingenuity or quaintness, cannot fail to amuse the reader. The first is on Samuel Danforth, a minister of Roxbury, who died in 1674, a few days after the completion of a new meeting-house, and was written by Thomas Welde, a poet of considerable reputation in his day—

Our new-built church now suffers by this— Larger its Windows, but its Lights one less.

Thomas Dudley, who came to Massachusetts in 1630 as deputy-governor, was subsequently chief magistrate of the colony for several years. He died on the last day of July, 1653, in the seventy-third year of his age, and was buried in Roxbury, where, in the records of the Congregational church, is preserved an anagram

said to have been sent to him by some anauymous wrater, in 1645.

THOMAS DUBLEY, anagr. Ah, old must dye!
A death's head on your hand you need no: weare—
A dying head you on your shoulders heare
You need not one to mynd you you must dye—
You in your nems may spell mortalitye.
Young men may dye, but old men, they iye must,
'Twill not be long before you turn to dust.
Before you turn to dust! Ah! must old dye?—
What shall young doe, when old in dust doe lye?
When old in dust doe lye, it's best dye too.

The following was found in his pocket, after his death:

ON HIMSELF—BY THOMAS BUDLEY.
Farewell, dear wife, children and friends!
Hate heresy, make blessed ends,
Bear povertye, live with good men,
So shall we live with joy agen.
Let men of God in courts and churches watch
O'er such as doe a Toleration hatch,
Lest that ill egg bring forth a cockatrice
To poison all with heresy and vice.
If men be left and otherwise combine,
My epitaph 's—I dyed se Libertyne!

This is characteristic of the Puritans. The reader should, however, understand that the old meaning of the word libertise was tolerant or liberal, so that the governor merely designed to enjoin conformity to his doctrines. Dudley was a narrow-minded man, as much distinguished for his miserly propensities as for his bigotry. Among the epitaphs proposed for his monument was one by Governor Belcher—

Here lies Thomas Dudley, that trusty old stud-A bargain's a bargain, and must be made good!

Donne nor Cowley ever produced any thing more full of quaint conceits, antithesis, and puns, than the elegy written by Benjamin Woodbridge, in 1654, on John Cotton—

Here lies magnanimous humility, Majesty, meekness, Christian apathy, On soft affections: liberty, in thrall-A simple serpent, or serpentine dove.-Neatness embreider'd with itself alone. And devils canonized in a gown,-A living, breathing Bible; table where Both covenants at large engraven are; Gospei and law, in 's heart, had each its column; His head an index to the sacred volume; His very name's a title-page, and next His life a commentary on the text. Oh, what a monument of glorious worth, When in a new edition he comes forth, Without errata, may we think he'll be In leaves and covers of eternity.

The celebrated epitaph of Dr. Franklin is supposed to have been suggested by this; but the lines of Joseph Capen, a minister of Topsfield, on Mr. John Foster, an ingenious mathematician and printer, bear to it a still closer resemblance—

Thy body which no activeness did lack, Now's laid aside, like an old almanack; But for the present only's out of date; 'T will have at length a far more active state; Yea, though with dust thy body solièd be, Yet at the resurrection we shall see A fair edition, and of matchless worth, Free from errate, new in heaven set forth;

"I is but a word from God, the great Creator, It shall be done when He saith Imprimatur.

One of the most poetical of the epitaphs of this period is that by Cotton Mather on the Rev. Thomas Shepard, before mentioned, who died in 1649.

Heare lies intomb'd a heavenly orator,
From the great King of kings Ambassador—
Mirrour of virtues, magazine of artes,
Crown to our heads, and loadstone to our heartes.

The following lines are from the monument of the Rev. Richard Mather, who died in Dorchester, in 1669, aged 73:

Richardus hic dormit Matherus, Sed nec totus nec mora diu tuma, Lætatus gennisse pares. In certum est utrum doctior an melior Anima et gioria non queunt humani.

Divinely rich and learned Richard Mather, Sons like him, prophets great, rejoiced his father. Short time his sleeping dust here's cover'd down; Not his ascended spirit or renowa.

The Rev. Edward Thompson, a preacher of considerable reputation in his day, died at Marshfield, Massachusetts, in 1705. His epitaph is preserved by Alden—

Here, in a tyrant's hand, doth captive lye A rare synopsis of divinetye. Oid patriarchs, prophets, gospel bishops most Under deep silence in their winding sheet. All rest awhile, in hopes and full intent, When their King calls, to sit in Parliament.

Governor Theophilus Eaton, of New Haven, died 4t an advanced age, on the 7th of January, 1657. His sen-in-law, Deputy-Governor William Jones, and his daughter, are buried near him, and are alluded to in the lines upon the monument erected to his memory.

Eaton, so famed, so wise, so meek, so just— The phenix of our world—here lies in dust. His name forget New England never must. To attend you, syr, undr these framed stones Are come yr honrd son and daughter Jones, On each hand to repose yr weary bones.

The next is from an old monument in Dorchester.

Heare lyes our captsine, who major
Of Suffolk was withall,
A goodly magistrate was be,
And major generall!
Two troops of horse with him here come,
Such worth his love did crave,
Ten companyes of foot, also,
Mouraing marcht to his grave,
Let all who read be sure to keep
The faith as he hath don;
With Christ he now lives crown'd; his name
Was Humphrey Atherton.

He died the 16th of November, 1661.

In the same cemetery "lies the body of James Humfrey, one of the ruling elders of Dorchester, who departed this life the 12 May, 1686, in the 78 year of his age." His epitaph, like many of that period is in the form of an acrostic—

I nclosed within this shrine is precious dust, A nd only waits the rising of the just; Most useful while he lived, adorn'd his station, E ven to old age he served his generation; S ince his decease, thought of with veneration.

H ow great a blessing this ruling elder, he U nto this church and town, and pastors three; M ather the first did by him help receive, F lint he did next his burden much relieve. R enowaed Denforth did he assist with shill; E steemed high by all, bearing fruit until Y ielding to death, his giorious seat did fill.

The most ingenious of the Puritan poets was the Rev. Michael Wigglesworth, whose "Day of Doom" is the most remarkable curiosity in American literature. "He was as skilled," says one of his biographers, "in physic and surgery as in diviner things," and when he could neither preach nor prescribe for the physical sufferings of his neighbours,

"In costly verse, and most laborious rhymes, He dish'd up traths right worthy our regard." He was buried in Malden, near Boston, and his epitaph was written by Mather—

# THE EXCELLENT MICHAEL WIGGLESWORTH, Remembered by some good tokens.

His pen did once meet from the eater fetch;
And now he's gone beyond the eater's reach.
His body, once so thin, was next to none;
From hence he's to unbedied spirits flown.
Once his pare skill did all diseases heal;
And he does nothing now uneasy feel.
He to his Paradise is joyful come,
And waits with joy to see his Day of Doom.

The last epitaph we shall give is from the monument of Dr. Clark, a grandson of the celebrated Dr. John Clark, who came to New England in 1630.

> He who among physicians shone so late, And by his wise prescriptions conquer'd Fate, Now lies extended in the silent grave, Nor him alive would his vast merit save. But still his fame shall last, his virtues live, And all sepulchral monuments survive. Still Sourish shall his name: nor shall this stone Long as his piety and love be known.

Many of the elegies preserved in the Magnalia, Morton's New England Memorial, and other works of the time, are not less curious than the briefer tributes engraven upon the tomb-stones of the Pilgrims The following lines on the death of the Rev. Thomas Hooker, of Hartford, were written by John Cotton, the first minister of Boston, and one of the most distinguished men of the colonies, whose elegy by Wood bridge we have already quoted—

To see three things was holy .fustin's wish, Rome in her Florer, Christ Jones in the Flora, And Paul in Pulpit; lately, men might see, Two first and more in Hooker's ministry.

Zien, in Beauty, is a fairer sight, Than Rome in Fleuer, with all her glory dight, Yet Zien's Beauty did most clearly shine In Booker's Rule and Doctrine; both divine.

Christ i' the Spirit's more than Christ in Flesh, Our souls to quicken, and our states to bless! Yet Christ in spirit, broke forth mightily, In faithful Hosker's searching ministry.

Paul, in the pulpit, Hother could not reach; Yet did he Christ in spirit, so lively preach, That living hearers thought he did inherit. A double portion of Paul's lively spirit.

Prudent in rule, in argument quick, Fervent in prayer, in preaching powerful; That well did learned Ames record bear, The like to him he never woat to hear.

Twas of Geneva's worthies said, with wonder, (Those worthies three) Firell was wont to thunder;

First, like rain, on tender grass to shower; But Calvin, lively oracles to pour.

All these in *Hester's* spirit did remain, A son of thunder, and a shower of rain; A power forth of lively oracles, In saving souls, the sum of miracles.

Now blessed Heeler, thou'rt set on high,
Above the thankless world, and cloudy sky;
Do thou of all thy labour resp the crown,
Whilst we, here, resp the seed which thou hast sown!

The following lines are by Peter Bulkeley, of Concord, who was thought to be a fine Latin and English poet, by the critics of his time:

A lamontation for the death of that precious and worthy minister of Jesus Christ, Mr. John Hocker, Anno Domini, 1847.

Come sighs, come sorrows, let's lament this rod.

Which hath bereaved us of this man of God;
A man of God, which came from God to men,
And now from them, is gone to God agen.
Bid joy depart: bid merriment begone;
Bid friends stand by; sit mournful and alone.
But oh! what sorrow can be to suffice,
Though heaven and earth were filled with our cries.
Let Hartford sigh, and say, "I've lost a treasure;"
Let all New England mourn at God's displeasure,
In taking from us one more gracious
Than is the gold of Ophir precious.

Reset was the savour which his grace did give, it seasoned all the place where he did live. His name did, as an ointment, give it's smell, And all bare witness that it savour'd well.

A few years after writing the culour of his

A few years after writing the eulogy of his friend, Mr. Cotton also died, and was thus praised by John Norton, who wrote his history:

And after Winthrop's, Hooker's, Shoppard's hearse, Doth Cotton's death call for a mourning verse! Thy will be done! yet, Lord, who deal'st thus, Make this great death expedient for us. Luther pulled down the pope, Calvin, the prelate slew: Of Calvin's lapse, chief cures to Cotton due. Cotton, whose learning, temper, godliness, The German Phaniz, lively did express. Melancthen's all-may Lather's word but pass-Melancthon's all in our great Cotton was; Than him in flesh, scarce dwelt a better one, So great's our loss, when such a spirit's gone. Whilst he was here, life was more life to me; Now he is not, death hence, less death shall be. That comets great men's death do oft forego, This present comet doth too sadly shew; This prophet dead, yet must in's doctrine speak, This comet saith, else must New England break. Whate'er it be, may heaven avert it far, That meteors should succeed our greatest star. In Boston's orb, Winthrop and Cotton were; These lights extinct, dark is our hemisphere. In Boston, once, how much shined of our glory, We now lament, pesterity will story. Let Besten live, who had and saw their worth, And did them honour, both in life and death. To him New England trust in this distress, Who will not leave his exiles comfortless.

The following lines are from Cotton Mather's "Remarks on the Bright and the Dark Side of that American Pillar, the Reverend Mr. William Thomson:"

Apoliyon owing him a cursed spleen Who an Apolios in the church had been, Dreading his traffic here weuld be undone By num'rous procelytes he daily won,

Accused him of imaginary faults, And push'd him down so into dismal vaults: Vaults, where he kept long ember-weeks of grief, Till Heaven alarmed sent him a relief. Then was a Daniel in the lion's den, A man, oh, how beloved of God and mea! By his bedside an Hebrew sword there lay, With which at last he drove the devil away. Quakers, too, duret not bear his keen replies. But fearing it half-drawn the trembler flies. Like Lazarus, new-raised from death, appears The saint that had been dead for many years. Our Nehemiah said, "Shall such as I Desert my flock, and like a coward fly ?" Long had the churches begg'd the saint's release; Released at last, he dies in glorious peace. The night is not so long, but Phosphor's ray Approaching glories doth on high display. Faith's eye in him discern'd the morning star, His heart leap'd; sure the sun cannot be far. In ecstasies of joy, he ravish'd cries, "Love, love the Lamb, the Lamb!" in whom he dies.

The excellent President, Urian Oakes, styled by Mather the "Lactantius of New England," was one of the most distinguished poets of his time, and contributed very largely to its churchyard literature. The following verses are from his Elegy on the death of Thomas Shepard, minister of Charlestown:

Art, nature, grace, in him were all combined To show the world a matchless paragon; In whom of radiant virtues no less shined, Than a whole constellation; but hee's gone! Hee's gone, alas! down in the dust must ly As much of this rare person, as could die.

To be descended well, doth that commend? Can sons their fathers' alory call their own? Our Shepard justly might to this pretend, (His blessed father was of high renown, Both Englands speak him great, admire his na

Both Englands speak him great, admire his name,) But his own personal worth's a better claim.

His look commanded reverence and awe, Though mild and amiable, not austere; Well humour'd was be, as I ever saw, And ruled by love and wisdom more than fear. The muses and the graces too, conspired To set forth this rare piece to be admired.

He breathed love, and pursued peace in his day, As if his soul were made of harmony; Scarce ever more of goodness crowded lay In such a piece of frail mortality.

Sure Father Wilson's genuine son was he, New-England's Paul had such a Timothy.

My dearest, inmost, bosome friend is gone !
Gone is my sweet companion, soul's delight!
Now in a huddling crowd, I'm all alone,
And almost could bid all the world good night.
Blest be my rock! God lives: O! let him be
As he is all, so all in all to me.

#### CONTROVERSIAL MENDACITY.

ONE of the most common failings of religious writers, of the hunters up of incident, illustrative or confirmative of peculiar principles, is an utter recklessness of veracity in the narration of circumstances. The excellent tendencies of fabricated histories, and the truth of the ideas they inculcate, are the pleas most frequently offered in extenuation of their manufacture; but the ruin of the sacred reputations of the dead can not thus be justified, if even the presentation of false testimony, where it is so little needed, deserves ne reproach. Every body has read the history of the

fearful agonies pretended to have been witnessed by those who saw the last hours of Voltaire; and but few, owing to the general disinclination to expose errors that may be productive of a benefit, while they can scarcely have an injurious tendency, have seen the evidences of the perfect falsity of that popular tale. We should like it well if there were any proof that the philosopher had been convinced of the errors of his life; but no such proof exists, and the story industriously reported, in tracts and in religious journals, that in his last moments a recollection of his efforts to overthrow Christianity, "with terror froze his cowering blood," is known to its intelligent propagators to be without foundation. Voltaire's death-scene, for aught that was ever shown to the contrary, was as quiet and as peaceful as were those of Jonathan Edwards or John Eliot. The well-known statement that Volney, when in imminent peril of shipwreck, besought the mercy of the power he had all his life derided, is equally false. The commentator on the ruin of empires was never in any such peril. Similar stories about Thomas Paine, though so frequently repeated that their inventors may now possibly credit them, have been proved time after time to be untrue. The whole life and character of the man have been misrepresented, in opposition to the clearest testimony. Gibbon, whose manner of life was as commendable as his religious belief was false, has been the hero of many a pathetic history; but the purity of his morality and the quiet of his last hours have been so demonstrated that the slanders of unscrupulous religionists have sunk into oblivion. We have been led to these remarks by seeing in the journals an old story revived, of which Ethan Allen is made the here. Allen was a man of dauntless bravery, and of the most rare intelligence; but unfortunately he was a sceptic in religion, and he vaunted of the discernment which he imagined had enabled him to detect the falsity of the Bible. A great proportion of the anecdotes told to illustrate his character and belief are probably inventions; but it is beyond controversy that he was an infidel, and vain of his opposition to Christianity. In the story to which we have alluded, it is stated that-

"His wife was a pious woman, and taught her children in the way of piety, while he told them it was a delusion; and that there was an hour coming when Colonel Allen's confidence in his own sentiments would be closely tried. A beloved daughter was taken sick; he received a message that she was dying; he hastened to her bedside, anxious to hear her last words. 'Father,' said she, 'I am about to die; shall I believe in the principles which you have taught me, or shall I believe what my mother has directed?" This was an affecting scene. The intrepid Colonel became extremely agitated, his lips quivered, his whole frame shook; and, after waiting a few moments, he replied, 'Believe as your mother has learned you.'"

This is a very pretty anecdote, but not a single sentence of it relates to any actual occurrence. The hero of Ticonderoga never lost a daughter during his own lifetime, and his wife was not a pious woman; at least, she pretended to have experienced no religious influences. The falsity of the story, which has found its way into histories, and into hundreds of printed collections of memorabilia, was asserted to us by the excellent daughter of the hardy chief, who yet survives, and who, perhaps, was herself the heroine of the tale.

Truth is the best policy; especially with polemics; and among politicians even it has been found tha "corruption wins not more than honesty." The pious frauds of monkish times answered a very good purpose, until they were detected; but when the people found that the assenting nods of marble statues were caused by well devised machinery, they laughed at the imposture, or sacrificed its authors to their passions. The witless falsehoods echoed in more modern pulpits frequently send the less simple of the congregation away, breathing contempt for every holy sentiment, while an honest presentation of the unanswerable evidences of inspiration, would have made them stout defenders of the faith. At Tammany Hall the mountebank's attacks on the life and intellect of Thomas Paine are read with a mock gravity, and then by incontestible evidence proved false, and the degraded creatures who congregate at that polluting fountain, with some show of reason call in question the truth of a religion that is supposed to need such juggling to maintain it.

The dawn of the day of death is not always welcome to the pure in heart, nor is it invariably cheerless to the infidel. There is no reason to doubt that Hume was as happy in his last hours as his friend Robertson; and if Adam Smith is to be credited, none ever bade adieu to life with more serenity than that free-thinking philosopher exhibited. La Place, Gibbon, and Cooper, strong in their disbelief of truth, had no fears of danger in the after life. Nor had the worshipper of Isia in old time, nor has the Moslem, now, more frequently than the Christian; albeit the hope of the last is better and his light more clear. These things are as much dependent on national or individual character and temperament as upon religious teaching; and the last hour of a man's mortality furnishes no better index of his future life than the last day of a month does of its succeeding period of time. Forgetful of this, and anxious to make a strong array in behalf of the right, well enough disposed persons have coined counterfeit histories, which, having been almost invariably proved false, have done much more injury than good. "Honesty" in politics, morals, religion, and law, is always "the best policy."

#### ROBERT TREAT PAINE.

ALTHOUGH this writer is now rarely mentioned by the organs of public opinion in New England, he was once ranked among the great masters of English verse; and it was believed that his reputation would endure as long as the language in which he wrote. The absurd estimate of his abilities shows the wretched condition of taste and criticism in his time, and perhaps caused the faults in his later works which have won for them their early oblivion.

Robert Treat Paine, junior, was born at Taunton Massachusetts, on the ninth of December, 1773. His father, an eniment lawyer, held many honourable offices under the state and national governments, and was one of the signers of the Declaration of Indepen dence. The family having removed to Boston, when he was about seven years old, the subject of this memoir received his early education in that city, and entered Harvard University in 1788. His career here was brilliant and honourable; no member of his class was so familiar with the ancient languages, or with elegant English literature; and his biographer assures

as that he was personally popular among his classmates and the officers of the university. When he was graduated, "he was as much distinguished for the opening virtues of his heart, as for the vivacity of his wit, the vigour of his imagination, and the variety of his knowledge. A liberality of sentiment and a contempt of selfishness are usual concomitants, and in him were striking characteristics. Urbanity of manners and a delicacy of feeling imparted a charm to his benignant temper and social disposition."

While in college he had won many praises by his poetical "exercises," and on the completion of his education he was anxious to devote himself to literature as a profession. His father, a man of singular austerity, had marked out for him a different career, and obtained for him a clerkship in a mercantile house in Boston. But he was in no way fitted for the successful prosecution of commerce; and after endeavouring for a few months to apply himself to business, he abandoned the counting-room, and determined to rely on his pen for the means of living. In 1794, he established the "Federal Orrery," a political and literary gazette, and conducted it two years, but without industry or discretion, and therefore without profit. Soon after leaving the university, he had become a constant visiter of the theatre, then recently established in Boston. His intimacy with persons connected with the stage led to his marriage with an actress, and this , to his exclusion from fashionable society, and a disagreement with his father, which lasted until his death.

He was destitute of true courage, and of that kind of pride which arises from a consciousness of integrity and worth. When, therefore, he found himself unpopular with the town, he no longer endeavoured to deserve regard; but neglected his personal appearance, became intemperate, and abandoned himself to indolence. The office of "master of ceremonies" in the theatre, an anomalous station, created for his benefit, still yielded him a moderate income, and notwithstanding the irregularity of his habits, he never exerted his poetical abilities without success. For his poems and other productions he obtained prices unparalleled in this country, and rarely equaled by the rewards of the most popular European authors. For the "Invention of Letters," written at the request of the President of Harvard University, he received fifteen hundred dollars, or more than five dollars a line. "The Ruling Passion," a poem recited before the Phi Beta Kappa Society, was little less profitable; and he was paid seven hundred and fifty dollars for a song of half-adozen stanzas, entitled "Adams and Liberty."

His habits, in the sunshine, gradually improved, and his friends who adhered to him endeavoured to wean him from the wine-cup, and to persuade him to study the law, and establish himself in an honourable position in society. They were for a time successful; he entered the office of the Honourable Theophilus Parsons, of Newburyport; applied himself diligently to his studies; was admitted to the bar, and became a popular advocate. No lawyer ever commenced business with more brilliant prospects; but his indolence and recklessness returned; his business was neglected; his reputation decayed; and, broken down and disheartened by poverty, disease, and the neglect of his old associates, the evening of his life presented a melantholy contrast to its morning, when every sign

gave promise of a bright career. In his last years, says his biographer, "without a library, wandering from place to place, frequently uncertain whence or whether he could procure a meal, his thirst for knowledge astonishingly increased; neither sickness nor penury abated his love of books and instructive conversation." He died in "an attic chamber of his father's house," on the eleventh of November, 1811, in the thirty-eighth year of his age.

Dr. Johnson said of Dryden, of whom Paine was a servile but unsuccessful imitator, that "his delight was in wild and daring sallies of sentiment, in the irregular and eccentric violence of wit;" that he "delighted to tread upon the brink of meaning, where light and darkness begin to mingle; to approach the precipics of absurdity, and hover over the abyss of unideal vacancy." The censure is more applicable to the copy than the original. There was no freshness in Paine's writings; his subjects, his characters, his thoughts, were all commonplace and familiar. His mind was fashioned by books, and not by converse with the world. He had a brilliant fancy, and a singular command of language; but he was never content to be simple and natural. He endeavoured to be magnificent and striking; he was perpetually searching for conceits and extravagances; and in the multiplicity of his illustrations and ornaments, he was unintelligible and tawdry. From no other writer could so many instances of the false sublime be selected. He never spoke to the heart in its own language.

Paine wrote with remarkable facility. It is related of him by his biographers, that he had finished "Adams and Liberty," and exhibited it to some gentlemen at the house of a friend. His host pronounced it imperfect, as the name of Washington was omitted, and declared that he should not approach the sideboard, on which bottles of wine had just been placed, until he had written an additional stanza. The poet mused a moment, called for a pen, and wrote the following lines, which are, perhaps, the best in the song:

Should the tempest of war overshadow our land,

Its bolts could ne'er rend Freedom's temple asunder: For, unmoved, at its portal would Washington stand;

And repulse, with his breast, the assaults of the thunder!

His sword, from the sleep

Of its scabbard would leap,
And conduct, with its point, every flash to the deep!
For no er shall the sons, etc.

He had agreed to write the "opening address," on the rebuilding of the Boston Theatre, in 1798. Hodg-kinson, the manager, called on him in the evening, before it was to be delivered, and upbraided him for his negligence; the first line of it being yet unwritten. "Pray, do not be angry," said Paine, who was dining with some literary friends; "sit down and take a glass of wine." "No, sir," replied the manager; "when you begin to write, I will begin to drink." Paine took his pen, at a side-table, and in two or three hours finished the address, which is one of the best he eves

#### BANDS-FABRICATION OF AUTHORITIES.

ROBERT C. SANDS was one of the cleverest literary men of the country. Of all authors he was the was industrious, and wrote most from a lave of writing Though the editor of one of the leading quarettes et New York, his daily task of political or literary discussion was far from giving him sufficient literary

steel 1

employment. His mind overflowed in all directions into other journals, even some of different political opinions from those which he supported. He had a propensity for innocent and playful literary mischief. It was his sport to excite public curiesity by giving extracts, highly spiced with fashionable allusions and satire, "from the forthcoming novel;" which novel, in truth, was, and is yet to be written; or else to entice soran enhappy wight into a literary or historical newspeper liscussion, then to combet him anonymously, or. under the mask of a brother editor, to overwhelm him with history, facts, quotations, and authorities, all, if nec ssary, manufactured for the occasion; in short, like Chakspeare's "merry wanderer of the night," to karl his unsuspecting victim around "through bog, through bush, through brier." One instance of this sportive propensity occurred in relation to a controversy al out the material of the Grecian crown of victory, which arose during the excitement in favour of Grecian liberty some years ago. Several ingenious young men, fresh from their college studies, had exhausted all the learning they could procure on this grave question, either from their own acquaintance with antiquity, or at second hand from the writers upon Grecian antiquities, Lempriere, Potter, Barthelemi, or the mere erudite Paschalis de Corona; till Sands grew tired of seeing so much scholarship wasted, and ended the controversy by an essay filled with excellent learning, chiefly fabricated by himself for the occasion, and resting mainly on a passage of Pausanias, quoted in the original Greek, for which it is in vain to look in any edition of that author, ancient or modern.

### RAPID COMPOSITION—A. H. BOGART AND OTHERS.

Mr. Bogart was a native of the city of Albany, where, at the early age of twenty-one years, he died, in 1826. He was engaged in the study of the law at the time of his decease, and, as we have learned from an eminent member of the bar in that city, gave the highest promise of professional reputation, when his studies were interrupted by the illness which terminated in his death. He wrote with singular rapidity, and would frequently astonish his companions by an improvisation equal to the elaborate performances of some poets of distinguished reputation. It was goodnaturedly hinted on one occasion that his impromptus were prepared beforehand, and he was asked if he would submit to the application of a test of his poetical abilities. He promptly acceded, and a most difficult one was immediately proposed. Among his intimate friends were the late Colonel John B. Van Schaick and Charles Fenno Hoffman, both of whom were present. Said Van Schaick, taking up a copy of Byron, "The name of Lydia Kane"-a lady distinguished for her beauty and cleverness, who died a year or two since, but who was then just blushing into womanhood-"the name of Lydia Kane has in it the same number of letters as a stanza of 'Childe Harold: write them down in a column." They were so written by Bogart, Hoffman and himself. "Now," he continue!, "I will open the poem at random; and for the ends of the lines in Miss Lydia's acrostic shall be used the words ending those of the verse on which my finger may rest." The stanza thus selected was this :--

And must they full? the young, the proud, the brave,
To gwell one bloated chief's unwholesome reign?
No step between submission and a grave?
The rise of rapine and the full of Spain?
And doth the Power that man adores ordain
Their doom, nor beed the suppliant's appeal?
Is all that desperate valour acts in vain?
And counsel sage, and patriotic seal,
The veteran's skill, youth's fire, and manhood's heart of

The following stanza was composed by Bogart within the succeeding ten minutes—the period fixed in a wager—finished before his companions had reached a fourth line, and read to them as we print it—

L ovely and loved, o'er the unconquer'd brave reign!
Y our charms resistless, matchless girl, shall reign!
D ear as the mother holds her infant's grave I n Love's own region, warm, romantic Spain!
A nd should your Fate to courts your steps ordain, K ings would in vain to regal pomp appeal, A nd lordly hishops kneel to you in vain, N or Valour's fire, Law's power, nor Churchman's zeal E ndure 'gainst Love's (time 's up!) untarnish'd steel!

We need not inform the reader that few of the most facile versifiers could have accomplished the task in hours. Bogart nearly always composed with the same rapidity, and his pieces were marked by the liveliest wit and most apposite illustration.

The rapidity with which Robert Treat Paine composed his verses we have mentioned elsewhere. His best pieces were "struck off at a heat." The poet Brainard wrote his "Lines on Nigara" to fill out a column of a newspaper of which he was editor, in a few moments, while the printer's boy was waiting for copy. E. D. Griffin, Sands, and others, wrote with nearly equal rapidity.

#### LITERARY CONFEDERACIES.

LITERARY associations—for joint authorship—have been common in this country. The first one of which we read was established by "the Connecticut wits" at Hartford, and Joel Barlow, Doctor Hopkins, Colonel Humphries, and Trumbull, the author of "McFingal," were members of it. They produced numerous essays on literary, moral, and political subjects, none of which attracted more applause than a series of papers in imitation of the "Rolliad," (a popular English work, ascribed to Fox, Sheridan, and their associates,) entitled American Antiquities" and "Extracts from the Anarchiad," originally printed in the New Haven Gazette for 1786 and 1787. These papers have never been collected, but they were republished from one end of the country to the other in the periodicals of the time, and were supposed to have had considerable influence on public taste and opinions, and by the boldness of their satire to have kept in abeyance the leaders of political disorganization and infidel philosophy.

The only other association of the kind which we shall mention was formed by Robert C. Sands and three of his friends, under the name of the Literary Confederacy. The number was limited to four; and they bound themselves to preserve a friendly communication in all the vicissitudes of life, and to endeavour, by all proper means, to advance their mutual and individual interest, to advise each other on every subject, and to receive with good temper the rebuke or admonition which might thus be given. They proposed to mite, from

time to time, in literary publications, covenanting solemnly that no matter hostile to the great principles of religion or morals should be published by any member. This compact was most faithfully kept to the time of Sanda' death, though the primary objects of it were gradually given up, as other duties engrossed the attention of its members. In the first year of its existence, the confederacy contributed largely to several literary and critical gazettes, besides publishing in one of the daily papers of the city a series of essays, under the title of the "Amphilogist," and a second under that of the "Neologist," which attracted much attention, and were very widely circulated and republished in the newspapers of the day. Sands wrote a large portion of these, both in prose and verse.

#### BARLOW-HIS LIFE, WRITINGS, AND OPINIONS.

THE author of the "Columbiad" was born in the village of Reading, in Connecticut, in 1755. He was the youngest in a family of ten, and his father died while he was yet a child, leaving to him property sufficient only to defray the costs of his education. On the completion of his preparatory studies, he was placed by his guardians at Dartmouth College, but was soon induced to remove to New Haven, where he was graduated, in 1778. Among his friends here were Dwight, then a college tutor, Colonel Humphreys, a revolutionary bard of some reputation, and Trumbull. the author of "McFingal." Barlow recited an origimal poem, on taking his bachelor's degree, which is preserved in the "American Poema," printed at Litchfield, in 1793. It was his first attempt of so ambitious a character, and possesses little merit. During the vacations of the college he had on several occasions joined the army, in which four of his brothers were serving; and he participated in the conflict at White Plains, and a number of minor engagements, in which he is said to have displayed much intrepidity.

For a short time after completing his academic course, Barlow devoted his attention chiefly to the law; but being urged by his friends to qualify himself for the office of chaplain, he undertook the study of theology, and in six weeks became a licensed minister. He joined the army immediately, and remained with it until the establishment of peace, cultivating the while his taste for poetry, by writing patriotic songs and ballads, and composing, in part, his "Vision of Columbus," afterward expanded into the "Columbiad." When the army was disbanded, in 1783, he removed to Hartford, to resume his legal studies; and, to add to his revenue, established "The Mercury," a weekly gazette, to which his writings gave reputation and an immediate circulation. In 1785, he was admitted to the bar, and in the same year, in compliance with the request of an association of Congregational ministers, he prepared and published an enlarged and improved edition of Watts's version of the Psalms, to which were appended a collection of hymns, several of which were written by himself.

"The Vision of Columbus" was published in 1787. It was dedicated to Louis XVI., with strong expressions of admiration and gratitude, and in the poem were corresponding passages of applause; but Barlow's feelings toward the amiable and unfortunate monarch appear to have changed in after time, for in the "Columbiad" he is coldly alluded to, and the adulatory lines are suppressed. The "Vision of Caumbus"

was reprinted in London and Paris, and was generally noticed favourably in the reviews. After its publication the author relinquished his newspaper and established a bookstore, principally to sell the poem and his edition of the Psalms, and as soon as this end was attained, resumed the practice of the law. In this he was, however, unfortunate, for his forensic abilities were not of the most popular description, and his mind was too much devoted to political and literary subjects to admit of the application to study and attention to business necessary to secure success. He was engaged with Colonel Humphreys, John Trumbull, and Dr. Lemuel Hopkins, a man of some wit, of the coarser kind, in the "Anarchiad," a satirical poem published at Hartford, which had considerable political influence, and in some other works of a similar description; but obtaining slight pecuniary advantage from his literary labours, he was induced to accept a foreign agency from the "Sciota Land Company," and sailed for Europe, with his family, in 1788. In France he sold some of the lands held by this association, but deriving little or no personal benefit from the transactions, and becoming aware of the fraudulent character of the company, he relinquished his agency and determined to rely on his pen for support.

In 1791, Barlow published in London "Advice to the Privileged Orders," a work directed against the distinguishing features of kingly and aristocratic governments; and in the early part of the succeeding year, "The Conspiracy of Kings," a poem of about four hundred lines, educed by the first coalition of the continental sovereigns against republican France. In the autumn of 1792, he wrote a letter to the French National Convention, recommending the abolition of the union between the church and the state, and other reforms; and was soon after chosen by the "London Constitutional Society," of which he was a member, to present in person an address to that body. On his arrival in Paris he was complimented with the rights of citizenship, an "honour" which had been previously conferred on Washington and Hamilton. From this time he made France his home. In the summer of 1793, a deputation, of which his friend Gregorie, who before the Revolution had been Bishop of Blois, was a member, was sent into Savoy, to organize it as a department of the republic. He accompanied it to Chamberry, the capital, where, at the request of its president, he wrote an address to the inhabitants of Piedmont, inciting them to throw off allegiance to "the man of Turin who called himself their king." Here too he wrote "Hasty Pudding," the most popular of his poems.

On his return to Paris, Barlow's time was principally devoted to commercial pursuits, by which, in a few years, he obtained a considerable fortune. The atrocties which marked the progress of the Revolution prevented his active participation in political controversies, though he continued, under all circumstances, an ardent republican. Toward the close of 1795, he visited the North of Europe, on some private business, and on his return to Paris was appointed by Washing ton consul to Algiers, with power to negociate a commercial treaty with the dey, and to ransom all the Americans held in slavery on the coast of Barbary. He accepted and fulfilled the mission to the satisfaction of the American government, concluding treaties with Algiers, Tunis, and Tripoli, and liberating more

than one hundred Americans, who were in prisons or in slavery to the Mohammedans. He then returned to Paris, where he purchased the splendid hotel of the Count Clermont de Tonnere, and lived several years in a fashionable and costly manner, pursuing still his fortunate mercantile speculations, revising his "great pic," and writing occasionally for the political gamettes.

Finally, after an absence of nearly seventeen years, the poet, statesman, and philosopher returned to his native country. He was received with kindness by many old friends, who had corresponded with him while abroad, or been remembered in all his wanderings; and, after spending a few months in travel, marking, with patriotic pride, the rapid progress which the nation had made in greatness, he fixed his home on the banks of the Potomac, near the city of Washington, where he built the splendid mansion, known afterward as "Kalorama," and expressed an intention to spend there the remainder of his life. In 1806, he published a prospectus of a National Institution, at Washington, to combine a university with a naval and military school, academy of fine arts, and learned society. A bill to carry his plan into effect was introduced into Congress, but never became a law.

In the summer of 1808, appeared the "Columbiad," in a splendid quarto volume, surpassing in the beauty of its typography and embellishments any work before that time printed in America. From his earliest years Barlow had been ambitious to raise the epic song of his nation. The "Vision of Columbus," in which the most brilliant events in American history had been described, occupied his leisure hours when in college, and afterward, when, as a chaplain, he followed the standard of the liberating army. That work was executed too hastily and imperfectly, and for twenty years after its appearance, through every variety of fortune, its enlargement and improvement engaged his attention.

The events of the Revolution were so recent and so universally known, as to be inflexible to the hand of fiction; and the poem could not therefore be modelled after the regular epic form, which would otherwise have been chosen. It is a series of visions, presented by Hesper, the genius of the western continent, to Columbus, while in the prison at Valladolid, where he is introduced to the reader uttering a monologue on his ill-requited services to Spain. These visions embrace a vast variety of scenes, circumstances, and characters: Europe in the middle ages, with her political and religious reformers; Mexico and the South American nations, and their imagined history; the progress of discovery; the settlement of the states now composing the federation; the war of the Revolution, and establishment of republicanism; and the chief actors in the great dramas which he attempts to present.

The poem, having no unity of fable, no regular succession of incidents, no strong exhibition of varied character, lacks the most powerful charms of a narrative; and has, besides, many dall and spiritless passages, that would make unpopular a work of much more faultless general design. The versification is generally sarraonious, but mechanical and passionless, the language sometimes incorrect, and the similes often inappropriate and inelegant. Yet there are in it many bursts of eloquence and patriotism, which should preserve it from oblivion. The descriptions of nature and

of personal character are frequently condensed and forceful; and passages of invective, indignant and full of energy. In his narrative of the expedition against Quebec, under Arnold, the poet exclaims:

Ah, gallant troop! deprived of half the praise
That deeds like yours in other times repays,
Since your prime chief (the favourite erst of Fame.)
Hath sunk so deep his hateful, hideous name,
That every honest muse with horror flings
It forth unsounded from her sacred strings;
Else what high tones of rapture must have told
The first great actions of a chief so bold!

These lines are characteristic of his manner. The "Columbiad" was reprinted in Paris and London, and noticed in the leading critical gazettes, but generally with little praise. The London "Monthly Magazine" attempted, in an elaborate article, to prove its title to a place in the first class of epics, and expressed a belief that it was surpassed only by the "Iliad," the "Æneid," and "Paradise Lost." In America, however, it was regarded by the judicious as a failure, and reviewed with even more wit and severity than in England. Indeed, the poet did not in his own country receive the praise which he really merited; and faults were imputed to his work which it did not possess. Its sentiments were said to be hostile to Christianity,\* and the author was declared an infidel; but there is no line in the "Columbiad" unfavourable to the religion of New England, the Puritan faith which is the basis of the national greatness; and there is no good reason for believing that Barlow at the time of his death doubted the creed of which in his early manhood he had been a minister.

The orthography of the "Columbiad" was in some instances peculiar, but many of Barlow's innovations have since been generally adopted, and in his notes he defends them with force and ingenuity. It has been said that he was wildly visionary in his plans and expectations, and his predictions in regard to short-hand writing have been quoted in proof of the correctness of this opinion. But a man who had seen the revolution produced in navigation by the application of steam, ought hardly to be censured for believing that the time might come when the whole train of impressions now made upon the mind by reading a long and well written treatise would be conveyed by a few strokes of the pen, and be received at a glance of the eye.

\* It is now generally believed that Barlow, while in France, abjured the Christian Religion. The Reverend Thomas Robbins, a venerable clergyman of Rochester, Massachusetta, in a letter written in 1840, remarks that "Barlow's desistical opinions were not suspected previous to the publication of his 'Vision of Columbua,' in 1767," and further, that "when at a later period he less his character, and became an open and bitter reviler of Christianity, his psalm-book was laid aside; but for that cance only, as competent judges still maintained that no revision of Watts possesses as much poetic merit as Barlow's." I have seen two letters written by Barlow during the last year of Christianity, divested of its corruptions." In a letter to M. Gregorie, published in the second volume of Dennie's "Port Pollo," pages 471 to 479, he says, "the sect of Puritans, in which I was born and educated, and to which I still adhers, for the same reason that you adhere to the Catholica, a consisties that they are right," etc. The idea that Barlow disbelieved in his later years the religion of his youth, was probably first derived from an engraving in the "Vision of Columbus," in which the cross, by which be intended to revenue to makinh superstition, is placed among the "symbols of prejudice." He never "lost his character" as a man of honourable sentiments and blamelees life; and I could present numerous other evidences that he did not abandos his religion, were not the above apparently conclusive.

After the publication of the "Columbiad," Barlow made a collection of documents, with an intention to write a history of the United States; but, in 1811, he was unexpectedly appointed minister plenipotentiary to the French government, and immediately sailed for Europe. His attempts to negotiate a treaty of commerce and indemnification for spoliations were unsuccessful at Paris; and in the autumn of 1812 he was invited by the Duke of Bassano to a conference with Napoleon at Wilna, in Poland. He started from Paris, and traveled without intermission until he reached Zarnowitch, an obscure village near Cracow, where he died, from an inflammation of the lungs, induced by fatigue and exposure in an inhospitable country, in an inclement season, on the twenty-second day of December, in the fifty-fourth year of his age. In Paris, honours were paid to his memory as an important public functionary and a man of letters; his eulogy was written by Dupont de Nemours, and an account of his life and writings was drawn up and published, accompanied by a canto of the "Columbiad," translated into French heroic verse. In Americs, too, his death was generally lamented, though without any public exhibition of mourning.

Barlow was much respected in private life for his many excellent social qualities. His manners were usually grave and dignified, though when with his intimate friends he was easy and familiar. He was an honest and patient investigator, and would doubtless have been much more successful as a metaphysical or historical writer than as a poet. As an author he belonged to the first class of his time in America; and for his ardent patriotism, his public services, and the purity of his life, he deserves a distinguished rank among the men of our golden age.

#### JOHN BEVERIDGE.

BEVERIBGE was a celebrated teacher, in Philadelphia, before the Revolution, and many of the most eminent men of the time studied under him the languages and mathematics. His acquirements in Latin and backgammon were unequaled in this country, and he is deserving of remembrance as the author of the first volume of Latin poems published in the colonies. Among his pupils was Alexander Graydon, who wrote the most interesting book of personal memoirs\* yet produced in the United States. Graydon furnishes the following reminiscences of him—

"Various were the roguegies that were played upon him; but the most audacious of all was the following. At the hour of convening in the afternoon, that being found the most convenient, from the circumstance of Mr. Beveridge being usually a little beyond the time; the bell having rung, the ushers being at their posts, and the scholars arranged in their classes, three or four of the conspirators concealed themselves without, for the purpose of observing the motions of their victim. He arrives, enters the school, and is permitted to proceed until he is supposed to have nearly reached his chair at the upper end of the room, when instantly the door and every window-shutter is closed. Now, shrouded in utter darkness, the most hideous yells that can be conceived, are sent forth from at least three score of throats; and Ovids, and Virgils, and Horaces, together with the more heavy metal of dictionaries,

• "Memoirs of a Life Chiefly Passed in Pennsylvania, within the last sixty years." Harrisburg, 1811.

whether of Cole, of Young, or of Ainsworth, are hurled without remorse at the head of the astonished presceptor, who, on his side, groping and crawling under cover of the forms, makes the best of his way to the door. When attained, and light restored, a death-like silence ensues. Every boy is at his lesson: no one has had a hand or a voice in the recent atrocity: what then is to be done, and who shall be chastised.

Savit atrox Volscens, nec teli conspicit usquam Auctorem, nec quo se ardens immittere possit.

Fierce Volscens foams with rage, and gazing round Descries not him who aim'd the fatal wound; Nor knows to fix revenge.———

"This most intolerable outrage, from its succeeding beyond expectation, and being entirely to the taste of the school, had a run of several days; and was only then put a stop to by the interference of the faculty, who decreed the most exemplary punishment on those who should be found offending in the premises, and by taking measures to prevent a further repetition of the enermity. I have said, and with truth, that I was no promoter of mischief; but I will not take upon me to assert, that I was proof against the irresistible contagion of such a scene, or that I did not raise my voice in the discordant concert of the screamers: though I can safely declare, that I never threw at the master, and that I was wholly ignorant of the contrivers and ringleaders of this shameful proceeding.

"In the year 1765, Mr. Beveridge published by subscription a small collection of Latin poems. Of their general merit I presume not to judge, but I think I have heard they were not much commended by the British reviewers. The Latinity probably is pure, the prosody correct, the versification sufficiently easy and sounding, and such as might serve to evince an intimate acquaintance with the classics of ancient Rome: But I should doubt their possessing much of the soul of poetry. One of them is neither more or less than a humble petition in hexameters, and certainly a very curious specimen of pedantic mendicity. It is addressed to Thomas' Penn, the proprietary of Pennsylvania; and the poet very modestly proposes, that he should bestow upon him a few of his acres, innumerable, he observes, as the sands of the Delaware: in return for which, his verse shall do its best to confer immortal fame upon the donor. By way of further inducement to the gift, he sets before his excellency the usual ingratitude of an enriched and unknown posterity, on the one hand; and on the other, the advantages which Ajaz, Æneas, and Mecenas derived from the muses of Homer, of Virgil, and Horace. But lest I might be suspected of misrepresentation, let my good quondam preceptor speak for himself

Jugera quum tibi sint quot habet Délavarus arenas, Quid magnum minimo tribuas si propria partes Fundamenta case, Bores qua frigora pellam. Non dabis ingrato dederis licet œris egeno, Quodque tibi minimum, magnum esset pauca roganti. Sin renuas, tanti nec sint commercia nostra, Hoc quoque ne pigeat cito spem præcidere vanam.

Nec periisse puta, dederis quod vivus amico; Credere fas sit enim, si quid mea carmina possint. Sera licet, majova feras quam Mexico nobis, Seu Tagus auriferis exundans mittit arenis; Auguror et si quid vives post fata superstes.

Quid juvat ignotis, ingratis forsitan, auri Pondera, frugiferis vei millia jugera campis Linquere post natis? Nequeunt nam prodere famam bivitie, nequeunt titulis monumenta superbia. Quid foret Æneas, et magni nominis Ajax, Atque alii quorum sunt nomina multa virorum; Ni foret et vates divini carminis auctor Mesnides, sacro qui primus vertice Pindi Deduxit faciles Phosbo plaudente, Camonnas? Vel quid Meconas animi mentisque benigne

Vel quid Mocenas animi mentisque benigno Ni benefacta sui celebrasset carmen Horati, Et Maro munificum cecinisset gratus amicum? &c. &c.

"Might not one here be tempted to exclaim in the spirit of Prior to Boileau:

Pindar, that eagle, mounts the skies, While virtue leads the noble way: Too like a vulture *Beveridge* flies Where sordid interest lures the prey.

"I never heard, however, that the poet was the better for his application: I rather think that the proprietor was of opinion, there was a want of reciprocity in the proposal, and that, whatever the carmen Horati vel Maronis might have been worth, that of Mr. Beveridge did not amount to a very valuable consideration. Another of the principal poems in this collection is a pastoral, which, if Mr. Beveridge had had the salutary fear of Boileau before his eyes, he certainly would not have written; since never was production more completely under the lash of the following satirical lines:

Viendrai-je, en une Egiogue entoure de troupeaux Au milieu de Paris enfier mes chalumeaux, Et dans mon cabinet assis au pied des hetres, Faire dire aux echos des sottises champetres?

"The complainant in this pastoral is an Edinburgh cit, whom he appropriately calls Urbanus: nevertheless he is, without the smallest difficulty, transformed into a shepherd, surrounded with sheep, and proclaiming to the echoes his sottises champetres, in strains like these:

Audiit et planctus gemebunda remurmurat Echo, Echo sola meos miserata est, inquit amores; Tristia nam massia ex axia assonat imis, Flebile luctisonis responsat et usque cicutis. Me miserum quoties exclamo, lugubris illa Me miserum ingeminat gelidis e vallibus: Eheu, Clamanti exclamat, repetitis vocibus, Eheu!

But after all, it is perhaps too much to expect from a modern, good Latin, good poetry, and good sense, all at the same time."

#### EDITORIAL RECANTATIONS.

WE have mentioned elsewhere the confessions of Rivington, editor of the Royal Gazette, in New York. During the Revolution the Vicars of Bray were frequently compelled to change their positions so suddenly as not to allow of the shows of "consistency" made by the politicians of our own time, and some of their bulletins are curious and amusing. Benjamin Towns became editor of the Pennsylvania Evening Post in 1775. He was a Whig until the British took possession of Philadelphia, when he excelled all the Tories in his loyalty to His Majesty's government. On the evacuation of the city, Towne remained, and assumed a second time the language of the Whig party. One day, soon after the meeting of Congress, he met the celebrated Dr. Withenspoon, in Aitkin's bookstore, and requested him to become a writer for his paper. The Doctor refused, unless Towne would first make his "peace with the country." "How shall I do it?" "Why, write a piece, acknowledging your fault, professing repentance, and asking forgiveness." "But what shall I say?" Witherspoon gave some hints, upon which Towne said, "Doctor, you write expeditionaly, and to the purpose: I will thank you to write some thing for me, and I will publish it." He assented, obtained paper and ink, and immediately wrote "The Humble Confession, Recantation, and Apology of Ben jamin Towne," which was afterward published as the genuine composition of the editor, and greatly increased his reputation as a writer. We give a few characteristic paragraphs from it:

"The following facts are well known-1st. That I Benjamin Towne, used to print the Pennsylvania Evening Post, under the protection of Congress, and did frequently, and earnestly solicit sundry members of the said Congress for dissertations and articles of intelligence, professing myself to be a very firm azd zealous friend to American Liberty. 2d. That on he English taking possession of Philadelphia, I turned fairly round, and printed my Evening Post under the protection of General Howe and his army, calling the Congress and all their adherents, Rebels, Rascals, and Raggamuffins, and several other unsavoury names, with which the humane and Polite English are pleased to honour them-neither did I ever refuse to insert any dissertation however scurrilous, or any article of intelligence sent to me, altho' many of them I well knew to be, as a certain gentleman elegantly expresses it, facts that never happened. 3d. That I am now willing and desirous to turn once more, to unsay all that I have last said, and to print and publish for the United States of America, which are likely to be uppermost, against the British Tyrant; nor will I be backward in calling him, after the example of the great and eminent author of Common Sense, The Royal Brute, or giving him any other appellation still more opprobrious, if such can be found.

"The rational moralists of the last age used to tell us that there was an essential difference between virtue and vice, because there was an essential difference to be observed in the nature and reason of things. Now, with all due deference to these great men, I think I am as much of a Philosopher as to know that there are no circumstances of action more important than those of time and place, therefore, if a man pay no regard to the changes that may happen in these circumstances, there will be very little Virtue, and still less Prudence in his behaviour. Perhaps I have got rather too deep for common readers, and therefore shall ask any plain Quaker in this city, what he would say to a man who should wear the same coat in summer as in winter in this climate? He would certainly say, 'Friend, thy wisdom is not great.' Now whether I have not had as good reason to change my conduct as my coat, since last January, I leave to every impartial person to determine. 2dly, I do hereby declare and confess, that when I printed for Congress, and on the side of Liberty, it was not by any means from principle, or a desire that the cause of Liberty should prevail, but purely and simply from the love of gain. I could have made nothing but tar and feathers by printing against them as things then stood. I make this candid acknowledgment not only as a penitent to obtain pardon, but to show that there was more consistency in my conduct than my enemies are willing to allow. They are pleased to charge me with hypocrisy in pretending to be a Whig when I was none. This charge is false; I was neither Whig nor

Tory, but a Printer. I detest and abhor hypocrisy. I had no more regard for General Howe or General Clinton, or even for Mrs. Itowring or any other of the Chaste Nymphs that attended the fete Champstre, alias Mischianza,† when I printed in their behalf, than for the Congress on the day of their retreat. It is pretended that I certainly did in my heart incline to the English, because that I printed much bigger lies and in greater number for them, than for the Congress. This is a most false and unjust insinuation. It was entirely the fault of the Congress themselves, who thought fit (being but a new potentate upon the earth.) to be much more modest, and keep nearer the truth than their adversaries. Had any of them brought me in a lie as big as a mountain it should have issued from my press. This gives me an opportunity of showing the folly as well as malignity of those who are actuated by party spirit; many of them have affirmed that I printed monstrous and incredible lies for General Howe. Now pray what harm could incredible lies do the only hurt, I conceive, that any lie can do, is by obtaining belief, as a truth; but an incredible lie can obtain no belief, and therefore at least must be perfectly harmless. What will those cavilers think, if I should turn this argument against them, and say that the most effectual way to disgrace any cause is to publish monstrons and incredible lies in its favour? In this view, I have not only innocence, but some degree of merit to plead. However, take it which way you will, there never was a lie published in Philadelphia that could bear the least comparison with those published by James Rivington, in New York. This in my opinion is to be imputed to the superiority not of the Printer, but of the Prompter or Prompters. I reckon Mr. T.-- to have excelled in that branch; and he had probably many coadjutors. -What do you think of 40,000 Russians and 20,000 Moors, which Moors too were said by Mr. Rivington to be dreadful among the women? as also the boots building at the forks of the Monongahela to carry the Congress down the Ohio to New Orleans? these were swingers. — As to myself and friend Hcontented ourselves with publishing affidavits to prove that the King of France was determined to preserve the friendship that subsisted between him and his good brother the King of England, of which he has given a new proof by entering into and communicating his treaty with the United States of America. Upon the whole I hope the public will attribute my conduct, not to disaffection, but to attachment to my own interest and desire of gain in my profession; a principle, if I mistake not, pretty general and pretty powerful in the present day. 3dly, I hope the public will consider that I have been a timorous man, or, if you will, a coward, from my youth, so that I cannot fight-my belly is so

A married lady, said to have been the mistress of the British General H—e. See Battle of the Keps. † A public exhibition in honour of the British General

big that I cannot run-and I am so great a lover of eats. ing and drinking that I cannot starve. When those three things are considered, I hope they will fully acecunt for my past conduct, and procure me the liberty of going on in the same uniform tenor for the future No just judgment can be formed of a man's character and conduct unless every circumstance is taken in and fairly attended to; I therefore hops that this justice will be done in my case. I am also verily persuaced that if all those who are e-wards as well as myself, igst who are better off in other respects, and therefore one and do run whenever danger is near them, wou'd befriend me, I should have no inconsiderable body on my side. Peace be with the Congress and the army ! mean no reflections; but the world is a wide field, and I wish everybody would do as they would be done by. Finally, I do hereby recant, draw back, eat in, and swallow down, every word that I have ever spoken, written or printed to the prejudice of the United States of America, hoping it will not only satisfy the good people in general, but also all those scatter-brained fellows, who call one another out to shoot pistols in the air, while they tremble so much they cannot hit the mark. In the meantime I will return to labour with assiduity in my lawful calling, and essays and intelligence as before shall be gratefully accepted by the Public's most obedient humble servant, BENJAMIN TOWNE,"

#### THOMAS PAINE.

THE popularity of Paine's writings resulted rather from accident than from any merit which they possessed, but his political essays made him famous for a day. and every one connected with the press become anxious to engage his services. Aitken, the publisher of the Pennsylvania Magazine, contracted with him to write a certain number of pages for each number of that periodical, but Paine's indolence was such that he could rarely procure his articles in season, and on one occasion he went to his lodgings and complained with severity of his not finishing articles in the proper time, Paine heard him patiently, and coolly answered, "You shall have them in time." Aitken expressed some doubts on the subject, and insisted on Paine's accompanying him and proceeding immediately to business, as the workmen were waiting for copy. He accordingly went home with Aitken, and was soon scated at the table with the necessary apparatus, which always included a glass, and a decanter of brandy. Aitken observed, "he would never write without that." The first glass put him in a train of thinking; Aitken feared the second would disqualify him, or render him untractable; but it only illuminated his intellectual system; and when he had swallowed the third glass, he wrote with rapidity, intelligence, and precision; and his ideas appeared to flow faster than he could commit them to paper. What he penned from the inspiration of the brandy, was perfectly fit for the press without any alteration, or correction

THE END.

# CONTENTS.

| "American Taxation," Page 38   | Keith's "Travels from New Hampshire to Caratnok"    |
|--|---|
| Authorship of the Declaration o. ndependence, 45                         | Lovewell's Fight, balled on.                        |
| American Cadmus 50   | Literary Confederacies.                             |
|  | Mather Cotton: his Life and Character,              |
|  | Matther Cotton: his late and Character,             |
| rineit, Editally   | His connection with the Witch-                      |
| Bay Pselm Book, 8  | craft Deluzion,                                     |
| Erndstreet, Anne,—her Poems, 13  | Grahame's Opinion of his "Mag-                      |
| "Battle of Bunker Hill," 26  | nalia,"   |
| Burning of Charlestown, ballad on the, 29                                | Minstrelsy of the Indian Wars and the Revolution, 2 |
| "Ballad of the Tea Party," 30  | Mayhew, Dr. Jonathan, 5                             |
| "Battle of Trenton," 31  | "New-England's Prospect,"                           |
| "Brave Pawling and the Spy," 34  | "North Campaign, the," a ballad, 3                  |
| "Bold Hawthorne," 37   | Penn, William, and John Locke, 1                    |
| "Battle of the Kegs," 39   | Poetry of Governor Wolcott, 1                       |
| Byles, Mather, and Joseph Green, 41                                      | Poem by Allen on the Boston Massacre, 1             |
| Bogart, Alexander H., '58  | Patriot's Appeal, the, 2                            |
| Barlow, his Life, Writings and Opinions, 59                              | "Progress of Sir Jack Brag," 3:                     |
| Beveridge: his Latin Poems, 61   | Paine, Robert Treat, 5                              |
| Curious Account of the Battle of Saratoga, - 33                          | High prices paid for his Poems, 5                   |
| Cow Chase, the, written by Andre, 34                                     | Rapidity with which he wrote, 5                     |
| Cherokee Alphabet; invention of, 50                                      | Rare and Curious Books by the Early Travelers in    |
| Correspondence of Dr. Mayhew, 51   | America,  |
| Controversial Mendacity, 56  | Rogers's "Concise Account of North America,"        |
| Confederacies, Literary,   | Rivington and Freneau 2                             |
| Dunton's "Life and Errors."  | Rivington's Confessions, : 2                        |
| Dedication of the Indian Bible, 10                                       | Last Will and Testament, - 2                        |
| "Discourse concerning the Currencies in the Bri-                         | Epigrams on, · · · · ·                              |
| tish Plantations in America." - 5  | Randolph, Edward.                                   |
| Dexter, Lord Timothy: his "Pickle for the Know-                          | "Randolph's Welcome," 4                             |
| ing Ones,"   | Ralph, James,                                       |
| Dedications and Introductory Poems, - 49                                 | Rapid Composition, 5                                |
| Dr. Dwight and Mr. Dennie, 51  | Recantations, Editorial, 6                          |
| Dudley, Thomas; Epitaph on, 53   | "Simple Cohler of Aggawam," 1                       |
| Eliot and his Indian Translations, 9                                     | Satirical, Dramatic, and other Poems, written dur-  |
| Epitaphs, Anagrams, and Elegies, of the Puritans, 53                     | l   |
|  | L   |
| Elegy on Thomas Shepard, by Urian Oakes, - 55 Editorial Recantations, 22 |   |
|  | Sowall, Dr. J. M.: his Writings, 3                  |
| Frampton's "New Found World," 6  | Sands—Fabrication of Authorities, 5                 |
| "Free America," by General Warren, 29                                    | Towne, Benjamin, 6                                  |
| "Fate of John Burgoyne," a ballad, - 32                                  | "Virgo Triumphans,"                                 |
| Foulger, Peter: his "Looking Glass for the                               | "Virginia Richly Valued," &c                        |
| Times," 43   | Verses on the Massacre of Wyoming 3                 |
| Fabrication of Authorities, 57   | Williams, Roger, and his Controversies, 1           |
| Green, Joseph, and Byles, 41   | Ward, Nathaniel: his "Simple Cobler of Aggawam," 1  |
| History of Connecticut, by Dr. Peters, - 5                               | War Song, written in 1776, 20                       |
| Hopkinson, Francis, 38   | Wigglesworth, Michael: Extracts from his "Day       |
| Hooker, Rev. Thomas, Elegy on, by Cotton, . 54                           | of Doom," • • • • 44                                |
| Lament for his death, 55   |   |
| Josselyn's two Voyages to America 3                                      | Witherspoon, Dr., and Benjamin Towne 65             |

.

.

.

.

